

FILE No. 200359

Petitions and Communications received from April 2, 2020, through April 9, 2020, for reference by the President to Committee considering related matters, or to be ordered filed by the Clerk on April 14, 2020.

Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information will not be redacted.

From the Office of Economic and Workforce Development, regarding the San Francisco Workers and Families First Paid Sick Leave Program. Copy: Each Supervisor. (1)

From the Office of the Controller, submitting their updated policy on Continuity of Payments for Nonprofit Suppliers in the Event of COVID-19 Related Disruptions. Copy: Each Supervisor. (2)

From the Office of the Mayor, authorizing six commission meeting waivers. Copy: Each Supervisor. (3)

From concerned citizens, regarding safety concerns of dangerous working conditions for City and County employees regarding COVID-19. Copy: Each Supervisor. (4)

From concerned citizens, regarding proposed Resolution supporting COVID-19 Orders for business interruption as necessary due to physical property loss or damage. File No. 200346. 57 letters. Copy: Each Supervisor. (5)

From concerned citizens, regarding people experiencing homelessness. 19 letters. Copy: Each Supervisor. (6)

From concerned citizens, regarding COVID-19. 9 letters. Copy: Each Supervisor. (7)

From SF Chamber of Commerce, regarding the Emergency Ordinance for emergency public health leave. File No. 200313. Copy: Each Supervisor. (8)

From the California Public Utilities Commission, submitting notice of a project from Verizon Wireless. Copy: Each Supervisor. (9)

From the Public Utilities Commission, pursuant to Resolution No. 227-18, submitting the Quarterly Report, dated March 31, 2020, on the status of applications to PG&E for electric service. Copy: Each Supervisor. (10)

From concerned citizens, regarding support for business insurance during COVID-19. 2 letters. Copy: Each Supervisor. (11)

From the Clerk of the Board of Supervisor, submitting the Q&A for the Board of Supervisors meeting dated March 24, 2020. Copy: Each Supervisor. (12)

From various businesses, pursuant to WARN Act, California Labor Code, Section 1401, submitting notice of plant closure and/or mass layoffs. 5 letters. Copy: Each Supervisor. (13)

From Coalition for San Francisco Neighborhoods, regarding encouraging the Municipal Transportation Agency to develop additional policies and protections for Muni Operators during the COVID-19 crisis. File No. 200348. Copy: Each Supervisor. (14)

From concerned citizens, regarding the use of the term “Chinese virus” and “Wuhan virus.” Copy: Each Supervisor. (15)

From concerned citizen, regarding the Hearing on disclosure responsibilities of the Board of Supervisors under Federal Securities Laws. File No. 200155. Copy: Each Supervisor. (16)

**From:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)  
**To:** [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#); [BOS-Administrative Aides](#)  
**Cc:** [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#); [Ng, Wilson \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** FW: On behalf of Mayor Breed - APPLY NOW - Workers and Families First Program  
**Date:** Thursday, April 2, 2020 7:28:00 PM

---

---


**From:** Pagan, Lisa (ECN) <lisa.pagan@sfgov.org>  
**Sent:** Thursday, April 2, 2020 2:29 PM  
**To:** Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>  
**Subject:** On behalf of Mayor Breed - APPLY NOW - Workers and Families First Program

Dear Board of Supervisors:

The Office of Economic and Workforce Development (OEWD) is pleased to share this information on San Francisco's Workers and Families First Paid Sick Leave Program with you. If possible, please share this information with your business constituents via newsletters or other communication lines that you are utilizing during the state of emergency due to COVID-19.

Sincerely,

Lisa Pagan  
Director of Policy & Planning, OEWD



please read below for important information regarding the  
**WORKERS AND FAMILIES FIRST PAID SICK LEAVE PROGRAM**

Dear Community Partner:

Earlier this month I announced measures to provide financial relief to San Francisco businesses and their employees, including the new San Francisco [Workers and Families First Paid Sick Leave Program](#). The program includes \$10 million in funding to support businesses and nonprofits to provide additional paid sick leave to employees, over and above their existing policies. The goal of the program is to reduce short and long-term economic impacts on San Francisco businesses and their employees caused by COVID-19.

Please share this information with your networks and encourage businesses to apply right away by clicking below or going to [link.oewd.org/WFF](https://link.oewd.org/WFF).

[Apply Here](#)

Within 24 hours of the application being published late last Thursday, more than 100 San Francisco businesses had submitted pre-approval applications amounting to \$1.6M, and by the close of business on Monday, the number had more than doubled to over 260 applications requesting more than \$3.5M in support.

All San Francisco businesses are eligible, with up to 20% of funds reserved for small businesses with 50 or fewer employees. For more information on eligibility requirements, visit [our step-by-step guide](#) to the program, and learn more by checking out the program's [Frequently Asked Questions](#).

For assistance applying or for questions, employers may call our Office of Small Business at **(415) 554-6134**, or email us at [sfosb@sfgov.org](mailto:sfosb@sfgov.org).

The Workers and Families First Paid Sick Leave Program is administered by the Office of Economic and Workforce Development (OEWD) and the Human Services Agency (HSA). To learn more about other resources and services available to help San Francisco businesses, employees and nonprofits impacted by COVID-19, please visit [our resource page](#) updated daily.

I know these are challenging and uncertain times for our residents, our workers, and our businesses. We will continue to do everything we can to support our city through this pandemic and our recovery. Please do not hesitate to reach out to us for help now and in the coming weeks and months.

Sincerely,

London N. Breed  
Mayor of San Francisco



Estimado Aliado Comunitario:

A principios de este mes, anuncié medidas para proporcionar alivio financiero a las empresas de San Francisco y sus empleados, incluido el nuevo [Programa de Licencia por Enfermedad Pagada por los Trabajadores y las Familias de San Francisco](#). El programa incluye \$ 10 millones en fondos para apoyar a las empresas y organizaciones sin fines de lucro para proporcionar licencias por enfermedad pagas adicionales a los empleados, más allá de sus políticas existentes. El objetivo del programa es reducir los impactos económicos a corto y largo plazo en las empresas de San Francisco y sus empleados causados por COVID-19.

Comparta esta información y el [enlace de la aplicación](#) con sus redes y aliente a las empresas a presentar su solicitud de inmediato. Dentro de las 24 horas posteriores a la publicación de la solicitud el jueves pasado, más de 100 empresas de San Francisco habían presentado solicitudes de aprobación previa por un valor de \$ 1.6M, y al cierre del negocio el lunes, el número se había más que duplicado a más de 260 solicitudes solicitando más de \$ 3.5M en apoyo.

Todas las empresas de San Francisco son elegibles, con hasta el 20% de los fondos reservados para pequeñas empresas con 50 empleados o menos. Para obtener más información sobre los requisitos de elegibilidad y para presentar una solicitud, visite [nuestra página web de solicitud](#) y obtenga más información al consultar [las Preguntas frecuentes del programa](#). Para solicitar asistencia o hacer preguntas, los empleadores pueden llamar a nuestra Oficina de Pequeñas Empresas al **(415) 554-6134**, [sfosb@sfgov.org](mailto:sfosb@sfgov.org).

La Oficina de Desarrollo Económico y de la Fuerza Laboral (OEWD, por sus siglas en inglés) y la Agencia de Servicios Humanos (HSA) administran el Programa de baja por enfermedad pagada de trabajadores y familias. Para obtener más información sobre otros recursos y servicios disponibles para ayudar a las empresas, empleados y organizaciones sin fines de lucro de SF afectados por COVID-19, visite [nuestra página de recursos](#) actualizada diariamente.

Sé que estos son tiempos difíciles e inciertos para nuestros residentes, nuestros trabajadores y nuestros negocios. Continuaremos haciendo todo lo posible para apoyar a nuestra ciudad a través de esta pandemia y nuestra recuperación. No dude en comunicarse con nosotros para obtener ayuda ahora y en las próximas semanas y meses.

Sinceramente,  
London N. Breed  
Alcaldesa de San Francisco

---

敬愛的社區夥伴：

在本月初我宣布了為三藩市企業及其雇員提供財務紓緩的措施，包括新的三藩市[工人及家庭優先帶薪病假計劃](#)。這計劃包括\$1000萬元的撥款，以支持企業和非營利組織為雇員提供超越其現有政策的額外帶薪病假。這計劃旨在消滅COVID-19對三藩市企業及其雇員的短期和長期經濟影響。

請與您的網絡分享這個信息和[申請網址](#)，並鼓勵企業立即申請。上週四下午發布的申請計劃，在24小時內已有超過100間三藩市企業提交總額達\$160萬元的預審申請；到了週一辦公時間結束時，數量已倍添至超過260個申請及請求超過\$350萬元的支持。

所有三藩市企業均符合資格，當中20%上限的撥款已預留給雇員少於50人的小企業。查詢更多信息有關符合資格和提出申請，請瀏覽我們的[申請網頁](#)，及查看這計劃的[常見問題](#)來增加瞭解。如需協助申請或解答疑問，雇主可聯繫我們的小企業辦公室 (415) 554-6134 和 [sfosb@sfgov.org](mailto:sfosb@sfgov.org)。

工人及家庭優先帶薪病假計劃由經濟及勞動力發展辦公室 (OEWD) 與人本服務局 (HSA) 共同監管。如需瞭解更多協助受COVID-19影響的三藩市企業，雇員和非營利組織的其他資源和服務，請瀏覽每天更新的[我們資源網頁](#)。

我明白對於我們的居民、就業者 and 企業來說，這是充滿挑戰和疑慮的時代。我們將會繼續竭盡所能，支持我們的城市共渡疫情和協力復蘇。從現時至未來數週數月，您在盡要適切支援時，請務必與我們聯繫。

此致，

London N. Breed 布里德  
三藩市市長

---

Mga Mahal Naming Kasosyo sa Komunidad:

Noong unang araw ng buwan na ito, ako po ay nag-anunsio ng pagbibigay tulong pinansial para sa mga may-ari ng negosyo sa San Francisco at para sa mga empleyado nito, kasama ang bagong programa sa San Francisco [Programang Para sa mga Trabahador at Pamilya Una na Bayad na Sick Leave](#). ([Workers and Families First Paid Sick Leave Program](#)).

Kasama sa programa ay ang sampung milyong dolayares (\$10 million) na pondo para suportahan ang mga negosyo at ang mga trabahador nito para magbigay ng karagdagang bayad sa sick leave bukod sa kasalukuyang patakan. Ang layunin ng programang ito ay para maibsan ang panandalian at ang pangmatagalang apekto sa ekonomiya ng COVID-19 sa mga negosyo at empleyado ng San Francisco

Mangyari po lamang na ipalaganap at ibahagi ang impormasyon na ito at [link sa aplikasyon](#) sa inyong mga kakilala at hinihikayat namin ang mga may-ari ng negosyo na magsumite ng aplikasyon sa laong madaling panahon. Sa loob lamang ng 24 oras simula ng aming anunsiyo noong Huwebes ang programang ito, kami po ay nakatanggap na ng mahigit kumulang sa 100 na aplikasyon galing sa mga may-ari ng negosyo na sa kulang-kulang \$1.6 milyong dolyar halaga ng mga pre-approve na aplikasyon. Noong Lunes, doble ang aplikasyon na aming natanggap, higit kumulang sa 260 aplikasyon na nagkakahalaga ng higit pa sa \$3.5 milyong pre-approved na suporta.

Ang lahat ng mga negosyo sa San Francisco ay eligible na may hanggang 20% na pondo na nakalaan para sa mga maliliit na negosyo na may 50 empleyado o mas kaunti. Para sa karagdagang impormasyon tungkol sa mga kinakailangang sa pag-apply, bisitahin lamang ang aming [webpage](#) at karagdagang impormasyon sa [Mga Madalas na Tanong Tungkol sa Programa](#). Kung kailangan nyo ang tulong o ayuda sa pag-apply o kung kayo ay may katanungan, para sa mga negosyante, magyari po lamang na tumawag sa Opisina para sa Maliliit na Negosyo sa **(415) 554-6134**, [sfosb@sfgov.org](mailto:sfosb@sfgov.org).

Ang [Programang Para sa mga Trabahador at Pamilya Una na Bayad na Sick Leave](#).o [Workers and Families First Paid Sick Leave Program](#) ay pinamamahalaan ng opisina ng Office of Economic and Workforce Development (OEWD) at ng Human Services Agency (HSA). Para sa karagdagang impormasyon at mapagkukunan ng serbisyo na magagamit upang matulungan ang mga negosyo sa San Francisco, mga empleyado nito, at ang mga non-profit na apektado ng COVID-19, mangyari po lamang na bisitahin ang aming [resource page](#) para sa araw-araw na update.

Alam ko na ito ay mga paghamon sa ating mga residente, mga manggagawa, at mga negosyo sa mga oras na ito. Patuloy naming gagawin ang lahat ng aming makakaya upang suportahan ang ating lungsod habang maroon padenya at sa ating muling pagbangon. Huwag po kayong mag-atubiling makipag-ugnayan sa amin para makatulong sa darating na mga lingo at buwan.

Taos puso,

London N. Breed  
Mayor of San Francisco

---

Уважаемые члены общества:

Ранее в этом месяце я объявила о мерах по оказанию финансовой помощи предприятиям Сан Франциско и их сотрудникам, в том числе новой [программе по оплачиванию больничных \(Workers and Families First Paid Sick Leave Program\)](#). Программа включает фонд в размере 10 млн. долларов США для поддержки предприятий и некоммерческих организаций с целью предоставления работникам дополнительно оплачиваемых больничных сверх положенных им от их работодателей. Целью программы является снижение краткосрочных и долгосрочных экономических последствий для предприятий Сан-Франциско и их сотрудников, вызванных COVID-19.

Пожалуйста, поделитесь этой информацией и ссылкой на приложение [the application link](#) со своими знакомыми и побудите ваших работодателей подать заявку как можно скорее. В течение 24 часов после публикации заявки в прошлый четверг более 100 предприятий Сан-Франциско подали заявки на предварительное одобрение на общую сумму 1,6 млн. долларов США, и к концу рабочего дня в понедельник их число увеличилось более чем вдвое и превысило 260 заявок, запрашивающих поддержку на более чем \$ 3,5 млн.

Все предприятия в Сан-Франциско имеют право на получение финансовой поддержки; до 20% этих средств зарезервировано для малого бизнеса с 50 или менее сотрудников. Для получения дополнительной информации о подаче заявления и критериям для участия [посетите нашу веб-страницу](#) и ознакомьтесь с [часто задаваемыми вопросами и ответами по поводу этой программы](#). Для получения помощи и с соответствующими вопросами работодатели могут позвонить в наш офис малого бизнеса (Office of Small Business) по телефону **(415) 554-6134**, [sfosb@sfgov.org](mailto:sfosb@sfgov.org).

Программа оплачиваемых больничных Workers and Families First Paid Sick Leave Program регулируется управлением развития экономики и трудовых ресурсов (Office of Economic and Workforce Development, (OEWD)) и Агентством Социальных Служб (Human Services Agency (HSA)). Чтобы узнать больше о других ресурсах и услугах, которые могут помочь предприятиям Сан Франциско, некоммерческим организациям и работникам затронутым COVID-19, пожалуйста, ежедневно посещайте [нашу страницу ресурсов](#), которая постоянно обновляется.

Я знаю, что это трудные и неопределенные времена для наших жителей, наших работников и наших предприятий. Мы будем продолжать делать все возможное, чтобы поддержать наш город во время этой пандемии и нашего выздоровления. Пожалуйста, не стесняйтесь обращаться к нам за помощью сейчас и в будущем.

Искренне,

Лондон Н. Брид  
Мэр города Сан Франциско

Office of Economic and Workforce Development | 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 448, San Francisco, CA 94102

[Unsubscribe gloria.chan@sfgov.org](#)

[About Constant Contact](#)

Sent by [oewd@sfgov.org](#) in collaboration with



[Try email marketing for free today!](#)

**From:** [Reports, Controller \(CON\)](#)  
**To:** [Reports, Controller \(CON\)](#)  
**Subject:** Issued: Updated Policy on Continuity of Payment for Nonprofit Suppliers in the Event of COVID-19 Related Disruptions  
**Date:** Saturday, April 4, 2020 10:08:54 AM

---

The Controller's Office is updating its policy guidance to City departments and nonprofit service providers regarding continuity of payment in light of disruptions related to COVID-19. This guidance issued on April 4, 2020 supersedes prior guidance on this topic. This policy may be updated periodically as the response to COVID-19 changes.

Nonprofit suppliers deliver essential services to San Francisco residents on behalf of and funded by the City and County of San Francisco. The public health emergency related to COVID-19 creates a variety of disruptions to provider operations. It is still the City's intent to support the sustainability of nonprofit suppliers by continuing to provide full or partial payment in the event of programmatic closures that are in accordance with official public health recommendations. The new policy also clarifies expectations for the continued operation of essential services and the potential re-designation of essential services. Finally, the policy encourages flexibility in budgeting to allow nonprofits to adjust current contract budgets to address changing needs.

View the policy on our website at: <http://openbook.sfgov.org/webreports/details3.aspx?id=2810>

For updates about this policy and other Controller's Office announcements, visit [www.sfcontroller.org/announcements](http://www.sfcontroller.org/announcements).

This is a send-only email address.

For questions about the policy, nonprofit suppliers should first contact your assigned program manager at your funding department(s). City department staff should send questions via a contracts or budget lead to [marnie.purciel-hill@sfgov.org](mailto:marnie.purciel-hill@sfgov.org) to ensure a coordinated City response.

Follow us on Twitter @SFController. To subscribe to our reports, go [here](#).



# OFFICE OF THE CONTROLLER

## CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

Ben Rosenfield  
Controller

Todd Rydstrom  
Deputy Controller

### Policy [April 4, 2020 Update]:

### Continuity of Payment for Nonprofit Suppliers in the Event of COVID-19 Related Disruptions

#### General Policy

Nonprofit suppliers deliver essential services to San Francisco residents on behalf of and funded by the City and County of San Francisco. As of March 17, 2020, the San Francisco County Health Officer issued a "Shelter in Place" order which required non-essential services to be delivered remotely or to close if remote delivery is not possible. The order also indicated that service essential to life, health and safety should continue, though should be offered remotely if possible or with social distancing in place if face to face service is required.

Disruptions related to the COVID-19 public health emergency will have implications for cash flow, as the City typically only pays for actual services rendered. This policy is intended to clarify guidance regarding allowability of City payments to nonprofit suppliers in the event of closures of non-essential services related to COVID-19. Additionally, the policy clarifies that essential services may be required to stay open (either fully or via remote work), and may incur extra costs associated with staying open.

#### Closure of Non-Essential Services

It is the City's intent to support the sustainability of nonprofit suppliers by continuing to provide full or partial payment in the event of programmatic closures that are in accordance with recommendations from the San Francisco County Health Officer. City departments funding nonprofit suppliers delivering non-essential services should continue to pay for services through May 3, 2020 the current duration of the Shelter in Place order in San Francisco. This includes programs that closed entirely and those being delivered remotely, according to the following procedures and guidance.

#### Re-Designation of Services and/or Workers from Non-Essential to Essential

The City may change its determination of which services are deemed essential services based on the needs of the public health emergency and the City's response plans. Suppliers may have been initially informed their services are non-essential and should close if remote work is impossible, and later be asked to reopen to deliver essential services. In these cases, it is the City's expectation that suppliers deliver essential contracted services when requested, and failure to do so will result in the City discontinuing payment.

As the City assesses its operational and workforce needs in responding to the public health emergency, it may require nonprofit staff to serve in new functions in essential City services. The City may require non-essential nonprofit workers to perform new essential functions on behalf of the City, such as supporting emergency shelter operations. If required to perform such services, the City will revisit contract budgets as needed to ensure workforce needs are met.

#### Continued Operation of Essential Services

Departments have designated certain services performed by nonprofit suppliers as essential to continue during the Shelter in Place order, either in person or remotely depending on the service. These services

## 2 | Policy (version 4/4/20): Continuity of Payment for Nonprofit Suppliers in the Event of COVID-19 Related Disruptions

are essential to the life, health and safety of residents, and it is the City's expectation that these services continue, and continuity of payment is contingent upon ongoing service delivery.

- In some cases, departments may request the existing service expand (e.g., increasing food deliveries), be offered to a new population (e.g., providing childcare to first responders) or be offered in a different way (e.g. congregate meal sites provide meals to go). In these cases, departments will adjust contracts to accommodate any approved increase in costs associated with the change to the essential service.
- In some cases, continuing such services while accommodating the social distancing requirements may incur new costs (such as IT expenditures associated with remote work). If approved by departments, these costs may be funded directly or via enhanced budget flexibility (see below).

### **Location-Specific Closure**

If a specific instance of COVID-19 is found at an essential program site remaining open during the Shelter in Place order, that location may need to temporarily close. City departments funding the program should continue to pay for services during this temporary closure according to "Procedures for Continuity of Payment for Closed or Reduced Services" specified below.

### **Service Level Impacts**

Some essential service providers with fee-for-service contracts may remain open during the Shelter in Place order but will likely experience lower-than-expected services levels due to social distancing requirements. This may lead to reductions in the funding that provider typically receives based on the fee-for-service model. These contracts are typically with the Department of Public Health (DPH).

### **Budget Flexibility**

As the City and its nonprofit contractors adjust operations to respond to the public health emergency, City departments should allow nonprofit suppliers the flexibility to adjust contract budgets to accommodate new needs and adaptations to service models. This includes funding new items not previously budgeted (e.g., IT expenditures related to remote work) or increasing funding to existing items (e.g., salaries) within the current contract budget. Departments should develop minimally burdensome procedures for review and approval of such budget adjustments, including allowing an extended timeline for submissions when needed.

### **Procedures for Continuity of Payment for Closed or Reduced Services**

- A. Suppliers should notify all funding departments of a closure or impacted program, including whether a closure is location-specific or due to the City's Shelter in Place order, and/or why the service level may be impacted. If the closure is location-specific, suppliers should indicate the specific rationale for the closure, which should be reasonable and associated with City policy guidance.
- B. Suppliers should identify and thoroughly document all expenditures associated with the closed program(s). Documentation (i.e., payroll records, receipts) must be retained to justify expenses, and to support claiming for City funding:
  - Fixed and regular costs (such as rent, utilities, salaries): these costs will continue to be incurred and should be paid normally.
  - Hourly employees (including those that would not otherwise be paid when a program is not operating) should be paid the anticipated wage during the closure.
  - If there are any expenses that will not be incurred due to the programs closure (e.g., avoided food or travel costs for canceled programs), these should be identified and

### 3 | Policy (version 4/4/20): Continuity of Payment for Nonprofit Suppliers in the Event of COVID-19 Related Disruptions

- excluded from invoicing. If these costs have been incurred prior to the closure, they should be paid by the contracting department.
- While these expenditures may be billed using a regular monthly invoice template, the expenses related to a closure should be able to be isolated and available upon request to allow the City to seek reimbursement for these specific costs.
- C. Suppliers with Cost Reimbursement contracts should invoice for the month, but should be flexible and responsive to departmental requests for additional documentation about expenditures during closure, which may include (per departmental needs) documentation of specific services that were expected but unable to be delivered, and costs associated with those services.
- D. Suppliers with Fee-for-Service contracts (i.e., primarily DPH suppliers with contracts with services billed based on units actually provided) should invoice for the month by calculating 1/12<sup>th</sup> of the contracted units of service, and should be prepared to offer documentation of specific services that were expected but unable to be delivered. For Medi-Cal covered services, DPH will ensure that the cost report settlement process appropriately accounts for the actual cost of services, and DPH expects all units of service to continue to be entered into AVATAR unless notified separately by DPH.
- E. Departments will need to ensure funding is available to pay for canceled services, closed programs or reduced service levels. In particular, departments should ensure federal or state grants are not used to pay for canceled services. If a contract receives federal, state or other grant funding that will not cover the cost of the closure, departments should work with the Controller's Office to ensure General Fund sources are available to pay these costs as feasible and appropriate, and, if not, to determine whether to request additional appropriations to support them. While it is the City's intent to support the sustainability of essential services by backfilling federal and state grants with General Fund, this may not be feasible or appropriate for all contracts, and departments may exercise discretion in determining how to fund services.

#### Questions and Current Guidance

##### 1. What services are essential and should continue operating during the Shelter in Place order?

City departments are in the process of determining which programs and services are essential during the public health emergency, and designations may change as the City adjusts its response. Some essential and non-essential services may also be delivered remotely when possible. Non-essential services that cannot be delivered remotely may need to close or adjust operations. Closures may occur at the program level, meaning some nonprofit suppliers may need to close some programs but continue other essential services. Specific guidance will be issued by each department to its suppliers, and department staff may follow up directly with nonprofit suppliers to further clarify expectations.

Essential programs that remain open should adjust operations to accommodate social distancing protocols per the order. Depending on the program, this may include limiting the number of staff and/or clients on site, closing non-essential components of programs that cannot be operated remotely, and/or requesting staff telework or otherwise use virtual tools to support continued operations.

Closed programs should follow procedures regarding "minimum basic operations" per the Shelter in Place order.

**2. Will the City reimburse the cost of event-specific cleaning of program sites in the event of an actual case of COVID-19? If so, what is the process? Will the City fund the cost of cleaning and other emergency preventative expenses that would not be typically incurred?**

Should a provider of an essential service believe that an employee or client at a program site has been diagnosed with COVID-19, they should contact all funding departments to notify them of the need for closure and cleaning. The City will work with the provider on cleaning and other protocols during the closure, and will reimburse the provider for costs incurred provided it is consistent with public health advice.

The City may issue separate policy guidance on this issue more broadly, and if that occurs, this guidance will be updated. The City is currently exploring how to deliver augmented cleaning services and other supplies at service sites with large vulnerable populations, with funding which would be separate from current funding to nonprofit suppliers.

However, while other City-funded programs may also request such services, some essential supplies may be limited and should be prioritized for specific vulnerable populations in congregate settings. The Department of Public Health has issued guidance regarding appropriate routine cleaning practices for non-healthcare settings, available here: <https://www.sfdph.org/dph/alerts/files/COVID19-Cleaning-Environmental-Businesses-Agencies-24Feb2020.pdf>. If a nonprofit serves vulnerable populations in congregate settings and believes augmented cleaning services and supplies are necessary, these requests will be considered on a case-by-case basis. Contact your funding department to request this support. Departments receiving such requests should contact your Department Operations Center (DOC) or Emergency Operations Center (EOC) representative to identify the need.

**3. Some essential service providers may remain open but experience lower-than-expected service levels, e.g., if a provider typically receives referrals from a school site that has closed while the provider remains open. If these services are funded via a fee-for-service contract, will the City fund the full cost of these services when actual units of service decrease below a sustainable level?**

Nonprofit providers of essential services should continue delivering services and documenting them as required by departments. Most fee-for-service contracts are within DPH. If a DPH nonprofit provider is seeing lower-than-expected units of service related to COVID-19, please contact your DPH Contract Development and Technical Assistance (CDTA) program manager to report your concerns. If increased support is necessary as it relates to the COVID-19 response, suppliers will be instructed to follow Procedure D above to receive funding in light of decreased service levels. The City's general policy goal is to support service providers experiencing disruptions due to the declared public health emergency.

**4. Will nonprofit service providers be required to attend department-mandated meetings in person?**

To comply with the Shelter in Place order, City departments should cancel or postpone any non-essential meetings, and should ensure essential meetings can be held by conference call or online, as feasible.

## Updates and Attachments

This policy will continue to be amended as the situation progresses with amended advice on these questions and additional advice on other topics related to City payments to and contracting with nonprofit service providers should they become necessary.

- View the full text of the March 31, 2020 Shelter in Place order here: <https://www.sfdph.org/dph/alerts/files/HealthOfficerOrder-C19-07b-ShelterInPlace-03312020.pdf>
- View “FAQs” about the Shelter in Place order here: <https://sf.gov/stay-home-except-essential-needs>
- Stay informed about current Department of Public Health community guidance here: <https://www.sfdph.org/dph/alerts/coronavirus.asp>
- Stay informed about current Department of Emergency Management guidance here: <https://www.sf72.org/>
- Stay informed about current Department of Human Resources guidance to City employees here: <https://sfdhr.org/covid-19>

## Questions?

Nonprofit suppliers should contact assigned program managers at funding departments with questions about this policy or other issues or concerns related to contracts and grants.

City departments should route questions about essential services via Department Operations Centers (DOCs) or the Emergency Operations Center (EOC) for official consideration and response.

As necessary, City departments should send questions about continuity of payment not addressed by this policy to the Controller’s Office. Please send questions via a contracts or budget lead to Marnie Purciel-Hill at [marnie.purciel-hill@sfgov.org](mailto:marnie.purciel-hill@sfgov.org). Questions may be compiled for a consolidated City response, and the policy may be updated and republished accordingly.

**From:** [Mchugh, Eileen \(BOS\)](#)  
**To:** [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#); [BOS-Administrative Aides](#)  
**Cc:** [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#); [Laxamana, Junko \(BOS\)](#); [Ng, Wilson \(BOS\)](#); [PEARSON, ANNE \(CAT\)](#)  
**Subject:** Mayoral Meeting Approvals - HPC and PUC  
**Date:** Wednesday, April 8, 2020 12:39:00 PM  
**Attachments:** [04152020\\_HPC\\_Commission.pdf](#)  
[04142020\\_SFPUC\\_Commission.pdf](#)

---

Hello Supervisors,

Please see the attached communications from the Office of the Mayor authorizing the meetings of the HPC and the PUC.

Thank you,

Eileen McHugh  
Executive Assistant  
Board of Supervisors  
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, City Hall, Room 244  
San Francisco, CA 94102-4689  
Phone: (415) 554-7703 | Fax: (415) 554-5163  
[eileen.e.mchugh@sfgov.org](mailto:eileen.e.mchugh@sfgov.org) | [www.sfbos.org](http://www.sfbos.org)

---

**From:** Kittler, Sophia (MYR) <[sophia.kittler@sfgov.org](mailto:sophia.kittler@sfgov.org)>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, April 8, 2020 12:11 PM  
**To:** Calvillo, Angela (BOS) <[angela.calvillo@sfgov.org](mailto:angela.calvillo@sfgov.org)>; Somera, Alisa (BOS) <[alisa.somera@sfgov.org](mailto:alisa.somera@sfgov.org)>  
**Subject:** Authorization for SFPUC Commission 4/14/20

Hi Angela,  
Please see the attached authorization for the SFPUC to meet by teleconference on April 14.

Sophia

Sophia Kittler  
Office of Mayor London N. Breed  
415 554 6153

---

**From:** Kittler, Sophia (MYR) <[sophia.kittler@sfgov.org](mailto:sophia.kittler@sfgov.org)>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, April 8, 2020 12:10 PM  
**To:** Calvillo, Angela (BOS) <[angela.calvillo@sfgov.org](mailto:angela.calvillo@sfgov.org)>; Somera, Alisa (BOS) <[alisa.somera@sfgov.org](mailto:alisa.somera@sfgov.org)>  
**Subject:** HPC Authorization for April 15, 2020

Hello Angela,  
Please find attached the authorization for the Historic Preservation Commission to meet on April 15.

Thanks,  
Sophia

Sophia Kittler  
Office of Mayor London N. Breed  
415 554 6153

---



April 6, 2020

President Norman Yee  
San Francisco Board of Supervisors  
1 Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244  
San Francisco, CA 94102

Dear President Yee,

Pursuant to the Eighth Supplement to the Mayoral Proclamation Declaring the Existence of a Local Emergency Dated April 1, 2020, as the Mayor's designee, I authorize the San Francisco Public Utilities Commission ("SFPUC" or "Commission") to hold meetings through May 3, 2020, to consider the approval of projects, contracts, and amendments to contracts necessary for the operation and maintenance of the City's water, sewer, and electric utility infrastructure. The Commission's consideration of these matters is an essential government operation, and given that the SFPUC's water, sewer, and electric infrastructure is essential infrastructure within the meaning of the Order of the Health Officer No. C19-07b, consideration of these matters is necessary to ensure public health and safety. The first meeting that is authorized will occur on April 14, 2020, at 1:30 pm. This meeting and subsequent meetings are authorized on the following conditions:

- The meetings must occur by teleconference or other electronic means without providing a physical meeting place, and the Commission must comply with all rules governing public meetings during the emergency, including allowing public observation and participation;
- The Commission may consider other items but must prioritize the urgent action items pertaining to the operation and maintenance of utility infrastructure; and
- The Commission shall not require the time of staff who are otherwise deployed or participating in the City's response to the COVID-19 pandemic.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Andres Power".

