333	3 California Street Mixe	REPORTING PROGRAM d-Use Project es and Improvement Mea		
MEASURES ADOPTED AS CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL	Responsibility for Implementation	Mitigation Schedule	Monitoring/Reporting Responsibility	Monitoring Actions Schedule and Verification of Compliance
MITIGATION MEASURES				
Cultural Resources (Historic Architectural Resources) Mitigation Measures				
Mitigation Measure M-CR-1a: Documentation of Historical Resource	Desired and a first first	Deixa da ina la C	The soul Column Column	Considered
 Prior to issuance of demolition or site permits, the project sponsor shall undertake Historic American Building/Historic American Landscape Survey-like (HABS/HALS-like) documentation of the building and associated landscape features. The documentation shall be undertaken by a professional who meets the Secretary of the Interior's Professional Qualifications Standards for Architectural History, History, or Architecture (as appropriate) to prepare written and photographic documentation of 3333 California Street. The specific scope of the documentation shall be reviewed and approved by the Planning Department but shall include the following elements: Measured Drawings – A set of measured drawings shall be prepared that depict the existing size, scale, and dimension of the historic resource. Planning Department Preservation staff will accept the original architectural drawings or an as-built set of architectural drawings (e.g., plans, sections, elevations). Planning Department Preservation staff will assist the consultant in determining the appropriate level of measured drawings; 	 Project sponsor to retain qualified professional consultant. Consultant to prepare documentation. Planning Department shall review, request revisions if appropriate, and ultimately approve documentation. Project sponsor to conduct outreach to identify other interested repositories under the direction of Planning Department Preservation staff. 	 Prior to issuance of any demolition or site permit for the affected historic resource at 3333 California Street, the qualified professional consultant to submit documentation package per HABS / HAER / HALS Guidelines for review by Planning Department. Prior to issuance of any demolition or site permit for the affected historic resource at 3333 California Street, project sponsor to transmit documentation to the History Room in SF Library, San Francisco Architectural Heritage, and NWIC. 	The qualified professional consultant to submit draft and final documentation prepared pursuant to HABS/HAER/HALS Guidelines to Planning Department for review and approval. Following approval of documentation by Planning Department and prior to the start of construction, project sponsor to transmit documentation to the SF History Center in SF Library, Planning Department, and NWIC.	considered complete when project sponsor transmits documentation to the History Room in SF Library, San Francisco Architectural Heritage, and NWIC as well as any other repositories, if applicable, as identified and agreed with during the outreach process.
Historic American Buildings/Historic American Landscape Survey-Level Photographs – Either Historic American Buildings/Historic American Landscape Survey (HABS/HALS) standard large-format or digital photography shall be used. The scope of the digital photographs shall be reviewed by Planning Department Preservation staff for concurrence, and all digital photography shall be conducted according to the latest National Park Service (NPS) standards. The photography shall be undertaken by a qualified professional with demonstrated experience in HABS/HALS photography.				

FINAL MITIGATION MONITORING AND REPORTING PROGRAM FOR 3333 California Street Mixed-Use Project (Includes Text for Adopted Mitigation Measures and Improvement Measures)				
MEASURES ADOPTED AS CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL	Responsibility for Implementation	Mitigation Schedule	Monitoring/Reporting Responsibility	Monitoring Actions Schedule and Verification of Compliance
Photograph views for the data set shall include contextual views; views of each side of the building and interior views, including any original interior features, where possible; oblique views of the building; and detail views of character-defining features, including landscape elements. All views shall be referenced on a photographic key. This photographic key shall be on a map of the property and shall show the photograph number with an arrow to indicate the direction of the view. Historic photographs shall also be collected, reproduced, and included in the data set.				
HABS/HALS Historical Report – A written historical narrative and report shall be provided in accordance with the HABS/HALS Historical Report Guidelines. The written history shall follow an outline format that begins with a statement of significance supported by the development of the architectural and historical context in which the structure was built and subsequently evolved. The report shall also include architectural description and bibliographic information.				
Video Recordation – Video recordation shall be undertaken before demolition or site permits are issued. The project sponsor shall undertake video documentation of the affected historical resource and its setting. The documentation shall be conducted by a professional videographer, one with experience recording architectural resources. The documentation shall be narrated by a qualified professional who meets the standards for history, architectural history, or architecture (as appropriate) set forth by the Secretary of the Interior's Professional Qualification Standards (36 Code of Federal Regulations Part 61). The documentation shall include as much information as possible—using visuals in combination with narration—about the materials, construction methods, current condition, historic use, and historic context of the historical resource. This mitigation measure would supplement the traditional HABS/HALS documentation, and would enhance the collection of reference materials that would be available to the public and inform future research.				
Softcover Book – A Print-on-Demand softcover book shall be produced that includes the content from the historical report, historical photographs, HABS/HALS photography, measured drawings, and field notes. The Print-on-Demand book shall be made available to the public for distribution.				

333	FINAL MITIGATION MONITORING AND REPORTING PROGRAM FOR 3333 California Street Mixed-Use Project (Includes Text for Adopted Mitigation Measures and Improvement Measures)					
MEASURES ADOPTED AS CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL	Responsibility for Implementation	Mitigation Schedule	Monitoring/Reporting Responsibility	Monitoring Actions Schedule and Verification of Compliance		
The project sponsor shall transmit such documentation to the History Room of the San Francisco Public Library, San Francisco Architectural Heritage, the Planning Department, and the Northwest Information Center. The HABS/HALS documentation scope will determine the requested documentation type for each facility, and the project sponsor will conduct outreach to identify other interested repositories. All documentation will be reviewed and approved by the Planning Department's Preservation staff before any demolition or site permit is granted for the affected historical resource.						
Mitigation Measure M-CR-1b: Interpretation of the Historical Resource The project sponsor shall facilitate the development of an interpretive	Project sponsor and their	Prior to issuance of	The qualified professional to	Considered		
program focused on the history of the project site. The interpretive program should be developed and implemented by a qualified professional with demonstrated experience in displaying information and graphics to the public in a visually interesting manner, such as a museum or exhibit curator. This program shall be initially outlined in a proposal for an interpretive plan subject to review and approval by Planning Department Preservation staff. The proposal shall include the proposed format and location of the interpretive content, as well as high-quality graphics and written narratives. The proposal prepared by the qualified consultant describing the general parameters of the interpretive program shall be approved by Planning Department Preservation staff prior to issuance of the architectural addendum to the site permit. The detailed content, media and other characteristics of such interpretive program shall be approved by Planning Department Preservation staff prior to issuance of a Temporary Certificate of Occupancy.	Project sponsor and their qualified professional to select materials from 3333 California Street building to display. Project sponsor to establish location(s), media, and characteristics of the display. Project sponsor and their qualified professional to prepare display.	architectural addendum to the site permit, the general parameters of the interpretive program shall be approved by Planning Department Preservation staff. Prior to any demolition or removal activities, selection of interpretative materials to occur. Interpretive program shall be approved by Planning Department prior to the	The qualified professional to submit interpretive materials to Planning Department for approval. Project sponsor to report to Planning Department when display is completed.	considered complete when Planning Department approve the interpretive program for all construction phases and when the interpretive program is installed.		
The interpretative program shall include but not be limited to the installation of permanent on-site interpretive displays or screens in publicly accessible locations. Historical photographs, including some of the large-format photographs required by Mitigation Measure M-CR-1a, may be used to illustrate the site's history.		issuance of the first Temporary Certificate of Occupancy and updated for each construction phase, if needed.				
The primary goal is to educate visitors and future residents about the property's historical themes, associations, and lost contributing features within broader historical, social, and physical landscape contexts. These themes would include but not be limited to the subject property's historic						

