# **CEQA Categorical Exemption Determination**

# PROPERTY INFORMATION/PROJECT DESCRIPTION

Proje	ct Address		Block/Lot(s)			
Bayvi	iew Industrial Trian	gle Redevelopment Area Rezoning				
Case No.			Permit No.			
2020-000084ENV						
_	ldition/ teration	☐ Demolition (requires HRE for Category B Building)	New Construction			
Proje	Project description for Planning Department approval.					
Bayview Industrial Triangle - The proposed ordinance would amend the San Francisco Planning Code by amending sectional map sheet ZN10 to change the use classification of certain parcels in the Bayview Industrial Triangle Redevelopment project area from M-1 (light industrial), M-2 (heavy industrial) and NC-3 (moderate-scale neighborhood commercial district) to PDR-1-G (general industrial) and NCT-3 (moderate-scale neighborhood commercial transit). The Bayview Industrial Triangle Redevelopment Area ("BIT"), comprised of approximately 75 parcels, was adopted on June 30, 1980 for a forty year time period. All real property in the Redevelopment Area is subject to the controls and requirements of the Redevelopment Plan. The intent of the Bayview Industrial Triangle Redevelopment Plan was to preserve and expand industrial uses and activities, limit office and residential uses, and allow for limited retail uses. On June 30, 2020, the Bayview Industrial Triangle Redevelopment Plan will expire. As a result, the underlying M-1, M-2, and NC-3 zoning uses and 65-feet height districts will take effect and all planning and entitlement responsibilities will transition from the Office of Community Infrastructure and Investment to the Planning Department. This legislation aims to retain the existing industrial uses and non-residential FULL PROJECT DESCRIPTION ATTACHED						
	P 1: EXEMPTIC					
-	project has been d CEQA).	etermined to be categorically exempt under the	California Environmental Quality			
	Class 1 - Existin	g Facilities. Interior and exterior alterations; addit	ions under 10,000 sq. ft.			
		onstruction. Up to three new single-family resider reial/office structures; utility extensions; change of a CU.				
	10,000 sq. ft. and (a) The project is policies as well at (b) The proposed substantially surful. (c) The project state (d) Approval of the water quality. (e) The site can	Development. New Construction of seven or mod meets the conditions described below: so consistent with the applicable general plan designs with applicable zoning designation and regulated development occurs within city limits on a project rounded by urban uses. It is has no value as habitat for endangered rare or the project would not result in any significant effect the adequately served by all required utilities and particularly served by all required utilities and particularly served by all required utilities.	nation and all applicable general plan ons. It site of no more than 5 acres threatened species. Is relating to traffic, noise, air quality, or			

Common Sense Exemption - CEQA Guidelines Section 15061(b)(3)

# **STEP 2: CEQA IMPACTS**

# TO BE COMPLETED BY PROJECT PLANNER

	<b>Air Quality:</b> Would the project add new sensitive receptors (specifically, schools, day care facilities, hospitals, residential dwellings, and senior-care facilities within an Air Pollution Exposure Zone? Does the project have the potential to emit substantial pollutant concentrations (e.g., backup diesel generators, heavy industry, diesel trucks, etc.)? (refer to EP_ArcMap > CEQA Catex Determination Layers > Air Pollution Exposure Zone)		
	Hazardous Materials: If the project site is located on the Maher map or is suspected of containing hazardous materials (based on a previous use such as gas station, auto repair, dry cleaners, or heavy manufacturing, or a site with underground storage tanks): Would the project involve 50 cubic yards or more of soil disturbance - or a change of use from industrial to residential?		
	if the applicant presents documentation of enrollment in the San Francisco Department of Public Health (DPH) Maher program, a DPH waiver from the Maher program, or other documentation from Environmental Planning staff that hazardous material effects would be less than significant (refer to EP_ArcMap > Maher layer).		
	<b>Transportation:</b> Does the project involve a child care facility or school with 30 or more students, or a location 1,500 sq. ft. or greater? Does the project have the potential to adversely affect transit, pedestrian and/or bicycle safety (hazards) or the adequacy of nearby transit, pedestrian and/or bicycle facilities?		
	Archeological Resources: Would the project result in soil disturbance/modification greater than two (2) feet below grade in an archeological sensitive area or eight (8) feet in a non-archeological sensitive area? If yes, archeo review is required (refer to EP_ArcMap > CEQA Catex Determination Layers > Archeological Sensitive Area)		
	Subdivision/Lot Line Adjustment: Does the project site involve a subdivision or lot line adjustment on a lot with a slope average of 20% or more? (refer to EP_ArcMap > CEQA Catex Determination Layers > Topography). If yes, Environmental Planning must issue the exemption.		
	Slope = or > 25%: Does the project involve any of the following: (1) square footage expansion greater than 500 sq. ft. outside of the existing building footprint, (2) excavation of 50 cubic yards or more of soil, (3) new construction? (refer to EP_ArcMap > CEQA Catex Determination Layers > Topography) If box is checked, a geotechnical report is required and Environmental Planning must issue the exemption.		
	Seismic: Landslide Zone: Does the project involve any of the following: (1) square footage expansion greater than 500 sq. ft. outside of the existing building footprint, (2) excavation of 50 cubic yards or more of soil, (3) new construction? (refer to EP_ArcMap > CEQA Catex Determination Layers > Seismic Hazard Zones) If box is checked, a geotechnical report is required and Environmental Planning must issue the exemption.		
	Seismic: Liquefaction Zone: Does the project involve any of the following: (1) square footage expansion greater than 500 sq. ft. outside of the existing building footprint, (2) excavation of 50 cubic yards or more of soil, (3) new construction? (refer to EP_ArcMap > CEQA Catex Determination Layers > Seismic Hazard Zones) If box is checked, a geotechnical report will likely be required and Environmental Planning must issue the exemption.		
Comments and Planner Signature (optional): Joy Navarrete			
PLEASE SEE ATTACHED			

