BOARD of SUPERVISORS



City Hall
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco 94102-4689
Tel. No. 554-5184
Fax No. 554-5163
TDD/TTY No. 554-5227

January 2, 2020

The Honorable E. Dotson Wilson Chief Clerk of the Assembly California State Assembly California State Capitol, Room 3196 Sacramento, CA 95814

Re: Board of Supervisors Resolution No. 541-19

Dear Chief Clerk Wilson:

On December 17, 2019, the Board of Supervisors for the City and County of San Francisco adopted Resolution No. 541-19 (Opposing California State Senate Bill No. 50 (Wiener) - Housing Development: Incentives - Unless Amended), which was enacted on December 20, 2019.

The Board of Supervisors directs the Clerk of the Board to forward the following document to the attention of the California State Assembly:

One certified copy of Resolution No. 541-19 (File No. 190398)

If you have any questions or require additional information, please contact the Office of the Clerk of the Board at (415) 554-5184, or by e-mail: board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org.

Sincerely,

Angela Calvillo

Clerk of the Board

c. Supervisors Gordon Mar, Rafael Mandelman, Norman Yee, Sandra Lee Fewer, Aaron Peskin, Shamann Walton, Hillary Ronen, Matt Haney, and Dean Preston Sophia Kittler, Mayor's Legislative Liaison to the Board of Supervisors Eddie McCaffrey, Mayor's Manager of State and Federal Legislative Affairs Andres Power, Mayor's Policy Director Paul Yoder, City Lobbyist - Shaw/Yoder/Antwih Inc.



City and County of San Francisco Certified Copy

City Hall
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102-4689

Resolution

190398

[Opposing California State Senate Bill No. 50 (Wiener) - Housing Development: Incentives - Unless Amended]

Sponsors: Mar; Mandelman, Yee, Fewer, Peskin, Walton, Ronen, Haney and Preston Resolution opposing California State Senate Bill No. 50, authored by Senator Scott Wiener, which would undermine community participation in planning for the well-being of the environment and the public good, prevent the public from recapturing an equitable portion of the economic benefits conferred to private interests, and significantly restrict San Francisco's ability to protect vulnerable communities from displacement and gentrification, unless further amended.

4/9/2019 Board of Supervisors - AMENDED, AN AMENDMENT OF THE WHOLE BEARING SAME TITLE

Ayes: 11 - Brown, Fewer, Haney, Mandelman, Mar, Peskin, Ronen, Safai, Stefani, Walton and Yee

4/9/2019 Board of Supervisors - DUPLICATED

4/9/2019 Board of Supervisors - RE-REFERRED AS AMENDED

Ayes: 11 - Brown, Fewer, Haney, Mandelman, Mar, Peskin, Ronen, Safai, Stefani, Walton and Yee

12/17/2019 Board of Supervisors - ADOPTED

Ayes: 10 - Fewer, Haney, Mandelman, Mar, Peskin, Preston, Ronen, Stefani, Walton and Yee

Noes: 1 - Safai

12/20/2019 Mayor - RETURNED UNSIGNED

STATE OF CALIFORNIA CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

CLERK'S CERTIFICATE

I do hereby certify that the foregoing Resolution is a full, true, and correct copy of the original thereof on file in this office.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand and affixed the offical seal of the City and County of San Francisco.

December 30, 2019

Date

Angela Calvillo

[Opposing California State Senate Bill No. 50 (Wiener) - Housing Development: Incentives - Unless Amended]

Resolution opposing California State Senate Bill No. 50, authored by Senator Scott Wiener, which would undermine community participation in planning for the well-being of the environment and the public good, prevent the public from recapturing an equitable portion of the economic benefits conferred to private interests, and significantly restrict San Francisco's ability to protect vulnerable communities from displacement and gentrification, unless further amended.

