

FILE NO: 190942

Petitions and Communications received from August 30, 2019, through September 9, 2019, for reference by the President to Committee considering related matters, or to be ordered filed by the Clerk on September 17, 2019.

Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information will not be redacted.

From the Office of the Mayor, pursuant to Charter, Section 3.100(18), making the following reappointment: Copy: Each Supervisor. (1)

- Eleanor Johns - Airport Commission - term ending August 31, 2023

From the Office of Contract Administration, submitting the FY2018-2019 4th Quarter Proposition Q Analysis. Copy: Each Supervisor. (2)

From the Office of the Controller City Services Auditor, submitting a report on an audit of Travelex Currency Services Inc. Copy: Each Supervisor. (3)

From Public Works, pursuant to Public Works Code, Section 2732, Article 27, submitting the Surface Mounted Permit Report. Copy: Each Supervisor. (4)

From the Office of Contract Management, submitting an Administrative Code, Section 12B, waiver request. Copy: Each Supervisor. (5)

From Aaron Goodman, regarding Muni flyers. Copy: Each Supervisor. (6)

From Thomas J. Busse, regarding ordering the street vacation of the entirety of Seawall 337. Copy: Each Supervisor. (7)

From John-Casey O'Donnell, regarding homeless sweeps. Copy: Each Supervisor. (8)

From Martin Alperen, regarding police traffic law enforcement. Copy: Each Supervisor. (9)

From Bhanu Vikram, submitting comments for Vision Zero. 2 letters. Copy: Each Supervisor. (10)

From Austin Graham, State and Local Program at Campaign Legal Center, regarding the proposed Ordinance to amend San Francisco's Public Financing Program. File No. 190660. Copy: Each Supervisor. (11)

From Bill Lee, on behalf of the Chinese Consolidated Association also known as the Chinese Six Companies, submitting a letter regarding Chinatown Rose Pak Station. (12)

From Laura B. Embleton, regarding San Francisco's treatment of massage therapists.
Copy: Each Supervisor. (13)

From concerned citizens, regarding a proposed project at 258 Noe Street. 5 letters. (14)

From concerned citizens, regarding the legislation declaring the National Rifle Association as a domestic terrorist organization. File No. 190841. 175 letters. (15)

From: [Mchugh, Eileen \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#); [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#); [Givner, Jon \(CAT\)](#); [Kittler, Sophia \(MYR\)](#); [Karunaratne, Kanishka \(MYR\)](#)
Subject: TIME SENSITIVE: Mayoral Appointment, Charter 3.100(18)
Date: Thursday, September 5, 2019 12:41:00 PM
Attachments: [Clerk's Memo 9.5.19.pdf](#)
[Mayoral Reappointment 9.5.19.pdf](#)

Hello,

The Office of the Mayor submitted the attached complete appointment package, pursuant to Charter Section 3.100(18). Please see the attached memo from the Clerk of the Board for more information and instructions.

Thank you,

Eileen McHugh
Executive Assistant
Board of Supervisors
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102-4689
Phone: (415) 554-7703 | Fax: (415) 554-5163
eileen.e.mchugh@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

BOARD of SUPERVISORS



City Hall
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco 94102-4689
Tel. No. 554-5184
Fax No. 554-5163
TDD/TTY No. 554-5227

MEMORANDUM

Date: September 5, 2019
To: Members, Board of Supervisors
From: *ACE* Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board
Subject: Mayoral Reappointment

On September 4, 2019, the Mayor submitted the following complete reappointment package, pursuant to Charter, Section 3.100(18):

- Eleanor Johns - Airport Commission - term ending August 31, 2023

This reappointment is effective immediately unless rejected by a two-thirds vote of the Board of Supervisors. Pursuant to Board Rule 2.18.3, a Supervisor may request a hearing on a Mayoral appointment by notifying the Clerk in writing.

Upon receipt of such notice, the Clerk shall refer the appointment to the Rules Committee so that the Board may consider the appointment and act within 30 days of the appointment as provided in Charter, Section 3.100(18).

If you are interested in requesting a hearing on this reappointment, please notify me in writing by **5:00 p.m. on Tuesday, September 10, 2019.**

c: Hillary Ronen - Rules Committee Chair
Alisa Somera - Legislative Deputy
Victor Young - Rules Clerk
Jon Givner - Deputy City Attorney
Sophia Kittler - Mayor's Legislative Liaison

OFFICE OF THE MAYOR
SAN FRANCISCO



LONDON N. BREED
MAYOR

Notice of Reappointment

August 22, 2019

San Francisco Board of Supervisors
City Hall, Room 244
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102

Honorable Board of Supervisors:

Pursuant to Charter Section 3.100(18), of the City and County of San Francisco, I make the following reappointment:

Eleanor Johns to the Airport Commission for a four year term ending August 31, 2023.

I am confident that Ms. Johns will serve our community well. Attached are her qualifications to serve, which demonstrate how her reappointment represents the communities of interest, neighborhoods and diverse populations of the City and County of San Francisco.

Should you have any question about this appointment, please contact my Director of Commission Affairs, Kanishka Karunaratne Cheng, at 415.554.6696.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in blue ink, appearing to read "London Breed", written over a horizontal line.

London N. Breed
Mayor

RECEIVED
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
SAN FRANCISCO
2019 SEP -4 PM 1:50
BY Handwritten initials in blue ink, possibly "W", written over a horizontal line.

From: [Leslie, Jessica \(ADM\)](#)
To: [Leslie, Jessica \(ADM\)](#)
Subject: Prop Q FY1819 Q4 Report
Date: Tuesday, September 3, 2019 1:18:16 PM
Attachments: [PropQ FY1819 Q4 Report.pdf](#)

Good Afternoon,

Please see the attached Prop Q Analysis for 4th Quarter Fiscal Year 2018/2019. The report focuses on the top 10 departments with regard to spending, procurement categories, vendors, and LBE v. Non-LBE.

If you have any questions regarding any of the information contained in this report please contact Jessica Leslie at 415-554-7799 or via email at Jessica.leslie@sfgov.org.

Thank you,

Jessica Leslie
Administrative Analyst
Office of Contract Administration
(415) 554-7799 (Direct)

Proposition Q Analysis

September 2019
Office of Contract Administration

4th Quarter Fiscal Year 2018/2019

Alaric Degrafinried
Director and Purchaser

City and County of
San Francisco

City Hall, Room 430
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Pl.
San Francisco, CA 94102

Tel: (415) 554.6743
Fax: (415) 554.6717
Email: oca@sfgov.org
www.sfgov.org/oca



What are Prop Q Purchases?

Pursuant to Chapter 21.03(a) of the Administrative Code, the Purchaser has delegated signature and approval authority to City departments for certain classes of commodities and general services (currently up to \$10,000 including tax and shipping)*. These purchases are commonly referred to citywide as “Delegated Departmental Purchases” or, more often, “Prop Q Purchases.”

When exercising their Prop Q purchasing authority, departments are allowed to make certain purchases without a competitive bidding process but must exercise “good judgement” when placing their orders.

The following report is a summary of the Prop Q purchases that were made during the last quarter. The Office of Contract Administration (OCA), in collaboration with the Controller’s Office, periodically review Prop Q transactions to ensure compliance with the stated rules and regulations associated with these purchases.

* See, Rules and Regulation Pertaining to the San Francisco Administrative Code, Chapter 21, Regulation 21.03(a).



Prop Q Purchases for 4th Q FY 2018/19

Quick Snapshot


Total Prop Q Purchases (\$):	\$20.8 M
Total Prop Q Purchases from LBEs (\$):	\$5.7 M (27%)
Total Number of Transactions:	12,630
Total Number of Transactions with LBEs:	3697 (29%)
Total Number of Prop Q Vendors:	1674
Total Number of LBE Prop Q Vendors:	340 (20%)



Proposition Q Procurement Analysis (Q1-Q4 Fiscal Year 2018/19) Total CCSF

\$91.5 Million	
52196 Transactions	
LBE:	\$23.5 Million (26%)
Non-LBE:	\$68 Million (74%)

DEPARTMENT SPENDING
% OF TOTAL PROP Q




Top 10 Procurement Categories		
Description	\$	% of Total
Automotive Vehicles And Related Transportation Equipment	\$9,097,865	10%
Health Care Services (Not Otherwise Classified)	\$3,831,670	4%
General Maintenance And Repair, Vehicle	\$1,820,784	2%
Plumbing Equipment, Fixtures, And Supplies	\$1,683,977	2%
Hospital And Surgical Equipment, Instruments, And Supplies	\$1,543,282	2%
Medical And Dental Equipment And Supplies	\$1,073,303	1%
Furniture: Office	\$1,034,963	1%
Hardware And Related Items	\$1,010,510	1%
Repair Kits, Hospital And Medical Equipment	\$864,812	1%
Electrical Equipment And Supplies (Except Cable And Wire)	\$852,414	1%

Proposition Q Procurement Analysis (4th Q Fiscal Year 2018/19) Total CCSF

\$20.8 Million	
12,630 Transactions	
LBE:	\$5.7 Million (27%)
Non-LBE:	\$15.1 Million (73%)

DEPARTMENT SPENDING % OF TOTAL PROP Q



Top 10 Procurement Categories		
Description	\$	% of Total
Automotive Vehicles And Related Transportation Equipment	\$1,953,978	9%
General Maintenance And Repair, Vehicle	\$362,240	2%
Hospital And Surgical Equipment, Instruments, And Supplies	\$358,057	2%
Laboratory Supplies: Asbestos Squares, Corks, Files, Glass C	\$357,374	2%
Plumbing Equipment, Fixtures, And Supplies	\$356,984	2%
Electrical Equipment And Supplies (Except Cable And Wire)	\$230,988	1%
Hardware And Related Items	\$224,371	1%
Medical And Dental Equipment And Supplies	\$200,570	1%
Printing And Typesetting Services	\$198,601	1%
Furniture: Office	\$189,933	1%

Prop Q Procurement Analysis (4th Q Fiscal Year 2018/19)

PUC

\$2.9 Million	
2323 Transactions	
LBE:	\$494,229
Non-LBE:	\$2,423,190

Top 10 Procurement Categories		
Description	\$	% of Total
Laboratory Supplies: Asbestos Squares, Corks, Files, Glass C	\$211,305	7%
Plumbing Equipment, Fixtures, And Supplies	\$186,896	6%
Electrical Equipment And Supplies (Except Cable And Wire)	\$158,779	5%
Miscellaneous Services, No. 1 (Not Otherwise Classified)	\$75,028	3%
Miscellaneous Products (Not Otherwise Classified)	\$68,896	2%
First Aid And Safety Equipment And Supplies (Except Nuclear)	\$52,392	2%
Machinery And Hardware, Industrial	\$34,567	1%
Electronic Equipment, Components, Parts, And Accessories	\$29,406	1%
Generator Rental Or Lease	\$28,514	1%
Transportation Of Goods And Other Freight Services	\$28,508	1%

Prop Q Spend per Quarter*



* \$ in millions

Top 10 Vendors		
Description	\$	% of Total
R & B Company	\$134,683	5%
Evantec Corp	\$108,891	4%
Independent Electric Supply Inc	\$85,929	3%
Cal-steam A Wolseley Company	\$84,946	3%
VWR International LLC	\$76,824	3%
Power Plant Supply	\$58,089	2%
Cedar Sales	\$57,157	2%
Bearing Engineering Co	\$52,778	2%
Government Scientific Source	\$52,342	2%
Gilmore Services	\$51,082	2%


Prop Q Procurement Analysis (4th Q Fiscal Year 2018/19)

DPH

\$2.9 Million	
1784 Transactions	
LBE:	\$686,516
Non-LBE:	\$2,227,309

Top 10 Procurement Categories		
Description	\$	% of Total
Hospital And Surgical Equipment, Instruments, And Supplies	\$347,643	12%
Medical And Dental Equipment And Supplies	\$195,322	7%
Repair Kits, Hospital And Medical Equipment	\$185,284	6%
Janitorial Supplies, General Line, Environmentally Certified	\$166,130	6%
Well Equipment And Supply Manufacturing Services	\$108,790	4%
Clinical Laboratory Reagents And Tests	\$73,349	3%
All Categories	\$56,732	2%
Furniture: Office	\$53,147	2%
Alarm Equipment Maintenance/Repair (Fire, Etc.)	\$51,184	2%
Service Awards, Specialty Type	\$44,430	2%

Prop Q Spend per Quarter*



* \$ in millions

Top 10 Vendors		
Description	\$	% of Total
Santora Sales	\$174,021	6%
Irhythm Technologies Inc	\$108,790	4%
Abco Mechanical Contractors Inc	\$85,957	3%
Cepheid	\$74,863	3%
Toptek Micro Center Inc	\$68,975	2%
S F Dental Supply LLC	\$58,066	2%
Siemens Industry Inc	\$51,613	2%
Laerdal Medical Corp	\$49,281	2%
Q Surgical LLC	\$46,657	2%
Labrador Integrated Systems Inc	\$45,275	2%


Prop Q Procurement Analysis (4th Q Fiscal Year 2018/19)

REC

\$2.8 Million	
1163 Transactions	
LBE:	\$758,897
Non-LBE:	\$2,059,468

Top 10 Procurement Categories		
Description	\$	% of Total
Hardware And Related Items	\$120,055	4%
Amusement, Decorations, Entertainment, Gifts, Toys, Etc.	\$90,185	3%
Janitorial Supplies, General Line	\$88,477	3%
Sand And Gravel	\$75,383	3%
Rental Or Lease Of General Equipment	\$72,052	3%
Construction Services, General (Incl. Maintenance And Repair	\$68,951	2%
Agriculture Equipment And Commodities	\$68,922	2%
Event Planning Services	\$59,011	2%
Garden Hand Tools (Not Otherwise Specified)	\$53,959	2%
Party, Holiday, And Event Decorating Services	\$52,995	2%

Prop Q Spend per Quarter*



■ Non-LBE □ LBE

* \$ in millions

Top 10 Vendors		
Description	\$	% of Total
The Urban Farmer Store, LP	\$115,377	4%
Alpine Awards And Advertising Inc	\$100,119	4%
Brown Sand Inc	\$82,250	3%
Romeo Packing Co	\$78,154	3%
Turf Star Inc	\$76,116	3%
Recreation Safari	\$72,380	3%
H Y Floor Hardwood Floors	\$70,943	3%
Ewing Irrigation Products Inc	\$69,377	2%
Roberts Hardware	\$67,743	2%
San Francisco Parks Alliance	\$67,584	2%


Prop Q Procurement Analysis (4th Q Fiscal Year 2018/19)

MTA

\$2.7 Million	
1116 Transactions	
LBE:	\$1,157,658
Non-LBE:	\$1,596,457

Top 10 Procurement Categories		
Description	\$	% of Total
Automotive Vehicles And Related Transportation Equipment	\$1,944,066	71%
General Maintenance And Repair, Vehicle	\$361,789	13%
Professional Services (Not Otherwise Classified)	\$116,932	4%
Newspaper And Publication Advertising	\$46,999	2%
All Categories	\$17,405	1%
Aluminum: Bars, Plates, Posts, Rods, Sheets, Siding, Strips,	\$14,571	1%
Rainwear (Raincoats, Hats, Slicker Suits, Storm Suits, Umbrellas)	\$14,281	1%
Instructor-led, Classroom Training (Technical)	\$11,070	0%
Service Charges	\$10,134	0%
Traffic Signals And Equipment, Electric Parts	\$9,874	0%

Prop Q Spend per Quarter*



■ Non-LBE □ LBE

* \$ in millions

Top 10 Vendors		
Description	\$	% of Total
Vortech Industries	\$590,267	21%
Westwind Trading Co	\$201,961	7%
Industrial Solutions	\$145,938	5%
JBR Partners Inc	\$116,932	4%
Mallory Safety & Supply LLC	\$110,307	4%
Cummins Pacific LLC	\$102,173	4%
T & S Trading & Enterprise Co	\$87,843	3%
The Aftermarket Parts Company LLC	\$57,168	2%
Peninsula Color Service LLC	\$41,078	1%
Vehicle Maintenance Program Inc	\$39,100	1%


Prop Q Procurement Analysis (4th Q Fiscal Year 2018/19)

DPW

\$1.7 Million	
1855 Transactions	
LBE:	\$1,007,938
Non-LBE:	\$777,524

Top 10 Procurement Categories		
Description	\$	% of Total
Chemicals And Solvents, Commercial (In Bulk)	\$127,513	7%
Plumbing Equipment, Fixtures, And Supplies	\$104,760	6%
HVAC Equipment, Accessories And Supplies	\$63,068	4%
Bricks, Clay, Refractory Materials, Stone, And Tile Product	\$49,305	3%
Locks, Key Blanks, And Locksmith Tools	\$41,372	2%
Personal Protective Equipment (PPE), (Bloodborne Pathogen)	\$40,232	2%
Sand And Gravel	\$39,974	2%
Nursery (Plants) Stock, Equipment, And Supplies	\$39,781	2%
Construction Materials (Not Otherwise Classified)	\$37,552	2%
Traffic Cones, Lane Markers, And Barricades (Portable)	\$37,052	2%

Prop Q Spend per Quarter*



* \$ in millions

Top 10 Vendors		
Description	\$	% of Total
Speedy's Hardware	\$157,641	9%
Your Green Source LLC	\$155,772	9%
Sigillo Supply Inc	\$100,785	6%
R & H Wholesale Supply Inc	\$95,440	5%
III Generation Fence	\$57,629	3%
Fluid Gauge Company	\$56,713	3%
Lyngso Garden Materials	\$53,149	3%
Stronggo Industries LLC	\$49,524	3%
Mallory Safety & Supply LLC	\$48,022	3%
Peacock Interiors & Gallery Inc	\$47,604	3%


Prop Q Procurement Analysis (4th Q Fiscal Year 2018/19)

ADM

\$1.7 Million	
761 Transactions	
LBE:	\$410,918
Non-LBE:	\$1,352,648

Top 10 Procurement Categories		
Description	\$	% of Total
Parts And Accessories, Automotive, Miscellaneous	\$143,065	8%
Laboratory Supplies: Asbestos Squares, Corks, Files, Glass C	\$141,385	8%
Toilet Tissues, Paper Towels, And Toilet Seat Covers	\$66,357	4%
Printing And Typesetting Services	\$58,059	3%
Appraisal Services, Real Estate	\$31,000	2%
HVAC Equipment, Accessories And Supplies	\$29,961	2%
Pharmaceuticals, Veterinary, Animal: Drugs, Vaccines, Etc.	\$29,923	2%
Card Access Security Services	\$28,247	2%
HVAC Systems Maintenance And Repair, Power Plant	\$28,166	2%
Automotive And Trailer Equipment And Parts	\$24,487	1%

Prop Q Spend per Quarter*



■ Non-LBE □ LBE

* \$ in millions

Top 10 Vendors		
Description	\$	% of Total
Santora Sales	\$105,764	6%
Waxie Sanitary Supply	\$85,556	5%
Acco Engineered Systems Inc	\$64,883	4%
Southland Medical LLC	\$58,837	3%
Microbiz Security Co Inc	\$57,424	3%
Calitho	\$56,759	3%
Mesa Energy Systems, Inc	\$48,200	3%
M W I Veterinary Supply Co	\$38,175	2%
Vortech Industries	\$35,202	2%
Grainger	\$32,527	2%


Prop Q Procurement Analysis (4th Q Fiscal Year 2018/19)

AIR

\$892K	
325 Transactions	
LBE:	\$162,836
Non-LBE:	\$729,978

Top 10 Procurement Categories		
Description	\$	% of Total
Printing And Typesetting Services	\$73,514	8%
Painting Equipment And Accessories	\$28,223	3%
Builder's Supplies	\$26,244	3%
Locks And Keys	\$18,620	2%
Foods: Perishable	\$17,792	2%
Display, Exhibit, And Promotional Materials	\$17,625	2%
Transportation Of Goods And Other Freight Services	\$15,574	2%
Building Maintenance, Installation And Repair Services	\$15,510	2%
Police Equipment Maintenance And Repair	\$12,500	1%
First Aid And Safety Equipment And Supplies (Except Nuclear)	\$11,321	1%

Prop Q Spend per Quarter*



* \$ in millions

■ Non-LBE □ LBE


Top 10 Vendors		
Description	\$	% of Total
Interstate Traffic Control Products Inc	\$41,609	5%
San Francisco PaintSource Inc	\$41,600	5%
Cartwright Design Studio	\$34,581	4%
Adora Corp	\$28,326	3%
Essence Printing	\$25,327	3%
Midwest Sign & Screen Printing Supply Co	\$25,304	3%
Signature Marketing	\$24,457	3%
Assa Abloy Entrance Systems	\$20,975	2%
TPH Supply LLC	\$18,902	2%
R & B Company	\$16,854	2%

Prop Q Procurement Analysis (4th Q Fiscal Year 2018/19)

POL

\$817K	
533 Transactions	
LBE:	\$50,586
Non-LBE:	\$766,654

Prop Q Spend per Quarter*



* \$ in millions

Top 10 Procurement Categories		
Description	\$	% of Total
Police And Prison Equipment And Supplies	\$90,865	11%
Police Protection Equipment (Body Armor And Riot Shields)	\$45,001	6%
Automotive Accessories For Automobiles, Buses, Trailers	\$40,767	5%
Gasoline, Aviation (Including Jet Fuel)	\$31,420	4%
Service Charges	\$26,435	3%
Food	\$24,919	3%
Advertising/Public Relations (Incl. Skywriting)	\$20,904	3%
Workstations: SPARC, RISC, Etc. (For Use With CAD/CAM, Etc.)	\$19,392	2%
Laboratory Equipment, Accessories And Supplies: General	\$19,190	2%
Hats And Helmets, Safety (Incl. Fire Helmets)	\$17,946	2%

Top 10 Vendors		
Description	\$	% of Total
Blaisdell's Business Products	\$152,250	19%
L C Action Police Supply	\$62,437	8%
Ads Inc	\$34,149	4%
Ferrellgas LP	\$31,420	4%
Mallory Safety & Supply LLC	\$25,813	3%
Victory Tactical Gear LLC	\$25,056	3%
PSE - Public Safety Equipment	\$23,556	3%
LinkedIn Corporation	\$20,904	3%
Life-assist Inc	\$19,336	2%
VWR International LLC	\$15,057	2%


Prop Q Procurement Analysis (4th Q Fiscal Year 2018/19)

PRT

\$591K	
553 Transactions	
LBE:	\$252,928
Non-LBE:	\$338,954

Top 10 Procurement Categories		
Description	\$	% of Total
Hand Tools (Powered And Non-powered), Accessories And Supplies	\$28,518	5%
Stainless Steel: Bars, Plates, Rods, Sheets, Strips, Tubes	\$28,246	5%
Paint, Protective Coatings, Varnish, Wallpaper, And Related	\$28,186	5%
Aluminum: Bars, Plates, Posts, Rods, Sheets, Siding, Strips	\$21,615	4%
Hardware And Related Items	\$17,352	3%
Inspection And Certification Services	\$15,460	3%
Structural Shapes, Steel: Angles, Channels, I-beams, Etc.	\$14,449	2%
Training Equipment And Supplies, Fire And Safety	\$14,335	2%
Steel, Cold Rolled: Bars, Plates, Rods, Sheets, And Strips	\$13,353	2%
Furniture: Office	\$13,252	2%

Prop Q Spend per Quarter*



* \$ in millions ■ Non-LBE □ LBE

Top 10 Vendors		
Description	\$	% of Total
Resource Supply LLC	\$60,544	10%
C S I Paint	\$51,601	9%
FerroGroup Inc Db a Bayshore Metals	\$48,228	8%
Grainger	\$27,776	5%
Central Builders Supply	\$21,422	4%
Office Relief Inc	\$17,971	3%
National Utility Sales Corp	\$17,398	3%
All-Cal Equipment Services Inc	\$17,140	3%
Maltby Electric Supply Co Inc	\$14,506	2%
Union Rolling Door Company	\$13,761	2%


Prop Q Procurement Analysis (4th Q Fiscal Year 2018/19)

SHF

\$534K	
204 Transactions	
LBE:	\$118,785
Non-LBE:	\$415,397

Top 10 Procurement Categories		
Description	\$	% of Total
Microfiche/Microfilm Reader Printer Supplies: Paper, Toner	\$25,256	5%
Prisoner Clothing	\$24,644	5%
Janitorial Supplies, General Line	\$23,941	4%
Hardware	\$19,619	4%
Door Installation, Maintenance, And Repair (Metal)	\$18,526	3%
Gloves: Latex, Plastic, PVC, Poly, Synthetic, Vinyl, Etc.	\$17,716	3%
Fuel System Maintenance And Repair	\$16,524	3%
Polygraph Testing Services	\$15,750	3%
Plumbing Fixtures And Parts: Lavatories, Showers, Sinks, Toilets	\$14,775	3%
Display, Exhibit, And Promotional Materials	\$14,696	3%

Prop Q Spend per Quarter*



* \$ in millions

■ Non-LBE □ LBE

Top 10 Vendors		
Description	\$	% of Total
Charm Tex	\$36,899	7%
T & S Trading & Enterprise Co	\$30,093	6%
L C Action Police Supply	\$29,956	6%
California Veteran Supply Inc.	\$26,094	5%
Santora Sales	\$26,050	5%
OpenCopy	\$25,256	5%
Grainger	\$22,010	4%
United Calif Access & Security Systems	\$18,526	3%
Speedy's Hardware	\$17,862	3%
Tec-Accutite	\$16,974	3%

DEPARTMENT	TOTAL PROP Q PURCHASES	TOTAL PROP Q PURCHASES FROM LBEs	% OF TOTAL
ADM	\$1,763,566	\$410,918	23%
ADP	\$93,702	\$2,286	2%
AIR	\$892,814	\$162,836	18%
ART	\$128,986	\$16,148	13%
ASR	\$26,401	\$1,119	4%
BOA	\$2,235	\$0	0%
BOS	\$17,037	\$7,297	43%
CAT	\$12,315	\$352	3%
CFC	\$1,947	\$0	0%
CHF	\$41,553	\$14,383	35%
CON	\$41,685	\$0	0%
CPC	\$60,267	\$4,225	7%
CSS	\$22,754	\$0	0%
DAT	\$35,417	\$10,352	29%
DBI	\$43,552	\$7,760	18%
DEM	\$73,732	\$27,323	37%
DPA	\$58,286	\$18,597	32%
DPH	\$2,913,826	\$686,516	24%
DPW	\$1,785,462	\$1,007,938	56%
ECN	\$14,315	\$2,680	19%
ENV	\$65,550	\$3,447	5%
ETH	\$5,258	\$0	0%



DEPARTMENT	TOTAL PROP Q PURCHASES	TOTAL PROP Q PURCHASES FROM LBEs	% OF TOTAL
FIR	\$469,367	\$75,250	16%
HOM	\$33,282	\$9,010	27%
HRC	\$73,473	\$0	0%
HRD	\$177,797	\$10,699	6%
HSA	\$367,793	\$73,599	20%
HSS	\$54,011	\$16,513	31%
JUV	\$205,802	\$16,567	8%
LIB	\$349,207	\$54,044	15%
LLB	\$577	\$0	0%
MTA	\$2,754,115	\$1,157,658	42%
MYR	\$32,045	\$14,878	46%
PDR	\$31,676	\$6,470	20%
POL	\$817,240	\$50,586	6%
PRT	\$591,883	\$252,928	43%
PUC	\$2,917,419	\$494,229	17%
REC	\$2,818,365	\$758,897	27%
REG	\$70,999	\$22,057	31%
RET	\$23,031	\$140	1%
RNT	\$22,972	\$13,075	57%
SHF	\$534,182	\$118,785	22%
TIS	\$346,223	\$199,744	58%
TTX	\$23,065	\$0	0%
WAR	\$74,652	\$14,218	19%
WOM	\$478	\$0	0%



Questions

If you have any questions regarding any of the information contained in this report please contact Jessica Leslie at 415-554-7799
Jessica.Leslie@sfgov.org.

Released: September 2019

Alaric Degrafinried
Purchasing Director

From: [Reports, Controller \(CON\)](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [Mchugh, Eileen \(BOS\)](#); [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#); [Elsbernd, Sean \(MYR\)](#); [Bruss, Andrea \(MYR\)](#); [Kirkpatrick, Kelly \(MYR\)](#); [Cretan, Jeff \(MYR\)](#); [Kittler, Sophia \(MYR\)](#); [pkilkenny@sftc.org](#); [Anatolia Lubos](#); [Docs, SF \(LIB\)](#); [CON-EVERYONE](#); [Ivar Satero \(AIR\)](#); [Corina Monzon \(AIR\)](#); [Leo Fermin \(AIR\)](#); [Cheryl Nashir \(AIR\)](#); [Gigi Ricasa \(AIR\)](#); [Wallace Tang \(AIR\)](#); [Shane Balanon \(AIR\)](#); [christy.slanaker@travelex.com](#); [edward.megia@travelex.com](#)
Subject: Report Issued: Audit of Travelex Currency Services Inc.
Date: Thursday, September 5, 2019 2:45:45 PM

The City and County of San Francisco's Airport Commission (Airport) coordinates with the Office of the Controller's City Services Auditor (CSA) to conduct periodic compliance audits of the Airport's tenants and airlines. CSA engaged Macias Gini & O'Connell LLP (MGO) to audit Airport tenants and airlines to determine whether they complied with the reporting, payment, and selected other provisions of their agreements with the Airport.

CSA presents the report of MGO's audit of Travelex Currency Services Inc. (tenant).

The tenant reported gross revenues of \$92,849,797 and paid \$11,008,373 in rent to the Airport for the audit period in accordance with the lease agreement. However, the tenant's rent was calculated incorrectly for the lease year September 2015 through August 2016 due to a credit the Airport issued in error.

To view the full report, please visit our website.

<http://openbook.sfgov.org/webreports/details3.aspx?id=2751>

This is a send-only e-mail address. For questions about the report, please contact Mark de la Rosa at mark.p.delarosa@sfgov.org or 415-554-7574 or CSA at 415-554-7469.

Follow us on Twitter @SFController.

From: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors; Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: FW: Surface Mounted Facility Permit Report
Date: Friday, September 6, 2019 4:15:00 PM
Attachments: [Public Works SMF Report for 2019.pdf](#)

From: Spitz, Jeremy (DPW) <Jeremy.Spitz@sfdpw.org>
Sent: Friday, September 6, 2019 4:11 PM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>
Cc: Mchugh, Eileen (BOS) <eileen.e.mchugh@sfgov.org>
Subject: Surface Mounted Facility Permit Report

Dear Clerk's Office,

Please see the attached report which Public Works is submitting pursuant to Article 27, Sec. 2732 of the Public Works Code.

Please let me know if you have any questions.

Best,

Jeremy Spitz

Policy and Government Affairs

San Francisco Public Works | City and County of San Francisco

City Hall, Room 348 | 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place | San Francisco, CA 94102

Office: (415) 554-6972 | Cell: (415) 361-2368

sfpublicworks.org · twitter.com/sfpublicworks



London N. Breed
Mayor

Mohammed Nuru
Director

San Francisco Public Works
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Pl.
Room 348
San Francisco, CA 94102
tel 415-554-6920

sfpublicworks.org
facebook.com/sfpublicworks
twitter.com/sfpublicworks
twitter.com/mrcleansf

Date: September 6, 2019
To: Mayor London N. Breed and the Board of Supervisors
From: Mohammed Nuru, Director of Public Works
Subject: Department Report regarding Surface Mounted Facilities Site Permits submitted during September 1, 2017 – September 1, 2019

Pursuant to Article 27 Sec. 2732, Public Works is providing a report to the Board of Supervisors and the Mayor concerning the applications for Surface Mounted Facility (SMF) Site Permits submitted during the prior two-year period and maintenance of existing SMFs.

During the last two years from September 1, 2017 to September 1, 2019, there have been a total of 22 SMF applications submitted to Public Works Bureau of Street-Use and Mapping. Of the 22 submitted applications, 18 were from Pacific Bell Telephone Company, also known as AT&T, while four were from Pacific Gas & Electric (PG&E). A map with the locations of the SMFs is provided. Of the 22 submitted applications, 18 have gone through the complete permit process while four are still pending. Of the 18 completed applications, 12 applications have been protested while six have not been protested. The protested applications have resulted in an approved permit. Public Works has granted all 18 applications. None of the approved permits have been appealed.


In regards to graffiti abatement activities and maintenance, each Permittee has their own procedures to comply with Article 27.

AT&T keeps record of all graffiti and abatement occurrences. On average, there are approximately 100 every two weeks. A recent AT&T abatement report has been included. AT&T is committed to removing graffiti within 72 hours of notification. 10 SMFs have involved maintenance within the last two years. This maintenance consists of replacement repair due to automotive incidents and corrosion due to field conditions. It also involves repair to doors and handles that do not close properly.

Public Works provides PG&E with a list of sites requiring abatement and PG&E tracks graffiti on their SMFS daily. PG&E abated graffiti on one SMF within the last two years. Once they see a graffiti removal request, PG&E completes the removal within a few days. About 100 SMFs, which include 50 SCADA units and 50 rectifiers, required maintenance within the last two years. This maintenance consists of calibration checks, voltage reads, resistance reads, and rectifier replacements.


Please let us know if you require any additional information.