Andres Power  
Policy Director

cc. Members of the Board of Supervisors  
Clerk of the Board  
San Francisco Public Utilities Commission Secretary  
General Manager of the San Francisco Public Utilities Commission



April 6, 2020

President Norman Yee  
San Francisco Board of Supervisors  
1 Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244  
San Francisco, CA 94102

Dear President Yee,

Pursuant to the Eighth Supplement to the Mayoral Proclamation Declaring the Existence of a Local Emergency Dated February 25, 2020, as the Mayor's designee, I authorize the San Francisco Historic Preservation Commission (the "Commission") to hold a public meeting on Wednesday, April 15, 2020, at 12:30pm.

At this meeting, the Commission will prioritize urgent action items and essential services pertaining to infrastructure, affordable housing and market rate housing. This meeting is authorized on the following conditions:

- The meeting must occur by teleconference or other electronic means without providing a physical meeting place, and the Commission must comply with all rules governing public meetings during the emergency, including allowing public observation and participation;
- The Commission may consider other items but must prioritize the urgent action items pertaining to infrastructure, affordable housing and market rate housing; and
- The Commission shall not require the time of staff who are otherwise deployed or participating in the City's response to the COVID-19 pandemic.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Andres Power".

Andres Power  
Policy Director

cc. Members of the Board of Supervisors  
Clerk of the Board  
Director of the San Francisco Planning Department  
Director of Commission Affairs

**From:** [Mchugh, Eileen \(BOS\)](#)  
**To:** [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#); [BOS-Administrative Aides](#)  
**Cc:** [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#); [Laxamana, Junko \(BOS\)](#); [Ng, Wilson \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Mayoral Meeting Approval - Assessment Appeals Board  
**Date:** Thursday, April 9, 2020 12:27:00 PM  
**Attachments:** [04062020\\_AAB\\_Commission.pdf](#)

---

Hello,

Please see the attached communication from the Office of the Mayor authorizing the AAB to meet.

Thank you,

Eileen McHugh  
Executive Assistant  
Board of Supervisors  
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, City Hall, Room 244  
San Francisco, CA 94102-4689  
Phone: (415) 554-7703 | Fax: (415) 554-5163  
[eileen.e.mchugh@sfgov.org](mailto:eileen.e.mchugh@sfgov.org) | [www.sfbos.org](http://www.sfbos.org)



April 6, 2020

President Norman Yee  
San Francisco Board of Supervisors  
1 Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244  
San Francisco, CA 94102

Dear President Yee,

Pursuant to the Eighth Supplement to the Mayoral Proclamation Declaring the Existence of a Local Emergency Dated April 1 2020, as the Mayor's designee, I authorize the Assessment Appeals Board (or the "Board") to hold meetings through May 3, 2020, where the Board has an urgent need to take action to comply with a deadline imposed by state law. The Board's continued compliance with these mandatory deadlines is an essential government operation. The first meeting that is authorized will occur on April 6, 2020. This meeting and subsequent meetings are authorized on the following conditions:

- The meetings must occur by teleconference or other electronic means without providing a physical meeting place, and the Board must comply with all rules governing public meetings during the emergency that are applicable to the Board, including allowing public observation and participation;
- The Board must prioritize cases for which there is an urgent need to take action to comply with a deadline imposed by state law but may consider other cases at a meeting; and
- The Board shall not require the time of staff who are otherwise deployed or participating in the City's response to the COVID-19 pandemic.

If the Mayor extends the order prohibiting meetings of City policy bodies, the foregoing authorization shall also be extended unless rescinded or revised.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Andres Power".

Andres Power  
Policy Director

cc. Members of the Board of Supervisors  
Clerk of the Board  
Assessment Appeals Board

**From:** [Mchugh, Eileen \(BOS\)](#)  
**To:** [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#); [BOS-Administrative Aides](#)  
**Cc:** [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#); [Laxamana, Junko \(BOS\)](#); [Ng, Wilson \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Mayoral Meeting Approval - Planning Commission  
**Date:** Thursday, April 9, 2020 12:51:00 PM  
**Attachments:** [04092020\\_Planning\\_Commission.pdf](#)

---

Hello Supervisors,

Please see the attached communication from the Office of the Mayor authorizing the Planning Commission to meet.

Thank you,

Eileen McHugh  
Executive Assistant  
Board of Supervisors  
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, City Hall, Room 244  
San Francisco, CA 94102-4689  
Phone: (415) 554-7703 | Fax: (415) 554-5163  
[eileen.e.mchugh@sfgov.org](mailto:eileen.e.mchugh@sfgov.org) | [www.sfbos.org](http://www.sfbos.org)



April 3, 2020

President Norman Yee  
San Francisco Board of Supervisors  
1 Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244  
San Francisco, CA 94102

Dear President Yee,

Pursuant to the Eighth Supplement to the Mayoral Proclamation Declaring the Existence of a Local Emergency Dated February 25, 2020, as the Mayor's designee, I authorize the Planning Commission (or "Commission") to hold meetings through May 3, 2020, to consider the approval of projects involving infrastructure, housing, and small businesses. The Commission's consideration of these projects is an essential government operation, and given the ongoing housing and economic crises, consideration of these projects is necessary to ensure public health and safety. The first meeting that is authorized will occur on April 9, 2020, at 1:00 pm. This meeting and subsequent meetings are authorized on the following conditions:

- The meetings must occur by teleconference or other electronic means without providing a physical meeting place, and the Commission must comply with all rules governing public meetings during the emergency, including allowing public observation and participation;
- The Commission may consider other items but must prioritize the urgent action items pertaining to infrastructure, housing, and small businesses; and
- The Commission shall not require the time of staff who are otherwise deployed or participating in the City's response to the COVID-19 pandemic.

If the Mayor extends the order prohibiting meetings of City policy bodies, the foregoing authorization shall also be extended unless rescinded or revised.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Andres Power".

Andres Power  
Policy Director

cc. Members of the Board of Supervisors  
Clerk of the Board  
Director of the San Francisco Planning Department  
Director of Commission Affairs

**From:** [Mchugh, Eileen \(BOS\)](#)  
**To:** [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#); [BOS-Administrative Aides](#)  
**Cc:** [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#); [Laxamana, Junko \(BOS\)](#); [Ng, Wilson \(BOS\)](#); [PEARSON, ANNE \(CAT\)](#)  
**Subject:** Mayoral Authorization for Disability and Aging Services Commission May 6, 2020 Meeting  
**Date:** Thursday, April 9, 2020 4:57:00 PM  
**Attachments:** [05062020\\_DASC\\_Commission.pdf](#)

---

Hello Supervisors,

Please see the attached Communication from the Office of the Mayor authorizing the Disability and Aging Services Commission to meet.

Thank you,

Eileen McHugh  
Executive Assistant  
Board of Supervisors  
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, City Hall, Room 244  
San Francisco, CA 94102-4689  
Phone: (415) 554-7703 | Fax: (415) 554-5163  
[eileen.e.mchugh@sfgov.org](mailto:eileen.e.mchugh@sfgov.org) | [www.sfbos.org](http://www.sfbos.org)

---

**From:** Peacock, Rebecca (MYR) <[rebecca.peacock@sfgov.org](mailto:rebecca.peacock@sfgov.org)>  
**Sent:** Thursday, April 9, 2020 4:34 PM  
**To:** Calvillo, Angela (BOS) <[angela.calvillo@sfgov.org](mailto:angela.calvillo@sfgov.org)>; Somera, Alisa (BOS) <[alisa.somera@sfgov.org](mailto:alisa.somera@sfgov.org)>  
**Cc:** Power, Andres (MYR) <[andres.power@sfgov.org](mailto:andres.power@sfgov.org)>; Kittler, Sophia (MYR) <[sophia.kittler@sfgov.org](mailto:sophia.kittler@sfgov.org)>; Badasow, Bridget (HSA) <[bridget.badasow@sfgov.org](mailto:bridget.badasow@sfgov.org)>; McSpadden, Shireen (HSA) <[shireen.mcspadden@sfgov.org](mailto:shireen.mcspadden@sfgov.org)>  
**Subject:** Authorization for Disability and Aging Services Commission May 6, 2020 Meeting

Clerk Calvillo and Alisa,

Please see attached authorization for the Disability and Aging Services Commission to hold its May 6, 2020 meeting.

While this falls outside of the order, the timing is very close to their agenda publication date. This will also cover them in the event the shelter-in-place is extended once again.

We will update with any changes between now and May 3 should the situation necessitate it.

Let me know if you have any questions.

---

**Rebecca Peacock** ([they/she](#))  
(415) 554-6982 | [Rebecca.Peacock@sfgov.org](mailto:Rebecca.Peacock@sfgov.org)

Office of Mayor London N. Breed  
City & County of San Francisco

\*\*\* I am working remotely. Please call me at 267-663-8648 with any questions \*\*\*



April 9, 2020

President Norman Yee  
San Francisco Board of Supervisors  
1 Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244  
San Francisco, CA 94102

Dear President Yee,

Pursuant to the Eighth Supplement to the Mayoral Proclamation Declaring the Existence of a Local Emergency Dated February 25, 2020, as the Mayor's designee, I authorize the Disability and Aging Services Commission (the "Commission") to hold a public meeting on Wednesday, May 6, 2020, at 9:30am.

At this meeting, the Commission will consider urgent contracts pending approval. The approval of such contracts is necessary to ensure public health and safety. This meeting is authorized on the following conditions:

- The meeting must occur by teleconference or other electronic means without providing a physical meeting place, and the Commission must comply with all rules governing public meetings during the emergency, including allowing public observation and participation;
- The Commission may consider other items but must prioritize the urgent action items pertaining to contract approvals.
- The Commission shall not require the time of staff who are otherwise deployed or participating in the City's response to the COVID-19 pandemic.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Andres Power".

Andres Power  
Policy Director

cc. Members of the Board of Supervisors  
Clerk of the Board  
Executive Director of the Department of Disability and Aging Services  
Disability and Aging Services Commission Secretary

**From:** [Mchugh, Eileen \(BOS\)](#)  
**To:** [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#); [BOS-Administrative Aides](#)  
**Cc:** [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#); [Laxamana, Junko \(BOS\)](#); [Ng, Wilson \(BOS\)](#); [PEARSON, ANNE \(CAT\)](#)  
**Subject:** Mayoral Authorization for Board of Appeals April 15, 2020 Meeting  
**Date:** Thursday, April 9, 2020 5:10:00 PM  
**Attachments:** [04152020\\_BOA\\_Commission.pdf](#)

---

Hello Supervisors,

Please see the attached communication from the Office of the Mayor authorizing the Board of Appeals to meet.

Thank you,

Eileen McHugh  
Executive Assistant  
Board of Supervisors  
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, City Hall, Room 244  
San Francisco, CA 94102-4689  
Phone: (415) 554-7703 | Fax: (415) 554-5163  
[eileen.e.mchugh@sfgov.org](mailto:eileen.e.mchugh@sfgov.org) | [www.sfbos.org](http://www.sfbos.org)

---

**From:** Peacock, Rebecca (MYR) <[rebecca.peacock@sfgov.org](mailto:rebecca.peacock@sfgov.org)>  
**Sent:** Thursday, April 9, 2020 3:25 PM  
**To:** Calvillo, Angela (BOS) <[angela.calvillo@sfgov.org](mailto:angela.calvillo@sfgov.org)>; Somera, Alisa (BOS) <[alisa.somera@sfgov.org](mailto:alisa.somera@sfgov.org)>  
**Cc:** Kittler, Sophia (MYR) <[sophia.kittler@sfgov.org](mailto:sophia.kittler@sfgov.org)>; Power, Andres (MYR) <[andres.power@sfgov.org](mailto:andres.power@sfgov.org)>; Rosenberg, Julie (BOA) <[julie.rosenberg@sfgov.org](mailto:julie.rosenberg@sfgov.org)>  
**Subject:** Authorization for Board of Appeals April 15, 2020 Meeting

Clerk Calvillo and Alisa,

Please see attached authorization for the Board of Appeals to hold a remote meeting on Wednesday, April 15.

Let me know if you have any questions.

---

**Rebecca Peacock** ([they/she](#))  
(415) 554-6982 | [Rebecca.Peacock@sfgov.org](mailto:Rebecca.Peacock@sfgov.org)  
Office of Mayor London N. Breed  
City & County of San Francisco

\*\*\* I am working remotely. Please call me at 267-663-8648 with any questions \*\*\*\*



April 9, 2020

President Norman Yee  
San Francisco Board of Supervisors  
1 Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244  
San Francisco, CA 94102

Dear President Yee,

Pursuant to the Eighth Supplement to the Mayoral Proclamation Declaring the Existence of a Local Emergency Dated February 25, 2020, as the Mayor's designee, I authorize the Board of Appeals (the "Board") to hold a public meeting on Wednesday, April 15, 2020, at 5:00pm.

At this meeting, the Board will consider various appeals. Actions taken at this meeting are necessary to ensure essential government functions. This meeting is authorized on the following conditions:

- The meeting must occur by teleconference or other electronic means without providing a physical meeting place, and the Board must comply with all rules governing public meetings during the emergency, including allowing public observation and participation;
- The Board may consider other items but must prioritize the urgent action items pertaining to appeals necessary for essential government functions; and
- The Board shall not require the time of staff who are otherwise deployed or participating in the City's response to the COVID-19 pandemic.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Andres Power".

Andres Power  
Policy Director

cc. Members of the Board of Supervisors  
Clerk of the Board  
Board of Appeals Secretary

**From:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)  
**To:** [BOS-Supervisors](#)  
**Subject:** FW: URGENT: City and County of San Francisco Safety Concerns of Dangerous Working Conditions Regarding COVID-19  
**Date:** Monday, April 6, 2020 8:36:00 AM

---

**From:** Concerned Employee <concernedccsfemployee@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Friday, April 3, 2020 2:26 PM  
**To:** Breed, Mayor London (MYR) <mayorlondonbreed@sfgov.org>; Colfax, Grant (DPH) <grant.colfax@sfdph.org>; SF.DHRWD@sfgov.org; Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>; sf.nancy@mail.house.gov; gavin.newsom@gov.ca.gov; pwilson@twusf.org  
**Cc:** stateinformation@state.ca.gov  
**Subject:** URGENT: City and County of San Francisco Safety Concerns of Dangerous Working Conditions Regarding COVID-19

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

### To Whom It May Concern:

We hope this message finds you well during this unprecedented global crisis. We are a group of concerned City and County of San Francisco (CCSF) employees. We commend the City for quickly responding to the COVID-19 pandemic by enacting Health Orders No. C19-07 & C19-07b. However, the requirements of these Health Orders have not and continue to not be applied to many CCSF employees. San Francisco Municipal Transportation Agency (SFMTA) and San Francisco Department of Public Health- Environmental Health (SFDPH-EH) employees are facing an additional crisis of inequity and lack of safety- thereby further compounding the devastating effects of Covid-19. Since the implementation of the first health order, insufficient action was taken by upper management to protect the health of their staff. As it stands, there is a disproportionate burden of exposure placed on City employees.

Health Order No. C19-07b states, “Essential Businesses are directed to maximize the number of employees who work from home. Essential Businesses may only assign those employees who cannot perform their job duties from home to work outside the home.” As of April 3, 2020, SFMTA and SFDPH-EH have **not yet complied** with this requirement of the Health Order.

Even after the Shelter in Place Health Order went into effect on March 16, 2020, SFMTA and EH employees continued to be forced to work in conditions that could adversely affect their health and perpetuate the spread of this deadly virus. As

we approach the end of the third week of the Health Order, Directors Jeffrey Tumlin and Stephanie Cushing have been dismissing and minimizing concerns of their staff. After the second health order was implemented that departments have actually only begun to take some formal action, however it simply is not enough.

SFMTA Transit Operators are not afforded the same protections as neighboring counties. STFMA Transit Operators are risking their lives every day while working without PPE and in buses that do not adhere to social distancing requirements, while their colleagues in San Mateo are afforded these essential public health rights.

Furthermore, it has come to our attention that all of the seven counties in the Bay Area have required their EH staff to adhere to the Health Order since it's implementation, with the exception of the City and County of San Francisco. In fact, some Bay Area counties have even *mandated* their staff to work from home. EH staff in other Bay Area counties are exclusively working from home and are on standby to perform critical field work when necessary. This discrepancy is contradictory to basic public health principles and hypocritical of the mandates set forth by our Mayor, Governor, CDPH, and CDC.

One week after the Health Order went into effect, San Francisco EH staff were granted the opportunity to work from home every other two days beginning March 23, 2020. When they must report to the office and/or conduct field visits, they are provided with insufficient personal protective equipment (PPE). For example, field visits are conducted by EH staff without masks in Single Room Occupancy dwellings, in close proximity to COVID-19 positive individuals. The Department is requesting that EH employees have direct face to face interactions with the public.

It is important to note that there are a number of employees who are or live with vulnerable populations. This includes individuals over 60, with underlying health issues, and those with young children. These are people who are at greatest risk of infection from this virus and could therefore become gravely ill. This is compounded further because if infected, they become carriers who can spread the virus to others in the community. As such, we are potentially contributing to community spread that is already occurring exponentially, rather than mitigation and prevention. This is exactly what the Department of Public Health is working tirelessly to prevent.

We are committed to the health and safety of our community. We understand the severity of this virus and are justifiably concerned. While there is still much to be learned about this virus, leading public health professionals, including CDC Director Dr. Robert Redfield, have cited new data that shows high rates of transmission from people who are infected but show no symptoms, up to

25% of all infected cases. Dr. Redfield has stated, “That’s important, because now you have individuals that may not have any symptoms who can contribute to transmission, and we have learned that in fact they do contribute to transmission.” Researchers have even suggested that this virus may be transmitted by talking and breathing. Performing our job duties under the current circumstances and without the necessary PPE and social distancing requirements puts ourselves, our coworkers, and the public we serve at risk of contracting this virus. These face to face interactions promote the spread of the virus within our communities, further exacerbating this public health crisis. Everyday thousands of people in the US are confirmed positive with Covid-19. Every day more and more lives are lost to this insidious, indiscriminate pathogen.

A City Employee Alert emailed on March 16, 2020 stated that “employees performing essential services must still **report to work** and those that can perform their work remotely must continue to do so.” Director Stephanie Cushing forwarded this email to EH staff adding, “As you can see, all Department of Public Health employees are expected to **come** to work.” We believe that “report to work” can mean performing duties from a remote location or designated workplace. We believe we can continue to serve the city while working remotely and while standing by to deal with critical situations in the field under certain conditions.

As per the San Francisco Department of Human Resources webpage, “Employees performing essential services must still report to work and those that can perform their work remotely must continue to do so.” We appreciate our roles as Disaster Service Workers and are committed to serving the City and community either working from home or from the Department Operations Center, in the event we are activated to the Emergency Operations Center.

The novel coronavirus, COVID-19, is a new virus and the situation is rapidly evolving every moment. Leading scientists and public health professionals have reaffirmed that when there is so much uncertainty and when the risks are so high, **every possible precaution should be taken**. In alignment with neighboring Bay Area counties, EH staff are prepared to exclusively work remotely from home and are prepared to report to the office and conduct *essential* field work when necessary and *only* if adequate PPE is provided. We urge that this be implemented for the duration of the Health Order, just as other Disaster Service Workers, including our colleagues within the City and in the neighboring Bay Area counties. SFMTA transit drivers **must** be given adequate protection. We must be able to perform our job duties while protected and **in adherence** to CDC guidelines and both Health Orders.

We understand that this health crisis is unprecedented, challenging, and unlike

anything the City had to address in the past, however it is concerning that the City and County of San Francisco is not taking the same protective measures for their employees as other neighboring counties. The City and County of San Francisco banded with other Bay Area county health officials to institute a Shelter In Place Order because a perilous virus with exponential transmission rates requires immediate and extreme protective measures. These efforts and sacrifices would be futile if City employees are not held to the same standard that is necessary to curb the spread and minimize the devastation caused by this virus.

The “Shelter in Place” Order was astutely extended to May 3, 2020 to prevent further spread of COVID-19. According to leading public health experts, the next 30 days are crucial. In this pandemic, every moment is critical. Every quick action to protect public health and prevent illness of our community can have significant impact, while lack of necessary action would have devastating adverse effects on our community at large. Please investigate this matter and extend us the same consideration as our colleagues. Please help us ensure that our City is in compliance with the Health Order and in alignment with neighboring Bay Area counties. Please respond as quickly as possible to help protect our health and help curb the spread of this vicious illness. We simply are requesting that the lives of all City and County of San Francisco employees are valued as much as much as the public that we serve. We appreciate your timely attention to this matter.

Sincerely,

Concerned City & County of San Francisco Employees

**From:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)  
**To:** [BOS-Supervisors](#)  
**Subject:** 57 Communications for File No. 200346  
**Date:** Friday, April 10, 2020 12:14:00 PM  
**Attachments:** [Emails File No. 200346.pdf](#)

---

Hello Supervisors,

The Office of the Clerk of the Board is in receipt of the attached 57 emails pertaining to File No. 200346.

**File No. 200346** - supporting the COVID-19 orders by civil authority interrupting and prohibiting access to businesses as necessary, due to physical property loss or damage; and urging the California Insurance Commissioner to consider it a material misrepresentation to deny in any public filing that the Coronavirus does not have the propensity to cause property loss or damage.

-

-

Thank you,

-

Jackie Hickey  
Board of Supervisors  
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, City Hall, Room 244  
San Francisco, CA 94102-4689  
Phone: (415) 554-5184 | Fax: (415) 554-5163  
[jacqueline.hickey@sfgov.org](mailto:jacqueline.hickey@sfgov.org) | [www.sfbos.org](http://www.sfbos.org)

**From:** [Natalia Bushyager](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)  
**Cc:** [Quan, Daisy \(BOS\)](#); [Mar, Gordon \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Haney, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Leigh Loper](#)  
**Subject:** Business Interruption & Civil Authority Insurance Claim  
**Date:** Monday, April 6, 2020 9:59:20 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

*As a small business owner, I urge you to support Supervisor Mar's resolution, Supporting COVID-19 Orders for Business Interruption As Necessary Due to Physical Property Loss or Damage, and add my correspondence to File No. 200346. We need to hold private insurance companies accountable and support small businesses who, for decades, have been paying into business interruption insurance and whose claims are now being denied. The Shelter in Place Orders for public health has devastatingly interrupted our businesses and we need support now from both the public sector and private insurers.*

*I filed a claim with The Hartford & they denied both claims.*

*Natalia Bushyager  
510 225 5147  
Picnic on Third  
493 Third Street*

-Natalia-



A [culinary cafe](#), women owned & operated

**From:** [Melissa Perello](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)  
**Cc:** [Quan, Daisy \(BOS\)](#); [Mar, Gordon \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Business Interruption Claims - restaurants need your support  
**Date:** Monday, April 6, 2020 2:10:39 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

As a small business owner, I urge you to support Supervisor Mar's resolution, Supporting COVID-19 Orders for Business Interruption As Necessary Due to Physical Property Loss or Damage, and add my correspondence to File No. 200346. We need to hold private insurance companies accountable and support small businesses who, for decades, have been paying into business interruption insurance and whose claims are now being denied. The Shelter in Place Orders for public health has devastatingly interrupted our businesses and we need support now from both the public sector and private insurers. Our businesses will not survive without your support.

Melissa Perello  
Chef - Owner

[Frances](#) / [Octavia](#) / [M.Georgina](#)

**From:** [Leila Marcucci DVM](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)  
**Cc:** [Quan, Daisy \(BOS\)](#); [Mar, Gordon \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Business Interruption Coverage  
**Date:** Monday, April 6, 2020 9:45:58 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

As a small business owner, I urge you to support Supervisor Mar's resolution, Supporting COVID-19 Orders for Business Interruption As Necessary Due to Physical Property Loss or Damage, and add my correspondence to File No. 200346. I want to thank those who have already co-sponsored the resolution (Supervisors Ronen, Walton, Preston, Fewer, Yee and Safai).

We need to hold private insurance companies accountable and support small businesses who, for decades, have been paying into business interruption insurance and whose claims are now being denied. The Shelter in Place Orders for public health has devastatingly interrupted our businesses and we need support now from both the public sector and private insurers.

Although my small veterinary hospital remains open I am already seeing significant reduced income. I cannot imagine the distress of my fellow small business owners who have had to completely shutter their businesses.

Thank you,

Leila Marcucci DVM, Dip ABVP (Avian)  
Bay Area Bird and Exotics Hospital

**From:** [grace garza](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)  
**Cc:** [Quan, Daisy \(BOS\)](#); [Mar, Gordon \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Business Interruption Ins must reimbursement  
**Date:** Monday, April 6, 2020 5:05:06 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Thanking Supervisor Mar for this very important resolution.  
The statement/ letter is on behalf of  
Carla & Co Hair Studio and the 3 independent/self employed Cosmetologist that operate there.

Carla Ugarte (Owner/Independent Operator):

Due to the COVID-19 We're shut down. My business of 30 years has had to close. The unfortunate part is that it effects not only ourselves and the domino effect it has in our household but also all the vendors we receive goods from. Any assistance at this point would allow us to start up again when time allows.

Sincerely,

Carla Ugarte

Sandra Gonzales: (Independent Operator/Renter)

As a hairdresser I have to be close and personal with my clients. Due to COVID-19 and the mandated stay at home order I am unable to conduct my services which in turn has left me without income for 3 to 7 weeks or as long as this pandemic requires physical distancing. I am still obligated to pay my bills and as a self employee this has put a huge strain not only on my business but my family. I have been doing this for over 28 years and would like to get assistance as soon as possible.

Sincerely,

Sandra Gonzales

Grace Garza (Independent Operator/Renter)

An unimaginable devastating blow & Everything is at stake. I have worked here 21 years. In one swoop COVID-19 completely DISABLED my business. The Financial devastation of Covid-19 predicted worse than the Great Depression

Insurance companies Here's a message:

: YOUR ARE A FIDUCIARY

You are paid premiums by YOUR clients to INDEMNIFY your clients for Business Interruption...Standby your clients!

Sincerely,

Grace Garza

POPS Executive Board

[Sent from Yahoo Mail for iPhone](#)

**From:** [Elizabeth DePalmer](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)  
**Cc:** [Mar. Gordon \(BOS\)](#); [Quan, Daisy \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Business Interruption Insurance Help  
**Date:** Monday, April 6, 2020 10:19:10 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

**Dear Board of Supervisors,**

**As a Managing Partner in two small businesses, I urge you to support Supervisor Mar's resolution, Supporting COVID-19 Orders for Business Interruption As Necessary Due to Physical Property Loss or Damage, and add my correspondence to File No. 200346.**

**We need to hold private insurance companies accountable and support small businesses who, for decades, have been paying into business interruption insurance and whose claims are now being denied. Our insurance company quickly denied our claim for business interruption due to the Covid-19 pandemic.**

**Even though the Shelter in Place Orders are appropriate and responsible for the good of public health, they have devastatingly interrupted our businesses and we need support now from both the public sector and private insurers.**

**I greatly appreciate your consideration.**

**Elizabeth DePalmer  
Managing Partner/Business Director  
State Bird Provisions & The Progress restaurants**

--

**Elizabeth DePalmer  
Managing Partner/Business Director  
The Progress & State Bird Provisions**



<http://theprogress-sf.com>  
[office@theprogress-sf.com](mailto:office@theprogress-sf.com)  
[415.673.1294](tel:415.673.1294)  
[facebook](#)  
[twitter](#)

**From:** [Steven Oliver](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)  
**Cc:** [Mar. Gordon \(BOS\)](#); [Quan, Daisy \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Business Interruption Insurance Resolution  
**Date:** Monday, April 6, 2020 11:43:22 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

*"As a small business owner, I urge you to support Supervisor Mar's resolution, Supporting COVID-19 Orders for Business Interruption As Necessary Due to Physical Property Loss or Damage, and add my correspondence to File No. 200346. We need to hold private insurance companies accountable and support small businesses who, for decades, have been paying into business interruption insurance and whose claims are now being denied. The Shelter in Place Orders for public health has devastatingly interrupted our businesses and we need support now from both the public sector and private insurers.*

Sincerely, Steven Oliver, Manager  
Cockscomb Restaurant

--



STEVEN OLIVER

MAITRE D'

564 4TH STREET, SAN FRANCISCO CA. 94107

(R) [415-974-0700](tel:415-974-0700) | (C) [415-760-5177](tel:415-760-5177)

[soliver@cockscombsf.com](mailto:soliver@cockscombsf.com) | [cockscombsf.com](http://cockscombsf.com)

**From:** [May Seto Wasem](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Mar, Gordon \(BOS\)](#); [Quan, Daisy \(BOS\)](#)  
**Cc:** [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Haney, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Business interruption insurance resolution  
**Date:** Monday, April 6, 2020 9:37:04 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Board of Supervisors,

As a small business owner, I urge you to support Supervisor Mar's resolution, Supporting COVID-19 Orders for Business Interruption As Necessary Due to Physical Property Loss or Damage, and add my correspondence to File No. 200346. We need to hold private insurance companies accountable and support small businesses who, for decades, have been paying into business interruption insurance and whose claims are now being denied. The Shelter in Place Orders for public health has devastatingly interrupted our businesses and we need support now from both the public sector and private insurers.

While our businesses are in Oakland, I am a San Francisco native who believes that Oakland city administrators will follow the path you lead. Thank you for your service to our community during this time and always.

Sincerely,  
May Seto Wasem

--

May Seto Wasem



Grand Lake Kitchen

Lake Merritt  
576 Grand Avenue  
Oakland, California, 94610  
phone: 510-922-9582

Dimond  
2042 MacArthur Blvd  
Oakland, California, 94602  
phone: 510-866-2639

email: [may@grandlakekitchen.com](mailto:may@grandlakekitchen.com)  
website: [www.grandlakekitchen.com](http://www.grandlakekitchen.com)

**From:** [Judah](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)  
**Cc:** [Quan, Daisy \(BOS\)](#); [Mar, Gordon \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Haney, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Business Interruption Insurance  
**Date:** Monday, April 6, 2020 11:55:35 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

*As a small business owner, I urge you to support Supervisor Mar's resolution, Supporting COVID-19 Orders for Business Interruption As Necessary Due to Physical Property Loss or Damage, and add my correspondence to File No. 200346. We need to hold private insurance companies accountable and support small businesses who, for decades, have been paying into business interruption insurance and whose claims are now being denied. The Shelter in Place Orders for public health has devastatingly interrupted our businesses and we need support now from both the public sector and private insurers.*

*I have owned and operated American Gymnastics Club at 2520 Judah street since 1981. Children I have taught 30 and 40 years ago are bringing their children and grandchildren to this same gym to learn not only gymnastics but the life lessons we have successfully taught them. AGC employs 10 full time and 20 part time employees who are currently out of work because we cannot work from home. I have always carried Business Interruption Insurance and to have my claim denied at a time like this is devastating and will have long term consequences on my family and staff.  
Thank you.*

*Elizabeth Selig  
American Gymnastics Club  
415 994 6906*

**From:** [Annie Stoll](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)  
**Cc:** [Mar, Gordon \(BOS\)](#); [Quan, Daisy \(BOS\)](#); [Craig Stoll](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Haney, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Delfina Restaurant - re: Supervisor Mar's resolution  
**Date:** Monday, April 6, 2020 1:08:12 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Whom it may concern,

Dear Board of Supervisors,

As small business owners, we urge you to support Supervisor Mar's resolution, Supporting COVID-19 Orders for Business Interruption As Necessary due to Physical Property Loss or Damage, and to please add our correspondence to File No. 200346.

We need to hold private insurance companies accountable and support small businesses who, for decades, have been paying into business interruption insurance and whose claims are now being denied.

The Shelter in Place Orders for public health have devastatingly interrupted our businesses and we need support now from both the public sector and private insurers.

Respectfully,

Annie & Craig Stoll

--

*Annie Stoll*

**DELFINA**

**Restaurant Group**

[delfinasf.com](http://delfinasf.com)

p. 415.552.4055

3621 18th St. San Francisco CA, 94110

**From:** [don](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)  
**Cc:** [Quan, Daisy \(BOS\)](#); [Mar, Gordon \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** demand the insurance companies honor their insureds" losses  
**Date:** Monday, April 6, 2020 9:03:06 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

please pass the resolution promoted by Supervisor Mar to make the insurance companies pay out their insureds' losses!!! all our small businesses need that and it is their right to get it.

**From:** [Case for Making](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)  
**Cc:** [Mar, Gordon \(BOS\)](#); [Quan, Daisy \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Haney, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** File No. 200346  
**Date:** Monday, April 6, 2020 11:40:00 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

As a small business owner, I urge you to support Supervisor Mar's resolution, Supporting COVID-19 Orders for Business Interruption As Necessary Due to Physical Property Loss or Damage, and add my correspondence to File No. 200346. We need to hold private insurance companies accountable and support small businesses who, for decades, have been paying into business interruption insurance and whose claims are now being denied. The Shelter in Place Orders for public health has devastatingly interrupted our businesses and we need support now from both the public sector and private insurers.

