

FILE NO. 200500

Petitions and Communications received from May 7, 2020, through May 14, 2020, for reference by the President to Committee considering related matters, or to be ordered filed by the Clerk on May 19, 2020.

Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information will not be redacted.

From the Office of the Mayor, submitting supplements to the Mayoral Proclamation Declaring the Existence of a Local Emergency, dated February 25, 2020. 2 letters. Copy: Each Supervisor. (1)

From the Health Officer of the Department of Public Health, issuing Health Order No. C19-13. Copy: Each Supervisor. (2)

From the Health Officer of the Department of Public Health Directive Nos. 2020-05, 2020-06, and 2020-07. Copy: Each Supervisor. (3)

From the Office of the Mayor, submitting meeting authorizations. Copy: Each Supervisor. (4)

From the Office of the Mayor, making the following (re)appointments: Copy: Each Supervisor. (5)

Pursuant to Charter, Section 3.100(18):

Everett Hewlett - Airport Commission (appointment) - term ending August 31, 2020

Dr. Stephen Follansbee - Health Service Board (reappointment) - term ending May 15, 2025

Pursuant to Charter, Section 4.117:

Cynthia Wang - Entertainment Commission - term ending July 1, 2023

From the Office of the Mayor, submitting the Executive Order Extending the Commercial Eviction Moratorium, dated May 14, 2020. Copy: Each Supervisor. (6)

From the Office of the City Administrator, regarding changes to City Hall Building Operations to allow essential services to the public during Stay Safe at Home Health Order. Copy: Each Supervisor. (7)

From the Police Department, pursuant to Administrative Code, Section 96A, submitting the 2020 first quarter report. Copy: Each Supervisor. (8)

From the Office of the Controller's City Services Auditor, in coordination with the Airport Commission, submitting the report, entitled "Audits of Gilly National, Inc. and Southwest Airlines Co." Copy: Each Supervisor. (9)

From the City Administrator, regarding the County Veterans Service Officer. Copy Each Supervisor. (10)

From concerned citizens, regarding the proposed 2020 San Francisco Health and Recovery Bond. 17 letters. Copy: Each Supervisor. (11)

From concerned citizens, regarding the proposed Emergency Ordinance - Emergency Response In Parks. File No. 200453. 62 letters. Copy: Each Supervisor. (12)

From concerned citizens, submitting letters regarding House of Representatives Bill 6666. 39 letters. Copy: Each Supervisor. (13)

From SPUR, regarding Charter Amendment - 16 and 17 Year-Olds Voting in Municipal Elections. File No. 200477. Copy: Each Supervisor. (14)

From Avbinash Kar, regarding the Balboa Reservoir Special Use District. File No. 200422. Copy: Each Supervisor. (15)

From concerned citizens, regarding streamlining CEQA requirements for housing projects. 2 letters. Copy: Each Supervisor. (16)

From Laura Dane, regarding support for SFHC's SOS Village proposals for COVID-19 response. Copy: Each Supervisor. (17)

From San Francisco Dental Society, regarding a petition to reopen dental practices. Copy: Each Supervisor. (18)

From Alvin Ja, regarding the proposed Development Agreement - Reservoir Community. File No. 200423. Copy: Each Supervisor. (19)

From concerned citizens, regarding the proposed Emergency Ordinance - Temporary Right to Reemployment Following Layoff Due to COVID-19 Pandemic. File No. 200455. 2 letters. Copy: Each Supervisor. (20)

From concerned citizens, regarding proposed Ordinance Planning Code - Conditional Use Authorizations for Demonstrably Unaffordable Housing. 3 letters. File No. 200451. Copy: Each Supervisor. (21)

From concerned citizens, regarding COVID-19. 7 letters. Copy: Each Supervisor. (22)

From concerned citizens, regarding the proposed Resolution Urging Additional COVID-19 Data Reporting for Congregate Residential Facilities and Sexual Orientation and Gender Identity Demographic Information. File No. 200468. 2 letters. Copy: Each Supervisor. (23)

From San Francisco United In Crisis, regarding the Police Commission and Police interactions during COVID-19. Copy: Each Supervisor. (24)

From Noni Richen, regarding the proposed Resolution Urging the City and County of San Francisco to Establish Safe Sleeping Sites. File No. 200406. Copy: Each Supervisor. (25)

From the American Legion War Memorial Commission, regarding the interim appointment of a County Veterans Service Officer. Copy: Each Supervisor. (26)

From the League of Women Voters of San Francisco, regarding the Police Commission holding public meetings during the COVID-19 health crisis. Copy: Each Supervisor. (27)

From concerned citizens, regarding safe, car-free space during shelter-in-place. Copy: Each Supervisor. (28)

From: [Mchugh, Eileen \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#); [BOS-Administrative Aides](#)
Cc: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#); [Laxamana, Junko \(BOS\)](#); [Ng, Wilson \(BOS\)](#); [PEARSON, ANNE \(CAT\)](#)
Subject: FW: 13th Supplement to Emergency Declaration
Date: Tuesday, May 12, 2020 4:20:00 PM
Attachments: [Emergency Declaration 13th 051120.pdf](#)

Hello Supervisors,

Please see attached, the Thirteenth Supplement to Mayoral Proclamation Declaring the Existence of a Local Emergency.

Thank you,

Eileen McHugh
Executive Assistant
Board of Supervisors
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102-4689
Phone: (415) 554-7703 | Fax: (415) 554-5163
eileen.e.mchugh@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

From: Kittler, Sophia (MYR) <sophia.kittler@sfgov.org>
Sent: Tuesday, May 12, 2020 4:17 PM
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\) <angela.calvillo@sfgov.org>](mailto:angela.calvillo@sfgov.org); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\) <alisa.somera@sfgov.org>](#); [Mchugh, Eileen \(BOS\) <eileen.e.mchugh@sfgov.org>](#); [BOS-Operations <bos-operations@sfgov.org>](#)
Subject: 13th Supplement to Emergency Declaration

Please find attached the Thirteenth Supplement to the Mayor Proclamation.
Please let me know if you have any questions.

Sophia

Sophia Kittler
Office of Mayor London N. Breed
415 554 6153



THIRTEENTH SUPPLEMENT TO MAYORAL PROCLAMATION DECLARING THE EXISTENCE OF A LOCAL EMERGENCY DATED FEBRUARY 25, 2020

WHEREAS, California Government Code Sections 8550 et seq., San Francisco Charter Section 3.100(14) and Chapter 7 of the San Francisco Administrative Code empower the Mayor to proclaim the existence of a local emergency, subject to concurrence by the Board of Supervisors as provided in the Charter, in the case of an emergency threatening the lives, property or welfare of the City and County or its citizens; and

WHEREAS, On February 25, 2020, the Mayor issued a Proclamation (the “Proclamation”) declaring a local emergency to exist in connection with the imminent spread within the City of a novel (new) coronavirus (“COVID-19”); and

WHEREAS, On March 3, 2020, the Board of Supervisors concurred in the Proclamation and in the actions taken by the Mayor to meet the emergency; and

WHEREAS, On March 4, 2020, Governor Gavin Newsom proclaimed a state of emergency to exist within the State due to the threat posed by COVID-19; and

WHEREAS, On March 6, 2020, the Local Health Officer declared a local health emergency under Section 101080 of the California Health and Safety Code, and the Board of Supervisors concurred in that declaration on March 10, 2020; and

WHEREAS, On March 6, 2020, the City issued public health guidance to encourage social distancing to disrupt the spread of COVID-19 and protect community health; and

WHEREAS, On March 16, 2020, the City’s Health Officer issued a stay safe at home order, Health Officer Order No. C19-07 (the “Stay Safe At Home Order”), requiring most people to remain in their homes subject to certain exceptions including obtaining essential goods such as food and necessary supplies, and requiring the closure of non-essential businesses; the Health Officer has amended the Stay Safe At Home Order and extended it through May 31, 2020; and

WHEREAS, There are currently 1,954 confirmed cases of COVID-19 within the City and there have been 35 COVID-19-related deaths in the City; there are more than 67,000 confirmed cases in California, and there have been more than 2,700 COVID-19-related deaths in California; and



WHEREAS, This order and the previous orders issued during this emergency have all been issued because of the propensity of the virus to spread person to person and also because the virus physically is causing property loss or damage due to its proclivity to attach to surfaces for prolonged periods of time; and

WHEREAS, The local emergency has required the City to take very quick action to secure services and supplies necessary to mount an adequate response, and due to the expediency required to address the emergency it has not been feasible to comply with the City's normal procurement rules and obtain the normal approvals for emergency-related contracts. It is in the public interest to waive procurement rules for emergency response-related procurements and to create more flexible rules to ensure proper monitoring and controls; and

WHEREAS, The local emergency and the Stay Safe At Home Order has stretched the City's workforce, requiring many City workers to serve assignments as disaster service workers and required City offices to close. These disruptions have inhibited the City's ability to engage in normal procurement, and it is therefore in the public interest to allow existing contracts to be extended for a period of time and waive necessary local law to ensure continuity of services while the City returns to normal operations; and

WHEREAS, Loss of income as a result of the global COVID-19 pandemic may inhibit San Francisco residents and businesses from fulfilling their financial obligations including public utility payments such as water and sewer charges; and

WHEREAS, Ensuring that all people in San Francisco continue to have access to running water during this public health crisis to enable people to regularly wash their hands and maintain access to clean drinking water will help to prevent the spread of COVID-19 and prevent or alleviate illness or death due to the virus; and

WHEREAS, Ensuring that all customers in San Francisco that receive power services from the San Francisco Public Utilities Commission continue to have access to electricity so they are able to receive important COVID-19 information, keep critical medical equipment functioning, and utilize power as needed will help to prevent the spread of COVID-19 and prevent or alleviate illness or death due to the virus; and



NOW, THEREFORE,

I, London N. Breed, Mayor of the City and County of San Francisco, proclaim that there continues to exist an emergency within the City and County threatening the lives, property or welfare of the City and County and its citizens;

In addition to the measures outlined in the Proclamation and in the Supplements to the Proclamation dated March 11, March 13, March 17, March 18, March 23, March 27, March 31, and April 1, April 10, April 14, April 23, and April 30, 2020, it is further ordered that:

(1) All agreements related to the City's response to the emergency entered after the date of this Order, including contracts for procurement of commodities or services, contracts for public works, and grant agreements ("COVID-19-Related Contracts"), shall comply with all procedural and substantive requirements in City laws and policies, except that departments may enter COVID-19-Related Contracts with a term of one year or less to the extent they comply with the following exceptions:

(a) Solicitation and Procurement: Departments shall not be required to follow competitive solicitation and procurement procedures in the Administrative Code, provided that either (i) the department attempts to obtain at least three quotes prior to entering the COVID-19-Related Contract; or (ii) the Department Head or the Department Head's designee determines in writing that it is not feasible to obtain multiple quotes prior to executing the COVID-19-Related Contract, the Department Head or designee provides that written determination to the Controller or the Controller's designee, and the Controller or designee concurs in the written determination.

(b) Commission Approvals: Departments shall not be required to obtain approval for a COVID-19-Related Contract from the commission overseeing the department, provided that (i) the Department Head or the Department Head's designee determines in writing that the commission is unable to meet in a timely manner to enable approval of the COVID-19-Related Contract within the time needed to address the exigency or emergency; (ii) the Department Head or designee informs the chairperson and secretary of the commission in advance that the department is entering into the COVID-19-Related Contract; and (iii) the Department Head or designee submits a copy of the COVID-19-Related Contract to the commission by no later than the 15th day of the month following the month in which the COVID-19-Related Contract was finally executed.



(c) Contract Terms: COVID-19-Related Contracts shall not be required to include contract terms that the Department Head or the Department Head's designee, in consultation with the City Attorney and the Controller, determines may impede the City's ability to obtain reimbursement from the Federal Emergency Management Agency or the California Governor's Office of Emergency Services. All other contract terms required by the Administrative and Environment Codes must be included in COVID-19-Related Contracts unless (i) the Department Head or designee determines in writing that strict compliance with these laws is not feasible due to exigencies or emergencies; and (ii) the Department Head or designee provides the written determination to the Controller or the Controller's designee, and the Controller or designee concurs in the written determination.

(d) Personal Protective and Medical Equipment: Departments may enter COVID-19-Related Contracts for the procurement or delivery of personal protective equipment or medical equipment needed to address the COVID-19 emergency and shall not be required to comply with competitive solicitation and procurement procedures in the Administrative Code, obtain commission approval, or include substantive terms otherwise required by the Administrative or Environment Codes, as long as the department either (i) complies with the City Administrator's March 10, 2020 memorandum ("New Purchasing Restrictions and Procedures for COVID-19-Related Goods and Services") and any modifications to that memorandum authorized under Item 7 of the First Supplement to the Proclamation, or (ii) receives written permission from the City Administrator or the Controller if compliance with the March 10 memorandum is infeasible.

(e) Civil Service Commission Approvals: Departments may enter COVID-19-Related Contracts that require approval from the Civil Service Commission as authorized in Section 3 of this Order.

If a department enters a COVID-19-Related Contract after the date of this Order under the exceptions in paragraph (a), (b) or (d), the Department Head or the Department Head's designee shall submit a copy of the COVID-19-Related Contract to the Mayor and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors by no later than the 15th day of the month following the month in which the COVID-19-Related Contract was finally executed.



By no later than May 31, 2020, departments shall submit to the Mayor and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors a copy of any COVID-19-Related Contract entered between January 1, 2020 and the date of this Order if the COVID-19-Related Contract did not comply with competitive solicitation and procurement procedures in the Administrative Code, did not receive required advance approval from a commission, did not include terms otherwise required by the Administrative and Environment Codes, or did not receive required approval from the Civil Service Commission.

Nothing in this Order waives or modifies the requirements and restrictions of the Campaign and Governmental Conduct Code, the approval requirements of Charter Section 9.118 with regard to any COVID-19-Related Contract, the requirement for approval as to form by the City Attorney, or requirements for certification of available funds by the Controller.

The Controller, in consultation with the Office of Contract Administration, may issue guidance and regulations to implement this Order.

This Order shall remain in place during the local emergency unless terminated earlier by the Mayor.

(2) The Controller is authorized to adopt a policy allowing all City departments to modify agreements in place on the date of this Order, including but not limited to services contracts, grant agreements, construction contracts, and leases, and including agreements that are not related to the response to the emergency (“Existing Contract Modifications”) without complying with competitive solicitation and procurement procedures in the Administrative Code. The Controller’s policy shall not allow any Existing Contract Modifications that (a) extend an agreement by more than six months, (b) extend the term of an agreement past June 30, 2021, or (c) increase the cost to the City, except that modifications to a general services, professional services, commodity, lease, or grant agreement may increase the not-to-exceed amount to the extent permitted by the policy and as necessary given the extension duration noted above. Existing Contract Modifications authorized by the policy shall not be subject to approval by the Civil Service Commission. Nothing in this Order waives or modifies the approval requirements of Charter Section 9.118. This Order shall remain in place during the local emergency unless terminated earlier by the Mayor.



(3) If a Department Head or designee determines in writing that a Personal Services Contract (“PSC”) that requires approval from the Civil Service Commission (“CSC”) must be finally approved by a specific date to address an exigency or emergency, and the CSC Acting Executive Officer determines that the CSC is unable to meet to approve the PSC by that date, then the Acting Executive Officer may in her discretion approve the PSC after consultation with the CSC Chairperson and/or Vice Chairperson. The Department Head or designee must provide the Acting Executive Officer a written explanation of the exigency or emergency that requires immediate action on the PSC. If the Acting Executive Officer approves the PSC, then the Department Head or designee shall submit the fully-executed PSC to the CSC by no later than the 15th day of the month following the month in which the PSC was finally executed. This Order shall remain in place during the local emergency unless terminated earlier by the Mayor.

(4) Section 2 of the Second Supplement to the Emergency Proclamation, dated March 13, 2020, authorizing the San Francisco Public Utilities Commission (“SFPUC”) to suspend for 60 days, (a) the discontinuation or shut off of water service for residents and businesses in the City for non-payment of water and sewer bills, (b) the imposition of late payment penalties or fees for delinquent water and/or sewer bills, (c) the discontinuation or shut off of power service for SFPUC Hetch Hetchy Power Customers in San Francisco for non-payment of power bills, (d) the imposition of late payment penalties or fees for delinquent Hetch Hetchy Power Customer accounts, and (e) the return of delinquent CleanPowerSF Customers to PG&E generation service for failure to pay CleanPowerSF charges, is extended for an additional 60 days through July 11, 2020.

DATED: May 11, 2020

A handwritten signature in blue ink, reading "London N. Breed".

London N. Breed
Mayor of San Francisco

From: [Mchugh, Eileen \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#); [BOS-Administrative Aides](#)
Cc: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#); [Laxamana, Junko \(BOS\)](#); [Ng, Wilson \(BOS\)](#); [PEARSON, ANNE \(CAT\)](#)
Subject: Fourteenth Supplement to Mayoral Proclamation Declaring the Existence of a Local Emergency dated May 13, 2020
Date: Thursday, May 14, 2020 1:01:00 PM
Attachments: [14 Supplement signed 5.13.20.pdf](#)

Hello Supervisors,

Please see the attached Fourteenth Supplement to Mayoral Proclamation Declaring the Existence of a Local Emergency dated May 13, 2020.

Thank you,

Eileen McHugh
Executive Assistant
Board of Supervisors
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102-4689
Phone: (415) 554-7703 | Fax: (415) 554-5163
eileen.e.mchugh@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

From: Kittler, Sophia (MYR) <sophia.kittler@sfgov.org>
Sent: Thursday, May 14, 2020 12:57 PM
To: Calvillo, Angela (BOS) <angela.calvillo@sfgov.org>; Somera, Alisa (BOS) <alisa.somera@sfgov.org>; BOS-Operations <bos-operations@sfgov.org>; Mchugh, Eileen (BOS) <eileen.e.mchugh@sfgov.org>
Cc: Mulligan, Pat (ADM) <pat.mulligan@sfgov.org>
Subject: Fw: Signed 14th Supplement

Please see attached the 14th Supplement to the Mayor's Proclamation of Emergency.

Sophia

Sophia Kittler
Office of Mayor London N. Breed
415 554 6153

From: Power, Andres (MYR) <andres.power@sfgov.org>
Sent: Thursday, May 14, 2020 11:53 AM
To: Kittler, Sophia (MYR) <sophia.kittler@sfgov.org>
Cc: RUSSI, BRAD (CAT) <Brad.Russi@sfcityattly.org>; Bruss, Andrea (MYR) <andrea.bruss@sfgov.org>;

Geithman, Kyra (MYR) <kyra.geithman@sfgov.org>; Rhorer, Trent (HSA) <Trent.Rhorer@sfgov.org>; Kelly, Naomi (ADM) <naomi.kelly@sfgov.org>; Rosenfield, Ben (CON) <ben.rosenfield@sfgov.org>; Bechelli, Adrienne (DEM) <adrienne.bechelli@sfgov.org>; Kaplan, Daniel (HSA) <daniel.kaplan@sfgov.org>; Simmons, Noelle (HSA) <noelle.simmons@sfgov.org>; Callahan, Micki (HRD) <micki.callahan@sfgov.org>; Patil, Sneha (DPH) <sneha.patil@sfdph.org>; Wagner, Greg (DPH) <greg.wagner@sfdph.org>; Isen, Carol (HRD) <carol.isen@sfgov.org>

Subject: Signed 14th Supplement

Please see attached.

Andres Power

Policy Director | Office of Mayor London Breed

City and County of San Francisco



FOURTEENTH SUPPLEMENT TO MAYORAL PROCLAMATION DECLARING THE EXISTENCE OF A LOCAL EMERGENCY DATED FEBRUARY 25, 2020

WHEREAS, California Government Code Sections 8550 et seq., San Francisco Charter Section 3.100(14) and Chapter 7 of the San Francisco Administrative Code empower the Mayor to proclaim the existence of a local emergency, subject to concurrence by the Board of Supervisors as provided in the Charter, in the case of an emergency threatening the lives, property or welfare of the City and County or its citizens; and

WHEREAS, On February 25, 2020, the Mayor issued a Proclamation (the “Proclamation”) declaring a local emergency to exist in connection with the imminent spread within the City of a novel (new) coronavirus (“COVID-19”); and

WHEREAS, On March 3, 2020, the Board of Supervisors concurred in the Proclamation and in the actions taken by the Mayor to meet the emergency; and

WHEREAS, On March 4, 2020, Governor Gavin Newsom proclaimed a state of emergency to exist within the State due to the threat posed by COVID-19; and

WHEREAS, On March 6, 2020, the Local Health Officer declared a local health emergency under Section 101080 of the California Health and Safety Code, and the Board of Supervisors concurred in that declaration on March 10, 2020; and

WHEREAS, On March 6, 2020, the City issued public health guidance to encourage social distancing to disrupt the spread of COVID-19 and protect community health; and

WHEREAS, On March 16, 2020, the City’s Health Officer issued a stay safe at home order, Health Officer Order No. C19-07 (the “Stay Safe At Home Order”), requiring most people to remain in their homes subject to certain exceptions including obtaining essential goods such as food and necessary supplies, and requiring the closure of non-essential businesses; the Health Officer has amended the Stay Safe At Home Order and extended it through May 31, 2020; and

WHEREAS, There have been 1,994 confirmed cases of COVID-19 within the City and 35 COVID-19-related deaths in the City; there have been more than 71,000 confirmed cases in California and more than 2,800 COVID-19-related deaths in California; and



WHEREAS, This order and the previous orders issued during this emergency have all been issued because of the propensity of the virus to spread person to person and also because the virus physically is causing property loss or damage due to its proclivity to attach to surfaces for prolonged periods of time; and

WHEREAS, In connection with the emergency response, the City is engaging contractors to perform direct services to members of the public, such as food service, delivery services, and cleaning and janitorial services. Due to the nature of the work they are performing in the environment these services are needed, these workers face an increased risk of contracting the virus. Given this risk, it is in the City's interest to require contractors to provide health insurance to workers and their families so that workers on these contracts have assurances they will be cared for if they or their family members become ill. This will ensure the work on these critical contracts is consistently and efficiently performed by quality workers who can be confident they are not unduly risking their health by performing these services; and

NOW, THEREFORE,

I, London N. Breed, Mayor of the City and County of San Francisco, proclaim that there continues to exist an emergency within the City and County threatening the lives, property or welfare of the City and County and its citizens;

In addition to the measures outlined in the Proclamation and in the Supplements to the Proclamation dated March 11, March 13, March 17, March 18, March 23, March 27, March 31, April 1, April 10, April 14, April 23, April 30, and May 11, 2020, it is further ordered that:

The following Order shall apply to certain contracts during the emergency:

(a) For purposes of this Order, the following definitions apply:

“Agency” shall mean the Office of Labor Standards Enforcement.

“Agency Director” shall mean the Director of the Office of Labor Standards Enforcement or his or her designee.



“Contracting Department” shall mean the City department, office, board, commission or other City agency that enters into the applicable COVID-19 Essential Service Contract on behalf of the City.

“Contracting Parties” shall mean Contractors and Subcontractors,

“Contractor” shall mean the person or entity that enters into a COVID-19 Essential Service Contract with the City.

“Covered Employee” shall mean an Employee of a Contractor or Subcontractor who works on a COVID-19 Essential Service Contract or Subcontract for 2 hours or more per Week within the geographic boundaries of the City. A Contractor or Subcontractor may not divide an Employee’s time between working on a COVID-19 Essential Service Contract and working on other duties with the intent of reducing the number of Covered Employees working on the COVID-19 Essential Service Contract to evade compliance with this Order.

Notwithstanding the foregoing, the term “Covered Employee” does not include the following:

- (1) Any Employee under the age of eighteen (18) who is a student, provided that the Employee does not replace, displace or lower the wage or benefits of any existing position or Employee, or any Employee who is (A) a temporary Employee hired for a time-limited period, and (B) for that period is receiving academic credit or completing mandatory hours for professional licensure or certification, and (C) the Employee does not replace, displace or lower the wage or benefits of an existing position or Employee; or
- (2) Any Employee employed as a trainee in a bona fide training program consistent with Federal law, which training program enables the Employee to advance into a permanent position, provided that the Employee does not replace, displace or lower the wage or benefits of any existing position or Employee; or
- (3) Any Employee that the Contracting Party is required to pay no less than the “prevailing rate of wage” in accordance with Section A7.204 of Appendix A to the City’s Charter or any provision of the San Francisco Administrative Code; or



(4) Any disabled Employee who: (A) is covered by a current sub-minimum wage certificate issued to the employer by the U.S. Department of Labor; or (B) would be covered by such a certificate but for the fact that the employer is paying a wage equal to or higher than the minimum wage; or

(5) Any Employee of a Nonprofit Corporation who is a temporary employee, hired on an hourly or per diem basis to replace a regular employee during a temporary absence from the workplace.

“COVID-19 Essential Service Contract” shall mean an agreement procured during the local emergency regarding the COVID-19 pandemic declared by the Mayor on February 25, 2020, between a City department and any person or entity for purposes of providing the following services at the expense of the City as part of the City’s emergency response effort: food service, delivery of food, janitorial services, and cleaning services. COVID-19 Essential Service Contract shall not include agreements for the procurement of supplies and materials, such as personal protective equipment and medical supplies. COVID-19 Essential Service Contract shall not include agreements with a duration of one year or more, and shall not include amendments to or renewals of any agreement that existed prior to the date of this Order. Any solicitation for a COVID-19 Essential Service Contract shall indicate that this Order applies to agreements awarded from the solicitation.

“Employee” shall mean any person who is employed by a Contracting Party, including part-time and temporary employees. “Employee” includes any worker considered an employee under Section 2750.3 of the California Labor Code, including workers for on-demand delivery services such as online or mobile application-based delivery platforms or network companies that engage persons, through online or app-based platforms, to deliver food products or other consumer products.

“Health Director” shall mean the Director of the Department of Public Health, or the Health Director’s designee.

“Nonprofit Corporation” shall mean a nonprofit corporation, duly organized and in good standing, which has established and maintains valid nonprofit status under Section 501(c)(3) of the Internal Revenue Code.



“Subcontract” shall mean an agreement between a Contractor and a person or entity pursuant to which the person or entity agrees to perform all or a portion of the services covered by a COVID-19 Essential Service Contract.

“Subcontractor” shall mean a person or entity that enters into a Subcontract.

“Week” shall mean a consecutive seven-day period. If the Contracting Party’s regular pay period is other than a seven-day period, the number of hours worked by an employee during a seven-day Week for purposes of this Order; shall be calculated by adjusting the number of hours actually worked during the Contracting Party’s regular pay period to determine the average over a seven-day Week. However, such period of averaging shall not exceed a duration of one month.

(b) With respect to each Covered Employee, each Contracting Party shall offer to the Covered Employee health plan benefits that meet minimum standards prepared by the Health Director and that provide coverage to the Covered Employee’s dependents. The minimum standards shall provide for a maximum period for each Covered Employee’s health benefits to become effective, no later than the first day from the start of employment on a COVID-19 Essential Service Contract;

Notwithstanding the above, if, at the time a COVID-19 Essential Service Contract is executed, the Contracting Party has 10 or fewer employees (or, in the case of a Nonprofit Corporation, 25 or fewer employees), including any employees the Contracting Party plans to hire to implement the COVID-19 Essential Service Contract, the Contracting Party shall not be obligated to provide the health plan benefits required by this Order. In determining the number of employees had by a Contracting Party, all employees of all entities that own or control the Contracting Party and that the Contracting Party owns or controls, shall be included.

(c) Each Contracting Party that enters into a COVID-19 Essential Service Contract shall agree:

- (1) To comply with the requirements of this Order;
- (2) To comply with regulations adopted by the Agency pursuant to this Order;



(3) To maintain employee and payroll records in compliance with the California Labor Code and Industrial Welfare Commission orders, including the number of hours each employee has worked on the COVID-19 Essential Service Contract. If the Contracting Party fails to maintain records that accurately reflect the number of hours each employee has worked on the COVID-19 Essential Service Contract, it shall be presumed that any employee who has worked on a COVID-19 Essential Service Contract is a Covered Employee under this Order;

(4) To provide information and reports to the City in accordance with any reporting standards promulgated by the Agency in consultation with the Director of Health;

(5) To provide the City with access to pertinent payroll records relating to the number of employees employed and terms of medical coverage after receiving a written request to do so and being provided at least ten (10) business days to respond;

(6) To allow the City to inspect Contracting Parties' job sites and have access to Contracting Parties' employees in order to monitor and determine compliance with this Chapter;

(7) To cooperate with the Agency when it conducts audits;

(8) To include in every COVID-19 Essential Service Contract subject to this Order provisions requiring compliance with this Order, consistent with any directives or standards adopted by the Agency;

(9) To notify the Contracting Department promptly of any Subcontractors performing services covered by this Order and certify to the Contracting Department that it has notified the Subcontractors of their obligations under this Order; and

(10) To represent and warrant that it is not an entity that was set up, or is being used, for the purpose of evading the intent of this Order.

(d) A Contracting Party shall not discharge, reduce in compensation, or otherwise discriminate against any Employee for notifying the City regarding the Contracting Party's noncompliance or anticipated noncompliance with this Order, for opposing any



practice proscribed by this Order, for participating in proceedings related to this Chapter, or for seeking to assert or enforce any rights under this Order by any lawful means.

(e) The Agency, in consultation with the Department of Public Health, is authorized to issue any necessary rules and guidance consistent with this Order. The Agency shall monitor Contracting Parties for compliance and investigate complaints of violations. The Agency shall monitor Contracting Parties for compliance and investigate complaints of violations. The Agency shall promulgate rules for investigation and determination of violations that comply with Section 12Q.5.2 of the Administrative Code to the extent feasible.

(f) In addition to any other rights or remedies available to the City under the terms of any agreement of a Contracting Party or under applicable law, the City shall have the following rights:

(1) The right, at the discretion of the Contracting Department, to terminate the COVID-19 Essential Service Contract;

(2) The right, at the discretion of either the Contracting Department or the Agency, to bar a Contracting Party from entering into future contracts with the City for three (3) years; and

(3) The right to bring a civil action against the Contractor to pursue the remedies provided by this Order and other applicable law. The prevailing party shall be entitled to all costs and expenses, including reasonable attorney's fees.

(g) Each Contractor shall be responsible for its Subcontractors with respect to compliance with this Order. If a Subcontractor fails to comply, the City may pursue the remedies set forth in this Section against the Contractor based on the Subcontractor's failure to comply, provided that the Contracting Department has first provided the Contractor with notice and an opportunity to obtain a cure of the violation.

(h) The Agency may compromise and settle unlitigated claims against Contracting Parties for violations of contractual provisions required by this Order.



(i) All Contracting Parties and Contracting Departments shall cooperate fully with the Agency in connection with any investigation of an alleged violation of this Order or with any inspection conducted by the Agency.

(j) The Agency Director or designee, in consultation with the Department of Public Health, shall waive the requirements of this Order when the Contracting Department has provided justification to the Agency Director, and the Agency Director has found that one of the following circumstances exists:

(1) The needed service, project or property arrangement under the Contract is available only from a sole source;

(2) There are no qualified responsive bidders or prospective vendors that comply with the requirements of this Order and the agreement is for a service that is essential to the City or the public;

(3) The public interest warrants the granting of a waiver because application of this Order would constitute an adverse impact on services or an unreasonable adverse financial impact on the City.

(l) All or any portion of the applicable requirements of this Order may be waived in a bona fide collective bargaining agreement, provided that such waiver is explicitly set forth in such agreement in clear and unambiguous terms.

(m) This Order shall apply to COVID-19 Essential Service Contracts first advertised, solicited, or initiated after May 13, 2020. This Order shall remain in place during the local emergency unless terminated earlier by the Mayor. Contractual obligations created under this Order shall survive expiration or termination of this Order and will run with the term of the COVID-19 Essential Service Contract.

DATED: May 13, 2020

A handwritten signature in blue ink, reading "London N. Breed".

London N. Breed
Mayor of San Francisco

From: [Mchugh, Eileen \(BOS\)](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#); [BOS-Administrative Aides](#)
Cc: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#); [Ng, Wilson \(BOS\)](#); [Laxamana, Junko \(BOS\)](#); [PEARSON, ANNE \(CAT\)](#)
Subject: Fwd: New order re SNF testing, cooperation, and reporting (Order No. C19-13)
Date: Friday, May 8, 2020 8:45:28 AM
Attachments: [2020.05.07 FINAL signed Order No. C19-13 SNF Testing, Cooperation, and Reporting.pdf](#)
[2020.05.07 FINAL signed Order No. C19-13 SNF Testing, Cooperation, and Reporting 14 point.pdf](#)

Hello Supervisors,

Please see the attached Health Order No C19-13.

Thank you,

Eileen McHugh
Executive Assistant to the Clerk of the Board
Board of Supervisors
415-554-5184

Get [Outlook for iOS](#)

From: Patil, Sneha (DPH) <sneha.patil@sfdph.org>
Sent: Friday, May 8, 2020 8:38 AM
To: Calvillo, Angela (BOS); Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)
Subject: Fw: New order re SNF testing, cooperation, and reporting (Order No. C19-13)

Hi Angela,

Attached please find the final health order regarding SNFs and testing, coordination, reporting, and guidance. This order applies to all SNFs in the City.

Thanks,
Sneha

Sneha Patil, MPH
Director, Office of Policy and Planning
San Francisco Department of Public Health
sneha.patil@sfdph.org | 415-554-2795



ORDER OF THE HEALTH OFFICER No. C19-13

**ORDER OF THE HEALTH OFFICER
OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO
REQUIRING TESTING OF RESIDENTS AND PERSONNEL AT CERTAIN
RESIDENTIAL FACILITIES AND REQUIRING THOSE FACILITIES TO
COMPLY WITH TESTING, REPORTING, AND GUIDANCE
REQUIREMENTS OF THE SAN FRANCISCO DEPARTMENT OF
PUBLIC HEALTH**

(PUBLIC HEALTH EMERGENCY ORDER)

DATE OF ORDER: May 7, 2020

Please read this Order carefully. Violation of or failure to comply with this Order is a misdemeanor punishable by fine, imprisonment, or both. (California Health and Safety Code § 120275, 120295, *et seq.*; Cal. Penal Code §§ 69, 148(a)(1); San Francisco Administrative Code §7.17(b))

Summary: The Health Officer of the City and County of San Francisco (the “City”) issued a shelter-in-place order on March 16, 2020, in an effort to reduce the impact of the virus that causes novel Coronavirus Disease 2019 (“COVID-19”). That virus is easily transmitted, especially in group settings, and the disease can be extremely serious. It can require long hospital stays, and in some instances it can cause long-term health consequences or death. It can impact not only those known to be at high risk based on age or certain medical conditions but also other people, regardless of age or underlying health condition. This is a global pandemic causing untold societal, personal, and economic harm. Capitalized terms used in this Order are generally defined in Sections 5 through 9 below.

Each Facility that is subject to this Order is a congregate living facility that houses many Residents who are at risk of contracting COVID-19 and experiencing serious health outcomes. And to the extent that Residents or Personnel at each Facility that is subject to this Order contract the virus that causes COVID-19, they can unknowingly transmit the virus to other Residents and Personnel. The virus can accordingly spread throughout each Facility, thereby putting the health and lives of all Residents and Personnel at risk. To prevent virus transmission, it is important for each Facility to follow best practices for infection control, to respond quickly and appropriately when suspected or confirmed cases of infection are identified through screening and testing, and to report information about test results, supply levels, and other factors. Best practices to reduce the risk of viral transmission include monitoring for signs of infection in Residents and Staff, separating and testing those with symptoms consistent with suspected infection, and testing Residents and Personnel who have no symptoms on a repeated basis to identify asymptomatic infections. Through such monitoring and testing, it is possible to identify those who carry the virus and to separate them from others, reducing the risk of transmission, while also taking steps to provide any necessary care. Through such



ORDER OF THE HEALTH OFFICER No. C19-13

actions Facilities can further protect their Residents and Personnel. Accordingly, this Order requires each listed Facility to follow the Testing, Collaboration, Reporting, and Guidance requirements of the San Francisco Department of Public Health (“DPH”), and the Order also requires that all Residents and Personnel of each Facility comply with this Order’s Testing requirements. Many of the activities that will be required under this order are preventative in nature, providing the most protection for Residents and Personnel. In addition, certain Facilities will be contacted sooner than others in order to best implement the protections of this Order in a strategic manner.

This Order goes into effect at 5:00 p.m. on May 8, 2020, and continues indefinitely until it is repealed, modified, or replaced by the Health Officer of the City and County of San Francisco. The Health Officer may revise this Order as the situation evolves, and each Facility must stay updated by checking DPH websites (www.sfdph.org/covid-19 and sfcdcp.org/covid-19) regularly.

This Order complements and does not replace other orders issued by the Health Officer related to visitation and other infection control practices at certain facilities throughout the City, including Health Officer Order Nos. C19-01b (prohibiting visitors at Laguna Honda Hospital and Rehabilitation Center and Unit 4A at Zuckerberg San Francisco General Hospital), C19-03 (prohibiting visitors to specific residential facilities), C19-06 (prohibiting visitors to general acute care hospitals and acute psychiatric hospitals), and C19-11 (placing Laguna Honda Hospital and Rehabilitation Center under protective quarantine). All of these listed orders work in tandem with this Order to protect Residents and Personnel at these facilities.

This summary is for convenience only and may not be used to interpret this Order; in the event of any inconsistency between the summary and the text of this Order below, the text will control.

**UNDER THE AUTHORITY OF CALIFORNIA HEALTH AND SAFETY CODE
SECTIONS 101040, 101085, 120130, 120175, 120176, AND 120220, THE HEALTH
OFFICER OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO (“HEALTH
OFFICER”) ORDERS:**

- 1. Capitalized terms used in this Order have the definitions provided in this Order. This Order goes into effect at 5:00 p.m. on May 8, 2020, and continues until the Order is revoked, replaced, or amended by the Health Officer. While this Order is in effect, all Residents living at and all Personnel working at each Facility must comply with this Order’s Testing requirements (described in Section 5) and any other requirements listed for Residents and Personnel. In addition, each Facility must comply with this Order’s Testing, Cooperation, Reporting, and Guidance requirements (described in Sections 5, 6, 7, and 8). The Testing, Cooperation, Reporting, and Guidance requirements all serve to protect the health and well-being of Facility Residents and Personnel in light of the COVID-19 pandemic. Absent compliance with this Order’s requirements, Residents and Personnel are at**



ORDER OF THE HEALTH OFFICER No. C19-13

increased risk of infection by the virus that causes COVID-19.

2. At this point in the global pandemic, the seriousness of the virus that causes COVID-19 is clear. The virus is easily transmitted, especially in health care and congregate living settings. People who are older and/or who have certain underlying medical conditions are especially vulnerable to the most serious outcomes from infection, including death, but there are documented cases of serious outcomes even absent these risk factors. It is easy for the virus to be transmitted between and among Personnel or Residents, including by those without symptoms (asymptomatic people) or those with mild symptoms. There can also be a substantial delay between contracting the virus and having symptoms, and it is possible to transmit the virus during this pre-symptomatic period. Across the United States and around the world there is substantial evidence of how quickly the virus moves through congregate living facilities, often resulting in high incidence of negative outcomes. In such settings, taking strong steps to prevent transmission is recommended by the United States Centers for Disease Control and Prevention ("CDC"). For example, risk factors associated with tragic outcomes at a skilled nursing facility in Washington State have been documented here: <https://www.cdc.gov/mmwr/volumes/69/wr/mm6912e1.htm>. Such steps include Resident and Personnel screening and testing, restrictions on visitors, strong infection control programs, and limiting contact between those with the virus and those who have not contracted it. In addition to testing, it is also important for each Facility to follow best practices for infection control, to respond quickly and appropriately when suspected or confirmed positive cases are identified, and to report information about test results, supply levels, and other factors. Through such actions Facilities can further protect their Residents and Personnel. Given these facts, it is imperative that Testing occur and that each Facility take all necessary and appropriate steps to minimize the risk to both Residents and Staff, including those steps required under this Order.
3. This Order is also issued in light of the existence, as of May 7, 2020, of 1,754 confirmed cases of infection by the COVID-19 virus in the City, including a significant and increasing number of cases of community transmission. In addition, since the start of the pandemic there have been at least 11 outbreaks in Facilities in the City. This number highlights just how important it is to take steps to protect Residents and Staff alike. In light of these facts, this Order is necessary to slow the rate of spread to each Facility, and the Health Officer will continue to assess the quickly evolving situation and may modify this Order, or issue additional Orders, related to COVID-19, as changing circumstances dictate.
4. This Order is also issued in accordance with, and incorporates by reference, the April 29, 2020 Shelter in Place Order (Order No. C19-07c) issued by the Health Officer, the March 4, 2020 Proclamation of a State of Emergency issued by Governor Gavin Newsom, the March 12, 2020 Executive Order (Executive Order N-25-20) issued by Governor Newsom, the February 25, 2020 Proclamation by Mayor London Breed Declaring the Existence of a Local Emergency (as supplemented



ORDER OF THE HEALTH OFFICER No. C19-13

several times after its issuance), the March 6, 2020 Declaration of Local Health Emergency Regarding Novel Coronavirus 2019 (COVID-19) issued by the Health Officer, other emergency actions by the City and California, and guidance issued by the California Department of Public Health (“CDPH”) and the CDC, as each of them have been and may be supplemented.

5. **Testing.** For purposes of this Order, “Testing” means the use of a test related to the virus that causes COVID-19, whether a diagnostic test (testing for active infection), antibody test, or any other test. Testing must occur as described in this Section 5. As described in more detail below, all Residents living at, and all Personnel working at, a Facility must submit to Testing as required by DPH or by the Facility in Cooperation, and each Facility must follow the direction of DPH regarding Testing of Residents and Personnel. DPH may require testing of Residents or Personnel to be performed by DPH or by a third-party agent of DPH onsite at the Facility, and in that instance testing must occur onsite as required by DPH.

a. In relation to Resident Testing:

- i. For the duration of this Order, each Resident of the Facility must submit to Testing, as directed by the Facility, including as directed in Cooperation with DPH, or as otherwise directed by DPH, as further provided below in this Section 5.
- ii. Except solely as provided in subsections iii through v below, any Resident who is directed by the Facility or DPH to have a test must permit the test to be performed as directed.
- iii. If a Resident has an Authorized Decision Maker who is currently making health care decisions for the Resident, including but not limited to a conservator, the Facility must ask the Authorized Decision Maker of that Resident for consent to perform the test and must notify the Authorized Decision Maker that the Resident is required by this Order to have the test.
- iv. No test is permitted to be conducted on a Resident if the test cannot be administered safely, as determined by a physician providing care to the Resident or DPH. In the event of a conflict regarding whether a test can be administered safely, the decision of DPH controls.
- v. This Order does not authorize forcible administration of a test against a Resident’s will or without the consent of the Resident’s Authorized Decision Maker (if the Resident does not have capacity to consent to the test) absent an additional lawful order requiring a forced test.
- vi. The Facility must promptly (within 24 hours) notify DPH to obtain assistance if a Facility Resident is unable to be tested, is unwilling to



ORDER OF THE HEALTH OFFICER No. C19-13

comply with the requirements of this Order, or lacks capacity to make health care decisions and the Resident's Authorized Decision Maker declines to consent to the testing or other requirements of this Order.

- vii. The Facility must document the Testing results for each Resident in the Resident's medical record (or other individual care record if there is no medical record) kept by the Facility. If a Resident is unable to be tested or the Authorized Decision Maker refuses to consent to testing, that must be documented.
- viii. Each Facility must immediately (within one hour) report to DPH any positive test result of a Resident as required by subsection 5.d below. The Facility must also otherwise report all test results as required by law.

b. In relation to Personnel Testing:

- i. For the duration of this Order, all Facility Personnel must submit to Testing, as directed by the Facility in Cooperation with DPH or as directed by DPH, as outlined in this Section 5.
- ii. Any member of Facility's Personnel who is directed by the Facility or DPH to have a test must permit the test to be performed in the manner directed. The refusal by any member of a Facility's Personnel to be tested is a violation of this Order. A Facility must not permit any member of its Personnel to come to work or otherwise enter the Facility's premises if that person refuses to be tested, unless expressly permitted by DPH.
- iii. The Facility must document Testing results and any refusal to test or to have third-party Testing results disclosed to the Facility for Facility Personnel in a secure and confidential manner, and the Facility must maintain the confidentiality of information about Testing results of Personnel and may only share such information as permitted or required by law.
- iv. Each Facility must immediately (within one hour) report any positive test result of a member of the Facility's Personnel as required by subsection 5.d below. The Facility must also otherwise report all test results as required by law.
- v. To the extent that the Facility is required to ensure that its Personnel are tested and the test is not conducted by DPH or an agent of DPH, the Facility must conduct the Testing program as required by DPH and obtain and keep a record of the test result from each member of the Facility's Personnel, whether a copy of the result is provided to



ORDER OF THE HEALTH OFFICER No. C19-13

the Facility by the tested person directly or by the lab or provider that conducted the test. In such situations, the member of the Facility's Personnel who was tested must take all steps necessary to ensure the Facility receives the result within 48 hours if negative and within 8 hours if positive, whether by providing a written copy of the test result to the Facility or by signing a release permitting the testing lab or ordering provider to share the result directly with the Facility. When Testing is not performed by DPH, the Facility must prohibit each Personnel member who was tested from returning to work until the Facility receives proof of the test's outcome within the timeframe required in this subsection except to the extent that DPH Guidance or other DPH direction allows a return to work. In all instances covered by this subsection, it is the tested person's responsibility to ensure that the result is provided to the Facility and that it is received in a timely manner.

- vi. No member of the Facility's Personnel may come to work or otherwise enter the Facility's premises after receiving a positive test result except as permitted by DPH Guidance (as defined in Section 8 below) regarding allowing someone to return to work after a positive test result. If a member of the Facility's Personnel is awaiting a test result, that person may only come to work or otherwise enter the Facility's premises as permitted by DPH Guidance.

c. The following requirements apply to all Testing:

- i. The Facility in coordination with DPH, or DPH independently, may require Testing of Facility Residents and Personnel on an ongoing basis, including repeat Testing. Such Testing may include a random sample of Residents and Personnel.
- ii. Testing may be conducted by DPH, by the Facility, or by a third party, as directed by DPH.
- iii. This Order requires Residents and Personnel to comply with Facility infection control and other protocols based on the results of any test, including as directed by DPH, as outlined in DPH Guidance, or as outlined by CDPH or CDC guidance. This includes, by way of example and without limitation, isolation, quarantine, cohorting of Residents or Personnel, and transfer of Residents as outlined by DPH Guidance or as otherwise directed by DPH.
- iv. All Testing must be done using tests that are approved by the United States Food and Drug Administration.



ORDER OF THE HEALTH OFFICER No. C19-13

- v. The Facility must share a Resident's Testing results with that Resident (including the Resident's Authorized Decision Maker) and must share a Personnel member's Testing results with the tested person, consistent with DPH Guidance and as otherwise required by law.
 - vi. If Testing is able to be conducted without use of a laboratory to process the test, then such Testing results are subject to this Order.
 - vii. When testing of Residents or Personnel is required by this Order, the Facility must promptly notify each Resident (including any Authorized Decision Maker as required by Section 5.a.iii) or member of its Personnel who is subject to Testing of the testing requirements of this Order. The Facility must include in such notification a summary of all Testing-related aspects of this Order and an offer to provide a copy of this Order on request. A sample letter discussing testing requirements, which may be modified as appropriate, is attached to this Order as Appendix B.
- d. The Facility must immediately report (within one hour) all positive Testing results of Residents and Personnel as well as any other confirmed COVID-19 diagnoses or positive tests of Residents or Personnel (such as when a Resident who is transferred to the Facility is a confirmed carrier of the virus or when a member of the Personnel reports they recently had a positive test result outside the work context) as follows:
- i. To DPH Communicable Disease Control (CD Control) at 415-554-2830;
- AND**
- ii. For any Skilled Nursing Facility or General Acute Care Hospital, also to the California Department of Public Health Licensing and Certification District Office at 415-330-6353.
 - iii. If DPH conducted the Testing that requires reporting under subsection 5.d, the Facility is not required to report the result back to DPH but must still make the other report required by subsection 5.d.ii.
 - iv. In relation to reporting positive test results for Residents or Personnel or a confirmed COVID-19 diagnosis, the Facility must provide all information requested by DPH or the other entity to which a report is required.



ORDER OF THE HEALTH OFFICER No. C19-13

e. In relation to Testing, each Facility must do the following:

- i. The Facility must follow DPH direction or any individual Health Officer order to test specific Residents or Personnel, whether performing the tests itself or working with DPH or another testing provider to conduct the tests. Nothing in this Order prohibits a Facility from also testing Residents or Personnel at its own discretion.**
- ii. The Facility must facilitate Testing and respond to Testing results as appropriate, including taking action based on the results of Testing, pursuant to the Facility's infection control protocols, DPH Guidance, and CDPH and CDC guidelines. The Facility must take all steps required of the Facility by DPH in relation to positive, negative, pending, and inconclusive test results.**
- iii. The Facility must maintain written records of Testing as outlined by this Order.**
- iv. The Facility must share information about Testing with DPH as requested by DPH at any time.**

6. Cooperation. For purposes of this Order, "Cooperation" means working and collaborating with DPH and otherwise following the direction of DPH in relation to the Facility. The term "Cooperate" means the act of Cooperation. While this Order is in effect, each Facility must Cooperate with DPH. Such Cooperation includes, but is not limited to, all of the following:

- a. Promptly taking and responding to telephone calls, emails, and other inquiries and requests by representatives of DPH;**
- b. Permitting DPH personnel onsite without advance notice;**
- c. Responding to all DPH requests for information in a timely manner;**
- d. Taking steps required by DPH in relation to the operation of the Facility, including, but not limited to, placement of Residents, environmental changes, use of personal protective equipment ("PPE"), closing or re-purposing spaces, and changing staffing patterns or assignments;**
- e. Enacting policies or procedures required by DPH;**
- f. Communicating with Residents, Resident decision-makers and loved ones, and Personnel as directed by DPH;**
- g. Assisting with the assessment of Facility resources, procedures, and physical layout when requested, including by providing Personnel who can show DPH**



ORDER OF THE HEALTH OFFICER No. C19-13

staff any areas or required information;

- h. Disclosing to DPH staff protected health information and other medical information that relates to the subject matter of this Order, and such information must be protected by DPH as required by law;
 - i. Disclosing to DPH staff other information about Facility Personnel relevant to the subject matter of this Order so long as the disclosure of any confidential information under this subsection is limited to the minimum necessary for public health purposes, and any such information that is confidential must be protected by DPH as required by law;
 - j. Facilitating the safe transfer of any Resident to another Facility or other level of care based on the context as directed by DPH or the Facility's regulatory entity;
 - k. Maintaining a bed hold for any Facility Resident who is transferred to another location as a result of the current local health emergency and facilitating the safe return of that Resident at an appropriate time consistent with DPH Guidance or other DPH direction; and
 - l. Accepting, in an emergency context, a safe transfer of a new Resident to the Facility as directed by DPH, with DPH being the final decision maker as to whether the transfer is safe.
- 7. **Reporting.** For purposes of this Order, "Reporting" means collecting, organizing, analyzing, and sharing information and data with DPH or other entities as directed by DPH in any format requested by DPH. "Report" means the act of Reporting. As described in more detail below, each Facility must Report any information, as reasonably necessary to protect public health and the safety and well-being of Facility Residents and Personnel, as directed by DPH regarding the Facility and its operation. Such Reporting includes, but is not limited to, all of the following:
 - a. Collecting, organizing, analyzing, and sharing data about Residents and Personnel, including but not limited to the number of Residents and Personnel at the Facility, staffing and assignment information, the number of Residents and Personnel with a suspected or confirmed COVID-19 diagnosis, the number of Residents and Personnel who have tested positive for the virus that causes COVID-19, the number of Residents and Personnel who have been tested and when they were tested, and information on Personnel absences and vacancies;
 - b. Collecting, organizing, analyzing, and sharing data regarding supply levels (for example, PPE, testing, and cleaning supplies), including the use rate, amount in storage, and anticipated future deliveries;



ORDER OF THE HEALTH OFFICER No. C19-13

- c. Collecting, organizing, analyzing, and sharing other information related to the subject matter of this Order as requested by DPH;
- d. Providing such information within the timeframe required by DPH;
- e. Using tools provided or otherwise required by DPH for the purposes of collecting, organizing, analyzing, and sharing information; and
- f. Providing requested information in the format designated by DPH, whether in electronic, hardcopy, verbal, or any other format.

The recipient of any confidential information that is subject to Reporting under this Section shall maintain the confidentiality of that information except to the extent that re-disclosure is permitted by law.

Note that each Facility must also continue to report other information required by law, including but not limited to reports to the state agency that regulates the Facility. By way of example, Skilled Nursing Facilities have reporting obligations to CDPH related to: infectious disease outbreaks (see All Facilities Letter 19-18); Persons Under Investigation and positive test results (see All Facilities Letter 20-11); and daily reporting of current staffing levels, number of COVID-19 patients, and equipment availability (see All Facilities Letter 20-43.1). Each Facility must remain current on its compliance obligations and make any reports as required by those obligations.

- 8. **Guidance.** For purposes of this Order, “Guidance” means the information and guidelines published periodically by DPH regarding the operation of a Facility regarding COVID-19-related issues. As described in more detail below, each Facility must check and comply with all applicable Guidance issued by DPH and posted online at www.sfdph.org/covid-19 and at sfcdph.org/covid-19. A Facility must also comply with other written documentation provided by DPH to the Facility in other formats.
 - a. Each Facility must go to the websites listed in this Section 8 at least weekly to check for any update to DPH Guidance applicable to the Facility, including but not limited to the “SNF Interim Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19) Prevention and Management Protocol” and any updates to that document.
 - b. Each Facility must also review and follow other written Guidance provided by DPH to the Facility.
- 9. For purposes of this Order, the following terms have the listed meanings:
 - a. “Administrator” means the Chief Executive Officer, Facility Administrator, or other person designated by the Facility to supervise the operation of the



ORDER OF THE HEALTH OFFICER No. C19-13

Facility.

- b. **“Authorized Decision Maker” means someone who is authorized by law to make health care decisions on behalf of a Resident and who is currently making such decisions in relation to the Resident. For a Resident subject to a conservatorship, a conservator who has authority to make health care decisions for the Resident is an Authorized Decision Maker. An Authorized Decision Maker can also be someone who is making health care decisions for a Resident where the Resident lacks capacity to make those decisions, including a spouse, parent, or other family member of a Resident or someone who has durable power of attorney to make health care decisions for that Resident.**
 - c. **“Facility” means each facility listed on Appendix A to this Order, which is incorporated into the Order by this reference.**
 - d. **“Resident” means any person residing, including temporarily residing, in and receiving care of any kind from a Facility. The term Resident includes a patient.**
 - e. **“Personnel” means any employee, contractor, volunteer, or other agent of the Facility who works or performs duties onsite at the Facility at any time when this Order is in effect. The term Personnel also includes registry staff or other temporary staffing, who must comply with the requirements of this Order except to the extent an exception is granted by DPH.**
- 10. The Facility must provide a copy of this Order to any Resident, member of its Personnel, or any other person who requests a copy.**
 - 11. Failure to comply with any of the provisions of this Order constitutes an imminent threat, an immediate menace to public health, and a public nuisance. Accordingly, anyone who attempts to violate this Order is subject to fine, imprisonment, or both.**
 - 12. This Order may be rescinded, superseded, or amended in writing by the Health Officer or by the State Public Health Officer.**
 - 13. A Resident or the Resident’s Authorized Decision Maker may contact the Administrator of the Facility to seek clarification of any part of this Order.**
 - 14. DPH must serve a copy of this Order on the Facility’s Administrator prior to enforcement of this Order against any Facility.**
 - 15. If any provision of this Order or its application to any person or circumstance is held to be invalid, then the remainder of the Order, including the application of such part or provision to other people or circumstances, shall not be affected and**



**City and County of
San Francisco**

**Department of Public Health
Order of the Health Officer**

ORDER OF THE HEALTH OFFICER No. C19-13

shall continue in full force and effect. To this end, the provisions of this Order are severable.

IT IS SO ORDERED:

A handwritten signature in blue ink that reads "Tomás Aragón".

Tomás J. Aragón, MD, DrPH,
Health Officer of the
City and County of San Francisco

May 7, 2020



ORDER OF THE HEALTH OFFICER No. C19-13

Appendix A – List of Facilities

This Order applies to each facility listed below (each a “Facility”):

<u>Facility Name</u>	<u>Street Address</u>	<u>ZIP</u>
Lawton Skilled Nursing & Rehabilitation Center	1575 7th Ave	94122
San Francisco Health Care	1477 Grove St	94117
Central Gardens Post Acute	1355 Ellis St	94115
San Francisco Post Acute	5767 Mission St	94112
Hayes Convalescent Hospital	1250 Hayes St	94117
Heritage On The Marina	3400 Laguna St	94123
The Avenues Transitional Care Center	2043 19th Ave	94116
Laurel Heights Community Care	2740 California St	94115
Pacific Heights Transitional Care Center	2707 Pine St	94115
Tunnell Skilled Nursing & Rehabilitation Center	1359 Pine St	94109
Sequoias San Francisco Convalescent Hospital	1400 Geary Blvd	94109
Sheffield Convalescent Hospital	1133 S Van Ness Ave	94110
St. Anne's Home	300 Lake St	94118
Victorian Post Acute	2121 Pine St	94115
California Pacific Medical Center - Davies Campus Hospital D/P SNF	601 Duboce Ave	94117
Jewish Home & Rehab Center D/P SNF	302 Silver Ave	94112
San Francisco Towers	1661 Pine St	94109
Kentfield San Francisco Hospital (note – Kentfield San Francisco Hospital is not a Skilled Nursing Facility but is included in this Order based on its patient/resident mix)	450 Stanyan St, 6th Floor	94117
San Francisco General Hospital D/P SNF	1001 Potrero Ave	94110
Laguna Honda Hospital & Rehabilitation Ctr D/P SNF	375 Laguna Honda Blvd	94116

Note that the term “Facility” also includes the San Francisco VA Health Care System (“VAMC San Francisco”), located at 4150 Clement Street, San Francisco, CA 94121, to the extent that VAMC San Francisco includes skilled nursing facility-type care. But in relation solely to the VAMC San Francisco, this Order does not impose any duty to comply with this Order but instead (1) indicates that DPH is offering to work with VAMC San Francisco on the subject matter covered by this Order and (2) this Order provides recommendations for Testing, Cooperation, Reporting, and Guidance that VAMC San Francisco is strongly encouraged to follow.

Health Officer Order No. C19-13
Appendix B - Sample letter for residents and personnel (5/7/2020)

This letter should be modified by the Facility as appropriate, including being split into separate letters for residents and personnel as appropriate. Any letters must include details of testing requirements.

Dear ____ -

Since March 6, 2020, the City and County of San Francisco (the “City”) has been in a local health emergency, and on March 12, 2020, Governor Gavin Newsom declared a State of Emergency across California related to the COVID-19 pandemic. On May 7, 2020, the City’s Health Officer issued Health Officer Order No. C19-13 (the “Order”). The Order requires that **[Facility Name]** as well as its residents and personnel cooperate with the San Francisco Department of Public Health (“DPH”) in relation to the response to the current Coronavirus Disease 2019 (“COVID-19”) pandemic.

Skilled nursing facilities like **[Facility Name]** are especially vulnerable to the virus that causes COVID-19. It is easy for the virus to be transmitted between and among personnel or residents, including by those without symptoms (asymptomatic people) or those with mild symptoms. There can also be a substantial delay between contracting the virus and having symptoms, and it is possible to transmit the virus during this pre-symptomatic period. Across the United States and around the world there is substantial evidence of how quickly the virus moves through congregate living facilities, often resulting in high incidence of negative outcomes, including death. In order to help **[Facility Name]** protect its residents and personnel, DPH will be partnering with us pursuant to the Order to take as many steps as possible to protect you. Such steps include resident and personnel screening and testing, restrictions on visitors, strong infection control programs, and limiting contact between those with the virus and those who have not contracted it.

One particular aspect of the Order is that it requires residents and personnel to submit to testing for the virus that causes COVID-19. This is the case regardless of whether someone has been tested before and regardless of whether someone has symptoms. This is especially important because the virus can be carried and transmitted by someone who does not show symptoms for weeks. In order to help **[Facility Name]** avoid the inadvertent spread of the virus, we will be working with DPH to increase testing.

For residents, the Order requires testing. And for residents with an authorized decision maker who helps them with making decisions, that person will be consulted and asked for agreement. It is critical that all residents cooperate with this testing, including testing that will occur over time, in order to protect everyone at **[Facility Name]**. No test will be given to a resident if the test cannot be administered safely. And we will support all residents through this process.

For personnel, the Order also requires testing. We fully expect everyone to cooperate given that this is not only for the protection of each staff member, but more importantly for every resident who lives here. Some tests may be conducted on-site by DPH as required by DPH. When the test is conducted off-site, the Order requires that personnel share proof of the test result with **[Facility Name]**. Any member the **[Facility Name]**’s personnel who refuses to be tested or who does not ensure results are shared with the Facility when required cannot return to work until permitted by DPH.

Residents and personnel alike will be supported when and if we find the virus, and having better knowledge due to this testing will help us stop the virus from spreading. **[Facility Name]** wants to ensure that everyone receives appropriate support when there is a positive result while also taking steps with input from DPH to ensure everyone at **[Facility Name]** is protected.

We appreciate your cooperation with the Order. If you (or if your decision maker, if you are a resident) wants to receive a copy of the Order, please let us know and we will make sure you receive a copy. A copy of the Order is also available online at www.sfdph.org/covid-19. Finally, if you have any questions about the Order or the situation, please be sure to let us know. Together we can keep everyone at **[Facility Name]** safe and healthy during this emergency.

Sincerely, etc.



ORDER OF THE HEALTH OFFICER No. C19-13

**ORDER OF THE HEALTH OFFICER
OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO
REQUIRING TESTING OF RESIDENTS AND PERSONNEL AT CERTAIN
RESIDENTIAL FACILITIES AND REQUIRING THOSE FACILITIES TO
COMPLY WITH TESTING, REPORTING, AND GUIDANCE
REQUIREMENTS OF THE SAN FRANCISCO DEPARTMENT OF
PUBLIC HEALTH**

(PUBLIC HEALTH EMERGENCY ORDER)

DATE OF ORDER: May 7, 2020

**Please read this Order carefully. Violation of or failure to comply with this Order is a misdemeanor punishable by fine, imprisonment, or both.
(California Health and Safety Code § 120275, 120295, *et seq.*; Cal. Penal Code §§ 69, 148(a)(1); San Francisco Administrative Code §7.17(b))**

Summary: The Health Officer of the City and County of San Francisco (the “City”) issued a shelter-in-place order on March 16, 2020, in an effort to reduce the impact of the virus that causes novel Coronavirus Disease 2019 (“COVID-19”). That virus is easily transmitted, especially in group settings, and the disease can be extremely serious. It can require long hospital stays, and in some instances it can cause long-term health consequences or death. It can impact not only those known to be at high risk based on age or certain medical conditions but also other people, regardless of age or underlying health condition. This is a global pandemic causing untold societal, personal, and economic harm. Capitalized terms used in this Order are generally defined in Sections 5 through 9 below.

Each Facility that is subject to this Order is a congregate living facility that houses many Residents who are at risk of contracting COVID-19 and experiencing serious health outcomes. And to the extent that Residents or Personnel at each Facility that is subject to this Order contract the virus that causes COVID-19, they can unknowingly transmit the virus to other Residents and Personnel. The virus can accordingly spread throughout each Facility, thereby putting the health and lives of all Residents and Personnel at risk. To prevent virus transmission, it is important for each Facility to follow best practices for infection control, to respond quickly and appropriately when suspected or confirmed cases of infection are identified



ORDER OF THE HEALTH OFFICER No. C19-13

through screening and testing, and to report information about test results, supply levels, and other factors. Best practices to reduce the risk of viral transmission include monitoring for signs of infection in Residents and Staff, separating and testing those with symptoms consistent with suspected infection, and testing Residents and Personnel who have no symptoms on a repeated basis to identify asymptomatic infections. Through such monitoring and testing, it is possible to identify those who carry the virus and to separate them from others, reducing the risk of transmission, while also taking steps to provide any necessary care. Through such actions Facilities can further protect their Residents and Personnel. Accordingly, this Order requires each listed Facility to follow the Testing, Collaboration, Reporting, and Guidance requirements of the San Francisco Department of Public Health (“DPH”), and the Order also requires that all Residents and Personnel of each Facility comply with this Order’s Testing requirements. Many of the activities that will be required under this order are preventative in nature, providing the most protection for Residents and Personnel. In addition, certain Facilities will be contacted sooner than others in order to best implement the protections of this Order in a strategic manner.

This Order goes into effect at 5:00 p.m. on May 8, 2020, and continues indefinitely until it is repealed, modified, or replaced by the Health Officer of the City and County of San Francisco. The Health Officer may revise this Order as the situation evolves, and each Facility must stay updated by checking DPH websites (www.sfdph.org/covid-19 and sfdcph.org/covid-19) regularly.