WHEREAS, The California State Legislature is currently considering passage of State Senate Bill No. 50 (SB 50), which would entitle real estate developers to increase residential and mixed-use development with significantly less public review, and in excess of many existing local community plans, which are often developed after extensive public participation, in concert with our regional governing agencies and consistent with state planning mandates; and

WHEREAS, SB 50 incentivizes private market-rate housing development unaffordable to most San Franciscans without guaranteeing increased affordable housing development, even though the San Francisco Planning Department's Housing Development Pipeline report shows San Francisco has met 100 percent of its Regional Housing Needs Assessment goal for above-moderate housing through the year 2022 but less than 30 percent of moderate and low-income housing goals; and has 72,565 units in the pipeline with only 20% affordable units, despite the fact that 57% of the need is for affordable housing; and

WHEREAS, The City and County of San Francisco along with many other communities is striving to address the social and environmental impacts of regional growth of private

industry, which include displacement of low-income seniors, working families, and communities of color, and strained public transit and infrastructure; and

WHEREAS, The City has been most successful managing this growth through the adoption of local community plans, which included significant upzoning and subsequent housing production, and SB 50 restricts the City's ability to adopt local community plans to assure equitable and affordable development in all its neighborhoods; and

WHEREAS, SB 50 undermines sound public policy to capture some of the value created through upzoning policy to be used for affordable housing, and instead confers significant value to private properties through upzoning policy without increasing affordability requirements for San Francisco, without recognizing or conforming to the standards of the City's established "HomeSF" program which increases specific affordable housing requirements in exchange for projects receiving height and density increases; and

WHEREAS, SB 50 formulaically defines "sensitive communities" and only establishes an optional and temporary deferral for "sensitive communities", which is insufficient to meet its apparent purpose to control displacement while expanding growth; and

WHEREAS, SB 50 fails to encompass many areas threatened by development-driven displacement and gentrification, including parts of the Mission, Chinatown, SoMa, Portola, the Bayview, Castro, Inner Richmond and others; and denies the City the ability to adjust or expand the boundaries of "sensitive communities" based upon research and community testimony; and

WHEREAS, SB 50, by incentivizing market-rate development, will exacerbate displacement pressures in neighborhoods not in a "sensitive community", which experience gentrification in hot-markets cities like San Francisco, including displacement of working-class, cash-poor homeowners; and will exacerbate barriers to develop non-speculative, permanently-affordable housing in these neighborhoods, which already have significant

barriers to affordable housing production, especially in neighborhoods without a local community plan to facilitate and guide increased development; and

WHEREAS, SB 50 alone appears to preserve local demolition controls and other local planning processes, but when combined with other state laws such as SB 330, undermines the ability of local governments to protect existing tenants, housing, and small businesses, and to raise affordability requirements, and otherwise advance the public good through demolition controls and local community plans, now, therefore, be it

RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco continues to oppose SB 50 unless amended to cure these concerns; and, be it

FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco is committed to working with its State Legislative Delegation to craft the necessary amendments to SB 50 to protect San Francisco's sovereign charter authority, guarantee housing affordability, and adequately protect vulnerable communities; and, be it

FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco requests that SB 50 be amended to:

1) Ensure SB 50 not apply within areas in San Francisco subject to a local community plan that resulted in increased density and affordable housing benefits from previous zoning. This includes plans a local government has adopted or is in the process of adopting. SB 50 could include a provision for local governments to "optin" to SB 50 state land-use interventions for a local community plan area as early as July 1, 2021, pursuant to consultation with community-based organizations in the particular area

24

25

- 2) Ensure communities in hot-market cities, like San Francisco which is meeting or exceeding its Regional Housing Needs Assessment production goals for above-moderate income housing, are afforded sufficient opportunity to create local community plans and submit draft EIRs by January 2026 in lieu of SB 50 state land use preemptions. This local community plan alternative shall include, at a minimum:
 - Rezoning to permit multifamily housing development at a range of income levels to meet unmet needs, as informed by the Regional Housing Needs Assessment production goals
 - b. Substantial increases to overall housing development capacity, particularly near transit stops, to meet unmet needs, as informed by the Regional Housing Needs Assessment and in the context of existing zoned residential development capacity
 - c. Increased and explicit affordable housing benefits that meet or exceed the minimum affordability standards set forth in SB 50, and meet or exceed the existing local baseline Inclusionary standard for development projects
 - d. Increased displacement and demolition protections for vulnerable residents that meet or exceed the standards set forth in SB 50

SB 50 should exempt San Francisco from SB 330 and other state laws that would render this local community plan alternative with its minimum requirements infeasible.