--

**Case for Making**

4037 Judah Street

san francisco ca 94122

—

**caseformaking.com**

**From:** [Paula Wells](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Haney, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#)  
**Cc:** [Quan, Daisy \(BOS\)](#); [Mar, Gordon \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** FILE NUMBER 200346  
**Date:** Monday, April 6, 2020 10:51:14 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

As a small business owner of a cafe and bakery, I urge you to support Supervisor Mar's resolution supporting "COVID-19 Orders for Business Interruption As Necessary Due to Physical Property Loss or Damage" and add my correspondence to **file number 200346**.

We have been paying for business interruption insurance for the past eight years and the insurance companies **MUST** be held accountable and support businesses such as ours now that we are in dire need *due to business interruption!!*

The Shelter in Place Orders has been an incredible blow to our businesses and to our dear staff, most of whom (just like small business owners) do not have reserves upon which to draw when they are not receiving wages and tips from their jobs.

We need support now from the public sector and private insurers.

We greatly appreciate your support of this resolution as an important step to restoring some sense of 'business' to our business.

Thank you,

Paula Wells  
Managing Member  
Timeless Coffee Roasters, LLC  
510-798-3171  
[paula@timelesscoffee.roasters.com](mailto:paula@timelesscoffee.roasters.com)

**From:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)  
**To:** [BOS-Supervisors](#)  
**Cc:** [BOS Legislation, \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** FW: need your help  
**Date:** Monday, April 6, 2020 8:36:00 AM

---

**From:** Jorge Martinez <jorgeml@me.com>  
**Sent:** Sunday, April 5, 2020 4:51 PM  
**To:** Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>  
**Subject:** need your help

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

*to all the supervisors of San Francisco we call on your help As a small business owner, I urge you to support Supervisor Mar's resolution, Supporting COVID-19 Orders for Business Interruption As Necessary Due to Physical Property Loss or Damage, and add my correspondence to File No. 200346. We need to hold private insurance companies accountable and support small businesses who, for decades, have been paying into business interruption insurance and whose claims are now being denied. The Shelter in Place Orders for public health has devastatingly interrupted our businesses and we need support now from both the public sector and private insurers.*

*thank you for your help*

*lolo family*

*Jorge Martinez Lillard*

*lolo restaurant*

*974 Valencia*

*sf 94110*

**From:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)  
**To:** [BOS Legislation, \(BOS\)](#)  
**Cc:** [Quan, Daisy \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** FW: Please Co-Sponsor Business Interruption Coverage Resolution  
**Date:** Monday, April 6, 2020 8:35:00 AM

---

Hello Daisy,

I am looping in Legislation to help with your request.

Thank you,

Eileen

---

**From:** Quan, Daisy (BOS) <daisy.quan@sfgov.org>  
**Sent:** Sunday, April 5, 2020 6:09 PM  
**To:** Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>  
**Cc:** yukaioroi@cassavasf.com  
**Subject:** Fwd: Please Co-Sponsor Business Interruption Coverage Resolution

Please add to the legislative file 200346

Get [Outlook for iOS](#)

---

**From:** Yuka Ioroi <yukaioroi@cassavasf.com>  
**Sent:** Sunday, April 5, 2020 2:37 PM  
**To:** Peskin, Aaron (BOS); Haney, Matt (BOS); Mandelman, Rafael (BOS)  
**Cc:** Quan, Daisy (BOS)  
**Subject:** Please Co-Sponsor Business Interruption Coverage Resolution

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hi, my husband and I have our restaurant called Cassava in the Outer Richmond. We are having a hard time with our insurance carrier denying our business interruption coverage, as mentioned in a recent Eater SF article found here.

<https://sf.eater.com/2020/4/2/21205970/insurance-restaurants-coronavirus-covid-19-cassava-nancy-pelosi-kamala-harris>

I learned that you have yet to sign on the resolution presented by Sup Mar. With a unanimous voice from the board of Supervisors from one of the most influential cities regarding this matter can help the businesses all over our state of California, and the precedent of insurers paying out for these claims will help the business owners in the entire country.

Please do your part and use your position of influence to help millions of people by signing this resolution.

Best regards



**Yuka Ioroi**  
**General Manager/Beverage Director**  
**Cassava**  
[yukaioroi@cassavasf.com](mailto:yukaioroi@cassavasf.com)  
**Direct: (626) 419-2190**

**From:** [Board of Supervisors. \(BOS\)](#)  
**To:** [BOS-Supervisors](#)  
**Cc:** [BOS Legislation. \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** FW: Request for Support of Supervisor Mar's Resolution  
**Date:** Monday, April 6, 2020 8:34:00 AM

---

**From:** Chow, Albert <president@sf-pops.com>  
**Sent:** Sunday, April 5, 2020 10:37 PM  
**Subject:** Request for Support of Supervisor Mar's Resolution

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

*Dear Honorable Supervisor,*

*As a small business owner, I urge you to support Supervisor Mar's resolution, Supporting COVID-19 Orders for Business Interruption As Necessary Due to Physical Property Loss or Damage, and add my correspondence to File No. 200346. We need to hold private insurance companies accountable and support small businesses who, for decades, have been paying into business interruption insurance and whose claims are now being denied. The Shelter in Place Orders for public health has devastatingly interrupted our businesses and we need support now from both the public sector and private insurers.*

**Albert Chow, President**  
People of Parkside Sunset (POPS)  
945 Taraval Street, #350  
San Francisco, Ca. 94116

**From:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)  
**To:** [BOS Legislation, \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** FW: San Francisco Chamber of Commerce Support of File #200346  
**Date:** Monday, April 6, 2020 8:37:00 AM

---

**From:** Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <[board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org](mailto:board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org)>  
**Sent:** Monday, April 6, 2020 8:26 AM  
**To:** BOS-Supervisors <[bos-supervisors@sfgov.org](mailto:bos-supervisors@sfgov.org)>  
**Cc:** Carroll, John (BOS) <[john.carroll@sfgov.org](mailto:john.carroll@sfgov.org)>  
**Subject:** FW: San Francisco Chamber of Commerce Support of File #200346

**From:** Emily Abraham <[eabraham@sfchamber.com](mailto:eabraham@sfchamber.com)>  
**Sent:** Monday, April 6, 2020 8:23 AM  
**To:** Emily Abraham <[eabraham@sfchamber.com](mailto:eabraham@sfchamber.com)>  
**Cc:** Jay Cheng <[jcheng@sfchamber.com](mailto:jcheng@sfchamber.com)>; Rodney Fong <[rfong@sfchamber.com](mailto:rfong@sfchamber.com)>  
**Subject:** San Francisco Chamber of Commerce Support of File #200346

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Board of Supervisors,

On behalf of the San Francisco Chamber of Commerce, I want to express our deep support for Supervisor Mar's recently introduced emergency legislation, File #200346, Supporting COVID-19 Orders for Business Interruption As Necessary Due to Physical Property Loss or Damage.

Many of our members have reached out to us in despair with their inability to receive business interruption claims due to damage caused by the COVID-19 public health crisis. This legislation would greatly assist in considering COVID-19 a cause for physical property damage, due to its propensity to stay on surfaces for a long period of time, and ultimately help small businesses get the insurance coverage they need to survive this crisis.

The Chamber of Commerce sincerely thanks Supervisor Mar for authoring the legislation, and Supervisor Ronen, Supervisor Walton, Supervisor Preston, Supervisor Fewer, Supervisor Yee, and Supervisor Safai for your co-sponsorship.

We encourage the approval of this resolution, and thank you all for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Emily Abraham

**Emily Abraham**

Public Policy Manager

SF Chamber of Commerce

**From:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)  
**To:** [BOS-Supervisors; BOS Legislation, \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** FW: Supervisor Mar's resolution, Supporting COVID-19 Orders for Business Interruption as Necessary Due to Physical Property Loss or Damage  
**Date:** Monday, April 6, 2020 8:36:00 AM

---

**From:** Laurie Aaronson <laurie@aocsf.com>  
**Sent:** Sunday, April 5, 2020 3:17 PM  
**To:** Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>; Stefani, Catherine (BOS) <catherine.stefani@sfgov.org>; Peskin, Aaron (BOS) <aaron.peskin@sfgov.org>; Haney, Matt (BOS) <matt.haney@sfgov.org>; Mandelman, Rafael (BOS) <rafael.mandelman@sfgov.org>  
**Cc:** Quan, Daisy (BOS) <daisy.quan@sfgov.org>; gordon.mar@sfgov.org.  
**Subject:** Supervisor Mar's resolution, Supporting COVID-19 Orders for Business Interruption as Necessary Due to Physical Property Loss or Damage

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

As a small business owner, I urge you to support Supervisor Mar's resolution, Supporting COVID-19 Orders for Business Interruption As Necessary Due to Physical Property Loss or Damage, and add my correspondence to File No. 200346.

We need to hold private insurance companies accountable and support small businesses who, for decades, have been paying into business interruption insurance and whose claims are now being denied.

The Shelter in Place Orders for public health has devastatingly interrupted our businesses and we need support now from both the public sector and private insurers.

Sincerely,

Laurie Aaronson  
(AOC SF, The Cavalier, Pizzeria Delfina, Octavia, Garibaldi's on Presidio, Bon Voyage, Emporium)

**Laurie Aaronson**

[www.aocsf.com](http://www.aocsf.com)

415 | 305 | 9543 mobile

415 | 373 | 4651 fax  
[laurie@aocsf.com](mailto:laurie@aocsf.com)  
laurie.aaronson skype



*Important Notice to Recipients:*

This message is intended for the personal and CONFIDENTIAL use of the designated recipient(s) named above. If you are not the intended recipient of this message you are hereby notified that any review, dissemination, distribution or copying of this message is strictly prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please destroy all electronic and paper copies and notify the sender immediately. Erroneous transmission is not intended to waive confidentiality or privilege.

**From:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)  
**To:** [BOS-Supervisors](#)  
**Cc:** [BOS Legislation, \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** FW: Support for Supporting COVID-19 Orders for Business Interruption  
**Date:** Monday, April 6, 2020 8:36:00 AM

---

**From:** Doug Marschke <doug@underdogstoo.com>  
**Sent:** Sunday, April 5, 2020 4:50 PM  
**To:** Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>  
**Cc:** Quan, Daisy (BOS) <daisy.quan@sfgov.org>; Mar, Gordon (BOS) <gordon.mar@sfgov.org>  
**Subject:** Support for Supporting COVID-19 Orders for Business Interruption

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

*As a restaurant owner for 3 separate restaurants in San Francisco, I urge you to support Supervisor Mar's resolution, Supporting COVID-19 Orders for Business Interruption As Necessary Due to Physical Property Loss or Damage, and add my correspondence to File No. 200346. We need to hold private insurance companies accountable and support small businesses who, for decades, have been paying into business interruption insurance and whose claims are now being denied. The Shelter in Place Orders for public health has devastatingly interrupted our businesses and we need support now from both the public sector and private insurers.*

*I have been paying for many of these insurance policies for 10+ years, and have never had to file a claim. To my dismay, I learned that they all had "virus exclusions" but in no paperwork have I ever seen such an exclusion. The insurance companies have made hundreds of thousands of dollars off me at each location and are now walking away without any liability. As a small business owner, I have no recourse to fight these claims. I understand insurance companies are a "for profit" business, but there must be a middle ground, other than a claim denial.*

*Doug Marschke  
Taco Shop at Underdogs  
Underdogs Too  
Tacko  
(415) 902-5702*

**From:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)  
**To:** [BOS-Supervisors](#)  
**Subject:** FW: Supporting COVID-19 Orders for Business Interruption As Necessary Due to Physical Property Loss or Damage, and add my correspondence to File No. 200346.  
**Date:** Thursday, April 9, 2020 9:31:00 AM

---

**From:** Buffy Maguire <buffy@javabeachcafe.com>  
**Sent:** Monday, April 6, 2020 11:04 PM  
**To:** Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>  
**Cc:** Quan, Daisy (BOS) <daisy.quan@sfgov.org>  
**Subject:** Supporting COVID-19 Orders for Business Interruption As Necessary Due to Physical Property Loss or Damage, and add my correspondence to File No. 200346.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Board of Supervisors,  
I am really hoping for your help. Supervisor Mar's Resolution to hold insurance companies responsible to honor business interruption insurance is so vitally important to our City's long and short term health and it is in step with what is happening across the country all the way from New Jersey to Napa. Insurance companies have collected for business interruption insurance from businesses. They need to honor it now.

As co-owner of Java Beach and Java Beach at the Zoo in the Outer Sunset of San Francisco, I urge you to support Supervisor Mar's resolution, Supporting COVID-19 Orders for Business Interruption As Necessary Due to Physical Property Loss or Damage, and add my correspondence to File No. 200346.

We need government agencies to hold private insurance companies accountable and support small businesses who, for decades, have been paying into business interruption insurance and whose claims are now being denied.

The Shelter in Place Orders for public health has devastatingly interrupted our businesses and we need support now from both the public sector and private insurers.

We have paid for business interruption insurance as a way of protecting ourselves and our livelihood should a tragedy befall us. We have small children and many responsibilities to our families, staff and community. We took measures to safeguard ourselves by paying for insurance if our business was interrupted. And now it is not being honored? This is reprehensible and we need your help. Our City will thank you. Our neighborhoods will thank you. Our staff will thank you. Small businesses will be able to sustain this pandemic and then have the funds and fortitude to rebuild. Small businesses are the backbone of this City and the life force for many of what makes San Francisco so unique. We need them and we need to support them by holding insurance companies accountable.

I am hoping for your help.

Sincerely,  
Buffy Maguire

Buffy Maguire  
[buffy@javabeachcafe.com](mailto:buffy@javabeachcafe.com)  
cell 415.606.1884

**From:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)  
**To:** [BOS-Supervisors; BOS Legislation, \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** FW: Supporting Supervisor Mar's Resolution on Business Interruption Denials  
**Date:** Monday, April 6, 2020 8:31:00 AM

---

---

**From:** Kagawaya Udon <kagawaya.udon@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Sunday, April 5, 2020 6:49 PM  
**To:** Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>  
**Cc:** Quan, Daisy (BOS) <daisy.quan@sfgov.org>; Mar, Gordon (BOS) <gordon.mar@sfgov.org>; Stefani, Catherine (BOS) <catherine.stefani@sfgov.org>; Peskin, Aaron (BOS) <aaron.peskin@sfgov.org>; Haney, Matt (BOS) <matt.haney@sfgov.org>; Mandelman, Rafael (BOS) <rafael.mandelman@sfgov.org>  
**Subject:** Supporting Supervisor Mar's Resolution on Business Interruption Denials

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hello there!

As a small business owner of an udon shop here in San Francisco, I urge you to support Supervisor Mar's resolution, Supporting COVID-19 Orders for Business Interruption As Necessary Due to Physical Property Loss or Damage, and add my correspondence to File No. 200346.

We need to hold private insurance companies accountable and support small businesses who, for decades, have been paying into business interruption insurance and whose claims are now being denied. Even though the COVID-19 didn't present any physical damage to my restaurant; there was still damage to the business' income due to the mandated shelter in place order. This is a classic example of an unfortunate occurrence we couldn't predict, similar to an earthquake or fire.

The Shelter in Place Orders for public health has devastatingly interrupted our businesses and we need support now from both the public sector and private insurers.

Insurance companies need to take some more responsibilities and realize that we are in unprecedented times and replying with "sorry it's not covered" is not acceptable.

Thank you for taking the time to help us voice our concerns!  
Please stay safe Supervisors.

Best Regards,  
Katherine Chiao  
Owner of Kagawa-Ya Udon

**From:** [christian david reynoso](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)  
**Cc:** [Quan, Daisy \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** HELLO + Please support Supervisor Mar's Resolution to the Insurance Commissioner  
**Date:** Monday, April 6, 2020 9:05:19 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Members of the Board of Supervisors,

As a small business owner, employee, I urge you to support Supervisor Mar's resolution, Supporting COVID-19 Orders for Business Interruption As Necessary Due to Physical Property Loss or Damage, and add my correspondence to File No. 200346. We need to hold private insurance companies accountable and support small businesses who, for decades, have been paying into business interruption insurance and whose claims are now being denied. The Shelter in Place Orders for public health has devastatingly interrupted our businesses and we need support now from both the public sector and private [insurers.board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org](mailto:insurers.board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org)

thank you!!!!

--

Christian Reynoso  
[www.christianreynoso.com](http://www.christianreynoso.com)

**From:** [Andrea Spira](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Help save our restaurants  
**Date:** Monday, April 6, 2020 4:08:38 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Please help us save our wonderful restaurants. Many insurance claims are being denied and both owners and workers are being put out of business. These same restaurants bring many tourists into our wonderful city and we need to do whatever we can to help them keep on going in these hardest of times.

Thank you,  
Andrea Spira

**From:** [Marla Marcianelli](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Quan, Daisy \(BOS\)](#); [Mar, Gordon \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Haney, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Hold insurance companies accountable and help small business  
**Date:** Tuesday, April 7, 2020 1:09:44 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

*As a small business owner, I urge you to support Supervisor Mar's resolution, Supporting COVID-19 Orders for Business Interruption As Necessary Due to Physical Property Loss or Damage, and add my correspondence to File No. 200346. We need to hold private insurance companies accountable and support small businesses who, for decades, have been paying into business interruption insurance and whose claims are now being denied. The Shelter in Place Orders for public health has devastatingly interrupted our businesses and we need support now from both the public sector and private insurers.*

*Garibaldis has been serving our community for over 30 years, and now we need your support to keep this vibrant local business thriving and to continue to employ our loyal and dedicated staff who have no other source of income. Please sign on with your support. We're counting on you now in our time of need.*

*Marla Marcianelli  
CoOwner,  
Garibaldis Restaurant on Presidio  
347 Presidio Ave  
San Francisco*

**From:** [Michael Hsu](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Quan, Daisy \(BOS\)](#); [Mar, Gordon \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Insurance Companies need to step it up!  
**Date:** Monday, April 6, 2020 5:14:03 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

As a small business owner, I urge you to support Supervisor Mar's resolution, Supporting COVID-19 Orders for Business Interruption As Necessary Due to Physical Property Loss or Damage, and add my correspondence to File No. 200346. We need to hold private insurance companies accountable and support small businesses who, for decades, have been paying into business interruption insurance and whose claims are now being denied. The Shelter in Place Orders for public health has devastatingly interrupted our businesses and we need support now from both the public sector and private insurers. I reached out to my insurance agent and he informed me "no business income loss coverage can be triggered mainly due to Exclusion of Loss due to Virus or Bacteria BOP BP0601 01/07. This exclusion is included in almost all policies issued in recent years. Coupled with the exclusion, any first party claims arising out of the Coronavirus are not covered because they require "direct physical loss or damage" to covered property.

I'm hoping they can change their mind and offer another solution for small business owners!

--

Mike H.  
Footprint SF  
1701 Taraval St  
San Francisco, CA 94116  
C: 415.706.6973

**From:** [Kenneth Lew](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)  
**Cc:** [Quan, Daisy \(BOS\)](#); [Mar, Gordon \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Insurance re interruption of business  
**Date:** Monday, April 6, 2020 10:42:38 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Board of Supervisors,  
We are writing in support of Supervisor Mar's resolution to compel insurance company to pay claims for business interruptions from Covid- 19.

Thanh Long has been in the Sunset neighborhood since 1971 and have never encountered such devastating business interruption and income loss. Thanh long remains closed since Shelter in Place and have no firm date as when we can reopen.

Business interruption insurance is suppose to cover our income loss from a disaster and the COVID 19 is a terrible disaster to hit us all.

Therefore, we are relying on board of supervisors to help us small business to survive this disaster.

Thank you.

Best regards,  
Monique An

# Kenneth Lew

## Thang Long Restaurant

--

Warm Regards,

Kenneth Lew

Crustacean Restaurant

Thanh Long Restaurant

AnTheGo Food Truck

P (415) 776-2722

F (415) 776-1069

[www.houseofan.com](http://www.houseofan.com)

[www.crustaceansf.com](http://www.crustaceansf.com)

[www.thanhlongsf.com](http://www.thanhlongsf.com)

[www.twitter.com/anthegosf](https://twitter.com/anthegosf)

[www.facebook.com/anthegosf](https://www.facebook.com/anthegosf)

<https://vimeo.com/246650881>-Crustacean

<https://vimeo.com/259975724>-Thanh Long

**From:** [Tamara Lea Spira](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Keeping SF Alive by Supporting Restaurants and Small Businesses  
**Date:** Monday, April 6, 2020 2:51:44 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hello SF Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my support for SF restaurants, hospitality businesses, and small businesses of all kinds. Covid-19 loss claims are being denied by insurance companies. This is outrageous! I support the @D4Gordanmar Resolution and urge insurance companies to step up and do the right thing. Restaurants and hospitality businesses make our amazing city tick and to lose so many of them would be a major tragedy for so many people and their families. So many people depend upon these industries and we cannot stand by as we watch them flounder because of a global pandemic that is out of their control. Please act now!

My dear friends, Lana and Dave, at Outerlands have done everything in their power to support their staff, their families, and their customers by shifting their business to social distancing take-out orders literally overnight. They did this on behalf of so many people who depend upon them. Then they made a very difficult decision to close (hopefully temporarily) to support the stay at home orders and protect the safety of their staff. They should not have to resort to crowdfunding (they have a Go Fund Me campaign to support their staff) to try to help their loyal staff make ends meet. This is precisely the time when local, state and federal government should step in to do the right thing by the hardworking people of SF.

My heart breaks for my friends and all those impacted by this. Please please please please please use your power to support SF residents, restaurants, and small businesses. Their livelihoods and families depend upon you!

As a Bay Area born and raised resident, this is what makes our city special, and supporting small businesses like Outerlands is vital for all of SF and the Bay.

Sincerely,  
Tamara Spira

**From:** [Corinne Pusawong](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)  
**Cc:** [Quan, Daisy \(BOS\)](#); [Mar, Gordon \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Pass Supervisor Mar's Resolution on Small Business Claims Impacted by COVID19  
**Date:** Tuesday, April 7, 2020 8:52:05 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors:

Please pass Supervisor Mar's Resolution, Supporting COVID-19 Orders for Business Interruption As Necessary Due to Physical Property Loss or Damage and hold private insurance companies accountable and support small businesses.

I am a small business owner (full service restaurant) for almost 20 years in San Francisco and this pandemic has a tremendous impact on me and others - both mentally and financially. I have been paid my dues to my insurance company monthly and never missed a payment. Therefore, the insurance companies should support small businesses like me and other small business owners through this CRISIS.

We all COUNTING on you!

Thank You.

Sincerely,

Corinne Pusawong  
Pirro's Pizzeria  
2244 Taraval Street  
San Francisco, CA 94116  
(415) 731-4545

**From:** [hilarypassman@yahoo.com](mailto:hilarypassman@yahoo.com)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Mar, Gordon \(BOS\)](#); [Quan, Daisy \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Please help our small businesses!  
**Date:** Tuesday, April 7, 2020 8:26:16 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

As a small business owner, I urge you to support Supervisor Mar's resolution, Supporting COVID-19 Orders for Business Interruption As Necessary Due to Physical Property Loss or Damage, and add my correspondence to File No. 200346. We need to hold private insurance companies accountable and support small businesses who, for decades, have been paying into business interruption insurance and whose claims are now being denied. The Shelter in Place Orders for public health has devastatingly interrupted our businesses and we need support now from both the public sector and private insurers.

Thank you.

Hilary Passman, owner

Devil's Teeth Bakery  
Sunset Reservoir Brewing Company

**From:** [Kenneth Zankel](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)  
**Cc:** [Quan, Daisy \(BOS\)](#); [Mar, Gordon \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Haney, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Anna Zankel](#)  
**Subject:** PLEASE Support COVID-19 Orders for Business Interruption  
**Date:** Monday, April 6, 2020 11:45:02 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

All,

Hello from The Grove. Hope you are safe and healthy! More important than anything else.

I am writing on behalf of my wife Anna who is co-owner and Creative Director of the restaurants, and myself.

We and so many other rest and retail entrepreneurs who are part of the fabric of the city are facing financial catastrophe.

As a small business owner, I urge you to please support Supervisor Mar's resolution, Supporting COVID-19 Orders for Business Interruption As Necessary Due to Physical Property Loss or Damage, and add my correspondence to File No. 200346.

We need to hold private insurance companies accountable and support small businesses who, for decades, have been paying into business interruption insurance and whose claims are now being denied.

The Shelter in Place Orders for public health has devastatingly interrupted our businesses and we need support now from both the public sector and private insurers.

Thank you for this opportunity to share our thoughts.

Ken and Anna

Kenneth Zankel  
President / Founder

**The Grove**  
Design District / Fillmore / Hayes / Yerba Buena

-----  
[415.254.9315](tel:415.254.9315)

-----  
[kzankel@TheGroveSF.com](mailto:kzankel@TheGroveSF.com)  
[TheGroveSF.com](http://TheGroveSF.com)

This transmission may contain information that is proprietary, privileged, confidential and/or exempt from disclosure under applicable law. The recipient may not reproduce, copy, or forward (electronically or otherwise) materials contained herein. If you are not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any disclosure, copying, distribution, or use of the information contained herein (including any reliance thereon) is STRICTLY PROHIBITED.

**From:** [tommy guerrero](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)  
**Cc:** [Quan, Daisy \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Please support Supervisor Mar's Resolution to the Insurance Commissioner  
**Date:** Tuesday, April 7, 2020 12:48:43 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Members of the Board of Supervisors,

As a small business owner, I urge you to support Supervisor Mar's resolution, Supporting COVID-19 Orders for Business Interruption As Necessary Due to Physical Property Loss or Damage, and add my correspondence to File No. 200346. We need to hold private insurance companies accountable and support small businesses who, for decades, have been paying into business interruption insurance and whose claims are now being denied. The Shelter in Place Orders for public health has devastatingly interrupted our businesses and we need support now from both the public sector and private insurers.

**From:** [Christina Tan](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)  
**Cc:** [Quan, Daisy \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Please support Supervisor Mar's Resolution to the Insurance Commissioner  
**Date:** Tuesday, April 7, 2020 12:44:24 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Members of the Board of Supervisors,

As a small business owner, I urge you to support Supervisor Mar's resolution, Supporting COVID-19 Orders for Business Interruption As Necessary Due to Physical Property Loss or Damage, and add my correspondence to File No. 200346. We need to hold private insurance companies accountable and support small businesses who, for decades, have been paying into business interruption insurance and whose claims are now being denied. The Shelter in Place Orders for public health has devastatingly interrupted our businesses and we need support now from both the public sector and private insurers.

**From:** [Laiko@KeeferBahrsPR.com](mailto:Laiko@KeeferBahrsPR.com)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)  
**Cc:** [Quan, Daisy \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Please support Supervisor Mar's Resolution to the Insurance Commissioner  
**Date:** Tuesday, April 7, 2020 12:37:13 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Members of the Board of Supervisors,

As a supporter of San Francisco restaurant owners, a small business consultant, I urge you to support Supervisor Mar's resolution, Supporting COVID-19 Orders for Business Interruption As Necessary Due to Physical Property Loss or Damage, and add my correspondence to File No. 200346. We need to hold private insurance companies accountable and support small businesses who, for decades, have been paying into business interruption insurance and whose claims are now being denied. The Shelter in Place Orders for public health has devastatingly interrupted our businesses and we need support now from both the public sector and private insurers.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Laiko Bahrs  
SF resident  
Small business consultant

---

Laiko Bahrs | [Keefer BahrsPR](#)  
415-794-9882  
[laiko@keeferbahrspr.com](mailto:laiko@keeferbahrspr.com)  
[Facebook](#) | [Instagram](#)

**From:** [AndrewJohnstone](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)  
**Cc:** [Quan, Daisy \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Please support Supervisor Mar's Resolution to the Insurance Commissioner  
**Date:** Tuesday, April 7, 2020 10:35:57 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Members of the Board of Supervisors,

As a small business owner, I urge you to support Supervisor Mar's resolution, Supporting COVID-19 Orders for Business Interruption As Necessary Due to Physical Property Loss or Damage, and add my correspondence to File No. 200346. We need to hold private insurance companies accountable and support small businesses who, for decades, have been paying into business interruption insurance and whose claims are now being denied. The Shelter in Place Orders for public health has devastatingly interrupted our businesses and we need support now from both the public sector and private insurers.

Sincerely

Andrew Johnstone  
Owner

The Little Chihuahua Mexican Restaurant's

292 Divisadero St SF CA 94117  
4123 24th St, SF CA 94114  
581 Valencia St, SF CA 94110 (**Closed**)  
Harvey Milk Terminal 1, SFO International Airport (**Closed**)  
1431 Polk St, SF CA 94109

**From:** [ronboyd138@gmail.com](mailto:ronboyd138@gmail.com)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)  
**Cc:** [Quan, Daisy \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Please support Supervisor Mar's Resolution to the Insurance Commissioner  
**Date:** Monday, April 6, 2020 9:47:53 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Members of the Board of Supervisors,

As a small business owner, I urge you to support Supervisor Mar's resolution, Supporting COVID-19 Orders for Business Interruption As Necessary Due to Physical Property Loss or Damage, and add my correspondence to File No. 200346. We need to hold private insurance companies accountable and support small businesses who, for decades, have been paying into business interruption insurance and whose claims are now being denied. The Shelter in Place Orders for public health has devastatingly interrupted our businesses and we need support now from both the public sector and private insurers.

**From:** [Sam Mogannam](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)  
**Cc:** [Quan, Daisy \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Please support Supervisor Mar's Resolution to the Insurance Commissioner  
**Date:** Monday, April 6, 2020 8:27:38 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Members of the Board of Supervisors,

As a small business owner, I urge you to support Supervisor Mar's resolution, Supporting COVID-19 Orders for Business Interruption As Necessary Due to Physical Property Loss or Damage, and add my correspondence to File No. 200346. We need to hold private insurance companies accountable and support small businesses who, for decades, have been paying into business interruption insurance and whose claims are now being denied. The Shelter in Place Orders for public health has devastatingly interrupted our businesses and we need support now from both the public sector and private insurers.

Thanks  
Sam



Sent from my iPhone

**From:** [darrin.ballon](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)  
**Cc:** [Quan, Daisy \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Please support Supervisor Mar's Resolution to the Insurance Commissioner  
**Date:** Monday, April 6, 2020 6:38:16 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Members of the Board of Supervisors, As a small business owner, I urge you to support Supervisor Mar's resolution, Supporting COVID-19 Orders for Business Interruption As Necessary Due to Physical Property Loss or Damage, and add my correspondence to File No. 200346. We need to hold private insurance companies accountable and support small businesses who, for decades, have been paying into business interruption insurance and whose claims are now being denied. The Shelter in Place Orders for public health has devastatingly interrupted our businesses and we need support now from both the public sector and private insurers.

Darrin Ballon  
Oaktown Restaurant Group LLC  
510-684-4744

**From:** [erin lang](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)  
**Cc:** [Quan, Daisy \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Please support Supervisor Mar's Resolution to the Insurance Commissioner  
**Date:** Tuesday, April 7, 2020 2:35:09 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Members of the Board of Supervisors,

As a small business owner, I urge you to support Supervisor Mar's resolution, Supporting COVID-19 Orders for Business Interruption As Necessary Due to Physical Property Loss or Damage, and add my correspondence to File No. 200346. We need to hold private insurance companies accountable and support small businesses who, for decades, have been paying into business interruption insurance and whose claims are now being denied. The Shelter in Place Orders for public health has devastatingly interrupted our businesses and we need support now from both the public sector and private insurers.

Sent from my iPhone

**From:** [Eric Lilavois](#)  
**To:** [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Haney, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)  
**Cc:** [Quan, Daisy \(BOS\)](#); [Mar, Gordon \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Restaurants need your help  
**Date:** Monday, April 6, 2020 3:37:52 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Supervisors Stefani, Peshkin, Hany and Mandleman,

As the owner of 2 small restaurants in San Francisco (Little Gem), I urge you to please support Supervisor Mar's resolution, Supporting COVID-19 Orders for Business Interruption As Necessary Due to Physical Property Loss or Damage, and add my correspondence to File No. 200346.

We need to hold private insurance companies accountable and support small businesses like ours who, for years, have been paying into business interruption insurance and whose claims are now being denied.

The Shelter in Place Orders and general State of Emergency that's been declared have devastatingly interrupted our businesses. Of our two restaurants, one is completely shut. The other is open for delivery only but operating on a 90% decline in business.

We need support now from both the public sector and private insurers.

I trust you will get behind us on this matter.

Thank you.

