File No. 09/37/0	Committee Item No
3	Board Item No

COMMITTEE/BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

AGENDA PACKET CONTENTS LIST

Committee <u>E</u>	BUDGET AND FINANCE	Date	12/2/09
Board of Su	pervisors Meeting	Date	
Cmte Boa	rd		
	Motion Resolution Ordinance Legislative Digest Budget Analyst Report Legislative Analyst Report Introduction Form (for hearings Department/Agency Cover Lette MOU Grant Information Form Grant Budget Subcontract Budget Contract/Agreement Award Letter Application	•	ť
OTHER	Public Correspondence (Use back side if additional space	ce is needed)	
		Date′ Date	11/25/09

An asterisked item represents the cover sheet to a document that exceeds 25 pages. The complete document is in the file.

[Accept and Expend FY 09 Regional Catastrophic Preparedness Grant Program and Amend Annual Salary Ordinance, FY 2009-2010]

Ordinance authorizing the Department of Emergency Management, on behalf of the City and County San Francisco, serving as the primary grantee for the RCPGP Bay Area Site and as fiscal agent for the UASI Approval Authority, to retroactively accept and expend a Regional Catastrophic Preparedness Grant Program (RCPGP) grant in the amount of \$4,822,667 from the United States Department of Homeland Security, through the California Emergency Management Agency, and to provide \$167,188 in matching funds; amending Ordinance No. 183-09 (Annual Salary Ordinance, FY 2009-2010) to reflect the addition of two (2) grant-funded positions (.50 FTE total) in Class 5291 Planner III in the Department of Emergency Management.

Note:

Additions are <u>single-underline italics Times New Roman</u>; deletions are <u>strikethrough italics Times New Roman</u>. Board amendment additions are <u>double underlined</u>. Board amendment deletions are <u>strikethrough normal</u>.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. Findings.

(a) Regional Catastrophic Preparedness Grant Program (RCPGP). The United States Department of Homeland Security (DHS) has several Homeland Security Grant Programs, including the RCPGP. The RCPGP is a component of a coordinated federal effort to enhance emergency planning and strengthen the Nation's overall level of preparedness. It is designed to support Tier I and designated Tier II Urban Areas Security Initiative (UASI) Urban Areas (designated by DHS) as they address all threats and hazards in collaboration with federal, state, and local partners. DHS distributes RCPGP grant funds to recipient jurisdictions in

California through the California Emergency Management Agency (CalEMA), which administers the RCPGP within the State.

- (b) Bay Area Site. The Bay Area UASI Urban Area is comprised of the City and County of San Francisco, the Cities of Oakland and San Jose, and the Counties of Alameda, Contra Costa, Marin, Napa, San Mateo, Santa Clara, Santa Cruz, Solano, and Sonoma. For purposes of the RCPGP, the Bay Area UASI Urban Area jurisdictions, together with the Counties of Monterey and San Benito, collectively comprise the "Bay Area Site."
- (c) Methodology for allocating funds; San Francisco as primary grantee and fiscal agent. A Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) entered between the City and County of San Francisco, the Cities of Oakland and San Jose, and the Counties of Alameda and Santa Clara, established the UASI Approval Authority as the regional body responsible for decisions related to application, allocation and distribution of UASI grant funds. Under that MOU, San Francisco serves as the primary grantee and fiscal agent for the UASI Approval Authority, and receives and distributes UASI grant funds for the Bay Area UASI Urban Area, per decisions of the Approval Authority. Based on action by the Approval Authority, that UASI governance structure was extended to the RCPGP. Therefore, San Francisco also serves as the primary grantee and fiscal agent for purposes of receiving and distributing RCPGP funds, per direction of the Approval Authority.
- (d) Regional Catastrophic Planning Team Requirement. For the RCPGP, DHS requires each Site receiving funds to establish a Regional Catastrophic Planning Team (RCPT) to act as an executive steering committee, provide overall governance and coordinate development and implementation of all RCPGP initiatives within the Site, and ensure compliance with RCPGP program requirements. The RCPT is comprised of representatives from various local governmental agencies within the RCPGP Bay Area Site. The UASI

Approval Authority receives recommendations from the RCPT in making its decisions regarding allocation and distribution of RCPGP grant funds within the Bay Area Site.

- (e) Use of RCPGP funds. RCPGP grant funds from this award will be used to fund:
 (1) development of a Donations Management Plan; and (2) Plan Validation Workshops to test completed plans.
- (f) Performance Period. The performance period for the RCPGP grant is from September 24, 2009 to April 29, 2011.
- (g) The City and County of San Francisco has participated in federal and state homeland security grant programs since their inception, and deems participation in those programs as vital to the continued well-being of its citizens.
- (i) Grant Match. The RCPGP requires a 25 percent match from recipient jurisdictions, either in non-federal funds or in kind services. The total required matching amount for the FY09 RCPGP award is \$1,205,667. Each recipient must provide a portion of the match, based on its share of the total grant allocation. San Francisco must provide a match of

\$167,188, which represents its portion of the required match based on its allocation of the total grant award. The Department of Emergency Management's Fiscal Year 2009-2010 budget includes funds to fulfill the RCPGP match requirement.

Section 2. Authorization to Accept and Expend Grant Funds.

- (a) The Board of Supervisors hereby authorizes the Department of Emergency Management to retroactively accept and expend, on behalf of the City and County of San Francisco as the primary RCPGP grantee of the Bay Area Site and as the fiscal agent for the UASI Approval Authority, \$4,822,667 in RCPGP grant funds from the DHS, through the CalEMA; and to provide \$167,188 in matching funds, which represents San Francisco's share of the \$1,205,667 required grant match.
- (b) The grant does not include any provisions for indirect costs, and indirect costs are hereby waived.
- (c) The Executive Director of the Department of Emergency Management, or designee, is authorized to furnish whatever additional information or assurances the DHS or CalEMA may request in connection with this grant, to execute any and all agreements or other documents, and to take any other steps necessary to accept, distribute and expend the grant funds.

Section 3. Grant Funded Positions; Amendment to FY 2009-2010 Annual Salary Ordinance. The hereinafter designated section and items of Ordinance No. 183-09 (Annual Salary Ordinance, FY 2009-2010) are hereby amended to add two positions at the Department of Emergency Management (DEM) as follows:

ļ.	,				
1	Department: DI Program: BI		Departme	ent of Emergency Mana nergency Services	agement
2	Subfund: 29	SPPFHLS	Prof. Ser. 1 8 2 Amer 2 5	.01g0.10y 00111000	
3	Index Code: 77	10932			•
4 5	Amendment	# of Pos.	Cla	ass and Item No.	Compensation Schedule
	Add	0.25 FTE	529	I Planner III	\$3,093B\$3,760
6		•			
7	Add ·	0.25 FTE	529	01 Planner III	\$3,093B\$3,760
8		<i>,</i>		e.	. •
9			÷		
10				•	
11	APPROVED AS DENNIS J. HER	STO FORM: RRERA, City Atto	orney		O CLASSIFICATION F HUMAN RESOURCES
12				•	^
13	By: July	W/olc		By: Jelest.	Call
14		INE HOBIN POF City Attorney	RTER	MICKI CÂL	LAHAN, Director t of Human Resources
15					•
16	RECOMMENDE	ED:			
17		I Stan ist	//		
18	VICKI HENNES	SY, Acting Exec	. Director		
19	Department of E	Emergency Mana	agement		٠.
20	(-j WF			EIL	
21	GAVIN NEWSO	P M		BEN ROSE	NFIELD
22	Mayor For			Controller	
23					
24					

Supervisor Elsbernd
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

25





Gavin Newsom Mayor

Department of Emergency Management 1011 Turk Street, San Francisco, CA 94102

Division of Emergency Communications Phone: (415) 558-3800 Fax: (415) 558-3843

Division of Emergency Services Phone: (415) 558-2700 Fax: (415) 503-2098



Vicki L. Hennessy Executive Director

To:

Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board of Supervisors

From:

Vicki Hennessy

Executive Director, Department of Emergency Management

Date:

November 16, 2009

Re:

Ordinance to Accept and Expend 2009 Regional Catastrophic Preparedness Grant

Program (RCPGP) Award

The Department of Emergency Management is pleased to present the following Grant Report in summary of the above-referenced item submitted by the Department of Emergency Management.

Legislation For Approval:

The proposed ordinance seeks the Board of Supervisors' approval for the acceptance (retroactive) and expenditure of \$4,822,667 (federal share \$3,617,000, applicant share \$1,205,667) from the United States Department of Homeland Security (DHS), through the California Emergency Management Agency (CalEMA), to support an integrated planning system for the development of necessary plans, protocols, and procedures to manage a catastrophic event. It further seeks to amend the Annual Salary Ordinance for Fiscal Year 2009-2010 to add two (2) .25 FTEs for staff already in positions that were funded by the 2008 RCPGP through March 31, 2009.

Departments:

Department of Emergency Management (DEM). Expenditures benefiting San Francisco, the cities of Oakland and San Jose and the Counties of Alameda, Contra Costa, Marin, Monterey, Napa, San Benito, San Mateo, Santa Clara, Santa Cruz, Solano, and Sonoma.

DEM serves as the fiscal agent for the Bay Area UASI, which is administering the grant for the Bay Area region. DEM would be authorized to accept and expend these grant funds on behalf of the 12 Bay Area counties and 3 core cities of San Francisco, San Jose, and Oakland, and to receive grant reimbursements for expenses incurred on behalf of the region.

Report for Ordinance to Accept and Larend FY 2009 Regional Catastrophic Preparedness Grant Program award November 16, 2009

As the Fiscal Agent, DEM is responsible for administering the grant, ensuring that the grant funds are spent according to the grant guidelines, and ensuring that each of the Bay Area counties and cities that may receive a portion of these grant funds adhere to the grant eligibility and financial guidelines.

Each sub-recipient county or city that participates in this grant will be required to enter into a grant MOU with DEM that outlines their obligation under the grant allocation, including requirements for match, contracting and procurement, record keeping, and adherence to federal grant assurances.

Amount:

\$3,617,000

Grant Period:

September 24, 2009 through April 29, 2011

Retroactivity: The Accept and Expend Legislation requests retroactive approval because the Federal Grant period commenced before the legislation was introduced. No grant funds will be encumbered or expended until the Board of Supervisors approves the Accept and Expend legislation.

Source of funds:

U.S. Department of Homeland Security (DHS) through the California Emergency Management Agency (CalEMA)

Required Match:

25 % (\$1,205,667) San Francisco's proportionate share of the grant match is \$167,188. The other counties and cities will provide the proportionate share of the grant match, either in kind or cash prior to their requests for reimbursement being granted by the fiscal agent

Indirect Costs:

The proposed resolution waives indirect costs, because the grant program does not allow the charging of indirect costs.

Description:

The proposed ordinance authorizes the Department of Emergency Management (DEM) to accept and expend \$3,617,000 in federal grant funding from the FY 2009 Regional Catastrophic Preparedness Grant Program (Grant Number #2009-CA-T9-0003, OES ID#075-95017), issued by DHS, through CalEMA. The grant requires a 25 percent applicant match in the amount of \$1,205,667 to fund the development of necessary plans, protocols, and procedures to manage a catastrophic event.

Budget:

The summary budget by activity for the subject grant is as follows:

(1) Contracts/Consultants:

\$2,556,927

Contractors will be engaged to develop plans and procedures for a region wide donations management plan and to develop and implement regional plan validation workshops. Contractors must be qualified to develop the donations management plan and the regional validation workshops for the entire 12 county region.

(2) Personnel:

\$951,563

The budgeted amount has been allocated for the purpose of hiring personnel within the 12 Bay Area Counties to assist in the development of the plans and procedures. The planned personnel are allocated as follows: San Francisco – 2 continuing planners and one continuing grants manager; City of Oakland – 2 continuing planners; Alameda County – one continuing planner; Marin County – one continuing planner.

With respect to San Francisco, the proposed amendment to the Annual Salary Ordinance proposes two planner positions. At the time the positions were requested in the annual budget process, the funding for the FY09 RCPG grant had not been finalized, and not all of the current planning positions (a total of 4) were continued. The proposed grant funding would continue two of the planning positions into the 09 grant cycle.

(3) Management and Administration:

\$108,510

Management and Administration expenses include UASI line item office expenses, grants management, Controller's Accounting, City Attorney, office and equipment maintenance and leases. The amount budgeted reflects 3% of the cash grant, which is the maximum allowable under the guidelines.

TOTAL Budget:

\$3,617,000

File Number: 091374
(Provided by Clerk of Board of Supervisors)

Grant Information Form

	(Effective July 2006)
	rpose: Accompanies proposed Board of Supervisors ordinances authorizing a Department to accept and pend grant funds.
Th	e following describes the grant referred to in the accompanying ordinance:
1.	Grant Title: FY 09 Regional Catastrophic Preparedness Grant Program
2.	Department: Department of Emergency Management
3.	Contact Person: Mary Landers Telephone: 705-8531
4.	Grant Approval Status (check one):
	[X] Approved by funding agency
5.	Amount of Grant Funding Approved or Applied for: \$3,617,000
6a. b.	. Matching Funds Required: \$1,205,667 . Source(s) of matching funds (if applicable): San Francisco general funds and regional funds
7a.	. Grant Source Agency: US Department of Homeland Security (DHS) . Grant Pass-Through Agency (if applicable): California Emergency Management Agency (CalEMA)
	Proposed Grant Project Summary: For the purpose of regional planning to develop plans and procedures the event of a catastrophic disaster.
9.	Grant Project Schedule, as allowed in approval documents, or as proposed:
	Start-Date: September 24, 2009 End-Date: April 29, 2011
10	. Number of new positions created and funded: 2
11	Explain the disposition of employees once the grant ends? N/A
12	a. Amount budgeted for contractual services: \$2,556,927
	b. Will contractual services be put out to bid? Yes
	c. If so, will contract services help to further the goals of the department's MBE/WBE requirements? No. Federal grant does not allow
	d. Is this likely to be a one-time or ongoing request for contracting out? One time
13	a. Does the budget include indirect costs? [] Yes [X] No
	b1. If yes, how much? \$ b2. How was the amount calculated?

c. If no, why are indirect costs n[X] Not allowed by granting[] Other (please explain):		maximize use of grant funds on direct services	
c2. If no indirect costs are inclu	uded, what would have t	been the indirect costs?	
14. Any other significant grant req	quirements or comments	3:	
	•		
		· .	
Disability Access Checklist*			
15. This Grant is intended for activ	vities at (check all that a	pply):	
	Existing Structure(s) ehabilitated Structure(s) [] New Structure(s)	[] Existing Program(s) or Service(s) [X] New Program(s) or Service(s)	
concluded that the project as propother Federal, State and local acc	osed will be in complian ess laws and regulations	's Office on Disability have reviewed the proposance with the Americans with Disabilities Act and a sand will allow the full inclusion of persons with ons, as described in the comments section:	
Comments:	i		
Departmental or Mayor's Office of	Disability Reviewer: Al	lice Herndon (Name)	***************************************
Date Reviewed: <u>10/23/09</u> ///3	109		
Department Approval: <u>Vicki He</u> (Nam		ting Dir. Dept. of Emergency Management (Title)	
(Signa	ature)		********



September 24, 2009

Teresa Serata Strategy and Grant Compliance Director Bay Area UASI 10 Lombard St. Suite 410 San Francisco, CA 94111

SUBJECT: NOTIFICATION OF SUBGRANTEE AWARD

Fiscal Year (FY) 2009 Non-Competitive Regional Catastrophic

Preparedness Grant Program (RCPGP)

Bay Area Site

OES ID: 075-95017, Grant # 2009-CA-T9-0003

To Bay Area Site:

The California Emergency Management Agency (CalEMA) has approved the Bay Area Site FY 2009 RCPGP Non-Competitive application in the amount of \$3,617,000. As of the date of this letter you may request reimbursement of eligible grant expenditures. All FY 2009 RCPGP expenditures must be expended by April 29, 2011.