FINAL MITIGATION MONITORING AND REPORTING PROGRAM FOR 3333 California Street Mixed-Use Project (Includes Text for Adopted Mitigation Measures and Improvement Measures)					
MEASURES ADOPTED AS CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL	Responsibility for Implementation	Mitigation Schedule	Monitoring/Reporting Responsibility	Monitoring Actions Schedule and Verification of Compliance	
significance as a Midcentury Modern corporate campus designed by Edward B. Page with a landscape designed by Eckbo, Royston & Williams. The interpretive program should be developed in coordination with the archaeological program, which would likely include interpretation of the subject property's inclusion in the larger site of California Registered Landmark 760, Former Site of Laurel Hill Cemetery.					
Cultural Resources (Archaeological Resources) Mitigation Measures					
Mitigation Measure M-CR-2a: Archaeological Testing, Monitoring, Data Recovery and Reporting					
Based on a reasonable presumption that archaeological resources may be present within the project site, the following measures shall be undertaken to avoid any potentially significant adverse effect from the project on buried historical or prehistoric resources. The project sponsor shall retain the services of an archaeological consultant from rotation of the Department Qualified Archaeological Consultants List maintained by the Planning Department archaeologist. The project sponsor shall contact the Department archaeologist to obtain the names and contact information for the next three archaeological consultants on the qualified archaeological consultants list. The archaeological consultant shall undertake an archaeological testing program as specified in the Archaeological Research Design and Treatment Plan and outlined below. In addition, the consultant shall be available to conduct an archaeological consultant's work shall be conducted in accordance with this measure at the direction of the Environmental Review Officer (ERO). All plans and reports prepared by the consultant as specified herein shall be submitted first and directly to the ERO for review and comment, and shall be considered draft reports subject to revision until final approval by the ERO. Archaeological monitoring and/or testing programs required by this measure could suspend construction of the project for up to a maximum of four weeks. At the direction of the ERO, the suspension of construction can be extended beyond four weeks only if such a suspension is the only feasible means to reduce to a less than significant level potential effects on a significant archaeological resource as defined in CEQA Guidelines section 15064.5 (a) and (c).	Project sponsor to retain qualified professional archaeologist from the pool of archaeological consultants maintained by the Planning Department.	Prior to issuance of site permits and prior to commencement of demolition and soil- disturbing activities for each construction phase, submittal of all plans and reports for approval by the ERO.	The archaeological consultant shall undertake an archaeological testing program as specified herein. (See below regarding archaeological consultant's reports).	Considered complete when project sponsor retains a qualified professional archaeological consultant, and archaeological consultant has a scope approved by the ERO for the archaeological testing program.	

FINAL MITIGATION MONITORING AND REPORTING PROGRAM FOR 3333 California Street Mixed-Use Project (Includes Text for Adopted Mitigation Measures and Improvement Measures)					
MEASURES ADOPTED AS CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL	Responsibility for Implementation	Mitigation Schedule	Monitoring/Reporting Responsibility	Monitoring Actions Schedule and Verification of Compliance	
<u>Consultation with Descendant Communities</u> On discovery of an archaeological site ¹ associated with descendant Native Americans, the Overseas Chinese, or other potentially interested descendant group, an appropriate representative ² of the descendant group and the ERO shall be contacted. The representative of the descendant group shall be given the opportunity to monitor archaeological field investigations of the site and to consult with the ERO regarding appropriate archaeological treatment of the site, of recovered data from the site, and, if applicable, any interpretative treatment of the associated archaeological site per Mitigation Measure M-CR- 2b (below). A copy of the Final Archaeological Resources Report shall be provided to the representative of the descendant group.	Project sponsor/archaeological consultant.	Upon discovery of an archaeological site associated with descendant groups, and for the duration of the archaeological investigation of the associated site.	Project sponsor/archaeological consultant shall contact the ERO and appropriate descendant group representative upon discovery of an archaeological site.		
<u>Archaeological Testing Program</u> The archaeological consultant shall prepare and submit to the ERO for review and approval an archaeological testing plan (ATP) that tiers off the Archaeological Research Design and Treatment Plan. The purpose of the archaeological testing program will be to determine to the extent possible the presence or absence of archaeological resources and to identify and to evaluate whether any archaeological resource encountered on the site constitutes an historical resource under CEQA.	Project sponsor and archaeological consultant at the direction of the ERO.	Prior to any excavation, site preparation or construction an ATP for such phase is to be submitted to and approved by the ERO.	Archaeological consultant to undertake ATP in consultation with ERO.	Considered complete upon submittal of Final Archaeological Resources Report.	
At the completion of the archaeological testing program, the archaeological consultant shall submit a written report of the findings to the ERO. If based on the archaeological testing program the archaeological consultant finds that significant archaeological resources may be present, the ERO in consultation with the archaeological consultant shall determine if additional measures are warranted. Additional measures that may be undertaken include additional archaeological testing, archaeological monitoring, and/or an archaeological data recovery program. If the ERO determines that a significant archaeological resource is present and that the resource could be adversely affected by the project, at the discretion of the project sponsor either: A) The project shall be redesigned so as to avoid any adverse effect on	Project sponsor and archaeological consultant in consultation with the ERO.	At the completion of the archaeological testing program.	Archaeological consultant to submit results of testing. Based on findings, the project sponsor and archaeological consultant, in consultation with ERO, to determine the final steps.		

¹ The term "archaeological site" is intended here to minimally include any archaeological deposit, feature, burial, or evidence of burial.

² An "appropriate representative" of the descendant group is here defined to mean, in the case of Native Americans, any individual listed in the current Native American Contact List for the City and County of San Francisco maintained by the California Native American Heritage Commission and in the case of the Overseas Chinese, the Chinese Historical Society of America.

FINAL MITIGATION MONITORING AND REPORTING PROGRAM FOR 3333 California Street Mixed-Use Project (Includes Text for Adopted Mitigation Measures and Improvement Measures)					
MEASURES ADOPTED AS CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL	Responsibility for Implementation	Mitigation Schedule	Monitoring/Reporting Responsibility	Monitoring Actions Schedule and Verification of Compliance	
 the significant archaeological resource; or B) A data recovery program shall be implemented, unless the ERO determines that the archaeological resource is of greater interpretive than research significance and that interpretive use of the resource is feasible. <u>Archaeological Monitoring Program</u> If the ERO in consultation with the archaeological consultant determines that an archaeological monitoring program (AMP) shall be implemented, the AMP would minimally include the following provisions: The archaeological consultant, project sponsor, and ERO shall meet and consult on the scope of the AMP prior to any project-related soils disturbing activities commencing. The ERO in consultation with the archaeological consultant shall determine what project activities shall be archaeologically monitored. A single AMP or multiple AMPs may be produced to address project phasing. In most cases, any soils-disturbing activities, such as demolition, foundation removal, excavation, grading, utilities installation, foundation work, driving of piles (foundation, shoring, etc.), site remediation, etc., shall require archaeological monitoring because of the risk these activities pose to potential archaeological consultant shall advise all project contractors to be on the alert for evidence of the presence of the expected resource(s), of how to identify the evidence of the expected resource(s), and of the appropriate protocol in the event of apparent discovery of an archaeological resource; The archaeological monitor(s) shall be present on the project site according to a schedule agreed upon by the archaeological consultant archaeological consultant, determined that project construction activities could have no effects on significant archaeological deposits; and The archaeological monitor shall record and be authorized to collect 	Project sponsor and archaeological consultant in consultation with the ERO.	Project sponsor, archaeological consultant, and ERO shall meet prior to commencement of soils- disturbing activities for each construction phase. If ERO determines that archaeological monitoring is necessary, monitor throughout all soils- disturbing activities for each construction phase	If required, archaeological consultant to prepare AMP in consultation with the ERO. Project sponsor, archaeological consultant, archaeological monitor, and project sponsor's contractors shall implement the AMP, if required by the ERO	Considered complete on approval of AMP by ERO; submittal of report regarding findings of AMP.	