---

Eric Lilavois  
917.295.2364

@littlegem.restaurant

**From:** [Alison Merz](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)  
**Cc:** [me](#)  
**Subject:** Save our restaurants!!!  
**Date:** Monday, April 6, 2020 2:47:18 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hello SF Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my support for SF restaurants, hospitality businesses, and small businesses of all kinds. Covid-19 loss claims are being denied by insurance companies. This is outrageous! I support the @D4Gordanmar Resolution and urge insurance companies to step up and do the right thing. Restaurants and hospitality businesses make our amazing city tick and to lose so many of them would be a major tragedy for so many people and their families. So many people depend upon these industries and we cannot stand by as we watch them flounder because of a global pandemic that is out of their control. Please act now!

My dear friends, Lana and Dave, at Outerlands have done everything in their power to support their staff, their families, and their customers by shifting their business to social distancing take-out orders literally overnight. They did this on behalf of so many people who depend upon them. Then they made a very difficult decision to close (hopefully temporarily) to support the stay at home orders and protect the safety of their staff. They should not have to resort to crowdfunding (they have a Go Fund Me campaign to support their staff) to try to help their loyal staff make ends meet. This is precisely the time when local, state and federal government should step in to do the right thing by the hardworking people of SF.

My heart breaks for my friends and all those impacted by this. Please please please please please use your power to support SF residents, restaurants, and small businesses. Their livelihoods and families depend upon you!

Sincerely,  
Alison Merz

**From:** [greg](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Quan, Daisy \(BOS\)](#); [gordon.mar@sfgov.org](mailto:gordon.mar@sfgov.org).  
**Cc:** [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Haney, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Michael](#)  
**Subject:** Small Business Help  
**Date:** Monday, April 6, 2020 8:44:21 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To my representatives,

As a small business owner, I urge you to support Supervisor Mar's resolution, Supporting COVID-19 Orders for Business Interruption As Necessary Due to Physical Property Loss or Damage, and add my correspondence to File No. 200346. We need to hold private insurance companies accountable and support small businesses who, for decades, have been paying into business interruption insurance and whose claims are now being denied. The Shelter in Place Orders for public health has devastatingly interrupted our businesses and we need support now from both the public sector and private insurers.

We have been in business at this address for almost 20 years now. We weathered the dot com boom and crash. We have weathered the crash of 2009. There is a big difference with this one though as we could continue to work.

This is NOT the case this time around. All of us have paid into insurance for a lot of years. Spryscapes has never filed a claim prior to this. It is time for the insurance industry stepped up.

Cell # 415-235-4872

Warm Regards,  
Greg Spry  
Spryscapes Construction, Inc.  
General & Landscape Contractors  
1255 Vicente Street  
San Francisco, CA 94116

Phone: 415-242-1196  
Email: [greg@spryscapes.com](mailto:greg@spryscapes.com)  
Web: [www.spryscapes.com](http://www.spryscapes.com)  
Fax: 415-242-4397  
State Lic. # B, C-27 -776680

The information transmitted is intended only for the person or entity to which it is addressed and may contain confidential and/or privileged material. Any review, retransmission, dissemination or other use of, or taking of any action in reliance upon, this information by persons or entities other than the intended recipient is prohibited. If you received this in error, please contact the sender and delete the material from any computer.

**From:** [Jeff Hanak](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)  
**Cc:** [Quan, Daisy \(BOS\)](#); [Mar, Gordon \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Haney, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Supervisor Mar resolution for Business Interruption, File No. 200346  
**Date:** Monday, April 6, 2020 10:00:04 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Good Evening

As a small business owner or over 20 years in San Francisco, I urge you to support Supervisor Mar's resolution, Supporting COVID-19 Orders for Business Interruption As Necessary Due to Physical Property Loss or Damage, and add my correspondence to File No. 200346. We need to hold private insurance companies accountable and support small businesses who, for decades, have been paying into business interruption insurance and whose claims are now being denied. The Shelter in Place Orders for public health has devastatingly interrupted our businesses and we need support now from both the public sector and private insurers. No matter if we are in operation or closed due to a mandated Shelter in Place, we are required to keep carrying our business liability insurance. I have made claims for all of my restaurants and to this point all have been denied. The legal fees it will require to fight, will limit the amount of restaurants that will be able to pursue what we are rightfully due.

*Sincerely,*

*Jeff Hanak, Co-Owner*

*nopa*

*nopalito*

*liholiho yacht club*

*dear inga*

**From:** [John Lindsey](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)  
**Cc:** [Mar, Gordon \(BOS\)](#); [Quan, Daisy \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Haney, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Supervisor Mar"s resolution on insurance  
**Date:** Monday, April 6, 2020 4:30:36 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

As a small business owner, I urge you to support Supervisor Mar's resolution, Supporting COVID-19 Orders for Business Interruption As Necessary Due to Physical Property Loss or Damage, and add my correspondence to File No. 200346. We need to hold private insurance companies accountable and support small businesses who, for decades, have been paying into business interruption insurance and whose claims are now being denied. The Shelter in Place Orders for public health has devastatingly interrupted our businesses and we need support now from both the public sector and private insurers.

Take good care,

John

.....  
John Lindsey

The Great highway

<http://www.thegreathighway.com>

Studio: 415-680-3891

Cell: 415-205-0860  
.....

**From:** [Erica Maver Murach](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Haney, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#)  
**Cc:** [Quan, Daisy \(BOS\)](#); [Mar, Gordon \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Supporting COVID-19 Orders for Business Interruption  
**Date:** Tuesday, April 7, 2020 9:52:09 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hi all -

I am writing in support of Supervisor Mar's resolution Supporting COVID-19 Orders for Business Interruption As Necessary Due to Physical Property Loss or Damage. Please add my correspondence to File No. 200346. I am a small business owner in the Outer Sunset and feel it is totally necessary that private insurance companies are held accountable and support the small businesses who have been paying into business interruption insurance and whose claims have been denied during this crisis.

The Shelter in Place Orders have totally interrupted our businesses and now we need support from private insurers and the public sector. It is crucial that insurers "insure" us for this crisis. Or what is the point in having insurance? Please hold these companies accountable!

Thank you for your ongoing support of small businesses in SF, I feel fortunate to have a business in this city and hope I can make it through this crisis.

Best,  
Erica Murach

--

Erica Murach  
ESTABLISH  
3811 Noriega Street  
San Francisco, CA 94122  
[www.establishsf.com](http://www.establishsf.com)

**From:** [Jeni Kam with Fiery](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Haney, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#)  
**Cc:** [Quan, Daisy \(BOS\)](#); [Mar, Gordon \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Support for Business Insurance  
**Date:** Tuesday, April 7, 2020 2:24:21 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

*Dear Board of Supervisors,*

*As a small business owner, I urge you to support Supervisor Mar's resolution, Supporting COVID-19 Orders for Business Interruption As Necessary Due to Physical Property Loss or Damage, and add my correspondence to File No. 200346. We need to hold private insurance companies accountable and support small businesses who, for decades, have been paying into business interruption insurance and whose claims are now being denied. The Shelter in Place Orders for public health has devastatingly interrupted our businesses and we need support now from both the public sector and private insurers.*

*Your support is greatly appreciated.*

*Best regards,*

Jeni Kam

(415) 335-5591

**Fiery ~ Hot Pot Buffet**

1626 Taraval St.  
San Francisco, Ca 94116

**Fiery Cafe**

1316 Noriega St.  
San Francisco, Ca 94122

**From:** [Katherine Mlika](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)  
**Cc:** [Quan, Daisy \(BOS\)](#); [Mar, Gordon \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Haney, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Support for Business Insurance  
**Date:** Tuesday, April 7, 2020 5:45:55 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

As a long time San Francisco resident, spouse of a small business owner, and supporter of our local community economy, I urge you to support Supervisor Mar's resolution, Supporting COVID-10 Orders for Business Interruption as Necessary Due to Physical Property Loss or Damage, and add my correspondence to File No. 200346. We need to hold insurance companies accountable and support small businesses who have been paying into business interruption insurance and whole's claims are now being denied. We urgently need to support our businesses that have been devastated by the Shelter in Place order, small businesses are the lifeblood of our community and will be key to the San Francisco economy recovering.

Thank you,  
Katherine Mlika

**From:** [Hanson Li](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)  
**Cc:** [Quan, Daisy \(BOS\)](#); [Mar, Gordon \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Support for COVID-19 Orders for Business Interruption As Necessary Due to Physical Property Loss or Damage  
**Date:** Monday, April 6, 2020 1:49:28 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

As a small business owner of many restaurants in San Francisco, I urge you to support Supervisor Mar's resolution, Supporting COVID-19 Orders for Business Interruption As Necessary Due to Physical Property Loss or Damage, and add my correspondence to File No. 200346.

We need to hold private insurance companies accountable and support small businesses who, for decades, have been paying into business interruption insurance and whose claims are now being denied. The Shelter in Place Orders for public health has devastatingly interrupted our businesses and we need support now from both the public sector and private insurers. Our businesses in San Francisco support several hundred jobs and contribute significant tax base for the city.

Salt Partners Group's businesses include:

- Atelier Crenn
- Humphry Slocombe Ice Cream
- Bar Crenn
- Petit Crenn
- Horsefeather
- Last Rites
- B-Side

Sincerely,  
Hanson Li  
[www.saltpg.com](http://www.saltpg.com)

**From:** [Lissa Rapoport](#)  
**To:** [Haney, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [Mar, Gordon \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Support for Emergency Resolution for Business Interruption Claims  
**Date:** Tuesday, April 7, 2020 10:09:07 PM  
**Importance:** High

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

For decades the San Francisco law firm of Leland Parachini has represented individuals and businesses located in San Francisco. We write in support of Supervisor Mar's resolution, ***Supporting COVID-19 Orders for Business Interruption As Necessary Due to Physical Property Loss or Damage***, and request that this correspondence be added to File No. 200346. Many of our hospitality and retail service clients have been shuttered. Landlords have seen their income come to a halt when commercial tenants cannot pay rent. Even “essential” businesses have seen their business decimated.

The Shelter In Place Orders recognize that the presence of SARS-CoV-2 (COVID-19) in our community is creating a series of physically unsafe structures to inhabit. The impact of the virus on physical structures is a casualty equal to fire, earthquake or flood. The structures are too dangerous for employees and the public to enter, and many will require significant decontamination before they are safe for occupation.

Moreover, Courts have found that property damage can occur absent physical damage and there is nothing novel about this Resolution.

We thank the Board of Supervisors for its support of the business community.

Lissa L. Rapoport  
Managing Partner  
Leland, Parachini, Steinberg, Matzger & Melnick LLP  
199 Fremont Street, 21st Floor  
San Francisco, CA 94105  
Telephone: (415) 957-1800  
Facsimile: (415) 974-1520  
E-mail: [lrapoort@lpslaw.com](mailto:lrapoort@lpslaw.com)  
Website: [www.lpslaw.com](http://www.lpslaw.com)

**CONFIDENTIALITY:**

The e-mail is intended solely for the use of the individual to whom it is addressed and may contain information that is privileged, confidential or otherwise exempt from disclosure under applicable law. If the reader of this e-mail is not the intended recipient or the employee or agent of the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution, or copying of this communication is strictly prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please immediately notify us by replying to the original sender of this note or by telephone at (415) 957-1800 and delete all copies of this e-mail. It is the recipient's responsibility to scan this e-mail and any attachments for viruses. Thank you.

**From:** [Matt Hirst](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Support for Gordan Mar's resolution  
**Date:** Monday, April 6, 2020 2:30:59 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I support it. Please look after our restaurants and small businesses

Matt Hirst

--

**West**

---

[www.west.ventures](http://www.west.ventures)

**From:** [August Navarro](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Support for Gordon Mar's Resolution to support Restaurants  
**Date:** Monday, April 6, 2020 5:22:02 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To the members of the SF Board of Supervisors,

Our restaurants are the backbone of our communities here in San Francisco — and our community of local residents and local business owners are extremely concerned about the future of the restaurant and small business community during this incredibly challenge time.

I'd like to express my support for Gordon Mar's resolution to urge insurance companies to step up and approve the claims of our local restaurants and businesses during this unprecedented time of need.

San Francisco has a long and important history of fighting for those in need of our support during times of need — and i believe this to be one of the moments where our city has the opportunity to help show the rest of this country what we know and believe to be fair and just.

Thanks for hearing my support for our neighborhood's restaurants — Insurance companies should do their part to make sure their claims are approved and support is delivered wherever possible.

Thank you.  
August

August Navarro  
1466 45th Ave  
San Francisco, CA

**From:** [Emily Gable](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Support for Supervisor Mar's Resolution  
**Date:** Monday, April 6, 2020 1:46:29 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hello,

I'm writing to show my support for Supervisor Mar's resolution to stop insurance companies from denying claims to small businesses that have been filed due to the coronavirus pandemic. Small businesses and restaurants contribute so much to our wonderful city and they need to be able to stay on their feet and recover from the damage that this pandemic has caused.

Thank you very much for your service to the city and its residents.

Sincerely,

Emily Gable

**From:** [Dan Clegg](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Support of D4 Gordon Mar's resolution  
**Date:** Monday, April 6, 2020 2:14:39 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To whom it may concern,

I'm writing in support of Gordon Mar's resolution to urge insurance companies to honor claims made by local restaurants in the wake of the COVID-19 pandemic.

The city must do all it can to protect local businesses during this crisis.

Sincerely,

Dan Clegg

**From:** [Jamie Kelty](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** support small restaurants  
**Date:** Monday, April 6, 2020 2:03:01 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To the Board of Supervisors,

Please consider supporting Gordon Mar's D4 resolution urging insurance companies to accept their claims. Restaurants provide community and employ many people. Please consider helping them and small businesses.

Best regards,

Jamie Kelty

**From:** [Rogat, Sher](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)  
**Cc:** [Quan, Daisy \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Supporting COVID-19 and such..  
**Date:** Monday, April 6, 2020 6:22:27 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

*To whom it may concern,*

*As a small business owner, I urge you to support Supervisor Mar's resolution, Supporting COVID-19 Orders for Business Interruption As Necessary Due to Physical Property Loss or Damage, and add my correspondence to File No. 200346. We need to hold private insurance companies accountable and support small businesses who, for decades, have been paying into business interruption insurance and whose claims are now being denied. The Shelter in Place Orders for public health has devastatingly interrupted our businesses and we need support now from both the public sector and private insurers.*

*Regards,*

*Sheryl Rogat*

sheryl rogat  
co-owner & founder  
[piccino.com](#) | [noonallday.com](#)  
1001 minnesota street | san francisco, ca 94107

**From:** [Dennis Kelly](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)  
**Cc:** [Quan, Daisy \(BOS\)](#); [Anthony Secviar](#)  
**Subject:** Supporting COVID-19 Orders for Business Interruption as Necessary Due to Physical Property Loss or Damage  
**Date:** Monday, April 6, 2020 1:38:56 PM  
**Attachments:** [clip\\_image001.png](#)  
[clip\\_image002.png](#)

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Board of Supervisors,

As a small business owner, I urge you to support Supervisor Mar's resolution, Supporting COVID-19 Orders for Business Interruption as Necessary Due to Physical Property Loss or Damage, and add my correspondence to File No. 200346.

It is crucial that we hold private insurance companies accountable and support small businesses who have been paying into business interruption insurance for years and whose claims are now being denied. The Shelter in Place Orders for public health has devastatingly interrupted our businesses and we need support now from both the public sector and private insurers.

Restaurants directly employ more than 16 million people in our country and indirectly employ millions more through a vast supply chain. We are the second leading employment sector behind the government in the United States. If insurance companies are allowed to turn their back on these paying clients, the rate of restaurant closures could reach 50%, slashing millions of jobs in the process.

Insurance companies rely on us to pay their premiums each month, and we are relying on them to provide the benefits that we are purchasing through these policies.

Again, I urge you to support Supervisor Mar's resolution and add my correspondence to File No. 200346.

Sincerely,

**DENNIS KELLY, MS**

Master Sommelier | Owner

**M** 707.291.1607

250 S. California Avenue

Palo Alto, California 94306

**PROTÉGÉ**

  
**MICHELIN**  
**2019**

**From:** [Kara Bratcher](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)  
**Cc:** [Quan, Daisy \(BOS\)](#); [Mar, Gordon \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Haney, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Supporting COVID-19 Orders for Business Interruption As Necessary Due to Physical Property Loss or Damage  
**Date:** Monday, April 6, 2020 1:24:27 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hello,

As a small business owner, I urge you to support Supervisor Mar's resolution, Supporting COVID-19 Orders for Business Interruption As Necessary Due to Physical Property Loss or Damage, and add my correspondence to File No. 200346. We need to hold private insurance companies accountable and support small businesses who, for decades, have been paying into business interruption insurance and whose claims are now being denied. The Shelter in Place Orders for public health has devastatingly interrupted our businesses and we need support now from both the public sector and private insurers.

It is factually untrue that the virus did not cause a dangerous condition to our property that forced us to cease operations of our 10 year old company and lay off our entire 200 person workforce. We were forced to shut down all of our businesses because of the interaction with physical surfaces, contaminated hands, and respiratory droplets. The greatest consideration was the well-being and safety of our team and of the wider community.

Thank you.

Best wishes,

Kara Bratcher  
Flour+Water, Flour+Water Pizzeria, Trick Dog, Central Kitchen, Salumeria

--



**Kara Bratcher**  
CFO, PARTNER

2403 Harrison Street  
San Francisco, CA, 94110  
415.826.1500 # office  
[kara@netimeas.com](mailto:kara@netimeas.com)  
[www.netimeas.com](http://www.netimeas.com)

**From:** [Outerlands](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Quan, Daisy \(BOS\)](#); [Mar, Gordon \(BOS\)](#)  
**Cc:** [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Haney, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Supporting COVID-19 Orders for Business Interruption As Necessary Due to Physical Property Loss or Damage  
**Date:** Monday, April 6, 2020 12:31:03 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear SF Board of Supervisors:

As small business owners, we urge you to support Supervisor Mar's resolution, Supporting COVID-19 Orders for Business Interruption As Necessary Due to Physical Property Loss or Damage, and add my correspondence to File No. 200346.

Our family business, Outerlands, has proudly served the Outer Sunset for 11 years. Upon notice of the SF Shelter in Place Mandate on March 16th, our full service restaurant of 50+ employees was downsized overnight to a tiny to-go operation, leaving 90% of our staff unemployed. Revenues were by far insufficient to cover remaining staff or other operating costs. On March 30th, out of concern for staff amid the continuing rise of COVID 19 cases in SF, we fully closed our doors. We are at risk of losing our business and our home, and our staff may permanently lose their jobs.

The effect of closures on the restaurant industry has been particularly hard-hitting, since cash reserves are typically minimal for small restaurants like ours. Our industry simply does not operate with the kind of capital needed to survive this crisis, and aid programs such as the PPP have not addressed the unique challenges restaurants face. Those restaurants that do manage to reopen their doors once the pandemic crisis has ceased will be up against serious long term effects: debt loads that exceed income resources, narrowing of an already slim workforce, and gaps in the supply chain due to the effects of closures on industry vendors and services.

We need to hold private insurance companies accountable and support small businesses who, for decades, have been paying into business interruption insurance and whose claims are now being denied. The Shelter in Place Orders for public health have devastatingly interrupted our businesses and we need support now from both the public sector and private insurers.

Thank you,

David Muller and Lana Porcello  
Owners, Outerlands  
4001 Judah St., SF

Outerlands  
4001 Judah St.  
San Francisco, CA 94122  
(415) 661-6140

**From:** [Eric Rewitzer](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)  
**Cc:** [Quan, Daisy \(BOS\)](#); [Mar, Gordon \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Supporting COVID-19 Orders for Business Interruption  
**Date:** Monday, April 6, 2020 11:23:11 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

As the owner of 3 Fish Studios, a small business located in San Francisco, I urge you to support Supervisor Mar's resolution, Supporting COVID-19 Orders for Business Interruption As Necessary Due to Physical Property Loss or Damage, and add my correspondence to File No. 200346.

I have paid my insurer, The Hartford, for Business Interruption coverage for years, but have recently been told it must be triggered by a covered cause of loss. Neither Viral Outbreak nor Governmental Decisions are covered perils under my (or virtually any) policy.

I agree that we need to hold private insurance companies accountable and support small businesses who, for decades, have been paying into business interruption insurance and whose claims are now being denied. The Shelter in Place Orders for public health has devastatingly interrupted our businesses and we need support now from both the public sector and private insurers.

Thank you for your consideration, and for doing what you can to support small business owners like myself.

With gratitude,

Eric Rewitzer



**Eric Rewitzer** | Owner, Printmaker  
| 3 Fish Studios  
| t. 415.242.3474 | 4541 Irving Street San Francisco, CA 94122  
| e. eric@3fishstudios.com



**From:** [David Nayfeld](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)  
**Cc:** [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Quan, Daisy \(BOS\)](#); [Matt Brewer](#); [Mar, Gordon \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Haney, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, London \(MYR\)](#)  
**Subject:** Supporting COVID-19 Orders for Business Interruption As Necessary Due to Physical Property Loss or Damage  
**Date:** Tuesday, April 7, 2020 10:44:50 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I write to you as a resident of district 5 as well as the co-owner of the restaurants Che Fico and Che Fico Alimentari. We are doing everything in our power and within our financial resources to support our community as well as our 90 employees, the vast majority of whom are residents of San Francisco. We are grateful for the leadership San Francisco has shown during this time, but for our business to survive, we need the support of our elected leaders to ensure that our insurance companies fulfill our business interruption claims.

I urge you to support Supervisor Mar's resolution, Supporting COVID-19 Orders for Business Interruption As Necessary Due to Physical Property Loss or Damage, and add my correspondence to File No. 200346. We need to hold private insurance companies accountable and support small businesses who, for decades, have been paying into business interruption insurance and whose claims are now being denied. The Shelter in Place Orders for public health has devastatingly interrupted our businesses and we need support now from both the public sector and private insurers.

I truly hope I can count on you to support this measure.

Best,

David Nayfeld  
Chef Co-Owner  
Che Fico, Che Fico Alimentari

[www.chefico.com](http://www.chefico.com)  
[www.cheficoalimentari.com](http://www.cheficoalimentari.com)

**From:** [Pike Long](#)  
**To:** [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#)  
**Cc:** [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)  
**Subject:** Homeless San Franciscans need to be inside NOW  
**Date:** Friday, April 3, 2020 12:06:26 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Mayor Breed and SF City Supervisors,

My name is Pike Long, and I've been working with San Franciscans experiencing homelessness in one capacity or another since 2004. I hold a Masters Degree in Public Health from UC Berkeley, and currently work full-time in the Communicable Disease Control Division of the California Department of Public Health.

As you are well aware, COVID-19 presents an unprecedented threat to us all. However, even though we are all at risk of contracting this disease, people living outdoors and in shelters are poised to suffer far more- and spread the disease faster- than those of us who are lucky enough to be housed.

I urge you to do everything in your power to get people off of the streets, out of the shelters and into clean, private, safe hotel rooms IMMEDIATELY. The sooner this happens, the fewer new cases our citizens will have; it is as simple as that.

The City has thus far been bold and decisive in taking action to mitigate the impacts of this ruthless disease- and our efforts are paying off. You must now be willing to act boldly again, and do whatever it takes to get people inside and out of harm's way.

There is no justification for anyone sleeping on the street when there are an estimated 40,000 hotel rooms sitting empty in our city right now. Using these rooms as temporary shelters is the only morally acceptable solution; it is also the only epidemiologically responsible one. This cannot wait.

Thank you for your leadership in these harrowing times. I look forward to supporting your efforts to immediately house our homeless neighbors in any way I can.

Warm regards,

Pike Long, MPH

--

*Sent from occupied Ohlone land, which was never ceded by those indigenous to this region. If you would like to honor the legacies of survival and resistance of Native communities with material support, please consider paying a voluntary 'shuumi' (land tax) and learning more about the [Sogorea Te' Land Trust](#).*

**From:** [Allan S. Manalo](#)  
**To:** [BOS-Supervisors](#)  
**Subject:** Housing for the Unhoused San Franciscans during this crisis  
**Date:** Thursday, April 2, 2020 1:47:45 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Board of Supervisors,

My name is Allan Samson Manalo and I am writing in support of Supervisor Haney, Ronen, Preston, Peskin, and Walton's resolution "Urging Public Health Orders to Prioritize Needs of People Experiencing Homelessness During the COVID-19 Crisis."

While San Franciscans have been ordered to shelter in place since March 16th, and strongly encouraged to social distance since March 6th—nearly 3,000 people experiencing homelessness in congregate shelters, 5,000 unsheltered individuals, and 19,000 people living in SROs are still unable to self-isolate and are at greater risk for contracting the virus.

There are an estimated 40,000+ vacant hotel rooms in San Francisco right now. Hotels have already come forward and offered over 8,500 rooms to the city for use—and yet, the City departments in charge have not started moving people out of shelters or off the streets.

Every moment that we wait in getting everyone safely inside puts people in grave risk and endangers the public health of the entire city.

As you already know, other cities like New York have already experienced outbreaks in congregate shelters. Governor Newsom estimates that up to 60,000 homeless people in the state could end up infected with COVID-19.

We **MUST** act quickly and house homeless San Franciscans in vacant hotels now.

I hope I can count on your support.

Much love & gratitude,

Allan Samson Manalo  
concern citizen

**From:** [Thomas Sherwood](#)  
**To:** [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#)  
**Cc:** [BOS-Supervisors](#)  
**Subject:** Housing for thousands needed now.  
**Date:** Thursday, April 2, 2020 11:53:52 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Mayor Breed,

It's great that the resolution regarding housing the homeless, those in crowded shelters and SRO's passed yesterday.

The window of opportunity for such an undertaking to actually curb the spread of Covid 19 is small.

Please do everything you can to see all these residents have safe shelter immediately.

Thank you.  
Thomas Sherwood an SF voter  
415 255 7969

Sent from my iPhone

**From:** [Allan S. Manalo](#)  
**To:** [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)  
**Subject:** Housing for Unhoused San Francisco during COVID-19 Crisis  
**Date:** Thursday, April 2, 2020 1:49:04 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Wonderful Aides of our Board of Supervisors,

My name is Allan Samson Manalo and I am writing in support of Supervisor Haney, Ronen, Preston, Peskin, and Walton's resolution "Urging Public Health Orders to Prioritize Needs of People Experiencing Homelessness During the COVID-19 Crisis."

While San Franciscans have been ordered to shelter in place since March 16th, and strongly encouraged to social distance since March 6th—nearly 3,000 people experiencing homelessness in congregate shelters, 5,000 unsheltered individuals, and 19,000 people living in SROs are still unable to self-isolate and are at greater risk for contracting the virus.

There are an estimated 40,000+ vacant hotel rooms in San Francisco right now. Hotels have already come forward and offered over 8,500 rooms to the city for use—and yet, the City departments in charge have not started moving people out of shelters or off the streets.

Every moment that we wait in getting everyone safely inside puts people in grave risk and endangers the public health of the entire city.

As you already know, other cities like New York have already experienced outbreaks in congregate shelters. Governor Newsom estimates that up to 60,000 homeless people in the state could end up infected with COVID-19.

We MUST act quickly and house homeless San Franciscans in vacant hotels now.

I hope I can count on your support.

Much love & gratitude,

Allan Samson Manalo  
concern citizen

**From:** [Javier Bremond](#)  
**To:** [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)  
**Cc:** [emily@sanfranciscorising.org](mailto:emily@sanfranciscorising.org)  
**Subject:** Housing the Formerly Incarcerated during COVID-19  
**Date:** Friday, April 3, 2020 9:57:03 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

My organization, Community Housing Partnership, represents formerly homeless SRO residents who are currently being impacted by the COVID-19 crisis. I am writing to you to urge you to take the following actions to ensure incarcerated people are protected in this health crisis, and to clarify the following questions.

1. Unhoused people being released from jail, who may have been exposed to COVID-19 while inside the jail, should be a priority population included in the next round of hotel rooms coming online, including the 1200 currently secured.
2. Additionally, since there are known sheriff's deputies and inmates who have tested positive for COVID-19, we urge all those released to be tested as more tests become available.
3. We support the thousands of hotel beds coming online and know there is great need-- more options for beds should be explored including college dormitories, school facilities, public parking lots for ad hoc facilities, etc. to ensure the most vulnerable and high risk residents have this support.
4. While there are no current public statement or reports by Police or Sheriff verifying that persons released from jail on electronic monitoring (EM) have been arrested or fined, we request that both Police and Sheriff publicly verify that no person on EM will be arrested or fined unless in the process of committing a violent crime.
5. Are essential services run through nonprofits (like Pretrial services, supportive housing sites, etc) being given support from city in terms of health & safety training and protective gear?
6. Data sharing between service providers. As non-profit service providers, we request, as it is possible, to make transparent specific data related to numbers of incarcerated who are being released (housed and unhoused) on a daily basis. This will make it easier to collaborate amongst providers (city agencies as well as community based providers) to know who is being housed coming out of the jail system.
7. Make information public. We request that the City commit to weekly public updates about the of hotel rooms online and how many are being set aside for what purpose and population. The Sheriff should also make public his daily count in the jail and the number of people released each day, including how many are unhoused.

Respectfully,

**Javier Bremond**  
Community Organizer

**Javier Bremond**  
Community Organizer  
519 Ellis Street  
San Francisco, CA 94109  
[jbremond@chp-sf.org](mailto:jbremond@chp-sf.org)  
510-207-8267



**From:** [Eleanor Stribling](#)  
**To:** [BOS-Legislative Aides](#); [BOS-Supervisors](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#)  
**Subject:** Please house homeless San Franciscans  
**Date:** Thursday, April 2, 2020 2:40:14 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

My name is Eleanor Stribling and I am writing in support of Supervisor Haney, Ronen, Preston, Peskin, and Walton’s resolution “Urging Public Health Orders to Prioritize Needs of People Experiencing Homelessness During the COVID-19 Crisis.”

While San Franciscans have been ordered to shelter in place since March 16th, and strongly encouraged to social distance since March 6th—nearly 3,000 people experiencing homelessness in congregate shelters, 5,000 unsheltered individuals, and 19,000 people living in SROs are still unable to self-isolate and are at greater risk for contracting the virus.

There are an estimated 40,000+ vacant hotel rooms in San Francisco right now. Hotels have already come forward and offered over 8,500 rooms to the city for use—and yet, the City departments in charge have not started moving people out of shelters or off the streets. Every moment that we wait in getting everyone safely inside puts people in grave risk and endangers the public health of the entire city.

Other cities like New York have already experienced outbreaks in congregate shelters. Governor Newsom estimates that up to 60,000 homeless people in the state could end up infected with COVID-19. We MUST act quickly and house homeless San Franciscans in vacant hotels now.

I hope I can count on your support.

Thank you.

Eleanor Stribling

(Live in the Sunset, Work in the tenderloin)

--

Sent from Gmail Mobile

**From:** [Dean Schaffer](#)  
**To:** [Breed](#), [Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)  
**Subject:** Please House Homeless San Franciscans  
**Date:** Saturday, April 4, 2020 1:20:11 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hi,

My name is Dean Schaffer and I am writing in support of Supervisor Haney, Ronen, Preston, Peskin, and Walton’s resolution “Urging Public Health Orders to Prioritize Needs of People Experiencing Homelessness During the COVID-19 Crisis.”

While San Franciscans have been ordered to shelter in place since March 16th, and strongly encouraged to social distance since March 6th—nearly 3,000 people experiencing homelessness in congregate shelters, 5,000 unsheltered individuals, and 19,000 people living in SROs are still unable to self-isolate and are at greater risk for contracting the virus.

There are an estimated 40,000+ vacant hotel rooms in San Francisco right now. Hotels have already come forward and offered over 8,500 rooms to the city for use—and yet, the City departments in charge have not started moving people out of shelters or off the streets. Every moment that we wait in getting everyone safely inside puts people in grave risk and endangers the public health of the entire city.

Other cities like New York have already experienced outbreaks in congregate shelters. Governor Newsom estimates that up to 60,000 homeless people in the state could end up infected with COVID-19. We MUST act quickly and house homeless San Franciscans in vacant hotels now.

I hope I can count on your support.

Thank you,

Dean Schaffer  
SURJ SF; Inner Sunset Resident

**From:** [dsing](#)  
**To:** [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)  
**Cc:** [corina@aufman@gmail.com](mailto:corina@aufman@gmail.com)  
**Subject:** Please House Homeless San Franciscans  
**Date:** Saturday, April 4, 2020 11:36:34 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

**Dear Mayor Breed & Board of Supervisors:**

My name is *Blessings Robertson-Winn* and I am writing in support of Supervisor Haney, Ronen, Preston, Peskin, and Walton's resolution "Urging Public Health Orders to Prioritize Needs of People Experiencing Homelessness During the COVID-19 Crisis."

While San Franciscans have been ordered to shelter in place since March 16th, and strongly encouraged to social distance since March 6th—nearly 3,000 people experiencing homelessness in congregate shelters, 5,000 unsheltered individuals, and 19,000 people living in SROs are still unable to self-isolate and are at greater risk for contracting the virus.