This grant is subject to all policies and provisions of the Federal Single Audit Act of 1984 and the Single Audit Act Amendments of 1996. Any funds received in excess of current needs, approved amounts, or those found owed as a result of a final review or audit, must be refunded to the State within 30 days upon receipt of an invoice from CalEMA.

For further assistance, please contact your CalEMA program representative, Mr. Rakesh Sharma, at (916) 322-2737 or via email at Rakesh.Sharma@ohs.ca.gov.

Sincerely,

MATTHEW BETTENHAUSEN

Secretary

Alterations to this document may result in delayed application approval, modification, or reimbursement requests suburantees may be asked to revise and/or re-submit any aftered financial Management Forms Workbook. 1. Applicant Name: Bay Area UASI GRANT APPLICATION COVER SHEET CFDA #: 97.111

	apply for	nority to	the auti	nd have	orized Agent a	appointed Aut	tify I am the duly	low, I hereby cer	ıt - By signing be	Authorized Agen	Statement of Certification - City Authorized Agent - By signing below, I hereby certify I am the duly appointed Authorized Agent and have the authority to apply for	Statement of C
							,	UASI	UASI, OA ->	NSGP, TA, SA,	Select Application Type: NSGP, TA, SA, UASI, OA ->	Select
					Grant Program.	Preparedness	ional Catastrophic	eeds for the Reg	represents the r	ea's application	Grant Program, and the urban area's application represents the needs for the Regional Catastrophic Preparedness Grant Program.	Grant Program
Iness	ve Regional Catastrophic Preparedness	astrophi	onal Cat	ive Regi	e Non-Competit	to apply for th	lave the authority	rized Agent and h	appointed Autho	y I am the duly	By signing below, I hereby certify I am the duly appointed Authorized Agent and have the authority to apply for the Non-Competiti	By signing belo
							٠.	GP NON-Comp	rity Body - RCI	oproval Autho	Statement of Certification-Approval Authority Body - RCPGP NON-Comp	Statement of
										The state of the s	ertikation	10. Statements of Certification
Quy(d)bauasi.org	(415)615-2527		94111	ς	cisco	San Francisco		10 Lombard Street		Program Manager	The state of the s	Guy Bernardo
Mary.Landers@sfgov.org	(415)705-8531		94111	ξ	cisco	San Francisco		10 Lombard Street		Grant Manager		Mary Landers
Teresa.Serata@sfgov.org	(415)705-8520		94111	Ω	cisco	San Francisco		10 Lombard Street	nd Compliance	Dir. Of Strategy and Compliance		Teresa Serata
Enail		Phone	Zip	State		CEV		Mailing Address		Tibe		Contact's Name
Teresa.Serata@sfgov.org	(415)705-8520		94111	ς	cisco	San Francisco		10 Lombard Street	nd Compliance	Dir. Of Strategy and Compliance		Teresa Serata
Vicki.Hennessy@sfgov.org	(415) 558-2745		94102	Ş	cisco	San Francisco		1011 Turk Street)irector	Acting Executive Director		Vicki Hennessy
Laura.Phillips@sfgov.org	(415) 850-4759		94111	ς	Cisco	San Francisco		10 Lombard Street	Bay Area UASI	General Manager, Bay Area UASI		Laura Phillips
Email		Phone	Zip	State		Q₽/		Mailing Address		Title	lame	Authorized Agent's Name
						s					contact information	9. Authorized Agent
				ļ							Optional)	Additional Position (Optional)
							,				Optional)	Additional Position (Optional)
						**************************************						Chief of Police
												County Sheriff
	,											Municipal Fire Chief
												County Fire Chief
,											Officer	County Public Health Officer
And Andrew Market Market and the second seco	Email		Phone	Designee Y/N		Title		Name	Printed Name	re e	Signature	Position
Anweinining de la contravant de la contrava	- The state of the									ct information	8. Authorized Body of 5- Signature and contact information	8, Authorized Body o
	***************************************				Grant Number: Date:	2009	3,617,000	· ·	TVE	-COMPETIT	Program (RCPGP) NON-COMPETITIVE	Program (
Andread service and any other property of the service between the service of the					FIFS NUMBER))	}		ss Grant	renaredne	Regional Catastrophic Preparedness Grant	Regional C
	A SILLIAN SECTION ASSESSMENT STREET, S	THE CONTRACT OF STREET, STREET		Period:	Grant Performance	7. FOR YEAR	6. Amount Requested	6. Amou				4. Grant Number: 5. Program Select
				ed By:	Application Approved By:					7/////	Schreiner 2, 2003	J. COM.
nly	For State Use only	or Stat	J.							3	075-95017	2. FIPS #:
											01000	

this Grant Program and the UASI's application represents the needs for this Grant Program.

Pale

Tuesday, September 15, 2009

11. Authorized Agent name/signature/date Printed Name

Teresa Serata

GRANT MANAGEMENT

Alterations to this document may result in delayed application approval, modification, or reimbursement requests. Subgrantees may be asked to revise and/or re-submit any altered Financial Management Forms Workbook.

Bay Area UASI 075-95017 September 9, 2009

CFDA #:

97.111

Name	Title	Area of Responsibility	Employee/Contractor	Employee Status
	Section of the sectio			
Laura Phillips	Management	Grant Administration	Employee	Full Time
Teresa Serata	Management	Grant Management	Employee	Full Time
	Subject Matter Expert		Employee	Full Time
	Subject Matter Expert		Employee	Full Time
3.K			Employee	Full Time
			Employee	Full Time
XS			Employee	Full Time
William Eskridge	Subject Matter Expert	Logistics	Етріоуее	Full Time
and the second state of th				

Alterations to this document may result in delayed application approval, modification, or reinflusement requests
Suppriannees may be asked to revise and/or re-submit any altered financial Management Forms Workbook.

PROJECT DESCRIPTIONS

CFDA #: 97.111

Bay Area UASI 075-95017 September 9, 2009

expended.	(6			
% complete and \$ funds will be	,			
the 18 mouth mark this project will be			Coloure	
Hete and \$ funds will be			Chicalia	riojed r
nonth mark			Investment	7
expended.				
At the 6 month mark, this project will be				
expended.	8			
% complete and \$ funds will be				
nth mark, this project will be				
			Objective	•
% complete and \$ funds will be			Goal	Project E
At the 12 month mark, this project will be			Investment	
/o complete and a runds will be				
expenses.	the state of the s		A THE PARTY OF THE	
% complete and \$ runds will be				
SEE				
expended. At		-	Colembe	
% complete and \$ funds will be			Coal	rioject D
At the 12 month mark, this project will be			investment	7
expended.				
% complete and \$ funds will be				
At the 6 month mark, this project will be				
expended.		**************************************		
% complete and \$funds will be				
the 18 month mark, this project will be				
			Objective	,
% complete and \$ funds will be			Goal	Project C
At the 12 month mark, this project will be			Investment	in a second
Exhauted				
of company of the property of the company of the co				
At the 6 month mark this remiser will be		Was and the second seco	***************************************	
expended.				
% complete and \$2.086.963 funds will be			-	
the 18 month mark, this project will be 100			Contract of the Contract of th	
WELL STATE OF THE	9.60		Origonius	Li Oject D
At the 12 month many tills project will be	ica are illustratic corective		The suited	Droiert R
expended.			Thomas	
% complete and \$104.348 funds will be		all plans developed with 2007, 2008 and 2009 RCPGP funding		
At the 6 month mark, this project will be 5	ទ	ğ		
be expended.				
100% complete and \$1,530,037 funds will				
the 18 month may this project will be			Chiernage	
Fire start \$282,202 failures will t	is.		GOS	rioject A
At the 12 month mark, this project will be	event.		nwesunen	D. S. S. A.
expended.	y in any catastrophic disaster or		1	
So my soun 500'97\$ mas am os	Ğ	Talkajes kair.		
At the 6 month mark, this project will be 5		hance plans for Donations		
	200			
Project Milestone & Justifications	Need	Project Description	FOR THE SHIP OF TH	Polect
The best of the state of the st	The state of the s	A District of the Control of the Con		The state of the s

PROJECT LEDGER

Alterations to this document may result in delayed application approval, modification, or reinbursement requests. Subgrantees may be asked to revise and/or re-submit any altered Financial Management Forms Workbook.

Warning! Decimal usage is not allowed. Attempts to use decimals will prompt error message.

Bay Area UASI

CFDA #: 97.111

LEDGER Today's Date: Deriod: Expenditure (Date) From: (Date) To: Initial Application September 2, 2009 8/1/2009 4/29/2011

PLANNING ROSTER

Alterations to this document may result in delayed application approval, modification, or reimbursement requests. Subgrantees may be asked to revise and/or re-submit any altered Financial Management Forms Workbook.

Bay Area UASI 075-95017

CFDA #: 97.111

Today's Date: September 2, 2009
Expenditure (Date) From: 8/1/2009
Expenditure (Date) To: 4/29/2011

			,					

								Ī
					- Constitution of the Cons			

								T

								,
		-						
WAYAA MARKATI					***************************************		Milester Community and Communi	
			***************************************		were water and the second			
		***************************************			***************************************			
demand of the control		***************************************					***************************************	
	***************************************						***************************************	
			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				And the second s	
							o deside tre de designatura recentra de manaca da la manaca de la manaca de la composição de la composição de manaca de la composição de la co	

THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY O								1 1
Validated Plans for EV 07 and EV 08 projects			475 781	RCPGP-NC	EMG.	Planning	Personnel Costs for Project B	- 1
Validated Plans for FY 07 and FY 08 projects			1,556,927	RCPGP-NC	EMG G	Planning	Conduct Plan Validation Workshops	8
Regional Donations Management Plan			475.782	RCPGP-NC	<u> </u>	Planning	Personnel Costs for Project A	 ·
Regional Donations Management Plan			מטט'ממט'ז	RCPGP-NC	P G.	Planning	Management Plan	,
		•	October 1					
			3 E09 400					
Final Product	Cash Request Number	Total Claimed	Total Cost	Funding Source	Discipline	Sub-Category	Planning Activity	Project

AUTHORIZED AGENT

CFDA #:

97.111

Subgrantees may be asked to revise and/or re-submit any altered Financial Management Forms Workbook Alterations to this document may result in delayed application approval, modification, or reimbursement requests

Bay Area UASI

Supporting Information for Reimbursement/Advance of State and Federal Funds

This request is for an/a:
Initial Applica
ation

This claim is for costs incurred within the grant expenditure period from Joes not cross fiscal years.

(Beginning Expenditure Period Date)

through

(Ending Expenditure Period Date)

Under Penalty of Perjury I certify that:

I am the duly authorized officer of the claimant herein. This claim is true, correct, and all expenditures were made in accordance with applicable laws, rules, regulations and grant conditions and assurances.

Statement of Certification - Authorized Agent

By signing below, I hereby certify that I am the duly appointed Authorized Agent and have the authority to apply for the Homeland Security, Transit Security, Non-Profit Security Grant Program, PROP 1B, Urban Area Security Initiative, and the Operational Area's application represents the needs for the State Homeland Security Program.

Teresa Serata

Printed Name

Mail workbooks to:

Homeland Security Grants - CalEMA Rakesh Sharma

nia Emergency Management Agency

3650 Schriever Avenue

Signature of Authorized Agent

California Emergency Management Agency

FY09 Grant Assurances

Name of Applicant: <u>Bay Area Site</u>			
Address: 10 Lombard St. Suite 410			
City: San Francisco	State: <u>CA</u>	Zip Code:_	94111
Telephone Number: (415) 705-8520	Fax Number:	(415) 705-8513	
E-Mail Address: <u>Teresa.Serata@sfgov.or</u>	g		

As the duly authorized representative of the applicant, I certify that the applicant named above:

- 1. Has the legal authority to apply for federal assistance, and has the institutional managerial and financial capability to ensure proper planning, management and completion of the grant provided by the federal Department of Homeland Security and sub-granted through the State of California, California Emergency management Agency (CALEMA).
- 2. Will assure that grant funds are only used for allowable, fair, and reasonable costs and is prohibited from transferring funds between programs (State Homeland Security Program, Urban Area Security Initiative, Citizen Corps Program, and Metropolitan Medical Response System).
- 3. Will give the federal government, the General Accounting Office, the Comptroller General of the United States, the State of California, through any authorized representative, access to and the right to examine all paper or electronic records, books, papers, or documents related to the award; and will establish a proper accounting system in accordance with generally accepted accounting standards or awarding agency directives.
- 4. Agrees that funds utilized to establish or enhance state and local fusion centers must support the development of a statewide fusion process that corresponds with the Global Justice/Homeland Security Advisory Council (HSAC) Fusion Center Guidelines and achievement of a baseline level of capability as defined by the Fusion Capability Planning Tool.
- 5. Will provide progress reports and such other information as may be required by the awarding agency, including the Initial Strategy Implementation Plan (ISIP) within 45 (forty-five) days of the award, and update via a report in the Grant Reporting Tool (GRT) twice each year.
- 6. Will initiate and complete the work within the applicable time frame after receipt of approval from CalEMA.
- 7. Will comply with FEMA's codified regulation 44 CFR Part 13, Uniform Administrative Requirements for Grants and Cooperative Agreements to State and Local Governments, including part 13.1 regarding the payment of interest earned on advances.

- Will provide an Equal Employment Opportunity Plan, if applicable, to the Department of Justice Office of Civil Rights within 60 days of grant award.
- p. Will comply, and assure the compliance of all its subgrantees and contractors, with the nondiscrimination requirements of the Omnibus Crime Control and Safe Streets Act of 1968, as amended, 42 USC 3789(d), or the Juvenile Justice and Delinquency Prevention Act, or the Victims of Crime Act, as appropriate; the provision of the current edition of the Office of Justice Programs Financial and Administrative Guide for Grants, M7100.1.
- 14. Will comply, or has already complied, with the requirements of Titles II and III of the Uniform Relocation Assistance and Real Property Acquisition Policies Act of 1970 (42 U.S.C. § 4601 et seq. (P.L. 91-646) which provides for fair and equitable treatment of persons displaced or whose property is acquired as a result of federal or federally assisted programs. These requirements apply to all interested in real property acquired for project purposes regardless of federal participation in purchases. Will also comply with Title 44 Code of Federal Regulations, Part 25, Uniform Relocation Assistance and Real Property Acquisition for Federal and federally-assisted programs.
- 15. Will comply, if applicable, with the flood insurance purchase requirements of Section 102(a) of the Flood Disaster Protection Act of 1973 (P.L. 93-234) which requires recipients in a special flood hazard area to participate in the program and to purchase flood insurance if the total cost of insurable construction and acquisition is \$10,000 (ten thousand dollars) or more.
- 16. Will comply with all applicable Federal, State, and local environmental and historical preservation (EHP) requirements. Failure to meet Federal, State, and local EHP requirements and obtain applicable permits may jeopardize Federal funding. Will comply with all conditions placed on any project as the result of the EHP review; any change to the scope of work of a project will require re-evaluation of compliance with these EHP requirements.
- 17. Agrees not to undertake any project having the potential to impact the EHP resources without the prior written approval of FEMA/CALEMA, including but not limited to communications towers, physical security enhancements, new construction and modifications to buildings that are 50 (fifty) years old or more. Any construction related activities initiated prior to full environmental and historic preservation (EHP) review will result in a non-compliance finding. If ground disturbing activities occur during the project implementation, the recipient must ensure monitoring of the ground disturbance and if any potential archeological resources are discovered, the recipient will immediately cease activity in that area and notify CALEMA/FEMA and the appropriate State Historic Preservation Office.
- 18. Will ensure that the facilities under its ownership, lease or supervision which shall be utilized in the accomplishment of this project are not listed in the Environmental Protection Agency's (EPA) list of Violating Facilities, and will notify CALEMA and the Federal Grantor agency of the receipt of any communication from the Director of the EPA Office of Federal Activities indicating that a facility to be used in the project is under consideration for listing by the EPA.
- 19. Will provide any information requested by FEMA/CALEMA to insure compliance with applicable laws including the following:
 - a. Institution of environmental quality control measures under the National Environmental Policy Act, National Historical Preservation Act, Archaeological and Historical Preservation Act, Endangered Species Act, and Executive Orders on Floodplains

- 23. Will comply with the Intergovernmental Personnel Act of 1970 (42 U.S C. Sections 4728-4763) relating to prescribed standards for merit systems for programs funded under one of the nineteen statutes or regulations specified in Appendix A of OPM's Standards for a Merit System of Personnel Administration (5 C.F.R. 900, Subpart F).
- 24. Will comply with provisions of the Hatch Act (5 U.S.C. Sections 1501-1508 and 7324-7328) which limit the political activities of employees whose principal employment activities are funded in whole or in part with federal funds.
- 25. Will comply, if applicable, with P.L. 93-348 regarding the protection of human subjects involved in research, development, and related activities supported by this award of assistance.
- 26. Will comply, if applicable, with the Laboratory Animal Welfare Act of 1966 (P. L. 89-544, as amended, 7 U.S.C. 2131 et seq.) pertaining to the care, handling, and treatment of warm blooded animals held for research, teaching, or other activities supported by this award of assistance.
- 27. Will comply with the minimum wage and maximum hour provisions of the Federal Fair Labor Standards Act (29 U.S.C. 201), as they apply to employees of institutions of higher education, hospitals, and other non-profit organizations.
- 28. Will comply, as applicable, with the provisions of the Davis-Bacon Act (40 U.S.C. Section 276a to 276a-7), the Copeland Act (40 U.S.C. Section 276c and 18 U.S.C. Sections 874), and the Contract Work Hours and Safety Standards Act (40 U.S.C. Sections 327-333), regarding labor standards for federally assisted construction sub-agreements.