3) Ensure Sensitive Communities in San Francisco are properly delineated and exempted from SB 50. The definition shall aim to include all residents at risk of displacement and areas with a history of community gentrification and displacement. The "sensitive community" definition in San Francisco shall be

Urban Displacement Project and conform, at a minimum, to the 12/11/18 map prepared by the Equity Caucus of the Committee to House the Bay Area (CASA) Geography Working Group. SB 50 could include a provision to "opt-in" to SB 50 state land use interventions for a "sensitive community" as early as July 1, 2021, pursuant to consultation with community-based organizations in the particular area

- 4) Ensure all SB 50 projects are required to make affordable housing contributions substantially higher than existing local affordable housing standards potentially applicable for the site. In San Francisco, affordable housing requirements should be commensurate to the City's "HomeSF" program standard for progressive value capture
- 5) Ensure clear and strong tenant protection, anti-vacancy, and anti-demolition provisions with sufficient and robust state funding, programming, and enforcement to protect all tenants from displacement triggered by SB 50 upzoning
- 6) Ensure areas impacted by SB 50 showing demonstrable efforts to increase housing (e.g. entitlements) receive increased transportation incentives, especially where services and infrastructure are currently inadequate, subject to delays and overcrowding, and/or deficient in their state of repair. Transportation incentives tied to SB 50 could include, but is not limited to:
 - a. Direct capital and service investments through a bonus pot of grant funds tied to housing provision, a higher share of formula funds distributed by the state (e.g. LCTOP/Low Carbon Transit Operations Program) for associated

projects and programs, priority in state-funded competitive grant programs (e.g. TIRCP/Transit Intercity Rail Capital Program and AHSC or Affordable Housing/Sustainable Communities cap and trade funds), and

b. Allowances for jurisdictions to impose private sector development impact fees, CEQA exemptions for public transportation projects for land use changes triggered by SB 50, and/or funds for local community transportation planning; and, be it

FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco directs the Clerk of the Board to transmit copies of this resolution to the State Legislature and the City Lobbyist upon passage.



City and County of San Francisco Tails

City Hall
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102-4689

Resolution

File Number: 190398

Date Passed: December 17, 2019

Resolution opposing California State Senate Bill No. 50, authored by Senator Scott Wiener, which would undermine community participation in planning for the well-being of the environment and the public good, prevent the public from recapturing an equitable portion of the economic benefits conferred to private interests, and significantly restrict San Francisco's ability to protect vulnerable communities from displacement and gentrification, unless further amended.

April 09, 2019 Board of Supervisors - AMENDED, AN AMENDMENT OF THE WHOLE BEARING SAME TITLE

Ayes: 11 - Brown, Fewer, Haney, Mandelman, Mar, Peskin, Ronen, Safai, Stefani, Walton and Yee

April 09, 2019 Board of Supervisors - RE-REFERRED AS AMENDED

Ayes: 11 - Brown, Fewer, Haney, Mandelman, Mar, Peskin, Ronen, Safai, Stefani, Walton and Yee

December 05, 2019 Government Audit and Oversight Committee - AMENDED, AN AMENDMENT OF THE WHOLE BEARING SAME TITLE

December 05, 2019 Government Audit and Oversight Committee - CONTINUED AS AMENDED

December 11, 2019 Government Audit and Oversight Committee - RECOMMENDED

December 17, 2019 Board of Supervisors - ADOPTED

Ayes: 10 - Fewer, Haney, Mandelman, Mar, Peskin, Preston, Ronen, Stefani, Walton and Yee Noes: 1 - Safai

I hereby certify that the foregoing Resolution was ADOPTED on 12/17/2019 by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

Angela Calvillo
Clerk of the Board

Unsigned

London N. Breed Mayor 12/20/19

Date Approved

I hereby certify that the foregoing resolution, not being signed by the Mayor within the time limit as set forth in Section 3.103 of the Charter, or time waived pursuant to Board Rule 2.14.2, became effective without her approval in accordance with the provision of said Section 3.103 of the Charter or Board Rule 2.14.2.