There are an estimated 40,000+ vacant hotel rooms in San Francisco right now. Hotels have already come forward and offered over 8,500 rooms to the city for use—and yet, the City departments in charge have not started moving people out of shelters or off the streets. Every moment that we wait in getting everyone safely inside puts people in grave risk and endangers the public health of the entire city.

Other cities like New York have already experienced outbreaks in congregate shelters. Governor Newsom estimates that up to 60,000 homeless people in the state could end up infected with COVID-19. We **MUST** act quickly and house homeless San Franciscans in vacant hotels now.

I hope I can count on your support.

Thank you,

*Blessings Robertson-Winn*  
(City Impact Donor, Glide Church Donor & Alamo, CA Resident)



**From:** [Ammar Kothari](#)  
**To:** [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)  
**Subject:** Please House Homeless San Franciscans  
**Date:** Friday, April 3, 2020 10:37:06 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hello,

My name is Ammar Kothari and I am writing in support of Supervisor Haney, Ronen, Preston, Peskin, and Walton's resolution "Urging Public Health Orders to Prioritize Needs of People Experiencing Homelessness During the COVID-19 Crisis."

While San Franciscans have been ordered to shelter in place since March 16th, and strongly encouraged to social distance since March 6th—nearly 3,000 people experiencing homelessness in congregate shelters, 5,000 unsheltered individuals, and 19,000 people living in SROs are still unable to self-isolate and are at greater risk for contracting the virus.

There are an estimated 40,000+ vacant hotel rooms in San Francisco right now. Hotels have already come forward and offered over 8,500 rooms to the city for use—and yet, the City departments in charge have not started moving people out of shelters or off the streets. Every moment that we wait in getting everyone safely inside puts people in grave risk and endangers the public health of the entire city.

Other cities like New York have already experienced outbreaks in congregate shelters. Governor Newsom estimates that up to 60,000 homeless people in the state could end up infected with COVID-19. We MUST act quickly and house homeless San Franciscans in vacant hotels now.

I hope I can count on your support.

Thank you,  
Ammar  
Mission Neighborhood

**From:** [Vish Soroushian](#)  
**To:** [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)  
**Subject:** Please House Homeless San Franciscans  
**Date:** Friday, April 3, 2020 12:23:39 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hello, Mayor & Board of Supes,

My name is Vish Soroushian, and I am writing in support of Supervisor Haney, Ronen, Preston, Peskin, and Walton's resolution "Urging Public Health Orders to Prioritize Needs of People Experiencing Homelessness During the COVID-19 Crisis."

While San Franciscans have been ordered to shelter in place since March 16th, and strongly encouraged to social distance since March 6th—nearly 3,000 people experiencing homelessness in congregate shelters, 5,000 unsheltered individuals, and 19,000 people living in SROs are still unable to self-isolate and are at greater risk for contracting the virus.

There are an estimated 40,000+ vacant hotel rooms in San Francisco right now. Hotels have already come forward and offered over 8,500 rooms to the city for use—and yet, the City departments in charge have not started moving people out of shelters or off the streets. Every moment that we wait in getting everyone safely inside puts people in grave risk and endangers the public health of the entire city.

Other cities like New York have already experienced outbreaks in congregate shelters. Governor Newsom estimates that up to 60,000 homeless people in the state could end up infected with COVID-19. We MUST act quickly and house homeless San Franciscans in vacant hotels now.

I hope I can count on your support.

Thank you,  
Vish Soroushian  
Pacific Heights

**From:** [Mike Dulworth](#)  
**To:** [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#)  
**Cc:** [BOS-Supervisors](#)  
**Subject:** Please House Homeless San Franciscans  
**Date:** Thursday, April 2, 2020 4:18:32 PM  
**Attachments:** [image001.png](#)

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

**Dear Mayor Breed:**

My name is Mike Dulworth and I am writing in support of Supervisor Haney, Ronen, Preston, Peskin, and Walton's resolution "Urging Public Health Orders to Prioritize Needs of People Experiencing Homelessness During the COVID-19 Crisis."

While San Franciscans have been ordered to shelter in place since March 16th, and strongly encouraged to social distance since March 6th—nearly 3,000 people experiencing homelessness in congregate shelters, 5,000 unsheltered individuals, and 19,000 people living in SROs are still unable to self-isolate and are at greater risk for contracting the virus.

There are an estimated 40,000+ vacant hotel rooms in San Francisco right now. Hotels have already come forward and offered over 8,500 rooms to the city for use—and yet, the City departments in charge have not started moving people out of shelters or off the streets. Every moment that we wait in getting everyone safely inside puts people in grave risk and endangers the public health of the entire city.

Other cities like New York have already experienced outbreaks in congregate shelters. Governor Newsom estimates that up to 60,000 homeless people in the state could end up infected with COVID-19. We MUST act quickly and house homeless San Franciscans in vacant hotels now.

I hope I can count on your support.

Thank you,

**Mike Dulworth**

President and CEO

**Executive Networks, Inc.**

Mobile: +1.415.812.6952

[mdulworth@executivenetworks.com](mailto:mdulworth@executivenetworks.com)

[www.executivenetworks.com](http://www.executivenetworks.com)



**Executive Networks**  
Network Fueled Insights

**From:** [roseserafini.](#)  
**To:** [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#)  
**Cc:** [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)  
**Subject:** Please House Homeless San Franciscans  
**Date:** Thursday, April 2, 2020 2:39:52 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Mayor,

My name is Rose Serafini and I am writing in support of Supervisor Haney, Ronen, Preston, Peskin, and Walton’s resolution “Urging Public Health Orders to Prioritize Needs of People Experiencing Homelessness During the COVID-19 Crisis.”

While San Franciscans have been ordered to shelter in place since March 16th, and strongly encouraged to social distance since March 6th—nearly 3,000 people experiencing homelessness in congregate shelters, 5,000 unsheltered individuals, and 19,000 people living in SROs are still unable to self-isolate and are at greater risk for contracting the virus.

There are an estimated 40,000+ vacant hotel rooms in San Francisco right now. Hotels have already come forward and offered over 8,500 rooms to the city for use—and yet, the City departments in charge have not started moving people out of shelters or off the streets. Every moment that we wait in getting everyone safely inside puts people in grave risk and endangers the public health of the entire city.

Other cities like New York have already experienced outbreaks in congregate shelters. Governor Newsom estimates that up to 60,000 homeless people in the state could end up infected with COVID-19. We MUST act quickly and house homeless San Franciscans in vacant hotels now.

I hope I can count on your support.

Thank you,

**Rose Serafini**  
**Cow Hollow**

**From:** [Ayesha Iqbal Passanisi](#)  
**To:** [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)  
**Subject:** Please House Homeless San Franciscans  
**Date:** Thursday, April 2, 2020 2:37:52 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

My name is Ayesha Passanisi and I am writing in support of Supervisor Haney, Ronen, Preston, Peskin, and Walton’s resolution “Urging Public Health Orders to Prioritize Needs of People Experiencing Homelessness During the COVID-19 Crisis.”

While San Franciscans have been ordered to shelter in place since March 16th, and strongly encouraged to social distance since March 6th—nearly 3,000 people experiencing homelessness in congregate shelters, 5,000 unsheltered individuals, and 19,000 people living in SROs are still unable to self-isolate and are at greater risk for contracting the virus.

There are an estimated 40,000+ vacant hotel rooms in San Francisco right now. Hotels have already come forward and offered over 8,500 rooms to the city for use—and yet, the City departments in charge have not started moving people out of shelters or off the streets. Every moment that we wait in getting everyone safely inside puts people in grave risk and endangers the public health of the entire city.

Other cities like New York have already experienced outbreaks in congregate shelters. Governor Newsom estimates that up to 60,000 homeless people in the state could end up infected with COVID-19. We MUST act quickly and house homeless San Franciscans in vacant hotels now.

I hope I can count on your support.

Thank you,  
Ayesha Passanisi  
Zendesk employee, Sunnyside resident

**From:** [Rob Stack](#)  
**To:** [Breed](#), [Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)  
**Subject:** Please House Homeless San Franciscans  
**Date:** Thursday, April 2, 2020 2:34:45 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Good afternoon

My name is Rob Stack and I am writing in support of Supervisor Haney, Ronen, Preston, Peskin, and Walton's resolution "Urging Public Health Orders to Prioritize Needs of People Experiencing Homelessness During the COVID-19 Crisis."

While San Franciscans have been ordered to shelter in place since March 16th, and strongly encouraged to social distance since March 6th—nearly 3,000 people experiencing homelessness in congregate shelters, 5,000 unsheltered individuals, and 19,000 people living in SROs are still unable to self-isolate and are at greater risk for contracting the virus.

There are an estimated 40,000+ vacant hotel rooms in San Francisco right now. Hotels have already come forward and offered over 8,500 rooms to the city for use—and yet, the City departments in charge have not started moving people out of shelters or off the streets. Every moment that we wait in getting everyone safely inside puts people in grave risk and endangers the public health of the entire city.

Other cities like New York have already experienced outbreaks in congregate shelters. Governor Newsom estimates that up to 60,000 homeless people in the state could end up infected with COVID-19. We MUST act quickly and house homeless San Franciscans in vacant hotels now.

I hope I can count on your support.

Thank you,  
Rob Stack  
Hayes Valley

--

**Rob Stack**  
Senior Technical Writer  
Zendesk, 1019 Market Street, San Francisco

**From:** [Nina Olding](#)  
**To:** [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)  
**Subject:** Please House Homeless San Franciscans  
**Date:** Thursday, April 2, 2020 2:31:08 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

My name is Stephanie Olding and I am writing in support of Supervisor Haney, Ronen, Preston, Peskin, and Walton’s resolution “Urging Public Health Orders to Prioritize Needs of People Experiencing Homelessness During the COVID-19 Crisis.”

While San Franciscans have been ordered to shelter in place since March 16th, and strongly encouraged to social distance since March 6th—nearly 3,000 people experiencing homelessness in congregate shelters, 5,000 unsheltered individuals, and 19,000 people living in SROs are still unable to self-isolate and are at greater risk for contracting the virus.

There are an estimated 40,000+ vacant hotel rooms in San Francisco right now. Hotels have already come forward and offered over 8,500 rooms to the city for use—and yet, the City departments in charge have not started moving people out of shelters or off the streets. Every moment that we wait in getting everyone safely inside puts people in grave risk and endangers the public health of the entire city.

Other cities like New York have already experienced outbreaks in congregate shelters. Governor Newsom estimates that up to 60,000 homeless people in the state could end up infected with COVID-19. We MUST act quickly and house homeless San Franciscans in vacant hotels now.

Please help prevent the spread of this disease within our most vulnerable communities.

Sincerely,

Stephanie Olding  
Noe Valley

**From:** [Kelly Salance](#)  
**To:** [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)  
**Subject:** Please House Homeless San Franciscans  
**Date:** Thursday, April 2, 2020 2:35:35 PM  
**Attachments:** [Mid Market Business Association Memo 4.1.2020.docx](#)

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Board of Supervisors,

My name is Kelly Salance and I am writing on behalf of the Mid Market Business Association in support of Supervisor Haney, Ronen, Preston, Peskin, and Walton’s resolution “Urging Public Health Orders to Prioritize Needs of People Experiencing Homelessness During the COVID-19 Crisis.”

While San Franciscans have been ordered to shelter in place since March 16th, and strongly encouraged to social distance since March 6th—nearly 3,000 people experiencing homelessness in congregate shelters, 5,000 unsheltered individuals, and 19,000 people living in SROs are still unable to self-isolate and are at greater risk for contracting the virus.

There are an estimated 40,000+ vacant hotel rooms in San Francisco right now. Hotels have already come forward and offered over 8,500 rooms to the city for use—and yet, the City departments in charge have not started moving people out of shelters or off the streets. Every moment that we wait in getting everyone safely inside puts people in grave risk and endangers the public health of the entire city.

Other cities like New York have already experienced outbreaks in congregate shelters. Governor Newsom estimates that up to 60,000 homeless people in the state could end up infected with COVID-19. We MUST act quickly and house homeless San Franciscans in vacant hotels now.

I hope we can count on your support.

Thank you,

*Kelly Salance*  
*Mid Market Business Association, Board President*

--

**Kelly Salance**  
*She Her Hers*  
Senior Manager, Social Impact (AMER)

**Zendesk**

Phone: 303-709-7734 | Email: [ksalance@zendesk.com](mailto:ksalance@zendesk.com)



April 1, 2020

To: Mayor Breed and the San Francisco Board of Supervisors

From: Mid Market Business Association

**Re: Please House Homeless San Franciscans**

My name is Kelly Salance and I am writing on behalf of the Mid Market Business Association in support of Supervisor Haney, Ronen, Preston, Peskin, and Walton’s resolution “Urging Public Health Orders to Prioritize Needs of People Experiencing Homelessness During the COVID-19 Crisis.”

While San Franciscans have been ordered to shelter in place since March 16th, and strongly encouraged to social distance since March 6th—nearly 3,000 people experiencing homelessness in congregate shelters, 5,000 unsheltered individuals, and 19,000 people living in SROs are still unable to self-isolate and are at greater risk for contracting the virus.

There are an estimated 40,000+ vacant hotel rooms in San Francisco right now. Hotels have already come forward and offered over 8,500 rooms to the city for use—and yet, the City departments in charge have not started moving people out of shelters or off the streets. Every moment that we wait in getting everyone safely inside puts people in grave risk and endangers the public health of the entire city.

Other cities like New York have already experienced outbreaks in congregate shelters. Governor Newsom estimates that up to 60,000 homeless people in the state could end up infected with COVID-19. We MUST act quickly and house homeless San Franciscans in vacant hotels now.

I hope I can count on your support.

Thank you,

*Kelly Salance*

*Mid Market Business Association, Board President*

**From:** [Melinda Noack](#)  
**To:** [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)  
**Subject:** Please Prioritize People Experiencing Homelessness  
**Date:** Saturday, April 4, 2020 1:57:11 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Mayor London Breed and the Board of Supervisors:

My name is Melinda Noack and I am writing in support of Supervisor Haney, Ronen, Preston, Peskin, and Walton's resolution "Urging Public Health Orders to Prioritize Needs of People Experiencing Homelessness During the COVID-19 Crisis."

As someone who works in the Tenderloin, but is sheltering in place. I have heard many concerns about the amount of people who are still outside from my fellow co-workers who are still working in the neighborhood because they're considered essential and concerns from the Tenderloin residents they serve. Not only does homelessness prevent people from sheltering in place and puts those at higher risk of illness and death, but it prevents people who are sheltering in place from leaving their homes for food. People are choosing not to eat, rather than risk going outside where they cannot safely social distance.

While San Franciscans have been ordered to shelter in place since March 16th, and strongly encouraged to social distance since March 6th—nearly 3,000 people experiencing homelessness in congregate shelters, 5,000 unsheltered individuals, and 19,000 people living in SROs are still unable to self-isolate and are at greater risk for contracting the virus.

There are an estimated 40,000+ vacant hotel rooms in San Francisco right now. Hotels have already come forward and offered over 8,500 rooms to the city for use—and yet, the City departments in charge have not started moving people out of shelters or off the streets. Every moment that we wait in getting everyone safely inside puts people in grave risk and endangers the public health of the entire city.

Other cities like New York have already experienced outbreaks in congregate shelters. Governor Newsom estimates that up to 60,000 homeless people in the state could end up infected with COVID-19. We MUST act quickly and house homeless San Franciscans in vacant hotels now.

I hope I can count on your support.

Thank you,  
Melinda Noack  
Works in the Tenderloin

**From:** [Vish Soroushian](#)  
**To:** [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#); [BOS-Supervisors](#)  
**Subject:** Re: Please House Homeless San Franciscans  
**Date:** Wednesday, April 8, 2020 11:22:31 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Why is this so difficult to figure out in the tech hub/financial capital of the west coast/wealthiest city in the wealthiest country in the world!?:

[https://www.theguardian.com/world/2020/apr/08/san-francisco-coronavirus-homeless-shelter?CMP=Share\\_iOSApp\\_Other](https://www.theguardian.com/world/2020/apr/08/san-francisco-coronavirus-homeless-shelter?CMP=Share_iOSApp_Other)

We have thousands of hotel rooms city empty and emergency powers to use them to shelter people. The massive former Lombardi's sporting goods warehouse has been sitting vacant on Polk for almost half a decade now. People should not be living outdoors like animals, endangering themselves and others. Not now. Not ever.

Please please please do something about this immediately. We are better than this. And once Covid-19 passes, let's make sure we maintain the moral resolve to never allow this to happen to any human being again.

On Fri, Apr 3, 2020 at 12:22 AM Vish Soroushian <[vmsoroush@gmail.com](mailto:vmsoroush@gmail.com)> wrote:

Hello, Mayor & Board of Supes,

My name is Vish Soroushian, and I am writing in support of Supervisor Haney, Ronen, Preston, Peskin, and Walton's resolution "Urging Public Health Orders to Prioritize Needs of People Experiencing Homelessness During the COVID-19 Crisis."

While San Franciscans have been ordered to shelter in place since March 16th, and strongly encouraged to social distance since March 6th—nearly 3,000 people experiencing homelessness in congregate shelters, 5,000 unsheltered individuals, and 19,000 people living in SROs are still unable to self-isolate and are at greater risk for contracting the virus.

There are an estimated 40,000+ vacant hotel rooms in San Francisco right now. Hotels have already come forward and offered over 8,500 rooms to the city for use—and yet, the City departments in charge have not started moving people out of shelters or off the streets. Every moment that we wait in getting everyone safely inside puts people in grave risk and endangers the public health of the entire city.

Other cities like New York have already experienced outbreaks in congregate shelters. Governor Newsom estimates that up to 60,000 homeless people in the state could end up infected with COVID-19. We MUST act quickly and house homeless San Franciscans in vacant hotels now.

I hope I can count on your support.

Thank you,

Vish Soroushian  
Pacific Heights

**From:** [Nimisha Doongarwal](#)  
**To:** [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)  
**Subject:** Support of :Please House Homeless San Franciscans  
**Date:** Thursday, April 2, 2020 3:35:32 PM  
**Attachments:** [Support Housing Homeless San Franciscans.pdf](#)

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

--

Thanks  
Nimisha Doongarwal  
[www.nimishart.com](http://www.nimishart.com)

## Letter Template to Support Housing Homeless San Franciscans

**Subject Line:** Please House Homeless San Franciscans

My name is Nimisha Doongarwal and I am writing in support of Supervisor Haney, Ronen, Preston, Peskin, and Walton’s resolution “Urging Public Health Orders to Prioritize Needs of People Experiencing Homelessness During the COVID-19 Crisis.”

While San Franciscans have been ordered to shelter in place since March 16th, and strongly encouraged to social distance since March 6th—nearly 3,000 people experiencing homelessness in congregate shelters, 5,000 unsheltered individuals, and 19,000 people living in SROs are still unable to self-isolate and are at greater risk for contracting the virus.

There are an estimated 40,000+ vacant hotel rooms in San Francisco right now. Hotels have already come forward and offered over 8,500 rooms to the city for use—and yet, the City departments in charge have not started moving people out of shelters or off the streets. Every moment that we wait in getting everyone safely inside puts people in grave risk and endangers the public health of the entire city.

Other cities like New York have already experienced outbreaks in congregate shelters. Governor Newsom estimates that up to 60,000 homeless people in the state could end up infected with COVID-19. We MUST act quickly and house homeless San Franciscans in vacant hotels now.

I hope I can count on your support.

Thank you,  
Nimisha Doongarwal  
[Fillmore, SF]

**From:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)  
**To:** [BOS-Supervisors](#)  
**Subject:** FW: Barbers, salons  
**Date:** Monday, April 6, 2020 8:17:00 AM

---

---

**From:** Hiroshi Fukuda <ninersam@aol.com>  
**Sent:** Saturday, April 4, 2020 12:22 PM  
**To:** Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>; Fewer, Sandra (BOS) <sandra.fewer@sfgov.org>  
**Cc:** Yee, Norman (BOS) <norman.yee@sfgov.org>; Stefani, Catherine (BOS) <catherine.stefani@sfgov.org>; Peskin, Aaron (BOS) <aaron.peskin@sfgov.org>; PrestonStaff (BOS) <prestonstaff@sfgov.org>  
**Subject:** Barbers, salons

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I saw Supervisor Peskin on television, he starting to look like a hippie. Consider allowing opening of barber shop sand salons by appointments. Requirement mask and gloves. My hairs is getting long and wife needs pedi and manicure.

Hiroshi Fukuda  
Richmon District

---

Sent from AOL Mobile Mail  
Get the new AOL app: [mail.mobile.aol.com](mailto:mail.mobile.aol.com)

**From:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)  
**To:** [BOS-Supervisors](#)  
**Cc:** [Ng, Wilson \(BOS\)](#); [Carroll, John \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** FW: Guidelines for Food Delivery?  
**Date:** Monday, April 6, 2020 8:32:00 AM

---

**From:** KRH <kori.renee13@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Sunday, April 5, 2020 6:26 PM  
**To:** Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>  
**Subject:** Guidelines for Food Delivery?

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I'm wondering what guidelines and rules have been put in place to protect diners from COVID 19 when ordering meals for delivery.

Restaurants should be mandated to serve orders in sealed plastic covering so that drivers cannot infect food during the drive. As much as I appreciate the work that delivery drivers are doing, the lack of oversight puts us all at risk. Simple cardboard containers can pop open or be subject to tampering. We need better hygiene around food takeout and delivery.

Best,  
KR Hart

**From:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)  
**To:** [Ng, Wilson \(BOS\)](#); [Carroll, John \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** FW: Guidelines for Food Delivery?  
**Date:** Monday, April 6, 2020 8:34:00 AM

---

Hello!

Just letting you know I looped you in on the below email in case you are learned the answer during your time at the EOC.

Thank you!

Eileen

---

**From:** KRH <kori.renee13@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Sunday, April 5, 2020 6:26 PM  
**To:** Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>  
**Subject:** Guidelines for Food Delivery?

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I'm wondering what guidelines and rules have been put in place to protect diners from COVID 19 when ordering meals for delivery.

Restaurants should be mandated to serve orders in sealed plastic covering so that drivers cannot infect food during the drive. As much as I appreciate the work that delivery drivers are doing, the lack of oversight puts us all at risk. Simple cardboard containers can pop open or be subject to tampering. We need better hygiene around food takeout and delivery.

Best,  
KR Hart

**From:** [Board of Supervisors. \(BOS\)](#)  
**To:** [BOS-Supervisors](#)  
**Subject:** FW: Incarcerated People Need Protection During this Health Crisis  
**Date:** Thursday, April 9, 2020 9:29:00 AM

---

**From:** Emily Lee <[emily@sanfranciscorising.org](mailto:emily@sanfranciscorising.org)>

**Sent:** Monday, April 6, 2020 10:32 AM

**To:** Rhorer, Trent (HSA) <[Trent.Rhorer@sfgov.org](mailto:Trent.Rhorer@sfgov.org)>; Simmons, Noelle (HSA) <[noelle.simmons@sfgov.org](mailto:noelle.simmons@sfgov.org)>; Colfax, Grant (DPH) <[grant.colfax@sfdph.org](mailto:grant.colfax@sfdph.org)>; Fuchs, Jonathan (DPH) <[jonathan.fuchs@sfdph.org](mailto:jonathan.fuchs@sfdph.org)>; Miyamoto, Paul (SHF) <[paul.miyamoto@sfgov.org](mailto:paul.miyamoto@sfgov.org)>; Cretan, Jeff (MYR) <[jeff.cretan@sfgov.org](mailto:jeff.cretan@sfgov.org)>; Elsbernd, Sean (MYR) <[sean.elsbernd@sfgov.org](mailto:sean.elsbernd@sfgov.org)>; Kittler, Sophia (MYR) <[sophia.kittler@sfgov.org](mailto:sophia.kittler@sfgov.org)>; BOS-Supervisors <[bos-supervisors@sfgov.org](mailto:bos-supervisors@sfgov.org)>; BOS-Legislative Aides <[bos-legislative\\_aides@sfgov.org](mailto:bos-legislative_aides@sfgov.org)>

**Subject:** Incarcerated People Need Protection During this Health Crisis

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear elected officials and department staff,

My organization, San Francisco Rising, represents low-income communities of color which are currently being impacted hardest by the COVID19 crisis. We know that the majority of people who are incarcerated in San Francisco are Black and Latinx, as well as unhoused people. I am writing to you to urge you to take the following actions to ensure incarcerated people are protected in this health crisis, and to clarify the following questions.

1. Unhoused people being released from jail, who may have been exposed to COVID19 while inside the jail, should be a priority population included in the next round of hotel rooms coming online, including the 1200 currently secured.
2. Additionally, since there are known sheriff's deputies and inmates who have tested positive for COVID19, we urge all those released to be tested as more tests become available.
3. We support the thousands of hotel beds coming online and know there is great need-- more options for beds should be explored including college dormitories, school facilities, public parking lots for ad hoc facilities, etc. to ensure the most vulnerable and high risk residents have this support.
4. While there are no current public statement or reports by Police or Sheriff verifying that persons released from jail on electronic monitoring (EM) have been arrested or fined, we request that both Police and Sheriff publicly verify that no person on EM will be arrested or fined unless in the process of committing a violent crime.
5. Are essential services run through nonprofits (like Pretrial services, supportive housing sites, etc) being given support from city in terms of health & safety training and protective gear?
6. Data sharing between service providers. As non-profit service providers, we request, as it is possible, to make transparent specific data related to numbers of incarcerated who are being released (housed and unhoused) on a daily basis. This will make it easier to collaborate amongst providers (city agencies as well as

community based providers) to know who is being housed coming out of the jail system.

7. **Make information public.** We request that the City commit to weekly public updates about the of hotel rooms online and how many are being set aside for what purpose and population. The Sheriff should also make public his daily count in the jail and the number of people released each day, including how many are unhoused.

**Respectfully,  
Emily Lee**

Emily Lee  
Director, San Francisco Rising  
[emily@sanfranciscorising.org](mailto:emily@sanfranciscorising.org)

**From:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)  
**To:** [BOS-Supervisors](#)  
**Subject:** FW: More than a million dollars a day?  
**Date:** Thursday, April 9, 2020 9:48:00 AM

---

**From:** Allen Jones <jones-allen@att.net>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, April 8, 2020 5:46 PM  
**To:** Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>; Breed, Mayor London (MYR) <mayorlondonbreed@sfgov.org>; Joshua S. <jsabatini@sfexaminer.com>; metro@sfchronicle.com; Newstips <newstips@sfexaminer.com>  
**Subject:** More than a million dollars a day?

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Attention: All Members of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

As reported by the SF Examiner, today, the call by some members of this board to secure 7000 rooms for the homeless alone is impossible and illogical. And I dare any supervisor dumb enough to believe this will clear the streets to submit their resignation, speaking of impossible and illogical. This plan is nuts.

San Francisco should rent hotel rooms for first responders and some who may need to self-quarantine. But to spend more than **a million dollars a day** for up to three months to get the homeless off the streets and HOPE FEMA reimburses for even half the cost is plain nuts. This idea is as dumb as allowing Donald Trump and Steve Mnuchin to oversee \$2 trillion dollars.

Allen Jones  
[jones-allen@att.net](mailto:jones-allen@att.net)  
(415) 756-7733  
[californiaclemency.org](http://californiaclemency.org)

*The only thing I love more than justice is the freedom to fight for it. -- Allen Jones --*

**From:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)  
**To:** [BOS-Supervisors](#)  
**Subject:** FW: SFO: stop unnecessary passengers from infecting us  
**Date:** Monday, April 6, 2020 8:18:00 AM

---

---

**From:** Michael Nohr <mikejnohr@aol.com>  
**Sent:** Saturday, April 4, 2020 11:35 AM  
**To:** Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>; governor@governor.ca.gov  
**Subject:** SFO: stop unnecessary passengers from infecting us

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Why are we letting flights into SFO with people who could carry the virus?

Someone infected in NYC can get on a plane and fly to SFO.... infect 400 people on a plane, infect people at SFO, infect the Uber drive, infect people at the hotel or the residence they reside.

Until we get a better understanding of this disease shut it down except for medical and emergency personel.

My company furloughed the employees and I'm at home. I want to get back to work. I can't if we keep infecting people.

People in other states should STAY HOME!!!

**From:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)  
**To:** [BOS-Supervisors](#)  
**Subject:** FW: shelter in place severally affecting undocumented immigrants  
**Date:** Tuesday, April 7, 2020 3:41:00 PM

---

**From:** never ever <neverever@null.net>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, April 7, 2020 1:27 AM  
**To:** Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>  
**Subject:** shelter in place severally affecting undocumented immigrants

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I am an undocumented resident of SF. I depend on certain businesses to be open to support myself financially. We are now in the fourth week of the shelter in place order from the city of SF and the State of CA and it is literally killing me. I have used up all my savings. I no longer have money for the basics like food and laundry. Worse, I am about to lose everything I need to be able to support myself.

I can't pay my phone bill or my po box and without it I will be completely isolated and unable to get news updates regarding covid-19.

My vehicle registration is due this month and I received a notice from the dmV that they intend to suspend my registration because I can't pay for my car insurance.

Even if I survive the remainder of the shelter in place order by some miracle (who knows when that will be) without my car, phone and po box I won't be able to earn any money.

Obviously I am not the only undocumented resident of SF who is in this position and while other residents can file for unemployment or money from the 2 trillion dollar stimulus package undocumented residents of SF can not!!!

Undocumented residents are an important part of the daily life in SF and we contribute to the economy on a daily basis but we are being overlooked when it comes to the city trying to help its most vulnerable residents.

State and federal government is not going to help us so sanctuary cities like SF need to step up.

Let's not forget a lot of undocumented residents do pay income tax and local tax (purchasing goods, pay parking tickets, pay for permits, etc..).

You need to send up a fund (city money, donations, etc) to provide financial aid to residents like me who can no longer support themselves due to the shelter in place orders which is killing us only a little bit slower than covid-19 could.

Everyone talks about how we can get thru this together but I find myself very alone in this.

**From:** [zrants](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)  
**Cc:** [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Haney, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Fwd: Do you have a link to contacts?  
**Date:** Wednesday, April 8, 2020 11:12:00 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

April 8, 2020

Supervisors:

Please consider this method of establishing new affordable housing units.

Thanks,

Mari Eliza

\*\*\*

**New Concept for SF Real Estate Management Department:**

Two programs for developing affordable housing that could be enacted now with no delay and at possibly less cost to the city. This would only be applied to property owners who want to participate in the program.

1. Mortgages: The city could purchase mortgages at risk of being foreclosed on from homeowners and small property owners and resell them after applying deed restrictions. Property values will not be going up for a while and may dip lower during this crisis.
2. Empty units for sale: the city could pick up some empty units during this crisis situation, as we discover where they are and apply the same deed restrictions before reselling these units.

Instead of relying on entitled property owners to some day develop affordable housing by entitling more units throughout the city, while jacking up the land values, the city could take control of the units that are available now, and house a lot of people by keeping them housed and establishing a deed restricted affordable housing program. Details on who gets the housing and how large a program this becomes can be worked out later.

PS: Forgive my typos

**From:** [Kathy Howard](#)  
**To:** [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#)  
**Cc:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Mar, Gordon \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Haney, Matt \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Please house the homeless in hotels and motels during the pandemic  
**Date:** Monday, April 6, 2020 6:59:12 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Honorable Mayor London Breed,

Please arrange for the City to house the homeless in vacant hotel and motel rooms during the pandemic. This is the humane action to take at this time.

Here are some reasons for using hotels and also answers to objections that I have been reading about online:

- The state/fed is not yet testing everyone. In fact, we are far from this goal. It has now been shown that people can be without symptoms and still infect other people. We need to put people in hotel rooms, so that they can be separated from each other.
- In hotel rooms, people will have somewhere to store their belongings. This will help people to retain their sense of identity.
- A hotel room is cheaper than the ICU unit anywhere, and especially at SF General, as has recently been described in the press.
- I used to work in the hotel business. We tried to sell every room every night. An unsold room-night is income lost forever. Hotels are desperate right now. Any income will be welcome to them.
- Hotels regularly do laundry in industrial-style machines. Hot water, hot dryers - very effective for sterilizing bedding and towels.
- It is insulting to say that all folks who are homeless will not take care of a hotel room. Furthermore, hotels regularly clean, paint, and replace furnishings -- even at the small hotel for which I worked, we painted all the rooms every year. This is an expense that should already be in every hotel's budget.
- Housing people in hotels gives jobs to hotel staff.
- Hotels have kitchens and people who know how to use them. Hotels have supply lines and know where to purchase food for a good price. The kitchen staff can prepare simple, hearty meals every day for the residents. Hotel dish-washing equipment gets the dishes very clean -- and they are reused, not tossed. The meals should be vegetarian - this is a chance to make a difference for the environment.
- Getting people off the streets lessens the chance of disease spreading through street contact for everyone.
- Getting people off the streets even for a short time gives DPW a chance to clean and sanitize the streets thoroughly.
- Even those homeless who do not trust large-scale shelters might be amenable to a hotel

room. Get them off the streets and into a safe place!

