# AIRPORT COMMISSION

CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO RESOLUTION NO. 17 - 018

ADOPTION OF FINAL NEGATIVE DECLARATION AND RELATED CALIFORNIA ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY ACT FINDINGS FOR THE COURTYARD 3 CONNECTOR PROJECT; DETERMINATION TO PROCEED WITH THE COURTYARD 3 CONNECTOR PROJECT; AND ADOPTION OF IMPROVEMENT MEASURE I-TR—COORDINATED CONSTRUCTION TRAFFIC CONTROL PLAN

- WHEREAS, the Courtyard 3 Connector Project (Project) will include design and construction of a new secure connector between Terminal 2 (T2) and Terminal 3 (T3), as well as an adjacent approximately 91,000 square foot building for office space, tenant lease space, passenger amenities and lounges; and
- WHEREAS, after reviewing the information regarding the Project, the San Francisco Planning Department, Environmental Planning Division (Planning Department) prepared a Preliminary Negative Declaration for the Project, dated May 24, 2017, which was thereafter amended to respond to a comment received during the public review period, and a Final Negative Declaration (FND) (File No. 2016-000857ENV), dated July 28, 2017, was issued by the Planning Department, all in accordance with the California Environmental Quality Act (California Public Resources Code Section 21000 et seq.) (CEQA), the State CEQA Guidelines (15 Cal.Code Regs. Section 15000 et seq.) and Chapter 31 of the San Francisco Administrative Code; and
- WHEREAS, in issuing the FND, on file at the Planning Department, 1650 Mission Street, Fourth Floor, San Francisco, California, the Planning Department determined that the project could not have a significant effect on the environment and the Commission desires now to adopt as its own the CEQA findings made by the Planning Department in the FND; now, therefore be it
- RESOLVED, that the Commission has reviewed and considered the information in the FND, including the comment on the Preliminary Negative Declaration and response thereto, and based upon the record as a whole, the Commission finds that the FND reflects the independent judgment and analysis of the Planning Department and the Commission, is adequate and complete for purposes of acting on the Project, and that there is no substantial evidence that the Project could have a significant effect on the environment; and be it further
- RESOLVED, that this Commission hereby adopts the Final Negative Declaration for the Courtyard 3 Connector Project and adopts as its own the CEQA findings made therein by the Planning Department; and be it further

### AIRPORT COMMISSION

RESOLUTION NO. 17 - 018 &

- RESOLVED, that this Commission has reviewed and considered, and hereby adopts,
  Improvement Measure I-TR-- Coordinated Construction Traffic Control Plan
  described in the FND, to be implemented in connection with the Project; and be it
  further
- RESOLVED, that this Commission hereby determines to proceed with the Courtyard 3

  Connector Project; and be it further
- RESOLVED, that this Commission hereby authorizes the Director and the other officers, agents, and employees of the Commission to obtain any other required approvals and take any administrative actions necessary to implement the design and construction of the Project.

Page 2 of 2

I hereby certify that the foregoing resolution w	as adopted by the Attrort Commission
<del></del> .	AUG 1 5 2017
at its martines of	LIGHT D FOR
at its meeting of	
	(10)
	Kenn Carumatti
	- Julio Sar Wide Ov
	// Secretary
	ff Becreury



#### San Francisco International Airport

# **MEMORANDUM**

August 15, 2017

TO:

AIRPORT COMMISSION

Hon. Larry Mazzola, President

Hon. Linda S. Crayton, Vice President

Hon. Eleanor Johns

Hon. Richard J. Guggenhime

Hon. Peter A. Stern

17-0188

17-6189

AUG 15 2017

FROM:

Airport Director

SUBJECT:

Adoption of Final Negative Declaration and Related California Environmental Quality Act Findings for the Courtyard 3 Connector Project; Determination to

Proceed with the Courtyard 3 Connector Project; Adoption of Improvement

Measure I-TR—Coordinated Construction Traffic Control Plan; and

Authorization to Issue a Request for Qualifications/Proposals for Professional

Services Contract No. 10072.41, Project Management Support Services

DIRECTOR'S RECOMMENDATION: ADOPT THE FINAL NEGATIVE DECLARATION AND RELATED CALIFORNIA ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY ACT FINDINGS FOR THE COURTYARD 3 CONNECTOR PROJECT; DETERMINE TO PROCEED WITH THE COURTYARD 3 CONNECTOR PROJECT; ADOPT IMPROVEMENT MEASURE I-TR—COORDINATED CONSTRUCTION TRAFFIC CONTROL PLAN; AND AUTHORIZE THE DIRECTOR TO ISSUE A REQUEST FOR QUALIFICATIONS/PROPOSALS FOR PROFESSIONAL SERVICES CONTRACT NO. 10072.41, PROJECT MANAGEMENT SUPPORT SERVICES FOR THE COURTYARD 3 CONNECTOR PROJECT.

#### Executive Summary

Transmitted herewith for your approval is a proposed Resolution adopting the Final Negative Declaration for the Courtyard 3 Connector Project (Project); determining to proceed with the Project; and adopting Improvement Measure I-TR—Coordinated Construction Traffic Control Plan described in the Final Negative Declaration. Also transmitted herewith for your approval is a proposed Resolution authorizing the Director to issue a Request for Qualifications/Proposals (RFQ/RFP) for Professional Services Contract No. 10072.41, Project Management Support Services (PMSS) for the Project.

The Project will include design and construction of a new pre security and post security connector between Terminal 2 (T2) and Terminal 3 (T3), as well as an adjacent building for office space, tenant lease space, passenger amenities and lounges.

The PMSS consultant (Consultant) will provide overall management expertise and oversight of the Project, including design and construction management services, project controls, contract administration, cost estimating services and field inspection.