333	FINAL MITIGATION MONITORING AND REPORTING PROGRAM FOR 3333 California Street Mixed-Use Project (Includes Text for Adopted Mitigation Measures and Improvement Measures)					
MEASURES ADOPTED AS CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL	Responsibility for Implementation	Mitigation Schedule	Monitoring/Reporting Responsibility	Monitoring Actions Schedule and Verification of Compliance		
 soil samples and artifactual/ecofactual material as warranted for analysis. If an intact archaeological deposit is encountered, all soils-disturbing activities in the vicinity of the deposit shall cease. The archaeological monitor shall be empowered to temporarily redirect demolition/excavation/pile driving/construction activities and equipment until the deposit is evaluated. If in the case of pile driving activity (foundation, shoring, etc.), the archaeological monitor has cause to believe that the pile driving activity may affect an archaeological resource, pile driving activity that may affect the archaeological resource shall be suspended until an appropriate evaluation of the resource has been made in consultation with the ERO. The archaeological consultant shall immediately notify the ERO of the encountered archaeological deposit. The archaeological consultant shall make a reasonable effort to assess the identity, integrity, and significance of the encountered archaeological deposit, and present the findings of this assessment to the ERO. If the ERO determines that a significant archaeological resource is present and that the resource could be adversely affected by the project, at the discretion of the project sponsor either: A) The project shall be redesigned so as to avoid any adverse effect on the significant archaeological resource; or B) A data recovery program shall be implemented, unless the ERO 						
determines that the archaeological resource is of greater interpretive than research significance and that interpretive use of the resource is feasible.						
Whether or not significant archaeological resources are encountered, the archaeological consultant shall submit a written report of the findings of the monitoring program to the ERO.	Project sponsor and project archaeological consultant.	After completion of the approved archaeological monitoring program	Submit report on findings of AMP			
Archaeological Data Recovery Program If the ERO, in consultation with the archaeological consultant, determines that an archaeological data recovery program shall be implemented based on the presence of a significant resource, the archaeological data recovery program shall be conducted in accord with an archaeological data recovery plan (ADRP). No archaeological data recovery shall be undertaken without the prior approval of the ERO or the Planning Department archaeologist. The	Project sponsor and archaeological consultant in consultation with the ERO.	If there is a determination by the ERO that an ADRP is required.	If required, archaeological consultant to prepare an ADRP in consultation with the ERO.	Considered complete on approval of the FARR by ERO.		

FINAL MITIGATION MONITORING AND REPORTING PROGRAM FOR 3333 California Street Mixed-Use Project (Includes Text for Adopted Mitigation Measures and Improvement Measures)					
MEASURES ADOPTED AS CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL	Responsibility for Implementation	Mitigation Schedule	Monitoring/Reporting Responsibility	Monitoring Actions Schedule and Verification of Compliance	
archaeological consultant, project sponsor, and ERO shall meet and consult on the scope of the ADRP prior to preparation of a draft ADRP. The archaeological consultant shall submit a draft ADRP to the ERO. The ADRP shall identify how the proposed data recovery program will preserve the significant information the archaeological resource is expected to contain. That is, the ADRP will identify what scientific/historical research questions are applicable to the expected resource, what data classes the resource is expected to possess, and how the expected data classes would address the applicable research questions. Data recovery, in general, shall be limited to the portions of the historical property that could be adversely affected by the proposed project. Destructive data recovery methods shall not be applied to portions of the archaeological resources if nondestructive methods are practical.					
The scope of the ADRP shall include the following elements:					
• <i>Field Methods and Procedures.</i> Descriptions of proposed field strategies, procedures, and operations.					
• <i>Cataloguing and Laboratory Analysis.</i> Description of selected cataloguing system and artifact analysis procedures.					
• <i>Discard and Deaccession Policy</i> . Description of and rationale for field and post-field discard and deaccession policies.					
• <i>Interpretive Program.</i> Consideration of an onsite/offsite public interpretive program during the course of the archaeological data recovery program.					
• Security Measures. Recommended security measures to protect the archaeological resource from vandalism, looting, and non-intentionally damaging activities.					
• <i>Final Report</i> . Description of proposed report format and distribution of results.					
<i>Curation.</i> Description of the procedures and recommendations for the curation of any recovered data having potential research value, identification of appropriate curation facilities, and a summary of the accession policies of the curation facilities.					

3333	3 California Street Mixe	REPORTING PROGRAM d-Use Project res and Improvement Mea		
MEASURES ADOPTED AS CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL	Responsibility for Implementation	Mitigation Schedule	Monitoring/Reporting Responsibility	Monitoring Actions Schedule and Verification o Compliance
Human Remains and Associated or Unassociated Funerary Objects The treatment of human remains and of associated or unassociated funerary objects discovered during any soils disturbing activity shall comply with applicable State and Federal laws. This shall include immediate notification of the ERO and the Medical Examiner of the City and County of San Francisco, and in the event of the Medical Examiner's determination that the human remains are Native American remains, notification of the California State Native American Heritage Commission (NAHC), which shall appoint a Most Likely Descendant (MLD). The MLD will complete his or her inspection of the remains and make recommendations or preferences for treatment within 48 hours of being granted access to the site (Public Resources Code section 5097.98). The project sponsor and ERO shall make all reasonable efforts to develop a burial agreement with the MLD, as expeditiously as possible, for the treatment and disposition, with appropriate dignity, of human remains and associated or unassociated funerary objects (as detailed in CEQA Guidelines section 15064.5(d)). The agreement shall take into consideration the appropriate excavation, removal, recordation, scientific analysis, custodianship, curation, and final disposition of the human remains and associated or unassociated funerary objects. If the MLD agrees to scientific analyses of the remains and/or associated or unassociated funerary objects, the archaeological consultant shall retain possession of the remains and associated or unassociated funerary objects until completion of any such analyses, after which the remains and associated and unassociated funerary objects shall be reinterred or curated as specified in the agreement. Nothing in existing State regulations or in this mitigation measure comples the project sponsor and the ERO to accept recommendations of an MLD. However, if the ERO, project sponsor and MLD are unable to reach an agreement on scientific treatment of the remains and associated and unassoc	Project sponsor and archaeological consultant shall notify the San Francisco Medical Examiner and if applicable, Native American Heritage Commission who will appoint a Most Likely Descendent. Project sponsor, ERO, and the Most Likely Descendent shall make all reasonable efforts to develop a burial agreement.	In the event human remains and/or funerary objects are encountered project sponsor's construction contractor to immediately contact archaeological consultant and ERO.	Archaeological consultant/ archaeological monitor/project sponsor or contractor to contact San Francisco Medical Examiner and ERO and implement regulatory requirements, if applicable, regarding discovery of Native American human remains and associated/unassociated funerary objects.	Considered complete on notification of the San Francisco Medical Examine ERO, and NAHC, if necessary, and completion of burial agreement and/or analysis.

