1	[Closed Session - Existing Litigation - Pacific Gas and Electric Company - September 3, 2019
2	
3	Motion that the Board of Supervisors convene in closed session on September 3, 2019,
4	pursuant to California Government Code, Section 54956.9(a), and San Francisco
5	Administrative Code, Section 67.10(d)(1), for the purpose of conferring with, or
6	receiving advice from, the City Attorney regarding the following existing litigation in
7	which the City is a petitioner and Pacific Gas & Electric Company is an adverse party:
8	In re: PG&E Corporation and Pacific Gas & Electric Company, United States
9	Bankruptcy Court, Northern District of California, Case No. 19-30088-DM, filed
10	January 29, 2019; Federal Energy Regulatory Commission Case No. EL19-38-000, filed
11	January 28, 2019; Federal Energy Regulatory Commission Case No. ER18-1482-000,
12	filed April 30, 2018; Federal Energy Regulatory Commission Case No. ER18-1102-000,
13	filed March 15, 2018; Federal Energy Regulatory Commission Case No. ER18-790-000,
14	filed, February 2, 2018; Federal Energy Regulatory Commission Case
15	No. ER18-768-000, filed January 31, 2018; Federal Energy Regulatory Commission Case
16	No. ER18-198-000, filed October 31, 2017; Federal Energy Regulatory Commission Case
17	No. ER17-2406-000, filed August 31, 2017; Federal Energy Regulatory Commission
18	Case No. ER17-2181-000, filed July 31, 2017; Federal Energy Regulatory Commission
19	Case No. ER17-2204, filed July 31, 2017; Federal Energy Regulatory Commission Case
20	No. ER17-1509-000, filed May 1, 2017; Federal Energy Regulatory Commission Case
21	No. ER17-910-000, filed January 31, 2017; Federal Energy Regulatory Commission Case
22	No. EL15-3-000, filed October 10, 2014; Federal Energy Regulatory Commission Case
23	No. ER15-702-000, filed December 23, 2014; Federal Energy Regulatory Commission
24	Case No. ER15-703-000, filed December 23, 2014; Federal Energy Regulatory
25	Commission Case No. ER15-704-000, filed December 23, 2014; Federal Energy

1	Regulatory Commission Case No. ER15-705-000, filed December 23, 2014; Federal
2	Energy Regulatory Commission Case No. ER15-735-000, filed December 23, 2014;
3	California Public Utilities Commission Case No. I.15-08-019, filed February 25, 2015;
4	California Public Utilities Commission Case No. R.18-10-007, filed October 25, 2018;
5	and California Public Utilities Commission Case No. R.19-01-006, filed January 10,
6	2019.
7	WHEREAS. The City and County of San Francisco is a party in each of the followin

- WHEREAS, The City and County of San Francisco is a party in each of the following cases:
  - In re: PG&E Corporation and Pacific Gas & Electric Company (United States
    Bankruptcy Court, Northern District of California, Case No. 19-30088-DM, filed
    January 29, 2019);
  - City and County of San Francisco v. Pacific Gas & Electric Co. (Federal Energy Regulatory Commission Case No. EL19-38-000, filed January 28, 2019);
  - Pacific Gas & Electric Company (Federal Energy Regulatory Commission,
    Proposed revisions to the City and Country of San Francisco's Service
    Agreement No. 275 under FERC Electric Tariff Volume No. 4, Case No. ER181482-000, filed April 30, 2018);
  - Pacific Gas & Electric Company (Federal Energy Regulatory Commission,
    Proposed revisions to the City and Country of San Francisco's Service
    Agreement No. 275 under FERC Electric Tariff Volume No. 4, Case No. ER181102-000, filed March 15, 2018);
  - Pacific Gas & Electric Company (Federal Energy Regulatory Commission,
    Proposed revisions to the City and Country of San Francisco's Service
    Agreement No. 275 under FERC Electric Tariff Volume No. 4, Case No. ER18-790-000, filed, February 2, 2018);

