File No. 200105

Committee Item No.Board Item No.13

COMMITTEE/BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

AGENDA PACKET CONTENTS LIST

Committee: _____ Board of Supervisors Meeting

Cmte Board

-		
	\boxtimes	Motion
		Resolution
		Ordinance
		Legislative Digest
\Box		Budget and Legislative Analyst Report
Π		Youth Commission Report
	$\overline{\boxtimes}$	Introduction Form
		Department/Agency Cover Letter and/or Report
		MÓU
		Grant Information Form
		Grant Budget
\square		Subcontract Budget
		Contract/Agreement
		Form 126 – Ethics Commission
		Award Letter
		Application
		Public Correspondence

OTHER

Prepared by:	Lisa Lew	Date:	April 10, 2020
Prepared by:		Date:	

1

[Conditionally Reversing the Categorical Exemption Determination - 1531-1581 Howard Street

and 118-134 Kissling Street] 2 3 Motion conditionally reversing the determination by the Planning Department that the proposed 1531-1581 Howard Street and 118-134 Kissling Street Project is categorically 4 5 exempt from further environmental review, subject to the adoption of written findings 6 of the Board in support of this determination. 7 WHEREAS, On March 3, 2020, the Planning Department issued a CEQA Categorical 8 9 Exemption Determination for the proposed project located at 1531-1581 Howard Street and 118-134 Kissling Street ("Project") under the California Environmental Quality Act ("CEQA"), 10 11 the CEQA Guidelines, and San Francisco Administrative Code, Chapter 31: and 12 WHEREAS, The approximately 61,900-square-foot project site is comprised of nine lots (Assessor's Parcel Block No. 3516 and Lot Nos. 39, 40, 41, 42, 44, 55, 56, 63 and 64), 13 and is located on the block bounded by Howard Street to the north, Kissling Street to the 14 15 south, 12th Street to the west and 11th Street to the east; and 16 WHEREAS, The Project would reconfigure an existing motor vehicle repair operation by converting approximately 9,691 square feet of existing surface vehicle storage on Lot Nos. 17 18 56 and 64 to four-level parking stackers; converting 8,069 square feet of existing surface 19 vehicle storage on Lot Nos. 39, 40, 41, and 42 to four-level parking stackers; constructing an approximately 1,283-gross-square-foot car wash on Lot No. 64; and installing approximately 20 21 32'-7"-tall metal screens on portions of the Kissling Street frontage and approximately 32'-7"-22 tall metal screens on portions of the Howard Street frontage; and WHEREAS, The proposed stackers would accommodate approximately 200 net new 23 24 vehicles; the parking storage and car wash facilities would not be open to the public and 25 would be for the existing auto repair business only; the project would reduce the existing 42-

1 foot-wide curb cut on the Howard Street frontage to approximately 29 feet wide and remove 2 the existing 46.5-foot-wide curb cut on the Kissling Street frontage; would amend the San 3 Francisco zoning map by changing the zoning district for Lot Nos. 39, 40, 41 and 42 at the project site from RED (Residential Enclave) to RED-MX (Residential Enclave-Mixed); and 4 5 WHEREAS, The Planning Department, pursuant to Title 14 of the CEQA Guidelines 6 (California Code of Regulations, Title 14, Division 6, Chapter 3, Sections 15300-15333), 7 issued a categorical exemption for the Project on December 24, 2019, finding that the Project 8 is exempt from CEQA under CEQA Guidelines, Section 15311, or Class 11, which consists of 9 construction, or placement of minor structures accessory to (appurtenant to) existing 10 commercial, industrial, or institutional facilities; and

WHEREAS, On March 10, 2016, the Planning Commission approved a conditional use
authorization for the first phase of the Project considered in the environmental review under
Planning Commission Motion No. 19588 at a noticed public hearing; and

WHEREAS, On September 27, 2016, the project sponsor submitted an application for
a conditional use authorization and an application for a legislative amendment to the planning
department's Current Planning Division for a second phase of the project; on October 20,

17 2016, the project sponsor submitted a new environmental review application to the Planning

18 Department for modifications to the project components approved in Planning Commission

19 Motion No. 19588; and

20 WHEREAS, The Planning Department initially determined that the September 27, 21 2016, application did not constitute a substantial modification of the project for which the 22 department had issued a categorical exemption determination under Section 31.08(i) of 23 Chapter 31 of the San Francisco Administrative Code and, therefore, that no additional CEQA 24 environmental review was required for the currently proposed project; and