This Order complements and does not replace other orders issued by the Health Officer related to visitation and other infection control practices at certain facilities throughout the City, including Health Officer Order Nos. C19-01b (prohibiting visitors at Laguna Honda Hospital and Rehabilitation Center and Unit 4A at Zuckerberg San Francisco General Hospital), C19-03 (prohibiting visitors to specific residential facilities), C19-06 (prohibiting visitors to general acute care hospitals and acute psychiatric hospitals), and C19-11 (placing Laguna Honda Hospital and Rehabilitation Center under protective quarantine). All of these listed orders work in tandem with this Order to protect Residents and Personnel at these facilities.



ORDER OF THE HEALTH OFFICER No. C19-13

This summary is for convenience only and may not be used to interpret this Order; in the event of any inconsistency between the summary and the text of this Order below, the text will control.

**UNDER THE AUTHORITY OF CALIFORNIA HEALTH AND SAFETY
CODE SECTIONS 101040, 101085, 120130, 120175, 120176, AND 120220,
THE HEALTH OFFICER OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN
FRANCISCO (“HEALTH OFFICER”) ORDERS:**

- 1. Capitalized terms used in this Order have the definitions provided in this Order. This Order goes into effect at 5:00 p.m. on May 8, 2020, and continues until the Order is revoked, replaced, or amended by the Health Officer. While this Order is in effect, all Residents living at and all Personnel working at each Facility must comply with this Order’s Testing requirements (described in Section 5) and any other requirements listed for Residents and Personnel. In addition, each Facility must comply with this Order’s Testing, Cooperation, Reporting, and Guidance requirements (described in Sections 5, 6, 7, and 8). The Testing, Cooperation, Reporting, and Guidance requirements all serve to protect the health and well-being of Facility Residents and Personnel in light of the COVID-19 pandemic. Absent compliance with this Order’s requirements, Residents and Personnel are at increased risk of infection by the virus that causes COVID-19.**
- 2. At this point in the global pandemic, the seriousness of the virus that causes COVID-19 is clear. The virus is easily transmitted, especially in health care and congregate living settings. People who are older and/or who have certain underlying medical conditions are especially vulnerable to the most serious outcomes from infection, including death, but there are documented cases of serious outcomes even absent these risk factors. It is easy for the virus to be transmitted between and among Personnel or Residents, including by those without symptoms (asymptomatic people) or those with mild symptoms. There can also be a substantial delay between contracting the virus and having symptoms, and it is possible to transmit the virus during this pre-symptomatic period. Across the United States and around the world there is substantial evidence of how quickly the virus moves through congregate living facilities, often resulting in high incidence of negative outcomes. In such settings, taking strong steps to prevent transmission is**



ORDER OF THE HEALTH OFFICER No. C19-13

recommended by the United States Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (“CDC”). For example, risk factors associated with tragic outcomes at a skilled nursing facility in Washington State have been documented here:

<https://www.cdc.gov/mmwr/volumes/69/wr/mm6912e1.htm>. Such steps include Resident and Personnel screening and testing, restrictions on visitors, strong infection control programs, and limiting contact between those with the virus and those who have not contracted it. In addition to testing, it is also important for each Facility to follow best practices for infection control, to respond quickly and appropriately when suspected or confirmed positive cases are identified, and to report information about test results, supply levels, and other factors. Through such actions Facilities can further protect their Residents and Personnel. Given these facts, it is imperative that Testing occur and that each Facility take all necessary and appropriate steps to minimize the risk to both Residents and Staff, including those steps required under this Order.

3. This Order is also issued in light of the existence, as of May 7, 2020, of 1,754 confirmed cases of infection by the COVID-19 virus in the City, including a significant and increasing number of cases of community transmission. In addition, since the start of the pandemic there have been at least 11 outbreaks in Facilities in the City. This number highlights just how important it is to take steps to protect Residents and Staff alike. In light of these facts, this Order is necessary to slow the rate of spread to each Facility, and the Health Officer will continue to assess the quickly evolving situation and may modify this Order, or issue additional Orders, related to COVID-19, as changing circumstances dictate.
4. This Order is also issued in accordance with, and incorporates by reference, the April 29, 2020 Shelter in Place Order (Order No. C19-07c) issued by the Health Officer, the March 4, 2020 Proclamation of a State of Emergency issued by Governor Gavin Newsom, the March 12, 2020 Executive Order (Executive Order N-25-20) issued by Governor Newsom, the February 25, 2020 Proclamation by Mayor London Breed Declaring the Existence of a Local Emergency (as supplemented several times after its issuance), the March 6, 2020 Declaration of Local Health Emergency Regarding Novel Coronavirus 2019 (COVID-19) issued by



ORDER OF THE HEALTH OFFICER No. C19-13

the Health Officer, other emergency actions by the City and California, and guidance issued by the California Department of Public Health (“CDPH”) and the CDC, as each of them have been and may be supplemented.

- 5. Testing.** For purposes of this Order, “Testing” means the use of a test related to the virus that causes COVID-19, whether a diagnostic test (testing for active infection), antibody test, or any other test. Testing must occur as described in this Section 5. As described in more detail below, all Residents living at, and all Personnel working at, a Facility must submit to Testing as required by DPH or by the Facility in Cooperation, and each Facility must follow the direction of DPH regarding Testing of Residents and Personnel. DPH may require testing of Residents or Personnel to be performed by DPH or by a third-party agent of DPH onsite at the Facility, and in that instance testing must occur onsite as required by DPH.

a. In relation to Resident Testing:

- i. For the duration of this Order, each Resident of the Facility must submit to Testing, as directed by the Facility, including as directed in Cooperation with DPH, or as otherwise directed by DPH, as further provided below in this Section 5.**
- ii. Except solely as provided in subsections iii through v below, any Resident who is directed by the Facility or DPH to have a test must permit the test to be performed as directed.**
- iii. If a Resident has an Authorized Decision Maker who is currently making health care decisions for the Resident, including but not limited to a conservator, the Facility must ask the Authorized Decision Maker of that Resident for consent to perform the test and must notify the Authorized Decision Maker that the Resident is required by this Order to have the test.**
- iv. No test is permitted to be conducted on a Resident if the test cannot be administered safely, as determined by a physician**



ORDER OF THE HEALTH OFFICER No. C19-13

providing care to the Resident or DPH. In the event of a conflict regarding whether a test can be administered safely, the decision of DPH controls.

- v. This Order does not authorize forcible administration of a test against a Resident's will or without the consent of the Resident's Authorized Decision Maker (if the Resident does not have capacity to consent to the test) absent an additional lawful order requiring a forced test.**
- vi. The Facility must promptly (within 24 hours) notify DPH to obtain assistance if a Facility Resident is unable to be tested, is unwilling to comply with the requirements of this Order, or lacks capacity to make health care decisions and the Resident's Authorized Decision Maker declines to consent to the testing or other requirements of this Order.**
- vii. The Facility must document the Testing results for each Resident in the Resident's medical record (or other individual care record if there is no medical record) kept by the Facility. If a Resident is unable to be tested or the Authorized Decision Maker refuses to consent to testing, that must be documented.**
- viii. Each Facility must immediately (within one hour) report to DPH any positive test result of a Resident as required by subsection 5.d below. The Facility must also otherwise report all test results as required by law.**

b. In relation to Personnel Testing:

- i. For the duration of this Order, all Facility Personnel must submit to Testing, as directed by the Facility in Cooperation with DPH or as directed by DPH, as outlined in this Section 5.**
- ii. Any member of Facility's Personnel who is directed by the Facility or DPH to have a test must permit the test to be performed in the manner directed. The refusal by any**



ORDER OF THE HEALTH OFFICER No. C19-13

member of a Facility's Personnel to be tested is a violation of this Order. A Facility must not permit any member of its Personnel to come to work or otherwise enter the Facility's premises if that person refuses to be tested, unless expressly permitted by DPH.

- iii. The Facility must document Testing results and any refusal to test or to have third-party Testing results disclosed to the Facility for Facility Personnel in a secure and confidential manner, and the Facility must maintain the confidentiality of information about Testing results of Personnel and may only share such information as permitted or required by law.**
- iv. Each Facility must immediately (within one hour) report any positive test result of a member of the Facility's Personnel as required by subsection 5.d below. The Facility must also otherwise report all test results as required by law.**
- v. To the extent that the Facility is required to ensure that its Personnel are tested and the test is not conducted by DPH or an agent of DPH, the Facility must conduct the Testing program as required by DPH and obtain and keep a record of the test result from each member of the Facility's Personnel, whether a copy of the result is provided to the Facility by the tested person directly or by the lab or provider that conducted the test. In such situations, the member of the Facility's Personnel who was tested must take all steps necessary to ensure the Facility receives the result within 48 hours if negative and within 8 hours if positive, whether by providing a written copy of the test result to the Facility or by signing a release permitting the testing lab or ordering provider to share the result directly with the Facility. When Testing is not performed by DPH, the Facility must prohibit each Personnel member who was tested from returning to work until the Facility receives proof of the test's outcome within the timeframe required in this subsection except to the extent that DPH Guidance or**



ORDER OF THE HEALTH OFFICER No. C19-13

other DPH direction allows a return to work. In all instances covered by this subsection, it is the tested person's responsibility to ensure that the result is provided to the Facility and that it is received in a timely manner.

- vi. No member of the Facility's Personnel may come to work or otherwise enter the Facility's premises after receiving a positive test result except as permitted by DPH Guidance (as defined in Section 8 below) regarding allowing someone to returning to work after a positive test result. If a member of the Facility's Personnel is awaiting a test result, that person may only come to work or otherwise enter the Facility's premises as permitted by DPH Guidance.**
- c. The following requirements apply to all Testing:**
 - i. The Facility in coordination with DPH, or DPH independently, may require Testing of Facility Residents and Personnel on an ongoing basis, including repeat Testing. Such Testing may include a random sample of Residents and Personnel.**
 - ii. Testing may be conducted by DPH, by the Facility, or by a third party, as directed by DPH.**
 - iii. This Order requires Residents and Personnel to comply with Facility infection control and other protocols based on the results of any test, including as directed by DPH, as outlined in DPH Guidance, or as outlined by CDPH or CDC guidance. This includes, by way of example and without limitation, isolation, quarantine, cohorting of Residents or Personnel, and transfer of Residents as outlined by DPH Guidance or as otherwise directed by DPH.**
 - iv. All Testing must be done using tests that are approved by the United States Food and Drug Administration.**
 - v. The Facility must share a Resident's Testing results with that Resident (including the Resident's Authorized Decision**



ORDER OF THE HEALTH OFFICER No. C19-13

Maker) and must share a Personnel member's Testing results with the tested person, consistent with DPH Guidance and as otherwise required by law.

- vi. If Testing is able to be conducted without use of a laboratory to process the test, then such Testing results are subject to this Order.**
 - vii. When testing of Residents or Personnel is required by this Order, the Facility must promptly notify each Resident (including any Authorized Decision Maker as required by Section 5.a.iii) or member of its Personnel who is subject to Testing of the testing requirements of this Order. The Facility must include in such notification a summary of all Testing-related aspects of this Order and an offer to provide a copy of this Order on request. A sample letter discussing testing requirements, which may be modified as appropriate, is attached to this Order as Appendix B.**
- d. The Facility must immediately report (within one hour) all positive Testing results of Residents and Personnel as well as any other confirmed COVID-19 diagnoses or positive tests of Residents or Personnel (such as when a Resident who is transferred to the Facility is a confirmed carrier of the virus or when a member of the Personnel reports they recently had a positive test result outside the work context) as follows:**
- i. To DPH Communicable Disease Control (CD Control) at 415-554-2830;**

AND

- ii. For any Skilled Nursing Facility or General Acute Care Hospital, also to the California Department of Public Health Licensing and Certification District Office at 415-330-6353.**
- iii. If DPH conducted the Testing that requires reporting under subsection 5.d, the Facility is not required to report the result back to DPH but must still make the other report**



ORDER OF THE HEALTH OFFICER No. C19-13

required by subsection 5.d.ii.

iv. In relation to reporting positive test results for Residents or Personnel or a confirmed COVID-19 diagnosis, the Facility must provide all information requested by DPH or the other entity to which a report is required.

e. In relation to Testing, each Facility must do the following:

i. The Facility must follow DPH direction or any individual Health Officer order to test specific Residents or Personnel, whether performing the tests itself or working with DPH or another testing provider to conduct the tests. Nothing in this Order prohibits a Facility from also testing Residents or Personnel at its own discretion.

ii. The Facility must facilitate Testing and respond to Testing results as appropriate, including taking action based on the results of Testing, pursuant to the Facility's infection control protocols, DPH Guidance, and CDPH and CDC guidelines. The Facility must take all steps required of the Facility by DPH in relation to positive, negative, pending, and inconclusive test results.

iii. The Facility must maintain written records of Testing as outlined by this Order.

iv. The Facility must share information about Testing with DPH as requested by DPH at any time.

6. **Cooperation.** For purposes of this Order, "Cooperation" means working and collaborating with DPH and otherwise following the direction of DPH in relation to the Facility. The term "Cooperate" means the act of Cooperation. While this Order is in effect, each Facility must Cooperate with DPH. Such Cooperation includes, but is not limited to, all of the following:



ORDER OF THE HEALTH OFFICER No. C19-13

- a. Promptly taking and responding to telephone calls, emails, and other inquiries and requests by representatives of DPH;**
- b. Permitting DPH personnel onsite without advance notice;**
- c. Responding to all DPH requests for information in a timely manner;**
- d. Taking steps required by DPH in relation to the operation of the Facility, including, but not limited to, placement of Residents, environmental changes, use of personal protective equipment ("PPE"), closing or re-purposing spaces, and changing staffing patterns or assignments;**
- e. Enacting policies or procedures required by DPH;**
- f. Communicating with Residents, Resident decision-makers and loved ones, and Personnel as directed by DPH;**
- g. Assisting with the assessment of Facility resources, procedures, and physical layout when requested, including by providing Personnel who can show DPH staff any areas or required information;**
- h. Disclosing to DPH staff protected health information and other medical information that relates to the subject matter of this Order, and such information must be protected by DPH as required by law;**
- i. Disclosing to DPH staff other information about Facility Personnel relevant to the subject matter of this Order so long as the disclosure of any confidential information under this subsection is limited to the minimum necessary for public health purposes, and any such information that is confidential must be protected by DPH as required by law;**
- j. Facilitating the safe transfer of any Resident to another Facility or other level of care based on the context as directed by DPH or the**

**Facility's regulatory entity;**

- 12



ORDER OF THE HEALTH OFFICER No. C19-13

- c. Collecting, organizing, analyzing, and sharing other information related to the subject matter of this Order as requested by DPH;**
- d. Providing such information within the timeframe required by DPH;**
- e. Using tools provided or otherwise required by DPH for the purposes of collecting, organizing, analyzing, and sharing information; and**
- f. Providing requested information in the format designated by DPH, whether in electronic, hardcopy, verbal, or any other format.**

The recipient of any confidential information that is subject to Reporting under this Section shall maintain the confidentiality of that information except to the extent that re-disclosure is permitted by law.

Note that each Facility must also continue to report other information required by law, including but not limited to reports to the state agency that regulates the Facility. By way of example, Skilled Nursing Facilities have reporting obligations to CDPH related to: infectious disease outbreaks (see All Facilities Letter 19-18); Persons Under Investigation and positive test results (see All Facilities Letter 20-11); and daily reporting of current staffing levels, number of COVID-19 patients, and equipment availability (see All Facilities Letter 20-43.1). Each Facility must remain current on its compliance obligations and make any reports as required by those obligations.

- 8. Guidance. For purposes of this Order, “Guidance” means the information and guidelines published periodically by DPH regarding the operation of a Facility regarding COVID-19-related issues. As described in more detail below, each Facility must check and comply with all applicable Guidance issued by DPH and posted online at www.sfdph.org/covid-19 and at sfcdcp.org/covid-19. A Facility must also comply with other written documentation provided by DPH to the Facility in other formats.**



ORDER OF THE HEALTH OFFICER No. C19-13

- a. Each Facility must go to the websites listed in this Section 8 at least weekly to check for any update to DPH Guidance applicable to the Facility, including but not limited to the “SNF Interim Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19) Prevention and Management Protocol” and any updates to that document.
 - b. Each Facility must also review and follow other written Guidance provided by DPH to the Facility.
9. For purposes of this Order, the following terms have the listed meanings:
 - a. “Administrator” means the Chief Executive Officer, Facility Administrator, or other person designated by the Facility to supervise the operation of the Facility.
 - b. “Authorized Decision Maker” means someone who is authorized by law to make health care decisions on behalf of a Resident and who is currently making such decisions in relation to the Resident. For a Resident subject to a conservatorship, a conservator who has authority to make health care decisions for the Resident is an Authorized Decision Maker. An Authorized Decision Maker can also be someone who is making health care decisions for a Resident where the Resident lacks capacity to make those decisions, including a spouse, parent, or other family member of a Resident or someone who has durable power of attorney to make health care decisions for that Resident.
 - c. “Facility” means each facility listed on Appendix A to this Order, which is incorporated into the Order by this reference.
 - d. “Resident” means any person residing, including temporarily residing, in and receiving care of any kind from a Facility. The term Resident includes a patient.
 - e. “Personnel” means any employee, contractor, volunteer, or other agent of the Facility who works or performs duties onsite at the Facility at any time when this Order is in effect. The term Personnel also includes registry staff or other temporary staffing,



ORDER OF THE HEALTH OFFICER No. C19-13

who must comply with the requirements of this Order except to the extent an exception is granted by DPH.

- 10. The Facility must provide a copy of this Order to any Resident, member of its Personnel, or any other person who requests a copy.**
- 11. Failure to comply with any of the provisions of this Order constitutes an imminent threat, an immediate menace to public health, and a public nuisance. Accordingly, anyone who attempts to violate this Order is subject to fine, imprisonment, or both.**
- 12. This Order may be rescinded, superseded, or amended in writing by the Health Officer or by the State Public Health Officer.**
- 13. A Resident or the Resident's Authorized Decision Maker may contact the Administrator of the Facility to seek clarification of any part of this Order.**
- 14. DPH must serve a copy of this Order on the Facility's Administrator prior to enforcement of this Order against any Facility.**
- 15. If any provision of this Order or its application to any person or circumstance is held to be invalid, then the remainder of the Order, including the application of such part or provision to other people or circumstances, shall not be affected and**

shall continue in full force and effect. To this end, the provisions of this Order are severable.

IT IS SO ORDERED:

A handwritten signature in blue ink that reads "Tomás Aragón".

Tomás J. Aragón, MD, DrPH,
Health Officer of the
City and County of San Francisco

May 7, 2020



ORDER OF THE HEALTH OFFICER No. C19-13

Appendix A – List of Facilities

This Order applies to each facility listed below (each a “Facility”):

<u>Facility Name</u>	<u>Street Address</u>	<u>ZIP</u>
Lawton Skilled Nursing & Rehabilitation Center	1575 7th Ave	94122
San Francisco Health Care	1477 Grove St	94117
Central Gardens Post Acute	1355 Ellis St	94115
San Francisco Post Acute	5767 Mission St	94112
Hayes Convalescent Hospital	1250 Hayes St	94117
Heritage On The Marina	3400 Laguna St	94123
The Avenues Transitional Care Center	2043 19th Ave	94116
Laurel Heights Community Care	2740 California St	94115
Pacific Heights Transitional Care Center	2707 Pine St	94115
Tunnell Skilled Nursing & Rehabilitation Center	1359 Pine St	94109
Sequoias San Francisco Convalescent Hospital	1400 Geary Blvd	94109
Sheffield Convalescent Hospital	1133 S Van Ness Ave	94110
St. Anne's Home	300 Lake St	94118
Victorian Post Acute	2121 Pine St	94115
California Pacific Medical Center - Davies Campus Hospital D/P SNF	601 Duboce Ave	94117
Jewish Home & Rehab Center D/P SNF	302 Silver Ave	94112
San Francisco Towers	1661 Pine St	94109



ORDER OF THE HEALTH OFFICER No. C19-13

Kentfield San Francisco Hospital (note – Kentfield San Francisco Hospital is not a Skilled Nursing Facility but is included in this Order based on its patient/resident mix)	450 Stanyan St, 6th Floor	94117
San Francisco General Hospital D/P SNF	1001 Potrero Ave	94110
Laguna Honda Hospital & Rehabilitation Ctr D/P SNF	375 Laguna Honda Blvd	94116

Note that the term “Facility” also includes the San Francisco VA Health Care System (“VAMC San Francisco”), located at 4150 Clement Street, San Francisco, CA 94121, to the extent that VAMC San Francisco includes skilled nursing facility-type care. But in relation solely to the VAMC San Francisco, this Order does not impose any duty to comply with this Order but instead (1) indicates that DPH is offering to work with VAMC San Francisco on the subject matter covered by this Order and (2) this Order provides recommendations for Testing, Cooperation, Reporting, and Guidance that VAMC San Francisco is strongly encouraged to follow.

Health Officer Order No. C19-13
Appendix B - Sample letter for residents and personnel (5/7/2020)

This letter should be modified by the Facility as appropriate, including being split into separate letters for residents and personnel as appropriate. Any letters must include details of testing requirements.

Dear ____ -

Since March 6, 2020, the City and County of San Francisco (the “City”) has been in a local health emergency, and on March 12, 2020, Governor Gavin Newsom declared a State of Emergency across California related to the COVID-19 pandemic. On May 7, 2020, the City’s Health Officer issued Health Officer Order No. C19-13 (the “Order”). The Order requires that **[Facility Name]** as well as its residents and personnel cooperate with the San Francisco Department of Public Health (“DPH”) in relation to the response to the current Coronavirus Disease 2019 (“COVID-19”) pandemic.

Skilled nursing facilities like **[Facility Name]** are especially vulnerable to the virus that causes COVID-19. It is easy for the virus to be transmitted between and among personnel or residents, including by those without symptoms (asymptomatic people) or those with mild symptoms. There can also be a substantial delay between contracting the virus and having symptoms, and it is possible to transmit the virus during this pre-symptomatic period. Across the United States and around the world there is substantial evidence of how quickly the virus moves through congregate living facilities, often resulting in high incidence of negative outcomes, including death. In order to help **[Facility Name]** protect its residents and personnel, DPH will be partnering with us pursuant to the Order to take as many steps as possible to protect you. Such steps include resident and personnel screening and testing, restrictions on visitors, strong infection control programs, and limiting contact between those with the virus and those who have not contracted it.

One particular aspect of the Order is that it requires residents and personnel to submit to testing for the virus that causes COVID-19. This is the case regardless of whether someone has been tested before and regardless of whether someone has symptoms. This is especially important because the virus can be carried and transmitted by someone who does not show symptoms for weeks. In order to help **[Facility Name]** avoid the inadvertent spread of the virus, we will be working with DPH to increase testing.

For residents, the Order requires testing. And for residents with an authorized decision maker who helps them with making decisions, that person will be consulted and asked for agreement. It is critical that all residents cooperate with this testing, including testing that will occur over time, in order to protect everyone at **[Facility Name]**. No test will be given to a resident if the test cannot be administered safely. And we will support all residents through this process.

For personnel, the Order also requires testing. We fully expect everyone to cooperate given that this is not only for the protection of each staff member, but more importantly for every resident who lives here. Some tests may be conducted on-site by DPH as required by DPH. When the test is conducted off-site, the Order requires that personnel share proof of the test result with **[Facility Name]**. Any member the **[Facility Name]**’s personnel who refuses to be tested or who does not ensure results are shared with the Facility when required cannot return to work until permitted by DPH.

Residents and personnel alike will be supported when and if we find the virus, and having better knowledge due to this testing will help us stop the virus from spreading. **[Facility Name]** wants to ensure that everyone receives appropriate support when there is a positive result while also taking steps with input from DPH to ensure everyone at **[Facility Name]** is protected.

Health Officer Order No. C19-13
Appendix B - Sample letter for residents and personnel (5/7/2020)

This letter should be modified by the Facility as appropriate, including being split into separate letters for residents and personnel as appropriate. Any letters must include details of testing requirements.

We appreciate your cooperation with the Order. If you (or if your decision maker, if you are a resident) wants to receive a copy of the Order, please let us know and we will make sure you receive a copy. A copy of the Order is also available online at www.sfdph.org/covid-19. Finally, if you have any questions about the Order or the situation, please be sure to let us know. Together we can keep everyone at [**Facility Name**] safe and healthy during this emergency.

Sincerely, etc.

From: [Mchugh, Eileen \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#); [BOS-Administrative Aides](#)
Cc: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#); [Laxamana, Junko \(BOS\)](#); [Ng, Wilson \(BOS\)](#); [PEARSON, ANNE \(CAT\)](#)
Subject: Fwd: New Health Officer Directives (2020-05, 2020-06, and 2020-07)
Date: Friday, May 8, 2020 7:11:48 PM
Attachments: [2020.05.08 Directive 2020-07 FINAL signed Directive re Grocery Stores Pharmacies and Farmers Markets.pdf](#)
[2020.05.08 Directive 2020-05 FINAL signed Directive re Food Preparation, Take Out And Delivery.pdf](#)
[2020.05.08 Directive 2020-06 FINAL signed Directive re Delivery Services.pdf](#)

Hello Supervisors,

Please see the attached Health Orders Nos. 2020-05,06 and 07.

Thank you,

Eileen McHugh
Executive Assistant to the Clerk of the Board
Board of Supervisors
415-554-7703

Get [Outlook for iOS](#)

From: Patil, Sneha (DPH) <sneha.patil@sfdph.org>
Sent: Friday, May 8, 2020 7:06 PM
To: Calvillo, Angela (BOS); Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)
Subject: New Health Officer Directives (2020-05, 2020-06, and 2020-07)

Hi Angela - please see attached health officer directives on 1) restaurant takeout and delivery; 2) other delivery services; and 3) grocers, farmers' markets, pharmacies, and hardware stores. These require compliance by 11:59 p.m. on Friday, 5/15, but will not be enforced until after 5/18.



DIRECTIVE OF THE HEALTH OFFICER No. 2020-05

**DIRECTIVE OF THE HEALTH OFFICER OF
THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO REGARDING REQUIRED BEST
PRACTICES FOR RESTAURANTS AND OTHER FACILITIES THAT PREPARE AND
SERVE FOOD FOR DELIVERY OR CARRY OUT**

(PUBLIC HEALTH DIRECTIVE)

DATE OF DIRECTIVE: May 8, 2020

By this Directive, the Health Officer of the City and County of San Francisco (the “Health Officer”) issues industry-specific direction that certain Essential Businesses providing goods and services described below must follow as part of the local response to the Coronavirus Disease 2019 (“COVID-19”) pandemic. This Directive constitutes industry-specific guidance as provided under Section 6 of Health Officer Order No. C19-07c issued on April 29, 2020 (the “Stay-Safe-At-Home Order”) and, unless otherwise defined below, initially capitalized terms used in this Directive have the same meaning given them in that order. This Directive goes into effect immediately upon issuance but provides for an implementation grace period requiring compliance by 11:59 p.m. on May 15, 2020, and this Directive remains in effect until suspended, superseded, or amended by the Health Officer, as further provided below. This Directive has support in the bases and justifications set forth in the Stay-Safe-At-Home Order. As further provided below, it also automatically incorporates any revisions to that order or other future orders issued by the Health Officer that supersede that order or reference this Directive. This Directive is intended to promote best practices as to Social Distancing Requirements and sanitation measures, helping prevent the transmission of COVID-19 and safeguard the health of workers, customers, and the community.

**UNDER THE AUTHORITY OF CALIFORNIA HEALTH AND SAFETY CODE
SECTIONS 101040, 101085, AND 120175, THE HEALTH OFFICER DIRECTS AS
FOLLOWS:**

1. This Directive applies to all owners, operators, managers, or supervisors of any Essential Businesses that the Stay-Safe-At-Home Order permits to be open to the public in the City and County of San Francisco (the “City”) and that provide services or perform work as described in subsection 16.f.xvi of the Stay-Safe-At-Home Order where the services include preparing, serving, providing for pick-up, or delivering prepared food (“Food Preparation or Delivery Essential Businesses”). For clarity, Food Preparation or Delivery Essential Businesses include, without limitation, restaurants preparing food for delivery and takeaway, as well as food delivery services such as services that the public accesses via telephone, online, or via an app that deliver prepared food directly to residences or businesses.
2. Attached as Exhibit A to this Order are a list of best practices that apply to Food Preparation or Delivery Essential Businesses (the “Best Practices”). This Directive and the attached Best Practices may be revised by the Health Officer, through revision of this Directive or another future directive or order, as conditions relating to COVID-19 require, in the discretion of the Health Officer. Each Food Preparation or Delivery Essential Business must stay updated regarding any changes to the Stay-Safe-At-Home Order and this Directive by checking the City



City and County of San Francisco

Department of Public Health Health Officer Directive

Health Officer Directive No. 2020-05

Administrator's website (www.sfgsa.org) or the Department of Public Health website (<https://www.sfdph.org/dph/alerts/coronavirus.asp>) regularly.

3. Each Food Preparation or Delivery Essential Business must, by 11:59 p.m. on May 15, 2020, create, adopt, and implement a written health and safety plan (a "Health and Safety Plan") addressing all applicable Best Practices attached to this Directive as Exhibit A. The Health and Safety Plan must address each requirement listed in the Best Practices, whether by describing the plan for implementing the requirement or indicating why the requirement does not apply. The Best Practices attachment is not itself intended to serve as the Health and Safety Plan, such as by having the Essential Business simply check off items that have been or will be done. Rather, the contents of the Best Practices must be adapted into a separate Health and Safety Plan. A form-fillable electronic document for this purpose is available online at www.sfgsa.org or <https://www.sfdph.org/dph/alerts/coronavirus.asp>, as is a sample Health and Safety Plan. But a Health and Safety Plan may be hand-written or otherwise completed in any format that addresses the substance of all the listed requirements in the Best Practices.
4. Depending on the nature of the Essential Business covered by this Directive, there may be certain people associated with the Essential Business that are subject to this Directive. Collectively those people are referred to by this Directive and the Best Practices as "Personnel", and those people include all of the following who provide goods or services associated with the Essential Business in the City: employees; contractors and sub-contractors (such as those who sell goods or perform services onsite or who deliver goods for the business); independent contractors (such as "gig workers" who perform work via the Essential Business's app or other online interface); vendors who are permitted to sell goods onsite (such as farmers or others who sell at stalls in farmers' markets); volunteers; and other individuals who regularly provide services onsite at the request of the Essential Business. This Directive requires the Essential Business to ensure that Personnel who perform work associated with the Essential Business are addressed by the Health and Safety Plan and comply with those requirements.
5. Each Food Preparation or Delivery Essential Business subject to this Directive must provide items such as Face Coverings (as provided in Health Order No. C19-12 issued on April 17, 2020), hand sanitizer or handwashing stations, or both, and disinfectant and related supplies to Personnel and to the public, all as required by the Best Practices. If any such Food Preparation or Delivery Essential Business is unable to provide these required items or otherwise fails to comply with required Best Practices or fails to abide by its Health and Safety Plan, then it must cease operating until it can fully comply and demonstrate its strict compliance. Further, as to any non-compliant operation, any such Food Preparation or Delivery Essential Business is subject to immediate closure and the fines and other legal remedies described below, as a violation of the Stay-Safe-At-Home Order.
6. Each Food Preparation or Delivery Essential Business is required to take certain steps in the Health and Safety Plan related to its Personnel, including certain actions listed in Sections 2.1 through 2.4 of the Best Practices if Personnel are sick. Each Food Preparation or Delivery Essential Business is prohibited from taking any adverse action against any Personnel for staying home in the circumstances listed in



City and County of San Francisco

Department of Public Health Health Officer Directive

Health Officer Directive No. 2020-05

Sections 2.1 through 2.4 of the Best Practices. Personnel of each Food Preparation or Delivery Essential Business are prohibited from coming to work if they are sick and must comply with the Directive, including the rules for returning to work listed in Sections 2.1 through 2.4 of the Best Practices.

- 7. Each Food Preparation or Delivery Essential Business must (a) make the Health and Safety Plan available to a member of the public on request, (b) provide the plan to all Personnel working on site or otherwise in the City in relation to its operations, and (c) post the plan in any storefront or at the entrance to any other physical location that such Essential Business operates within the City.**
- 8. Implementation of this Directive augments—but does not limit—the obligations of each Food Preparation or Delivery Essential Business under the Stay-Safe-At-Home Order including, but not limited to, the obligation to prepare, post, and implement a Social Distancing Protocol under Section 6 and subsection 16.h of the Stay-Safe-At-Home Order. The covered Food Preparation or Delivery Essential Businesses must follow these industry-specific Best Practices and update them as necessary for the duration of this Directive, including, without limitation, as this Directive is amended or extended in writing by the Health Officer and consistent with any extension of the Stay-Safe-At-Home Order, any other order that supersedes that order, and any Health Officer order that references this Directive.**

This Directive is issued in furtherance of the purposes of the Stay-Safe-At-Home Order. Where a conflict exists between this Directive and any state, local, or federal public health order related to the COVID-19 pandemic, including, without limitation, the Social Distancing Protocol, the most restrictive provision controls. Failure to carry out this Directive is a violation of the Stay-Safe-At-Home Order, constitutes an imminent threat and menace to public health, constitutes a public nuisance, and is a misdemeanor punishable by fine, imprisonment, or both.

A handwritten signature in blue ink that reads "Tomás Aragón".

Tomás J. Aragón, MD, DrPH,
Health Officer of the
City and County of San Francisco

Date: May 8, 2020

Best Practices for Food Preparation or Delivery Essential Businesses

In addition to preparing, posting, and implementing the Social Distancing Protocol required by Section 6 and subsection 16.h of Health Officer Order No. C19-07c (the “Stay-Safe-At-Home Order”), each owner, operator, manager, or supervisor of a Food Preparation or Delivery Essential Business that operates in the City must, as further provided in Health Officer Directive No. 2020-05, create, adopt, and implement a Health and Safety Plan that addresses each item in each applicable section below.

Directions: The Health and Safety Plan must address each item listed in each applicable section below. The first two sections include Signage, Education, and General Requirements that apply to each Food Preparation or Delivery Essential Business. The remaining sections apply only to the listed types of services provided by such Essential Businesses.

The Health and Safety Plan must address each requirement listed below, whether by describing the plan for implementing the requirement or indicating why the requirement does not apply. The list below is not intended to be the Health and Safety Plan by simply checking off items as having been done. Rather, the Health and Safety Plan must be a separate document. A form-fillable electronic document for this purpose is available online at www.sfgsa.org or www.sfdcp.org/covid19 (open the “Businesses and Employers” area of the “Information and Guidance for the Public” section), as is a sample Health and Safety Plan. But a Health and Safety Plan may be hand-written or otherwise completed in any format that addresses all the listed requirements.

General Requirements (each item in Sections 1 and 2 below applies to each Food Preparation or Delivery Essential Business)

1. Section 1 – Signage and Education:

- 1.1.*** Post signage at each public entrance of the facility or location (if any) to inform all Personnel and customers that they must: avoid entering the facility or location if they have a cough or fever, maintain a minimum six-foot distance from one another while in the facility or location, wear a face covering or barrier mask (a “Face Covering”) at all times, and not shake hands or engage in any unnecessary physical contact. Criteria for Face Coverings and the requirements related to their use are set forth in Health Officer Order No. C19-12, issued on April 17, 2020 (the “Face Covering Order”). Sample signs are available online at <https://sf.gov/outreach-toolkit-coronavirus-covid-19>.
- 1.2.*** Post a copy of the Social Distancing Protocol at each public entrance to the facility or location.
- 1.3.*** Post a copy of the Health and Safety Plan at each public entrance to the facility or location.
- 1.4.*** Distribute to all Personnel copies of the Social Distancing Protocol and the Health and Safety Plan (or a summary of each item with information on how copies may be obtained) and any educational materials required by the Health and Safety Plan.
- 1.5.*** Educate all Personnel of the requirements of the Social Distancing Protocol and the Health and Safety Plan that apply to them.
- 1.6.*** Update the Health and Safety Plan as appropriate while the Directive is in effect.

Best Practices for Food Preparation or Delivery Essential Businesses

2. Section 2 – Personnel and Customer Protection and Sanitation Requirements:

- 2.1. Instruct all Personnel orally and in writing not to come to work or the facility if they are sick.
- 2.2. Provide a copy of the attachment to this Exhibit, titled “Information for Personnel (Employees, Contractors, Volunteers) of Essential Business and Other Businesses Permitted To Operate During the Health Emergency” (the “Attachment”), to all Personnel in hardcopy format or electronically. PDF and translated versions of the Attachment can be found online at www.sfdcp.org/covid19 (open the “Businesses and Employers” area of the “Information and Guidance for the Public” section). If the Attachment is updated, provide an updated copy to all Personnel.
- 2.3. Review the criteria listed in Part 1 of the Attachment on a daily basis with all Personnel in the City before each person enters work spaces or begins a shift. If such a review is not feasible because the Essential Business does not directly interact with some Personnel onsite daily, then that Essential Business must for those Personnel (1) instruct such Personnel to review the criteria before each shift in the City and (2) have such Personnel report to the Essential Business that they are okay to begin the shift such as through an app, website, or phone call. Each Essential Business must keep a daily log or other record of all such reviews and reports for all Personnel in the City.

Instruct any Personnel who answered yes to any question in Part 1 of the Attachment to return home or not come to work and follow the directions on the Attachment.

- 2.4. Instruct Personnel who stayed home or who went home based on the criteria listed on the Attachment that they must follow the criteria as well as any applicable requirements from the quarantine and isolation directives (available online at www.sfdph.org/dph/alerts/coronavirus-healthorders.asp) before returning to work. If they are required to self-quarantine or self-isolate, they may only return to work after they have completed self-quarantine or self-isolation. If they test negative for the virus (no virus found), they may only return to work after waiting for the amount of time listed on the Attachment after their symptoms have resolved. Personnel are not required to provide a medical clearance letter in order to return to work as long as they have met the requirements outlined on the Attachment.
- 2.5. In the coming weeks the Department of Public Health is likely to issue guidelines requiring Essential Businesses and other permitted businesses to comply with COVID-19 testing requirements for employers and businesses. At least weekly, check the following website for any testing requirements for employers and businesses: www.sfdcp.org/covid19. If requirements are added, ensure that the Health and Safety Plan is updated and that Essential Business and all Personnel comply with testing requirements.
- 2.6. Instruct all Personnel and customers to maintain at least six-feet distance from others except when momentarily necessary to facilitate or accept payment and hand off items or deliver goods.
- 2.7. Provide Face Coverings for all Personnel, with instructions that they must wear Face Coverings at all times when at work, as further set forth in the Face Covering Order. A sample sign is available online at <https://sf.gov/outreach-toolkit-coronavirus-covid-19>. Allow Personnel to bring their own Face Covering if they bring one that has been cleaned prior to the shift. In general, people should have multiple Face Coverings (whether reusable or disposable) to ensure they use a clean one each day. The Face Covering Order permits certain exceptions, and the Essential Business should be aware of those exceptions (for example,

Best Practices for Food Preparation or Delivery Essential Businesses

children 12 years old or younger or based on a written medical excuse). When Personnel do not wear a Face Covering because of an exception, take steps to otherwise increase safety for all.

- 2.8. If customers wait in line outside or go into any facility or location operated by the Food Preparation or Delivery Essential Businesses, require customers to wear a Face Covering while waiting in line outside or within the facility or location. This includes taking steps to notify customers they may not enter without a Face Covering and will not be served if they are in line or enter without a Face Covering, refusing to serve a customer without a Face Covering, and taking steps to remove that customer, as further provided in the Face Covering Order. The Essential Business may provide a clean Face Covering to customers before entry. Permit customers to obtain service who are excused by the Face Covering Order from wearing a Face Covering, including by taking steps that can otherwise increase safety for all.
- 2.9. Create and implement an education plan for all Personnel covering all items required in the Social Distancing Protocol and the Health and Safety Plan.
- 2.10. Provide a sink with soap, water, and paper towels for handwashing, for all Personnel working onsite at the facility or location, as well as customers (if the facility or location has a public restroom). Require that all Personnel wash hands at least at the start and end of each shift, after sneezing, coughing, eating, drinking, smoking (to the extent smoking is allowed by law and the facility), or using the restroom, when changing tasks, and, when possible, frequently during each shift. Personnel that work off-site, such as driving or delivering goods, must be required to use hand sanitizer throughout their shift.
- 2.11. Provide hand sanitizer effective against COVID-19 at entrances and points of purchase for all customers and elsewhere at the facility or location for Personnel. Sanitizer must also be provided to Personnel who shop, deliver, or drive for use when they are shopping, delivering, or driving. If sanitizer cannot be obtained, a handwashing station with soap, water, and paper towels will suffice for customers and certain Personnel who are on-site at the Essential Business's location. But for Personnel who shop, deliver, or drive in relation to their work, the Essential Business must provide hand sanitizer effective against COVID-19 at all times; for any period during which the Essential Business does not provide sanitizer to such shopping, delivery, or driving Personnel, the Essential Business is not allowed for that aspect of its service to operate in the City. Information on hand sanitizer, including sanitizer effective against COVID-19 and how to obtain sanitizer, is available online from the Food and Drug Administration here: <https://www.fda.gov/drugs/information-drug-class/qa-consumers-hand-sanitizers-and-covid-19>.
- 2.12. Provide disinfectant and related supplies to Personnel and require Personnel to sanitize all high-touch surfaces, including but not limited to: shopping carts and baskets; countertops, food/item display cases, refrigerator and freezer case doors, drawers with tools or hardware, and check-out areas; cash registers, payment equipment, and self-check-out kiosks; door handles; tools and equipment used by Personnel during a shift; and any inventory-tracking or delivery-tracking equipment or devices which require handling throughout a work shift. These items should be routinely disinfected during the course of the day, including as required below. A list of products listed by the United States Environmental Protection Agency as meeting criteria for use against the virus that causes COVID-19 can be found online here: <https://www.epa.gov/pesticide-registration/list-n-disinfectants-use-against-sars-cov-2>.

Best Practices for Food Preparation or Delivery Essential Businesses

- 2.13.** For any facility or location operated by the Food Preparation or Delivery Essential Business, frequently disinfect any break rooms, bathrooms, and other common areas. Create and use a daily checklist to document each time disinfection of these rooms or areas occurs.
- 2.14.** For any facility or location operated by the Food Preparation or Delivery Essential Business that has shopping carts or baskets, assign Personnel to disinfect shopping carts and baskets after each use and take steps to prevent anyone from grabbing used carts and baskets before disinfection.
- 2.15.** For any facility or location operated by the Food Preparation or Delivery Essential Business that has shopping carts or baskets, provide disinfecting wipes that are effective against COVID-19 near shopping carts and shopping baskets. A list of products listed by the United States Environmental Protection Agency as meeting criteria for use against the virus that causes COVID-19 can be found online here: <https://www.epa.gov/pesticide-registration/list-n-disinfectants-use-against-sars-cov-2>.
- 2.16.** For any facility or location operated by the Food Preparation or Delivery Essential Business, establish adequate time in the work day to allow for proper cleaning and decontamination throughout the facility or location by Personnel including, but not limited to, before closing for the day and opening in the morning.
- 2.17.** For any facility or location operated by the Food Preparation or Delivery Essential Business, suspend use of any microwaves, water coolers, drinking fountains, and other similar group equipment for breaks until further notice.
- 2.18.** For any facility or location operated by the Food Preparation or Delivery Essential Business, when possible, provide a barrier between the customer and the cashier such as a plexi-glass temporary barrier. When not possible, create sufficient space to enable the customer to stand more than six feet away from the cashier while items are being scanned/tallied and bagged.
- 2.19.** Advise Personnel that it is recommended for them to change clothes and shoes before or upon arriving at home after a shift in order to reduce the chance of their clothing or shoes exposing anyone in the household to the virus and that such clothing should be cleaned before being used again.
- 2.20.** Provide for contactless payment systems or, if not feasible, sanitize payment systems, including touch screens, payment portals, pens, and styluses, after each customer use. Customers may pay with cash but to further limit person-to-person contact, Personnel should encourage customers to use credit, debit, or gift cards for payment.
- 2.21.** For any facility or location operated by the Food Preparation or Delivery Essential Business that is open to customers, prohibit customers from using their own shopping bags brought from home. But, customers are permitted to use push carts to help them carry or transport items as well as wheelchairs, canes, or other mobility assistance devices.
- 2.22.** Recommend that customers should not touch or handle items without purchase of the item being handled. Customers should be encouraged through posted signs to select items only via visual examination. This guidance is converted into a requirement in relation to produce and Personnel who shop for others as outlined elsewhere in these Best Practices and other Health Officer directives.

Best Practices for Food Preparation or Delivery Essential Businesses

- 2.23. For any larger facility or location, appoint a designated sanitation worker at all times to continuously clean and sanitize commonly touched surfaces and meet the environmental cleaning guidelines set by the Center for Disease Control and Prevention.
- 2.24. Assign Personnel to keep soap and paper towels stocked at sinks and handwashing stations at least every hour and to replenish other sanitizing products.
- 2.25. If an employee or other Personnel tests positive for COVID-19, follow the guidance on “Business guidance if a staff member tests positive for COVID-19,” available online at sf.gov/business-guidance-if-staff-member-tests-positive-covid-19.
- 2.26. For any facility or location operated by the Food Preparation or Delivery Essential Business that is open to customers, limit the number of customers in the facility or location at any one time to a number that allows for customers and Personnel to easily maintain at least six foot distance from one another at all times.
- 2.27. For any facility or location operated by the Food Preparation or Delivery Essential Business that is open to customers, post Personnel at the door to the facility or location to ensure that the maximum number of customers in the facility or location is not exceeded. Once the maximum number of customers is reached, customers should only be allowed to enter when another customer exists. Also, the facility or location can slow down customer entry to prevent buildup of congestion in the store or lines at checkout.
- 2.28. Place tape or other markings on the floor at least six feet apart in customer line areas inside the facility or location and on sidewalks at public entrances with signs directing customers to use the markings to maintain distance.
- 2.29. When stocking shelves, if any, ensure that Personnel wash or sanitize hands before placing items on shelves, making sure to again wash or sanitize hands if they become contaminated by touching face or hair or being exposed to other soiled surfaces.
- 2.30. Ensure that all Personnel who shop or select items on behalf of customers wear a Face Covering when shopping, packing, and/or delivering items.
- 2.31. Require Personnel to wash hands frequently, including:
- When entering the kitchen or food preparation area
 - Before starting food preparation or handling
 - After touching their face, hair, or other areas of the body
 - After using the restroom
 - After coughing, sneezing, using a tissue, smoking, eating, or drinking
 - Before and after handling raw food
 - Before putting on gloves
 - After engaging in other activities that may contaminate the hands
- 2.32. Provide a personal handwashing station if a common handwashing area is not readily available. An instruction guide on how to create a handwashing station is available online at <https://www.sfdph.org/dph/EH/Food/Handwash.asp>.

Best Practices for Food Preparation or Delivery Essential Businesses

Additional Requirements Specific to Certain Types of Services

3. Section 3 – For Food Preparation (applies only to Food Preparation or Delivery Essential Businesses that prepare foods):

- 3.1. All prepared food must be prepared at a food facility that is permitted and inspected by the San Francisco Department of Public Health, or if not by the San Francisco Department of Public Health, then by either the California Department of Public Health or another jurisdiction's local Environmental Health department pursuant to California Retail Food Code requirements.
- 3.2. Provide gloves for all Personnel handling food. Provide training for Personnel on glove use, including how to properly put on (after handwashing) and take off gloves and when to replace gloves when they are soiled or damaged. Single use gloves should be used for only one task and should be discarded when damaged or soiled or when food handling is interrupted. All existing industry rules and regulations regarding use of gloves must also be followed.
- 3.3. All to-go items must be packaged and bagged, including utensils, napkins, and condiments to ensure that delivery Personnel and customers do not need to touch any additional items at the restaurant.

4. Section 4 – For Food Delivery (applies only to Food Preparation or Delivery Essential Businesses that deliver food, employ or utilize Personnel to deliver food, or provide food for delivery):

- 4.1. Ensure that delivery Personnel use refrigerated transport, portable coolers, or insulated delivery bags to transport perishable food from restaurants to customers.
- 4.2. Ensure that perishable food is be delivered under the following temperatures:
 - a) Cold foods are maintained at 41°F or below,
 - b) Frozen foods are maintained in a solid state, and
 - c) Hot foods are maintained at 135°F or above.
- 4.3. If refrigerated transport, portable coolers, or insulated bags are available, ensure that perishable food is labeled "Process Immediately" and must not be out of temperature controls for more than 30 minutes during transportation to prevent foodborne illness. (Whole produce, canned goods, dry foods and other non-perishable products can be delivered anytime without requirement for temperature controls or delivery times.)
- 4.4. Ensure that all food remains in its original packaging at all times to prevent tampering or contamination.
- 4.5. Provide disinfectant and related supplies to all drivers and delivery Personnel to enable them to sanitize high-touch surfaces in vehicles or on other transportation equipment as well as any inventory-tracking or delivery-tracking equipment or devices which require handling throughout a work shift.
- 4.6. Ensure that vehicle interiors, other transportation equipment, and all shared devices or equipment are cleaned and/or disinfected by Personnel on frequent schedules, not less than at the beginning and end of each Personnel member's work shift and during the shift.

Best Practices for Food Preparation or Delivery Essential Businesses

- 4.7. Ensure that refrigerated transport containers, portable coolers, and insulated delivery bags are cleaned with soap and warm water and disinfectant at the end of each shift.
 - 4.8. Direct all delivery Personnel to avoid touching unsanitized areas that may be frequently touched, such as doorbells, buzzers, and door handles, unless protective equipment such as gloves (provided by the delivery business that employs or assigns the delivery Personnel) are used and discarded after each use or hand sanitizer is used after each delivery.
 - 4.9. Delivery Personnel must wash their hands at the pickup sites, when possible. Instruct all delivery Personnel to use hand sanitizer (provided by the delivery business that employs or assigns the delivery Personnel) between deliveries, before picking up food or items (if handwashing is not available), and after dropping off food or items to a customer.
 - 4.10. Allow customers to provide a specified delivery location and contact method to allow for delivery without direct interaction, except as necessary to accept payment. When possible, provide options to accept payment through contactless technologies, in advance via phone, an app, or the internet, or verbally (such as reading a credit card number and required information). All Personnel must wear a Face Covering when interacting with customers when delivering items.
 - 4.11. When necessary for the delivery business' payment or delivery-confirmation processes, modify or eliminate (if possible) customer signature-capture procedures so Personnel may maintain a safe, appropriate distance and/or avoid sharing of signing equipment such as pen or stylus and avoid shared handling of devices or equipment. If not feasible, sanitize such equipment or devices before and after each use in order to protect each customer.
 - 4.12. Instruct delivery Personnel to wait outside a restaurant or food preparation facility if food is not ready for pick-up upon arrival and to maintain social distancing while waiting outside.
 - 4.13. Both the restaurant/food seller and the delivery business must permit delivery Personnel to use the restaurant's restroom to wash hands.
5. **Section 5 – For Food Takeout/Carryout** (applies only to Food Preparation or Delivery Essential Businesses that provide food for takeout or carryout):
- 5.1. Remove customer seating areas including tables and chairs from dining areas. If removal is not possible, stack chairs or tables, attach signs, and/or use tape or barriers to indicate that seating is not permitted onsite.
 - 5.2. Encourage customers to place orders and make payment in advance by phone or online.
 - 5.3. As outlined in the general requirements above, when possible in customer pick-up areas, provide a barrier between the customer and the cashier or create sufficient space to enable the customer to stand more than six feet away from the cashier while items are being paid for and picked up.
 - 5.4. Establish designated order and pickup areas/lines with markings on the ground to indicate 6-foot distancing.

Best Practices for Food Preparation or Delivery Essential Businesses

- 5.5. Establish a mechanism for contactless pickup if possible—e.g., putting clearly labeled packages on a table for customers to pick up when they reach the head of the line. But also ensure that food is protected from possible contamination and improper temperature exposure.
- 5.6. Designate parking spots or loading zones for curbside pick-up, whenever possible.

City and County of San Francisco Health Officer Directive - Attachment
Handout for Personnel (Employees, Contractors, Volunteers) of Essential Business and
Other Businesses Permitted to Operate During the Health Emergency (May 8, 2020)

Any business or entity that is subject to a Health Officer Directive to which this handout is attached (each "Business") must give a copy of this handout to Personnel who work in the City outside their household during this emergency. Go to www.sfgcdcp.org/covid19 for more info or a copy of this form.

All Personnel: If you work outside your household in the City during this local health emergency, then you qualify for a free test for the virus that causes COVID-19, *even if you have no symptoms!* Just go to **CityTestSF** at <https://sf.gov/get-tested-covid-19-citytestsf> to get more info and sign up for a free test.

Part 1 – You must answer the following questions before starting your work every day that you work.

You may be required to provide the answers in person or via phone or other electronic means to the Business before the start of each shift. If any answers change while you are at work, notify the Business by phone and leave the workplace.

1. Within the last 10 days have you been diagnosed with COVID-19 or had a test confirming you have the virus?
2. Do you live in the same household with, or have you had **close contact*** with someone who in the past 14 days was diagnosed with COVID-19 or had a test confirming they have the virus?

If the answer to either question is "yes", do not go to work and follow the steps listed in **Part 2** below.

3. Have you had any one or more of these symptoms today or within the past 24 hours, which is new or not explained by a pre-existing condition?

- | | |
|--|---------------------------|
| • Fever, Chills, or Repeated Shaking/Shivering | • Loss of Taste or Smell |
| • Cough | • Muscle pain |
| • Sore Throat | • Headache |
| • Shortness of Breath, Difficulty Breathing | • Runny or congested nose |
| • Feeling Unusually Weak or Fatigued | • Diarrhea |

If the answer to Question 3 is "yes", do not go to work and follow the steps listed in **Part 3** below.

Part 2 –

- If you answered **yes to Question 1**: you are subject to the Health Officer Isolation Directive. Do not go to work. **Follow Isolation Steps** at: <https://www.sfgcdcp.org/Isolation-Quarantine-Packet>
- If you answered **yes to Question 2**: you are subject to the Health Officer Quarantine Directive. Do not go to work. **Follow Quarantine Steps** at: <https://www.sfgcdcp.org/Isolation-Quarantine-Packet>
- Do not return to work until the Isolation or Quarantine Steps tell you it is safe to return!
- The meaning of ***Close Contact** is explained in this document: <https://www.sfgcdcp.org/Isolation-Quarantine-Packet>

Part 3 – If you answered yes to Question 3:

You may have COVID-19 and **must be tested for the virus** before returning to work. Without a test, the Business must treat you as being positive for COVID-19 and require you to stay out of work for at least **10** calendar days. In order to return to work sooner and to protect those around you, you must get tested for the virus. Follow these steps:

1. Contact your usual healthcare provider about getting tested for the virus, or sign up for free testing at CityTestSF <https://sf.gov/get-tested-covid-19-citytestsf>. If you live outside the City, you can check with the county where you live, get tested by your usual healthcare provider, or use CityTestSF.
2. Wait for your test results at home while minimizing exposure to those you live with. A good resource is <https://www.cdc.gov/coronavirus/2019-ncov/if-you-are-sick/steps-when-sick.html>
3. If your result is positive (confirms that you have the virus) go to **Part 2** above and follow **Isolation Steps**.
4. If your result is negative, do not return to work until you have had at least 3 days in a row without fever or other symptoms.

If you have questions about any part of this Handout, please call 3-1-1



DIRECTIVE OF THE HEALTH OFFICER No. 2020-06

**DIRECTIVE OF THE HEALTH OFFICER OF
THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO REGARDING
REQUIRED BEST PRACTICES FOR SHIPPING OR DELIVERY ESSENTIAL
BUSINESSES**

(PUBLIC HEALTH DIRECTIVE)
DATE OF DIRECTIVE: May 8, 2020

By this Directive, the Health Officer of the City and County of San Francisco (the “Health Officer”) issues industry-specific direction that certain Essential Businesses providing goods and services described below must follow as part of the local response to the Coronavirus Disease 2019 (“COVID-19”) pandemic. This Directive constitutes industry-specific guidance as provided under Section 6 of Health Officer Order No. C19-07c issued on April 29, 2020 (the “Stay-Safe-At-Home Order”) and, unless otherwise defined below, initially capitalized terms used in this Directive have the same meaning given them in that order. This Directive goes into effect immediately upon issuance but provides for an implementation grace period requiring compliance by 11:59 p.m. on May 15, 2020, and this Directive remains in effect until suspended, superseded, or amended by the Health Officer, as further provided below. This Directive has support in the bases and justifications set forth in the Stay-Safe-At-Home Order. As further provided below, it also automatically incorporates any revisions to that order or other future orders issued by the Health Officer that supersede that order or reference this Directive. This Directive is intended to promote best practices as to Social Distancing Requirements and sanitation measures, helping prevent the transmission of COVID-19 and safeguard the health of workers, customers, and the community.

**UNDER THE AUTHORITY OF CALIFORNIA HEALTH AND SAFETY CODE
SECTIONS 101040, 101085, AND 120175, THE HEALTH OFFICER DIRECTS AS
FOLLOWS:**

1. This Directive applies to all owners, operators, managers, or supervisors of any Essential Businesses that the Stay-Safe-At-Home Order permits to be open to the public in the City and County of San Francisco (the “City”) and that provide services or perform work as described in subsections 16.f.ii, 16.f.xiii, 16.f.xvi, or 16.f.xix of the Stay-Safe-At-Home Order where the services include the function of shipping or delivering groceries, food, or other goods directly to residences or businesses (“Delivery-Related Essential Businesses”).
2. Attached as Exhibit A to this Order are a list of best practices that apply to Delivery-Related Essential Businesses (the “Best Practices”). This Directive and the attached Best Practices may be revised by the Health Officer, through revision of this Directive or another future directive or order, as conditions relating to COVID-19 require, in the discretion of the Health Officer. Each Delivery-Related Essential Business must stay updated regarding any changes to the Stay-Safe-At-Home Order and this Directive by checking the City Administrator’s website (www.sfgsa.org) or the Department of Public Health website (<https://www.sfdph.org/dph/alerts/coronavirus.asp>) regularly.
3. Each Delivery-Related Essential Business must, by 11:59 p.m. on May 15, 2020, create, adopt, and implement a written health and safety plan (a “Health and Safety



Health Officer Directive No. 2020-06

Plan”) addressing all applicable Best Practices attached to this Directive as Exhibit A. The Health and Safety Plan must address each requirement listed in the Best Practices, whether by describing the plan for implementing the requirement or indicating why the requirement does not apply. The Best Practices attachment is not itself intended to serve as the Health and Safety Plan, such as by having the Essential Business simply check off items that have been or will be done. Rather, the contents of the Best Practices must be adapted into a separate Health and Safety Plan. A form-fillable electronic document for this purpose is available online at www.sfgsa.org or <https://www.sfdph.org/dph/alerts/coronavirus.asp>, as is a sample Health and Safety Plan. But a Health and Safety Plan may be hand-written or otherwise completed in any format that addresses the substance of all the listed requirements in the Best Practices.

4. Depending on the nature of the Essential Business covered by this Directive, there may be certain people associated with the Essential Business that are subject to this Directive. Collectively those people are referred to by this Directive and the Best Practices as “Personnel”, and those people include all of the following who provide goods or services associated with the Essential Business in the City: employees; contractors and sub-contractors (such as those who sell goods or perform services onsite or who deliver goods for the business); independent contractors (such as “gig workers” who perform work via the Essential Business’s app or other online interface); vendors who are permitted to sell goods onsite (such as farmers or others who sell at stalls in farmers’ markets); volunteers; and other individuals who regularly provide services onsite at the request of the Essential Business. This Directive requires the Essential Business to ensure that Personnel who perform work associated with the Essential Business are addressed by the Health and Safety Plan and comply with those requirements.
5. Each Delivery-Related Essential Business subject to this Directive must provide items such as Face Coverings (as provided in Health Order No. C19-12 issued on April 17, 2020), hand sanitizer or handwashing stations, or both, and disinfectant and related supplies to Personnel and to the public, all as required by the Best Practices. If any such Delivery-Related Essential Business is unable to provide these required items or otherwise fails to comply with required Best Practices or fails to abide by its Health and Safety Plan, then it must cease operating until it can fully comply and demonstrate its strict compliance. Further, as to any non-compliant operation, any such Delivery-Related Essential Business is subject to immediate closure and the fines and other legal remedies described below, as a violation of the Stay-Safe-At-Home Order.
6. Each Delivery-Related Essential Business is required to take certain steps in the Health and Safety Plan related to its Personnel, including certain actions listed in Sections 2.1 through 2.4 of the Best Practices if Personnel are sick. Each Delivery-Related Essential Business is prohibited from taking any adverse action against any Personnel for staying home in the circumstances listed in Sections 2.1 through 2.4 of the Best Practices. Personnel of each Delivery-Related Essential Business are prohibited from coming to work if they are sick and must comply with the Directive, including the rules for returning to work listed in Sections 2.1 through 2.4 of the Best Practices.



City and County of San Francisco

Department of Public Health Health Officer Directive

Health Officer Directive No. 2020-06

7. Each Delivery-Related Essential Business must (a) make the Health and Safety Plan available to a member of the public on request, (b) provide the plan to all Personnel working on site or otherwise in the City in relation to its operations, and (c) post the plan in any storefront or at the entrance to any other physical location that such Essential Business operates within the City.
8. Implementation of this Directive augments—but does not limit—the obligations of each Delivery-Related Essential Business under the Stay-Safe-At-Home Order including, but not limited to, the obligation to prepare, post, and implement a Social Distancing Protocol under Section 6 and subsection 16.h of the Stay-Safe-At-Home Order. The covered Delivery-Related Essential Businesses must follow these industry-specific Best Practices and update them as necessary for the duration of this Directive, including, without limitation, as this Directive is amended or extended in writing by the Health Officer and consistent with any extension of the Stay-Safe-At-Home Order, any other order that supersedes that order, and any Health Officer order that references this Directive.

This Directive is issued in furtherance of the purposes of the Stay-Safe-At-Home Order. Where a conflict exists between this Directive and any state, local, or federal public health order related to the COVID-19 pandemic, including, without limitation, the Social Distancing Protocol, the most restrictive provision controls. Failure to carry out this Directive is a violation of the Stay-Safe-At-Home Order, constitutes an imminent threat and menace to public health, constitutes a public nuisance, and is a misdemeanor punishable by fine, imprisonment, or both.

A handwritten signature in blue ink that reads "Tomás Aragón".

Tomás J. Aragón, MD, DPH,
Health Officer of the
City and County of San Francisco

Date: May 8, 2020

Best Practices for Delivery-Related Essential Businesses

In addition to preparing, posting, and implementing the Social Distancing Protocol required by Section 6 and subsection 16.h of Health Officer Order No. C19-07c (the “Stay-Safe-At-Home Order”), each owner, operator, manager, or supervisor of a Delivery-Related Essential Business that operates in the City must, as further provided in Health Officer Directive No. 2020-06, create, adopt, and implement a Health and Safety Plan that addresses each item in each applicable section below.

Directions: The Health and Safety Plan must address each item listed in each applicable section below. The first two sections include Signage, Education, and General Requirements that apply to each Delivery-Related Essential Business. The remaining sections apply only to the listed types of services provided by such Essential Businesses.

The Health and Safety Plan must address each requirement listed below, whether by describing the plan for implementing the requirement or indicating why the requirement does not apply. The list below is not intended to be the Health and Safety Plan by simply checking off items as having been done. Rather, the Health and Safety Plan must be a separate document. A form-fillable electronic document for this purpose is available online at www.sfgsa.org or www.sfdcdp.org/covid19 (open the “Businesses and Employers” area of the “Information and Guidance for the Public” section), as is a sample Health and Safety Plan. But a Health and Safety Plan may be hand-written or otherwise completed in any format that addresses all the listed requirements.

General Requirements (each item in Sections 1 and 2 below applies to each Delivery-Related Essential Business)

1. Section 1 – Signage and Education:

- 1.1.*** Post signage at each public entrance of the facility or location (if any) to inform all Personnel and customers that they must: avoid entering the facility or location if they have a cough or fever, maintain a minimum six-foot distance from one another while in the facility or location, wear a face covering or barrier mask (a “Face Covering”) at all times, and not shake hands or engage in any unnecessary physical contact. Criteria for Face Coverings and the requirements related to their use are set forth in Health Officer Order No. C19-12, issued on April 17, 2020 (the “Face Covering Order”). Sample signs are available online at <https://sf.gov/outreach-toolkit-coronavirus-covid-19>.
- 1.2.*** Post a copy of the Social Distancing Protocol at each public entrance to the facility or location.
- 1.3.*** Post a copy of the Health and Safety Plan at each public entrance to the facility or location.
- 1.4.*** Distribute to all Personnel copies of the Social Distancing Protocol and the Health and Safety Plan (or a summary of each item with information on how copies may be obtained) and any educational materials required by the Health and Safety Plan.
- 1.5.*** Educate all Personnel of the requirements of the Social Distancing Protocol and the Health and Safety Plan that apply to them.
- 1.6.*** Update the Health and Safety Plan as appropriate while the Directive is in effect.