- San Francisco spends a lot of money on things that are not necessary. TRIM THE BUDGET in other ways.

The real test for all of our feelings towards housing the homeless in giant arenas at this time is -- would you want to spend the night there? Would you want your children to spend the night there? I would expect the answer to be "no" to both questions.

Please arrange for the City to house the homeless in the vacant hotel and motel rooms. This is the humane action to take at this time.

Sincerely,

Katherine Howard

District 4

cc: Board of Supervisors

**From:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)  
**To:** [BOS-Supervisors](#)  
**Cc:** [BOS Legislation, \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** FW: Support of Emergency Resolution for Public Health Emergency Leave (File #200313)  
**Date:** Tuesday, April 7, 2020 3:39:00 PM  
**Attachments:** [Support of File #200313.pdf](#)

---

---

**From:** Emily Abraham <eabraham@sfchamber.com>  
**Sent:** Monday, April 6, 2020 2:09 PM  
**To:** Emily Abraham <eabraham@sfchamber.com>  
**Cc:** Jay Cheng <jcheng@sfchamber.com>; Rodney Fong <rfong@sfchamber.com>  
**Subject:** Support of Emergency Resolution for Public Health Emergency Leave (File #200313)

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisor,

On behalf of the San Francisco Chamber of Commerce, I would like to offer our broad support of your Emergency Resolution for Public Health Emergency Leave (File #200313). We sincerely thank you for your time, consideration of our proposed **amendments**, and points of clarification.

Respectfully,

Emily Abraham

**Emily Abraham**

Public Policy Manager  
SF Chamber of Commerce



235 Montgomery St., Ste. 760, San Francisco, CA 94104  
tel: 415.352.4520 • fax: 415.392.0485  
sfchamber.com • twitter: @sf\_chamber

April 6, 2020

Supervisor Gordon Mar  
San Francisco Board of Supervisors  
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244  
San Francisco, Ca 94102

Re: File #200313, Emergency Ordinance Amendments

Dear Supervisor Mar,

The San Francisco Chamber of Commerce, representing hundreds of local businesses and thousands of employees, has reviewed Supervisor Mar's Amendments to the Emergency Resolution for Public Health Emergency Leave (File #200313). The measure, should it pass, would temporarily require private employers with 500 or more employees to provide public health emergency leave during the public health emergency related to COVID-19.

The San Francisco Chamber of Commerce is supportive of this ordinance and its effort to support workers during this critical time. We sincerely thank the Supervisor for his work on this issue, and for working closely with the San Francisco Chamber of Commerce on specifics of the ordinance.

We greatly appreciate the clarity around resolution of emergency hours upon the end of the public health crisis, the addition of voluntary paid leave credit towards the mandate, clarification around temporary worker leave, clarification around definition of healthcare providers, clarification regarding notification on itemized wage statements, and confirmation that companies who offer unlimited leave have met the requirements of this legislation.

On behalf of the San Francisco Chamber of Commerce, I thank you for your continued service during this public health crisis.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Rodney Fong".

Rodney Fong  
President and CEO

CC: Mayor London Breed; Clerk of the Board, for the full Board of Supervisors

**From:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)  
**To:** [BOS-Supervisors](#)  
**Subject:** FW: CPUC - Verizon Wireless - City of San Francisco-SF PAC HEIGHTS 065 - A-414959  
**Date:** Thursday, April 9, 2020 9:32:00 AM  
**Attachments:** [CPUC\\_539.pdf](#)

---

**From:** CPUC Team <westareacpuc@verizonwireless.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, April 7, 2020 12:27 PM  
**To:** GO159Areports@cpuc.ca.gov  
**Cc:** westareacpuc@verizonwireless.com; CPC.Wireless <CPC.Wireless@sfgov.org>; Administrator, City (ADM) <city.administrator@sfgov.org>; Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>; clarence.chavis@verizonwireless.com  
**Subject:** CPUC - Verizon Wireless - City of San Francisco-SF PAC HEIGHTS 065 - A-414959

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

This is to provide your agency with notice according to the provisions of General Order No. 159A of the Public Utilities Commission of the State of California (“CPUC”) see attachment.  
This notice is being provided pursuant to Section IV.C.2.

Apr 07, 2020

Consumer Protection and Enforcement Division  
California Public Utilities Commission  
505 Van Ness Avenue  
San Francisco, CA 94102  
GO159Areports@cpuc.ca.gov

RE: Notification Letter for SF PAC HEIGHTS 065 - A  
San Francisco, CA /GTE Mobilnet California LP

---

This is to provide the Commission with notice according to the provisions of General Order No. 159A of the Public Utilities Commission of the State of California ( "CPUC") for the project described in Attachment A.

A copy of this notification letter is also being provided to the appropriate local government agency for its information. Should there be any questions regarding this project, or if you disagree with any of the information contained herein, please contact the representative below.

Verizon Wireless

Ann Goldstein  
Coordinator RE & Compliance - West Territory  
1515 Woodfield Road, #1400  
Schaumburg, IL 60173  
WestAreaCPUC@VerizonWireless.com

JURISDICTION	PLANNING MANAGER	CITY MANAGER	CITY CLERK	DIRECTOR OF SCHOOL BOARD	COUNTY
City of San Francisco	CPC.Wireless@sfgov.org	city.administrator@sfgov.org	Board.of.Supervisors@sfgov.org		San Francisco

VZW Legal Entity		Site Name		Site Address		Tower Design	Size of Building or NA
GTE Mobilnet California LP		SF PAC HEIGHTS 065 - A		1933 California St. , San Francisco , CA94109		Public Light Structure	NA
Site Latitude	Site Longitude	PS Location Code	Tower Appearance	Tower Height (in feet)	Type of Approval	Approval Issue Date	
37°47'23.26"N	122°25'34.28"W NAD(83)	414959	Antenna Rad 30.10	32	Zoning	06/13/2019	

Project Description: THE PROJECT INVOLVES THE INSTALLATION OF AN UNMANNED WIRELESS TELECOMMUNICATION FACILITY CONSISTING OF AN ANTENNA AND ASSOCIATED EQUIPMENT ON A REPLACEMENT SAN FRANCISCO PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION CONCRETE LIGHT POLE IN THE PUBLIC RIGHT OF WAY.

**From:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)  
**To:** [BOS-Supervisors](#)  
**Subject:** FW: Quarterly Power Report - March 2020  
**Date:** Tuesday, April 7, 2020 4:58:00 PM  
**Attachments:** [Quarterly Power Report Memo.pdf](#)  
[Attachment A – List of Projects March 2020.pdf](#)  
[Attachment B – Map of Interconnection Issues.pdf](#)  
[Attachment C – Cost Impacts March 2020.pdf](#)

---

**From:** Imperial, Megan M <MImperial@sfgwater.org>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, April 7, 2020 4:22 PM  
**To:** Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>; Calvillo, Angela (BOS) <angela.calvillo@sfgov.org>  
**Cc:** Scarpulla, John (PUC) <JScarpulla@sfgwater.org>; BOS Legislation, (BOS) <bos.legislation@sfgov.org>  
**Subject:** Quarterly Power Report - March 2020

Dear Board of Supervisors staff,

Attached please find the San Francisco Public Utilities Commission's Quarterly Report to the Board of Supervisors (dated March 31, 2020) on the Status of Applications to PG&E for Electric Service. This report is being submitted in accordance with Resolution No. 227-18.

The following is a list of accompanying documents:

1. Quarterly Power Report Memo
2. Attachment A – List of Projects March 2020
3. Attachment B – Map of Interconnection Issues
4. Attachment C – Cost Impacts March 2020

Hard copies of the quarterly report will be delivered to the Clerk's Office and your offices once the Shelter-in Place order has been lifted.

Best,  
Megan

---

*Megan M. Imperial* 竜芽願  
*Policy & Government Affairs*  
*San Francisco Public Utilities Commission*  
*525 Golden Gate Ave., 12th Floor*  
*San Francisco, CA 94102*  
[mimperial@sfgwater.org](mailto:mimperial@sfgwater.org)  
*Office: 415-554-3241 | Mobile: 415-654-1654*  
*Pronouns: She, Her, Hers*



March 31, 2020

Ms. Angela Calvillo  
 Clerk of the Board of Supervisors  
 City Hall, Room 244  
 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place  
 San Francisco, CA 94102-4689

**RE: San Francisco Public Utilities Commission’s Quarterly Report to the Board of Supervisors on the Status of Applications to PG&E for Electric Service.**

Dear Ms. Calvillo:

The attached quarterly report has been prepared for the Board of Supervisors in accordance with Resolution No. 227-18, approved by the Board on July 10, 2018 (File No. 180693) and enacted on July 20, 2018.

Pursuant to the terms of Resolution No. 227-18, the San Francisco Public Utilities Commission (SFPUC) shall “provide the Board a quarterly report for the next two years that identifies the following: status of all City projects with applications to SFPUC for electric service, including project schedules and financing and other deadlines; project sponsor and SFPUC concerns in securing temporary and permanent power, including obstacles that could increase costs or delay service to City customers; and the status of disputes with PG&E before the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC) or in other forums.”

**REPORT SUMMARY:**

For the reporting period of November through March 2020, the SFPUC has identified 77 projects that have experienced interconnection delays, arbitrary requests or increased project costs, as listed in **Attachment A**. Since the last quarterly report, 14 projects have been added and 2 projects have been energized.

Any updates and changes to projects since the previous quarterly report are detailed in Column P of Attachment A. **Attachment B** contains a map providing the location of each project.

**Attachment C** contains a detailed report of each category of additional incurred costs and impacts to the City per project, such as redesign costs, construction and equipment costs, and additional staff time (also included in the ‘Impacts’ column of Attachment

**London N. Breed**  
 Mayor

**Ann Moller Caen**  
 President

**Francesca Vietor**  
 Vice President

**Anson Moran**  
 Commissioner

**Sophie Maxwell**  
 Commissioner

**Tim Paulson**  
 Commissioner

**Harlan L. Kelly, Jr.**  
 General Manager



A). The total cost impacts to the City are now estimated to be more than \$12 million. Total costs do not include estimated costs for projects that are at a standstill as those costs are still to be determined.

### **ONGOING INTERCONNECTION ISSUES:**

City projects continue to be impacted by PG&E's actions which cause significant delays and increase costs. Since the first of these reports were submitted in October 2018, the City has submitted an additional 50 applications<sup>1</sup> for service under PG&E's Wholesale Distribution Tariff (WDT). Out of these 50 applications, 30 have resulted in interconnection disputes with PG&E, of which 17 are moving forward after extensive delays or compromises by the City to avoid further delays. Only 4 projects have been energized and the City has agreed to withdraw another 5 projects.

The five categories below summarize the ongoing interconnection disputes between the City and PG&E.

1. ***Delay tactics and cancellations stall City projects:*** PG&E continues to ignore the timelines and terms set forth in their WDT. Out of the 77 projects listed in Attachment A, 31 projects have faced PG&E-caused delays of over 6 months, with 7 projects facing delays of over a year. PG&E has also started cancelling some City applications for service claiming that the City did not provide PG&E with the required information within the timeframes set forth in the WDT. PG&E's insistence on cancelling applications is troubling as City staff actively engages with PG&E on these projects on a weekly basis.
2. ***Denial of City's requested load impacts essential City services:*** PG&E continues to reduce the reserved capacity, or load service size, requested in the City's applications for service. PG&E's refusal to accommodate the City's requested load jeopardizes the SFPUC's ability to serve critical loads, such as new affordable housing developments. The HOPE housing redevelopment projects are being held up by this issue. The City also recently filed a protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC) over PG&E's arbitrary reduction of the reserved capacity for a service transfer customer.
3. ***Arbitrary primary service requirements for small projects increase costs for the City:*** PG&E still requires primary service for projects with smaller load sizes that are normally served by secondary service. While PG&E has agreed to provide low-side metering for a limited number of projects, there are still projects being held up by PG&E's arbitrary requirement. In addition to delays, PG&E's requirement that the City use low-side metering or primary metering

---

<sup>1</sup> These applications include new services and relocations and upgrades of existing services. This count does not include unmetered load (streetlights & traffic signals), service transfer requests, shutdown requests, and service removal requests.

for loads that could receive secondary services increases the City's costs. This dispute is presently before FERC.

4. ***Demands for costly and unnecessary upgrades to City projects:*** Several projects are stalled or facing increased costs due to PG&E either requiring extra equipment or payment for upgrades to PG&E's own distribution system. PG&E has yet to provide adequate explanations or cost justifications for its requirements. In January, FERC held a hearing on the City's pending complaint regarding this issue.
  
5. ***Refusal to acknowledge the City's control of its own facilities prohibits the City's growth:*** In addition to violating the terms of the Tariff, PG&E is restricting the City's ability to grow its customer base by denying the City's requests to serve existing PG&E customers who want to receive service from the City. PG&E initially approved two of these transfers, but now refuses to do so, even though the City meets all the requirements set forth in the WDT and owns or controls the facilities. The City plans to submit a protest to FERC regarding this issue.

#### **STATUS OF DISPUTES WITH PG&E BEFORE FERC:**

On November 21, 2019, FERC issued an order in the City's 2014 complaint and related cases. In the Order, FERC rejected the City's claim that all of its load was eligible for service under the Federal Power Act without adding new facilities because the City had been serving the same customers for decades. FERC agreed with PG&E's approach, finding that only a limited number of loads were eligible for service without additional facilities. FERC found that it was reasonable for PG&E to treat any City load that was relocating as a new delivery point requiring new facilities.

On December 20, 2019, the City filed a request for rehearing with FERC. Under the Federal Power Act, the filing of a request for rehearing is required before seeking review from a federal Court of Appeals. On January 20, 2019, FERC issued an Order Granting Rehearing for Further Consideration. This order allows FERC additional time to consider the matters the City raised on rehearing.

The City and PG&E filed a partial settlement with the FERC on December 14, 2018 to resolve certain procedural and technical disputes the City raised in ten protests the City filed with FERC in 2017 and 2018. On June 20, 2019, the Commission approved the settlement. Some issues in the protest that were not completely resolved by the settlement were assigned to a FERC trial judge for additional review. A hearing in that matter was held trial in January 2020. One of the issues in that proceeding is whether PG&E is wrongfully charging the City for upgrades to its system. The Administrative Law Judge assigned to the matter is expected to issue an Initial Decision in July. The Initial Decision will have to be approved by FERC.

The City filed a second complaint against PG&E with FERC on January 28, 2019 to address PG&E's demand for primary service for small facilities. PG&E asserted that any action on the complaint was stayed by the bankruptcy. On April 18, 2019, the City filed a motion in the PG&E bankruptcy case asking the court to grant relief from the stay and allow the City's complaint to proceed. The court granted the City's motion on May 9, 2019.


On May 29, 2019, PG&E filed a response to the City's complaint and asked FERC to dismiss the City's complaint. On June 14, 2019, the City filed reply to PG&E's motion. On July 18, 2019, the City filed a supplement to the complaint in which the City noted that recently PG&E has refused to discuss any alternative arrangements that would allow projects to move forward. On February 25, 2020, the City filed a second supplemental reply to the complaint to clarify the record concerning some statements PG&E had made to FERC concerning the availability of certain metering equipment. We are awaiting an order from FERC on next steps.

Please find attached copies of the following documents related to this report:

- **Attachment A:** List of projects with active interconnection applications to PG&E for electric service as of March 2020
- **Attachment B:** Map of projects with PG&E power connection delays as of March 2020
- **Attachment C:** Cost impacts

Should you have any questions, please contact Barbara Hale, SFPUC Assistant General Manager for Power, at [BHale@sfgwater.org](mailto:BHale@sfgwater.org) and 415-554-2483.

Sincerely,



Harlan L. Kelly, Jr.  
General Manager

**Attachment A: BOS Quarterly Report for March 2020**

	PG&E NN#	Project Location	District #	Client Organization	Project Description (what SF applied for)	Project Status	Initial Application Submittal Date	App Deemed Complete Date	Initial Service Need Date	Did PG&E require Primary?	Load Size/Can Be Served at Secondary	PG&E committed to work w/ SF to energize in 2018	Impacts	Updates/Changes since Last Report (Nov. 2019)	
1	114449998	600 32nd Avenue - George Washington High School	1	SFUSD	Upgrading and relocating existing secondary service	Delays caused by dispute over primary vs. secondary. Project moving forward with low-side metering. (See Note 1) Further delays caused by dispute over PG&E's proposed design.	Service Agreement returned with payment by SFPUC.	3/27/2018	7/3/2019	9/1/2018	Yes	500 kW/Yes	X	Project delayed - project was in dispute from Feb. 2018 - Jun. 2018. (3-4 months). Additional project costs - \$75k (interrupter, #7 box, & installation) PG&E's proposed design would require an additional \$1M in trenching/construction costs. SF is disputing this design as PG&E is applying design requirements inconsistently.	Disputes over PG&E's proposed design could add an additional \$1M cost to the project.
2	115047431/115322749	4545 Anza Street - Lafayette Elementary	1	SFUSD	New temporary service for interim trailers and replacing existing secondary service	Delays caused by dispute over primary vs. secondary. Project moving forward with secondary service.	PG&E reviewing application.	10/9/2018		7/1/2019	Yes	150 kW /Yes		Project delayed - project was in dispute from Oct. 2018 - Oct. 2019 (1 year). Temp. power service for classroom trailers will be served by PG&E at retail - \$14k in lost gross revenue to SFPUC. \$31k in additional power costs to the project due to PG&E's higher rates. 50,000 lbs. of CO2 emissions (temporary period of 17 months)	Project is moving forward at secondary service (like-for like switchgear replacement). The \$75k cost for an additional interrupter is not applicable anymore.
3	118155073	3401 Geary Blvd. - Streetlights and Traffic Controller	1	SFMTA	New secondary service	Delays caused by PG&E providing the Service Agreement late.	Engineering estimation by PG&E	11/7/2019	11/19/2019	2/3/2020	No	1 kW/Yes		Pedestrian and traffic safety is at risk as PG&E delays the energization of these streetlights and traffic signals.	Project added.
4	112434942	3455 Van Ness Avenue - AWSS Pump Station No. 2	2	SFPUC - Water	Remove two existing services and replace with one secondary service	Delays caused by dispute over primary vs. secondary. Project moving forward with low-side metering. (See Note 1)	PG&E is withholding project scope information that validates costs.	12/9/2016	1/5/2017	8/1/2017	Yes	144 kW/Yes	X	Seismic improvements and architectural upgrades to increase reliability of the pumping station have been delayed. Additional project costs - \$75k (interrupter, #7 box, & installation) Further delays caused by PG&E still not providing necessary cost detail to the Service Agreement (7 month delay).	Delay continues - PG&E still has not provided project scope in relation to cost detail in the Service Agreement. SF has been waiting for this since early August 2019.
5	113826565	3630 Divisadero - Claire Lilenthal School	2	SFUSD	Upgrading existing secondary service	Delays caused by dispute over primary vs. secondary. Project moving forward with low-side metering. (See Note 1)	Energized	11/14/2017	5/24/2018	5/1/2018	Yes	461 kW/Yes	X	Project delayed - project was in dispute from Nov. 2017 - Apr. 2018. (5-6 months). The construction of a new building on campus has been delayed as a result. Additional project costs - \$55k (interrupter, #7 box, & installation)	Interrupter costs updated. Service was energized in August 2019. Project will be removed in next quarter's report.
6	114713666	2110 Greenwich Street - Tule Elk Elementary	2	SFUSD	Upgrading and relocating existing secondary service	Delays caused by dispute over primary vs. secondary. Project moving forward with low-side metering. (See Note 1)	PG&E reviewing application.	6/15/2018		6/1/2019	Yes	300 kW/Yes		Project delayed - project was in dispute from Jun. 2018 - Oct. 2019 (14-15 months) Additional project costs - \$75k (interrupter, #7 box, & installation)	No impacts update.
7	115675911	2445 Hyde St. - Francisco Park	2	SFRPD	New secondary service	Delays caused by dispute over primary vs. secondary. Project moving forward with low-side metering. (See Note 1)	PG&E reviewing application.	1/9/2019	6/6/2019	12/27/2019	Yes	70 kW/Yes		Project delayed - project was in dispute from Jun. 2019 - Oct. 2019 (3-4 months). Additional project costs - \$75k (interrupter, #7 box, & installation) If the project is not energized by June 2020, the park may not be able to open. The project expects overhead costs of \$150k/month.	No impacts update yet, but project is on critical timeline.
8	PG&E withholding NN#	102 Marina Blvd. - Fort Mason (EVGo)	2	EVGo	New secondary service	Delays caused by dispute over primary vs. secondary. Project is still in dispute.	Project is at a standstill.	12/13/2018		7/15/2019	Yes	600 kW/Yes		Project delayed - project has been in dispute since Dec. 2018 (13-14 months). If required, primary switchgear would cost the project an additional \$500k.	No update - project is still at a standstill. PG&E is now threatening to cancel this project.
9	111772188	Ferry Terminal	3	SFPORT for WETA	New secondary service	Delays caused by dispute over primary vs. secondary. Project moving forward with low-side metering. (See Note 1)	Service point proposed by PG&E is far from the project site and presents logistical challenges for trenching.	7/5/2016	6/20/2018	6/18/2017	Yes	150 kW/Yes	X	The Downtown Ferry Terminal is currently using power from the Agriculture Building. Delays of this service request could delay the redevelopment of the Agriculture Building. This would cause a delay to a build out of a new shorepower connection which would result in significant air pollution from up to 6 ferries idling in the berth. Additional project costs - \$75k (interrupter, #7 box, & installation) Additional staff time for Port - \$32k Additional staff time for WETA - \$64k Costs of redesign - \$32k PG&E has caused further delays by not providing the service agreement on time (4+ months late).	PG&E's interconnection point may have large ramifications to project cost and timeline. The City has requested PG&E to look at alternate interconnection points and PG&E has refused.
10	117492329	950 Golden Gate Avenue - Margaret Hayward Park	3	SFRPD	Remove/replace existing transformer and utility boxes and provide new single secondary service	Delays caused by dispute over primary vs. secondary. Project moving forward with low-side metering. (See Note 1)	In construction	3/15/2018	6/5/2018	4/1/2020	Yes	100 kW/Yes		Project slightly delayed - project was in dispute from Mar. 2018 - May 2018. (2-3 months) Additional project costs - \$75k (interrupter, #7 box, & installation) Potential further delays due to transformer relocation that will block the contractor's access to the construction site (financial impact TBD).	No impacts update.
11	115020677	88 Broadway - Affordable Housing (125 units)	3	BRIDGE Housing	New secondary service	Delays caused by dispute over primary vs. secondary. Project moving forward with low-side metering. (See Note 1) Further delays caused by PG&E mistake.	Engineering estimation by PG&E. (2nd time)	10/1/2018	3/19/2019	12/2/2019	N/A	1674 kW/Yes		Temp. construction power service by PG&E at retail - \$618k in lost gross revenue to SFPUC. \$79k in additional power costs to PG&E's higher rates. Additional project costs - \$150k (2 interrupters, 2 #7 boxes, & installation) 1,090,000 lbs. of CO2 emissions (construction period of 18 months)	Further delays caused by PG&E error in estimation. PG&E is currently re-estimating.

**Attachment A: BOS Quarterly Report for March 2020**

	PG&E NN#	Project Location	District #	Client Organization	Project Description (what SF applied for)	Project Status	Initial Application Submittal Date	App Deemed Complete Date	Initial Service Need Date	Did PG&E require Primary?	Load Size/Can Be Served at Secondary	PG&E committed to work w/ SF to energize in 2018	Impacts	Updates/Changes since Last Report (Nov. 2019)
12	115019804	735 Davis - Affordable Housing (53 units)	3	BRIDGE Housing	New secondary service	Delays caused by dispute over primary vs. secondary. Project moving forward with low-side metering. (See Note 1)	In construction	10/1/2018	3/8/2019	12/2/2019	N/A	683 kW/Yes	Temp. construction power service by PG&E at retail - \$335k in lost gross revenue to SFPUC. \$18k in additional power costs to the project due to PG&E's higher rates. Additional project costs - \$150k (2 interrupters, 2 #7 boxes, & installation) 554,000 lbs of CO <sub>2</sub> emissions (construction period of 19 months)	No impacts update.
13	N/A	Stockton btwn Ellis & O'Farrell - Central Subway Streetlight Reinstallation	3	SFMTA	Streetlight re-installation	Franchise Agreement dispute	Some issues remain, but SF and PG&E are working together to ensure proper streetlight installation.	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	PG&E and the City are in disagreement on who is responsible for re-installing the foundations for the historic streetlights. The City believes this scope of work falls under the franchise agreement.	No impacts update. Issues resolved. Project will be removed in next quarter's report.
14	114088011	Lake Merced Blvd & Sunset Blvd - Restroom	4	SFRPD	New secondary service	Delays caused by dispute over primary vs. secondary.	PG&E has placed project on hold while reviewing service connection options.	12/8/2017		1/15/2019	Yes	10 kW/Yes	Project delayed - project has been in dispute since late Aug. 2018. (4-5 months) Bathroom will not be available for public use at Lake Merced. Primary switchgear will cost the project an additional \$500k in equipment costs and take the space of parking spots.	No impacts update - delays continue as PG&E is still reviewing whether or not utilities need to be undergrounded in the area.
15	Several applications submitted	L Taraval - Streetlights	4	SFMTA	New secondary service (several streetlights)	Delays caused by PG&E being unresponsive.	In construction	3/19/2019	4/27/2019	1/1/2020	No	9.6 kW (per service point)/Yes	Pedestrian and traffic safety is at risk as PG&E delays the energization of these streetlights. Project delayed - impacts TBD.	Project added.
16	113135002	49 South Van Ness Avenue - Building Inspection Office	5	SFPW for SFDBI	New primary service	Delays caused by PG&E failing to provide Service Agreement on time.	Energized	8/7/2017	11/10/2017	1/1/2019	N/A	5848 kW/No	Project delayed - SFPUC granted a time extension to PG&E of one extra month to provide Service Agreement. PG&E took an extra 4 months to provide the service agreement.	No impacts update. Service was energized in Dec. 2019.
17	114571079	50 Bowling Green Drive - GGP Tennis Center	5	SFRPD	New secondary service	Delays caused by dispute over primary vs. secondary. Project moving forward with low-side metering. (See Note 1)	In construction	5/3/2018	10/3/2018	2/1/2019	Yes	160 kW/Yes	X Project delayed - project was in dispute from May-July. 2018. (2-3 months) Additional project costs - \$75k (interrupter, #7 box, & installation), \$275k (for upgrades to PG&E's system) Lost gross revenue to SFPUC due to delays: \$1k	No impacts update.
18	114907923	1251 Turk Street - Affordable Housing Fire Pump	5	TNDC	New secondary service	Delays caused by PG&E not providing necessary cost detail.	Service Agreement issued by PG&E.	8/17/2018	8/10/2019	2/17/2019	No	75 kW/Yes	Project delayed - PG&E is requiring \$250k plus trenching costs for a fire pump service that brings the existing affordable housing development up to code. It looks like PG&E is including upgrades to their own distribution system in the cost. SF is waiting for further cost justification.	Project added.
19	118155015	1805 Geary Blvd. - Streetlights and Traffic Signal	5	SFMTA	New secondary service	Delays caused by PG&E providing the Service Agreement late.	Engineering estimation by PG&E	11/7/2019	11/19/2019	2/2/2020	No	1 kW/Yes	Pedestrian and traffic safety is at risk as PG&E delays the energization of these streetlights and traffic signals.	Project added.
20	112173182	Pier 26 - Fire Boat Berthing	6	SFPW for SFFD	New secondary service	PG&E initially required primary. Project is moving forward with secondary service.	Energized	10/28/2016	3/2/2017	2/20/2017	Yes	216 kW/Yes	The dispute with PG&E lasted a month and had no material impact on project. The project has been delayed due to non-PGE factors.	No impacts update. Service was energized in October 2019. Project will be removed in next quarter's report.
21	111729695	6 Berry Street - Substation	6	SFMTA	Upgrade existing primary service	Delays caused by PG&E being unresponsive, changing requirements, and being non-transparent with costs and design changes.	Construction completed.	6/17/2016	12/12/2016	5/1/2017	N/A	3000 kW/Yes	SFMTA completed the conduit boring under the rails prior to PG&E's approval. As such, parties disagree on costs and design requirements. SFMTA claims that they are incurring delay claims costs from contractor due to PG&E's failure to approve design and equipment submittals. (actual costs are still to be determined, but the costs continue to increase on a daily basis)	Further delays caused by PG&E's scheduling and equipment delays. The project is waiting for PG&E to energize.
22	113826990	750 Brannan - Main Library Repository	6	SFPW for SFPL	Increase load request (237 kW to 500 kW)	Dispute over how to process increase in load request.	Service Agreement returned with payment by SFPUC.	11/14/2017	1/18/2018	1/1/2018	No	500 kW/Yes	Plans for a new HVAC system at the library repository have been delayed. No monetary impact - however, SF believes that PG&E's requirements for approving load increase for muni loads is extensive and will cause delays to projects.	No impacts update.
23	TBD	399 The Embarcadero - Fire Boat #35	6	SFFD	New secondary service	Delays caused by dispute over primary vs. secondary. Project moving forward with low-side metering. (See Note 1)	PG&E reviewing application.	1/14/2019		12/27/2019	Yes	430 kW/Yes	Project delayed - project was in dispute from Jan. 2019 - Oct. 2019 (8-9 months).	No impacts update.
24	TBD	16th & Terry Francois Blvd. - Mission Bay Ferry Landing	6	SFPORT	New secondary service	Delays caused by dispute over primary vs. secondary. Project moving forward with low-side metering. (See Note 1)	PG&E reviewing application.	6/30/2019		1/3/2020	Yes	100 kW/Yes	Project delayed - project was in dispute from Jan. 2019 - Oct. 2019 (8-9 months). Additional staff time for Port - \$70k (from original application that was cancelled)  Costs of redesign - \$30k (from original application that was cancelled)	No impacts update.
25	115071498	555 Larkin (formerly 500 Turk) - Affordable Housing (108 units)	6	TNDC	New secondary service	Delays caused by dispute over primary vs. secondary. Project moving forward with low-side metering. (See Note 1)	Engineering estimation by PG&E.	10/15/2018	12/18/2019	7/1/2020	Yes	890 kW/Yes	Project delayed - project was in dispute from Nov. 2018 - Oct. 2019 (11-12 months). Temp. construction power service by PG&E at retail - \$402k in lost gross revenue to SFPUC. \$9k in additional power costs to the project due to PG&E's higher rates. Additional project costs - \$150k (2 interrupters, 2 #7 boxes, & installation) 643,000 lbs. of CO <sub>2</sub> emissions (construction period of XX months)	No impacts update.