29. Agrees that:

- a. No federal appropriated funds have been paid or will be paid, by or on behalf of the undersigned, to any person for influencing or attempting to influence an officer or employee of any agency, a Member of Congress, an officer or employee of Congress, or an employee of a Member of Congress in connection with the making of any federal grant, the entering into of any cooperative agreement, and the extension, continuation, renewal, amendment, or modification of any federal grant or cooperative agreement.
- b. If any other funds than federal appropriated funds have been paid or will be paid to any person for influencing or attempting to influence an officer or employee of any agency, a Member of Congress, an officer or an employee of Congress, or employee of a Member of Congress in connection with the federal grant or cooperative agreement, the undersigned shall complete and submit Standard Form LLL, "Disclosure of Lobbying Activities," in accordance with its instructions.
- c. The undersigned shall require that the language of this certification be included in the award documents for all sub awards at all tiers including subgrants, contracts under grants and cooperative agreements, and subcontract(s) and that all sub recipients shall certify and disclose accordingly.
- d. This certification is a material representation of fact upon which reliance was placed when this transaction was made or entered into. Submission of this certification is a prerequisite for making or entering into this transaction imposed by section 1352, Title 31, U.S. Code. Any person who fails to file the required certification shall be subject to a civil penalty of not less than \$10,000 and not more than \$100,000 for each such failure.
- 30. Agrees that equipment acquired or obtained with grant funds:
 - a. Will be made available pursuant to applicable terms of the California Disaster and Civil Defense Master Mutual Aid Agreement in consultation with representatives of the

- 37. Will comply with the financial and administrative requirements set forth in the current edition of the Office of Justice Programs (OJP) Financial Guide and the current US Department of Homeland Security (DHS) Financial Management Guide.
- 38. Agrees that all allocations and use of funds under this grant will be in accordance with the FY 2009 Regional Catastrophic Preparedness Program Guidance and Application Kit, and the California Supplement to the FY 2009 Regional Catastrophic Preparedness Grant Program and Guidance and Application Kit. All allocations and use of funds under this grant will be in accordance with the Allocations, and use of grant funding must support the goals and objectives included in the State and/or Urban Area Homeland Security Strategies as well as the investments identified in the Investment Justifications which were submitted as part of the California FY 2009 Regional Catastrophic Preparedness Grant Program application. Further, use of FY09 Regional Catastrophic Preparedness Grant Program funds is limited to those investments included in the FY 2009 Regional Catastrophic Preparedness Grant Program Investment Justification submitted to DHS/FEMA and evaluated through the peer review process.
- 39. Acknowledges that FEMA reserves a royalty-free, non exclusive, and irrevocable license to reproduce, publish, or otherwise use, and authorize others to use, for Federal government purposes: a) the copyright in any work developed under an award or sub-award; and b) any rights of copyright to which a recipient or sub-recipient purchases ownership with Federal support, the recipient agrees to consult with GPD regarding the allocation of any patent rights that arise from, or are purchased with, this funding.
- 40. Will not make any award or permit any award (subgrant or contract) to any party which is debarred or suspended or is otherwise excluded from or ineligible for participation in Federal assistance programs under Executive Order 12549 and 12689, "Debarment and Suspension."
- 41. As required by Executive Order 12549, Debarment and Suspension, and implemented at 28 CFR Part 67, for prospective participants in primary covered transactions, as defined at 28 CFR Part 67. Section 67.510
 - a. The applicant certifies that it and its principals:
 - (i) Are not presently debarred, suspended, proposed for debarment, declared ineligible, sentenced to a denial of Federal benefits by a State or Federal court, or voluntarily excluded from covered transactions by any Federal department or agency.
 - (ii) Have not within a three-year period preceding this application been convicted of or had a civil judgment rendered against them for commission of fraud or a criminal offense in connection with obtaining, attempting to obtain, or performing a public (Federal, State, or local) transaction or contract under a public transaction; violation of Federal or State antitrust statutes or commission of embezzlement, theft, forgery, bribery, falsification or destruction of records, making false statements, or receiving stolen property.
 - (iii) Are not presently indicted for or otherwise criminally or civilly charged by a governmental entity (Federal, State, or local) with commission of any of the offenses enumerated in paragraph (1)(b) of this certification; and (d) Have not within a three-year period preceding this application had one or more public transactions (Federal, State, or local) terminated for cause or default; and

- (1) Taking appropriate personnel action against such an employee, up to and including termination, consistent with the requirements of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973, as amended; or
- (2) Requiring such employee to participate satisfactorily in a drug abuse assistance or rehabilitation program approved for such purposes by a Federal, State, or local health, law enforcement, or other appropriate agency.
- (g) Making a good faith effort to continue to maintain a drug-free workplace through implementation of paragraphs (a), (b), (c), (d), (e), and (f).
- 43. Will comply with all applicable requirements of all other federal laws, executive orders, regulations, program and administrative requirements, policies and any other requirements governing this program.
- 44. Understands that failure to comply with any of the above assurances may result in suspension, termination or reduction of grant funds.

As the duly authorized representative of the applicant, I hereby certify that the applicant will comply with the above certifications.

The undersigned represents that he/she is authorized by the above named applicant to enter into this agreement for and on behalf of the said applicant.

Signature of Authorized Agent:	
Printed Name of Authorized Agent: Teresa Serata	
Title: Director Strategy and Compliance, Bay Area UASI	Date: 9/15/09



City and County of San Francisco Office of the Clerk of BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

City Hall 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place San Francisco, CA 94102-4689

Your attention is hereby directed to the following:

I, Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board of the City and County of San Francisco, California do hereby certify that the annexed Resolution No. 262-09 is a full, true and correct copy of the original thereof on file in this office.

IN WITNESS, WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand and affixed the official seal of the City and County of San Francisco, California this 9th day of September, A.D., 2009.

Angela Calvillo
Clerk of the Board

City and County of San Francisco

 [Homeland Security Grants]

Resolution authorizing designated City and County officials to execute and file on behalf of the City and County of San Francisco, a public entity established under the laws of the State of California, any actions necessary for the purpose of obtaining federal financial assistance provided by the federal Department of Homeland Security and sub-granted through the State of California under various grant programs, including: the FY 09 Homeland Security Grant Program for the FY 09 Urban Areas Security Initiative (UASI) grant, the FY 09 Buffer Zone Protection Program (BZPP) grant, the FY 09 Regional Catastrophic Preparedness Grant Program (RCPGP), the FY 09 Interoperable Emergency Communications Grant Program (IECGP) and Public Law 110-329.

WHEREAS, The State of California, through various homeland security grant programs, provides support for the development and maintenance of the capability of local jurisdictions to prepare for and respond to natural disasters, acts of terrorism, and other manmade disasters and provides for the dispersal of federal funds provided for this purpose under Public law 110-329; and,

WHEREAS, The City and County of San Francisco has participated in these programs since their inception and deems participation in these programs as vital to the continued well-being of its citizens; and,

WHEREAS, The State of California requires that applicant's agents be named in the resolution, now, therefore, be it

RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco authorizes the Executive Director of the Department of Emergency Management, or in his or



City and County of San Francisco Tails

City Hail

1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102-4689

Resolution

File Number:

090742

Date Passed:

Resolution authorizing designated City and County officials to execute and file on behalf of the City and County of San Francisco, a public entity established under the laws of the State of California, any actions necessary for the purpose of obtaining federal financial assistance provided by the federal Department of Homeland Security and sub-granted through the State of California under various grant programs, including: the FY 09 Homeland Security Grant Program for the FY 09 Urban Areas Security Initiative (UASI) grant, the FY 09 Buffer Zone Protection Program (BZPP) grant, the FY 09 Regional Catastrophic Preparedness Grant Program (RCPGP), the FY 09 Interoperable Emergency Communications Grant Program (IECGP) and Public Law 110-329.

June 9, 2009 Board of Supervisors - REFERRED: Budget and Finance Committee

June 23, 2009 Board of Supervisors — ADOPTED

Ayes: 11 - Alioto-Pier, Avalos, Campos, Chiu, Chu, Daly, Dufty, Elsbernd, Mar, Maxwell, Mirkarimi

BAYPLAN Charter of CAP/BAY Regional Catastrophic Planning Advisory Group

I. Overview and Purpose

A. Background: The Department of Homeland Security (DHS) Regional Catastrophic Preparedness Grant Program (RCPGP) is an opportunity to enhance catastrophic incident preparedness across the nation. By focusing on Urban Areas and catastrophic planning scenarios, the RCPGP grant program recognizes that catastrophic events have dramatic impact both within the region of occurrence and in other regions outside of the event. As the catastrophic event and response unfolds, the regions outside of that area may provide the closest mutual aid or public safety resources, sanctuary for the masses displaced from the event or other critical resources expected within the first hours and days of the event. Recognizing that catastrophic events, in some cases, have not been fully understood until Katrina, the RCPGP grant program provides an opportunity and funding support to address planning gaps within our region and expand that capacity to include the communities and regions outside of the Bay Area SUASI that may be impacted by a catastrophic event in the BAY AREA.

In January of 2006, The United States Department of Homeland Security (DHS) combined the three Urban Areas of San Francisco, Oakland, and San Jose governmental entities a combined Bay Area Urban Area or Super-UASI region for the purpose of application for and distribution of grant funds. A regional governance structure 2010 was formed with the signing of a Memorandum of Understanding between City and County of San Francisco; City of Oakland; City of San Jose; Alameda County; and Santa Clara County. This Memorandum of Understanding is in effect until 2010 and has served as a model of collaboration for the Nation. This MOU, attached with this document, outlines the UAWG. Approval Authority, Advisory Group and Working Group structure that supports the regional coordination and collaboration necessary to building and sustaining prevention, response and recovery capabilities. By unanimous vote of the Approval Authority the structures, processes and mechanisms specified in the MOU in applying for, allocating, distributing federal grant funds shall be used as the mechanism and structure to administer, direct and coordinate this joint planning effort for the Regional Catastrophic Planning Grant. The Advisory Group shall be expanded by the General Manager to include other emergency management leaders from the counties or operational areas outside of our normal ten Bay Area Advisory Group that may be impacted by a catastrophic event. Working Groups and the Advisory Groups will expand membership to include transportation, NGO's and private sector subject matter experts for purposes of effective coordination/collaboration throughout this grant performance period.

B. Mission: The mission of the RCPT, through the structures established in the governance structure for the SUASI, shall be to increase capabilities to prevent,

respond, and recover from catastrophic events in the Bay Area. It is through this governance structure and by our commitment to regional collaboration and cooperation that we will meet this mission.

C. Executive Sponsors:

Mayor Gavin Newsom, City of San Francisco Mayor Ron Dellums, City of Oakland Mayor Chuck Reed, City of San Jose.

- **D. Purpose and Goals:** In accordance with the RCPGP guidance and the structures established within the SUASI MOU, known as the RCPT for purposes of this grant program and charter, RCPT structure shall provide direction and strategic oversight as we collaboratively::
 - 1) Identify and resolve shortcomings of existing local, regional plans;
 - 2) Expand established collaborative planning bodies to become an expanded regional catastrophic planning community;
 - 3) Develop efficient resource allocation expectations and plans.

II. Governance Structure:

The Bay Area SUASI, established in 2006, covers the 10 counties that make up the San Francisco Bay Area: Alameda, Contra Costa, Marin, Napa, San Francisco, San Mateo, Santa Clara, Santa Cruz, Solano and Sonoma, The program is managed through a three tiered governance structure which includes (1) the Approval Authority; (2) the Advisory Group; and (3) Working Groups established around core capabilities.

The top tier consists of the Approval Authority that includes the three core cities of Oakland, San Francisco and San Jose and the counties in which they are located: Alameda, San Francisco and Santa Clara. The Regional Administrator for the California Office of Emergency Services Coastal Region is also a member. The Approval Authority provides policy direction to the program and is responsible for final decisions.

The Approval Authority works collaboratively with the Advisory Group composed of the Operational Area emergency management leads for the 10 Bay Area Counties, the three core cities and Coastal OES. The Advisory Group makes policy and programmatic recommendations to the Approval Authority and provides input into the planning process. For purposes of any federal grant authorized by unanimous vote of the Approval Authority to be managed/administered or coordinated through the MOU governance structure, the Approval Authority may authorize the General Manager to expand the members of the Advisory Group. For purposes of the RCPGP, the Advisory Group was expanded to include Operational Area emergency

management leads from outside of the usual ten county footprint of the SUASI (see membership list). The responsibility of the SUASI Advisory Group is to ensure that the projects are truly regional in nature and address the planning scenarios of a catastrophic earthquake and/or human generated event.

The SUASI governance structure utilizes subject matter expertise and stakeholder input in subject area working groups which meet monthly and develop the work product or projects to support the Program initiatives (managed by the Advisory and Approval Authority). The monthly working group areas are: Regional and Catastrophic Planning; Training and Exercises; CBRNE Detection and Response; Medical Surge: Mass Prophylaxis; Infrastructure Protection; Interoperable Communications; Information Sharing and Collaboration; Public Information and Warning: Mass Care; and Community Resiliency. These working groups consists of heavy membership from public safety, health, public utilities, private sector business owners, non-governmental agencies, non-profits/community based or faith based organizations, transportation, ports and our disaster partners (Red Cross). These Working Groups evolved as the SUASI and State OES developed the Regional Emergency Coordination Plan (RECP) and their years of experience allow for cross pollination in the workgroups.

The SUASI Management Team provides Project Managers who manage all grant funded projects and facilitate the work of each working group. The General Manager, reporting to the Approval Authority, is responsible for implementation of SUASI policies and goals including directing the administration and management of all projects; preparing and administering all grants and associated management and budgetary functions. The General Manager selects and directs a multi-jurisdictional, multi-discipline team of project managers/leaders to direct the activities of the working groups.

The SUASI governance structure and MOU shall define voting requirements, reporting and fiscal agent and other obligations.

III. Grant Management/Administration

A. Requirement of Voting:

- 1. The Approval Authority shall vote as a requirement to formally adopt plans, strategies, assessments, funding priorities and procedures.
- 2. The Approval Authority shall not be required to vote on routine administrative or project management matters.
- 3. The Approval Authority shall approve allocations/spending plans associated with this grant and coordinate other funding steams from other grants.

