

1 [Administrative Code - County Jail No. 4 Closure]

2

3 **Ordinance amending the Administrative Code to require the City to close County Jail**
4 **No. 4, located on the seventh floor of the Hall of Justice, by November 1, 2020; to**
5 **require the Sentencing Commission to establish a Safety and Justice Challenge**
6 **Subcommittee to plan for the reduction of the City’s daily jail population and closure of**
7 **County Jail No. 4; and affirming the Planning Department’s determination under the**
8 **California Environmental Quality Act.**

9 NOTE: **Unchanged Code text and uncodified text** are in plain Arial font.
10 **Additions to Codes** are in *single-underline italics Times New Roman font*.
11 **Deletions to Codes** are in *strikethrough italics Times New Roman font*.
12 **Board amendment additions** are in double-underlined Arial font.
13 **Board amendment deletions** are in ~~strikethrough Arial font~~.
14 **Asterisks (* * * *)** indicate the omission of unchanged Code
15 subsections or parts of tables.

16 Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

17

18 Section 1. Environmental and Land Use Findings.

19 The Planning Department has determined that the actions contemplated in this
20 ordinance comply with the California Environmental Quality Act (California Public Resources
21 Code Sections 21000 et seq.). Said determination is on file with the Clerk of the Board of
22 Supervisors in File No. 200372 and is incorporated herein by reference. The Board affirms
23 this determination.

24

25 Section 2. General Background and Findings.

(a) The City and County of San Francisco (“City”) has four jails: County Jail 1 (the
Intake and Release Center), located at 425 7th Street in San Francisco; County Jail 2, located

1 at 425 7th Street in San Francisco; County Jail 3 located at 850 Bryant Street in San
2 Francisco, County Jail 4, located on the 7th floor of the Hall of Justice at 850 Bryant Street in
3 San Francisco; and County Jail 5, located at 1 Moreland Drive in San Bruno.

4 (b) County Jail 4 is a dilapidated and inhumane facility that requires a closure plan.
5 Opened in 1961, it is seismically unsafe. Its plumbing, sewage, kitchen, and laundry facilities
6 are all in disrepair. In October 2019, Mayor London Breed noted the urgent need to close
7 County Jail 4, and that same week Supervisors Haney, Yee, Ronen, Fewer, and Walton held
8 a hearing on the closure of the jail.

9 The Department of Public Works, Division of Real Estate, and The Crosby Group
10 (independent seismic engineers), all have determined that the building at 850 Bryant Street
11 housing County Jail 4 needs to be decommissioned. In January 2017, City Administrator
12 Naomi Kelly stated that the building should be closed due to seismic and other safety
13 concerns. The Mayor, District Attorney, Public Defender, and Sheriff have all agreed that the
14 Hall of Justice must be closed, and the City Administrator has set a deadline of July 2021 for
15 the exit of all administrative functions housed at the facility.

16 (c) In 2015, the Board of Supervisors (“Board”) denied the Sheriff’s request for Board
17 approval of an application for State matching funds to be used to build a new jail. Instead, in
18 2016, the Board passed Resolution No. 002-16, urging the creation of a work group to plan for
19 the permanent closure of County Jails 3 and 4 by the Department of Public Health and the
20 Sheriff. In response to this resolution, the Work Group to Re-envision the Jail Replacement
21 Project (“Re-envision Work Group”) was established, which proposed 72 policy change
22 recommendations, capital investments, and program investments to reduce the jail population
23 and close the building located at 850 Bryant Street, without construction of a new jail. The
24 recommendations include programs such as cooperative supportive housing, increased
25 treatment beds, co-located neighborhood-based services, and outpatient substance-use

