BOARD of SUPERVISORS



City Hall 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244 San Francisco 94102-4689 Tel. No. 554-5184 Fax No. 554-5163 TDD/TTY No. 554-5227

November 12, 2019

Planning Commission Attn: Jonas Ionin 1650 Mission Street, Ste. 400 San Francisco, CA 94103

Dear Commissioners:

On November 5, 2019, Supervisor Peskin submitted the following proposed legislation:

File No. 191125

Ordinance approving a Development Agreement between the City and County of San Francisco and the Stephens Institute, dba Academy of Art University, and its affiliated entities, as to the Academy's properties, which agreement provides for various public benefits, including among others an "affordable housing payment" of \$37,600,000 and a payment of approximately \$8,200,000 to the City's Small Sites Fund; amending the Planning Code to provide review procedures for Large Noncontiguous Post-Secondary Educational Institutions: waiving conflicting provisions in the Planning and Administrative Codes, including Planning Code, Section 169; confirming compliance with or waiving certain provisions of Administrative Code, Chapters 41 and 56; ratifying certain actions taken in connection with the Development Agreement and authorizing certain actions to be taken consistent with the Development Agreement, as defined herein; affirming the Planning Department's determination under the California Environmental Quality Act, and findings of conformity with the General Plan, and with the eight priority policies of Planning Code, Section 101.1(b); and adopting findings of public convenience, necessity, and welfare under Planning Code, Section 302.

The proposed ordinance is being transmitted pursuant to Planning Code, Section 302(b), for public hearing and recommendation. The ordinance is pending before the Land Use and Transportation Committee and will be scheduled for hearing upon receipt of your response.

Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board

Ign Major

By: Erica Major, Assistant Clerk Land Use and Transportation Committee

c: John Rahaim, Director Scott Sanchez, Acting Deputy Zoning Administrator Corey Teague, Zoning Administrator Lisa Gibson, Environmental Review Officer Devyani Jain, Deputy Environmental Review Officer AnMarie Rodgers, Director of Citywide Planning Dan Sider, Director of Executive Programs Aaron Starr, Manager of Legislative Affairs Joy Navarrete, Environmental Planning Don Lewis, Environmental Planning

ORDINANCE NO.

[Planning, Administrative Codes - Approval of Development Agreement, Conditional Use Procedures for Large Noncontiguous Post-Secondary Educational Institutions, Planning and Administrative Code Waivers]

Ordinance approving a Development Agreement between the City and County of San Francisco and the Stephens Institute, dba Academy of Art University, and its affiliated entities, as to the Academy's properties, which agreement provides for various public benefits, including among others an "affordable housing payment" of \$37,600,000 and a payment of approximately \$8,200,000 to the City's Small Sites Fund; amending the Planning Code to provide review procedures for Large Noncontiguous Post-Secondary Educational Institutions; waiving conflicting provisions in the Planning and Administrative Codes, including Planning Code, Section 169; confirming compliance with or waiving certain provisions of Administrative Code, Chapters 41 and 56; ratifying certain actions taken in connection with the Development Agreement and authorizing certain actions to be taken consistent with the Development Agreement, as defined herein; affirming the Planning Department's determination under the California Environmental Quality Act, and findings of conformity with the General Plan, and with the eight priority policies of Planning Code, Section 101.1(b); and adopting findings of public convenience, necessity, and welfare under Planning Code, Section 302.

NOTE: Unchanged Code text and uncodified text are in plain Arial font. Additions to Codes are in <u>single-underline italics Times New Roman font</u>. Deletions to Codes are in <u>strikethrough italics Times New Roman font</u>. Board amendment additions are in <u>double-underlined Arial font</u>. Board amendment deletions are in <u>strikethrough Arial font</u>. Asterisks (* * * *) indicate the omission of unchanged Code subsections or parts of tables.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco: Section 1. General Background and Findings.

(a) California Government Code Sections 65864 et seq. authorizes any city, county, or city and county to enter into an agreement for the development of real property within the jurisdiction of the city, county, or city and county.

(b) Chapter 56 of the San Francisco Administrative Code ("Chapter 56") sets forth certain procedures for the processing and approval of development agreements in the City and County of San Francisco (the "City").