Angela Calvillo Clerk of the Board 12/20/2010

Date

BOARD of SUPERVISORS



City Hall
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco 94102-4689
Tel. No. 554-5184
Fax No. 554-5163
TDD/TTY No. 554-5227

January 2, 2020

The Honorable Erika Contreras Secretary of the Senate California State Senate California State Capitol, Room 3044 Sacramento, CA 95814

Re: Board of Supervisors Resolution No. 541-19

Dear Secretary Contreras:

On December 17, 2019, the Board of Supervisors for the City and County of San Francisco adopted Resolution No. 541-19 (Opposing California State Senate Bill No. 50 (Wiener) - Housing Development: Incentives - Unless Amended), which was enacted on December 20, 2019.

The Board of Supervisors directs the Clerk of the Board to forward the following document to the attention of the California State Senate:

• One certified copy of Resolution No. 541-19 (File No. 190398)

If you have any questions or require additional information, please contact the Office of the Clerk of the Board at (415) 554-5184, or by e-mail: board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org.

Sincerely,

↑ Angela Calvillo

Clerk of the Board

c. Supervisors Gordon Mar, Rafael Mandelman, Norman Yee, Sandra Lee Fewer, Aaron Peskin, Shamann Walton, Hillary Ronen, Matt Haney, and Dean Preston Sophia Kittler, Mayor's Legislative Liaison to the Board of Supervisors Eddie McCaffrey, Mayor's Manager of State and Federal Legislative Affairs Andres Power, Mayor's Policy Director Paul Yoder, City Lobbyist - Shaw/Yoder/Antwih Inc.



City and County of San Francisco Certified Copy

City Hall
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102-4689

Resolution

190398

[Opposing California State Senate Bill No. 50 (Wiener) - Housing Development: Incentives - Unless Amended]

Sponsors: Mar; Mandelman, Yee, Fewer, Peskin, Walton, Ronen, Haney and Preston Resolution opposing California State Senate Bill No. 50, authored by Senator Scott Wiener, which would undermine community participation in planning for the well-being of the environment and the public good, prevent the public from recapturing an equitable portion of the economic benefits conferred to private interests, and significantly restrict San Francisco's ability to protect vulnerable communities from displacement and gentrification, unless further amended.

4/9/2019 Board of Supervisors - AMENDED, AN AMENDMENT OF THE WHOLE BEARING SAME TITLE

Ayes: 11 - Brown, Fewer, Haney, Mandelman, Mar, Peskin, Ronen, Safai, Stefani, Walton and Yee

4/9/2019 Board of Supervisors - DUPLICATED

4/9/2019 Board of Supervisors - RE-REFERRED AS AMENDED

Ayes: 11 - Brown, Fewer, Haney, Mandelman, Mar, Peskin, Ronen, Safai, Stefani, Walton and Yee

12/17/2019 Board of Supervisors - ADOPTED

Ayes: 10 - Fewer, Haney, Mandelman, Mar, Peskin, Preston, Ronen, Stefani, Walton and Yee

Noes: 1 - Safai

12/20/2019 Mayor - RETURNED UNSIGNED

STATE OF CALIFORNIA CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO **CLERK'S CERTIFICATE**

I do hereby certify that the foregoing Resolution is a full, true, and correct copy of the original thereof on file in this office.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand and affixed the offical seal of the City and County of San Francisco.

December 30, 2019

Date

Angela Calvillo

lerk of the Board

Printed at 11:54 am on 12/30/19

[Opposing California State Senate Bill No. 50 (Wiener) - Housing Development: Incentives - Unless Amended]

Resolution opposing California State Senate Bill No. 50, authored by Senator Scott Wiener, which would undermine community participation in planning for the well-being of the environment and the public good, prevent the public from recapturing an equitable portion of the economic benefits conferred to private interests, and significantly restrict San Francisco's ability to protect vulnerable communities from displacement and gentrification, unless further amended.