1 treatment. The Re-envision Work Group identified key findings that informed their
2 recommendations: (1) the majority of ~~jail inmates~~ incarcerated individuals are not eligible for
3 release due to a range of reasons, including that many may be in the midst of the booking
4 process or awaiting transfers, and that many are ineligible due to sentencing terms, their
5 federal detainee status, parole or probation violations, or bail terms; (2) closing County Jail 3
6 and County Jail 4 permanently would require a jail population reduction goal of 83,220 bed
7 days per year to accommodate an average daily population of between 1,064 and 1,126 in the
8 remaining facilities; (3) a small proportion of ~~inmates~~ incarcerated individuals have long stays
9 in jail, but account for the vast majority of all bed days; (4) ~~inmates~~ those occupying the most
10 bed days are disproportionately young and African American; and 5) in 2015, African
11 American ~~inmates~~ individuals accounted for approximately 53% of all bed days in 2015, but
12 made up only 6% of San Francisco residents.

13 (d) The Re-envision Work Group found that data limitations complicate the City's
14 ability to identify, collect, and analyze data and the City's ability to use that data to predict the
15 impact of most jail population recommendations. The Re-envision Work Group recommended
16 expanding the role of the Justice Information Tracking System ("JUSTIS") to support use of
17 criminal justice data to inform system improvements and coordinate data sharing efforts
18 across multiple jurisdictions. JUSTIS is uniquely positioned to play a central role in enhancing
19 the transparency and accountability of San Francisco's criminal justice system. JUSTIS
20 provides a coherent and consistent approach to mechanisms that will enable partners to
21 efficiently provide data and analysis with the highest reliability.

22 (e) Violent crime rates have decreased in San Francisco over the past decade and
23 homicides are at a historic low. Accordingly, as compared to the past, a smaller percentage
24 of ~~inmates~~ incarcerated individuals in City jails are persons charged with or convicted of
25

1 violent offenses. Over 80% of inmates incarcerated individuals in City jails are pre-trial
2 detainees.

3 (f) Most of the inmates incarcerated individuals in City jails have special needs beyond
4 those of the general population, which, if not attended to, impact others as well as
5 themselves.

6 (1) The majority of inmates in City jails have suffered abuse, neglect, trauma,
7 mental health problems, and family crisis at some point in their lives. People in the criminal
8 justice system suffer from serious mental health problems at a rate far greater than the
9 general population.

10 (2) San Francisco Health Commission Resolution No. 19-5, entitled
11 "Incarceration is a Public Health Issue" ("Health Commission Resolution"), states that
12 incarceration is a public health issue impacting the health and well-being of individuals
13 incarcerated, and the families and communities of those incarcerated, with a disproportionate
14 impact on low-income communities and people of color, specifically African American men.
15 The Health Commission Resolution states that approximately 40% of those incarcerated in
16 San Francisco county jails are homeless or marginally housed.

17 (3) According to a 2016 report of the San Francisco District Attorney's Office
18 entitled, "Justice That Heals: Promoting Behavioral Health, Safeguarding the Public, and
19 Ending Our Overreliance on Jails," 35-40% of individuals detained in San Francisco jails
20 receive care from Jail Behavioral Health Services.

21 (4) According to a 2019 report of the Board's Budget and Legislative Analyst
22 entitled, "Transitional Aged Youth in San Francisco County Jails," between 2015 and 2017, an
23 average of 11,356 individuals were booked into San Francisco jails, of which 21.5% were
24 transitional aged youth (between the ages of 18-24).

1 (g) For these high-need populations there is an urgent need for the rapid availability of
2 bridge housing and behavioral health treatment beds to ensure individuals are released into
3 stable environments with supports to help them be successful and avoid a return to jail. While
4 people should be held accountable for harm done to others, jails, by themselves, do not repair
5 that harm nor help individuals make different choices upon release; rather we have a number
6 of people cycling in and out of our jail system, whereas an expansion of other kinds of
7 supports and resources could prevent recidivism and invest in healthy individuals and
8 communities.