# STEP 3: PROPERTY STATUS - HISTORIC RESOURCE TO BE COMPLETED BY PROJECT PLANNER PROPERTY IS ONE OF THE FOLLOWING: (refer to Property Information Map) Category A: Known Historical Resource. GO TO STEP 5. Category B: Potential Historical Resource (over 45 years of age). GO TO STEP 4. Category C: Not a Historical Resource or Not Age Eligible (under 45 years of age). GO TO STEP 6. STEP 4: PROPOSED WORK CHECKLIST TO BE COMPLETED BY PROJECT PLANNER Check all that apply to the project. 1. Change of use and new construction. Tenant improvements not included. 2. Regular maintenance or repair to correct or repair deterioration, decay, or damage to building. 3. Window replacement that meets the Department's Window Replacement Standards. Does not include storefront window alterations. 4. Garage work. A new opening that meets the Guidelines for Adding Garages and Curb Cuts, and/or replacement of a garage door in an existing opening that meets the Residential Design Guidelines. 5. Deck, terrace construction, or fences not visible from any immediately adjacent public right-of-way. 6. Mechanical equipment installation that is not visible from any immediately adjacent public right-of-way. 7. Dormer installation that meets the requirements for exemption from public notification under Zoning Administrator Bulletin No. 3: Dormer Windows. 8. Addition(s) that are not visible from any immediately adjacent public right-of-way for 150 feet in each direction; does not extend vertically beyond the floor level of the top story of the structure or is only a П single story in height; does not have a footprint that is more than 50% larger than that of the original building; and does not cause the removal of architectural significant roofing features. Note: Project Planner must check box below before proceeding. Project is not listed. GO TO STEP 5. Project does not conform to the scopes of work. GO TO STEP 5. Project involves four or more work descriptions. GO TO STEP 5. Project involves less than four work descriptions. GO TO STEP 6. STEP 5: CEQA IMPACTS - ADVANCED HISTORICAL REVIEW TO BE COMPLETED BY PROJECT PLANNER Check all that apply to the project. 1. Project involves a known historical resource (CEQA Category A) as determined by Step 3 and conforms entirely to proposed work checklist in Step 4. 2. Interior alterations to publicly accessible spaces. 3. Window replacement of original/historic windows that are not "in-kind" but are consistent with existing historic character. 4. Façade/storefront alterations that do not remove, alter, or obscure character-defining features.

5. Raising the building in a manner that does not remove, alter, or obscure character-defining

6. Restoration based upon documented evidence of a building's historic condition, such as historic

中文詢問請電: 415.575.9010

Para información en Español llamar al: 415.575.9010 Para sa impormasyon sa Tagalog tumawag sa: 415.575.9121

photographs, plans, physical evidence, or similar buildings.

features.