4. The General Manager, as recommended by the expanded Advisory Group, shall present funding priorities, strategies, plans, assessments and other deliverables requiring adoption/approval to the Approval Authority.

B. Coordination:

1. The General Manager and Management Team shall coordinate information and data required to complete the projects/planning between the working groups and expanded Advisory Group.

C. Reporting:

- 1. The General Manager and Management Team members shall prepare meeting announcements, agendas, rosters and meeting minutes for each of the meetings.
- 2. The General Manager and Management Team shall provide minutes/rosters to the Approval Authority and Advisory Group and publish same on the SUASI website.

IV. Fiscal Agent

The City and County of San Francisco shall serve as Fiscal Agent for the RCPGP grant. This grant shall be administered in accordance with the obligations and administration as described in the SUASI MOU.

V. Assumptions

The SUASI MOU shall serve as the master document for the administration and management of the RCPGP grant.

VI. Changes to this Charter:

- 1. Changes to this charter must be recommended by the Advisory Group with formal adoption by the Approval Authority in accordance with any applicable public meeting laws.
- 2. All changes adopted by the Approval Authority must be indicated in the meeting minutes and require a modification of the master charter agreement.
- 3. A copy of the amended charter must be supplied to DHS.

Bay Area RCPT Membership List

Approval Authority

Area	Member	Position / Title	Agency	Discipline
ļ , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	Gary Massetani	Assistant Chief	Fire Department	Fire
City of Oakland	Renee Domingo	Director	Fire Department- Emergency Services	Emergency Management
City of San Jose	Kim Shunk	Director	Office of Emergency Services	Emergency Management
County of Alameda	Rich Lucia	UnderSheriff	Sheriff Department	Law Enforcement
County of Santa Clara	Kirstin Hofmann	Director	Sheriff Department	Emergency Management
County of San Francisco	Vicki Hennessy	Executive Director	Emergency Management Department	Emergency Management
State of California	John Anderson	Regional Administrator	Cal EMA	Emergency Management

Advisory Group

Area	Member	Position / Title	Agency	
City of San Francisco	Rob Dudgeon	Acting Deputy Director	Emergency Management Department	Emergency Management
City of Oakland	Kathleen Crawford	Deputy Director	Fire Department- Emergency Services	Emergency Management
City of San Jose	Joseph Carrillo	Deputy Fire Chief	San Jose Fire Department	Fire
County of Alameda	James Baker	Assistant Sheriff	Sheriff's Office	Law Enforcement
County of Santa Clara	Bruce Lee	Director of EMS	Office of Emergency Services	Medical
County of San Francisco	Anne Kronenberg	Deputy Director	Public Health Department	Health
State of California	Cecile Rollinson	Deputy Regional Administrator	Cal EMA	Emergency Management
Napa County	Kerry Whitney	Risk Manager	County of Napa	County Government
Sonoma County	Sandy Covall- Alves	Director	Office of Emergency Services	Emergency Management
San Benito	Brian Tempero	Director	Office of Emergency Services	Emergency Management

San Mateo	Carlos Bolanos	Undersheriff	Sheriff Department	Law Enforcement
Contra Costa County	Mike Casten	Commander	Sheriff Department	Law Enforcement
Sacramento County	Meron Agonafer	Planner	Citizen Corps	Citizen Preparedness
Monterey County	Paul Ireland	Director	Office of Emergency Services	Emergency Management
Solano County	Kevin Ives	Director	Office of Emergency Services	Emergency Management
Marin County	Paul Navarro	Captain	Sheriff Department	Law Enforcement

Working Groups

Area	Member	Position / Title	Agency	Discipline
	Scott McCormick	Planner	CARD	Citizen Preparedness
County of Sonoma	Rebecca West	Planner	Human Services Department	Disaster Relief
	Karen Siemsen	Director	Volunteer Center of the East Bay	Citizen Preparedness
County of Solano	Ron Whitfield	Control Officer	Animal Control	Law
County of Santa Cruz	Bob Kennedy	Planner	Public Health	Health
Santa Clara County	Harry Reinstein	Planner	Office of Emergency Services	Emergency Management
Santa Clara County	Dorene Yamaguchi	Planner	Office of Emergency Services	Emergency Management
City of Oakland	Jean English	Planner	Fire Department	Emergency Management
State of California	Milt Yee	Planner	Department of Social Services	Disaster Relief
City of San Francisco	Rob Stengel	Planner	Department of Emergency Management	Emergency Management
City of San Jose	Saman Saffareadeh	Planner	Office of Emergency Services	Emergency Management
	Roger Doncaster	Planner	Red Cross	Disaster Relief
City of San Francisco	Ben Amyes	Planner	Human Services Agency	Disaster Relief
City of Oakland	Coleen Bell	Planner	Office of Emergency Services	Emergency Management
City of San Francisco	Amy Ramirez	Planner	Department of Emergency Management	Emergency Management
City of Santa Rosa	Ed Buonaccorsi	Facility Operations Director	Office of Emergency Services	City Government

	Tracy Stevens- Martin	Planner	UC Davis	Disaster Planning – Animal Services
Contra Costa County	↓	Planner	Office of Emergency Services	Emergency Management
City of Sunnyvale	Cheryl Sampson	Planner	Services	Emergency Management
	Margaret Melsh	Consultant	Volunteer Center of Silicon Valley	Citizen Preparedness
	Kelle Remmel	Consultant	Remmel Consulting	Citizen Preparedness
San Mateo County	Brian Molver	Planner	Office of Emergency Services	Emergency Management
City of Oakland	Cathey Eide	Planner	Office of Emergency Services	Emergency Management
,	Madelyn Macke	Planner	American Red Cross	Disaster Relief
Santa Cruz County	David McNutt	Volunteer	Medical Reserve Corps	Citizen Preparedness- Health
Solano County	Kevin Ives	Planner	Office of Emergency Services	Emergency Management
City of Vallejo	Ann Cavanaugh	Planner	Fire Department	Emergency Management
	Caroline Ng	Director	Self Help for the Elderly	Citizen Preparedness
City of Gilroy	Yvette Phillips	Planner	Fire Department	Emergency Management
City of San Francisco	Erica Arteseros	NERT Coordinator	Fire Department/NERT	Fire
Contra Costa County	Donna Mann	Planner	Public Health	Health
City of Berkeley	Gil Dong	Planner	Fire Department	Fire
	Alessa Adamo	Planner	San Francisco CARD	Citizen Preparedness
	Debbie Leas	Planner	KTVU	Public Info
***************************************	Mickey Berk	Planner	KTVU	Public Info
**************************************	Rich Eisner	Planner	Fritz Institute	Non-Profit
	Leslie Krougold	Planner	CERT	Citizen Preparedness
	Brian Whitlow	Planner	San Francisco CARD	Citizen Preparedness
City of Oakland	Demetirus Wren	Planner	Office of Emergency Services	Emergency Management
Alameda County	Marla Blagg	Planner	Fire Department	Emergency Management
Marin County	Jack Schwartz	Planner		Emergency Management
	Jerry Eisman	Planner	San Francisco State	Citizen Preparedness

			University	
	Cynthia Hsu	Planner	Red Cross	Disaster Relief
State of California	Doug Wisman	Planner	Cal EMA	Emergency Management
	Nancy Miramontez	Planner	Pacific Gas and Electric	Utilities
-	Aaron Litwin	Planner	American Red Cross	Disaster Relief
Contra Costa County	Kim Cox	Planner	Health Services	Health
Alameda County	Jan McClellan	Director	Office of Emergency Services	Emergency Management
City of Rio Vista	Geoff Spinner	Firefighter	Fire Department	Fire
	Tessa Shredoff	Planner	Northern California VOAD/Red Cross	Disaster Relief
	Jeanne Perkins	Emergency Planner	Association of Bay Area Governments	Government
City of San Francisco	Kim Walsh	MMRS Coordinator	Emergency Management Department	Emergency Management
City of Fremont	Vic Valdes	MMRS Coordinator	Public Health	Health
City of San Jose	Kim Shunk	MMRS Coordinator	Office of Emergency Services	Emergency Management
City of Oakland	Jean English	MMRS	Office of Emergency	Emergency Management
		Coordinator	Services	T T 1/1
Marin County	Anju Goel	Planner	Department of Health & Human Services	Health
San Mateo County	Curtis Chan	Planner	Department of Public Health	Health
Contra Costa County	Kim Cox	Planner	Health Services Department	Health
City of Berkeley	Kathy Dervin	Planner	Department of Public Health	Health
Contra Costa County	Barbara Center	Planner	Department of Public Health	Health
Contra Costa County	Jason Gwaltney	Planner	Department of Public Health	Health
City of San Mateo	Carl Hess	Planner	Services	Emergency Managemen
City of Cupertino	Marsha Hovey	Planner	Office of Emergency Services	Emergency Managemen
Alameda County	Zerlyn Ladua	Planner	Department of Public Health	Health
City/County of San Francisco	Amy Pine	Planner	Department of Public Health	Health

Santa Cruz County	Jamie Riggs- Nagy	Planner	Department of Public Health	Health
San Mateo County	Karen Relucio	Planner	Department of Public Health	Health
Solano County	Ted Selby	Planner	Office of Emergency Services	Emergency Management
Santa Clara County	Kristen Tarabetz	Lieutenant	Sheriff's Department	Law Enforcement
Santa Clara County	Barb Walker	Planner	Department of Public Health	Health
City of Oakland	Linda Wong	Planner	Office of Emergency Services	Emergency Management
	Marc Intermaggio	Executive Director	Building Owners Management Association	Private
City of San Francisco	Sandra Tong	Commander	Police Department	Law
City of San Francisco	Sharon Ferrigno	Lieutenant	Police Department	Law
City of San Francisco	Danny Lopez	Sergeant	Police Department	Law
City of San Francisco	Doug Chin	Lieutenant	Sheriff Department	Law
City of San Francisco	Jin Choi	Senior Deputy	Sheriff Department	Law
City of San Francisco	Rebekah Varela	Planner	Public Health	Health
City of San Francisco	Cynthia Chono	Planner	Public Works	Public Works
City of San Francisco	Win Hoblitzelle	Planner	Municipal Transportation Agency	Transit
City of San Francisco	Sidonie Sansom	Director of Security	Port of San Francisco	Port
	Steve Ohtaki	Assistant Director	Business Executives for National Security	ł.
City of Hayward	Thor Poulsen	Captain	Hayward Fire Department	Fire
City of Pleasanton	Genevieve Pastor-Cohen	Emergency Mgmt	Livermore/ Pleasanton Fore Department	Fire
City of San Leandro	Kathleen Ornelas	Emergency Mgmt	Office of Emergency Services	Emergency Management
City of San Rafael	Steven Hancock	Emergency Manager	Office of Emergency Services	Emergency Management
City of Santa Cruz	Robert	Emergency	Office of	Emergency

	Solick	Manager	Emergency Services	Management
	Kenneth Dueker	Officer	Palo Alto Police Department	Law
0,	Mike Ahlin	Battalion Chief	Petaluma Fire Department	Fire
City of Fairfield	Vince Webster	Fire Chief	Fairfield Fire Department	Fire
City of Mountain View	Lynn Brown	Emergency Manager	City of Mountain View	Emergency Management
City of Milpitas	Sean Simonson	Emergency Manager	City of Milpitas	Emergency Management
City of Santa Clara	Gene Sawyer	Emergency Manager	City of Santa Clara	Emergency Management
City of Napa	Tim Borman	Fire Chief	City of Napa	Fire Department
City of South San Francisco	Matt Samson	Firefighter	South San Francisco Fire Dept.	
City of Redwood City	Wade Greene	Fire Department	City of Redwood City	Fire
City of San Jose	Eric Sills	Captain	Police Department	Law Enforcement
City of San Jose	Jay Castellano	Deputy Director	Parks / Recreation / Neighborhood Services	Emergency Management
State of California	Richard Devylder	Advisor to the Secretary	Cal EMA	Emergency Management
City of San Francisco	Kyle Merkins	Lieutenant	San Francisco Fire Dept.	Fire
City of San Francisco	Mark Kearney	Lieutenant	San Francisco Fire Dept.	Fire
City of San Francisco	Babe Franey	Sr. Deputy	San Francisco Sheriff's Office	Law Enforcement
City of San Francisco	Andrea Davis	Planner	Services	Emergency Management
City of San Francisco	Connie Halog	Planner	Services	Emergency Management
City of San Francisco	Jill Raycroft	Planner	Office of Emergency Services	Emergency Management

Regional Plan Vali	Regional Plan Validation, Enhancement and Implemen	l implementation	tation Project Plan		
Tasks	% Labor/ Complete Work Duration	Start Date	End Date	Dependencies	Resources
	1 360				
Vendor Selection	hours 143 days	9/1/2009	1/29/2010		
1 Develop Scope of Work	40 hours 20 days	9/1/2009	9/21/2009	N/A	Program Manager/Staff
2 Solicit Feedback/Finalize Scope of Work	60 hours 20 days	9/22/2009	10/12/2009		Program Manager/Staff
3 Develop RFP and post on website	24 hours 33 days	10/13/2009	1//15/2009		Program Manager/Staft
	24 hours 10 days	11/16/2009	11/26/2009	2.3	Program Manager/Staff
Make Recommendations to Approval Authority for Vendor 5 Selection	8 hours 10 days	12/1/2009	12/11/2009	4	Program Manager/Staff
Select Vendor, Draft Contract and Conduct Contract 6 Negotiations	40 hours 20 days	12/12/2009	1/2/2010	Simple	Program Manager/Staff
Finalize Contract and Hire Contractor to Develop:a Regional Planning Process Inclusive of Plan Validation Through the Use of Standardized Planning Workshop 7 Models	40 hours 26 days	1/3/2010	1/29/2010	0	Program Manager/Staff
Establish Support System	464 hours 455 days	11/1/2009	3/1/2011		
8 Identify Stakeholders (SMEs) for Project Participation	160 hours 81 days	11/1/2009	1/20/2010	N/A	Program Manager/Staff
9 Solicit Stakeholder (SME) Participation:	120 hours 81 days	11/1/2009	1/20/2010	8 I	Program Manager/Staff
10 Establish Project Steering Committee	40 hours 10 days	1/5/2010	1/15/2010	8.9	Program Manager/Staff
Convene Steering Committee for Project Development	32 hours 4 days	1/15/2010	1/19/2010	10	Program Manager/Staff
Conduct Project Kick Off Meeting with Vendor and 12 Steering Committee	8 hours 1 day	1/27/2010	1/28/2010	91	Program Manager/Staff and Contractors
Conduct Regional Project Seminar and Regularly Scheduled Steering Committee Meetings Inclusive of 13 First Responders		1/29/2010	3/1/2011	12	Program Manager/Staff and Contractors

3	22	21	20	19	- <u>1</u>		16	15	4	Devel- Valida
Include Procedures in Planning Workshop Models that Validates the Integrates/Coordination of Law Enforcement Protective Action/Prevention Planning 23 Activities	22 Develop Planning Workshop Delivery Models	Develop and Implement Necessary Planning Workshop Program Tools	Establish Planning Workshop Goals and Objectives	Assess (Survey/Interview) Current Plan Vlability as it Pertains to Conducting Debris Removal Operations Following a Catastrophic Event	Review Planning Workshop Models to Ensure Modeling 8 Facus is in Line With State and Federal Doctrine	Conduct Research to Determine Applicability to the Bay Area	Review Debris Removal Plans on a National Basis and Determine Best Practices	Review the Debris Removal Plan Funded Through the 2007/2008 RCPGP Process	Review Findings From Previously Completed Hazard Identification/Risk Assessment Developed Under the 2006 SUASI Program as well as the San Francisco Bay Area Earthquake Readiness Response: Concept of 14 Operations Plan	Development and Implementation of Debris Removal Plan Validation and Planning Workshop Process
45 hours 17 days	60 hours 23 days	40 hours 20 days	40 hours 20 days	40 hours 20 days	65 hours 20 days	65 hours 20 days	80 hours 25 days	80 hours 25 days	40 hours 25 days	2205 hours 396 days
3/24/2010	3/18/2010	2/25/2010	2/25/2010	2/25/2010	2/25/2010	2/25/2010	1/29/2010	1/29/2010	11/29/2010	1/29/2010
4/10/2010	4/10/2010 14-21	3/17/2010 14-20	3/17/2010 14-19	3/17/2010 N/A	3/17/2010 14.15.16.17	3/17/2010 14,15,16	2/24/2010 N/A	2/24/2010 N/A	2/24/2010 N/A	3/1/2011
 Contractor/Steering		Contractor/Steering			16.17 Contractor	5.16 Contractor	/A Contractor	/A Contractor	A. Contractor	