9 (h) The San Francisco Community Corrections Partnership, Police Commission,
10 Juvenile Justice Coordinating Council, Reentry Council, and Sentencing Commission have
11 endorsed a statement prioritizing racial equity in the criminal justice system to allow all people
12 to thrive. San Francisco's criminal justice policy bodies collectively acknowledge that
13 communities of color have borne the burdens of inequitable social, environmental, economic,
14 and criminal justice policies, practices, and investments. The legacy of these government
15 actions is deep racial disparities throughout San Francisco's juvenile justice and criminal
16 justice system. Racial equity is realized when race can no longer be used to predict life
17 outcomes. This ordinance is part of the City's commitment to the elimination of racial
18 disparities in the criminal justice system.

19 (i) Jailing adds trauma to the lives of already traumatized individuals. While
20 imprisoned, people become more isolated and disconnected from their families and their
21 support networks, and are at risk of losing housing, employment, or child custody and, when
22 detained while awaiting trial, fare far worse at every stage of their case.

23 (j) A 2016 Zellerbach Family Foundation report entitled, "Children, Parents and
24 Incarceration: Descriptive Overview of Data from Alameda and San Francisco County Jails,"
25 found that 46% of inmates who were San Francisco parents and caregivers reported that at

1 least one of their own parents had been incarcerated, and that 57% of those San Franciscans
2 studied, whose first incarceration occurred before the age of 18, reported that at least one
3 parent had been incarcerated. Both statistics indicate a high likelihood of a cycle of
4 incarceration if left uninterrupted. Further, the impact of incarceration on children is incredibly
5 disruptive. The same report stated that the children of 26% of San Francisco parents and
6 caregivers who were incarcerated had to change residences; the children of 16% had to
7 change school because their parent went to jail; and of those 16%, 11% had to change
8 schools six or more times. In addition, 57% of parents and caregivers in San Francisco jails
9 reported a loss of income because they went to jail, and economic considerations also were a
10 barrier to contact with children while incarcerated; for 33% the cost of phone calls was a
11 barrier to contact with children, and for 31% the cost of visiting was a barrier to contacts with
12 children.

13 (k) In March 2019, the Controller’s Office released a report entitled, “Update to
14 Evaluation of Pilot Programs Funded to Reduce the Jail Population.” The purpose of the
15 report was to evaluate the effectiveness of the five pilot programs, supported by the Mayor’s
16 Office in fiscal year 2018, to reduce San Francisco’s jail population, but it focused only on the
17 two programs implemented in time for the evaluation – the Public Defender’s Pretrial Release
18 Unit and the District Attorney’s Weekend Rebooking. The report concluded: “It is unlikely that
19 either program will on its own substantially contribute to the City’s goal of reducing the jail
20 population by 83,220 bed days a year. Each program is designed to serve a segment of the
21 jail population that is in jail for a short period of time and occupies few jail bed days a year.
22 Even at a full level of implementation, the populations served do not account for enough bed
23 days each year to make a [substantial] impact on the reduction goal.”

24 (l) A settlement in the case of *Buffin v. City and County of San Francisco et al.*, Case
25 No. C15-04959 YGR, followed a U.S. District Court ruling that the use of a cash bail schedule

1 as required by state law unconstitutionally discriminates against people who are unable to
2 pay. Under the terms of the settlement, the Sheriff's Department will provide the San
3 Francisco Superior Court with a public safety assessment for eligible pre-arraignment
4 detainees within eight hours of the person's identity being confirmed in the jail, and will not
5 enforce the San Francisco Superior Court's bail schedule.