AIRPORT COMMISSION CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

THIS PRINT COVERS CALENDAR ITEM NO.

EDWIN M. LEE

LARRY MAZZOLA
PRESIDENT

LINDA S. CRAYTON
VICE PRESIDENT

**ELEANOR JOHNS** 

RICHARD J. GUGGENHIME

PETER A. STERN

IVAR C. SATERO
AIRPORT DIRECTOR

# Background

The Airport proposes to construct a new secure connector between T2 and T3 to provide greater flexibility for airline gate use, to improve overall passenger experience, and to create additional areas to meet airline and Airport operational needs.

The Airport also proposes to develop a new approximately 91,000 square foot building. The building, constructed on piers above both Courtyard 3 and a two-story portion of T2, would include a new post security walkway between T2 and T3, and four levels of office space. The new building would free valuable space in the International Terminal Building for new lease space resulting in additional revenue generation.

The Consultant will provide overall management expertise and oversight. Additionally, the Consultant would provide design and construction management services, project controls and reporting, scheduling, contract administration, cost estimating services and field inspection.

## **Environmental Review**

In accordance with the California Environmental Quality Act (California Public Resources Code Section 21000 *et seq.* (CEQA); the State CEQA Guidelines (14 Cal.Code Regs. Section 15000 *et seq.*); and Chapter 31 of the San Francisco Administrative Code, the San Francisco Planning Department, Environmental Planning Division (Planning Department) prepared a Preliminary Negative Declaration, dated May 24, 2017, which was thereafter amended in response to a comment letter received from the Native American Heritage Commission during the public review period. The Final Negative Declaration issued by the Planning Department (Planning Department File No. 2016-000857ENV) and dated July 28, 2017 (see Attachment 2) includes the comment letter and written response from the Planning Department. Through the Final Negative Declaration analysis, the Planning Department determined that the Project could not have a significant effect on the environment.

The Project will be designed within the scope and scale analyzed in the final negative declaration. While no mitigation measures were identified or determined to be necessary, the Final Negative Declaration recommends implementation of a Transportation Improvement Measure (Improvement Measure I-TR—Coordinated Construction Traffic Control Plan) that includes specified traffic control measures to address traffic flows on roadways that would be affected by the Project and other construction at the Airport. Staff recommends that the Commission adopt Improvement Measure I-TR.

### Request for Qualifications/Proposals

The RFQ/RFP for PMSS will contain minimum qualifications requirements appropriate for the anticipated size and complexity of the proposed scope. Upon determining which proposals meet the minimum qualifications, Staff will convene a Selection Panel to review and score the technical content of the proposals. The Airport will then shortlist up to four of the highest-ranked teams for interviews scored by the Selection Panel. Staff will perform reference checks of past clients of the proposers, and provide these to the Selection Panel for evaluation. Please see Attachment I for the proposed minimum qualifications requirements and recommended evaluation and selection criteria.

Based upon the Selection Panel's evaluation of the proposals, interviews and reference checks, Staff will negotiate with the highest-ranked proposers in successive order until negotiations are successful with one of the qualified proposers. Following successful negotiations, Staff will prepare for the Commission's consideration a recommendation to award a contract to the successful proposer.

The duration of the Contract is 40 months with an estimated cost of \$13,000,000.

The City's Contract Monitoring Division has approved a 21% Local Business Enterprise subcontracting participation requirement for this Contract.

### Recommendation

Based on the above, I recommend that the Commission adopt the Final Negative Declaration and related California Environmental Quality Act findings for the Courtyard 3 Connector Project; determine to proceed with the Courtyard 3 Connector Project; and adopt Improvement Measure I\_TR—Coordinated Construction Traffic Control Plan. I further recommend that the Commission authorize the Director to issue a Request for Qualifications/Proposals for Professional Services Contract No. 10072.41, Project Management Support Services for the Courtyard 3 Connector Project, and authorize the Director to negotiate with the highest-ranked proposers in successive order until negotiations are successful with one of the qualified proposers.

Airport Director

Prepared by: Geoffrey W. Neumayr

Chief Development Officer

Planning, Design & Construction

Attachments

# Attachment I

PROPOSED MINIMUM QUALIFICATIONS REQUIREMENTS		
Project:	Contract No. 10072.41 - Project Management Support Services (PMSS) for the Courtyard 3 Connector Project	
Minimum Qualification Requirements	<ul><li>In the past ten (10) years, Proposer or any team member have:</li><li>1. Managed a public sector project that included the implementation of Partnering and Stakeholder Engagement services.</li></ul>	
	2. Provided programming, design, construction, activation and closeout management services for at least one (1) airport construction project, valued at one-hundred million dollars (\$100,000,000) or more, during live operations within an airport terminal or boarding area using the Design-Build project delivery method, Progressive Guaranteed Maximum Price, Cost Forecasting, and Trade Bid Packages.	
	3. Provided specialized management services of mechanical, electrical and plumbing systems, airport special systems, and airfield and aircraft systems during all phases of an Airport construction project.	
,	4. Coordinated activities with designers and contractors on a project that successfully achieved a rating of at least Gold under the United States Green Building Council's Leadership in Energy and Environmental Design (LEED®) and have at least one (1) team member who is a LEED® AP BD+C.	
	5. Operated each of the following tools: an electronic document management system, AutoCAD, and Revit.	

RECOMMENDED EVALUATION AND SELECTION CRITERIA		
Criteria	Scoring Weight	
Introduction and Executive Summary	0	
Proposers Experience and Qualifications	80	
Proposed Key Project Personnel	120	
Project Approach	100	
Oral Interview	250	
Total Possible Points	550	