FINAL MITIGATION MONITORING AND REPORTING PROGRAM FOR 3333 California Street Mixed-Use Project				
		res and Improvement Mea	sures)	1
MEASURES ADOPTED AS CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL	Responsibility for Implementation	Mitigation Schedule	Monitoring/Reporting Responsibility	Monitoring Actions Schedule and Verification of Compliance
and Treatment Plan, the ATP, and any agreement established between the project sponsor, Medical Examiner and the ERO.				
Final Archaeological Resources Report	Project sponsor and	If applicable, after	If applicable, archaeological	Considered
The archaeological consultant shall submit a Final Archaeological Resources Report (FARR) to the ERO that evaluates the historical significance of any discovered archaeological resource and describes the archaeological and historical research methods employed in the archaeological testing/monitoring/data recovery program(s) undertaken. Information that may put at risk any archaeological resource shall be provided in a separate removable insert within the FARR. The FARR may be submitted at the conclusion of all construction activities associated with the project.	archaeological consultant in consultation with ERO.	completion of archaeological data recovery, inventorying, analysis and interpretation.	consultant to submit a FARR to ERO for approval.	complete upon approval of Final Archaeological Resources Report by ERO and distribution of FARR as directed by ERO.
Once approved by the ERO, copies of the FARR shall be distributed as follows: California Archaeological Site Survey Northwest Information Center (NWIC) shall receive one (1) copy and the ERO shall receive a copy of the transmittal of the FARR to the NWIC. The Environmental Planning division of the Planning Department shall receive one bound, one unbound and one unlocked, searchable PDF copy on CD of the FARR along with copies of any formal site recordation forms (CA Department of Parks and Recreation [DPR] 523 series) and/or documentation for nomination to the National Register of Historic Places (National register)/California Register of Historical Resources (California register). In instances of high public interest in or the high interpretive value of the resource, the ERO may require a different final report content, format, and distribution than that presented above.	Archaeological consultant at the direction of the ERO.	If applicable, upon approval of Final Archaeological Resources Report by ERO.	Once approved, archaeological consultant to distribute FARR and provide written certification to ERO that required FARR distribution has been completed.	
Mitigation Measure M-CR-2b: Interpretation				
Based on a reasonable presumption that archaeological resources may be present within the project site, and to the extent that the potential significance of some such resources is premised on the California register Criteria 1 (Events), 2 (Persons), and/or 3 (Design/Construction), the following measure shall be undertaken to avoid any potentially significant adverse effect from the project on buried historical resources if significant archaeological resources are discovered. The project sponsor shall implement an approved program for interpretation of significant archaeological resources. The project sponsor shall retain the	Project sponsor and archaeological consultant at the direction of the ERO.	Prior to issuance of final certificate of occupancy.	Archaeological consultant to develop program for post-recovery interpretation of resources. All plans and recommendations for interpretation by the archaeological consultant shall be submitted first and directly to the ERO for review and comment, and shall be considered draft reports subject to revision until deemed final by the	Considered complete upon installation of approved interpretation program, if required.

333	FINAL MITIGATION MONITORING AND REPORTING PROGRAM FOR 3333 California Street Mixed-Use Project (Includes Text for Adopted Mitigation Measures and Improvement Measures)					
MEASURES ADOPTED AS CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL	Responsibility for Implementation	Mitigation Schedule	Monitoring/Reporting Responsibility	Monitoring Actions Schedule and Verification of Compliance		
services of a qualified archaeological consultant from the rotational qualified archaeological consultant list maintained by the Planning Department archaeologist having expertise in California urban historical and prehistoric archaeology. The archaeological consultant shall develop a feasible, resource- specific program for post-recovery interpretation of resources. The particular program for interpretation of artifacts that are encountered within the project site will depend upon the results of the data recovery program and will be the subject of continued discussion between the ERO, consulting archaeologist, and the project sponsor. Such a program may include, but is not limited to, any of the following (as outlined in the Archaeological Research Design and Treatment Plan): lectures, exhibits, websites, video documentaries, and preservation and display of archaeological materials. To the extent feasible, the interpretive program shall be part of a larger, coordinated public interpretation strategy for the project area. The archaeological consultant's work shall be conducted at the direction of			ERO. The ERO to approve final interpretation program. Project sponsor to implement an approved interpretation program.			
the ERO, and in consultation with the project sponsor. All plans and recommendations for interpretation by the consultant shall be submitted first and directly to the ERO for review and comment, and shall be considered draft reports subject to revision until final approval by the ERO.						
Mitigation Measure M-CR-4: Tribal Cultural Resources Interpretive Program If the Environmental Review Officer (ERO) determines that a significant archaeological resource is present, and if in consultation with the affiliated Native American tribal representatives, the ERO determines that the resource constitutes a tribal cultural resource (TCR) and that the resource could be adversely affected by the proposed project, the proposed project shall be redesigned so as to avoid any adverse effect on the significant tribal cultural resource, if feasible.	Project sponsor at the direction of the ERO.	For the duration of soil- disturbing activities throughout all construction phases.	Project sponsor shall contact the ERO and appropriate Native American tribal representative upon discovery of an archaeological resource that constitutes a TCR.	Considered complete upon installation of approved interpretation program, if required.		
If the ERO, in consultation with the affiliated Native American tribal representatives and the project sponsor, determines that preservation-in-place of the tribal cultural resources is not a sufficient or feasible option, the project sponsor shall implement an interpretive program of the TCR in consultation with affiliated tribal representatives. An interpretive plan produced in consultation with the ERO and affiliated tribal representatives, at a minimum,	Project sponsor in consultation with the ERO.	Prior to issuance of final certificate of occupancy.	A qualified consultant, the project sponsor, a Native American tribal representative, and the ERO shall collaborate on the development of a feasible, resource-specific program for post-recovery			

333	FINAL MITIGATION MONITORING AND REPORTING PROGRAM FOR 3333 California Street Mixed-Use Project (Includes Text for Adopted Mitigation Measures and Improvement Measures)					
MEASURES ADOPTED AS CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL	Responsibility for Implementation	Mitigation Schedule	Monitoring/Reporting Responsibility	Monitoring Actions Schedule and Verification of Compliance		
and approved by the ERO would be required to guide the interpretive program. The plan shall identify, as appropriate, proposed locations for installations or displays, the proposed content and materials of those displays or installation, the producers or artists of the displays or installation, and a long- term maintenance program. The interpretive program may include artist installations, preferably by local Native American artists, oral histories with local Native Americans, artifacts displays and interpretation, and educational panels or other informational displays.			interpretation of resources. The interpretive plan shall be submitted first and directly to the ERO for review and comment, and shall be considered a draft report subject to revision until deemed final by the ERO. The ERO to approve final interpretation program. Project sponsor to implement an approved interpretation program.			
Transportation and Circulation Mitigation Measures						
Mitigation Measure M-TR-2: Reduce Retail Parking Supply						
The proposed project or project variant shall provide retail parking in an amount not to exceed the existing neighborhood rate of 1.55 by 38 percent (or 2.14 spaces per 1,000 gross square feet).	Project sponsor or qualified consultant to develop a draft parking plan to achieve the required retail parking rate.	Prior to approval of the Conditional Use/PUD application.	The project sponsor or qualified consultant to provide a draft parking program to the Planning Department for review and approval.	Considered complete upon review and approval of the parking reduction plan by the Planning Department.		
Mitigation Measure M-TR-4: Monitor and Provide Fair-Share Contribution to Improve 43 Masonic Capacity	Decision		OF MTA to see in the state of and	Constituent		
Based on an evaluation of the transit ridership generated by the proposed project or project variant, monitoring of transit capacity utilization for the 43 Masonic route shall be initiated when the first phase of development has been completed and occupied.	Project sponsor or qualified consultant at the direction of the SFMTA shall prepare a transit capacity study to	Baseline study conducted prior to the issuance of the first Certificate of Occupancy of the first phase of development, and	SFMTA to review the study and determine if the capacity utilization of the 43 Masonic line at its maximum load point exceeds 85 percent as measured at the	Considered complete upon payment of fair – share contribution or review and		
 The transit monitoring phase shall involve the following steps. The project sponsor shall fund a transit capacity study to be reviewed and approved by the SFMTA. The project sponsor shall obtain current ridership on the 43 Masonic route from SFMTA and an assessment of the capacity utilization shall be conducted at the 43 Masonic route's maximum load point for weekday a.m. peak hour conditions. 	determine whether capacity utilization exceeds 85 percent for the 43 Masonic route. If so, then SFMTA will determine whether adding bus(es) or other	subsequent ridership study after the first phase of the development is occupied. No studies shall be required if fair-share contribution is paid.	completion of any individual project phase. If so, and the SFMTA has committed to implement M-TR-4, the project sponsor shall provide the fair share contribution subject to the limits stated in M-TR-4 to	approval of the transit capacity study by SFMTA, if applicable and payment of fair- share contribution. If SFMTA determines one or		

