File No. 200106

Committee Item No. ______ Board Item No. ______34

COMMITTEE/BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

AGENDA PACKET CONTENTS LIST

Committee: _____ Board of Supervisors Meeting

Da	te	-	_
~	4		

Date: March 3, 2020

Cmte Board

	\boxtimes	Motion
Π		Resolution
\square		Ordinance
\square		Legislative Digest
П	· 1	Budget and Legislative Analyst Report
\square	· .	Youth Commission Report
\Box	\square	Introduction Form
·		Department/Agency Cover Letter and/or Report
\Box		MOU
		Grant Information Form
		Grant Budget
\Box		Subcontract Budget
\square		Contract/Agreement
\square		Award Letter
		Application
\square		Public Correspondence

OTHER

		,
	· · · ·	
·		,

Prepared by: <u>Jocelyn Wong</u> Prepared by: _____

Date:	_February 28, 2020
Date:	

FILE NO. 200106

MOTION NO.

[Preparation of Findings to Reverse the Categorical Exemption Determination - 1531-1581 Howard Street and 118-134 Kissling Street]

Motion directing the Clerk of the Board to prepare findings reversing the determination by the Planning Department that the proposed 1531-1581 Howard Street and 118-134 Kissling Street Project is categorically exempt from further environmental review.

WHEREAS, On October 31, 2019, the Planning Department issued a CEQA Categorical Exemption Determination for the proposes project located at 1531-1581 Howard Street and 118-134 Kissling Street ("Project") under the California Environmental Quality Act ("CEQA"), the CEQA Guidelines, and San Francisco Administrative Code, Chapter 31; and

WHEREAS, The approximately 61,900-square-foot project site is comprised of nine lots (Assessor's Parcel Block No. 3516 and Lot Nos. 39, 40, 41, 42, 44, 55, 56, 63 and 64), and is located on the block bounded by Howard Street to the north, Kissling Street to the south, 12th Street to the west and 11th Street to the east; and

WHEREAS, The Project would reconfigure an existing motor vehicle repair operation by converting approximately 9,691 square feet of existing surface vehicle storage on Lot Nos. 56 and 64 to four-level parking stackers; converting 8,069 square feet of existing surface vehicle storage on Lot Nos. 39, 40, 41, and 42 to four-level parking stackers; constructing an approximately 1,283-gross-square-foot car wash on Lot No. 64; and installing approximately 32'-7"-tall metal screens on portions of the Kissling Street frontage and approximately 32'-7"tall metal screens on portions of the Howard Street frontage; and

WHEREAS, The proposed stackers would accommodate approximately 200 net new vehicles; the parking storage and car wash facilities would not be open to the public and would be for the existing auto repair business only; the project would reduce the existing 42-foot-wide curb cut on the Howard Street frontage to approximately 29 feet wide and remove

Clerk of the Board BOARD OF SUPERVISORS the existing 46.5-foot-wide curb cut on the Kissling Street frontage; would amend the San Francisco zoning map by changing the zoning district for Lot Nos. 39, 40, 41 and 42 at the project site from RED (Residential Enclave) to RED-MX (Residential Enclave-Mixed); and

WHEREAS, The Planning Department, pursuant to Title 14 of the CEQA Guidelines (California Code of Regulations, Title 14, Division 6, Chapter 3, Sections 15300-15333), issued a categorical exemption for the Project on December 24, 2019, finding that the Project is exempt from CEQA under CEQA Guidelines, Section 15311, or Class 11, which consists of construction, or placement of minor structures accessory to (appurtenant to) existing commercial, industrial, or institutional facilities; and

WHEREAS, On March 10, 2016, the Planning Commission approved a conditional use authorization for the first phase of the Project considered in the environmental review under Planning Commission Motion No. 19588 at a noticed public hearing; and

WHEREAS, On September 27, 2016, the project sponsor submitted an application for a conditional use authorization and an application for a legislative amendment to the planning department's Current Planning Division for a second phase of the project; on October 20, 2016, the project sponsor submitted a new environmental review application to the Planning Department for modifications to the project components approved in Planning Commission Motion No. 19588; and