Best Practices for Delivery-Related Essential Businesses

2. Section 2 – Personnel and Customer Protection and Sanitation Requirements:

- 2.1. Instruct all Personnel orally and in writing not to come to work or the facility if they are sick.
- 2.2. Provide a copy of the attachment to this Exhibit, titled “Information for Personnel (Employees, Contractors, Volunteers) of Essential Business and Other Businesses Permitted To Operate During the Health Emergency” (the “Attachment”), to all Personnel in hardcopy format or electronically. PDF and translated versions of the Attachment can be found online at www.sfdcp.org/covid19 (open the “Businesses and Employers” area of the “Information and Guidance for the Public” section). If the Attachment is updated, provide an updated copy to all Personnel.
- 2.3. Review the criteria listed in Part 1 of the Attachment on a daily basis with all Personnel in the City before each person enters work spaces or begins a shift. If such a review is not feasible because the Essential Business does not directly interact with some Personnel onsite daily, then that Essential Business must for those Personnel (1) instruct such Personnel to review the criteria before each shift in the City and (2) have such Personnel report to the Essential Business that they are okay to begin the shift such as through an app, website, or phone call. Each Essential Business must keep a daily log or other record of all such reviews and reports for all Personnel in the City.

Instruct any Personnel who answered yes to any question in Part 1 of the Attachment to return home or not come to work and follow the directions on the Attachment.

- 2.4. Instruct Personnel who stayed home or who went home based on the criteria listed on the Attachment that they must follow the criteria as well as any applicable requirements from the quarantine and isolation directives (available online at www.sfdph.org/dph/alerts/coronavirus-healthorders.asp) before returning to work. If they are required to self-quarantine or self-isolate, they may only return to work after they have completed self-quarantine or self-isolation. If they test negative for the virus (no virus found), they may only return to work after waiting for the amount of time listed on the Attachment after their symptoms have resolved. Personnel are not required to provide a medical clearance letter in order to return to work as long as they have met the requirements outlined on the Attachment.
- 2.5. In the coming weeks the Department of Public Health is likely to issue guidelines requiring Essential Businesses and other permitted businesses to comply with COVID-19 testing requirements for employers and businesses. At least weekly, check the following website for any testing requirements for employers and businesses: www.sfdcp.org/covid19. If requirements are added, ensure that the Health and Safety Plan is updated and that Essential Business and all Personnel comply with testing requirements.
- 2.6. Instruct all Personnel and customers to maintain at least six-feet distance from others, including when shopping on behalf of customers, except when momentarily necessary to facilitate or accept payment and hand off items or deliver goods.
- 2.7. Provide Face Coverings for all Personnel, with instructions that they must wear Face Coverings at all times when at work, as further set forth in the Face Covering Order. A sample sign is available online at <https://sf.gov/outreach-toolkit-coronavirus-covid-19>. Allow Personnel to bring their own Face Covering if they bring one that has been cleaned prior to the shift. In general, people should have multiple Face Coverings (whether reusable or disposable) to ensure they use a clean one each day. The Face Covering Order permits certain exceptions, and the Essential Business should be aware of those exceptions (for example,

Best Practices for Delivery-Related Essential Businesses

children 12 years old or younger or based on a written medical excuse). When Personnel do not wear a Face Covering because of an exception, take steps to otherwise increase safety for all.

- 2.8. If customers wait in line outside or go into any facility or location operated by the Delivery-Related Essential Businesses, require customers to wear a Face Covering while waiting in line outside or within the facility or location. This includes taking steps to notify customers they may not enter without a Face Covering and will not be served if they are in line or enter without a Face Covering, refusing to serve a customer without a Face Covering, and taking steps to remove that customer, as further provided in the Face Covering Order. The Essential Business may provide a clean Face Covering to customers before entry. Permit customers to obtain service who are excused by the Face Covering Order from wearing a Face Covering, including by taking steps that can otherwise increase safety for all.
- 2.9. Create and implement an education plan for all Personnel covering all items required in the Social Distancing Protocol and the Health and Safety Plan.
- 2.10. Provide a sink with soap, water, and paper towels for handwashing, for all Personnel working onsite at the facility or location, as well as customers (if the facility or location has a public restroom). Require that all Personnel wash hands at least at the start and end of each shift, after sneezing, coughing, eating, drinking, smoking (to the extent smoking is allowed by law and the facility), or using the restroom, when changing tasks, and, when possible, frequently during each shift. Personnel that work off-site, such as driving or delivering goods, must be required to use hand sanitizer throughout their shift.
- 2.11. Provide hand sanitizer effective against COVID-19 at entrances and points of purchase for all customers and elsewhere at the facility or location for Personnel. Sanitizer must also be provided to Personnel who shop, deliver, or drive for use when they are shopping, delivering, or driving. If sanitizer cannot be obtained, a handwashing station with soap, water, and paper towels will suffice for customers and certain Personnel who are on-site at the Essential Business's location. But for Personnel who shop, deliver, or drive in relation to their work, the Essential Business must provide hand sanitizer effective against COVID-19 at all times; for any period during which the Essential Business does not provide sanitizer to such shopping, delivery, or driving Personnel, the Essential Business is not allowed for that aspect of its service to operate in the City. Information on hand sanitizer, including sanitizer effective against COVID-19 and how to obtain sanitizer, is available online from the Food and Drug Administration here: <https://www.fda.gov/drugs/information-drug-class/qa-consumers-hand-sanitizers-and-covid-19>.
- 2.12. Provide disinfectant and related supplies to Personnel and require Personnel to sanitize all high-touch surfaces under their control, including but not limited to: shopping carts and baskets; countertops, food/item display cases, refrigerator and freezer case doors, drawers with tools or hardware, and check-out areas; cash registers, payment equipment, and self-check-out kiosks; door handles; tools and equipment used by Personnel during a shift; and any inventory-tracking or delivery-tracking equipment or devices which require handling throughout a work shift. These items should be routinely disinfected during the course of the day, including as required below. A list of products listed by the United States Environmental Protection Agency as meeting criteria for use against the virus that causes COVID-19 can be found online here: <https://www.epa.gov/pesticide-registration/list-n-disinfectants-use-against-sars-cov-2>.

Best Practices for Delivery-Related Essential Businesses

- 2.13.** For any facility or location operated by the Delivery-Related Essential Business, frequently disinfect any break rooms, bathrooms, and other common areas. Create and use a daily checklist to document each time disinfection of these rooms or areas occurs.
- 2.14.** For any facility or location operated by the Delivery-Related Essential Business that has shopping carts or baskets, assign Personnel to disinfect shopping carts and baskets after each use and take steps to prevent anyone from grabbing used carts and baskets before disinfection.
- 2.15.** For any facility or location operated by the Delivery-Related Essential Business that has shopping carts or baskets, provide disinfecting wipes that are effective against COVID-19 near shopping carts and shopping baskets. A list of products listed by the United States Environmental Protection Agency as meeting criteria for use against the virus that causes COVID-19 can be found online here: <https://www.epa.gov/pesticide-registration/list-n-disinfectants-use-against-sars-cov-2>.
- 2.16.** For any facility or location operated by the Delivery-Related Essential Business, establish adequate time in the work day to allow for proper cleaning and decontamination throughout the facility or location by Personnel including, but not limited to, before closing for the day and opening in the morning.
- 2.17.** For any facility or location operated by the Delivery-Related Essential Business, suspend use of any microwaves, water coolers, drinking fountains, and other similar group equipment for breaks until further notice.
- 2.18.** For any facility or location operated by the Delivery-Related Essential Business, when possible, provide a barrier between the customer and the cashier such as a plexi-glass temporary barrier. When not possible, create sufficient space to enable the customer to stand more than six feet away from the cashier while items are being scanned/tallied and bagged.
- 2.19.** Advise Personnel that it is recommended for them to change clothes and shoes before or upon arriving at home after a shift in order to reduce the chance of their clothing or shoes exposing anyone in the household to the virus and that such clothing should be cleaned before being used again.
- 2.20.** Provide for contactless payment systems or, if not feasible, sanitize payment systems, including touch screens, payment portals, pens, and styluses, after each customer use. Customers may pay with cash but to further limit person-to-person contact, Personnel should encourage customers to use credit, debit, or gift cards for payment.
- 2.21.** For any facility or location operated by the Delivery-Related Essential Business that is open to customers, prohibit customers from using their own shopping bags brought from home. But, customers are permitted to use push carts to help them carry or transport items as well as wheelchairs, canes, or other mobility assistance devices.
- 2.22.** Recommend that customers should not touch or handle items without purchase of the item being handled. Customers should be encouraged through posted signs to select items only via visual examination. This guidance is converted into a requirement in relation to produce and Personnel who shop for others as outlined elsewhere in these Best Practices and other Health Officer directives.

Best Practices for Delivery-Related Essential Businesses

- 2.23.** For any larger facility or location, appoint a designated sanitation worker at all times to continuously clean and sanitize commonly touched surfaces and meet the environmental cleaning guidelines set by the Center for Disease Control and Prevention.
- 2.24.** If an employee or other Personnel tests positive for COVID-19, follow the guidance on “Business guidance if a staff member tests positive for COVID-19,” available online at sf.gov/business-guidance-if-staff-member-tests-positive-covid-19.
- 2.25.** For any facility or location operated by the Delivery-Related Essential Business that is open to customers, limit the number of customers in the facility or location at any one time to a number that allows for customers and Personnel to easily maintain at least six foot distance from one another at all times.
- 2.26.** For any facility or location operated by the Delivery-Related Essential Business that is open to customers, post Personnel at the door to the facility or location to ensure that the maximum number of customers in the facility or location is not exceeded. Once the maximum number of customers is reached, customers should only be allowed to enter when another customer exists. Also, the facility or location can slow down customer entry to prevent buildup of congestion in the store or lines at checkout.
- 2.27.** Place tape or other markings on the floor at least six feet apart in customer line areas inside the facility or location and on sidewalks at public entrances with signs directing customers to use the markings to maintain distance.
- 2.28.** When stocking shelves, if any, ensure that Personnel wash or sanitize hands before placing items on shelves, making sure to again wash or sanitize hands if they become contaminated by touching face or hair or being exposed to other soiled surfaces.
- 2.29.** For any Delivery-Related Essential Business that has Personnel shop or pick up items at other businesses, ensure that Personnel comply with the Social Distancing Protocols and the Health and Safety Plans of those businesses. Non-compliant shoppers may be warned about violations and, if they persist, escorted off premises without being able to complete their shopping. It is important that third-party commercial shopping services do not overwhelm other customers who are shopping.
- 2.30.** Ensure that all Personnel who shop or select items on behalf of customers wear a Face Covering when shopping, packing, and/or delivering items.
- 2.31.** Require Personnel to wash hands frequently, including:
- When entering the kitchen or food preparation area
 - Before starting food preparation or handling
 - After touching their face, hair, or other areas of the body
 - After using the restroom
 - After coughing, sneezing, using a tissue, smoking, eating, or drinking
 - Before and after handling raw food
 - Before putting on gloves
 - After engaging in other activities that may contaminate the hands
- 2.32.** Assign Personnel to keep soap and paper towels stocked at sinks and handwashing stations at least every hour and to replenish other sanitizing products.

Best Practices for Delivery-Related Essential Businesses

- 2.33. Provide a personal handwashing station if a common handwashing area is not readily available. An instruction guide on how to create a handwashing station is available online at <https://www.sfdph.org/dph/EH/Food/Handwash.asp>.

Additional Requirements Specific to Certain Types of Services

3. **Section 3 – For Delivery Personnel** (applies only to Delivery-Related Essential Businesses that employ or utilize delivery personnel):
- 3.1. Provide disinfectant and related supplies to all drivers and delivery Personnel to enable them to sanitize high-touch surfaces in vehicles or on other transportation equipment as well as any inventory-tracking or delivery-tracking equipment or devices which require handling throughout a work shift.
 - 3.2. Ensure that vehicle interiors, other transportation equipment, and all shared devices or equipment are cleaned and/or sanitized by Personnel on frequent schedules, not less than at the beginning and end of each Personnel member's work shift and during the shift.
 - 3.3. Direct all delivery Personnel to avoid touching unsanitized areas that may be frequently touched, such as doorbells, buzzers, and door handles, unless protective equipment such as gloves (provided by the delivery business that employs or assigns the delivery Personnel) are used and discarded after each use or hand sanitizer is used after each delivery.
 - 3.4. Delivery Personnel must wash their hands at the pickup sites, when possible. Instruct all delivery Personnel to use hand sanitizer (provided by the delivery business that employs or assigns the delivery Personnel) between deliveries, before picking up food or items (if handwashing is not available), and after dropping off food or items to a customer.
 - 3.5. Allow customers to provide a specified delivery location and contact method to allow for delivery without direct interaction, except as necessary to accept payment. When possible, provide options to accept payment through contactless technologies, in advance via phone, an app, or the internet, or verbally (such as reading a credit card number and required information). All Personnel must wear a Face Covering when interacting with customers when delivering items.
 - 3.6. When necessary for the delivery business' payment or delivery-confirmation processes, modify or eliminate (if possible) customer signature-capture procedures so Personnel may maintain a safe, appropriate distance and/or avoid sharing of signing equipment such as pen or stylus and avoid shared handling of devices or equipment. If not feasible, sanitize such equipment or devices before and after each use in order to protect each customer.
4. **Section 4 – For Shopping Personnel** (applies only to Delivery-Related Essential Businesses that employ or utilize shopping personnel):
- 4.1. Provide disinfectant and related supplies to all Personnel who shop on behalf of customers, for use to sanitize shopping carts and shopping baskets.
 - 4.2. Instruct all Personnel who shop on behalf of customers not to touch any item in a store unless they are going to purchase it. Personnel who shop for others should not pick up items and

Best Practices for Delivery-Related Essential Businesses

then return them to a shelf in the store, and grocery and other stores are being advised of this prohibition.

- 4.3.** Ensure that all Personnel who shop on behalf of customers are educated on and understand the following requirements for not violating social distancing while working: not reaching in front of other customers or Personnel; waiting for other customers or Personnel to move out of the way before going to a shelf or area; not blocking people while looking at an electronic device/smart phone to determine the contents of an order; and not walking through a facility or store while paying attention to an electronic device/smart phone.
- 4.4.** Ensure that all Personnel who shop on behalf of customers wear a Face Covering when shopping, packing, and/or delivering items.

City and County of San Francisco Health Officer Directive - Attachment
Handout for Personnel (Employees, Contractors, Volunteers) of Essential Business and
Other Businesses Permitted to Operate During the Health Emergency (May 8, 2020)

Any business or entity that is subject to a Health Officer Directive to which this handout is attached (each "Business") must give a copy of this handout to Personnel who work in the City outside their household during this emergency. Go to www.sfgcdcp.org/covid19 for more info or a copy of this form.

All Personnel: If you work outside your household in the City during this local health emergency, then you qualify for a free test for the virus that causes COVID-19, *even if you have no symptoms!* Just go to **CityTestSF** at <https://sf.gov/get-tested-covid-19-citytests> to get more info and sign up for a free test.

Part 1 – You must answer the following questions before starting your work every day that you work.

You may be required to provide the answers in person or via phone or other electronic means to the Business before the start of each shift. If any answers change while you are at work, notify the Business by phone and leave the workplace.

1. Within the last 10 days have you been diagnosed with COVID-19 or had a test confirming you have the virus?
2. Do you live in the same household with, or have you had **close contact*** with someone who in the past 14 days was diagnosed with COVID-19 or had a test confirming they have the virus?

If the answer to either question is "yes", do not go to work and follow the steps listed in **Part 2** below.

3. Have you had any one or more of these symptoms today or within the past 24 hours, which is new or not explained by a pre-existing condition?

- | | |
|--|---------------------------|
| • Fever, Chills, or Repeated Shaking/Shivering | • Loss of Taste or Smell |
| • Cough | • Muscle pain |
| • Sore Throat | • Headache |
| • Shortness of Breath, Difficulty Breathing | • Runny or congested nose |
| • Feeling Unusually Weak or Fatigued | • Diarrhea |

If the answer to Question 3 is "yes", do not go to work and follow the steps listed in **Part 3** below.

Part 2 –

- If you answered **yes to Question 1**: you are subject to the Health Officer Isolation Directive. Do not go to work. **Follow Isolation Steps** at: <https://www.sfgcdcp.org/Isolation-Quarantine-Packet>
- If you answered **yes to Question 2**: you are subject to the Health Officer Quarantine Directive. Do not go to work. **Follow Quarantine Steps** at: <https://www.sfgcdcp.org/Isolation-Quarantine-Packet>
- Do not return to work until the Isolation or Quarantine Steps tell you it is safe to return!
- The meaning of ***Close Contact** is explained in this document: <https://www.sfgcdcp.org/Isolation-Quarantine-Packet>

Part 3 – If you answered yes to Question 3:

You may have COVID-19 and **must be tested for the virus** before returning to work. Without a test, the Business must treat you as being positive for COVID-19 and require you to stay out of work for at least **10** calendar days. In order to return to work sooner and to protect those around you, you must get tested for the virus. Follow these steps:

1. Contact your usual healthcare provider about getting tested for the virus, or sign up for free testing at CityTestSF <https://sf.gov/get-tested-covid-19-citytests>. If you live outside the City, you can check with the county where you live, get tested by your usual healthcare provider, or use CityTestSF.
2. Wait for your test results at home while minimizing exposure to those you live with. A good resource is <https://www.cdc.gov/coronavirus/2019-ncov/if-you-are-sick/steps-when-sick.html>
3. If your result is positive (confirms that you have the virus) go to **Part 2** above and follow **Isolation Steps**.
4. If your result is negative, do not return to work until you have had at least 3 days in a row without fever or other symptoms.

If you have questions about any part of this Handout, please call 3-1-1



DIRECTIVE OF THE HEALTH OFFICER No. 2020-07

**DIRECTIVE OF THE HEALTH OFFICER OF
THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO REGARDING REQUIRED BEST
PRACTICES FOR PHARMACIES, FARMERS MARKETS AND STANDS, GROCERS
AND OTHER SELLERS OF UNPREPARED FOODS AND HOUSEHOLD CONSUMER
PRODUCTS, AND HARDWARE STORES**

(PUBLIC HEALTH DIRECTIVE)
DATE OF DIRECTIVE: May 8, 2020

By this Directive, the Health Officer of the City and County of San Francisco (the “Health Officer”) issues industry-specific direction that certain Essential Businesses providing goods and services described below must follow as part of the local response to the Coronavirus Disease 2019 (“COVID-19”) pandemic. This Directive constitutes industry-specific guidance as provided under Section 6 of Health Officer Order No. C19-07c issued on April 29, 2020 (the “Stay-Safe-At-Home Order”) and, unless otherwise defined below, initially capitalized terms used in this Directive have the same meaning given them in that order. This Directive goes into effect immediately upon issuance but provides for an implementation grace period requiring compliance by 11:59 p.m. on May 15, 2020, and this Directive remains in effect until suspended, superseded, or amended by the Health Officer, as further provided below. This Directive has support in the bases and justifications set forth in the Stay-Safe-At-Home Order. As further provided below, it also automatically incorporates any revisions to that order or other future orders issued by the Health Officer that supersede that order or reference this Directive. This Directive is intended to promote best practices as to Social Distancing Requirements and sanitation measures, helping prevent the transmission of COVID-19 and safeguard the health of workers, customers, and the community.

**UNDER THE AUTHORITY OF CALIFORNIA HEALTH AND SAFETY CODE
SECTIONS 101040, 101085, AND 120175, THE HEALTH OFFICER DIRECTS AS
FOLLOWS:**

- 1. This Directive applies to all owners, operators, managers, or supervisors of any Essential Businesses that the Stay-Safe-At-Home Order permits to be open to the public in the City and County of San Francisco (the “City”) and that consist of any of the following:**
 - (a) a pharmacy as described in subsection 16.f.i of the Stay-Safe-At-Home Order (each a “Pharmacy”);**
 - (b) a certified farmers’ market or farm or produce stand as described in subsection 16.f.ii of the Stay-Safe-At-Home Order (each a “Farmers’ Market”);**
 - (c) any other facility or store that, as described in subsection 16.f.ii of the Stay-Safe-At-Home Order, is engaged in the retail sale of unprepared foods, canned foods, dry goods, non-alcoholic beverages, fresh fruits and vegetables, pet supplies, fresh meats, fish, and poultry, as well as hygienic products and household consumer products necessary for personal hygiene or the habitability, sanitation, or operation of residences (each a “Grocery Market”); or**



City and County of San Francisco

Department of Public Health Health Officer Directive

Health Officer Directive No. 2020-07

- (d) a hardware store as described in subsection 16.f.xi of the Stay-Safe-At-Home Order (a "Hardware Store").
2. Attached as Exhibit A to this Order are a list of best practices that apply to Pharmacies, Farmers' Markets, Grocery Markets, and Hardware Stores (the "Best Practices"). This Directive and the attached Best Practices may be revised by the Health Officer, through revision of this Directive or another future directive or order, as conditions relating to COVID-19 require, in the discretion of the Health Officer. Each Pharmacy, Farmers' Market, Grocery Market, and Hardware Store must stay updated regarding any changes to the Stay-Safe-At-Home Order and this Directive by checking the City Administrator's website (www.sfgsa.org) or the Department of Public Health website (<https://www.sfdph.org/dph/alerts/coronavirus.asp>) regularly.
 3. Each Pharmacy, Farmers' Market, Grocery Market, and Hardware Store must, by 11:59 p.m. on May 15, 2020, create, adopt, and implement a written health and safety plan (a "Health and Safety Plan") addressing all applicable Best Practices attached to this Directive as Exhibit A. The Health and Safety Plan must address each requirement listed in the Best Practices, whether by describing the plan for implementing the requirement or indicating why the requirement does not apply. The Best Practices attachment is not itself intended to serve as the Health and Safety Plan, such as by having the Essential Business simply check off items that have been or will be done. Rather, the contents of the Best Practices must be adapted into a separate Health and Safety Plan. A form-fillable electronic document for this purpose is available online at www.sfgsa.org or <https://www.sfdph.org/dph/alerts/coronavirus.asp>, as is a sample Health and Safety Plan. But a Health and Safety Plan may be hand-written or otherwise completed in any format that addresses the substance of all the listed requirements in the Best Practices.
 4. Depending on the nature of the Essential Business covered by this Directive, there may be certain people associated with the Essential Business that are subject to this Directive. Collectively those people are referred to by this Directive and the Best Practices as "Personnel", and those people include all of the following who provide goods or services associated with the Essential Business in the City: employees; contractors and sub-contractors (such as those who sell goods or perform services onsite or who deliver goods for the business); independent contractors (such as "gig workers" who perform work via the Essential Business's app or other online interface); vendors who are permitted to sell goods onsite (such as farmers or others who sell at stalls in farmers' markets); volunteers; and other individuals who regularly provide services onsite at the request of the Essential Business. This Directive requires the Essential Business to ensure that Personnel who perform work associated with the Essential Business are addressed by the Health and Safety Plan and comply with those requirements.
 5. Each Pharmacy, Farmers' Market, Grocery Market, and Hardware Store subject to this Directive must provide items such as Face Coverings (as provided in Health Order No. C19-12 issued on April 17, 2020), hand sanitizer or handwashing stations, or both, and disinfectant and related supplies to Personnel and to the public, all as required by the Best Practices. If any such Essential Business is unable to provide



Health Officer Directive No. 2020-07

these required items or otherwise fails to comply with required Best Practices or fails to abide by its Health and Safety Plan, then it must cease operating until it can fully comply and demonstrate its strict compliance. Further, as to any non-compliant operation, any such Essential Business is subject to immediate closure and the fines and other legal remedies described below, as a violation of the Stay-Safe-At-Home Order.

6. Each Pharmacy, Farmers' Market, Grocery Market, and Hardware Store is required to take certain steps in the Health and Safety Plan related to its Personnel, including certain actions listed in Sections 2.1 through 2.4 of the Best Practices if Personnel are sick. Each Pharmacy, Farmers' Market, Grocery Market, and Hardware Store is prohibited from taking any adverse action against any Personnel for staying home in the circumstances listed in Sections 2.1 through 2.4 of the Best Practices. Personnel of each Pharmacy, Farmers' Market, Grocery Market, and Hardware Store are prohibited from coming to work if they are sick and must comply with the Directive, including the rules for returning to work listed in Sections 2.1 through 2.4 of the Best Practices.
7. Each Pharmacy, Farmers' Market, Grocery Market, and Hardware Store must (a) make the Health and Safety Plan available to a member of the public on request, (b) provide the plan to all Personnel working on site or otherwise in the City in relation to its operations, and (c) post the plan in any storefront or at the entrance to any other physical location that such Essential Business operates within the City.
8. Implementation of this Directive augments—but does not limit—the obligations of each Pharmacy, Farmers' Market, Grocery Market, or Hardware Store under the Stay-Safe-At-Home Order including, but not limited to, the obligation to prepare, post, and implement a Social Distancing Protocol under Section 6 and subsection 16.h of the Stay-Safe-At-Home Order. The covered Essential Businesses must follow these industry-specific Best Practices and update them as necessary for the duration of this Directive, including, without limitation, as this Directive is amended or extended in writing by the Health Officer and consistent with any extension of the Stay-Safe-At-Home Order, any other order that supersedes that order, and any Health Officer order that references this Directive.

This Directive is issued in furtherance of the purposes of the Stay-Safe-At-Home Order. Where a conflict exists between this Directive and any state, local, or federal public health order related to the COVID-19 pandemic, including, without limitation, the Social Distancing Protocol, the most restrictive provision controls. Failure to carry out this Directive is a violation of the Stay-Safe-At-Home Order, constitutes an imminent threat and menace to public health, constitutes a public nuisance, and is a misdemeanor punishable by fine, imprisonment, or both.

Tomás J. Aragón, MD, DrPH,
Health Officer of the
City and County of San Francisco

Date: May 8, 2020

Best Practices for Pharmacies, Farmers' Markets, Grocery Markets, and Hardware Stores

In addition to preparing, posting, and implementing the Social Distancing Protocol required by Section 6 and subsection 16.h of Health Officer Order No. C19-07c (the "Stay-Safe-At-Home Order"), each owner, operator, manager, or supervisor of a Pharmacy, Grocery Market, Farmers' Market, or Hardware Store that operates as an Essential Business in the City must, as further provided in Health Officer Directive No. 2020-07, create, adopt, and implement a Health and Safety Plan that addresses each item in each applicable section below.

Directions: The Health and Safety Plan must address each item listed in each applicable section below. The first two sections include Signage, Education, and General Requirements that apply to each Pharmacy, Grocery Market, Farmers' Market, or Hardware Store. The remaining sections apply only to the listed types of such Essential Businesses.

The Health and Safety Plan must address each requirement listed below, whether by describing the plan for implementing the requirement or indicating why the requirement does not apply. The list below is not intended to be the Health and Safety Plan by simply checking off items as having been done. Rather, the Health and Safety Plan must be a separate document. A form-fillable electronic document for this purpose is available online at www.sfgsa.org or www.sfdcdp.org/covid19 (open the "Businesses and Employers" area of the "Information and Guidance for the Public" section), as is a sample Health and Safety Plan. But a Health and Safety Plan may be hand-written or otherwise completed in any format that addresses all the listed requirements.

General Requirements (each item in Sections 1 and 2 below applies to each Pharmacy, Farmers', Grocery Market, and Hardware Store)

1. Section 1 –Signage and Education:

- 1.1.*** Post signage at each public entrance of the facility or location to inform all Personnel and customers that they must: avoid entering the facility or location if they have a cough or fever, maintain a minimum six-foot distance from one another while in the facility or location, wear a face covering or barrier mask (a "Face Covering") at all times, and not shake hands or engage in any unnecessary physical contact. Criteria for Face Coverings and the requirements related to their use are set forth in Health Officer Order No. C19-12, issued on April 17, 2020 (the "Face Covering Order"). Sample signs are available online at <https://sf.gov/outreach-toolkit-coronavirus-covid-19>.
- 1.2.*** Post a copy of the Social Distancing Protocol at each public entrance to the facility or location.
- 1.3.*** Post a copy of the Health and Safety Plan at each public entrance to the facility or location.
- 1.4.*** Distribute to all Personnel copies of the Social Distancing Protocol and the Health and Safety Plan (or a summary of each item with information on how copies may be obtained) and any educational materials required by the Health and Safety Plan.
- 1.5.*** Educate all Personnel of the requirements of the Social Distancing Protocol and the Health and Safety Plan that apply to them.
- 1.6.*** Update the Health and Safety Plan as appropriate while the Directive is in effect.

Best Practices for Pharmacies, Farmers' Markets, Grocery Markets, and Hardware Stores

2. Section 2 –Personnel and Customer Protection and Sanitation Requirements:

- 2.1. Instruct all Personnel orally and in writing not to come to work or the facility if they are sick.
- 2.2. Provide a copy of the attachment to this Exhibit, titled “Information for Personnel (Employees, Contractors, Volunteers) of Essential Business and Other Businesses Permitted To Operate During the Health Emergency” (the “Attachment”), to all Personnel in hardcopy format or electronically. PDF and translated versions of the Attachment can be found online at www.sfdcdp.org/covid19 (open the “Businesses and Employers” area of the “Information and Guidance for the Public” section). If the Attachment is updated, provide an updated copy to all Personnel.
- 2.3. Review the criteria listed in Part 1 of the Attachment on a daily basis with all Personnel in the City before each person enters work spaces or begins a shift. If such a review is not feasible because the Essential Business does not directly interact with some Personnel onsite daily, then that Essential Business must for those Personnel (1) instruct such Personnel to review the criteria before each shift in the City and (2) have such Personnel report to the Essential Business that they are okay to begin the shift such as through an app, website, or phone call. Each Essential Business must keep a daily log or other record of all such reviews and reports for all Personnel in the City.

Instruct any Personnel who answered yes to any question in Part 1 of the Attachment to return home or not come to work and follow the directions on the Attachment.

- 2.4. Instruct Personnel who stayed home or who went home based on the criteria listed on the Attachment that they must follow the criteria as well as any applicable requirements from the quarantine and isolation directives (available online at www.sfdph.org/dph/alerts/coronavirus-healthorders.asp) before returning to work. If they are required to self-quarantine or self-isolate, they may only return to work after they have completed self-quarantine or self-isolation. If they test negative for the virus (no virus found), they may only return to work after waiting for the amount of time listed on the Attachment after their symptoms have resolved. Personnel are not required to provide a medical clearance letter in order to return to work as long as they have met the requirements outlined on the Attachment.
- 2.5. In the coming weeks the Department of Public Health is likely to issue guidelines requiring Essential Businesses and other permitted businesses to comply with COVID-19 testing requirements for employers and businesses. At least weekly, check the following website for any testing requirements for employers and businesses: www.sfdcdp.org/covid19. If requirements are added, ensure that the Health and Safety Plan is updated and that Essential Business and all Personnel comply with testing requirements.
- 2.6. Instruct all Personnel and customers to maintain at least six-feet distance from others except when momentarily necessary to facilitate payment and hand off items.
- 2.7. Provide Face Coverings for all Personnel, with instructions that they must wear Face Coverings at all times when at work, as further set forth in the Face Covering Order. A sample sign is available online at <https://sf.gov/outreach-toolkit-coronavirus-covid-19>. Allow Personnel to bring their own Face Covering if they bring one that has been cleaned prior to the shift. In general, people should have multiple Face Coverings (whether reusable or disposable) to ensure they use a clean one each day. The Face Covering Order permits certain exceptions, and the Essential Business should be aware of those exceptions (for example, children 12 years old or younger or based on a written medical excuse). When Personnel do

Best Practices for Pharmacies, Farmers' Markets, Grocery Markets, and Hardware Stores

not wear a Face Covering because of an exception, take steps to otherwise increase safety for all.

- 2.8. Require customers to wear a Face Covering while waiting in line outside or within the facility or location. This includes taking steps to notify customers they may not enter without a Face Covering and will not be served if they are in line or enter without a Face Covering, refusing to serve a customer without a Face Covering, and taking steps to remove that customer, as further provided in the Face Covering Order. The Essential Business may provide a clean Face Covering to customers before entry. Permit customers to obtain service who are excused by the Face Covering Order from wearing a Face Covering, including by taking steps that can otherwise increase safety for all.
- 2.9. Create and implement an education plan for all Personnel covering all items required in the Social Distancing Protocol and the Health and Safety Plan.
- 2.10. Provide a sink with soap, water, and paper towels for handwashing, for all Personnel working onsite at the facility or location, as well as customers (if the facility or location has a public restroom). Require that all Personnel wash hands at least at the start and end of each shift, after sneezing, coughing, eating, drinking, smoking (to the extent smoking is allowed by law and the facility), or using the restroom, when changing tasks, and, when possible, frequently during each shift.
- 2.11. Provide hand sanitizer effective against COVID-19 at entrances and points of purchase for all customers and elsewhere at the facility or location for Personnel. Sanitizer must also be provided to Personnel who shop, deliver, or drive for use when they are shopping, delivering, or driving. If sanitizer cannot be obtained, a handwashing station with soap, water, and paper towels will suffice for customers and certain Personnel who are on-site at the Essential Business's location. But for Personnel who shop, deliver, or drive in relation to their work, the Essential Business must provide hand sanitizer effective against COVID-19 at all times; for any period during which the Essential Business does not provide sanitizer to such shopping, delivery, or driving Personnel, the Essential Business is not allowed for that aspect of its service to operate in the City. Information on hand sanitizer, including sanitizer effective against COVID-19 and how to obtain sanitizer, is available online from the Food and Drug Administration here: <https://www.fda.gov/drugs/information-drug-class/qa-consumers-hand-sanitizers-and-covid-19>.
- 2.12. Provide disinfectant and related supplies to Personnel and require Personnel to sanitize all high-touch surfaces, including but not limited to: shopping carts and baskets; countertops, food/item display cases, refrigerator and freezer case doors, drawers with tools or hardware, and check-out areas; cash registers, payment equipment, and self-check-out kiosks; door handles; tools and equipment used by Personnel during a shift; and any inventory-tracking or delivery-tracking equipment or devices which require handling throughout a work shift. These items should be routinely disinfected during the course of the day, including as required below. A list of products listed by the United States Environmental Protection Agency as meeting criteria for use against the virus that causes COVID-19 can be found online here: <https://www.epa.gov/pesticide-registration/list-n-disinfectants-use-against-sars-cov-2>.
- 2.13. Frequently disinfect any break rooms, bathrooms, and other common areas. Create and use a daily checklist to document each time disinfection of these rooms or areas occurs.
- 2.14. Assign Personnel to disinfect shopping carts and baskets after each use and take steps to prevent anyone from grabbing used carts and baskets before disinfection.

Best Practices for Pharmacies, Farmers' Markets, Grocery Markets, and Hardware Stores

- 2.15. Provide disinfecting wipes that are effective against COVID-19 near shopping carts and shopping baskets. A list of products listed by the United States Environmental Protection Agency as meeting criteria for use against the virus that causes COVID-19 can be found online here: <https://www.epa.gov/pesticide-registration/list-n-disinfectants-use-against-sars-cov-2>.
- 2.16. Establish adequate time in the work day to allow for proper cleaning and decontamination throughout the facility or location by Personnel including, but not limited to, before closing for the day and opening in the morning.
- 2.17. Suspend use of any microwaves, water coolers, drinking fountains, and other similar group equipment for breaks until further notice.
- 2.18. When possible, provide a barrier between the customer and the cashier such as a plexi-glass temporary barrier. When not possible, create sufficient space to enable the customer to stand more than six feet away from the cashier while items are being scanned/tallied and bagged.
- 2.19. Advise Personnel that it is recommended for them to change clothes and shoes before or upon arriving at home after a shift in order to reduce the chance of their clothing or shoes exposing anyone in the household to the virus and that such clothing should be cleaned before being used again.
- 2.20. Provide for contactless payment systems or, if not feasible, sanitize payment systems, including touch screens, payment portals, pens, and styluses, after each customer use. Customers may pay with cash but to further limit person-to-person contact, Personnel should encourage customers to use credit, debit, or gift cards for payment.
- 2.21. Prohibit customers from using their own shopping bags or mugs, cups, or other re-fillable containers brought from home. But, customers are permitted to use push carts to help them carry or transport items as well as wheelchairs, canes, or other mobility assistance devices.
- 2.22. Recommend that customers should not touch or handle items without purchase of the item being handled. Customers should be encouraged through posted signs to select items only via visual examination. This guidance is converted into a requirement in relation to produce and Personnel who shop for others as outlined elsewhere in these Best Practices and other Health Officer directives.
- 2.23. For any larger facility or location, appoint a designated sanitation worker at all times to continuously clean and sanitize commonly touched surfaces and meet the environmental cleaning guidelines set by the Center for Disease Control and Prevention.
- 2.24. If an employee or other Personnel tests positive for COVID-19, follow the guidance on "Business guidance if a staff member tests positive for COVID-19," available online at [sf.gov/business-guidance-if-staff-member-tests-positive-covid-19](https://www.sf.gov/business-guidance-if-staff-member-tests-positive-covid-19).
- 2.25. Limit the number of customers in the facility or location at any one time to a number that allows for customers and Personnel to easily maintain at least six foot distance from one another at all times.
- 2.26. Post Personnel at the door to the facility or location to ensure that the maximum number of customers in the facility or location is not exceeded. Once the maximum number of customers is reached, customers should only be allowed to enter when another customer

Best Practices for Pharmacies, Farmers' Markets, Grocery Markets, and Hardware Stores

exists. Also, the facility or location can slow down customer entry to prevent buildup of congestion in the store or lines at checkout.

- 2.27. For items that sell out quickly, place per-person limits on items and space out restocking during the day to reduce crowds and lines.
- 2.28. Place tape or other markings on the floor at least six feet apart in customer line areas inside the facility or location and on sidewalks at public entrances with signs directing customers to use the markings to maintain distance.
- 2.29. Based on the customer mix of the store, provide when appropriate an hour or more for shopping for vulnerable populations at the start of the day right after the store has been sanitized. This is not a requirement but is strongly recommended when appropriate for the business.
- 2.30. When stocking shelves, ensure that Personnel wash or sanitize hands before placing items on shelves, making sure to again wash or sanitize hands if they become contaminated by touching face or hair or being exposed to other soiled surfaces.
- 2.31. Have Personnel monitor compliance by third-party commercial shopping services with the Social Distancing Protocol and the Health and Safety Plan. Non-compliant third-party commercial shoppers should be warned about violations and, if they persist, escorted off premises without being able to complete their shopping. The facility or location should also provide feedback to the third-party commercial shopping service about repeated non-compliance and notify the Department of Public Health. It is important that third-party commercial shopping services do not overwhelm other customers who are shopping. Violations may be reported online at: <https://sf.gov/report-health-order-violation>. Ensure that any shopping service run by the facility or location itself follows these rules and does not overwhelm other customers who are shopping.
- 2.32. Remove or close customer seating areas including tables and chairs inside the facility or location (except as relates to waiting for Pharmacy services, addressed in more detail below).
- 2.33. Ensure that all Personnel who shop or select items on behalf of customers wear a Face Covering when shopping, packing, and/or delivering items.
- 2.34. Require Personnel to wash hands frequently, including:
 - When entering the kitchen or food preparation area
 - Before starting food preparation or handling
 - After touching their face, hair, or other areas of the body
 - After using the restroom
 - After coughing, sneezing, using a tissue, smoking, eating, or drinking
 - Before and after handling raw food
 - Before putting on gloves
 - After engaging in other activities that may contaminate the hands
- 2.35. Assign Personnel to keep soap and paper towels stocked at sinks and handwashing stations at least every hour and to replenish other sanitizing products.

Best Practices for Pharmacies, Farmers' Markets, Grocery Markets, and Hardware Stores

- 2.36. Provide a personal handwashing station if a common handwashing area is not readily available. An instruction guide on how to create a handwashing station is available online at <https://www.sfdph.org/dph/EH/Food/Handwash.asp>.

Additional Requirements Specific to Certain Types of Essential Businesses

3. **Section 3 – Pharmacy Requirements** (applies to each Pharmacy or Grocery Store if it contains a Pharmacy):

- 3.1. If the Pharmacy offers a delivery service for medications or over the counter healthcare supplies, then the Pharmacy must have a sign posted reminding customers of that service and encouraging its use.
- 3.2. The Pharmacy should encourage customers to submit orders and payment in advance by phone or online.
- 3.3. If the Pharmacy has a waiting area, ensure that chairs are not close together or mark chairs that are not to be used in order to ensure social distancing of at least six feet between chairs. All such seating areas must be sanitized as high-touch surfaces as outlined in Section 2, above.
- 3.4. Discontinue the use of magazines and other shared items in waiting areas.
- 3.5. Discontinue the use of self-serve blood pressure or other diagnostic equipment.
- 3.6. Ensure that waiting lines and interactions with pharmacy technicians and cashiers comply with the requirements for lines and interactions with staff listed in Section 2, above.
- 3.7. Personnel should avoid handling customer insurance cards when possible. Instead, the Pharmacy should encourage a customer submit card information online or via an app if possible or read aloud the information to the pharmacy technician/cashier (in a manner that minimizes the ability of other customers to overhear).

4. **Section 4 – Food Handling Requirements for Prepared Food and Produce** (applies to each Pharmacy, Farmers' Market, Grocery Store, or Hardware Store if it has prepared foods or produce):

- 4.1. Provide gloves for all Personnel handling food. Provide training for Personnel on glove use, including how to properly put on (after handwashing) and take off gloves and when to replace gloves when they are soiled or damaged. Single use gloves should be used for only one task and should be discarded when damaged, soiled or when food handling is interrupted. All existing industry rules and regulations regarding use of gloves must also be followed.
- 4.2. Prevent customers from self-serving any food-related items that are not pre-packaged, including at hot bars, cold bars, salad bars, beverage stations, buffets, bulk-item areas, and produce areas. To do this, ensure that:
 - 4.2.1. Lids for cups and containers are placed on the item by staff or offered individually to the customer and must not be available to customers for self-service.

Best Practices for Pharmacies, Farmers' Markets, Grocery Markets, and Hardware Stores

- 4.2.2. Bulk-item food bins must not be made available for customer self-service use. This prohibition includes gravity-fed bulk-item dispensers. If a facility wishes to offer bulk-item foods, then the bulk-item area must be separated from customer access and Personnel must provide items in response to customer request.
 - 4.2.3. Prevent customers from handling any produce without purchase of the produce handled. The selection of produce may be conducted only via visual examination. Customers must be prohibited from sampling, smelling, or handling items they do not purchase. Post signage above produce reminding customers to not touch items they are not buying.
 - 4.3. Prohibit sampling of foods for in-store tasting except as handed out by Personnel from behind a counter or table and without touching the customer's hands.
 - 4.4. All prepared foods must be sold to go/for consumption off-site and not for consumption at the location.
 - 4.5. All prepared food must be prepared at a food facility that is permitted and inspected by the San Francisco Department of Public Health, or if not by the San Francisco Department of Public Health, then by either the California Department of Public Health or another jurisdiction's local Environmental Health department pursuant to California Retail Food Code requirements.
5. **Section 5 – Additional Requirements for Farmers' Markets** (applies to each Farmers' Market):
- 5.1. Notify Farmers' Market vendors to not attend the market if they are sick. The cancellation charge must be temporarily waived for vendors not attending because of illness.
 - 5.2. Require vendors to bring and use a personal handwashing station if a common handwashing area is not readily available. An instruction guide on how to create a handwashing station is available online at <https://www.sfdph.org/dph/EH/Food/Handwash.asp>.
 - 5.3. Vendors must, between deliveries and at the beginning and end of the market shift, clean and sanitize high-touch surfaces as well as surfaces within the vendor's vehicle(s) that come into contact with bags, containers, or bins used to secure the food during transport or that are high-touch.
 - 5.4. Limit the number of customers in the vendor stall at any one time to a number that allows for customers and Personnel to easily maintain at least six-foot distance from one another at all practicable times, and create a physical buffer (e.g., with tables or tape) to increase space between employees and customers.
 - 5.5. Assign Personnel to ensure that the customers refrain from entering the stall to maintain at least six-foot distance from one another at all practicable times.
 - 5.6. The Farmers' Market must utilize security or other Personnel to ensure social distancing of patrons and compliance with other requirements.
 - 5.7. Place vendor stalls at least 12 feet apart to maintain the ability to keep Personnel and customers at least six feet apart, including when in line. Place barriers around the stalls so

Best Practices for Pharmacies, Farmers' Markets, Grocery Markets, and Hardware Stores

that customers can only gain access to the stall from the front of the stall and not from the back or sides of the stalls.

- 5.8. Place signs outside on the edge of the vendor stalls reminding people to be at least six feet apart, including when in line.
- 5.9. Place tape or other markings at least six feet apart in customer line areas inside the stall and on sidewalks at public entrances with signs directing customers to use the markings to maintain distance.
- 5.10. If practical, separate order areas from delivery areas to prevent customers from gathering.
- 5.11. Pre-bag popular items/quantities to reduce crowds and lines.
- 5.12. Have the vendor select and bag items for customers to avoid permitting customers to touch items.
- 5.13. If practical, have one person provide food items and a separate person handle payment to avoid unnecessary contact with produce or other food items.

City and County of San Francisco Health Officer Directive - Attachment
Handout for Personnel (Employees, Contractors, Volunteers) of Essential Business and
Other Businesses Permitted to Operate During the Health Emergency (May 8, 2020)

Any business or entity that is subject to a Health Officer Directive to which this handout is attached (each "Business") must give a copy of this handout to Personnel who work in the City outside their household during this emergency. Go to www.sfgcdcp.org/covid19 for more info or a copy of this form.

All Personnel: If you work outside your household in the City during this local health emergency, then you qualify for a free test for the virus that causes COVID-19, *even if you have no symptoms!* Just go to **CityTestSF** at <https://sf.gov/get-tested-covid-19-citytests> to get more info and sign up for a free test.

Part 1 – You must answer the following questions before starting your work every day that you work.

You may be required to provide the answers in person or via phone or other electronic means to the Business before the start of each shift. If any answers change while you are at work, notify the Business by phone and leave the workplace.

1. Within the last 10 days have you been diagnosed with COVID-19 or had a test confirming you have the virus?
2. Do you live in the same household with, or have you had **close contact*** with someone who in the past 14 days was diagnosed with COVID-19 or had a test confirming they have the virus?

If the answer to either question is "yes", do not go to work and follow the steps listed in **Part 2** below.

3. Have you had any one or more of these symptoms today or within the past 24 hours, which is new or not explained by a pre-existing condition?

- | | |
|--|---------------------------|
| • Fever, Chills, or Repeated Shaking/Shivering | • Loss of Taste or Smell |
| • Cough | • Muscle pain |
| • Sore Throat | • Headache |
| • Shortness of Breath, Difficulty Breathing | • Runny or congested nose |
| • Feeling Unusually Weak or Fatigued | • Diarrhea |

If the answer to Question 3 is "yes", do not go to work and follow the steps listed in **Part 3** below.

Part 2 –

- If you answered **yes to Question 1**: you are subject to the Health Officer Isolation Directive. Do not go to work. **Follow Isolation Steps** at: <https://www.sfgcdcp.org/Isolation-Quarantine-Packet>
- If you answered **yes to Question 2**: you are subject to the Health Officer Quarantine Directive. Do not go to work. **Follow Quarantine Steps** at: <https://www.sfgcdcp.org/Isolation-Quarantine-Packet>
- Do not return to work until the Isolation or Quarantine Steps tell you it is safe to return!
- The meaning of ***Close Contact** is explained in this document: <https://www.sfgcdcp.org/Isolation-Quarantine-Packet>

Part 3 – If you answered yes to Question 3:

You may have COVID-19 and **must be tested for the virus** before returning to work. Without a test, the Business must treat you as being positive for COVID-19 and require you to stay out of work for at least **10** calendar days. In order to return to work sooner and to protect those around you, you must get tested for the virus. Follow these steps:

1. Contact your usual healthcare provider about getting tested for the virus, or sign up for free testing at CityTestSF <https://sf.gov/get-tested-covid-19-citytests>. If you live outside the City, you can check with the county where you live, get tested by your usual healthcare provider, or use CityTestSF.
2. Wait for your test results at home while minimizing exposure to those you live with. A good resource is <https://www.cdc.gov/coronavirus/2019-ncov/if-you-are-sick/steps-when-sick.html>
3. If your result is positive (confirms that you have the virus) go to **Part 2** above and follow **Isolation Steps**.
4. If your result is negative, do not return to work until you have had at least 3 days in a row without fever or other symptoms.

If you have questions about any part of this Handout, please call 3-1-1

From: [Mchugh, Eileen \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#); [BOS-Administrative Aides](#)
Cc: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#); [Laxamana, Junko \(BOS\)](#); [Ng, Wilson \(BOS\)](#); [PEARSON, ANNE \(CAT\)](#)
Subject: FW: Commission Authorizations for the week of 5/18/2020
Date: Wednesday, May 13, 2020 5:05:00 PM
Attachments: [5.18.20 Commission Authorizations.pdf](#)

Hello Supervisors,

Please see the attached Commission authorizations from the Office of the Mayor.

Thank you,

Eileen McHugh
 Executive Assistant
 Board of Supervisors
 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, City Hall, Room 244
 San Francisco, CA 94102-4689
 Phone: (415) 554-7703 | Fax: (415) 554-5163
eileen.e.mchugh@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

From: Peacock, Rebecca (MYR) <rebecca.peacock@sfgov.org>
Sent: Wednesday, May 13, 2020 4:52 PM
To: Calvillo, Angela (BOS) <angela.calvillo@sfgov.org>; Somera, Alisa (BOS) <alisa.somera@sfgov.org>
Cc: Power, Andres (MYR) <andres.power@sfgov.org>; Kittler, Sophia (MYR) <sophia.kittler@sfgov.org>; RUSSI, BRAD (CAT) <Brad.Russi@sfcityatty.org>; Ekberg, Natalie (HSS) <natalie.ekberg@sfgov.org>; Yant, Abbie (HSS) <abbie.yant@sfgov.org>; Eng, Sandra (CSC) <sandra.eng@sfgov.org>; Lutenski, Leigh (ECN) <leigh.lutenski@sfgov.org>; Hillis, Rich (CPC) <rich.hillis@sfgov.org>; Corina Monzon (AIR) <corina.monzon@flysfo.com>; Carolyn Jayin (AIR) <carolyn.jayin@flysfo.com>; Ivar Satero (AIR) <Ivar.Satero@flysfo.com>; Cruz, Jaimila (CII) <jaimila.cruz@sfgov.org>; Sesay, Nadia (CII) <nadia.sesay@sfgov.org>; Boomer, Roberta (MTA) <Roberta.Boomer@sfmta.com>; Tumlin, Jeffrey (MTA) <Jeffrey.Tumlin@sfmta.com>; Brooke, Helynna (DPH) <helynna.brooke@sfdph.org>; Larrick, Herschell (WOM) <Herschell.Larrick@sfgov.org>; Murase, Emily (WOM) <emily.murase@sfgov.org>; MALDONADO, JENICA (CAT) <Jenica.Maldonado@sfcityatty.org>; Viva Mogi (SF Elections Commission) <viva.elections@gmail.com>; Arntz, John (REG) <john.arntz@sfgov.org>; Michael Torres <mtorres@ggu.edu>; Nina Irani <nina.irani@gmail.com>; Donohue, Virginia (ADM) <virginia.donohue@sfgov.org>; Morewitz, Mark (DPH) <mark.morewitz@sfdph.org>; Colfax, Grant (DPH) <grant.colfax@sfdph.org>; Patil, Sneha (DPH) <sneha.patil@sfdph.org>; Youngblood, Stacy (POL) <Stacy.A.Youngblood@sfgov.org>; Tom, Risa (POL) <risa.tom@sfgov.org>; Kilshaw, Rachael (POL) <rachael.kilshaw@sfgov.org>; Damali Taylor <dtaylor@omm.com>; Scott, William (POL) <william.scott@sfgov.org>
Subject: Commission Authorizations for the week of 5/18/2020

Dear Clerk Calvillo and Deputy Clerk Somera,

Please see attached the weekly commissions authorization letter. We will keep you informed of any updates.

Rebecca Peacock ([they/she](#))
(415) 554-6982 | Rebecca.Peacock@sfgov.org

Office of Mayor London N. Breed
City & County of San Francisco

*** I am working remotely. Please call me at 267-663-8648 with any questions ***



May 13, 2020

President Norman Yee
San Francisco Board of Supervisors
1 Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102

Dear President Yee,

Pursuant to the Twelfth Supplement to the Mayoral Proclamation Declaring the Existence of a Local Emergency Dated February 25, 2020, as the Mayor's designee, I authorize the following commissions to hold public meetings for the listed dates:

- Health Services Board on Thursday, May 14, 2020 at 1:00 p.m. to consider approval of health insurance rates and premiums for the Plan Year 2021. This action is urgently necessary to prevent disruption of benefits for San Francisco Health Service System members;
- Civil Service Commission on Monday, May 18, 2020 at 2:00 p.m. to consider personal service contracts necessary for essential government business, salaries and benefits, and staffing concerns;
- Balboa Reservoir Community Advisory Committee on Monday, May 18, 2020 at 6:00 p.m. to analyze, provide input, and conduct public outreach regarding the Balboa Reservoir development project;
- Airport Commission on Tuesday, May 19, 2020 at 9:00 a.m. to consider action on contracts for essential construction projects;
- Commission on Community Investment and Infrastructure on Tuesday, May 19, 2020 at 1:00 p.m. to consider amendments to the Mission Bay South Redevelopment Plan;
- San Francisco Municipal Transportation Agency Board of Directors on Tuesday, May 19, 2020 at 1:00 p.m. to discuss the Agency's COVID-19 Recovery and Restart Planning process, and consider changes and approvals of project contracts;
- Behavioral Health Commission on Wednesday, May 20, 2020 at 6:00 p.m. to hold a public hearing on the draft three-year Mental Health Services Act (MHSA) Program and Expenditure Plan for 2020-23. This hearing is necessary to secure funding for mental health programs;
- Family Violence Council on Wednesday, May 20, 2020 at 3:00 p.m. to consider approval of the Annual Family Violence in San Francisco Report, which provides critical data and emergency policy recommendations to ensure public safety and public health during the COVID-19 pandemic;
- Elections Commission on Wednesday, May 20, 2020 at 4:00 p.m. to discuss preparations necessary to ensure public health, safety, and elections integrity for the November 2020 Election; and



- Commission of Animal Control and Welfare on Thursday, May 21, 2020 at 5:30 p.m. to consider items related to Animal Care and Control's policies and procedures during the COVID-19 pandemic.

The following commissions are authorized to meet next week and on an on-going basis through the duration of the local emergency:

- Health Commission on Tuesday, May 19, 2020 at 2:00 p.m. to consider approval and recommendation of credentialing reports, policies & procedures, contracts, and grant funds; this commission is additionally authorized to meet on an on-going basis through the duration of the local emergency; and
- Police Commission on Wednesday, May 20, 2020 at 5:30 p.m. to consider adoption of revised general orders, and to meet in closed session to consider personnel and staffing matters, and pending litigation; this commission is additionally authorized to meet on an on-going basis through the duration of the local emergency.

As a review, the following commissions were previously authorized to meet on an on-going basis through the duration of the local emergency:

- Assessment Appeals Boards 1, 2, and 3
- Board of Appeals
- Planning Commission
- Port Commission
- San Francisco Public Utilities Commission

These meetings are authorized on the following conditions:

- The meetings must occur by teleconference or other electronic means without providing a physical meeting place, and the Commissions must comply with all rules governing public meetings during the emergency, including allowing public observation and participation;
- If technological issues prevent commission members from discussing business, or prevent or limit the public from giving adequate public comment, such items should be continued later in the meeting, or continued to a meeting on a different date;
- The Commissions may consider other items but must prioritize the urgent action items necessary for public health, safety, and essential government function; and
- The Commissions shall not unreasonably require the time of staff who are otherwise deployed or participating in the City's response to the COVID-19 pandemic.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Andres Power".

Andres Power
Policy Director

OFFICE OF THE MAYOR
SAN FRANCISCO



LONDON N. BREED
MAYOR

cc. Members of the Board of Supervisors
Clerk of the Board

From: [Mchugh, Eileen \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#); [BOS-Administrative Aides](#)
Cc: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#); [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#); [PEARSON, ANNE \(CAT\)](#); [Kittler, Sophia \(MYR\)](#); [BOS Legislation, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: TIME SENSITIVE: Mayoral (Re)appointments - Health Service Board and Airport Commission
Date: Saturday, May 9, 2020 1:12:00 PM
Attachments: [Clerk's Memo 5.9.2020.pdf](#)
[2020-Everett Hewlett-F700.pdf](#)
[2020-Everett Hewlett-Resume.doc](#)
[2020-Everett Hewlett-SFO-Appointment Letter.pdf](#)
[2020-Stephen Follansbee-HSB-Appointment Letter.pdf](#)
[2020-Stephen Follansbee-F700.pdf](#)
[2020-Stephen Follansbee-Bio.docx](#)

Hello Supervisors,

The Office of the Mayor submitted the above attached complete (re)appointment packages pursuant to Charter, Section 3.100(18). Please see the attached memo from the Clerk of the Board for more information and instructions.

Thank you,

Eileen McHugh
 Executive Assistant
 Board of Supervisors
 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, City Hall, Room 244
 San Francisco, CA 94102-4689
 Phone: (415) 554-7703 | Fax: (415) 554-5163
eileen.e.mchugh@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

BOARD of SUPERVISORS



City Hall
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco 94102-4689
Tel. No. 554-5184
Fax No. 554-5163
TDD/TTY No. 554-5227

MEMORANDUM

Date: May 9, 2020
To: Members, Board of Supervisors
From: *ACC* Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board
Subject: Mayoral (Re)appointments

On May 8, 2020, the Mayor submitted the following (re)appointments package pursuant to Charter, Section 3.100(18). Appointments in this category are effective immediately unless rejected by a two-thirds vote of the Board of Supervisors.

Everett Hewlett - Airport Commission (Appointment)

- o Term ending August 31, 2020

Dr. Stephen Follansbee - Health Service Board (Reappointment)

- o Term ending May 15, 2025

Pursuant to Board Rule 2.18.3, a Supervisor may request a hearing on a Mayoral appointment by notifying the Clerk in writing.

Upon receipt of such notice, the Clerk shall refer the appointment to the Rules Committee so that the Board may consider the appointment and act within 30 days of the appointment as provided in Charter, Section 3.100(18).

Please note due to the Memorial Day holiday, the last regularly scheduled Board Meeting to hear either appointment is June 2, 2020.

If you would like to hold a hearing on either (re)appointment, please notify me in writing by **12:00 p.m. on Wednesday, May 13, 2020.**

c: Hillary Ronen - Rules Committee Chair
Alisa Somera - Legislative Deputy
Victor Young - Rules Clerk
Anne Pearson - Deputy City Attorney
Sophia Kittler - Mayor's Legislative Liaison

OFFICE OF THE MAYOR
SAN FRANCISCO



LONDON N. BREED
MAYOR

Notice of Appointment

May 6, 2020

San Francisco Board of Supervisors
City Hall, Room 244
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102

Honorable Board of Supervisors,

Pursuant to Charter Section 3.100(18), of the City and County of San Francisco, I make the following appointment:

Everett Hewlett to the Airport Commission for the unexpired portion of the term previously held by Linda Crayton, ending August 31, 2020.

I am confident that Mr. Hewlett will serve our community well. Attached are his qualifications to serve.

Should you have any question about this appointment, please contact my Rebecca Peacock in my office, at 415-554-6982.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in blue ink that reads "London Breed".

London N. Breed
Mayor, City and County of San Francisco

OFFICE OF THE MAYOR
SAN FRANCISCO



LONDON N. BREED
MAYOR

Notice of Reappointment

May 6, 2020

San Francisco Board of Supervisors
City Hall, Room 244
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102

Honorable Board of Supervisors,

Pursuant to Charter Section 3.100(18), of the City and County of San Francisco, I make the following reappointment:

Dr. Stephen Follansbee to the Health Service Board for a five-year term ending May 15, 2025.

I am confident that Dr. Follansbee will continue to serve our community well. Attached are his qualifications to serve.

Should you have any question about this appointment, please contact Rebecca Peacock in my office at 415-554-6982.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in blue ink that reads "London Breed".

London N. Breed
Mayor, City and County of San Francisco

From: [Mchugh, Eileen \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#); [BOS-Administrative Aides](#)
Cc: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#); [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#); [PEARSON, ANNE \(CAT\)](#); [Kittler, Sophia \(MYR\)](#); [BOS Legislation, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Mayoral Nomination - Entertainment Commission
Date: Saturday, May 9, 2020 1:08:00 PM
Attachments: [Clerk's Memo 5.9.2020..pdf](#)
[2020-Cyn Wang-Resume.pdf](#)
[2020-Cyn Wang-ENT-Appointment Letter.pdf](#)
[2020-Cyn Wang-F700.pdf](#)

Hello,

The Office of the Mayor submitted the attached complete nomination package pursuant to Charter, Section 1.117. Please see the attached memo from the Clerk of the Board for more information and instructions.

Thank you,

Eileen McHugh
Executive Assistant
Board of Supervisors
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102-4689
Phone: (415) 554-7703 | Fax: (415) 554-5163
eileen.e.mchugh@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

BOARD of SUPERVISORS



City Hall
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco 94102-4689
Tel. No. 554-5184
Fax No. 554-5163
TDD/TTY No. 554-5227

MEMORANDUM

Date: May 9, 2020
To: Members, Board of Supervisors
From: *AOC* Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board
Subject: Mayoral Nomination - Entertainment Commission

On May 9, 2020, the Mayor submitted a complete nomination package to the Entertainment Commission, pursuant to Charter, Section 4.117. Nominations in this category are subject to approval by the Board of Supervisors (Board) and deemed approved if the Board fails to act within a specified time.

- **Cynthia Wang** - Entertainment Commission
 - Term ending July 1, 2023

If the Board of Supervisors fails to act on a nomination within 60 days (July 7, 2020) of the date the nomination is transmitted to the Clerk of the Board, the nominee shall be deemed approved as provided by Charter, Section 4.117.

Pursuant to Board Rule 2.18.1, the Clerk of the Board shall refer the motions to the Rules Committee for a hearing as soon as possible.

(Attachments)

c: Hillary Ronen - Rules Committee Chair
Alisa Somera - Legislative Deputy
Anne Pearson - Deputy City Attorney
Sophia Kittler - Mayor's Legislative Liaison

OFFICE OF THE MAYOR
SAN FRANCISCO



LONDON N. BREED
MAYOR

Notice of Nomination of Appointment

May 6, 2020

San Francisco Board of Supervisors
City Hall, Room 244
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102

Honorable Board of Supervisors,

Pursuant to Charter §4.117, of the City and County of San Francisco, I make the following nomination:

Cynthia Wang, for appointment to the Entertainment Commission, for a four-year term ending July 1, 2023 to the seat last held by Bryant Tan.

I am confident that Ms. Wang will serve our community well. Attached are her qualifications to serve, which demonstrate how her appointment represents the communities of interest, neighborhoods and diverse populations of the City and County of San Francisco.

I encourage your support and am pleased to advise you of this appointment nomination. Should you have any question about this appointment nomination, please contact my Rebecca Peacock in my office at 414-554-6982.

A handwritten signature in blue ink, reading "London Breed".

London N. Breed
Mayor, City and County of San Francisco

From: [Mchugh, Eileen \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#); [BOS-Administrative Aides](#)
Cc: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#); [Laxamana, Junko \(BOS\)](#); [Ng, Wilson \(BOS\)](#); [PEARSON, ANNE \(CAT\)](#)
Subject: Commercial Eviction Moratorium Extension
Date: Thursday, May 14, 2020 1:05:00 PM
Attachments: [Commercial Eviction Moratorium Extension_05142020.pdf](#)

Hello Supervisors,

Please see the attached Executive Order Extending the Commercial Eviction Moratorium dated May 14, 2020.

Thank you,

Eileen McHugh
Executive Assistant
Board of Supervisors
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102-4689
Phone: (415) 554-7703 | Fax: (415) 554-5163
eileen.e.mchugh@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

From: Kittler, Sophia (MYR) <sophia.kittler@sfgov.org>
Sent: Thursday, May 14, 2020 1:02 PM
To: Calvillo, Angela (BOS) <angela.calvillo@sfgov.org>; Somera, Alisa (BOS) <alisa.somera@sfgov.org>; Mchugh, Eileen (BOS) <eileen.e.mchugh@sfgov.org>
Cc: BOS-Operations <bos-operations@sfgov.org>
Subject: Fw: Commercial Eviction Moratorium Extension

Please see attached an Executive Order extending the Commercial Eviction Moratorium.

Sophia

Sophia Kittler
Office of Mayor London N. Breed
415 554 6153

From: Power, Andres (MYR) <andres.power@sfgov.org>
Sent: Thursday, May 14, 2020 12:46 PM
To: Torres, Joaquin (ECN) <joaquin.torres@sfgov.org>; Arvanitidis, Laurel (ECN) <laurel.arvanitidis@sfgov.org>; Cancino, Juan Carlos (ECN) <juancarlos.cancino@sfgov.org>
Cc: RUSSI, BRAD (CAT) <Brad.Russi@sfcityatty.org>; Kittler, Sophia (MYR) <sophia.kittler@sfgov.org>; Geithman, Kyra (MYR) <kyra.geithman@sfgov.org>

Subject: Commercial Eviction Moratorium Extension

Andres Power

Policy Director | Office of Mayor London Breed

City and County of San Francisco



EXECUTIVE ORDER EXTENDING COMMERCIAL EVICTION MORATORIUM

On February 25, 2020, under California Government Code Sections 8550 et seq., San Francisco Charter Section 3.100(14) and Chapter 7 of the San Francisco Administrative Code, I issued a Proclamation (the "Proclamation") declaring a local emergency to exist in connection with the imminent spread within the City of a novel (new) coronavirus ("COVID-19"). I issued the Fourth Supplement to the Proclamation on March 18, 2020, imposing a temporary moratorium on eviction for non-payment of rent by commercial tenants directly impacted by the COVID-19 crisis. The Board of Supervisors concurred in this action on March 31, 2020. On April 1, 2020, I issued the Eighth Supplement to the Proclamation, which contained an order clarifying the scope of the temporary moratorium. The Board of Supervisors concurred in this action on April 14, 2020.