FINAL MITIGATION MONITORING AND REPORTING PROGRAM FOR 3333 California Street Mixed-Use Project (Includes Text for Adopted Mitigation Measures and Improvement Measures)					
MEASURES ADOPTED AS CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL	Responsibility for Implementation	Mitigation Schedule	Monitoring/Reporting Responsibility	Monitoring Actions Schedule and Verification of Compliance	
 If the capacity utilization exceeds 85 percent, a fair share contribution payment shall be made to SFMTA by the project sponsor, calculated in a Transit Mitigation Agreement, to contribute to the cost of providing additional bus service or otherwise improving service on the 43 Masonic route. The fair share contribution as documented in EIR Appendix D shall not exceed the following amounts across all phases. Payment of the following fair share contribution levels would mitigate the impacts of the estimated transit ridership added by full development of the proposed project or project variant. Proposed Project – \$182,227 Project Variant – \$218,390 These amounts shall be increased by consumer price index per year plus a one-time escalation of 0.5 percent. SFMTA will determine whether adding bus(es) or other measures are more desirable to increase capacity along the route and will use the funds provided by the project sponsor to implement the most desirable measure, which may include, but is not limited to, the following: Instead of adding more buses to a congested route, increase travel speeds along the route, which would allow for buses to move faster, thus increasing efficiency and reliability. In this case, the project sponsor's fair share contribution may be used to fund a study to identify appropriate and feasible improvements and/or implement a portion of the improvements that would increase travel speeds enough to increase capacity along the bus route. Such improvements could include transit only lanes, transit signal priority, and transit boarding improvements. Increase capacity along the corridor by adding a new Muni service route in this area. If this option is selected, the project variant based on SFMTA's ridership data is less than 85 percent after a particular phase of the project sponsor's fair share contribution may fund the purchase of the new vehicles.<td>measures are more desirable to increase capacity along the route and will use the funds provided by the project sponsor to implement the most desirable measure</td><td></td><td>capital costs for SFMTA to implement one of the designated capacity enhancement measures.</td><td>more fair-share payments is required, considered complete upon payment of the final fair-share payment.</td>	measures are more desirable to increase capacity along the route and will use the funds provided by the project sponsor to implement the most desirable measure		capital costs for SFMTA to implement one of the designated capacity enhancement measures.	more fair-share payments is required, considered complete upon payment of the final fair-share payment.	

FINAL MITIGATION MONITORING AND REPORTING PROGRAM FOR 3333 California Street Mixed-Use Project (Includes Text for Adopted Mitigation Measures and Improvement Measures)					
MEASURES ADOPTED AS CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL	Responsibility for Implementation	Mitigation Schedule	Monitoring/Reporting Responsibility	Monitoring Actions Schedule and Verification of Compliance	
sponsor's fair share payment shall be \$0 and the process shall repeat at the subsequent phase. Each subsequent fair share calculation shall take account of amounts paid for prior phases, to ensure that payments are not duplicative for the same transit rider impacts.					
Noise and Vibration Mitigation Measures				-	
Mitigation Measure M-NO-1: Construction Noise Control Measures					
 The project sponsor shall implement a project-specific Noise Control Plan that has been prepared by a qualified acoustical consultant and approved by the Planning Department. The Noise Control Plan may include, but is not limited to, the following construction noise control measures. Implementation of applicable construction noise control measures shall apply to all phases of the construction period. Muffle and maintain all equipment used on site. All internal combustion engine driven equipment shall be fitted with mufflers that are in good working condition. Position stationary noise sources, such as temporary generators and pumps, as far from nearby receptors as possible, within temporary enclosures and shielded by barriers (which could reduce construction noise by as much as 5 dB) or other measures, to the extent feasible. Use "quiet" models of air compressors and other stationary equipment where such technology exists. Prohibit unnecessary idling of internal combustion engines. Impact tools (e.g., jack hammers, pavement breakers, rock drills) used for project construction shall be "quiet" gasoline-powered compressors or electrically powered compressors, and electric rather than gasoline- or diesel- powered engines shall be used to avoid noise associated with compressed air exhaust from pneumatically powered tools. However, where the use of pneumatic tools is unavoidable, an exhaust muffler on the compressed air exhaust shall 	Project sponsor and construction contractor shall prepare and implement Noise Control Plan.	Draft Noise Control Plan to be submitted to Planning Department and Department of Public Health prior to issuance of the first building permit or other permit that allows ground disturbance. Draft construction-noise monitoring program to be submitted to the Planning Department and Department of Public Health prior to start of excavation of all construction phases, prior to building construction of the Euclid and Masonic buildings, and the Laurel Duplexes and Mayfair Building.	Planning Department and Department of Public Health shall review and approve Noise Control Plan and construction-noise monitoring programs. Project sponsor, qualified consultant, and/or construction contractor(s) to prepare a weekly noise monitoring log which shall be made available to the Planning Department when requested. Any weekly report that includes an exceedance or for a period during which a complaint is received shall be submitted to the Development Performance Coordinator within 3 business days following the week in which the exceedance or complaint occurred. Project sponsor shall notify the Planning Department Development Performance Coordinator of any night noise permit requests when submitted and any emergency/unanticipated activity causing noise with potential to	Project sponsor, qualified consultant, and/or construction contractor(s) to submit final noise monitoring report to the Planning Department Development Performance Coordinator at the completion of each construction phase. Considered complete at the completion of project construction and submittal of final noise monitoring reports.	

3333	California Street Mixe	REPORTING PROGRAM d-Use Project res and Improvement Measu	-	
MEASURES ADOPTED AS CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL	Responsibility for Implementation	Mitigation Schedule	Monitoring/Reporting Responsibility	Monitoring Actions Schedule an Verification Compliance
 used, which could achieve a reduction of 5 dBA. Quieter equipment shall be used when feasible, such as drills rather than impact equipment. Clearly post allowable construction hours (i.e., 7 a.m. to 8 p.m.) on 				
 Creatly post anowable construction nous (i.e., 7 a.m. to 8 p.m.) on signs around the project site through the duration of construction. During the excavation component of all construction phases, during 				
building construction (framing of structure and major exterior work) of the Euclid and Masonic buildings, the Laurel Duplexes, and Mayfair Building, prepare and implement a daytime construction- noise monitoring program (e.g., 7 a.m. to 7 p.m. during weekdays, and 7 a.m. to 3 p.m. on Saturdays and all other times that excavation or major exterior construction of the identified buildings occurs). Three monitoring stations shall be required to provide continuous noise monitoring at the nearest potentially impacted receptors to the south (along Euclid Avenue), to the west (along Laurel Street), and to the north (along California Street). Selection of the three monitoring locations shall be coordinated between the Planning Department, construction contractor, and ultimately the affected residential property owners. The program shall be set up to alert the Construction Manager or other designated person(s) when noise levels exceed allowable limits (10 dBA above established ambient levels). If noise levels are found to exceed applicable noise limits due to construction-related activities, corrective action shall be taken, such as halting or moving specific construction activities, fixing faulty or poorly operating equipment, and installing portable barriers.				
• Designate a Construction Manager who shall:				
 Clearly post his/her name and phone number(s) on signs visible during each phase of the construction program. 				
 Notify area residents of construction activities, schedules, and impacts. 				
 Receive and act on complaints about construction noise disturbances. 				