Best regards,



Legislative District Map locating the Approved Surface Mounted Facilities from September 1, 2017 to September 1, 2019

Map of the City & County of San Francisco





showing Precincts and Legislative Districts
Prepared by the Department of Elections

March 2019

- Precinct Boundary
- Congressional District Boundary
- Senate District 11 Boundary
- Assembly District Boundaries
- Supervisory District Boundaries
- BART District Boundaries

Assembly District by Precinct
17th Assembly - 7000's, 1141-1154
19th Assembly - 9000's, 1101-1136



City of SF Graffiti Abatement Status 08012019 to 08152019

CITY	NPANNX	SR#	CUSTOMER ADDRESS	STATUS
San Francisco	415/202	11204071	727 MARKET STREET / 727 MARKET STREET, SAN FRANCISCO	NO BBOX
San Francisco	415/666	11192825	27TH AND BALBOA ST / 27TH AND BALBOA ST , SAN FRANCISCO	Completed
San Francisco	415/437	11187611	SF / 1914 15TH ST, SAN FRANCISCO	Completed
San Francisco	415/202	11206796	900 22ND ST / 900 22ND ST, SAN FRANCISCO	Completed
San Francisco	415/642	11207809	XBOX / 420 PHELPS ST, SAN FRANCISCO	Completed
San Francisco	415/346	11209071	POLE / 1447 FULTON ST, SAN FRANCISCO	Not AT&T
San Francisco	415/824	11209787	XBOX / CAPP ST & 23RD ST, SAN FRANCISCO	Completed
San Francisco	415/285	11209753	XBOX / BARTLETT ST & 23RD ST, SAN FRANCISCO	Completed
San Francisco	415/552	11211062	XBOX / 999 BRANNAN ST, SAN FRANCISCO	Completed
San Francisco	415/564	11185475	SF / 524 KIRKHAM ST , SAN FRANCISCO	Completed
San Francisco	415/565	11210496	XBOX / 60 HYDE ST, SAN FRANCISCO	Completed
San Francisco	415/759	11185477	SF / 1511 12TH AVE, SAN FRANCISCO	Completed
San Francisco	415/285	11210968	XBOX / 420 PHELPS ST, SAN FRANCISCO	Completed
San Francisco	415/333	11188221	SF / 295 MONTEREY BLVD, SAN FRANCISCO	Completed
San Francisco	415/861	11207810	EVANS AVE & PHELPS ST / EVANS AVE & PHELPS ST, SAN FRANCISCO	Completed
San Francisco	415/242	11184680	SF / 2201 20TH AVE, SAN FRANCISCO	No Graffiti
San Francisco	415/202	11216212	17TH STREET / HAMPSHIRE STREET, SAN FRANCISCO	Completed
San Francisco	415/641	11213825	X TREAT AVE / 3047 24TH ST , SAN FRANCISCO	Completed
San Francisco	415/507		INTERSECTION / MADISON & JEFFERSON AVE, SAN RAFAEL	Completed
San Francisco	415/626	11219013	GRAFFITI / 3587 18TH ST, SAN FRANCISCO	Completed
San Francisco	415/564	11219403	AND 20TH AVE / INTERSECTION OF VICENTE ST, SAN FRANCISCO	Completed
San Francisco	415/668	11220527	INTERSECTION / INTERSECTION OF 37TH AVE AND TARAVAL ST.,	Completed
San Francisco	415/421	11221268	XBOX / 1765 STOCKTON ST., SAN FRANCISCO	Completed
San Francisco	415/920	11221995	GRAFFITI / INTERSECTION BARTLETT ST AND 23RD ST., SAN	Completed
San Francisco	415/821	11222169	GRAFFITI / 3704 MISSION ST, SAN FRANCISCO	Completed
San Francisco	415/202	11213972	800 MONTGOMERY STREET / 800 MONTGOMERY STREET, SAN FRANCISCO	Completed
San Francisco	415/202	11213829	3092 24TH STREET / 3092 24TH STREET, SAN FRANCISCO	Completed
San Francisco	415/285	11222184	3798 MISSION ST / 3798 MISSION ST, SAN FRANCISCO	Completed
San Francisco	415/202	11213867	2078 FOLSOM STREET / 2078 FOLSOM STREET, SAN FRANCISCO	Completed
San Francisco	415/202	11213977	ATT BOX / 500 29TH STREET, SAN FRANCISCO	Completed
San Francisco	415/202	11213821	3375 24TH STREET / 3375 24TH STREET, SAN FRANCISCO	Completed
San Francisco	415/202	11213842	3255 24TH STREET / 3255 24TH STREET, SAN FRANCISCO	Completed
San Francisco	415/202	11213924	X PACIFIC AVE / MONTGOMERY STREET, SAN FRANCISCO	Completed
San Francisco	415/202	11213989	STT BOX / 3784 22ND STREET, SAN FRANCISCO	Completed
San Francisco	415/202	11213996	ATT PEDESTAL / 3784 22ND STREET, SAN FRANCISCO	Completed
San Francisco	415/282	11222166	55 HIGHLAND AVE / 55 HIGHLAND AVE, SAN FRANCISCO	Completed
San Francisco	415/202	11213909	ATT BOX / 101 GOLD MINE DRIVE, SAN FRANCISCO	Completed
San Francisco	415/202	11216305	X SHRADER STREET / FREDERICK STREET, SAN FRANCISCO	No AT&T
San Francisco	415/202	11213980	X 16TH STREET / CALEDONIA STREET, SAN FRANCISCO	Completed
San Francisco	415/202	11213846	ATT PEDESTAL / 2590 FOLSOM STREET, SAN FRANCISCO	Completed
San Francisco	415/440	11222570	POST ST & HYDE ST / POST ST & HYDE ST, SAN FRANCISCO	Completed
San Francisco	415/621	11225919	3859 18TH ST / 3859 18TH ST, SAN FRANCISCO	Completed
San Francisco	415/648	11228326	490 CAPP ST / 490 CAPP ST, SAN FRANCISCO	Completed
San Francisco	415/863	11225765	3191 17TH ST / 3191 17TH ST, SAN FRANCISCO	Completed
San Francisco	415/476	11220115	GRAFFITI / 413 FREDERICK ST., SAN FRANCISCO	No Graffiti
San Francisco	415/861	11228244	506 SANCHEZ ST / 506 SANCHEZ ST, SAN FRANCISCO	Completed
San Francisco	415/643	11228286	305 SAN CARLOS ST / 305 SAN CARLOS ST, SAN FRANCISCO	Completed
San Francisco	415/931	11230857	ALHAMBRA ST & PIERCE ST / ALHAMBRA ST & PIERCE ST, SAN FRANCISCO	Completed
San Francisco	415/386	11224085	11TH AVE & CLEMENT ST / 11TH AVE & CLEMENT ST, SAN FRANCISCO	Completed
San Francisco	415/817	11231557	EUGENIA AVE & MISSION ST / EUGENIA AVE & MISSION ST, SAN FRANCISCO	Completed
San Francisco	415/337	11231447	4889 MISSION ST / 4889 MISSION ST, SAN FRANCISCO	Completed
San Francisco	415/861	11231552	208 DOLORES ST / 208 DOLORES ST, SAN FRANCISCO	Completed
San Francisco	415/550	11227070	20 PROSPECT AVE / 20 PROSPECT AVE, SAN FRANCISCO	Completed
San Francisco	415/337	11231453	RUSSIA AVE & LONDON ST / RUSSIA AVE & LONDON ST, SAN FRANCISCO	Completed
San Francisco	415/285	11231548	300 ELSIE ST / 300 ELSIE ST, SAN FRANCISCO	Completed
San Francisco	415/922	11232892	1760 BRODERICK ST / 1760 BRODERICK ST, SAN FRANCISCO	Completed
San Francisco	415/642	11231551	3505 19TH ST / 3505 19TH ST, SAN FRANCISCO	Completed
San Francisco	415/431	11232378	200 LILY ST / 200 LILY ST, SAN FRANCISCO	Completed
San Francisco	415/487	11234226	XBOX / 1480 PAGE ST., SAN FRANCISCO	Completed
San Francisco	415/841	11234991	XBOX / HAROLD AVE & OCEAN AVE, SAN FRANCISCO	Completed
San Francisco	415/431	11236075	XBOX / 891 BRYANT ST, SAN FRANCISCO	Completed
San Francisco	415/647	11238633	XBOX / 3986 26TH ST, SAN FRANCISCO	Completed
San Francisco	415/647	11238611	XBOX / 1300 NOE ST, SAN FRANCISCO	Completed
San Francisco	415/202	11240237	PEDESTAL / 4718 IRVING STREET, SAN FRANCISCO	Completed

San Francisco	415/202	112405523	ATT PEDESTAL / 4114 LAWTON STREET, SAN FRANCISCO	Completed
San Francisco	415/202	11245030	X HUGO STREET / ARGUELLO BLVD, SAN FRANCISCO	Completed
San Francisco	415/202	11240571	PEDESTAL CABINET / 3420 LAWTON STREET, SAN FRANCISCO	Completed
San Francisco	415/202	11240900	X CESAR CHAVEZ STREET / GUERRERO STREET, SAN FRANCISCO	Completed
San Francisco	415/202	11240664	PEDESTAL / 3999 NORIEGA STREET, SAN FRANCISCO	Completed
San Francisco	415/202	11240910	X 15TH STREET / ALBION STREET, SAN FRANCISCO	Completed
San Francisco	415/202	11240572	CALIFORNIA STREET / LAUREL STREET, SAN FRANCISCO	Completed
San Francisco	415/202	11241255	PEDESTAL / 3580 18TH STREET, SAN FRANCISCO	Completed
San Francisco	415/202	11242102	FRONT OF 993 / 993 CAYUGA AVE, SAN FRANCISCO	Completed
San Francisco	415/202	11241264	MANWHOLE SIDEWALK LID / 3593 18TH STREET, SAN FRANCISCO	Completed
San Francisco	415/202	11240136	X LINCOLN WAY / LA PLAYA , SAN FRANCISCO	Completed
San Francisco	415/861	11245037	X LINCOLN WAY OR FREDERICK / 5 HUGO ST , SAN FRANCISCO	Completed
San Francisco	415/626	11244640	X NELSONS RISING LN / 1400 OWENS ST , SAN FRANCISCO	Completed
San Francisco	415/668	11244810	TARAVAL ST & 32ND AVE / TARAVAL ST & 32ND AVE , SAN FRANCISCO	Completed
San Francisco	415/241	11253485	SF / 1159 MASONIC AVE, SAN FRANCISCO	Completed
San Francisco	415/550	11255658	GRAFFITI ON XBOX / 4280 FOLSOM ST., SAN FRANCISCO	Not AT&T
San Francisco	415/922	11255651	INTERSECTION, XBOX / INTERSECTION OF LYON ST GOLDEN GATE AVE, S	Completed
San Francisco	415/255	11256237	GRAFFITI ON XBOX / 11 BELVEDERE ST, SAN FRANCISCO	Completed
San Francisco	415/648	11255905	XBOX GRAFFITI / 2390 MISSION ST., SAN FRANCISCO	Completed
San Francisco	415/775	11256450	GRAFFITI ON XBOX / 2145 FRANKLIN ST, SAN FRANCISCO	Completed
San Francisco	415/239	11254279	XBOX / GRAFFITI / INTERSECTION OF MANGELS AVE AND FORESTER S	Completed
San Francisco	415/202	11245782	PEDESTAL / 65 MCCOPPIN STREET, SAN FRANCISCO	Completed
San Francisco	415/775	11257967	GRAFFITI ON XBOX / 555 ASHBURY ST, SAN FRANCISCO	Completed
San Francisco	415/666	11260155	GRAFFITI ON XBOX / 1585 WALLER ST, SAN FRANCISCO	Completed
San Francisco	415/641	11257966	GRAFFITI ON XBOX / 3435 18TH ST, SAN FRANCISCO	Completed
San Francisco	415/753	11262713	1496 40TH AVE / 1496 40TH AVE, SAN FRANCISCO	Completed
San Francisco	415/753	11261962	2101 IRVING ST / 2101 IRVING ST, SAN FRANCISCO	Completed
San Francisco	415/552	11263218	XBOX / 2078 FOLSOM ST, SAN FRANCISCO	Completed
San Francisco	415/753	11264850	XBOX / 700 47TH AVE, SAN FRANCISCO	Completed
San Francisco	415/387	11264909	XBOX / BALBOA ST & 36TH AVE, SAN FRANCISCO	Completed
San Francisco	415/422	11190458	X FULTON ST / 448 STANYAN ST , SAN FRANCISCO	Not AT&T
San Francisco	415/255	11250063	SF / 601 MONTEREY BLVD, SAN FRANCISCO	Completed
San Francisco	415/255	11250222	SF / 510 CLAYTON ST, SAN FRANCISCO	Completed
San Francisco	415/648	11250105	SF / 2690 MISSION ST, SAN FRANCISCO	Not AT&T
San Francisco	415/241	11253485	SF / 1159 MASONIC AVE, SAN FRANCISCO	Completed

From: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#)
Subject: FW: 12B Waiver Request (Target for Families Rising Initiative)
Date: Monday, September 9, 2019 10:56:00 AM
Attachments: [12B Waiver Request Target Stores 9.4.19 \(Families Rising\).pdf](#)

From: Herrador, Drake (HSA) <drake.herrador@sfgov.org>
Sent: Wednesday, September 4, 2019 2:15 PM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>
Subject: 12B Waiver Request (Target for Families Rising Initiative)

Good afternoon,

Per CMD instruction, I would like to submit the attached 12B Waiver request form for Target Stores to be added on to the next Board of Supervisors agenda.

If you require any further information, please contact me at drake.herrador@sfgov.org or 415-557-5597.

Thank you very much,

Drake Herrador
City and County of San Francisco
Human Services Agency
Office of Contract Management
1650 Mission St, Ste 300
(415) 557-5597 (phone)
(415) 557-5679 (fax)



CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO CONTRACT MONITORING DIVISION

S.F. ADMINISTRATIVE CODE CHAPTERS 12B and 14B WAIVER REQUEST FORM (CMD-201)

Send completed waiver requests to:
CMD, 30 Van Ness Avenue, Suite 200, San Francisco, CA 94102 or
cmd.waiverrequest@sfgov.org

FOR CMD USE ONLY

Request Number:

➤ Section 1. CCSF Department Information (*all fields must be completed*)

Department Head Signature: [Signature]
Name of Department: Human Services Agency
Department Address: 1650 Mission Street, Ste. 300
Contact Person: John Tsutakawa
Phone Number: 415-557-6299 E-mail: john.tsutakawa@sfgov.org

➤ Section 2. Contractor Information (*all fields must be completed*)

Contractor Name: Target Stores
Bidder/Supplier No.: 0000009968 Contractor Tax ID: 410215170
Contractor Address: 6701 Parkway Cir, Brooklyn, MN 55430
Contact Person: Brian Dorfman Contact Phone No.: (612) 696-0411

➤ Section 3. Transaction Information (*all fields must be completed*)

Date Waiver Request Submitted: 9/4/2019 Dollar Amount of Contract: \$ 30,000
Contract/Transaction Number: 1000015849 Contract Name: Target Gift Cards for Families Rising Initiative
Contract/Transaction Start Date: 9/12/2019 Contract/Transaction End Date: 6/30/2020

➤ Section 4. Administrative Code Chapter to be Waived (*please check all that apply*)

☒ Chapter 12B
☐ Chapter 14B *Note: Employment and LBE subcontracting requirements will still be in force even when a 14B Waiver Type A or B is granted.*

➤ Section 5. Waiver Type (*a justification must be attached; see Check List on the other side of this form for instructions*)

☐ A. Sole Source
☐ B. Emergency (pursuant to Administrative Code §6.60 or §21.15)
☐ C. Public Entity
☒ D. No Potential Contractors Comply (Required) Copy of waiver request sent to Board of Supervisors on: _____
☐ E. Government Bulk Purchasing Arrangement..... (Required) Copy of waiver request sent to Board of Supervisors on: _____
☐ F. Sham/Shell Entity (Required) Copy of waiver request sent to Board of Supervisors on: _____
☐ G. Subcontracting Goals
☐ H. Local Business Enterprise (LBE) *Note: For contracts in excess of \$5 million; see Admin. Code §14B.7(J)(2)*

CMD ACTION – For CMD/HRC Use Only

12B Waiver Granted: _____ 14B Waiver Granted: _____
12B Waiver Denied: _____ 14B Waiver Denied: _____

Reason for Action: _____

CMD or HRC Staff: _____ Date: _____

CMD or HRC Director: _____ Date: _____

City and County of San Francisco



Human Services Agency

Department of Human Services
Department of Aging and Adult Services
Office of Early Care and Education

Trent Rhorer, Executive Director

Date: September 4, 2019

To: Contract Monitoring Division

From: John Tsutakawa, HSA Director of Contracts *J41*

RE: Use of Vendor

The Human Services Agency is requesting authorization to purchase 1,200 gift cards valued at \$25 each (for a gift card batch totaling \$30,000) from Target Corporation for use as client incentives.

These gift cards are for clients of the Families Rising program – a mayoral initiative to move 500 families from poverty. In conjunction with multiple city departments, the Human Services Agency provides case management to assist families in employment, housing and other needs.

One component is the use of gift cards to incentivize client completion of case plan goals. Upon completion of a goal such as employment, a client receives a gift card as an incentive.

In order to be effective, the incentive has to be useful and of value to the client. Incentives need to be usable for a range of items a client might value, such as food, clothes, and basic furniture. Incentives need to be accessible by clients regardless of residence throughout the City or Bay Area.

If a card is not useable for the client, it will not work as an incentive and will not motivate a client to accomplish their goals. If a client can't access the store, the incentive will not work. Gift cards from single sites would be problematic as some clients have limited transportation or won't enter particular neighborhoods.

Unfortunately, there are no approved vendors that would serve the purpose as a generally accessible gift card that would appeal to the majority of clients.

HSA would like to purchase the requested set of gift cards from Target Corporation as this choice would provide a wide range of options for clients depending on their residence. HSA may request other suppliers that would also serve as client incentives in the future.

Director of Contracts
Human Services Agency
(415) 557-6299
John.Tsutakawa@sfgov.org

From: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#)
Subject: FW: Does this Muni flyer solve the issue or ignore it?
Date: Monday, September 9, 2019 1:04:00 PM

From: Aaron Goodman <amgodman@yahoo.com>
Sent: Tuesday, September 3, 2019 10:42 AM
To: cac@sfmta.com; cac@sfcta.org
Cc: Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>
Subject: Does this Muni flyer solve the issue or ignore it?

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

People want to get to the chase center from across the city and currently the links and connections are ignored in transit planning outside the downtown. Van Ness hub link should continue to Cesar Chavez and out to the T-line make a loop and link and solve transit issues outside the downtown.


You want less traffic than plan mass transit like we see towers going up downtown..

Geneva Harney HSR and Brisbane development and link Geneva harney and around BVHP and candlestick to pier 70 and downtown.

The articles in the paper and the obvious concerns on transit issues of the HUB and chase center demand solutions and proposals that FIX the mass transit mess.

Yes it's a quick flyer by muni but it totally ignores the problems and solutions of getting there quickly and away...

A.Goodman D11



Getting to Chase Center – Muni

Muni public transit is one of the most convenient ways to get



Take Muni to CHASE CENTER

**Muni bus**
Autobús de Muni / Muni巴士 / Muni bus

55

78x

79x

16TH STREET
from 16th Street

16TH STREET
ARENA EXPRESS
from 16th Street/
Mission BART

VAN NESS
ARENA EXPRESS
from Van Ness Avenue

**Muni Metro train**
Tren del metro Muni / Muni地鐵列車 / Muni Metro train

T

S

THIRD STREET
from Embarcadero
Station

SHUTTLE
from Embarcadero
Station

Sent from my iPhone

From: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#)
Cc: [Carroll, John \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: FW: Public Comment on Item 190653 Scheduled for hearing 9/3/19
Date: Monday, September 9, 2019 1:02:00 PM

From: CovertCalifornia <CovertCalifornia@protonmail.com>
Sent: Monday, September 2, 2019 2:57 PM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>
Subject: Public Comment on Item 190653 Scheduled for hearing 9/3/19

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Members of the Board:

I hope you will consider this comment, as it concerns matters unearthed at a hearing of the Dept. of Toxic Substance Control at a public hearing on the evening of 8/29. This information was not made available to the public until the Q&A part of the hearing on that date; therefore, it was unknown to me by the time of submission of this comment.

Going forward with the Mission Rock development would create a grave danger to the health and welfare of residents of the City and County of San Francisco. Seawall Lot 337 sits on top of a former toxic waste dump known as the H&H Ship Service Facility. Developing the parcel as the Port and Tishman Speyer propose would create a Love Canal situation. The soil (Bay Fill subject to liquefaction) is highly contaminated with arsenic, cadmium, dioxin, polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons, and other chemicals known to cause cancer and birth defects.

This development is an act of racketeering by a transnational and transgenerational organized crime syndicate. Due to the nature of the crime, I am also not speaking solely for myself or the public. I am also making this comment on behalf of a group of City Hall Employees who are afraid to come forward - even to their union. They are not just afraid for their jobs. They are afraid for their lives. The basic principle was to develop a worthless toxic brownfield and inflate its price by suppressing information about the toxins on site and the risk they pose to the public. This was done through careful planning starting in the Willie Brown administration by first forcing closure of H&H through targeted legislation then placing false and fabricated soil testing data inside a drawer at DTSC. The faked soil testing results were used to allow neighboring parcels to be developed, holding out the promise that it would be at least good enough for a parking garage, but never for residential or parkland uses. The syndicate then planned to gradually develop the parcels neighboring, emplacing key operatives in the State Lands Commission and the Dept. of Toxic Substance Control (including David Black, a relative of Gordon Getty's ex wife Cynthia Black, who ignored the Environmental findings in order to modify the deed covenant). This syndicate counted on the DTSC not to retest the soil after a quarter of a century and thus discover that the original danger to the

public was understated.

All you have to do is search for Tishman Speyer's racketeering in regard to the Stuyvesant Town development to confirm the developer's links to organized crime - specifically the Brooklyn Jewish Mafia.

Allowing any development at Mission Rock as currently proposed, especially with the woefully inadequate fill layer and unproven vapor intrusion mitigation system, risks red-tagging the ballpark, as the uncovered soil will "fume out" in the event of an earthquake. The Port has more than adequate authority through IFD bonds to fully decontaminate the site and cart away the toxic soil. Instead, it has opted to cover up the contamination. Similar efforts (including Love Canal) have leaked in over 90% of instances. This development also goes against the clear will of the voters in Proposition P - a law the Mayor's Administration continues to violate in regard to Hunter's Point.

Thomas J. Busse
415-863-1053

It was baffling to me why the City and County and the Port Commission

Sent with [ProtonMail](#) Secure Email.

From: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#)
Subject: FW: Please Stop Homeless Sweeps
Date: Monday, September 9, 2019 12:18:00 PM

From: John-Casey O'Donnell <takeru@pacbell.net>
Sent: Wednesday, September 4, 2019 7:47 PM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>
Subject: Please Stop Homeless Sweeps

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Last week the San Francisco Examiner reported that on the eve of the largest storm of the season only 25 new mats were made available to an estimated 4,200 homeless residents living in the City of San Francisco. This is an absolute failure on the part of the wealthiest city, in the wealthiest state, in the wealthiest country in the world.

Not only did the city fail to address the needs of our homeless neighbors, it has also continued the inhumane and ineffective homeless sweeps throughout the city. DPW has seized the only protection from stormy weather that homeless folks have and destroyed it alongside the rest of their belongings, including food and medicine, without providing proper alternatives for shelter.

The city is spending untold money waging war on the people most harmed by staggering economic inequality and massive housing costs. These are people who have disabilities, LGBTQ youth, mothers, children, and the bulk of them are overwhelmingly black and brown.

I urge you to put a stop to these inhumane sweeps immediately and hold a public hearing with DPW and the Department of Homelessness to get a better understanding of real solutions to this crisis. It is time the City of San Francisco stopped waging class war with taxpayer dollars and helped address the growing income inequality.

Thank You.

John-Casey O'Donnell
takeru@pacbell.net
975 Church Street
San Francisco, California 94114

From: [Martin](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors. \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please add police traffic law enforcement to the discussion about pedestrian safety
Date: Friday, September 6, 2019 5:42:42 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Please add police traffic law enforcement to the discussion about pedestrian safety.

Martin Alperen
(831) 588-5612
Sent using Hushmail

From: [Bhanu Vikram](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors. \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: An Idea for Vision Zero
Date: Sunday, September 8, 2019 12:38:50 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Mayor London Breed,

I would like to suggest another idea that might help reduce pedestrian accidents.

It might be a good thing to change most city traffic lights at intersections to:

1. All pedestrians cross at once in all directions freely: North to South, East to West, and Diagonally through the intersection. So they have the entire intersection to themselves for a few seconds.
2. Then pedestrians see a stop signal **in all directions** and vehicles get to move freely. Pedestrians aren't allowed to cross the intersection in any direction.

Currently, some intersections have the #1 above, but when the vehicles start moving, pedestrians still get to cross parallel to the vehicles. For example, when vehicles start moving North to South (or South to North), pedestrians crossing East to West are stopped, but pedestrians crossing North to South (or South to North) can still cross. What I am suggesting is that pedestrians should see a stop sign in all directions, so vehicles can move freely.

Why?

This will reduce confusion to a great degree.

This will train everyone mentally/psychologically to think in a different way than what they are used to. After this has been implemented for a few months, it will become what some people call **muscle memory**. And hopefully, this way we can reduce some pedestrian accidents by separating vehicles and pedestrians, even more, using traffic lights.

I would like to request that this idea be piloted in ten intersections in the financial district. Thank you very much.

Kind regards,
Bhanu Vikram

From: [Bhanu Vikram](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\); Board of Supervisors. \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Re: An Idea for Vision Zero
Date: Sunday, September 8, 2019 2:38:02 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Mayor London Breed,

As I mentioned in my email below, I know that diagonal crossing exists. What I'm suggesting is an improvement over it. If what I have suggested in the email below doesn't exist anywhere already, I would like to request that it be named "Bhanu Vikram's Crossing Rule" or "Bhanu Vikram's Crossing Method".

Thank you.

Kind regards,
Bhanu Vikram

On Sun, Sep 8, 2019, 12:38 PM Bhanu Vikram <bhanuvikram@gmail.com> wrote:

Dear Mayor London Breed,

I would like to suggest another idea that might help reduce pedestrian accidents.

It might be a good thing to change most city traffic lights at intersections to:

1. All pedestrians cross at once in all directions freely: North to South, East to West, and Diagonally through the intersection. So they have the entire intersection to themselves for a few seconds.
2. Then pedestrians see a stop signal **in all directions** and vehicles get to move freely. Pedestrians aren't allowed to cross the intersection in any direction.

Currently, some intersections have the #1 above, but when the vehicles start moving, pedestrians still get to cross parallel to the vehicles. For example, when vehicles start moving North to South (or South to North), pedestrians crossing East to West are stopped, but pedestrians crossing North to South (or South to North) can still cross. What I am suggesting is that pedestrians should see a stop sign in all directions, so vehicles can move freely.

Why?

This will reduce confusion to a great degree.

This will train everyone mentally/psychologically to think in a different way than what they are used to. After this has been implemented for a few months, it will become what some

people call **muscle memory**. And hopefully, this way we can reduce some pedestrian accidents by separating vehicles and pedestrians, even more, using traffic lights.

I would like to request that this idea be piloted in ten intersections in the financial district.
Thank you very much.

Kind regards,
Bhanu Vikram

From: [Austin Graham](#)
To: [Carroll, John \(BOS\)](#)
Cc: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Campaign Legal Center Letter to Government Audit & Oversight Committee Regarding Public Financing Ordinance
Date: Wednesday, September 4, 2019 3:06:29 PM
Attachments: [CLC Letter to Government Audit & Oversight Committee.pdf](#)

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Mr. Carroll,

Please find attached a letter from the Campaign Legal Center to the Government Audit & Oversight Committee in support of the proposed ordinance to amend San Francisco's public financing program (File No. 190660). I ask that you please provide the letter to members of the Committee and relevant staff in advance of the Committee's meeting tomorrow morning. Thank you.

Regards,
Austin Graham

Austin Graham

Legal Counsel, State & Local Program

202.856.7915

Campaign Legal Center
1101 14th St. NW, Suite 400
Washington, DC 20005
campaignlegalcenter.org

[Facebook](#) | [Twitter](#)



September 4, 2019

Submitted electronically to john.carroll@sfgov.org

The Honorable Gordon Mar
Chair, Government Audit & Oversight Committee
San Francisco Board of Supervisors

Dear Chair Mar and Members of the Committee,

The Campaign Legal Center (“CLC”) respectfully submits this letter in support of the proposed ordinance to amend San Francisco’s public financing program.¹ CLC is a nonpartisan, nonprofit organization dedicated to protecting and strengthening American democracy across all levels of government. Since the organization’s founding in 2002, CLC has participated in every major campaign finance case before the U.S. Supreme Court, and in numerous legislative and regulatory proceedings. Our work promotes every citizen’s right to participate in the democratic process and to know the true origin of funds spent to influence elections.

CLC strongly supports the proposed ordinance as a measure to expand San Franciscans’ participation in city campaigns and promote engagement between local candidates and their prospective constituents. The vast amount of money being raised and spent in U.S. elections has left many Americans feeling excluded from the political process, and campaign contributions increasingly come from a small group of wealthy and well-connected donors.² As an alternative to campaigns financed entirely by private contributions, public financing can amplify the voices of all citizens in our elections—not just those who can afford to provide large contributions—and expand political participation among the public at large. Accordingly, public financing advances both the goals of the San Francisco

¹ File No. 190660, <https://sfgov.legistar.com/LegislationDetail.aspx?ID=3975469&GUID=9F32D481-9A00-4470-ADFC-60FE40F1E456>.

² In 2016, half of all campaign contributions to federal candidates came from only 15,810 individuals. By comparison, 73,926 individuals accounted for half of all contributions given to federal candidates in 2000. See NATHANIEL PERSILY, ROBERT F. BAUER, & BENJAMIN L. GINSBURG, BIPARTISAN POLICY CTR., CAMPAIGN FINANCE IN THE UNITED STATES: ASSESSING AN ERA OF FUNDAMENTAL CHANGE 22 (Jan. 2018), <https://bipartisanpolicy.org/wp-content/uploads/2018/01/BPC-Democracy-Campaign-Finance-in-the-United-States.pdf>.

Campaign Finance Reform Ordinance and the underlying aims of the U.S. Constitution.³

The proposed ordinance would make two key changes to San Francisco's public financing program. First, the proposal would increase the maximum amount of public funding available to city candidates in the program. Additionally, it would heighten the program's matching funds rate to six-to-one for contributions of up to \$150 made by city residents to participating candidates. Both changes would advance the objectives of the public financing program by providing stronger incentives for local candidates to maximize their voter outreach and for San Francisco residents, in turn, to become more involved with city campaigns.

A substantial body of research demonstrates that public financing programs offering competitive levels of funding and a high rate of public-to-private dollar matching can substantially boost local participation in elections. An analysis of New York City's matching funds program, which allows the maximum amount of public funding available to participating candidates to exceed more than half of the candidates' expenditure limits, found that the city's implementation of a four-to-one matching funds rate, in 2001, resulted in significant increases both in the number of individual donors of \$250 or less to city campaigns and in the proportional significance of those donors' contributions to competitive city council candidates participating in the program.⁴ These findings were generally consistent across challengers, incumbents, and open-seat candidates.⁵ A separate study of New York City's program similarly concluded that the city's decision to increase its matching funds rate to six-to-one, beginning in 2009, further increased the number of campaign contributions from donors of \$250 or less, and resulted in candidates raising a higher percentage of their total campaign funds from that bloc of donors.⁶

Research has also found that New York City's matching funds program has bolstered political participation among a larger and more demographically diverse portion of the city's population. A statistical assessment of donors to New York City campaigns found that 89% of the city's census-block groups had at least one resident who donated \$175 or less to a city candidate during the 2009 municipal elections.⁷ By comparison, in 2010, only 30% of New York City's census-block groups contained at least one individual donor of \$175 or less to candidates for the New York State

³ See S.F. Campaign & Gov't Conduct Code § 1.100.; see also Stephen Breyer, *Our Democratic Constitution*, 77 N.Y.U. L. REV. 245 (2002).

⁴ Michael J. Malbin et al., *Small Donors, Big Democracy: New York City's Matching Funds as a Model for the Nation and States*, 11 ELECTION L.J. 3, 9-10 (2012), http://www.cfinst.org/pdf/state/NYC-as-a-Model_ELJ_As-Published_March2012.pdf.

⁵ *Id.*

⁶ Michael J. Malbin & Michael Parrott, *Small Donor Empowerment Depends on the Details: Comparing Matching Fund Programs in New York and Los Angeles*, 15 FORUM 219, 232-33 (July 2017), <https://www.degruyter.com/downloadpdf/j/for.2017.15.issue-2/for-2017-0015/for-2017-0015.pdf>.

⁷ ELISABETH GENN ET AL., BRENNAN CTR. FOR JUSTICE, DONOR DIVERSITY THROUGH PUBLIC MATCHING FUNDS 10 (2012), https://www.brennancenter.org/sites/default/files/legacy/publications/DonorDiversityReport_WEB.PDF.

Assembly, who are *not* eligible for matching funds.⁸ In addition, the study determined that census-block groups with at least one donor of \$175 or less to a city candidate were statistically less affluent and more racially diverse than census-block groups with at least one “large donor,” defined as an individual contributor of \$1,000 or more, strongly suggesting that the matching funds program has fostered electoral engagement among politically underrepresented groups.⁹

Building on the successes of the city’s matching funds program, over 80% of New York City voters approved a set of charter amendments last November in order to further expand participation in local campaigns. Starting in 2021, all participating candidates in New York City’s program will be eligible to receive matching funds at an eight-to-one rate for contributions made by city residents.¹⁰ Likewise, the charter amendments will increase the maximum amount of public funding available to candidates in New York City’s program.¹¹ Along with New York City, Los Angeles also amended its public financing program last year, increasing both the program’s matching funds rate, to six-to-one, and the total amount of public funds available to participating candidates in the program.¹²

CLC urges the Committee to support the proposed amendments to San Francisco’s public financing program so that these important changes are in effect for the city’s 2020 election. If it would be helpful to the Committee in its consideration of the proposed ordinance, we would be happy to provide additional information about public financing programs in other cities and states.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/
Austin Graham
Legal Counsel, State & Local Reform

⁸ *Id.*

⁹ *Id.* at 14.

¹⁰ *Proposal 1: Campaign Finance*, N.Y.C. Campaign Fin. Bd., <https://www.nyccfb.info/nyc-votes/vgwelcome/state-general-2018/ballot-proposals/proposal-1/?languageType=English>.

¹¹ *Id.*

¹² Press Release, L.A. Ethics Commission, Small Contributions Now Have Greatest Impact in Los Angeles History (Jan. 28, 2019), <https://ethics.lacity.org/news/small-contributions-now-have-greatest-impact-in-los-angeles-history/>.

From: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#)
Subject: FW: Letter to MTA (Sept 3 2019).pdf
Date: Wednesday, September 4, 2019 10:57:18 AM
Attachments: [Letter to MTA \(Sept 3 2019\).pdf](#)

From: Bill Lee <leew@sbcglobal.net>
Sent: Tuesday, September 3, 2019 8:29 PM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>; Pius Lee <Piuslee33@yahoo.com>
Subject: Letter to MTA (Sept 3 2019).pdf

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hello Supervisors, The Chinese Consolidated Benevolent Association aka Chinese Six Companies has asked that I send this letter to you. Best Bill Lee

Sent from my iPhone

駐美中華總會館

CHINESE CONSOLIDATED BENEVOLENT ASSOCIATION

"Chinese Six Companies"

The Official Representative Association of Chinese in America

843 STOCKTON STREET • SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA 94108

TEL: (415) 982-6000 • FAX: (415) 982-6010

To Directors of MTA Board.

August 31, 2019.

Re: MTA Board meeting on August 20' passed a motion to name Chinatown Rose Pak Station which is against the existing policy of MTA Station Naming Policy of 2016.

Dear Directors of MTA,

It is clearly for the MTA Board to approve Chinatown Rose Pak Station which violates the MTA Station Naming Policy of 2016 which states that SFMTA's transit station or stops need to be named in a way that clearly communicates the location the transit users and visitors to the area.

The name should highlight the geographic location of the stop and be clearly understood by the general public. In addition MTA Board May deny any person who violates any applicable ordinance , rule regulation or policy is offensive, discriminatory or promotes a particular religion or political view or is not in the best interests of the SFMTA and /or its customers. Miss Rose Pak did

violates applicable ordinance—see court order/
stealing the police post in the middle of the night
and signing false application when Rose Pak
applied her affordable housing units in San
Francisco.see documents.

Therefore, on behalf of the Chinese Consolidated
Benevolent Association, I am asking you to re-

consider your Motion of August 20, Chinatown
Rose Pak Station which you passed and it
violates the existing policy of MTA Station
Naming Policy of 2016, requiring no private name
and the name must be well known location in
Chinatown , Chinatown Rose Pak Station is a
new name and it is not a well known location in
Chinatown. In order to consider to name
Chinatown Rose Pak Station the MTA board must
revoke the existing policy of 2016 by 2/3 votes of
the MTA board.

Also one of the Board Director Art Torres publicly
speaking in the meeting that he supported Rose
Pak because Rose Pak defended Art Torres when
other accused him for discrimination. It is
obvious that there is conflict of interest. Director
Torres should vote based on the facts and follow
the MTA Station Naming Policy not because of

Rose Pak supported Art Torres. Director Art Torres's vote should not be counted.

Based on the above MTA Station Naming Policy, MTA must deny her application because Rose Pak does not meet the MTA Station Naming Policy of 2016. It requires 2/3 votes to revoke the old MTA station naming policy of 2016.

Thank you for your prompt attention to this serious matter. We look forward to your support.

Respectfully yours

A handwritten signature in cursive script, appearing to read "Steve Ball".

Steve Ball, Presiding President

cc. Mayor London Breed. and Members of Supervisor.

Police Post(Koban) located
at Grant Ave & Jackson to
protect public safety in
Chinatown.



First, she intentionally removed the police post known as the
Koban located at Grant & Jackson in the middle of night on
Jan. 10, 2005, so that we have to cancel the grand opening
ceremony on Jan 20, 2015 by former Mayor Gavin Newsom
We sued Rose Pak in court and obtained a court order for
Rose Pak to return the Police Post. the Court found her in
contempt and ordered her to pay a fine and attorney fees.
See court order

15.

JOSEPH M. BREALL (SBN 124329)

BREALL & BREALL, LLP

1255 Post Street, Suite 800

San Francisco, CA 94109

Telephone: (415) 345-0545

Facsimile: (415) 345-0538

Attorneys for Plaintiff

CHINESE ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT GROUP, INC.