The Fourth Supplement provides that the order imposing a commercial eviction moratorium will last for an initial period of 30 days, expiring on April 17, 2020, and further provides that "Mayor may extend this Order by an additional period of 30 days if emergency conditions at that time warrant extension. The Mayor shall provide notice of the extension through an Executive Order posted on the Mayor's website and delivered to the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors." The Eighth Supplement provides that its terms are incorporated into the Fourth Supplement and that renewal of the Fourth Supplement shall also cause the Eighth Supplement to be renewed. On April 15, 2020, I issued an executive order extending the commercial eviction moratorium 30 days to May 17, 2020.

I find that emergency conditions continue to exist due to the ongoing public health crisis arising from COVID-19 and the economic impacts it has caused, warranting extension of the moratorium. Therefore, I hereby extend the commercial eviction moratorium in the Fourth Supplement and Eighth Supplement for an additional 30 days through June 16, 2020.

DATED: May 14, 2020

A handwritten signature in blue ink, reading "London Breed", written over a horizontal line.

London N. Breed
Mayor of San Francisco

From: [Mchugh, Eileen \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Administrative Aides](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#); [Laxamana, Junko \(BOS\)](#); [Ng, Wilson \(BOS\)](#); [PEARSON, ANNE \(CAT\)](#)
Subject: FW: Changes to City Hall Building Operations to Allow Essential Services to the Public to Resume during Stay Safe at Home Health Order
Date: Friday, May 8, 2020 12:31:00 PM
Attachments: [5.8.20 City Hall Operations Memo.pdf](#)

Hello Supervisors,

Please see the attached memorandum from the Office of the City Administrator.

Thank you,

Eileen McHugh
 Executive Assistant
 Board of Supervisors
 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, City Hall, Room 244
 San Francisco, CA 94102-4689
 Phone: (415) 554-7703 | Fax: (415) 554-5163
eileen.e.mchugh@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

From: ADM-CityAdminDOC <CityAdminDOC@sfgov.org>
Sent: Friday, May 8, 2020 11:26 AM
To: Kelly, Naomi (ADM) <naomi.kelly@sfgov.org>
Cc: Allen, Samantha (ADM) <samantha.allen@sfgov.org>; Barnes, Bill (ADM) <bill.barnes@sfgov.org>
Subject: Changes to City Hall Building Operations to Allow Essential Services to the Public to Resume during Stay Safe at Home Health Order

All:

Attached, please find a memorandum allowing for limited public services to occur at City Hall beginning next week.

Specifically, the memo authorizes:

- Treasurer/Tax Collector to accept property tax payments
- Department of Elections to conduct all activities needed to prepare for the election
- County Clerk to resume limited in-person marriage services

Please direct questions about this memo to Samantha Allen, Manager of the CAODOC. Any request to expand services offered in person at City Hall should be directed to CityAdminDOC@sfgov.org

Sincerely,

Bill Barnes

Deputy Manager, CAODOC




OFFICE OF THE CITY ADMINISTRATOR



London N. Breed, Mayor
Naomi M. Kelly, City Administrator

MEMORANDUM

TO: All Department Heads and Assistants

FROM: City Administrator Naomi M. Kelly 

CC: Assessor-Recorder Carmen Chu, Treasurer-Tax Collector José Cisneros, Sheriff Paul Miyamoto, Director of Elections John Arntz, Director of Property Andrico Penick, County Clerk Diane Rea

RE: Changes to City Hall Building Operations to Allow Essential Services to the Public to Resume during Stay Safe At Home Health Order

DATE: May 8, 2020

This memorandum updates my earlier memoranda on City Hall building operations. On March 16, we implemented the initial Stay Safe At Home Health Order, ending all non-essential events in City Hall and reducing operating hours to 8:00 AM – 5:00 PM. On March 25, we restricted building procedures to limit public entry and only allow essential employees in City Hall.

This memorandum updates the following:

- Activities that must be conducted in person
- Face covering requirements
- Essential services for which employees and the public may enter City Hall
- Next steps for resumption of City Hall activities; Essential Employees Only

Activities that must be conducted in person

We have identified the following activities that must be conducted in person:

- Payment of property taxes from May 13 – 15 and potentially May 18-19 (Treasurer-Tax Collector)
- Urgent issuance of marriage licenses in limited situations (County Clerk and Assessor-Recorder)
- Activities related to preparation for the November election in the candidate filing period from May 15 – June 9 (Department of Elections)

Face coverings are required in City Hall and all employees and members of the public must observe social distancing as required under local Health Orders.

Essential services for which employees and the public may enter City Hall

Payment of property taxes from May 13-15

Pursuant to Board of Supervisors action, the Treasurer-Tax Collector will open for in person payments on May 13 – 15. They will implement social distancing protocols, sanitization of the common areas and high-touch surfaces and ensure that taxpayers have the opportunity to receive in person service. Members of the public will not need an appointment. This service will operate from 9am – 4pm on May 13 & 14. On May 15, the service will operate from 9am – 5pm. If required, the Treasurer-Tax Collector may offer in-person services on May 18 & 19.

Limited Provision of Marriage Services

Some couples have expressed that delays in weddings may result in legal concerns or complications. The Office of the County Clerk and the Assessor-Recorder will provide marriage services from City Hall, including issuing marriage licenses and conducting marriage ceremonies, on a limited basis, by appointment only, from May 11-29. An appointment can be made by calling 3-1-1 and must be approved by the County Clerk.

The County Clerk will prioritize appointments for individuals the Clerk determines have an urgent need for marriage services (for example, individuals scheduled for military deployment, who need to make a change in their health coverage status, or who have immigration-related issues). The Governor has issued an Executive Order allowing the issuance of licenses and solemnization of marriages via videoconference, and the City is currently exploring the possibility of providing these services via videoconference.

Department of Elections Activities

This memo authorizes the Director of Elections to conduct all activities needed to prepare for the election between May 15 and June 9. Of greatest note, candidate filing for the Board of Supervisors opens on May 15 and continues through June 9. Members of the public can contact the Department of Elections at 415-554-4375 and sfvote@sfgov.org. Members of the public, by appointment, may enter City Hall for these purposes.

Next steps for resumption of City Hall activities; Essential Employees Only

As stated in the March 25 memo, only essential employees are allowed to enter City Hall during this period. Under the Stay Safe At Home Health Order, employees who are approved for telecommuting or on paid furlough should remain home. We will send out additional guidance on resumption of City Hall activities later this month. We will ask departments to provide a list of employees so we can more effectively monitor compliance with the Health Order.

Any request to expand City services offered in person at City Hall should be directed to the City Administrator Department Operations Center at CityAdminDOC@sfgov.org

From: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#)
Cc: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: FW: San Francisco Police Department - Mandatory Report - Chapter 96A, Law Enforcement Reporting
Date: Thursday, May 7, 2020 1:53:00 PM
Attachments: [1st QTR 2020 96A Exec Summary FINAL.pdf](#)
[1st Qtr 2020 96A Full Report FINAL.pdf](#)
[2020_Q1_CoverLetter.pdf](#)

Hello Supervisors,

Please see the attached report, pursuant to Administrative Code, Section 96A, from the Police Department.

Thank you,

Eileen McHugh
 Executive Assistant
 Board of Supervisors
 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, City Hall, Room 244
 San Francisco, CA 94102-4689
 Phone: (415) 554-7703 | Fax: (415) 554-5163
eileen.e.mchugh@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

From: Fountain, Christine (POL) <christine.fountain@sfgov.org>
Sent: Tuesday, May 5, 2020 4:13 PM
To: Calvillo, Angela (BOS) <angela.calvillo@sfgov.org>
Cc: Mchugh, Eileen (BOS) <eileen.e.mchugh@sfgov.org>
Subject: San Francisco Police Department - Mandatory Report - Chapter 96A, Law Enforcement Reporting

Ms. Calvillo,

Attached is the 2020 first quarter report to satisfy the requirement of the San Francisco Police Department under Admin Code Section Chapter 96A for your information.

It is asked that the report be provided to the President of the Board as required by the Charter, as well as the individual Supervisors.

Thank you.

William Scott
 Chief of Police
 San Francisco Police Department
 1245 3rd Street

San Francisco CA 94158
415.837.7000

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This communication and its contents may contain confidential and/or legally privileged information. It is solely for the use of the intended recipient(s). Unauthorized interception, review, use or disclosure is prohibited and may violate applicable laws, including the Electronic Communications Privacy Act. If you are not the intended recipient, please contact the sender and destroy all copies of the communication.



LONDON N. BREED
MAYOR

CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO
POLICE DEPARTMENT
HEADQUARTERS
1245 3RD Street
San Francisco, California 94158



WILLIAM SCOTT
CHIEF OF POLICE

May 1, 2020

The Honorable London N. Breed
City and County of San Francisco
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102

The Honorable Norman Yee
Board of Supervisors
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102

The Honorable Damali Taylor
Police Commission
1245 3rd Street
San Francisco, CA 94158

Director Sheryl Davis
Human Rights Commission
25 Van Ness Avenue, Suite 800
San Francisco, CA 94102

Director Shakirah Simley
Office of Racial Equity
25 Van Ness Avenue, Suite 800
San Francisco, CA 94102

Mayor Breed, Supervisor Yee, Commissioner Taylor, Director Davis and Director Simley:

RE: First Quarter 2020 Chapter 96A Report, Law Enforcement Reporting Requirements

The attached report and supporting document are being submitted as required under San Francisco Administrative Code Sec. 96A, Law Enforcement Reporting Requirements. The information includes:

Stop Data: 2020 Quarter 1 (January, February, March)

For purposes of reporting under Admin. Code Section 96A.4, the report draws upon definitions outlined in California Government Code 12525.5, implemented following the passage of Assembly Bill 953 in 2015. This information is collected via the California Department of Justice Stop Data Collection System (SDCS).

Use of Force, Arrest Data, Bias-Based Complaints: 2020 Quarter 1 (January, February, March)
San Francisco Administrative Code Sec. 96A.3.

(b) For Use of Force

1. The total number of Uses of Force;
2. The total number of Uses of Force that resulted in death to the person on whom an Officer used force; and
3. The total number of Uses of Force broken down by race or ethnicity, age, and gender identity.

(c) Arrests:

1. The total number; and
2. The total number broken down by race or ethnicity, age, and gender identity.

(f) Department of Police Accountability:

1. The total number of complaints received during the reporting period that it characterizes as allegations of bias based on race/ethnicity, gender or gender identity.
2. The total number of complaints closed during the reporting period that were characterized as allegations of bias based on race/ethnicity, gender, or gender identity.
3. The total number of each type of disposition for such complaints.

In an effort to meet the expectations of those we serve, the Executive Summary has been updated incorporating input received from various community stakeholders. Our goal is to provide the information required of Administrative Code Sec. 96A not only as a means to build trust through transparency, but more importantly, as a tool to review patterns of behavior that may impact our standing with the community.

This report and the attached executive summary will be posted online at sanfranciscopolice.org.


Administrative Code Sec. 96A.5, Crime Victim Data Reporting

The ordinance amending Admin Code Sec 96, was signed into law on 13 March 2020, went into effect on 12 April 2020. Reporting is due the first Tuesday in February, May, August and November. The vast amount of data required for collection under this newly enacted ordinance requires additional time to organize and classify in a detailed and understandable manner while ensuring accuracy. The staff analysts who are assigned to this task have been re-assigned to the Department Operation Center (DOC) as part of the City's response to the COVID-19 emergency since mid-March which has impacted their ability to complete this reporting requirement.

Unfortunately, due to the COVID19 crisis, and the relatively short time between going into effect and report due date (16 business days), the victim data section of the report is being released separately from the remainder of the 96A report.

Your patience and consideration is greatly appreciated as we prepare this data for publication. It is anticipated the victim data portion of the report will be completed and available by May 19, 2020. If I can be of further assistance, please do not hesitate to contact me.

Sincerely,



WILLIAM SCOTT
Chief of Police

/cf

Attachments:

- Chapter 96A.3: Executive Summary
- Chapter 96A.3: Full Report

SAN FRANCISCO POLICE DEPARTMENT

Chapter 96A Executive Summary Quarter I 2020 Report



**William Scott,
Chief of Police**



Safety with Respect

Background.....	3
The Science of Bias & Its Impact on Policing.....	4
Q1 Overview.....	9
Suspect Data.....	10
Stops and Searches.....	11
Searches by Level of Discretion.....	12
Use of Force.....	14
Arrests.....	16
Bias-Related Complaints.....	18


The Racial and Identity Profiling Act of 2015 (AB953) took effect on January 1, 2016 and requires California law enforcement agencies to collect and report data to the California Attorney General. The requirements of the bill include any complaints alleging racial or identity profiling and detailed demographic data for traffic and pedestrian stops.

Furthermore, in 2016 the City and County of San Francisco passed an ordinance and established Administrative Code Sec. 96A (Law Enforcement Reporting Requirements) which specified reporting requirements for the San Francisco Police Department (hereafter, 'the Department'). The Chapter 96A Report was developed to meet the quarterly requirements and includes data pertaining to stops, searches, arrests, use of force and alleged bias-related complaints.

Beginning in 2020, the Department will also be releasing quarterly crime victim demographic data, per San Francisco Administrative Code Section 96A.5, which was effective on April 13, 2020. This data will be released in a separate report for Quarter 1, 2020.

The data presented in this report is used to evaluate the effectiveness of current reforms undertaken by the San Francisco Police Department and identify additional opportunities and innovative approaches for improvement that align with the Department's Strategic Initiatives. This report uses hit-rate analysis to provide a snapshot of the quarter; however, it does not provide in-depth analysis. The data included in this report covers the time period: January 1, 2020 – March 31, 2020.

STRATEGIC INITIATIVES



The questions of discrimination and racial bias have been well documented over the years and prevalent across different domains such as employment, education, healthcare and criminal justice, including policing. Statistics continue to show the racial disparities in which people of color, particularly Black males, are overrepresented throughout our criminal justice system. Bias remains an institutional issue but various studies suggest that some of these disparities can be attributed to implicit biases or stereotypes.

To address the issue of biased policing, many agencies, including the San Francisco Police Department, are being proactive and have already begun making policy changes and improvements to training. Social psychologists have emphasized that bias can only be successfully mitigated if new interventions incorporate a fundamental understanding of implicit bias and provide a foundation on how to recognize and manage such bias so that they do not influence officers' behaviors.

WHAT IS IMPLICIT BIAS?

Implicit biases are the attitudes or stereotypes that affect our understanding, actions, decisions, and judgements in an unconscious manner. Science proves that bias, whether positive or negative, is part of the human experience and result from how the brain processes, stores, and recalls information. The brain builds mental associations based on our cultural environment and life experiences to form expectations about what is going to happen next. Some of those expectations become reinforced over time and ultimately influence our behaviors and decision-making (Eberhardt, Goff, Purdie, Davies, 2004).

When individuals encounter circumstances that are stressful and unfamiliar, the brain may trigger negative emotional responses which can lead to unwanted negative bias. In tests, scientists can see our brains reacting positively or negatively to the different images from the environment around us. Such studies have demonstrated that simply seeing someone's face/ethnicity can stimulate thoughts, emotions, and conceptual associations (Payne, Cheng, Govorun, and Steward 2005). Dr. Jennifer Eberhardt, a Social Psychologist at Stanford University, suggests that these associations are bidirectional, indicating that various thoughts, emotions, and concepts are often associated to ethnicity and race. While mental mapping is essential to the human experience, implicit bias studies have demonstrated a societal problem of correlating race and crime together (Eberhardt, Goff, Purdie, Davies, 2004).

Most police officers have good intentions and try to perform their jobs fairly without allowing bias to affect their actions; however, they may be more susceptible to stereotype-biased judgements because they are often operating under stressful and ambiguous circumstances. With limited time to make decisions and react, the mental associations linking social groups and concepts (e.g. Blacks and other minorities with violence and crime) are likely to influence their actions. Over time this can lead to a racial disparity for rates of stops, searches, arrests, and use of force.

INTERVENTIONS

Dr. Lori Fridell, author of “Producing Bias-Free Policing: A Science-Based Approach”, states “Because police are human, they have biases; because they have biases, every agency needs to be proactive in producing bias-free policing.” Research has provided great insight into the causes of biased policing, and although most intervention programs lack the evidence needed to prove their effectiveness and sustainability, social psychologists encourage law enforcement agencies to engage in the interventions below:

1. **Training Officers-** Many law enforcement agencies now provide trainings on concepts that include racial/implicit bias, community-oriented policing, and cultural competence. This is the most feasible intervention, however, there is little evidence to support the effectiveness of such programs and they are not systematically evaluated (Paluck & Green, 2009). In addition to training required for all City employees, SFPD implemented mandatory training for topics including: Implicit Bias, Procedural Justice/Principled Policing, Critical Mindset and Coordinated Response, and Crisis Intervention.
2. **Policy Changes to Reduce Discretion-** Policies can be changed to reduce the amount of discretion officers have in their decisions involving civilians. This intervention reduces the probability that stereotypes will influence officers’ behaviors. In 2016, Department General Order 5.01, Use of Force, was updated to prohibit the use of the carotid restraint and shooting at moving vehicles as well as made the pointing of a firearm a reportable use-of-force incident. In addition, the Crisis Intervention Team Response to Person in Crisis was issue in 2017 detailing the need for a more coordinated response, including establishing the time and distance mindset, prior to the use of force.
3. **Intergroup Contact-** One of the most feasible, effective interventions is to engage in non-negative contact with members of other ethnic groups (i.e., developing

affinity through familiarity). Recent findings of intergroup studies suggest that meeting the four following criteria leads to the greatest reduction in bias: equal status between the two groups, common goals, intergroup cooperation, and support of the authorities. These factors (along with institutional support in the form of structured programming) will reduce racial bias and improve community relations (Pettigrew & Troop, 2006). SFPD's Community Engagement Division was reorganized in 2017 to more effectively promote community policing and proactively engage communities through relationship building, community events, and working with leaders on a variety of special programs. Several initiatives were developed and/or expanded including the reimplementation of the Chief's Advisory Forums. These forums, which represent the many diverse communities within the City, meet regularly with the Chief of Police to discuss concerns and develop solutions to issues specific to their communities.

4. **Collecting Data and Adopting New Technology-** Collecting data on civilian stops and use of force with subject demographics allows law enforcement leaders to have more robust data to help understand the scope of bias within their departments. This intervention has become more prevalent within recent years but there are still challenges with how the data is being analyzed (Glaser, Spencer, Charbonneau, 2016). SFPD began tracking and reporting use of force and stop data in 2016 as required by the passing of the local ordinance establishing Administrative Code Chapter 96A. In 2018, the local reporting requirements were changed to align with those of the State mandated under AB 953, the Racial and Identity Profiling Act of 2015. At that time, the Department adjusted data collection practices and reporting guidelines to meet these requirements.
5. **Stereotype Replacement-** The practice of identifying responses that are based on stereotypes and reflecting on why it occurred and replacing it with an unbiased response.
6. **Banning Racial Profiling-** Most agencies have explicitly banned racial profiling but this is hard to enforce and may also be ineffective, as officers may still engage in this behavior. The Department has long had a best-practice policy that prohibited biased policing and has sent an even further improved policy, developed with input from community stakeholders, to the Police Commission for consideration.

7. **Individuation-** The process of learning specific information about your colleagues and friends of a different ethnic group. This prevents stereotypic assumptions and enables positive associations based on personal relationships.
8. **Diversifying Police Force-** Having a diverse department can help strengthen community relations and promote individuation. Diversity does not only refer to race and gender, it includes other characteristics such as religion, language, sexual orientation, and cultural background. SFPD prides itself on the diversity among the personnel in the Department, and is constantly seeking ways to continue to grow the numbers and include all types of people among the workforce.
9. **Rotating Police Assignments-** This process would provide officers with more opportunities to interact and develop relationships with members of the community who come from different racial and cultural backgrounds.

With all of these efforts in place for several years in the San Francisco Police Department, several indicators have emerged suggesting that improvements have been made since the Department was reviewed by the US Department of Justice.

- I. Use of force has declined by 49 % since 2016, with pointing of a firearm reduced by 60%.
- II. When the USDOJ reviewed the Department, they found that search rates among African Americans were much higher than Whites, while the yield rates from these searches were much lower for African Americans than Whites. As shown by data contained in this report, this is no longer true.

SFPD is encouraged by this as an indicator that officers are relying on behaviors of those they interact with to determine the type and level of enforcement necessary. SFPD believes that the training and policies, and resulting increased awareness, has driven these results.


- III. Continued incremental reductions in the representation of African Americans among those stopped, searched, arrested, and in which force was used against them.

The San Francisco Police Department will continue to take the necessary steps to address the issue of biased policing. The Department understands that implementing interventions will increase awareness and result in a substantial reduction of the effects

of implicit bias throughout our workforce. As leadership continues to re-evaluate policies and seek new, innovative ways to better serve our diverse communities, we look forward to building new partnerships with experts in the field so that we can develop a better understanding of this ongoing issue.

REFERENCES

- Eberhardt, J.L., Goff, P.A, Purdie, V.J. (2004). Seeing Black: Race, Crime, and Visual Processing. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*
- Glaser, J., Spencer, K.B., Charbonneau, A.K (2016). Implicit Bias and Policing. *Social and Personality Psychology Compass*
- Staats, C., Capatosto, K., Tenney, L., Mamo, S. (2017). State of the Science: Implicit Bias Review 2017 Edition.
- Pettigrew, T.F., Tropp, L.R. (2006). A Meta-Analytic Test of Intergroup Contact Theory. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*
- Fridell, L. (2017). Producing Bias-Free Policing: A Science- Based Approach
- Paluck, E.L., Green, D.P., (2009). Prejudice Reduction: What Works? A Review and Assessment of Research and Practice
- Payne, B.K., Cheng, C.M., Govorun, O., Steward, B.D. (2005). An Inkblot for Attitudes: Affect Misattribution as Implicit Measurement. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*




On March 7, 2020, Mayor London Breed and the San Francisco Department of Public Health issued a Public Health Order due to the COVID-19 pandemic. The order prohibited large events and public gatherings, and was followed by a second order directing all San Francisco residents to shelter in place, except for essential business purposes. Due to this situation, an overall decline in stops, searches, crimes, arrests, and calls for service, occurred during the month of March 2020. The Department will continue to monitor these trends, as daily life and public services adapt to these new conditions.

SUSPECTS OBSERVED AND REPORTED

The suspect information provided includes descriptions that are generated by members of the public or observed by department members, and documented in police incident reports.

SUSPECTS by Race/Ethnicity					7,558 Suspects
January 1, 2020 - March 31, 2020					
DESCRIPTION	January	February	March	Q1 2020	% of Total Suspects Q1 2020
Asian/ Pacific Islander	142	117	111	370	4.9%
Black/ African American	1,274	1,257	933	3464	45.8%
Hispanic/ Latino	425	395	365	1185	15.7%
Native American	3	6	4	13	0.2%
White	482	465	430	1377	18.2%
Others	479	407	263	1149	15.2%
Total	2,805	2,647	2,106	7,558	100.00%





Note: Subject data is extracted from incident reports via the Person Schema of Crime Data Warehouse via Business Intelligence tools.

Search criteria includes results in which Person Type = "Suspect." Records with Unknown Race/Ethnicity and Unknown Gender data are not included

STOPS AND SEARCHES – Sec. 96A.3(a)

A total of 17,624 stops were recorded during Q1-2020, a 33% decrease from the prior year. Of those stops, 3,009 resulted in searches (17%). White subjects accounted for the majority of stops at 35% and Black subjects accounted for the most searches at 39%. Overall, the proportion of total stops and searches for each ethnicity remained consistent compared to 2019.



Perceived Race/ Ethnicity	% of Total Stops Q1-2019	% of Total Stops Q1-2020	%Δ from Q1-2019	% of Total Searches Q1-2019	% of Total Searches Q1-2020	%Δ from Q1-2019
Asian	12%	13%	1%	6%	6%	0%
Black/African American	25%	23%	-2%	40%	39%	-1%
Hispanic/Latino	19%	19%	0%	22%	22%	0
White	35%	35%	0%	26%	29%	0
Other	10%	10%	0%	5%	4%	-1%
Actual Totals	26,241	17,624	-33%	4,811	3,009	-37%

Note: “Perceived” identifiers are used to categorize demographic information specific to Stop Data Collection System


SEARCHES BY LEVEL OF DISCRETION – Sec. 96A.3(a)

The Department classifies the various types of searches into three categories: high discretion searches, required searches, and other searches. High discretion searches are those that require an officer to ask and receive consent to search. Required searches include those that occur as a result of a search warrant, arrest or vehicle inventory. Other searches have a variable range of discretion and include reasons such as officer safety, suspected weapons, visible contraband, evidence of crime, etc.


High Discretion Searches	Required Searches*	Other Searches
▫ Consent given	▫ Search warrant ▫ Incident to arrest ▫ Vehicle Inventory	▫ Officer safety/safety of others ▫ Suspected weapons ▫ Visible Contraband ▫ Odor of contraband ▫ Canine detection ▫ Evidence of crime ▫ Emergency ▫ Suspected violation of school policy ▫ Condition of parole/probation/ PRCS/ mandatory supervision

Incidents with more than one cause for search may be included in multiple categories. There were 3,009 total searches conducted in Q1-2020:

- High Discretion Searches: 239 (7.7%)
- Required Searches: 1,427 (47%)
- Other Searches: 1,962 (65%)




High discretion searches have decreased 29% overall since Q1-2019.



Required searches have decreased by 36% overall since Q1-2019.


SEARCH YIELD RATES




- Total yield rate for all searches was 34%
- Total yield rate of “High Discretion” searches was 24%
- Total yield rate of “Required Searches” was 44%
- Total yield rate of “Other Searches” was 33%

USE OF FORCE – SEC. 96A.3(b)(1)

Since the 1st quarter of 2016, total uses of force has decreased by 49% (952 to 487). More specifically, pointing of a firearm has decreased by 60% (648 to 259)



During the 1st quarter of 2020, the Department responded to 183,243 total calls for service. Department officers were assaulted 47 times and force was used in 239 incidents which represented 0.13% of all calls for service. Of those 239 incidents, force was used 487 times by 292 officers against 280 subjects. **No uses of forces resulted in death during the 1st quarter of 2020.**



RACE/ETHNICITY	% of Total Uses of Force Q1-2019	% of Total Uses of Force Q1-2020	%Δ from 2019
Asian	4%	6%	2%
Black/ African American	46%	37%	-9%
Hispanic/ Latino	20%	30%	10%
White	26%	24%	-2%
Other	4%	4%	0%
Actual Total	515	487	-5%

37% of the total uses of force were against Black subjects, 30% were against Hispanic subjects, and 24% were against White subjects. The proportion of Total Uses of Force decreased for Black subjects by 9% from the prior year.

TYPES OF FORCE USED

Total Uses of Force decreased by 5% from the first quarter of 2019. Pointing of a firearm, physical control, and striking by object/fist are the top three types of force used and account for 92% of total Uses of Force.

Uses of Force	Q1 2019	Q1 2020	% Change
Pointing of Firearms	212	259	22%
Physical Control	169	140	-17%
Strike by Object/Fist	91	47	-48%
Impact Weapon	14	9	-36%
OC (Pepper Spray)	13	10	-23%
ERIW	10	17	70%
Spike Strips	5	0	-100%
Handcuffing	0	4	not calc
K-9	0	1	not calc
Flashbang	1	0	-100%
Total	515	487	-5%



USE OF FORCE RESULTING IN DEATH – SEC. 96A.3(b)(2)

There were no Use of Force incidents resulting in death or Officer Involved Shootings (OIS) during the 1ST quarter of 2020.

A recent Officer Involved Shooting occurred on April 21, 2020. Prior to this incident, it had been 136 days since the last OIS that occurred in 2019.

ARRESTS – SEC96.A.3(c)(1)

There were 4,122 arrests during the 1st quarter of 2020, a 20% decrease from Q1-2019. Prior to Q1-2020, there had only been a 6-7% decrease in total arrests. Black subjects accounted for the most arrests in Q1-2020 (38%), but are also the only demographic group who saw a decrease in their percentage of total arrests (-3%) compared to 2019.



Race/ Ethnicity	% of Total Arrests Q1-2019	% of Total Arrests Q1-2020	% from 2019
Asian	7%	7%	0%
Black	41%	38%	-3%
Hispanic/Latino	21%	25%	3%
White	27%	28%	0%
Unknown	3%	3%	0%
Actual Totals	5,150	4,122	-20%

* Detailed data regarding age groups and gender can be found in the full report

ARRESTS BY DISTRICT

It's important to note that arrests made by Department members at San Francisco International Airport are investigated by, and reported as part of San Mateo County data and are not included in the City totals. The "Outside SF" category includes arrests made by Department members outside the jurisdiction of the City and County of San Francisco.

District	Q1 2019	Q1 2020	% change
Co. A - Central	772	649	-16%
Co. B - Southern	615	543	-12%
Co. C - Bayview	459	347	-24%
Co. D - Mission	874	727	-17%
Co. E - Northern	448	444	-1%
Co. F - Park	258	144	-44%
Co. G - Richmond	196	116	-41%
Co. H - Ingleside	358	271	-24%
Co. I - Taraval	236	227	-4%
Co. J - Tenderloin	865	624	-28%
Outside SF	69	30	-57%
Total	5,150	4,122	-20%

DEPARTMENT OF POLICE ACCOUNTABILITY – SEC 96A.3(f)

The Department is required to obtain information from the Department of Police Accountability (DPA) relating to the total number of complaints received during the reporting period that it characterizes as allegations of bias based on race or ethnicity, gender, or gender identity. The Department also is required to include in its report the total number of complaints DPA closed during the reporting period that were characterized as allegations of bias based on race or ethnicity, gender, or gender identity, as well as the total number of each type of disposition for such complaints.

Cases Received in Q1-2020

Type of Case	# of Cases
Racial Bias	6
Gender Bias	0
Both Racial and Gender Bias	0
TOTAL	6

Five of the cases have a total of seven officers named.

In the final case, the complainant did not know the officer's name and DPA has not identified the officer.

Case Closures and Dispositions in Q1-2020

Type of Case	Sustained	Mediated	Unfounded	No Finding	Insufficient Evidence	TOTAL
Racial Bias	1	1	13	2	1	18
Gender Bias	0	0	0	0	0	0
Both Racial and Gender Bias	0	0	0	0	0	0

43 Officers were named in those 18 cases.

* Closures include cases received in previous quarters.

BIAS-RELATED COMPLAINTS RECEIVED BY SFPD, AND INVESTIGATED BY THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN RESOURCES

As part of the Department's commitment to transparency, the Department also reports on all bias-related complaints received by the Department and forwarded to the Department of Human Resources (DHR) for investigation. Closed cases may include complaints received in previous quarters. Bias-related complaints are referred to as Employment Equal Opportunity (EEO) cases by DHR.

EEO Cases Received	Q1 2020
Age/Race/Religion and Gender Discrimination	0
Disability Discrimination	0
Hostile Work Environment	4
Gender Discrimination	0
Race Discrimination	0
Race/Sex Discrimination	0
Retaliation	2
Sexual Harassment	3
Sexual Orientation	0
Slurs/Inappropriate Comment(s)	0
TOTAL	9

8 employees were named in the cases above.

EEO Cases Closed	Q1 2020
Age/Race/Religion and Gender Discrimination	1
Disability Discrimination	0
Hostile Work Environment	3
Gender Discrimination	0
Race Discrimination	1
Race/Sex Discrimination	0
Retaliation	0
Sexual Harassment	1
Sexual Orientation	0
Slurs/Inappropriate Comment(s)	0
TOTAL	6



DATA SOURCES: San Francisco Police Department's Crime Data Warehouse, accessed via Business Intelligence Tools; San Francisco Police Department Early Intervention Systems Administrative Investigative Management Database; San Francisco Police Department Airport; San Francisco Police Department Internal Affairs/Equal Employment Opportunity Division; San Francisco Department of Emergency Management; San Francisco Department of Police Accountability; California Department of Justice Stop Data Collection System

Notes:

Use of Force data was queried on April 16, 2020. Any incidents not entered into the EIS database (via BI Tools) on that date were not available for inclusion in this report

Crime Data Warehouse Incident Database was used for the arrest data included in this report. San Francisco Police Department does not have an arrest database. Approximately 2% of arrests are duplicates. This is where an arrestee is entered on a supplemental report differently than the original incident report. District counts use the "District" field from the Incident Division Occur Dimension, which is not necessarily the actual district of arrest. District of Arrest is not available. This report includes a count of persons booked or cited for an incident in which an initial or supplemental report listed an occurrence date value within the queried quarters. Actual Date of Arrest for persons booked or cited is not available. Not all citations are included in Crime Data Warehouse - only those in which an incident report was generated.

Administrative Code Chapter 96A.3

2020 Quarter 1 Report



Safety with Respect

WILLIAM SCOTT
Chief of Police

Prepared by: San Francisco Police Department Professional Standards and Principled Policing Unit

Contents

2020 1st QUARTER REPORT SUMMARY	3
STOP DATA 2020 QUARTER 1.....	4
CALLS FOR SERVICE	17
SUSPECTS	18
CRIME STATISTICS	19
USE OF FORCE	20
ARRESTS	36
DEPARTMENT OF POLICE ACCOUNTABILITY (DPA)	38
USE OF FORCE AND ARREST DATA BY POLICE DISTRICT	40

Data Sources: San Francisco Police Department’s Crime Data Warehouse, accessed via Business Intelligence Tools; San Francisco Police Department Early Intervention Systems Administrative Investigative Management Database, accessed via Business Intelligence Tools; San Francisco Police Department Airport Bureau, San Francisco Police Department Human Resources; San Francisco Police Department Internal Affairs/Equal Employment Opportunity Division; San Francisco Department of Emergency Management; San Francisco Department of Police Accountability; California Department of Justice Stop Data Collection System

Note: Use of Force data was queried on April 16, 2020. Any incidents not entered into the EIS database (via BI Tools) on that date were not available for inclusion in this report.


2020 1st QUARTER REPORT SUMMARY

2020 QUARTER 1 REPORT:


- Total Stops: 17,624 stops were conducted, 3,009 of these involved searches
- Calls for Service: 183,243
- Calls resulting in Use of Force: 239 (0.13%)
- Suspects Observed and Reported to SFPD (CDW): 7,558
- Total Uses of Force: 487
 - 292 officers used force on 280 subjects resulting in a total of 487 uses of force.
- Total Arrests: 4,122
- Department of Police Accountability bias related complaints received: 6

STOP DATA 2020 QUARTER 1

Total Stops Jan 1 - Mar 31, 2020				
Type of Stops	Jan	Feb	Mar	Total
Dispatched	1,330	1,312	1,269	3,911
Self-Initiated	5,253	5,059	3,401	13,713
Total Stops	6,583	6,371	4,670	17,624



Total Searches Jan 1 - Mar 31, 2020				
Type of Stops	Jan	Feb	Mar	Total
Dispatched	514	505	506	1,525
Self-Initiated	617	518	349	1,484
Total Searches	1,131	1,023	855	3,009



For purposes of Admin Code 96A.4, the Department utilizes the SDCS program definitions under AB953; a ‘stop’ is defined as 1) any detention, as defined in regulations, by a peace officer of a person or 2) any peace officer interaction with a person in which the officer conducts a search as defined in regulation.¹ Stops include Traffic Stops and Pedestrian Detentions. Stops may be Self-Initiated or Dispatched.


¹

[https://govt.westlaw.com/calregs/Browse/Home/California/CaliforniaCodeofRegulations?guid=I93C41A693CA74BA595E5E5C58A213F79&originationContext=documenttoc&transitionType=Default&contextData=\(sc.Default\)](https://govt.westlaw.com/calregs/Browse/Home/California/CaliforniaCodeofRegulations?guid=I93C41A693CA74BA595E5E5C58A213F79&originationContext=documenttoc&transitionType=Default&contextData=(sc.Default))

Stops and Searches by Perceived Race/Ethnicity 2020 QUARTER 1

Total Stops by Perceived Race / Ethnicity Jan 1 - Mar 31, 2020					
Perceived Race / Ethnicity	Jan	Feb	Mar	Q1 Total	% of Stops
Asian	848	810	479	2,137	12%
Black/African American	1,485	1,378	1,181	4,044	23%
Hispanic/Latino(a)	1,248	1,243	912	3,403	19%
Middle Eastern or South	456	501	310	1,267	7%
Native American	14	11	5	30	0%
Pacific Islander	68	72	74	214	1%
White	2,294	2,200	1,607	6,101	35%
Other	170	156	102	428	2%
Total	6,583	6,371	4,670	17,624	100%


Total Searches by Perceived Race / Ethnicity Jan 1 - Mar 31, 2020					
Perceived Race / Ethnicity	Jan	Feb	Mar	Q1 Total	% of Searches
Asian	55	44	48	147	5%
Black/African American	440	379	340	1,159	39%
Hispanic/Latino(a)	235	251	173	659	22%
Middle Eastern or South	16	17	13	46	2%
Native American	5	2	2	9	0%
Pacific Islander	15	14	18	47	2%
White	345	291	245	881	29%
Other	20	25	16	61	2%
Total	1,131	1,023	855	3,009	100%



Stops and Searches by Perceived Age 2020 QUARTER 1

Total Stops by Perceived Age Category Jan 1 - Mar 31, 2020					
Perceived Age Category	Jan	Feb	Mar	Q1 Total	% of Stops
Under 18	98	104	48	250	1%
18 - 29	1,502	1,527	1,140	4,169	24%
30 - 39	2,101	1,998	1,466	5,565	32%
40 - 49	1,415	1,358	1,010	3,783	21%
50 - 59	949	881	689	2,519	14%
60 or over	510	499	315	1,324	8%
Unknown	8	4	2	14	0%
Total	6,583	6,371	4,670	17,624	100%


Total Searches by Perceived Age Category Jan 1 - Mar 31, 2020					
Perceived Age Category	Jan	Feb	Mar	Q1 Total	% of Searches
Under 18	23	46	19	88	3%
18 - 29	357	312	252	921	31%
30 - 39	379	319	277	975	32%
40 - 49	207	206	159	572	19%
50 - 59	133	96	110	339	11%
60 or over	32	44	38	114	4%
Total	1,131	1,023	855	3,009	100%



Stops and Searches by Perceived Gender 2020 QUARTER 1

Total Stops by Perceived Gender Jan 1 - Mar 31, 2020					
Perceived Gender	Jan	Feb	Mar	Q1 Total	% of Stops
Female	1,480	1,405	1,008	3,893	22%
Male	5,076	4,945	3,636	13,657	77%
Transgender man/boy	5	4	6	15	0%
Transgender woman/girl	13	10	11	34	0%
Unknown	9	7	9	25	0%
Total	6,583	6,371	4,670	17,624	100%

Total Searches by Perceived Gender Jan 1 - Mar 31, 2020					
Perceived Gender	Jan	Feb	Mar	Q1 Total	% of Searches
Female	177	162	154	493	16%
Male	947	856	691	2,494	83%
Transgender man/boy	2	1	2	5	0%
Transgender woman/girl	5	3	5	13	0%
Unknown	0	1	3	4	0%
Total	1,131	1,023	855	3,009	100%



Stops and Searches by District 2020 QUARTER 1

Total Stops by District Jan 1 - Mar 31, 2020					
District	Jan	Feb	Mar	Total	% Total
Central	807	705	478	1,990	11%
Southern	1,117	1,143	784	3,044	17%
Bayview	373	417	264	1,054	6%
Mission	771	772	605	2,148	12%
Northern	600	491	381	1,472	8%
Park	449	383	322	1,154	7%
Richmond	306	303	254	863	5%
Ingleside	421	347	237	1,005	6%
Taraval	464	358	232	1,054	6%
Tenderloin	474	491	470	1,435	8%
Airport	610	779	474	1,863	11%
Unknown	191	182	169	542	3%
Total	6,583	6,371	4,670	17,624	100%


Total Searches by District Jan 1 - Mar 31, 2020					
District	Jan	Feb	Mar	Total	% Total
Central	183	163	106	452	15%
Southern	163	150	134	447	15%
Bayview	80	68	63	211	7%
Mission	190	183	141	514	17%
Northern	143	106	109	358	12%
Park	39	19	24	82	3%
Richmond	33	18	24	75	2%
Ingleside	87	85	66	238	8%
Taraval	38	38	35	111	4%
Tenderloin	118	132	109	359	12%
Airport	21	22	17	60	2%
Unknown	36	39	27	102	3%
Total	1,131	1,023	855	3,009	100%

Note: Location information in the Stop Data Collection System is in free text format. "Unknown" indicates stop records that could not be geocoded.

Basis of Searches 2020 QUARTER 1

Total Basis of Search	Total	% Total
Consent given	233	6%
Officer safety/safety of others	1156	28%
Search warrant	83	2%
Condition of parole/probation/PRCS/mandatory supervision	605	15%
Suspected weapons	217	5%
Visible contraband	153	4%
Odor of contraband	56	1%
Canine Detection	2	0%
Evidence of crime	251	6%
Incident to arrest	1266	31%
Exigent circumstances/emergency	20	0%
Vehicle inventory	83	2%
Suspected violation of school policy	0	0%
*Distinct Count of Searches	3,009	100%

***There may be more than one basis for search**



Basis of Search by Race, Age, and Gender – 2020 QUARTER 1

Basis of Search	Asian	Black/ African American	Hispanic/ Latino(a)	Middle Eastern/ South Asian	Native American	Pacific Islander	White	Other	Total
Consent given	11	75	51	5	0	6	83	2	233
Officer safety/safety of others	62	394	249	26	3	20	379	23	1,156
Search warrant	5	23	39	1	0	4	10	1	83
Condition of parole/probation/ PRCS/mandatory supervision	12	319	97	5	1	8	151	12	605
Suspected weapons	13	78	50	7	1	4	60	4	217
Visible contraband	6	55	34	1	1	1	53	2	153
Odor of contraband	2	28	15	0	0	0	11	0	56
Canine Detection	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	2
Evidence of crime	7	122	45	5	3	6	60	3	251
Incident to arrest	79	462	288	20	5	15	371	26	1,266
Exigent circumstances/emergency	2	5	5	0	0	1	5	2	20
Vehicle inventory	3	39	18	1	1	1	17	3	83
Suspected violation of school policy	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Distinct Count of Searches	147	1,159	659	46	9	47	881	61	3,009


Basis of Search	Under 18	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-59	60+	Total
Consent given	2	73	71	51	26	9	232
Officer safety/safety of others	27	301	377	230	168	53	1,156
Search warrant	4	35	16	15	8	5	83
Condition of parole/probation/ PRCS/mandatory supervision	5	234	214	107	39	6	605
Suspected weapons	7	58	69	45	28	10	217
Visible contraband	2	68	44	21	15	3	153
Odor of contraband	0	38	15	3	0	0	56
Canine Detection	0	2	0	0	0	0	2
Evidence of crime	12	85	73	38	32	11	251
Incident to arrest	54	340	418	249	148	57	1,266
Exigent circumstances/emergency	2	2	11	4	1	0	20
Vehicle inventory	1	31	28	11	8	4	83
Suspected violation of school policy	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Distinct Count of Searches	88	921	975	572	339	114	3,009

Basis of Search	Female	Male	Transgender man/boy	Transgender woman/girl	Unknown	Total
Consent given	33	199	0	1	0	233
Officer safety/safety of others	205	941	1	7	2	1,156
Search warrant	21	62	0	0	0	83
Condition of parole/probation/ PRCS/mandatory supervision	67	537	0	1	0	605
Suspected weapons	17	200	0	0	0	217
Visible contraband	31	121	0	0	1	153
Odor of contraband	12	44	0	0	0	56
Canine Detection	1	1	0	0	0	2
Evidence of crime	49	200	2	0	0	251
Incident to arrest	210	1,045	3	5	3	1,266
Exigent circumstances/emergency	5	14	1	0	0	20
Vehicle inventory	13	70	0	0	0	83
Suspected violation of school policy	0	0	0	0	0	0
Distinct Count of Searches	493	2,494	5	13	4	3,009

Results of Searches 2020 QUARTER 1

Results of Searches	Total	% Total
None	1,994	57%
Firearm(s)	65	2%
Ammunition	38	1%
Weapon(s) other than a firearm	163	5%
Drugs/Narcotics	298	9%
Alcohol	48	1%
Money	78	2%
Drug Paraphernalia	199	6%
Suspected stolen property	208	6%
Cell phone(s) or electronic devices	119	3%
Other Contraband or evidence	270	8%
Unknown	1	0%
Distinct Count of Search	3,009	100%

*A single search may have multiple results



Results of Searches 2020 QUARTER 1


Results of Searches	Asian	Black/ African American	Hispanic/ Latino(a)	Middle Eastern/ South Asian	Native American	Pacific Islander	White	Other	Total
None	109	745	431	32	5	33	596	43	1,994
Firearm(s)	3	39	9	0	0	6	5	3	65
Ammunition	2	20	8	0	0	3	4	1	38
Weapon(s) other than a firearm	5	59	37	4	0	2	54	2	163
Drugs/Narcotics	8	126	86	5	1	2	68	2	298
Alcohol	1	15	15	1	1	0	14	1	48
Money	4	26	39	1	0	1	7	0	78
Drug Paraphernalia	8	80	39	4	0	0	63	5	199
Suspected stolen property	9	95	35	2	2	3	60	2	208
Cell phone(s) or electronic devices	9	56	33	1	0	3	14	3	119
Other Contraband or evidence	13	111	52	4	0	2	83	5	270
Unknown	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1
Distinct Count of Search	147	1,159	659	46	9	47	881	61	3,009

Results of Searches	Under 18	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-59	60+	Total
None	53	583	640	401	239	78	1,994
Firearm(s)	6	32	18	6	3	0	65
Ammunition	3	13	12	6	4	0	38
Weapon(s) other than a firearm	0	41	64	32	19	7	163
Drugs/Narcotics	2	125	105	33	28	5	298
Alcohol	1	11	14	10	9	3	48
Money	3	42	20	9	1	3	78
Drug Paraphernalia	1	40	77	50	23	8	199
Suspected stolen property	13	61	64	39	20	11	208
Cell phone(s) or electronic devices	13	65	29	7	2	3	119
Other Contraband or evidence	10	98	83	50	21	8	270
Unknown	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
Distinct Count of Search	88	921	975	572	339	114	3,009

Results of Searches	Female	Male	Transgender man/boy	Transgender woman/girl	Unknown	Total
None	333	1,644	3	12	2	1,994
Firearm(s)	9	56	0	0	0	65
Ammunition	5	33	0	0	0	38
Weapon(s) other than a firearm	22	140	0	0	1	163
Drugs/Narcotics	46	251	1	0	0	298
Alcohol	8	40	0	0	0	48
Money	11	67	0	0	0	78
Drug Paraphernalia	34	165	0	3	0	202
Suspected stolen property	33	173	0	1	1	208
Cell phone(s) or electronic devices	22	97	0	0	0	119
Other Contraband or evidence	37	230	2	0	1	270
Unknown	0	1	0	0	0	1
Distinct Count of Search	493	2,494	5	13	4	3,009

Reasons for Stops 2020 QUARTER 1

Reason for Stops	Total	% Total
Consensual encounter resulting in search	102	1%
Determine if student violated school policy	0	0%
Investigation to determine if person is truant	84	0%
Knowledge of outstanding arrest warrant/wanted person	292	2%
Known to be on parole/probation/PRCS/ mandatory supervision	124	1%
Reasonable suspicion that this person was engaged in criminal activity	5,354	30%
Traffic violation	11,654	66%
Unknown	14	0%
Distinct Count of Stops	17,624	100%



Reasons for Stops by Race, Age, Gender – 2020 QUARTER 1

Reasons for Stops	Asian	Black/ African American	Hispanic/ Latino(a)	Middle Eastern/ South Asian	Native American	Pacific Islander	White	Other	Total
Consensual encounter resulting in search	7	25	20	0	0	1	47	2	102
Determine if student violated school policy	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Investigation to determine if person is truant	6	19	17	5	0	0	35	2	84
Knowledge of outstanding arrest warrant/wanted person	19	121	68	5	1	8	69	1	292
Known to be on parole/probation/PRCS/ mandatory supervision	4	58	31	0	0	6	25	0	124
Reasonable suspicion that this person was engaged in criminal activity	286	1,755	1,061	115	16	69	1,940	112	5,354
Traffic violation	1,813	2,065	2,199	1,142	13	130	3,982	310	11,654
Unknown	2	1	7	0	0	0	3	1	14
Distinct Count of Stops	2,137	4,044	3,403	1,267	30	214	6,101	428	17,624


Reasons for Stops	Under 18	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-59	60+	Unknown	Total
Consensual encounter resulting in search	3	23	33	20	15	8	0	102
Determine if student violated school policy	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Investigation to determine if person is truant	6	15	26	28	5	4	0	84
Knowledge of outstanding arrest warrant/wanted person	17	66	112	56	27	14	0	292
Known to be on parole/probation/PRCS/ mandatory supervision	8	67	28	14	5	2	0	124
Reasonable suspicion that this person was engaged in criminal activity	170	1,238	1,804	1,169	682	291	0	5,354
Traffic violation	46	2,760	3,562	2,496	1,785	1,005	0	11,654
Unknown	0	0	0	0	0	0	14	14
Distinct Count of Stops	250	4,169	5,565	3,783	2,519	1,324	14	17,624

Reasons for Stops	Female	Male	Transgender man/boy	Transgender woman/girl	Unknown	Total
Consensual encounter resulting in search	29	69	0	3	1	102
Determine if student violated school policy	0	0	0	0	0	0
Investigation to determine if person is truant	19	64	0	1	0	84
Knowledge of outstanding arrest warrant/wanted person	54	237	0	1	0	292
Known to be on parole/probation/PRCS/ mandatory supervision	14	109	0	1	0	124
Reasonable suspicion that this person was engaged in criminal activity	1,069	4,246	11	23	5	5,354
Traffic violation	2,708	8,932	4	5	5	11,654
Unknown	0	0	0	0	14	14
Distinct Count of Stops	3,893	13,657	15	34	25	17,624

Results of Stops 2020 QUARTER 1

Results of Stops	Total	% Total
No action	2,277	13%
Warning (verbal or written)	4,734	26%
Citation for infraction (use for local ordinances only)	6,102	34%
In-field cite and release	2,245	12%
Custodial arrest pursuant to outstanding warrant	694	4%
Custodial arrest without warrant	1,196	7%
Field interview card completed	124	1%
Non-criminal transport or caretaking transport (including transport by officer, ambulance or other agency)	318	2%
Contacted parent/legal guardian or other person responsible for the minor	54	0%
Psychiatric hold (W&I Code 5150 or 5585.20)	339	2%
Contacted U.S. Department of Homeland Security (e.g., ICE or CBP)	3	0%
Referral to school administrator or other support staff	0	0%
Unknown	0	0%
Distinct Count of Stops	17,624	100%

Three of the stops noted above indicated as resulting in contact with Department of Homeland Security (DHS) did not involve referrals to DHS – these counts actually resulted from typographical errors into the SDCS system.



Results of Stops by Race, Age, and Gender – 2020 QUARTER 1


Results of Stops	Asian	Black/ African American	Hispanic/ Latino(a)	Middle Eastern/ South Asian	Native American	Pacific Islander	White	Other	Total
No action	166	780	530	85	3	45	643	25	2,277
Warning (verbal or written)	379	1,347	918	385	7	56	1,554	88	4,734
Citation for infraction (use for local ordinances only)	1,052	770	1,053	613	7	52	2,345	210	6,102
In-field cite and release	411	350	409	157	1	31	822	64	2,245
Custodial arrest pursuant to outstanding warrant	36	288	121	10	3	10	214	12	694
Custodial arrest without warrant	77	403	312	20	7	13	339	25	1,196
Field interview card completed	3	45	32	1	0	4	36	3	124
Non-criminal transport or caretaking transport (including transport by officer, ambulance or other agency)	16	87	63	9	2	3	132	6	318
Contacted parent/legal guardian or other person responsible for the minor	1	31	12	1	1	0	8	0	54
Psychiatric hold (W&I Code 5150 or 5585.20)	39	82	49	8	2	8	147	4	339
Contacted U.S. Department of Homeland Security (e.g., ICE or CBP)	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	3
Referral to school administrator or other support staff	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Unknown	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Distinct Count of Stops	2,137	4,044	3,403	1,267	30	214	6,101	428	17,624

Results of Stops	Under 18	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-59	60+	Unknown	Total
No action	64	636	717	498	257	91	14	2,277
Warning (verbal or written)	32	1,201	1,618	990	609	284	0	4,734
Citation for infraction (use for local ordinances only)	15	1,270	1,868	1,364	1,000	585	0	6,102
In-field cite and release	34	496	597	462	394	262	0	2,245
Custodial arrest pursuant to outstanding warrant	12	145	293	149	71	24	0	694
Custodial arrest without warrant	52	337	382	248	131	46	0	1,196
Field interview card completed	4	49	35	18	15	3	0	124
Non-criminal transport or caretaking transport (including transport by officer, ambulance or other agency)	13	70	106	58	49	22	0	318
Contacted parent/legal guardian or other person responsible for the minor	42	10	1	1	0	0	0	54
Psychiatric hold (W&I Code 5150 or 5585.20)	14	68	93	85	50	29	0	339
Contacted U.S. Department of Homeland Security (e.g., ICE or CBP)	0	0	1	1	0	1	0	3
Referral to school administrator or other support staff	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Unknown	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Distinct Count of Stops	250	4,169	5,565	3,783	2,519	1,324	14	17,624

Results of Stops	Female	Male	Transgender man/boy	Transgender woman/girl	Unknown	Total
No action	457	1,800	0	6	14	2,277
Warning (verbal or written)	975	3,748	3	7	1	4,734
Citation for infraction (use for local ordinances only)	1,425	4,666	4	2	5	6,102
In-field cite and release	585	1,653	3	3	1	2,245
Custodial arrest pursuant to outstanding warrant	127	563	1	3	0	694
Custodial arrest without warrant	202	982	5	5	2	1,196
Field interview card completed	28	96	0	0	0	124
Non-criminal transport or caretaking transport (including transport by officer, ambulance or other agency)	74	242	0	2	0	318
Contacted parent/legal guardian or other person responsible for the minor	13	41	0	0	0	54
Psychiatric hold (W&I Code 5150 or 5585.20)	111	218	0	8	2	339
Contacted U.S. Department of Homeland Security (e.g., ICE or CBP)	0	3	0	0	0	3
Referral to school administrator or other support staff	0	0	0	0	0	0
Unknown	0	0	0	0	0	0
Distinct Count of Stops	3,893	13,657	15	34	25	17,624

CALLS FOR SERVICE

Calls for Service January 1 - March 31, 2020			
Jan	Feb	Mar	Total - Q1
63,199	60,705	59,339	183,243



Data Source: San Francisco Department of Emergency Management


SUSPECTS

SUSPECTS OBSERVED AND REPORTED TO SAN FRANCISCO POLICE DEPARTMENT

Suspect information/description is either provided by a member of the public reported directly to the police or through dispatch, or is observed by a Department member during a self-initiated call for service in which there is reasonable suspicion or probable cause for a crime. The suspect information is documented in a police incident report that is generated from the call for service.

The following table summarizing suspect descriptions gathered from incident reports shows that 47% of the subjects reported to police, directly or through dispatch, or those observed by a member during a self-initiated contact are Black.







SUSPECTS by Race/Ethnicity					7,558 Suspects
January 1, 2020 - March 31, 2020					
DESCRIPTION	January	February	March	Total- Q1	% of Total Suspects
Asian/Pacific Islander	142	117	111	370	4.9%
Black/African American	1,274	1,257	933	3464	45.8%
Hispanic/ Latino	425	395	365	1185	15.7%
Native American	3	6	4	13	0.2%
White	482	465	430	1377	18.2%
Others	479	407	263	1149	15.2%
Total	2,805	2,647	2,106	7,558	100.00%



Note: Suspect data is extracted from incident reports via the Person Schema of Crime Data Warehouse via Business Intelligence tools. Search criteria includes results in which Person Type = "Suspect." Records with Unknown Race/Ethnicity and Unknown Gender data are not included.


CRIME STATISTICS

2020 Quarter 1 Summary Statistics by District




USE OF FORCE

Total Use of Force Overview January 1, 2016 through March 31, 2020




Total Use of Force Overview by Subject Race/Ethnicity

	COUNT OF FORCE																
	2016				2017				2018				2019				2020
SUBJECT RACE	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q1
Asian or Pacific Islander	59	70	60	78	37	61	28	66	32	31	42	36	22	34	20	21	29
Black	447	379	448	393	333	358	363	308	318	244	270	272	236	241	229	195	179
Hispanic	232	230	173	226	188	261	128	165	199	135	147	139	104	117	104	100	144
White	199	225	213	213	211	202	163	166	234	160	172	160	135	142	128	88	115
Unknown	15	22	22	43	35	29	25	25	33	31	30	28	18	14	23	16	20
Grand Total	952	926	916	953	804	911	707	730	816	601	661	635	515	548	504	420	487




Total Use of Force Overview by Subject Age

SUBJECT AGE	COUNT OF FORCE																
	2016				2017				2018				2019				2020
	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q1
Under 18	80	34	41	61	50	102	38	62	32	16	25	31	20	23	4	10	20
18-29	405	395	357	474	310	396	277	308	321	248	245	258	200	215	190	155	163
30-39	250	239	220	229	231	191	199	187	236	190	191	179	167	139	173	151	168
40-49	128	151	141	109	107	87	102	89	139	62	102	96	90	80	83	54	73
50-59	69	59	102	62	77	84	56	57	44	49	69	51	29	62	30	34	37
60+	19	34	53	16	21	22	26	17	42	23	11	10	4	12	15	6	6
Unknown	1	14	2	2	8	29	9	10	2	13	18	10	5	17	9	9	20
Grand Total	952	926	916	953	804	911	707	730	816	601	661	635	515	548	504	420	487




Total Use of Force Overview by Subject Gender

	COUNT OF FORCE																
	2016				2017				2018				2019				2020
SUBJECT GENDER	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q1
Female	157	160	131	150	123	134	78	105	148	70	91	93	50	66	41	53	66
Male	792	764	780	803	681	775	628	625	668	531	570	537	463	477	453	366	416
Unkown/Nonbinary	3	2	5	0	0	2	1	0	0	0	0	5	2	5	10	1	5
Grand Total	952	926	916	953	804	911	707	730	816	601	661	635	515	548	504	420	487




First Quarter Comparison – Uses of Force – 2019 vs. 2020

	2019	2020	% Change
Jan	176	187	6%
Feb	158	148	-6%
Mar	181	152	-16%
Q1 Total	515	487	-5%



Total Uses of Force by Force Type
First Quarter Comparison – 2019 vs. 2020

Uses of Force	Q1 2019	Q1 2020	% Change
Pointing of Firearms	212	259	22%
Physical Control	169	140	-17%
Strike by Object/Fist	91	47	-48%
Impact Weapon	14	9	-36%
OC (Pepper Spray)	13	10	-23%
ERIW	10	17	70%
Spike Strips	5	0	-100%
Handcuffing	0	4	not calc
K-9	0	1	not calc
Flashbang	1	0	-100%
Total	515	487	-5%



A review of all reported uses of force during Q1 2020 found no instances of officers discharging firearms at a moving vehicle, nor any instances where the carotid restraint was employed.

Use of Force Resulting in Death


SEC. 96A.3 (b) (2) USE OF FORCE RESULTING IN DEATH

SEC. 96A.3 (b) (2) USE OF FORCE RESULTING IN DEATH TO THE PERSON ON WHOM AN OFFICER USED FORCE;

There were no Use of Force incidents resulting in death during the first quarter of 2020.



Officers Assaulted by Month January - March 2020

Officers Assaulted by Month			
	2019	2020	% Change
Jan	14	18	29%
Feb	11	17	55%
Mar	17	12	-29%
Total	42	47	12%



The Mission District had the highest number of officers assaulted (10), followed by Central and Northern (7). The Mission District had the highest number of Uses of Force (127), followed by Southern and Tenderloin (60).

January – March 2020



**SEC. 96A.3 (b) (1) TOTAL USES OF FORCE (TYPE OF FORCE) BY
RACE/ETHNICITY AND GENDER OF SUBJECT**

**Types of Force by
Race/Ethnicity and Gender of Subject
January – March 2020**

Types of Force by Subject Race & Gender	Firearm	Pointing of Firearms	Physical Control	Strike by Object/Fist	Impact Weapon	OC (Pepper Spray)	ERIW	Handcuffing	K-9	Total Uses of Force	%
Asian Female	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0%
Asian Male	0	17	4	8	0	0	0	0	0	29	6%
Asian Unknown or Nonbinary Gender	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0%
Black Female	0	23	4	1	0	1	0	0	0	29	6%
Black Male	0	87	33	14	7	4	4	0	1	150	31%
Black Unknown or Nonbinary Gender	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0%
Hispanic Female	0	8	0	0	0	2	1	1	0	12	2%
Hispanic Male	0	64	47	13	0	1	6	0	0	131	27%
Hispanic Unknown or Nonbinary Gender	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0%
White Female	0	11	2	1	1	0	1	2	0	18	4%
White Male	0	43	42	9	1	1	0	1	0	97	20%
White Unknown or Nonbinary Gender	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0%
Unknown Female	0	3	3	1	0	0	0	0	0	7	1%
Unknown Male	0	3	4	0	0	1	1	0	0	9	2%
Unknown Race & Gender	0	0	1	0	0	0	3	0	0	4	1%
Total	0	259	140	47	9	10	17	4	1	487	100%
Percent	0%	53%	29%	10%	2%	2%	3%	1%	0%	100%	

Asian includes Asian and Pacific Islander.

Unknown indicates ethnicities outside DOJ definitions, Native American, and incident reports where data wasn't provided.

Due to rounding, percentage totals may not add up to exactly 100%.

SEC. 96A.3 (b) (3) TOTAL USES OF FORCE (TYPE OF FORCE) BY AGE OF SUBJECT

**Types of Force by
Age of Subject
January – March 2020**

Types of Force by Subject Age Group	Firearm	Pointing of Firearms	Physical Control	Strike by Object/Fist	Impact Weapon	OC (Pepper Spray)	ERIW	Handcuffing	K-9	Total Uses of Force	%
Under 18	0	14	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	20	4%
18-29	0	92	41	23	0	3	3	1	0	163	33%
30-39	0	102	37	17	5	2	3	1	1	168	34%
40-49	0	29	31	5	3	3	0	2	0	73	15%
50-59	0	14	18	2	1	1	1	0	0	37	8%
60+	0	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	6	1%
Unknown	0	2	7	0	0	1	10	0	0	20	4%
Total	0	259	140	47	9	10	17	4	1	487	100%
Percent	0%	53%	29%	10%	2%	2%	3%	1%	0%	100%	

Unknown indicates information was not documented in report for various reasons (i.e. suspect fled and demographic information was not known).

Due to rounding, percentage totals may not add up to exactly 100%.


