Resol	ution No
<u>A</u>	ttachment B
page	of

## **TDA Article 3 Project Application Form**

Fiscal Year of this Claim: 2019-20	Applicant: City and County of San Francisco
Contact person: Angela Alter, Transportation P	lanner
Mailing Address: San Francisco Municipal Tran	nsportation Agency, 1 South Van Ness Avenue, 8th floor, San Francisco, CA 94103
E-Mail Address: Angela.Alter @sfmta.com	Telephone: 415.646.2808
Secondary Contact (in event primary not availa	ble) Joel Goldberg
E-Mail Address: Joel.Goldberg @sfmta.com	Telephone: 415.646.2520
Short Title Description of Project: Vision Zer	o Bike and Pedestrian Improvements
Amount of claim: \$486,169	
Functional Description of Project:	

This project category would implement 1-3 spot or corridor improvements related to bicycle and pedestrian safety to support San Francisco's Vision Zero goal of zero traffic related deaths by 2024.

Financial Plan:

Short Title	TDA 3 Amount	Total Project Cost
Vision Zero Bike and Pedestrian Improvements	\$ 486,169	\$ 486,169
Total	\$ 486,169	\$ 486,169

<u>Project Elements:</u> Improvements could include, but are not limited to: striping and signing changes, signal hardware and/or timing modifications, bulbouts, flashing or High Intensity Activated CrossWalk (HAWK) beacons, safe hit posts, concrete islands, colored markings, bike boxes, and bike turn lanes

Funding Source	All Prior FYs	Application FY	Next FY	Following FYs	Totals
TDA Article 3		\$486,169			\$486,169
list all other sources:					
1.					
2.					
3.					
4.					
Totals		\$486,169			\$486,169

Project Eligibility:		
A. Has the project been approved by the claimant's governing body? (If "NO," provide the approximate date approval is anticipated). The project is in the department's CIP.	YES	
B. Has this project previously received TDA Article 3 funding? If "YES," provide an explanation on a separate page.	NO	
C. For "bikeways," does the project meet Caltrans minimum safety design criteria pursuant to Chapter 1000 of the Californi Highway Design Manual? (Available on the internet via: <a href="http://www.dot.ca.gov">http://www.dot.ca.gov</a> ).	a YES	
D. Has the project been reviewed by a Bicycle Advisory Committee (BAC)? (If "NO," provide an explanation). Enter date the project was reviewed by the BAC: Review date: 3/25/2019	ie YES	
E. Has the public availability of the environmental compliance documentation for the project (pursuant to CEQA) been evidenced by the dated stamping of the document by the county clerk or county recorder? (required only for projects the include construction).	NO at	
F. Will the project be completed before the allocation expires? Enter the anticipated completion date of project (month and year) <u>June 2021</u>	YES	
G. Have provisions been made by the claimant to maintain the project or facility, or has the claimant arranged for such maintenance by another agency? (If an agency other than the Claimant is to maintain the facility provide its name:	YES	

\*\* (E) The SFMTA will provide documentation of CEQA clearance for the bicycle projects as they are approved for implementation. Such documentation will be provided with invoices for project reimbursement. The SFMTA will not proceed with any project until there has been complete compliance with CEQA and the City's Environmental Quality Regulations. Specifically, the SFMTA retains the absolute discretion to (1) modify the project to mitigate significant adverse environmental impacts; (2) select feasible alternatives which avoid significant adverse impacts of the project; (3) require the implementation of specific measures to mitigate the significant adverse environmental impacts of the project; (4) reject the project if the economic and social benefits of the project do not outweigh otherwise unavoidable significant adverse environmental impacts; or (5) approve the project upon a finding that the economic and social benefits of the project outweigh otherwise unavoidable significant adverse impacts.