FILED
San Francisco County Superior Court

MAY 24 2005

GORDON PARK-LI, Clerk

BY: [Signature] Deputy Clerk

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO
UNLIMITED JURISDICTION

CHINESE ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT
GROUP, INC.

Plaintiff,

vs.

CHINESE CHAMBER OF COMMERCE,
ROSE PAK and DOES 1 through 12,

Defendants.

) Case No.: 05-438660

) [PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING
) PLAINTIFF CEDG INC.'s MOTION FOR
) SANCTIONS AND CONTEMPT
) SANCTIONS FOR DEFENDANTS AND
) THEIR COUNSEL'S FAILURE TO OBEY
) THE TEMPORARY RESTRAINING
) ORDER. (CCP § 177; CCP § 177.5; CCP §
) 128; CCP § 1209 and RULE OF COURT
) RULE 227)

Plaintiff CEDG INC.'s Motion for Sanctions and Contempt Sanctions for Defendants and
Their Counsel's Failure to Obey the Temporary Restraining Order pursuant to CCP § 177; CCP
§ 177.5; CCP § 128; CCP § 1209 and Rule of Court Rule 227 came on regularly for hearing
before the Hon. Ronald E. Quidachay on May 10, 2005 at 9:30 a.m. in Department 302.

[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING PLTF. CEDG INC.'S MTN FOR SANCTIONS

16.

attorney Joseph M. Breall appeared on behalf of plaintiff CEDG. Attorney Paul Wartelle appeared on behalf of defendants Chinese Chamber of Commerce and Rose Pak.

The Court, after reviewing all papers filed in the matter and entertaining oral argument and GOOD CAUSE APPEARING THEREFORE,

Plaintiff CEDG, Inc.'s Motion for Sanctions and Contempt Sanctions for Defendants and their Counsel's Failure to Obey the Temporary Restraining Order is GRANTED.

Defendants and their counsel are ordered to pay contempt sanctions to the court in the amount of \$ ~~5000.00~~. In addition, the court orders defendant and their counsel to pay plaintiff's costs and attorneys fees as additional sanctions in the amount of \$4,586.30.

IT IS SO ORDERED. THIS ORDER IS STAYED
PENDING THE ORDER OF THE PRESIDING JUDGE

DATED: 5/12/05

By: 

The Hon. Ronald E. Quidachay
JUDGE SUPERIOR COURT

1 JOSEPH M. BREALL, ESQ (SBN 124329)
 2 BREALL & BREALL, LLP
 3 1255 Post Street, Suite 800
 4 San Francisco, CA 94109
 Telephone: (415) 345-0545
 Facsimile: (415) 345-0538

5 Attorneys for Plaintiff, CEDG, Inc.
 6
 7

8 IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

9 IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

10 UNLIMITED JURISDICTION
 11

12 CHINESE ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT)
 13 GROUP, INC.)

14 Plaintiff,

15 vs.

16 CHINESE CAMBER OF COMMERCE,
 17 ROSE PAK and DOES 1 through 12,

18 Defendants.
 19
 20
 21

) Case No.:

)
) DECLARATION OF PIUS LEE IN
) SUPPORT OF PLAINTIFF CEDG's
) EX PARTE TRO/PRELIMINARY
) INJUNCTION

) Date: February 15, 2005

) Time: 11:00 a.m.

) Dept. 301/302
)

22 I, Pius Lee, Declare:

23 1. I am president of the board of directors of CEDG, Inc., plaintiff in the above-entitled case.
 24 Plaintiff Chinese Economic Development Group, Inc. ("CEDG") is a California non-profit
 25 corporation, partially funded by the City and County of San Francisco, whose board members are
 26 appointed by the Mayor. In approximately ^{August} ~~November~~ of 2004 Mayor Gavin Newsom appointed a
 27 new board of directors for the CEDG including me. I have personal knowledge of the facts stated
 28 below and if called as a witness I could and would testify competently to them.

2. On or about April 29, 2003 at San Francisco, California, CEDG voted to lease the "Koban", a

18

1 structure located at 933 Grant Avenue, San Francisco, California from the Chinese Chamber of
2 Commerce for a five year period beginning May 1, 2003 through April 30, 2008 for an amount of
3 \$1.00 per year for a total of \$5.00 for the initial five year period as specified in the meeting minutes
4 of April 29, 2003. Attached hereto as Exhibit A is a true and correct copy of the pertinent part of
5 these minutes from April 2003. attached hereto as Exhibit A.

6 3. The CEDG also agreed to pay for the staffing of the "Koban" as a community information
7 center and be responsible for the up keep and maintenance of the "Koban".

8 4. The CEDG tended the lease payment on April 30, 2003 and the lease payment was accepted by
9 defendant Chinese Chamber of Commerce as evidence by the check number 1220, a true and correct
10 copy of which is attached as Exhibit B.

11
12 5. Pursuant to the lease the CEDG paid over \$25,000.00 to staff the "Koban" for calendar year
13 2003-2004. The CEDG has also paid for the continual up keep and maintenance of the "Koban"
14 including new electrical service.

15 6. On January ¹⁰~~7~~, 2005 the CEDG had planned a celebration at the Koban to be attended by the
16 Chinese Community and among other dignitaries Mayor Gavin Newsom. On January 10 in the
17 middle of the night defendant Pak and defendant Chinese Chamber of Commerce illegally and
18 improperly removed the "Koban" to deprive CEDG of its use.


19 7. I immediately filed a report with the San Francisco Police Department regarding the stolen
20 "Koban. " The San Francisco Police Department began an investigation under case number
21 05033885.

22 8. On or about January 12, 2005 defendant Pak announced to the Examiner Newspaper, in response
23 to the police investigation, that she in her role as "consultant" to defendant Chinese Chamber of
24 Commerce "ordered it [the Koban] removed." A true and correct copy of the Examiner Article
25 attached as Exhibit C.

26 9. The defendants have hidden the Koban at different storage sites to prevent the CEDG's control
27 of the Koban. A true and correct copy of photographs of the Koban are attached as Exhibit D.

28 10. Defendant Pak has told Chinese Newspaper and other media outlets that she and defendant
Chinese Chamber of Commerce plan on placing the Koban on a "float" in the Chinese New Year

Dated: February 14, 2005


Joseph M. Breall
Attorney for Plaintiff CEDG

19

Affordable-housing

Consultant Pak snags condo at half price

BY ADRIEL HAMPTON
Of The Examiner Staff

Chinatown powerbroker Rose Pak received a Rincon Hill condo for half-price through The City's affordable-housing program, despite regulations designed to prevent insiders from getting discounted real-estate meant for the working poor.

Pak, a political consultant who has funneled hundreds of thousands of dollars in contributions to various political candidates over the years, bought the swanky, two-bedroom spread in July 2002 for \$300,000 from the project's developer, the Emerald Fund.


Sources say she lives in the unit with longtime boyfriend and wealthy landlord Stephen Fong, an assertion confirmed through the building's list of occupants. Fong's properties on Market Street and his Rodeo home would have disqualified him from the program. Fong's name does not appear on the deed.

Pak's unit has a market value of \$580,000.

The Office of Housing approved the deal even though Pak filed papers indicating she worked for the Emerald Fund — an apparent violation of the regulations governing the distribution of the discount housing. The agency's procedures manual lays out a detailed list of individuals who are specifically barred from purchasing cut-rate units.

"The project sponsor may not make the initial sale of a Below-Market-Rate unit to the project architect, attorney, prime contractor, or to anyone of its or their employees, directors, officers or agents, or to any of their family members, as determined by the monitoring agency," city regulations read.

Pak's 1999 tax records, which she submitted in connection with



Rose Pak

EXAMINER FILE PHOTO

the purchase, show a \$12,000 payment from the Emerald Fund.

Pak and Fong did not return calls from The Examiner, but Oz Erickson, president of the Emerald Fund, acknowledged Pak's work on the company's behalf.

"Rose has helped us looking at communities, how we are going to work in different neighborhoods, so she has been very useful in that sense," Erickson said.

Erickson said the 1999 payment made to Pak was for work not related to the BridgeView project, which cleared the Planning Commission in 1997. The Board of Supervisors and Mayor's Office of Housing, however, didn't sign off on BridgeView until the spring of 2002.

Erickson, who said Pak is a personal friend, in phone calls to The Examiner later denied she worked for him. Erickson said Pak waited in line for a chance at the property and is too poor to buy such a home without the subsidy.

BridgeView is the Emerald Fund's own corporate entity. Erickson, though, personally signed the deeds when the units were sold off.

Joe LaTorre, monitoring and compliance director for the Mayor's Office of Housing, said the sale was legitimate because Pak is not an agent of the Emerald Fund, merely a consultant.

"We didn't see anything that would make her ineligible in terms of the program," LaTorre said.

Supervisor Chris Daly, the most prominent affordable-housing advocate on the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

Pak money

Rose Pak's tax documents show she qualifies for affordable housing. As assets, she listed \$73,414 in a checking account, \$4,084 in an IRA and \$30,000 in jewels.

2002
Projected income \$60,000
Income sources Consulting

2001
Income \$34,686
Taxes owed \$8,275
Income sources Consulting

2000
Income \$72,895
Taxes owed \$23,275
Income sources Consulting

1999
Income \$33,345
Taxes owed \$8,515
Income sources:
Emerald Fund, Inc. \$12,000
Deem Sum, Inc. \$8,000
Alemany Development \$2,000
Chiang CM \$10,000
Taxable interest \$1,345

SOURCE: The Mayor's Office of Housing

Rose Pak


disagreed.

"An agent is a consultant, generally," Daly said. "That would seem to be some cause for concern."

Daly said he would like to see a city attorney investigation into the integrity of the First Time Home Buyers program. Daly also bought his home through the Mayor's Office of Housing, although not an affordable unit.

Under city regulations, developers are required to set aside a specific percentage of units for sale or rent at below-market rates to individuals who are within specific income guidelines.

The mayor's office designated Pak's unit as affordable for a single person making no more than \$62,000 a year. In a letter to the mayor's office, Pak's account-



tant estimated she would make \$60,000 in 2002.

Pak put \$60,000 down on her unit, and took a \$240,000 loan to cover the balance, records show.

Pak, who holds a master's degree in journalism from Columbia University and worked as a reporter for eight years with the San Francisco Chronicle, is a longtime San Francisco power player and influence broker.

Working as chief consultant for the Chinese Chamber of Commerce, Pak raises money for political candidates, finds jobs for her friends and supporters, and smooths opposition to legislation and development — or creates it.

She also has close ties with corporate and government interests in mainland China, organizing and leading at least two of

Mayor Brown's trade junkets to Beijing, Shanghai and Hong Kong.

In the '90s, Pak took credit for getting former police chief Fred Lau his job and was influential in rounding up cash and support for, among others, mayors Art Agnos and Brown.

Brown, who seemed surprised to learn that his office administered Pak's home, said Pak is a Chinatown powerhouse and excels at bringing people out on issues dear to her.

"She's probably the best community organizer in The City," Brown said. "Rose Pak can genuinely produce bodies, and there aren't that many people who can do that on a consistent basis without reference to what the cause is."

DATE RECEIVED: 6/17/2002

SCHEDULED CLOSE DATE: _____

Property Address: 700 Franklin St

Development: Bridge View

701
APPLICATION REVIEW CHECKLIST

Allowable Maximum Price: \$ 300,100

Purchase Agreement: \$ 300,100

FMV Appraisal: \$ 580,000

Lien Amount: \$ 279,900

No. of Bedrooms: 2

Household Size: 1

Median Income Maximum: \$ 60,200 / 60,000 ^{Not to exceed}

Household Median: 100 / 100 %

Current Residence: 730 Sacramento St, SF, CA 94108

Borrowers Name(s): 1. Jose San Pak 3. _____

2. _____ 4. _____

Tax Returns:

Applicant 1	Applicant 2	Applicant 3	Applicant 4
1999 \$ <u>65,745</u>	1999 \$ _____	1999 \$ _____	1999 \$ _____
2000 \$ <u>72,895</u>	2000 \$ _____	2000 \$ _____	2000 \$ _____
2001 \$ <u>34,334</u>	2001 \$ _____	2001 \$ _____	2001 \$ _____

Three Current Paystubs: P/L Current Projected Annual Income: \$ 32,640 *
Monthly Net = 13,600

CLOSING DOCUMENTS:

- ☐ Preliminary Title Report (Date of Revision: _____)
- ☐ Appraisal Report
- ☐ Affordable Housing Application Form
- ☐ Promissory Note
- ☐ Deed of Trust
- ☐ Grant of Right of First Refusal
- ☐ Acknowledgment of Special Restriction
- ☐ Escrow Instructions to the Title Company
- ☐ Residential Loan Application from Mortgage Lender (1003)

221

PROJECT NAME: Bridgeview

INIT ADDRESS: 400 Beale St. # 701 # of Bedrooms 2
SF, CA 94108

MOH

JUN 17 2002

RECEIVED

1. Name of Applicant(s) (1) Rose Lan Pak (3) _____

(2) _____ (4) _____

2. Social Security Number(s) : (1) [REDACTED] (2) _____

(3) _____ (4) _____

3. Current Addresses: (1) 730 Sacramento St. (2) _____
SF, CA. 94108

(3) _____ (4) _____

4. Contact Name: Rose Lan Pak

5. Phone: 415-983-0738 (415) 982-3000 9-5 J Work
(Home Number) (Work Number) (Available Hrs.)

6. Total Household Size: 1 7. Currently: Own _____ Rent ✓

8. Financial Information:

APPLICANT	INCOME	SOURCE / EMPLOYER	TOTAL
<u>Rose Lan Pak</u>	<u>60,000</u>	<u>Self Employed Consultant</u>	<u>60,000</u>
_____	_____	_____	_____
_____	_____	_____	_____
_____	_____	_____	_____

TYPE OF ASSET	ASSET AMOUNT	BANK NAME / ACCOUNT NUMBER	TOTAL
<u>Checking</u>	<u>73,414.65</u>	<u>United Comm Bank</u> <u>[REDACTED]</u>	<u>73,414.65</u>
<u>IRA</u>	<u>4084.49</u>	<u>United Comm Bank</u> <u>[REDACTED]</u>	<u>4084.49</u>
_____	_____	_____	_____
_____	_____	_____	_____

Applicant(s) Signature:

(1) Rose Pak Date: 5/22/02 (2) _____ Date: _____
(3) _____ Date: _____ (4) _____ Date: _____

This application is not complete without the following items:

- ☒ Completed 3 recent Federal Tax Returns (signed & dated) w/ corresponding w-2 forms
- ☒ Three recent and consecutive paystubs (or equivalent - Self Employed Income/Expense) with CPA
- ☒ A copy of the Ratified Sales Agreement
- ☒ A copy of recent "FMV" Appraisal
- ☒ A copy of a recent (within 90 days) Preliminary Title Report



231

Label (See instructions on page 18.) Use the IRS label. Otherwise, please print or type.

For the year Jan. 1-Dec. 31, 1999, or other tax year beginning 1999, ending

OMB No. 1545-0074

Your first name and Initial
ROSE

Last name
PAK

Your social security number
[REDACTED]

If a joint return, spouse's first name and Initial
[REDACTED]

Last name
[REDACTED]

Spouse's social security number
[REDACTED]

Home address (number and street). If you have a P.O. box, see page 18.
730 SACRAMENTO STREET

Apt. no.
[REDACTED]

City, town or post office, state, and ZIP code. If you have a foreign address, see page 18.
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94108

Presidential Election Campaign (See page 18.) Do you want \$3 to go to this fund? ☐ Yes ☒ No

If a joint return, does your spouse want \$3 to go to this fund? ☐ Yes ☒ No

Note: Checking "Yes" will not change your tax or reduce your refund.

Filing Status

1 ☒ Single

2 ☐ Married filing joint return (even if only one had income)

3 ☐ Married filing separate return. Enter spouse's soc. sec. no. above and full name here. ▶

4 ☐ Head of household (with qualifying person). If the qualifying person is a child but not your dependent, enter this child's name here. ▶

5 ☐ Qualifying widow(er) with dependent child (year spouse died ▶ 19). (See page 18.)

Check only one box.

Exemptions

6a ☒ Yourself. If your parent (or someone else) can claim you as a dependent on his or her tax return, do not check box 6a

b ☐ Spouse

c Dependents:

(1) First name	Last name	(2) Dependent's social security number	(3) Dependent's relationship to you	(4) If a qualifying child for child tax credit (see page 19)
COPY				

No. of boxes checked on 8a and 8b: 1

No. of your children on 6c who:

- ☐ lived with you
- ☐ did not live with you due to divorce or separation (see page 19)

Dependents on 6c not entered above: 1

d Total number of exemptions claimed: 1

Income

7 Wages, salaries, tips, etc. Attach Form(s) W-2

8a Taxable interest. Attach Schedule B if required

8b Tax-exempt interest. DO NOT include on line 8a

9 Ordinary dividends. Attach Schedule B if required

10 Taxable refunds, or credits of state and local income taxes

11 Alimony received

12 Business income or (loss). Attach Schedule C or C-EZ

13 Capital gain or (loss). Attach Schedule D if required. If not required, check here ☐

14 Other gains or (losses). Attach Form 4797

15a Total IRA distributions

15b Taxable amount (see page 22)

16a Total pensions and annuities

16b Taxable amount (see page 22)

17 Rental real estate, royalties, partnerships, S corporations, trusts, etc. Attach Schedule E

18 Farm income or (loss). Attach Schedule F

19 Unemployment compensation

20a Social security benefits

20b Taxable amount (see page 24)

21 Other income. List type and amount (see page 24)
SEE STATEMENT 1

22 Add the amounts in the far right column for lines 7 through 21. This is your total income

23 IRA deduction (see page 26)

24 Student loan interest deduction (see page 26)

25 Medical savings account deduction. Attach Form 8853

26 Moving expenses. Attach Form 3903

27 One-half of self-employment tax. Attach Schedule SE

28 Self-employed health insurance deduction (see page 28)

29 Keogh and self-employed SEP and SIMPLE plans

30 Penalty on early withdrawal of savings

31a Alimony paid b Recipient's SSN ▶

32 Add lines 23 through 31a

33 Subtract line 32 from line 22. This is your adjusted gross income

Adjusted Gross Income

24

Form 1040 (1999)

ROSE PAK

OMB No. 1545-0074

Page 1

Tax and Credits

34 Amount from line 33 (adjusted gross income) 34 31,084

35a Check if: ☐ You were 65 or older, ☐ Blind; ☐ Spouse was 65 or older, ☐ Blind. Add the number of boxes checked above and enter the total here 35a

b If you are married filing separately and your spouse itemizes deductions or you were a dual-status alien, see page 30 and check here 35b

36 Enter your itemized deductions from Schedule A, line 28, OR standard deduction shown on the left. But see page 30 to find your standard deduction if you checked any box on line 35a or 35b or if someone can claim you as a dependent 36 4,300.

37 Subtract line 36 from line 34 37 26,784

38 If line 34 is \$94,975 or less, multiply \$2,750 by the total number of exemptions claimed on line 6d. If line 34 is over \$94,975, see the worksheet on page 31 for the amount to enter 38 2,750.

39 Taxable income. Subtract line 38 from line 37. If line 38 is more than line 37, enter -0- 39 24,034.

40 Tax. (see page 31). Check if any tax from a ☐ Form(s) 8814 b ☐ Form 4972 40 3,604.

41 Credit for child and dependent care expenses. Attach Form 2441 41

42 Credit for the elderly or the disabled. Attach Schedule R 42

43 Child tax credit (see page 33) 43

44 Education credits. Attach Form 8863 44

45 Adoption credit. Attach Form 8839 45

46 Foreign tax credit. Attach Form 1116 if required 46

47 Other. Check if from a ☐ Form 3800 b ☐ Form 8396 47c ☐ Form 8801 d ☐ Form (specify)

48 Add lines 41 through 47. These are your total credits 48

49 Subtract line 48 from line 40. If line 48 is more than line 40, enter -0- 49 3,604.

Other Taxes

50 Self-employment tax. Attach Schedule SE 50 4,521.

51 Alternative minimum tax. Attach Form 6251 51

52 Social security and Medicare tax on tip income not reported to employer. Attach Form 4137 52

53 Tax on IRAs, other retirement plans, and MSAs. Attach Form 5329 if required 53

54 Advance earned income credit payments from Form(s) W-2 54

55 Household employment taxes. Attach Schedule H 55

56 Add lines 49 through 55. This is your total tax 56 8,125.

Payments

57 Federal income tax withheld from Forms W-2 and 1099 57

58 1999 estimated tax payments and amount applied from 1998 return 58

59a Earned income credit. Attach Sch. EIC if you have a qualifying child 59a

b Nontaxable earned income: amount and type 59b

60 Additional child tax credit. Attach Form 8812 60

61 Amount paid with request for extension to file (see page 48) 61

62 Excess social security and RRTA tax withheld (see page 48) 62

63 Other payments. Check if from a ☐ Form 2439 b ☐ Form 4136 63

64 Add lines 57, 58, 59a, and 60 through 63. These are your total payments 64

Refund

65 If line 64 is more than line 56, subtract line 56 from line 64. This is the amount you OVERPAID 65

66a Amount of line 65 you want REFUNDED TO YOU 66a

b Routing number c Type: ☐ Checking ☐ Savings 66b

d Account number

67 Amount of line 65 you want APPLIED TO YOUR 2000 ESTIMATED TAX 67

Amount You Owe

68 If line 56 is more than line 64, subtract line 64 from line 56. This is the AMOUNT YOU OWE. For details on how to pay, see page 49 68 8,515.

69 Estimated tax penalty. Also include on line 68 69 390.

Sign Here

Under penalties of perjury, I declare that I have examined this return and accompanying schedules and statements, and to the best of my knowledge and belief, they are true, correct, and complete. Declaration of preparer (other than taxpayer) is based on all information of which preparer has any knowledge.

Your signature

Date

Your occupation

Daytime telephone number (optional)

Keep a copy for your records.

Spouse's signature, if a joint return, BOTH must sign

Date

Spouse's occupation

Paid

Preparer's signature

Date

Check if self-employed ☒

Preparer's SSN or PTIN

Preparer's Use Only

Firm's name (or yours if self-employed) and address

SIDNEY CHAN, CPA

251 KEARNY STREET, SUITE 500

SAN FRANCISCO, CA

EIN 94-2469523

ZIP code 94108

Form 1040 (1999)

ROSE PAK

OMB No. 1545-0074

Page 1

Tax and Credits

34 Amount from line 33 (adjusted gross income) 34 31,084

35a Check if: ☐ You were 65 or older, ☐ Blind; ☐ Spouse was 65 or older, ☐ Blind.

Add the number of boxes checked above and enter the total here 35a

b If you are married filing separately and your spouse itemizes deductions or you were a dual-status alien, see page 30 and check here 35b

36 Enter your itemized deductions from Schedule A, line 28, OR standard deduction shown on the left. But see page 30 to find your standard deduction if you checked any box on line 35a or 35b or if someone can claim you as a dependent 36 4,300

37 Subtract line 36 from line 34 37 26,784

38 If line 34 is \$94,975 or less, multiply \$2,750 by the total number of exemptions claimed on line 6d. If line 34 is over \$94,975, see the worksheet on page 31 for the amount to enter 38 2,750

39 Taxable income. Subtract line 38 from line 37. If line 38 is more than line 37, enter -0- 39 24,034

40 Tax. (see page 31). Check if any tax from a ☐ Form(s) 8814 b ☐ Form 4972 40 3,604

41 Credit for child and dependent care expenses. Attach Form 2441 41

42 Credit for the elderly or the disabled. Attach Schedule R 42

43 Child tax credit (see page 33) 43

44 Education credits. Attach Form 8863 44

45 Adoption credit. Attach Form 8839 45

46 Foreign tax credit. Attach Form 1116 if required 46

47 Other. Check if from a ☐ Form 3800 b ☐ Form 8396 c ☐ Form 8801 d ☐ Form (specify) 47

48 Add lines 41 through 47. These are your total credits 48

49 Subtract line 48 from line 40. If line 48 is more than line 40, enter -0- 49 3,604

Other Taxes

50 Self-employment tax. Attach Schedule SE 50 4,521

51 Alternative minimum tax. Attach Form 6251 51

52 Social security and Medicare tax on tip income not reported to employer. Attach Form 4137 52

53 Tax on IRAs, other retirement plans, and MSAs. Attach Form 5329 if required 53

54 Advance earned income credit payments from Form(s) W-2 54

55 Household employment taxes. Attach Schedule H 55

56 Add lines 49 through 55. This is your total tax 56 8,125

Payments

57 Federal income tax withheld from Forms W-2 and 1099 57

58 1999 estimated tax payments and amount applied from 1998 return 58

59a Earned income credit. Attach Sch. EIC if you have a qualifying child 59a

b Nontaxable earned income: amount and type 59a

60 Additional child tax credit. Attach Form 8812 60

61 Amount paid with request for extension to file (see page 48) 61

62 Excess social security and RRTA tax withheld (see page 48) 62

63 Other payments. Check if from a ☐ Form 2439 b ☐ Form 4136 63

64 Add lines 57, 58, 59a, and 60 through 63. These are your total payments 64

Refund

Have it directly deposited! See page 48 and fill in 66a, 66c, and 66d.

65 If line 64 is more than line 56, subtract line 56 from line 64. This is the amount you OVERPAID 65

66a Amount of line 65 you want REFUNDED TO YOU 66a

b Routing number c Type: ☐ Checking ☐ Savings

d Account number

67 Amount of line 65 you want APPLIED TO YOUR 2000 ESTIMATED TAX 67

Amount You Owe

68 If line 56 is more than line 64, subtract line 64 from line 56. This is the AMOUNT YOU OWE. 68 8,515

For details on how to pay, see page 49

69 Estimated tax penalty. Also include on line 68 69 390

Sign Here

Under penalties of perjury, I declare that I have examined this return and accompanying schedules and statements, and to the best of my knowledge and belief, they are true, correct, and complete. Declaration of preparer (other than taxpayer) is based on all information of which preparer has any knowledge.

Your signature

Date

Your occupation

Daytime telephone number (optional)

Keep a copy for your records.

Spouse's signature, if a joint return, BOTH must sign

Date

Spouse's occupation

Paid

Preparer's signature

Date

Check if self-employed ☒

Preparer's SSN or PTIN

Preparer's Use Only

Firm's name (or your if self-employed) and address

SIDNEY CHAN, CPA

251 KEARNY STREET, SUITE 500

SAN FRANCISCO, CA

EIN

9412469523

ZIP code

94108

From: [Laura Embleton](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); health.commission.dph@sfdph.org; [Colfax, Grant \(DPH\)](#); [DOSW, DOSW \(WOM\)](#); [Murase, Emily \(WOM\)](#)
Subject: ABMP Comments on Cease and Desist Letters to Massage and Bodywork Therapists
Date: Friday, August 30, 2019 4:09:33 PM
Attachments: [image002.png](#)
[ABMP Comments re San Francisco Cease and Desist Activities.pdf](#)

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Attached please find our comments regarding San Francisco's treatment of massage and bodywork therapists. We urge you to consider a new ordinance as outlined in our letter. Thank you for your consideration.

Laura B. Embleton
 Government Relations Director
 Associated Bodywork & Massage Professionals
Laura@abmp.com
 (303) 679-7645 (o)
 (303) 809-8803 (c)





Associated Bodywork & Massage Professionals

25188 Genesee Trail Rd, Ste 200
Golden, CO 80401
800-458-2267
fax 800-667-8260
www.abmp.com

August 30, 2019

TO: San Francisco Supervisors Sandra Lee Fewer; Catherine Stefani; Aaron Peskin; Gordon Mar; Vallie Brown; Matt Haney; Norman Yee; Rafael Mandelman; Hillary Ronen; Shamann Walton; and Ahsha Safai;
Department of Public Health Director Grant Colfax, MD, and
Commissioners James Loyce, Jr, MS; Dan Bernal; Edward A. Chow, MD; Cecilia Chung; Laurie Green, MD; and
Tessie Guillermo; and,
San Francisco Department on the Status of Women Director Emily Murase, PhD

RE: San Francisco Massage Ordinance and Cease and Desist Letter

VIA EMAIL

Associated Bodywork & Massage Professionals (ABMP) provides professional liability insurance, business resources, professional publications, and legislative and regulatory advocacy for more than 80,000 members nationwide, including more than 2,000 members in the Bay Area.

We are in receipt of a Cease and Desist letter issued by the San Francisco Department of Health on August 21. The letter implies that massage therapists and bodywork professionals are engaged in nefarious undertakings. The action against these individuals is egregious. It's also notable that 87 percent of U.S. massage therapists identify as female—the San Francisco Department on the Status of Women's spotlight demographic.

Massage therapy and bodywork practitioners are health-care professionals who are integrated in the health-care and wellness community in San Francisco. Nearly 100 million adults in the United States report chronic pain conditions, and massage therapy and bodywork therapy are valid treatments for short-term and long-term pain conditions.¹ Across the nation, insurance plans are increasingly including massage therapy as a covered benefit option and physicians are recommending massage therapy as an alternative, low-risk treatment to opioids.

Massage has been shown to be effective for pain reduction because the hands-on approach treats the whole body including the muscular system, immune system, and nervous system which can result in overall better health. While a person may seek massage therapy to treat low-back pain, they may also experience other beneficial side effects such as reduced stress, better sleep, less reliance on pharmaceutical medications, and more energy.²

In addition, research has shown that massage therapy and bodywork therapy are valid treatments for back pain, migraines, neck pain, sports injuries, rheumatoid arthritis, postsurgical scars, and burn injuries. These modalities can also help treat mental and emotional conditions including depression, anxiety, and posttraumatic stress disorder (PTSD).

The ordinance in question here appears to be introduced to address issues of illicit businesses that attempt to associate themselves with these professionals. The professionals impacted by this ordinance are overwhelmingly not the problem here. Forcing them to pay for the \$800 application, then the costly annual fee for the inspections and disciplinary actions of illicit operations is wholly unfair when cities address the issue of illicit businesses masquerading as professionals. Massage therapists and bodywork professionals earn a minimal living, on average around \$20,000 per year. The physical demands of delivering massage exclude it from being a full-time practice of 40 hours a week.

¹ Material adapted from "What Research Says about the Benefits of Massage" www.massagetherapy.com/articles/what-research-says-about-benefits-massage

² Material adapted from "Massage and its Benefits," www.massagetherapy.com/massage-and-its-benefits.

As you are well aware, California remains a voluntary certification state. Licenses to practice massage or bodywork therapy are not required at a state level. In 2015, the Massage Practice Act was revised to allow cities to do some licensing. We believe in the ability of some local control over criminal activities. That said, the result of the 2015 revision now has a majority of cities within California charging outrageous fees to operate massage and bodywork businesses in those cities. If a professional works in a number of contiguous communities, they could be paying upwards of \$10,000 per year to operate.

This ordinance is having a severe impact on a number of our members who are sole practitioners and have been practicing in the same location, with no issues, for more than 15 years. Professionals like this have been practicing massage therapy lawfully in California for decades and consider themselves part of the health and wellness continuum. Shutting down their businesses is an assault on entrepreneurs and takes jobs away from educated specialists who are members of national professional organizations and have no issues with their businesses except that they are providing massage and/or bodywork therapy services.

We work with state boards and a number of local governments around the country to draft ordinances that provide local governments with the tools to deal with illicit businesses. We suggest that most of these ordinances look at using the criminal code to go after owners and managers who allow illicit activities to exist in their "businesses." We think that sole practitioners should be exempt from such requirements because they are not engaged in the activity these ordinances seek to curb. In our opinion such licensing can be useful because it provides cities with an opportunity to perform background checks on the owners. If there is criminal activity related to human trafficking or prostitution in a background check, the license is then denied and a similar business should not be able to open in that location for two years. We have evidence that such ordinances have been very successful in ridding cities of this type of crime. (However, such licenses need to be kept affordable (under \$500), so they're accessible to small business owners.)

We would be happy to work with San Francisco and other California cities to help craft ordinances that go after illicit businesses, rather than attacking and overcharging massage and bodywork therapists.

We urge you to stop this cease and desist behavior. We invite you to educate yourselves about therapeutic massage and bodywork, who these massage and bodywork therapists are and what they do, and then draft another ordinance that is does not punish these health-care workers for their profession.

Thank you for considering our opinions. Please contact me at laura@abmp.com or 303-679-7645 if you have any comments or questions.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, reading "Laura B. Embleton". The signature is fluid and cursive, with the first name "Laura" being the most prominent part.

Laura B. Embleton, Government Relations Director

From: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
To: [BOS Legislation, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: FW: Support 258 Noe Street Retail Cannabis Case # 2018-002060CUA
Date: Tuesday, September 3, 2019 4:59:00 PM

From: Aashish Karkhanis <aashish.karkhanis@tedpsf.org>
Sent: Tuesday, September 3, 2019 11:14 AM
To: CPC-Commissions Secretary <commissions.secretary@sfgov.org>; Horn, Jeffrey (CPC) <jeffrey.horn@sfgov.org>; MandelmanStaff, [BOS] <mandelmanstaff@sfgov.org>; Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>; Office of Cannabis (ADM) <officeofcannabis@sfgov.org>
Cc: Flore Store <258NoeStore@gmail.com>
Subject: Support 258 Noe Street Retail Cannabis Case # 2018-002060CUA

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear SF Planning Department and District Supervisor Mandelman,

I am writing to ask for your yes vote on the Conditional Use request for a cannabis retail store at 258 Noe Street scheduled for hearing on September 19, 2019. Cannabis retail at 258 Noe will be a positive impact on the surrounding businesses, bringing new and much needed shoppers, add heightened security, offer good paying jobs and give a boost to the commercial diversity of the Upper Market and Castro areas.

My name is Aashish Karkhanis, a resident of San Francisco and board president of the Tenderloin Equitable Development Project ("TEDP"), a San Francisco nonprofit focused on supporting community businesses in the Tenderloin with counseling and direct investment. I know Terrance from TEDP, a founder of that organization and a true leader and forward thinker on inclusive community building through vibrant local business corridors that serve people from many backgrounds. I want more cannabis retail in Upper Market for patients and adult users, and I specifically want to see 258 Noe Street cannabis retail open because Terrance is leading the project. Terrance's team is uniquely suited, under his leadership, to create a cannabis retail experience that complements the community, strengthens the community business ecosystem across Upper Market, and further beautifies a major commercial corridor.

My support for this project comes from my personal experience with Terrance. I've seen his commitment to an inclusive family, diverse community and City, his hands-on knowledge of operating a small business in San Francisco and positive role in the three-year civic conversation that resulted in the establishment of the Office of Cannabis and the Equity Program of San Francisco.

The store is owned by the equity applicant, Terrance Alan and Focus 415 Capital Investments Group, a small group of investors each with a long history in cannabis, social advocacy,

business. The renovations proposed will sensitively house a modern retail operation inside a tastefully updated Victorian era storefront.

Please register my support and I urge your vote “Yes.”

Sincerely,
Aashish
9/3/2019

--

Aashish R. Karkhanis

Tenderloin Equitable Development Project | President

25 Taylor Street, San Francisco, CA 94102 | Main (415) 498-0956 | Mobile (415) 815-8641 | www.tedpsf.org

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE This communication and any files or materials transmitted herewith are confidential and intended solely for recipients addressed/stated herewith. If you are not an intended recipient of this communication, you may not view, record, retain, copy, disclose, distribute, or disseminate this communication in whole or in part. If you have received this communication in error, notify the sender immediately and destroy any and all copies without viewing.

From: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
To: [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#)
Cc: [BOS Legislation, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: FW: Support 258 Noe Street Retail Cannabis Case # 2018-002060CUA
Date: Monday, September 9, 2019 9:30:00 AM

From: Guy Carson <guy@urbangroups.com>
Sent: Saturday, September 7, 2019 7:02 PM
To: MandelmanStaff, [BOS] <mandelmanstaff@sfgov.org>; Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>; officeofcannabis@sf.gov.org; CPC-Commissions Secretary <commissions.secretary@sfgov.org>; Horn, Jeffrey (CPC) <jeffrey.horn@sfgov.org>
Cc: 258NoeStore@gmail.com
Subject: Support 258 Noe Street Retail Cannabis Case # 2018-002060CUA

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear SF Planning Department and District Supervisor Mandelman,

I'm writing to ask for your yes vote on the Conditional Use request for a cannabis retail store at 258 Noe Street scheduled for hearing on September 19, 2019.