37	ဒ္ဓ	35	34	33	32	<u>3</u>	30	is.	28	27	26	25	24
37 Complete Debris Removal Improvement Plan Process	36 Complete Corrective Action Report (CAR) Process	35 Fix Shortcomings Identified in the Debris Removal Plan	34 Host Planning Workshops	33 Finalize Regional Plan	32 Solicit Plan Feedback	Complete Draft Regional Planning Workshop/Debris Removal Plan Validation Plan	30 Develop/Adopt Planning Workshop Model	Review Validity of Applicable MOU's, Mutual Aid Agreements/Contractual Agreements Necessary for Conducting Debris Removal Operations	Secure Applicable Venues for Planning Workshop Activities	Identify Support Structures/Partner Organizations that are Multi-Discipline/Multi-Jurisdictional and Can Participate in Planning Workshop Activities	Develop a Sustainment Plan for the Continued Building of 26 Regional Planning Processes	Develop: Corrective: Action Report and Improvement Plan Process	Develop Applicable Tracking Mechanisms For Identifying 24 Planning Gaps and Correcting Same
60 hours 15 days	60 hours 15 days		800 hours 180 days	40 hours 10 days	40 hours 10 days	40 hours 10 days	80 hours 20 days	40 hours 10 days	40 hours 20 days	9 80 hours 20 days		35 Inours 17 days	35 nours 17 days
2/14/2011	1/30/2011	11/1/2010	7/13/2010	7/2/2010	6/21/2010	6/10/2010	5/20/2010	6/10/2010	5/20/2010	5/20/2010	4/29/2010	4/11/2010	4/17/1/2010
3/1/2011	2/14/2011	1/29/2011	1/13/2011	7/12/2010	7/1/2010	6/20/2010	6/9/2010	6/20/2010	6/9/2010	6/9/2010	5/19/2010	4/28/2010	4/28/2010
36 Co	35 Co	19-34 Co	19-33 Co	31,32 Co	31 Co	19-30 Co	19-29 ©c	19-28 Co	14-27 Co	14-26 Cc	14-25 Cc	14-24 Cc	14-23 Co
Contractor	Contractor/Steering Committee	Confractor	Comtractor/Steering Committee	Contractor/Steering Committee	Contractor/Steering Committee	Committee	Committee	Contractor/Steering Committee	Contractor/Steering	Contractor/Steering Committee	Contractor/Steering Committee	Contractor/Steering Committee	Contractor/Steering Committee

	Deve Trans Work	Development and Implementation of Mass Transportation/Evacuation Plan Validation and Planning Workshop Process Review Endings From Previously Completed Hazard
Review the Mass Transportation/Evacuation Plan Funded Through the 2007/2008 RCPGP Process Review Mass Transportation/Evacuation Plans on a National Basis and Determine Best Practices Conduct Research to Determine Applicability to the Bav Area Review Planning Workshop Models to Ensure Modeling Focus is in Line With State and Federal Doctrine Assess (Survey/Interview) Current Plan Viability as it Pertains to Conducting Mass Transportation/Evacuation Operations Following a Catastrophic Event Establish Planning Workshop Goals and Objectives Develop and implement Necessary Planning Workshop Program Tools Develop Planning Workshop Delivery Models that Validates the Integrates/Coordination of Law Enforcement Protective Action/Prevention Planning Activities 45 hours 35 hours 36 hours		Review Findings From Previously Completed Hazard Identification/Risk Assessment Developed Under the 2006 SUAS) Program as well as the San Francisco Bay Area Earthquake Readiness Response: Concept of
Review Mass i ransportation/Eyaccuation Plans on a Mational Basis and Determine Best Practices Conduct Research to Determine Applicability to the Bay Area Review Planning Workshop Models to Ensure Modeling Focus is in Line With State and Federal Doctrine Assess (Survey/Interview), Current Plan Viability as it Pertains to Conducting Mass Transportation/Evacuation Operations Following a Catastrophic Event Establish Planning Workshop Goals and Objectives Develop and Implement Necessary Planning Workshop Program Tools Develop Planning Workshop Delivery Models Include Procedures in Planning Workshop Models that Validates the Integrates/Coordination of Law Enforcement Protective Action/Prevention Planning Activities Activities	39	teview the Mass Transportation/Evacuation Plan/Funded hough the 2007/2008 RCPGP Process
to:the Bay to:the Bay e Modeling Evacuation Evacuation Workshop Workshop 45 hours 45 hours 45 hours 45 hours	40	Review Mass Transportation/Evacuation Plans on a National Basis and Determine Best Practices
Review Planning Workshop Models to Ensure Modeling Focus is in Line Wilth State and Federal Doctrine Assess (Survey/Interview) Current Plan Viability as it Perfains to Conducting Mass Transportation/Evacuation Operations Following a Catastrophic Event Establish Planning Workshop Goals and Objectives Develop and Implement Necessary Planning Workshop Program Tools Develop Planning Workshop Delivery Models Include Procedures in Planning Workshop Models that Validates the Integrates/Coordination of Law Emforcement Protective Action/Prevention Planning Activities 65 hours 66 hours 66 hours 67 hours 68 hours 68 hours 69 hours 69 hours 69 hours 60 hours	<u> </u>	Conduct Research to Determine Applicability to the Bay Vrea
Assess (Survey/Interview) Current Plan Viability as it Perfains to Conducting Mass Transportation/Evacuation Operations Following a Catastrophic Event Establish Planning Workshop Goals and Objectives Develop and Implement Necessary Planning Workshop Program Tools Develop Planning Workshop Delivery Models Include Procedures in Planning Workshop Models that Validates the Integrates/Coordination of Law Enforcement Protective Action/Prevention Planning Activities 35 hours	42	Review Planning Workshop Models to Ensure Modeling ocus is in Line With State and Federal Doctrine
Establish Planning Workshop Goals and Objectives Develop and Implement Necessary Planning Workshop Program Tools Develop Planning Workshop Delivery Models Include Procedures in Planning Workshop Models that Validates the Integrates/Coordination of Law Enforcement Protective Action/Prevention Planning Activities 85 hours	43	Assess (Survey/Interview) Current Plan Vlability as it Pertains to Conducting Mass Transportation/Evacuation Oberations Following a Catastrophic Event
Develop Planning Workshop Delivery Models Develop Planning Workshop Delivery Models Chiclude Procedures in Planning Workshop Models that Validates the Integrates/Coordination of Law Enforcement Protective Action/Prevention Planning Activities Activities	44	stablish Planning Workshop Goals and Objectives
Develop Planning: Workshop: Delivery Models Include Procedures in Planning Workshop Models that Validates the Integrates/Coordination of Law Enforcement Protective Action/Prevention Planning Activities 35 hours	45	Develop and Implement Necessary Planning Workshop
nnning 35 hours	46	Develop Planning Workshop Delivery Models
	4	nctude Procedures in Planning Workshop Models that /alidates the Integrates/Coordination of Law -inforcement Protective Action/Prevention Planning Activities

<u> </u>	2 8	60	59	58	57	56	55	54	ပ္ပဲ	52	77	50	49	48
61 Plan Process	Complete Mass Transportation/Evacuation Improvement	60 Complete Corrective Action Report (CAR) Process	Fix Shortcomings Identified in the Mass Transportation/Evacuation Plan	58 Host Planning Workshops	57 Finalize Regional Plan	56 Solicit Plan Feedback	Complete Draft Regional Planning Workshop/Mass Transportation/Evacuation Plan Validation Plan	54 Develop/Adopt Planning Workshop Model	Review Validity of Applicable MOU's, Mutual Aid Agreements/Contractual Agreements Necessary for Conducting Mass Transportation/Evacuation Operations	Secure Applicable Venues for Planning Workshop Activities	Identify Support Structures/Partner Organizations that are Multi-Discipline/Multi-Jurisdictional and Can Participate in Planning Workshop Activities	Develop a Sustainment Plan for the Continued Building of Regional Planning Processes	Develop Corrective Action Report and Improvement Plan Process	48 Planning Gaps, and Correcting Same
60 hours 15 days		7		800 hours 180 days	40 hours 10 days	40 hours 10 days	40 hours 10 days	40 hours 10 days	40 hours 10 days	40 hours 10 days	80 hours 20 days	40 hours 20 days	80 hours 20 days	60 hours 20 days
2/14/2011	2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	1/30/2011	11/1/2010	7//3/2010	7/2/2010	6/21/2010	7/2/2010	6/21/2010	6/10/2010	6/10/2010	5/20/2010	05/20/10	5/20/2010	4/29/2010
3/1/2011	I	2/14/2011	1/29/2011	1/13/2011	7/12/2010	7/1/2010	7/12/2010	7/1/2010	6/20/2010	6/20/2010	6/9/2010	06/09/10	6/9/2010	5/19/2010
	3	59	43-58	43-57	43-56	43-55	43-54	43-53	43-52	38-51	38-50	38.49	38-48	38-47
Contractor	0 0	Contractor/Steering Committee	Contractor	Contractor/Steering Committee	Committee	Contractor/Steering Committee	Contractor/Steering Committee	Contractor/Steering Committee	Contractor/Steering Committee	Contractor/Steering Committee	Contractor/Steering Committee	Contractor/Steering Committee	Contractor/Steering Committee	Committee

.

	·	71	70	69	68	67	6 6	ران الا	64	63	62	Devel Valida
		Include Procedures in Planning Workshop Models that Validates the Integrates/Coordination of Law Enforcement Protective Action/Prevention Planning Activities		Develop and Implement Necessary Planning Workshop Program Tools	Establish Planning Workshop Goals and Objectives	Assess (Survey/Interview) Current Plan Viability as it Pertains to Conducting Mass Fatality Operations Following a Catastrophic Event	Review Planning Workshop Models to Ensure Modeling 66 Focus is in Line With State and Federal Doctrine	Conduct Research to Determine Applicability to the Bay Area	Review Mass Fatality Plans on a National Basis and Determine Best Practices	Review the Mass Fatality Plan Funded Through the 2007/2008 RCPGP Process	Review Findings From Previously Completed Hazard Identification/Risk Assessment Developed Under the 2006 SUASI Program as well as the San Francisco Bay Area Earthquake Readiness Response: Concept of Operations Plan	Development and Implementation of Mass Fatality Plan Validation and Planning Workshop Process
		45 hours 17 days	69 hours 23 days	40 hours 20 days	40 hours 20 days	40 hours 20 days	65 hours 20 days	65 hours 20 days	80 hours 25 days	80 hours 25 days	40 hours 25 days	2205 hours 396 days
		3/24/2010	3/18/2010	2/25/2010	2/25/2010	2/25/2010	2/25/2010	2/25/2010	1/29/2010	1/29/2010	1/29/2010	1/29/2010
1		4/10/2010 62-70	4/10/2010 62-69	3/17/2010 62-68	3/17/2010 62-67	3/17/2010 N/A	3/17/2010 62:63:64:65	3/17/2010 62,63,64	2/24/2010 N/A	2/24/2010 WA	2/24/2010 N/A	3/1/2011
		Contractor/Steering Committee	Committee	Committee:	Committee	Contractor	Contractor	Contractor	Contractor	Contractor	Contractor	

ထ္တ	84	83	82	<u>~</u>	80	79	78	SACS WIGHT TO STATE OF	76	75	74	73	72
85 Complete Mass Fatality Improvement Plan Process	84 Complete Corrective Action Report (CAR) Process	83 Fix Shortcomings Identified in the Mass Fatality Plan	82 Host Planning Workshops	Finalize Regional Plan	80 Solicit Plan Feedback	Complete Draft Regional Planning Workshop/Mass Fatality Plan Validation Plan	Develop/Adopt Planning Workshop Model	Review Validity of Applicable MOUs, Mutual Aid Agreements/Contractual Agreements Necessary for Conducting Mass Fatality Operations	Secure Applicable Venues for Planning Workshop Activities	Identify Support Structures/Partner Organizations that are Multi-Discipline/Multi-Jurisdictional and Can Participate in Planning Workshop Activities	Develop a Sustainment Plan for the Continued Building of Regional Planning Processes	Develop Corrective Action Report and Improvement Plan Process	Develop Applicable Tracking Mechanisms For Identifying Planning Gaps and Correcting Same
60 hours 15 days	60 hours 15 days	hours 90 days	hours 180 days	40 hours 10 days	40 hours 10 days	40 hours 10 days	80 hours 20 days	40 hours 10 days	40 hours 20 days	80 hours 20 days	60 hours 20 days	35 hours 17 days	35 hours 17 days
2/14/2011	1/30/2011	11/1/2010	7/13/2010	7/2/2010	6/21/2010	6/10/2010	5/20/2010	6/10/2010	5/20/2010	5/20/2010	4/29/2010	4/11/2010	4/11/2010
3/1/2011	2/14/2011	1/29/2011	1/13/2011	7/12/2010	7/1/2010	6/20/2010	6/9/2010	6/20/2010	6/9/2010	6/9/2010	5/19/2009	4/28/2010	4/28/2010
84	83	67-82	67-81	67-80	67-79	67-78	67-77	67-76	62-75	62-74	62.73	62.72	62.71
Contractor	Committee	Contractor	Committee	Committee Committee	Committee Committee	Contractor/Steering Committee	Committee Committee	Contractor/Steering Committee	Committee Committee	Contractor/Steering Committee	Committee Committee	Committee Committee	Contractor/Steering