(c) The Stephens Institute, dba Academy of Art University ("Stephens Institute") is a private for-profit postsecondary academic institution that currently occupies 40 buildings in the City (predominantly in the northeast quadrant) for its educational programs, recreational activities, and student housing. The buildings are owned or leased by the Stephens Institute from affiliated entities (collectively, the "LLC Parties"). This ordinance sometimes refers to the Stephens Institute and the LLC Parties, collectively and individually, as the "Academy."

(d) In 2007, the Stephens Institute occupied 34 buildings. In 28 of those buildings, the Academy had implemented various tenant improvements and changes of use without benefit of required conditional uses, building permits, or other entitlements. To evaluate the potential impacts associated with bringing these 28 buildings into compliance with the Planning Code and to analyze the Academy's then-proposed plans for growth, an Environmental Impact Report ("EIR") and an Existing Sites Technical Memorandum ("ESTM") were prepared between 2010 and 2016. During this period, one or more LLC Parties acquired an additional six buildings beyond the 34 already occupied, bringing the total number of properties owned or occupied by the Academy to 40. Collectively, the 40 properties described in this paragraph are referred to as the "Academy Properties"; the Academy Properties are more particularly described in the July 5, 2019 Academy of Art University Institutional Master Plan, a copy of which is on file with the Planning Department in File No. 2019-012970IMP. The Planning Commission approved the ESTM and certified the Final Environmental Impact

Report ("FEIR"), which analyzed the 40 properties, on July 28, 2016.

(e) On May 6, 2016, the City Attorney of the City and County of San Francisco (the "City Attorney"), on behalf of the City and the People of the State of California, commenced litigation against the Academy and certain LLC Parties in People v. Stephens Institute, et. al, San Francisco Superior Court Number CGC-16-551832 (the "Lawsuit"). In the Lawsuit, the City Attorney alleged violations of the City's Administrative Code, Planning Code, Building Code and the State Unfair Competition Law, California Business and Professions Code Sections 17200 et seq. (the "UCL").

(f) During court-supervised settlement discussions to resolve the Lawsuit, the Academy expressed its commitment to bring its existing uses into compliance with the Planning Code; relocate existing Academy uses or change Academy uses in buildings in accordance with applicable laws in those instances where the Planning Department has determined that legalization is not appropriate or the Academy has agreed to withdraw its use; compensate the City for past violations, including providing affordable housing public benefits to the City; and work cooperatively with the City in planning for future Stephens Institute growth in a manner that accounts for the urban nature of the Stephens Institute campus, without adversely impacting the City's affordable or rent-controlled housing stock, or burdening its transportation system, including, as a part of that plan, building new housing for its students on property zoned for such use.

(g) As a result of those settlement discussions, and under the auspices of the Superior Court, the Academy and the City (collectively "Parties") entered into a non-binding Term Sheet for Global Resolution, dated November 15, 2016 (the "Initial Term Sheet"), as later supplemented by the Parties under the Superior Court's supervision in the Supplement to Term Sheet for Global Resolution, dated July 10, 2019 (the "Supplement"). This ordinance refers to the Initial Term Sheet and the Supplement collectively as the "Term Sheet". The

Term Sheet was intended to provide a basis to resolve all of the outstanding issues relating to the Lawsuit with respect to land use matters, and to establish appropriate principles and processes for land use compliance by the Academy. The Parties made the Term Sheet public, each time with the Court's consent, and the Planning Commission held public hearings relating to the matters addressed in the Term Sheet.

As contemplated by the Term Sheet, the Parties will enter into a comprehensive (h)consent judgment that they will file with the Superior Court seeking the Court's approval and entry of judgment (the "Consent Judgment"). The Consent Judgment contains four main parts: (1) a Settlement Agreement (the "Settlement Agreement"), which is subject to approval by the Board of Supervisors in the ordinance in File No. _____ and includes obligations of the LLC Parties to make payments to the City (including the Affordable Housing Public Benefit, defined below); (2) a Stipulated Injunction (the "Injunction"), which is an exhibit to the Settlement Agreement and provides a mechanism for judicial enforcement of the Academy's obligations under the Settlement Agreement and the Development Agreement; and (3) the Development Agreement, which is also an exhibit to the Settlement Agreement. Also critical to the global resolution that the Consent Judgment would achieve is the instrument securing the LLC Parties' financial obligations under the Settlement Agreement and the Development Agreement. The obligations of the LLC Parties to make the full settlement payments under the Settlement Agreement will be secured by a Guaranty (the "Guaranty") from the Stephens Family Revocable Trust, the Elisa Stephens Revocable Trust, the Scott Alan Stephens Revocable Trust, Elisa Stephens, Scott Alan Stephens, and Susanne Stephens.