My name is Guy Carson. I formerly owned the Cafe DuNord at 2170 Market Street (about a block away from 258 Noe Street) and have been involved with the merchants of upper Market and the Castro for many years. I support a well-run Cannabis retail store at Noe and Market for I know it will positively impact a neighborhood in need of new and more frequent shoppers by boosting commercial diversity; namely, a needed cannabis destination.

My support for this project derives from my personal experience with Terrance and his investor/partners. I have personally known Terrance for many years and have engaged with him in many different settings and capacities - on the Board of the California Music and Culture Association, as President of the Entertainment Commission, countless neighborhood meetings, ad-hoc tasks forces and in various business associations. In my opinion he belongs to a rather small class of what I call "Enlightened Entrepreneurs." He loves this City and is highly invested in the health and well-being of its local culture. In his 20 plus years of advocacy, he has provided fair and reasoned leadership, and has always been willing to put his money on the table for the greater good of the community.

Terrance Alan and Focus 415 Capital Investments Group have a long history in cannabis advocacy and legislation. Terrance distinguished himself as Chairperson of the SF Cannabis Task force and was pivotal in helping to establish the Office of Cannabis and the Equity Program of San Francisco.

Frankly, I can't think of a more qualified candidate for this CUP than Terrance and Company. They will be excellent operators, great neighbors and community partners too.

Please register my support. I urge you to vote "Yes" for a cannabis retail store at 258 Noe Street.

Sincerely,

Guy Carson

Resident at Large

415-420-8048

From: [carmela.gold](#)
To: [CPC-Commissions Secretary: Horn, Jeffrey \(CPC\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Office of Cannabis \(ADM\)](#)
Cc: [258NoeStore@gmail.com](#)
Subject: Support 258 Noe Street Retail Cannabis Case # 2018-002060CUA
Date: Wednesday, August 28, 2019 5:43:50 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear SF Planning Department and District Supervisor Mandelman,

I am writing to ask for your yes vote on the Conditional Use request for a cannabis retail store at 258 Noe Street scheduled for hearing on September 19, 2019. The proposed store at 258 Noe will be a positive impact on the surrounding businesses, bringing new and much needed shoppers, add heightened security, offer good paying jobs and give a boost to the commercial diversity of the Upper Market Noe Valley area.

My name is Carmela Gold. I have lived in the Duboce Triangle on Henry St for 32 years. I have been a longtime member of the Duboce Triangle Neighborhood Association, A Board Member and longtime Land Use Committee Member. It is my neighborhood and I'm happy to have someone I know wanting to open the cannabis store down the street. I have known Terrance Alan for over 20 years. We met while serving on the board of the Tenderloin Equitable Development Project which helped create the Tenderloin Community Benefit District. I've planted trees with him, held fundraisers, and helped small businesses in the TL neighborhood grow. He has always been willing to do that "one more thing" to help others in need.

Please register my support and I urge your vote "Yes."

Sincerely,

Carmela Gold

23 Henry Street, SF



From: [Guy Carson](#)
To: [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); officeofcannabis@sf.gov; [CPC-Commissions Secretary; Horn, Jeffrey \(CPC\)](#)
Cc: 258NoeStore@gmail.com
Subject: Support 258 Noe Street Retail Cannabis Case # 2018-002060CUA
Date: Saturday, September 7, 2019 7:01:45 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear SF Planning Department and District Supervisor Mandelman,

I'm writing to ask for your yes vote on the Conditional Use request for a cannabis retail store at 258 Noe Street scheduled for hearing on September 19, 2019.

My name is Guy Carson. I formerly owned the Cafe DuNord at 2170 Market Street (about a block away from 258 Noe Street) and have been involved with the merchants of upper Market and the Castro for many years. I support a well-run Cannabis retail store at Noe and Market for I know it will positively impact a neighborhood in need of new and more frequent shoppers by boosting commercial diversity; namely, a needed cannabis destination.

My support for this project derives from my personal experience with Terrance and his investor/partners. I have personally known Terrance for many years and have engaged with him in many different settings and capacities - on the Board of the California Music and Culture Association, as President of the Entertainment Commission, countless neighborhood meetings, ad-hoc tasks forces and in various business associations. In my opinion he belongs to a rather small class of what I call "Enlightened Entrepreneurs." He loves this City and is highly invested in the health and well-being of its local culture. In his 20 plus years of advocacy, he has provided fair and reasoned leadership, and has always been willing to put his money on the table for the greater good of the community.

Terrance Alan and Focus 415 Capital Investments Group have a long history in cannabis advocacy and legislation. Terrance distinguished himself as Chairperson of the SF Cannabis Task force and was pivotal in helping to establish the Office of Cannabis and the Equity Program of San Francisco.

Frankly, I can't think of a more qualified candidate for this CUP than Terrance and Company. They will be excellent operators, great neighbors and community partners too.

Please register my support. I urge you to vote "Yes" for a cannabis retail store at 258 Noe Street.

Sincerely,

Guy Carson
Resident at Large
415-420-8048

From: [Kim Martin](#)
To: [Horn, Jeffrey \(CPC\)](#); [Office of Cannabis \(ADM\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [CPC-Commissions Secretary](#)
Cc: 285NoeStore@gmail.com
Subject: SUPPORT of 258 Noe Street Retail Cannabis Case # 2018-002060CUA
Date: Monday, September 9, 2019 11:54:49 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear SF Planning Department and District Supervisor Mandelman,

I am writing to ask for your yes vote on the Conditional Use request for a cannabis retail store at 258 Noe Street scheduled for hearing on September 19, 2019. Cannabis retail at 258 Noe will be a positive impact on the surrounding businesses, bringing new and much needed shoppers, add heightened security, offer good paying jobs and give a boost to the commercial diversity of the Upper Market and Castro areas.

My name is Kim Martin and I live in the East Bay area. I know about this project through family friends, Aaron and Denae Silverman. I want more cannabis retail in Upper Market for patients and adult users. My husband works in San Francisco and he would like to see more locations for purchasing quality cannabis.

My support for this project comes from my personal experience with the principals, watching and sharing in their involvement in the positive connection cannabis had on community ravaged by the crisis of AIDS. I know them by their commitment to an inclusive family, diverse community and City, their hands-on knowledge of operating a small business in San Francisco and positive role in the three-year civic conversation that resulted in the establishment of the Office of Cannabis and the Equity Program of San Francisco.

Please register my support and I urge you to vote "Yes."

Sincerely,
Kim Martin
925-300-8582

BOARD of SUPERVISORS



City Hall
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco 94102-4689
Tel. No. 554-5184
Fax No. 554-5163
TDD/TTY No. 544-5227

MEMORANDUM

Date: September 12, 2019
To: Members of the Public
From: Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board
Subject: Disclaimer
Communications for File No. 190841

Some of the following emails contain offensive, violent, profane and/or aggressive language regarding the Resolution declaring that the National Rifle Association is a domestic terrorist organization and urging other cities, states, and the federal government to do the same, File No. 190841.

From: mnisito@netzero.net
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: A Message from One of Many Supporters of the NRA
Date: Wednesday, September 4, 2019 4:46:03 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We Aren't the Problem. You Are.

#YouWorkForWeThePeople

Michael

From: [George David Weible](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Are you kidding?
Date: Wednesday, September 4, 2019 7:16:29 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

The NRA is a terrorist organization? Your board defends, protects, funds thru unearned benefits, criminals often many times having committed multiple crimes with illegally obtained weapons. All while you have allowed a once proud and pleasant city to become a haven for criminals, a disease ridden slum and drug den for thousands of persons who should not even be here, and a residence for those who can afford their own security, or those who inhabit the streets, but not those who actually work.

A terrorist organization? Sure sounds like a description of the Board of Supervisors.

David Weible

From: [Mike Winnick](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Resolution 190841 - NRA Named a Terrorist Organization
Date: Friday, September 6, 2019 7:28:42 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To the Board of Supervisors of San Francisco,

Your recently issued Resolution 190841, among other statements, said the following:

WHEREAS, The United States Department of Justice further includes any individual or member of an organization commits an act that the actor knows, or reasonably should know, affords material support, including communications, funds, weapons, or training to any individual has committed or plans to commit a terrorist act, and

WHEREAS, The National Rifle Association musters its considerable wealth and organizational strength to promote gun ownership and incite gun owners to acts of violence, and

WHEREAS, The National Rifle Association spreads propaganda that misinforms and aims to deceive the public about the dangers of gun violence, and

WHEREAS, The leadership of National Rifle Association promotes extremist positions, in defiance of the views of a majority of its membership and the public, and undermine the general welfare, and

WHEREAS, The National Rifle Association through its advocacy has armed those individuals who would and have committed acts of terrorism; and

WHEREAS, All countries have violent and hateful people, but only in America do we give them ready access to assault weapons and large-capacity magazines thanks, in large part, to the National Rifle Association's influence; now, therefore, be it

RESOLVED, That the City and County of San Francisco intends to declare the National Rifle Association a domestic terrorist organization...

Since the Board of Supervisors has determined that the NRA is a terrorist organization , and thus any NRA member, who by membership alone, supports, aids and abets the activities of the NRA, is a terrorist, I expect arrest warrants to be issued for such entities. In addition I expect the local utilities to be forced to stop providing their services to any NRA office or persons, and any businesses currently selling their services of products to cease such terror support. This would include, for example, renting space, providing computer and other digital support, and any product necessary to abet the functioning of anything necessary to support a terrorist.

I expect San Francisco to demand the NRA provide a list of all NRA members currently domiciled in SFO. Upon receipt of such list of names SFO should immediately require the SFPD to arrest every

such person on that list and place them in custody as potential threats to the safety and security of SFO's citizens, residents and visitors.

I expect that SFO will immediately determine the appropriate sentence for incarceration and begin enforcing that sentence. I suggest the term be life as terrorists, as we have seen in the Middle East, in our domestic terrorists, in fact over the world, that a terrorist never gives up his belief in terrorism and thus is a lifelong threat to this country and everyone in it. I also suggest that SFO install a 'no fly' rule prohibiting any known or suspected terrorist from travelling to or in SFO.

I expect that anyone in the employ of the city of SFO that attempts to treat such identified terrorists, or their supporters, as a supporter of terrorism and subject to immediate arrest.

To do any less than what I have outlined above would simply expose your recent finding as a 'toothless tiger', a stunt, a political action, and question whether the city actually believes in what it has done.

I have visited SFO many times but with this newly exposed threat I am considering not keeping the city of SFO on my list for vacations or business reasons due to it knowingly harboring members of a terrorist organization.

However, once SFO takes the necessary steps to identify and capture the terrorist hoard that appears to exist in SFO I will be happy to resume my travels to SFO.

Please keep me informed of your progress in ridding SFO of this terrorist scourge.

Thank you,

Michael Winnick
Plano, Texas

From: [Rod Plath](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Ashamed and sad
Date: Thursday, September 5, 2019 1:17:57 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

WE ARE THE NRA AND WE ARE 5 MILLION PATRIOTIC, FREEDOM-LOVING AMERICANS!

I was Born and raised in California. Left to serve this GREAT country, and Will NEVER return to California because it no longer exists! Replaced by a Liberal bunch of Lying Atheists

From: [Ronnie C](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Attn: Catherine Stefani
Date: Wednesday, September 4, 2019 4:40:46 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hello, I'm an NRA life member as is my Father and have been for several years, I tried to call your office personally but of course, no answer and mailbox is full.

To designate the NRA as a domestic terrorist organization is absolutely ridiculous. The NRA was founded shortly after the civil war union to train in safety skills and to protect the blacks against the slave owners, they also supported the first federal gun control laws in the 1930s. Now I know some sites like Snopes, Google, and other liberal run websites will negate these facts but I went to school before our History was being erased and rewritten. I have known about the History of the NRA since Charlton Heston was president of the Organization.

The NRA and has promoted safety and responsibility beyond all, they have never promoted violence against anyone, they offer safety training classes, they donate to many groups - including youth programs and as a member, I completely support what they stand for and I must say that I take offense to the board's decision to label the NRA a domestic terrorist organization when you have groups like Antifa running in the streets, undocumented people - like the man who murdered Kate Steinle and people who are mentally ill allowed to go unnoticed. Perhaps the board should focus more on the crumbling city you represent i.e. fecal matter, needles, drugs and homeless that riddle your streets.

I understand there have been a lot of gun-related tragedies, but that is a mental health issue, not a gun issue. I read earlier today about a man from Illinois - who's gun were taken away, murdered his own mother with a samurai sword. If we had a Governor who wasn't giving free healthcare to Illegals and helping the Mental Health in this state instead, we may not have as many issues like this and there would be no need to insult the NRA and inadvertently it's members.

You all seem to be trying to chip away at our Constitutional rights which you all took an oath to defend and protect.

I hope you look at this letter with an open mind and know that you cannot judge an entire apple cart because of a few rotten apples. You may feel free to contact me at your convenience

Respectfully, Veronica Cota

From: [Jeffrey Murray](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Domestic Terrorist Resolution
Date: Tuesday, September 10, 2019 1:45:11 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I am extremely offended by your resolution of calling me and other law-abiding members of the NRA, domestic terrorists. I have only respect for this country and I am a Vietnam Veteran.

I want to call for the immediate resignation of all members of the Board of Supervisors for disrespecting law-abiding citizens of the United States. Your actions are an attempt to circumvent the Constitution of the United States which may be construed as an attempt to overthrow the Government of the United States.

Sent from my iPad

From: [Dana Laguna](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Board of Supervisors recent decision regarding the NRA
Date: Thursday, September 5, 2019 9:20:37 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To whom it may concern,

I am a business owner living outside of California who has traveled to San Francisco multiple times over the last six months as I have been considering to open a new office location in your city. However, due to your recent decision and views of the NRA I will no longer be looking to your city as an option to establish another office for my company, I will also be canceling my upcoming flight and reservations at your local businesses where I had intended on staying and spending my money. Your decision is flawed and should be reconsidered, until then I will not be traveling to your city, or supporting any businesses based there. Your discrimination against legal firearm owners who are members or support the NRA is disgusting, as a veteran I can assure you that legal firearm owners and the NRA are not the enemy. Your hate and misguided views are creating divisions as opposed to unity, as members who are supposed to be managing a city and creating a flourishing environment for economy and growth you have failed with this this decision. I hope you will consider reversing this decision soon.

Respectfully,

A concerned citizen

From: [Brad Edwards](#)
To: [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Brown, Vallie \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Mar, Gordon \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Haney, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: FILE NO.190841 [Declaring the National Rifle Association as a Domestic Terrorist Organization]
Date: Friday, September 6, 2019 9:57:36 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

The whole lot of ya,
San Francisco values are better than the values of the vast majority of municipalities. I concede we may not always get it right. Nevertheless, the degree to which we undertake innovative governmental positions that are initially ridiculed, mocked, and then later adopted by the same set across the country is strong indication San Francisco often does get it right. We especially get it right when it is hard to be right--when we are an outlier and face dismissive philistines with a national audience that want to tear us down.

The NRA is a domestic terrorist organization. Our Board of Supervisors got it right. Every single San Francisco supervisor got it right.

I am so proud to be a San Franciscan.

With more *golden rule* and far less *cold steel*,

B. Edwards
D11

--

Brad Edwards, CPA

This communication should not be construed to contain tax advice, accounting advice, financial advice, legal advice, nor the assertion of an opinion.

From: [Steve Levine](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Comment on your position
Date: Thursday, September 5, 2019 3:06:48 PM
Importance: High

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of supervisors,

I am a NRA benefactor life member and a member since 1971. Calling me and my organization domestic terrorists is disgusting. You couldn't pay me to visit your disgusting city full of homeless crap and drug users not to mention the filth with people, if you can call them people, defecating in the street. Clean up your own mess before talking about others.

Molon labe

From: [Stephen Daley](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Comments on Terrorism - Urgent!
Date: Wednesday, September 4, 2019 11:19:21 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I would like to know:

- Since you list me as a terrorist, will you be coming for me? I want to be prepared because the 2nd Amendment was created in large because of people like you.
- What actions will you take with the NRA and NRA members?
- Will you be supporting Gavin Newsom's directive to weaken the Police's ability to keep the city safe?
- What will you be doing to alleviate the homeless situation in SF since your inaction has caused a significant health problem for everyone?

Please do not push this aside as simply an angry message. I am genuinely concerned about what you are doing and since you "should" be obligated to communicate with me, I expect to hear from you with your truthful answers so I can assess my willingness to stay in California.

What the hell is going on there?

Steve Daley
sdaley1960@yahoo.com

From: [christinamasden999](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Comments: Nra "terror" org seems Chinese & Tech-based. Concerned by espionage and foreign influence. This is not Canada, although I understand fears about immigration gun owners. Any happy medium here? Hate the thought of treason witch hunts or another...
Date: Thursday, September 5, 2019 8:32:21 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Nra National Rifle Association "terror" org seems Chinese & Tech-based. Concerned by espionage and foreign influence. This is not Canada, although I understand fears about immigration gun owners. Any happy medium here? Hate the thought of treason witch hunts or another British war. They do do that, you know. Or perhaps Rodney King Hurricane riot looters pillaging homes instead of stores.

From: [Bob Kerr](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: CONGRATULATIONS TO YOUR BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
Date: Thursday, September 5, 2019 5:40:47 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Ms Calvillo,

I applaud your Board of Supervisors for their courageous decision concerning the condemning the NRA. They have set a precedent that reflects the feelings of the majority of decent minded people world wide.

Yours faithfully,

Bob Kerr

Victoria, Australia

From: [diana.bontecou](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: congratulations
Date: Thursday, September 5, 2019 9:26:55 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Members of the Board,

Right now I wish I lived in San Francisco. I am so proud to hear of your stand against the NRA. They have enabled this country to become a place where mass shootings are a common occurrence and nothing seems to change. I wish every town board in the country could show the leadership and courage you have shown.

Thank you, thank you, thank you!

Diana Bontecou
Millbrook, NY

From: [robert gill](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: declaring the National Rifle Association a "domestic terrorist organization"
Date: Friday, September 6, 2019 5:24:38 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

" The San Francisco Board of Supervisors has passed a resolution declaring the National Rifle Association a "domestic terrorist organization" and urged the federal government to do the same –

under what constitutional authority do you present this resolution ?

i expect resignations immediately

From: [rkpry47](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Domestic Health Hazard Organization
Date: Friday, September 6, 2019 7:27:35 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

As a Patron member of the NRA I hereby declare the City and County of San Francisco a DOMESTIC HEALTH HAZARD ORGANIZATION. You allow illegal immigrants and criminals a safe place to hide from ICE, you allow the homeless to trash your roads and streets, you care more about criminals than legal citizens, you tax the people to death, your city is overrun with rats just to name a few of the reasons for my declaration. You are all a bunch of brainless liberal assholes who dare to insult one of the best organizations that stands up and protects the US Constitution and it's Bill of Rights. The NRA would also defend your very right to freedom of speech and right to defend yourself. You abuse your rights under the constitution to destroy the rights of others. YOU HAVE VIOLATED YOUR OATH OF OFFICE! HYPOCRITES!!!!!!!!!!

Robert Pryor, Proud veteran and NRA member

Sent from my Samsung Galaxy Tab®4

From: [William Bertram](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Domestic Terrorism Designation
Date: Friday, September 6, 2019 8:48:45 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Whom It May Concern -

Your recent designation and labeling of "Domestic Terrorism Organization" against the NRA is one of the lowest integrity actions I have witnessed in 60 years of life. I am ex-military and a legal immigrant, and swore to uphold the constitution along with all other commitments in my citizenship swearing-in ceremony. Actions such as the subject designation and labeling create an environment which suggest that I am knowingly violating my commitments in that swearing-in ceremony if I don't withdraw my membership.

Your absurd action is an insubordinate and insufferable insult to the hundreds of thousands of us who have given some, or all, of ourselves to allowing you the luxury of sitting in your feces and drug infested city / county, and distributing such antagonistic and isolationist drivel. I hope that none of you, or your families, have to suffer the type of insufferable pain that we see a growing number of law abiding and innocent citizens endure through your slow and methodical attempts to dismantle our constitution and legal process.

As a California resident, I will strive to energize those whom I can to stand up proudly and strongly against your continuous assaults on our hard earned abilities to live the American Dream, and to make our own way in life free of destructive policies and governmental idiocy.

In open and full transparency, my contact details are provided below should you choose to engage in thoughtful and meaningful common-sense conversation on this topic.

Wil Bertram
1720 Greenwood Avenue
Torrance, CA. 90503
310.343.5905

From: [Johnny](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Domestic Terrorism
Date: Monday, September 9, 2019 11:04:05 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Ms. Calvillo,

I respectfully ask that you forward this to each member of the Board of Supervisors – if you have the fortitude to do so.

Ladies and Gentlemen of the Board of Supervisors,

I would like to express my disgust with the Board and its recent resolution naming the National Rifle Association a domestic terrorist organization. To falsely accuse one of the oldest, most supportive organizations of the U.S. Constitution in the country a terrorist organization is nothing short of asinine.

While I understand that the resolution was sponsored by Catherine Stefani, a spokesperson for Moms Demand Action for Gun Sense in America, this resolution doesn't seem to follow any kind of "sense" – particularly *common* sense.

I am a life member of the NRA and have been a member for roughly 50 years. I have never had the NRA "incite" me to commit any kind of violence. Also, the NRA has never armed anyone, much less anyone "who would and have committed acts of terrorism."

As for me personally, I have never committed an act of terrorism and resent the implication that members of the NRA are terrorists. On the contrary, I am a 35 year law-enforcement veteran and would not align myself with any organization that promotes terrorism.

I could go on and on about your remarks, but the simple fact is this: If you are so stupid and narrow-minded as to make such ridiculous and inaccurate remarks, then you are probably too stupid to begin to understand just how wrong and irresponsible you are.

I am beginning to believe that the word California is just another term for insanity. Your actions and remarks only reemphasize that theory.

If your intention as a group is to serve your city to the best of your ability, you might consider strengthening what appears to be, at best, a tenuous grasp on reality.

Johnny Stanley
214 Lakeview Circle
Cropwell, AL 35054

From: [bob.joyce.confino](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Domestic Terrorists - Constitutional Convention of 1787
Date: Wednesday, September 4, 2019 7:08:35 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board.of.Supervisors@sfgov.org

To the Board of Supervisors,

I am a member of a minority group: a U.S. citizen exercising my rights under the Second Amendment. The second amendment is part of the Bill of Rights. Inalienable rights reserved by the People: 'The right of the people to keep and bear Arms, shall not be infringed.'

The Second Amendment was not written to grant permission for citizens to own and bear firearms. It forbids government interference in the right to keep and bear arms, period.

I am hereby standing up against the TYRANNY OF THE MAJORITY: politicians, twitterverse, elites, and well-meaning fellow citizens. I will not remain silent and permit them to place authoritarian restrictions on my inalienable right.

Calling the National Rifle Association a domestic terrorist organization is blatantly absurd and just plain silly. If the NRA is a terrorist organization, so was the Constitutional Convention of 1787. Do you expect any one to take your position seriously?

The Board's misguided ideas infringe on MY PERSONAL LIBERTY enumerated in the Bill of Rights. I will not yield my freedoms to the TYRANNY OF THE MAJORITY. They have no standing to demand them.

Sincerely,
Robert A. Confino
50 Magnolia Drive
Douglassville, Pa. 19518

PS. Here is information about domestic terrorists:
FBI Most Wanted Terrorist List (no NRA members)
<https://www.fbi.gov/investigate/terrorism/most-wanted>

From: [WH BALLARD](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Domestic Terrorists
Date: Thursday, September 5, 2019 11:07:16 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Members of the Board,

People who are willing to expose their constituents to piles of human feces in their streets and the serious health hazards that represents, have no business calling other groups domestic terrorists.

The NRA has killed no one and few if any of its members have killed anyone except is self defense.
The NRA has done nothing but fight to preserve a Natural Right of Self Defense which is enshrined in the Constitution of the United States. It was an organization created after the Civil War whose inspiration was, in part, to protect the right of freed slaves to own and use weapons so they would be able to protect themselves against the Democrat KKK!

Now those same Democrats are trying to disarm all citizens so they can treat them any way they desire with impunity.

Sincerely,

William Ballard

From: [G Man](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Domestic terrorists
Date: Wednesday, September 4, 2019 12:05:45 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Whereas the City that is a Sewer promotes fascists like Antifa and BLM, is a sanctuary city for felonious illegal aliens, promotes all deviant behavior as normal, is anti-Christian, harbors without helping the mentally ill and homeless, has piles of human feces on their streets and walk-ways, Promotes all activities to silence opposing views and has shown complete disdain for the Bible and the U.S Constitution; We the real Americans who live outside the coasts declare the City of San Fransisco a shit hole and domestic terrorist organization. Congratulations on furthering the dangerous, but laughing stocks you all are.

Gerard Moses

From: [Mike Mowery](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Dumbass
Date: Friday, September 6, 2019 8:03:17 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Just wanted to info you how stupid u ppl are an the shit u all pass example the NRA being a domestic terrorist. So because I belong to the NRA does that make me one? Maybe u need to fix the homeless issue u have out there instead of blowing smoke to cover things up. Again thanks for showing the country an world how stupid you really are

From: [BJ Sullivan](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: EMBARRASSING BOARD
Date: Wednesday, September 4, 2019 12:32:28 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Board Members,

As one of the few native San Franciscans left in our declining City, I can only mock your pathetic vote on declaring the NRA a terrorist organization. Get a grip, you pitiful band of nitwits. First, how about cleaning up the bums and drug addicts. Frankly, I'm sick of seeing people pulling down their pants and crapping in front of my dwelling.

Here's a clue, you members of the Board, and our Mayor are WAY OVER YOUR HEADS when it comes to dealing with the drug addicts, drunks, the mentally ill, and those who are homeless but want to better themselves. My contempt for you clowns is beyond Nirvana, and I also blame the citizens who continue to vote for you. According to Einstein doing the same thing over and over and expecting a different result is the definition of INSANITY. And SF is now certifiable because they vote the same people into power over and over. But don't worry, my wife and I will be leaving soon. We were llucky to see the best of San Francisco during the 1940's to the present, and you people blew it.

BJ Sullivan
Native..family here since 1909

From: [Michele Dana](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Enough with the grandstanding votes on national issues
Date: Wednesday, September 4, 2019 6:38:10 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I am definitely no fan of Wayne LaPierre or the NRA, but I'm also no fan of the constant waste of time and grandstanding by the San Francisco Board of Supervisors and/or our various recent and current Mayors on issues that are not part of City and County governance.

I'm sure such votes make the 11 of you feel all goody-goody and like you've accomplished something, but meanwhile we average citizens are dealing with the increased crime, homelessness, drug abuse and filth that is turning our city into a "has-been" location, rather than the great world-class city it used to be.

There is no place one can go anywhere in the city without having to step over or around people passed out on the sidewalks or pick their way through the shattered glass from the rising car break-ins. There's no point in calling the Police to report anything as they either don't have staff to send, or the power to do anything about the people who are behaving in problematic ways.

I've lived in SF since 1967, something I was proud of until 6-8 years ago. Since then the city has been on a steady decline, to the point that criminals and drug abusers now have more rights and care than law-abiding citizens. It's time to quit looking for your next collective grand-standing opportunity, to come to grips with the reality that San Francisco as a city cannot take care of everyone, to start sending folks who are not San Franciscans or at least Californians back to where they came from, and then adequately caring for those who are unfortunate and actually our own citizens and neighbors.

Kindly start doing the jobs we are paying you to do.

Michele Dana
District 1 Resident

From: [Larry Beattie](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Favorite city!
Date: Friday, September 6, 2019 4:41:14 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Good morning! My wife and I have visited San Francisco three or four times. We loved it! I'm writing to let you know that I will not be able to come back anytime soon. Good luck with your challenges. Lb

From: [Lew, Lisa \(BOS\)](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: FW: NRA
Date: Thursday, September 5, 2019 7:59:22 AM

From: Thomas Birnbaum <ttbirnbaum@aol.com>
Sent: Wednesday, September 4, 2019 6:00 PM
To: Lew, Lisa (BOS) <lisa.lew@sfgov.org>
Subject: NRA

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To: Board.of.Supervisors@sfgov.org

Subject: NRA

Lisa,

I have been a lifelong member of the NRA. I am an American patriot. I love this country and will support and defend the Constitution of the United States and the Bill of Rights to the death if necessary. I deeply resent and totally reject your blasphemous attempt to smear the NRA and its members by calling it a "terrorist" organization! Nothing could be further from the truth! The terrorists are illegal immigrants, drug dealers, gangs, illegal guns, recidivist criminals. Those terrorists are responsible for tens of thousands of murders and drug deaths! Stop lying to yourselves! Pathetic, knee-jerk unthinking politicians like you are the enablers of those who would destroy and are destroying our country. San Francisco has made a mockery of the rule of law and undermined citizens' right to life, liberty and the pursuit of happiness. One look at the homelessness, the drug addicts and the crime in your once beautiful city tells the truth about your policies. Shame! Shame! Shame! Sow the wind. Reap the whirlwind.
TTB

From: [Wong, Jocelyn \(BOS\)](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: FW: NRA
Date: Thursday, September 5, 2019 8:50:57 AM

From: Thomas Birnbaum <ttbirnbaum@aol.com>
Sent: Wednesday, September 4, 2019 5:56 PM
To: Wong, Jocelyn (BOS) <jocelyn.wong@sfgov.org>
Subject: NRA

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To: Board.of.Supervisors@sfgov.org

Subject: NRA

Jocelyn,

I have been a lifelong member of the NRA. I am an American patriot. I love this country and will support and defend the Constitution of the United States and the Bill of Rights to the death if necessary.

I deeply resent and totally reject your blasphemous attempt to smear the NRA and its members by calling it a "terrorist" organization! Nothing could be further from the truth! The terrorists are illegal immigrants, drug dealers, gangs, illegal guns, recidivist criminals. Those terrorists are responsible for tens of thousands of murders and drug deaths! Stop lying to yourselves! Pathetic, knee-jerk unthinking politicians like you are the enablers of those who would destroy and are destroying our country.

San Francisco has made a mockery of the rule of law and undermined citizens' right to life, liberty and the pursuit of happiness. One look at the homelessness, the drug addicts and the crime in your once beautiful city tells the truth about your policies.

Shame! Shame! Shame!

Sow the wind. Reap the whirlwind.

TTB

From: [tLW tLW](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Fwd: NRA
Date: Wednesday, September 4, 2019 10:54:43 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

SOOOOO DUUUUUMB

Sent from my iPhone

Begin forwarded message:

From: tLW tLW <terryw222@yahoo.com>
Date: September 4, 2019 at 6:15:03 AM PDT
To: Board.of.Supervisors@sfgov.org
Subject: NRA

“Declared a terrorist organization”

Sent from my iPhone

From: [tLW tLW](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Fwd: NRA
Date: Wednesday, September 4, 2019 10:55:23 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

So I guess the homeless problems are solved?

I guess there's no more poop on the streets?

What a bunch of dummies. And the worst part is you consider yourselves enlightened

Sent from my iPhone

Begin forwarded message:

From: tLW tLW <terryw222@yahoo.com>
Date: September 4, 2019 at 6:15:03 AM PDT
To: Board.of.Supervisors@sfgov.org
Subject: NRA

“Declared a terrorist organization”

Sent from my iPhone

From: [Delayne Rulo](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Good-bye San Francisco
Date: Friday, September 6, 2019 6:04:13 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To the Board of Supervisors, San Francisco,

I realize you don't know me, or care about me but I am a born and raised Californian. My many and fond trips to San Francisco are some of the high lights of my life growing up near the city. I married a man born and raised in San Francisco. But now, not only will we never visit dear SF again, but we have left California for good. The filth, the needles passed out to drug addicts, contributing to their death or demise, being accosted by panhandlers and the thought of a sanctuary city for protected felons have made it difficult to enjoy San Francisco. Your final knife in my heart is it's impossible to visit because as a member of the NRA this 63 year old professional RN is pronounced, by you, part of a terrorist organization. I have taken safety lessons from the NRA, read articles on safety from the NRA, but never imagined I am now placed in the same category as Al Qaeda. I could have left my heart in San Francisco, but you don't deserve it, nor my money or my support. You have punished the wrong people. How sad for you that you don't recognize what you've really done. I hope you never need to be protected by an officer with a gun, he's most likely an NRA Terrorist too.

Delayne Rulo RN, Wife, Mother, Grandmother

Sent from my iPad

From: [David Becker](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Great Job!
Date: Wednesday, September 4, 2019 10:38:25 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

You have all obviously never lived in a country with heavy gun restrictions. Here's a short video since you all fail at severely fundamental common sense:

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=XH0O30PBAPQ>

From: [John G](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Has the Board lost its mind????
Date: Wednesday, September 4, 2019 11:02:06 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hi

I read that the Board of Supervisors passed a resolution labeling the NRA as a terrorist organization. Is this true? If it is true the board is acting and behaving like Fascists or Communists. The Board of Supervisors is apparently unfit to serve as they do not embrace the United States Constitution and the Bill of Rights. In doing so you have effectively alienated yourselves from the rest of the Nation.

Please respond with your confirmation of whether the board of supervisors passed a resolution labeling the NRA as a terrorist organization.

--

John F. Gottfredson
858-729-3314

[Cornelius Tacitus, The Annals](#)

- The more numerous the laws, the more corrupt the government.
 - *Variant:* The more corrupt the state, the more laws.
 - *Original Quote:* And now bills were passed, not only for national objects but for individual cases, and laws were most numerous when the commonwealth was most corrupt.
 - Book III, 27

From: [Billy Claggett](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Hello
Date: Thursday, September 5, 2019 7:14:13 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

The rest of the country could really give a fuck less what any of you assholes think of the NRA you can all blow it out your ass for all we care

From: [James Brown](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I am deeply offended by BOS action
Date: Thursday, September 5, 2019 8:59:18 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To the Board of Supervisors:

I am deeply offended by the Board's action to declare me a "terrorist."

I am a law abiding citizen of the State of Florida.

I have served my community for more than 20 years as a member of Citizen's Advisory Boards, a board member for a special needs community, and an active council member of my church.

I have not rioted in the streets.

I have not turned a blind eye to illegal activities in my community.

Nor have I encouraged my local law enforcement to ignore standing laws.

All of which your community has done.

I expect a full and public apology for your actions.

--

Jim Brown
P O Box 836
Penney Farms, FL 32079

From: [Steve Friend](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I am the NRA and I am offended
Date: Thursday, September 5, 2019 9:38:05 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Highly educated professional making 200k a year in Washington DC area.

Sorry to hear you think I am a Domestic Terrorist when you don't even know me and the things I have done for our county. I am offended! I will never visit your city again and will encourages others to do the same. You should be ashamed of yourselves and of what you have done to the city on the hill.

NRA Life Member

Thank you for encouraging me to make another donation.

[Sent from Yahoo Mail on Android](#)

From: [Troy S. Workman](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I'd like to thank the terrorist group called San Francisco Board of Supervisors for persuading me to become an NRA member for the first time in my 55 years on Earth. God bless Trump & the NRA! Trump 2020!!
Date: Thursday, September 5, 2019 9:05:34 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I'd like to thank the terrorist group called San Francisco Board of Supervisors for persuading me to become an NRA member for the first time in my 55 years on Earth.
I called the NRA and requested that they sue your city. It's under consideration.
I support the The 2nd Amendment. I've never owned a gun.
It's ironic you blast the NRA as terrorists while supporting real terrorist in Antifa.

God bless Trump & the NRA! Trump 2020!!
God bless Trump & the NRA! Trump 2020!!
God bless Trump & the NRA! Trump 2020!!

