File No.	190612	Committee Item N	Committee Item No.	
		Board Item No.	46	

## **COMMITTEE/BOARD OF SUPERVISORS**

AGENDA PACKET CONTENTS LIST

	NOEND/(I NORE) C	CITIENTO	
Committee: Board of Sup	ervisors Meeting	Date: Date:	June 25, 2019
	Motion Resolution Ordinance Legislative Digest Budget and Legislative Ana Youth Commission Report Introduction Form Department/Agency Cover I MOU Grant Information Form Grant Budget Subcontract Budget Contract/Agreement Award Letter Application Public Correspondence		
OTHER			
Prepared by: Prepared by:	Jocelyn Wong	Date: Date:	June 21, 2019

[Affirming the Categorical Exemption Determination - Seawall Lot 330]

Motion affirming the determination by the Planning Department that the proposed Seawall Lot 330, a SAFE Navigation Center Project, is categorically exempt from further environmental review.

WHEREAS, On April 19, 2019, the Planning Department issued a CEQA Categorical Exemption Determination for the proposed project on Seawall Lot 330 for a SAFE Navigation Center ("Project) under the California Environmental Quality Act ("CEQA"), the CEQA Guidelines, and San Francisco Administrative Code, Chapter 31; and

WHEREAS, The Project is located on Seawall Lot 330 and includes installation of a SAFE Navigation Center for up to 200 people and removal of approximately 155 surface parking spaces and installation of two portable structures to serve as dormitories containing up to 200 beds and an additional demountable tensile structure of approximately 6,000 square feet, which includes 1,640 square feet of office space, 2,520 square feet of community and dining space with a pantry room, and 1,840 square feet of additional support space, and installation of additional temporary structures to contain 25 toilets, 6 urinals, and 18 showers, and placement of 12 shipping containers on-site for client storage needs; these temporary structures would be placed to create an approximately 10,000 square-foot outdoor gathering space; and

WHEREAS, The Planning Department, pursuant to Title 14 of the CEQA Guidelines (California Code of Regulations, Title 14, Division 6, Chapter 3, Sections 15300-15333), issued a categorical exemption for the Project on April 19, 2019, finding that the Project is exempt from the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) as a Class 32 categorical exemption, which allows for In-Fill Development Projects, characterized as in-fill development

meeting the following conditions outlined in Section 15332: (a) The project is consistent with the applicable general plan designation and all applicable general plan policies as well as with applicable zoning designation and regulations; (b) The proposed development occurs within city limits on a project site of no more than five acres substantially surrounded by urban uses; (c) The project site has no value as habitat for endangered, rare or threatened species; (d) Approval of the project would not result in any significant effects relating to traffic, noise, air quality, or water quality; and (e) The site can be adequately served by all required utilities and public services; and

WHEREAS, On April 23, 2019, the Port Commission held a public hearing and approved the Project by approving the Embarcadero Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) between the Department of Homelessness and Supportive Housing (HSH) and the Port of San Francisco (Port); and

WHEREAS, On May 22, 2019, Stephen M. Williams, on behalf of the Portside Master Association and Portside Homeowners Association ("Portside Appellant") filed an appeal with the Office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors of the categorical exemption determination for the Project and on May 23, 2019, Peter Prows of Briscoe Ivester & Bazel LLP, on behalf of Safe Embarcadero For All ("SEFA Appellant") filed an appeal with the Office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors of the categorical exemption determination for the Project; and

WHEREAS, By memorandum to the Clerk of the Board dated May 28, 2019, the Planning Department's Environmental Review Officer determined that the appeals were timely filed; and

WHEREAS, On June 25, 2019, this Board held a duly noticed public hearing to consider the appeals of the exemption determination filed by Portside Appellant and SEFA Appellant (collectively, "Appellants"); and

Page 2

WHEREAS, In reviewing the appeals of the exemption determination, this Board reviewed and considered the exemption determination, the appeal letters, the responses to the appeal documents that the Planning Department prepared, the other written records before the Board of Supervisors and all of the public testimony made in support of and opposed to the exemption determination appeals; and