(i) As contemplated by the Term Sheet, the Academy proposes to withdraw from,
 and cease any Stephens Institute operations at nine of the 40 Academy Properties referenced
 in subsection (d), to occupy three additional properties, and to bring all of the remaining 34

Supervisors Peskin; Yee BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

properties owned by the LLC Parties and used by the Stephens Institute or intended for future Stephens Institute use into compliance with the Planning Code ("Project"). The Project requires the City's approval of a variety of permits and authorizations, including: (1) approval of a conditional use authorization by the Planning Commission to reflect the approval of the use of 34 properties (primarily in the northeast quadrant of the City) and to grant certain exceptions to the Planning Code, (2) the approval of permits to alter and certificates of appropriateness by the Historic Preservation Commission, (3) amendment of the Planning Code to permit uses that are currently not permitted at certain properties, and (4) building permits and associated approvals from other City departments for a variety of other building alterations and street improvements including without limitation the removal and installation of signage, the removal and repair of nonconforming awnings and exterior alterations, the installation of Class 1 and Class 2 bike racks, the removal of curb cuts, and the replacement of certain windows.

(j) The Stephens Institute filed an application with the Planning Department for approval of a development agreement relating to the Project (the "Development Agreement") under Chapter 56. A copy of the Development Agreement is on file with the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors in File No. _____.

(k) As set forth in the Development Agreement, the Academy requests legalization of certain previously unpermitted alterations and changes in use at the Academy Properties. The Academy also seeks approval of the work necessary to correct or reverse other previously unpermitted alterations and changes, and to bring these properties into compliance with the Planning Code including, where applicable, Planning Code Articles 10 and 11.

(I) The Development Agreement requires the Academy to obtain all necessary
 permits to perform corrective work at the 34 properties referenced in subsection (i) and
 complete all work necessary to bring these buildings into compliance with the Planning Code

pursuant to the Schedule of Performance Schedule set forth as Exhibit E to the Development Agreement.

(m) While the Development Agreement is between the City, acting primarily through the Planning Department, and the Academy, other City agencies retain a role in reviewing and issuing certain later approvals for the Project, including approval of building permits. All affected City agencies have consented to or will consent to the Development Agreement.

(n) Concurrently with this ordinance, the Board is taking a number of actions in
 furtherance of the Project, including approval of a Settlement Agreement, Consent Judgment,
 Stipulated Injunction and Guaranty, and other approvals as generally described in the
 Development Agreement, including Exhibit D to the Development Agreement (the
 "Approvals").

(o) Public benefits to the City from the Project include: (1) an "Affordable Housing Benefit" defined as the cash payment by the LLC Parties of \$37,600,000 to the City to be used by the City solely for affordable housing purposes, with a first priority for uses related to the creation or preservation of single room occupancy (SRO) units in those supervisorial districts in which the City alleges the Academy unlawfully converted SRO buildings to student housing, in such manner as the City, acting by and through the Mayor's Office of Housing and Community Development, may determine in its sole discretion; (2) a cash payment by the LLC Parties to the City's Small Sites Fund approximately \$8,200,000; (3); an agreement by the Stephens Institute to meet all future housing needs for its students through new construction on property that is zoned for such use, or conversion of existing non-residential, non-PDR (not zoned or operated as production, distribution and repair businesses) structures to student housing use, to not promise new students more housing units than the number of lawful units that are at its disposal, to not temporarily house its students in non-Academy facilities with limited exceptions, and to provide housing to increase the percentage of housing it provides to

On Campus Students (defined as on-site, full-time undergraduate and graduate students taking no more than one course online per semester) pursuant to a "Housing Metering" formula agreed to by the Parties; (4) payment by the LLC Parties to the Planning Department of Planning Code penalties totaling \$1,000,000; and (5) payment by the LLC Parties to the City Attorney's Office of Unfair Competition Law penalties totaling \$6,000,000. In addition, the Academy will pay impact, fair share, and in lieu fees totaling in excess of \$3,500,000. The total of all payments detailed in this subsection (o) will exceed \$58,000,000. Further, the Academy will pay permit fees and the City's administrative costs in connection with the processing of the Development Agreement.