	109	108	107	106	105	104	103	102	- -		99	98	97	96
	Complet Process	Complete Corrective Action Report (CAR) Process	Ex Shortcoming Sheltering Plan	106 Host Planning Workshops	Finalize Regional Plan	Solicit Plan Feedback	Complete Draft Regional Planning Workshop/Mass Care and Sheltering Plan Validation Plan	Develo	Review Validity of Applicable MOU's, Mutual Aid Agreements/Contractual Agreements Necessary for Conducting Mass Care and Sheltering Operations	Secure Applicable Venues for Planning Workshop Activities	Identify Support Structures/Paitner Organizations that are Multi-Discipline/Multi-Jurisdictional and Can Participate in Planning Workshop Activities	Develop a Sustainment Plan for the Continued Building of Regional Planning Processes	Develop Corrective Action Report and Improvement Plan Process	Develop Applicable Tracking Mechanisms For Identifying Planning Gaps and Correcting Same
•	ete Mai	ete Cor	x Shortcomings Identified in the Mass Care and heltering Plan	lanning	Regio	Plan Fe	ste Dra eltering	p/Adop	/Validir nents/C otling M	Applic es	Suppo isciplin ig Worl	p a Su al Plan	р Сопе s	p Appli g Gapi
	ss Care	rective	ngs lde In	Works	mal Ple	edbac	ft Regi	t Planr	y of Ap ontrac ass Ca	able Ve	ut Stru e/Multi kshop⊿	stainme ning Pi	etive A	cable] s and (
	and S	Action	entified	shops	ă	7	onal PI Validat	ing Wo	iplicabl tual Ag ire and	enues f	ctures/I Jurisdi Activitie	ant Plai ocessi	vetion F	rackin orrecti
	helterii	Repor	in the				anning on Pla	prksho	e MOU reemei Shelte	or Plar	Partiner ctional) for th	(eport	g Mech ng Sar
	ig Imp	t(CAR	Vlass C				Works) Mode	its Ne	ming V	Organ and Co	e Conti	and Im	anisms ne
	overne) Proce	are an				hop/Ma		ual Aid sessary seration	/orksho	ization an Part	nued B	orovem	s For Id
	Complete Mass. Care and Sheltering Improvement Plan Process	SS	ι α				iss Car		® ₫	*	s that a icipate	uilding	ent Pla	entifyiin
							Œ				ä ē	<u></u>	5	(Ā
														, i
	60 hours	60 hours	hours	hours	40 hours	40 hours	40 hours	80 hours	40 No	40 ho	80 hours	60 hours	35 hours	35 ho
	urs 15	15 15	188	0.00	urs 10	urs 10	urs 10	urs 20	40 hours 10 days	40 hours 20 days	Lirs 20		urs 17	35 hours 17 days
	15 days	15 days	90 days	180 days	10 days	10 days	10 days	20 days	days	days	20 days	20 days	∛7.days	days
													77.3 27.3	11.72
	2/14/20	1/30/20	11/1/20	7/13/201	7/2/20	6/21/20	6/10/20	5/20/201	6/10/20	5/20	5/20/20	4/29/20	4/11/20	4/11/2010
	2011	2011	2010	2010	/2010	2010	/2010	2010	2010	5/20/2010	2010	2010	2010	2010
	3/1/2011	2/14/2011	1/29/2011	1/13/2011	7/12/2010	7/1/2010	6/20/2010	6/9/2010	6/20/2010	6/9/2010	6/9/2010	5/19/2010	4/28/2010	4/28/2010
	011	ŏ 11	011	2	Õ o	010	Ö Ö	0.0	0	010) (0)	010	010	010
	108	107	91-106	91-105	91-104	91-103	91-102	91-101	91°100	86-99	86-98	86-97	86-96	86-95
	8	X	8	105	2	8	8	0	8	99	98	97	8	85
	Con	Com	Ω	Com	Con	0 0	Con	Con S	Con	Com	C On	C C 6	Con	C C On
	Contractor	Committee	Contractor	Committee	Committee	Contractor Committee	Contractor/ Committee	Committee	Contractor/ Committee	Contractor/ Committee	Contractor/ Committee	Contractor/ Committee	Committee	Contractor/ Committee
		Committee		Committee	Contractor/Steering	Committee	Contractor/Steering	Committee	Contractor/Steering Committee	Contractor/Steening	Contractor/Steering	Contractor/Steering Committee	Committee	Contractor/Steering
		G		ď	Ď	ර) O	, j	- G	ğ	Ğ	0	Ŭ	j G
								15 33 A						

119	118	117	116	115	1 4 4	ا	112		110	Develop Manage Process
Include Procedures in Planning Workshop Models that Validates the Integrates/Coordination of Law Enforcement Protective Action/Prevention Planning Activities	1,000	Develop and Implement Necessary Planning Workshop Program Tools	Establish Planning Workshop Goals and Objectives	Assess (Survey/Interview) Current Plan Viability as it. Pertains to Conducting Volunteer Management Operations Following a Catastrophic Event	Review Planning Workshop Models to Ensure Modeling Focus is in Line With State and Federal Doctrine	Conduct Research to Determine Applicability to the Bay Area	Review Volunteer Management Plans on a National Basis and Determine Best Practices		Review Findings From Previously Completed Hazard Identification/Risk Assessment Developed Under the 2006 SUASI Program as well as the San Francisco Bay Area Earthquake Readiness Response: Concept of 110 Operations Plan	Development and Implementation of Volunteer Management Plan Validation and Planning Workshop Process
45 hours 17 days	60 hours 23 days	40 hours 20 days	40 hours 20 days	40 hours 20 days	65 hours 20 days	65 hours 20 days	80 hours 25 days	80 hours 25 days	40 hours 25 days	2205 hours 396 days
3/24/2010	3/18/2010	2/25/2010	2/25/2010	2/25/2010	2/25/2010	2/25/2010	1/29/2010	1/29/2010	1/29/2010	1/29/2010
4/10/2010	4/10/2010	3/17/2010	3/17/2010	3/17/2010	3/17/2010	3/17/2010	2/24/2010	2/24/2010	2/24/2010	3/1/2011
110-118	110-117	110-116	410-115	N/A	110-113	110,111,112	NA	N/A	NA	
Contractor/Steering Committee	Committee	Committee Committee	Committee	Contractor	Contractor	Contractor	Contractor	Contractor	Contractor	

	133	132	131	130	129	128	127	126	 . .	124	123	122	121	120
	Complete Volunteer Management Improvement Plan Process	2 Complete Corrective Action Report (CAR) Process	Fix Shortcomings Identified in the Volunteer Management Plan	130 Host Planning Workshops	129 Finalize Regional Plan	8 Solidt Plan Feedback	Complete Draft Regional Planning Workshop/Voluntees 127 Management Plan Validation Plan	Develop/Adopt Planning Workshop Model	Review Validity of Applicable MOU's, Mutual Aid Agreements/Contractual Agreements Necessary for J Conducting Volunteer Management Operations	Secure Applicable Venues for Planning Workshop 4 Activities				
	60 hours 15 days	60 hours 15 days	nt 240 hours 90 days		40 hours 10 days	40 hours 10 days	40 hours 10 days	80 hours 20 days	40 hours 10 days	40 hours 20 days	in	60 hours	in 35 hours 17 days	19. 35 hours 17 days
	2/14/2011	1/30/2011	11/1/2010	7/13/2010	7/2/2010	6/21/2010	6/10/2010	5/20/2010	6/10/2010	5/20/2010	5/20/2009	4/29/2010	4/11/2010	4/11/2010
*	3/1/2011	2/14/2011	1/29/2011	1/13/2011	7/12/2010	7/1/2010	6/20/2010	6/9/2010	6/20/2010	6/9/2010	6/9/2010	5/19/2009	4/28/2010	4/28/2010
	132	131	115-124	110-129	110-128	110-127	110-126	110-125	110-124	110-123	110-122	1110-121	110-120	110,119
	Contractor	Committee	Contractor	Contractor/Steering Committee	Contractor/Steering Committee	Contractor/Steering Committee	Contractor/Steering Committee	Contractor/Steering Committee	Contractor/Steering Committee	Contractor/Steering Committee	Contractor/Steering Committee	Contractor/Steering Committee	Contractor/Steering Committee	Committee Committee

140	139	138 I	,	FEMA	Monito	136	135	134 6	Maintai	Informa
140 Host Monitoring Visits by FEMA	Compile/Submit Data for Categorical Assistance Progress Report (CAPR)	Compile/Submit Data for Biannual Strategy 138 Implementation Reports (BSIR)	Compile/Submit Data for Quarterly Financial Status Reports (FSR)	FEMA Grant Monitoring Process	Monitoring	Post Data Associated With All Plans Developed Under This Project	ldentify Individual(s) Responsible for Posting and Maintaining	Determine Location to Place All Plan Activity on Bay Area SUASI Website	Maintain/Update Website	Information Sharing
itering Vi	Submit D	Submit Di tation Re	SR)	nitoring		Associa ct	dividual(: IG	Locatio ebsite	e Websit	
sits by F	ata for C APR)	ata for Bi ports (B	ata for Q	Proces		ted With	s) Respo	1 to Plac	ତି	
∏MA	ategorica	annual S SIR)	Jarterly F	S		All Plans	nsible fo	a All Plan		
	Assista	trategy	inancial			Develop	Posting	Activity		
	⊒@ @		Status			ed Unde	and	on Bay /		
	Page 1		in in			7		\rea		
Hours	hours	220 hours	220 hours	hours		472 hours	10 hours	10 hours	hours	
5 days	40 days	40 days	40 days	455 days		444 days	4 days	5 days	455 days	
				S .		Ø			Ø.	
11/1/20	11/1/2009	11//1/2	11/1/2009	11/1/2009		11/12/2009	11/7/2009	11/1/2009	11/1/2009	
009	000	1/1/2009	009	009		009	009	009	009	
3/1	31	3/1	3/1	3/1		3/1	11/11	11/6	3/1	
3/1/2011	3/1/2011	3/1/2011	3/1/2011	3/1/2011		3/1/2011	1/11/2009	11/6/2009	3/1/2011	
NA	在自然的外往	14-136	14-136			90000	N/A	Z Š		
		6 F				134,135 P				
Program Manager/Staff	Program Manager/Staff	Program Manager/Staff	Program Manager/Staff			Program Manager/Staff	Program Manager/Staff	Program Manager/Staff		
Manage	Managei	Manage	Managei			Manager	Manager	Manager		
/Staff	Staff	/Staff	Staff			Staff	Staff	Staff		

14	`	14	14:	14:	14.	Grant Close Out Process	Grant Close Out
146 Prepare and Submit Final CAPR	'5 Prepare and Submit Final FSR	144 Submittal of Final Cash Reimbursement	143 Close Out all Contracts/Agreements	142 Process all Outstanding Invoices	141 Optain/Process Final Billing Documents from Contractors	1 C	ಗ
ep:	eps 3de	Tight	ose	000	otai	los	So
ē	ë.	ita B	ဥ	SS	n/Pi	Ö	0
bue	buk	of	ထို	<u>=</u>	900	H	1
Sut	Suk	Fine	S	S	SS	roc	
omit i	JI (T	ii C	<u>⊒</u>	ian.	ine	ess	
Ŧ	Fin	ash	Cts :	jijo	ED:		
al C	<u>a</u> =	Rei	Agr	₹	ling		
API	SR	mbo	ee	O C	D o		
2		íse:	ent	Ø	cum		
		ner	5		ent		7.
					sfrc		4
0.5	asia in Manja				ğ		
					ĝ		
				· A / 25 · E / A	Trac .		
				Z TUĞ	Ö.		(5)
		15.00					
							\$
					\$ 4000°		
				3 3	3	KE	
180 hours	180 180	140 hours	160 hours	160 hours	160 160	980 hours	
Ø.	Ø	S	S	S	¢r.	Ġ	
30	8	N 00	ယ္က	33	မ	98	
30 days	30 days	28 days	30 days	30 days	30 days	98 days	
S.	Ø.	ŝ	S.	S	Ś	ys.	
		ALBER WELL		100 Mg.	1. 900 1. 900		
				(3.) \ 20.00			
rn.		N	25			6.3	
5/1/201	5/1/201	4/3/201	3/2/201	3/2/201	3/2/201	3/2/201	
ğ	ğ	ğ	ğ	ğ	Ŏ,	Š	8
					7	 	
@ -1	6/1	51	42	4/2/	4/2/	6/1/	
201	6/1/2011	20	4/2/201	4/2/201	4/2/2011	6/1/2011	200
	<u></u>			40.00	7.00	 	
6/1/2014 141-145		5/1/2011 141 142 143 Program Manager/Staff		美金	14-140		
4	141-144	4	14-142	14-141	图		
1	E	2	13	.	6		
		ಏ					
7	7	Ð	P	P	P		
ğ	ğ	SID.	STEC	gre	STOC.		
	Program Manager/Staff	ă	Piogram Manager/Staff	Program Manager/Staff	Program Manager/Staff		
<u>a</u>		van en	lan an	Иan	Man		
age	age	age	age	age	age		
Į <u>š</u>	<u> </u>	ng:	lig S	120	in st		
Program Manager/Staff	#	af.	aff	aff	at.		20
				复色	lakti () Europi		
- market all	**************************************	** - 10 (00X)	are:	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			

		Regional Economic Recovery Planning P	mic Recover	y Planning Proj	roject Plan		
	Tasks	% Lal	Labor/ Work Duration	Start Date	End Date	Dependencies	Resources
Logis	Logistics Planning						
Vend	Vendor Selection	hours	rs 143 days	9/1/2009	1/29/2010		
	Develop Scope of Work	401	40 hours 20 days	9/1/2009	9/21/2009	N/A	Program Manager/Staff
N	2 Solicit Feedback/Finalize Scope of Work	109	60 hours 20 days	9/22/2009	10/12/2009		Program Manager/Staff
در	3 Develop RFP and post on website	241	24 hours 33 days	10/13/2009	11/45/2009	en distribution de la companya de la	Program Manager/Staff
4	Convene REP Review Committee/Conduct Interviews/Select Vendor	241	24 hours 10 days	11/16/2009	11/26/2009	23	Program Manager/Staff
ڻ. ت	Make Recommendations to Approval Authority for Vendor Selection		8 hours 10 days	12/1/2009	12/11/2009	4	Program ManagenStaff
တ	Select Vendor, Draft Contract and Conduct Contract Negotiations	40)	40 hours 20 days	12/12/2009	1/2/2010	5	Program Manager/Staff
7	Finalize Contract and Hire Contractor to Develop a Donations Management Plan and County/City Templates		40 hours 26 days	1/3/2010	7/29/2010		Program Manager/Staff
Estab	Establish Support System		rs 455 days	11/1/2009	3/1/2011		
œ	8 Identify Stakeholders (SMEs) for Project Participation	160 160	S	11/1/2009	1/20/2010	N/A	Program Manager/Staff
9	9 Solicit Stakeholder (SME) Participation	120: hours	rs 81 days	11/1/2009	1/20/2010	O	Program Manager/Staff
1	10 Establish Project Steering Committee	401	40 hours 10 days	1/5/2010	1/15/2010	89	Program Manager/Staff
	Sonvene Steering Committee for Project Development	321	32 hours 4 days	1/15/2010	1/19/2010	10	Program Manager/Staff
12	Conduct Project Kick Off Meeting with Vendor and 12 Steering Committee	 	8 hours 1 day	1/27/2010	1/28/2010	11	Program ManagenStaff and Contractors
ಪ	Conduct Regional Project Seminar and Regularly Scheduled Steering Committee Meetings Inclusive of 13 First Responders	104 hours	rs 397 days	0102/62/1	3/1/2011	12	Program Manager/Staff and Contractors

Deve	Development of Donations Management Plan	2405 hours 330 days	1/29/2010	12/24/2010		
***************************************	Review Findings From Previously Completed Hazard					
 4	2006 SUASI Program as well as the San Francisco Bay Area Earthquake Readiness Response: Concept of 14 Operations Plan	40 hours 25 days	1/29/2010	2/24/2010	NVA	Contractor
- <u>-</u> -	Identify. Solicit and Gather Donations Management Plans from Within the Bay Area	80 hours 25 days	1/29/2010	2/24/2010	NΛ	Contractor
16	Identify Plans on a National Basis and Determine Best Practices	80 hours 25 days	1/29/2010	2/24/2010	N/A	Contractor
	Conduct Research to Determine Applicability to the Bay Area	65 hours 20 days	2/25/2010	3/17/2010	14,15,16	Centractor
 ≅	Assess (Survey/Interview) the Current/Surge Capability of the Bay Area to Conduct Donations Management 18 Operations Following a Catastrophic Event	65 hours 20 days	2/25/2010	3/17/2010	14.15.16.17	Contractor
19	Research Applicable Laws: Rules and Regulations Surrounding Donations Management Operations Including Acceptance/Distribution of Cash and Non-Cash Donations	40 hours 20 days	2/25/2010	3/17/12010	ΑN	Contractor
20	Develop Governance Structures for the Effective 20 Utilization of Cash and Non-Cash Donations	40 hours 20 days	2/25/2010	3/17/2010	14-19	Contractor/Steering
21	Identify Mechanisms and Authorities for Activating Local State and Federal Resources	40 hours 20 days	2/25/2010	3/17/2010	14-20	Contractor/Steering
	Develop Protocols for Donations Management Operations Including Methods for Recycling and					Contractor/Steering
23 23	22 Redistribution of Donated Items Identify All Donations Management Phases Including 23 Logistics and Supply Chain Models	60 hours 23 days 45 hours 17 days	3/18/2010	4/10/2010 4/10/2010	14-21	Contractor/Steering Committee
	Determine the Most Probable Means of Transportation and Routes of Travel for the Movement and Staging of					Contractor/Steering