6 (m) In 2018, the City was awarded \$2 million from the MacArthur Foundation's Safety
7 and Justice Challenge to engage local justice agencies in joint planning and the
8 implementation of strategies that address the main drivers of the local jail population,
9 including practices that take a particularly heavy toll on people of color, low-income
10 communities, and people with mental health and substance abuse issues. To continue safely
11 reducing the jail population, the San Francisco Safety and Justice Challenge Working Group
12 plans to implement five key strategies aimed at addressing system inefficiencies and
13 disparities, meeting the needs of those with behavioral health and substance abuse issues,
14 and non-jail options for lower-risk offenders individuals while prioritizing public safety and
15 victim protection. These strategies include pre-arrest and pretrial diversion strategies,
16 criminal sentencing and correctional strategies that emphasize rehabilitation and reduce
17 recidivism, improvements to case processing efficiency, enhanced services for people with
18 mental illness or substance abuse issues involved with the justice system, and rooting out
19 disparity and racial bias in the system. The purpose of this ordinance is to create a formally
20 recognized subcommittee of the Sentencing Commission to continue the work of the Safety
21 and Justice Challenge Working Group.

22 (n) In the 2019-20 time period, the City jail population has consistently declined,
23 reaching a low of 722 on April 17, 2020~~1,093 on March 16, 2020~~. With the closure of County
24 Jail 4, the two remaining jails in the system (County Jails 2 and 5) have a combined capacity
25 of 1,160, not including C-Pod medical and behavioral health units, incarcerated people held at

1 Zuckerberg San Francisco General Hospital, or those held in booking at County Jail 1. At 90%
2 capacity, County Jails 2 and 5 would accommodate a maximum capacity of 1,044; at 85%
3 capacity, County Jails 2 and 5 would accommodate a maximum capacity of 986.

4 (o) In 2020, San Francisco, like the nation and the world, is facing a public health crisis
5 caused by the COVID-19 pandemic, which presents serious risks for facilities with communal
6 living, such as the jail system. These public health risks could impact incarcerated people,
7 their communities if they are released without knowledge of possible infection, and City
8 employees like deputy sheriffs and jail health workers who staff the system. The Public
9 Defender, District Attorney, and Sheriff have all publicly announced steps they are taking to
10 address the COVID-19 public health risks, including expediting the release of incarcerated
11 people who were scheduled to be released within the next 60 days, as well as those with
12 compromised immune systems. These measures align not only with public health priorities
13 like social distancing, but are consistent with public safety as a whole.

14 (p) It is the intent of the Board of Supervisors to avoid layoffs or job loss of any
15 employees currently stationed at County Jail 4 as a result of the closure of the jail; this
16 process will also be guided by Civil Service Rules, memoranda of understanding, and the
17 obligation to meet and confer.

18
19 Section 3. The Administrative Code is hereby amended by adding Chapter 122,
20 consisting of Section 122.1, to read as follows:

21
22 **CHAPTER 122: CLOSURE OF COUNTY JAIL 4**

23
24 **SEC. 122.1. CLOSURE OF COUNTY JAIL 4.**

1 (a) By no later than November 1, 2020, but as soon as possible, the City shall close County Jail
2 4, located on the 7th floor of the Hall of Justice at 850 Bryant Street in San Francisco.

3 (b) Prior to the closure of County Jail 4 under this Section 122.1:

4 _____ (1) The Department of Human Resources (“DHR”) shall provide notice to unions
5 representing affected employees and, ~~by October 1, 2020,~~ conduct and conclude any necessary meet
6 and confer under state and local law; and

7 _____ (2) The City, acting through the Division of Real Estate (“DRE”), shall comply with the
8 requirements of California Government Code Sections 70341 et seq. and the terms of the Joint
9 Occupancy Agreement and Transfer Agreement between the City and the Judicial Council of
10 California, Administrative Office of the Courts, pertaining to the building at 850 Bryant Street.