From: [Walt](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: idiots, one and all
Date: Thursday, September 5, 2019 12:34:27 PM
Attachments: [Definitely not - Crime Prevention Research CenterCrime Prevention Research Center.pdf](#)
[Here Are 8 Stubborn Facts on Gun Violence in America The Heritage Foundation.pdf](#)
Importance: High
Sensitivity: Personal

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

are you even remotely aware of the 2nd amendment to the u.s. constitution? or did you miss that day in 5th grade civics class?

which of these two pictured rifles is worse and/or deadlier than the other? the physical appearance has NOTHING to do with the firepower of either weapon. ***only a buffoon with little common sense believes otherwise.***

Caetano v. Massachusetts 136 S. Ct. 1027 (2016). "the Supreme Court concluded that the Second Amendment extends to all instruments that constitute bearable arms, even those that were not in existence at the time of the founding."

These two rifles have identical firepower.



Same ammunition.
Same velocity.
Same rate of fire.
Same capacity.



Different looks.

The "assault weapons" ban will ban only one of them.

It's not about firepower, or safety, or unusually dangerous weapons.

It's about fooling you into thinking something is being done about gun violence.

the DIM party gets all happy and excited every time an unborn baby is murdered, and aborted before getting to live, yet these same people get all butthurt when a child that has been born is equally murdered. either life is sacred and protected, or it isn't. can't be both. all those in favor of killing unborn babies, claim it is not a person yet, until the baby is born, but these same people charge a person with a double homicide if a pregnant woman is killed. how can that be, if as claimed, an unborn child is not a person? until morality, common decency, and respect are again the mainstay of the family, society, and humanity is doomed.


caine used a rock to kill his brother. mcveigh used fertilizer. 911 terrorists used box cutters and jets. it is not the instrument of death chosen that is the problem. the problem is a people problem. children raised that have no respect. for one another, or for the sanctity of life. that MIUST change.

I so anxiously await your reply,

----walt

Do states with stricter gun control laws have fewer gun deaths? No. Do they have fewer homicides and suicides? Definitely not

© 27 MAR, 2018



Do more gun control laws mean fewer firearm deaths? Gun control advocates typically provide graphs looking across states that show more gun control laws related to fewer firearm deaths, but there are real problems with this approach. A Boston University School of Public Health project (<https://www.statefirearmlaws.org/index.html>) is one of the latest to make the rounds in various news (<https://www.cnn.com/amp/2018/02/27/states-with-strict-gun-laws-have-fewer-firearms-deaths-heres-how-your-state-stacks-up.html>) outlets (<http://fortune.com/2018/03/20/gun-laws-gun-crimes-state-gun-regulations/>).

Few academics look at such purely cross-sectional data, simply because it is impossible in that case to accurately account for differences across different places. One of the classic comparisons

(<https://crimeresearch.org/2013/12/murder-and-homicide-rates-before-and-after-gun-bans/>) is the homicide rates between the US and the UK. People will point out that the UK has strict gun control laws, few legally owned guns, and a low homicide rate. But the homicide rate in the UK has always been low, even before they had any gun control law. Indeed, when they banned handguns in January 1997 their homicide rates rose dramatically, up 45% over the next eight years and only came back down after a large increase in the number of police.

One other caution should also be raised. Lumping all the different gun control numbers into one number is pretty arbitrary. Not only is there the issue of what gun control laws to include, there is also the issue of how to weight them. Is a three day waiting period on buying guns the same as background checks on private transfers or a ban on open carrying of guns? Just adding up the number of laws in a state assumes that all the laws have the same importance. There is also the question of how many different ways that one divides up an existing law. For example, the Boston University dataset separately counts whether there is a background required to get a concealed handgun permit and also separately whether a background check is needed to renew the permit, but not whether training is required to get a permit (or the varying lengths of training) or the large differences in permit fees.


This discretion allows a lot of possible data manipulation. You can exclude or include what laws to use in constructing your score based on how it is correlated with homicides or suicides. The Brady Campaign index that we will use has a correlation coefficient of .86 with the Boston University index. Brady Campaign's listing of gun control laws is a credible source from the perspective of gun control groups and because they would be less likely to be aware of how the index might be used by future statisticians they would be less likely to manipulate it to get their desired results at least when panel data is used (the bias would still be likely with purely cross-sectional data).

The typical academic approach for dealing with many different gun control laws is actually quite different. Rather than lumping all the laws together the normal approach is to simultaneously account for each law separately in a regression. For example, Dr. Lott's book, *More Guns, Less Crime* (https://smile.amazon.com/gp/product/0226493660/ref=smi_www_rco2_go_smi_1968491462?ie=UTF8&redirect=true&tag=johnrlotttrip-20&pf_rd_p=1968491462&pf_rd_s=smile-campaign&pf_rd_t=201&pf_rd_i=0226493660&pf_rd_m=ATVPDKIKX0DER&pf_rd_r=1EVK7W2Y6MDD1DBWR57G) (University of Chicago Press, 2010, 3rd edition), examines 13 different types of gun control laws and also accounts for differences in things such as the the length of waiting periods, the number of hours of training required to get a permit, and the yearly cost of a concealed handgun permit.

However, before we get into the problems with purely cross-sectional data, we will discuss how sensitive these claims are to even slight variations in how things are set up.

Below is a graph showing each state's number of gun control laws in 2016 (<https://www.cnbc.com>)

/2018/02/27/states-with-strict-gun-laws-have-fewer-firearms-deaths-heres-how-your-state-stacks-up.html) from the Boston University School of Public Health. The horizontal axis shows firearm deaths (firearm suicides and homicides) per 100,000 people. Click on the figure to enlarge.




(<https://crimeresearch.org/wp-content/uploads/2018/03/Boston->

Univ-Graph-on-Firearm-deaths-and-number-of-gun-control-laws.png)

The Brady Campaign has been collecting information on the number of gun control laws by state from 2001 to 2013. We use the Brady Campaign because our later discussions depend on using the difference in state laws over many different years. The Brady Campaign didn't release a report for 2005 and while they also have information for 2014, they used a different scale so it is hard to include that last year in the data that we will be showing you. The average state Brady Index score over this period of time is 24.1 with state rating ranging from 0 to 95 (standard deviation of 22.5). In any case, putting together graphs for the Brady Campaign's collection of state laws and graphing that for total firearm deaths produces similar results to those shown by Boston University.

Do Stricter Gun Control Laws Lower Firearm Death Rates? Comparing Brady Campaign State Gun Ratings for States from 2001 to 2013



(<https://crimeresearch.org/wp-content/uploads/2018/03/Brady-Firearm-Deaths-jpg>)

```
. reg firearmdeaths brady, robust
```

Linear regression

Number of obs = 550
F(1, 548) = 252.36
Prob > F = 0.0000
R-squared = 0.3458
Root MSE = 3.0392

firearmdea~s	Coef.	Robust Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
brady	-.0980303	.0061709	-15.89	0.000	-.1101519	-.0859088
_cons	12.86487	.1976299	65.10	0.000	12.47666	13.25307

(<https://crimeresearch.org/wp-content/uploads/2018/03/Brady-Firearm-Deaths-regression.png>)


We now break down firearm deaths into two categories: firearm homicides and firearm suicides. While more gun laws mean fewer of both kinds of deaths, only the relationship for firearm suicides is statistically significant.

The relationship between the number of gun laws and firearm homicides in this estimate is very small.

The effect of the number of gun laws explains about 3% of the variation in firearm homicides (this is from


comparing the adjusted R2 with and without the index for the number of gun laws).

Do Stricter Gun Control Laws Lower Firearm Homicide Rates? Comparing Brady Campaign State Gun Ratings for States from 2001 to 2013



(<https://crimeresearch.org/wp-content/uploads/2018/03/Brady-Firearm-Homicides-1.png>)

Do Stricter Gun Control Laws Lower Firearm Suicide Rates? Comparing Brady Campaign State Gun Ratings for States from 2001 to 2013



(<https://crimeresearch.org/wp-content/uploads/2018/03/Brady-Firearm-Suicides-1.png>)

```
. reg firearmhomicide brady, robust
```

Linear regression

Number of obs = 550
F(1, 548) = 2.40
Prob > F = 0.1221
R-squared = 0.0043
Root MSE = 2.0507

firearmhom~s	Coef.	Robust Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
brady	-.0059765	.0038593	-1.55	0.122	-.0135573	.0016043
_cons	3.435237	.1391755	24.68	0.000	3.161854	3.708619

```
. reg firearmsuicide brady, robust
```

Linear regression

Number of obs = 550
F(1, 548) = 631.82
Prob > F = 0.0000
R-squared = 0.4860
Root MSE = 2.1337


firearmsui~s	Coef.	Robust Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
brady	-.0920539	.0036622	-25.14	0.000	-.0992476	-.0848601
_cons	9.429632	.1404182	67.15	0.000	9.153808	9.705455

(<https://crimeresearch.org/wp-content/uploads/2018/03/Regressions-Simple-firearm-homicides-suicides.png>)

So even given this simple correlation that gun control advocates prefer, the result is driven by the supposed relationship between stricter gun laws and firearm suicides. Stricter gun laws are also statistically significantly related to fewer non-firearm suicides, while the negative relationship is not as pronounced as for firearm suicides, it is still not exactly what gun control advocates would predict. It is kind of hard to explain why stricter gun laws mean fewer non-firearm suicides.

More likely, stricter gun control laws happen to be associated with other factors, that in turn leads to a less suicide-prone environment. In a relatively famous economics paper on suicide, Cutler, Glaeser, and Norberg (<https://crimeresearch.org/wp-content/uploads/2018/01/Explaining-the-Rise-in-Youth-Suicide-Cutler-Glaeser-Norberg.pdf>) found that rural areas have both a large male-female population imbalance and also more gun ownership. But they argue that it is the high number of partnerless older men that causes more suicides in rural areas.

Do Stricter Gun Control Laws Lower Non-Firearm Suicide Rates? Comparing Brady Campaign State Gun Ratings for States from 2001 to 2013



(<https://crimeresearch.org/wp-content/uploads/2018/03/Brady-Non-firearm-Suicides.png>)

```
. reg Nonfirearmsuicides brady, robust
```

Linear regression

Number of obs = 550
F(1, 548) = 7.84
Prob > F = 0.0053
R-squared = 0.0120
Root MSE = 1.5341

Nonf~uicides	Robust					
	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
brady	-.0075094	.0026817	-2.80	0.005	-.0127771	-.0022418
_cons	6.234741	.0987208	63.16	0.000	6.040824	6.428659

(<https://crimeresearch.org/wp-content/uploads/2018/03/Brady-Non-firearm-Suicides-Regression-simple.png>)

There is a real problem in simply comparing different places at a specific moment in time. Suppose, for the sake of argument, that high-crime states are the ones that most frequently adopt the most stringent gun control laws. What if gun control actually lowered crime, but not by enough to reduce rates to the same low levels prevailing in the majority of states that did not adopt the laws? With a simple comparison

across countries, it would then falsely appear that stricter gun control resulted in higher crime. Economists refer to this as an “endogeneity” problem. The adoption of the policy is a reaction to other “endogenous” events — in this case, crime. To resolve this, one must examine how the high-crime areas that chose to adopt the controls changed over time (<https://crimeresearch.org/2013/12/murder-and-homicide-rates-before-and-after-gun-bans/>) — not only relative to their own past levels, but also relative to areas that did not adopt the law. Below is part of a long discussion in *The Bias Against Guns*, Chp. 5 (<http://www.amazon.com/gp/product/B004SBDA0Y/ref=nosim/?tag=johnrlotttrip-20>) (*More Guns, Less Crime* (https://smile.amazon.com/More-Guns-Less-Crime-Understanding/dp/0226493660?_encoding=UTF8&redirect=true&ref_=smi_www_rco2_go_smi_1968491462&tag=johnrlotttrip-20) also has a long discussion in Chp. 2).

Unfortunately, many contemporary discussions rely on misinterpretations of cross-sectional data. The New York Times recently conducted a cross-sectional study of murder rates in states with and without the death penalty, and found that “Indeed, 10 of the 12 states without capital punishment have homicide rates below the national average, Federal Bureau of Investigation data shows, while half the states with the death penalty have homicide rates above the national average” (Raymond Bonner and Ford Fessenden, “States With No Death Penalty Share Lower Homicide Rates,” *New York Times*, September 22, 2000, p. A1.). However, they erroneously concluded that the death penalty did not deter murder. The problem is that the states without the death penalty (Alaska, Hawaii, Iowa, Maine, Massachusetts, Michigan, Minnesota, North Dakota, Rhode Island, West Virginia, Wisconsin, and Vermont) have long enjoyed relatively low murder rates, something that might well have more to do with other factors than the death penalty. Instead one must compare, over time, how murder rates change in the two groups – those adopting the death penalty and those that did not.

To solve this problem academics look at what is called panel data, where we follow different states over time to see how the murder rates change after a state adopts a law such as the death penalty and how their murder rate changes relative to the states that aren’t changing their law. In this case, rather than looking at the death penalty, we are looking at the impact of all these different gun control laws in the Brady index. We don’t want to falsely attribute changes in gun control laws to national trends in crime rates. The issue isn’t whether crime rates are falling nationally when states change their gun control laws, but whether the ones that are changing their laws have a bigger or smaller drop in crime relative to the states that aren’t changing their laws. We also want to see whether a state’s crime rates go up or down after they change their gun laws.

The way to measure whether a state’s homicide and suicide rates are changing not only relative to their own past levels, but also relative to areas that did not adopt so many gun control laws is through fixed year and state effects, which account for the average national changes in crime or suicides rates from year to year and the average crime rates for each state to see how crime rates change over time relative to that

average.

Next are the regressions for all firearm deaths, firearm homicides and firearm suicides that account for these fixed year and state effects. In each case, the impact of the Brady Campaign's measure of gun control laws goes from being negative (implying that more gun control laws mean fewer deaths) to positive (implying that more gun control laws mean more deaths), though in none of these cases is the effect statistically significant. Not all the results for state and year fixed effects are shown below because we are focusing on the coefficient for the Brady law index.

The effect of the number of gun laws explains about 3% of the variation in total deaths from homicides plus suicides (this is from comparing the adjusted R2 with and without the index for the number of gun laws).

```
. xi:reg firearmdeaths brady i.state i.year, robust
i.state      _Istate_1-51      (_Istate_1 for state==ALABAMA omitted)
i.year       _Iyear_2001-2013  (naturally coded; _Iyear_2001 omitted)
note: _Istate_8 omitted because of collinearity
note: _Iyear_2005 omitted because of collinearity
```

Linear regression	Number of obs =	550
	F(60, 489) =	305.20
	Prob > F =	0.0000
	R-squared =	0.9531
	Root MSE =	.86138

firearmdea~s	Robust		t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
	Coef.	Std. Err.				
brady	.007481	.0062306	1.20	0.230	-.0047611	.0197231
_Istate_2	1.275025	.5818505	2.19	0.029	.131789	2.41826
_Istate_3	-1.117446	.425649	-2.63	0.009	-1.953773	-.2811193
_Istate_4	-1.29855	.2811367	-4.62	0.000	-1.850934	-.7461646
_Istate_5	-7.857895	.5579137	-14.08	0.000	-8.954099	-6.761691
_Istate_6	-5.109647	.292998	-17.44	0.000	-5.685337	-4.533956
_Istate_7	-11.33157	.4446209	-25.49	0.000	-12.20517	-10.45796

(<https://crimeresearch.org/wp-content/uploads/2018/03/Reg-fixed-effects-Firearm-deaths-brady.png>)

```
. xi:reg firearmhomicides brady i.state i.year, robust
i.state      _Istate_1-51      (_Istate_1 for state==ALABAMA omitted)
i.year       _Iyear_2001-2013  (naturally coded; _Iyear_2001 omitted)
note: _Istate_8 omitted because of collinearity
note: _Iyear_2005 omitted because of collinearity

Linear regression                               Number of obs =      550
                                              F( 60,   489) =   263.02
                                              Prob > F       =   0.0000
                                              R-squared      =   0.9489
                                              Root MSE      =   .49196
```

firearmhom~s	Coef.	Robust Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
brady	.0048539	.0036598	1.33	0.185	-.002337	.0120447
_Istate_2	-3.276366	.3081486	-10.63	0.000	-3.881825	-2.670907
_Istate_3	-1.459322	.3460328	-4.22	0.000	-2.139217	-.7794273
_Istate_4	-1.725888	.2203225	-7.83	0.000	-2.158783	-1.292992
_Istate_5	-2.653347	.360288	-7.36	0.000	-3.36125	-1.945443
_Istate_6	-4.530394	.2006865	-22.57	0.000	-4.924708	-4.13608
_Istate_7	-4.859443	.3138411	-15.48	0.000	-5.476087	-4.2428

(<https://crimeresearch.org/wp-content/uploads/2018/03/Reg-fixed-effects-Firearm-homicides-brady.png>)

```
. xi:reg firearmsuicides brady i.state i.year, robust
i.state      _Istate_1-51      (_Istate_1 for state==ALABAMA omitted)
i.year       _Iyear_2001-2013  (naturally coded; _Iyear_2001 omitted)
note: _Istate_8 omitted because of collinearity
note: _Iyear_2005 omitted because of collinearity

Linear regression                               Number of obs =      550
                                              F( 60,   489) =   261.15
                                              Prob > F       =   0.0000
                                              R-squared      =   0.9511
                                              Root MSE      =   .69691
```

firearmsui~s	Coef.	Robust Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
brady	.0026271	.0045392	0.58	0.563	-.0062916	.0115458
_Istate_2	4.551391	.5873622	7.75	0.000	3.397325	5.705456
_Istate_3	.3418759	.1958783	1.75	0.082	-.0429912	.726743
_Istate_4	.4273382	.199014	2.15	0.032	.0363102	.8183662
_Istate_5	-5.204548	.3615712	-14.39	0.000	-5.914973	-4.494123
_Istate_6	-.5792526	.1798307	-3.22	0.001	-.9325888	-.2259163
_Istate_7	-6.472122	.3005728	-21.53	0.000	-7.062695	-5.881548


(<https://crimeresearch.org/wp-content/uploads/2018/03/Reg-fixed-effects-Firearm-suicides-brady.png>)

The ultimate question is really whether total deaths go up or down with more gun control. Total

homicides and total suicides are actually more important than firearm homicides and suicides because of the possibility of substitutability between different ways of killing people or committing suicide. For example, if all guns were eliminated and people still committed suicide at the same rate that they did previously, there would have been no benefit in terms of suicides from eliminating guns. To examine this, we reran these last three regressions for total deaths (homicides and suicides), total homicides, and total suicides. These continue to show that more gun control is associated with more deaths — both homicides and suicides. While there is a statistically significant relationship between more gun control laws and more total suicides, the effect is not statistically significant for total homicides.

This graph illustrates the results shown in the first regression showing the relationship between homicides and suicides with the number of gun control laws. The effect of the number of gun laws explains about 4% of the variation in total deaths from homicides plus suicides (this is from comparing the adjusted R² with and without the index for the number of gun laws).

To put it differently, increasing the index of the gun laws in a state by 20 percentage points (about one standard deviation) is associated with an increase in the total death rate (homicides plus suicides) of 0.4 per 100,000 people.



(<https://crimeresearch.org/wp-content/uploads/2018/03/Brady-Campaign-Scores-Graph-2.png>)

```
. xi:reg totaldeaths brady i.state i.year, robust
i.state      _Istate_1-51      (_Istate_1 for state==ALABAMA omitted)
i.year       _Iyear_2001-2013  (naturally coded; _Iyear_2001 omitted)
note: _Istate_8 omitted because of collinearity
note: _Iyear_2005 omitted because of collinearity

Linear regression                               Number of obs =      532
                                              F( 60,   471) =   183.42
                                              Prob > F      =    0.0000
                                              R-squared     =    0.9371
                                              Root MSE     =    1.1314
```

totaldeaths	Coef.	Robust Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
brady	.0203441	.0079123	2.57	0.010	.0047964	.0358919
_Istate_2	5.438956	.7690091	7.07	0.000	3.927843	6.950069
_Istate_3	2.324715	.4452723	5.22	0.000	1.449749	3.199681
_Istate_4	.1432119	.2765843	0.52	0.605	-.4002801	.6867038
_Istate_5	-7.253698	.6701127	-10.82	0.000	-8.570479	-5.936918
_Istate_6	-1.078648	.3768591	-2.86	0.004	-1.819181	-.3381145
_Istate_7	-10.92261	.5678138	-19.24	0.000	-12.03837	-9.806849

(<https://crimeresearch.org/wp-content/uploads/2018/03/Reg-fixed-effects-total-deaths-brady.png>)

```
. xi:reg homicides brady i.state i.year, robust
i.state      _Istate_1-51      (_Istate_1 for state==ALABAMA omitted)
i.year       _Iyear_2001-2013  (naturally coded; _Iyear_2001 omitted)
note: _Istate_8 omitted because of collinearity
note: _Iyear_2005 omitted because of collinearity

Linear regression                               Number of obs =      532
                                              F( 60,   471) =   224.80
                                              Prob > F      =    0.0000
                                              R-squared     =    0.9473
                                              Root MSE     =    .62693
```

homicides	Coef.	Robust Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
brady	.007066	.0048886	1.45	0.149	-.0025401	.0166721
_Istate_2	-2.992851	.3232748	-9.26	0.000	-3.62809	-2.357612
_Istate_3	-1.162384	.3830477	-3.03	0.003	-1.915078	-.4096901
_Istate_4	-1.66368	.2352679	-7.07	0.000	-2.125984	-1.201375
_Istate_5	-3.308408	.4220715	-7.84	0.000	-4.137784	-2.479032
_Istate_6	-5.215983	.207227	-25.17	0.000	-5.623187	-4.80878
_Istate_7	-5.98232	.3684752	-16.24	0.000	-6.706379	-5.258262

(<https://crimeresearch.org/wp-content/uploads/2018/03/Reg-fixed-effects-total-homicides-brady.png>)


```
. xi:reg suicide brady i.state i.year, robust
i.state      _Istate_1-51      (_Istate_1 for state==ALABAMA omitted)
i.year       _Iyear_2001-2013  (naturally coded; _Iyear_2001 omitted)
note: _Istate_8 omitted because of collinearity
note: _Iyear_2005 omitted because of collinearity

Linear regression                                Number of obs =      550
                                                F( 60,   489) =   219.53
                                                Prob > F       =    0.0000
                                                R-squared      =    0.9432
                                                Root MSE      =    .90185
```

suicide	Coef.	Robust Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
brady	.0112481	.0060635	1.86	0.064	-.0006657	.0231618
_Istate_2	8.319934	.6743986	12.34	0.000	6.994858	9.645011
_Istate_3	3.480732	.2168423	16.05	0.000	3.054675	3.90679
_Istate_4	1.804031	.2222637	8.12	0.000	1.367322	2.240741
_Istate_5	-3.805493	.4733564	-8.04	0.000	-4.735557	-2.87543
_Istate_6	4.150808	.2825058	14.69	0.000	3.595733	4.705883
_Istate_7	-4.82836	.3879006	-12.45	0.000	-5.590518	-4.066203

(https://crimeresearch.org/wp-content/uploads/2018/03/Reg-fixed-effects-total-suicides-brady.png)

Conclusion

Once one accounts for the average pre-existing differences in homicide and suicide rates across states and the average annual changes in those deaths from year-to-year, stricter gun laws are associated with more total deaths from homicides and suicides. increasing the index of the gun laws in a state by 20 percentage points (about one standard deviation) is associated with an increase in the total death rate (homicides plus suicides) of 0.4 per 100,000 people. While we might disagree with how the Brady Campaign rates state gun control laws from year-to-year, this relationship is found using their ratings.

Here Are 8 Stubborn Facts on Gun Violence in America

Mar 14th, 2018

Commentary By

John Malcolm

Vice President, Institute for Constitutional Government

Amy Swearer

Visiting Legal Fellow, Meese Center for Legal and Judicial
Studies

In the wake of the tragic murder of 17 innocent students and teachers at Marjory Stoneman Douglas High School in Parkland, Florida, students, educators, politicians, and activists are searching for solutions to prevent future school shootings.

As emotions morph from grief to anger to resolve, it is vitally important to supply facts so that policymakers and professionals can fashion solutions based on objective data rather than well-intended but misguided emotional fixes.

Are there ways to reduce gun violence and school shootings? Yes, but only after objectively assessing the facts and working collaboratively to fashion commonsense solutions.

Here are eight stubborn facts to keep in mind about gun violence in America:

Violent crime is down and has been on the decline for decades.

The principal public safety concerns with respect to guns are suicides and illegally owned handguns, not mass shootings.

A small number of factors significantly increase the likelihood that a person will be a victim of a gun-related homicide.

Gun-related murders are carried out by a predictable pool of people.

Higher rates of gun ownership are not associated with higher rates of violent crime.

There is no clear relationship between strict gun control legislation and homicide or violent crime rates.

Legally owned firearms are used for lawful purposes much more often than they are used to commit crimes or suicide.

Concealed carry permit holders are not the problem, but they may be part of the solution.

Each of these facts is firmly based on empirical data. Here's a deeper look.

1. America is relatively safe, and the trend is toward becoming safer.

According to the National Crime Victimization Survey, violent crime has been declining steadily since the early 1990s.

The 2011 homicide rate was almost half of the rate in 1991, and according to Pew Research, the 2013 gun-related death rate was half of the rate in 1993.

The number of non-fatal firearm crimes committed in 2011 was one-sixth the number committed in 1993.

In the past few years, there have been minor increases in certain types of violent crimes, mainly in large metropolitan areas. However, these increases are nowhere near those seen in the 1990s and are largely related to gang activity.

It should be remembered that it takes at least three to five years of data to show true trend lines. It appears that the collective homicide toll for America's 50 largest cities decreased modestly in 2017 after two consecutive years of increases.

2. The principal public safety concerns are suicides and illegally owned handguns.

According to the Pew Research Center, almost two-thirds of America's annual gun deaths are suicides. Since 1981, when the Centers for Disease Control began publishing data, gun suicides have outnumbered gun homicides. In 2010 alone, 19,392 Americans used guns to kill themselves.

Most gun-related crimes are carried out with illegally owned firearms—as much as 80 percent according to some estimates.

The FBI's Uniform Crime Reports prove that the overwhelming majority of gun-related homicides are perpetrated with handguns, with rifles of any kind accounting for less than 3 percent of gun-related homicides. In 2013, 5,782 murders were committed by killers who

used a handgun, compared to 285 committed by killers who used a rifle. The same holds true for 2012 (6,404 to 298); 2011 (6,251 to 332); 2010 (6,115 to 367); and 2009 (6,501 to 351).

More people are stabbed to death every year than are murdered with rifles.

A person is more likely to be bludgeoned to death with a blunt object or beaten to death with hands and feet than to be murdered with a rifle.

3. A small number of factors significantly increase the likelihood that a person will be a victim of a gun-related homicide.

Where do you live? Murders in the United States are very concentrated. According to the Crime Prevention Research Center, over 50 percent of murders occur in 2 percent of the nation's 3,142 counties. Moreover, gun-related homicides are heavily concentrated in certain neighborhoods within those counties: 54 percent of U.S. counties had zero murders in 2014.

Who is your partner? According to a recent scholarly article in the *Hastings Law Journal*, people recently or currently involved in an abusive intimate relationship are much more likely to be victims of gun-related homicide than is the rest of the population, especially if the abuser possesses firearms.

Are you in a gang? According to the Department of Justice's National Gang Center, particularly in urban areas, significant percentages of gun-related homicides (15 percent to 33 percent) are linked with gang and drug activity. Gang-related homicides are more likely to involve firearms than non-gang-related homicides are.

Are you a male between 15 and 34? The majority of standard gun murder victims are men between the ages of 15 and 34. Although black men make up roughly 7 percent of the population, they account for almost two-thirds of gun murder victims every year.

Women and children are more likely to be the victims of mass shootings and homicide-suicide shootings than they are to be the victims of a "typical" gun-related homicide.

4. The perpetration of gun-related murders is often carried out by predictable people.

According to studies, almost all mass public shooters have extensive histories of mental health issues (whether delusional/psychiatric or depression/anger), disturbing behaviors, or interpersonal violence.

Intimate partner conflict and domestic violence history are major risk factors for homicide-suicides, even for those not involving intimate partners.

Especially in urban areas, a small number of recidivist violent offenders are typically responsible for the majority of gun violence.

5. Higher rates of gun ownership are not associated with higher rates of violent crime. Switzerland and Israel have much higher gun ownership rates than the United States but experience far fewer homicides and have much lower violent crime rates than many European nations with strict gun control laws.

While some will argue that the guns carried by Swiss and Israeli citizens are technically “owned” by the government in most cases, this does little to negate the fact that many citizens in those countries have ready access to firearms.

Canada is ranked 12th in the world for the number of civilian-owned guns per capita and reports one of the world’s lower homicide rates—but even then, some provinces have higher homicide rates than U.S. states with less restrictive laws and higher rates of gun ownership have.

Although many gun control advocates have noted that “right-to-carry” states tend to experience slight increases in violent crime, other studies have noted the opposite effect. Higher rates of concealed carry permit holders are even more strongly associated with reduction in violent crime than are “right-to-carry” states. The probable reason for this is that “right-to-carry” studies often include “open carry” states, which have not been shown to correlate with more people actually carrying or even owning firearms. Rates of concealed carry permit holders are better indicators of the number of people who actually possess and carry firearms within a given population.

Further, as with most correlations, there are many other factors that can account for increases in concealed carry permits—including the fact that people who live in already dangerous neighborhoods seek out means of self-defense. The Huffington Post noted that the rate of concealed carry permit requests in Chicago has soared in recent years after the city loosened restrictions, in large part, according to the Chicago Tribune, because law-abiding residents are increasingly worried about rising rates of violent crime in the city. The rate of gun ownership is higher among whites than it is among African-Americans, but the murder rate among African-Americans is significantly higher than the rate among whites.

Similarly, the rate of gun ownership is higher in rural areas than in urban areas, but urban areas experience higher murder rates.

6. There is no clear relationship between strict gun control legislation and homicide or violent crime rates.

The Brady Campaign Against Gun Violence ironically makes this clear with its ratings for states based on gun laws. “Gun freedom” states that score poorly, like New Hampshire, Vermont, Idaho, and Oregon, have some of the lowest homicide rates. Conversely, “gun-control-loving” states that received high scores, like Maryland and Illinois, experience some of the nation’s highest homicide rates.

The Crime Prevention Research Center notes that, if anything, the data indicate that countries with high rates of gun ownership tend to have lower homicide rates—but this is only a correlation, and many factors do not necessarily support a conclusion that high rates of gun ownership cause the low rates of homicide.

Homicide and firearm homicide rates in Great Britain spiked in the years immediately following the imposition of severe gun control measures, despite the fact that most developed countries continued to experience a downward trend in these rates. This is also pointed out by noted criminologist John Lott in his book “The War on Guns.”

Similarly, Ireland’s homicide rates spiked in the years immediately following the country’s 1972 gun confiscation legislation.

Australia’s National Firearms Act appears to have had little effect on suicide and homicide rates, which were falling before the law was enacted and continued to decline at a statistically unremarkable rate compared to worldwide trends.

According to research compiled by John Lott and highlighted in his book “The War on Guns,” Australia’s armed and unarmed robbery rates both increased markedly in the five years immediately following the National Firearms Act, despite the general downward trend experienced by other developed countries.

Great Britain has some of the strictest gun control laws in the developed world, but the violent crime rate for homicide, rape, burglary, and aggravated assault is much higher than that in the U.S. Further, approximately 60 percent of burglaries in Great Britain occur while residents are home, compared to just 13 percent in the U.S., and British burglars admit to targeting occupied residences because they are more likely to find wallets and purses.

It is difficult to compare homicide and firearm-related murder rates across international borders because countries use different methods to determine which deaths “count” for purposes of violent crime. For example, since 1967, Great Britain has excluded from its

homicide counts any case that does not result in a conviction, that was the result of dangerous driving, or in which the person was determined to have acted in self-defense. All of these factors are counted as “homicides” in the United States.

7. Legally owned firearms are used for lawful purposes much more often than they are used to commit crimes or suicide.

In 2013, President Barack Obama ordered the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) to assess existing research on gun violence. The report, compiled by the Institute of Medicine and the National Research Council, found (among other things) that firearms are used defensively hundreds of thousands of times every year.

According to the CDC, “self-defense can be an important crime deterrent.” Recent CDC reports acknowledge that studies directly assessing the effect of actual defensive uses of guns have found “consistently lower injury rates among gun-using crime victims compared with victims who used other self-protective strategies.”

Semi-automatic rifles (such as the AR-15) are commonly used as self-defense weapons in the homes of law-abiding citizens because they are easier to control than handguns, are more versatile than handguns, and offer the advantage of up to 30 rounds of protection. Even Vox has published stories defending the use of the AR-15.

AR-15s have been used to save lives on many occasions, including:

Oswego, Illinois (2018) — A man with an AR-15 intervened to stop a neighbor’s knife attack and cited the larger weapon’s “intimidation factor” as a reason why the attacker dropped the knife.

Catawba County, North Carolina (2018) — A 17-year-old successfully fought off three armed attackers with his AR-15.

Houston, Texas (2017) — A homeowner survived a drive-by shooting by defending himself with his AR-15.

Broken Arrow, Oklahoma (2017) — A homeowner’s son killed three would-be burglars with an AR-15 (the man was later deemed to have acted in justifiable self-defense).

Ferguson, Missouri (2014) — African-American men protected a white man’s store from rioters by standing outside armed with AR-15s.

Texas (2013) — A 15-year-old boy used an AR-15 during a home invasion to save both his life and that of his 12-year-old sister.

Rochester, New York (2013) — Home intruders fled after facing an AR-15.

8. Concealed carry permit holders are not the problem, but they may be part of the solution. Noted criminologist John Lott found that, as a group, concealed carry permit holders are some of the most law-abiding people in the United States. The rate at which they commit crimes generally and firearm crimes specifically is between one-sixth and one-tenth of that recorded for police officers, who are themselves committing crimes at a fraction of the rate of the general population.

Between 2007 and 2015, murder rates dropped 16 percent and violent crime rates dropped 18 percent, even though the percentage of adults with concealed carry permits rose by 190 percent.

Regression estimates show a significant association between increased permit ownership and a drop in murder and violent crime rates. Each percentage point increase in rates of permit-holding is associated with a roughly 2.5 percent drop in the murder rate.

Concealed carry permit holders are often “the good guy with a gun,” even though they rarely receive the attention of the national media. Concealed carry permit holders were credited with saving multiple lives in:

Rockledge, Florida (2017);

Antioch, Tennessee (2017);

Arlington, Texas (2017);

Lyman, South Carolina (2016);

Winton, Ohio (2015);

Conyers, Georgia (2015);

New Holland, South Carolina (2015);

Chicago, Illinois (2015);

Philadelphia, Pennsylvania (2015);

Darby, Pennsylvania (2015);

Chicago, Illinois (2014);

Portland, Oregon (2014); and

Spartanburg, South Carolina (2012).

This piece originally appeared in The Daily Signal

From: [dmillington](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: idiots
Date: Wednesday, September 4, 2019 8:09:27 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

The NRA is not a terrorist organization give me a breakcalling me part of a domestic terrorist organization I find appalling....San Francisco is a bastion of morons and liberal idiots and just proved itCalifornia I always said is like a bowl of granola what's not fruits and nuts is flakes....never have seen such a bunch of orifices in my life then in Californiaplease become your own republic soon and do this country a big favor
Sent from [Mail](#) for Windows 10

From: [W.Neal Nesbitt](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: ignorance of the highest order
Date: Friday, September 6, 2019 2:14:41 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

It is truly amazing that you think all of SF is off the progressive deep end & to say that the National Rifle Association is a terrorist organization is acceptable when they have done nothing to promote terrorist activities & no NRA member has been charged by authorities as a terrorist. Free speech & a passion for the 2nd amendment are not terrorist activities however some of the Antifa violence around the country is. San Fran used to be a beautiful place but it has become a disgusting cesspool of filth & disease. It is a sad state that liberal policies have brought to this once great city. Every day I see good people moving out because they can't take it anymore but so many other good people can't afford to get out of the filth the city has become. You were wrong about the NRA & you should rescind your resolution, not all of us are left wing or have gun-phobias, we just don't have as loud a voice & get shouted down when we try to state the facts. Facts are good, you should check them out before you make your next bonehead resolution Catherine Stefani... Et.Al.