Types of Force by Call Type

January – March 2020

Types of Call	Firearm	Pointing of Firearms	Physical Control	Strike by Object/Fist	Impact Weapon	OC (Pepper Spray)	ERW	Handcuffing	K-9	Total	% of Calls
Part I Violent	0	55	46	12	3	3	11	0	0	130	27%
Part I Property	0	111	11	4	1	1	1	1	0	130	27%
Person with a gun (221)	0	29	4	3	0	0	0	0	0	36	7%
Person with a knife (219)	0	7	0	1	1	0	1	0	0	10	2%
Suspicious Person (311/811/601/603/646/916/917)	0	12	41	11	1	1	2	2	0	70	14%
Narcotics Arrest	0	1	5	1	0	0	0	0	0	7	1%
Search Warrant/Warrant Arrest	0	13	5	6	0	1	0	0	1	26	5%
Alarm/Check on well-being (100/910)	0	0	3	1	1	0	0	0	0	5	1%
Mental Health Related (5150/800/801)	0	5	12	4	2	4	1	0	0	28	6%
Restraining Order Violation	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0%
Terrorist Threats (650)	0	9	3	1	0	0	0	0	0	13	3%
Traffic-Related	0	12	4	2	0	0	1	0	0	19	4%
Vandalism (594/595)	0	1	2	1	0	0	0	1	0	5	1%
Aided Case (520)	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0%
Homeless Related Call (915/919)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0%
Disturbance Calls (415/417)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0%
Prostitution (647B)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0%
Passing Call (903)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0%
Purse Snatch (213)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0%
Weapon, Carrying	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	1%
Panic Alarm (100P)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0%
Prisoner Transportation (407)	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0%
Total	0	259	140	47	9	10	17	4	1	487	100%

Uses of Force by Reason January – March 2020

Reason for Use of Force	Q1 2019	Q1 2020	% Change
In defense of others or in self-defense	9	15	67%
To effect a lawful arrest, detention, or search, or to prevent escape	491	451	-8%
To gain compliance with a lawful order	3	5	67%
To overcome resistance or to prevent escape	9	7	-22%
To prevent a person from injuring himself/herself, when the person also poses an imminent danger of death or serious bodily injury to another person or officer	1	1	0%
To prevent the commission of a public offense	2	8	300%
Total	515	487	-5%



**Uses of Force by
Race/Ethnicity, Gender and Age of Officer
Q1 – 2019 vs. 2020**

Officer Race & Gender	Officers Using Force			Total Uses of Force			Department Demographic		
	Q1 2019	Q1 2020	% change	Q1 2019	Q1 2020	% change	Q1 2019	Q1 2020	% change
Asian Female *	4	2	-50%	4	2	-50%	48	46	-4%
Asian Male *	44	41	-7%	75	73	-3%	475	482	1%
Black Female	3	5	67%	5	8	60%	46	42	-9%
Black Male	23	18	-22%	34	27	-21%	177	171	-3%
Hispanic Female	5	13	160%	5	17	240%	71	78	10%
Hispanic Male	39	46	18%	65	76	17%	325	320	-2%
White Female	17	10	-41%	38	20	-47%	170	168	-1%
White Male	163	143	-12%	277	236	-15%	962	940	-2%
Other Female **	0	0	not cal	0	0	not cal	10	11	10%
Other Male **	5	14	180%	12	28	133%	36	40	11%
Total	303	292	-4%	515	487	-5%	2,320	2,298	-1%

*Asian includes Asian and Pacific Islander

**Other indicates ethnicities outside DOJ definitions

Officer Age Group	Officers Using Force			Total Uses of Force			Department Demographic		
	Q1 2019	Q1 2020	% change	Q1 2019	Q1 2020	% change	Q1 2019	Q1 2020	% change
21-29	109	106	-3%	184	178	-3%	415	378	-9%
30-39	125	133	6%	222	232	5%	742	755	2%
40-49	52	41	-21%	77	56	-27%	662	646	-2%
50-59	19	13	-32%	32	21	-34%	473	482	2%
60+	0	0	not cal	0	0	not cal	28	37	32%
Total	303	292	-4%	515	487	-5%	2,320	2,298	-1%

**Uses of Force by
Race/Ethnicity, Gender and Age of Subject
Q1 – 2019 vs. 2020**


Subject Race & Gender	Number of Subjects			Total Uses of Force		
	Q1 2019	Q1 2020	% change	Q1 2019	Q1 2020	% change
Asian Female	1	0	-100%	2	0	-100%
Asian Male	13	10	-23%	20	29	45%
Asian Unknown or Nonbinary Gender	0	0	27%	0	0	not cal
Black Female	15	19	not cal	25	29	16%
Black Male	108	84	-22%	211	150	-29%
Black Unknown or Nonbinary Gender	0	0	not cal	0	0	not cal
Hispanic Female	7	5	-29%	9	12	33%
Hispanic Male	55	83	51%	93	131	41%
Hispanic Unknown or Nonbinary Gender	1	1	0%	2	1	-50%
White Female	13	10	-16%	14	18	29%
White Male	64	54	not cal	121	97	-20%
White Unknown or Nonbinary Gender	0	0	not cal	0	0	not cal
Unknown Female	0	4	-54%	0	7	not cal
Unknown Male	13	6	not cal	18	9	-50%
Unknown Race & Gender	0	4	not cal	0	4	not cal
Total	290	280	-3%	515	487	-5%

Subject Age Group	Number of Subjects			Total Uses of Force		
	Q1 2019	Q1 2020	% change	Q1 2019	Q1 2020	% change
Under 18	9	12	33%	20	20	0%
18-29	120	99	-18%	200	163	-19%
30-39	93	86	-8%	167	168	1%
40-49	45	38	-16%	90	73	-19%
50-59	16	26	63%	29	37	28%
60+	4	3	-25%	4	6	50%
Unknown	3	16	433%	5	20	300%
Total	290	280	-3%	515	487	-5%

Unknown indicates data not provided in incident report.


Uses of Force Incidents by Number of Officers Involved January – March 2020

Number of Officers Involved	Number of Incidents		
	Q1 2019	Q1 2020	% change
1	137	145	6%
2	77	64	-17%
3	26	14	-46%
4	4	7	75%
5	2	5	150%
6	2	3	50%
7	0	1	not cal
Total	248	239	-4%



**Uses of Force Incidents by
Number of Subjects Involved
January – March 2020**

Number of Subjects Involved	Number of Incidents		
	Q1 2019	Q1 2020	% change
1	225	209	-7%
2	15	22	47%
3	3	4	33%
4	3	2	-33%
5	1	1	0%
7	0	1	not cal
9	1	0	-100%
Total	248	239	-4%




ARRESTS

SEC. 96A.3 (C) (2) TOTAL ARRESTS BY RACE/ETHNICITY AND GENDER

Arrests by Race/Ethnicity and Gender

Q1 – 2019 vs. 2020

Race and Gender	Q1 2019	Q1 2020	% change
Asian Female	76	62	-18%
Asian Male	280	206	-26%
Asian Unknown	0	1	not cal
Black Female	456	340	-25%
Black Male	1,669	1,220	-27%
Black Unknown	4	1	-75%
Hispanic Female	164	140	-15%
Hispanic Male	931	880	-5%
Hispanic Unknown	5	3	-40%
White Female	329	223	-32%
White Male	1,064	910	-14%
White Unknown	5	5	0%
Unknown Female	26	20	-23%
Unknown Male	126	101	-20%
Unknown Race & Gender	15	10	-33%
Total	5,150	4,122	-20%




Arrests totals do not include arrests at the Airport.

Arrest statistics are extracted from the Person Schema of Crime Data Warehouse via Business Intelligence tools. Search criteria includes results in which Person Type = “Booked” or “Cited.” Unknown indicates ethnicities outside DOJ definitions, Native American, and incident reports in which data wasn’t provided.

SEC. 96A.3 (C) (2) TOTAL ARRESTS BY AGE

Arrests by Age Q1 – 2019 vs. 2020

Age	Q1 2019	Q1 2020	% change
Under 18	179	175	-2%
18-29	1,801	1,385	-23%
30-39	1,441	1,181	-18%
40-49	928	738	-20%
50-59	605	461	-24%
60+	196	182	-7%
Unknown	0	0	0
Total	5,150	4,122	-20%



Arrests totals do not include arrests at the Airport.

Arrest statistics are extracted from the Person Schema of Crime Data Warehouse via Business Intelligence tools. Search criteria includes results in which Person Type = “Booked” or “Cited.” Unknown indicates ethnicities outside DOJ definitions, Native American, and incident reports where data wasn’t provided.

DEPARTMENT OF POLICE ACCOUNTABILITY (DPA)

The Department is required to obtain information from the Department of Police Accountability (DPA), formerly the Office of Citizens Complaints, relating to the total number of complaints for the reporting period received by DPA that it characterizes as allegations of bias based on race or ethnicity, gender, or gender identity. The Department also is required to include in its report the total number of complaints DPA closed during the reporting period that were characterized as allegations of bias based on race or ethnicity, gender, or gender identity, as well as the total number of each type of disposition for such complaints.

Allegations of Bias based on Race or Ethnicity, Gender, or Gender Identity Received and Closed by the Department of Police Accountability (formerly the Office of Citizen Complaints)

Cases received involving claims of racial and/or gender bias	Q1 2020
Racial Bias	6
Gender Bias	0
Both Racial and Gender Bias	0
Total	6

No officers were named for allegations of racial or gender bias.

DPA received 204 cases for the quarter, including above.

Total Cases Received in 2020 involving Racial or Gender Bias: 6 Cases

Closures of cases involving claims of racial and/or gender bias	Q1 2020
Racial Bias	18
Gender Bias	0
Homophobic Bias	0
Both Racial and Gender Bias	0
Total	18

43 Officers were named in those 18 cases.

Dispositions of all cases	Q1 2020
Sustained	1
Sustained bias-related allegation	0
Closed*	16
Mediated	1

*Closure reasons: unfounded, proper conduct, not sustained, no finding, and no finding/withdrawn

DPA closed a total of 282 cases for the quarter, including above.

DPA closed a total of 282 cases for the year, including above.

Source: Department of Police Accountability

BIAS-RELATED COMPLAINTS RECEIVED BY SFPD, AND INVESTIGATED BY THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN RESOURCES

As part of the Department's commitment to transparency, the Department also reports on all bias-related complaints received by the Department and forwarded to the Department of Human Resources (DHR) for investigation. Closed cases may include complaints received in previous quarters. Bias-related complaints are referred to as Employment Equal Opportunity (EEO) cases by DHR.

Bias Complaints Received and Closed by The San Francisco Police Department and Investigated by DHR

EEO Cases Received	Q1 2020
Age / Race / Religion and Gender Discrimination	0
Disability Discrimination	0
Hostile Work Environment	4
Gender Discrimination	0
Race Discrimination	0
Race / Sex Discrimination	0
Retaliation	2
Sexual Harrassment	3
Sexual Orientation	0
Total	9

8 employees were named in the above cases

EEO Cases Closed	Q1 2020
Age / Race / Religion and Gender Discrimination	1
Gender Discrimination	0
Hostile Work Environment	3
Race Discrimination	1
Race / Sex Discrimination	0
Sexual Harrassment	1
Sexual Orientation	0
Slurs/Inappropriate Comment	0
Total	6

Dispositions of the cases	Q1 2020
Sustained	0
Closed	6

Closure reasons:

One case closed: Administrative Closure/Respondent Counseled (Outside Vendor)

One case closed: Administrative Closure/Rejected.


One case closed: Administrative Closure/Retraining

Three cases closed: Administrative Closure/Insufficient Evidence.

Source: SFPD Risk Management EEO Quarterly Report


USE OF FORCE AND ARREST DATA BY POLICE DISTRICT

January – March 2020




Uses of Force by District Q1 – 2019 vs. 2020

Districts	Q1 2019	Q1 2020	% change
Co. A - Central	60	45	-25%
Co. B - Southern	49	60	22%
Co. C - Bayview	64	48	-25%
Co. D - Mission	107	127	19%
Co. E - Northern	55	34	-38%
Co. F - Park	13	15	15%
Co. G - Richmond	27	7	-74%
Co. H - Ingleside	40	44	10%
Co. I - Taraval	12	35	192%
Co. J - Tenderloin	77	60	-22%
Airport	5	2	-60%
Outside SF	6	10	67%
Total	515	487	-5%




Number of Subjects on Whom Force Was Used by District Q1 – 2019 vs. 2020

Districts	Number of Subjects		% change
	Q1 2019	Q1 2020	
Co. A - Central	32	27	-16%
Co. B - Southern	27	29	7%
Co. C - Bayview	28	34	21%
Co. D - Mission	52	78	50%
Co. E - Northern	33	22	-33%
Co. F - Park	7	8	14%
Co. G - Richmond	23	5	-78%
Co. H - Ingleside	26	21	-19%
Co. I - Taraval	8	18	125%
Co. J - Tenderloin	47	34	-28%
Airport	3	2	-33%
Outside SF	4	4	0%
Total	290	280	-3%



Total Arrests by District Q1 – 2019 vs. 2020

District	Q1 2019	Q1 2020	% change
Co. A - Central	772	649	-16%
Co. B - Southern	615	543	-12%
Co. C - Bayview	459	347	-24%
Co. D - Mission	874	727	-17%
Co. E - Northern	448	444	-1%
Co. F - Park	258	144	-44%
Co. G - Richmond	196	116	-41%
Co. H - Ingleside	358	271	-24%
Co. I - Taraval	236	227	-4%
Co. J - Tenderloin	865	624	-28%
Outside SF	69	30	-57%
Total	5,150	4,122	-20%



Arrest statistics are extracted from the Person Schema of Crime Data Warehouse via Business Intelligence tools. Search criteria includes results in which Person Type = “Booked” or “Cited.”

**Central District
(Company A)
Uses of Force
January – March 2020**

Use of Force	Total
Firearm	0
Pointing of Firearms	12
Physical Control	20
Strike by Object/Fist	9
Impact Weapon	2
OC (Pepper Spray)	2
ERIW	0
Spike Strips	0
Handcuffing	0
K-9	0
Total	45


Time of Day/Day of Week									
Central	Sun	Mon	Tue	Wed	Thu	Fri	Sat	Total	
0000-0359	8	0	0	1	0	0	0	9	20%
0400-0759	4	0	3	0	0	0	0	7	16%
0800-1159	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	2%
1200-1559	1	0	0	0	1	4	2	8	18%
1600-1959	6	5	0	2	1	0	0	14	31%
2000-2359	4	2	0	0	0	0	0	6	13%
Total	23	8	3	3	2	4	2	45	100%
Percentage	51%	18%	7%	7%	4%	9%	4%	100%	

**Central District
(Company A)
Uses of Force by Call Type
January – March 2020**

Type of Call	Firearm	Pointing of Firearms	Physical Control	Strike by Object/Fist	Impact Weapon	OC (Pepper Spray)	ERIW	Handcuffing	K-9	Total	% of Calls
Part I Violent	0	0	8	5	1	0	0	0	0	14	31%
Part I Property	0	8	3	1	1	0	0	0	0	13	29%
Person with a gun (221)	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	7%
Person with a knife (219)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0%
Suspicious Person (311/811/601/603/646/916/917)	0	0	8	2	0	1	0	0	0	11	24%
Narcotics Arrest	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0%
Search Warrant/Warrant Arrest	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	2	4%
Aided Case (520)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0%
Alarm/Check on well-being (100/910)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0%
Mental Health Related (5150/800/801)	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	2%
Restraining Order Violation	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0%
Terrorist Threats (650)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0%
Traffic-Related	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0%
Vandalism (594/595)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0%
Homeless Related Call (915/919)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0%
Disturbance Calls (415/417)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0%
Prostitution (647B)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0%
Passing Call (903)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0%
Purse Snatch (213)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0%
Weapon, Carrying	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	2%
Panic Alarm (100P)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0%
Prisoner Transportation (407)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0%
Total	0	12	20	9	2	2	0	0	0	45	100%

**Central District
(Company A)
Arrests by Race/Ethnicity and Gender
January – March 2020**


Race and Gender	Q1 2020 Total	% of Total
Asian Female	13	2%
Asian Male	44	7%
Asian Unknown	0	0%
Black Female	52	8%
Black Male	202	31%
Black Unknown	0	0%
Hispanic Female	16	2%
Hispanic Male	88	14%
Hispanic Unknown	0	0%
White Female	50	8%
White Male	163	25%
White Unknown	1	0%
Unknown Female	3	0%
Unknown Male	17	3%
Unknown Race & Gender	0	0%
Total	649	100%



Arrest statistics are extracted from the Person Schema of Crime Data Warehouse via Business Intelligence tools. Search criteria includes results in which Person Type = “Booked” or “Cited.” Unknown indicates ethnicities outside DOJ definitions, Native American, and incident reports where data wasn’t provided.

**Central District
(Company A)
Arrests by Age
January – March 2020**

Age	Q1 2020 Arrests	% of Total
Under 18	46	7%
18-29	212	33%
30-39	193	30%
40-49	115	18%
50-59	66	10%
60+	17	3%
Unknown Age	0	0%
Total	649	100%







Arrest statistics are extracted from the Person Schema of Crime Data Warehouse via Business Intelligence tools. Search criteria includes results in which Person Type = “Booked” or “Cited.”

Central District

Shootings, Firearm Seizures, Homicides, and Part 1 Violent Crimes

January 1, 2020 – March 31, 2020



**Southern District
(Company B)
Uses of Force
January – March 2020**

Use of Force	Total
Firearm	0
Pointing of Firearms	34
Physical Control	15
Strike by Object/Fist	6
Impact Weapon	1
OC (Pepper Spray)	0
ERIW	3
Spike Strips	0
Handcuffing	1
K-9	0
Total	60


Time of Day/Day of Week									
Southern	Sun	Mon	Tue	Wed	Thu	Fri	Sat	Total	
0000-0359	0	5	0	6	0	0	0	11	18%
0400-0759	1	1	2	3	0	0	5	12	20%
0800-1159	0	0	0	0	1	0	16	17	28%
1200-1559	1	0	2	0	6	2	0	11	18%
1600-1959	4	0	0	2	1	0	0	7	12%
2000-2359	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	2	3%
Total	6	7	4	12	8	2	21	60	100%
Percentage	10%	12%	7%	20%	13%	3%	35%	100%	

**Southern District
(Company B)
Uses of Force by Call Type
January – March 2020**

Type of Call	Firearm	Pointing of Firearms	Physical Control	Strike by Object/Fist	Impact Weapon	OC (Pepper Spray)	ERIW	Handcuffing	K-9	Total	% of Calls
Part I Violent	0	18	5	1	0	0	0	0	0	24	40%
Part I Property	0	10	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	11	18%
Person with a gun (221)	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	2%
Person with a knife (219)	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	2%
Suspicious Person (311/811/601/603/646/916/917)	0	0	6	3	1	0	1	0	0	11	18%
Narcotics Arrest	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0%
Search Warrant/Warrant Arrest	0	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	6	10%
Aided Case (520)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0%
Alarm/Check on well-being (100/910)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0%
Mental Health Related (5150/800/801)	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	3%
Restraining Order Violation	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0%
Terrorist Threats (650)	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	2%
Traffic-Related	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	2	3%
Vandalism (594/595)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	2%
Homeless Related Call (915/919)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0%
Disturbance Calls (415/417)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0%
Prostitution (647B)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0%
Passing Call (903)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0%
Purse Snatch (213)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0%
Weapon, Carrying	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0%
Panic Alarm (100P)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0%
Prisoner Transportation (407)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0%
Total	0	34	15	6	1	0	3	1	0	60	100%

**Southern District
(Company B)
Arrests by Race/Ethnicity and Gender
January – March 2020**


Race and Gender	Q1 2020 Arrests	% of Total
Asian Female	5	1%
Asian Male	25	5%
Asian Unknown	1	0%
Black Female	31	6%
Black Male	179	33%
Black Unknown	0	0%
Hispanic Female	19	3%
Hispanic Male	115	21%
Hispanic Unknown	0	0%
White Female	25	5%
White Male	124	23%
White Unknown	0	0%
Unknown Female	3	1%
Unknown Male	15	3%
Unknown Race & Gender	1	0%
Total	543	100%



Arrest statistics are extracted from the Person Schema of Crime Data Warehouse via Business Intelligence tools. Search criteria includes results in which Person Type = “Booked” or “Cited.” Unknown indicates ethnicities outside DOJ definitions, Native American, and incident reports where data wasn’t provided.

**Southern District
(Company B)
Arrests by Age
January – March 2020**

Age	Q1 2020 Arrests	% of Total
Under 18	13	2%
18-29	162	30%
30-39	186	34%
40-49	101	19%
50-59	59	11%
60+	22	4%
Unknown Age	0	0%
Total	543	100%







Arrest statistics are extracted from the Person Schema of Crime Data Warehouse via Business Intelligence tools. Search criteria includes results in which Person Type = “Booked” or “Cited.”

Southern District

Shootings, Firearm Seizures, Homicides, and Part 1 Violent Crimes

January 1 – March 31, 2020



**Bayview District
(Company C)
Uses of Force
January – March 2020**

Use of Force	Total
Firearm	0
Pointing of Firearms	36
Physical Control	9
Strike by Object/Fist	1
Impact Weapon	1
OC (Pepper Spray)	0
ERIW	0
Spike Strips	0
Handcuffing	0
K-9	1
Total	48


Time of Day/Day of Week									
Bayview	Sun	Mon	Tue	Wed	Thu	Fri	Sat	Total	
0000-0359	0	0	0	1	8	0	0	9	19%
0400-0759	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	2	4%
0800-1159	1	0	0	0	1	2	3	7	15%
1200-1559	1	0	0	1	0	2	2	6	13%
1600-1959	1	8	2	2	1	5	0	19	40%
2000-2359	0	1	0	4	0	0	0	5	10%
Total	3	9	2	9	11	9	5	48	100%
Percentage	6%	19%	4%	19%	23%	19%	10%	100%	

**Bayview District
(Company C)
Uses of Force by Call Type
January – March 2020**

Type of Call	Firearm	Pointing of Firearms	Physical Control	Strike by Object/Fist	Impact Weapon	OC (Pepper Spray)	ERIW	Handcuffing	K-9	Total	% of Calls
Part I Violent	0	9	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	13	27%
Part I Property	0	11	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	12	25%
Person with a gun (221)	0	8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	8	17%
Person with a knife (219)	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	4%
Suspicious Person (311/811/601/603/646/916/917)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0%
Narcotics Arrest	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	2%
Search Warrant/Warrant Arrest	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	4%
Aided Case (520)	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	2%
Alarm/Check on well-being (100/910)	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	2	4%
Mental Health Related (5150/800/801)	0	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	6%
Restraining Order Violation	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0%
Terrorist Threats (650)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0%
Traffic-Related	0	3	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	8%
Vandalism (594/595)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0%
Homeless Related Call (915/919)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0%
Disturbance Calls (415/417)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0%
Prostitution (647B)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0%
Passing Call (903)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0%
Purse Snatch (213)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0%
Weapon, Carrying	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0%
Panic Alarm (100P)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0%
Prisoner Transportation (407)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0%
Total	0	36	9	1	1	0	0	0	1	48	100%

**Bayview District
(Company C)
Arrests by Race/Ethnicity and Gender
January – March 2020**


Race and Gender	Q1 2020 Arrests	% of Total
Asian Female	6	2%
Asian Male	17	5%
Asian Unknown	0	0%
Black Female	46	13%
Black Male	156	45%
Black Unknown	0	0%
Hispanic Female	12	3%
Hispanic Male	75	22%
Hispanic Unknown	0	0%
White Female	4	1%
White Male	21	6%
White Unknown	1	0%
Unknown Female	0	0%
Unknown Male	8	2%
Unknown Race & Gender	1	0%
Total	347	100%



Arrest statistics are extracted from the Person Schema of Crime Data Warehouse via Business Intelligence tools. Search criteria includes results in which Person Type = “Booked” or “Cited.” Unknown indicates ethnicities outside DOJ definitions, Native American, and incident reports where data wasn’t provided.

**Bayview District
(Company C)
Arrests by Age
January – March 2020**

Age	Q1 2020 Arrests	% of Total
Under 18	20	6%
18-29	125	36%
30-39	80	23%
40-49	72	21%
50-59	35	10%
60+	15	4%
Unknown Age	0	0%
Total	347	100%







Arrest statistics are extracted from the Person Schema of Crime Data Warehouse via Business Intelligence tools. Search criteria includes results in which Person Type = “Booked” or “Cited.”

Bayview District

Shootings, Firearm Seizures, Homicides, and

Part 1 Violent Crimes

January 1 – March 31, 2020



**Mission District
(Company D)
Uses of Force
January – March 2020**

Use of Force	Total
Firearm	0
Pointing of Firearms	56
Physical Control	46
Strike by Object/Fist	6
Impact Weapon	4
OC (Pepper Spray)	3
ERIW	10
Spike Strips	0
Handcuffing	2
K-9	0
Total	127


Time of Day/Day of Week									
Mission	Sun	Mon	Tue	Wed	Thu	Fri	Sat	Total	
0000-0359	4	0	8	1	0	6	2	21	17%
0400-0759	4	3	0	3	0	1	0	11	9%
0800-1159	2	1	1	0	2	3	4	13	10%
1200-1559	11	2	2	4	0	1	0	20	16%
1600-1959	3	8	1	3	5	0	1	21	17%
2000-2359	6	9	4	8	9	3	2	41	32%
Total	30	23	16	19	16	14	9	127	100%
Percentage	24%	18%	13%	15%	13%	11%	7%	100%	

**Mission District
(Company D)
Uses of Force by Call Type
January – March 2020**

Type of Call	Firearm	Pointing of Firearms	Physical Control	Strike by Object/Fist	Impact Weapon	OC (Pepper Spray)	ERIW	Handcuffing	K-9	Total	% of Calls
Part I Violent	0	8	17	3	1	1	8	0	0	38	30%
Part I Property	0	19	3	1	0	0	0	0	0	23	18%
Person with a gun (221)	0	8	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	10	8%
Person with a knife (219)	0	3	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	4	3%
Suspicious Person (311/811/601/603/646/916/917)	0	9	12	0	0	0	1	2	0	24	19%
Narcotics Arrest	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1%
Search Warrant/Warrant Arrest	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	2%
Aided Case (520)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0%
Alarm/Check on well-being (100/910)	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	2%
Mental Health Related (5150/800/801)	0	1	3	2	2	2	0	0	0	10	8%
Restraining Order Violation	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1%
Terrorist Threats (650)	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	2%
Traffic-Related	0	6	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	7	6%
Vandalism (594/595)	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1%
Homeless Related Call (915/919)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0%
Disturbance Calls (415/417)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0%
Prostitution (647B)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0%
Passing Call (903)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0%
Purse Snatch (213)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0%
Weapon, Carrying	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0%
Panic Alarm (100P)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0%
Prisoner Transportation (407)	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	2%
Total	0	56	46	6	4	3	10	2	0	127	100%

**Mission District
(Company D)
Arrests by Race/Ethnicity and Gender
January – March 2020**


Race and Gender	Q1 2020 Arrests	% of Total
Asian Female	10	1%
Asian Male	14	2%
Asian Unknown	0	0%
Black Female	80	11%
Black Male	182	25%
Black Unknown	0	0%
Hispanic Female	30	4%
Hispanic Male	205	28%
Hispanic Unknown	1	0%
White Female	43	6%
White Male	147	20%
White Unknown	0	0%
Unknown Female	2	0%
Unknown Male	11	2%
Unknown Race & Gender	2	0%
Total	727	100%



Arrest statistics are extracted from the Person Schema of Crime Data Warehouse via Business Intelligence tools. Search criteria includes results in which Person Type = “Booked” or “Cited.” Unknown indicates ethnicities outside DOJ definitions, Native American, and incident reports where data wasn’t provided.

**Mission District
(Company D)
Arrests by Age
January – March 2020**

Age	Q1 2020 Arrests	% of Total
Under 18	22	3%
18-29	251	35%
30-39	200	28%
40-49	146	20%
50-59	78	11%
60+	30	4%
Unknown Age	0	0%
Total	727	100%







Arrest statistics are extracted from the Person Schema of Crime Data Warehouse via Business Intelligence tools. Search criteria includes results in which Person Type = “Booked” or “Cited.”

Mission District

Shootings, Firearm Seizures, Homicides, and Part 1 Violent Crimes

January 1 – March 31, 2020



**Northern District
(Company E)
Uses of Force
January – March 2020**

Use of Force	Total
Firearm	0
Pointing of Firearms	20
Physical Control	10
Strike by Object/Fist	4
Impact Weapon	0
OC (Pepper Spray)	0
ERIW	0
Spike Strips	0
Handcuffing	0
K-9	0
Total	34


Time of Day/Day of Week									
Northern	Sun	Mon	Tue	Wed	Thu	Fri	Sat	Total	
0000-0359	1	0	0	0	2	0	3	6	18%
0400-0759	3	0	0	3	0	0	4	10	29%
0800-1159	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	3%
1200-1559	0	1	2	1	0	1	1	6	18%
1600-1959	0	2	0	2	0	0	3	7	21%
2000-2359	0	0	0	3	0	1	0	4	12%
Total	5	3	2	9	2	2	11	34	100%
Percentage	15%	9%	6%	26%	6%	6%	32%	100%	

**Northern District
(Company E)
Uses of Force by Call Type
January – March 2020**

Type of Call	Firearm	Pointing of Firearms	Physical Control	Strike by Object/Fist	Impact Weapon	OC (Pepper Spray)	ERIW	Handcuffing	K-9	Total	% of Calls
Part I Violent	0	1	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	6	18%
Part I Property	0	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	21%
Person with a gun (221)	0	8	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	12	35%
Person with a knife (219)	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	3%
Suspicious Person (311/811/601/603/646/916/917)	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	6%
Narcotics Arrest	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	3%
Search Warrant/Warrant Arrest	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	3%
Aided Case (520)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0%
Alarm/Check on well-being (100/910)	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	3%
Mental Health Related (5150/800/801)	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	3%
Restraining Order Violation	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0%
Terrorist Threats (650)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0%
Traffic-Related	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	6%
Vandalism (594/595)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0%
Homeless Related Call (915/919)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0%
Disturbance Calls (415/417)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0%
Prostitution (647B)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0%
Passing Call (903)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0%
Purse Snatch (213)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0%
Weapon, Carrying	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0%
Panic Alarm (100P)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0%
Prisoner Transportation (407)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0%
Total	0	20	10	4	0	0	0	0	0	34	100%

**Northern District
(Company E)
Arrests by Race/Ethnicity and Gender
January – March 2020**


Race and Gender	Q1 2020 Arrests	% of Total
Asian Female	3	1%
Asian Male	27	6%
Asian Unknown	0	0%
Black Female	32	7%
Black Male	137	31%
Black Unknown	1	0%
Hispanic Female	19	4%
Hispanic Male	71	16%
Hispanic Unknown	1	0%
White Female	20	5%
White Male	114	26%
White Unknown	1	0%
Unknown Female	4	1%
Unknown Male	12	3%
Unknown Race & Gender	2	0%
Total	444	100%



Arrest statistics are extracted from the Person Schema of Crime Data Warehouse via Business Intelligence tools. Search criteria includes results in which Person Type = “Booked” or “Cited.” Unknown indicates ethnicities outside DOJ definitions, Native American, and incident reports where data wasn’t provided.

**Northern District
(Company E)
Arrests by Age
January – March 2020**

Age	Q1 2020 Arrests	% of Total
Under 18	16	4%
18-29	141	32%
30-39	141	32%
40-49	68	15%
50-59	55	12%
60+	23	5%
Unknown Age	0	0%
Total	444	100%







Arrest statistics are extracted from the Person Schema of Crime Data Warehouse via Business Intelligence tools. Search criteria includes results in which Person Type = “Booked” or “Cited.”

Northern District

Shootings, Firearm Seizures, Homicides, and

Part 1 Violent Crimes

January 1 – March 31, 2020



**Park District
(Company F)
Uses of Force
January – March 2020**

Use of Force	Total
Firearm	0
Pointing of Firearms	8
Physical Control	3
Strike by Object/Fist	2
Impact Weapon	0
OC (Pepper Spray)	0
ERIW	2
Spike Strips	0
Handcuffing	0
K-9	0
Total	15


Time of Day/Day of Week									
Park	Sun	Mon	Tue	Wed	Thu	Fri	Sat	Total	
0000-0359	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0%
0400-0759	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	7%
0800-1159	2	0	0	0	0	2	1	5	33%
1200-1559	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	7%
1600-1959	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0%
2000-2359	2	6	0	0	0	0	0	8	53%
Total	4	6	1	0	1	2	1	15	100%
Percentage	27%	40%	7%	0%	7%	13%	7%	100%	

**Park District
(Company F)
Uses of Force by Call Type
January – March 2020**

Type of Call	Firearm	Pointing of Firearms	Physical Control	Strike by Object/Fist	Impact Weapon	OC (Pepper Spray)	ERIW	Handcuffing	K-9	Total	% of Calls
Part I Violent	0	4	0	2	0	0	2	0	0	8	53%
Part I Property	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	7%
Person with a gun (221)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0%
Person with a knife (219)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0%
Suspicious Person (311/811/601/603/646/916/917)	0	1	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	27%
Narcotics Arrest	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0%
Search Warrant/Warrant Arrest	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0%
Aided Case (520)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0%
Alarm/Check on well-being (100/910)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0%
Mental Health Related (5150/800/801)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0%
Restraining Order Violation	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0%
Terrorist Threats (650)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0%
Traffic-Related	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0%
Vandalism (594/595)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0%
Homeless Related Call (915/919)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0%
Disturbance Calls (415/417)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0%
Prostitution (647B)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0%
Passing Call (903)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0%
Purse Snatch (213)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0%
Weapon, Carrying	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	13%
Panic Alarm (100P)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0%
Prisoner Transportation (407)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0%
Total	0	8	3	2	0	0	2	0	0	15	100%

**Park District
(Company F)
Arrests by Race/Ethnicity and Gender
January – March 2020**


Race and Gender	Q1 2020 Arrests	% of Total
Asian Female	0	0%
Asian Male	5	3%
Asian Unknown	0	0%
Black Female	6	4%
Black Male	27	19%
Black Unknown	0	0%
Hispanic Female	2	1%
Hispanic Male	24	17%
Hispanic Unknown	0	0%
White Female	12	8%
White Male	62	43%
White Unknown	2	1%
Unknown Female	0	0%
Unknown Male	2	1%
Unknown Race & Gender	2	1%
Total	144	100%



Arrest statistics are extracted from the Person Schema of Crime Data Warehouse via Business Intelligence tools. Search criteria includes results in which Person Type = “Booked” or “Cited.” Unknown indicates ethnicities outside DOJ definitions, Native American, and incident reports where data wasn’t provided.





**Park District
(Company F)
Arrests by Age
January – March 2020**

Age	Q1 2020 Arrests	% of Total
Under 18	4	3%
18-29	38	26%
30-39	45	31%
40-49	25	17%
50-59	19	13%
60+	13	9%
Unknown Age	0	0%
Total	144	100%



Arrest statistics are extracted from the Person Schema of Crime Data Warehouse via Business Intelligence tools. Search criteria includes results in which Person Type = “Booked” or “Cited.”

Park District Shootings, Firearm Seizures, Homicides, and Part 1 Violent Crimes January 1 – March 31, 2020



**Richmond District
(Company G)
Uses of Force
January – March 2020**

Use of Force	Total
Firearm	0
Pointing of Firearms	3
Physical Control	2
Strike by Object/Fist	0
Impact Weapon	1
OC (Pepper Spray)	1
ERIW	0
Spike Strips	0
Handcuffing	0
K-9	0
Total	7


Time of Day/Day of Week									
Richmond	Sun	Mon	Tue	Wed	Thu	Fri	Sat	Total	
0000-0359	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0%
0400-0759	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0%
0800-1159	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0%
1200-1559	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	14%
1600-1959	0	0	3	0	0	0	1	4	57%
2000-2359	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	2	29%
Total	0	1	4	1	0	0	1	7	100%
Percentage	0%	14%	57%	14%	0%	0%	14%	100%	

**Richmond District
(Company G)
Uses of Force by Call Type
January – March 2020**

Type of Call	Firearm	Pointing of Firearms	Physical Control	Strike by Object/Fist	Impact Weapon	OC (Pepper Spray)	ERIW	Handcuffing	K-9	Total	% of Calls
Part I Violent	0	0	1	0	1	1	0	0	0	3	43%
Part I Property	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	43%
Person with a gun (221)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0%
Person with a knife (219)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0%
Suspicious Person (311/811/601/603/646/916/917)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0%
Narcotics Arrest	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0%
Search Warrant/Warrant Arrest	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0%
Aided Case (520)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0%
Alarm/Check on well-being (100/910)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0%
Mental Health Related (5150/800/801)	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	14%
Restraining Order Violation	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0%
Terrorist Threats (650)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0%
Traffic-Related	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0%
Vandalism (594/595)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0%
Homeless Related Call (915/919)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0%
Disturbance Calls (415/417)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0%
Prostitution (647B)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0%
Passing Call (903)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0%
Purse Snatch (213)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0%
Weapon, Carrying	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0%
Panic Alarm (100P)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0%
Prisoner Transportation (407)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0%
Total	0	3	2	0	1	1	0	0	0	7	100%

**Richmond District
(Company G)
Arrests by Race/Ethnicity and Gender
January – March 2020**


Race and Gender	Q1 2020 Arrests	% of Total
Asian Female	2	2%
Asian Male	8	7%
Asian Unknown	0	0%
Black Female	7	6%
Black Male	24	21%
Black Unknown	0	0%
Hispanic Female	2	2%
Hispanic Male	17	15%
Hispanic Unknown	0	0%
White Female	11	9%
White Male	37	32%
White Unknown	0	0%
Unknown Female	0	0%
Unknown Male	8	7%
Unknown Race & Gender	0	0%
Total	116	100%



Arrest statistics are extracted from the Person Schema of Crime Data Warehouse via Business Intelligence tools. Search criteria includes results in which Person Type = “Booked” or “Cited.” Unknown indicates ethnicities outside DOJ definitions, Native American, and incident reports where data wasn’t provided.

**Richmond District
(Company G)
Arrests by Age
January – March 2020**

Age	Q1 2020 Arrests	% of Total
Under 18	5	4%
18-29	27	23%
30-39	29	25%
40-49	24	21%
50-59	26	22%
60+	5	4%
Unknown Age	0	0%
Total	116	100%







Arrest statistics are extracted from the Person Schema of Crime Data Warehouse via Business Intelligence tools. Search criteria includes results in which Person Type = “Booked” or “Cited.”

Richmond District

Shootings, Firearm Seizures, Homicides, and

Part 1 Violent Crimes

January 1 – March 31, 2020



**Ingleside District
(Company H)
Uses of Force
January – March 2020**

Use of Force	Total
Firearm	0
Pointing of Firearms	34
Physical Control	4
Strike by Object/Fist	4
Impact Weapon	0
OC (Pepper Spray)	1
ERIW	1
Spike Strips	0
Handcuffing	0
K-9	0
Total	44


Time of Day/Day of Week									
Ingleside	Sun	Mon	Tue	Wed	Thu	Fri	Sat	Total	
0000-0359	0	0	12	0	0	0	2	14	32%
0400-0759	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	3	7%
0800-1159	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0%
1200-1559	3	0	0	5	1	0	0	9	20%
1600-1959	2	0	2	0	0	0	1	5	11%
2000-2359	10	0	0	2	0	0	1	13	30%
Total	15	0	17	7	1	0	4	44	100%
Percentage	34%	0%	39%	16%	2%	0%	9%	100%	

**Ingleside District
(Company H)
Uses of Force by Call Type
January – March 2020**

Type of Call	Firearm	Pointing of Firearms	Physical Control	Strike by Object/Fist	Impact Weapon	OC (Pepper Spray)	ERIW	Handcuffing	K-9	Total	% of Calls
Part I Violent	0	6	1	1	0	1	1	0	0	10	23%
Part I Property	0	18	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	18	41%
Person with a gun (221)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0%
Person with a knife (219)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0%
Suspicious Person (311/811/601/603/646/916/917)	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	2	5%
Narcotics Arrest	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0%
Search Warrant/Warrant Arrest	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0%
Aided Case (520)	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	2%
Alarm/Check on well-being (100/910)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0%
Mental Health Related (5150/800/801)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0%
Restraining Order Violation	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0%
Terrorist Threats (650)	0	9	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	10	23%
Traffic-Related	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0%
Vandalism (594/595)	0	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	3	7%
Homeless Related Call (915/919)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0%
Disturbance Calls (415/417)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0%
Prostitution (647B)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0%
Passing Call (903)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0%
Purse Snatch (213)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0%
Weapon, Carrying	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0%
Panic Alarm (100P)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0%
Prisoner Transportation (407)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0%
Total	0	34	4	4	0	1	1	0	0	44	100%

**Ingleside District
(Company H)
Arrests by Race/Ethnicity and Gender
January – March 2020**


Race and Gender	Q1 2020 Arrests	% of Total
Asian Female	10	4%
Asian Male	19	7%
Asian Unknown	0	0%
Black Female	14	5%
Black Male	54	20%
Black Unknown	0	0%
Hispanic Female	15	6%
Hispanic Male	97	36%
Hispanic Unknown	0	0%
White Female	10	4%
White Male	45	17%
White Unknown	0	0%
Unknown Female	1	0%
Unknown Male	6	2%
Unknown Race & Gender	0	0%
Total	271	100%



Arrest statistics are extracted from the Person Schema of Crime Data Warehouse via Business Intelligence tools. Search criteria includes results in which Person Type = “Booked” or “Cited.” Unknown indicates ethnicities outside DOJ definitions, Native American, and incident reports where data wasn’t provided.

**Ingleside District
(Company H)
Arrests by Age
January – March 2020**

Age	Q1 2020 Arrests	% of Total
Under 18	21	8%
18-29	108	40%
30-39	64	24%
40-49	34	13%
50-59	28	10%
60+	16	6%
Unknown Age	0	0%
Total	271	100%







Arrest statistics are extracted from the Person Schema of Crime Data Warehouse via Business Intelligence tools. Search criteria includes results in which Person Type = “Booked” or “Cited.”

Ingleside District

Shootings, Firearm Seizures, Homicides, and

Part 1 Violent Crimes

January 1 – March 31, 2020



**Taraval District
(Company I)
Uses of Force
January – March 2020**

Use of Force	Total
Firearm	0
Pointing of Firearms	22
Physical Control	11
Strike by Object/Fist	1
Impact Weapon	0
OC (Pepper Spray)	0
ERIW	0
Spike Strips	0
Handcuffing	1
K-9	0
Total	35


Time of Day/Day of Week									
Taraval	Sun	Mon	Tue	Wed	Thu	Fri	Sat	Total	
0000-0359	0	3	0	0	0	1	0	4	11%
0400-0759	0	0	4	1	3	0	0	8	23%
0800-1159	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	3%
1200-1559	0	0	4	0	3	0	0	7	20%
1600-1959	1	0	0	0	0	0	4	5	14%
2000-2359	1	0	6	1	0	0	2	10	29%
Total	2	3	14	2	7	1	6	35	100%
Percentage	6%	9%	40%	6%	20%	3%	17%	100%	

**Taraval District
(Company I)
Uses of Force by Call Type
January – March 2020**

Type of Call	Firearm	Pointing of Firearms	Physical Control	Strike by Object/Fist	Impact Weapon	OC (Pepper Spray)	ERIW	Handcuffing	K-9	Total	% of Calls
Part I Violent	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	6%
Part I Property	0	19	3	0	0	0	0	1	0	23	66%
Person with a gun (221)	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	3%
Person with a knife (219)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0%
Suspicious Person (311/811/601/603/646/916/917)	0	0	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	3	9%
Narcotics Arrest	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0%
Search Warrant/Warrant Arrest	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	6%
Aided Case (520)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0%
Alarm/Check on well-being (100/910)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0%
Mental Health Related (5150/800/801)	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	11%
Restraining Order Violation	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0%
Terrorist Threats (650)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0%
Traffic-Related	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0%
Vandalism (594/595)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0%
Homeless Related Call (915/919)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0%
Disturbance Calls (415/417)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0%
Prostitution (647B)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0%
Passing Call (903)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0%
Purse Snatch (213)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0%
Weapon, Carrying	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0%
Panic Alarm (100P)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0%
Prisoner Transportation (407)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0%
Total	0	22	11	1	0	0	0	1	0	35	100%

**Taraval District
(Company I)
Arrests by Race/Ethnicity and Gender
January – March 2020**


Race and Gender	Q1 2020 Arrests	% of Total
Asian Female	7	3%
Asian Male	27	12%
Asian Unknown	0	0%
Black Female	24	11%
Black Male	47	21%
Black Unknown	0	0%
Hispanic Female	4	2%
Hispanic Male	25	11%
Hispanic Unknown	0	0%
White Female	20	9%
White Male	68	30%
White Unknown	0	0%
Unknown Female	1	0%
Unknown Male	3	1%
Unknown Race & Gender	1	0%
Total	227	100%



Arrest statistics are extracted from the Person Schema of Crime Data Warehouse via Business Intelligence tools. Search criteria includes results in which Person Type = “Booked” or “Cited.” Unknown indicates ethnicities outside DOJ definitions, Native American, and incident reports where data wasn’t provided.

**Taraval District
(Company I)
Arrests by Age
January – March 2020**

Age	Q1 2020 Arrests	% of Total
Under 18	10	4%
18-29	68	30%
30-39	58	26%
40-49	42	19%
50-59	29	13%
60+	20	9%
Unknown Age	0	0%
Total	227	100%







Arrest statistics are extracted from the Person Schema of Crime Data Warehouse via Business Intelligence tools. Search criteria includes results in which Person Type = “Booked” or “Cited.”

Taraval District

Shootings, Firearm Seizures, Homicides, and

Part 1 Violent Crimes

January 1 – March 31, 2020



**Tenderloin District
(Company J)
Uses of Force
January – March 2020**

Use of Force	Total
Firearm	0
Pointing of Firearms	30
Physical Control	17
Strike by Object/Fist	9
Impact Weapon	0
OC (Pepper Spray)	3
ERiW	1
Spike Strips	0
Handcuffing	0
K-9	0
Total	60


Time of Day/Day of Week									
Tenderloin	Sun	Mon	Tue	Wed	Thu	Fri	Sat	Total	
0000-0359	0	0	0	0	2	2	2	6	10%
0400-0759	2	0	0	1	0	1	6	10	17%
0800-1159	3	0	0	4	0	0	2	9	15%
1200-1559	1	1	0	2	0	3	2	9	15%
1600-1959	2	3	1	0	7	3	0	16	27%
2000-2359	0	1	0	0	0	6	3	10	17%
Total	8	5	1	7	9	15	15	60	100%
Percentage	13%	8%	2%	12%	15%	25%	25%	100%	

**Tenderloin District
(Company J)
Uses of Force by Call Type
January – March 2020**

Type of Call	Firearm	Pointing of Firearms	Physical Control	Strike by Object/Fist	Impact Weapon	OC (Pepper Spray)	ERIW	Handcuffing	K-9	Total	% of Calls
Part I Violent	0	8	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	11	18%
Part I Property	0	15	1	2	0	1	0	0	0	19	32%
Person with a gun (221)	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	2%
Person with a knife (219)	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	3%
Suspicious Person (311/811/601/603/646/916/917)	0	1	8	3	0	0	0	0	0	12	20%
Narcotics Arrest	0	0	3	1	0	0	0	0	0	4	7%
Search Warrant/Warrant Arrest	0	1	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	3	5%
Aided Case (520)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0%
Alarm/Check on well-being (100/910)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0%
Mental Health Related (5150/800/801)	0	2	1	0	0	2	1	0	0	6	10%
Restraining Order Violation	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0%
Terrorist Threats (650)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0%
Traffic-Related	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	2	3%
Vandalism (594/595)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0%
Homeless Related Call (915/919)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0%
Disturbance Calls (415/417)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0%
Prostitution (647B)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0%
Passing Call (903)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0%
Purse Snatch (213)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0%
Weapon, Carrying	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0%
Panic Alarm (100P)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0%
Prisoner Transportation (407)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0%
Total	0	30	17	9	0	3	1	0	0	60	100%

**Tenderloin District
(Company J)
Arrests by Race/Ethnicity and Gender
January – March 2020**


Race and Gender	Q1 2020 Arrests	% of Total
Asian Female	6	1%
Asian Male	18	3%
Asian Unknown	0	0%
Black Female	47	8%
Black Male	201	32%
Black Unknown	0	0%
Hispanic Female	21	3%
Hispanic Male	157	25%
Hispanic Unknown	1	0%
White Female	25	4%
White Male	123	20%
White Unknown	0	0%
Unknown Female	6	1%
Unknown Male	18	3%
Unknown Race & Gender	1	0%
Total	624	100%



Arrest statistics are extracted from the Person Schema of Crime Data Warehouse via Business Intelligence tools. Search criteria includes results in which Person Type = “Booked” or “Cited.” Unknown indicates ethnicities outside DOJ definitions, Native American, and incident reports where data wasn’t provided.

**Tenderloin District
(Company J)
Arrests Age
January – March 2020**

Age	Q1 2020 Arrests	% of Total
Under 18	13	2%
18-29	241	39%
30-39	178	29%
40-49	107	17%
50-59	64	10%
60+	21	3%
Unknown Age	0	0%
Total	624	100%







Arrest statistics are extracted from the Person Schema of Crime Data Warehouse via Business Intelligence tools. Search criteria includes results in which Person Type = “Booked” or “Cited.”

Tenderloin District

Shootings, Firearm Seizures, Homicides, and Part 1 Violent Crimes

January 1 – March 31, 2020



**Airport
Uses of Force
January – March 2020**

Use of Force	Total
Firearm	0
Pointing of Firearms	1
Physical Control	0
Strike by Object/Fist	1
Impact Weapon	0
OC (Pepper Spray)	0
ERIW	0
Spike Strips	0
Handcuffing	0
K-9	0
Total	2


Time of Day/Day of Week									
Airport	Sun	Mon	Tue	Wed	Thu	Fri	Sat	Total	
0000-0359	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0%
0400-0759	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	50%
0800-1159	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0%
1200-1559	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0%
1600-1959	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	50%
2000-2359	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0%
Total	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	2	100%
Percentage	0%	50%	0%	0%	50%	0%	0%	100%	

Airport
Uses of Force by Call Type
January – March 2020

Type of Call	Firearm	Pointing of Firearms	Physical Control	Strike by Object/Fist	Impact Weapon	OC (Pepper Spray)	ERIW	Handcuffing	K-9	Total	% of Calls
Part I Violent	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	50%
Part I Property	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0%
Person with a gun (221)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0%
Person with a knife (219)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0%
Suspicious Person (311/811/601/603/646/916/917)	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	50%
Narcotics Arrest	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0%
Search Warrant/Warrant Arrest	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0%
Aided Case (520)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0%
Alarm/Check on well-being (100/910)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0%
Mental Health Related (5150/800/801)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0%
Restraining Order Violation	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0%
Terrorist Threats (650)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0%
Traffic-Related	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0%
Vandalism (594/595)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0%
Homeless Related Call (915/919)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0%
Disturbance Calls (415/417)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0%
Prostitution (647B)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0%
Passing Call (903)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0%
Purse Snatch (213)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0%
Weapon, Carrying	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0%
Panic Alarm (100P)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0%
Prisoner Transportation (407)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0%
Total	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	2	100%

**Airport
Arrests by Race/Ethnicity and Gender
January 2020 – March 2020**


Race and Gender	Q1 2020 Arrests	% of Total
Asian Female	9	8%
Asian Male	6	5%
Asian Unknown	0	0%
Black Female	5	4%
Black Male	26	23%
Black Unknown	0	0%
Hispanic Female	3	3%
Hispanic Male	10	9%
Hispanic Unknown	0	0%
White Female	7	6%
White Male	20	18%
White Unknown	0	0%
Unknown Female	10	9%
Unknown Male	17	15%
Total	113	100%



Airport arrest data obtained from the San Francisco Police Department Airport Bureau. Unknown indicates ethnicities outside DOJ definitions, Native American, and incident reports where data wasn't provided.

**Airport
Arrests by Age
January 2020 – March 2020**

Age	Q1 2020 Arrests	% Total
18-29	29	26%
30-39	36	32%
40-49	22	19%
50-59	13	12%
60+	13	12%
Total	113	100%



Airport arrest data is obtained from the San Francisco Police Department Airport Bureau.

**Outside of SF/Unknown
Uses of Force
January – March 2020**

Use of Force	Total
Firearm	0
Pointing of Firearms	3
Physical Control	3
Strike by Object/Fist	4
Impact Weapon	0
OC (Pepper Spray)	0
ERIW	0
Spike Strips	0
Handcuffing	0
K-9	0
Total	10

Time of Day/Day of Week									
Outside SF	Sun	Mon	Tue	Wed	Thu	Fri	Sat	Total	
0000-0359	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0%
0400-0759	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0%
0800-1159	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	10%
1200-1559	0	0	0	0	6	0	0	6	60%
1600-1959	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	10%
2000-2359	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	2	20%
Total	0	2	0	2	6	0	0	10	100%
Percentage	0%	20%	0%	20%	60%	0%	0%	100%	


Outside of SF incident locations include Fairfield, Oakland, and San Rafael.

**Outside of SF/Unknown
Uses of Force by Call Type
January – March 2020**

Type of Call	Firearm	Pointing of Firearms	Physical Control	Strike by Object/Fist	Impact Weapon	OC (Pepper Spray)	ERIW	Handcuffing	K-9	Total	% of Calls
Part I Violent	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0%
Part I Property	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0%
Person with a gun (221)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0%
Person with a knife (219)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0%
Suspicious Person (311/811/601/603/646/916/917)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0%
Narcotics Arrest	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0%
Search Warrant/Warrant Arrest	0	2	2	4	0	0	0	0	0	8	80%
Aided Case (520)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0%
Alarm/Check on well-being (100/910)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0%
Mental Health Related (5150/800/801)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0%
Restraining Order Violation	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0%
Terrorist Threats (650)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0%
Traffic-Related	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	20%
Vandalism (594/595)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0%
Homeless Related Call (915/919)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0%
Disturbance Calls (415/417)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0%
Prostitution (647B)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0%
Passing Call (903)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0%
Purse Snatch (213)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0%
Weapon, Carrying	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0%
Panic Alarm (100P)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0%
Prisoner Transportation (407)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0%
Total	0	3	3	4	0	0	0	0	0	10	100%

**Outside SF/Unknown
Arrests by Race/Ethnicity and Gender
January – March 2020**

Race and Gender	Q1 2020 Arrests	% of Total
Asian Female	0	0%
Asian Male	2	7%
Asian Unknown	0	0%
Black Female	1	3%
Black Male	11	37%
Black Unknown	0	0%
Hispanic Female	0	0%
Hispanic Male	6	20%
Hispanic Unknown	0	0%
White Female	3	10%
White Male	6	20%
White Unknown	0	0%
Unknown Female	0	0%
Unknown Male	1	3%
Unknown Race & Gender	0	0%
Total	30	100%




Arrest totals do not include arrests at Airport.

Arrest statistics are extracted from the Person Schema of Crime Data Warehouse via Business Intelligence tools. Search criteria includes results in which Person Type = “Booked” or “Cited.” Unknown indicates ethnicities outside DOJ definitions, Native American, and incident reports where data wasn’t provided.

**Outside SF/Unknown
Arrests by Age
January – March 2020**

Age	Q1 2020 Arrests	% of Total
Under 18	5	17%
18-29	12	40%
30-39	7	23%
40-49	4	13%
50-59	2	7%
60+	0	0%
Unknown Age	0	0%
Total	30	100%




Note: Arrests totals do not include arrests at Airport.

Note: Arrest statistics are extracted from the Person Schema of Crime Data Warehouse via Business Intelligence tools. Search criteria includes results in which Person Type = “Booked” or “Cited.”

**Outside SF/Unknown
Arrests by City
January – March 2020**

City	Q1 2020 Arrests
Daly City, CA	1
Fairfield, CA	1
Hayward, CA	2
Hercules, CA	5
Los Angeles, CA	1
Milpitas, CA	2
Morgan Hill, CA	1
Novato, CA	1
Oakland, CA	7
Oakley, CA	1
Pacifica, CA	1
Pittsburg, CA	1
Pleasanton, CA	1
Richmond, CA	1
SF County, outside of SFPD jurisdiction	2
South San Francisco, CA	1
Unknown	1
Grand Total	30





Safety with Respect

Prepared by San Francisco Police Department
Professional Standards and Principled Policing Unit
April 2020

Data Sources: San Francisco Police Department's Crime Data Warehouse, accessed via Business Intelligence Tools; San Francisco Police Department Early Intervention Systems Administrative Investigative Management Database, accessed via Business Intelligence Tools; San Francisco Police Department Airport Bureau, San Francisco Police Department Human Resources; San Francisco Police Department Internal Affairs; San Francisco Department of Emergency Management; San Francisco Department of Public Accountability; California Department of Justice Stop Data Collection System

Q1 2019 and Q1 2020 Use of Force data was queried on April 16, 2020
Q1 2020 Arrest Data was queried on April 3, 2020

From: [Reports, Controller \(CON\)](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [Mchugh, Eileen \(BOS\)](#); [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#); [Elsbernd, Sean \(MYR\)](#); [Bruss, Andrea \(MYR\)](#); [Kirkpatrick, Kelly \(MYR\)](#); [Cretan, Jeff \(MYR\)](#); [Kittler, Sophia \(MYR\)](#); [Anatolia Lubos; pkilkenny@sftc.org](#); [Rose, Harvey \(BUD\)](#); [Campbell, Severin \(BUD\)](#); [Docs, SF \(LIB\)](#); [CON-EVERYONE](#); [Ivar Satero \(AIR\)](#); [Corina Monzon \(AIR\)](#); [Leo Fermin \(AIR\)](#); [Wallace Tang \(AIR\)](#); [Kevin Kone \(AIR\)](#); [Diana Chow \(AIR\)](#); [Javad Hadizadeh \(AIR\)](#); [Cheryl Nashir \(AIR\)](#); [Sharon Perez \(AIR\)](#); [Scott P. Johnson](#); [Harrison Murk; amit@gillyvending.com](#); [mary.schmittnerwolff@wnco.com](#)
Subject: Issued: Audits of Gilly National, Inc., and Southwest Airlines Co.
Date: Tuesday, May 12, 2020 1:01:02 PM

The City and County of San Francisco's Airport Commission (Airport) coordinates with the Office of the Controller's City Services Auditor (CSA) to conduct periodic compliance audits of the Airport's tenants and airlines. CSA engaged Macias Gini & O'Connell LLP (MGO) to audit Airport tenants and airlines to determine whether they complied with the reporting, payment, and selected other provisions of their agreements with the Airport.

CSA presents the reports of MGO's audit of Gilly National, Inc., dba Gilly Vending, and Southwest Airlines Co.

1. *Gilly National, Inc., dba Gilly Vending, Reported Revenue and Paid Rent in Accordance With Its Lease for January 1, 2017, Through December 31, 2018*

Gilly Vending reported gross revenues of \$1,417,331 and paid \$275,684 in rent to the Airport for the audit period in accordance with the lease agreement. However, the certification of the tenant's 2017 annual report did not comply with the agreement's requirements. In April 2018 the Airport addressed this by sending its tenants a letter clarifying the requirements for annual reports and will enforce the revised requirements prospectively.

2. *Southwest Airlines Co. Paid Its Landing Fees in Accordance With Its Lease and Use Agreement for July 1, 2017, Through June 30, 2019*

Southwest Airlines Co. reported 31,605 revenue aircraft landings and paid \$22,253,229 in landing fees due to the Airport in accordance with its lease and use agreement. However, the Airport incorrectly charged the airline for an emergency landing, causing a \$671 overpayment of landing fees. The Airport resolved the overpayment by issuing a credit in this amount to the airline on April 15, 2020.

To view the reports, please visit our website at:

<http://openbook.sfgov.org/webreports/details3.aspx?id=2821>


<http://openbook.sfgov.org/webreports/details3.aspx?id=2820>

This is a send-only e-mail address. For questions about the reports, please contact Acting Director of Audits Mark de la Rosa at mark.p.delarosa@sfgov.org or 415-554-7574 or CSA at 415-554-7469.

Follow us on Twitter @SFController.

Gilly National, Inc., dba Gilly Vending, Reported Revenue and Paid Rent in Accordance With Its Lease for January 1, 2017, Through December 31, 2018

Airport Commission



May 12, 2020

City & County of San Francisco
Office of the Controller
City Services Auditor

About the Audits Division

The City Services Auditor (CSA) was created in the Office of the Controller through an amendment to the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco (City) that voters approved in November 2003. Within CSA, the Audits Division ensures the City's financial integrity and promotes efficient, effective, and accountable government by:

- Conducting performance audits of city departments, contractors, and functions to assess efficiency and effectiveness of service delivery and business processes.
- Investigating reports received through its whistleblower hotline of fraud, waste, and abuse of city resources.
- Providing actionable recommendations to city leaders to promote and enhance accountability and improve the overall performance and efficiency of city government.

Audit Team:

Winnie Woo, Senior Auditor

Audit Consultant:

Macias Gini & O'Connell LLP (MGO)

For more information please contact:

Mark de la Rosa
Acting Director of Audits
Office of the Controller
City and County of San Francisco
(415) 554-7574



<http://www.sfcontroller.org>



@sfcontroller



LinkedIn Office of the Controller

Audit Authority

CSA conducted this audit under the authority of the San Francisco Charter, Section 3.105 and Appendix F, which requires that CSA conduct periodic, comprehensive financial and performance audits of city departments, services and activities.

Statement of Auditing Standards

This performance audit was conducted in accordance with generally accepted government auditing standards. These standards require planning and performing the audit to obtain sufficient, appropriate evidence to provide a reasonable basis for the findings and conclusions based on the audit objectives. CSA believes that the evidence obtained provides a reasonable basis for the findings and conclusions based on the audit objectives.



OFFICE OF THE CONTROLLER

CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

Ben Rosenfield
Controller

Todd Rydstrom
Deputy Controller

May 12, 2020

San Francisco Airport Commission
San Francisco International Airport
P.O. Box 8097
San Francisco, CA 94128-8097

Mr. Ivar Satero, Airport Director
San Francisco International Airport
P.O. Box 8097
San Francisco, CA 94128-8097

Dear Commission President, Commissioners, and Mr. Satero:

The City and County of San Francisco's Airport Commission (Airport) coordinates with the Office of the Controller's City Services Auditor (CSA) to conduct periodic compliance audits of Airport tenants and airlines. CSA engaged Macias Gini & O'Connell LLP (MGO) to audit the Airport's tenants to determine whether they complied with the reporting, payment, and other selected provisions of their leases. The CSA Audits Division presents the attached report for the compliance audit of Gilly National, Inc., dba Gilly Vending (tenant), prepared by MGO.

Reporting Period: January 1, 2017, through December 31, 2018

Rent Paid: \$275,684

Results:

The tenant reported gross revenues of \$1,417,331 and paid \$275,684 in rent to the Airport for the audit period in accordance with the lease agreement. However, the certification of the tenant's 2017 annual report did not comply with the agreement's requirements. In April 2018 the Airport addressed this by sending its tenants a letter clarifying the requirements for annual reports and will enforce the revised requirements prospectively.

The responses of the Airport and the tenant are attached to this report.

CSA and MGO appreciate the assistance and cooperation of Airport and tenant staff involved in this audit. For questions about the report, please contact me at mark.p.delarosa@sfgov.org or 415-554-7574 or CSA at 415-554-7469.

Respectfully,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Mark de la Rosa", is positioned below the "Respectfully," text.

Mark de la Rosa
Acting Director of Audits

cc: Board of Supervisors
Budget Analyst
Citizens Audit Review Board
City Attorney

Civil Grand Jury
Mayor
Public Library

**CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO
OFFICE OF THE CONTROLLER**

**PERFORMANCE AUDIT REPORT
Gilly National, Inc dba Gilly Vending**

January 1, 2017 through December 31, 2018



Certified
Public
Accountants

Performance Audit Report

Acting Director of Audits
City and County of San Francisco, California

Macias Gini & O'Connell LLP (MGO) presents its report concerning the performance audit of Gilly National, Inc dba Gilly Vending (Tenant) for the period January 1, 2017 through December 31, 2018 as follows:

Background

The Tenant entered into a lease agreement number 16-0242 (Agreement) with the Airport Commission of the City and County of San Francisco (Commission) for operations of approximately 20 vending machine locations throughout the San Francisco International Airport. The Agreement requires the Tenant to submit to the City and County of San Francisco's Airport Department (Airport) a monthly report showing its gross revenues and rent due.

Provisions of the Agreement pertaining to this performance audit, including the required payment of the greater of minimum rent or percentage rent thresholds, are outlined below.

Lease Number: 16-0242
Reporting Periods: January 1, 2017 to December 31, 2018
Lease Term: December 1, 2016 to November 30, 2021
Percentage Rent: 15% of Gross Revenues achieved up to and including \$500,000.00, plus
 20% of Gross Revenues achieved over \$500,000.00

Minimum monthly rent, including step increases, are stipulated in the Agreement for the reporting periods as summarized below.

Period	Minimum Monthly Rent
January 2017 through December 2017	\$ 10,250.00
January 2018 through December 2018	10,498.63

As specified in the Agreement, the Tenant shall pay the minimum monthly rent or percentage rent, whichever is greater. The percentage rent owed each month in excess of the monthly minimum is due as additional rent to the Airport. The minimum monthly rent amounts listed above are the monthly proportional share of the minimum annual guarantee (MAG) for each lease year. At the end of each lease year, the Airport performs a true-up to determine whether the MAG or percentage rent was greater and whether the Tenant owes additional rent or the Airport provides a credit to the Tenant based on the total rent paid by the Tenant for the lease year.

Objectives and Scope

The objective of this performance audit was to determine whether the Tenant was in substantial compliance with the reporting, payment, and other rent-related provisions of its Agreement with the Commission. To meet the objectives of our performance audit, and based upon the provisions of the City and County of San Francisco (City) contract number P-600 (4-19) dated July 1, 2019, between MGO and the City, and per Appendix A therein, we performed tests to verify that gross revenues for the audit period were reported to the Airport in accordance with the Agreement provisions, and that such amounts agreed with the Tenant's

underlying accounting records. Our testing also included identifying whether any significant discrepancies (over or under) in reporting existed. If such discrepancies were identified, this report includes the adjustments to rent payable to the Airport and our recommendations to improve record keeping and reporting processes of the Tenant relative to its ability to comply with Agreement provisions.

The scope of our audit was limited to the records and reports supporting the gross revenues reported and rent paid or payable by the Tenant to the Airport for the period from January 1, 2017 through December 31, 2018.

This audit and the resulting report relates only to the gross revenues and rents reported by the Tenant, and does not extend to any other performance or financial audits of the Commission, the City, and the Tenant.