Section 2: Environmental Findings.

(a) On July 28, 2016, by Motion No. 19704, the Planning Commission certified as adequate, accurate, and complete the FEIR for the Project pursuant to the California Environmental Quality Act (California Public Resources Code Sections 21000 et seq.)
 ("CEQA"). A copy of Planning Commission Motion No. 19704 is on file with the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors in File No. ______.

(b) On October 9, 2019, the Planning Department issued an Addendum to the FEIR
("Addendum"), in which it determined that the actions contemplated in this ordinance comply with CEQA. The Addendum is on file with the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors in File No.
_______ and is incorporated herein by reference. The Board affirms this determination.
(c) On November 20, 2019, by Motion No. ______, the Historic Preservation
Commission adopted CEQA findings; on November 21, 2019, by Motion No. ______, the
Planning Commission adopted findings (the "CEQA Findings"). These motions are on file with the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors in File No. ______. In accordance with the actions contemplated in this ordinance, the Board has reviewed the FEIR, the Addendum, and related documents, and adopts as its own and incorporates by reference as though fully set forth

herein the CEQA Findings, including the statement of overriding considerations, and the MMRP.

Section 3. Planning Code Findings.

(a) On November 7, 2019, the Planning Commission, in Resolution No.

______, adopted findings that the actions contemplated in this ordinance are consistent, on balance, with the City's General Plan and eight priority policies of Planning Code Section 101.1. The Board adopts these findings as its own. A copy of said Resolution is on file with the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors in File No. _____, and is incorporated herein by reference.

(b) Pursuant to Planning Code Section 302, the Board finds that these Planning Code amendments will serve the public necessity, convenience, and welfare for the reasons set forth in Planning Commission Resolution No. _____, and the Board incorporates such reasons herein by reference. A copy of said Resolution is on file with the Board of Supervisors in File No. _____.

Section 4. Article 3 of the Planning Code is hereby amended by adding Sections 304.6 and 304.7, to read as follows:

<u>SEC. 304.6. REVIEW PROCEDURES FOR LARGE NONCONTIGUOUS POST-</u> <u>SECONDARY EDUCATIONAL INSTITUTIONS.</u>

(a) Intent. This Section 304.6 establishes a comprehensive and consolidated public review process through which the Planning Commission shall review proposals involving Post-Secondary Educational Institutions that meet prescribed criteria and would otherwise be subject to multiple approval processes and hearings.

(b) Applicability. This Section 304.6 applies to all properties owned, occupied, or operated, in any capacity, by a Large Noncontiguous Post-Secondary Educational Institution. For purposes of this Section, a Large Noncontiguous Post-Secondary Educational Institution is an organization or entity

that, regardless of certification by the Western Association of Schools and Colleges or primary course of study, meets all other requirements for a Post-Secondary Educational Institution, and satisfies all of the following conditions:

(1) is subject to the Institutional Master Plan requirements of Section 304.5 of this <u>Code</u>;

(2) is a for-profit institution; and

(3) owns, occupies, or operates, in any capacity, 10 or more properties that are located in three or more non-overlapping Clusters anywhere in the City. For purposes of this subsection (b)(3), a Cluster is a circular area with a ¼-mile diameter that encompasses one or more properties. Clusters shall be drawn so that the fewest number of Clusters are required to encompass all such properties, without any one Cluster overlapping with any other.