11 (c) Notwithstanding the deadline for closure of County Jail 4 stated in subsection (a), the City
12 may close County Jail 4 only after:

13 _____ (1) DHR has submitted a written certification to the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors
14 and the Mayor that the City has satisfied applicable meet-and-confer obligations; and

15 _____ (2) DRE has submitted a written certification to the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors
16 and the Mayor that the City has satisfied its obligations under California Government Code Sections
17 70341 et seq., the Joint Occupancy Agreement, and the Transfer Agreement; and

18 _____ (3) The sooner of either (A) the Board of Supervisors receives the final report from the
19 Safety and Justice Challenge Subcommittee of the Sentencing Commission (required by Administrative
20 Code Section 5.25-4), or (B) the total San Francisco jail population has remained below 1044 for 60
21 consecutive days and both the Sheriff and the Safety and Justice Challenge Subcommittee notify the
22 Board that the City may safely close County Jail 4.

23 (d) Notwithstanding the deadline for closure of County Jail 4 stated in subsection (a),
24 the Sheriff may use the 7th Floor of the Hall of Justice for administrative, kitchen, and laundry
25 purposes, so long as the Hall of Justice Building remains open.

1 Section 4. Article XXV of Chapter 5 of the Administrative Code is hereby amended by
2 renumbering existing Section 5.25-4 as Section 5.25-5, and adding new Section 5.25-4, to
3 read as follows:

4
5 **SEC. 5.25-4. SAFETY AND JUSTICE CHALLENGE SUBCOMMITTEE.**

6 (a) For purposes of this Section 5.25-4, the following definitions apply:

7 “County Jail 1” means the Intake and Release Center located at 425 7th Street, in San
8 Francisco.

9 “County Jail 2” means the jail located at 425 7th Street in San Francisco.

10 “County Jail 4” means the jail located on the 7th floor of the Hall of Justice at 850 Bryant
11 Street in San Francisco.

12 “County Jail 5” means the jail located at 1 Moreland Drive in San Bruno.

13 (b) The Commission shall establish the Safety and Justice Challenge Subcommittee
14 (“Subcommittee”).

15 (c) The following Commission members or their designee shall serve on the Subcommittee:
16 District Attorney, Sheriff, Public Defender, Adult Probation, the Department of Public Health, Reentry
17 Council, the member of a nonprofit organization that works with victims, chosen by the Family
18 Violence Council (Article XIX of this Chapter 5), the member of a nonprofit organization that works
19 with ex-offenders, chosen by the Reentry Council (Article I of this Chapter 5), and the Superior Court if
20 it elects to participate on the Commission and the Subcommittee. The Subcommittee also shall
21 invite a representative of each of these entities to attend all Subcommittee meetings.

22 (d) To facilitate the closure of County Jail 4 as soon as possible, as mandated by
23 Administrative Code Chapter 122, the Subcommittee shall, by October 1, 2020, develop measures and
24 strategies to accomplish the goal of reducing and sustaining an average daily total jail population of
25 no more than 1,044 incarcerated people combined in County Jails 2 and 5. Those measures and

1 strategies shall address system inefficiencies and systemic racial and income disparities in the jail
2 population, meet the needs of incarcerated persons with behavioral health and substance abuse issues,
3 and include non-jail options for lower-risk offenders individuals that prioritize public safety.

4 (e) In carrying out its duties, the Subcommittee shall ~~may~~ consult with the Capital Planning
5 Committee, the Division of Real Estate, the Police Department, the Department of Public Health, a
6 representative of the labor unions representing impacting workers at County Jail 4, and a
7 representative from the contractor or agency responsible for provision of pretrial diversion services,
8 as appropriate. The Subcommittee also shall invite a representative of each of these entities
9 to attend all Subcommittee meetings.