**Attachment A: BOS Quarterly Report for March 2020**

	PG&E NN#	Project Location	District #	Client Organization	Project Description (what SF applied for)	Project Status	Initial Application Submittal Date	App Deemed Complete Date	Initial Service Need Date	Did PG&E require Primary?	Load Size/Can Be Served at Secondary	PG&E committed to work w/ SF to energize in 2018	Impacts	Updates/Changes since Last Report (Nov. 2019)
26	116790877	Market St. & 7th St - BMS Switch	6	SFMTA	New secondary service	Delays caused by PG&E not following WDT timelines and not providing cost explanations.	3/6/2019	4/9/2019	1/4/2021	No	48 kW/Yes		Project delayed - PG&E was late in providing the service agreement and has been unresponsive in providing further cost explanation.	Delays continue as PG&E is not providing adequate cost explanation.
27	TBD	1064 Mission St. - Affordable Housing (256 units)	6	Mercy Housing	New secondary service	Delays caused by dispute over primary vs. secondary. Project moving forward with low-side metering. (See Note 1)	3/28/2019		4/1/2021	Yes	678 kW/Yes		Project delayed - project was in dispute from Feb. 2019 to Oct. 2019 (7-8 months). Additional project costs - \$150k (2 interrupters, 2 #7 boxes, & installation)	No impacts update.
28	N/A	Transbay Transit Center - Transbay Joint Powers Authority	6	SFPUC - Power	Two new primary services (5 MW each)	Potential dispute over reserved capacity.	9/12/2018	2/6/2019	10/1/2018	N/A	10 MW/No		PG&E is currently reviewing SF's request to use 10 MW of reserved capacity that SF applied and paid for. If PG&E denies request, SF may incur additional costs or have to limit the tenants. PG&E is holding up the project by not explaining the discrepancies between its System Impact Study draft agreement to what SF had requested.	Delays continue as PG&E has still not adequately responded to SF's questions regarding load calculations in the System Impact Study draft agreement.
29	114491666	Mission Rock & Terry Francois Blvd. - Redevelopment Project	6	SFPUC - Power	New primary service	Delays caused by PG&E being late in providing Service Agreement.	4/9/2018	4/20/2018	8/1/2019	N/A	7300 kW/No		Project delayed - PG&E should have provided the Service Agreement by end of August 2019.	Delays continue as PG&E still has not provided the Service Agreement.
30	117795024	16 Sherman Street - Victoria Park Lighting	6	SFRPD	Upgrading existing secondary service	Delays caused by PG&E providing the Service Agreement late.	10/30/2019	11/20/2019	2/15/2020	N/A	42 kW/Yes		Park safety is at risk as PG&E delays the energization of these lighting fixtures.	Project added.
31	110299505	4- 8 Guy Place - Guy Place Park	6	SFRPD	New secondary service	Delays caused by PG&E providing inconsistent information.	IN FLIGHT (Prior to July 2015)	N/A	N/A	No	N/A		Project delayed - impacts TBD.	Project added.
32	PG&E withholding NN#	2685 Ocean Ave. - EV Charging Station	7	SFMTA & EVGo	New secondary service	Delays caused by dispute over primary vs. secondary. Project is still in dispute.	2/4/2019		7/22/2019	Yes	600 kW/Yes		Project delayed - project has been in dispute since Feb. 2019 (11-12 months). If required, primary switchgear would cost the project an additional \$500k.	No update - project is still at a standstill. PG&E is now threatening to cancel this project.
33	TBD	5 Lenox Way - West Portal Elementary School	7	SFUSD	Upgrade existing secondary service	Delays caused by dispute over primary vs. secondary. Project moving forward with low-side metering. (See Note 1)	7/26/2019		6/14/2021	N/A	400 kW/Yes		Project delayed - project was in dispute from July 2019 - Oct. 2019 (2-3 months) Additional project costs - \$75k (interrupter, #7 box, & installation)	No impacts update.
34	113135782	350 Amber Drive - Police Academy	8	SFPW for SFPD	Upgrade existing secondary service	Delays caused by dispute over primary vs. secondary. Project moving forward with low-side metering. (See Note 1)	8/8/2017	5/22/2018	6/15/2018	Yes	160 kW/Yes	X	Project delayed - project was in dispute from Dec. 2017 - May 2018 (6 months). Additional project costs - \$75k (interrupter, #7 box, & installation)	No impacts update.
35	114315107	4235 19th Street - Harvey Milk Civil Rights Academy	8	SFUSD	Upgrading and relocating existing secondary service	Delays caused by dispute over primary vs. secondary. Project moving forward with low-side metering. (See Note 1)	2/12/2018	7/12/2019	9/1/2019	Yes	300 kW/Yes	X	Project delayed - project was in dispute from Feb. 2018 - Jun. 2018. (3-4 months). Safety improvements and the construction of a new building have been delayed as a result. Additional project costs - \$75k (interrupter, #7 box, & installation) Further design delays will impact the project construction budget and timeline.	No impacts update.
36	113161547	1296 Shotwell Street - Affordable Senior Housing (94 units)	9	MEDA	New secondary service	Delays caused by dispute over primary vs. secondary. Project moving forward with low-side metering. (See Note 1)	7/26/2017	11/9/2017	2/1/2018	Yes	340 kW/Yes		Project delayed - project was in dispute from August 2017-Oct 2017 (2-3 months). Additional project costs - \$75k (interrupter, #7 box, & installation)	No impacts update. Service was energized in August 2019. Project will be removed in next quarter's report.
37	114149145	1271 Treat Avenue - Garfield Pool	9	SFRPD	Upgrading and relocating existing secondary service	Delays caused by dispute over primary vs. secondary. Project moving forward with low-side metering. (See Note 1)	12/28/2017	8/17/2018	5/1/2018	Yes	200 kW/Yes	X	Project delayed - project was in dispute from Jan. 2018 - May 2018. (3-4 months) Additional project costs - \$250k (interrupter, #7 box, transformer, main switchboard, installation, & trenching)	No impacts update.
38	113773996	1419 Bryant Street - Animal Care & Control	9	SFACC	New secondary service	Delays caused by dispute over primary vs. secondary. Project moving forward with primary.	10/25/2017	2/12/2019	9/1/2019	Yes	818 kW/Yes		Added costs for primary equipment - \$500k Additional construction costs - \$328k Costs of redesign - \$23k	No impacts update.

**Attachment A: BOS Quarterly Report for March 2020**

	PG&E NN#	Project Location	District #	Client Organization	Project Description (what SF applied for)	Project Status	Initial Application Submittal Date	App Deemed Complete Date	Initial Service Need Date	Did PG&E require Primary?	Load Size/Can Be Served at Secondary	PG&E committed to work w/ SF to energize in 2018	Impacts	Updates/Changes since Last Report (Nov. 2019)
39	114248007	1950 Mission Street - Affordable Housing (157 units)	9	BRIDGE & Mission Housing	New secondary service	Delays caused by dispute over primary vs. secondary. Project moving forward with low-side metering. (See Note 1)	In construction	1/18/2018	3/11/2019	9/2/2019	Yes	617 kW/Yes	Project delayed - project was in dispute from Jan. 2018 - Sept. 2018 (8-9 months) PG&E retail temporary power for construction was also delayed by a few months. Costs for primary redesign (and then low-side metering)- \$45k Temp. construction power service by PG&E at retail - \$294k in lost gross revenue to SFPUC. \$81k in additional power costs to the project due to PG&E's higher rates. Additional project costs - \$150k (2 interrupters, 2 #7 boxes, & installation) 623,000 lbs. of CO <sub>2</sub> emissions (construction period of 18 months) Generator costs (for temporary construction power): \$390k	No impacts update.
40	114232705	490 South Van Ness Avenue - Affordable Housing (81 units)	9	BRIDGE & Mission Housing	New secondary service	Delays caused by dispute over primary vs. secondary. Project moving forward with low-side metering. (See Note 1)	In construction	1/16/2018	3/8/2019	10/1/2019	Yes	867 kW/Yes	Project delayed - project was in dispute from Feb. 2018 - Nov. 2018. (8-9 months) Costs for redesign (primary service with low-side metering) - \$15k Temp. construction power service by PG&E at retail - \$145k in lost gross revenue to SFPUC. \$43k in additional power costs to project due to PG&E's higher rates. 294,000 lbs. of CO <sub>2</sub> emissions (construction period of 16 months) 740,000 lbs of CO <sub>2</sub> emissions from four months of generator use. Additional project costs - \$150k (2 interrupters, 2 #7 boxes, & installation) Generator costs - \$273k for fuel for first four months (if continues will be another \$50k/month)	No impacts update.
41	114671141	2060 Folsom Street - Affordable Housing (127 units)	9	MEDA	New secondary service	Delays caused by dispute over primary vs. secondary. Project moving forward with low-side metering. (See Note 1)	In construction	5/18/2018	3/14/2019	1/15/2020	Yes	1387 kW/Yes	Project delayed - project was in dispute from Jun. 2018 - Nov. 2018 (4-5 months) Costs for redesign (primary service with low-side metering) - \$2-3k Temp. construction power service by PG&E at retail - \$581k in lost gross revenue to SFPUC. \$8k in additional power costs to project due to PG&E's higher rates. Additional project costs - \$150k (2 interrupters, 2 #7 boxes, & installation) 922,000 lbs. of CO <sub>2</sub> emissions (construction period of 22 months)	No impacts update.
42	PG&E withholding NN#	681 Florida Street - Affordable Housing (131 units)	9	MEDA	New secondary service	Delays caused by dispute over primary vs. secondary. Project moving forward with low-side metering. (See Note 1)	Engineering estimation by PG&E.	2/6/2019		8/3/2020	Yes	785 kW/Yes	Project delayed - project was in dispute from Feb. 2019 - Oct. 2019 (7-8 months). Temp. construction power service by PG&E at retail - <b>Costs and CO<sub>2</sub> emissions TBD</b> Additional project costs - \$150k (2 interrupters, 2 #7 boxes, & installation)	Delays continue as PG&E is late in providing the Service Agreement.
43	114345033	1990 Folsom Street - Affordable Housing (143 units)	9	MEDA	New secondary service	Delays caused by dispute over primary vs. secondary. Project moving forward with low-side metering. (See Note 1)	In construction	2/26/2018	3/14/2019	9/1/2020	Yes	920 kW/Yes	Project delayed - project was in dispute from Mar. 2018 - Nov. 2018. (7-8 months) Costs for redesign (primary service with low-side metering) - \$2-3k Temp. construction power service by PG&E at retail - \$563k in lost gross revenue to SFPUC. \$29k in additional power costs to project due to PG&E's higher rates. Additional project costs - \$150k (2 interrupters, 2 #7 boxes, & installation) 927,000 lbs. of CO <sub>2</sub> emissions (construction period of 22 months)	No impacts update.
44	115148446	3001-3021 24th St. - Affordable Housing (44 units)	9	Mercy Housing	New secondary service	Delays caused by dispute over primary vs. secondary. Project moving forward with low-side metering. (See Note 1)	Project went to PG&E retail.	11/1/2018		9/1/2020	Yes	362 kW/Yes	Project delayed - project was in dispute from Nov. 2018 to Oct. 2019 (10-11 months).	This project will take PG&E retail power. PG&E has agreed to low-side metering for this project, but the project has been delayed for too long and the process/planning time for low-side metering is no longer viable to meet project deadlines. Cost impacts TBD.
45	PG&E withholding NN#	300 Bartlett Street - Mission Branch Library	9	SFPL	New secondary service	Delays caused by dispute over primary vs. secondary. Project is still in dispute.	Project is at a standstill.	2/26/2020		9/1/2020	Yes	190 kW/Yes	Project delayed - project has been in dispute since Feb. 2020 (1 month). If required, primary switchgear would cost the project an additional \$500k.	Project added.
46	111975801	800 Amador Street - Pier 94 - Backlands	10	SFPORT	New secondary service	PG&E required primary. Project is moving forward with primary service.	Service Agreement issued by PG&E, but issues remain on land rights.	8/19/2016	8/28/2018	2/1/2017	Yes	166 kW/Yes	X Added costs for primary equipment (overhead) - \$500k The Port is investing over \$8M in upgrading the 16-acre parcel in the Backlands project site. The Port is expected to generate approximately \$250k in monthly rent revenue from this site. Significant delays to this project can cause the Port to lose \$3M in revenue annually. Additional staff time for Port - \$50k Costs of redesign - \$50k	Delays continue due to maritime easement constraints.
47	113934715	2241 Jerrold Avenue - Ambulance Deployment Facility	10	SFPW for SFFD	New secondary service	Delays caused by dispute over primary vs. secondary. Project moving forward at low-side metering. (See Note 1)	In construction	3/9/2017	2/8/2018	1/1/2018	Yes	300 kW/Yes	Project delayed- project was in dispute from May 2017 - Nov 2017 (6 months). Construction plans for the new ambulance deployment facility have been delayed as a result. Additional project costs - \$75k (interrupter, #7 box, & installation) Costs of redesign - \$100k Costs of construction delays - \$250k Additional Staff Time for SFPW - \$100k Lost gross revenue to SFPUC due to delays: \$110k	No impacts update.
48	113167478	1909 16th Street - Streetlights	10	SFPUC - Power	Streetlight attachment on traffic signal	Delays caused by dispute over the "Okay to Serve" process. PG&E has recently indicated that this streetlight attachment can be connected without issues.	Dispute is still ongoing, but project is able to move forward.	8/17/2017	11/3/2017	1/12/2018	N/A	N/A	PG&E required an "Okay to Serve" process which caused delays to getting streetlights installed. The streetlight attachment load is really small (less than 1 kW) and remains significantly lower than what SF has paid for at that service point.	No impacts update.
49	112774763	Illinois St. & Terry Francois - Mariposa Pump Station	10	SFPUC - Wastewater	Relocate existing secondary service (for construction)	Delays caused by PG&E requiring primary. Project went to PG&E retail to avoid anymore delays.	Due to the delays, the project is going to take PG&E retail service.	4/13/2017		6/1/2018	Yes	169 kW/Yes	X Temp. construction power service by PG&E at retail - \$588k in lost gross revenue to SFPUC. PG&E delaying temp. power - project team is potentially facing contract delay costs of \$1k/day. \$22k in additional power costs to the project due to PG&E's higher rates. 554,000 lbs of CO <sub>2</sub> emissions (construction period of 36 months) SF anticipates that generators will cost \$100k/month until PG&E energizes retail power.	Further delays - PG&E is not providing temporary power on time. The project team is looking into mitigating construction contract delay costs by using a generator.

**Attachment A: BOS Quarterly Report for March 2020**

	PG&E NN#	Project Location	District #	Client Organization	Project Description (what SF applied for)	Project Status	Initial Application Submittal Date	App Deemed Complete Date	Initial Service Need Date	Did PG&E require Primary?	Load Size/Can Be Served at Secondary	PG&E committed to work w/ SF to energize in 2018	Impacts	Updates/Changes since Last Report (Nov. 2019)
50	114408260	684 23rd Street - Potrero North	10	SFPUC - Power	New primary service	Delays caused by PG&E cancelling the application. <b>Project is at a standstill.</b>	3/12/2018		10/1/2018	N/A	12,000 kW/No		Project delayed - PG&E denied this service request citing inadequate capacity and cancelled the application.	No impacts update - PG&E refuses to provide service.
51	114408263	638 23rd Street - Potrero South	10	SFPUC - Power	New primary service	Delays caused by PG&E cancelling the application. <b>Project is at a standstill.</b>	3/12/2018		10/1/2018	N/A	12,000 kW/No		Project delayed - PG&E denied this service request citing inadequate capacity and cancelled the application.	No impacts update - PG&E refuses to provide service.
52	114713787	1001 22nd Street - Bus Electrification Pilot	10	SFMTA	New primary service	Project is moving forward. <b>Engineering estimation by PG&amp;E.</b>	6/18/2018	2/14/2019	5/1/2019	N/A	2000 kW/Yes		Initially, PG&E was unresponsive in scheduling a pre-application meeting which has caused some delays. PG&E was also late in providing a deemed complete date for the application. PG&E delays impact the implementation of the zero emission bus fleet.	No impacts update.
53	114727202	1595 Davidson - Bruce Flynn Pump Station	10	SFPUC- Wastewater	New primary service	Potential delay as PG&E was late in providing Work Performance Agreement. <b>Energized</b>	6/14/2018	7/16/2018	5/1/2019	N/A	2813 kW/Yes		Potential risk of delay - no impacts to report.	No impacts update. PG&E has performed the work. This project will be removed in next quarter's report.
54	112828717	2 Rankin Street - Central Bayside Pump Station	10	SFPUC- Wastewater	New primary service	Delays caused by PG&E failing to provide Service Agreement on time. <b>PG&amp;E to provide facility study report.</b>	5/1/2017	8/17/2017	6/1/2019	N/A	7000 kW/No		Project delayed - SF granted a time extension to PG&E of one extra month to provide Service Agreement. PG&E took an extra 4 months. Project incurred more delays as PG&E was late in providing the Facility Study draft agreement. Service need date is jeopardized.	Delays continue as PG&E still has not provided the Facility Study report.
55	114671200	1995 Evans - Traffic Controls and Forensics	10	SFPW for SFPD	New secondary service	Delays caused by dispute over primary vs. secondary. Project moving forward with low-side metering. (See Note 1) <b>Engineering estimation by PG&amp;E.</b>	5/18/2018	9/3/2019	3/1/2020	Yes	2100 kW/Yes		Project delayed - project was in dispute from Jun. 2018 to August. 2019 (13-14 months). Temp. construction power service by PG&E at retail has been delayed causing the project team to use generators Additional project costs - \$75k (interrupter, #7 box, & installation) Generator costs for temp power: \$578k	No impacts update.
56	110162018	750 Phelps - Southeast Plant	10	SFPUC- Wastewater	New primary service	Potential delay as PG&E is late in providing SIS agreement. <b>SF reviewing PG&amp;E's updated Facility Study agreement.</b>	IN FLIGHT (Prior to July 2015)	7/14/2018	5/20/2020	N/A	12000 kW/no		If delays continue and jeopardize the project energization date, the project team will incur a liquidated damage amount of \$3000/day.	No impacts update.
57	114546573	2401/2403 Keith Street - Southeast Health Center	10	SFPW for SFDPH	New secondary service	Delays caused by dispute over primary vs. secondary. Project moving forward with low-side metering. (See Note 1) <b>Engineering estimation by PG&amp;E.</b>	4/27/2018		7/26/2020	Yes	200 kW/Yes		Project delayed - project was in dispute from May 2018 - Oct. 2019 (16-17 months). Additional project costs - \$75k (interrupter, #7 box, & installation)	Delays continue as PG&E is late in providing the Service Agreement.
58	115415116	1550 Evans Ave. - Southeast Community Center	10	SFPUC	Relocation and upgrade of existing secondary service	Delays caused by dispute over primary vs. secondary. Project moving forward at low-side metering. (See Note 1) <b>Service Agreement returned with payment by SFPUC.</b>	11/26/2018	5/22/2019	1/4/2021	Yes	800 kW/Yes		Project delayed - project was in dispute from Dec. 2018 - Oct. 2019 (8-9 months). PG&E is now 2 months late in providing the Service Agreement. Added costs for primary equipment - \$500k	No impacts update.
59	TBD	Islais Creek Bridge Rehab (3rd Street)	10	SFPW	New secondary service	Delays caused by dispute over primary vs. secondary. Project moving forward with low-side metering. (See Note 1) <b>PG&amp;E reviewing application.</b>	4/2/2019		5/1/2021	Yes	104 kW/Yes		Project delayed - project was in dispute from Mar. 2019 - Oct. 2019 (6-7 months). Additional project costs - \$75k (interrupter, #7 box, & installation)	No impacts update.
60	PG&E withholding NN#	1150 Phelps - Construction Trailers	10	SFPUC	New secondary service	Delays caused by dispute over primary vs. secondary. Project is still in dispute. <b>Project is at a standstill.</b>	5/1/2019		6/1/2019	N/A	472 kW/Yes		Project delayed - project has been in dispute since May 2019 (9-10 months) If required, primary switchgear would cost the project an additional \$500k.	No update - project is still at a standstill. PG&E is now threatening to cancel this project.
61	114721804	480 22nd Street - Pier 70 Pump Station	10	SFPUC - Power	New primary service	Delays caused by PG&E being late in providing Service Agreement. <b>Engineering estimation by PG&amp;E.</b>	6/14/2018	10/26/2018	1/1/2019	N/A	2000 kW/Yes		Project delayed - PG&E should have provided Service Agreement by end of August 2019.	Delays continue as PG&E has still not provided the Service Agreement.
62	112875227	1601 Griffith Street - Griffith Pump Station	10	SFPUC - Water	Shutdown & re-energization	Delays caused by PG&E providing energization late. <b>Energized - Cost impacts due to delay in energization.</b>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		Project delayed - PG&E pushed back the energization date by 2 weeks. Due to PG&E's delay, the project had to use generators for an additional 2 weeks costing \$27k.	No impacts update.
63	114919920	Harmonia Street - Sunnydale HOPE	10	SFPUC - Power	New primary service	Potential dispute over reserved capacity. <b>System Impact Study phase of engineering estimation by PG&amp;E.</b>	8/16/2018	4/4/2019	8/1/2020	N/A	1000 kW/Yes		Delays caused by PG&E not responding to SF's questions regarding load calculations in the System Impact Study draft agreement.	Delays continue as PG&E has still not adequately responded to SF's questions regarding load calculations in the System Impact Study draft agreement.
64	115583820	1101 Conecticut Street - HOPE Potrero	10	SFPUC - Power	New primary service	Potential dispute over reserved capacity. <b>System Impact Study phase of engineering estimation by PG&amp;E.</b>	12/13/2018	4/4/2019	6/1/2019	N/A	4000 kW/No		Delays caused by PG&E not responding to SF's questions regarding load calculations in the System Impact Study draft agreement.	Delays continue as PG&E has still not adequately responded to SF's questions regarding load calculations in the System Impact Study draft agreement.
65	113804831	603 Jamestown Avenue - Redevelopment Project	10	SFPUC-Power	New primary service	Delays caused by PG&E being late in providing Service Agreement. <b>Engineering estimation by PG&amp;E.</b>	11/2/2017	2/26/2018	10/1/2018	N/A	8000 kW/No		Delays caused by PG&E not providing the Service Agreement on time.	Delays continue as PG&E has still not provided the Service Agreement.
66	116967240	702 Phelps Street - SFMTA Substation	10	SFMTA	Request to increase loads	Delays caused by PG&E being late in providing the System Impact Study report. <b>System Impact Study phase of engineering estimation by PG&amp;E.</b>	2/26/2019	6/28/2019	5/1/2019	N/A	4000 kW/No		Delays caused by PG&E not providing the System Impact Study report on time.	Project added.

**Attachment A: BOS Quarterly Report for March 2020**


	PG&E NN#	Project Location	District #	Client Organization	Project Description (what SF applied for)	Project Status	Initial Application Submittal Date	App Deemed Complete Date	Initial Service Need Date	Did PG&E require Primary?	Load Size/Can Be Served at Secondary	PG&E committed to work w/ SF to energize in 2018	Impacts	Updates/Changes since Last Report (Nov. 2019)
67	11742971	1808 Jerrold Avenue - Biosolids (Temp. power)	10	SFPUC-Wastewater	New primary service	Delays caused by PG&E being late in providing the Service Agreement. Engineering estimation by PG&E.	5/16/2019	6/28/2019	10/1/2019	N/A	1441 kW/No		Delays caused by PG&E not providing the Service Agreement on time.	Project added.
68	117974199	901 Tennessee Street - Streetlights	10	SFMTA	New secondary service	Delays caused by PG&E providing the Service Agreement late. Engineering estimation by PG&E.	2/1/2019	11/20/2019	8/1/2019	No	1 kW/Yes		Pedestrian and traffic safety is at risk as PG&E delays the energization of these streetlights and traffic signals.	Project added.
69	N/A	1508 Bancroft Ave. - Sustainable Streets Shops	10	SFMTA	Request for information on existing PG&E power supply and approval from PG&E to use the current breakers	Delays caused by PG&E being unresponsive. Project is on hold as SF is waiting for PG&E response.	4/6/2018	N/A	10/21/2019	No	N/A		Potential power issue - SF cannot confirm that the current power system is properly protected without PG&E's response to the information requested.	Project added.
70	Several applications submitted	Mission Bay Loop - Streetlights	10	SFMTA	New secondary service (several streetlights)	Delays caused by PG&E being unresponsive. Waiting for energization	9/27/2019	8/6/2019	12/17/2019	No	9.6 kW (per service point)/Yes		Pedestrian and traffic safety is at risk as PG&E delays the energization of these streetlights.	Project added.
71	113764870	2301 San Jose Avenue - Geneva Car Barn	11	SFRPD	New secondary service	Delays caused by dispute over primary vs. secondary. Project moving forward with low-side metering. (See Note 1) Energized	8/24/2016	5/23/2018	9/20/2017	Yes	1330 kW/Yes		Project delayed- project was in dispute from Oct 2016 - Oct 2017 (1 year). Construction plans for the new community arts center have been delayed as a result. Costs of redesign: \$5k Additional project costs - \$140k (interrupter, #7 box, & installation) Lost gross revenue to SFPUC due to delays: \$13k Additional Staff Time for SFRPD: \$15k	No impacts update.
72	PG&E withholding NN#	4840 Mission Street - Affordable Housing	11	BRIDGE Housing	New secondary service	Delays caused by dispute over primary vs. secondary. Project is still in dispute. Project is at a standstill.	2/5/2020		11/1/2022	Yes	1621 kW/Yes		Project delayed - project has been in dispute since Feb. 2020 (1 month).	Project added.
73	PG&E withholding NN#	241 Oneida Ave. - Denman Middle School	12	SFUSD	New secondary service	Delays caused by dispute over primary vs. secondary. Project moving forward with low-side metering. (See Note 1) PG&E reviewing application.	9/6/2019		6/7/2021	Yes	1250 kW/Yes		Project delayed - project was in dispute from Sept. 2019 to Dec. 2019 (2-3 months) Additional project costs - \$75k (interrupter, #7 box, & installation)	The project is no longer at a standstill as PG&E has now agreed to provide low-side metering to the project.
74	PG&E withholding NN#	2340 San Jose Ave. - Affordable Housing	12	Mission Housing	New secondary service	Delays caused by dispute over primary vs. secondary. Project is still in dispute. Project is at a standstill.	11/21/2019		5/1/2020	Yes	800 kW/Yes		Project delayed - project has been in dispute since Jan. 2020 (1-2 months)	Project added.
75	N/A	Multiple Locations - Guy Wires (Franchise Issue)	N/A	SFMTA, SFPW, & SFPUC	PG&E's guy wires are impeding on SF projects.	Franchise dispute Project is moving forward.	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		PG&E's unresponsiveness in removing guy wires is an obstruction to SF projects. 1) SFMTA cannot install a pole replacement to promote safety. 2) SFPW cannot construct a new ADA curb ramp. 3) SFPUC cannot finish parts of construction at the Southeast Water Treatment Plant.	Delay continues for two of the requests. SF and PG&E will continue to work together to get these resolved.
76	N/A	Multiple Service Transfers	N/A	Various City Depts.	Service Transfers	Delays caused by PG&E requiring unnecessary equipment or information for service transfer requests. Project is at a standstill.	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		Additional costs and staff resources can be incurred if PG&E continues to create barriers for SF service transfer requests. SF continues to experience loss of revenue and increased greenhouse gas emissions as PG&E is refusing to transfer over City department loads.	Delays continue as projects remain at a standstill.
77	N/A	10501 Warnerville Road - Substation Rehabilitation Project	N/A - Oakdale	SFPUC	Remove two existing services and replace with one secondary service	Delays caused by dispute over primary vs. secondary. Project is still in dispute. Project went to PG&E retail.	12/26/2018	N/A	3/1/2019	Yes	160 kW/Yes		Project delayed - project was in dispute from Jan.- May 2019 (4 -5 months).	Project will now be served by PG&E retail service to avoid delays. Cost impacts and greenhouse gas emission impacts are TBD.

# ATTACHMENT B – MAP OF INTERCONNECTION ISSUES



Services of the San Francisco Public Utilities Commission

AS OF MARCH 2020



Renovations or upgrades to any of these service points could trigger service disputes and delays.



HOUSING



INFRASTRUCTURE



HEALTH AND SAFETY



INSTITUTION



RECREATION



SFPUC METERED SERVICE POINT

**Attachment C: Cost Impacts**

A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J
Project Location	Additional Costs to Project							Other Impacts to SF	
	Redesign Costs	Primary or Low-side Metering Equipment Costs	Additional Construction Costs	Additional Costs to Project for PG&E retail service	Additional Const./Project Mgmt Costs Due to Delay	Additional Staff Time Costs	Total Additional Project Costs (B+C+D+E+F+G)	Lost gross revenue to SFPUC	CO2 Emissions (lbs) from PG&E retail service
1 600 32nd Avenue - George Washington High School		\$ 75,000	\$ 1,000,000				\$ 1,075,000		
2 4545 Anza - Lafayette Elementary				\$ 31,000			\$ 31,000	\$ 14,000	50,000
3 3401 Geary Boulevard							\$ -		
4 3455 Van Ness Avenue - AWSS Pump Station No. 2		\$ 75,000					\$ 75,000		
5 3630 Divisadero - Claire Lilenthal School		\$ 55,000					\$ 55,000		
6 2110 Greenwich Street - Tule Elk Elementary		\$ 75,000					\$ 75,000		
7 2445 Hyde Street - Francisco Park		\$ 75,000					\$ 75,000		
8 102 Marina Boulevard - Fort Mason (EVGo)							\$ -		
9 Ferry Terminal	\$ 32,000	\$ 75,000				\$ 96,000	\$ 203,000		
10 950 Golden Gate Avenue - Margaret Hayward Park		\$ 75,000					\$ 75,000		
11 88 Broadway - Affordable Housing		\$ 150,000		\$ 79,000			\$ 229,000	\$ 618,000	1,090,000
12 735 Davis - Affordable Housing		\$ 150,000		\$ 18,000			\$ 168,000	\$ 335,000	554,000
13 Stockton btwn Ellis & O'Farrell - Central Subway Streetlight Reinstallation							\$ -		
14 Lake Merced Blvd & Sunset Blvd - Restroom							\$ -		
15 L Taraval - Streetlights							\$ -		
16 49 South Van Ness Avenue - Building Inspection Office							\$ -		
17 50 Bowling Green Drive - GGP Tennis Center		\$ 75,000	\$ 275,000				\$ 350,000	\$ 1,000	
18 1251 Turk Street - Affordable Housing Fire Pump							\$ -		
19 1805 Geary Blvd. - Streetlights and Traffic Signal							\$ -		
20 Pier 26 - Fire Boat Berthing							\$ -		
21 6 Berry Street - Substation							\$ -		
22 750 Brannan - Main Library Repository							\$ -		
23 399 The Embarcadero - Fire Boat #35							\$ -		
24 16th & Terry Francois Blvd. - Mission Bay Ferry Landing	\$ 30,000					\$ 70,000	\$ 100,000		
25 555 Larkin (formerly 500 Turk Street) - Affordable Housing		\$ 150,000		\$ 9,000			\$ 159,000	\$ 402,000	643,000
26 Market St. & 7th St. - BMS Switch							\$ -		
27 1064 Mission Street - Affordable Housing		\$ 150,000					\$ 150,000		
28 Transbay Transit Center - Transbay Joint Powers Authority							\$ -		
29 Mission Rock & Terry Francois Blvd. - Redevelopment Project							\$ -		
30 16 Sherman Street - Victoria Park Lighting									
31 4-8 Guy Place - Guy Place Park									
32 2685 Ocean Ave. - EV Charging Station							\$ -		
33 5 Lenox Way - West Portal Elementary School		\$ 75,000					\$ 75,000		
34 350 Amber Drive - Police Academy		\$ 75,000					\$ 75,000		
35 4235 19th Street - Harvey Milk Civil Rights Academy		\$ 75,000					\$ 75,000		
36 1296 Shotwell Street - Affordable Senior Housing		\$ 75,000					\$ 75,000		
37 1271 Treat Avenue - Garfield Pool		\$ 250,000					\$ 250,000		
38 1419 Bryant Street - Animal Care & Control	\$ 23,000	\$ 500,000	\$ 328,000				\$ 851,000		
39 1950 Mission Street - Affordable Housing	\$ 45,000	\$ 150,000		\$ 81,000	\$ 390,000		\$ 666,000	\$ 294,000	623,000
40 490 South Van Ness Avenue - Affordable Housing	\$ 15,000	\$ 150,000		\$ 43,000	\$ 273,000		\$ 481,000	\$ 145,000	1,034,000

**Attachment C: Cost Impacts**

41	2060 Folsom Street - Affordable Housing	\$ 2,000	\$ 150,000		\$ 8,000			\$ 160,000	\$ 581,000	922,000
42	681 Florida Street - Affordable Housing		\$ 150,000					\$ 150,000		
43	1990 Folsom Street - Affordable Housing	\$ 2,000	\$ 150,000		\$ 29,000			\$ 181,000	\$ 563,000	927,000
44	3001-3021 24th Street - Affordable Housing							\$ -		
45	300 Bartlett Street - Mission Branch Library							\$ -		
46	800 Amador Street - Pier 94 - Backlands	\$ 50,000	\$ 500,000			\$ 50,000		\$ 600,000		
47	2241 Jerrold Avenue - Ambulance Deployment Facility	\$ 100,000	\$ 75,000		\$ 250,000	\$ 100,000		\$ 525,000	\$ 110,000	
48	1909 16th Street - Streetlights							\$ -		
49	Illinois St. & Terry Francois - Mariposa Pump Station				\$ 22,000	\$ 100,000		\$ 122,000	\$ 588,000	554,000
50	684 23rd Street - Potrero North							\$ -		
51	638 23rd Street - Potrero South							\$ -		
52	1001 22nd Street - Bus Electrification Pilot							\$ -		
53	1595 Davidson - Bruce Flynn Pump Station							\$ -		
54	2 Rankin Street - Central Bayside Pump Station							\$ -		
55	1995 Evans - Traffic Controls and Forensics		\$ 75,000		\$ 578,000			\$ 653,000		
56	750 Phelps - Southeast Plant							\$ -		
57	2401/2403 Keith Street - Southeast Health Center		\$ 75,000					\$ 75,000		
58	1550 Evans Ave - Southeast Community Center		\$ 500,000					\$ 500,000		
59	Islais Creek Bridge Rehab (3rd Street)		\$ 75,000					\$ 75,000		
60	1150 Phelps - Construction Trailers							\$ -		
61	480 22nd Street - Pier 70 Pump Station							\$ -		
62	1601 Griffith Street - Griffith Pump Station				\$ 27,000			\$ 27,000		
63	Harmonia Street - Sunnydale HOPE							\$ -		
64	1101 Connecticut Street - HOPE Potrero							\$ -		
65	603 Jamestown Avenue - Redevelopment Project							\$ -		
66	702 Phelps Street - SFMTA Substation							\$ -		
67	1800 Jerrold Avenue - Biosolids (Temp. Power)							\$ -		
68	901 Tennessee Street							\$ -		
69	1508 Bancroft Avenue - Sustainable Streets Shop							\$ -		
70	Mission Bay Loop - Streetlights							\$ -		
71	2301 San Jose Avenue - Geneva Car Barn	\$ 5,000	\$ 140,000			\$ 15,000		\$ 160,000	\$ 13,000	
72	4840 Mission Street - Affordable Housing							\$ -		
73	241 Oneida Avenue - Denman Middle School		\$ 75,000					\$ 75,000		
74	2340 San Jose Avenue - Affordable Housing							\$ -		
75	Multiple Locations - Guy Wires (Franchise Issue)							\$ -		
76	Multiple Service Transfers							\$ -		
77	10501 Warnerville Road - Substation Rehabilitation Project							\$ -		
<b>TOTAL</b>		<b>\$ 304,000</b>	<b>\$ 4,495,000</b>	<b>\$ 1,603,000</b>	<b>\$ 925,000</b>	<b>\$ 1,013,000</b>	<b>\$ 331,000</b>	<b>\$ 8,671,000</b>	<b>\$ 3,664,000</b>	<b>6,397,000</b>

<b>Total Additional Project Costs</b>	<b>\$ 8,671,000.00</b>
<b>Total Lost Gross Revenue to SFPUC</b>	<b>\$ 3,664,000.00</b>
<b>Total Cost Impact to SF (Project Costs + Lost Revenue)</b>	<b>\$ 12,335,000.00</b>
<b>Total CO2 Emissions (lbs.)</b>	<b>6,397,000</b>

**Note:** These represent estimates of the costs that the City is aware of at the moment. The projects may incur additional costs going forward. The projects in **RED** are projects that are currently at a standstill and will face financial impacts that are TBD depending on how long they will be delayed and how they will move forward.