Methodology

To meet the objectives of our performance audit, we performed the following procedures: inspected and identified the applicable terms of the Agreement; inspected the procedures and internal controls of the Tenant for collecting, recording, summarizing and reporting its gross revenues, and calculating its payments to the Airport; conducted interviews and walkthroughs with Tenant and Airport personnel; and conducted non-statistical testing, without projecting to the population, using a random selection of two sample months for each lease year and randomly selected three sample days for each sample month per guidelines provided by the City. We also recalculated monthly rent due (the greater of percentage rent or minimum rent) by computing the monthly percentage rent and comparing it to the minimum monthly rent due for each month within the audit period and verified the timeliness of reporting gross revenues and rent and submitting rent payments to the Airport. We noted no exceptions within the results of our non-statistical sample testing.

We conducted this performance audit in accordance with generally accepted government auditing standards set forth in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain sufficient, appropriate evidence to provide a reasonable basis for our findings and conclusions based on our audit objectives. We believe that the evidence obtained provides a reasonable basis for our findings and conclusions based on our audit objectives.

Audit Results

Based on the results of our performance audit for the period from January 1, 2017 through December 31, 2018, the Tenant reported gross revenues of \$1,417,331 and paid rent of \$275,684 to the Airport in accordance with its Agreement provisions. The reported amounts agreed to the underlying records.

The Agreement between the Tenant and the Commission defines gross revenues and percentage rent. The table on the following page shows the Tenant reported total gross revenues and rent paid to the Airport for the period under audit.

Gross Revenues and Rent Paid
January 1, 2017 through December 31, 2018
Lease No. 16-0242

Lease Period	Gross Revenues Reported by Tenant	Calculated Percentage Rent Stipulated by Lease	Minimum Rent Stipulated by Lease	Percentage Rent in Excess of Minimum Rent	Rent Paid per Airport Payment Records	Over (Under) Payment
	A	B	C	D (B-C)	E	F (E-C-D)
January 1, 2017 through December 31, 2017	\$ 580,467	\$ 91,093	\$ 123,000	\$ -	\$ 123,946	\$ 946 *
January 1, 2018 through December 31, 2018	836,864	142,373	125,984	16,389	151,738	9,365 *
Total	\$ 1,417,331	\$ 233,466	\$ 248,984	\$ 16,389	\$ 275,684	\$ 10,311

* A true-up should be performed at the end of each lease year by the Airport to determine the total amount of rent that the Tenant is required to pay each lease year. The greater of the amount between column B and column C is the amount of rent that the Tenant should have paid during the respective lease year. If the rent paid (column E) is greater than the greater of column B or C, the overpayment is applied as a credit to the Tenant's monthly rent in the future. For lease years 2017 and 2018, the Tenant received credits of \$946 and \$9,365, respectively, as a result of the true-up performed by the Airport. The credits for the two lease years have been applied to rent invoices outside of the audit period.

Finding 2018-01 – The Tenant submitted the 2017 annual report that was not in compliance with the Agreement's required criteria, and the Airport amended its report requirements in April 2018 and will enforce the revised requirements prospectively.

According to Section 4.7 of the Agreement, "Within ninety (90) days after the end of each Lease Year, Tenant shall submit to Director at City's Insurance/Deposit/Annual Report Notice Address an unqualified year-end financial report certified by an independent Certified Public Accountant (CPA) showing Gross Revenues achieved with respect to the prior Lease Year ('Annual Report')." The Agreement does not define an unqualified report. In addition, the Agreement does not indicate the required professional standards to be followed in issuing an unqualified report, such as general accepted auditing standards (GAAS), generally accepted government auditing standards (GAGAS), or other professional or regulatory standards.

The audit found that the 2017 Annual Report of Gross Revenues was certified by the Tenant's Chief Financial Officer, which did not satisfy the Agreement's requirement of an unqualified report certified by a CPA. The Tenant was not aware of the requirement. MGO had a similar finding on previous audits, and the Airport has since amended the annual report requirements and notified tenants in April 2018 of the prospective change that annual reports can be certified by an independent CPA or a senior officer of the Tenant. Further, according to the Airport, it has amended its lease templates in August 2017 to clarify that, "a year-end compiled financial report" is required to submit annually and removed the term "unqualified."

MGO does not have a recommendation for this finding because the Airport addressed this compliance issue in April 2018 by issuing a clarifying letter to the tenants.

Conclusion

With the exception noted above in Finding 2018-01, we conclude that the Tenant was in substantial compliance with the reporting, payment, and other rent-related provisions of its Agreement with the Commission.

A copy of this report has been provided to the Airport and the Tenant. Their respective acknowledgements are attached to this report.

This performance audit did not constitute an audit of financial statements in accordance with Government Auditing Standards or auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. MGO was not engaged to, and did not render an opinion on the effectiveness of the Tenant's internal controls over financial reporting or over the Tenant's financial management system.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of the City, the Commission, and the Tenant, and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties.

Macias Gini & O'Connell LLP

Walnut Creek, California
May 6, 2020



San Francisco International Airport

April 29, 2020

Mr. Mark de la Rosa
Acting Director of City Audits
City Hall, Room 476
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102

TRANSMITTED VIA EMAIL
mark.p.delarosa@sfgov.org

Subject: Performance Audit of Gilly National, Inc dba Gilly Vending – Lease No. 16-0242

Dear Mr. de la Rosa:

We have received and reviewed the final draft audit report regarding the performance audit of Gilly National, Inc. prepared and sent by Macias Gini & O'Connell LLP (MGO) via email on April 21, 2020. This letter is to confirm that, based upon the details provided, we agree with the audit result.

If you have any questions, please feel free to call us at 650.821.2850 (Wallace) or 650.821.4501 (Cheryl).

Very truly yours,

Wallace Tang, CPA, CGMA
Airport Controller

Cheryl Nashir
Director
Revenue Development & Management

cc: Ivar Satero
Jeff Littlefield
Leo Fermin
Alex Mann
Sharon Perez
Winnie Woo – CSA (Winnie.Woo@sfgov.org)
Harrison Murk – MGO (hmurk@mgocpa.com)

AIRPORT COMMISSION CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

LONDON N. BREED
MAYOR

LARRY MAZZOLA
PRESIDENT

ELEANOR JOHNS
VICE PRESIDENT

RICHARD J. GUGGENHIME

MALCOLM YEUNG

IVAR C. SATERO
AIRPORT DIRECTOR



Monday, May 4, 2020

Mark de la Rosa
Acting Director of Audits
City Hall, Room 476
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102

RE: Response to Performance Audit Report Gilly National, Inc dba Gilly Vending

Dear Mr. De la Rosa,

Thank you for providing Gilly National, Inc dba Gilly Vending with an opportunity to comment on your draft report on the audit of Gilly's Performance for 2017 and 2018.

We find the report comprehensive and acceptable. We are pleased with the conclusion that Gilly National, Inc dba Gilly Vending was in compliance with the reporting, payment, and other rent related provisions of its agreement with the Commission.

In regard to the exception noted in Finding 2018-01, we don't have any records of the Airport amending, in April 2018, the requirements for the Annual Report of Gross Revenues. We will certainly comply with any required changes to this Annual Report.

It was a pleasure working with the professionals at MGO and appreciate their time in performing this audit.

Sincerely




Amit Biegun --
Chief Financial Officer

Gilly Vending, Inc

990 NW 166 St. Miami, FL 33169 – 24 Hours: Cell: 305 924-8058– Off: 305 620-8081 – Fax: 305 620 8082

Southwest Airlines Co. Paid Its Landing Fees in Accordance With Its Lease and Use Agreement for July 1, 2017, Through June 30, 2019

Airport Commission



May 12, 2020

City & County of San Francisco
Office of the Controller
City Services Auditor

About the Audits Division

The City Services Auditor (CSA) was created in the Office of the Controller through an amendment to the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco (City) that voters approved in November 2003. Within CSA, the Audits Division ensures the City's financial integrity and promotes efficient, effective, and accountable government by:

- Conducting performance audits of city departments, contractors, and functions to assess efficiency and effectiveness of service delivery and business processes.
- Investigating reports received through its whistleblower hotline of fraud, waste, and abuse of city resources.
- Providing actionable recommendations to city leaders to promote and enhance accountability and improve the overall performance and efficiency of city government.

Audit Team:

Winnie Woo, Senior Auditor

Audit Consultant:

Macias Gini & O'Connell LLP (MGO)

For more information please contact:

Mark de la Rosa
Acting Director of Audits
Office of the Controller
City and County of San Francisco
(415) 554-7574



<http://www.sfcontroller.org>



@sfcontroller



LinkedIn Office of the Controller

Audit Authority

This audit was conducted under the authority of the San Francisco Charter, Section 3.105 and Appendix F, which requires that CSA conduct periodic, comprehensive financial and performance audits of city departments, services, and activities.

Statement of Auditing Standards

This performance audit was conducted in accordance with generally accepted government auditing standards (GAGAS). These standards require planning and performing the audit to obtain sufficient, appropriate evidence to provide a reasonable basis for the findings and conclusions based on the audit objectives. The Audits Division believes that the evidence obtained provides a reasonable basis for the findings and conclusions based on the audit objectives. The Audits Division is independent per the GAGAS requirements for internal auditors.



OFFICE OF THE CONTROLLER

CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

Ben Rosenfield
Controller

Todd Rydstrom
Deputy Controller

May 12, 2020

San Francisco Airport Commission
San Francisco International Airport
P.O. Box 8097
San Francisco, CA 94128-8097

Mr. Ivar Satero, Airport Director
San Francisco International Airport
P.O. Box 8097
San Francisco, CA 94128-8097

Dear Commission President, Commissioners, and Mr. Satero:

The City and County of San Francisco's Airport Commission (Airport) coordinates with the Office of the Controller's City Services Auditor (CSA) to conduct periodic compliance audits of Airport tenants and airlines. CSA engaged Macias Gini & O'Connell LLP (MGO) to audit airlines that do business with the Airport to ensure they comply with the landing fee provisions of their agreements. CSA's Audits Division presents the attached report for the compliance audit of Southwest Airlines Co. (airline), prepared by MGO.

Reporting Period: July 1, 2017, through June 30, 2019

Landing Fees Paid: \$22,253,229

Results:

Southwest Airlines Co. reported 31,605 revenue aircraft landings and paid \$22,253,229 in landing fees due to the Airport in accordance with its lease and use agreement. However, the Airport incorrectly charged the airline for an emergency landing, causing a \$671 overpayment of landing fees. The Airport resolved the overpayment by issuing a credit in this amount to the airline on April 15, 2020.

The Airport's response is attached to this report. Due to the airline's need to prioritize COVID-19 pandemic issues, it declined to respond to the audit report at this time.

CSA and MGO appreciate the assistance and cooperation of Airport and airline staff during the audit. For questions about the report, please contact me at mark.p.delarosa@sfgov.org or 415-554-7574 or CSA at 415-554-7469.

Respectfully,

Mark de la Rosa
Acting Director of Audits

cc: Board of Supervisors
Budget Analyst
Citizens Audit Review Board
City Attorney

Civil Grand Jury
Mayor
Public Library

**CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO
OFFICE OF THE CONTROLLER**

**PERFORMANCE AUDIT REPORT
Southwest Airlines Co.**

July 1, 2017 through June 30, 2019



Certified
Public
Accountants

Performance Audit Report

Acting Chief Audit Executive
City and County of San Francisco, California

Macias Gini & O'Connell LLP (MGO) presents its report concerning the performance audit of Southwest Airlines Co. (Airline) for the period July 1, 2017 through June 30, 2019 as follows:

Background

The Airline operates under a lease with the Airport Commission of the City and County of San Francisco (Commission) to use the landing field facilities at the San Francisco International Airport (SFO) for its air transportation business. During the period July 1, 2017 through June 30, 2019, the Airline operated under Lease and Use Agreement No. L10-0095 (Agreement) entered into on March 1, 2010 with an effective date of July 1, 2011, and an expiration date of June 30, 2021. The Agreement requires the Airline to submit to the Airport Department (Airport) a monthly report showing its actual revenue aircraft landings by type of aircraft and other landing data necessary to calculate the landing fees.

The Airport charges the Airline a landing fee based on the maximum landing weight of aircraft that land at SFO. For every 1,000 pounds of aircraft landed, the Commission sets a fee that it may change annually as stated below.

<u>For the Period</u>	<u>Landing Fee Rate</u>
July 1, 2017 to June 30, 2018	\$ 5.24
July 1, 2018 to June 30, 2019	\$ 5.54

Reporting Period:	July 1, 2017 through June 30, 2019
Lease and Use Agreement:	No. L10-0095

Objectives and Scope

The objectives of this performance audit were to determine whether the Airline was in substantial compliance with the reporting, payment, and other landing fee related provisions per its Agreement with the Commission. To meet the objectives of our performance audit and based upon the provisions of the City and County of San Francisco (City) contract number P-600 (4-19) dated July 1, 2019, between MGO and the City, and per Appendix A therein, we performed tests that the landing fees for the audit period were reported to the Airport in accordance with the Agreement provisions and that such amounts agreed with the Airline's underlying accounting records. We also identified and reported the amount and cause of any significant discrepancy (over or under) in reporting together with the impact on landing fees payable to the Airport, and any recommendations to improve record keeping and reporting processes of the Airline in relation to its ability to comply with Agreement provisions.

The scope of our audit was limited to the records and reports supporting the landing fees reported and paid or payable by the Airline to the Airport for the period from July 1, 2017 through June 30, 2019.

This audit and the resulting report relates only to the landing fees reported by the Airline, and does not extend to any other performance or financial audits of either the Commission or the Airline.

Methodology

To meet the objectives of our performance audit, we performed the following procedures: reviewed the applicable terms of the Agreement and the Airline's procedures and internal controls for collecting, recording, summarizing, and reporting its aircraft landings fees; conducted interviews and walkthroughs with Airline and Airport personnel; conducted non-statistical testing, without projecting to the population, using a random selection of 2 sample months for each Agreement year and randomly selected 25 sample landings for each sample month per guidelines provided by the City; recalculated the monthly landing fees due to the Airport; verified the Airline's timeliness for reporting its landing fees to the Airport; and compared the Airline's underlying flight records to the flight information that is reported on the third-party report from PASSUR Corporation. We noted no exceptions within the results of our non-statistical sample testing.

We conducted this performance audit in accordance with generally accepted government auditing standards set forth in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain sufficient, appropriate evidence to provide a reasonable basis for our findings and conclusions based on our audit objectives. We believe that the evidence obtained provides a reasonable basis for our findings and conclusions based on our audit objectives.

Audit Results

Based on the results of our performance audit for the period from July 1, 2017 through June 30, 2019, the Airline reported 31,605 revenue passenger aircraft landings and paid \$22,253,229 in landing fees to the Airport in accordance with its Agreement. Those amounts substantially agreed to the Airline's underlying records.

The table below shows a comparison of the Airline's reported total revenue passenger aircraft landings and landing fees paid to the Airport and the total revenue passenger aircraft landings reported by the Airport and landing fees invoiced by the Airport.

Revenue Passenger Aircraft Landings and Fees Paid July 1, 2017 through June 30, 2019

For the Period	Per Airline		Per Airport		Differences	
	Number of Landings	Landing Fees Paid	Number of Landings	Landing Fees Invoiced	Over (Under) Reported	Over (Under) Payment
	A	B	C	D	A - C	B - D
July 1, 2017 through June 30, 2018	16,829	\$ 11,467,739	16,830	\$ 11,467,739	(1) *	\$ -
July 1, 2018 through June 30, 2019	14,776	10,785,490	14,776	10,783,274	-	2,216 **
Total	31,605	\$ 22,253,229	31,606	\$ 22,251,013	(1)	\$ 2,216

* The Airport reported one landing more than what the Airline recorded on their internal reports for the period July 1, 2017 through June 30, 2018. Even though the Airline's internal reports showed one less landing, the Airline paid landing fees based on what the Airport had reported. Per discussion with Airport staff, the Airport recorded a landing and charged a landing fee for one of the Airline's aircrafts that departed from SFO, but returned to SFO due to an emergency. Airport staff stated that this landing should not have incurred a landing fee. See Finding 2019-01 for more information.

** For the period July 1, 2018 through June 30, 2019, the Airline overpaid landing fees by \$2,216. The Airport identified this difference during the annual year-end true-up process. This overpayment was applied to the Airline's landing fees for November 2019. This is not considered a finding.

Finding 2019-01 – The Airport incorrectly charged the Airline for one emergency landing at SFO during August 2017, which led to an overpayment of landing fees of \$671.

Based on MGO's comparison of the Airline's internal reports and the Airport's billing for the August 2017 landing fees, MGO noted a difference of one landing. Per discussion with Airport staff, the Airport incorrectly recorded a landing for one of the Airline's aircrafts that had a maximum landing weight of 128,000 pounds, which departed from SFO and returned to SFO due to an emergency. Airport staff stated that this emergency landing should not have been counted as a landing and should not have incurred a landing fee. Airport staff reviewed the PASSUR reports, but did not identify this landing as an emergency landing. The Airline did not pay landing fees based on the number of landings on their internal reports, but rather on the number of landings on the PASSUR report provided by the Airport. As a result, the Airline overpaid landing fees in August 2017 by \$671.

Recommendation 2019-01

The Airport should:

1. Provide a credit to the Airline for overpaid landing fees of \$671 for August 2017.
2. Review the PASSUR report for emergency landings at SFO prior to entering the landing information into the Airport Business Management system.

Conclusion

With the exception noted above in Finding 2019-01, we conclude that the Airline was in substantial compliance with the reporting, payment, and other landing fees-related provisions of its Agreement with the Commission.

A copy of this report has been provided to the Airport and the Airline. The Airport's response is attached to this report. Due to the Airline's priority in focusing on issues related to the COVID-19 pandemic, the Airline declined to respond to the audit report at this time.

This performance audit did not constitute an audit of financial statements in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* or auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. MGO was not engaged to, and did not, render an opinion on the effectiveness of the Airline's internal controls over financial reporting or over the Airline's financial management system.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of the City, the Commission, and the Airline, and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties.

Macias Gini & O'Connell LLP

Walnut Creek, California
April 24, 2020



San Francisco International Airport

April 7, 2020

Mr. Mark de la Rosa
Acting Chief Audit Executive
Controller's Office
City Hall, Room 476
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102

Dear Mr. de la Rosa:

The Airport received and reviewed the final draft audit report regarding Southwest Airlines prepared and sent by Macias Gini & O'Connell LLP (MGO) via email on March 31, 2020. This letter is to confirm that, based upon the details provided, we agree with the audit results.

If you have any questions, please feel free to call Wallace Tang at (650) 821-2850 or Kevin Kone at (650) 821-2888.

Very truly yours,

A handwritten signature in blue ink, appearing to read "W. Tang", is positioned above the printed name of Wallace Tang.

Wallace Tang, CPA, CGMA
Airport Controller

A handwritten signature in blue ink, appearing to read "Kevin Kone", is positioned above the printed name of Kevin Kone.

Kevin Kone
Acting Aviation Management Director

Attachment

cc: Ivar C. Satero
Jeff Littlefield
Leo Fermin
Winnie Woo – CSA
Harrison Murk – MGO

AIRPORT COMMISSION CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

LONDON N. BREED
MAYOR

LARRY MAZZOLA
PRESIDENT

ELEANOR JOHNS
VICE PRESIDENT

RICHARD J. GUGGENHIME

MALCOLM YEUNG

IVAR C. SATERO
AIRPORT DIRECTOR

Audit: Southwest Airlines Co.

Recommendation and Response

For each recommendation, the responsible agency should indicate in the column labeled Agency Response whether it concurs, does not concur, or partially concurs and provide a brief explanation. If it concurs with the recommendation, it should indicate the expected implementation date and implementation plan. If the responsible agency does not concur or partially concurs, it should provide an explanation and an alternate plan of action to address the identified issue.

Recommendation	Agency Response	<u>CSA Use Only</u> Status Determination*
The Airport should: 1) provide a credit to the Airline for the overpaid landing fees of \$671 for August 2017; and 2) ensure airline emergency landings at SFO are identified on the PASSUR report before entering the landing information into the Airport Business Management system.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Concur <input type="checkbox"/> Do Not Concur <input type="checkbox"/> Partially Concur The Airport agrees with the audit results. Per the audit report's recommendation, SFO has already issued a credit of \$671 to Southwest Airlines (Credit Invoice #SFO487923) on 4/15/2020.	<input type="checkbox"/> Open <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Closed <input type="checkbox"/> Contested

*Status Determination based on audit team's review of the agency's response and proposed corrective action.

From: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#)
Subject: FW: Letter Regarding County Veterans Service Officer
Date: Tuesday, May 12, 2020 4:34:00 PM
Attachments: [Letter Regarding CVSO 5.12.2020.pdf](#)

Hello,

Please see the attached letter from the Office of the City Administrator regarding the County Veterans Service Officer.

Thank you,

Jackie Hickey
 Board of Supervisors
 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, City Hall, Room 244
 San Francisco, CA 94102-4689
 Phone: (415) 554-5184 | Direct: (415) 554-7701
jacqueline.hickey@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

From: Administrator, City (ADM) <city.administrator@sfgov.org>
Sent: Tuesday, May 12, 2020 12:37 PM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>
Subject: FW: Letter Regarding County Veterans Service Officer

Please see correspondence below regarding the County Veterans Service Officer.

Thank you,
 Office of the City Administrator

From: Administrator, City (ADM)
Sent: Tuesday, May 12, 2020 12:33 PM
To: Stefani, Catherine (BOS) <catherine.stefani@sfgov.org>
Cc: Calvillo, Angela (BOS) <angela.calvillo@sfgov.org>; Rhorer, Trent (HSA) <trent.rhorer@sfgov.org>; McSpadden, Shireen (HSA) <shireen.mcspadden@sfgov.org>; Kittler, Sophia (MYR) <sophia.kittler@sfgov.org>; Herzstein, Daniel (BOS) <daniel.herzstein@sfgov.org>
Subject: Letter Regarding County Veterans Service Officer

Dear Supervisor Stefani:

Please find attached a letter responding to your inquiry about the County Veterans Service Officer on behalf of Shireen McSpadden, Director of the Human Services Agency's Department of Disability and Aging Services and myself.

Thank you,

Naomi M. Kelly
City Administrator



OFFICE OF THE CITY ADMINISTRATOR



London N. Breed, Mayor
Naomi M. Kelly, City Administrator

May 12, 2020

The Honorable Catherine Stefani
San Francisco Board of Supervisors
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102

Dear Supervisor Stefani:

This letter responds to your inquiry about the County Veterans Service Officer (“CVSO”) on behalf of Shireen McSpadden, Director of the Human Services Agency’s Department of Disability and Aging Services (“DAS”), and myself. We appreciate your interest in the well-being of veterans in our community and share your concerns.

State law provides that a county may have a CVSO. In 1993, the Board of Supervisors established the CVSO in Administrative Code Section 5.108A, which currently requires the Mayor and City Administrator recommend a CVSO who is confirmed by the Board of Supervisors. When we are through the COVID-19 emergency, we look forward to discussing this code section with you to determine whether it should be updated.

Through the annual budget process, the function and program are located in DAS. The office is at 2 Gough Street and provides needed services to San Francisco’s veterans—many of whom are seniors and people with disabilities. Veterans in our community are balancing their Veterans Administration benefits with many other benefits and programs. The San Francisco Human Services Agency is well positioned to coordinate the complex network of services for veterans.

As you have noted, the position of CVSO is vacant. Despite the fact that the City is facing a citywide hiring freeze, the Mayor’s Budget Office approved the position for hiring due to its critical role in serving veterans. The position opened yesterday, May 11 and qualified applicants are encouraged to apply through May 25 at 5:00 PM¹.

¹ Interested applicants should visit <http://www.jobapscloud.com/sf/>

Through this open recruitment we expect to find a qualified candidate who will be able to meet the needs of our veterans. The Board of Supervisors will confirm the final appointment consistent with the Administrative Code and state law.

Thank you again for your advocacy on behalf of veterans. We look forward to updating you on our progress shortly. If you have any questions on this matter, please contact Deputy City Administrator Jennifer Johnston at jennifer.johnston@sfgov.org.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in blue ink that reads "Naomi M. Kelly". The signature is fluid and cursive, with the first name "Naomi" and last name "Kelly" being more prominent than the middle initial "M".

Naomi M. Kelly
City Administrator

CC: Members, Board of Supervisors
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors
Trent Rhorer, San Francisco Human Services Agency
Shireen McSpadden, Department of Disability and Aging Services
Sophia Kittler, Mayor's Office

From: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#)
Subject: FW: Please Fund Portsmouth Square
Date: Thursday, May 14, 2020 12:35:00 PM
Attachments: [Save Portsmouth Square - BOS.docx.pdf](#)

From: Richard So <richard.so@sfhepbfree-bayarea.org>
Sent: Tuesday, May 12, 2020 9:08 AM
To: Calvillo, Angela (BOS) <angela.calvillo@sfgov.org>; Somera, Alisa (BOS) <alisa.somera@sfgov.org>; Eileen.mchugh@sfgov.org; Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>
Cc: tandchow@gmail.com; myeung@chinatowncdc.org
Subject: Please Fund Portsmouth Square

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

Please find attached SF Hep B Free - Bay Area's letter supporting the full funding of Portsmouth Square in the 2020 Park Bond.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

--

Richard So MPH, MPA
 Executive Director
 SF Hep B Free - Bay Area
 m: 650-804-0021 | e: richard.so@sfhepbfree-bayarea.org

May 12, 2020

San Francisco Board of Supervisors
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102

Re: Letter to Include Portsmouth Square in 2020 Park Bond

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors:

SF Hep B Free - Bay Area urges the San Francisco Board of Supervisors to include Portsmouth Square as part of the 2020 Park Bond without cutting from the Gene Friend Rec Center and Japantown Peace Plaza funding. Portsmouth Square has served as an iconic, well used and well loved open space, improving the wellness of thousands of residents each day. It serves as a critical gathering place for socializing as well as public health events, cultural gatherings and more. Portsmouth Square is in desperate need of the renovations proposed in conceptual designs developed through a long and involved community-engaged planning process.

SF Hep B Free - Bay Area began its fight against hepatitis B more than a decade ago in Chinatown, using Portsmouth Square as a critical epicenter to launch public awareness campaigns and free screening. Today we continue our work building awareness and providing education around hepatitis B to the community and health care providers. We provide free screening for the disease and linkage to care for those that need it. It was in Chinatown, partnering with other local service organizations, elected officials and both public and private healthcare providers that we were able to build the community-based education model that has been lauded by the Department of Health and Human Services. Healthy, clean, and safe open spaces are critical in every community, especially true in low-income communities of color, and of the utmost importance for Chinatown as one of the densest neighborhoods with the least open space in San Francisco.

Chinatown cannot wait for funding for Portsmouth Square's improvements until the next bond. The community needs the upgrades to start as soon as possible to improve the lives of thousands of local residents that depend on this open space for improved safety, wellness and recreation, community gatherings and programs. The current health pandemic has proven the critical need for high quality open space in a neighborhood where most residents live in SRO's. It is crucial for the City that says it is committed to racial equity to include this important project to support Chinatown as a low-income, vulnerable community in this funding cycle. Portsmouth Square should be included along with other crucial projects including Gene Friend Rec Center and the Japantown Peace Plaza.

SF Hep B Free - Bay Area requests that you include Portsmouth Square as part of the 2020 Park Bond.

Regards,

Richard So
Executive Director of SF Hep B Free - Bay Area

From: [David Burke](#)
To: [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Cc: [Mundy, Erin \(BOS\)](#); [Snyder, Jen \(BOS\)](#); m.s.leighton@gmail.com; [Ginsburg, Phil \(REC\)](#); [Ajike, Toks \(REC\)](#); [Madland, Sarah \(REC\)](#)
Subject: BVNA Support for 2020 San Francisco Health and Recovery Bond
Date: Thursday, May 14, 2020 10:42:35 AM
Attachments: [BVNA Support 2020 Health & Recovery Bond - BOS.pdf](#)

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express our support on behalf of the Buena Vista Neighborhood Association for the 2020 San Francisco Health and Recovery Bond as presented this week to the Board of Supervisors.

As you know, our City's economic and financial situation has changed due to COVID-19. The 2020 San Francisco Health and Recovery Bond will prioritize shovel-ready projects delivering essential government services, support economic recovery through job creation for San Franciscans, provide one-time funding for behavioral health and health access, while prioritizing basic infrastructure investments in our parks and recreation facilities and right-of-way infrastructure so people can get back to work quickly and help San Francisco recover.

During COVID-19, San Francisco residents sought solace and refuge in our City Parks, including Buena Vista Park, for exercise and better mental health, especially in denser neighborhoods and in Equity Zones. A recent survey by the National Recreation and Park Association found that 83% of American adults agree that visiting their local parks, trails and open spaces are essential for their mental and physical well-being during the COVID-19 pandemic. The benefits of parks are long lasting, and it's clear that planning for better days ahead will ensure that our open spaces are resilient.

Buena Vista Neighborhood Association is supportive of the 2020 San Francisco Health and Recovery Bond. In particular, the 2020 Bond has identified several parks, open space, and recreation facilities and improvement projects that address a range of benefits for residents and employees to increase quality of life, mental well-being and physical health. This does and should include Buena Vista Park, one of San Francisco's oldest, largest and most precious natural parks.

The previous 2008 and 2012 bonds allowed San Francisco Recreation and Park Department to complete over 27 large capital projects and over 130 citywide park projects. This level of commitment and dedication immensely improved our parks system, but much more needs to be done. Please support the 2020 San Francisco Health and Recovery Bond by approving the Bond proposal for the November 2020 Ballot.

Thank you for supporting our parks and City!
David R. Burke
Board Member and Interim President
Buena Vista Neighborhood Association (BVNA)

415-990-4456

david@davidrburke.net

www.bvnasf.org



BUENA VISTA NEIGHBORHOOD ASSOCIATION

PO Box 170067, San Francisco CA 94117

(415) 735-4110, www.bvnasf.org

BVNA is a San Francisco Parks Alliance Park Partner

May 11, 2020

Board of Supervisors
City and County of San Francisco

RE: 2020 San Francisco Health and Recovery Bond

Dear Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express our support on behalf of the Buena Vista Neighborhood Association for the 2020 San Francisco Health and Recovery Bond as presented this week to the Board of Supervisors.

As you know, our City's economic and financial situation has changed due to COVID-19. The 2020 San Francisco Health and Recovery Bond will prioritize shovel-ready projects delivering essential government services, support economic recovery through job creation for San Franciscans, provide one-time funding for behavioral health and health access, while prioritizing basic infrastructure investments in our parks and recreation facilities and right-of-way infrastructure so people can get back to work quickly and help San Francisco recover.

During COVID-19, San Francisco residents sought solace and refuge in our City Parks, including Buena Vista Park, for exercise and better mental health, especially in denser neighborhoods and in Equity Zones. A recent survey by the National Recreation and Park Association found that 83% of American adults agree that visiting their local parks, trails and open spaces are essential for their mental and physical well-being during the COVID-19 pandemic. The benefits of parks are long lasting, and it's clear that planning for better days ahead will ensure that our open spaces are resilient.

Buena Vista Neighborhood Association is supportive of the 2020 San Francisco Health and Recovery Bond. In particular, the 2020 Bond has identified several parks, open space, and recreation facilities and improvement projects that address a range of benefits for residents and employees to increase quality of life, mental well-being and physical health. This does and should include Buena Vista Park, one of San Francisco's oldest, largest and most precious natural parks.

The previous 2008 and 2012 bonds allowed San Francisco Recreation and Park Department to complete over 27 large capital projects and over 130 citywide park projects. This level of commitment and dedication immensely improved our parks system, but much more needs to be done. Please support the 2020 San Francisco Health and Recovery Bond by approving the Bond proposal for the November 2020 Ballot.

Thank you for supporting our parks and City!

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "David R. Burke". The signature is fluid and cursive.

David R. Burke
Board Member and Interim President
Buena Vista Neighborhood Association (BVNA)

From: [ROBERT CHIESA](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: 2020 San Francisco Health and Recovery Bond
Date: Tuesday, May 12, 2020 11:24:38 AM
Attachments: [2020 Bond Support Letter - Board of Supervisors - McLaren Park.docx](#)

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

May 12, 2020

Board of Supervisors

1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244

San Francisco, CA

Via email Board.of.Supervisors@sfgov.org

District 1 Sandra.Fewer@sfgov.org

District 2 Catherine.Stefani@sfgov.org

District 3 Aaron.Peskin@sfgov.org

District 4 Gordon.Mar@sfgov.org

District 5 Dean.Preston@sfgov.org

District 6 Matt.Haney@sfgov.org

District 7 Norman.Yee@sfgov.org

District 8 Rafael.Mandelman@sfgov.org

District 9 Hillary.Ronen@sfgov.org

District 10 Shamann.Walton@sfgov.org

District 11 Asha.Safai@sfgov.org

RE: 2020 San Francisco Health and Recovery Bond

Dear Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my support for the Gene Friend Recreation Center Capital Project that is identified the **2020 San Francisco Health and Recovery Bond** on behalf of **(add your organization)**. This 2020 Bond will be considered for recommendation by the Board of Supervisors for inclusion on the November Ballot.

During COVID-19, San Francisco residents sought solace and refuge in our City Parks for exercise and better mental health, especially in denser neighborhoods and in Equity Zones. A recent survey by the National Recreation and Park Association found that 83% of American adults agree that visiting their local parks, trails and open spaces are essential for their mental and physical well-being during the COVID-19 pandemic. The benefits of parks are long lasting, and it clear that planning for better days ahead will ensure that our open spaces are resilient.

McLaren Park is a vital Part of District 9, 10, and 11. **Both my wife and I** are supportive of the **2020 San Francisco Health and Recovery Bond**, as it will provide much needed funding for McLaren Park.

The previous 2008 and 2012 bonds allowed San Francisco Recreation and Park Department to complete over 27 large capital projects and over 130 citywide park projects. This level of commitment and dedication immensely improved our parks system, but much more needs to be done. Please support the **2020 San Francisco Health and Recovery Bond** by approving the Bond proposal for the November 2020 Ballot that includes our park projects.

Thank you for supporting of our parks and City!
Robert & Cynthia Chiesa

From: [Richard So](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#); Eileen.mchugh@sfgov.org; [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Cc: tandchow@gmail.com; myeung@chinatowncdc.org
Subject: Please Fund Portsmouth Square
Date: Tuesday, May 12, 2020 9:08:39 AM
Attachments: [Save Portsmouth Square - BOS.docx.pdf](#)

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

Please find attached SF Hep B Free - Bay Area's letter supporting the full funding of Portsmouth Square in the 2020 Park Bond.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

--

Richard So MPH, MPA

Executive Director

SF Hep B Free - Bay Area

m: 650-804-0021 | e: richard.so@sfhepbfree-bayarea.org

May 12, 2020

San Francisco Board of Supervisors
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102

Re: Letter to Include Portsmouth Square in 2020 Park Bond

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors:

SF Hep B Free - Bay Area urges the San Francisco Board of Supervisors to include Portsmouth Square as part of the 2020 Park Bond without cutting from the Gene Friend Rec Center and Japantown Peace Plaza funding. Portsmouth Square has served as an iconic, well used and well loved open space, improving the wellness of thousands of residents each day. It serves as a critical gathering place for socializing as well as public health events, cultural gatherings and more. Portsmouth Square is in desperate need of the renovations proposed in conceptual designs developed through a long and involved community-engaged planning process.

SF Hep B Free - Bay Area began its fight against hepatitis B more than a decade ago in Chinatown, using Portsmouth Square as a critical epicenter to launch public awareness campaigns and free screening. Today we continue our work building awareness and providing education around hepatitis B to the community and health care providers. We provide free screening for the disease and linkage to care for those that need it. It was in Chinatown, partnering with other local service organizations, elected officials and both public and private healthcare providers that we were able to build the community-based education model that has been lauded by the Department of Health and Human Services. Healthy, clean, and safe open spaces are critical in every community, especially true in low-income communities of color, and of the utmost importance for Chinatown as one of the densest neighborhoods with the least open space in San Francisco.

Chinatown cannot wait for funding for Portsmouth Square's improvements until the next bond. The community needs the upgrades to start as soon as possible to improve the lives of thousands of local residents that depend on this open space for improved safety, wellness and recreation, community gatherings and programs. The current health pandemic has proven the critical need for high quality open space in a neighborhood where most residents live in SRO's. It is crucial for the City that says it is committed to racial equity to include this important project to support Chinatown as a low-income, vulnerable community in this funding cycle. Portsmouth Square should be included along with other crucial projects including Gene Friend Rec Center and the Japantown Peace Plaza.

SF Hep B Free - Bay Area requests that you include Portsmouth Square as part of the 2020 Park Bond.

Regards,

Richard So
Executive Director of SF Hep B Free - Bay Area

From: [Bernadette Sy](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Mar, Gordon \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Haney, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: 2020 SF Health & Recovery Bond
Date: Monday, May 11, 2020 8:14:33 PM
Attachments: [SF Health & Recovery Bond Letter re Gene Friend.pdf](#)

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear SF Board of Supervisors

I am writing to express my support for the Gene Friend Recreation Center Capital Project that is identified the **2020 San Francisco Health and Recovery Bond** on behalf of **the Filipino-American Development Foundation/Bayanihan Community Center**. This 2020 Bond will be considered for recommendation by the Board of Supervisors for inclusion on the November Ballot.

I am also aware and in support of including the other Capital Projects for the families living in Chinatown – Portsmouth Square and in Japantown - the Japantown Peace Plaza. You shouldn't cut any of these projects.

During COVID-19, San Francisco residents sought solace and refuge in our City Parks for exercise and better mental health, especially in denser neighborhoods and in Equity Zones. A recent survey by the National Recreation and Park Association found that 83% of American adults agree that visiting their local parks, trails and open spaces are essential for their mental and physical well-being during the COVID-19 pandemic. The benefits of parks are long lasting, and it clear that planning for better days ahead will ensure that our open spaces are resilient.

Gene Friend Recreation Center is a vital part of District 6. **The Filipino-American Development Foundation/Bayanihan Community Center** is supportive of the **2020 San Francisco Health and Recovery Bond**, as it will provide much needed \$50 Million funding for the Gene Friend Recreation Center. This Recreation Center needs to be re-built for the current youth, families and seniors living in SOMA who currently have limited access to recreation amenities in their neighborhood. They have waited 10+ years and shouldn't have to wait another decade for its completion.

The previous 2008 and 2012 bonds allowed San Francisco Recreation and Park Department to complete over 27 large capital projects and over 130 citywide park projects. This level of commitment and dedication immensely improved our parks system, but much more needs to be done. Please support the **2020 San Francisco Health and Recovery Bond** by approving the Bond proposal for the November 2020 Ballot that includes all 3 park projects: Portsmouth Square, Japantown Peace Plaza, and Gene Friend Recreation Center. Our families in San Francisco deserve them all.

Thank you for supporting our parks and City!

Sincerely,

Bernadette Sy
Executive Director

--

Bernadette Borja Sy
Executive Director
Filipino-American Development
Foundation/Bayanihan Community
Center
1010 Mission Street, Suite B
San Francisco, CA 94103
T 415.348.8042
F 415.974.0349
Email: bernadette@bayanihancc.org
Website: www.bayanihancc.org





FILIPINO-AMERICAN DEVELOPMENT FOUNDATION
1010 Mission Street, Suite B
San Francisco, CA 94103
Phone: (415) 348-8042
Fax: (415) 974.0349
Email: bernadette@bayanihancc.org
Website: bayanihancc.org

May 11, 2020

Board of Supervisors

**1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, CA**

Via email Board.of.Supervisors@sfgov.org
District 1 Sandra.Fewer@sfgov.org
District 2 Catherine.Stefani@sfgov.org
District 3 Aaron.Peskin@sfgov.org
District 4 Gordon.Mar@sfgov.org
District 5 Dean.Preston@sfgov.org
District 6 Matt.Haney@sfgov.org
District 7 Norman.Yee@sfgov.org
District 8 Rafael.Mandelman@sfgov.org
District 9 Hillary.Ronen@sfgov.org
District 10 Shamann.Walton@sfgov.org
District 11 Ahsha.Safai@sfgov.org

RE: 2020 San Francisco Health and Recovery Bond

Dear Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my support for the Gene Friend Recreation Center Capital Project that is identified the **2020 San Francisco Health and Recovery Bond** on behalf of the **Filipino-American Development Foundation/Bayanihan Community Center**. This 2020 Bond will be considered for recommendation by the Board of Supervisors for inclusion on the November Ballot.

I am also aware and in support of including the other Capital Projects for the families living in Chinatown – Portsmouth Square and in Japantown - the Japantown Peace Plaza. You shouldn't cut any of these projects.

During COVID-19, San Francisco residents sought solace and refuge in our City Parks for exercise and better mental health, especially in denser neighborhoods and in Equity Zones. A recent survey by the National Recreation and Park Association found that 83% of American adults agree that visiting their local parks, trails and open spaces are essential for their mental and physical well-being during the COVID-19 pandemic. The benefits of parks are long lasting, and it clear that planning for better days ahead will ensure that our open spaces are resilient.

Gene Friend Recreation Center is a vital part of District 6. **The Filipino-American Development Foundation/Bayanihan Community Center** is supportive of the **2020 San Francisco Health and Recovery Bond**, as it will provide much needed \$50 Million funding for the Gene Friend Recreation Center. This Recreation Center needs to be re-built for the current youth, families and seniors living in SOMA who currently

have limited access to recreation amenities in their neighborhood. They have waited 10+ years and shouldn't have to wait another decade for its completion.

The previous 2008 and 2012 bonds allowed San Francisco Recreation and Park Department to complete over 27 large capital projects and over 130 citywide park projects. This level of commitment and dedication immensely improved our parks system, but much more needs to be done. Please support the **2020 San Francisco Health and Recovery Bond** by approving the Bond proposal for the November 2020 Ballot that includes all 3 park projects: Portsmouth Square, Japantown Peace Plaza, and Gene Friend Recreation Center. Our families in San Francisco deserve them all.

Thank you for supporting of our parks and City!

Sincerely,



Bernadette Sy
Executive Director

From: [Ruth Marks](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Mar, Gordon \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Haney, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Support letter for 2020 Health and Recovery G.O. Bond
Date: Monday, May 11, 2020 6:27:24 PM
Attachments: [Support letter for 2020 Health and Recovery G.O. Bond_BOS.pdf](#)

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors-

Please find attached a letter of support for the 2020 Health and Recovery G.O. Bond on behalf of Mercy Housing, Related California, Wu Yee Children's Services and Boys & Girls Clubs of San Francisco.

Ruth Marks
Executive Assistant



mercyHOUSING

Mercy Housing California
1256 Market Street San Francisco, CA 94102
t | 415.355.7122 | mercyhousing.org/california



May 11, 2020

Board of Supervisors

Via email	Board.of.Supervisors@sfgov.org	District 6	Matt.Haney@sfgov.org
District 1	Sandra.Fewer@sfgov.org	District 7	Norman.Yee@sfgov.org
District 2	Catherine.Stefani@sfgov.org	District 8	Rafael.Mandelman@sfgov.org
District 3	Aaron.Peskin@sfgov.org	District 9	Hillary.Ronen@sfgov.org
District 4	Gordon.Mar@sfgov.org	District 10	Shamann.Walton@sfgov.org
District 5	Dean.Preston@sfgov.org	District 11	Ahsha.Safai@sfgov.org

Dear Board of Supervisors,

Writing on behalf of the Boys & Girls Clubs of San Francisco, Mercy Housing California, Related California and Wu Yee Children's Services, we want to offer our enthusiastic support for the proposed 2020 Health and Recovery G.O. Bond. We are particularly excited to support the inclusion of \$10 million for the Herz Playground Recreation Center. **We are equally excited to match this proposed funding with a \$10 million donation from private sources to move this important project forward.**

Over the last decade, our organizations have been working with HOPE SF and neighborhood residents to create a recreation center for the community. The need and desire for a gym is so strong in the neighborhood because these communities are home to one of the highest concentrations of young people in the City—and unfortunately one of the highest concentrations of poverty in the region. As illustrated on the attached map prepared by the Recreation and Parks Department, most San Franciscans live within a mile or less from their neighborhood recreation center. However, residents of Sunnysdale and Visitacion Valley must travel well over a mile to the other side of McLaren Park to a recreation center, which is a formidable barrier for them to access recreational programming and facilities needed for physical and mental well being.


For that reason, our organizations joined together in 2018 to launch a capital campaign to raise funding for both a new recreation center in Herz Playground and a critically important community HUB next door on what is now Housing Authority property. With funding from the campaign, we have partnered with Rec/Park staff, residents, and city officials to create a conceptual design for the recreation center. After developing a preferred approach with Rec/Park staff and leadership, we committed to raise \$10 million for the project in order to ensure that the community gets the recreation center that it deserves. We are well on our way to raising that money.

In closing, we believe as you do that young people deserve the same opportunities to grow and prosper regardless of their income or race. The proposed Herz Recreation Center will help to achieve that vision, and we are committed to working with the Recreation and Parks Department, Capital Planning Committee, the Board of Supervisors and the Mayor to pass this important bond in November.


Thank you for your vision and support.

Sincerely,


Rob Connolly, President
Boys & Girls Clubs of San Francisco


Bill Witte, CEO
Related California



Doug Shoemaker, President
Mercy Housing California


Monica Walters, CEO
Wu Yee Children's Services

EXISTING REC CENTERS

Service Area & Household Density, 2010

- 635K of SF residents (79%) live within 1 mile of rec center
- ~40% of users travel up to 1 mile*



*Based on an analysis of FY16-17 ActiveNet data

From: leiladwight@aol.com
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: 2020 San Francisco Health and Recovery Bond
Date: Tuesday, May 12, 2020 11:47:28 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a lifelong advocate for our city parks, particularly McLaren Park that serves four of the last blue collar neighborhoods and all San Franciscans.

Please support the portion of the 2020 San Francisco Health and Recovery Bond to provide \$200m in funding desperately needed for the health and welfare of all city parks. Current and future San Franciscans deserve to benefit by the amazing forethought of predecessors who ensured that every resident is a ten minute walk to a neighborhood park.

We have made massive progress as a community, to make McLaren Park safe, clean and welcoming to families and individuals for recreation, contemplation, exercise and enjoyment of amazing vista. There is so much more to be done for McLaren Park, and all city parks.

So I urge you to ensure that the portion of the Bond for our parks is voted in - for everyone's health and well being.

As always, thank you for what you do for our treasured city. Thank you for supporting our parks and our residents.

Be well,
Leila

From: [jenifer twiford](#)
To: [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Mar, Gordon \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Haney, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: 2020 San Francisco Health and Recovery Bond
Date: Thursday, May 7, 2020 12:35:22 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

May 7, 2020

Board of Supervisors
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, CA

RE: 2020 San Francisco Health and Recovery Bond

Dear Board of Supervisors,
I am writing to express my support on behalf of the Potrero Hill Community Garden for the 2020 San Francisco Health and Recovery Bond. This 2020 Bond will be considered for recommendation by the Board of Supervisors for inclusion on the November Ballot.
Our City's economic and financial situation has changed due to COVID-19. The 2020 San Francisco Health and Recovery Bond will prioritize shovel-ready projects delivering essential government services, support economic recovery through job creation for San Franciscans, provide one-time funding for behavioral health and health access, while prioritizing basic infrastructure investments in our parks and recreation facilities and right-of-way infrastructure so people can get back to work quickly and help San Francisco recover.
During COVID-19, San Francisco residents sought solace and refuge in our City Parks for exercise and better mental health, especially in denser neighborhoods and in Equity Zones. A recent survey by the National Recreation and Park Association found that 83% of American adults agree that visiting their local parks, trails and open spaces are essential for their mental and physical well-being during the COVID-19 pandemic. The benefits of parks are long lasting, and it clear that planning for better days ahead will ensure that our open spaces are resilient.
The Potrero Hill Community Garden is supportive of the 2020 San Francisco Health and Recovery Bond City. In particular, the 2020 Bond has identified several park, open space, and recreation facilities and

improvement projects that address a range of benefits for residents and employees to increase quality of life, mental well-being and physical health.

The previous 2008 and 2012 bonds allowed San Francisco Recreation and Park Department to complete over 27 large capital projects and over 130 citywide park projects. This level of commitment and dedication immensely improved our parks system, but much more needs to be done. Please support the 2020 San Francisco Health and Recovery Bond City by approving the Bond proposal for the November 2020 Ballot.

Thank you for supporting of our parks and City!

Best,
Jenifer Twiford
PHCG Treasurer

From: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#)
Subject: FW: 2020 Health and Recovery Bond
Date: Thursday, May 14, 2020 12:35:00 PM

From: Tim Figueras <tiju@pacbell.net>
Sent: Tuesday, May 12, 2020 10:58 AM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>
Subject: Re: 2020 Health and Recovery Bond

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I am writing to express my support for the Recreation Center Capital Project that is identified the **2020 San Francisco Health and Recovery Bond** on behalf of the Friends of Gene Friend Rec/Draves Park. This 2020 Bond will be considered for recommendation by the Board of Supervisors for inclusion on the November Ballot.

During COVID-19, San Francisco residents sought solace and refuge in our City Parks for exercise and better mental health, especially in denser neighborhoods and in Equity Zones. A recent survey by the National Recreation and Park Association found that 83% of American adults agree that visiting their local parks, trails and open spaces are essential for their mental and physical well-being during the COVID-19 pandemic. The benefits of parks are long lasting, and it clear that planning for better days ahead will ensure that our open spaces are resilient.

Gene Friend Recreation Center is a vital part of the District 6. **The Friends of Gene Friend Rec/Draves Park** is fully supportive of the **2020 San Francisco Health and Recovery Bond**, as it will provide much needed \$50 Million funding for the Gene Friend Recreation Center. I fully support the Gene Friend Recreation Center and I'm hoping it will receive continued support for the proposed renovation. We would also like to add that the Portsmouth Square proposal be put back by SFRPD on the bond.

The previous 2008 and 2012 bonds allowed San Francisco Recreation and Park Department to complete over 27 large capital projects and over 130 citywide park projects. This level of commitment and dedication immensely improved our parks system, but much more needs to be done. Please support the **2020 San Francisco Health and Recovery Bond** by approving the Bond proposal for the November 2020 Ballot that includes our park projects.

Thank you for supporting of our parks and City!

Please feel free to contact me if necessary.

Tim Figueras
Former Facilities Coordinator

Gene Friend @ South of Market
Recreation Center

415.307.7293 text/vMail/cell

From: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#)
Subject: FW: 2020 San Francisco Health and Recovery Bond
Date: Thursday, May 14, 2020 12:34:00 PM

From: leiladwight@aol.com <leiladwight@aol.com>
Sent: Tuesday, May 12, 2020 11:47 AM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>
Subject: 2020 San Francisco Health and Recovery Bond

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a lifelong advocate for our city parks, particularly McLaren Park that serves four of the last blue collar neighborhoods and all San Franciscans.

Please support the portion of the 2020 San Francisco Health and Recovery Bond to provide \$200m in funding desperately needed for the health and welfare of all city parks. Current and future San Franciscans deserve to benefit by the amazing forethought of predecessors who ensured that every resident is a ten minute walk to a neighborhood park.

We have made massive progress as a community, to make McLaren Park safe, clean and welcoming to families and individuals for recreation, contemplation, exercise and enjoyment of amazing vista. There is so much more to be done for McLaren Park, and all city parks.

So I urge you to ensure that the portion of the Bond for our parks is voted in - for everyone's health and well being.

As always, thank you for what you do for our treasured city. Thank you for supporting our parks and our residents.

Be well,
Leila

From: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#)
Subject: FW: 2020 San Francisco Health and Recovery Bond
Date: Thursday, May 14, 2020 12:34:00 PM
Attachments: [2020 Bond Support Letter - Board of Supervisors - McLaren Park.docx](#)

From: ROBERT CHIESA <konalei@prodigy.net>
Sent: Tuesday, May 12, 2020 11:24 AM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>
Subject: 2020 San Francisco Health and Recovery Bond

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

From: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#)
Subject: FW: 2020 San Francisco Health and Recovery Bond
Date: Monday, May 11, 2020 11:36:00 AM

From: Mary Devereaux <marydevereaux@att.net>
Sent: Friday, May 8, 2020 9:16 AM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>
Cc: Hui, Mei Ling (REC) <meiling.hui@sfgov.org>
Subject: 2020 San Francisco Health and Recovery Bond

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

May 8, 2020

Dear Supervisors,

I am writing to express support for the **2020 San Francisco Health and Recovery Bond** on behalf of the Leadership Team of Crags Court Community Garden. This 2020 Bond will be considered for recommendation by the Capital Planning Committee and Board of Supervisors for inclusion on the November Ballot.

During COVID-19, San Francisco residents sought solace and refuge in our City Parks and Community Gardens for exercise and better mental health. A recent survey by the National Recreation and Park Association found that 83% of American adults agree that visiting their local parks, trails and open spaces is essential for their mental and physical well-being during the COVID-19 pandemic. The benefits of parks and community gardens are long lasting, and it is clear that planning for better days ahead will ensure that our open spaces are resilient.

The Crags Court Community Garden Leadership Team is supportive of the **2020 San Francisco Health and Recovery Bond**.

The previous 2008 and 2012 bonds allowed San Francisco Recreation and Park Department to complete over 27 large capital projects and over 130 citywide park projects. This level of commitment and dedication immensely improved our parks system, but much more needs to be done. Please support the **2020 San Francisco Health and Recovery Bond** by approving the Bond proposal, which will be forwarded to the Board of Supervisors for their approval and placement on to the November 2020 Ballot.

Thank you for supporting our parks, our City and our community gardens!

Mary Devereaux
For the Leadership Team of Crags Court Community Garden

From: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#)
Subject: FW: BVNA Support for 2020 San Francisco Health and Recovery Bond
Date: Thursday, May 14, 2020 12:34:00 PM
Attachments: [BVNA Support 2020 Health & Recovery Bond - BOS.pdf](#)

From: David Burke <david@davidrburke.net>
Sent: Thursday, May 14, 2020 10:42 AM
To: Mandelman, Rafael (BOS) <rafael.mandelman@sfgov.org>; Preston, Dean (BOS) <dean.preston@sfgov.org>; Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>
Cc: Mundy, Erin (BOS) <erin.mundy@sfgov.org>; Snyder, Jen (BOS) <jen.snyder@sfgov.org>; m.s.leighton@gmail.com; Ginsburg, Phil (REC) <phil.ginsburg@sfgov.org>; Ajike, Toks (REC) <toks.ajike@sfgov.org>; Madland, Sarah (REC) <sarah.madland@sfgov.org>
Subject: BVNA Support for 2020 San Francisco Health and Recovery Bond

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express our support on behalf of the Buena Vista Neighborhood Association for the 2020 San Francisco Health and Recovery Bond as presented this week to the Board of Supervisors.

As you know, our City's economic and financial situation has changed due to COVID-19. The 2020 San Francisco Health and Recovery Bond will prioritize shovel-ready projects delivering essential government services, support economic recovery through job creation for San Franciscans, provide one-time funding for behavioral health and health access, while prioritizing basic infrastructure investments in our parks and recreation facilities and right-of-way infrastructure so people can get back to work quickly and help San Francisco recover.

During COVID-19, San Francisco residents sought solace and refuge in our City Parks, including Buena Vista Park, for exercise and better mental health, especially in denser neighborhoods and in Equity Zones. A recent survey by the National Recreation and Park Association found that 83% of American adults agree that visiting their local parks, trails and open spaces are essential for their mental and physical well-being during the COVID-19 pandemic. The benefits of parks are long lasting, and it's clear that planning for better days ahead will ensure that our open spaces are resilient.

Buena Vista Neighborhood Association is supportive of the 2020 San Francisco Health and Recovery Bond. In particular, the 2020 Bond has identified several parks, open space, and recreation facilities and improvement projects that address a range of benefits for residents and employees to increase quality of life, mental well-being and physical health. This does and should include Buena Vista Park, one of San Francisco's oldest, largest and most precious natural parks.

The previous 2008 and 2012 bonds allowed San Francisco Recreation and Park Department to complete over 27 large capital projects and over 130 citywide park projects. This level of commitment and dedication immensely improved our parks system, but much more needs to be done. Please support the 2020 San Francisco Health and Recovery Bond by approving the Bond proposal for the November 2020 Ballot.

Thank you for supporting our parks and City!

David R. Burke
Board Member and Interim President
Buena Vista Neighborhood Association (BVNA)
415-990-4456
david@davidrburke.net
www.bvnasf.org

From: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#)
Subject: FW: Please support Park & Rec & Gene Friend Rec Center in the 2020 Bond
Date: Monday, May 11, 2020 4:59:00 PM

From: Jane Weil <jane@janeweil.com>
Sent: Monday, May 11, 2020 4:15 PM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>
Subject: FW: Please support Park & Rec & Gene Friend Rec Center in the 2020 Bond

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I represent District 6 to PROSAC and am writing to express my support for the Gene Friend Recreation Center Capital Project that is identified the **2020 San Francisco Health and Recovery Bond**. This 2020 Bond will be considered for recommendation by the Board of Supervisors for inclusion on the November Ballot.

District 6 has the lowest amount of open space per person in the city, yet is the densest district, and the Tenderloin has the most children per square mile...we desperately need more outdoor space. The Gene Friend Rec center is small and old and the renovation will make a huge difference.

During COVID-19, San Francisco residents sought solace and refuge in our City Parks for exercise and better mental health, especially in denser neighborhoods and in Equity Zones. A recent survey by the National Recreation and Park Association found that 83% of American adults agree that visiting their local parks, trails and open spaces are essential for their mental and physical well-being during the COVID-19 pandemic. The benefits of parks are long lasting, and it clear that planning for better days ahead will ensure that our open spaces are resilient.

The previous 2008 and 2012 bonds allowed San Francisco Recreation and Park Department to complete over 27 large capital projects and over 130 citywide park projects. This level of commitment and dedication immensely improved our parks system, but much more needs to be done. Please support the **2020 San Francisco Health and Recovery Bond** by approving the Bond proposal for the November 2020 Ballot that includes our park projects.

Please support the **2020 San Francisco Health and Recovery Bond**, as it will provide much needed \$50 Million funding for the Gene Friend Recreation Center.

Thank you for supporting of our parks and City!

Jane Weil
1160 Mission Street #2108

San Francisco CA 94103
415-793-6136

From: [Linda Stark Litehiser](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Mar, Gordon \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Haney, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#)
Cc: [Joe Litehiser](#)
Subject: Please support the 2020 San Francisco Health and Recovery Bond for the November Ballot
Date: Sunday, May 10, 2020 5:12:14 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

From: Linda and Joe Litehiser
78 Havelock St.
San Francisco, CA 94112

May 10, 2020

To: San Francisco Board of Supervisors

RE: 2020 San Francisco Health and Recovery Bond

Dear Supervisors,

As long time San Francisco park and open space advocates and 50+ year residents of San Francisco, we are writing to express our support for the **2020 San Francisco Health and Recovery Bond** to be placed on the November 2020 Ballot.

Our City's economic and financial situation has changed due to COVID-19. The **2020 San Francisco Health and Recovery Bond** will prioritize shovel-ready projects delivering essential government services, support economic recovery through job creation for San Franciscans, provide one-time funding for behavioral health and health access, while prioritizing basic infrastructure investments in our parks and recreation facilities and right-of-way infrastructure so people can get back to work quickly and help San Francisco recover.

Throughout the COVID-19, Shelter in Place mandates, we have used our parks (particularly, Balboa, Crocker Amazon and McLaren) as we sought comfort and vital exercise during this especially stressful time. It has been our main source of “normalcy” while we endured what has been such a surreal existence over the past months. Being in a park makes you remember what life was like before the virus dominated our lives. The sun, the wind and the fog are friends that we can embrace. We are so grateful for this resource. The benefits of parks are long lasting, and it clear that planning for better days ahead will ensure that our open spaces are resilient.

Joe and I are supportive of the **2020 San Francisco Health and Recovery Bond City**. In particular, the 2020 Bond has identified several park, open space, and recreation facilities and improvement projects that address a range of benefits for residents and employees to increase quality of life,

mental well-being and physical health. We are hopeful that the amounts listed can be approved—though we wish we could have advocated for an even larger amount. This bond was much anticipated by our various park groups and though it falls short of doing all the things we had hoped for, we feel it will be helpful as a stop gap to keep things moving in the right direction. Our parks need every penny that can be allocated—even in our most challenging financial times.

We wish to acknowledge the wonderful staff of the San Francisco Recreation and Parks Department. The gardeners and other staff have been some of the most amazing front line workers that our City employs. They are keeping the parks in excellent condition and monitoring the various activities. This is an example of how one City agency has really stepped up in these most challenging times.

We wholeheartedly support the **2020 San Francisco Health and Recovery Bond** and we hope you will support it as well. We sent a similar letter to the SF Recreation and Parks Commission and appreciated their support on this vital issue.

Thank you for supporting of our parks and City!

Most sincerely: Linda Litehiser linda.litehi@gmail.com 415-516-9224 cell

And Joe Litehiser jjlitehi@outlook.com 415-819-7456 cell

From: [Tim Figueras](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Re: 2020 Health and Recovery Bond
Date: Tuesday, May 12, 2020 10:57:56 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I am writing to express my support for the Recreation Center Capital Project that is identified the **2020 San Francisco Health and Recovery Bond** on behalf of the Friends of Gene Friend Rec/Draves Park. This 2020 Bond will be considered for recommendation by the Board of Supervisors for inclusion on the November Ballot.

During COVID-19, San Francisco residents sought solace and refuge in our City Parks for exercise and better mental health, especially in denser neighborhoods and in Equity Zones. A recent survey by the National Recreation and Park Association found that 83% of American adults agree that visiting their local parks, trails and open spaces are essential for their mental and physical well-being during the COVID-19 pandemic. The benefits of parks are long lasting, and it clear that planning for better days ahead will ensure that our open spaces are resilient.

Gene Friend Recreation Center is a vital part of the District 6. **The Friends of Gene Friend Rec/Draves Park** is fully supportive of the **2020 San Francisco Health and Recovery Bond**, as it will provide much needed \$50 Million funding for the Gene Friend Recreation Center. I fully support the Gene Friend Recreation Center and I'm hoping it will receive continued support for the proposed renovation. We would also like to add that the Portsmouth Square proposal be put back by SFRPD on the bond.

The previous 2008 and 2012 bonds allowed San Francisco Recreation and Park Department to complete over 27 large capital projects and over 130 citywide park projects. This level of commitment and dedication immensely improved our parks system, but much more needs to be done. Please support the **2020 San Francisco Health and Recovery Bond** by approving the Bond proposal for the November 2020 Ballot that includes our park projects.

Thank you for supporting of our parks and City!

Please feel free to contact me if necessary.

Tim Figueras
Former Facilities Coordinator
Gene Friend @ South of Market
Recreation Center

415.307.7293 text/vMail/cell

From: [Matthew Blain](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Mar, Gordon \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Haney, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Support parks in 2020 San Francisco Health and Recovery Bond
Date: Tuesday, May 12, 2020 11:50:38 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I am writing on behalf of SF Urban Riders to express my support for the Parks allocation identified in the 2020 San Francisco Health and Recovery Bond .

The parks have provided an important outlet for the city. This has been reinforced during the covid crisis. They have provided a place of respite and for physical and mental health.

This bond includes funding for parks the city, particularly many in areas of high needs. Parks become extra important in times of crisis. The funded projects will also provide jobs to assist in the economic recovery. Of particular interest to SF Urban Riders, the will provide funding for trails city wide and McLaren Park projects in particular.

Thank you for supporting of our parks and City!

Matthew Blain

Chair, SF Urban Riders

A project of the San Francisco Parks Alliance

From: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#)
Cc: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: 12 letters for File No. 200453
Date: Monday, May 11, 2020 4:51:00 PM
Attachments: [homeless encampments in Golden Gate Park.msg](#)
[McLaren Park - Unhoused Occupancy .msg](#)
[Tents in McLaren Park.msg](#)
[McLaren Park not the solution for homeless shelter in place.msg](#)
[safe tent sites.msg](#)
[tents in parks - see city streets dont ruin public spaces when people are locked up.... .msg](#)
[Park use for homeless.msg](#)
[NO to for proposal to tent sites in McLaren.msg](#)
[NO to for proposal to tent sites in McLaren.msg](#)
[Parks being used for homeless.msg](#)
[RE Letter in opposition to Covid 19 emergency tent housing in San Francisco City Parks.msg](#)
[Letter in opposition to Covid 19 emergency tent housing in San Francisco City Parks.msg](#)

Hello,

Please see the attached 12 letters for File No. 200453.

File No. 200453 - Emergency ordinance authorizing the use of park property for temporary shelter and other measures in response to the COVID-19 pandemic; directing the Recreation and Park Department to report to the Board of Supervisors with a list of potential locations for such uses; and waiving contrary provisions in Administrative Code, Chapters 79 and 79A, and Charter, Section 4.113, if and to the extent applicable.

