1	[Board response to the 2008-2009 Civil Grand Jury Report entitled "Pensions: Beyond Our
2	Ability to Pay"]
3	Resolution responding to the Presiding Judge of the Superior Court on the findings
4	and recommendations contained in the 2008-2009 Civil Grand Jury Report entitled
5	"Pensions: Beyond Our Ability to Pay," and urging the Mayor to cause the
6	implementation of accepted findings and recommendations through his/her
7	department heads and through the development of the annual budget.
8	
9	WHEREAS, Under California Penal Code Section 933 et seq., the Board of
10	Supervisors must respond, within 90 days of receipt, to the Presiding Judge of the Superior
11	Court on the findings and recommendations contained in Civil Grand Jury Reports; and
12	WHEREAS, In accordance with Penal Code Section 933.05(c), if a finding or
13	recommendation of the Civil Grand Jury addresses budgetary or personnel matters of a
14	county agency or a department headed by an elected officer, the agency or department head
15	and the Board of Supervisors shall respond if requested by the Civil Grand Jury, but the
16	response of the Board of Supervisors shall address only budgetary or personnel matters over
17	which it has some decision making authority; and
18	WHEREAS, The 2008-2009 Civil Grand Jury Report entitled "Pensions: Beyond Our
19	Ability to Pay" is on file with the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors in File No. 090876, which is
20	hereby declared to be a part of this resolution as if set forth fully herein; and
21	WHEREAS, The Civil Grand Jury has requested that the Board of Supervisors respond
22	to Finding Nos. 2.1.1 and 4.1.1 as well as Recommendation Nos. 2.2.1, 2.2.2, 2.2.3 and 4.2.2
23	contained in the subject Civil Grand Jury report; and
24	WHEREAS, Finding No. 2.1.1 states: "The Jury has not found evidence that SFERS
25	[San Francisco Employees' Retirement System] management has provided the oversight

necessary to identify anomalies in pension payouts and to report the occurrences of pension
 spiking to the Retirement Board, the Mayor and the Board of Supervisors;" and

3 WHEREAS, Finding No. 4.1.1 states: "The Controllers report stated that this program 4 would be cost neutral to the City however, in a letter to the Director of Elections dated October 26th 2007, SFERS management wrote that: 'While the initiative states that the program shall 5 be cost neutral, no cost analysis is to be conducted until April 15th 2011. In other words the 6 7 cost to administer the program has not been determined and the systems necessary to run it. 8 have not been developed. If the Board of Supervisors determines not to extend the DROP 9 [Deferred Retirement Option Program] based on this cost analysis no further DROP elections 10 will be allowed';" and

WHEREAS, Recommendation No. 2.2.1 states: "A task force should be established to
evaluate a change to a defined-contribution (DC) plan for all new employees of the City and
County of San Francisco. By adopting a DC plan, the Mayor, BOS and SFERS can do more
to restore credibility to the public pension plans than any other action they can take;" and
WHEREAS, Recommendation No. 2.2.2 states: "Pension Spiking should be prohibited
altogether as an unfair and costly practice that benefits no one, except for the retiring
employee;" and

WHEREAS, Recommendation No. 2.2.3 states: "An independent investigation of
pension fund spiking should be initiated;" and

20 WHEREAS, Recommendation No. 4.2.2 states: "The City and SFERS should 21 determine the actual cost of running the program, to determine if the DROP program is 22 economically viable at this point;" and

WHEREAS, in accordance with Penal Code Section 933.05(c), the Board of
 Supervisors must respond, within 90 days of receipt, to the Presiding Judge of the Superior

Supervisor Mirkarimi BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

1	Court on Finding Nos. 2.1.1 and 4.1.1 as well as Recommendation Nos. 2.2.1, 2.2.2, 2.2.3
2	and 4.2.2 contained in the subject Civil Grand Jury report; now, therefore, be it
3	RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors reports to the Presiding Judge of the
4	Superior Court that it agrees with Finding No as well as Recommendation No
5	of the 2008-2009 Civil Grand Jury Report entitled "Pensions: Beyond Our Ability to Pay"; and,
6	be it
7	FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors reports that it disagrees wholly
8	or partially with Finding No as well as Recommendation No, for reasons as
9	follows; and, be it
10	FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors urges the Mayor to cause the
11	implementation of accepted findings and recommendations through his/her department heads
12	and through the development of the annual budget.
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	