WHEREAS, The California State Legislature is currently considering passage of State Senate Bill No. 50 (SB 50), which would entitle real estate developers to increase residential and mixed-use development with significantly less public review, and in excess of many existing local community plans, which are often developed after extensive public participation, in concert with our regional governing agencies and consistent with state planning mandates; and

WHEREAS, SB 50 incentivizes private market-rate housing development unaffordable to most San Franciscans without guaranteeing increased affordable housing development, even though the San Francisco Planning Department's Housing Development Pipeline report shows San Francisco has met 100 percent of its Regional Housing Needs Assessment goal for above-moderate housing through the year 2022 but less than 30 percent of moderate and low-income housing goals; and has 72,565 units in the pipeline with only 20% affordable units, despite the fact that 57% of the need is for affordable housing; and

WHEREAS, The City and County of San Francisco along with many other communities is striving to address the social and environmental impacts of regional growth of private

industry, which include displacement of low-income seniors, working families, and communities of color, and strained public transit and infrastructure; and

WHEREAS, The City has been most successful managing this growth through the adoption of local community plans, which included significant upzoning and subsequent housing production, and SB 50 restricts the City's ability to adopt local community plans to assure equitable and affordable development in all its neighborhoods; and

WHEREAS, SB 50 undermines sound public policy to capture some of the value created through upzoning policy to be used for affordable housing, and instead confers significant value to private properties through upzoning policy without increasing affordability requirements for San Francisco, without recognizing or conforming to the standards of the City's established "HomeSF" program which increases specific affordable housing requirements in exchange for projects receiving height and density increases; and

WHEREAS, SB 50 formulaically defines "sensitive communities" and only establishes an optional and temporary deferral for "sensitive communities", which is insufficient to meet its apparent purpose to control displacement while expanding growth; and

WHEREAS, SB 50 fails to encompass many areas threatened by development-driven displacement and gentrification, including parts of the Mission, Chinatown, SoMa, Portola, the Bayview, Castro, Inner Richmond and others; and denies the City the ability to adjust or expand the boundaries of "sensitive communities" based upon research and community testimony; and

WHEREAS, SB 50, by incentivizing market-rate development, will exacerbate displacement pressures in neighborhoods not in a "sensitive community", which experience gentrification in hot-markets cities like San Francisco, including displacement of working-class, cash-poor homeowners; and will exacerbate barriers to develop non-speculative, permanently-affordable housing in these neighborhoods, which already have significant

barriers to affordable housing production, especially in neighborhoods without a local community plan to facilitate and guide increased development; and

WHEREAS, SB 50 alone appears to preserve local demolition controls and other local planning processes, but when combined with other state laws such as SB 330, undermines the ability of local governments to protect existing tenants, housing, and small businesses, and to raise affordability requirements, and otherwise advance the public good through demolition controls and local community plans, now, therefore, be it

RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco continues to oppose SB 50 unless amended to cure these concerns; and, be it

FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco is committed to working with its State Legislative Delegation to craft the necessary amendments to SB 50 to protect San Francisco's sovereign charter authority, guarantee housing affordability, and adequately protect vulnerable communities; and, be it

FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco requests that SB 50 be amended to:

1) Ensure SB 50 not apply within areas in San Francisco subject to a local community plan that resulted in increased density and affordable housing benefits from previous zoning. This includes plans a local government has adopted or is in the process of adopting. SB 50 could include a provision for local governments to "optin" to SB 50 state land-use interventions for a local community plan area as early as July 1, 2021, pursuant to consultation with community-based organizations in the particular area

- 2) Ensure communities in hot-market cities, like San Francisco which is meeting or exceeding its Regional Housing Needs Assessment production goals for above-moderate income housing, are afforded sufficient opportunity to create local community plans and submit draft EIRs by January 2026 in lieu of SB 50 state land use preemptions. This local community plan alternative shall include, at a minimum:
 - Rezoning to permit multifamily housing development at a range of income levels to meet unmet needs, as informed by the Regional Housing Needs Assessment production goals
 - b. Substantial increases to overall housing development capacity, particularly near transit stops, to meet unmet needs, as informed by the Regional Housing Needs Assessment and in the context of existing zoned residential development capacity
 - c. Increased and explicit affordable housing benefits that meet or exceed the minimum affordability standards set forth in SB 50, and meet or exceed the existing local baseline Inclusionary standard for development projects
 - d. Increased displacement and demolition protections for vulnerable residents that meet or exceed the standards set forth in SB 50

SB 50 should exempt San Francisco from SB 330 and other state laws that would render this local community plan alternative with its minimum requirements infeasible.

