14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

[Calling Joint Special Meeting with the Public Utilities Commission - Closed Session - Existing Litigation - Pacific Gas and Electric Company - March 10, 2020, at 4:00 p.m.]

Motion calling a joint special meeting with the Board of Supervisors and the Public Utilities Commission on March 10, 2020, at 4:00 p.m., and that the Board of Supervisors convene in a joint closed session at the joint special meeting with the Public Utilities Commission, pursuant to California Government Code, Section 54956.9(a), and San Francisco Administrative Code, Section 67.10(d)(1), for the purpose of conferring with, or receiving advice from, the City Attorney regarding the following existing litigation in which the City is a petitioner and Pacific Gas & Electric Company is an adverse party: In re: PG&E Corporation and Pacific Gas & Electric Company, United States Bankruptcy Court, Northern District of California, Case No. 19-30088-DM, filed January 29, 2019; Federal Energy Regulatory Commission Case No. EL 19-38-000, filed January 28, 2019; Federal Energy Regulatory Commission Case No. ER18-1482-000, filed April 30, 2018; Federal Energy Regulatory Commission Case No. ER18-1102-000, filed March 15, 2018; Federal Energy Regulatory Commission Case No. ER18-790-000, filed, February 2, 2018; Federal Energy Regulatory Commission Case No. ER18-768-000, filed January 31, 2018; Federal Energy Regulatory Commission Case No. ER18-198-000, filed October 31, 2017; Federal Energy Regulatory Commission Case No. ER17-2406-000, filed August 31, 2017; Federal Energy Regulatory Commission Case No. ER17-2181-000, filed July 31, 2017; Federal Energy Regulatory Commission Case No. ER17-2204, filed July 31, 2017; Federal Energy Regulatory Commission Case No. ER17-1509-000, filed May 1, 2017; Federal Energy Regulatory Commission Case No. ER17-910-000, filed January 31, 2017; Federal Energy Regulatory Commission Case No. EL 15-3-000, filed October 10, 2014; Federal Energy Regulatory Commission Case No. ER15-702-000, filed December 23, 2014; Federal Energy Regulatory Commission Case No. ER15-703-000,

filed December 23, 2014; Federal Energy Regulatory Commission Case No. ER15-704-000, filed December 23, 2014; Federal Energy Regulatory Commission Case No. ER15-705-000, filed December 23, 2014; Federal Energy Regulatory Commission Case No. ER15-735-000, filed December 23, 2014; California Public Utilities Commission Case No. 1.15-08-019, filed February 25, 2015; California Public Utilities Commission Case No. R.18-10-007, filed October 25, 2018; California Public Utilities Commission Case No. R.19-01-006, filed January 10, 2019; and California Public Utilities Commission Case No. R.19-09-016, filed January 10, 2019.

WHEREAS, The City and County of San Francisco is a party in each of the following cases:

- In re: PG&E Corporation and Pacific Gas & Electric Company (United States Bankruptcy Court, Northern District of California, Case No. 19-30088-DM, filed January 29, 2019);
- City and County of San Francisco v. Pacific Gas & Electric Co. (Federal Energy Regulatory Commission Case No. EL 19-38-000, filed January 28, 2019);
- Pacific Gas & Electric Company (Federal Energy Regulatory Commission,
 Proposed revisions to the City and Country of San Francisco's Service Agreement
 No. 275 under FERG Electric Tariff Volume No. 4, Case No. ER18-17 1482-000,
 filed April 30, 2018);
- Pacific Gas & Electric Company (Federal Energy Regulatory Commission,
 Proposed revisions to the City and Country of San Francisco's Service Agreement
 No. 275 under FERG Electric Tariff Volume No. 4, Case No. ER18-21 1102-000,
 filed March 15, 2018);