WHEREAS, Following the conclusion of the public hearing, the Board of Supervisors affirmed the exemption determination for the Project based on the written record before the Board of Supervisors as well as all of the testimony at the public hearing in support of and opposed to the appeals; and

WHEREAS, The written record and oral testimony in support of and opposed to the appeals and deliberation of the oral and written testimony at the public hearing before the Board of Supervisors by all parties and the public in support of and opposed to the appeals of the exemption determination is in the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors File No. 190611, and is incorporated in this motion as though set forth in its entirety; now, therefore, be it

MOVED, That the Board of Supervisors hereby adopts as its own and incorporates by reference in this motion, as though fully set forth, the April 19, 2019, exemption determination; and, be it

FURTHER MOVED, That the Board of Supervisors finds that based on the whole record before it there are no substantial project changes, no substantial changes in project circumstances, and no new information of substantial importance that would change the conclusions set forth in the exemption determination by the Planning Department that the Project is exempt from environmental review; and, be it

FURTHER MOVED, That after carefully considering the appeals of the exemption determination, including the written information submitted to the Board of Supervisors and the public testimony presented to the Board of Supervisors at the hearing on the exemption

	Accessorations.
	-
	editable to the second contract of the second
A STANDARD OF THE PARTY OF THE	- Arministra
A STREET, THE PARTY AND ADDRESS OF THE PARTY A	1
TOTAL STREET,	
Company of the Compan	******
and the same and t	doublis de la belante de l'ante different anches
ARCHITAGE STREET, STRE	committee bild deserted abstraction below to
THE RESIDENCE AND ADDRESS OF THE PERSON NAMED IN	
WITH THE PROPERTY OF THE PERSON OF THE PERSO	industrial and a second
THE PARTY OF THE P	
STATE OF THE PERSON AND PERSON ASSESSMENT OF THE PERSON ASSESSMENT OF T	and we construct the following of the first terminal
AND PARTY OF THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN TWO IS NOT THE PERSON NAMED IN TRANSPORMED IN TRANSPORM THE PERSON NAMED IN TRANSPORM	Personant American Superior Commerces
CONTRACTOR CONTRACTOR CONTRACTOR	enterprise and property of the party of the
	Indoord Insures Freedo Indianosina
THE PERSON NAMED IN THE PE	
THE RESIDENCE AND ASSESSED ASSESSED.	elemental address of the book of the b
	halled homes and distributed by the first own
NAME AND ADDRESS OF THE PARTY O	territal handomandamente initiale
Contradores and Salar State of the Contradores and the Contradores	managed designation of the second
Company of the last of the las	

determination, this Board concludes that the Project qualifies for an exemption determination under CEQA.

n:\land\as2019\1900434\01366251.docx

Print Form

## **Introduction Form**

By a Member of the Board of Supervisors or Mayor

I hereby submit the following item for introduction (select only one):	Time stamp or meeting date
1. For reference to Committee. (An Ordinance, Resolution, Motion or C	narter Amendment).
2. Request for next printed agenda Without Reference to Committee.	
✓ 3. Request for hearing on a subject matter at Committee.	
4. Request for letter beginning :"Supervisor	inquiries"
5. City Attorney Request.	
6. Call File No. from Committee.	
7. Budget Analyst request (attached written motion).	
8. Substitute Legislation File No.	
9. Reactivate File No.	
10. Topic submitted for Mayoral Appearance before the BOS on	
Please check the appropriate boxes. The proposed legislation should be for Small Business Commission	Ethics Commission
Note: For the Imperative Agenda (a resolution not on the printed agend	a), use the Imperative Form.
Sponsor(s):	
Clerk of the Board	
Subject:	
Affirming the Categorical Exemption Determination - Seawall Lot 330	
The text is listed:	
Motion affirming the determination by the Planning Department that the procategorically exempt from further environmental review.	posed project at Seawall Lot 330 is
Signature of Sponsoring Supervisor:	Missomera
For Clerk's Use Only	