WHEREAS, The Planning Department initially determined that the September 27, 2016, application did not constitute a substantial modification of the project for which the department had issued a categorical exemption determination under Section 31.08(i) of Chapter 31 of the San Francisco Administrative Code and, therefore, that no additional CEQA environmental review was required for the currently proposed project; and

WHEREAS, On October 25, 2018, the Planning Commission approved a conditional use authorization and adopted a zoning map amendment under Planning Commission Motion

Clerk of the Board BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

1977

No. 20329 at a noticed public hearing for the second phase of the Project described in the September 27, 2016, conditional use authorization and legislative amendment applications; and

WHEREAS, On December 16, 2019, Stephen M. Williams, on behalf of William Hedden ("Appellant") filed an appeal of the no substantial modification determination; thereafter, the department rescinded both the determination of no substantial modification and the March 2, 2016, categorical exemption determination; and

WHEREAS, On December 24, 2019, the Planning Department determined that, like the project analyzed in the first CEQA determination, the Project as modified is categorically exempt under CEQA Class 11 and that no further environmental review is required; and

WHEREAS, On January 23, 2020, Appellant filed an appeal of the December 24, 2019, environmental determination; and

WHEREAS, By memorandum to the Clerk of the Board dated January 29, 2020, the Planning Department's Environmental Review Officer determined that the appeal was timely filed; and

WHEREAS, On March 3, 2020, this Board held a duly noticed public hearing to consider the appeal of the exemption determination filed by Appellant; and

WHEREAS, In reviewing the appeal of the exemption determination, this Board reviewed and considered the exemption determination, the appeal letter, the responses to the appeal documents that the Planning Department prepared, the other written records before the Board of Supervisors and all of the public testimony made in support of and opposed to the exemption determination appeal; and

WHEREAS, Following the conclusion of the public hearing, the Board of Supervisors conditionally reversed the exemption determination subject to the adoption of written findings of the Board in support of such determination based on the written record before the Board of

Clerk of the Board BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

Page 3

Supervisors, as well as all of the testimony at the public hearing in support of and opposed to the appeal; and

WHEREAS, The written record and oral testimony in support of and opposed to the appeal and deliberation of the oral and written testimony at the public hearing before the Board of Supervisors by all parties and the public in support of and opposed to the appeal of the exemption determination is in the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors File No. 200103, and is incorporated in this motion as though set forth in its entirety; now, therefore, be it

MOVED, That the Board of Supervisors directs the Clerk of the Board to prepare the findings specifying the basis for its decision on the appeal of the exemption determination issued by the Planning Department for the Project.

n:\land\as2019\1900434\01430573.docx

Clerk of the Board BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

·	Print Form
---	------------

Introduction Form

By a Member of the Board of Supervisors or Mayor

	Time stamp or meeting date
I hereby submit the following item for introduction (select only one):	
1. For reference to Committee. (An Ordinance, Resolution, Motion or Charter Amen	dment).
2. Request for next printed agenda Without Reference to Committee.	
3. Request for hearing on a subject matter at Committee.	
4. Request for letter beginning :"Supervisor	inquiries"
5. City Attorney Request.	· · ·
6. Call File No. from Committee.	
7. Budget Analyst request (attached written motion).	
8. Substitute Legislation File No.	
9. Reactivate File No.	
10. Question(s) submitted for Mayoral Appearance before the BOS on	
Please check the appropriate boxes. The proposed legislation should be forwarded to the Small Business Commission Youth Commission Planning Commission Building Inspection Com Note: For the Imperative Agenda (a resolution not on the printed agenda), use the In	cs Commission mission
Sponsor(s):	
Clerk of the Board	
Subject:	
Preparation of Findings to Reverse the Categorical Exemption Determination - 1531-158 118-134 Kissling Street The text is listed:	1 Howard Street and
Motion directing the Clerk of the Board to prepare findings reversing the determination b that the proposed project at 1531-1581 Howard Street and 118-134 Kissling Street is cate further environmental review.	
	J
For Clerk's Use Only $ au$	•• •
	•••
File N	0.200106