FINAL MITIGATION MONITORING AND REPORTING PROGRAM FOR 3333 California Street Mixed-Use Project (Includes Text for Adopted Mitigation Measures and Improvement Measures)					
MEASURES ADOPTED	AS CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL	Responsibility for Implementation	Mitigation Schedule	Monitoring/Reporting Responsibility	Monitoring Actions Schedule and Verification of Compliance
	use(s) and implement remedial measures as iate potentially significant problems related bise				
Department of Bu including deliver hours that has the activity is require unanticipated con	se permits from the San Francisco uilding Inspection (DBI) if any activity, es or staging, is anticipated outside of work potential to exceed noise standards. If such d in response to an emergency or other ditions, night noise permits shall be requested e for any ongoing response activities.				
Coordinator at the or as soon as poss	ng Department's Development Performance e time that night noise permits are requested sible after emergency/unanticipated activity h the potential to exceed noise standards has				
Plan Review, Implementation, and	nd Reporting				
Department of Public Health and	reviewed and approved by the San Francisco l Planning Department prior to ng shall be completed by a qualified noise				
other designated person(s) on a we Planning Department when reque received, whether in connection we complaints received through calls of them (for example, via a DBI in report that includes an exceedance received should be submitted to the within 3 business days following to occurred. A report also shall be su Development Performance Coord	Il be prepared by the Construction Manager or eekly basis and shall be made available to the sted. The log shall include any complaints vith an exceedance or not, as well as any to 311 or DBI if the contractor is made aware otice, inspection, or investigation). Any weekly e or for a period during which a complaint is ne Development Performance Coordinator the week in which the exceedance or complaint bimitted to the Planning Department inator at the completion of each construction noise levels, exceedances of threshold levels, if taken.				

333.	3 California Street Mixe			
(Includes Text for Add	opted Mitigation Measur Responsibility for Implementation	res and Improvement Mea Mitigation Schedule	sures) Monitoring/Reporting Responsibility	Monitoring Actions Schedule and Verification of Compliance
Mitigation Measure M-NO-2: Vibration Monitoring Program for SF Fire Credit Union Building Prior to excavation activities along California Street, including for the Walnut Building and California Street Garage, a detailed vibration assessment and monitoring plan shall be completed to ensure that construction activities and equipment are selected and designed to ensure groundborne vibration levels at the SF Fire Credit Union do not exceed levels protective of the structural integrity of the building.	Project sponsor to retain a qualified consultant to prepare a detailed vibration assessment and monitoring plan.	The detailed vibration assessment and monitoring plan is to be submitted to Planning Department prior to issuance of demolition or site permits for Walnut Building and California Street Garage.	Planning Department to approve vibration assessment and monitoring plan. Project sponsor, qualified consultant, and/or construction contractor(s) to submit weekly reports during excavation, foundation and exterior construction activities to the Planning Department Development Performance Coordinator, and Department of Building Inspection upon request.	Considered complete at the completion of Walnut Building and California Street Garage excavation and submittal of final vibration monitoring report to the Planning Department.
 Retain the services of a qualified structural engineer or vibration consultant to prepare a pre-construction building assessment and vibration monitoring plan of the SF Fire Credit Union building. Prior to excavation activities for the Walnut Building and the California Street Garage, perform inspection of the SF Fire Credit Union building to document existing building conditions with written and photographic descriptions of the existing condition of visible exteriors and in interior locations upon permission of the owner. The assessment shall determine specific locations to be monitored and include annotated drawings to locate digital photo locations, survey markers, and/or other monitoring devices to measure vibrations. Based on the construction program for the proposed project or project variant and the condition of the SF Fire Credit Union building, the structural engineer and/or vibration consultant shall develop a vibration monitoring plan to protect the SF Fire Credit Union building. The pre-construction assessment and vibration monitoring plan shall be submitted to the Planning Department prior to issuance of construction permits for excavation for the Walnut Building and the California Street Garage. 	Project sponsor to retain a qualified structural engineer or vibration consultant to carry out pre-construction assessment.	Prior to excavation activities for the Walnut Building and California Street Garage, the qualified consultant shall perform pre-construction inspection of the SF Fire Credit Union building.	Planning Department shall review and approve pre-construction assessment and vibration monitoring plan.	

FINAL MITIGATION MONITORING AND REPORTING PROGRAM FOR 3333 California Street Mixed-Use Project (Includes Text for Adopted Mitigation Measures and Improvement Measures)					
MEASURES ADOPTED AS CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL	Responsibility for Implementation	Mitigation Schedule	Monitoring/Reporting Responsibility	Monitoring Actions Schedule and Verification of Compliance	
• Inform the SF Fire Credit Union of upcoming construction activities that may generate high levels of vibration, including excavator use that may occur within 15 feet of this building (thereby providing a 7-foot protective buffer to the 8-foot distance where damage may occur).					
 Perform vibration monitoring at the SF Fire Credit Union building during excavation activities for the Walnut Building and the California Street Garage when operating heavy equipment (i.e., excavators) within 15 feet of the building foundation. Vibration monitoring shall be conducted on a daily basis, as needed, when heavy equipment operates within 15 feet of the building foundation. When vibration levels exceed allowable threshold the Construction Manager, structural engineer, or other designated person(s) shall be alerted. 					
• Should the measured vibration levels at the SF Fire Credit Union building during excavation for the Walnut Building and the California Street Garage exceed 0.5 PPV (in/sec) at any time, or if damage to the SF Fire Credit Union building is observed, construction personnel shall immediately cease excavation and implement vibration control measures such as adjustment of excavation methods to reduce vibration of soil or use of equipment that generates lower levels of vibration. Examples of equipment that may generate lower levels of vibration may include smaller sized back-hoes.					
• If damage to the SF Fire Credit Union building occurs, the building shall be remediated to its pre-construction condition at the conclusion of ground-disturbing activity, as shown in the pre-construction assessment, with the consent of the building owner.					
Plan Review, Implementation, and Reporting					
The Detailed Vibration Assessment Plan shall be reviewed and approved by the San Francisco Planning Department prior to implementation. Vibration measurements shall be completed by a qualified structural engineer or vibration consultant.					

FINAL MITIGATION MONITORING AND REPORTING PROGRAM FOR 3333 California Street Mixed-Use Project (Includes Text for Adopted Mitigation Measures and Improvement Measures)					
MEASURES ADOPTED AS CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL	Responsibility for Implementation	Mitigation Schedule	Monitoring/Reporting Responsibility	Monitoring Actions Schedule and Verification of Compliance	
A vibration monitoring log report is to be prepared by the Construction Manager or other designated person(s) on a weekly basis during excavation for the Walnut Building and California Street Garage, and shall be made available to the Planning Department Development Performance Coordinator and building department when requested. A final report on the vibration monitoring shall be submitted to the Planning Department following completion of Walnut Building and California Street Garage excavation and prior to the issuance of a Certificate of Occupancy. The report shall document vibration levels, exceedances of the threshold level, if reported, and corrective action(s) taken.					
Mitigation Measure M-NO-3: Stationary Equipment Noise Controls					
Noise attenuation measures shall be incorporated into all stationary equipment (including HVAC equipment) installed on all buildings that include such stationary equipment as necessary to meet noise limits specified in Section 2909 of the Police Code. Interior noise limits shall be met under both existing and future noise conditions. Noise attenuation measures could include provision of sound enclosures/barriers, addition of roof parapets to block noise, increasing setback distances from sensitive receptors, provision of louvered vent openings, and location of vent openings away from adjacent residential uses. After completing installation of the HVAC equipment but before receipt of the Final Certificate of Occupancy for each building, the project sponsor shall conduct noise measurements to ensure that the noise generated by stationary equipment complies with section 2909 (a) and (d) of the San Francisco Noise Ordinance. No Final Certificate of Occupancy shall be issued for any building until the standards in the Noise Ordinance are shown to be met for that building.	Project sponsor and construction contractor(s) shall implement noise attenuation measures and conduct noise measurements identified in M-NO-3.	Prior to issuance of building permit, incorporate practices identified in M-NO-3 into the project construction plans. Before receipt of the Final Certificate of Occupancy for each building, the project sponsor shall conduct noise measurements.	Project sponsor to provide copies of project construction plans to Planning Department that show incorporation of practices identified. Before receipt of the Final Certificate of Occupancy for each building, the project sponsor shall submit noise measurements results to the Planning Department Development Performance Coordinator. The noise measurement results from the stationary equipment shall demonstrate compliance with sections 2909 (a) and (d) of the San Francisco Noise Ordinance.	Considered complete upon submittal of project construction plans incorporating identified practices and noise measurements results demonstrating compliance with the San Francisco Noise Ordinance.	
Biological Resources Mitigation Measures	T	1			
Mitigation Measure M-BI-1: Preconstruction Nesting Bird Surveys and Buffer Areas					
Nesting birds and their nests shall be protected during construction by implementation of the following measures for each construction phase:	Project sponsor and qualified biologist shall	Vegetation/tree removal activities shall be	Before each construction phase. If qualified biologist proposes to	Considered complete upon	