**From:** [Lissa Rapoport](#)  
**To:** [Haney, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [Mar, Gordon \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Support for Emergency Resolution for Business Interruption Claims  
**Date:** Tuesday, April 7, 2020 10:09:07 PM  
**Importance:** High

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

For decades the San Francisco law firm of Leland Parachini has represented individuals and businesses located in San Francisco. We write in support of Supervisor Mar's resolution, ***Supporting COVID-19 Orders for Business Interruption As Necessary Due to Physical Property Loss or Damage***, and request that this correspondence be added to File No. 200346. Many of our hospitality and retail service clients have been shuttered. Landlords have seen their income come to a halt when commercial tenants cannot pay rent. Even “essential” businesses have seen their business decimated.

The Shelter In Place Orders recognize that the presence of SARS-CoV-2 (COVID-19) in our community is creating a series of physically unsafe structures to inhabit. The impact of the virus on physical structures is a casualty equal to fire, earthquake or flood. The structures are too dangerous for employees and the public to enter, and many will require significant decontamination before they are safe for occupation.

Moreover, Courts have found that property damage can occur absent physical damage and there is nothing novel about this Resolution.

We thank the Board of Supervisors for its support of the business community.

Lissa L. Rapoport  
Managing Partner  
Leland, Parachini, Steinberg, Matzger & Melnick LLP  
199 Fremont Street, 21st Floor  
San Francisco, CA 94105  
Telephone: (415) 957-1800  
Facsimile: (415) 974-1520  
E-mail: [lrapoort@lpslaw.com](mailto:lrapoort@lpslaw.com)  
Website: [www.lpslaw.com](http://www.lpslaw.com)

**CONFIDENTIALITY:**

The e-mail is intended solely for the use of the individual to whom it is addressed and may contain information that is privileged, confidential or otherwise exempt from disclosure under applicable law. If the reader of this e-mail is not the intended recipient or the employee or agent of the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution, or copying of this communication is strictly prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please immediately notify us by replying to the original sender of this note or by telephone at (415) 957-1800 and delete all copies of this e-mail. It is the recipient's responsibility to scan this e-mail and any attachments for viruses. Thank you.

**From:** [Katherine Mlika](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)  
**Cc:** [Quan, Daisy \(BOS\)](#); [Mar, Gordon \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Haney, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Support for Business Insurance  
**Date:** Tuesday, April 7, 2020 5:45:55 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

As a long time San Francisco resident, spouse of a small business owner, and supporter of our local community economy, I urge you to support Supervisor Mar's resolution, Supporting COVID-10 Orders for Business Interruption as Necessary Due to Physical Property Loss or Damage, and add my correspondence to File No. 200346. We need to hold insurance companies accountable and support small businesses who have been paying into business interruption insurance and whole's claims are now being denied. We urgently need to support our businesses that have been devastated by the Shelter in Place order, small businesses are the lifeblood of our community and will be key to the San Francisco economy recovering.

Thank you,  
Katherine Mlika

Source	Type	Identity	Timestamp	Conversation	Content
Attendee	Question	Anonymous	##### 560d83d3-	Helping gig workers who are servicing via huge companies such as Uber and the like makes sense. What about those, such as a freelance cinematographer, who across a given month might work for one company for a day, another company for two days, a third company for one day? How is that supposed to work?	
Attendee	Question	Natalie Gee	##### d172c0c7-	Please add Supervisor Walton to Supervisor Ronen's legislation. Thanks!	
Moderator	Response	John Tse	##### d172c0c7-	I passed your request to Alisa and Angela(Natalie Gee (D10) (Unverified) asked "Please add Supervisor Walton to Supervisor Ronen's legislation. Thanks!")	
Attendee	Response	Natalie Gee	##### d172c0c7-	Thank you!(Natalie Gee (D10) (Unverified) asked "Please add Supervisor Walton to Supervisor Ronen's legislation. Thanks!")	
Moderator	Response	Alisa Some	##### d172c0c7-	We received the request, Natalie.(Natalie Gee (D10) (Unverified) asked "Please add Supervisor Walton to Supervisor Ronen's legislation. Thanks!")	
Attendee	Response	Natalie Gee	##### d172c0c7-	Thank you!(Natalie Gee (D10) (Unverified) asked "Please add Supervisor Walton to Supervisor Ronen's legislation. Thanks!")	
Attendee	Question	Libertarian	##### 3211221b-	For public comment, do we call in and silence the computer sound or do we use the videoconferencing and how do we queue up?	
Moderator	Response	John Tse	##### 3211221b-	Please call in on the number and code provided. You will be prompted to enter 1, 0 which will place you in a queue(Libertarian Party (Unverified) asked "For public comment, do we call in and silence the computer sound or do we use the videoconferencing and how do we queue up?")	
Attendee	Question	hannah (U)	##### 3af9b99d-	can we pass a rent freeze	
Attendee	Question	hannah (U)	##### 2874c111-	can we release all non-violent offenders from all jails and prisons right now	
Attendee	Question	Anonymous	##### 94d0b035-	Can property taxes either be postponed or penalties for late payments be waived?	
Attendee	Question	Libertarian	##### 848d500f-	I'm not sure it's working	
Attendee	Question	hannah (U)	##### 5a55041b-	I'm here!!	

**From:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)  
**To:** [BOS-Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** FW: Bon Appetit Management Co. Operations at the Presidio and Oracle Park - WARN Notices  
**Date:** Thursday, April 9, 2020 9:24:00 AM  
**Attachments:** [Bon Appetit WARN Temp Closure ltr to State, Mayor & County \(Presidio\).pdf](#)  
[Bon Appetit WARN Temp Closure ltr to State, Mayor & County \(Oracle Park\).pdf](#)

---

**From:** Bolton, Christine <[Christine.Bolton@cafebonappetit.com](mailto:Christine.Bolton@cafebonappetit.com)>  
**Sent:** Friday, April 3, 2020 10:09 AM  
**To:** Development, Workforce (ECN) <[workforce.development@sfgov.org](mailto:workforce.development@sfgov.org)>; Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <[board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org](mailto:board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org)>  
**Cc:** Bolton, Christine <[Christine.Bolton@cafebonappetit.com](mailto:Christine.Bolton@cafebonappetit.com)>  
**Subject:** FW: Bon Appetit Management Co. Operations at the Presidio and Oracle Park - WARN Notices

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Whom It May Concern:

Please see the attached WARN notices for Bon Appetit Management Company at the Presidio and at Oracle Park. Should you have any questions concerning this matter, please contact me at 925-375-6665.

Yours truly,

Christine Bolton

**Christine Bolton** | Sr. Regional HR Manager  
**Bon Appétit Management Company** | 100 Hamilton Avenue, Suite 400, Palo Alto, CA 94301  
m. 925-375-6665 | [christine.bolton@cafebonappetit.com](mailto:christine.bolton@cafebonappetit.com) | [www.bamco.com](http://www.bamco.com)

**BON APPÉTIT**  
MANAGEMENT COMPANY

*food service for a sustainable future®*

March 31, 2020

**Via Email and/or UPS**

WARN Act Coordinator  
Statewide Svcs. Unit, Workforce Svcs. Div.  
Employment Development Department  
722 Capitol Mall, MIC 50/Room 5099  
Sacramento, CA 95814  
eddwarnnotice@edd.ca.gov

Mr. Joshua Arce, Director, OWED  
1 South Van Ness Avenue, 5th Floor  
San Francisco, CA 94102  
workforce.development@sfgov.org

Mayor London Breed  
c/o Office of the Mayor,  
City Hall, Room 200  
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place  
San Francisco, CA 94102  
MayorLondonBreed@sfgov.org

Norman Yee, Board of Supervisors  
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place  
City Hall, Room 244  
San Francisco, CA 94102-4689  
Board.of.Supervisors@sfgov.org

Re: Bon Appetit Management Co. (Bon Appetit) operation at the Presidio

To Whom It May Concern:

This letter will serve as official notice under the Worker Adjustment and Retraining Notification (WARN) Act and the California WARN Act that Bon Appetit's operation at the Presidio, located at 101 Montgomery Street, San Francisco, CA 94129 has been reduced and/or temporarily suspended as of March 16, 2020 due to unforeseen business circumstance and/or government directives to cancel large events or close our location due to COVID-19. This will not result in the termination of any individual's employment with the Company.

Based on the information available to us at this time, we expect that the majority, if not all, positions at Bon Appetit at the Presidio will experience either a reduction of hours or a temporary suspension of work based on COVID-19 related cancellations and closures. It is unclear at this time how long the temporary suspension of work will last. Additional notice of closure was not practicable due to the sudden and unforeseen cancellations and closures.

The job titles of the affected positions and the number of affected employees in each job classification are shown on the attached enclosure. Employees are not represented by a labor organization as defined in the National Labor Relations Act and related laws and no bumping rights exist. Consistent with Executive Order N-31-20, we have notified employees of the following: *If you have lost your job or been laid off temporarily, you may be eligible for Unemployment Insurance (UI). More information on UI and other resources available for workers is available at [labor.ca.gov/coronavirus2019](http://labor.ca.gov/coronavirus2019).*

Should you have any questions concerning this matter, please contact me at 925-375-6665.

Yours truly,

Christine Bolton, Sr. Human Resources Manager  
Bon Appetit Management Co.

Enclosure

<i>JOB TITLES OF IMPACTED EMPLOYEES</i>	<i># OF EMPLOYEES IN EACH TITLE</i>
Admin Assistant - Hourly	3
Admin, Bookkeeper – Hourly	2
Attendant, Catering	17
Bar Back	1
Bartender	10
Busser	6
Catering, Captain	4
Cashier/Food Service Worker	1
Chef, Pastry – Hourly	1
Cook	14
Cook, Grill	1
Cook, Prep	3
Dishwasher	10
Host/Hostess	10
Runner	4
Supervisor, Cook – Hourly	4
Supervisor, FOH Lead	1
Supervisor, Shift Hourly	3
Supervisor, Storeroom Hourly	1
Waiter/Waitress	14
Assistant, GM	1
Assistant Manager	1
Chef, Sous	1
Chef, Sous Sr	1
Director, Catering	1
Executive Chef	1
Executive Chef I	1
Executive Chef II	1
Manager, Catering	1
Manager, Catering Sales Sr	2
Manager, General II	1
Manager, Marketing	1
Supervisor, Operations	1

**BON APPÉTIT**  
MANAGEMENT COMPANY

*food service for a sustainable future®*

March 31, 2020

**Via Email and/or UPS**

WARN Act Coordinator  
Statewide Svcs. Unit, Workforce Svcs. Div.  
Employment Development Department  
722 Capitol Mall, MIC 50/Room 5099  
Sacramento, CA 95814  
eddwarnnotice@edd.ca.gov

Mr. Joshua Arce, Director, OWED  
1 South Van Ness Avenue, 5th Floor  
San Francisco, CA 94102  
workforce.development@sfgov.org

Mayor London Breed  
c/o Office of the Mayor,  
City Hall, Room 200  
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place  
San Francisco, CA 94102  
MayorLondonBreed@sfgov.org

Norman Yee, Board of Supervisors  
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place  
City Hall, Room 244  
San Francisco, CA 94102-4689  
Board.of.Supervisors@sfgov.org

Re: Bon Appetit Management Co. (Bon Appetit) operation at Oracle Park

To Whom It May Concern:

This letter will serve as official notice under the Worker Adjustment and Retraining Notification (WARN) Act and California WARN Act that Bon Appetit's operation at 24 Willie Mays Plaza, San Francisco, CA 94107, has been reduced and/or temporarily suspended as of March 23, 2020 due to unforeseen business circumstance and/or government directives to cancel large events or close our location due to COVID-19. This will not result in the termination of any individual's employment with the Company.

Based on the information available to us at this time, we expect that the majority, if not all, positions at Bon Appetit at Oracle Park will experience either a reduction of hours or a temporary suspension of work based on COVID-19 related cancellations and closures. It is unclear at this time how long the temporary suspension of work will last. Additional notice of closure was not practicable due to the sudden and unforeseen cancellations and closures. Employees at the facility are represented by labor unions. Bumping rights and seniority scheduling will be utilized for unionized employees employed by Bon Appetit. The job titles of the affected positions and the number of affected employees in each job classification are shown on the attached enclosure. Consistent with Executive Order N-31-20, we have notified employees of the following: *If you have lost your job or been laid off temporarily, you may be eligible for Unemployment Insurance (UI). More information on UI and other resources available for workers is available at [labor.ca.gov/coronavirus2019](http://labor.ca.gov/coronavirus2019).*

The labor unions and contact information for each union is listed below.

Teamsters Local 853  
John Arnolfo  
7750 Pardee Lane  
Oakland, CA 94621

Unite Here Local 2  
Priscilla Paras  
209 Golden Gate Ave  
San Francisco, CA 941

There is no provision in the company's policy for transfer, bumping or reassignment for non-union salaried, office or supervisory personnel.

Should you have any questions concerning this matter, please contact me at 925-375-6665.

Yours truly,

Christine Bolton, Sr. Human Resources Manager  
Bon Appetit Management Co.

Enclosure

CC: Attn: John Arnolfo  
Teamsters Local 853  
7750 Pardee Lane  
Oakland, CA 94621

Attn: Priscilla Paras  
Unite Here Local 2  
209 Golden Gate Ave  
San Francisco, CA 94102

<i>JOB TITLES OF IMPACTED EMPLOYEES</i>	<i># OF EMPLOYEES IN EACH TITLE</i>
Attendant - Catering	39
Attendant - Warehouse	29
Barback	6
Bartender	59
Cashier	309
Concessions Lead	72
Cook	119
Cook - Grill	3
Cook - Helper	51
Cook - Prep	357
Cook - Senior	11
Coordinator, Pantry	4
Dishwasher	29
Hawker	39
Runner	93
Steward	46
Waiter/Waitress	44
<b>Non-Union:</b>	
Admin Assistant	11
Attendant – Warehouse Lead	5
Security	1
Supervisor Concessions	19
Supervisor Suites	9
Supervisor – Group Sales	1
Supervisor – Dining/Bar	4
Supervisor - Cook	4
Supervisor - Concessions	19

**From:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)  
**To:** [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#); [BOS-Administrative Aides](#)  
**Cc:** [BOS-Operations](#); [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [Mchugh, Eileen \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** FW: Bon Appetit Management Company at Chase Center WARN Notification  
**Date:** Wednesday, April 8, 2020 2:55:00 PM  
**Attachments:** [Bon Appetit WARN Temp Closure Itr to State Mayor County \(Chase Center\).pdf](#)

---

Hello,

Pursuant to *California Labor Code Section 1401*, attached is one California WARN Act notice received by the Clerk's Office via email.

Regards,

John Bullock  
Board of Supervisors - Clerk's Office  
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244  
San Francisco, CA 94102  
(415) 554-7706

---

**From:** Malave, Megan <Megan.Malave@cafebonappetit.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, April 7, 2020 8:01 PM  
**To:** Breed, Mayor London (MYR) <mayorlondonbreed@sfgov.org>; Development, Workforce (ECN) <workforce.development@sfgov.org>; Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>  
**Subject:** Bon Appetit Management Company at Chase Center WARN Notification

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hello,

WARN notification is attached for Bon Appetit Management Company at Chase Center.

Thank you,

**Megan Malave** • Human Resources Director  
**Bon Appétit** • Chase Center • 415.902.1351  
[website](#) • [instagram](#) • [facebook](#)

This email is subject to certain disclaimers, which may be reviewed via the following link.  
<http://www.compass-usa.com/disclaimer/>

**BON APPÉTIT**  
MANAGEMENT COMPANY

*food service for a sustainable future®*

April 7, 2020

**Via Email and/or UPS**

WARN Act Coordinator  
Statewide Svcs. Unit, Workforce Svcs. Div.  
Employment Development Department  
722 Capitol Mall, MIC 50/Room 5099  
Sacramento, CA 95814  
eddwarnnotice@edd.ca.gov

Mr. Joshua Arce, Director, OWED  
1 South Van Ness Avenue, 5th Floor  
San Francisco, CA 94102  
workforce.development@sfgov.org

Mayor London Breed  
c/o Office of the Mayor,  
City Hall, Room 200  
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place  
San Francisco, CA 94102  
MayorLondonBreed@sfgov.org

Norman Yee, Board of Supervisors  
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place  
City Hall, Room 244  
San Francisco, CA 94102-4689  
Board.of.Supervisors@sfgov.org

Re: Bon Appetit Management Co. (Bon Appetit) operation at Chase Center

To Whom It May Concern:

This letter will serve as official notice under the Worker Adjustment and Retraining Notification (WARN) Act and California WARN Act that Bon Appetit's operation at Chase Center, located at 1 Warriors Way, San Francisco, CA 94158, has been reduced and/or temporarily suspended as of March 13, 2020 due to unforeseen business circumstance and/or government directives to cancel large events or close our location due to COVID-19. This will not result in the termination of any individual's employment with the Company.

Based on the information available to us at this time, we expect that the majority, if not all, positions at Bon Appetit at Chase Center will experience either a reduction of hours or a temporary suspension of work based on COVID-19 related cancellations and closures. It is unclear at this time how long the temporary suspension of work will last. Additional notice of closure was not practicable due to the sudden and unforeseen cancellations and closures. Employees at the facility are represented by labor unions. Bumping rights and seniority scheduling will be utilized for unionized employees employed by Bon Appetit. The job titles of the affected positions and the number of affected employees in each job classification are shown on the attached enclosure. Consistent with Executive Order N-31-20, we have notified employees of the following: *If you have lost your job or been laid off temporarily, you may be eligible for Unemployment Insurance (UI). More information on UI and other resources available for workers is available at [labor.ca.gov/coronavirus2019](http://labor.ca.gov/coronavirus2019).*

The labor unions and contact information for each union is listed below.

Teamsters Local 853  
John Arnolfo  
7750 Pardee Lane  
Oakland, CA 94621

Unite Here Local 2  
Anand Singh  
209 Golden Gate Ave  
San Francisco, CA 941

There is no provision in the company's policy for transfer, bumping or reassignment for non-union salaried, office or supervisory personnel.

Should you have any questions concerning this matter, please contact me at 415-902-1351.

Yours truly,

Megan Malave  
Director of HR – Bon Appetit at Chase Center

Enclosure

CC:           Attn: John Arnolfo  
                  Teamsters Local 853  
                  7750 Pardee Lane  
                  Oakland, CA 94621

                  Attn: Anand Singh  
                  Unite Here Local 2  
                  209 Golden Gate Ave  
                  San Francisco, CA 94102

<i>JOB TITLES OF IMPACTED EMPLOYEES</i>	<i># OF EMPLOYEES IN EACH TITLE</i>
<b>Union:</b>	
Bar Leads	9
Barback	13
Bartender	116
Catering Server	42
Club Butler	31
Club Butler Assistant	14
Club Host	2
Club Runner	30
Club Server	15
Concessions Cook	190
Concessions Prep	12
Concessions Porter	1
Cook 1	39
Cook 2	57
Cook 3	29
Courtside Lounge Butler	30
Courtside Lounge Butler Assistant	12
Hawker	29
Mixologist	12
Pantry Coordinator	7
Porter	5
Premium Bartender	9
Stand Lead	73
Stand Worker	342
Steward	70
Suite Attendant	45
Suite Runner	28
Warehouse Attendant	41
<b>Non-Union:</b>	
Beverage Supervisor	3
Catering Supervisor	3
Club Supervisor	5
Concessions Admin	1
Concessions Supervisors	11

Culinary Admin	1
Culinary Supervisor	10
HR Admin	1
Safety Supervisor	1
Stewarding Supervisor	1
Suites Admin	1
Suites Supervisor	9
Vendor Supervisor	2
Warehouse Admin	1
Warehouse Assistant Manager	1
Warehouse Supervisor	2





Novo Construction, Inc.  
Addendum to WARN Act Notice Date March 27, 2020

List of Terminated Employees

Job Title	# of Terminated in Menlo Park	San Francisco
Journeyman Carpenter	17	26
Apprentice Carpenters	5	9

All Carpenters are member of the Northern California Carpenters Union.  
265 Hegenberger Road, Oakland, CA 94621

RECEIVED  
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS  
SAN FRANCISCO

April 1, 2020

BOE-11, CDB

Via Email (edwarnnotice@edd.ca.gov)

Via Certified Mail

and Certified Mail

BY

WARN Act Coordinator  
Program Support Unit  
Workforce Services Division  
Employment Development Department  
722 Capitol Mall, MIC 69/Room 3099  
Sacramento, CA 95814

CA Employment Development Department  
Workforce Services Branch  
Workforce Services Division  
800 Capitol Mall (MIC 50)  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Via Certified Mail and Fax

San Francisco City and County Board of  
Supervisors  
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place  
City Hall, Room 244  
San Francisco, Ca. 94102-4689  
Fax: (415) 554-5163

Via Certified Mail and Fax

San Francisco Office of Economic and Workforce  
Development  
1 South Van Ness Avenue, 5th Floor  
San Francisco, CA 94103  
Fax: (415)701-4897

Re: Notice of Mass Layoff Caused By COVID-19 at Sessions II / Sessions at the Presidio.

To Whom It May Concern:

As a result of the unforeseeable, dramatic changes caused by COVID-19 and the resulting state of emergency in California, we are writing to inform you that there will be a mass layoff at the Sessions II/ Sessions at the Presidio Restaurant facility located at 1 Letterman Drive, San Francisco, CA on April 6, 2020. This mass layoff is expected to be temporary. The expected date of the first furlough will be April 6, 2020.

Although Sessions II/Sessions at the Presidio is located in a federal enclave, and we expressly preserve and do not waive this position, in an abundance of caution, the Company is providing you with this notice and to the extent this is considered a "termination" for purposes of California's Worker Adjustment and Retraining Act, found at Labor Code sections 1400-1408. Assuming that business conditions improve and that government officials permit the business to reopen, however, the Company is hopeful that the actions it is taking are temporary and that some or all of these employees will be able to return to work in the future.

We decided to furlough these employees as a result of COVID-19, which has rapidly spread throughout California, necessitating updated and more stringent public health measures. This includes Governor Newsom's Executive Order N-33-20 issued on March 19, 2020, which instructs all Californians to stay at home or at their place of residence unless they work within certain limited sectors of the economy. Because of Executive Order N-33-20 and any applicable orders issued by local authorities, the Company's ability to operate has been impeded. This

impact includes significantly decreased revenues. These circumstances were not reasonably foreseeable until March 29, 2020 when the full impact of COVID-19 became clear. For that reason, we were unable to provide the full 60 days' notice that we would otherwise provide regarding these separations, and we are providing as much notice as is practicable given the rapid pace at which this situation has developed.

All affected employees have been notified of their furlough dates and that this action will be temporary. Those employees are expected to be furloughed beginning on April 6, 2020 with all accomplished by April 6, 2020. Those employees have also been informed in writing that they may be eligible for Unemployment Insurance, per Governor Newsom's Executive Order N-31-20 (dated March 17, 2020).

The following is a list of the job positions and number of individuals who will be affected by the mass layoff along with the anticipated schedule:

<u>Job Title</u>	<u># of Affected Individuals</u>	<u>Location</u>
Servers	5	1 Letterman Drive SF, CA
Bartenders	3	1 Letterman Drive SF, CA
Bussers	7	1 Letterman Drive SF, CA
Hosts	3	1 Letterman Drive SF, CA
Line Cooks	10	1 Letterman Drive SF, CA
Dishwashers	2	1 Letterman Drive SF, CA
Managers	3	1 Letterman Drive SF, CA

There will not be any bumping rights for affected employees—that is, employees will not be able to displace more junior employees out of their job positions as a result of this mass layoff.

These employees are not represented by a union.

If you have further questions or need additional information, you may contact any of the following people.

1. Brittany Ceres [brittany.ceres@skywalkerranch.com](mailto:brittany.ceres@skywalkerranch.com) / 415-794-0752 cell
2. Michael Cocagne [accountings@sessionssf.com](mailto:accountings@sessionssf.com) / 415-572-7398 cell

Sincerely,



Brittany Ceres  
Director, Human Resources

42357776.1

**From:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)  
**To:** [BOS Legislation, \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** FW: SUPPORTING Budget and Finance Committee Agenda Item #25 Additional Protection for Muni Operators File #200348  
**Date:** Monday, April 6, 2020 8:38:00 AM

---

**From:** aeboken <aeboken@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Monday, April 6, 2020 6:23 AM  
**To:** BOS-Supervisors <bos-supervisors@sfgov.org>; BOS-Legislative Aides <bos-legislative\_aides@sfgov.org>  
**Cc:** roger marenco <rmarenco@twusf.org>  
**Subject:** SUPPORTING Budget and Finance Committee Agenda Item #25 Additional Protection for Muni Operators File #200348

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To: Board of Supervisors  
President, TWU 250-A

I am strongly supporting additional protection for Muni operators as outlined in the legislation.

Eileen Boken  
Chair, Land Use and Transportation Committee  
Coalition for San Francisco Neighborhoods

Sent from my Verizon, Samsung Galaxy smartphone

**From:** [Board of Supervisors. \(BOS\)](#)  
**To:** [BOS-Supervisors](#)  
**Subject:** FW: Chinese Consolidated Benevolent Association a.k.a. Chinese Six Companies Letter in Support of Supervisor Yee's Resolution Condemning the use of the term "Chinese Virus"  
**Date:** Thursday, April 2, 2020 3:08:00 PM  
**Attachments:** [Six Companies condemning the use of Chinese Virus and support Norman Yee resolution.doc](#)

---

**From:** Larry Yee <larryyee99@yahoo.com>  
**Sent:** Thursday, April 2, 2020 2:18 PM  
**To:** BOS-Supervisors <bos-supervisors@sfgov.org>  
**Cc:** BOS-Legislative Aides <bos-legislative\_aides@sfgov.org>; Calvillo, Angela (BOS) <angela.calvillo@sfgov.org>; Somera, Alisa (BOS) <alisa.somera@sfgov.org>; alow@perkinscoie.com; anneli@perkinscoie.com; Ding Lee <ding.b.lee.168@gmail.com>; Larry Yee <larryyee99@yahoo.com>; Jm T. <juliemtang@gmail.com>; Mel Lee <mel.lee@sfalse.com>; liliansing@gmail.com; Wing Lau <winglau94108@yahoo.com>; Charles Chow <ccchow168@yahoo.com>; Steve Ball <steveball888@yahoo.com>; Glenn L. Fong <annfongrim@yahoo.com>; Raymond Mah <raymond\_mah@yahoo.com>; Robert Wong <rm31@aol.com>; kccheung68@yahoo.com  
**Subject:** Chinese Consolidated Benevolent Association a.k.a. Chinese Six Companies Letter in Support of Supervisor Yee's Resolution Condemning the use of the term "Chinese Virus"

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Board of Supervisors of San Francisco,

The Chinese Consolidated Benevolent Association with 170 history of fighting for civil rights and social justice is appalled the President of the United States and government officials who has used the term "Chinese Virus" "Kung Fu Virus" to only incite racial attack and xenophobic against Asian all across the United States. Chinese Consolidated Benevolent Associations CONDEMN the labeling of "Chinese Virus" and support Supervisor Yee's resolution. We ask for all our elected officials to condemn such attack against the Chinese Community and come together as we fight the COVID-19 Virus together.

Sincerely,  
Chinese Consolidated Benevolent Association



## CHINESE CONSOLIDATED BENEVOLENT ASSOCIATION

*"Chinese Six Companies"*

The Official Representative Association of Chinese in America

843 Stockton Street - San Francisco, CA 94108

Tel: (415) 982-6000 Fax: (415) 982-6010

April 2, 2020

The Chinese Consolidated Benevolent Association with 170 years of history fighting for civil rights and social justice endorses and supports the Board of Supervisor's Resolution Condemning Use of the Term "Chinese Virus." The President, his administration, and Republican GOP Senators and Representatives have used the terms "Chinese Virus," "Kung Flu," when referring to the COVID-19 virus. Use of these terms are racist and xenophobic. The Chinese for Affirmative Action has partnered with the Asian Pacific and Planning Policy Council in collecting data on incidents of coronavirus-related aggressions, bullying, harassment, hate speech, and violence against Asian Americans. As today, there are over 450 reported incidents. Words matter, particularly the words of our political leaders, and these words are intended to incite bigotry and have fueled violence against Asian Americans.

In these times that call for an urgent and collective response to a global health crisis, there is no room for hate (#StopAAPIHate). Let us not divide our communities. Let us all come together, share knowledge and resources, and act globally to beat the Coronavirus (#Come Together).

Sincerely,

Chinese Consolidated Benevolent Association

Presiding President Tung Shek Ho, also Yeong Wo Benevolent Association President

Ding Bong Lee, Ning Yung Benevolent Association President

Glenn Fong, Sue Hing Benevolent Association President

Steve Ball, Hop Wo Benevolent Association President

Cherk Yin Tsang, Kong Chow Benevolent Association President

Wing Cheung, Sam Yup Benevolent Association President

Tim Siou, Yan Wo Benevolent Association President

Larry Yee, Executive Secretary

**From:** [Board of Supervisors. \(BOS\)](#)  
**To:** [BOS-Supervisors](#)  
**Subject:** FW: File No. 200155 - correspondence to be distributed  
**Date:** Tuesday, April 7, 2020 3:42:00 PM

---

---

**From:** Brad Edwards <bradcpa@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, April 7, 2020 11:32 AM  
**To:** Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>  
**Cc:** Yee, Norman (BOS) <norman.yee@sfgov.org>; Fewer, Sandra (BOS) <sandra.fewer@sfgov.org>  
**Subject:** File No. 200155 - correspondence to be distributed

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am please to see the item "Responsibilities of Board of Supervisors Under Federal Securities Laws regarding approving City Official Statement" on the agenda. Given that The City issues bonds, this is of great import, particularly in the time of Cornavirus when bond markets are stressed.

In my experience, with regard to statements which may influence the bond market, Supervisors are far less likely to make misstatements than are department heads. Without naming names, I recall a June 2019 Budget committee meeting when Chair Fewer was understandably flummoxed by a department head that insisted their department's budget was cut, when in fact their budget had been dramatically increased. This department head confused a proposal with a budget. For the record: a budget is appropriated; a proposal is a Christmas list.

Given the Board's commitment to diligence, as evidenced by this agenda item, perhaps the board will consider the need for training department heads on this subject matter.

B. Edwards  
District 11

--

Brad Edwards, CPA

---

This communication should not be construed to contain tax advice, accounting advice, financial advice, legal advice, nor the assertion of an opinion.