(c) Master Conditional Use Authorization. Any number of individual Conditional Use Authorizations or building permits sought by a Large Noncontiguous Post-Secondary Educational Institution under this Section 304.6 may be sought under a single application for Conditional Use Authorization, also referred to as a "Master Conditional Use Authorization," and may be acted on in a single action of the Planning Commission, regardless of the number of distinct properties involved. Determination on such Master Conditional Use Authorization shall be made pursuant to the criteria in Section 303(c) of this Code. In considering such Master Conditional Use Authorization, the Commission may consider such exceptions to the Planning Code as may be necessary to implement the Master Conditional Use Authorization.

(d) Master Certificate of Appropriateness. Any number of individual Certificates of Appropriateness may be sought by a Large Noncontiguous Post-Secondary Educational Institution under a single application for a Certificate of Appropriateness, also referred to as a "Master Certificate of Appropriateness," and acted on by single action of the Historic Preservation Commission, regardless of the number of distinct properties involved. Determination on such Master

Certificate of Appropriateness shall be made as set forth in Section 1006.6 of this Code and in other provisions of the Municipal Code, as applicable. Additionally, no application made under this Section 304.6 shall be considered a Minor Alteration under Section 1006.2 of this Code.

(e) Master Permit to Alter. Any number of individual Permits to Alter may be sought by a Large Noncontiguous Post-Secondary Educational Institution under a single application for a Permit to Alter, also referred to as a "Master Permit to Alter," and acted on by single action of the Historic Preservation Commission, regardless of the number of distinct properties involved. Determination on such Master Permit to Alter shall be made as set forth in Section 1111 of this Code and in other provisions of the Municipal Code, as applicable. Additionally, no application made under this Section 304.6 shall be considered a Minor Alteration under Section 1111.1 of this Code.

(f) No Discretionary Review. No requests for Discretionary Review shall be accepted by the Planning Department or heard by the Planning Commission for any permits or other applications subject to this Section 304.6(c).

(g) Sunset. This Section 304.6 shall remain in effect until the later of: (1) the date on which all work has been completed as required pursuant to the Schedule of Performance (Exhibit E) of the Development Agreement by and among the City and County of San Francisco and the Stephens Institute, dba Academy of Art University and the LLC Parties, and (2) January 1, 2025.

SEC. 304.7. ADDITIONAL PROVISIONS APPLICABLE TO LARGE

NONCONTIGUOUS POST-SECONDARY EDUCATIONAL INSTITUTIONS.

In cases where the City enters into a Development Agreement with a Large Noncontiguous University, all of the following additional provisions apply:

(a) where such Development Agreement provides the City compensation for the loss of specific Residential Units that are not Student Housing units, the restrictions of Section 317(e) of this Code may be waived through a Master Conditional Use Authorization under Section 304.6;

(b) where such Development Agreement authorizes the conversion of no more than one property

Supervisors Peskin; Yee BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

from an industrial use subject to Section 202.8 of this Code to an Institutional Use, the Conditional Use Authorization requirements and other restrictions of Section 202.8 shall be met by application for a Master Conditional Use Authorization under Section 304.6; and

(c) where such Development Agreement would expand the number of guest rooms subject to the provisions of Chapter 41 of the Administrative Code, the density limitations of Article 2 of this Code shall not apply to the property with the expanded number of guestrooms.

Section 5. Development Agreement.

(a) The Board of Supervisors approves all of the terms and conditions of the Development Agreement, in substantially the form on file with the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors in File No. _____.

(b) The Board of Supervisors approves and authorizes the execution, delivery and performance by the City of the Development Agreement as follows: (1) the Director of Planning is authorized to execute and deliver the Development Agreement, and (2) the Director of Planning and other applicable City officials are authorized to take all actions reasonably necessary or prudent to perform the City's obligations under the Development Agreement in accordance with the terms of the Development Agreement.

(c) The Director of Planning, at the Director's discretion and in consultation with the City Attorney, is authorized to enter into any additions, amendments, or other modifications to the Development Agreement that the Director of Planning determines are in the best interests of the City and that do not materially increase the obligations or liabilities of the City or materially decrease the benefits to the City as provided in the Development Agreement.

(d) The approval of the Development Agreement under this ordinance is contingent on the Board of Supervisors' approval of the companion ordinance approving the Settlement Agreement, in Board of Supervisors File No. _____.

|||

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Section 6. Board Authorization and Appropriation; Waiver/Override of Municipal Code Provisions.