Thanks

William Nesbitt

From: [Dan](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Just Saying!!
Date: Wednesday, September 4, 2019 9:16:50 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Here's a question to ask all you gun grabbers; if suddenly all the guns in the U.S. disappeared do you honestly believe the killing would stop or even slow down in places like South Chicago, PA, Detroit, SF or any other Democratically controlled metropolitan area?

China yesterday, a man killed 8 youngsters by stabbing them to death at a school.. it's the killer not the object. More can be killed with 5 gallons of gas and a match or 5lb bag of regular flour and an igniter. Stop the killers!!

The NRA is undoubtedly worthless but so are Democrats so I guess we're even!!

Dan

From: [dee mich](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Kudos...
Date: Wednesday, September 4, 2019 6:56:50 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

... Bravo and God Bless You. Your courageous stand against the NRA will hopefully start a trend. Thank you for taking the lead in the fight against gun violence.

--

:-Dee

From: [Ted Geldberg](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Labeling the NRA as a terrorist organization
Date: Wednesday, September 4, 2019 8:25:58 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I am a 66 year old native born California who knows just how destructive the Board of Supervisors' policies have been to the City of San Francisco. I don't own any guns, I don't like guns, but by this time tomorrow I'll have joined the NRA and sent them my dues because they're more trustworthy than any of you. And the audacity to label them as a terrorist organization while you let criminals wander your streets is mind boggling. Each Thanksgiving we visit family in the bay area and spend 4 days in and around the city. I will no longer patronize any businesses in the City of San Francisco so that not one dime of my earnings contributes to the taxes of a corrupt city government. You ought to be ashamed!

Ted Geldberg

Sent from [Mail](#) for Windows 10

From: [Rick Allamel](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Lmao
Date: Wednesday, September 4, 2019 10:38:43 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Lmao at u stupid SOBs for labeling the NRA terrorist. All I ever hear about San Fran in the media is three things human shit on every street corner, syringes lying EVERYWHERE and half the population is f@ggots. San Fran the armpit of America.

Sent from my iPad

From: [CenturyLink Customer](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: lol
Date: Wednesday, September 4, 2019 9:38:48 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Is it any wonder you guys have apparently turned one of the most beautiful cities in the world into a lawless cess pool?

"The San Francisco Board of Supervisors has passed a resolution declaring the National Rifle Association a "domestic terrorist organization" and urged the federal government to do the same – the latest escalation in the [heated rhetoric from Democrats](#) regarding the NRA in the wake of several deadly mass shootings.

[The resolution](#), which passed Tuesday and says the U.S. is "plagued by an epidemic of gun violence," accuses the NRA of using "its considerable wealth and organization strength to promote gun ownership and incite gun owners to acts of violence."

I could care less about guns but your reaction just confirms my opinions about you and your ilk. Therefore; MAGA.....lol

From: [will Jackson](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Date: Saturday, September 7, 2019 3:41:08 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To all of you, all of you, Board Of Supervisors you all good and decent people, all of you.

And you've done the good and correct thing by naming NRA as a terrorist group.

Guns kills people, guns sadly kills people, and guns are a bad thing, (very bad thing) the more guns you have the more things will go wrong. Also giving, it to people (NRA giving it to people) for pure profit/greed, no matter weather the person is suited to/for a gun, NRA give this person a gun,

Weather the person is in a right mind for the gun, or has a mental state of mind, NRA doesn't care and cares about profit/greed more.

NRA, sold the gun, and doesn't care what that person, (unstable person) does with the gun. (ie terrible things) NRA doesn't care, why because NRA are a terrorist group that cares more for profit/greed.

You, Board Of Supervisors are bang on, spot on stating NRA are a terrorist group, and that needs to be said to you. (Board Of Supervisors)

From: [Amanda Hill](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Cc: [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#); [Nevin, Peggy \(BOS\)](#); [Laxamana, Junko \(BOS\)](#); [Ng, Wilson \(BOS\)](#); [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Linda \(BOS\)](#); [Carroll, John \(BOS\)](#); [Leger, Cheryl \(BOS\)](#); [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#); [Lew, Lisa \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Jocelyn \(BOS\)](#)
Date: Friday, September 6, 2019 5:51:36 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

You, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors labeled the National Rifle Association a domestic terrorist organization.

For the NRA is be a terrorist organization, it would have to intentionally encourage and support the use of violent attacks on U.S. citizens with the intent of creating general fear so as to force submission to its political agenda. The NRA clearly does not do that.

You are insulting the around 5Million NRA members who are officers, 1st responders, private citizens. man & women coming from all sort of background, by labeling all these millions of members as ----- . You should be ashamed of yourself.

You have plenty of problems in your so called San Francisco. We will never, ever put one foot in your city. It is a shame that you all play politics and this unconstitutional resolution. It's not the business of any county board of supervisors to designate terror organizations. You are supposed to take care of the city of San Francisco which you are failing.

You can be assured that no one from our family will ever spend any dime in your shit-hole, visit San Francisco or does any sort of business there. Shame on y'all.

We are not even members of the NRA but our entire family will now become NRA members.

The Hill Family

From: [William C. Quairolì](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Date: Thursday, September 5, 2019 7:49:00 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

You idiots. I have heard it all now from you left wing loons on the left coast. Declaring the NRA a domestic terrorist organization. You people are demented. How about handling the problems with the horribly run city of San Francisco. You have massive homelessness with drug use, high petty crime rates, unaffordable real estate prices, feces and drug needles in your streets, sanctuary city status, and I am sure additional problems which I am not recognizing. And you name the NRA a terrorist organization. There is something wrong with you people out there. Liberalism is a mental disorder, and you morons have it big time!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

William C Quairolì
Lebanon, Pa.

Sent from [Mail](#) for Windows 10

From: [brian ring](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Date: Wednesday, September 4, 2019 9:51:08 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

You people are a bunch of idiots cant clean up the crap on the street you're a sanctuary city illegals killing law abiding citezins and now you label the NRA as a terror group no wonder the city is falling apart you can't handle the truth and deal with the immediate crisis I guess that's the DEMOCRAT way the idiots at large you're a disgrace to the city

Sent from [Mail](#) for Windows 10

From: [William Bryant](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#); [Nevin, Peggy \(BOS\)](#); [Laxamana, Junko \(BOS\)](#); [Ng, Wilson \(BOS\)](#); [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Linda \(BOS\)](#); [Carroll, John \(BOS\)](#); [Leger, Cheryl \(BOS\)](#); [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#); [Lew, Lisa \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Jocelyn \(BOS\)](#)
Date: Wednesday, September 4, 2019 8:08:40 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To the Board of Supervisors or whom it may concern,

I've read the report where you passed a resolution declaring the National Rifle Association a "domestic terrorist organization" and urged the federal government to do the same.

I personally think it's shameful that you are attacking a organization who stands up to protects a citizens constitutional right. That's what you are doing. About 20 years ago when I migrated to the US, I always wanted to live on the west coast, San Francisco, LA but the more and more I learn about your policies, your constant approach to limit a citizens right or raise taxes, you can be assured that I will never place foot to San Francisco.

I would like to wish you having a blessed day but this might even offend you,

Good Bye,
William

From: [Kevin Mattern](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Date: Tuesday, September 10, 2019 7:46:17 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

You have the wrong organization your listing as a Domestic Terrorist Organization. It's the liberals that are when you liberals are censoring conservatives speech and your protecting criminal immigrants. California is a terrorist state. Please secede from the USA.

From: [Donald Koller](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Morons
Date: Thursday, September 5, 2019 11:49:23 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I am proud terrorist and I will not only support the NRA even more I will donate money in each of your names so you can be terrorists too. I would never go to your shit filled crap city but if I did i will be the one wearing a NRA shirt proudly. You are communist piglets. You are the enemy of America. No crawl back in your slime pits.

Donald Koller
dkoller1769@att.net

From: [Nancy Carlson](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: My Comments on the NRA
Date: Thursday, September 5, 2019 7:37:07 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Board of Supervisors:

I am a 4th generation San Franciscan, born and raised in what used to be one of the most spectacular cities in the world. I was very proud to be able to say that this was my home.

Over recent years I have become increasingly embarrassed by the actions of city government, and am currently ashamed to say that I was born in San Francisco. Your flagrant disregard for our country's constitution and federal laws, as well as your recent declaration that the National Rifle Association is a domestic terrorist organization is unbelievable to me.

YOU are the terrorists, and I hope you wake up to see that you are driving San Franciscans and Californians out of the city and state. Follow the tolerance that you preach for a change. San Francisco is currently an embarrassment to the world, leave alone the country.

Nancy Carlson

Sent from my iPad

From: [Rivers3](#)
To: [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#)
Cc: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: My NRA Donation
Date: Wednesday, September 4, 2019 8:57:01 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hey whack job Cathy.....

I just donated another \$100 to my NRA in your honor!

From: [Ray Marotta](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: National Rifle Association
Date: Wednesday, September 4, 2019 8:50:32 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

You, the San Francisco board of Supervisors disgust me. You "supervise" a city that is ankle deep in human excrement with people sleeping on the sidewalks because of your insane and un-American policies. Your once beautiful city has become the epitome of what PRESIDENT TRUMP describes as a "shithole." It is YOU who are the domestic terrorists. No NRA member has ever done or ever will do a mass shooting.

R. Marotta

Citizen, Patriot, Veteran and proud NRA member

From: [BOS](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: New Response Clerk of the Board - Customer Satisfaction Form
Date: Thursday, September 5, 2019 12:03:19 PM
Attachments: [2_ABaOnuc3LHoTZK7HbJxkJbyRyluEAjilrUwA9lt5HOCZjdzOdRMFFo_t6qW6UiR_u9-nL4.pdf](#)

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Your form has a new entry.

Here are the results.

Please identify the division/agency you recently contacted	Office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors
We treated you in a courteous and professional manner	Strongly Disagree
We responded to your voicemail in a timely manner	Strongly Disagree
We responded to your e-mail in a timely manner	Strongly Disagree
We answered your questions clearly and concisely	Strongly Disagree
We provided you with accurate and timely information	Strongly Disagree
We behaved ethically and professionally	Strongly Disagree
We provided you with an answer/response to your concern or issue	Strongly Disagree
What is your overall comfort level regarding the service you received?	Unacceptable

Please share with us any additional comments and suggestions for improvement	I rejoined the NRA specifically because of your recent comments. Your people resemble the socialist party that dominated Germany in the 1930s and early 40s. You may want to advise your fellow nazi-democrats not to have a collective orgasm every time there is a mass shooting. We need gun rights more than ever to counter the socialists, racists, and fascists who have over taken the democratic party. We know you nazis want to take guns from us, but the only way that will happen is in a civil war.
Name	Cooper Glenn
Phone Number	912 231 0128
Mailing Address	303 Atkinson Ave
Email Address	gcooper01@comcast.net

Sent via [Google Forms Email](#)

From: [BOS](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: New Response Clerk of the Board - Customer Satisfaction Form
Date: Wednesday, September 4, 2019 2:48:43 PM
Attachments: [2_ABaOnud6xBsCvhtV0VUr4AKLZetAXCLJMQly6M1oxPv2s2jA3GfyxWf3xStVX2InuPTgETU.pdf](#)

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Your form has a new entry.

Here are the results.

Please identify the division/agency you recently contacted	Office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors
We treated you in a courteous and professional manner	Strongly Disagree
We responded to your voicemail in a timely manner	Strongly Disagree
We responded to your e-mail in a timely manner	Strongly Disagree
We answered your questions clearly and concisely	Strongly Disagree
We provided you with accurate and timely information	Strongly Disagree
We behaved ethically and professionally	Strongly Disagree
We provided you with an answer/response to your concern or issue	Strongly Disagree
What is your overall comfort level regarding the service you received?	Unacceptable

Please share with us any additional comments and suggestions for improvement

Every last one of you needs to be recalled. You are dangerous to society. NRA is a terrorist group???A convicted felon is a person involved in the justice system— identical to the victim the felon terrorized??? You are an appalling group of dimwits who have no clue. You all should be ashamed of yourselves!! May your names go down in history as such

Sent via [Google Forms Email](#)

From: [BOS](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: New Response Clerk of the Board - Customer Satisfaction Form
Date: Wednesday, September 4, 2019 2:32:52 PM
Attachments: [2_ABaOnudtp88ZY-uUZmbh0hsU-BDMb1Ls-R4o7t7uQ-qKHtCMQLA3AkhZcPhsvkcK9TwPN3Q.pdf](#)

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Your form has a new entry.

Here are the results.

Please identify the division/agency you recently contacted	Office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors
We treated you in a courteous and professional manner	Strongly Disagree
We responded to your voicemail in a timely manner	Disagree
We responded to your e-mail in a timely manner	Strongly Disagree
We answered your questions clearly and concisely	Disagree
We provided you with accurate and timely information	Disagree
We behaved ethically and professionally	Strongly Disagree
We provided you with an answer/response to your concern or issue	Agree
What is your overall comfort level regarding the service you received?	Unacceptable

Please share with us any additional comments and suggestions for improvement

Streets are filled with needles and human waste and you're wasting time calling me a terrorist?

Sent via [Google Forms Email](#)

From: [BOS](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: New Response Clerk of the Board - Customer Satisfaction Form
Date: Wednesday, September 4, 2019 2:06:10 PM
Attachments: [2_ABaOnucmUgVwrejDwdbv2WgkOO1MXtq4vKAg8d5PrPECzQ68Guf2bsj9-pMNPICfH2bKbVo.pdf](#)

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Your form has a new entry.

Here are the results.

Please identify the division/agency you recently contacted	Office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors
We treated you in a courteous and professional manner	Strongly Disagree
We responded to your voicemail in a timely manner	Does Not Apply
We responded to your e-mail in a timely manner	Does Not Apply
We answered your questions clearly and concisely	Strongly Disagree
We provided you with accurate and timely information	Strongly Disagree
We behaved ethically and professionally	Strongly Disagree
We provided you with an answer/response to your concern or issue	Strongly Disagree
What is your overall comfort level regarding the service you received?	Unacceptable

Please share with us any additional comments and suggestions for improvement

You don't want to hurt felon's feelings and the NRA is a Domestic Terrorist Group? Really.....why don't you all clean up all the crap on the street, stop handing out needles and solve the homeless and sanctuary crisis you've all created , treat the Police with more respect, demand school choice or improve the schools and 5,000 other things we voted for you to ACTUALLY DO, and then MAYBE then, we'll entertain ourselves to listen to your stupid opinions on the NRA and felons. First do your jobs . You are only proving the Right's point that your a bunch of feckless virtue signalling corrupt idiots who destroy cities all in a pursuit of power. Under your leadership you've turned our beautiful city into a third world hell-hole..... Thanks a lot! Pissed off citizen!

Sent via [Google Forms Email](#)

From: [BOS](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: New Response Clerk of the Board - Customer Satisfaction Form
Date: Wednesday, September 4, 2019 7:28:04 AM
Attachments: [2_ABaOnue6Xr-IOKjhsjLObaJUN5sFIhxDIpCqpzalkgGRtHTxgBFEr1b6_fWgyF7N6xxrOhM.pdf](#)

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Your form has a new entry.

Here are the results.

Please identify the division/agency you recently contacted	Office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors
We treated you in a courteous and professional manner	Strongly Disagree
We responded to your voicemail in a timely manner	Does Not Apply
We responded to your e-mail in a timely manner	Does Not Apply
We answered your questions clearly and concisely	Disagree
We provided you with accurate and timely information	Strongly Disagree
We behaved ethically and professionally	Strongly Disagree
We provided you with an answer/response to your concern or issue	Strongly Disagree
What is your overall comfort level regarding the service you received?	Unacceptable

Please share with us any additional comments and suggestions for improvement

Thank God for Texas. I am so glad they finally get out of your rotten, corrupt, lawlessness, and shit-laden city. Instead of wasting taxpayer money to come up with a totally useless resolution regarding the NRA, turn your focus to the Board's publish mission statement. I bet not one of you has any idea what the board's mission is supposed to be. Each and everyone of you should be ashamed of yourselves.

Sent via [Google Forms Email](#)

From: [BOS](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: New Response Clerk of the Board - Customer Satisfaction Form
Date: Friday, September 6, 2019 2:12:38 PM
Attachments: [2_ABaOnuedggcgcsII15Us050p0IY76daHEoxplWt4e0kH-Uz_ko-uHhLxxgr4JIKsOKm0R0.pdf](#)

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Your form has a new entry.

Here are the results.

Please identify the division/agency you recently contacted	Office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors
We treated you in a courteous and professional manner	Neutral
We responded to your voicemail in a timely manner	Does Not Apply
We responded to your e-mail in a timely manner	Strongly Agree
We answered your questions clearly and concisely	Strongly Agree
We provided you with accurate and timely information	Strongly Agree
We behaved ethically and professionally	Neutral
We provided you with an answer/response to your concern or issue	Strongly Agree
What is your overall comfort level regarding the service you received?	Poor

Please share with us any additional comments and suggestions for improvement

My comfort level is poor because yes you did declare the NRA a domestic terrorist organization and encouraged others to do the same...I am the NRA and I find this truly shocking and unbelievable that you would call me a domestic terrorist! I find it amazing that you all can actually live with yourselves and this declaration...since no one that I know of in the NRA has committed nor attempted to commit any crime...they actually teach gun safety. I understand that your goal is to disarm Americans but that is unconstitutional whether you like it or not. I will support and wear my NRA gear proudly in support of the 2nd amendment of our constitution. Thank you.

Name

Roberta Holcomb - NRA member

Mailing Address

21 Victory Hill Road

Email Address

rholcomb@ptd.net

Sent via [Google Forms Email](#)

From: [Thomas Birnbaum](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Cc: pmiller@nemsct.com
Subject: NRA
Date: Wednesday, September 4, 2019 5:46:14 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I have been a lifelong member of the NRA. I am an American patriot. I love this country and will support and defend the Constitution of the United States and the Bill of Rights to the death if necessary.

I deeply resent and totally reject your blasphemous attempt to smear the NRA and its members by calling it a "terrorist" organization! Nothing could be further from the truth! The terrorists are illegal immigrants, drug dealers, gangs, illegal guns, recidivist criminals. Those terrorists are responsible for tens of thousands of murders and drug deaths! Stop lying to yourselves! Pathetic, knee-jerk unthinking politicians like you are the enablers of those who would destroy and are destroying our country.

San Francisco has made a mockery of the rule of law and undermined citizens' right to life, liberty and the pursuit of happiness. One look at the homelessness, the drug addicts and the crime in your once beautiful city tells the truth about your policies. Shame! Shame! Shame!

Sow the wind. Reap the whirlwind.

TTB

Sent from my iPad

From: [Debbie Powell](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NRA
Date: Wednesday, September 4, 2019 10:13:53 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Not sure even how to address this... so I will jump right in on my disgust for your most recent attack on law abiding citizens. Why on earth would you declare the NRA a domestic terrorist organization? Never mind the fact that you IGNORE organizations like Antifa - that is a whole additional conversation - let's just stay focused on the NRA.

Are you even aware of what the NRA does? (One thing I should mention before you write me off for your own myopic views - let me tell you that i am NOT an NRA member and I do NOT own any guns - but I do believe strongly in our constitution and the 2nd Amendment - as all Americans should and certainly any elected official should fight to defend the constitutional rights of citizens of this great country!!!) Have you ever looked or read anything about the NRA? In case not, I will put this forth to you from their web page:

The heart of The NRA Foundation's mission is preserving the core of our American values and traditions in our steadfast effort to Teach Freedom.

Established in 1990, The NRA Foundation, Inc. ("NRA Foundation") is a 501(c)(3) tax-exempt organization that raises tax-deductible contributions in support of a wide range of firearm-related public interest activities of the National Rifle Association of America and other organizations that defend and foster the Second Amendment rights of all law-abiding Americans. These activities are designed to promote firearms and hunting safety, to enhance marksmanship skills of those participating in the shooting sports, and to educate the general public about firearms in their historic, technological and artistic context. Funds granted by The NRA Foundation benefit a variety of constituencies throughout the United States including children, youth, women, individuals with physical disabilities, gun collectors, law enforcement officers, hunters and competitive shooters.

Please note the reference to law abiding citizens.... this is something I think that gets lost up there in SF and also in Sacramento. So many elected officials in this state do everything in their power to fight for the rights of criminals, drug addicts and illegal citizens but there is NOTHING being done for law abiding tax paying citizens! We the people that support this economy are the ones that are the most discriminated against by our own elected officials! Just today we got hit twice - one with this ridiculous notion of NRA being a domestic terrorist organization and two with newsoms' signing of anti-cop legislation (call it what you want but what the criminals see is that this "governor" is anti cop and they will use this now to their advantage... I should also mention the fact that your crazy court system up there also

overturned the gun charge on the illegal felon that killed Kate Steinle - so let me see if I get this straight - if I was here illegally and shot someone with a gun, that is okay and I should be coddled and made to feel welcome even though I killed an innocent young woman who had her entire life ahead of her and did NOTHING to break the law - it is okay in your mind that she is dead and this creep is going free????

I am not sure how much longer I will continue to live in this state even though I spent most of it working so hard to be able to afford to live here.... it is a shame that decent law abiding tax paying people are feeling so discouraged by the direction this state is going. We will continue to leave and take our tax dollars with us and I guess then maybe at some point, all this frivality will be unsustainable.

You may be anti- gun personally - and that is your choice and your right - however you can not push your own personal opinions over the constitutional rights of the citizens of this country. Stop with the nonsense and try and fix the homeless junkie problem that you all have propagated...

From: [tLW tLW](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NRA
Date: Wednesday, September 4, 2019 6:15:11 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

“Declared a terrorist organization”

Sent from my iPhone

From: [Steve Foster](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NRA (terrorists?)
Date: Wednesday, September 4, 2019 11:41:51 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Clean up the shit off your streets before criticizing others. You are pigs!

Sent from my iPhone

From: [Jeffrey Lock](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NRA
Date: Thursday, September 5, 2019 2:01:14 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

You are all pathetic calling the NRA a domestic terrorist group, really. The only domestic terrorist group we have in America is the Democratic Party. They are trying to take away every right is American's have and will not stop until we are in a civil war. You all really need to check yourselves before you cause an all out war on America that you will not win!

Sent from my iPhone

From: [Brian Mellott](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NRA a terrorist org
Date: Wednesday, September 4, 2019 8:12:03 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Since I'm a member of the NRA, are you going to arrest and convict me of being a terrorist when I come to San Francisco to conduct business?

Should I contact my lawyer or apply for a visa? I can close my offices there to prevent issues and eliminate any future visits to San Francisco in the future, but in the meantime I need to get there to close up shop.

Please let me know.

Thank you
Brian Mellott.

[Sent from Yahoo Mail for iPhone](#)

From: [Keith Wilde](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NRA and San Francisco
Date: Sunday, September 8, 2019 1:18:48 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I once live in your county when your s city was clean
I think as a California voter
Before you bad mouth the NRA look in your back yard
You have drug pusher that carry guns not members of the NRA, you have all type of gangs
all over that have guns they are not members of the NRA Your homeless bet many of them
have guns they are not members of the NRA
But look at your Law Enforcement people they carry guns give good odds many of them are
NRA members and many California Democrats in Congress carry guns and members of the
NRA
Many of your undocumented immigrants carry guns they are not NRA members remember
one killed a American girl on a pier and walks So I feel you need to get your Pussy ass out
of bull shit and clean up your back yard and you need to remember guns do not kill people
and you call some assault weapons, people are killed by knives, golf clubs, cars, busses,
rocks, bricks aircraft so are all these classified assault weapons

[Sent from Yahoo Mail on Android](#)

From: [Steve Boone](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NRA are terrorists
Date: Thursday, September 5, 2019 2:16:39 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Good for you! Wayne LaPierre and Marion Hammer should be in isolation cells in Guantanamo. I live in NJ. I am going to urge my representatives, state and federal, to follow your courageous and intelligent lead.

Steve Boone

From: [Steve Rotzin](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NRA as a terrorist group...
Date: Thursday, September 5, 2019 9:38:50 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I hesitated writing this since the pure motive behind the recent resolution to deem the NRA a terrorist organization, while looking past Antifa is, on its face, is ludicrous, but then not writing you a note allows you to believe you've done something noble, which you haven't. So here we are.

I am native Californian, attended USF, lived in the City for nearly 4 years, now live in Walnut Creek. You have, ostensibly, named me a terrorist. For this, I will not spend a dollar in San Francisco and will be telling my 30 family members to avoid this depraved city at all costs.

While the City falls apart under liberal rule, you pass clownish resolutions that will undoubtedly insure the City both locally, and federally, to be the laughingstock of the world. Well, you've achieved that already with poops patrols and poop maps. Believing you've made some "woke" statement in the name of gun control is pathetically inept, misdirected and derisive. Sadly, I am guessing all of the supervisors don't know the first thing about guns and sadly, likely, not know the 2nd Amendment. That Amendment is written specifically for tyrannical government overreach, which is exactly what you've undertaken. The authors of the Constitution knew people like you existed and therefore the rights of gun ownership are inalienable as written. If anything happens in the near term it will be Courts upholding the rights of gun owners, 99.9% of them wholly responsible owners, and incompetent supervisors of unsanitary sanctuary cities being relegated to ash heap of ineffectual elected officials pandering to the misguided prog-fog. You will be challenged, you will lose, while the streets of SF become decayed. Shit, needles, more needles, homelessness, unsafe streets, taxation, rents, destroyed streets, congestion, the smell of urine versus sea air, more taxes, more poop patrols, a few more LGBTQi holidays (cause lets keep celebrating who people sleep with) and Antifa. Why not? It's all working out so well isn't it?

Your resolution is chaff, wholly empty and void of legal relevance or standard. You etched your faces into the rock of liberal ignominy while alienating yourselves further as truly out of touch with the Nation and those who would visit the City for tourism, business or making it a home, outside of fringe nutbags. I already know of two large law firms who have moved out of the City because of the aforementioned decay. Job well done...

Shame on you all,

Steve Rotzin

From: [Sonja Kunze](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NRA as terrorist group
Date: Wednesday, September 4, 2019 9:42:08 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Sorry, you are off my permanent vacation list. Your liberal policies have destroyed your city and state. I will never visit again.

From: [Edward J Markle](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NRA as terrorist
Date: Wednesday, September 4, 2019 8:18:19 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Do you fools realize the resolution branding the NRA as a terrorist organization will result in more gun sales and purchases than the NRA ever dreamed of? What a tiny little fishbowl you all swim in.

Sent from my iPhone

From: [cindy.oneill](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NRA decision
Date: Thursday, September 5, 2019 11:31:09 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Members:

In reading your mission statement::

The Board of Supervisors responds to the needs of the people of the City and County of San Francisco, establishes city policies, and adopts ordinances and resolutions.

It is crystal clear that none of you value that mission, as it indicates that all the people in the city and county of San Francisco will be represented. You are clearly choosing whose needs you want to represent.

Your recent negligent decision to label a good percentage of your citizens as terrorists if they are affiliated with the NRA, should be just cause to remove each of you from your position.

What an ignorant and arrogant bunch you are.

Cindy O.

From: [David Mendoza](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NRA Declaration
Date: Wednesday, September 4, 2019 8:12:55 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors, thank you for your courageous stand regarding the NRA. Thank you for taking a stand.

I say this as a proud, but profoundly sad El Pasoan.

David L. Mendoza

From: [Frank D Briceno](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NRA declared terrorist organization.
Date: Wednesday, September 4, 2019 2:26:04 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

My name is Frank Briceno from New Mexico. Been hearing of your declaration that the NRA is a terrorist organization.

I am a life member of the NRA and an American Patriot and feel slandered by you because I belong to the NRA.

I served 10 in the United States Marine Corps and 6 years as an uncover federal officer.

I am also a deplorable and proud of it.

I call you domestic terrorists for the stupidity of your decisions and conditions in San Francisco especially in the homeless situation where people are defecating in the streets.

Sent from [Mail](#) for Windows 10




WE ARE TERRORISTS
REALLY?

F U S F
BOD — YOU
LEFT-A-RHOIDS

Are Endangering
The Actual Citizens
And Police, I HOPE
YOU LOSE POWER SOON!!

YOU ARE TERRORISTS!
TRUMP 2020! Finish
That



EV SF BOD - JERKS!
What a disgrace You
Are! Shame on You!
How dare You call us all
Terrorists? I am so
offended by what You
have called fellow Law
abiding Americans, I think
You should all be removed
From office now.
You LEFT-A-RHOIDS
Are endangering Citizens
and Police with Your
Stupid Policies. Lose Power
Soon! Trump 2020

Finish the Well!
Audit SF!

From: [Warren Serkin](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NRA is a terrorist organization
Date: Wednesday, September 4, 2019 2:04:58 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Are you retarded clowns serious? With all of the negative issues effecting California in general and San Francisco in particular, you waste time with this idiotic BS. The most dangerous group of destructive dimwits in this state are the members of the Liberal, Progressive, Democommunist party. You people, as a group (Pat Brown, Jerry Brown, Nancy Pelosi (related to the last two mayors who destroyed Baltimore), Gavin "Airhead" Newsome (related to Nancy Pelosi) (professional incest much) and that ilk have done more to destroy the State of California and It's largest cities than and army of locusts. This once great state (and I've been here since 1955) is now a cesspool of the homeless, of the illegal aliens sponging off the taxpayers, taking jobs that citizens WILL do, defecating on the side walk, where dogs have to watch where they walk. I could go on but, you get the point. This state would be far better off if every one of you was deported to Venezuela so you could see what your wonder Communism really does to a country (once the richest in South America until Maduro and the Communists took over). As an old saying goes "may the sand fleas of a million camels fly up your collective noses".

This email has been checked for viruses by Avast antivirus software.

<https://www.avast.com/antivirus>

From: [Warren Serkin](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NRA is a Terrorist organization?
Date: Wednesday, September 4, 2019 1:38:57 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Of all the dimwitted, idiotic, brain dead things to do, you fools declare the NRA a terrorists organization. I almost died laughing when I read that. Talk about a bunch of complete whackos, you all take the cake. The only terrorists in this state is the totally loony tunes, liberal left. You idiots have done more to ruin this state than an army of locusts. The beautiful city of San Francisco has gone further and further and further down the drain ever since the radical, lefty wierdos took over. Jerry Brown twice to Gavin "Airhead" Newsome to Nancy Pelosi to every Demoncrap Mayor and Governor before and since. You're the one who should be deported. All we've gotten from you despicable, disgusting nimrods is an increase in homeless every year, an increase in ILLEGAL ALIENS sucking of the public taxpayer every year. the dogs in LA and SAN Francisco have to watch where they walk stepping in dog shit. The bunch of you don't have the brains put together to blow your collective noses. I've been living here since 1956 so I think I DO know what I'm talking about. You all are bound and determined to make this state uninhabitable for the average, middle class citizen so they'll be nothing but the rich elite (you and your cronies) and the very poor who will have to depend on your largess for the necessities. A pox on your house.

This email has been checked for viruses by Avast antivirus software.
<https://www.avast.com/antivirus>

From: [Lucinda Woerner](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NRA legislation
Date: Wednesday, September 4, 2019 5:28:03 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To All Board Members,

Too Far! I am not a member of the NRA, but labeling the NRA a terrorist organization is ridiculous. ANTIFA is a terrorist group, not the NRA.

Your ridiculous decision, along with the disgusting condition of the City, has pushed my sensibilities too far. I am canceling my registration to attend a writer's conference next February. I will cancel plans to stay at the Hyatt Embarcadero, and I will no longer come into the City for dinner and shows. I am done bringing out of town guests into the City to see the sights. Finished! I am finished with San Francisco.

The unintended consequence of your foolish decisions will be very costly to the City by the Bay. Shame on you all.. The mounting losses to San Francisco will on your board members and Gavin Newsom.

Lucinda Woerner, PhD
Morgan Hill, CA

From: [james dean](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NRA Resolution
Date: Saturday, September 7, 2019 6:24:30 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

My extended family and I were considering a vacation in San Francisco. I am a member of the NRA and are concerned with the resolution. Does this mean that I will be considered a terrorist?. James S Dean

Sent from my Samsung Galaxy smartphone.

From: [EMG](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NRA Resolution
Date: Wednesday, September 4, 2019 5:22:39 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

You just called millions of us law-abiding patriotic Americans like me domestic terrorists.

Shame on you.

Try to take away our guns and see a civil war.

From an NRA member lawful patriotic gun owner native San Franciscan.

From: [Eli Harrison](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#)
Subject: NRA resolution
Date: Thursday, September 5, 2019 9:11:58 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hello Mayor Breed, D4 Supervisor Mar, and the Board of Supervisors,

I don't understand why the Board of Supervisors went out of its way to declare the NRA a "domestic terrorist organization".

I have been critical of the NRA myself, in particular its NRA TV organization, which had been escalating rhetoric against opponents of gun rights. However, the NRA recently shut down NRA TV, because NRA members have recently demanded more accountability from its leaders. Furthermore, the NRA itself was not responsible for the mass shootings of the past month.

I have been critical of America's gun culture. However, the gun owners I know are all responsible people who have never been involved in gun violence or accidents involving firearms.

More importantly, I have been critical of politicians' attempts to use claims like terrorism to disenfranchise and delegitimize political discourse. This was true of the Bush (43) Administration, and it is true of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors today.

The Board of Supervisors made a huge mistake with its resolution. Only an authoritarian regimes of the worst order would attempt to declare millions of people to be terrorists by fiat, simply for supporting our Constitutional rights. This rhetorical stunt will only polarize further discussion about the Second Amendment, making gun owners even more suspicious about government intentions and more resistant to common-sense reform.

Last, ever since the election of Donald Trump as President, "the Left" has become increasingly dependent on the presumption of guilt. From Russian collusion, to the Kavanaugh nomination, to the Covington kids—partisan Democrats have repeatedly shown their willingness to completely abandon the presumption of innocence, the need for due process, and the right to a fair trial, replacing it with slander, defamation, and made-for-facebook takedowns. The Left has gone somewhere I will not follow.

I disapprove of this resolution in the strongest possible terms. The Board of Supervisors does not speak for me.

Sincerely,
Eli Harrison
D4

From: [Brian Gott](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NRA Supporter
Date: Friday, September 6, 2019 5:33:11 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I just wanted to let you know, that this NRA Supporter has served his Country proudly for over twenty years. Deployed three times after September 11th with the Maryland National Guard. I've been employed by the same Company for over twenty seven years, Volunteering in my Community and am proud to support an organization that defends my rights to own and conceal carry in my State. You labelled me as a Terrorist, and it's sad that you feel this way about the NRA and it's members. You apparently don't realize that likely have a large number of NRA Members in your local Fire & Police Departments, First responders. I'm sure they feel the same way that I do. Although I don't live in your district, your comments are relevant to everyone in this great Country I call home. I don't normally speak out like this about issues across the Country, but I feel this is important enough to do so. I would like an apology from the individual that made the statement about the NRA and it's members. She obviously has enough time to do so, I'm sure she has nothing more important to be working on, if she has time to call out the members of the NRA. I'll be waiting.

From: [Alice Rimer](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NRA terrorist designation
Date: Wednesday, September 4, 2019 4:49:21 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hello,

I just wanted to let the Board know, as a resident here in the Bay Area, I really think that this is silly. I could care less about the designation, but I have not set foot in the city for a year because I was repulsed the last time I visited on a Saturday morning for the Museum of Ice Cream. I gave up all my Museum memberships and do not dine in the city any more. Why not clean up your own backyard instead of trashing people across America that you do not even know. Please help your own citizens.

Thank you.

Alice Rimer

Sent from my iPad

From: [Gregory Abat](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NRA Terrorists?
Date: Sunday, September 8, 2019 7:40:11 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To whom it may concern,

Your vote declaring the NRA to be a terrorist organization is an irresponsible use of municipal authority. It's not in your charter to declare any organization to be terrorists and is certainly not a productive use of your time in office. The NRA is a political organization that you don't like or agree with, but that certainly does not deem them to be "terrorists" by the stretch of any commonly held definition.