,

37	36	35 35	34	33	32	31	<u> </u>	29	28	27	26	25
37 Finalize Regional Donations Management Plan	36 Solicit Plan Feedback	Draft Regional Donations Management Plan	Identify Applicable MOUs, Mutual Aid Agreements/Contractual Agreements	Identify Support Structures/Partner Organizations and Private Sector Enterprise That Can Assist in Donation Management Activities		Identify Incident Command System Structures	Establish Procedures. To Integrate/Coordinate Law Enforcement Protective Action/Prevention Planning Into the Plan	Identify Staffing Needs and Sources of Obtaining Staff	Develop Protocols and Scripted Text for PIO/Risk Communication Messaging	Identify and Create Roles and Responsibilities for Local, State, Federal and Private Sector Entities Incorporated into the Plan	26 Identify and Create Goals and Objectives	25 Identify All Aspects of Mobilization and Demobilization
hours 30 days	40 hours 10 days	hours 122 days	40 hours 10 days	40 hours 10 days	40 hours 10 days	40 hours 10 days	80 hours 20 days	40 hours 10 days	40 hours 20 days	80 hours 20 days	60 hours 20 days	35 hours 17 days
11/24/2010	11/13/2010	7/14/2010	7/2/2010	6/21/2010	6/10/2010	6/10/2010	5/20/2010	6/10/2010	5/20/2010	5/20/2010	4/29/2010	4/11/2010
12/24/2010	11/23/2010	11/12/2010	7/12/2010	7/1/2010	6/20/2010	6/20/2010	6/9/2010	06/20/10	06/09/10	6/9/2010	5/19/2010	4/28/2010
36	35	19-34	N/A	19-32	19-30	NVA	19-29	19-28	14-27	14-26	14-25	14-24
Contractor	Committee	Contractor	Committee Committee	Contractor/Steering	Contractor/Steering Committee	Committee	Contractor/Steering Committee	Contractor/Steering	Committee	Contractor/Steering	Committee	Committee

ĩ	46	45	4	43	42	1	40	39	38	Deve Busir
Identify All Aspects of Activation and Deactivation	Identify Commonalities Within the Region for Possible Linking of Recovery and Resiliency Resources	Create an Inventory of Community Based Organization/Small Businesses in the Bay Area and Ascertain Resources Used to Support These Organizations	Develop Standardized Procedures for Requesting/Providing Assistance to Community Based Organizations/Small Businesses	Research Applicable Laws: Rules and Regulations Surrounding Recovery and Resiliency Operations Conducted Within Community Based Organizations/Small Businesses		Conduct Research to Determine Applicability to the Bay Area	Identify Plans on a National Basis and Determine Best 40 Practices	Identify, Solicit and Gather Recovery and Resiliency 39 Plans from Within the Bay Area	Review Findings From Previously Completed Hazard Identification/Risk Assessment Developed Under the 2006 SUASI Program as well as the San Francisco Bay Area Earthquake Readiness Response, Concept of 38 Operations Plan	Development of Community Based Organization/Small Business Recovery and Resiliency Plan
35 hours 17 days	45 hours 17 days	45 hours 17 days	60 nours 23 days	40 hours 20 days	65 hours 20 days	65 hours 20 days	80 hours 25 days	80 hours 25 days	40 hours 25 days	2295 hours 330 days
4/11/2010	3/24/2010	3/24/2010	3/18/2010	2/25/2010	2/25/2010	2/25/2010	1/29/2010	1/29/2010	1/29/2010	1/29/2010
4/28/2010	4/10/2010	4/10/2010	4/10/2010	3/17/2010	3/17/2010	3/17/2010	2/24/2010	2/24/2010	2/24/2010	12/24/2010
38-46.	45	NIA	38-43	N/A	38.39.40.41	38,39,40	NA	Α/N	NJN	
Contractor/Steering Committee	Contractor/Steering Committee	Contractor/Steering Committee	Contractor/Steering Committee	Contractor	Contractor	Contractor	Contractor	Contractor	Contractor	

58	57	56	55	54		52	51	50	49	48
Finalize Regional Community Based Organization/Small 58 BusinessRecovery and Resiliency Plan	57 Solicit Plan Feedback	Draft Regional Community Based Organization/Small 56 Business Recovery and Resiliency Plan	Identify Applicable MOUs, Mutual Aid 55 Agreements/Contractual Agreements	Identify Support Structures/Partner Organizations and Private Sector Enterprise	dentify Incident Command System Structures	52 Identify Staffing Needs, Sources of Obtaining Staff	Establish Procedures To Integrate/Coordinate Law Enforcement Protective Action/Prevention Planning Into the Plan	Develop Protocols and Scripted Text for PIO/Risk 50 Communication Messaging:	Identify and Create Roles and Responsibilities of Local. State, Federal and Private Sector Entities Incorporated 49 Into the Plan	48 Identify and Create Goals and Objectives
480 hoùrs 30 days 11/2	40 hours 10 days 11/1	800	40 hours 10 days 7/	40 hours 10 days 6/2	40 hours 10 days 6/1	40 hours 10 days 6/1	80 nours 20 days 5/2	40 hours 20 days 5/20/20	80 hours 20 days 5/2/	60 hours 20 days 4/2
11/24/2010 12/24/2010	11/13/2010 11/23/2010	7/14/2010 11/12/2010	7/2/2010 7/12/2010	6/21/2010 7/1/2010	6/10/2010 6/20/2010	6/10/2010 6/20/2010	5/20/2010 6/9/2010	0/2010 6/9/2010	5/20/2010 6/9/2010	4/29/2010 5/19/2010
57 Contractor	56 Committee	43-55 Contractor	N/A Committee	43-53 Committee	Contractor/Steering N/A Committee	43-51 Committee	Committee	Contractor/Steering 38-49 Committee	Contractor/Steering 38-48 Committee	Contractor/Steering 38-47 Committee

	72		70	69	68	67	66	65	County/C Roll-Out	64	3	62	61	60	59	Develo
	Final Plans are Developed and Distributed	Solicit Pian Feedback	70 Planners Draft County/Core City Plans	Monitoring/Oversight Provided to Planners by Project Program Managers During Plan Development		Assignments/Action Plans Developed and Given to the Planners	Develop Project Plan for County/Core City Template Roll Out	65 Host Regional Planner Kick Off Meeting:	County/Core City Donations Management Plan Template Roll-Out	64 Finalize County/Core City Local Plan Templates	Solicit Plan Feedback	Draft County/Core City Local Plan Templates	Identify Criteria Needed to Develop County/Core City Local Plan Templates	Review Component Modules of Each Newly Created Regional Plan	59 Review the RECP	Development of County/Core City Plan Templates
	120 hours	40	240 nours	244 hours	80	40	40	8 h	812 hours	200 hours	40	400 hours	80	80	40	840 hou
	urs 30 days	40 hours 10 days	urs 60 days	t urs 122 days	80 hours 77 days	40 hours 5 days		8 hours 1 day) urs 30 days	40 hours 10 days	urs 70 days	80 hours 25 days	80 hours 20 days	40 hours 5 days	840 hours 165 days
·	2/1/2011	7/21/2011	11/20/2010	8/15/2010	8/15/2010	8/9/2010	8/3/2010	8/1/2010	8/1/2010	11/24/2010	11/13/2010	9/4/2010	8/9/2010	7/19/2010	7//3/2010	7/13/2010
	3/1/2011	1/31/2011	1/20/2011	3/1/2011	3/1/2011	8/14/2010	8/8/2010	8/2/2010	3/1/2011	12/24/2010	11/23/2010	11/12/2010	9/3/2010	8/8/2010	7/18/2010	12/24/2010
	71	70	65-69	65,66,67,68	65,66,67	66	65	N/A		විය	62	59,60,61	59,60	14-58	N/A	
	Contractor/Planners	Contractor/Steering Committee/Program Manager/Staff/County-Core City Personnel	Contractor/Planners	Contractor/Program Manager/Staff	Contractor/Planners	Contractor/Program Manager/Staff	Contractor/Program Manager/Staff	Contractor/Program Manager/Staff		Confractor	Contractor/Steering Committee	Contractor	Contractor/Steering Committee	Contractor/Steering	Contractor/Steering Committee	
	S	n inty-Core	Ø	ח	Ø	7	1)	ī			G		g	<u>g</u>	S	

79	78	77		FEN,	Moni	75	74	73	Main	Infon
79 Host Monitoring Visits by FEMA		Compile/Submit Data for Bianni 77 Implementation Reports (BSIR)	Comp Repor	FEMA Grant Monitoring Process	Monitoring	Post Data A 75 This Project	identify indi 74 Maintaining		Maintain/Update Website	Information Sharing
Monitori	ile/Sub	lle/Subi nentati	Compile/Subm Reports (FSR)	Monit)ata.As roject	y Indivi Yining	nine Lo Website	date W	Sharin
ng Visi	Compile/Submit Data for or Progress Report (CAPR)	mit Dat on Rep	nit Dat	oring F		sociate	dual(s)	cation	ebsite	g
ls by Fi	PR)	a for Bi orts (B:	a for Q	roces		щмр	Respo	o Place		
MA	Compile/Submit Data for Categorical Assistance Progress Report (CAPR)	Compile/Submit Data for Biannual Strategy Implementation Reports (BSIR)	Compile/Submit Data for Quarterly Financial Status Reports (FSR)	6		Post Data Associated With All Plans Developed Under This Project	Identify Individual(s) Responsible for Posting and Maintaining	Determine Location to Place All Plan Activity on Bay Area UASI Website	٠	
	al Assi	Strates	Financ		10 M	ns Deve	or Post	an Activ		
	stance	y	al Stat			aloped	ing and	ity on		
			us			Under		ay Are		
								à		 8 8
					100					
Hours	220 hours	220 hours	hours	700 hours		472 hours	10 hours	10 hours	hours	
	No. 1					444	urs 4 days	urs 5 days		W 10 (10 m)
5 days	40 days	40 days	40 days	455 days		444 days	ays	ays	455 days	
			and a							
11/1/2009		11/1/2009	11/1/2009	11/1/2009		11/12/	1177	11/1/2009	11/1/2009	
2009	11/1/2009	2009	2009	2009	THE STATE OF	1/12/2009	11/7/2009	2009	2009	
		4							incrit derromantenamen erk	
3	ω	3	3	బ		Q	11/1	41	3/	
3/1/2011	3/1/2011	3/1/2011	3/1/2011	3/1/2011		3/1/2011	11/11/2009	11/6/2009	3/1/2011	
N/A	14-75	14-75	14-75			73,74	NA	S S		
Prog	Progr	Progr	Prog			Progr	Progr	Progi		
Program Manager/Staff	Program Manager/Staff	Program Manager/Staff	am Ma			Program Manager/Staff	Program Manager/Staff	am Ma		
nager/.	nager/	nager/.	nager/			nager/s	nager/.	nager/		
Staff	Staff	Staff	Program Manager/Staff			Staff	Staff	Program Manager/Staff		
					189			l .	L	

	(<u>ස</u>	83	83 8	83) ************************************)	ļ ·	Water.	85
のでは、これのでは、これのでは、10mm	CHOCO CAN AM COMMENCE OF COMME	Submittal of Final Cash Reimbursement	83 Submittal of Final Cash Reimbursement	Submittal of Final Cash Reimbursement	Submittal of Final Cash Reimbursement		*- Prepare and Submit Final FSR	ichair and capitile indivors		85 Prepare and Submit Final CAPR
Control of the second of the s										
The state of the s	140	140 hours	hours	hours	hours 180	180	hours		180	
The second second second second second	130	28 davs	28 days	28 days	28 days)) !	30 days	100000		30 days
The state of the s		4/3/2011	4/3/2011	4/3/2011	4/3/2011	1 2 2 2	5/1/2011	CITO		5/1/2011
	A Property of the Control of the Con	5/1/2011	5/4/2011	5/1/2011	5/1/2011	7,700 M	6/1/2011			
,		80.81.82	80,81,82	80,81,82	80.81.82	000	80,81,82,83	00,00,00,00		78 US
The state of the s	3	Program Manager/Staff	Program Manager/Staff	Program Manager/Staff	Program Wanager/Staff		Program Manager/Staff	- I was mile in the second		Program Manager/Staff

MEMORANDUM OF UNDERSTANDING BETWEEN

CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO; CITY OF OAKLAND; CITY OF SAN JOSE; ALAMEDA COUNTY; AND SANTA CLARA COUNTY

This Memorandum of Understanding ("MOU") dated for convenience of reference as of July 1, 2007, sets forth the agreements of the City and County of San Francisco, California ("San Francisco"), the City of Oakland, California ("Oakland"), the City of San Jose, California ("San Jose"), Alameda County, California ("Alameda"), and Santa Clara County, California ("Santa Clara"), relating to the application for and allocation and distribution of federal Urban Areas Security Initiative ("UASI") Program grant funds.

This MOU is made with reference to the following facts and circumstances:

- A. The above named cities and counties (collectively and individually, the "Parties") are committed to regional cooperation and coordination in building and sustaining capabilities to provide the highest feasible level of prevention, protection, response, and recovery from threats or acts of terrorism and other catastrophic events in the Bay Area region.
- B. On January 3, 2006, the United States Department of Homeland Security ("DHS") announced that it had combined the Parties and other Bay Area governmental entities into a combined Bay Area "Urban Area" or Super-UASI region ("SUASI region") for the purpose of application for and distribution of Fiscal Year 2006 UASI Program grant funds. DHS continued that SUASI region structure for application and distribution of Fiscal Year 2007 UASI Program grant funds. The Parties anticipate that DHS will continue to use the SUASI region structure for UASI Program grants in future fiscal years.
- C. For the Fiscal Year 2006 UASI Program, DHS required each Urban Area to establish an Urban Area Working Group ("UAWG") to act as an executive steering committee to provide overall governance of the homeland security program across the regional area encompassed within the defined Urban Area, to coordinate development and implementation of all UASI Program initiatives, and to ensure that all programmatic requirements associated with participation in the UASI Program were fulfilled. DHS continued the UAWG requirement in the Fiscal Year 2007 UASI Program.
- D. For the Fiscal Year 2006 UASI Program, DHS required also that the UAWG provide either direct or indirect representation for all the jurisdictions that comprise the Urban Area. DHS continued that requirement in the Fiscal Year 2007 UASI Program.
- E. DHS also required the UAWG to develop a methodology for allocating UASI Program funds throughout the SUASI region. That requirement continued into Fiscal Year 2007.
- F. In Fiscal Year 2006, the Parties committed to cooperate for the purposes of applying for, allocating and distributing the Fiscal Year 2006 UASI Program funds, and entered into a

Memorandum of Understanding Between City and County of San Francisco; City of Oakland; City of San Jose; Alameda County; and Santa Clara County, dated July 1, 2006 ("2006 MOU").

- G. The 2006 MOU created a layered governance structure, including an Approval Authority, an Advisory Group and a Management Team, assisted by various working groups based on the initiatives described in the SUASI region's Fiscal Year 2006 grant submission.
- H. The Parties wish to renew and update their existing agreements regarding the SUASI region mission, governance structure, responsibilities, reporting structure and financial arrangements, and to use the agreements, structures, processes and mechanisms agreed to below in applying for, allocating and distributing UASI Program grant funding for the Urban Area for Fiscal Year 2007 and future fiscal years.

ACCORDINGLY, the Parties agree as follows:

- 1. <u>Bay Area SUASI Region Approval Authority</u>: The Bay Area SUASI Region Approval Authority ("Approval Authority"), created by the Parties in the 2006 MOU, shall continue for the purposes and on the terms and conditions set forth below.
 - a. Membership. The Parties shall appoint members to the Approval Authority as follows:
 - i. City and County of San Francisco, CA 2 members, each with full voting authority.
 - ii. City of Oakland, CA I member with full voting authority.
 - iii. City of San Jose, CA I member with full voting authority.
 - iv. Alameda County, CA I member with full voting authority.
 - v. Santa Clara County, CA 1 member with full voting authority.
 - vi. The Parties shall invite the California Governor's Office of Emergency Services ("OES") Coastal Region to appoint 1 advisory member to the Approval Authority. The OES member shall have authority to vote only in the event of a tie vote among the other voting members. The role of the OES member shall be to facilitate Approval Authority and Management Team coordination with the State of California homeland security and emergency preparedness departments and services.
 - b. <u>Selection of Representatives</u>. Each Party is responsible for selecting its own representative(s) to the Approval Authority.
 - c. <u>Purpose</u>. The purpose of the Approval Authority is to provide effective UASI Program direction and governance and to coordinate UASI Program development and implementation for the SUASI region. The Approval Authority shall be responsible for

developing the SUASI region homeland security strategy, developing investment identifications and justifications, establishing funding allocation methodologies, approving all UASI Program grant applications, approving all UASI-funded projects and programs, and making the final decisions on allocation and distribution of UASI Program grant funds.