(a) By approving the Development Agreement, the Board of Supervisors authorizes the Controller and City Departments to accept the funds paid by the Academy as set forth therein, and to appropriate and use the funds for the purposes described therein. The Board expressly approves the use of the Impact Fees as described and set forth in the Development Agreement.

(b) The Board of Supervisors waives or overrides any provision in Article 4 of the Planning Code and Chapter 10 of the Administrative Code that would conflict with the uses of these funds as described in the Development Agreement.

Section 7. Administrative Code Conformity and Waivers.

In connection with the Development Agreement, the Board of Supervisors finds that the City has substantially complied with the requirements of Administrative Code Chapters 41 and 56, and waives any requirement to the extent not strictly followed. The Development Agreement shall prevail in the event of any conflict between the Development Agreement and Administrative Code Chapters 41 and 56, and without limiting the generality of the foregoing, the following provisions of Administrative Code Chapter 56 are waived or deemed satisfied as follows:

 (a) The Project comprises 43 discrete properties located throughout the City and is the type of large multi-phase and/or mixed-use development contemplated by the Administrative Code and therefore satisfies the provisions of Chapter 56, Section 56.3(g).

(b) Any provisions of the Development Agreement that conflict with the provisions of Administrative Code Chapter 56 shall apply.

(c) The provisions of the Development Agreement regarding any amendment or termination, including those relating to "Material Change," shall apply in lieu of the provisions

Supervisors Peskin; Yee BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

of Chapter 56, Sections 56.15 and Section 56.18.

(d) The provisions of Chapter 56, Section 56.20 have been satisfied by agreement set forth in the Settlement Agreement and Development Agreement for the reimbursement of City costs.

(e) The Board of Supervisors waives the applicability of Section 56.4 ("Application,Forms, Initial Notice, Hearing") and Section 56.10 ("Negotiation Report and Documents").

(f) The Board of Supervisors waives the applicability of Section 56.3(b) ("Applicant/Developer").

Section 8. Planning Code Waivers.

(a) The Board of Supervisors finds that the Impact Fees due under the Development Agreement will provide greater benefits to the City than the impact fees and exactions under Planning Code Article 4 and waives the application of, and to the extent applicable exempts the Project from, impact fees and exactions under Planning Code Article 4 on the condition that Developer pays the Impact Fees due under the Development Agreement.

(b) The Board of Supervisors finds that the Transportation Management Plan ("TMP") attached as Exhibit H to the Term Sheet includes provisions requiring that the Academy develop, implement, and provide a shuttle management plan, and provide bicycle parking, and other provisions that meet the goals of the City's Transportation Demand Management Program in Planning Code Section 169, and waives the application of Section 169 to the Project on the condition that the Academy implements and complies with the TMP.

Section 9. Ratification.

All actions taken by City officials in preparing and submitting the Development Agreement to the Board of Supervisors for review and consideration are hereby ratified and

confirmed, and the Board of Supervisors hereby authorizes all subsequent action to be taken by City officials consistent with this ordinance.

Section 10. Effective Date. This ordinance shall become effective 30 days after enactment. Enactment occurs when the Mayor signs the ordinance, the Mayor returns the ordinance unsigned or does not sign the ordinance within ten days of receiving it, or the Board of Supervisors overrides the Mayor's veto of the ordinance.

Section 11. Scope of Ordinance. In enacting this ordinance, the Board of Supervisors intends to amend only those words, phrases, paragraphs, subsections, sections, articles, numbers, punctuation marks, charts, diagrams, or any other constituent parts of the Municipal Code that are explicitly shown in this ordinance as additions, deletions, Board amendment additions, and Board amendment deletions in accordance with the "Note" that appears under the official title of the ordinance.