It's this kind of inflammatory rhetoric that is contributing to the current climate of hostile discourse on this and many other topics.

The city of San Francisco should be ashamed to be represented by the whole lot of you.

Sincerely,

Greg Abat

PS. Perhaps the city's time should be better spent determining how to fix your housing situation or many of the other issues that plague your fine city. If you are unsure of the problems I am sure a quick google search could point you in the right direction.

From: [James Germani](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NRA
Date: Saturday, September 7, 2019 6:30:56 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hello, as a vacationer to your state, I want this office to concentrate on cleaning up the homeless and fecal problems in this beautiful city. District 2 Supervisor Catherine Stefani should do everything in her power to clean up the streets and not worried about the NRA. Sincerely, J. Germani

From: [Ansel Boggs](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NRA
Date: Saturday, September 7, 2019 6:03:35 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Most everybody in the USA is laughing at your city of of nuts!

Nobody really takes you all seriously. You designate a national civil rights group a terrorist organization while shit and piss run down your streets.

I came to California with my wife to visit back when the wharf was a nice place.... I am told that a person has to step around the piles of shit and needles now. WHAT A SHAME. Have you idiots no conscious? It's apparent that you people have lost your minds. I have ten grand kids and I've told all of them to put San Fran on their list of SHIT HOLES!

Y'all kiss my phobic rebel ass! I am the NRA!

Sent from my iPhone

From: [John](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NRA
Date: Friday, September 6, 2019 9:24:06 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

San Francisco Board of Supervisors:

I am an Engineer, U.S. Navy Nuclear Submarine Service Veteran, and a proud member of the NRA. ?? I was astonished to hear that you consider the NRA a terrorist organization.?? The reality is that the NRA is the oldest civil rights organization in our country, defending individual liberty and the right to self protection.

When I was 10 years old, I attended an NRA Safe Hunter class at the local armory.?? It was a tremendous learning experience.?? Back then, I remember taking my rifle to school for a show and tell session.?? It was no "big deal."?? We lived in a time when people were trusted to be good citizens.

Today, leftist insanity spreads the notion that the citizens cannot be trusted. They erroneously put all of their trust in the state.?? This is socialist totalitarianism. It is diametrically opposed to the position of our founding fathers who trusted the people and encouraged them to participate in our national defense. In those days, our founders did not want a standing army. They wanted a citizen army of patriots: people who would fight to keep us free from tyranny.?? They wanted us to be able to defend ourselves against a tyrannical government.

In this light, rather than banning firearms, we should enjoy parity with individual soldiers in our military.?? As firearm technology has advanced, so should the firearms available to citizens.?? We should have the right--in accordance with the Constitution--to be armed as completely as current members of the military who are engaged in Afghanistan and other conflicts today.

Firearms are not the issue.?? More people die from attacks with knives and hammers than firearms.?? People and culture are the real problem. Nevertheless, the left does not want to address the real problem because their policies exacerbate mental illness and enable aberrant behaviors.?? Moreover, the policies of the left removes the right of self protection from good citizens.?? Given the lead, the left will usher in socialist totalitarian tyranny.

As psychiatrist, Dr. Lyle Rossiter, has said, liberalism (leftism) is a mental disorder.?? Not only is it a mental disorder, it is contrary to the founding of our republic and individual freedom as mandated in our Constitution.

I trust that you will regain your sanity and re-think your assessment of the NRA.?? It is the right thing to do.

Regards,

John Vause

--

It's no wonder that truth is stranger than fiction. Fiction has to make sense. - Mark Twain

From: [Sandi Aboshar](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NRA
Date: Friday, September 6, 2019 7:03:32 AM
Attachments: [image.png](#)

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Board of Supervisors,

After hearing of your resolution to label the NRA a terrorist organization, I immediately became a member and also signed up for yearly auto renewal. Keep up the good work!



NRA

NATIONAL RIFLE ASSOCIATION

THANK YOU

Dear SANDI ABOSHAR,

You are now a member of America's oldest civil rights organization. It is great to have you in our corner! As an NRA member, you are defending your Second Amendment rights and promoting gun safety across America.

From: [William Ballard](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NRA
Date: Thursday, September 5, 2019 12:22:39 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Members of the Board,

People who are willing to expose their constituents to piles of human feces in their streets and the serious health hazards that represents, have no business calling other groups domestic terrorists.

The NRA has killed no one and few if any of its members have killed anyone except in self defense.

The NRA has done nothing but fight to preserve a Natural Right of Self Defense which is enshrined in the Constitution of the United States. It was an organization created after the Civil War whose inspiration was, in part, to protect the right of freed slaves to own and use weapons so they would be able to protect themselves against the Democrat KKK!

Now those same Democrats are trying to disarm all citizens so they can treat them any way they desire with impunity. We will not get into the cattle cars willingly.

Sincerely,

William ballard

From: [Dustin Callahan](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NRA
Date: Thursday, September 5, 2019 12:10:39 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Just want you to know that I'm a member of the NRA and that I have never hurt or thought about hurting anybody I am not a terrorist but you people on the other hand I probably hurt and killed far more people with your policies you people are the terrorists you people should stop hiding your guilt by putting it off on other people the blood and the shame are in your hands not ours

[Sent from Yahoo Mail on Android](#)

From: [Richard Lange](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NRA
Date: Thursday, September 5, 2019 9:00:55 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I am appalled by your recent decision to declare the NRA a domestic terrorist organization. I was a long time Southern California resident, having gone to high school and college, and worked for 45 years as a senior banker there.

Although we recently moved to Texas for a more refreshing economic and political climate, our son and his wife were long time residents of San Francisco, now living in Dublin.

We continued to visit your formerly fair and friendly city on a regular basis. However, as you have stated that you no longer wish to do business with those associated with the NRA, I assure you that I will assiduously avoid San Francisco in the future, and make every effort to convince others!

Richard

Sent from my iPhone

From: [Mark D](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NRA
Date: Thursday, September 5, 2019 8:27:47 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear SF board of Supervisors,

For the first time in my life I joined the NRA and am shopping for an AR15. Not because I'm into guns (although my nephew and sister are), but to protect the freedoms granted in the constitution of this great nation. These mass shootings were practically unheard of a few decades ago. WHAT'S CHANGED? Do you really think making guns illegal is going to change anything? Do you really think criminals aren't going to figure out how to get them anyway? Your rhetoric is about HATE, not solving anything. Your city is a cesspool, human feces on the sidewalks and parks littered with condoms. And you want to tell us how to run the rest of the county? What a joke.

From: [Glenda Nix](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NRA
Date: Thursday, September 5, 2019 8:19:05 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

So you have arbitrarily declared myself and my organization as domestic terrorists.

Well, I hereby arbitrarily declare you and the entire population of San Francisco a bunch of socialist/communist idiots.

Sent from my iPhone

From: [Melissa Englehart](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NRA
Date: Thursday, September 5, 2019 7:13:42 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I just saw your decision to name NRA a domestic terrorist organization. I so totally agree and want to say thank you. Thank you for your ethics and courage.

Melissa Englehart
501 Triple Crown Court, Seffner, FL 33584
Phone: 813-684-1813
melissaenglehart@gmail.com

From: [Jeff Clements](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NRA
Date: Thursday, September 5, 2019 4:55:58 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

All of you that voted need to move out of this great country. You are not Americans. Shame on you and your family's that raised you !!!

--

Jeff Clements
Project Management
(949) 233-7292

From: [Richard "Rick" DiRenzo](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NRA
Date: Thursday, September 5, 2019 3:55:33 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Just donated \$200.00 to NRA !!!!

--

Richard "Rick" DiRenzo
ret2600@gmail.com

This communication including attachments, may contain information that is confidential and protected by the attorney/client or other privilege. If you were not the intended recipient of this communication, or if you believe that you have received this communication in error, please notify the sender immediately and kindly delete this e-mail, including attachments, without reading or saving them in any manner.



Virus-free. www.avast.com

From: [Ross Raney](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NRA
Date: Wednesday, September 4, 2019 10:17:36 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Thank you all so much for making clear your feelings about the NRA.

As a result, I have just signed up for a lifetime membership!

Ross A Raney

From: [Kirk Brannock](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NRA
Date: Wednesday, September 4, 2019 9:50:33 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I'm 54. I've never donated to or really even paid much attention to the NRA. Today, I became a NRA member, thanks to you.

-kb

From: [Faustin Reeder](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NRA
Date: Wednesday, September 4, 2019 8:47:06 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

That was a lame stunt. Do something productive with your time.

From: [Lee Seifert](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NRA
Date: Wednesday, September 4, 2019 7:30:00 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

So you think it's OK to profile people but I bet you get pissed when others do it, profile! I don't like the NRA president. But I sure as hell don't classify all their members as terrorist ! Your punks! Bullies! You can seem to accept people who aren't just like you! And you call yourselves liberal! Ha Ha!

Sent from my iPhone

From: [Kenneth James](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NRA
Date: Wednesday, September 4, 2019 7:06:41 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Just saw on the news where the San Francisco Board of supervisors passed a resolution labeling the NRA a domestic terrorist organization.

You yourselves are the domestic terrorist. Let me remind you that the 2nd Amendment of "The Constitution of The United States" guarantees to every citizen the "Right to Keep and Bear Arms" and the NRA is the one patriotic organization that is defending that right. The Constitution is the law of the land and the 2nd Amendment is the second most important amendment our founding fathers realized was needed. You and your far left liberal associates think that only your voice should be heard. You and your liberal associates think that if an election doesn't suit your ideology then it should be overturned. This makes you an enemy of the state and a Domestic Terrorist.

You follow the Saul Alinsky model perfectly. Your board is a model student. Saul would be proud of you.

Well did Isaiah prophesy of you:

Isa. 5:20

Woe unto them that call evil good, and good evil; that put darkness for light, and light for darkness; that put bitter for sweet, and sweet for bitter!

Your Socialist/Communist board is a disgrace to a free republic. Your mindset isn't American. It Nazi Germany.

You would have been right at home snapping your heels together and raising your hand in the Nazi salute when Hitler drove past.

It was a black day in America's history when they Admitted California into the Union. A Disgrace to our Sovereign Republic. PATHETIC!!!

GOD bless America and the NRA!!!!

From: [Allen McEniry](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NRA
Date: Wednesday, September 4, 2019 6:58:03 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Sir/Madam,

I have visited your city several times over the years and greatly enjoyed myself. I am saddened to have been labeled by you as a domestic terrorist. You see, I am a member of the NRA. I will never visit your city again. Very sad.

Sent from my iPhone

From: [Mark Weldon](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NRA
Date: Wednesday, September 4, 2019 5:23:33 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Labeling the NRA and the millions of law abiding citizens that make up its membership is beyond reckless.

Here is a novel concept, try cleaning up your area of control and responsibility by addressing your homeless issues, drug problems, criminal issues and immigration fiasco.

As a note of thanks, your moronic position against the NRA was a reminder to renew my membership with the NRA. You'll likely see a huge spike in NRA support by the end of the week.

Meanwhile, California and San Francisco continue to slide into a dismal cesspool of liberal ideology and it's failing. Keep up the great work.

Sent from my iPhone

From: [Mike McCoy](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NRA
Date: Wednesday, September 4, 2019 4:58:57 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

you fine fruits and nuts out there have plenty to clean up in your own house with out jumping on anyone including the NRA. if you didnt have folks shitting on your side walks, camping all over the place and your crime rate was zero then and only then would you be in a position to criticize anyone else.

if you were intelligent enough to read you would find the second amendment is there in order for folks like the NRA has, is able to protect themselves from an intrusive government such as you espouse!

From: [atb123](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NRA
Date: Wednesday, September 4, 2019 4:35:36 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Every one on the board had to take the oath of office to the constitution of the US. That means upholding the constitution against all enemies both foreign and domestic. Take notice, the oath is to uphold the constitution, not your feelings and emotions. Classifying the NRA as a terrorist organization just stomped all over 6 million members who make up the NRA! In my opinion this board is a domestic terrorist organization. Did you name the ANTIFA and BLM as terrorist groups? If not, why not? It is people like you that are tearing this country apart. Upholding the constitution means protecting the rights contained therein. That includes the 2nd amendment. Did you also list car manufacturers as terrorist organizations? More people are killed by car violence, over 36,000 last year, then by gun shots! CDC listed 8,000 homicides by gun shots last year! Did you list Planned Parenthood as a domestic terrorist organization? There are over 4,100 babies slaughtered every day in this country by abortion! That is over 1.5 million every year!! And I thought that the Democrats and liberals were all for the children, but I guess that is a moot subject because if all of the children are slaughtered then there is no need to care about them, now is there! You all are a bunch of the most disgusting people I have ever come across. I would like a response if you have the brass! Thank you!

Sent from [Mail](#) for Windows 10

From: [ALS](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NRA
Date: Wednesday, September 4, 2019 4:27:40 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Shame on the board for this wasted time. I am not a supporter of the NRA but supervisors need to solve more important problems in our city instead of wasting our tax dollars (your salary) on this kind of issue.

I have been a long time liberal but the pendulum of this board and mayor has swung to far to the left for too long. Its no wonder that Mr. Trump is able to round up so much support.

Sent from [Mail](#) for Windows 10

From: [Sandra Mangold](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NRA
Date: Wednesday, September 4, 2019 4:17:37 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

San Francisco is seen as a lawless city full of homeless, filthy streets, drug use and rampant criminality. Have seen and heard it first-hand. The NRA decision just is another example of the liberal sickness that permeates the Board of Stupivisors. I warn visitors away from our city though those that visit won't return. Instead of dealing with those issues immediately detrimental to the health and safety of its citizens, you make up lies about the NRA. Believe me the criminals in SF, Chicago and Baltimore don't get their guns from gun stores after background checks and with permits. Only the good guys with guns will stop those that wish to kill us.

Sandra Piva

Sent from my iPhone

From: [Wade Norwood](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NRA
Date: Wednesday, September 4, 2019 3:53:54 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

You're morons. The NRA is not a terrorist organization. What terrorist attacks have they committed? Apparently, exercise of First Amendment rights is a terrorist act if anyone disagrees with you.

Grow up... or at least try to act like adults.

Best regards

Sent from my iPad

From: [Jon Zurell](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NRA
Date: Wednesday, September 4, 2019 3:17:34 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I take great exception to your calling me a terrorist! You don't know me. You've never met me. Yet you brand me.
I'm spreading the word and will never visit your city.

From: [Joran](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors. \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NRA
Date: Wednesday, September 4, 2019 2:45:46 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

"The NRA, according to the resolution, "musters its considerable wealth and organizational strength to promote gun ownership and incite gun owners to acts of violence."

Well, aren't you all a bunch of Non-Vet, Anti-American, Dick-sucking, Butt-fucking, Limp-wristed, Faggot-ass Cunts!

FUCK U ALL

From: [Scott and Tonya Walsh](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NRA
Date: Wednesday, September 4, 2019 2:43:06 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

My name is Scott Walsh and I am a Lifetime member of the NRA. If I travel to San Francisco will I be arrested as a domestic terrorist. Thank you.

From: [Julia Lawson](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NRA
Date: Wednesday, September 4, 2019 1:44:11 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Seriously?? The NRA is a terrorist organization? Congratulations! You've finally completely fallen off your rocker. Anyone with even half a brain knows that the NRA promotes responsible gun ownership, gun safety, proper handling and storage of firearms, and offers free education on how to safeguard places like schools. In addition, NO acts of terrorism or mass shootings have EVER been perpetrated by NRA members, In fact, NRA members are often the ones who step in and stop the lunatics who perpetrate violent crimes.

Since you support disarming law abiding citizens who have the right to carry under our constitution, you believe that will end shootings. By that logic, you must think that taking cars away from those who don't drink and drive will stop deaths caused by drunk driving. Perhaps taking forks away from healthy individuals will prevent those who over-eat from becoming obese. I could go on, but if you don't already see the absurdity of your logic, you lack the intelligence to supervise anything.

Get your heads out of the sand. You should be applauding the NRA. It's people like you that are ruining CA and chasing so many of us away.

Julia Istani

From: [Jan DePaoli](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NRA
Date: Wednesday, September 4, 2019 12:54:57 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

It seems to me you have much more pressing problems in your area than naming the NRA as a terrorist organization.

Why don't you clean up your city. The needles, feces, and general homelessness make your city a non-destination in my book.

Jan DePaoli
Sonora, CA 95370

From: [Jacob Durda](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NRA
Date: Wednesday, September 4, 2019 11:52:54 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I just wanted to say that I'm furious with your decision to declare the nra a domestic terrorist organization!! I have been a member for about 5 years and am proud of it. The nra supports our God given rights to self defense enshrined in the 2nd amendment. I am strongly against shooting anybody or any violence unless in self defense. The nra is against violence and supports keeping peace. Studies show that violent crime is lower when more people are armed, as 98% of mass shootings occur in so called gun free zones. Re consider your decision and declare groups like Antifa domestic terrorist groups.

Sent from my iPhone

From: [Terry Federico](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NRA
Date: Wednesday, September 4, 2019 11:03:03 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

WTF is wrong with you people! All you have done is make a dangerous generalization about both good and bad people, who belong to all organizations both left and right, which is another dangerous generalization, which only serves to further alienate people, who in fact maybe dangerous. Good luck with the hornets nest you have stirred up! Hopefully, you haven't created another target for the looneys. We all need to tamp down the rhetoric.

Sent from my iPad

From: [David Boyle](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NRA
Date: Wednesday, September 4, 2019 10:27:29 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

This board should be listed as ANTI AMERICAN. The NRA is NOT a terrorist organization. You do not speak for the American people , you only Dictate your own opinions , and this needs to stop. Start doing what you were elected to do. We need less government, as was originally designed in the beginning.

Thank You

David Boyle

Full Blooded AMERICAN

From: [Bill Beasley](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NRA
Date: Wednesday, September 4, 2019 10:10:48 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Just so you know you have inspired me to join the NRA for an additional 5 years. I guess that makes me a terrorist?

You folks in San Francisco really need your collective heads examined.

Hope ya'll have a great day.

Wm Beasley

From: [NICHOLAS SAUNDERS](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NRA
Date: Wednesday, September 4, 2019 9:44:31 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Just so you know, you people are f*cking insane. Nice try distracting everyone from the fact you are all to blame for your city becoming an absolute \$hith*le! Seems you could spend a little time cleaning up your city from the filth, human waste, and needles that adorn your streets, rather than disarming the good guys so the bad guys can have a field day <see chicago shooting stats>. You are all morons. Pray that earthquake of a lifetime causes you to break off and fall in the pacific. What a joke! GFY!

From: [joe america](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NRA
Date: Wednesday, September 4, 2019 9:34:26 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I have been working since I was 13. I come from a big family and we earned our own way if you will. I pay my taxes and a law abiding citizen. I don't steal or cheat and I believe in God. I have never acted out or made racial remarks towards anyone of minority. I love my country and the NRA for standing up to gun rights. If you idiots were to research and know why the NRA stands up to these gun laws, it's because they are protecting our rights from stupid mother fuckers like ya'll. No wonder your city and state for that matter is so fucked up. You liberals are the true terrorists of America !

LONG LIVE THE NRA !

Sent from Yahoo Mail. [Get the app](#)

From: [Gregory Allen](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NRA
Date: Wednesday, September 4, 2019 9:30:19 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I read today that you have decided to label the NRA and It's millions of members a "Domestic Terrorist Organization". Your obvious ignorance of the facts surrounding the Second Amendment to the Constitution of the United States of America and the reasons our founding fathers put it in place is astounding. The NRA is simply defending the Second Amendment and every citizens right to keep and bear arms. Look how well your city has done keeping illegal drugs off the streets and killing more people than guns. I consider your inaction to clean up what was once a great city an act of "Domestic Terrorism".

Law abiding Citizens are NOT the problem yet you seek to label us and penalize us for the actions of mentally unstable criminals. Do something about your city's problems then tell me how I should conform to your unrealistic dreams.

I am NOT a terrorist but I am a proud Life Member of the NRA.

Sincerely,

Greg Allen

303-434-0121

greg.glwholesale@gmail.com

From: [James Ciucki](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NRA
Date: Wednesday, September 4, 2019 9:21:55 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Sent from [Mail](#) 7patriot4

17m

Let's see: San Franfreako allows illegals aliens U S Citizen rights, disobeys U S Laws, encourages ANTIFA, is a "Sanctuary against U S Laws, finds the U S Constitution irrelevant, considers our Nation founded by racists, cannot protect is residents, disallows self defense, now finds the NRA a terrorist organization, and can't keep people from \$hiTTing on it's streets??? REALLY??? You "people" need to step back and take a look at yourselves in the mirror!

for Windows 10

From: [Jesse Cole](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NRA
Date: Wednesday, September 4, 2019 9:17:10 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I am a medical doctor and lifelong NRA member.

Now, you have labeled me as a terrorist.

You've all lost what is left of your little, teeny, itsy-bitsy minds.

That's ok. I used to attend medical conventions in San Francisco for a medical convention. I won't travel to your feces infested streets again.

Jesse Cole M.D.
Butte MT

From: [John Boyle](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NRA, A terrorist group
Date: Thursday, September 5, 2019 9:41:29 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

You people are nuts. Mental health problems start in San Francisco. The further left you go the more Californians are moving to Texas. Keep it up and all you will have left is a bunch of illegal immigrants and drug addicts. If you don't like the second amendment then just say so. I am from Texas and there is no way I would ever come visit your city or State. I would rather spend my vacations in cities that know what they are doing.

Have a nice day
John Boyle

From: [Jim Mathews](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NRA...
Date: Wednesday, September 4, 2019 3:01:40 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear whoever,

You have lost your mind. While I am not a NRA member, I am a gun owner. I have never in my 25yrs as a gun owner seen or read anywhere from the NRA that would be considered "terroristic". We used to enjoy visiting your city. I used to plan events/conventions in your city. I will no longer do so. You have lost your direction of leading your City.. And now looking to make your own social agendas a priority. You have a homeless problem... You have a drug epidemic problem... You have true social problems that have plagued you for to long.

Best of luck.

We and our company will no long be doing business within your city.

Jim Mathews
Sent from my Sprint Phone.

From: [Mike Ogren](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Nra
Date: Wednesday, September 4, 2019 10:33:45 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Ha ha you assholes are soooooo fucking stupid I pray a illegal ALIEN kills your family

From: [John McKnight](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NRA?
Date: Wednesday, September 4, 2019 7:27:58 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Kiss my ass you bunch of commie clowns. Praying daily for San Fransicko to fall into the ocean. Just leave the USA already, we don't want you.

Sent from [Mail](#) for Windows 10

From: [Val Zudans](#)
To: [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Brown, Vallie \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Cc: [Bursick, Tammy](#)
Subject: Open Letter from Mayor Val Zudans, Vero Beach, Florida to San Francisco Board of Supervisors
Date: Friday, September 6, 2019 11:12:26 AM
Attachments: [20190906121109.pdf](#)

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Please see attached

From: [Thomas Birnbaum](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Parkland dad: Massacre was avoidable, if district hadn't enabled student
Date: Monday, September 9, 2019 4:04:19 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

NRA not responsible in any way whatsoever!
Shocking. The total lack of intelligent action by parent, school officials and
psychiatrists!
TTB

<https://nypost.com/2019/09/08/parkland-dad-massacre-was-avoidable-if-district-hadnt-enabled-deranged-student/>

Sent from my iPad

City of Vero Beach

1053 - 20th PLACE - P.O. BOX 1389
VERO BEACH, FLORIDA - 32961-1389



OFFICE OF THE
MAYOR

September 6, 2019

San Francisco Board of Supervisors:

San Francisco's reputation has quickly collapsed from one of my favorite beacons of culture to a sanctuary for criminals, addicts, and homeless encampments. Your stifling big brother government is your downfall. Your most recent action stinks like the steaming excrement on your streets.

As a lifetime NRA member, concealed carry permit holder, and Mayor of Vero Beach, Florida I feel compelled to respond to your resolution deeming the National Rifle Association a "domestic terrorist organization." Webster's Dictionary defines terrorism as, "the systematic use of terror especially as a means of coercion." The NRA is our defense against tyrannical government structures like yours.

The 2nd Amendment is the shortest, most direct amendment of our Bill of Rights. "Shall Not Be Infringed" is plain English. The NRA defends liberty and protects law-abiding citizens from terror and coercion. They stand next to sovereign individuals against coercive overpowering government.

Labeling the NRA a domestic terrorist organization is calling us, millions of law-abiding NRA members, "terrorists." The 1st Amendment to the Constitution protects your individual right to say absurd things. I defend your constitutional right and thankfully still have that same right to expose your absurdity. But, for

you, in your government authority, to call law-abiding Americans terrorists spits in the eyes of our founding fathers, undermines all Constitutional liberties, and is a reckless action that demeans your city and oath of office.

Your propagandist technique of repeating bold and unfounded lies until people believe them is transparent. Your tactical resolution to remove our God-given Constitutional liberties one city and one individual at a time will fail. Your elitist declaration has underestimated the will and character of honest Americans. Americans consider your resolution to be part of the same garbage pile that San Francisco has become under your malfeasance.

Governments who coerce and intimidate their citizens are the true terrorists. You are the true enemy of liberty by enabling addiction, homelessness, and harboring illegal violent criminals. Instead of calling Americans terrorists, look in the mirror and get your own house in order first.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in blue ink, appearing to read "Val Zudans", with a long horizontal flourish extending to the right.

Val Zudans
Mayor, City of Vero Beach, Florida

From: [Benjamin Allen](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please Clarify you definition of terrorism
Date: Monday, September 9, 2019 11:36:06 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To the Board Supervisors of San Francisco.

I have gone over the Penal Codes 11411 to 11418.5 from these codes it is very clear the NRA does not fall under the category of Terrorist organization. However Antifa with recent gun attacks on the ICE buildings, brutal beating of Andy Ngo and even the disruption of Jewish speakers such as Ben Shapiro. Falls under the Penal Code definition.

I understand with Gov code 8588.10 that allows you appointment with the Department of Justice undercode 13519.12 to define terrorism with regards to the definition of the Penal code definition 11411 to 11418.5. Yet none of those things Can be legally applied to the NRA, however it should be applied to the group known as Antifa (Anti-Fascist) as they have attacked regular people who were not involved in any of the civil discourse. I can only see a political bias of board members if this group(Antifa) is allowed to continue without any action taken against them for violence they commit and must as a citizen pursue legal action against officials who willfully refuse to prosecute these individuals(Antifa members). It is also my duty to seek legal action against public officials of whom make laws and Statutes go against the 14th Amendment Section 1. The Idea of safe spaces that ban speech for some and allows for others violates the 1st amendment and the ban against gun rights activist as well as ownership is a violation of both the 1st and 2nd amendment.

With recent increase Homeless drug epidemic, disease outbreaks, rape, assault, armed robbery and many other violent crimes it is clear in my personal opinion that labeling the NRA as a terrorist organization is a distraction from failed policies you have enacted that has put many law abiding citizens in danger. The safety of the Citizens cannot be guaranteed by the hard working and over stretch law enforcement officials. Restricting Law Abiding citizens of their 2nd amendment is unsafe and dangerous. As citizens of the United States it is the Job of all to support and defend the constitution of the United States the NRA is very much dedicated to protecting the constitution and constitutional rights of others. To site them as a terrorist organization and not Antifa is immoral, Illegal and Unconstitutional.

From My understanding it your job under the Californian Constitution to make no law that violates the constitutional rights of citizens guaranteed under the US Constitution.

P.S. according to paragraph on the your website I can remain anonymous any pursuit after me and/ or against me. Will be a violation and you will be held liable if my choice to remain anonymous is violated in anyway.

From: [Ed Wallace](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please help me understand.
Date: Wednesday, September 4, 2019 8:22:57 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear SFBOD,

In San Francisco you have homeless people living in tents, defecating and shooting drugs on your sidewalks and on top of that your governor is going to sign a bill that would allow people not to cooperate with law enforcement. With all that going on along with your sanctuary city policies that allows criminals to live there above the law and not have to worry about committing a crime since they won't be arrested you all have taken the time out of your busy schedule to pass a resolution. Even with Antifa beating people bloody for nothing other than having opposing views and you have encouraged any criminal illegal alien that wants to move there and take up resources paid for the people that actually work and pay taxes no matter what crimes they have committed. All of these policy disasters that will do nothing for San Francisco other than make the crime rate skyrocket and immigrate in diseases that haven't been seen in this country for decades not mention the increased flow of illegal drugs on your city streets. All of this and you have taken the time out of your busy schedule to declare the NRA a domestic terrorist group.... Are you people that ignorant? It's obvious that you are. After everything you have unleashed on the hard working citizens that live in San Francisco I would think you should re-think that resolution and direct it on yourselves because it sounds to the rest of the country that YOU are the ones terrorizing the good hard working CITIZENS of San Francisco.

From: [Dennis Dorwick](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Praise for your decision to list the NRA as a domestic terrorist organisation
Date: Wednesday, September 4, 2019 2:41:14 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Good morning,

My wife and I lived for a year in your beautiful city in 2010. During that time we followed the work the Board of Supervisors, especially on issues associated with homeless people. Cath noted that meetings are open to citizens but that you also make decisions by drawing on both head and heart as well as by listening to those who might have different opinions.

This morning we read in the Sydney Morning Herald (5 Sept. 2019) of your decision about listing the National Rifle Association as a domestic terrorist organisation. Well done! We are also glad of your practical action involving using financial pressures to encourage change.

Since I am still an American citizen, (with Australian dual-citizenship), you are also doing this on my behalf. Thank you.

Dennis Dorwick & Cath Errey

Formerly of Inner Sunset district on the N-Judah trolley car line.

Sent from [Mail](#) for Windows 10

From: [Gary Redmon](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: PROUD TERRORIST
Date: Thursday, September 5, 2019 9:42:16 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

San Francisco need to take care of their own HOMELESS and clean up their nasty streets and your so called sanctuary city. Keep them ALL in San Francisco sewers.

Just to let you know I am a proud NRA Terrorist.

Gary Redmon
Outdoor Writer

From: [Rivers3](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Cc: [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: RE: My NRA Donation
Date: Friday, September 6, 2019 1:28:33 PM

Hello,

Thanks.

It was a better deal however for me to up my membership for another 5 years. This way I received a knife and an NRA duffle bag. Cool!

So instead of \$100 it was \$140. I told them it was all in honor of you Cathy. Apparently, you're causing quite a lot of donations! Thanks for helping keep My NRA strong Cath!

Sincerely,

NRA Deplorable

From: Board of Supervisors, (BOS) [mailto:board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org]
Sent: Friday, September 6, 2019 2:19 PM
To: Rivers3 <rivers33@comcast.net>
Subject: RE: My NRA Donation

Hello,

Thank you for your email, it has been sent to the Board Members and will appear in the Petitions and Communication section of our September 17, 2019 Board Meeting Agenda.

Sincerely,

Board of Supervisors
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-5184
(415) 554-5163 fax
Board.of.Supervisors@sfgov.org

Complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form by clicking
<http://www.sfbos.org/index.aspx?page=104>

From: Rivers3 <rivers33@comcast.net>
Sent: Wednesday, September 4, 2019 8:57 AM

To: Stefani, Catherine (BOS) <catherine.stefani@sfgov.org>

Cc: Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>

Subject: My NRA Donation

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hey whack job Cathy.....

I just donated another \$100 to my NRA in your honor!

From: [Doug & Terri Ferrell](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Re: San Francisco Declares NRA a "Domestic Terrorist Organization" Article
Date: Wednesday, September 4, 2019 10:33:16 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Please pass this along to the entire Board. I have their individual email addresses, if need be.

The NRA is no more a terrorist organization than a Hostess Twinkie! If anything, San Francisco City and County Government are terrorists as they are causing such homelessness and hopelessness! Leader's ideas and so-called "resolutions" are just plain idiotic!

We all need the 2nd Amendment, because if citizens can't/don't have guns, criminals certainly will!

<https://www.cnsnews.com/news/article/susan-jones/san-francisco-declares-nra-domestic-terrorist-organization>

Doug Ferrell

From: [Robert Challoner](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Relabeling felons
Date: Wednesday, September 4, 2019 10:42:58 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

While the board is wasting time relabeling felons and parolees don't forget to relabel bank robbers as redistributors of wealth.




SF BP of Goons - 415-554-
~~5163~~ 5163

What? You are calling
The NIRA Terrorists?
Most of the Country thinks
you people are Idiots.

Who do you think you are?

America will never become
a socialist country so
get lost. You people
ruin everything - look at
your mess - crap, needles
and trash everywhere!

SF needs a new Government -
I hope you lose power soon -
Bob Churchill - MAGA
2020



BTW I can be
reached at 253-332-7184
if anyone wants to
hear more about your
problems or discuss
your name calling.

I am deeply offended
and I think your entire
government should be
removed from power so

Your BTG mess can get
cleaned up - I think

You are all Idiots on the
Board Also! What A Lousy
Job You have done! MARCH 2020!



SF BD of Goons

Thanks to Donald
Trump for 'Walling off
Your endless stream of
Illegal Voters and drivers
with no insurance!

Trump 2020

ex-Californian Pub Church!
Call me!



SF BD of GNS

There was a New World
Created Recently and I
Think You People Fit the
description Perfectly and
are probably the best
example of what it means.

LIB-TARD-

America will never become
a socialist country and
Thanks to Our Founding Fathers
For the 2nd Amendment to
Protect us All from People
like You! Lose Power! MAGA2020

From: [Jeffrey Rank](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Resolution re NRA
Date: Wednesday, September 4, 2019 7:57:00 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I have read that you passed a resolution calling the NRA a domestic terrorist organization and also want to boycott companies doing business with them. You as a group are totally crazy.

I am not a member of the NRA. But I support legally formed organizations within the United States and their rights. You feel it necessary to condemn them and anyone affiliated with them. I will now become a member of the NRA to show them support with my money. I also will never step in the city of San Francisco for the remainder of my life. I have plans of being in San Francisco area for a few weeks next spring. That has been officially cancelled. I will never support any government that acts in this manner.

San Francisco use to be a great city for us to visit, but NEVER again. Good riddance! My money will be spent better elsewhere.

Jeffrey C Rank
Mesa, AZ
Jenarallc@gmail.com

Sent from my iPad

From: [Maura Mana](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: San Francisco Board of Supervisors declare the NRA as a Domestic Terror Organization
Date: Thursday, September 5, 2019 9:46:45 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Members,

For the first time in my life (I am 55 and born and raised in SF) I am ashamed to say I am from San Francisco all due to the idiotic policies of our city. This feeling has been brewing for quite some time (my daughter has to walk over the "unhoused" sitting in their own vomit on her way home from school) due to all of the severe problems we are facing. Now I have to hear that you have all taken the moral high ground with your recent declaration on the NRA and if that isn't bad enough you are calling on other cities to join you. It must be nice to live in a bubble thinking that the rest of the nation thinks like you. I wonder if any of you would have the courage to make this declaration in a room with actual NRA members of which stands 5 million. I doubt it.

This piece was written by Jim Geraghty and it articulates exactly how I feel.....the last line says it all

San Francisco Tries to Redefine the Word 'Terrorist'

We live in a time when authorities attempt to [brazenly redefine the meaning of words](#) by sheer force of will right before our eyes: "By a unanimous vote, San Francisco's Board of Supervisors have passed a resolution declaring the National Rifle Association a domestic terrorist organization and urging other cities to follow their example." The resolution also orders city employees to "take every reasonable step to limit" business interactions with the NRA and its supporters.

First, does the city of San Francisco have any business interaction with the NRA? Did the supervisors even bother to look before passing this resolution? Or were they too high on performative outrage to even ask?

But let's assume the guy who heads up the company that makes the orange traffic cones that the city uses is an NRA member and big donor to the organization. Would the city cancel a contract for more traffic cones over the guy's support for the NRA? [Federal courts have overturned agency decisions to cancel contracts](#) over perceived bias against a contractor, even when the contractor was behind schedule. "Objectivity must be the hallmark of any decision to terminate for default. Therefore, government personnel should remember to focus on the facts and make every attempt to work with the contractor before taking steps to terminate for cause." A contractor who lost a job primarily because of his support for the NRA would probably have a winning court case.