25

1 WHEREAS, On October 25, 2018, the Planning Commission approved a conditional 2 use authorization and adopted a zoning map amendment under Planning Commission Motion 3 No. 20329 at a noticed public hearing for the second phase of the Project described in the 4 September 27, 2016, conditional use authorization and legislative amendment applications; 5 and 6 WHEREAS, On December 16, 2019, Stephen M. Williams, on behalf of William 7 Hedden ("Appellant") filed an appeal of the no substantial modification determination: 8 thereafter, the department rescinded both the determination of no substantial modification and 9 the March 2, 2016, categorical exemption determination; and WHEREAS, On December 24, 2019, the Planning Department determined that, like the 10 project analyzed in the first CEQA determination, the Project as modified is categorically 11 12 exempt under CEQA Class 11 and that no further environmental review is required; and 13 WHEREAS, On January 23, 2020, Appellant filed an appeal of the December 24, 2019, 14 environmental determination; and WHEREAS, By memorandum to the Clerk of the Board dated January 29, 2020, the 15 16 Planning Department's Environmental Review Officer determined that the appeal was timely filed; and 17 18 WHEREAS, On April 14, 2020, this Board held a duly noticed public hearing to 19 consider the appeal of the exemption determination filed by Appellant; and 20 WHEREAS, In reviewing the appeal of the exemption determination, this Board 21 reviewed and considered the exemption determination, the appeal letter, the responses to the appeal documents that the Planning Department prepared, the other written records before 22 23 the Board of Supervisors and all of the public testimony made in support of and opposed to 24 the exemption determination appeal; and 25

Clerk of the Board BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

1	WHEREAS, Following the conclusion of the public hearing, the Board of Supervisors
2	conditionally reversed the exemption determination subject to the adoption of written findings
3	of the Board in support of such determination based on the written record before the Board of
4	Supervisors as well as all of the testimony at the public hearing in support of and opposed to
5	the appeal; and
6	WHEREAS, The written record and oral testimony in support of and opposed to the
7	appeal and deliberation of the oral and written testimony at the public hearing before the
8	Board of Supervisors by all parties and the public in support of and opposed to the appeal of
9	the exemption determination is in the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors File No. 200103, and
10	is incorporated in this motion as though set forth in its entirety; now, therefore, be it
11	MOVED, That this Board of Supervisors conditionally reverses the determination by the
12	Planning Department that the project is exempt from environmental review, subject to the
13	adoption of written findings of the Board in support of this determination.
14	
15	n:\land\as2019\1900434\01430570.docx
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

PI	'n	t	F	0	r	m	۱

Introduction Form

By a Member of the Board of Supervisors or Mayor		
By a member of the Board of Supervisors of mayor	Dry & Mambar of the Doord of Sympervisors or Movier	
· , ·	By a Member of the Board of Supervisors of Mayor	

I hereby submit the following item for introduction (select only one):	Time stamp or meeting date
1. For reference to Committee. (An Ordinance, Resolution, Motion or Charter Amendme	nt).
2. Request for next printed agenda Without Reference to Committee.	. ·
✓ 3. Request for hearing on a subject matter at Committee.	
4. Request for letter beginning :"Supervisor	inquiries"
5. City Attorney Request.	ar ann an
6. Call File No. from Committee.	• •
7. Budget Analyst request (attached written motion).	
8. Substitute Legislation File No.	
9. Reactivate File No.	
10. Question(s) submitted for Mayoral Appearance before the BOS on	·····
	ommission
Planning Commission Building Inspection Commis	sion .
Note: For the Imperative Agenda (a resolution not on the printed agenda), use the Imper	rative Form.
Sponsor(s):	
Clerk of the Board	· .
Subject:	
Conditionally Reversing the Categorical Exemption Determination - 1531-1581 Howard Street	et and 118-134 Kissling
The text is listed:	······
Motion conditionally reversing the determination by the Planning Department that the propos Howard Street and 118-134 Kissling Street is categorically exempt from further environment adoption of written findings of the Board in support of this determination. Signature of Sponsoring Supervisor:	
For Clerk's Use Only	<u>.</u>
File No. 2	00105