- Pacific Gas & Electric Company (Federal Energy Regulatory Commission,
 Proposed revisions to the City and Country of San Francisco's Service Agreement
 No. 275 under FERG Electric Tariff Volume No. 4, Case No. ER18-25 790-000,
 filed, February 2, 2018);
- Pacific Gas & Electric Company (Federal Energy Regulatory Commission,
 Proposed revisions to the City and Country of San Francisco's Service Agreement
 No. 275 under FERC Electric Tariff Volume No. 4, Case No. ER18-768-000, filed
 January 31, 2018);
- Pacific Gas & Electric Company (Federal Energy Regulatory Commission,
 Proposed revisions to the City and Country of San Francisco's Service Agreement
 No. 275 under FERC Electric Tariff Volume No. 4, Case No. ER18-198-000, filed
 October 31, 2017);
- Pacific Gas & Electric Company (Federal Energy Regulatory Commission,
 Proposed revisions to the City and Country of San Francisco's Service Agreement
 No. 275 under FERC Electric Tariff Volume No. 4, Case No. ER17-2406-000, filed
 August 31, 2017);
- Pacific Gas & Electric Company (Federal Energy Regulatory Commission,
 Proposed revisions to the City and Country of San Francisco's Service Agreement
 No. 275 under FERC Electric Tariff Volume No. 4, Case No. ER17-2181-000, filed
 July 31, 2017);
- Pacific Gas & Electric Company (Federal Energy Regulatory Commission,
 Proposed revisions to the City and Country of San Francisco's Service Agreement
 No. 275 under FERC Electric Tariff Volume No. 4, Case No. ER17-2204, filed July
 31, 2017);

22

23

24

25

- Pacific Gas & Electric Company (Federal Energy Regulatory Commission,
 Proposed revisions to the City and Country of San Francisco's Service Agreement
 No. 275 under FERC Electric Tariff Volume No. 4, Case No. ER17-1509-000, filed
 May 1, 2017);
- Pacific Gas & Electric Company (Federal Energy Regulatory Commission,
 Proposed revisions to the City and Country of San Francisco's Service Agreement
 No. 275 under FERC Electric Tariff Volume No. 4, Case No. ER17-910-000, filed
 January 31, 2017);
- City and County of San Francisco v. Pacific Gas & Electric (Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, Case No. EL 15-3-000, filed October 10, 2014);
- Pacific Gas & Electric (Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, Tariff Withdrawal per 35.15: Notice of Termination of the 1987 CCSF Interconnection Agreement PG&E Rate Schedule FERC No. 114 to be effective June 30, 2015, Case No. ER15-702-000, filed December 23, 2014);
- Pacific Gas & Electric (Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, Tariff Withdrawal per 35.15: Notice of Termination of the CCSF Facilities Charge Agreement for Moscone to be effective June 30, 2015, Case No. ER15-703-000, filed December 23, 2014);
- Pacific Gas & Electric (Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, §205(d) rate filing per 35.13(a)(2)(iii): City and County of San Francisco Wholesale Distribution Tariff Replacement Agreements to be effective July 1, 2015, Case No. ER15-704-000, filed December 23, 2014);
- Pacific Gas & Electric (Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, §205(d) rate filing per 35.13(a)(2)(iii): City and County of San Francisco Transmission Owner Tariff

- Replacement Agreements to be effective July 1, 2015, Case No. ER15-705-000, filed December 23, 2014);
- Pacific Gas & Electric (Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, Notices of Termination of Facilities Charge Agreements between Pacific Gas and Electric Company and the City and County of San Francisco, Case No. ER15-735-000, filed December 23, 2014);
- Order Instituting Investigation on the Commission's Own Motion to Determine
 Whether Pacific Gas and Electric Company and PG&E Corporation's
 Organizational Culture and Governance Prioritize Safety (California Public Utilities
 Commission Case No. 1.15-08-019, filed February 25, 2015);
- Order Instituting Rulemaking to Implement Electric Utility Wildfire Mitigation Plans
 Pursuant to Senate Bill 901 (2018) (California Public Utilities Commission Case No.