But back to the label of "domestic terror organization." You don't have to like the NRA to recognize that it does not even remotely fit the definition of a "domestic terrorist organization." What these eleven lawmakers mean to say is that they loathe the NRA and vehemently oppose their views on the Second Amendment and the right to own a gun. They're free

to have those views, but they do not have the authority to declare someone else a terrorist for having that different view.

These city supervisors aren't the FBI. They're not the Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco, Firearms, and Explosives. They're not the National Counterterrorism Center.

Terrorism is a crime, not merely a viewpoint. Being a member of Occupy Wall Street does not make you a terrorist. Being a member of Occupy Wall Street and planning to blow up a bridge makes you a terrorist. Being a Trump supporter doesn't make you a terrorist. Being a Trump supporter and mailing pipe bombs to people you see as his enemies does make you a terrorist.

Can anyone in San Francisco grasp the danger in letting politicians declare by proclamation that those who have committed no crimes but who have differing views are terrorists? Can anyone over there imagine how this mentality could turn out badly for someone they like?

For example, Ilhan Omar and Rashida Tlaib wanted to go to Israel on a trip sponsored by Mitfah, an organization that runs articles contending "the Jews used the blood of Christians in the Jewish Passover"; and an American neo-Nazi screed about how Jews control the media; as well as praising suicide bombers, Palestinian terrorists, and bus hijackers who killed people, not metaphorical terrorists. Omar and Tlaib are associating with some really unsavory characters. This doesn't make the congresswomen terrorists. But if we all decide to follow the San Francisco city supervisors' example, we can label them terrorists morning, noon, and night. There's no way that could possibly lead to something bad, right?

Leaders of the NRA frequently argue that they're the only organization in America who is regularly blamed for the actions of people who aren't members. I imagine that when they say that, somewhere the Koch network, AIPAC, Focus on the Family, the U.S. Chamber of Commerce, and National Right to Life grumble, 'hey, it's no picnic over here, either, pal.' But being declared terrorists by a city government might take the cake.

This is the same city government that wants to restrict the use of words like 'felon' and 'convict.'

Some examples include changing "felon" and "offender" to "returning resident" or "formerly incarcerated person." A "parolee" could be described as a "person under supervision." "Convict" could be referred to as a "currently incarcerated person," while a "juvenile offender" or "delinquent" would be described as a "young person impacted by the justice system."

The city government wants to take it easier on people who have broken the law, while vehemently demonizing people who have not broken the law.

Sent from [Mail](#) for Windows 10

From: [Frank Van Sant](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: San Francisco Deemed the NRA a 'Domestic Terrorist Organization'
Date: Friday, September 6, 2019 1:06:44 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Whom it may Concern,

I recently received information that the San Francisco Board of Supervisors passed a resolution labeling the National Rifle Association a “domestic terrorist organization.” Which I find ironic that you single out an organization that promote the safe handling of firearms to the law-abiding citizens of the State of California and to other States of the United States. I understand that San Francisco is a Liberal city and county, but you have overstepped your bounds. You need to rethink your decision but that most likely won’t happen.

As a retired both Enlisted and as an Officer servicemember that has taken the Oath:

“I, (NAME), do solemnly swear that I will support and defend the Constitution of the United States against all enemies, foreign and domestic; that I will bear true faith and allegiance to the same; and that I will obey the orders of the President of the United States and the orders of the officers appointed over me, according to regulations and the Uniform Code of Military Justice. So, help me God.

I, (state your name), having been appointed a (rank) in the United States (branch of service), do solemnly swear (or affirm) that I will support and defend the Constitution of the United States against all enemies, foreign and domestic; that I will bear true faith and allegiance to the same; that I take this obligation freely, without any mental reservation or purpose of evasion; and that I will well and faithfully discharge the office upon which I am about to enter. So, help me God.”

With these oaths, I have protected your right/privilege to make decisions like you have just done, but it also gives me the right/privilege to not visit your city/county and support the business that reside there. I may just be one person and that may not seem much, but you need to ask yourself how many other citizens of your city/county which supports the NRA, have firearms for protection of their domicile, and participate in sporting events that are firearm related.

Sincerely,

Frank G Van Sant

From: [Allen Jones](#)
To: metro@sfchronicle.com; [Newstips: sanfrancisco@ap.org](#)
Cc: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); joe@sfexaminer.com; [Bigad Shaban](#); [Joshua S.](#); [Justin Phillips](#); [John Diaz](#)
Subject: San Francisco must take a stand against all silly BOS resolutions
Date: Saturday, September 7, 2019 12:14:22 PM
Attachments: [Screenshot \(156\).png](#)

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

What makes the SF Board of Supervisors resolution to brand the NRA as a "Domestic Terrorist Organization" is the fact, some members of the San Francisco Police Department are members of this terrorist organization, as so named by the board.


But I was so disturbed about the SF Board of Supervisors resolution to label the NRA as a "Domestic Terrorist Organization, I joined the NRA. (Confirmation email attached below)

And I am recommending all right-thinking San Franciscans take a stand against the SF BOS ridiculous propensity to pass such nonsense by doing the same.

Just think, this [rebranding those caught up in the criminal justice system](#) contradicts the resolution that labels the NRA as a terrorist organization.

Reasoning for rebranding criminals per the SF Chronicle report: *"We don't want people to be forever labeled for the worst things that they have done," Supervisor **Matt Haney** said.*

[Last Word: San Francisco takes on the NRA](#)



Last Word: San Francisco takes on the NRA

San Francisco supervisors are anguished about mass shootings, But dubbing the NRA a terrorist group achieve a th...

Allen Jones

jones-allen@att.net
(415) 756-7733
<http://nbadoesntcare.com>

The only thing I love more than justice is the freedom to fight for it. -- Allen Jones --

From: [d w](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: San Francisco officials brand NRA a 'domestic terrorist organization'
Date: Wednesday, September 4, 2019 7:12:11 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

The rest of the country just declared the SF Board of Supervisors a domestic terrorist organization and we are hoping the Federal Government will do the same. Mind your own damn business and fix your rat infested crap hole.

From: [d w](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: San Francisco officials brand NRA a 'domestic terrorist organization'
Date: Wednesday, September 4, 2019 8:50:45 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

You people are too ignorant to even understand the basic definition of the term assault weapon. And as for doing business, there will come a time when your tourism will suffer mightily due to your ineptness. You couldn't pay me to set foot in your infested rat hole. You might be better served updating your excrement, used needle, and homeless maps.

From: [Torr Melling](#)
To: [Brown, Vallie \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Haney, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [Mar, Gordon \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#)
Cc: [danny candelario](#)
Subject: San Francisco's NRA Domestic Terrorist Organization Resolution
Date: Friday, September 6, 2019 4:06:15 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors:

Good afternoon. We are long-time constituents in District 8, and recently my husband and I had the great good fortune to purchase the duplex we've lived in for almost 11 years. We feel like we've won the lottery since home ownership in this city was something we didn't think possible and we are incredibly excited to be invested contributors to the city we love.

I absolutely abhor the NRA's repugnant ad hominem arguments in support of the second amendment and their steadfast refusal for necessary bans against assault and high-powered rifles (by a clear majority of Americans) in addition to Federal background checks, but after reading just a few of the [articles](#) about this resolution has left me troubled. First, I cannot believe the BoS actually expended tax-payer money making us look like liberal loonies (read, idiots) with no apparent concept of the definition of a 'terrorist organization'. And second, what purpose could this effort achieve other than alienating and solidifying Trump's base and the second amendment zealots in this country? While arguably well-intentioned, your resolution proclaiming the NRA as a "Domestic Terrorist Organization" has only made us a laughingstock among the very people we (as liberals) are trying to reach: rational, intelligent, free-thinking, moderate Republicans (if they exist).

I hope the BoS could rearrange its priorities to far more important issues like: better street clean-up and pro-active maintenance, uniform SFPD traffic enforcement (including, but not limited to, Muni operators, city workers, taxis, Uber/Lyft drivers, e-scooters, e-bikes, bicyclists, AND pedestrians!), restrictions on the number of ride-sharing vehicles within the City at any one time, taxing all vehicles in the Financial District (full-time or during peak periods only), encouraging alternate forms of transportation, improving Muni's dependability, cleanliness and on-time performance (including better general law enforcement), adding a light rail line to the Golden Gate Bridge, protecting bicyclists, timing lights to 17 mph on Market St for bicyclists during morning and afternoon commutes, require licensing for the operation of e-bikes above a certain size or speed on public streets, and a bigger effort for pushing tourism and encourage more businesses to rent in the mid-Market St corridor.

Thank you for your time and attention to this issue. Have a great weekend!

Sincerely,
Torr Melling and Daniel S. Candelario

tormelling@gmail.com :: mobile/text 415.728.8002

From: [Ron Gibson](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: san-francisco-board-brands-nra-a-domestic-terrorist-organization
Date: Wednesday, September 4, 2019 10:24:13 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

This is the second most absurd thing being done by this board. You should be renamed the Board of Stupidvisors.

Sent from [Mail](#) for Windows 10

From: [Jan Keenan](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Seriously?
Date: Wednesday, September 4, 2019 9:21:25 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

"RESOLVED, That the City and County of San Francisco intends to declare the National Rifle Association a domestic terrorist organization ... the City and County of San Francisco should take every reasonable step to assess the financial and contractual relationships our vendors and contractors have with this domestic terrorist organization ... the City and County of San Francisco should take every reasonable step to limit those entities who do business with the City and County of San Francisco from doing business with this domestic terrorist organization ... the City and County of San Francisco should encourage all other jurisdictions, including other cities, states, and the federal government, to adopt similar positions."

I have a daughter who lives in San Francisco. With your homeless situation, feces all over your sidewalks, people breaking into cars on practically an hourly basis, illegal aliens (yes, I said "illegal aliens" because they are *illegal aliens*, NOT just "undocumented individuals"); you have *nothing* better to do than this?!

Your city is a joke and you are a bunch of idiots.

Most sincerely,

Jan Keenan

One of the "normals" in the midwest who just wishes you would secede from the Union already.

This message and any attachments are intended only for the person or entity to which it is addressed. It may contain privileged, confidential information that is exempt from disclosure under applicable laws. If you are not the intended recipient, you are prohibited from disseminating or distributing this information (other than to the intended recipient) or copying this information. If you received this communication in error, please notify us immediately by e-mail or by telephone at (313) 532-2100.

From: [tLW tLW](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: SF Board of Supervisors declare National Rifle Association a domestic terrorist organization - SFGate
Date: Friday, September 6, 2019 7:31:20 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I wonder about people who are too stupid to have any insight into how stupid they are!

<https://www.sfgate.com/bayarea/article/SF-Board-of-Supervisors-NRA-domestic-terrorists-14411936.php>

Sent from my iPhone

From: [itisbywillalone](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Cc: [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smile
Date: Wednesday, September 4, 2019 12:26:52 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Board of Supervisors and Norman Yee,

As I expected from the well known San Francisco den of thieves, the people that allow thousands of poop piles to accumulate on the sidewalks, thousands of heroin needles and addicts just laying around in public, and thousands of homeless people in tents because you have "empathy", you have outdone yourselves again.

You feel that you are virtuous because you condemn the NRA as a terrorist group. In fact, it is YOU who are the terrorist group, allowing the unspeakable, disgusting things to happen in your city and terrorize us, the citizens.

You are all godless so-called "human beings" that revel in your smug hypocrisy, proclaiming to the world that your ivory tower is taller and better than everyone else's. Your day will come soon, when we the citizens, realize how vile you all really are. Your existence is an affront to humanity.

Please let me know if you agree or not,
Thank you.

Judicium, et inventus est reus.

From: [Tim Dunn](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: STOP
Date: Thursday, September 5, 2019 9:39:40 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Please stop. All of you are an embarrassment to yourselves, your family, your state and most of all, the real America. Everything outside the joke that is CA.
How do you people get through life without a functioning brain. Stupid does not begin to describe your mental capabilities. Trees are wasting effort everyday to keep you morons alive. What will you fools come up with next....

Please STFU!
Tim Dunn

From: [William Davis](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Subj:
Date: Wednesday, September 4, 2019 1:24:02 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

So you dumb asses will not label ANTIFA a domestic terrorist organization but you will label the NRA a
Terrorist organization? You people are fucked up.

It is no wonder people are leaving your state.

Sent from [Mail](#) for Windows 10

From: [James Mckinley](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Supervisor stefani
Date: Thursday, September 5, 2019 7:36:40 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

In case you didn't get the memorandum, the supreme court ruled that the 2nd amendment is a right. As a supporter of the NRA, and a retired federal agent and former sheriff's deputy, I take great offense when people like you call people like myself terrorist. Your profile says you are a former prosecutor. Tell me did you coddle child molesters when you prosecuted cases? Your city is a 4th world shit hole filled with drug addicts, rapist and crazy people while your streets are filled with human crap and urine which are brining back mid evil diseases. You should get a mop and bucket and start cleaning up the streets, instead of calling law abiding gun owners terrorist.

From: [Roberto Garuti](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Support for NRA resolution
Date: Sunday, September 8, 2019 11:44:35 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Me and my wife work in San Francisco and we want to share our support for finally calling the NRA a terrorist organization. We're proud that you always lead the country.

Regards,

Roberto Garuti
1755 Trinity Ave, Walnut Creek, CA 94596

From: [Mike Jackson](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Terrorist Organization?
Date: Thursday, September 5, 2019 8:37:39 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Too whom it may concern,

Your resolution is misinformed and and slanderous. If anyone on your board had taken a high school level history class, they would have understood the errors of your ways. Your resolution fails to connect the NRA with ANY widely accepted definition of terrorism. The mere fact that you cannot understand a simple concept such as this, may speak to your ability and credibility to perform your duties. The fact that you have taken on one of the largest civil rights organizations in America is astounding. What of you declared the NAACP a terrorist organization? The NRA protects a civil right, not a privilege, from elitists like you. Shame on you and shame on your organization for falsely and slanderously labeling a great and important civil rights organization in such a reckless way. It demeans the NRA, yourselves and the office you all hold.

Sincerely,

Michael W. Jackson

From: [Rick Byrum](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Terrorist
Date: Thursday, September 5, 2019 1:12:44 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Very sad that you would label Americans as domestic terrorist when your city protects illegals and has a drug problem. Your board has turned the city into a shithole!

Why don't you work on fixing your city before attacking good hard working Americans!

Signed
Rick Byrum

From: [Jens Lund Snee](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Thank you for labeling the NRA a terrorist organization
Date: Wednesday, September 4, 2019 8:28:21 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to thank you for labeling the National Rifle Association a domestic terrorist organization.

That organization is one of the greatest threats to the personal safety of me, my family, and my friends. It is significantly more dangerous to Californians than most international or even other domestic terrorist organizations because it seeks to arm all such groups with increasingly powerful weapons of war. The NRA has effectively turned organized right-wing terrorists and lone wolves into heavily armed militias capable of killing dozens of innocent people at a time.

On a personal note, a close family friend, Maggie Glenz Leiman, was murdered by her stepson in her own home. It is only because of the NRA and its captured politicians that this stepson, who exhibited violent tendencies that frightened Maggie and her husband (and was trained by the Army, from which he was dishonorably discharged), could own a handgun.

I urge you to go further by tightening San Francisco's existing gun control laws. Use your power to restrict purchases of handguns, assault weapons, and ammunition in San Francisco by advocating for a new version of Proposition H that passes constitutional scrutiny. Impose regulations that discourage corporations that operate in San Francisco from doing business with the NRA or manufacturers of assault weapons. Lobby the state government to tighten its red flag laws and domestic violence prevention measures. Provide tax incentives and other assistance for gun control and gun violence research organizations such as the Giffords Center (and their employees) to operate (and live) in San Francisco. Employ policy experts focused on reducing gun violence and limiting access to guns within the Bay Area.

Sincerely,
Jens

Jens-Erik Lund Snee
Ph.D. Candidate
Stanford University
jenseriklundsnee.com
(720) 289-8972

From: [Kathy Lincoln](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Thank you for the NRA resolution
Date: Thursday, September 5, 2019 9:52:40 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I want to thank you for your resolution calling the NRA a “domestic terrorist organization.” Words do matter. The NRA needs to take some responsibility for their large part in the mass shootings in this country.

I live in Arizona and have little to no hope that a similar resolution would pass in my city. But, thank you for standing up and doing the right thing.

K. Lincoln

From: [Jess Ellis Knubis](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: THANK YOU!
Date: Wednesday, September 4, 2019 12:31:50 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

Thank you for standing up to the twisted minds of the NRA. Our Constitution allows for arming “a well regulated militia” not a vile group of sickos doing the bidding of the weapons industry.

“When gun laws are crazy, all the crazies will have guns”.

Thank you for your courage! Hopefully other governments will follow suit.

Jess

Jess Ellis Knubis
jess@knubis.com

831 601 4777
4657 Green Valley Rd
Fairfield, CA 94534

From: [Su Kobbe](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Thank you
Date: Wednesday, September 4, 2019 9:48:11 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Whom It May Concern:

Even though I am not living in San Francisco or even in California, I wanted to write and thank you, San Francisco Board of Supervisors, for passing a resolution to label the NRA as a domestic terrorist organization.

Like a majority of Americans, I am sick and tired of mass shootings in our beloved country and, if your resolution is a step in stopping them, then more power to you!

I am totally against anyone, unless they are with law enforcement or in the armed forces, owning and using an automatic weapon.

Thank you again!

Respectfully,
Suzan Kobbe
St. Louis Park, MN (a suburb of Minneapolis)

Sent from [Mail](#) for Windows 10

From: [Don Nash](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Thank you
Date: Wednesday, September 4, 2019 11:53:35 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Board of Supervisors:

My wife and I were talking about the NRA being domestic terrorists just the day before yesterday.

My wife and I would like to thank you for your bold and courageous decision to call the NRA for what they truly are. We support your decision and I plan to tell just about everyone I know how courageous you are.

This was a necessary step in taking leadership in helping to solve America's mass murdering rampage that haunts just about every corner of our nation at present.

Again, thank you and God bless every last one of you.

respectfully,

Don Nash

Mary Offe

Salt Lake City, Utah

From: [Art Wydler](#)
To: [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); stopcrimesf@gmail.com
Subject: The Board of Stupidisers
Date: Thursday, September 5, 2019 9:04:27 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Another waste of time and effort. First, changing the names of felons and ex-cons and now this stupid resolution.

Why don't you go to work and get something done, like fixing the problems in this city.

Instead you spend your time and my money on your ridiculous feel good resolutions.

What a joke!!!

Sent from my iPhone

From: [Christi Stewart](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: The NRA
Date: Wednesday, September 4, 2019 6:16:00 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

So you are telling me that millions of members of the NRA are terrorists? Exactly how many people who have done mass shootings have been members of the NRA? Now you divide that number by the millions of law abiding members and I bet your number is less than 1%. Every gun owner I know is a law abiding citizen and would never use their gun to harm unless in the case of self defense. You guys are a joke. So is your city. I will never, ever, ever return to visit. It stinks like the shit that you allow all over the sidewalks.

Sincerely,

Christi Ann Cecil Stewart

From: [Paul](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Unfortunate
Date: Wednesday, September 4, 2019 10:19:58 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Nothing should surprise anyone from the arrogant, pompous politicians who have now declared the NRA a terrorist organization. A Board using the NRA as their scapegoat for their own incompetence. The terror organization is the Board of Supervisors with their heads in the sand and are incapable of critical thought to fix the problems in this once beautiful city. A Board that has turned the city into a toilet. My home office was in San Francisco and it was indeed at that time, a wonderful place to live in, and to visit. Thank God each and every day I escaped the madness of the city and the State.

TO ANGER A CONSERVATIVE, LIE TO HIM. TO ANGER A LIBERAL, TELL HIM THE TRUTH.

Pathetic, clueless leadership from the top down.

One proud American veteran.

San Francisco Examiner

Report: Nearly 400 people died homeless on SF streets since 2016

- [Joshua Sabatini](#) Feb. 21, 2019 12:00 a.m.

Where is your outrage...I guess their deaths do not fit the agenda>

From: [Patrick Northway](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: United We Stand
Date: Wednesday, September 4, 2019 1:47:56 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hey Folks!

"The San Francisco Board of Supervisors passed a resolution Tuesday declaring the National Rifle Association a "domestic terrorist organization" and urging the city to examine its financial relationships with companies that do business with the group."

In the Greatest Tradition of Our Country, you would stand up to those who use force and fear and hate to oppress others.

I live in an area steeped in hunting and fishing tradition. I've known plenty who own and use firearms. I've known plenty who train their kids to use them and respect them. There are, despite my personal preference regarding animals and hunting, perfectly rational uses for these devices *in some cases*.

That having been said, NONE of the causes or fears of the NRA have ANY basis in reality and they have only an agenda of promoting violence and murder. They meet OBJECTIVELY ALL THE CRITERIA OF A TERRORIST ORGANIZATION and should be treated like one. Their members and supporters and donors should be considered supporters of terrorism and subject to the same investigative process and prosecution with which we target others who support mass murder.

I look forward to the rest of the Country following your lead. We're sick of their shit. Send 'em ALL to Gitmo.

Best-
Patrick

From: [Tim McCormick](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Visiting San Francisco
Date: Wednesday, September 4, 2019 8:04:58 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Board of Supervisors,

My wife and I have visited your city in the past and enjoyed it. Now with all of the news of the homeless issues, crime, car break ins, drugs, and sanitation issues it makes us wonder if we want to visit again. When the board of supervisors wants to change language and not call a spade a spade and then decide to brand the NRA as a terrorist organization? These are crazy things to hear about. It would appear that the board would have more important things to deal with than not offending criminals and then trying to blame the NRA for being a terrorist organization. I am pretty sure we not set foot in San Francisco again and not spend any money there.

Sincerely,

Tim McCormick

From: [AOL Mail Service](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: What?
Date: Tuesday, September 10, 2019 8:39:48 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I am a member of the NRA and I assure you I am no terrorist. I have been a minister for 60 years, a Baptist pastor for 26 of those and a university professor for 24 more. You guys are too much taken with yourselves. You need to get acquainted with the people you have vilified!

Rev Cecil Taylor, PhD

From: [Allen Jones](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [metro@sfchronicle.com](#); [Newstips](#); [sanfrancisco@ap.org](#)
Cc: [Boillard, Chelsea \(BOS\)](#); [Herzstein, Daniel \(BOS\)](#); [Bennett, Samuel \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [joe@sfexaminer.com](#); [Joshua S.](#); [John Diaz](#); [Dominic Fracassa](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#)
Subject: Why I joined the NRA
Date: Monday, September 9, 2019 10:39:23 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Attention: All Members of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

What is so embarrassing about the San Francisco Board of Supervisors is the fact, they [banned E- cigarettes](#) and [plastic straws](#). But when it came to the subject of gun violence, they were only brave enough to stick their tongue out at the NRA.

<https://medium.com/@casegame/why-i-joined-a-domestic-terrorist-organization-a7eeae75cf98>

Allen Jones
jones-allen@att.net
(415) 756-7733
<http://nbadoesntcare.com>

The only thing I love more than justice is the freedom to fight for it. -- Allen Jones --

From: [Don Feliciano](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Yes, the NRA IS a Domestic Terrorist Organization
Date: Thursday, September 5, 2019 1:46:59 PM
Attachments: [Page News & Courier.pdf](#)

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

My compliments to the Board for your thoughtful, and ACCURATE, resolution condemning the NRA as a domestic terrorism organization. Apparently, great minds think alike.

Today's Page News & Courier newspaper, here in dinky Luray, VA (less than 5,000), carries a letter to the editor, written by me, that says the same thing about the NRA. FYI.

Donald V. Feliciano
192 Stagecoach Lane
Luray, VA 22835-3156

donvf8135@gmail.com
908-361-2976

LETTERS TO THE EDITOR

Three more mass shootings

Three more mass shootings (Virginia, Alabama and Texas) have occurred since the El Paso and Dayton massacres, and it got me thinking why these tragedies continue to occur and mostly in the U.S.

We now use phrases like "domestic terrorism" and the "politics of hate."

As I was mulling this over, it suddenly occurred to me that all these terrible murders, terrorist actions and hate have a common LINK — the National Rifle Association.

Think about it.

The NRA's role in our society, government and safety is arguably the most serious threat to our national safety as long as the gun lobby supports the ownership by any person of any number or type of guns, including assault weapons and accessories.

The NRA supported gun safety and marksmanship from its origin in 1871 until its leadership created a political action committee, which focuses on lobbying local, state and federal

politicians with bribes, to the tune of some \$3 million each year, questionable but arguably legal.

The NRA also made a radical change in its mission and leadership in 1977 by refocusing its activities on a single strategy called "the big issue." This premise says that owning a gun is a sacrosanct right under the U.S. Constitution and the Second Amendment, period.

The NRA's extensive influence in our lives ought to scare each of us.

Take Virginia, for example. The General Assembly created the Virginia State Crime Commission to advise the Governor on protecting citizens from crime. Guess what? Of the 13 current members of the commission, most of the six Republican legislators are NRA members.

I suspect this also was a factor when the Republican Senate and House members disrespected the Governor, violated their oaths of office, and walked out of a special session in

July called by Governor Northam to discuss avoiding Virginia Beach massacres in the future. They did this without making ANY effort to discuss gun violence and adjourned in 90 minutes. I think that ANY legislator who accepts blood money to support gun abuse in this country is complicit in every murder and should be voted out of office.

Let's stop being stupid. The NRA depends on promoting the sale of as many guns as possible for its main patrons — the gun manufacturers — with no restrictions on types or numbers of guns, the members be damned.

I'm all for promoting gun safety and better marksmanship, but I think any clown who needs to own an assault weapon designed solely for killing is, in my opinion, one of those mentally deranged people whom the President says are the cause of these massacres.

**Don Feliciano
Luray**

From: [Dwight Howard](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: You are putting my rights in jeopardy
Date: Friday, September 6, 2019 9:59:50 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

When you label the NRA a terrorist group, you are in fact labeling me a domestic terrorist. I have never done anything wrong, never used my firearms for anything other than target practice as a hobby. I have never even used my firearms to kill an animal or a bird for that matter.

I have never been arrested, never spent a single moment in a police car or in any type of detention and you want to label me as a terrorist?

First of all, you haven't got a clue about guns. You can't even manage the population that is yours to manage. Your homeless population is out of control, your drug addiction issues are out of control and you, in your infinite wisdom, decide that I am your biggest problem.

Why don't you try to carry out the laws of the COUNTRY you live in? Start enforcing the laws you were elected to enforce and do something positive about that which is your responsibility.

I find your decision to label me as a domestic terrorist irresponsible and does nothing to reduce the divide that MY country experiences everyday. I lived in California for 20 years and recently left because of idiots like yourselves. I think the plan to build the wall might be better placed along the border of California. Your political ideas are dangerous.

If you gutless folks want to talk about it, call me 818-960-8121

Dwight Howard

Sent from [Mail](#) for Windows 10

From: [Dana Raffaniello](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: You guys are the domestic terrorists
Date: Friday, September 6, 2019 6:03:12 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

You idiots have forced American citizens to live in the streets, your policies have stripped people of their homes and jobs, and now are forced to live in the streets. You rather give sanctuary and financial aid to illegal invaders.

It is you who are the domestic enemies.

The 2nd was put in place so the people can protect themselves from governments like what you guys are making.

The NRA are not the terrorists, you are.

Time we gave CA back to Mexico, you fuckers are the enemy

Sent from [Mail](#) for Windows 10

From: [Chris Wood](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Your NRA Stance
Date: Wednesday, September 4, 2019 7:28:50 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I find it interesting you pass up the sugar, vaping, tobacco, trans fat, opioid manufacturing and distribution to declare the NRA a terrorist organization. With leaders like you it is no surprise San Francisco is a drug, feces, homeless cesspool. Congrats on your ultra liberal utopia.

Regards,
Chris

Chris Wood

Sent from my Osborne Portable Computer

From: [todd haines](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Your overreaching inappropriate actions
Date: Sunday, September 8, 2019 9:41:05 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I am writing this to express great disappoint in your belief you believe your governmental body believes it is your role to lable the NRA or any other organisations anything. You are vastly exceeding any mandate you have been given. I suggest you re read your chter and perform the functions you were elected to perform.

Exceeding your mandate is not serving your community but rather your personal interests. Do your job and leave your personal beliefs at home.

Yes this is comming from another community in another state.

Get [Outlook for Android](#)

From: [Ken Girdley](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Your Recent Declaration Against The NRA
Date: Wednesday, September 4, 2019 7:41:09 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Your recent act of declaring the NRA a domestic terrorist organization is disgusting. Will your next act be to blacklist all of the SF residents who are NRA members? It wouldn't surprise me.

I've lived in the SF bay area for over 40 years and have seen your city go from the gem of the west coast to a filthy, drug infested city that I refuse to take visiting family & friends to. Get your act together and clean up your city and stop wasting your time insulting innocent law-abiding citizens and their organization.

Anthony Girdley, NRA Life Member
Los Altos, CA

From: [Tobias Schröer](#)
To: [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\); Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Your resolution against gun violence
Date: Friday, September 6, 2019 3:29:41 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Ms. Stefani, dear Members of the Board of Supervisors,

yesterday, several German newspapers reported about your resolution to declare the N.R.A. a terrorist organisation (for example here: <https://www.zeit.de/politik/ausland/2019-09/waffengewalt-san-fransisco-nra-inlaendische-terrororganisation>).

As an indirect victim of gun violence in the city of San Francisco, I read this article with great interest and gratitude. Some of you may remember my mother Mechthild Schröer, who was shot during a vacation in SF in August 2010. I am sure, she would also be happy about this and all further steps, that can help to reduce gun violence in SF and all around the world.

Currently, I am working as a parliamentary advisor in the state parliament of Hamburg. I can imagine, that it is not easy to take such a clear stand, especially if you are dealing with an organisation that is known worldwide for its aggressive lobbying methods. But I would like to encourage you, to continue this way. It's the best way, to represent all the people who have and had to suffer from violence.

I hope, the hostilities and shit-storms, that may occur to you because of this courageous action will not be too hard. There are a lot of people in SF and all around the world, who are supporting you and who are hoping for more symbolic and actual steps.

Best regards

Tobias Schröer

--

Tobias Schröer
Referent für Migration, Teilhabe und Antidiskriminierung
GRÜNE Bürgerschaftsfraktion Hamburg
Büro Filiz Demirel, MdHB
Burchardstraße 21
20095 Hamburg
t 040 / 328 73 - 210
e tobias.schroerer@gruene-fraktion-hamburg.de

From: [Louise Harbour](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Your resolution concerning the NRA
Date: Wednesday, September 4, 2019 4:18:53 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To all members of the Board,

As I took a little time to read my favorite newspapers as a break from preparing for litigation tomorrow, I absolutely had to stop and write to you to express my admiration and respect for your courage to stand up to this Organization.

I am Canadian but spent my first six years of life in New York City, near Central Park. I always had a little part of the US in my heart (I still use AOL) and have always followed your politics as well as those around the world.

The NRA does not translate the true spirit of your constitution and your right to bear arms which was to ensure that one could feed and protect oneself, not massacre people out of anger and/or frustration and/or terrorism and/or racism and/or whatever inane "ism" is given as justification.

I salute your bravery and your choice to confront the numerous consequences of your stand against such a powerful organization which finally appears to be possibly crumbling from the inside, as anything, without a solid foundation, has a tendency to do.

Once again, as one who still has many relatives and friends living in your country, I thank you and commend you for your valor and daring at a time of great need in your country. I refuse to go or let my children visit your fair country because THERE IS NO PLACE in your country any more where one is absolutely safe, ...not theaters, schools, pedestrians on walkways, festivals, hospitals, shows, parking lots, even churches, temples, synagogues and mosques, NOWHERE.

I truly hope people everywhere follow suit and am grateful that you decided to take the lead.

Never give up.
Never surrender.

Warm regards from the North,

Me Louise Harbour
attorney-litigator

From: [pmonette-shaw](#)
To: [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Mar, Gordon \(BOS\)](#); [Brown, Vallie \(BOS\)](#); [Haney, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#)
Cc: [Ho, Tim \(BOS\)](#); [Sandoval, Suhagey \(BOS\)](#); [Fregosi, Ian \(BOS\)](#); [Yu, Angelina \(BOS\)](#); [Burch, Percy \(BOS\)](#); [Quan, Daisy \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Wright, Edward \(BOS\)](#); [Cancino, Juan Carlos \(BOS\)](#); [Remski, Derek \(BOS\)](#); [Temprano, Tom \(BOS\)](#); [Mcdonald, Courtney \(BOS\)](#); [Hepner, Lee \(BOS\)](#); [Low, Jen \(BOS\)](#); [Lee, Ivy \(BOS\)](#); [Maybaum, Erica \(BOS\)](#); [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [Hepner, Lee \(BOS\)](#); [Angulo, Sunny \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Testimony for September 3 — Support for Supervisor Stephani's Resolution on the NRA
Date: Friday, August 30, 2019 3:05:37 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Board of Supervisors,

I fully support Supervisor Stefani's resolution at agenda item 20 on the Board of Supervisors September 3 meeting agenda declaring the National Rifle Association a domestic terrorist organization.

I urge this Board to unanimously pass Supervisor Stefani's Resolution. To the extent the resolution will have an impact on NRA members potentially deciding they don't want to be named as members of a domestic terrorist organization, this Resolution just may encourage them to withdraw their memberships and stop donations to the NRA.

Hit them where their pocketbooks are (membership revenue)! It could be the beginning of the end of the NRA's massive campaign contributions, striking a kind of a blow to *Citizen's United*, and it may lead to a reduction in guns and mass shootings all across America. The prospect that the NRA may not be able to afford massive campaign contributions to President Trump's re-election campaign would be icing on the cake!

Thank you, Supervisor Stefani!

Patrick Monette-Shaw

4100 Chemin Chambly
Suite 202
Saint-Hubert
Québec, J3Y 3M3

Telephone : (450) 446-2715
Telephone : (450) 677-7788
Telecopie : (450) 464-9466
Cellular : (514) 891-0891
E-mail : harbourljmd@aol.com

This E-mail message and any attachment thereto contain confidential information which may be privileged and which is intended for the exclusive use of its addressee(s). Any dissemination, distribution or copying of this communication by anyone other than its addressee(s) is strictly prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please immediately notify us, erase it from any hard disk or other medium on which it may have been saved and do not keep any copy thereof. Thank you.

****Before printing, please think about your responsibility towards the environment****

From: [Bill O'Neill bonwebsite](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Cc: [JD Mullane](#)
Subject: Your Terrorism Statement
Date: Thursday, September 5, 2019 4:39:04 AM
Importance: High

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, Ca. 94102-4689

Criminals, not guns are the problem with crime and terrorism in San Francisco.
Specifically your statements below are wrong and need to be corrected:

WHEREAS, The National Rifle Association musters its considerable wealth and organizational strength to promote gun ownership and incite gun owners to acts of violence, and ...

WHEREAS, The National Rifle Association through its advocacy has armed those individuals who would and have committed acts of terrorism; and

WHEREAS, All countries have violent and hateful people, but only in America do we give them ready access to assault weapons and large-capacity magazines thanks, in large part, to the National Rifle Association's influence; now, therefore, be it

RESOLVED, That the City and County of San Francisco intends to declare the National Rifle Association a domestic terrorist organization;

The NRA does not incite gun owners to violence.

The NRA is against terrorism and Acts of terrorism.

The NRA does not support terrorism or acts of terrorism

The NRA does not provide assault weapons to anyone especially not to terrorists.

The NRA is not a domestic terror organization.

The NRA does none of the actions for which you criticized them.

The NRA is a law abiding group.

In fact, your attitude is a danger to America because it holds the wrong things to be responsible for crime.

Do your job and go catch, try and punish criminals, not innocent NRA members.

Regards,

Bill O'Neill

bon4reason@verizon.net