	7. Addition(s), including mechanical equipment that are minimally visible from a public right-of-way and meet the Secretary of the Interior's Standards for Rehabilitation.			
	8. Other work consistent with the Secretary of the Interior Standards for the Treatment of Historic Properties (specify or add comments):			
	9. Other work that would not materially impair a historic district (specify or add comments):			
╽╚				
	(Requires approval by Senior Preservation Planner/Preservation Coordinator)			
	10. Reclassification of property status. (Requires approval by Senior Preservation Planner/Preservation			
	Reclassify to Category A	Reclassify to Category C		
	a. Per HRER or PTR dated	(attach HRER or PTR)		
	b. Other (specify):			
	Note: If ANY box in STEP 5 above is checked, a Preser	rvation Planner MUST sign below.		
	Note: If ANY box in STEP 5 above is checked, a Preservation Planner and can proceed with categorical exemption review. The preservation Planner and can proceed with categorical exemption	roject has been reviewed by the		
Comm	Project can proceed with categorical exemption review. The project can proceed with categorical exemption review.	roject has been reviewed by the		
Comm	Project can proceed with categorical exemption review. The property of the property of the property of the property of the project can proceed with categorical exemption of the project can proceed with categorical exemption.	roject has been reviewed by the		
	Project can proceed with categorical exemption review. The preservation Planner and can proceed with categorical exemption ents (optional):	roject has been reviewed by the		
Preser	Project can proceed with categorical exemption review. The property of the proceed with categorical exemption review. The proceed with categorical exemption rents (optional):  Evation Planner Signature:	roject has been reviewed by the		
Preser STE	Project can proceed with categorical exemption review. The preservation Planner and can proceed with categorical exemption ents (optional):	roject has been reviewed by the		
Preser STE	Project can proceed with categorical exemption review. The property of the property of the proceed with categorical exemption rents (optional):  Evation Planner Signature:  EP 6: CATEGORICAL EXEMPTION DETERMINATION BE COMPLETED BY PROJECT PLANNER  No further environmental review is required. The project is categorical exemption review.	roject has been reviewed by the n review. GO TO STEP 6.		
Preser STE	Project can proceed with categorical exemption review. The property of the proceed with categorical exemption review. The proceed with categorical exemption rents (optional):  Provided the proceed with categorical exemption review.	roject has been reviewed by the n review. GO TO STEP 6.		
Preser STE	Project can proceed with categorical exemption review. The property of the project can proceed with categorical exemption review. The property of the project is categorical exemption of the project is categorical exemption. The project is categorical exemption of the project is categorical exemption. The project is categorical exemption of the project is categorical exemption of the project is categorical exemption of the project is categorical exemption.	roject has been reviewed by the n review. GO TO STEP 6.		
Preser STE	Project can proceed with categorical exemption review. The property of the project can proceed with categorical exemption review. The property of the project is categorical exemption review (optional):  EVALUATE OF CATEGORICAL EXEMPTION DETERMINATION OF THE PROJECT PLANNER  No further environmental review is required. The project is categorical exemption review.	roject has been reviewed by the n review. GO TO STEP 6.  regorically exempt under CEQA. sonable possibility of a significant  Signature: Joy Navarrete		
Preser STE	Project can proceed with categorical exemption review. The property preservation Planner and can proceed with categorical exemption rents (optional):  Evation Planner Signature:  EP 6: CATEGORICAL EXEMPTION DETERMINATION BE COMPLETED BY PROJECT PLANNER  No further environmental review is required. The project is categorical transported to the project of the projec	roject has been reviewed by the n review. GO TO STEP 6.  regorically exempt under CEQA. sonable possibility of a significant  Signature:		
Preser STE	Project can proceed with categorical exemption review. The property preservation Planner and can proceed with categorical exemption rents (optional):  Evation Planner Signature:  EP 6: CATEGORICAL EXEMPTION DETERMINATION BE COMPLETED BY PROJECT PLANNER  No further environmental review is required. The project is categorical transported to the project of the projec	roject has been reviewed by the n review. GO TO STEP 6.  regorically exempt under CEQA. sonable possibility of a significant  Signature: Joy Navarrete 02/12/2020		
Preser — STE	Project can proceed with categorical exemption review. The property of the project can proceed with categorical exemption review. The project (optional):  Evation Planner Signature:  EP 6: CATEGORICAL EXEMPTION DETERMINATION BE COMPLETED BY PROJECT PLANNER  No further environmental review is required. The project is categorical care are no unusual circumstances that would result in a real effect.  Project Approval Action: Board of Supervisors Ordinance adoption  Once signed or stamped and dated, this document constitutes a categorical exemption	roject has been reviewed by the n review. GO TO STEP 6.  Regorically exempt under CEQA. sonable possibility of a significant  Signature: Joy Navarrete 02/12/2020  Introduction pursuant to CEQA Guidelines and Chapter		

#### **Full Project Description**

Bayview Industrial Triangle - The proposed ordinance would amend the San Francisco Planning Code by amending sectional map sheet ZN10 to change the use classification of certain parcels in the Bayview Industrial Triangle Redevelopment project area from M-1 (light industrial), M-2 (heavy industrial) and NC-3 (moderate-scale neighborhood commercial district) to PDR-1-G (general industrial) and NCT-3 (moderate-scale neighborhood commercial transit).