	FINAL MITIGATION MONITORING AND REPORTING PROGRAM FOR 3333 California Street Mixed-Use Project (Includes Text for Adopted Mitigation Measures and Improvement Measures)						
MEA	ASURES ADOPTED AS CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL	Responsibility for Implementation	Mitigation Schedule	Monitoring/Reporting Responsibility	Monitoring Actions Schedule and Verification of Compliance		
a. b.	 To the extent feasible, conduct initial activities including, but not limited to, vegetation removal, tree trimming or removal, ground disturbance, building demolition, site grading, and other construction activities which may compromise breeding birds or the success of their nests outside of the nesting season (January 15 through August 15). If construction during the bird nesting season cannot be fully avoided, a qualified wildlife biologist* shall conduct preconstruction or demolition at areas that have not been previously disturbed by project activities or after any construction breaks of 14 days or more. Surveys shall be performed for suitable habitat within 250 feet of the project site in order to locate any active nests of common bird species and within 500 feet of the project site to locate any active raptor (birds of prey) nests. If active nests are located during the preconstruction nesting bird surveys, a qualified biologist shall evaluate if the schedule of construction activities could affect the active nests and if so, the following measures would apply: If construction is not likely to affect the active nest, construction may proceed without restriction; however, a qualified biologist shall regularly monitor the nest at a frequency determined appropriate for the surrounding construction activity to confirm there is no adverse effect. Spot-check monitoring frequency would be determined on a nest-by-nest basis considering the particular construction activity, duration, proximity to the nest, and physical barriers which may screen activity from the nest. The qualified biologist shall evaluation at any time during the nesting season in coordination with the Planning Department. If it is determined that construction may affect the active nest, the qualified biologist shall establish a no-disturbance buffer around the nest(s) and all project work shall halt 	implement measures to protect nesting birds and their nests.	conducted during the non- breeding season (i.e., August 16 through January 14), OR preconstruction surveys shall be conducted for work scheduled during the breeding season (January 15 through August 15). The preconstruction survey shall be conducted within 14 days prior to the start of work or after any construction breaks of 14 days or more during the bird nesting season (January 15 through August 15)	modify nest buffer distances, Planning Department shall review and approve in coordination with California Department of Fish and Wildlife before the buffer distances are reduced.	completion of preconstruction nesting bird surveys or completion of vegetation removal and grading activities outside of the bird breeding season.		

	FINAL MITIGATION MONITORING AND REPORTING PROGRAM FOR 3333 California Street Mixed-Use Project (Includes Text for Adopted Mitigation Measures and Improvement Measures)					
MEASI	URES ADOPTED AS CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL	Responsibility for Implementation	Mitigation Schedule	Monitoring/Reporting Responsibility	Monitoring Actions Schedule and Verification of Compliance	
	within the buffer until a qualified biologist determines the nest is no longer in use. Typically, these buffer distances are 250 feet for passerines and 500 feet for raptors; however, the buffers may be adjusted if an obstruction, such as a building, is within line-of-sight between the nest and construction.					
iii	i. Modifying nest buffer distances, allowing certain construction activities within the buffer, and/or modifying construction methods in proximity to active nests shall be done at the discretion of the qualified biologist and in coordination with the Planning Department, who would notify CDFW. Necessary actions to remove or relocate an active nest(s) shall be coordinated with the Planning Department and approved by CDFW.					
iv	Any work that must occur within established no-disturbance buffers around active nests shall be monitored by a qualified biologist. If adverse effects in response to project work within the buffer are observed and could compromise the nest, work within the no-disturbance buffer(s) shall halt until the nest occupants have fledged.					
v	Any birds that begin nesting within the project area and survey buffers amid construction activities are assumed to be habituated to construction-related or similar noise and disturbance levels, so exclusion zones around nests may be reduced or eliminated in these cases as determined by the qualified biologist in coordination with the Planning Department, who would notify CDFW. Work may proceed around these active nests as long as the nests and their occupants are not directly impacted.					
p ro q w	n the event inactive nests are observed within or adjacent to the project site at any time throughout the year, any removal or elocation of the inactive nests shall be at the discretion of the jualified biologist in coordination with the Planning Department, who would notify and seek approval from the CDFW, as appropriate. Work may proceed around these inactive nests.					

		REPORTING PROGRAM	A FOR	
	3 California Street Mixe opted Mitigation Measu	d-Use Project res and Improvement Mea	isures)	
MEASURES ADOPTED AS CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL	Responsibility for Implementation	Mitigation Schedule	Monitoring/Reporting Responsibility	Monitoring Actions Schedule and Verification of Compliance
* Typical experience requirements for a "qualified biologist" include a minimum of four years of academic training and professional experience in biological sciences and related resource management activities, and a minimum of two years of experience conducting surveys for each species that may be present within the project area.				
Geology and Soils Mitigation Measures				
Mitigation Measure M-GE-5: Inadvertent Discovery of Paleontological Resources.				
Before the start of any drilling or excavation activities, the project sponsor shall retain a qualified paleontologist, as defined by the Society of Vertebrate Paleontology, who is experienced in on-site construction worker training. The qualified paleontologist shall train all construction personnel who are involved with earthmoving activities, including the site superintendent, regarding the possibility of encountering fossils, the appearance and types of fossils that are likely to be seen during construction, and proper notification procedures should fossils be encountered. If potential vertebrate fossils are discovered by construction crews, all earthwork or other types of ground disturbance within 50 feet of the find shall stop immediately and the monitor shall notify the Environmental Review Officer. The fossil should be protected by an "exclusion zone" (an area approximately five feet around the discovery that is marked with caution tape to prevent damage to the fossil). Work shall not resume until a qualified professional paleontologist can assess the nature and importance of the find. Based on the scientific value or uniqueness of the find, the qualified paleontologist may record the find and allow work to continue, or recommend salvage and recovery of the fossil. The qualified paleontology's 2010 Standard Procedures for the Assessment and Mitigation of Adverse Impacts to Paleontological Resources, and currently accepted scientific practice, and shall be subject to review and approval by the Environmental Review Officer. If required, treatment for fossil remains may include preparation and recovery of fossil materials so that they can be housed in an appropriate museum or university collection [e.g.,	Project sponsor to retain appropriately qualified paleontologist to conduct training for construction personnel and to review procedures for Stop Work notices for inadvertent discoveries. Project sponsor and construction contractor(s) to report any fossils encountered.	Prior to and during any excavation, site preparation or soil disturbance for each construction phase. ERO to approve training materials and ensure notification procedures are up to date.	The project sponsor's paleontological consultant shall notify the ERO immediately if work should stop, as indicated, and consult with the qualified paleontologist to develop recommendations for monitoring, treatment, and salvage, as needed.	Considered complete upon completion of ground-disturbing activities, if no paleontological resources are encountered, or upon completion of recovery or report preparation as directed by the ERO.