Thank you,

Jackie Hickey
Board of Supervisors
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102-4689
Phone: (415) 554-5184 | Direct: (415) 554-7701
jacqueline.hickey@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

From: [John Barkan](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: homeless encampments in Golden Gate Park
Date: Monday, May 11, 2020 4:37:16 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I object to government permission, let alone facilitating. They are already there by the way. Drug dealers will inevitably follow. Use empty parking lots if necessary - i.e. schools, DMV, Sunset Water Reservoir, etc. Once they are there, what makes you think they will ever leave. Free and safe access to ALL of all the parks is more critical now than ever. John Barkan, 1221 27th Ave, 94122

From: [Linda Stark Litehiser](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Mar, Gordon \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Haney, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); rafael.mendelman@sfgov.org; [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Letter in opposition to Covid 19 emergency tent housing in San Francisco City Parks
Date: Sunday, May 10, 2020 4:43:57 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

During these times of COVID-19, parks and open spaces have been vital to everyone's physical and mental health. Throughout our city, tents and encampments have become a contentious issue and our city has worked to address individuals experiencing homelessness with the appropriate solutions. **As our city continues to respond to the urgency of this issue, I am opposed to the Supervisor Fewer's proposal for the use of park properties for safe encampment sites.**

I have spent my entire adult life advocating and helping support our park system as an active volunteer--PLEASE DON'T DO THIS.

Here are some point I would like you to consider:

1. The parks are already in use for many vital services. Our neighborhoods Rec centers are being used to serve as daycare centers for the children of first responders and essential workers. It was a necessary solution for what we hoped would only be a few months but this situation could go on for some additional time. It means that fewer of our recreation indoor spaces are now available for our families, seniors and residents of all ages to exercise and recreate. **This summer, we are not likely to be ble to provide Day Camps, Sports Camps and Swimming programs which means that our parks need all of their green space areas to help our citizens get the valued exercise and mental peace of mind that comes with being "outside in nature".** Our precious open spaces are needed now more than ever and as I live near a park, we are already seeing conflicts between people who refuse to wear face coverings or are engaging in unsafe behavior. Tent camps will exacerbate already concerning situations which will pit neighborhoods against neighborhoods--depending on which parks are selected. My fear is that the parks in less affluent neighborhoods will be selected creating even greater inequality and issues. Parks with more foliage and dry grasses could become engulfed in wild fires. It happens yearly with presently "illegal" encampments. Vigilant park staff and volunteers keeps an eye out for camping situations that can get out of hand.

2. I fear that the 60 days being proposed will not be close to the time needed to help the individuals who will choose or be chosen to live in these encampments. I don't believe that once these sites are selected that there will be any control over who can camp there and how to prevent others from showing up. **What we will be doing is creating the de facto right to sleep (and live) in our parks--which now, thanks to legislation, are closed at midnight.** How do we stop this experiment--if it is not working ? How do you control those who now feel they have a "right" to live 24 -7 in our parks, how do you transition people to permanent housing? Our State laws allow

individuals to claim "squatters Rights" after 30 days. I believe the City had to settle a lawsuit some years ago -where a "resident" of Golden Gate Park won a case on that legal ground. Would we have hundreds or thousands who now claim they "legally live" in our parks and opens spaces? Once "opened up" this will become an out of control situation. I remember well the Tent City experiment at Civic Center Plaza under the Agnos administration. It was a nightmare scenario and that took years to bring the plaza back to a place that now is a source of pride, safety and all types of activities that support this area as a true "commons area". It does require vigilance to maintain it-- the area requires constant supervision to prevent and maintain safety. How will we do that in as many as 8 separate park locations? We are clearly not learning from our mistakes.

Sadly, our homeless situation has never been solved properly and now we are suggesting using the park for another band-aid. Our neighborhoods depend on our parks-- each one is actively used and provides solace for those without a personal backyard. A proposal of this scale significantly impacts everyone living near a park and using their neighborhood park. Encampment tents could lead to unsafe access, unsanitary conditions of the parks for everyday park users. Without a collaborative discussion with neighbors and residents, this proposal would leave us with far less access to our parks.

Sincerely,
Linda Stark Litehiser
San Francisco Park Advocate and Volunteer
78 Havelock St, San Francisco, CA 94112
District 11
415-516-9224 cell

From: [Matthew Stevens](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Cc: [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Chinchilla, Monica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: McLaren Park - Unhoused Occupancy
Date: Monday, May 11, 2020 2:16:53 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Ahsha / SF Board of Supervisors -

The controversial topic of allowing unhoused individuals to occupy / tent / live in public parks is driving a stir through the community. Please make decisions AGAINST this proposal as it would have an incredible negative impact on the local community. This is an example of shifting issues into other parts of the city that are already dangerously underserved.

Thanks,

Matt Stevens
McLaren Park Advocate

From: [Kerri](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: McLaren Park not the solution for homeless shelter in place
Date: Monday, May 11, 2020 11:54:17 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I want our homeless community members to have a safe place to rest in place. I do not feel that closing McLaren park to the thousands of people in the neighborhood and across the city who are in it everyday is an appropriate solution. Perhaps the golf courses at Lake Merced, and in McLaren park could be workable locations as they are areas that are fenced in. McLaren park is not fancy, like Golden Gate park, but rather it is wild and nature prevails. This should not indicate to you that it is not appreciated. It is on the contrary a critical element for so many people to get through this crisis. I am writing to you from a bike trail in the park right now that I am on with my son. Please consider other ideas.

Thank you,
Kerri Terk, 1651 Burrows Steet, SF
Sent from my iPhone

From: thistleball@sbcglobal.net
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO to for proposal to tent sites in McLaren
Date: Monday, May 11, 2020 10:12:10 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Board of Supervisors,

Please vote NO on the emergency proposal to allow homeless people to use public parks as tent sites during Covid 19.

It would be a drug ridden and trafficking catastrophe in McLaren park. The topography of McLaren would make it impossible to patrol during COVID 19 with limited resources, and to reverse post Covid 19.

sincerely

Jeremy H Goldman
SF resident who lives near McLaren

From: [Hallie Sinor](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO to for proposal to tent sites in McLaren
Date: Monday, May 11, 2020 9:55:40 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Board of Supervisors,

Please vote NO on the emergency proposal to allow homeless people to use public parks as tent sites during Covid 19.

It would be a drug ridden and trafficking catastrophe in McLaren park. The topography of McLaren would make it impossible to patrol during COVID 19 with limited resources, and to reverse post Covid 19. There are only 3 bathrooms for 400 acres, so human waste and needles would be everywhere. The city would not have budget to clean up afterwards, especially since it can't patrol, clean, and control the tent sprawl in front of Civic Center.

To allow the homeless to take over the public parks will leave no place for families and San Franciscans to have space for recreation. This will avert tourism and make the homeless situation even more difficult to control by it being dispersed. It would then be left to the hardworking working class neighborhoods surrounding McLaren to deal with the increased crime, drug use, and needles/waste, with their parks being overtaken by the homeless, therefore no longer public. Many would no longer be able to even use the restrooms in McLaren because they would be taken over. I think there is one gardener for the 400 acres, maybe 4? It would be way too expensive to patrol and clean this park.

Best,
Hallie

Hallie Sinor
Learning Experience Designer
[Web Site](#) | 415.548.3317

From: [Beth Clendenin](#)
To: [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Park use for homeless
Date: Monday, May 11, 2020 10:59:24 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I am writing as a concerned resident who absolutely wants to see the homeless cared for in this crisis. I support their sheltering in appropriate places such as hotel/motels, schools etc.

As a resident of district 11 for many years I would hate to see our limited outdoor space and nature such as we find in McLaren park being closed to the public to make space for homeless sheltering in place.

This park has become a lifeline for many of us and especially beneficial for those of us with children who love to allow them the precious little space to ride bikes and run free in the great outdoors. I know many neighbors have utilized this park more than before the crisis began.

Thank you for weighing carefully everyone's needs

Beth Clendenin
District 11 resident
Parent of 3 kids.

From: [Skb Sf](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Parks being used for homeless
Date: Sunday, May 10, 2020 9:23:55 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hello,

I am a San Francisco resident, and I live near McLaren Park. I am there daily, walking or running, or just hanging out. I am emailing to say that I do not approve of the idea to use SF Park lands to provide space for tents for the homeless. I do sympathize with persons who are unhoused, and feel all levels of government should and could do more to address the homeless crisis. But merely allowing tent spaces in our parks will not solve the problems the tent-dwellers face, especially with the health issues presented with Covid-19. For one thing, these persons will still not have a proper roof over their heads. They won't be warm on cold nights, will barely be dry on rainy nights. Sure, they might have access to water to wash their hands and face, but will they have hot water and shower facilities? Living in a tent is substandard.

You can do better than turning city parks into camp sites. You can do better than to turn your backs on the sheltered residents, who in these times need the parks more than ever for recreation, unencumbered.

Thank you,

S K Burke

From: [Joe Litehiser](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Mar, Gordon \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Haney, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#)
Cc: [Linda Stark Litehiser](#)
Subject: RE: Letter in opposition to Covid 19 emergency tent housing in San Francisco City Parks
Date: Sunday, May 10, 2020 6:48:00 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

As our city continues to respond to the urgency of safe shelter for the homeless during the COVID-19 pandemic, I am opposed to the Supervisor Fewer's proposal for the use of park properties for safe encampment sites.

Although undoubtedly well-intentioned as sidewalk tent sites proliferate, even extending beyond areas long struggling with this problem and into outer reaches of the City such as the Richmond District, I have to wonder if this suggestion has been adequately thought out. And according to the May 5th article in the Examiner Supervisor Fewer herself was at that time, "still working out the details" of her proposal for temporary Safe Sleeping Sites in public parks.

Others have raised a number of concerns that I need not repeat. But I do want a few details that occur to me to be considered.

First, the Examiner article says that the thought is for 40 – 60 tents to be placed 12 feet apart on less than one acre of land, along with amenities like drinking water, handwashing stations, bathrooms and sanitation. Using a near-average number of 49 tent sites, say, this implies, using one simple geometry, seven rows 12 ft apart with seven tents 12 ft apart per row. With a little buffering around the margins, this takes an area of about 5,200 square feet. This is a little more than one tenth of an acre whose area is 43,560 square feet. If the proposal is really to use up to "a few" acres, this simple geometry would allow almost 290 tent sites per acre, less, of course, space needed for, "amenities like drinking water, handwashing stations, bathrooms and sanitation."

I believe that the logistics to implement, then dismantle, these temporary tent sheltering sites, the costs associated with these logistics, and the policing and additional ongoing City support services that would surely be needed to assure safety for the campers, the users of park resources for outdoor exercise in this time of SIP by the citizens of San Francisco, and the safety of neighborhoods adjacent to any identified tent site areas, all these things are enough to conclude that the negatives far outweigh any benefit to be realized from this idea.

And to require the San Francisco Recreation and Parks Department to write a report that must inevitably come to the same conclusion, for the above and many other reasons, is surely a waste of resources that are currently being much better spent keeping our desperately needed parks open and maintained for the purposes they exist during these trying times – to provide the citizens of the

City physical, emotional, and mental support within the parameters of existing Park access rules and under the SIP strictures of the current City Ordinance.

So, again, I am opposed to the Supervisor Fewer's proposal for the use of park properties for safe encampment sites.

Very Sincerely,

Joe Litehiser

San Francisco Park Advocate and Volunteer

78 Havelock St, San Francisco, CA 94112

District 11

415-819-7456

From: [Deirdre](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: safe tent sites
Date: Monday, May 11, 2020 11:43:49 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors of San Francisco.

I am emailing you to register my support to use city parks to provide safe tent sites for the unhoused residents of San Francisco. While I sympathize with the folks who are arguing to reserve our open green spaces for their outings, and I think that is important, (frankly I would prefer that too!) I believe we have the responsibility as decent human beings to prioritize the security and safety of all our residents.

Sincerely,
Deirdre Elmansoumi
Resident of District 10

From: [Donna Sharee](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Tents in McLaren Park
Date: Monday, May 11, 2020 1:48:08 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Board of Supervisors,

Please vote NO on the emergency proposal to allow people without homes to use public parks as tent sites during Covid 19.

Our parks are for our recreation and are so needed by working people and families with children in this time of SIP. I am especially concerned about McLaren Park because it is a park for the Excelsior, the Portola, Crocker Amazon, and Visitation Valley. It only has three bathrooms for 400 acres and has a very limited amount of gardeners for such a large area. I feel by choosing McLaren Park it is putting at risk our working class neighborhoods because we are out of the public eye and are not wealthy neighborhoods. I know that the 94112 zip code had one of the very highest numbers of people that had contracted Covid 19, so we are even more vulnerable than other neighborhoods to bringing people without homes to our area. Furthermore, our park is very far from the services that the people housed in the tents would need. I am so afraid of the increased crime, drug use, needles, and garbage this would bring to our beautiful park.

Please don't take our public parks away from the public at this time!

Sincerely,
Donna Sharee
District 11 Resident for 28 years!

From: [Aaron Goodman](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: tents in parks - see city streets / don't ruin public spaces when people are locked up...
Date: Monday, May 11, 2020 11:24:49 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

SFBOS agenda item Tuesday

As I am unable to attend please accept these comments into the record.

Please do not allow camping in public areas and parks. The streets both downtown and outside the downtown are covered in debris garbage and feces especially near tent sites on sidewalks.

You currently do not have control over this situation and pushing it to the parks will assuredly ruin what little positive public zones we have in SF.

If you want to test the theory let the homeless park under your watchful eye at city hall plaza. But not in the public parks and spaces critical for those in outer areas needing a place to stretch or get out.

This will also impact resources such as sfdpw and rec and park that are already stretched thin.

Use other means to address this challenge and seek further input on where and in what methods we can solve for housing issues without destroying the few public zones we have remaining that are already under grave pressures due to population growth in sf...

It's hard enough to get funds for a bathroom near a playground in McLaren park and proper maintenance and staffing.

Stuffing homeless encampments into public parks will worsen the tourist needed industry in sf and cause long term damage to our public shared amenities.

Agoodman D11

Sent from my iPhone

From: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#)
Subject: 41 letters for File No. 200453
Date: Wednesday, May 13, 2020 5:11:00 PM
Attachments: [Please No Encampments .msg](#)
[Proposed Tent Encampments .msg](#)
[Ordinance 200453 - I oppose public parks incl. John McLaren park to be used as homeless camps.msg](#)
[Tent sites at McLaren Park for Homeless.msg](#)
[I do not agree in allowing encampments in Golden Gate Park.msg](#)
[Homeless encampment at McLaren Park.msg](#)
[tent encampments in Golden Gate Park.msg](#)
[Homeless encampment Golden gate Park.msg](#)
[DO NOT ALLOW MCLAREN TO BECOME A HOMELESS CAMP.msg](#)
[Homeless Encampment in GGP.msg](#)
[Tent Encampments in Golden Gate Park.msg](#)
[Golden Gate Park Proposed Homeless Encampment.msg](#)
[Please STOP the Proposed Homeless Tent Encampments in GGP.msg](#)
[Homeless in Golden Gate Park .msg](#)
[Opposed to homeless housed in Golden Gate Park.msg](#)
[Fwd Please do not house homeless in our parks.msg](#)
[SFLDC's Email supporting legislation to allow the creation of Safe Sleeping Sites in San Francisco.msg](#)
[Fw housing homeless in GG Park No thank you.msg](#)
[Fw NO to moving homeless encampments to the Golden Gate Park.msg](#)
[Fw Fewer's Annouement to use GG Park as Homeless Shelter.msg](#)
[Fw Golden gate park.msg](#)
[Fw Golden Gate Park.msg](#)
[Fw oppose camping for homeless or anyone in GGP.msg](#)
[Fw Homeless Encampment in GGP.msg](#)
[Fw Homeless tents in Golden Gate Park.msg](#)
[Fw Homeless encampment in Golden Gate Park.msg](#)
[Fw No Homeless Tent Encampments in Golden Gate Park.msg](#)
[Fw I do not agree in allowing encampments in Golden Gate Park.msg](#)
[Fw Please do not let McLaren Park become a homeless camp.msg](#)
[Fw Do not put dangerous tent encampments at Kezar or 730 Stanyan.msg](#)
[Fw Tents in Park for Homeless.msg](#)
[Fw Golden Gate Park Proposed Homeless Encampment.msg](#)
[Fw NO HOMELESS CAMPS IN GG PARK.msg](#)
[Fw Public comment on Homeless encampment in GGP.msg](#)
[Fw Golden Gate Park is not the answer!.msg](#)
[Fw No homeless encampments in Golden Gate Park.msg](#)
[Fw Do not destroy GGP by housing homeless population!.msg](#)
[FW proposed sheltering of homeless in GGP.msg](#)
[FW Opposed to homeless population in Golden Gate Park.msg](#)
[FW Please do not house homeless in our parks.msg](#)
[FW Golden Gate Park.msg](#)

Hello,

Please see the attached 41 letters for File No. 200453.

File No. 200453 - Emergency ordinance authorizing the use of park property for temporary shelter and other measures in response to the COVID-19 pandemic; directing the Recreation and Park Department to report to the Board of Supervisors with a list of potential locations for such uses; and waiving contrary provisions in Administrative Code, Chapters 79 and 79A, and Charter, Section 4.113, if and to the extent applicable.

Thank you,

Jackie Hickey
Board of Supervisors
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, City Hall, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102-4689

Phone: (415) 554-5184 | Direct: (415) 554-7701

jacqueline.hickey@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

From: [Charles Yust](#)
To: [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Board of Supervisors. \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: DO NOT ALLOW MCLAREN TO BECOME A HOMELESS CAMP
Date: Monday, May 11, 2020 10:45:41 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisor Ronen and Board of Supervisors I am the homeowner of 481 Princeton, and live three doors from McLaren Park... I heard tonight that McLaren may be considered for a homeless encampment area. I am writing to express my extreme opposition to this idea; and ask you to oppose any proposal for this use. My kids are 8 and 6 years old, we use the park every day, for the love of god please don't do this - we find enough needles in our play grounds as it is!!!! The part of the park we live near has three playgrounds frequented by the neighborhood's children and elderly. We have owned here for 5 years. We have faced ongoing tough issues in this beautiful park over those years including dumping of trash, lack of maintenance, human waste from campers and other problems but it has gotten better recently. Please, please do not allow this, we use the park with our neighbors every day. We love the park and it has become our safe place near our homes. If it is used as an encampment, that will likely be an action not easy to reverse in the future and it will make our neighborhoods unsafe. I also ride the city bus every day to public school with my son in the Excelsior (SFC) and know from experience that city buses in other areas of the city with higher drug use can be unsafe to ride and **have been personally threatened** in the past. If you allow this my children will face the same kinds of threats daily as they try to ride their bikes, play in the park and go to school. Thank you for your consideration, I am happy to add much more detail as to why this is a bad idea and will be talking to my neighbors over the next week. I just heard of this tonight and understand the meeting is tomorrow; I don't know of anyone near me who was aware this might happen (WHICH IS INSANE - WE NEED TO NOTIFY ALL OUR NEIGHBORS IF WE SO MUCH AS CHANGE THE PAINT ON OUR HOMES!). I am respectfully asking you to push for what's right for your constituents in the Portola. I swear to god in the name of my children's safety and well being that I will be protesting in the streets and helping organize this entire neighborhood alongside my neighbors if this is allowed to happen. Best, Charles Yust, Father (8 and 6 yo) homeowner 481 Princeton St.

From: [RPDInfo, RPD \(REC\)](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Fw: Do not destroy GGP by housing homeless population!
Date: Tuesday, May 12, 2020 2:08:52 PM

From: Angela O'Neill <oneill.angela4@gmail.com>

Date: Tuesday, May 12, 2020 at 1:37 PM

To: "Breed, Mayor London (MYR)" <mayorlondonbreed@sfgov.org>, Phil Ginsburg <phil.ginsburg@sfgov.org>, "Mar, Gordon (BOS)" <gordon.mar@sfgov.org>, "Fewer, Sandra (BOS)" <sandra.fewer@sfgov.org>, Norman Yee <norman.yee@sfgov.org>

Subject: Do not destroy GGP by housing homeless population!

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I'm writing to ask you to oppose the proposal of Sandra Fewer, Supervisor of Richmond District, to use Rec & Park sites to house homeless population. Nice for her she won't have to deal with the problem from her home in San Rafael.

I have never written to an elected official before but feel this is the time.

I vehemently oppose any legislation that would put San Francisco's homeless population into our beautiful Golden Gate Park, the jewel in the crown of San Francisco. This is utter madness. To suggest that it would be a temporary measure is disingenuous and/or naive.

It has taken years to get the GGP to a place where families can freely roam without fear of being affronted with a homeless person screaming at them, without finding discarded needles and generally being afraid to go to the Park alone.

I have called San Francisco home for nearly 30 years. I remember Beach Chalet when you could not go into the trees to retrieve a soccer ball alone because of the fear of what you would encounter.

I am trying to raise a family in San Francisco and doing my best to stay here and not flee like so many families. At this point, I wonder if it has all been in vain and now feel like just giving up and moving out.

My children attended a local Catholic school in the Sunset and we had hoped they could go to public high school but that wasn't possible as they were allotted to a school on Folsom Street. We sent them to a Catholic high school, which, by the way, has open drug dealing and homeless mere blocks away. We are buckling under the strain of the fees to make this possible but we are committed to see it through.

I am wondering when the Mayor is going to work hard for us folk that are working hard? Working hard to stay in this City? Working hard to pay our taxes? Working hard to own a property in this City and pay more taxes? What about us? How much do you think we can take of this?

I voted for London Breed. I've been to many events and heard her speak. I sang at City Hall in March for the St. Patrick's Day flag raising. I realize it's a balancing act to try to keep everyone happy. I am a part of that Irish community that is asked to vote for London Breed. I will not be voting for her in the next election if she continues to disregard the hard-working people of this City and have any part in destroying our beautiful Golden Gate Park. Don't we hardworking residents deserve an oasis where we can move freely?

These are catastrophic times and we all have to make sacrifices. Our GGP should not be sacrificed.

There are plenty of other options to house the ever growing homeless/mentally ill population in San Francisco. You **should not support** this proposal to set up homeless in the Golden Gate Park.

Angela O'Neill

oneill.angela4@gmail.com

From: [RPDInfo, RPD \(REC\)](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Fw: Do not put dangerous tent encampments at Kezar or 730 Stanyan
Date: Tuesday, May 12, 2020 2:06:44 PM

Begin forwarded message:

From: Stacie Johnson <stacielyn_99@yahoo.com>
Date: May 11, 2020 at 5:06:27 PM PDT
To: "Preston, Dean (BOS)" <dean.preston@sfgov.org>
Cc: "Breed, Mayor London (MYR)" <mayorlondonbreed@sfgov.org>, "Ginsburg, Phil (REC)" <phil.ginsburg@sfgov.org>, "cvia-board@googlegroups.com" <cvia-board@googlegroups.com>
Subject: Do not put dangerous tent encampments at Kezar or 730 Stanyan

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Supervisor Preston,
I hope this message finds you well. My family and I live on Beulah St in Cole Valley and are writing to express our concern about placing a tent encampment around Kezar or at the old McDonalds site (730 Stanyan).

Please make sure you are listening to your constituents who actually live in Cole Valley/Haight. We are the law abiding, TAX PAYING, citizens who are raising children near these sites.

The McDonalds site used to be a magnet for crime, drug sales and drug use. It was an embarrassment to the neighborhood and city. First, I want to reiterate how pleased we have been since the McDonalds was purchased and shut down 2 years ago. Prior to that, we had significantly more homeless drug users and dealers that would linger around the entrance to the park and around our block. Our kids refused to walk under the bridge to go to the playground or to soccer practice because they were scared of the dogs that were off leash and the many young people openly doing and selling drugs. Over the course of living on Beulah, prior to the McDonalds shut down we found many needles on our front porch, a gun next to our garage, had a small fire that was started against our house (with clear drug paraphernalia), and too many to count human feces and urine incidents. To say the closing of that site has been crucial, much needed and appreciated is an understatement. Bringing this group back would be a disaster for our neighborhood.

The discussion about potentially using space around Kezar or at the old McDonalds site for a homeless camp site is also beyond concerning. Many kids and families utilize Kezar and Golden Gate park (appropriately distanced) for much needed exercise. Many kids and tax paying, law abiding citizens would need walk through this area to access Kezar, Golden Gate Park (one of this city's historic sites and most beloved asset) and our largest grocery store. The city just spent \$6 million, making this exact area a clean, safe place to be. Are you really going to reverse all of the progress that Vallie Brown made?

Please look at other D5 sites. Kezar and 730 Stanyan are not appropriate.

IF a tent encampment happens how will you and the city:

1. Make sure laws are being abided to and appropriate action (i.e. jail) is enforced (i know... funny.... San Francisco would never do that. Poor drug users need our sympathy).
2. Make sure appropriate social distancing is being enforced at the tent encampment. We now know that there are many cases of Covid19 in one of the navigation centers. Do we really want to be bringing that risk INTO a neighborhood that has very little exposure when these folks are likely NOT going to distance when they are high on drugs or otherwise?
3. Make sure there is a plan in place to keep the area clean and ensure these folks are respectful of the neighborhood (i.e. - needles, feces, urine, garbage, dogs off leash).
4. Have a plan to immediately REMOVE this tent encampment when this is all over? The likelihood of it just being removed is slim to none. We would need a timeline.
5. Involve the neighbors who ACTUALLY PAY THE TAXES TO FUND THIS FINE CITY to ensure there is a realistic plan in place. By plan, this includes showing how laws will be enforced.

Stacie and Dave Johnson

Sent from my iPad

From: [RPDInfo, RPD \(REC\)](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Fw: Fewer's Annouement to use GG Park as Homeless Shelter
Date: Tuesday, May 12, 2020 2:02:40 PM

Begin forwarded message:

From: Marcail Kennedy <marcailkennedy@gmail.com>
Date: May 12, 2020 at 8:15:23 AM PDT
To: "Breed, Mayor London (MYR)" <mayorlondonbreed@sfgov.org>, "Ginsburg, Phil (REC)" <phil.ginsburg@sfgov.org>, "Mar, Gordon (BOS)" <gordon.mar@sfgov.org>, "Fewer, Sandra (BOS)" <sandra.fewer@sfgov.org>, "Yee, Norman (BOS)" <norman.yee@sfgov.org>
Subject: Fewer's Annouement to use GG Park as Homeless Shelter

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hello Representatives in SF,

I have heard of Fewer's announcement to potentially use Golden Gate park as a specific site to house homeless population to help the spread of COVID-19. I think using a park in the middle of the city is ridiculous. You have requested us to shelter in place for months, and your city residents are abiding by that. If you now take away the ability for us to go to the park and a safe place for children to ride their bikes and be say, you are no longer giving us a safe place to work. Your community will protest. Use the hotels that are not being used right now for this, don't take away our parks and the little things we have left in this world right now.

Marcail

From: [RPDInfo, RPD \(REC\)](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Fw: Golden Gate Park is not the answer!
Date: Tuesday, May 12, 2020 2:08:25 PM

Begin forwarded message:

From: Krista Spence Loretto <kristaspenceloretto@yahoo.com>
Date: May 12, 2020 at 9:56:48 AM PDT
To: "Ginsburg, Phil (REC)" <phil.ginsburg@sfgov.org>
Subject: Golden Gate Park is not the answer!
Reply-To: Krista Spence Loretto <kristaspenceloretto@yahoo.com>

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

May 12, 2020

Dear Supervisor Ginsburg,

I agree with Senator Dianne Feinstein, Golden Gate Park is not the answer! The city has plenty of other resources such as the Cow Place, hotels, closed down commercial spaces, Candlestick Park, and the Giants parking lots. These places can be temporary and cleaned up. Golden Gate Park cannot be cleaned up once people live and use drugs. Needles and drug paraphernalia will remain for years to come. This will become the new normal and you will not get people to move out, quite the opposite, news travels fast and GGP will become the largest homeless park in the country.

Please for the children of the city and the neighbors of the park don't allow this to happen. I live half a block from GGP and the park is the best part of the city. It is our sanctuary. I love our park and take my daughter daily on walks and bike rides.

My daughter is scared of homeless people as she has been yelled at, cursed at, things are thrown at us, barked at by off-leash dogs, witnessed public defecation, and been with me when I needed to call 911 a number times. Two of which were for homeless fires in the park, one they lit and fell asleep the other was arson. We have had our cars broken into three times and our house was broken into once, while we were home.

Enough is enough please stop this legislation!

Sincerely,

Krista Spence Loretto
Longtime Sunset resident/taxpayer/mother

Krista Loretto
Founder, Classic Girl Clothing
415-533-5938
ClassicGirlClothing.com

From: [RPDInfo, RPD \(REC\)](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Fw: Golden Gate Park Proposed Homeless Encampment
Date: Tuesday, May 12, 2020 2:07:17 PM

Begin forwarded message:

From: Amy Greenberg <amyw1180@gmail.com>
Date: May 12, 2020 at 9:10:28 AM PDT
To: "Breed, Mayor London (MYR)" <mayorlondonbreed@sfgov.org>, "Ginsburg, Phil (REC)" <phil.ginsburg@sfgov.org>, "Mar, Gordon (BOS)" <gordon.mar@sfgov.org>, "Fewer, Sandra (BOS)" <sandra.fewer@sfgov.org>, "Yee, Norman (BOS)" <norman.yee@sfgov.org>, "Board of Supervisors, (BOS)" <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>
Subject: Golden Gate Park Proposed Homeless Encampment

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I am very disturbed that it is proposed that Golden Gate Park will become a homeless encampment.

This will bring, needles, feces and drugs to the park. The park will not be a safe place that people will be able to go to. There is already a high rate of car breaks in and crime in the Sunset and the Richmond and this will only make things worse.

There are other place in the city that would be a good location i.e. the Cow Palace.

Where has all the tax money gone to help the homeless? I am a Social Worker and there are barely/none any worthwhile services in the city.

It is disgusting what this city has become.

The new District Attorney will not be prosecuting any crimes that will occur in the park. How will we be safe?

-Amy Greenberg

From: [RPDInfo, RPD \(REC\)](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Fw: Golden gate park
Date: Tuesday, May 12, 2020 2:02:55 PM

Begin forwarded message:

From: Aaron Hoffmeyer <hoffmeyer.aaron@gmail.com>
Date: May 12, 2020 at 8:29:52 AM PDT
To: "Breed, Mayor London (MYR)" <mayorlondonbreed@sfgov.org>, "Ginsburg, Phil (REC)" <phil.ginsburg@sfgov.org>, "Mar, Gordon (BOS)" <gordon.mar@sfgov.org>, "Fewer, Sandra (BOS)" <sandra.fewer@sfgov.org>, "Yee, Norman (BOS)" <norman.yee@sfgov.org>
Subject: Golden gate park

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I oppose using golden gate park for housing the homeless. This is a horrible idea and there are plenty of more viable alternatives.

Aaron Hoffmeyer
5635 Anza St, SF

From: [RPDInfo, RPD \(REC\)](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Fw: Homeless Encampment in GGP
Date: Tuesday, May 12, 2020 2:05:12 PM

Begin forwarded message:

From: Peter Mandell <petermandell25@gmail.com>
Date: May 11, 2020 at 11:32:23 PM PDT
To: "Mar, Gordon (BOS)" <gordon.mar@sfgov.org>, "Yee, Norman (BOS)" <norman.yee@sfgov.org>, "Ginsburg, Phil (REC)" <phil.ginsburg@sfgov.org>, "Board of Supervisors, (BOS)" <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>, "Fewer, Sandra (BOS)" <sandra.fewer@sfgov.org>
Subject: Homeless Encampment in GGP

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Being a resident who lives one block south of the park, this is just a bad idea. It wasn't that long ago that the park was overrun with homeless camping out. What is the major playground for city residents became overrun with garbage, drug abuse, unsafe refuse, needles and crime. It took years to clean up the park and make it safe again. And when the park turned bad, it spilled out into the local neighborhoods. Shelters are one solution, where conditions and all involved can be carefully monitored and supervised, and issues can be controlled; but turning over the park to camping out will forever green light the free for all and once again subject all using the park, as well as local neighborhoods to the uncontrolled unregulated and unsupervised ruin for all and increased risk to public safety.

It's the wrong solution to a current and troubling problem facing all, but it's just bad medicine at a time when public health and safety is the overriding concern. This idea must not pass in the pointy headed nabobs of city government.

Peter Mandell
Sunset District resident
San Francisco, CA

--

Peter Mandell : petermandell25@gmail.com

From: [RPDInfo, RPD \(REC\)](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Fw: Homeless encampment in Golden Gate Park
Date: Tuesday, May 12, 2020 2:05:38 PM

Begin forwarded message:

From: Paul Roscelli <paulroscelli@me.com>
Date: May 11, 2020 at 8:33:46 PM PDT
To: "Ginsburg, Phil (REC)" <phil.ginsburg@sfgov.org>
Subject: Homeless encampment in Golden Gate Park

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I live in the outer sunset and I have no interest in seeing a homeless encampment out here. They're already getting free housing in the form of apartments and rental units right now. The last thing I need is these people out of my neighborhood. Rest assured I will spend every moment at every meeting I can make sure it's doesn't happen.

Sent from my iPhone

From: [RPDInfo, RPD \(REC\)](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Fw: Homeless tents in Golden Gate Park
Date: Tuesday, May 12, 2020 2:05:25 PM

Begin forwarded message:

From: Victoria Erville <victoria.erville@gmail.com>
Date: May 11, 2020 at 9:54:01 PM PDT
To: "Breed, Mayor London (MYR)" <mayorlondonbreed@sfgov.org>, "Ginsburg, Phil (REC)" <phil.ginsburg@sfgov.org>, "Mar, Gordon (BOS)" <gordon.mar@sfgov.org>, "Fewer, Sandra (BOS)" <sandra.fewer@sfgov.org>, "Yee, Norman (BOS)" <norman.yee@sfgov.org>
Subject: Homeless tents in Golden Gate Park

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Good evening

A letter was shared this evening on the Next Door app written by Supervisor Fewer. The Supervisor is apparently floating the idea of moving homeless people into GGP. As a resident of the Sunset District and a school age parent I want to voice my concern.

The Homeless almost took over the park several years ago to the point that needles and broken beer bottles were consistently found at the Playground. So many people were living in the park that I no longer felt safe walking near bushes or just stepping off the sidewalk to explore the many paths in the park. I hate that you proposed this. This city is losing families and it's this kind of "plan" that is driving us away. So the kids can't play on the structures because of the virus but they can make-believe as they wander and wonder through the gardens and trees.
Supervisor Fewer would rather put up homeless tents.

This isn't a game! You want to effect how many Sunset and Richmond resident lives to do what?

I work in the Mission and see first hand what goes on in tent cities. I've been cursed at, watched a man defecate in front of my office and another run into the street welding a knife. That is only a small fraction of what is happening within that community.

So let's just say you get your wish and move the homeless into the park. What happens when they aren't fast asleep in their tents? You can't possibly believe they won't wander into the neighborhood? They barely follow the rule of law

now. Do you really think they will leave the park after the Virus is contained in 12-18 months?

Here's a possible solution. Send them to Angel island or Alcatraz. No one is obviously going there now and probably won't be for a long while. There are plenty of rooms and toilets and even a cafeteria at Alcatraz. those that want more of an outdoor environment can pitch tents on Angel Island.

Sincerely

A member of the voting public

Victoria Erville

Sent from my iPhone

From: [RPDInfo, RPD \(REC\)](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Fw: housing homeless in GG Park ? No thank you
Date: Tuesday, May 12, 2020 2:02:08 PM

Begin forwarded message:

From: michael meehan <meehan-michael@sbcglobal.net>
Date: May 12, 2020 at 8:02:33 AM PDT
To: "Ginsburg, Phil (REC)" <phil.ginsburg@sfgov.org>
Subject: housing homeless in GG Park ? No thank you

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hello Mr. Ginsburg,
Please stop this idea in its tracks.
The Park is one area many SF citizens can find relief, and housing homeless people is not acceptable to all of the tax paying citizens
Perhaps a nice encampment in front of City Hall, with its large open area would be a better use of space as well as keeping the problem firmly in Supervisor Fewer's eyeline.
The Park is for everyone, and this bad idea infringes on that privilege.
The Park already suffers from constant homeless encampments , which is an ongoing t battle for your Park Police, as you well know.
Thank you for making the right decision.
Gratefully,
Michael Meehan

From: [RPDInfo, RPD \(REC\)](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Fw: I do not agree in allowing encampments in Golden Gate Park
Date: Tuesday, May 12, 2020 2:05:55 PM

Begin forwarded message:

From: Eileen Tse <eileentse2010@yahoo.com>
Date: May 11, 2020 at 7:26:09 PM PDT
To: "Ginsburg, Phil (REC)" <phil.ginsburg@sfgov.org>, "Breed, Mayor London (MYR)" <mayorlondonbreed@sfgov.org>, "Mar, Gordon (BOS)" <gordon.mar@sfgov.org>, "Yee, Norman (BOS)" <norman.yee@sfgov.org>, "Fewer, Sandra (BOS)" <sandra.fewer@sfgov.org>, "Board of Supervisors, (BOS)" <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>
Subject: I do not agree in allowing encampments in Golden Gate Park
Reply-To: Eileen Tse <eileentse2010@yahoo.com>

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We already have a major problem with homeless people in SOMA and the Tenderloin district. A lot of these homeless people have drug problems and/or mental illness. I have seen a lot of them sleeping in the middle of the street right by Townsend & 4th. I have seen human poop on the sidewalks.

They should not be allowed to setup tent camps in Golden Gate Park. Golden Gate Park is a recreation place for residents of San Francisco. This would become a safety and health issue (human waste in streets) for people trying enjoy Golden Gate park for exercise during the covid SIP order.

Eileen Tse
San Francisco Native

From: [RPDInfo, RPD \(REC\)](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Fw: NO HOMELESS CAMPS IN GG PARK
Date: Tuesday, May 12, 2020 2:07:33 PM

Begin forwarded message:

From: Sandra Fullerton <sandrafullerton@sbcglobal.net>
Date: May 12, 2020 at 9:06:22 AM PDT
To: "Breed, Mayor London (MYR)" <mayorlondonbreed@sfgov.org>, "Fewer, Sandra (BOS)" <sandra.fewer@sfgov.org>, "Yee, Norman (BOS)" <norman.yee@sfgov.org>, "Ginsburg, Phil (REC)" <phil.ginsburg@sfgov.org>, "stateinformation@state.ca.gov" <stateinformation@state.ca.gov>
Subject: NO HOMELESS CAMPS IN GG PARK

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Sandra Fewer if you are so concened about the homeless during this pandamec, why don't you let them camp in your home, front steps and backyard. You and Gordan Mar are turning our beloved San Francisco into one big drug infested homeless camp. You are both disgraceful. I pay my property tax, where do you propose my children who are out of school ride their bikes? In Golden Gate Park to watch druggies shot up, shit all over the park?

Disgusted
Sandra Fullerton

From: [RPDInfo, RPD \(REC\)](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Fw: No homeless encampments in Golden Gate Park
Date: Tuesday, May 12, 2020 2:08:39 PM

On 5/12/20, 1:38 PM, "Sharon Kozik" <skozik13@gmail.com> wrote:

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hello,

I strongly oppose the use of Golden Gate Park for homeless encampments. This open space is a treasure for everyone in the city. We need safe open recreational space now more than ever.

Using the park as an encampment will create many associated problems such as risk the safety other park users, uptick in property crime like car breakins/garage thefts/home burglaries. One only has to skim nextdoor to see all of these things have been on the rise since the SIP.

San Francisco's generous offer of hotel rooms for the homeless has already served as a magnet to draw out of town homeless to our city. We do not need more enticements to lure MORE people to live on our streets.

Homeless camping in the park will seed them staying there long after the shelter in place is over.

Why can't the homeless be housed in the ball park or the parking lots down there?

- Sharon
Sent from my iPhone

From: [RPDInfo, RPD \(REC\)](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Fw: No Homeless Tent Encampments in Golden Gate Park
Date: Tuesday, May 12, 2020 2:05:47 PM

Begin forwarded message:

From: Marie Mika <mariemika8@gmail.com>
Date: May 11, 2020 at 8:15:20 PM PDT
To: "Breed, Mayor London (MYR)" <mayorlondonbreed@sfgov.org>, "Ginsburg, Phil (REC)" <phil.ginsburg@sfgov.org>, "Mar, Gordon (BOS)" <gordon.mar@sfgov.org>, "Fewer, Sandra (BOS)" <sandra.fewer@sfgov.org>, "Yee, Norman (BOS)" <norman.yee@sfgov.org>
Subject: No Homeless Tent Encampments in Golden Gate Park

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Esteemed Government Officials,

As a resident of the Outer Sunset and someone who walks in or bikes through Golden Gate Park almost every day I urge you not to allow homeless tent encampments in Golden Gate Park.

My fellow San Franciscans and I already treasured Golden Gate Park. With the advent of Covid it has become an even more invaluable haven and refuge from sheltering at home.

I'm proud of (the vast majority of) my fellow citizens for being responsible and practicing social distancing while in the park.

I avoid popular areas (Bison Paddock) and narrow paths that don't allow six feet of space.

The area I visit most often now - a relatively unattractive "backstage" area with chain link fences and empty horse stables on the west side of park - I have dubbed Covid Meadow because I go there, like the others I see, to stay a safe distance from other park goers trying to stay healthy and sane.

There are ALREADY homeless encampments throughout the park - you can see them if you go to any of these quieter, relatively more remote areas. The park is already unable to manage the situation.

Please do not invite an even greater public safety hazard to a place where families and children gather to escape a public health menace!

Thank you for your consideration.

Regards,

Marie Mika

From: [RPDInfo, RPD \(REC\)](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Fw: NO to moving homeless encampments to the Golden Gate Park
Date: Tuesday, May 12, 2020 2:02:24 PM
Attachments: [Dianne Feinstein Letter to Norman Yee.jpg](#)

Begin forwarded message:

From: Jean-Francois Roy <jeanfrancoisr@gmail.com>
Date: May 12, 2020 at 8:15:09 AM PDT
To: "Breed, Mayor London (MYR)" <mayorlondonbreed@sfgov.org>, "Ginsburg, Phil (REC)" <phil.ginsburg@sfgov.org>, "Mar, Gordon (BOS)" <gordon.mar@sfgov.org>, "Fewer, Sandra (BOS)" <sandra.fewer@sfgov.org>, "Yee, Norman (BOS)" <norman.yee@sfgov.org>
Subject: NO to moving homeless encampments to the Golden Gate Park

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Mayor Breed and Supervisors,

As a Sunset resident I am strongly opposed to moving homeless encampments to the Golden Gate Park. In this age of social distancing this is one of the only safe nature escapes from residents of San Francisco. It has a very unique balance of parks, lakes and a few highly popular museums. This is where kids, families, walkers, runners, cyclists escape from the city chaos. This would send the entire west side of the city in an uproar. We don't have fancy restaurants, malls, but we have a nature-feel that is unique. I commend the Mayor for the incredibly brave response to the current situation and our supervisor Mar for his town hall webcasts, but you let this happen and you will wipe out all the support you gained. Imagine the message you will send to the rest of the US and in particular the right wing propaganda. The GG Park is famous and images of tent cities in it will be seen as having central park turned into a homeless shelter. Please don't ruin our city.

Jean-Francois Roy
1970 42nd Avenue
San Francisco, CA 94116

--

Jean-Francois Roy
jeanfrancoisr@gmail.com



From: [RPDInfo, RPD \(REC\)](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Fw: oppose camping for homeless, or anyone, in GGP
Date: Tuesday, May 12, 2020 2:05:01 PM

Begin forwarded message:

From: Valerie Schmalz <valerieschmalz6@gmail.com>
Date: May 12, 2020 at 5:40:19 AM PDT
To: "Ginsburg, Phil (REC)" <phil.ginsburg@sfgov.org>
Subject: Fwd: oppose camping for homeless, or anyone, in GGP

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Mr. Ginsburg,

I join US Sen. Dianne Feinstein in opposing homeless encampments in Golden Gate Park. As she writes, there are many other options available at this time and I applaud Mayor London Breed for her proactive action on both the pandemic and in addressing the needs of all people, and for taking special care of those who are homeless and addicted and mentally ill.

Please see my letter below to Mayor Breed and to my supervisor Gordon Mar. I am enclosing a link to a 2007 SF Chronicle column by CW Nevius that was instrumental in activating city officials to remove encampments that made the park dangerous and unhealthy. I remember that time well--walking with my four small boys along the path on Lincoln Way and coming upon a group shooting up in the middle of the day. I also remember having to watch for discarded needles at the playgrounds. You have been critical in making the park again a place for all people. I believe homeless people are and should be treated fairly and enjoy the park during the day with all of us. It is the nighttime encampments that create problems.

<https://www.sfgate.com/news/article/HERE-S-THE-REAL-PROBLEM-IN-GOLDEN-GATE-PARK-2579670.php>

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Valerie Schmalz
1277-28th Avenue
San Francisco, CA 94122
cell is 415-699-9230

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Valerie Schmalz** <valerieschmalz6@gmail.com>

Date: Tue, May 12, 2020 at 5:03 AM

Subject: oppose camping for homeless, or anyone, in GGP

To: <gordon.mar@sfgov.org>, <mayorlondonbreed@sfgov.org>

Dear Mayor Breed and Supervisor Mar,

I am writing to oppose proposed legislation to place encampments for homeless folks in Golden Gate Park. One of the key factors that helps the Department of Recreation and Parks maintain Golden Gate Park as a resource for all San Franciscans is the fact no one can stay overnight in the park.

During the pandemic, I am walking with my dog throughout the park and everywhere I go whether it is near the beach and by the bison enclosure, along JFK Drive by the DeYoung Museum or wandering on a path along Lincoln Way I see many families with children, walkers, runners and people on bicycles. I have never seen the park frequented by so many people and in almost all cases, they are practicing the safe practices of social distancing and also great courtesy and friendliness.

Adding another factor of encampments would strain the resources and be beyond the ability of the hardworking and very effective Rec and Park staff. Rec and Park is one of the most successful parts of the City and County and this would add an unnecessary strain.

Sincerely,

Valerie Schmalz
1277-28th Avenue
San Francisco, CA 94122
cell is 415-699-9230

--

Valerie

From: [RPDInfo, RPD \(REC\)](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: FW: Opposed to homeless population in Golden Gate Park
Date: Wednesday, May 13, 2020 11:57:04 AM
Attachments: [image002.jpg](#)

From: Ginsburg, Phil (REC) <phil.ginsburg@sfgov.org>
Sent: Wednesday, May 13, 2020 7:35 AM
To: RPDInfo, RPD (REC) <rpinfo@sfgov.org>
Subject: FW: Opposed to homeless population in Golden Gate Park

Philip A. Ginsburg
General Manager

San Francisco Recreation and Park Department | City & County of San Francisco
McLaren Lodge in Golden Gate Park | [501 Stanyan Street](#) | [San Francisco](#), CA

[\(415\) 831.2701](#)



Visit us at sfrecpark.org
Like us on [Facebook](#)
Follow us on [Twitter](#)
Watch us on [sfRecParkTV](#)
Sign up for our [e-News](#)

From: John Sun <johnsunsf@yahoo.com>
Date: Tuesday, May 12, 2020 at 7:01 PM
To: "Breed, Mayor London (MYR)" <mayorlondonbreed@sfgov.org>, "Mar, Gordon (BOS)" <gordon.mar@sfgov.org>, Phil Ginsburg <phil.ginsburg@sfgov.org>
Subject: Opposed to homeless population in Golden Gate Park

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear City Officials,

I have been a resident of San Francisco for over 30 years and living in the Sunset district. I grew up in San Francisco and the Sunset and attended Jefferson elementary school and later moving back with my wife and starting my family in the Sunset. My children have grown up in the Sunset and have gone on to have meaningful and productive careers

and lives.

I am writing to you to oppose any homeless encampments in Golden Gate Park. Before Governor Gavin Newsome was mayor of San Francisco, the homeless encampments in Golden Gate Park increased in numbers and were becoming dangerous for my young children (at the time) and us to enjoy the park.

They was also aggressive pan handling on Irving street which is a vibrant commerce area for shopping and dining. Whether it was drug abuse or mental illness, the homeless situation in Golden Gate Park was getting out of control.

When, then mayor Newsome cleaned up GG park of the homeless encampments, things definitely improved.

I live near the park and we get our fair share of homeless people going through our recycling and garbage cans. That is part of living in the city and understandable.

I understand that there is a major crises going on and people are in need, but at the cost of destroying other parts of the city is not the solution. What will the hundreds of homeless tent city residents do when they're living in Speedway meadow or the Polo fields? They are not going to shelter in place. They will be wondering around the neighborhoods in the Richmond and the Sunset looking for food or whatever. If I had small children, I would be very concerned about that.

What does the city spend the \$241 million dollar homeless budget on?

That's my opinion and feelings. Your decisions will determine the next election cycle.

Sincerely

John

From: [RPDInfo, RPD \(REC\)](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: FW: Please, do not house homeless in our parks
Date: Wednesday, May 13, 2020 11:57:29 AM
Attachments: [image002.jpg](#)

From: Ginsburg, Phil (REC) <phil.ginsburg@sfgov.org>
Sent: Wednesday, May 13, 2020 7:35 AM
To: RPDInfo, RPD (REC) <rpinfo@sfgov.org>
Subject: FW: Please, do not house homeless in our parks

Philip A. Ginsburg
General Manager

San Francisco Recreation and Park Department | City & County of San Francisco
McLaren Lodge in Golden Gate Park | [501 Stanyan Street | San Francisco, CA](#)

[\(415\) 831.2701](#)



Visit us at sfrecpark.org
Like us on [Facebook](#)
Follow us on [Twitter](#)
Watch us on [sfRecParkTV](#)
Sign up for our [e-News](#)

From: Alex Calhoun <adc.calhoun@gmail.com>
Date: Wednesday, May 13, 2020 at 7:33 AM
To: "Breed, Mayor London (MYR)" <mayorlondonbreed@sfgov.org>, Phil Ginsburg <phil.ginsburg@sfgov.org>, "Mar, Gordon (BOS)" <gordon.mar@sfgov.org>, "Fewer, Sandra (BOS)" <sandra.fewer@sfgov.org>, Norman Yee <norman.yee@sfgov.org>
Subject: Please, do not house homeless in our parks

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I agree with Senator Feinstein, please **do not house homeless in our parks**, which are **a precious resource for all**.

As Senator Feinstein points out, there are more suitable alternatives at the City's disposal. Do not abuse one of the treasures that serves our mental health, and is one of the beacons that attracts visitors to our (still, but not for long if you do this kind of thing) city. Don't forget, tourism is a huge driver of our local (San Francisco, not the Bay Area Region) economy. Not at this moment, but it will be again.

There are piers, hotel rooms that the City is paying for that evidently are not being used (?!!?), empty parking garages and parking lots owned by the City. The Cow Palace property is spacious. If you must create a tent city, may I suggest Chrissy Field, or if you must use Golden Gate Park, the Pan Handle is open ground and closer to services.

Get more creative.

--

Alexander D. Calhoun III
adc.calhoun@gmail.com

From: [RPDInfo, RPD \(REC\)](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Fw: Please do not let McLaren Park become a homeless camp
Date: Tuesday, May 12, 2020 2:06:29 PM

Begin forwarded message:

From: TRILCE & CHARLES <helpmlpark@gmail.com>
Date: May 11, 2020 at 6:32:24 PM PDT
To: "Ronen, Hillary" <hillary.ronen@sfgov.org>, "Safai, Ahsha (BOS)" <ahsha.safai@sfgov.org>, "Walton, Shamann (BOS)" <shamann.walton@sfgov.org>
Cc: "Ginsburg, Phil (REC)" <phil.ginsburg@sfgov.org>, "Breed, London (MYR)" <london.breed@sfgov.org>
Subject: Please do not let McLaren Park become a homeless camp

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear supervisors,

Many of you know me through my efforts improving McLaren Park for families and kids over the last decade plus. I have been out of town and unaware of the plan to try and find 10 parks to create a temporary homeless shelter until today. THIS MUST NOT HAPPEN!!

This goes against everything I have worked for through the years. When I originally began our group Help McLaren Park 12 years ago it was because, not only was McLaren Park forgotten and neglected but supervisors wanted to open a recycling plant in the Visitacion Valley side of the park. Once these changes would have been implemented it would have been very hard to reverse. The same goes for this.

This is not a 1906 great fire tragedy that many are comparing to. Average hard working people in 1906 lost their homes. They weren't on drugs. They weren't addicts. They weren't mentally ill as much of the homeless here in San Francisco are today. We cannot allow sick people to be living in neighborhoods close to children! They need rehab centers with strict detoxification measures not San Francisco parks or San Francisco streets. If parks are a must then I would suggest using a park far away from where civilians inhabit such as the Presidio or Treasure Island.

Please do not allow this to happen to McLaren Park. McLaren has finally shed decades of sadness and neglect. It would be a tragedy to go back to that.

Sincerely,

Chuck Farrugia

- Help McLaren Park

Helpm1park@gmail.com

Facebook- Help McLaren Park

www.helpm1park.weebly.com

From: [RPDInfo, RPD \(REC\)](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: FW: proposed sheltering of homeless in GGP
Date: Wednesday, May 13, 2020 11:56:40 AM
Attachments: [image001.jpg](#)

From: Ginsburg, Phil (REC) <phil.ginsburg@sfgov.org>
Sent: Wednesday, May 13, 2020 7:35 AM
To: Madland, Sarah (REC) <sarah.madland@sfgov.org>
Subject: FW: proposed sheltering of homeless in GGP

Philip A. Ginsburg
General Manager

San Francisco Recreation and Park Department | City & County of San Francisco
McLaren Lodge in Golden Gate Park | [501 Stanyan Street | San Francisco, CA](#)

[\(415\) 831.2701](tel:(415)831.2701)



Visit us at sfrecpark.org
Like us on [Facebook](#)
Follow us on [Twitter](#)
Watch us on [sfRecParkTV](#)
Sign up for our [e-News](#)

From: Serge Ulyanov <sfcity888@gmail.com>
Date: Tuesday, May 12, 2020 at 9:22 PM
To: Phil Ginsburg <phil.ginsburg@sfgov.org>
Subject: proposed sheltering of homeless in GGP

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Phil Ginsburg,

I'm a homeowner and resident of the Central Sunset district.

I have recently learned about your agreement with making Golden Gate Park available to house

homeless population of San Francisco.

The Golden Gate Park is a treasure of San Francisco and allows all of us enjoy this oasis in the middle of a city. Allowing homeless people to shelter in the park would effectively transform the park into the Tenderloin 2.0 and would deprive us - San Franciscans from enjoying the park.

You can not ignore our desires of NOT having homeless people be placed in Golden Gate Park - whether temporary or not.

The local community on Nextdoor is unequivocally against your agreement with sheltering homeless people in the Golden Gate Park.

--

Serge Ulyanov

Central Sunset district homeowner

From: [RPDInfo, RPD \(REC\)](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Fw: Public comment on Homeless encampment in GGP
Date: Tuesday, May 12, 2020 2:07:59 PM

Begin forwarded message:

From: Caitlin Desmet <caitlindesmet@gmail.com>
Date: May 12, 2020 at 10:55:18 AM PDT
To: "Ginsburg, Phil (REC)" <phil.ginsburg@sfgov.org>, "Breed, Mayor London (MYR)" <mayorlondonbreed@sfgov.org>
Subject: Public comment on Homeless encampment in GGP

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hello I am a San Francisco resident who is concerned with GGP utilization as a homeless encampment. I agree heartily with Dianne Feinstein's letter of support for further use of other resources our society has access to such as parking lots and areas near public resources, extended RV programs, and hotels where possible. There are few public spaces in the SF area where people can socially distance who have children or dogs etc, and this includes the majority of medical/dental personnel at UCSF. And Among other reasons, I feel a large scale homeless encampment will severely impact that access both directly & indirectly, in addition to setting a dangerous precedence. While I understand the homelessness problem is indeed a very important problem, jumping to placing them in GGP I think at this time is pre-mature since other resources are available. Thank you in advance for your reading of this email of a concerned citizen, over all you all have been doing your best and the stats show it with low levels of the virus in our city, thank you! Be safe and have a great rest of your day.

Regards,
Caitlin Desmet, DDS

From: [RPDInfo, RPD \(REC\)](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Fw: Tents in PArk for Homeless
Date: Tuesday, May 12, 2020 2:06:56 PM

From: Janice Mortenson <mortenson48@yahoo.com>
Sent: Tuesday, May 12, 2020 12:57 PM
To: RPDInfo, RPD (REC) <rpdinfo@sfgov.org>
Subject: Tents in PArk for Homeless

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We heard that they are thinking of putting Tents in the Park for the Homeless. That is a Big NO.
I have lived 1 Block from the Park at the Top of the Hill by the Blue Water Tower since 1985 and we finally have it pretty clean. You had People complaining when they Tried Horse Trails Rides in the Park because of the Poop they-were leaving behind so I cannot imagine the Trash that these Homeless will leave behind. It is BAD enough that there is one Homeless guy that Bathes in the Upper Reservoir a Couple times a week and washes clothes and hang them on the Fence around the Reservoir The we have a lot of little children that are walked around that Upper Reservoir during the day. That is not what a park is for.

Put TENTS under the Overpasses below the Freeways where you have Parking Lots. And give them the Temp Toilets with the Showers in them. As I said we just got our McLaren Park cleaned up and Nice Trails so Please do not put Tents in there.

The Peru Avenue neighbor.

From: [Alex Calhoun](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Fwd: Please, do not house homeless in our parks
Date: Wednesday, May 13, 2020 7:37:10 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

TO: Clerk for the Board of Supervisors
PLEASE: include my email in the Public Comments record for the next meeting of the full Board of Supervisors.
Thank you

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Alex Calhoun** <adc.calhoun@gmail.com>
Date: Wed, May 13, 2020 at 7:33 AM
Subject: Please, do not house homeless in our parks
To: <MayorLondonBreed@sfgov.org>, <Phil.Ginsburg@sfgov.org>,
<Gordon.Mar@sfgov.org>, <Sandra.Fewer@sfgov.org>, <Norman.Yee@sfgov.org>

I agree with Senator Feinstein, please **do not house homeless in our parks**, which are a **precious resource for all**.

As Senator Feinstein points out, there are more suitable alternatives at the City's disposal. Do not abuse one of the treasures that serves our mental health, and is one of the beacons that attracts visitors to our (still, but not for long if you do this kind of thing) city. Don't forget, tourism is a huge driver of our local (San Francisco, not the Bay Area Region) economy. Not at this moment, but it will be again.

There are piers, hotel rooms that the City is paying for that evidently are not being used (?!?!?), empty parking garages and parking lots owned by the City. The Cow Palace property is spacious. If you must create a tent city, may I suggest Chrissy Field, or if you must use Golden Gate Park, the Pan Handle is open ground and closer to services.

Get more creative.

--

Alexander D. Calhoun III
adc.calhoun@gmail.com

--

Alexander D. Calhoun III
adc.calhoun@gmail.com

From: [Amy Greenberg](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Ginsburg, Phil \(REC\)](#); [Mar, Gordon \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Golden Gate Park Proposed Homeless Encampment
Date: Tuesday, May 12, 2020 9:10:29 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I am very disturbed that it is proposed that Golden Gate Park will become a homeless encampment.

This will bring, needles, feces and drugs to the park. The park will not be a safe place that people will be able to go to. There is already a high rate of car breaks in and crime in the Sunset and the Richmond and this will only make things worse.

There are other place in the city that would be a good location i.e. the Cow Palace.

Where has all the tax money gone to help the homeless? I am a Social Worker and there are barely/none any worthwhile services in the city.

It is disgusting what this city has become.

The new District Attorney will not be prosecuting any crimes that will occur in the park. How will we be safe?

-Amy Greenberg

From: [leona.galea](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Homeless encampment at McLaren Park
Date: Monday, May 11, 2020 7:45:37 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

As a resident of the Portland District I would like to express my opinion of using McLaren Park as a campsite for the homeless of San Francisco.

It has taken many years to create this beautiful park as a thriving family oriented park.

To bring an encampment as a temporary fix for this problem due to the Covid 19 is not a good solution. There are many other areas that should be considered to help the homeless. Hiding these people in this area doesn't help anyone. This would be a temporary fix and not a solution to this problem. They should be near areas where there are resources to help with their drug additions, alcoholism, mental health issues and many others problems they suffer.

Please do not vote to have McLaren Park as a temporary encampment.

Sincerely,
Leona Galea

Sent from my iPad

From: [Paul Roscelli](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Homeless encampment Golden gate Park
Date: Monday, May 11, 2020 8:34:52 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I live in the outer sunset and I have no interest in seeing a homeless encampment out here. They're already getting free housing in the form of apartments and rental units right now. The last thing I need is these people out of my neighborhood. Rest assured I will spend every moment at every meeting I can make sure it's doesn't happen.

Sent from my iPhone

From: [Peter Mandell](#)
To: [Mar. Gordon \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [Ginsburg, Phil \(REC\)](#); [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Homeless Encampment in GGP
Date: Monday, May 11, 2020 11:32:23 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Being a resident who lives one block south of the park, this is just a bad idea. It wasn't that long ago that the park was overrun with homeless camping out. What is the major playground for city residents became overrun with garbage, drug abuse, unsafe refuse, needles and crime. It took years to clean up the park and make it safe again. And when the park turned bad, it spilled out into the local neighborhoods. Shelters are one solution, where conditions and all involved can be carefully monitored and supervised, and issues can be controlled; but turning over the park to camping out will forever green light the free for all and once again subject all using the park, as well as local neighborhoods to the uncontrolled unregulated and unsupervised ruin for all and increased risk to public safety.

It's the wrong solution to a current and troubling problem facing all, but it's just bad medicine at a time when public health and safety is the overriding concern. This idea must not pass in the pointy headed nabobs of city government.

Peter Mandell
Sunset District resident
San Francisco, CA

--

Peter Mandell : petermandell25@gmail.com

From: [Renee Mahan](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Homeless in Golden Gate Park
Date: Tuesday, May 12, 2020 11:53:39 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To whom it may concern:

I am writing to you because I read the proposed idea to move the tents and homeless population into Golden Gate Park.

Is that really a solution? It won't stop the problem and it won't be any safer.

It fact it will probably make the park unsafe for families to use for walks or bike rides.

It seems that the Cory is just moving the problem around. I may not have a good soliton, but just moving the homeless around is not it.

The city is in a crisis, and just pushing the homeless encampments and tents into the park is just going to make the problem worse.

Sincerely,
Renee Mahan
Sent from my iPhone

From: [Eileen Tse](#)
To: [Ginsburg, Phil \(REC\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Mar, Gordon \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I do not agree in allowing encampments in Golden Gate Park
Date: Monday, May 11, 2020 7:26:09 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We already have a major problem with homeless people in SOMA and the Tenderloin district. A lot of these homeless people have drug problems and/or mental illness. I have seen a lot of them sleeping in the middle of the street right by Townsend & 4th. I have seen human poop on the sidewalks.

They should not be allowed to setup tent camps in Golden Gate Park. Golden Gate Park is a recreation place for residents of San Francisco. This would become a safety and health issue (human waste in streets) for people trying to enjoy Golden Gate park for exercise during the covid SIP order.

Eileen Tse
San Francisco Native

From: [ALICE XAVER](#)
To: [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Mar, Gordon \(BOS\)](#)
Cc: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Ginsburg, Phil \(REC\)](#)
Subject: Opposed to homeless housed in Golden Gate Park
Date: Tuesday, May 12, 2020 7:44:14 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors Yee, Mar and Fewer,

We are writing to express our opposition to the proposal to house the homeless in Golden Gate Park.

There are other more suitable options, which are available. The Cow Palace, which already has utilities is more suitable.

Port Authority land is a another viable option.

Golden Gate Park is the city's treasured space for families and visitors to relax and enjoy.
Housing the homeless would put needless stress on our park land.

Currently, there are numerous fires in the park. Open drug use in San Francisco is another problem, which is not acceptable in Golden Gate Park.

We urge to consider the other alternatives for a clean safe park for our families.

Respectfully,
Alice and Chris Xavier
D7

From: [Lafabnyc](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Cc: [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Ordinance 200453 - I oppose public parks, incl. John McLaren park, to be used as homeless camps
Date: Monday, May 11, 2020 5:21:22 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I fully oppose John McLaren park being used to house homeless encampments. Why not Golden Gate?
The city has continuously ignored the maintenance and protection of this neighborhood. As it is, the neighborhood is polluted and dilapidated to where I am embarrassed to say I live in San Francisco. As it is, the park is covered in plastic, syringes, and feces - both human and dogs.

Peter Kouzmov
50 Tioga Ave.

From: [Lin Barcellos](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please No Encampments
Date: Monday, May 11, 2020 4:59:56 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Distinguished Board of Supervisors

Thank you for serving our city.

Please do not allow encampments in Golden Gate Park.

Cow Palace, fenced school yards and more hotel rooms are much better choices for our citizens experiencing homelessness.

Our citizens who are homeless need some security and a little privacy. Also, they should not be asked to sleep with coyotes rats, mice, and hooligans. They need shelter from the natural elements, such as today's rain. The virus loves the cold! Let's keep our homeless citizens in warm temperatures as much as possible.

Furthermore, our parks need to be maintained for their intended use, especially now.

Sincerely
Linda Barcellos
1258 22nd Ave
SF. CA 94122

Sent from my iPhone

From: [Lihsuan Chou](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please STOP the Proposed Homeless Tent Encampments in GGP
Date: Tuesday, May 12, 2020 11:14:21 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Board of Supervisors,

Allowing tent encampments in our beloved Golden Gate Park as proposed by a Supervisor is a horrible idea. This will not solve any problem but only make it worse. Please stop this!!!!