1	•	Pacific Gas & Electric Company (Federal Energy Regulatory Commission,
2		Proposed revisions to the City and Country of San Francisco's Service
3		Agreement No. 275 under FERC Electric Tariff Volume No. 4, Case No. ER18-
4		768-000, filed January 31, 2018);
5	•	Pacific Gas & Electric Company (Federal Energy Regulatory Commission,
6		Proposed revisions to the City and Country of San Francisco's Service
7		Agreement No. 275 under FERC Electric Tariff Volume No. 4, Case No. ER18-
8		198-000, filed October 31, 2017);
9	•	Pacific Gas & Electric Company (Federal Energy Regulatory Commission,
10		Proposed revisions to the City and Country of San Francisco's Service
11		Agreement No. 275 under FERC Electric Tariff Volume No. 4, Case No. ER17-
12		2406-000, filed August 31, 2017);
13	•	Pacific Gas & Electric Company (Federal Energy Regulatory Commission,
14		Proposed revisions to the City and Country of San Francisco's Service
15		Agreement No. 275 under FERC Electric Tariff Volume No. 4, Case No. ER17-
16		2181-000, filed July 31, 2017);
17	•	Pacific Gas & Electric Company (Federal Energy Regulatory Commission,
18		Proposed revisions to the City and Country of San Francisco's Service
19		Agreement No. 275 under FERC Electric Tariff Volume No. 4, Case No. ER17-
20		2204, filed July 31, 2017);
21	•	Pacific Gas & Electric Company (Federal Energy Regulatory Commission,
22		Proposed revisions to the City and Country of San Francisco's Service
23		Agreement No. 275 under FERC Electric Tariff Volume No. 4, Case No. ER17-
24		1509-000, filed May 1, 2017);

25

1	• Pacific Gas & Electric Company (Federal Energy Regulatory Commission,
2	Proposed revisions to the City and Country of San Francisco's Service
3	Agreement No. 275 under FERC Electric Tariff Volume No. 4, Case No. ER17-
4	910-000, filed January 31, 2017);
5	City and County of San Francisco v. Pacific Gas & Electric (Federal Energy)
6	Regulatory Commission, Case No. EL15-3-000, filed October 10, 2014);
7	Pacific Gas & Electric (Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, Tariff
8	Withdrawal per 35.15: Notice of Termination of the 1987 CCSF Interconnection
9	Agreement – PG&E Rate Schedule FERC No. 114 to be effective June 30,
10	2015, Case No. ER15-702-000, filed December 23, 2014);
11	Pacific Gas & Electric (Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, Tariff
12	Withdrawal per 35.15: Notice of Termination of the CCSF Facilities Charge
13	Agreement for Moscone to be effective June 30, 2015, Case No. ER15-703-000
14	filed December 23, 2014);
15	• Pacific Gas & Electric (Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, §205(d) rate
16	filing per 35.13(a)(2)(iii): City and County of San Francisco Wholesale
17	Distribution Tariff Replacement Agreements to be effective July 1, 2015, Case
18	No. ER15-704-000, filed December 23, 2014);
19	• Pacific Gas & Electric (Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, §205(d) rate
20	filing per 35.13(a)(2)(iii): City and County of San Francisco Transmission Owne
21	Tariff Replacement Agreements to be effective July 1, 2015, Case No. ER15-
22	705-000, filed December 23, 2014);
23	Pacific Gas & Electric (Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, Notices of
24	Termination of Facilities Charge Agreements between Pacific Gas and Electric

25

1	Company and the City and County of San Francisco, Case No. ER15-735-000,		
2	filed December 23, 2014);		
3	Order Instituting Investigation on the Commission's Own Motion to Determine		
4	Whether Pacific Gas and Electric Company and PG&E Corporation's		
5	Organizational Culture and Governance Prioritize Safety (California Public		
6	Utilities Commission Case No. I.15-08-019, filed February 25, 2015);		
7	Order Instituting Rulemaking to Implement Electric Utility Wildfire Mitigation		
8	Plans Pursuant to Senate Bill 901 (2018) (California Public Utilities Commission		
9	Case No. R.18-10-007, filed October 25, 2018); and		
10	<ul> <li>Order Instituting Rulemaking to Implement Public Utilities Code Section 451.2</li> </ul>		
11	Regarding Criteria and Methodology for Wildfire Cost Recovery Pursuant to		
12	Senate Bill 901 (2018) (California Public Utilities Commission Case No. R.19-01-		
13	006, filed January 10, 2019); and		
14	WHEREAS, California Government Code, Section 54956.9(a) and San Francisco		
15	Administrative Code, Section 67.10(d)(1) allow the Board of Supervisors to convene in closed		
16	session to confer with or receive advice from legal counsel regarding existing litigation;		
17	now, therefore, be it		
18	MOVED, That the Board of Supervisors convene in closed session on		
19	September 3, 2019, with the City Attorney's Office for the purpose of conferring with, or		
20	receiving advice from, the City Attorney regarding this existing litigation.		
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			