10 (f) In carrying out its duties, the Subcommittee shall consider ~~and develop~~ the development
11 of the following measures and strategies:

12 (1) The establishment of a “second look” program, including reviews Based on
13 models like that in Washington DC, development of a public safety release mechanism in
14 addition to the Public Safety Assessment tool, to conduct more thorough reviews (including
15 interviews) of all denied releases, particularly at the 14-, 30-, and 60-day marks, by the contractor
16 or agency responsible for the provision of pretrial diversion services, to expand the number of
17 incarcerated persons eligible for pretrial release;

18 (2) Expansion of ~~Non-non~~-custodial supervision and support for those released,
19 including referrals to community courts, collaborative courts, and diversion programs, excluding
20 money bail;

21 (3) Evaluation of the use of electronic monitoring;

22 (4) Expansion of eligibility and capacity for programming, including milestone credits
23 and work alternative programs;

1 ~~_____ (5) Evaluation and Reduction~~ reduction of redundancies among agencies with
2 regards to multiple court numbers for a single booking, hold and report to the court, holds and
3 reports for short-term stays;

4 _____ (6) ~~Elimination of duplicate bookings for the same person during the same jail~~
5 stay;

6 _____ (7) ~~Participation and cooperation with the Superior Court’s plans to address lengthy~~
7 court case processing and unnecessary continuances;

8 _____ (8) ~~Expedited and streamlined sentencing and sentencing-recommendation processes,~~
9 utilizing reports that are not legally required only upon a specific, articulated need;

10 _____ (9) ~~Maximization of data-sharing among all criminal justice partners to facilitate a~~
11 cohesive assessment of the jail population, using the Justice Tracking Information System (“JUSTIS”);

12 _____ (10) ~~Exploration of Discontinuation~~ discontinuation of “safe-keeping”
13 arrangements for federal arrestees;

14 _____ (11) ~~Expedited processes for providing and sharing police reports and rebooking~~
15 packets with criminal justice partners;

16 _____ (12) ~~Increased transparency regarding Evaluation of the current jail~~
17 classification system to provide transparency around jail classification decisions and the impact of
18 those decisions on jail capacity to the extent permitted by law;

19 _____ (13) ~~Expedited and streamlined booking in County Jail 1;~~

20 _____ (14) ~~Release processes that maximize release from jail before noon to allow access~~
21 to same-day essential services, and same-day transport to court-ordered placements with verified bed
22 space;

23 _____ (15) ~~Examination of probation holds, search and seizure conditions, electronic~~
24 monitoring violations, and other practices that may result in unnecessary detention and criminal
25 records;

1 (1615) Evaluation of the correlation between recidivism individuals who reoffend
2 and behavioral health issues and exploration of policies shown to reduce recidivism among persons
3 with behavioral health issues;

4 (1716) Evaluation and recommendations to ensure that resources are in place for
5 incarcerated people upon release that ensure stability and support and prevent recidivism, including:
6 bridge housing with case management; models like Hummingbird Place with treatment beds and wrap-
7 around supports, substance use treatment, and mental health supports; and employment services;

8 (1817) Evaluation and development of targeted mental health diversion and
9 coordination among all agencies to facilitate quick entry into behavioral health programs that ensure
10 that persons otherwise eligible for release from jail are not held longer than necessary while waiting
11 for treatment beds;

12 (1918) Elimination of out-of-county custodial placements; and

13 (2019) Consideration of the 2005 Board of Supervisors Resolution No. 545-05
14 endorsing the bill of rights established by the San Francisco Children of Incarcerated Parents
15 Partnership.

16 (20) Formulation of a plan to prepare for an increase in the average daily
17 population above 1,044 (90% capacity at County Jails 2 and 5), after the closure of County
18 Jail 4.

19 (21) Measures to protect public health in the jails and protect against the spread
20 of infectious diseases within jail facilities among incarcerated people and staff, including
21 identifying incarcerated people and jail staff as priority populations for infectious disease
22 testing moving forward, and developing policy recommendations for temporary housing
23 facilities if the population exceeds a threshold determined by the Director of Jail Health to be
24 safe in preventing the spread of infectious disease.

25 (g) In carrying out its duties, the Subcommittee shall not consider or develop:

1 (1) measures or strategies that add new jail beds beyond the current rated capacity of
2 County Jails 2 and 5 through construction, renovation, or reopening of another facility to replace
3 County Jail 4, with the exception of measures or strategies to rehabilitate an existing facility
4 like County Jail 2; or

5 (2) the transfer of incarcerated people to placements out of county.