3) Ensure Sensitive Communities in San Francisco are properly delineated and exempted from SB 50. The definition shall aim to include all residents at risk of displacement and areas with a history of community gentrification and displacement. The "sensitive community" definition in San Francisco shall be

Urban Displacement Project and conform, at a minimum, to the 12/11/18 map prepared by the Equity Caucus of the Committee to House the Bay Area (CASA) Geography Working Group. SB 50 could include a provision to "opt-in" to SB 50 state land use interventions for a "sensitive community" as early as July 1, 2021, pursuant to consultation with community-based organizations in the particular area

- 4) Ensure all SB 50 projects are required to make affordable housing contributions substantially higher than existing local affordable housing standards potentially applicable for the site. In San Francisco, affordable housing requirements should be commensurate to the City's "HomeSF" program standard for progressive value capture
- 5) Ensure clear and strong tenant protection, anti-vacancy, and anti-demolition provisions with sufficient and robust state funding, programming, and enforcement to protect all tenants from displacement triggered by SB 50 upzoning
- 6) Ensure areas impacted by SB 50 showing demonstrable efforts to increase housing (e.g. entitlements) receive increased transportation incentives, especially where services and infrastructure are currently inadequate, subject to delays and overcrowding, and/or deficient in their state of repair. Transportation incentives tied to SB 50 could include, but is not limited to:
 - a. Direct capital and service investments through a bonus pot of grant funds tied to housing provision, a higher share of formula funds distributed by the state (e.g. LCTOP/Low Carbon Transit Operations Program) for associated

projects and programs, priority in state-funded competitive grant programs (e.g. TIRCP/Transit Intercity Rail Capital Program and AHSC or Affordable Housing/Sustainable Communities cap and trade funds), and

 Allowances for jurisdictions to impose private sector development impact fees, CEQA exemptions for public transportation projects for land use changes triggered by SB 50, and/or funds for local community transportation planning; and, be it

FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco directs the Clerk of the Board to transmit copies of this resolution to the State Legislature and the City Lobbyist upon passage.



City and County of San Francisco Tails

City Hall
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102-4689

Resolution

File Number: 190398

Date Passed: December 17, 2019

Resolution opposing California State Senate Bill No. 50, authored by Senator Scott Wiener, which would undermine community participation in planning for the well-being of the environment and the public good, prevent the public from recapturing an equitable portion of the economic benefits conferred to private interests, and significantly restrict San Francisco's ability to protect vulnerable communities from displacement and gentrification, unless further amended.

April 09, 2019 Board of Supervisors - AMENDED, AN AMENDMENT OF THE WHOLE BEARING SAME TITLE

Ayes: 11 - Brown, Fewer, Haney, Mandelman, Mar, Peskin, Ronen, Safai, Stefani, Walton and Yee

April 09, 2019 Board of Supervisors - RE-REFERRED AS AMENDED

Ayes: 11 - Brown, Fewer, Haney, Mandelman, Mar, Peskin, Ronen, Safai, Stefani, Walton and Yee

December 05, 2019 Government Audit and Oversight Committee - AMENDED, AN AMENDMENT OF THE WHOLE BEARING SAME TITLE

December 05, 2019 Government Audit and Oversight Committee - CONTINUED AS AMENDED

December 11, 2019 Government Audit and Oversight Committee - RECOMMENDED

December 17, 2019 Board of Supervisors - ADOPTED

Ayes: 10 - Fewer, Haney, Mandelman, Mar, Peskin, Preston, Ronen, Stefani, Walton and Yee Noes: 1 - Safai

I hereby certify that the foregoing Resolution was ADOPTED on 12/17/2019 by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

Angela Calvillo Clerk of the Board

Unsigned

London N. Breed Mayor

12/20/19

Date Approved

I hereby certify that the foregoing resolution, not being signed by the Mayor within the time limit as set forth in Section 3.103 of the Charter, or time waived pursuant to Board Rule 2.14.2, became effective without her approval in accordance with the provision of said Section 3.103 of the Charter or Board Rule 2.14.2.

Angela Calvillo Clerk of the Board

12/20/2010

Date