APPROVED AS TO FORM: DENNIS J. HERRERA, City Attorney

By: KRISTEN A. JENSEN Deputy City Attorney

n:\legana\as2019\2000164\01404439.docx

LEGISLATIVE DIGEST

[Planning, Administrative Codes - Approval of Development Agreement, Conditional Use Procedures for Large Noncontiguous Post-Secondary Educational Institutions, Planning and Administrative Code Waivers]

Ordinance approving a Development Agreement between the City and County of San Francisco and the Stephens Institute, dba Academy of Art University, and its affiliated entities, as to the Academy's properties, which agreement provides for various public benefits, including among others an "affordable housing payment" of \$37,600,000 and a payment of approximately \$8,200,000 to the City's Small Sites Fund; amending the Planning Code to provide review procedures for Large Noncontiguous Post-Secondary Educational Institutions; waiving conflicting provisions in the Planning and Administrative Codes, including Planning Code, Section 169; confirming compliance with or waiving certain provisions of Administrative Code, Chapters 41 and 56; ratifying certain actions taken in connection with the Development Agreement and authorizing certain actions to be taken consistent with the Development Agreement, as defined herein; affirming the Planning Department's determination under the California Environmental Quality Act, and findings of conformity with the General Plan, and with the eight priority policies of Planning Code, Section 101.1(b); and adopting findings of public convenience, necessity, and welfare under Planning Code, Section 302.

Existing Law

California Government Code section 65864 *et seq.* (the "Development Agreement Statute") and San Francisco Administrative Code Chapter 56 ("Chapter 56") authorize the City to enter into a development agreement regarding the development of real property.

San Francisco Administrative Code Chapter 41 ("Chapter 41") regulates Single Room Occupancy Hotels ("SROs"), and provides procedures for converting SRO rooms to non-SRO uses.

Amendments to Current Law

This ordinance approves a development agreement between the City and County of San Francisco ("City") and the Stephens Institute (dba Academy of Art University) and its affiliated entities (collectively, "Academy") in accordance with the Development Agreement Statute and Chapter 56. The development agreement resolves a lawsuit brought by the City Attorney, on behalf of the City and the State of California, for violations of the San Francisco Planning and Building Codes and the State Unfair Competition Law ("UCL"), provides the Academy the right to legalize certain uses at 31 properties currently operated by the Academy, to legally occupy three new properties, to perform corrective work as necessary at its properties to bring those properties into compliance with City codes, to pay an affordable housing benefit to the City, to make a payment to the City's Small Sites Fund to compensate for SRO units the Academy

converted to student housing, and to pay Planning enforcement and Unfair Competition Law penalties, all as described in the development agreement. The development agreement also lifts the Administrative Code Chapter 41 designation from 31 SRO rooms at two properties owned by Academy affiliates, and relocate those designations to 860 Sutter Street. In addition, 8 net new SRO rooms at 860 Sutter will become Chapter 41-designated Residential Guest Rooms, so that the entire building will be subject to Chapter 41.

The ordinance ratifies all steps taken in furtherance of adopting the development agreement, including waiving any inconsistencies between the development agreement and chapters 41 and 56 of the Administrative Code.

The ordinance also includes amendments to the Planning Code creating consolidated, master permitting procedures applicable to Large Noncontiguous Post-Secondary Educational Institutions, including the Academy. There are no proposed amendments to Chapter 56.

Background Information

The development agreement is the product of the settlement of a lawsuit brought by the City against the Academy for failing to obtain necessary change of use and other required permits and approvals prior to occupying and operating at 40 properties around the City. The development agreement has a term of 25 years and provides for numerous public benefits including payment to the City of a substantial Affordable Housing Benefit to be allocated by the Mayor's Office of Housing and Community Development; payment of Planning Code and UCL penalties for past violations; agreements regarding the Academy's provisions of housing to its students; the withdrawal and cessation of all further use at nine of the Academy's properties; legalization of Academy uses at the remaining 31 properties currently occupied by the Academy; and approval of new uses at three additional properties. The development agreement also requires the Academy to obtain permits for and make internal and external building modifications to remove, legalize, or modify unpermitted work, to provide a comprehensive signage program including the removal of certain existing signs and placement of new code compliant signage, and to implement the legalization of certain uses.

By separate legislation, the Board will consider the settlement agreement, a consent decree and stipulated injunction, through which the City will be able to enforce the terms of the settlement and development agreements, and a guaranty to ensure that the Academy and related entities fulfill their financial responsibilities under the settlement and development agreements.