FINAL MITIGATION MONITORING AND REPORTING PROGRAM FOR 3333 California Street Mixed-Use Project					
		es and Improvement Mea	sures)		
MEASURES ADOPTED AS CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL	Responsibility for Implementation	Mitigation Schedule	Monitoring/Reporting Responsibility	Monitoring Actions Schedule and Verification of Compliance	
the University of California Museum of Paleontology], and may also include preparation of a report for publication describing the finds. The Planning Department shall ensure that information on the nature, location, and depth of all finds is readily available to the scientific community through university curation or other appropriate means.					
IMPROVEMENT MEASURES FOR THE 3333 CALIFORNIA STREET Measures to avoid or reduce the less-than-significant impacts of the proposed p					
Transportation and Circulation Improvement Measures					
Improvement Measure I-TR-1: Project Construction Updates					
To minimize construction impacts on access for nearby residences, institutions, and businesses, the project sponsor should provide nearby residences and adjacent businesses with regularly updated information regarding construction, including construction activities, peak construction vehicle activities (e.g., concrete pours), travel or parking lane closures, and sidewalk closures via a newsletter and/or website.	Project sponsor and project construction contractor(s).	Implement measure throughout all phases of construction.	Project sponsor and project construction contractor(s) to provide documentation regarding compliance with Improvement Measure I-TR-1 to Planning Department.	Considered complete at the completion of project construction.	
Improvement Measure I-TR-3: Driveway Queue Abatement					
It will be the responsibility of the owner/operator of the proposed parking garage to ensure that recurring vehicle queues do not occur on the public right-of-way. A vehicle queue is defined as one or more vehicles (destined to the parking facility) blocking any portion of any public street, alley or sidewalk for a consecutive period of three minutes or longer on a daily or weekly basis.	Project sponsor/ building management representative and Planning Department.	Ongoing during building occupancy.	Project sponsor/building management representative to ensure that recurring vehicle queues do not occur adjacent to the project site.	Ongoing during building occupancy.	
If a recurring queue occurs, the owner/operator of the parking facility will employ abatement methods as needed to abate the queue. Appropriate abatement methods will vary depending on the characteristics and causes of the recurring queue, as well as the characteristics of the parking facility, the street(s) to which the facility connects, and the associated land uses. Suggested abatement methods include but are not limited to the following: redesign of facility to improve vehicle circulation and/or on-site queue capacity; ingress/egress restrictions, such as limiting access to right-in/right-out; employment of parking attendants; installation of "LOT FULL" signs with active management by parking attendants; use of valet parking or other space-efficient			Planning Department shall notify the project sponsor/ building management representative in writing if recurring queues are suspected. Project sponsor/building management representative to hire a qualified transportation consultant to evaluate the conditions at the site for no less than 7 days. If the Planning		
parking techniques; use of parking occupancy sensors and signage directing			Department determines that a recurring queue does exist, the		

FINAL MITIGATION MONITORING AND REPORTING PROGRAM FOR 3333 California Street Mixed-Use Project					
(Includes Text for Add	opted Mitigation Measu Responsibility for Implementation	res and Improvement Mea Mitigation Schedule	sures) Monitoring/Reporting Responsibility	Monitoring Actions Schedule and Verification of Compliance	
drivers to available spaces; transportation demand management strategies such as customer/employee shuttles, delivery services; and/or parking demand management strategies such as parking time limits, paid parking, time-of-day parking surcharge, or validated parking. If the Planning Director, or his or her designee, suspects that a recurring queue is present, the department will notify the property owner in writing. Upon request, the owner/operator will hire a qualified transportation consultant to evaluate the conditions at the site for no less than seven days. The consultant will prepare a monitoring report to be submitted to the department for review. If the department determines that a recurring queue does exist, the facility owner/operator will have 90 days from the date of the written determination to abate the queue.			project sponsor/building management representative shall have 90 days from the date of the written determination to abate the queue.		
Improvement Measure I-TR-9a: Schedule and Coordinate Deliveries Per Planning Code section 169.5, the project will maintain a transportation demand management (TDM) coordinator. ³ The project's TDM coordinator will work with delivery providers and building tenants to schedule and coordinate loading activities to ensure that any freight loading/service vehicles can be accommodated either in the proposed on-street or on-site/off-street loading spaces. Loading and moving activities will be minimized during peak periods and spread across the day, thereby reducing activity during the peak hour for loading. The TDM coordinator will work with tenants to find opportunities to consolidate deliveries and reduce the need for peak period deliveries whenever possible. Deliveries will be scheduled to minimize loading activities during peak periods and reduce potential for conflicts with traffic, transit, bicyclists, and pedestrians on the surrounding street network. Freight loading/service vehicles will be monitored and actively discouraged from parking illegally or obstructing traffic, transit, bicycle, or pedestrian flow along the project frontages.	Project sponsor/ building management representative/ TDM coordinator.	Prior to issuance of certificates of occupancy for new buildings. Implementation of this measure is ongoing, after building occupancy.	The project sponsor shall provide documentation to the Planning Department regarding procedures to implement this improvement measure.	Ongoing during building occupancy.	
Improvement Measure I-TR-9b: Monitor Loading Activity and Implement Loading Management Strategies as Needed After completion of the proposed project or project variant, the project sponsor will conduct a utilization study of commercial and passenger loading spaces. If	Project sponsor/ building management representative to	After one year of operation of the proposed project or project variant, conduct	The project sponsor shall provide documentation to the Planning Department regarding procedures	Considered complete upon review and	

³ The project sponsor of a development project subject to the requirements of planning code section 169 must designate a TDM coordinator. The TDM coordinator may be an employee for the development project (e.g., property manager) or the project sponsor may contract with a third-party provider(s) (e.g., transportation brokerage services as required for certain projects pursuant to planning code section 163). The TDM coordinator shall be delegated authority to coordinate and implement the TDM Plan.

FINAL MITIGATION MONITORING AND REPORTING PROGRAM FOR 3333 California Street Mixed-Use Project (Includes Text for Adopted Mitigation Measures and Improvement Measures)				
MEASURES ADOPTED AS CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL	Responsibility for Implementation	Mitigation Schedule	Monitoring/Reporting Responsibility	Monitoring Actions Schedule and Verification of Compliance
 the result of the study indicates that fewer than 15 percent of the loading spaces (e.g., 1 space) are available during the peak loading period, the project sponsor will implement loading management strategies and/or provide additional or expanded loading supply to meet the loading demand. Additional loading strategies could include (but are not limited to): Expanding efforts to coordinate with parcel delivery companies to schedule deliveries during off-peak hours Installing delivery supportive amenities such as lock boxes and unassisted delivery systems to allow delivery personnel access and enable off-peak hour deliveries Coordinating delivery services across buildings to enable the delivery of several buildings' packages to a single location Requiring deliveries to the retail and restaurant components of the proposed project or project variant to occur during early morning or late evening hours Reserving on-street parking spaces for smaller delivery vehicles through the SFMTA Temporary Signage Program 	conduct a loading utilization study with an approach reviewed and approved by Planning transportation staff.	loading utilization study.	to implement this improvement measure.	approval of the loading utilization study by the planning department. If Planning determines one or more loading strategies is/are recommended, considered complete upon implementation of loading management strategies.