

Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)

From: stephanie milligan <stephanie.stephanie@me.com>
Sent: Monday, July 15, 2019 8:22 AM
To: BOS Legislation, (BOS)
Subject: Union st APN 0533/014

Categories: 190698

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Ms. Jocelyn Wong:

I never received pre-notification of the height addition at Union Street APN [0533/014](#), although we live within one block and our view will be affected. I live at 2100 Green st. I also am concerned about future construction which will have an even more detrimental effect on our building's views and therefore property values. We have read the appeal letter filed by Ron Zagoria, and we agree 100% with its contents. To raise the [Union Street](#) height levels will ruin the neighborhood and will ruin many views for which we residents paid dearly. All to benefit landlords who want to squeeze more revenue out of their commercial buildings. That's hardly fair. They've already started construction, which seems illegal. Please consider the interests of neighbors in making your growth decisions now and in the future.

Thank you,

STEPHANIE MILLIGAN

From: [Herzstein, Daniel \(BOS\)](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Cc: tourk@gfpublicaffairs.com
Subject: FW: Letter in Support of Appeal
Date: Tuesday, July 16, 2019 2:29:29 PM
Attachments: [BOS Appeal Support Letter 2146-2150 Union Street 7.16.19.pdf](#)

Hello,

Please include the attached letter in the official record for file #190698.

Thanks,

Daniel Herzstein

Legislative Aide to District 2 Supervisor Catherine Stefani
City & County of San Francisco

From: Alex Tourk [mailto:tourk@gfpublicaffairs.com]
Sent: Tuesday, July 16, 2019 2:20 PM
To: Herzstein, Daniel (BOS) <daniel.herzstein@sfgov.org>
Subject: Fwd: Letter in Support of Appeal

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Daniel, thank you for your time this morning. I truly appreciate it.

Shannon Getty has asked that I submit this on her behalf in the hopes it can be on the public record articulating her opposition.

If you can ensure that at the very least it gets to the appropriate entity I would greatly appreciate it.

Thank you Daniel.

Alex

--

Alex Tourk, Principal

[Ground Floor Public Affairs](#)

[Facebook](#) | [LinkedIn](#)

58 2nd St. 4th Floor | SF, CA 94105

(415) 291-9501

2160 Green Street
San Francisco, CA 94123

Board of Supervisors

July 16, 2019

RE: Letter in Support of Appeal to Tentative Map Approval for 2146-2150 Union Street

Dear Board of Supervisors,

My husband Peter and I are long time San Francisco residents and we are living and raising our two young children in our family's property at 2160 Green Street. We write today to register our objections to the project located at 2146-2150 Union Street.

In June of this year, we received a notice about the project at 2146-2150 Union Street, which was the first time we became aware of the project. We did not receive any notices prior to June 2019 even though the project planning began back in 2016. With that said, we understand the current status of the project, have read the file on the appeal and would like to enter our objections on the following grounds.

Notice: We have read the opposition to the appeal by the project sponsor and understand that the time to object to the project has passed. While we understand that the notice requirements fall short of properties on Green Street, we feel that the opposition should be heard now and not barred by the previous notice period. Two years ago we were not included in the process of approval as our home isn't within the 150 foot limitation required. Now the owner seeks the approval of the tentative map based on the previous approval of the projects as apartments. We are now within 300 feet and have considerable concerns and a lack of forum about the changes to the pre-existing structure. Since the project has been changed to condominiums, the properties within the current notice range should not be estopped from bringing the same objections that would have been brought in planning.

Height: We understand that the project is in the Union Street 40-X height and bulk district. The proposed roof decks and stair penthouses exceed the 40' height limit by a significant amount. While we understand that certain projections are excepted from the height limit, we feel that this project exceeds a reasonable exception as the finish height of the stair penthouses is 20% higher than the zoning regulation. We are unsure why this exception was approved; it clearly pushes the boundaries of the height limit. We feel that the design could have been developed in a way to stay within or closer to the 40' limit.

In closing, we appreciate you considering our objections, and we respectfully request that you withhold approval of the tentative map until you address the concerns raised by the homeowners within the 300 foot notice range including the appellants.

Respectfully,

Shannon Getty
2160 Green Street