6 (h) Subject to the fiscal, budgetary, and civil service provisions of the Charter, and to the extent
7 consistent with open government laws, the Subcommittee shall, as needed, investigate criminal justice
8 best practices by retaining subject matter experts.

9 (i) The Subcommittee shall prepare and submit to the Board of Supervisors two reports that
10 describe the Subcommittee's progress in fulfilling the duties set forth in this Section 5.25-4: a
11 preliminary progress report due August 1, 2020, and a final progress report due October 1, 2020.
12 Both reports shall include an assessment of progress made in reducing the daily total jail population to
13 no more than 1,044 in County Jails 2 and 5 combined and sustaining the reduction, data on the
14 average daily total jail population, the measures and strategies that have been implemented across all
15 justice agencies to accomplish the goal of reducing the total jail population to no more than 1,044, and
16 any outstanding tasks, challenges, or needs. Both reports also shall include an assessment of the
17 impact of COVID-19 on the jail population, the ability to achieve social distancing to prevent
18 spread of the virus within the jails, temporary facilities to house individuals to maintain social
19 distancing recommended by the Health Officer, and policy recommendations to the Board of
20 Supervisors and the Mayor to protect public health and prevent the spread of COVID-19. Both
21 reports shall be accompanied by a proposed Board of Supervisors resolution accepting the report, and
22 the Board may act by resolution to accept, reject, or modify the report. The Subcommittee also shall
23 submit both reports to the Mayor, any City department or office responsible for a program identified in
24 the report, and the City Administrator. The reports shall be available to the public, and the City
25 Administrator shall post the reports on the City Administrator's website.

1 (j) In carrying out its duties, the Subcommittee shall receive prompt and full cooperation and
2 assistance from all City departments, offices, officers, and employees. All components of City
3 government shall promptly produce all records and information requested by the Subcommittee, unless
4 prohibited from doing so by state or federal law.

5 (k) This Section 5.25-4 shall expire by operation of law, and the Subcommittee shall terminate,
6 when the Sheriff certifies in writing that County Jail 4 is closed. After said certification, the City
7 Attorney shall cause this Section 5.25-4 to be removed from the Administrative Code.

8 (l) Nothing in this Section 5.25-4 shall be interpreted to interfere with the duties of the District
9 Attorney, Sheriff, or Adult Probation Chief, under State law.

10
11 **SEC. 5.25-4~~5~~. SUNSET CLAUSE.**

12 * * * *

13 Section 4. Effective Date. This ordinance shall become effective 30 days after
14 enactment. Enactment occurs when the Mayor signs the ordinance, the Mayor returns the
15 ordinance unsigned or does not sign the ordinance within ten days of receiving it, or the Board
16 of Supervisors overrides the Mayor’s veto of the ordinance.

17
18 Section 5. Scope of Ordinance. In enacting this ordinance, the Board of Supervisors
19 intends to amend only those words, phrases, paragraphs, subsections, sections, articles,
20 numbers, punctuation marks, charts, diagrams, or any other constituent parts of the Municipal
21 Code that are explicitly shown in this ordinance as additions, deletions, Board amendment
22 additions, and Board amendment deletions in accordance with the “Note” that appears under
23 the official title of the ordinance.

1 Section 6. Undertaking for The General Welfare. In enacting and implementing this
2 ordinance, the City is assuming an undertaking only to promote the general welfare. It is not
3 assuming, nor is it imposing on its officers and employees, an obligation for breach of which it
4 is liable in money damages to any person who claims that such breach proximately caused
5 injury.

6
7 APPROVED AS TO FORM:
8 DENNIS J. HERRERA, City Attorney

9 By: /S/ Jon Givner
10 JON GIVNER
Deputy City Attorney

11 n:\legana\as2020\2000009\01445910.docx