- d. <u>UAWG</u>. The Approval Authority, along with the Management Team and any advisory and working groups created by the General Manager, shall constitute the UAWG for the SUASI region.
- e. Quorum. The Approval Authority shall hold meetings and conduct business only when a quorum is present. A quorum shall mean a majority of the members of the Approval Authority with full voting authority (i.e., at least four of the six members with full voting authority). The presence of the OES representative shall not count towards the quorum requirement.
- f. <u>Decisionmaking</u>. Unless otherwise specified herein, all decisions of the Approval Authority requiring a vote of the Authority shall require the affirmative vote of a majority of the full-voting members of the Authority (i.e., at least four affirmative votes). Each full-voting member present at a meeting shall vote "yes" or "no" when a question is put, unless excused from voting by a motion adopted by a majority of the full-voting members present.
- g. Voting Authority. Except as provided herein, each member of the Approval Authority shall have one vote on all issues requiring a vote of the Approval Authority under this Agreement. These issues shall include (i) developing the SUASI region homeland security strategy, (ii) developing investment identifications and justifications, (iii) establishing funding allocation methodologies, (iv) approving the grant application, (v) approving UASI Program grant-funded programs, (vi) approving allocation and distribution of UASI Program grant funds, and (vii) other issues as determined by the Approval Authority. The California OES member shall have one vote, but may vote only in the event of a tie vote between the members with full voting authority.
- h. Other Federal Grants. The Approval Authority may decide to apply the agreements, structures, processes and mechanisms specified in this MOU in applying for, allocating and distributing other types of federal grant funding for the SUASI region. Any such decision shall be by unanimous vote of the Approval Authority.
- 2. <u>City and County of San Francisco Obligations</u>. During the term of this MOU, San Francisco will provide the following services to the Approval Authority:
 - a. Designate 2 individuals as full voting members of the Approval Authority.
 - b. Chair meetings of the Approval Authority.

- c. Serve as the SUASI region point of contact with the federal DHS and the California Office of Homeland Security ("OHS") in connection with UASI Program grant funding.
- d. Serve as the Fiscal Agent for the Approval Authority, and establish procedures and provide all financial services for distribution of UASI Program grant funds within the SUASI region.
- e. Serve as the grantee for UASI funds granted by the DHS and OHS to the SUASI region, and establish procedures and execute subgrant agreements for the distribution of UASI Program grant funds to jurisdictions selected by the Approval Authority to receive grant funding.
- 3. <u>City of Oakland Obligations</u>. During the term of this MOU, Oakland will provide the following services to the Approval Authority:
 - a. Designate I individual as a full voting member of the Approval Authority.
- 4. City of San Jose Obligations. During the term of this MOU, San Jose will provide the following services to the Approval Authority:
 - a. Designate 1 individual as a full voting member of the Approval Authority.
- 5. <u>Alameda County Obligations</u>. During the term of this MOU, Alameda will provide the following services to the Approval Authority:
 - a. Designate 1 individual as a full voting member of the Approval Authority.
- 6. Santa Clara County Obligations. During the term of this MOU, Santa Clara will provide the following services to the Approval Authority:
 - a. Designate I individual as a full voting member of the Approval Authority.
- 7. General Manager for the SUASI Region Program.
 - a. Subject to subsection (b) below, the Approval Authority has the authority by vote of the Authority to select, evaluate, discipline and remove a General Manager for the SUASI Program. The Approval Authority may establish by vote of the Authority the minimum qualifications for the General Manager position, as well as desired and preferred qualifications.
 - b. The General Manager shall be an employee, consultant or contractor of a Party or another governmental entity within the SUASI region, and shall be assigned to work full-time as the SUASI Program General Manager. The General Manager position shall be funded through grant funds to the extent funds are available and allocated by the Approval Authority for that purpose.

- c. The General Manager shall be responsible for implementing and managing the policy and program decisions of the Approval Authority, and performing other duties as determined and directed by the Approval Authority. The Approval Authority may establish by vote of the Authority additional job functions, duties and responsibilities for the General Manager position.
- d. The General Manager shall establish a process to ensure either direct or indirect representation for all jurisdictions that comprise the Urban Area. The process developed by the General Manager shall ensure direct representation for at least the following jurisdictions: Marin County, Sonoma County, Napa County, Solano County, Contra Costa County, Alameda County, Santa Clara County, Santa Cruz County, San Mateo County, the City and County of San Francisco, the City of Oakland, and the City of San Jose.
- e. The General Manager may establish discipline specific and/or geographically determined working groups to make comprehensive assessments and recommendations that address the target capability strengths and weaknesses on a regional basis. The Parties shall provide adequate personnel to participate on and support any working groups established by the General Manager.
- f. The General Manager shall coordinate and collaborate with the Bay Area Metropolitan Medical Response Systems, the Bay Area Transportation Security Working Group, the Bay Area Citizen Corps Councils, the Area Maritime Security Committee, and any other stakeholders identified by the Approval Authority or Management Team. As appropriate, the General Manager may invite stakeholders to participate in any advisory or working groups established by the General Manager.

8. Management Team for the SUASI Region Program.

- a. In consultation with the Approval Authority, the General Manager shall select, direct and manage a Management Team to support the Approval Authority and the SUASI region's initiatives and projects, and shall makes reasonable efforts to balance regional representation on the Team. The members of this Team shall be employees, consultants, or contractors of the Parties or other governmental entities within the SUASI region, and shall be assigned to serve full-time on the Management Team. The salaries of the employees, consultants or contractors selected to serve on the Management Team shall be funded through grant funds to the extent funds are available and allocated by the Approval Authority for that purpose.
- b. In addition to the members of the Management Team selected under subparagraph (a) above, the Fiscal Agent shall identify and designate an employee, consultant or contractor of the Fiscal Agent to serve as a member of the Management Team, to act as the liaison to the Fiscal Agent for the Management Team. The salary of that employee, consultant or contractor shall be funded through grant funds to the extent funds are available and allocated by the Approval Authority for that purpose.

- c. The Management Team, under the direction of the General Manager, shall perform the follow functions and duties:
 - i. Oversee and execute all administrative tasks associated with application for and distribution of UASI Program grant funds and programs.
 - ii. Coordinate, monitor and as appropriate manage all UASI Program grant-funded , projects and programs approved by the Approval Authority.
 - iii. Maintain all records associated with the activities of the Approval Authority, Management Team and any advisory and working groups, including but not limited to records regarding UASI Program grant application, funding and disbursement processes.
 - iv. Work with any advisory and working groups, as well as appropriate Bay Area stakeholders, to obtain input and make recommendations to the Approval Authority on application, allocation and distribution of UASI Program grant funds, and policy and programmatic objectives.
 - v. Coordinate and manage any advisory and working groups, and serve as the liaison between those groups.
- d. The Approval Authority may establish by vote of the Authority additional functions, duties and responsibilities for the Management Team.
- 9. Grants and Contracts Awarded for UASI Grant-Funded Projects. All grants and contracts awarded using UASI Program grant funds received by the SUASI region shall conform to all applicable federal and state grant and contracting requirements. Grants and contracts shall be awarded for particular projects and program, as follows:
 - a. The Approval Authority shall determine the projects and programs to be funded, and shall decide which jurisdictions in the SUASI region will receive grants funds ("subrecipients"), the amount of funds allocated and the projects or programs to be funded. A jurisdiction may decline to receive grant funds for a project allocated to that jurisdiction by the Approval Authority. If a jurisdiction does so, the Management Team shall notify the Approval Authority, which shall reallocate the grant funds for that project to an alternative subrecipient.
 - b. San Francisco shall be the primary grantee of UASI Program grant funds. The Management Team shall inform San Francisco of the Approval Authority's grant allocation determinations, and request that San Francisco disburse the funds pursuant to the Approval Authority's direction.
 - c. San Francisco shall disburse grant funds to subrecipients pursuant to guidelines and requirements established by San Francisco in its capacity as fiscal agent and primary subgrantee.

- d. Each subrecipient shall be responsible for all aspects of the UASI grant-funded projects and programs allocated to that jurisdiction by the Approval Authority, including contracting, project management, ensuring compliance with federal and state grant requirement and decisions of the Approval Authority, equipment purchase, installation and maintenance, and any permitting, environmental or other requirements necessary for any project or program approved by Approval Authority for that jurisdiction.
- e. Before issuing any Request for Proposal related to a UASI grant-funded project or program, a subrecipient shall coordinate with the Management Team to ensure that the Request For Proposal, including the scope of work, meets the SUASI Program requirements for the project or program. After approval from the Management Team, each subrecipient shall select a contractor and issue the contract under its own contracting authority and process. In addition, subrecipients shall coordinate with the Management Team as requested by the Management Team, to allow the Management Team to coordinate, monitor and as appropriate manage UASI grant-funded projects and programs across the SUASI region.
- f. For regional projects, the Management Team shall ensure coordination of the projects and programs allocated to the individual subrecipient jurisdictions.
- 10. Fiscal Agent. All requests for funding or reimbursement from the Fiscal Agent shall meet guidelines and requirements established by the Fiscal Agent. The guidelines shall include requirements for record keeping, internal audits, signature authority for approval of reimbursement requests, submission of financial reports, and compliance with professional accounting standards. The Fiscal Agent shall be entitled to reasonable costs for services performed. Periodically, the Fiscal Agent may submit request for payment, which the Approval Authority shall review and approve within thirty (30) days.
- 11. Indemnification. In lieu of and not withstanding the pro rata risk allocation that might otherwise be imposed between the Parties pursuant to Government Code Section 895.6, the Parties agree that all Losses (as defined below) incurred by a Party in connection with this MOU or the activities contemplated by this MOU shall not be shared pro rata but instead the Parties agree that pursuant to Government Code Section 895.4, each of the Parties hereto shall fully indemnify and hold each of the other Parties, including, without limitation, their officers, board members, employees and agents, harmless from any Losses imposed for injury (as defined by Government Code Section 810.8) arising in connection with the negligent acts or omissions or willful misconduct of the indemnifying party, including, without limitation, its officers, board members, employees or agents, under or in connection with or arising out of any work, authority or jurisdiction delegated to such Party under this Agreement. No Party, including, without limitation, any officer, board member, employee or agent thereof, shall be responsible for any Losses occurring by reason of the negligent acts or omissions or willful misconduct of other Parties hereto, including, without limitation, their officers, board members, employees or agents, under or in connection with or arising out of any work, authority or jurisdiction delegated to such other Parties under this Agreement. For purposes of this Section, Losses shall mean any and all claims, demands, losses, liabilities,

damages (including foreseeable and unforeseeable consequential damages to the extent arising from third party claims), liens, obligations, interest, injuries, penalties, fines, lawsuits and other proceedings, judgments and awards and costs and expenses (including, without limitation, reasonable attorneys' fees and costs, and consultants' fees and costs) of whatever kind or nature, known or unknown, contingent or otherwise.

- 12. <u>Term.</u> This MOU shall take effect on the Effective Date as described in Section 17 below and shall extend until December 31, 2010, unless sooner terminated as provided below ("Term").
- 13. <u>Termination.</u> Any Party may terminate this MOU in the event that another Party breaches a material provision hereof and the breaching Party does not cure the breach complained of within thirty (30) days following receipt of written notice of the breach. In addition, any Party may terminate its participation in this Agreement by providing 30-days advance written notice of its termination to all Parties; the Agreement shall continue in effect between with the remaining Parties.

In addition, the Approval Authority may terminate this MOU at any time, for convenience and without cause, by unanimous vote. Any such action of the Approval Authority shall specify the date on which the termination shall become effective.

- 14. <u>Jurisdiction and Venue</u>. The laws of the State of California shall govern the interpretation and performance of this MOU. Venue for any litigation relating to the formation, interpretation or performance of this MOU shall be in San Francisco, CA.
- 15. <u>Modification</u>. This MOU may not be modified, nor may compliance with any of its terms be waived, except by written instrument executed and approved in the same manner as this MOU.
- 16. <u>Interpretation</u>. This MOU shall be deemed to have been prepared equally by all Parties and its individual provisions shall not be construed or interpreted more favorably for one Party on the basis that the other Parties prepared it.
- 17. Effective Date. Upon approval by the governing bodies of each of the Parties, this MOU shall become effective retroactive to July 1, 2007.
- 18. Complete Agreement. This is a complete agreement and supersedes any prior oral or written agreements of the Parties regarding the process for applying for and distributing UASI Program grant funding for the SUASI region. Specifically, this agreement supersedes the 2006 MOU.

19. Notice.

a. Any notices required hereunder shall be given as follows:

If to the City and County of San Francisco, to:

Laura Phillips, Executive Director Department of Emergency Management 1011 Turk Street San Francisco, CA 94102 (415) 558-3800 Laura.phillips@sfgov.org

and

Gary Massetani, Deputy Chief of Administration Fire Department 698 Second Street San Francisco, CA 94107 (415) 558-3411 Gary.massetani@sfgov.org

If to the City of Oakland, to:

Renee A. Domingo, Director of Emergency Services 1605 Martin Luther King Jr. Way, 2nd Floor Oakland, CA 94612 (510) 238-3939 RADomingo@oaklandnet.com

If to the City of San Jose, to:

Kimberly Shunk, Emergency Preparedness Director 855 N. San Pedro St. #404 San Jose, CA 95110-1718 (408) 277-4595 kimberly.shunk@sanjoseca.gov

If to Alameda County, to:

Richard T. Lucia, Undersheriff Alameda County Sheriff's Office 1401 Lakeside Drive 12th Floor Oakland, CA 94612 (510) 272-6868 Office rlucia@acgov.org If to Santa Clara County, to:

Bruce H. Lee, Interim Director Office of Emergency Services 55 W. Younger Avenue San Jose, CA 95110 (408) 808-7802 bruce.lee@oes.sccgov.org

If to State of California, OES, to:

John Anderson, FAIA, Regional Administrator Governor's Office of Emergency Services Coastal Region and CISN & Earthquake Program 1300 Clay Street, Suite 400 Oakland, California 94612 (510) 286-0888 john_anderson@oes.ca.gov

- b. Notices shall be deemed given when received if given in person, by facsimile or by electronic means (if a record of receipt is kept by the sending party showing the date and time of receipt) or three (3) days following deposit in the United States Mail, postage prepaid, to the address set forth above.
- c. Any Party may change its contact individual and/or address for notice by giving written notice of the change to the other Parties and the SUASI Program General Manager.

The individuals executing this MOU represent and warrant that they have the legal capacity and authority to do so on behalf of their respective legal entities.

The undersigned approve the terms and conditions of this MOU.

City and County of Sa	n Francisco, California
Signature: Jun de	Philips
Ву:	<u>`</u>
Title:	

City of Oakland, California	
Signature: January	
Ву:	
Title: OES Director	
City of San Jose, California	
Signature: Mani Man	APPROVED AS TO PRINT
By: Nadine Nader	Brian Doyle
Title:Assistant to the City Manager	Senior Deputy City
Alameda County, California	
Signature: Oregay & Ale	Notice of as to Form FIGHERD E. WINNIE_County Counsel
Ву:	
Title: Sheriff	or Many Ferter
Santa Clara County, California	.
Signature Rose	
By: BRUCE H, LEE	
THE ALL ALL ALL ALL ALL ALL ALL ALL ALL AL	