File No. 200427

Committee Item No. \_\_\_\_\_\_ Board Item No. \_\_\_\_\_\_\_

## **COMMITTEE/BOARD OF SUPERVISORS**

AGENDA PACKET CONTENTS LIST

Committee: \_\_\_\_\_ Board of Supervisors Meeting

Date: \_\_\_\_\_\_ Date: \_\_\_\_\_\_May 5, 2020

### **Cmte Board**

		Motion
	$\boxtimes$	Resolution
		Ordinance
$\square$	$\Box$	Legislative Digest
		Budget and Legislative Analyst Report
		Youth Commission Report
	$\square$	Introduction Form
		Department/Agency Cover Letter and/or Report
		MOU
		Grant Information Form
		Grant Budget
		Subcontract Budget
		Contract/Agreement
		Form 126 – Ethics Commission
		Award Letter
		Application
		Public Correspondence

#### OTHER

Prepared by:	Lisa Lew	Date:	May 1, 2020
Prepared by:		Date:	

FILE NO. 200427

#### **RESOLUTION NO.**

1	[Opposing California State Assembly Bill No. 2261 (Chau) - Facial Recognition Technology]
2	
3	Resolution opposing California State Assembly Bill No. 2261, authored by Assembly
4	Member Edwin Chau, which would preempt San Francisco's precedent-setting
5	prohibition on government acquisition and use of facial recognition technology and
6	thereby imperil the public health and safety of San Francisco residents and visitors.
7	
8	WHEREAS, On May 21, 2019, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors
9	overwhelmingly approved legislation to provide necessary oversight of local government's use
10	of surveillance technology, and to prohibit the acquisition and use of facial recognition
11	technology; and
12	WHEREAS, In prohibiting government acquisition and use of facial recognition
13	technology, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors stated that "the propensity for facial
14	recognition technology to endanger civil rights and civil liberties substantially outweighs its
15	purported benefits, and the technology will exacerbate racial injustice and threaten our ability
16	to live free of continuous government monitoring;" and
17	WHEREAS, San Francisco's prohibition on government acquisition or use of facial
18	recognition technology was soon followed by other Bay Area jurisdictions including the City of
19	Berkeley, the City of Oakland and the Bay Area Rapid Transit system; and
20	WHEREAS, San Francisco's prohibition on government acquisition or use of facial
21	recognition technology was a precedent-setting initiative which has sparked United States
22	Congressional Oversight Committee hearings and shifted the public discourse around the
23	acceptable and unacceptable level of intrusion of governmental entities into the private lives of
24	its citizens; and

25

WHEREAS, Facial recognition technology has nevertheless emerged as the
technology of choice for the federal deportation state, including being used by Immigration
and Customs Enforcement (ICE) to scan state driver's license databases and billions of facial
images scraped from the Internet by companies like Clearview AI to identify and track
immigrants and citizens across the country; and

6 WHEREAS, On February 14, 2020, Assembly Member Edwin Chau of California's 49th 7 Assembly District introduced Assembly Bill No. 2261 (AB 2261), which, in pertinent part, gives 8 free reign to governmental agencies across the state to acquire and use facial recognition 9 surveillance technology without a warrant and in a manner which would disproportionately 10 harm communities of color, religious minorities and other marginalized groups; and

WHEREAS, AB 2261 would also preempt and invalidate San Francisco's precedentsetting prohibition on government acquisition and use of facial recognition technology, which was based on widespread understanding that this technology disproportionately misidentifies children, women and people of color, and – even if accurate – would unleash an unprecedented and psychologically damaging mass surveillance state; and

WHEREAS, AB 2261 green lights the use of facial recognition to blacklist residents to deny them health care services, housing, jobs and other basic necessities, without adequate protections to prevent companies from selling face recognition systems that they know to be biased; and

20 WHEREAS, AB 2261 is being peddled by big tech companies as a solution to real 21 problems that it will only exacerbate, at a time when the public health and safety of residents 22 and visitors must be guided by health experts and accurate science, not lobbyists for big tech 23 firms; and

24

25

1 WHEREAS, San Francisco is a Charter City organized under the Constitution of the State of California with supreme authority over the regulation of "municipal affairs;" now, 2 3 therefore, be it 4 RESOLVED, That the City and County of San Francisco opposes Assembly Bill No. 5 2261, which is an attack on the public health and safety of all San Francisco residents and 6 visitors, and in particular on women, low-income communities, communities of color, religious 7 minorities and other marginalized individuals and groups in San Francisco; and, be it 8 FURTHER RESOLVED, That the record on AB 2261 is inadequate to warrant the 9 preemption of laws specifically designed to protect San Francisco and other Bay Area 10 residents and visitors from facial recognition technology and is an unwarranted and unlawful 11 intrusion on the City and County of San Francisco's supreme authority over municipal affairs; 12 and, be it FURTHER RESOLVED, That a copy of this Resolution be forwarded to San 13 14 Francisco's state delegation. 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25

# **Introduction Form**

By a Member of the Board of Supervisors or Mayor

Time stamp or meeting date

I hereby submit the following item for introduction (select only one):

1. For reference to Committee. (An Ordinan	nce, Resolution, Motion or Charter Amendment).	
$\checkmark$ 2. Request for next printed agenda Without I	Reference to Committee.	
3. Request for hearing on a subject matter at	Committee.	
4. Request for letter beginning :"Supervisor		inquiries"
5. City Attorney Request.		
6. Call File No.	from Committee.	
7. Budget Analyst request (attached written a	motion).	
8. Substitute Legislation File No.		
9. Reactivate File No.		
10. Topic submitted for Mayoral Appearance	e before the BOS on	
Please check the appropriate boxes. The propo	osed legislation should be forwarded to the following	:
Small Business Commission	☐ Youth Commission ☐ Ethics Commis	sion
Planning Commission	Building Inspection Commission	
Note: For the Imperative Agenda (a resolution	n not on the printed agenda), use the Imperative <b>F</b>	Form.
Sponsor(s):		
Peskin		
Subject:		
[Resolution Opposing California State Assembly	y Bill 2261 (Chau)]	
The text is listed:		
	Bill 2261 (Chau), which would preempt San Francis and use of facial recognition technology and thereby in visitors.	-
Signature of S	ponsoring Supervisor: /s/ Aaron Peskin	

For Clerk's Use Only