The Bayview Industrial Triangle Redevelopment Area ("BIT"), comprised of approximately 75 parcels, was adopted on June 30, 1980 for a forty year time period. All real property in the Redevelopment Area is subject to the controls and requirements of the Redevelopment Plan. The intent of the Bayview Industrial Triangle Redevelopment Plan was to preserve and expand industrial uses and activities, limit office and residential uses, and allow for limited retail uses.

On June 30, 2020, the Bayview Industrial Triangle Redevelopment Plan will expire. As a result, the underlying M-1, M-2, and NC-3 zoning uses and 65-feet height districts will take effect and all planning and entitlement responsibilities will transition from the Office of Community Infrastructure and Investment to the Planning Department. This legislation aims to retain the existing industrial uses and non-residential activities, minimize future land use conflicts, and provide for future employment opportunities.

The proposed legislation has several main components: 1) rezone all parcels off Third Street within the Bayview Industrial Triangle from M-1 (Light Industrial) to PDR-1-G (General Production, Distribution, and Repair); 2) rezone all parcels on Third Street within the Bayview Industrial Triangle, except for parcel 5235/003, from M-1 and NC-3 to NCT-3 (Moderate-Scale Neighborhood Commercial Transit); 3) rezone parcel 5235/003 from M-2 to PDR-1-G; and 4) reclassify the height and bulk for parcels 5260/001, 5279/004, and 5278/015 from 40-X to 65-J. For most of the subject area, these updated zoning districts permit essentially the same land uses and, similar to the Redevelopment Plan, would work to ensure that industrial type uses remain the primary land uses. Whereas the Redevelopment Plan permits projects up to a maximum of 40-feet in the entire Bayview Industrial Triangle (except for up to 65-feet allowed on Third Street), the underlying zoning permits projects up to a maximum of 65-feet for the entire Bayview Industrial Triangle area.

### **CEQA Impacts**

The rezoning of the Bayview Industrial Triangle to the pre-1980 underlying zoning would permit essentially the same land uses as the present zoning and the existing height districts would remain the same. Three parcels would be reclassified height and bulk from 40-X to 65-J.The zoning change would be largely procedural and housekeeping measures, affecting only the administration and jurisdiction of permitting. Development permits within the BIT would be issued by the Planning Department instead of the Office of Community Infrastructure and Investment (OCII). There are no specific physical projects proposed under this rezoning - individual projects would require their own separate environmental review subsequent to this rezoning.

CEQA Guidelines Section 15061(b)(3) provides an exemption from environmental review where it can be seen with certainty that the proposed project would not have a significant impact on the environment. There are no unusual circumstances surrounding the current proposal that would suggest a reasonable possibility of a significant effect. Since the proposed project would have no significant environmental effects, it is appropriately exempt from environmental review under the Common Sense Exemption (CEQA Guidelines Section 15061(b) (3).

# STEP 7: MODIFICATION OF A CEQA EXEMPT PROJECT

#### TO BE COMPLETED BY PROJECT PLANNER

In accordance with Chapter 31 of the San Francisco Administrative Code, when a California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) exempt project changes after the Approval Action and requires a subsequent approval, the Environmental Review Officer (or his or her designee) must determine whether the proposed change constitutes a substantial modification of that project. This checklist shall be used to determine whether the proposed changes to the approved project would constitute a "substantial modification" and, therefore, be subject to additional environmental review pursuant to CEQA.

#### **MODIFIED PROJECT DESCRIPTION**

Modified Project Description:						
DE	TERMINATION IF PROJECT (	CONSTITUTES SUBSTANTIAL MODIFICATION				
Compared to the approved project, would the modified project:						
	Result in expansion of the building envelope, as defined in the Planning Code;					
	Result in the change of use that would require public notice under Planning Code Sections 311 or 312;					
	Result in demolition as defined under Planning Code Section 317 or 19005(f)?					
	Is any information being presented that was not known and could not have been known					
	at the time of the original determination, that shows the originally approved project may no longer qualify for the exemption?					
If at least one of the above boxes is checked, further environmental review is required.						
DET	ERMINATION OF NO SUBSTA	NTIAL MODIFICATION				
	The proposed modification wo	uld not result in any of the above changes.				
		ons are categorically exempt under CEQA, in accordance with prior project				
website	e and office and mailed to the applicant	ew is required. This determination shall be posted on the Planning Department, City approving entities, and anyone requesting written notice. In accordance				
	with Chapter 31, Sec 31.08j of the San Francisco Administrative Code, an appeal of this determination can be filed within 10 days of posting of this determination.					
Plan	ner Name:	Date:				