 R.18-10-007, filed October 25, 2018); and
- Order Instituting Rulemaking to Implement Public Utilities Code Section 451.2
 Regarding Criteria and Methodology for Wildfire Cost Recovery Pursuant to Senate
 Bill 901 (2018) (California Public Utilities Commission Case No. R.19-01-13 006,
 filed January 10, 2019);
- Pacific Gas and Electric Company, Case No.19-30088. (California Public Utilities Commission Case No. R.19-09-016, filed September 26, 2019)

WHEREAS, California Government Code, Section 54956.9(a), and San Francisco Administrative Code, Section 67.10(d)(1), allow the Board of Supervisors to convene in closed session to confer with or receive advice from legal counsel regarding existing litigation; now, therefore, be it

MOVED, That the Board of Supervisors shall convene on March 10, 2020, at 4:00 p.m., in a joint special meeting with the Public Utilities Commission to hold a closed session on existing litigation in which the City is a petitioner and Pacific Gas & Electric Company is an adverse party; and, be it

FURTHER MOVED, That the Board of Supervisors convene in a joint closed session with the Public Utilities Commission, at this joint special meeting, and the City Attorney's Office for the purpose of conferring with, or receiving advice from, the City Attorney regarding this existing litigation.



City and County of San Francisco Tails

City Hall 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place San Francisco, CA 94102-4689

Motion: M20-020

File Number: 200131 Date Passed: February 11, 2020

Motion calling a joint special meeting with the Board of Supervisors and the Public Utilities Commission on March 10, 2020, at 4:00 p.m., and that the Board of Supervisors convene in a joint closed session at the joint special meeting with the Public Utilities Commission, pursuant to California Government Code, Section 54956.9(a), and San Francisco Administrative Code, Section 67.10(d)(1), for the purpose of conferring with, or receiving advice from, the City Attorney regarding the following existing litigation in which the City is a petitioner and Pacific Gas & Electric Company is an adverse party: In re: PG&E Corporation and Pacific Gas & Electric Company, United States Bankruptcy Court, Northern District of California, Case No. 19-30088-DM, filed January 29, 2019: Federal Energy Regulatory Commission Case No. EL 19-38-000, filed January 28, 2019; Federal Energy Regulatory Commission Case No. ER18-1482-000, filed April 30, 2018; Federal Energy Regulatory Commission Case No. ER18-1102-000, filed March 15, 2018; Federal Energy Regulatory Commission Case No. ER18-790-000, filed, February 2, 2018; Federal Energy Regulatory Commission Case No. ER18-768-000, filed January 31, 2018; Federal Energy Regulatory Commission Case No. ER18-198-000, filed October 31, 2017; Federal Energy Regulatory Commission Case No. ER17-2406-000, filed August 31, 2017; Federal Energy Regulatory Commission Case No. ER17-2181-000, filed July 31, 2017; Federal Energy Regulatory Commission Case No. ER17-2204, filed July 31, 2017; Federal Energy Regulatory Commission Case No. ER17-1509-000, filed May 1, 2017; Federal Energy Regulatory Commission Case No. ER17-910-000, filed January 31, 2017; Federal Energy Regulatory Commission Case No. EL 15-3-000, filed October 10, 2014; Federal Energy Regulatory Commission Case No. ER15-702-000, filed December 23, 2014; Federal Energy Regulatory Commission Case No. ER15-703-000, filed December 23, 2014; Federal Energy Regulatory Commission Case No. ER15-704-000, filed December 23, 2014; Federal Energy Regulatory Commission Case No. ER15-705-000, filed December 23, 2014; Federal Energy Regulatory Commission Case No. ER15-735-000, filed December 23, 2014; California Public Utilities Commission Case No. 1.15-08-019, filed February 25, 2015; California Public Utilities Commission Case No. R.18-10-007, filed October 25, 2018; California Public Utilities Commission Case No. R.19-01-006, filed January 10, 2019; and California Public Utilities Commission Case No. R.19-09-016, filed January 10, 2019.

February 11, 2020 Board of Supervisors - APPROVED

Ayes: 9 - Haney, Mandelman, Mar, Preston, Ronen, Safai, Stefani, Walton and

Yee

Absent: 2 - Fewer and Peskin

I hereby certify that the foregoing Motion was APPROVED on 2/11/2020 by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

Angela Calvillo Clerk of the Board