I love our city and our Golden Gate Park. And it makes me so sad to see how the city has deteriorated over time. And our park is one place that we still can go and spend time outdoor safely and peacefully. Please don't take this away from us.

Thank you!

Li Chou
[1631 31st Avenue](#)
[San Francisco](#)

From: [Shari Mann](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#)
Cc: [Ginsburg, Phil \(REC\)](#); [Mar, Gordon \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Proposed Tent Encampments
Date: Monday, May 11, 2020 5:09:26 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Mayor Breed, and all

Please, oh please do not permit the use of Golden Gate Park or any part thereof for house people, no matter their circumstances. There are other alternatives, unrented hotel rooms seems to be working for example. They are far more acceptable than such a use of the Park.

As a 79-year-old senior citizen, I use the Park daily, as do many of our citizens of all ages. Golden Gate Park is a tourist destination, rightly so, and . Relatively few areas need to be avoided.

It is admirable to wish to provide housing for those who need it; I support that, and believe I contribute through the taxes I pay as a homeowner for over half a century. I chose San Francisco as a permanent residence while under 21 years of age, please permit me continue to enjoy the Park without fear.

Thank you.

Sincerely
Shari A. Mann
1241 - 27th Avenue
San Francisco CA 94122-1506

From: [LDC President](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Gabriel Medina](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Mar, Gordon \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: SFLDC's Email supporting legislation to allow the creation of Safe Sleeping Sites in San Francisco
Date: Wednesday, May 13, 2020 11:31:34 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hello Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I'm emailing today on behalf of the San Francisco Latino Democratic Club in support of Safe Sleeping Sites and to ask for your support for Supervisors Fewer & Mar's legislation to explore the use of Rec and Park and other City properties to create safe sleeping sites.

San Francisco- COVID- 19 has had a disproportionate impact on homeless families, an estimate of 8,000 unsheltered families. The Centers for Disease Control (CDC) announced specific COVID- 19 guidelines to prevent the spread of the disease; complying with the CDC's safety guidelines is nearly impossible when unhoused families have no place to go. We can no longer ignore the fact that over 8,000 families are unhoused and exposed to unsanitary environments with a higher chance of getting infected with the virus. By supporting this legislation, San Francisco is more likely to contain its peak of new infections and deaths.

We must explore all possible pathways to address the public health crisis impacting the city's unhoused residents, and while hotel rooms should be the priority, we have to also look at outdoor sites on City-owned properties including those owned by Rec and Park. The City is failing our unhoused neighbors in the midst of a pandemic. We must do better. Please support the Safe Sleeping Sites legislation!

Sincerely,

Sarah Souza
San Francisco Latino Democratic Club

From: [Olivia Puerta](#)
To: [Mar, Gordon \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: tent encampments in Golden Gate Park
Date: Monday, May 11, 2020 8:30:56 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hi Supervisor Mar and Board of Supervisors - I'm writing to express my support for Senator Feintsein's stance on the proposed tent encampments in Golden Gate Park -- I think that there are better alternatives that should be explored instead of threatening a valuable public space for nature and recreation.

Thank you,
Olivia

From: [ROBERT CHIESA](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Tent sites at McLaren Park for Homeless
Date: Monday, May 11, 2020 5:42:59 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Both of us are against tent sites at McLaren Park for the homeless!!

From: [RPDInfo, RPD \(REC\)](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: FW: Golden Gate Park
Date: Wednesday, May 13, 2020 11:58:17 AM
Attachments: [image002.jpg](#)

From: Ginsburg, Phil (REC) <phil.ginsburg@sfgov.org>
Sent: Wednesday, May 13, 2020 7:21 AM
To: RPDInfo, RPD (REC) <rpinfo@sfgov.org>
Subject: FW: Golden Gate Park

Philip A. Ginsburg
General Manager

San Francisco Recreation and Park Department | City & County of San Francisco
McLaren Lodge in Golden Gate Park | [501 Stanyan Street | San Francisco, CA](#)

[\(415\) 831.2701](#)



Visit us at [sfrecpark.org](#)
Like us on [Facebook](#)
Follow us on [Twitter](#)
Watch us on [sfRecParkTV](#)
Sign up for our [e-News](#)

From: casson kauffman <casson.kauffman@gmail.com>
Date: Tuesday, May 12, 2020 at 9:33 PM
To: "Breed, Mayor London (MYR)" <mayorlondonbreed@sfgov.org>, Phil Ginsburg <phil.ginsburg@sfgov.org>, "Mar, Gordon (BOS)" <gordon.mar@sfgov.org>, "Fewer, Sandra (BOS)" <sandra.fewer@sfgov.org>, Norman Yee <norman.yee@sfgov.org>
Subject: Golden Gate Park

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Whom it May Concern,

Thank you for everything you have done to keep the people of San Francisco safe. I am writing to express my concern about creating encampments in Golden Gate Park. I have advocated for the

homeless in the past. I can not imagine trying to find solutions given the current crisis. I do however agree with Feinstein that there are already better areas where this kind of solution can be met. My fear is destroying the park and bringing illness to an area which is densely populated at the moment with many people getting outdoors in an area that has so far had less cases of covid.

Thank you.

- Casson

From: [RPDInfo, RPD \(REC\)](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Fw: Golden Gate Park
Date: Tuesday, May 12, 2020 2:03:07 PM

Begin forwarded message:

From: ana martinez <ana_mariemartinez@yahoo.com>
Date: May 12, 2020 at 7:17:20 AM PDT
To: "Breed, Mayor London (MYR)" <mayorlondonbreed@sfgov.org>, "Ginsburg, Phil (REC)" <phil.ginsburg@sfgov.org>, "Mar, Gordon (BOS)" <gordon.mar@sfgov.org>, "Fewer, Sandra (BOS)" <sandra.fewer@sfgov.org>, "Yee, Norman (BOS)" <norman.yee@sfgov.org>
Subject: Golden Gate Park

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Mayor and Supervisors:

I am writing today to strongly oppose Supervisor Sandra Fewer's ill-advised idea of setting up homeless encampments in Golden Gate Park. Sen. Diane Feinstein opposed it in her letter on May 4, 2020 and I believe most of SF opposes such a move. It would undo years of working to make Golden Gate Park a place for all the people.

I live in the Outer Sunset and in the last two months, I have already had to deal with two small meth lab explosions while bicycling with my family. We need the park to remain safe, clean and the sanctuary it is to escape the hustle and bustle of the city. Also who is going to pay for the undeniable clean-up that would be required for such encampments? I have already had to close my non-essential business, do not see a clear date for reopening and barely paid my property taxes.

I expect more from the government in SF. Do not forget those of us who pay all our taxes, abide by all the rules and continue to want to call this beautiful city our home.

Ana Martinez
1427 42nd AVE
SF, CA 94122
(c) 415-254-8844

From: [Melanie Scardina](#)
To: [Ginsburg, Phil \(REC\)](#)
Cc: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Mar, Gordon \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Tent Encampments in Golden Gate Park
Date: Tuesday, May 12, 2020 8:46:42 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Mr. Ginsburg

I've been made aware that Supervisors Fewer and Mar have drafted emergency legislation to require sanctioned tent encampments in Golden Gate Park and that you have been tasked with designating appropriate areas for such shelter, and to disregard health and safety laws. Under your leadership, Golden Gate Park has remained a beautiful oasis for recreation, respite and peace in our city. Please don't open our parks to tent encampments. I grew up in the 60's. I vividly recall when the hippies took over the park. It was not a safe place to be for the average citizen, and it caused all sorts of issues for the surrounding neighborhoods that took years and years to resolve.

With the Covid-19 crisis, seniors, the disabled and those with medical conditions have been prioritized for the hotel rooms reserved by the city. That means that the sanctioned tent encampments will mostly contain the young and able-bodied, many suffering with addiction and psychiatric issues.

By the city's own metrics:

95% - Percentage are suffering from alcohol and/or drug use disorder.

41% - Often use urgent and emergency psychiatric services.

See: <https://sfgov.org/scorecards/safety-net/homeless-population>

There is a direct correlation between tent encampments and break-ins, vandalism, drug trafficking and prostitution. See San Francisco crime maps <https://sfgov.org/services/sf-crime-maps>

This plan will not work. It's naïve to expect those addicted to mind altering substances and/or suffering from psychosis to shelter in place, wear a mask and maintain safe social distancing. There will be a negative impact on the park and the surrounding communities.

We all know that the homeless issue in this city is longstanding and intractable. I believe that if the park becomes a sanctioned homeless area, it will never return to safe, recreational use. In addition, the park and its geography create more logistical issues with enforcement, health and safety. There are other locations within the city that are more suitable and easier to secure and maintain.

Please keep the park a safe-haven for ALL San Franciscans.

Thank you.
Melanie Scardina

From: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#)
Subject: 9 letters for File No. 200453
Date: Thursday, May 14, 2020 3:04:00 PM
Attachments: [Fw proposed sheltering of homeless in GGP.msg](#)
[Fw Opposed to homeless housed in Golden Gate Park.msg](#)
[Fw Tents in the park .msg](#)
[Fw NO NO NO to homeless encampments in Golden Gate Park.msg](#)
[Fw Proposed Tent Encampment GGP.msg](#)
[Allowing homeless encampments in Golden Gate Park is a terrible idea.msg](#)
[No Encampments in Golden Gate Park.msg](#)
[Proposed Tent Encampments in GGP.msg](#)
[Suitable Public Sites.msg](#)

Hello,

Please see the attached 9 letters for File No. 200453.

File No. 200453 - Emergency ordinance authorizing the use of park property for temporary shelter and other measures in response to the COVID-19 pandemic; directing the Recreation and Park Department to report to the Board of Supervisors with a list of potential locations for such uses; and waiving contrary provisions in Administrative Code, Chapters 79 and 79A, and Charter, Section 4.113, if and to the extent applicable.

Thank you,

Jackie Hickey
Board of Supervisors
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102-4689
Phone: (415) 554-5184 | Direct: (415) 554-7701
jacqueline.hickey@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

From: [John Kollins](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Ginsburg, Phil \(REC\)](#); [Mar, Gordon \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Allowing homeless encampments in Golden Gate Park is a terrible idea
Date: Thursday, May 14, 2020 10:07:20 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To all concerned,

My family and I have lived proximate to GG Park for nearly 25 years. We and our four children frequently use the park and have been pleased with the general trajectory over the years of improved safety and cleanliness. The City has spent decades and significant resources and taxpayer funds cleaning up the park and eliminating encampments there. As a result, it's less common today to find used syringes, human feces, trash, etc. left by campers or to be solicited on a regular basis by individuals selling drugs. Allowing homeless encampments in the park is a terrible idea that would run directly counter to decades of effort and city policy and that significantly degrade our beautiful park--not to mention the neighborhoods surrounding the park.

As Senator Feinstein points out in her letter of May 4 to Board of Supervisors President Norman Yee, there are numerous viable alternatives that should be pursued, including tents on unused parking lots and closed schoolyards as well as public facilities such as the Cow Palace and Port property

There are options for sheltering the homeless during the pandemic crisis, but encouraging camping on city streets and in parks is not a solution--and would likely have the effect making the city even more of a magnet for the homeless than unfortunately already is the case.

Thank you for doing all in your power to prevent homeless encampments in GG Park or any other city park.

John Kollins
Long-time Inner Sunset resident, property owner and taxpayer

From: [RPDInfo, RPD \(REC\)](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Fw: NO NO NO to homeless encampments in Golden Gate Park
Date: Thursday, May 14, 2020 10:42:56 AM

From: Ginsburg, Phil (REC) <phil.ginsburg@sfgov.org>
Sent: Wednesday, May 13, 2020 4:06 PM
To: RPDInfo, RPD (REC) <rpinfo@sfgov.org>
Subject: Fwd: NO NO NO to homeless encampments in Golden Gate Park

Phil Ginsburg
(sent from my iPhone)

Begin forwarded message:

From: Julie Anderson <rockoutanderson@gmail.com>
Date: May 13, 2020 at 4:04:41 PM PDT
To: "Ginsburg, Phil (REC)" <phil.ginsburg@sfgov.org>
Subject: NO NO NO to homeless encampments in Golden Gate Park

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I just learned that Sandra Fewer and Gordon Mar are proposing "emergency" tent encampments for homeless people in Golden Gate Park. This is entirely inappropriate and unacceptable. The park is a precious natural resource paid for and maintained by the taxpayers of San Francisco for our enjoyment, and is one of very few open spaces in San Francisco where we can safely get outside for healthy recreation and stress-relief while social-distancing during this pandemic. Creating encampments will further strain the now-crowded conditions, and add to safety

concerns. There are MANY alternatives sites that are sitting unused which could be activated in lieu of the common-space of the park. The Cow Palace (both enclosed space and the vast empty parking lot) is a prime example, along with shuttered school yards, shopping malls, and the area once used by Candlestick Park. NO NO NO to Golden Gate Park!

Julie Anderson

2046 18th Ave

From: [RPDInfo, RPD \(REC\)](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Fw: Opposed to homeless housed in Golden Gate Park
Date: Thursday, May 14, 2020 11:00:04 AM

From: Ginsburg, Phil (REC) <phil.ginsburg@sfgov.org>
Sent: Wednesday, May 13, 2020 12:48 PM
To: RPDInfo, RPD (REC) <rpdinfo@sfgov.org>
Subject: Fwd: Opposed to homeless housed in Golden Gate Park

Phil Ginsburg
(sent from my iPhone)

Begin forwarded message:

From: Honoria Sarmiento <honorias@yahoo.com>
Date: May 13, 2020 at 10:26:35 AM PDT
To: "Norman Lee (AIR)" <normans.lee@flysfso.com>
Cc: "Ginsburg, Phil (REC)" <phil.ginsburg@sfgov.org>
Subject: **Opposed to homeless housed in Golden Gate Park**

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors Yee, Mar and Fewer,

We are writing to express our opposition to the proposal to house the homeless in Golden Gate Park.

There are other more suitable options, which

are available. The Cow Palace, which already has utilities is more suitable.

Port Authority land is a another viable option.

Golden Gate Park is the city's treasured space for families and visitors to relax and enjoy.

Housing the homeless would put needless stress on our park land.

Currently, there are numerous fires in the park. Open drug use in San Francisco is another problem, which is not acceptable in Golden Gate Park.

We urge you to consider the other alternatives to keep a clean safe park for our families.

Respectfully,

Honorio Sarmiento

From: [RPDInfo, RPD \(REC\)](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Fw: proposed sheltering of homeless in GGP
Date: Thursday, May 14, 2020 11:04:31 AM
Attachments: [image001.jpg](#)

From: Ginsburg, Phil (REC) <phil.ginsburg@sfgov.org>
Sent: Wednesday, May 13, 2020 7:33 AM
To: Serge Ulyanov <sfcity888@gmail.com>
Cc: RPDInfo, RPD (REC) <rpinfo@sfgov.org>
Subject: Re: proposed sheltering of homeless in GGP

Mr. Ulyanov,

The Recreation and Park Department does not support the Board of Supervisors proposed legislation. If you wish, you may communicate your concerns to Board of Supervisors.

<https://sfbos.org/roster-members>

Thank you,

Philip A. Ginsburg
General Manager

San Francisco Recreation and Park Department | City & County of San Francisco
McLaren Lodge in Golden Gate Park | [501 Stanyan Street | San Francisco, CA](#)

[\(415\) 831.2701](tel:(415)831.2701)



Visit us at sfrecpark.org
Like us on [Facebook](#)
Follow us on [Twitter](#)
Watch us on [sfRecParkTV](#)
Sign up for our [e-News](#)

From: Serge Ulyanov <sfcity888@gmail.com>
Date: Tuesday, May 12, 2020 at 9:22 PM
To: Phil Ginsburg <phil.ginsburg@sfgov.org>
Subject: proposed sheltering of homeless in GGP

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Phil Ginsburg,

I'm a homeowner and resident of the Central Sunset district.

I have recently learned about your agreement with making Golden Gate Park available to house homeless population of San Francisco.

The Golden Gate Park is a treasure of San Francisco and allows all of us enjoy this oasis in the middle of a city. Allowing homeless people to shelter in the park would effectively transform the park into the Tenderloin 2.0 and would deprive us - San Franciscans from enjoying the park.

You can not ignore our desires of NOT having homeless people be placed in Golden Gate Park - whether temporary or not.

The local community on Nextdoor is unequivocally against your agreement with sheltering homeless people in the Golden Gate Park.

--

Serge Ulyanov
Central Sunset district homeowner

From: [RPDInfo, RPD \(REC\)](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Fw: Proposed Tent Encampment GGP
Date: Thursday, May 14, 2020 10:20:27 AM

From: Ginsburg, Phil (REC) <phil.ginsburg@sfgov.org>
Sent: Wednesday, May 13, 2020 8:17 PM
To: RPDInfo, RPD (REC) <rpdinfo@sfgov.org>
Subject: Fwd: Proposed Tent Encampment GGP

Phil Ginsburg
(sent from my iPhone)

Begin forwarded message:

From: RADHA LORCA <radhalorca@sbcglobal.net>
Date: May 13, 2020 at 8:04:30 PM PDT
To: "Breed, Mayor London (MYR)" <mayorlondonbreed@sfgov.org>
Cc: "Ginsburg, Phil (REC)" <phil.ginsburg@sfgov.org>
Subject: Proposed Tent Encampment GGP

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Sandra Fewers proposal allowing rent encampments in GGP is a dangerous idea! Please protect our park and surrounding neighborhoods. A much better idea is House the homeless in campers, and or hotel rooms, or even tents but the tents should be someplace with sanitation, running water, electricity and support services nearby.

My fear is the park will be forever destroyed. A fire could be catastrophic to the wild life that lives there. Not to mention many homeless self medicate and would leave needles, garbage, trash...do we really want to turn GGP into the Tenderloin? I as a single female will also feel afraid to use the park. Many homeless who have mental issues act out violently. It seems they have more rights then we.

Sent from my iPhone

From: [RPDInfo, RPD \(REC\)](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Fw: Tents in the park
Date: Thursday, May 14, 2020 10:59:54 AM

From: Ginsburg, Phil (REC) <phil.ginsburg@sfgov.org>
Sent: Wednesday, May 13, 2020 12:48 PM
To: RPDInfo, RPD (REC) <rpinfo@sfgov.org>
Subject: Fwd: Tents in the park

Phil Ginsburg
(sent from my iPhone)

Begin forwarded message:

From: nancy zahov <nzahoc@icloud.com>
Date: May 13, 2020 at 12:38:20 PM PDT
To: "Ginsburg, Phil (REC)" <phil.ginsburg@sfgov.org>
Subject: Tents in the park

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hi: I am writing to definitely oppose bringing a tent city of homeless into golden gate park. My husband was a gardener in golden gate park during the time , the city did not want the homeless in the park .. we remember that they set fires, the needles were a problem and people did not feel as safe . I know this is one gigantic problem that the city of Sf cannot fixed even with all the money that has been thrown at it

And lastly , I do not think the city is going to be open any time soon, we and many people use the park to get some sanity in their closed lives. Do not bring a city of homeless folks in the park .

Thanks nancy zahov

Sent from my iPhone

From: [Alanna Deely](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Cc: [Ginsburg, Phil \(REC\)](#)
Subject: No Encampments in Golden Gate Park
Date: Thursday, May 14, 2020 9:49:15 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Do not allow the encampment of SF's homeless in Golden Gate Park. I am in agreement with Senator Feinstein! This will degrade the one last peaceful and sacred place this city has left. It is short sighted and impulsive especially while we have other, safer options for everybody.

-Alanna Deely
District 7

--

"Not all who wander are lost." J.R.R. Tolkien

From: [Ana B](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors. \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Proposed Tent Encampments in GGP
Date: Thursday, May 14, 2020 8:09:32 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Whom It May Concern,

We, the residents of Sunset District, strongly oppose the proposed tent encampments in Golden Gate Park.

This would be the end of GGP as we know it. Unfortunately, homeless people do not follow general rules of hygiene and GGP will be filled with human waste in no time. Please pick a different place for their residency, where families with kids don't go for walks and exercise. Keep Golden Gate park clean and beautiful.

Thank you.

From: [Oleg Osipoff](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Suitable Public Sites
Date: Wednesday, May 13, 2020 9:59:36 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

May 13, 2020

Dear Mayor Breed and City Supervisors,

It has been brought to my attention through a letter prepared by my Sunset District neighbors, that there are plans afoot to make Golden Gate Park a destination for the homeless. Naturally, this proposed legislation is in response to the COVID-19 crisis that certainly does pose a grave threat for the homeless living on the streets. However, a solution such as that proposed by Supervisors Fewer and Mar would create many more problems than it solves.

Supervisor Fewer writes that "I have identified specific areas which could be perfect for safe sleeping spots." I personally have seen every "safe" sleeping spot there could be in Golden Gate Park from as early as the 1960's through to today. There is no such place in 2020 unless the city corrals entire fields and creates a homeless campground village, which it appears this proposal wants to accomplish. The letter continues to quote Supervisor Fewer stating that "though this is not a permanent solution to homelessness and not as good as a hotel room, it is certainly better than leaving our unhoused folks crowded on the sidewalks." What adult person could possibly believe this claim? Not permanent? Really? It should be very clear to anyone living in San Francisco that once the city adopts a policy and it is implemented, flawed or otherwise, there will no returning from the conditions and perceptions it creates. Although these times are unprecedented, this "temporary" endeavor can't be compared to an Outside Lands concert where sections of the park become off limits for a month and the Rec and Parks workers quickly return the grounds to a normal and open state. The park would become a beacon indefinitely to the homeless throughout the state and beyond.

My question to the Board is why is it not possible to find those vacant hotel rooms, why isn't someone considering housing in unused parking lots and closed schoolyards, and public facilities such as the Cow Palace and Port Authority, as Senator Feinstein offers in expressing her concern about this proposal? Why is it that the City and the Health Dept are able to afford to offer thousands of daily COVID tests and not even persuade the most vulnerable population to go in and get tested? Why isn't that money being used to house the "unhoused" instead?

As a lifetime resident of the Sunset and Richmond Districts, it's hard for me to imagine anyone living or having lived in these neighborhoods to support this legislation. I urge complete rejection of it.

Oleg Osipoff

1221 29th Ave.

San Francisco, CA 94122

Please include as public comment

From: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#)
Subject: 39 letters regarding House of Representatives Bill 6666
Date: Thursday, May 14, 2020 3:22:00 PM
Attachments: [Notice regarding the Constitution and harm to my rights.msg](#)
[Notice and terms regarding warrantless surveillance and other violations.msg](#)
[Regarding my rights: and accepting your oath of office.msg](#)
[Regarding my rights: and accepting your oath of office.msg](#)
[PLEASE READ Notice of Non-Consent to HR 6666 and Urgent Request to My Government Representatives.msg](#)
[NOTICE regarding contact tracing and other measures causing harm.msg](#)
[Notice regarding harm being done to me.msg](#)
[PLEASE READ \(Notice\).msg](#)
[Notice regarding harm being done to me.msg](#)
[Non consent for contact tracing lockdown measures and other violations of rights.msg](#)
[Notice of Non-Consent regarding violations to my Constitutional rights.msg](#)
[Regarding my rights.msg](#)
[Notice of non consent.msg](#)
[PLEASE READ \(Notice\).msg](#)
[Non consent and accountability contact tracing lockdown measures etc.msg](#)
[Non consent for any and all contact tracing.msg](#)
[Notice of Non-Consent regarding violations to my Constitutional rights.msg](#)
[Notice of non consent.msg](#)
[Non consent for any and all contact tracing.msg](#)
[Notice of Non-Consent regarding violations to my Constitutional rights.msg](#)
[Notice regarding contact tracing aka surveillance and my terms.msg](#)
[PLEASE READ \(Notice\).msg](#)
[Letter of non consent for harm.msg](#)
[Notice of non consent.msg](#)
[Non consent for any and all contact tracing.msg](#)
[Letter of non consent for harm.msg](#)
[My Notice and Terms.msg](#)
[Regarding my rights: and accepting your oath of office.msg](#)
[Letter of non consent for harm.msg](#)
[NOTICE regarding HR 6666 \(TRACE Act\) and other measures causing harm.msg](#)
[Notice of Non-Consent regarding violations to my Constitutional rights.msg](#)
[My Notice and Terms.msg](#)
[Non consent and accountability contact tracing lockdown measures etc.msg](#)
[My Notice and Terms - i violently oppose HR 6666 TRACE ACT.msg](#)
[Notice of Non-Consent regarding violations to my Constitutional rights.msg](#)
[Notice of Non-Consent regarding violations to my Constitutional rights.msg](#)
[My Notice and Terms.msg](#)
[Regarding my rights.msg](#)
[Non consent and accountability contact tracing lockdown measures etc.msg](#)

Hello Supervisors,

Please see attached 39 letters regarding House of Representatives Bill 6666.

Thank you,

Jackie Hickey
 Board of Supervisors
 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, City Hall, Room 244
 San Francisco, CA 94102-4689
 Phone: (415) 554-5184 | Direct: (415) 554-7701
jacqueline.hickey@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

From: [Zhenya Yoder](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Letter of non consent for harm
Date: Monday, May 11, 2020 10:56:33 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Re: Letter of non consent for harm

Dear Ms. Stefani,

Below are critically important questions, evidence, facts, and my NOTICE OF TERMS to you in your public and private capacities.

Transmission of this notice has been electronically recorded. Thank you for reading this notice, sent to you in good faith, and without ill-intent. Please respond ASAP.

In this current crisis, measures have been undertaken which are harming me against my consent. Further malicious actions are being threatened against me, and against the rule of law itself.

A central example is the TRACE Act (HR 6666), proposing \$100 BILLION in 2020 to create an industry of “contact tracers” – a ubiquitous, fascist surveillance network – to enforce testing and apparently to grant the “authority” to remove people from their dwellings. See: bit.ly/bill6666

This action is egregious and, for federal officials, I ask you to immediately stop this bill. For all officials: stop all anti-Constitution legislation, and pass laws preserve all Constitutional rights and the rule of law in this country.

PLAIN STATEMENT OF FACTS

A. I have unalienable rights recognized in the original U.S. Constitution that cannot be superseded by any agenda, nor be removed from me. I understand these laws of the land to be active and currently valid. If this is not the case, please send verification in writing within 72 hours.

B. My unalienable rights are being harmed by agendas implemented by oath-sworn elected officials, corporate executives and/or non-elected officials (collectively “Agents Of Harm”). These include, without limitation:

- i. My free rights to speak, travel, do commerce, work, assemble, and be free of surveillance without warrant.
- ii. A threat of medical procedures or experimentation without my consent.
- iii. Threats of punitive detention, violence and or assault for non-compliance.

C. My unalienable rights are NOT conditional – i.e. subject to proving I am not contagious, nor submitting to surveillance, nor anything that would cause me harm – properly called “extortion”.

NOTICE OF TERMS

Effective immediately, and until each of the below questions are answered to my satisfaction, LET IT BE KNOWN throughout all jurisdictions and dimensions that:

A. I DO NOT CONSENT to being harmed by any imposition of quarantine, or restriction on my right to assemble, work, travel, speak or do commerce.

B. I DO NOT CONSENT to being told to live in fear, nor made to wear a mask, nor being mandated an allowable proximity to others.

C. I DO NOT CONSENT to any offer of forced medical experimentation or testing; nor forced medical procedure (i.e. vaccine, or substance delivered by air, water, or other means without my knowledge and consent); nor exposure to radiation from 4G/5G/wireless deployments; nor being tracked by any technology or biometric device on or adjacent to my body.

D. I HEREBY ACCEPT YOUR OATH OF OFFICE as your sworn statement that binds you to uphold my Constitutional rights, protect me from harm once noticed, and to liability when acting with negligence or without duty of care.

E. I RESERVE ALL OF MY RIGHTS, nunc pro tunc, to pursue remedy for ALL harm AND THREATS OF HARM, which may constitute CRIMINAL ASSAULT, and or tort, caused or contributed by Agents Of Harm. I intend to seek remedy and lawful justice unless and until the stated harmful actions cease and desist.

If you do not agree with my lawful ability to state and realize these claims and terms, please make your case in writing within 72 hours. If I receive no response from you, or if you offer any response that violates the supremacy of the original U.S. Constitution and you do not disprove my claim that the U.S. Constitution is active and valid, I will deem you to be in agreement and will proceed accordingly.

QUESTIONS AND EVIDENCE

1. Why are doctors asked to RECORD ANY CAUSE OF DEATH AS CV-19 EVEN IN THE ABSENSE OF CONFIRMATORY TESTING? bit.ly/2yfAJgc
2. Why are hospitals paid \$13,000 for EACH claimed CV-19 patient and \$39,000 for EACH ventilator request? bit.ly/2wFxH4i
3. Why are testing methods being used which DO NOT confirm CV-19, and which the test inventor said should NOT be used to identify a specific disease? bit.ly/2LfTdAw
4. Why are goats and even fruit apparently testing “positive” for CV-19? bit.ly/35Skx1
5. Why is the media suppressing a study showing CV-19 may be up to 50-85x LESS fatal than was thought? bit.ly/3cxTyd5
6. Why are hospitals empty if CV-19 is a legitimate pandemic? bit.ly/3cn11
7. Why do you not tell us social distancing costs us \$2 trillion dollars, contributes to depression and suicide, and will CAUSE the “second wave”? bit.ly/3ezOdno

8. Why is 5G being fast-tracked in our cities and schools during lockdown? bit.ly/34Jr9hN
9. Why are the economy, jobs, and freedoms being destroyed, allegedly to save people, when alcohol, cigarettes and junk food kill 21 MILLION people/year AND ARE STILL ALLOWED? bit.ly/3dt31
10. Why is Bill Gates, who is neither an elected official, nor a medical professional, saying vaccines are the “final solution” when vaccines can reduce immunity, increase disease, and harm or kill us? bit.ly/3clw1fG
11. Why did Bill Gates say, "eventually, we will have some digital certificates to show who has recovered or been tested recently or when we have a vaccine who has received it"? bit.ly/3fBzw
12. Why did Bill Gates say, "we're taking genetically-modified organisms and we're injecting them into a little kid's arm — just shoot 'em right in the vein"? bit.ly/3dwO1
13. Why does Microsoft own a patent (#060606, Mar 2020) for a cryptocurrency system using microchipped humans? bit.ly/3fyQ71
14. Why are doctors CENSORED for reporting that their CV-19 patients recovered from vitamin C? bit.ly/2xHpGfD
15. Why are YouTube and Google allowed to censor videos from hundreds of CREDIBLE EXPERTS, expressing grave concerns? See what's been censored: bit.ly/2zsH1 & bit.ly/34DiM79
16. Why has mask-wearing been forced when the Surgeon General, the WHO and even Dr. Fauci say to not wear them? bit.ly/3ckVt & bit.ly/3dw81
17. Why do gov't and Hollywood co-fabricate stories intended control our thoughts and behaviors? bit.ly/2RDSBbq
18. With this evidence of how we are being gravely misled, CAN YOU PLEASE RESPOND WITH VALID, JUSTIFIABLE REASONS WHY I SHOULD SURRENDER ANY OF MY UNALIENABLE CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS?

Sincerely,
Zhenya Yoder
zhenya30@hotmail.com
854 Ashbury Street San Francisco, CA 94117-4464 Constituent

From: [Frank Castanheira JR](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Letter of non consent for harm
Date: Monday, May 11, 2020 6:30:31 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Re: Letter of non consent for harm

Dear Ms. Stefani,

Below are critically important questions, evidence, facts, and my NOTICE OF TERMS to you in your public and private capacities.

Transmission of this notice has been electronically recorded. Thank you for reading this notice, sent to you in good faith, and without ill-intent. Please respond ASAP.

In this current crisis, measures have been undertaken which are harming me against my consent. Further malicious actions are being threatened against me, and against the rule of law itself.

A central example is the TRACE Act (HR 6666), proposing \$100 BILLION in 2020 to create an industry of “contact tracers” – a ubiquitous, fascist surveillance network – to enforce testing and apparently to grant the “authority” to remove people from their dwellings. See: bit.ly/bill6666

This action is egregious and, for federal officials, I ask you to immediately stop this bill. For all officials: stop all anti-Constitution legislation, and pass laws preserve all Constitutional rights and the rule of law in this country.

PLAIN STATEMENT OF FACTS

A. I have unalienable rights recognized in the original U.S. Constitution that cannot be superseded by any agenda, nor be removed from me. I understand these laws of the land to be active and currently valid. If this is not the case, please send verification in writing within 72 hours.

B. My unalienable rights are being harmed by agendas implemented by oath-sworn elected officials, corporate executives and/or non-elected officials (collectively “Agents Of Harm”). These include, without limitation:

- i. My free rights to speak, travel, do commerce, work, assemble, and be free of surveillance without warrant.
- ii. A threat of medical procedures or experimentation without my consent.
- iii. Threats of punitive detention, violence and or assault for non-compliance.

C. My unalienable rights are NOT conditional – i.e. subject to proving I am not contagious, nor submitting to surveillance, nor anything that would cause me harm – properly called “extortion”.

NOTICE OF TERMS

Effective immediately, and until each of the below questions are answered to my satisfaction, LET IT BE KNOWN throughout all jurisdictions and dimensions that:

A. I DO NOT CONSENT to being harmed by any imposition of quarantine, or restriction on my right to assemble, work, travel, speak or do commerce.

B. I DO NOT CONSENT to being told to live in fear, nor made to wear a mask, nor being mandated an allowable proximity to others.

C. I DO NOT CONSENT to any offer of forced medical experimentation or testing; nor forced medical procedure (i.e. vaccine, or substance delivered by air, water, or other means without my knowledge and consent); nor exposure to radiation from 4G/5G/wireless deployments; nor being tracked by any technology or biometric device on or adjacent to my body.

D. I HEREBY ACCEPT YOUR OATH OF OFFICE as your sworn statement that binds you to uphold my Constitutional rights, protect me from harm once noticed, and to liability when acting with negligence or without duty of care.

E. I RESERVE ALL OF MY RIGHTS, nunc pro tunc, to pursue remedy for ALL harm AND THREATS OF HARM, which may constitute CRIMINAL ASSAULT, and or tort, caused or contributed by Agents Of Harm. I intend to seek remedy and lawful justice unless and until the stated harmful actions cease and desist.

If you do not agree with my lawful ability to state and realize these claims and terms, please make your case in writing within 72 hours. If I receive no response from you, or if you offer any response that violates the supremacy of the original U.S. Constitution and you do not disprove my claim that the U.S. Constitution is active and valid, I will deem you to be in agreement and will proceed accordingly.

QUESTIONS AND EVIDENCE

1. Why are doctors asked to RECORD ANY CAUSE OF DEATH AS CV-19 EVEN IN THE ABSENSE OF CONFIRMATORY TESTING? bit.ly/2yfAJgc
2. Why are hospitals paid \$13,000 for EACH claimed CV-19 patient and \$39,000 for EACH ventilator request? bit.ly/2wFxH4i
3. Why are testing methods being used which DO NOT confirm CV-19, and which the test inventor said should NOT be used to identify a specific disease? bit.ly/2LfTdAw
4. Why are goats and even fruit apparently testing “positive” for CV-19? bit.ly/35Skx1
5. Why is the media suppressing a study showing CV-19 may be up to 50-85x LESS fatal than was thought? bit.ly/3cxTyd5
6. Why are hospitals empty if CV-19 is a legitimate pandemic? bit.ly/3cn11
7. Why do you not tell us social distancing costs us \$2 trillion dollars, contributes to depression and suicide, and will CAUSE the “second wave”? bit.ly/3ezOdno

8. Why is 5G being fast-tracked in our cities and schools during lockdown? bit.ly/34Jr9hN
9. Why are the economy, jobs, and freedoms being destroyed, allegedly to save people, when alcohol, cigarettes and junk food kill 21 MILLION people/year AND ARE STILL ALLOWED? bit.ly/3dt31
10. Why is Bill Gates, who is neither an elected official, nor a medical professional, saying vaccines are the “final solution” when vaccines can reduce immunity, increase disease, and harm or kill us? bit.ly/3clw1fG
11. Why did Bill Gates say, "eventually, we will have some digital certificates to show who has recovered or been tested recently or when we have a vaccine who has received it"? bit.ly/3fBzw
12. Why did Bill Gates say, "we're taking genetically-modified organisms and we're injecting them into a little kid's arm — just shoot 'em right in the vein"? bit.ly/3dwO1
13. Why does Microsoft own a patent (#060606, Mar 2020) for a cryptocurrency system using microchipped humans? bit.ly/3fyQ71
14. Why are doctors CENSORED for reporting that their CV-19 patients recovered from vitamin C? bit.ly/2xHpGfD
15. Why are YouTube and Google allowed to censor videos from hundreds of CREDIBLE EXPERTS, expressing grave concerns? See what's been censored: bit.ly/2zsH1 & bit.ly/34DiM79
16. Why has mask-wearing been forced when the Surgeon General, the WHO and even Dr. Fauci say to not wear them? bit.ly/3ckVt & bit.ly/3dw81
17. Why do gov't and Hollywood co-fabricate stories intended control our thoughts and behaviors? bit.ly/2RDSBbq
18. With this evidence of how we are being gravely misled, CAN YOU PLEASE RESPOND WITH VALID, JUSTIFIABLE REASONS WHY I SHOULD SURRENDER ANY OF MY UNALIENABLE CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS?

Sincerely,
Frank Castanheira JR
fkcyj19@gmail.com
305 1/5 Bocana St. San Francisco, CA 94110 Constituent

From: [Sasan Banava](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Letter of non consent for harm
Date: Monday, May 11, 2020 2:28:13 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Re: Letter of non consent for harm

Dear Ms. Stefani,

Below are critically important questions, evidence, facts, and my NOTICE OF TERMS to you in your public and private capacities.

Transmission of this notice has been electronically recorded. Thank you for reading this notice, sent to you in good faith, and without ill-intent. Please respond ASAP.

In this current crisis, measures have been undertaken which are harming me against my consent. Further malicious actions are being threatened against me, and against the rule of law itself.

A central example is the TRACE Act (HR 6666), proposing \$100 BILLION in 2020 to create an industry of “contact tracers” – a ubiquitous, fascist surveillance network – to enforce testing and apparently to grant the “authority” to remove people from their dwellings. See: bit.ly/bill6666

This action is egregious and, for federal officials, I ask you to immediately stop this bill. For all officials: stop all anti-Constitution legislation, and pass laws preserve all Constitutional rights and the rule of law in this country.

PLAIN STATEMENT OF FACTS

A. I have unalienable rights recognized in the original U.S. Constitution that cannot be superseded by any agenda, nor be removed from me. I understand these laws of the land to be active and currently valid. If this is not the case, please send verification in writing within 72 hours.

B. My unalienable rights are being harmed by agendas implemented by oath-sworn elected officials, corporate executives and/or non-elected officials (collectively “Agents Of Harm”). These include, without limitation:

- i. My free rights to speak, travel, do commerce, work, assemble, and be free of surveillance without warrant.
- ii. A threat of medical procedures or experimentation without my consent.
- iii. Threats of punitive detention, violence and or assault for non-compliance.

C. My unalienable rights are NOT conditional – i.e. subject to proving I am not contagious, nor submitting to surveillance, nor anything that would cause me harm – properly called “extortion”.

NOTICE OF TERMS

Effective immediately, and until each of the below questions are answered to my satisfaction, LET IT BE KNOWN throughout all jurisdictions and dimensions that:

A. I DO NOT CONSENT to being harmed by any imposition of quarantine, or restriction on my right to assemble, work, travel, speak or do commerce.

B. I DO NOT CONSENT to being told to live in fear, nor made to wear a mask, nor being mandated an allowable proximity to others.

C. I DO NOT CONSENT to any offer of forced medical experimentation or testing; nor forced medical procedure (i.e. vaccine, or substance delivered by air, water, or other means without my knowledge and consent); nor exposure to radiation from 4G/5G/wireless deployments; nor being tracked by any technology or biometric device on or adjacent to my body.

D. I HEREBY ACCEPT YOUR OATH OF OFFICE as your sworn statement that binds you to uphold my Constitutional rights, protect me from harm once noticed, and to liability when acting with negligence or without duty of care.

E. I RESERVE ALL OF MY RIGHTS, nunc pro tunc, to pursue remedy for ALL harm AND THREATS OF HARM, which may constitute CRIMINAL ASSAULT, and or tort, caused or contributed by Agents Of Harm. I intend to seek remedy and lawful justice unless and until the stated harmful actions cease and desist.

If you do not agree with my lawful ability to state and realize these claims and terms, please make your case in writing within 72 hours. If I receive no response from you, or if you offer any response that violates the supremacy of the original U.S. Constitution and you do not disprove my claim that the U.S. Constitution is active and valid, I will deem you to be in agreement and will proceed accordingly.

QUESTIONS AND EVIDENCE

1. Why are doctors asked to RECORD ANY CAUSE OF DEATH AS CV-19 EVEN IN THE ABSENSE OF CONFIRMATORY TESTING? bit.ly/2yfAJgc
2. Why are hospitals paid \$13,000 for EACH claimed CV-19 patient and \$39,000 for EACH ventilator request? bit.ly/2wFxH4i
3. Why are testing methods being used which DO NOT confirm CV-19, and which the test inventor said should NOT be used to identify a specific disease? bit.ly/2LfTdAw
4. Why are goats and even fruit apparently testing “positive” for CV-19? bit.ly/35Skx1
5. Why is the media suppressing a study showing CV-19 may be up to 50-85x LESS fatal than was thought? bit.ly/3cxTyd5
6. Why are hospitals empty if CV-19 is a legitimate pandemic? bit.ly/3cn11
7. Why do you not tell us social distancing costs us \$2 trillion dollars, contributes to depression and suicide, and will CAUSE the “second wave”? bit.ly/3ezOdno

8. Why is 5G being fast-tracked in our cities and schools during lockdown? bit.ly/34Jr9hN
9. Why are the economy, jobs, and freedoms being destroyed, allegedly to save people, when alcohol, cigarettes and junk food kill 21 MILLION people/year AND ARE STILL ALLOWED? bit.ly/3dt31
10. Why is Bill Gates, who is neither an elected official, nor a medical professional, saying vaccines are the “final solution” when vaccines can reduce immunity, increase disease, and harm or kill us? bit.ly/3clw1fG
11. Why did Bill Gates say, "eventually, we will have some digital certificates to show who has recovered or been tested recently or when we have a vaccine who has received it"? bit.ly/3fBzw
12. Why did Bill Gates say, "we're taking genetically-modified organisms and we're injecting them into a little kid's arm — just shoot 'em right in the vein"? bit.ly/3dwO1
13. Why does Microsoft own a patent (#060606, Mar 2020) for a cryptocurrency system using microchipped humans? bit.ly/3fyQ71
14. Why are doctors CENSORED for reporting that their CV-19 patients recovered from vitamin C? bit.ly/2xHpGfD
15. Why are YouTube and Google allowed to censor videos from hundreds of CREDIBLE EXPERTS, expressing grave concerns? See what's been censored: bit.ly/2zsH1 & bit.ly/34DiM79
16. Why has mask-wearing been forced when the Surgeon General, the WHO and even Dr. Fauci say to not wear them? bit.ly/3ckVt & bit.ly/3dw81
17. Why do gov't and Hollywood co-fabricate stories intended control our thoughts and behaviors? bit.ly/2RDSBbq
18. With this evidence of how we are being gravely misled, CAN YOU PLEASE RESPOND WITH VALID, JUSTIFIABLE REASONS WHY I SHOULD SURRENDER ANY OF MY UNALIENABLE CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS?

Sincerely,
Sasan Banava
sasan.banava@gmail.com
1994 45th ave San Francisco, CA 94116 Constituent

From: [Roland Kim](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: My Notice and Terms - i violently oppose HR 6666 TRACE ACT
Date: Sunday, May 10, 2020 5:21:55 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Re: My Notice and Terms - i violently oppose HR 6666 TRACE ACT

Dear Ms. Stefani,

Below are critically important questions, evidence, facts, and my NOTICE OF TERMS to you in your public and private capacities.

Transmission of this notice has been electronically recorded. Thank you for reading this notice, sent to you in good faith, and without ill-intent. Please respond ASAP.

In this current crisis, measures have been undertaken which are harming me against my consent. Further malicious actions are being threatened against me, and against the rule of law itself.

A central example is the TRACE Act (HR 6666), proposing \$100 BILLION in 2020 to create an industry of “contact tracers” – a ubiquitous, fascist surveillance network – to enforce testing and apparently to grant the “authority” to remove people from their dwellings. See: bit.ly/bill6666

This action is egregious and, for federal officials, I ask you to immediately stop this bill. For all officials: stop all anti-Constitution legislation, and pass laws preserve all Constitutional rights and the rule of law in this country.

PLAIN STATEMENT OF FACTS

A. I have unalienable rights recognized in the original U.S. Constitution that cannot be superseded by any agenda, nor be removed from me. I understand these laws of the land to be active and currently valid. If this is not the case, please send verification in writing within 72 hours.

B. My unalienable rights are being harmed by agendas implemented by oath-sworn elected officials, corporate executives and/or non-elected officials (collectively “Agents Of Harm”). These include, without limitation:

- i. My free rights to speak, travel, do commerce, work, assemble, and be free of surveillance without warrant.
- ii. A threat of medical procedures or experimentation without my consent.
- iii. Threats of punitive detention, violence and or assault for non-compliance.

C. My unalienable rights are NOT conditional – i.e. subject to proving I am not contagious, nor submitting to surveillance, nor anything that would cause me harm – properly called “extortion”.

NOTICE OF TERMS

Effective immediately, and until each of the below questions are answered to my satisfaction, LET IT BE KNOWN throughout all jurisdictions and dimensions that:

A. I DO NOT CONSENT to being harmed by any imposition of quarantine, or restriction on my right to assemble, work, travel, speak or do commerce.

B. I DO NOT CONSENT to being told to live in fear, nor made to wear a mask, nor being mandated an allowable proximity to others.

C. I DO NOT CONSENT to any offer of forced medical experimentation or testing; nor forced medical procedure (i.e. vaccine, or substance delivered by air, water, or other means without my knowledge and consent); nor exposure to radiation from 4G/5G/wireless deployments; nor being tracked by any technology or biometric device on or adjacent to my body.

D. I HEREBY ACCEPT YOUR OATH OF OFFICE as your sworn statement that binds you to uphold my Constitutional rights, protect me from harm once noticed, and to liability when acting with negligence or without duty of care.

E. I RESERVE ALL OF MY RIGHTS, nunc pro tunc, to pursue remedy for ALL harm AND THREATS OF HARM, which may constitute CRIMINAL ASSAULT, and or tort, caused or contributed by Agents Of Harm. I intend to seek remedy and lawful justice unless and until the stated harmful actions cease and desist.

If you do not agree with my lawful ability to state and realize these claims and terms, please make your case in writing within 72 hours. If I receive no response from you, or if you offer any response that violates the supremacy of the original U.S. Constitution and you do not disprove my claim that the U.S. Constitution is active and valid, I will deem you to be in agreement and will proceed accordingly.

QUESTIONS AND EVIDENCE

1. Why are doctors asked to RECORD ANY CAUSE OF DEATH AS CV-19 EVEN IN THE ABSENSE OF CONFIRMATORY TESTING? bit.ly/2yfAJgc
2. Why are hospitals paid \$13,000 for EACH claimed CV-19 patient and \$39,000 for EACH ventilator request? bit.ly/2wFxH4i
3. Why are testing methods being used which DO NOT confirm CV-19, and which the test inventor said should NOT be used to identify a specific disease? bit.ly/2LfTdAw
4. Why are goats and even fruit apparently testing “positive” for CV-19? bit.ly/35Skx1
5. Why is the media suppressing a study showing CV-19 may be up to 50-85x LESS fatal than was thought? bit.ly/3cxTyd5
6. Why are hospitals empty if CV-19 is a legitimate pandemic? bit.ly/3cn11
7. Why do you not tell us social distancing costs us \$2 trillion dollars, contributes to depression and suicide, and will CAUSE the “second wave”? bit.ly/3ezOdno

8. Why is 5G being fast-tracked in our cities and schools during lockdown? bit.ly/34Jr9hN
9. Why are the economy, jobs, and freedoms being destroyed, allegedly to save people, when alcohol, cigarettes and junk food kill 21 MILLION people/year AND ARE STILL ALLOWED? bit.ly/3dt31
10. Why is Bill Gates, who is neither an elected official, nor a medical professional, saying vaccines are the “final solution” when vaccines can reduce immunity, increase disease, and harm or kill us? bit.ly/3clw1fG
11. Why did Bill Gates say, "eventually, we will have some digital certificates to show who has recovered or been tested recently or when we have a vaccine who has received it"? bit.ly/3fBzw
12. Why did Bill Gates say, "we're taking genetically-modified organisms and we're injecting them into a little kid's arm — just shoot 'em right in the vein"? bit.ly/3dwO1
13. Why does Microsoft own a patent (#060606, Mar 2020) for a cryptocurrency system using microchipped humans? bit.ly/3fyQ71
14. Why are doctors CENSORED for reporting that their CV-19 patients recovered from vitamin C? bit.ly/2xHpGfD
15. Why are YouTube and Google allowed to censor videos from hundreds of CREDIBLE EXPERTS, expressing grave concerns? See what's been censored: bit.ly/2zsH1 & bit.ly/34DiM79
16. Why has mask-wearing been forced when the Surgeon General, the WHO and even Dr. Fauci say to not wear them? bit.ly/3ckVt & bit.ly/3dw81
17. Why do gov't and Hollywood co-fabricate stories intended control our thoughts and behaviors? bit.ly/2RDSBbq
18. With this evidence of how we are being gravely misled, CAN YOU PLEASE RESPOND WITH VALID, JUSTIFIABLE REASONS WHY I SHOULD SURRENDER ANY OF MY UNALIENABLE CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS?

Sincerely,
Roland Kim
rkim1081@gmail.com
128 Hugo Street San Francisco, CA 94122 Constituent

From: [James A Provenzano](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: My Notice and Terms
Date: Sunday, May 10, 2020 5:54:59 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Re: My Notice and Terms

Dear Ms. Stefani,

Below are critically important questions, evidence, facts, and my NOTICE OF TERMS to you in your public and private capacities.

Transmission of this notice has been electronically recorded. Thank you for reading this notice, sent to you in good faith, and without ill-intent. Please respond ASAP.

In this current crisis, measures have been undertaken which are harming me against my consent. Further malicious actions are being threatened against me, and against the rule of law itself.

A central example is the TRACE Act (HR 6666), proposing \$100 BILLION in 2020 to create an industry of “contact tracers” – a ubiquitous, fascist surveillance network – to enforce testing and apparently to grant the “authority” to remove people from their dwellings. See: bit.ly/bill6666

This action is egregious and, for federal officials, I ask you to immediately stop this bill. For all officials: stop all anti-Constitution legislation, and pass laws preserve all Constitutional rights and the rule of law in this country.

PLAIN STATEMENT OF FACTS

A. I have unalienable rights recognized in the original U.S. Constitution that cannot be superseded by any agenda, nor be removed from me. I understand these laws of the land to be active and currently valid. If this is not the case, please send verification in writing within 72 hours.

B. My unalienable rights are being harmed by agendas implemented by oath-sworn elected officials, corporate executives and/or non-elected officials (collectively “Agents Of Harm”). These include, without limitation:

- i. My free rights to speak, travel, do commerce, work, assemble, and be free of surveillance without warrant.
- ii. A threat of medical procedures or experimentation without my consent.
- iii. Threats of punitive detention, violence and or assault for non-compliance.

C. My unalienable rights are NOT conditional – i.e. subject to proving I am not contagious, nor submitting to surveillance, nor anything that would cause me harm – properly called “extortion”.

NOTICE OF TERMS

Effective immediately, and until each of the below questions are answered to my satisfaction, LET IT BE KNOWN throughout all jurisdictions and dimensions that:

A. I DO NOT CONSENT to being harmed by any imposition of quarantine, or restriction on my right to assemble, work, travel, speak or do commerce.

B. I DO NOT CONSENT to being told to live in fear, nor made to wear a mask, nor being mandated an allowable proximity to others.

C. I DO NOT CONSENT to any offer of forced medical experimentation or testing; nor forced medical procedure (i.e. vaccine, or substance delivered by air, water, or other means without my knowledge and consent); nor exposure to radiation from 4G/5G/wireless deployments; nor being tracked by any technology or biometric device on or adjacent to my body.

D. I HEREBY ACCEPT YOUR OATH OF OFFICE as your sworn statement that binds you to uphold my Constitutional rights, protect me from harm once noticed, and to liability when acting with negligence or without duty of care.

E. I RESERVE ALL OF MY RIGHTS, nunc pro tunc, to pursue remedy for ALL harm AND THREATS OF HARM, which may constitute CRIMINAL ASSAULT, and or tort, caused or contributed by Agents Of Harm. I intend to seek remedy and lawful justice unless and until the stated harmful actions cease and desist.

If you do not agree with my lawful ability to state and realize these claims and terms, please make your case in writing within 72 hours. If I receive no response from you, or if you offer any response that violates the supremacy of the original U.S. Constitution and you do not disprove my claim that the U.S. Constitution is active and valid, I will deem you to be in agreement and will proceed accordingly.

QUESTIONS AND EVIDENCE

1. Why are doctors asked to RECORD ANY CAUSE OF DEATH AS CV-19 EVEN IN THE ABSENSE OF CONFIRMATORY TESTING? bit.ly/2yfAJgc
2. Why are hospitals paid \$13,000 for EACH claimed CV-19 patient and \$39,000 for EACH ventilator request? bit.ly/2wFxH4i
3. Why are testing methods being used which DO NOT confirm CV-19, and which the test inventor said should NOT be used to identify a specific disease? bit.ly/2LfTdAw
4. Why are goats and even fruit apparently testing “positive” for CV-19? bit.ly/35Skx1
5. Why is the media suppressing a study showing CV-19 may be up to 50-85x LESS fatal than was thought? bit.ly/3cxTyd5
6. Why are hospitals empty if CV-19 is a legitimate pandemic? bit.ly/3cn11
7. Why do you not tell us social distancing costs us \$2 trillion dollars, contributes to depression and suicide, and will CAUSE the “second wave”? bit.ly/3ezOdno

8. Why is 5G being fast-tracked in our cities and schools during lockdown? bit.ly/34Jr9hN
9. Why are the economy, jobs, and freedoms being destroyed, allegedly to save people, when alcohol, cigarettes and junk food kill 21 MILLION people/year AND ARE STILL ALLOWED? bit.ly/3dt31
10. Why is Bill Gates, who is neither an elected official, nor a medical professional, saying vaccines are the “final solution” when vaccines can reduce immunity, increase disease, and harm or kill us? bit.ly/3clw1fG
11. Why did Bill Gates say, "eventually, we will have some digital certificates to show who has recovered or been tested recently or when we have a vaccine who has received it"? bit.ly/3fBzw
12. Why did Bill Gates say, "we're taking genetically-modified organisms and we're injecting them into a little kid's arm — just shoot 'em right in the vein"? bit.ly/3dwO1
13. Why does Microsoft own a patent (#060606, Mar 2020) for a cryptocurrency system using microchipped humans? bit.ly/3fyQ71
14. Why are doctors CENSORED for reporting that their CV-19 patients recovered from vitamin C? bit.ly/2xHpGfD
15. Why are YouTube and Google allowed to censor videos from hundreds of CREDIBLE EXPERTS, expressing grave concerns? See what's been censored: bit.ly/2zsH1 & bit.ly/34DiM79
16. Why has mask-wearing been forced when the Surgeon General, the WHO and even Dr. Fauci say to not wear them? bit.ly/3ckVt & bit.ly/3dw81
17. Why do gov't and Hollywood co-fabricate stories intended control our thoughts and behaviors? bit.ly/2RDSBbq
18. With this evidence of how we are being gravely misled, CAN YOU PLEASE RESPOND WITH VALID, JUSTIFIABLE REASONS WHY I SHOULD SURRENDER ANY OF MY UNALIENABLE CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS?

Sincerely,
James A Provenzano
jamespro57@gmail.com
POBox 320578 San Francisco, CA 94132 Constituent

From: [Andrew Szczurko](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: My Notice and Terms
Date: Sunday, May 10, 2020 11:27:46 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Re: My Notice and Terms

Dear Ms. Stefani,

Below are critically important questions, evidence, facts, and my NOTICE OF TERMS to you in your public and private capacities.

Transmission of this notice has been electronically recorded. Thank you for reading this notice, sent to you in good faith, and without ill-intent. Please respond ASAP.

In this current crisis, measures have been undertaken which are harming me against my consent. Further malicious actions are being threatened against me, and against the rule of law itself.

A central example is the TRACE Act (HR 6666), proposing \$100 BILLION in 2020 to create an industry of “contact tracers” – a ubiquitous, fascist surveillance network – to enforce testing and apparently to grant the “authority” to remove people from their dwellings. See: bit.ly/bill6666

This action is egregious and, for federal officials, I ask you to immediately stop this bill. For all officials: stop all anti-Constitution legislation, and pass laws preserve all Constitutional rights and the rule of law in this country.

PLAIN STATEMENT OF FACTS

A. I have unalienable rights recognized in the original U.S. Constitution that cannot be superseded by any agenda, nor be removed from me. I understand these laws of the land to be active and currently valid. If this is not the case, please send verification in writing within 72 hours.

B. My unalienable rights are being harmed by agendas implemented by oath-sworn elected officials, corporate executives and/or non-elected officials (collectively “Agents Of Harm”). These include, without limitation:

- i. My free rights to speak, travel, do commerce, work, assemble, and be free of surveillance without warrant.
- ii. A threat of medical procedures or experimentation without my consent.
- iii. Threats of punitive detention, violence and or assault for non-compliance.

C. My unalienable rights are NOT conditional – i.e. subject to proving I am not contagious, nor submitting to surveillance, nor anything that would cause me harm – properly called “extortion”.

NOTICE OF TERMS

Effective immediately, and until each of the below questions are answered to my satisfaction, LET IT BE KNOWN throughout all jurisdictions and dimensions that:

A. I DO NOT CONSENT to being harmed by any imposition of quarantine, or restriction on my right to assemble, work, travel, speak or do commerce.

B. I DO NOT CONSENT to being told to live in fear, nor made to wear a mask, nor being mandated an allowable proximity to others.

C. I DO NOT CONSENT to any offer of forced medical experimentation or testing; nor forced medical procedure (i.e. vaccine, or substance delivered by air, water, or other means without my knowledge and consent); nor exposure to radiation from 4G/5G/wireless deployments; nor being tracked by any technology or biometric device on or adjacent to my body.

D. I HEREBY ACCEPT YOUR OATH OF OFFICE as your sworn statement that binds you to uphold my Constitutional rights, protect me from harm once noticed, and to liability when acting with negligence or without duty of care.

E. I RESERVE ALL OF MY RIGHTS, nunc pro tunc, to pursue remedy for ALL harm AND THREATS OF HARM, which may constitute CRIMINAL ASSAULT, and or tort, caused or contributed by Agents Of Harm. I intend to seek remedy and lawful justice unless and until the stated harmful actions cease and desist.

If you do not agree with my lawful ability to state and realize these claims and terms, please make your case in writing within 72 hours. If I receive no response from you, or if you offer any response that violates the supremacy of the original U.S. Constitution and you do not disprove my claim that the U.S. Constitution is active and valid, I will deem you to be in agreement and will proceed accordingly.

QUESTIONS AND EVIDENCE

1. Why are doctors asked to RECORD ANY CAUSE OF DEATH AS CV-19 EVEN IN THE ABSENSE OF CONFIRMATORY TESTING? bit.ly/2yfAJgc
2. Why are hospitals paid \$13,000 for EACH claimed CV-19 patient and \$39,000 for EACH ventilator request? bit.ly/2wFxH4i
3. Why are testing methods being used which DO NOT confirm CV-19, and which the test inventor said should NOT be used to identify a specific disease? bit.ly/2LfTdAw
4. Why are goats and even fruit apparently testing “positive” for CV-19? bit.ly/35Skx1
5. Why is the media suppressing a study showing CV-19 may be up to 50-85x LESS fatal than was thought? bit.ly/3cxTyd5
6. Why are hospitals empty if CV-19 is a legitimate pandemic? bit.ly/3cn11
7. Why do you not tell us social distancing costs us \$2 trillion dollars, contributes to depression and suicide, and will CAUSE the “second wave”? bit.ly/3ezOdno

8. Why is 5G being fast-tracked in our cities and schools during lockdown? bit.ly/34Jr9hN
9. Why are the economy, jobs, and freedoms being destroyed, allegedly to save people, when alcohol, cigarettes and junk food kill 21 MILLION people/year AND ARE STILL ALLOWED? bit.ly/3dt31
10. Why is Bill Gates, who is neither an elected official, nor a medical professional, saying vaccines are the “final solution” when vaccines can reduce immunity, increase disease, and harm or kill us? bit.ly/3clw1fG
11. Why did Bill Gates say, "eventually, we will have some digital certificates to show who has recovered or been tested recently or when we have a vaccine who has received it"? bit.ly/3fBzw
12. Why did Bill Gates say, "we're taking genetically-modified organisms and we're injecting them into a little kid's arm — just shoot 'em right in the vein"? bit.ly/3dwO1
13. Why does Microsoft own a patent (#060606, Mar 2020) for a cryptocurrency system using microchipped humans? bit.ly/3fyQ71
14. Why are doctors CENSORED for reporting that their CV-19 patients recovered from vitamin C? bit.ly/2xHpGfD
15. Why are YouTube and Google allowed to censor videos from hundreds of CREDIBLE EXPERTS, expressing grave concerns? See what's been censored: bit.ly/2zsH1 & bit.ly/34DiM79
16. Why has mask-wearing been forced when the Surgeon General, the WHO and even Dr. Fauci say to not wear them? bit.ly/3ckVt & bit.ly/3dw81
17. Why do gov't and Hollywood co-fabricate stories intended control our thoughts and behaviors? bit.ly/2RDSBbq
18. With this evidence of how we are being gravely misled, CAN YOU PLEASE RESPOND WITH VALID, JUSTIFIABLE REASONS WHY I SHOULD SURRENDER ANY OF MY UNALIENABLE CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS?

Sincerely,
Andrew Szczurko
tjsboxboy@yahoo.com
45 Williams ave San Francisco, CA 94002 Constituent

From: [Heidi Hanson](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: My Notice and Terms
Date: Monday, May 11, 2020 10:09:58 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Re: My Notice and Terms

Dear Ms. Stefani,

Below are critically important questions, evidence, facts, and my NOTICE OF TERMS to you in your public and private capacities.

Transmission of this notice has been electronically recorded. Thank you for reading this notice, sent to you in good faith, and without ill-intent. Please respond ASAP.

In this current crisis, measures have been undertaken which are harming me against my consent. Further malicious actions are being threatened against me, and against the rule of law itself.

A central example is the TRACE Act (HR 6666), proposing \$100 BILLION in 2020 to create an industry of “contact tracers” – a ubiquitous, fascist surveillance network – to enforce testing and apparently to grant the “authority” to remove people from their dwellings. See: bit.ly/bill6666

This action is egregious and, for federal officials, I ask you to immediately stop this bill. For all officials: stop all anti-Constitution legislation, and pass laws preserve all Constitutional rights and the rule of law in this country.

PLAIN STATEMENT OF FACTS

A. I have unalienable rights recognized in the original U.S. Constitution that cannot be superseded by any agenda, nor be removed from me. I understand these laws of the land to be active and currently valid. If this is not the case, please send verification in writing within 72 hours.

B. My unalienable rights are being harmed by agendas implemented by oath-sworn elected officials, corporate executives and/or non-elected officials (collectively “Agents Of Harm”). These include, without limitation:

- i. My free rights to speak, travel, do commerce, work, assemble, and be free of surveillance without warrant.
- ii. A threat of medical procedures or experimentation without my consent.
- iii. Threats of punitive detention, violence and or assault for non-compliance.

C. My unalienable rights are NOT conditional – i.e. subject to proving I am not contagious, nor submitting to surveillance, nor anything that would cause me harm – properly called “extortion”.

NOTICE OF TERMS

Effective immediately, and until each of the below questions are answered to my satisfaction, LET IT BE KNOWN throughout all jurisdictions and dimensions that:

A. I DO NOT CONSENT to being harmed by any imposition of quarantine, or restriction on my right to assemble, work, travel, speak or do commerce.

B. I DO NOT CONSENT to being told to live in fear, nor made to wear a mask, nor being mandated an allowable proximity to others.

C. I DO NOT CONSENT to any offer of forced medical experimentation or testing; nor forced medical procedure (i.e. vaccine, or substance delivered by air, water, or other means without my knowledge and consent); nor exposure to radiation from 4G/5G/wireless deployments; nor being tracked by any technology or biometric device on or adjacent to my body.

D. I HEREBY ACCEPT YOUR OATH OF OFFICE as your sworn statement that binds you to uphold my Constitutional rights, protect me from harm once noticed, and to liability when acting with negligence or without duty of care.

E. I RESERVE ALL OF MY RIGHTS, nunc pro tunc, to pursue remedy for ALL harm AND THREATS OF HARM, which may constitute CRIMINAL ASSAULT, and or tort, caused or contributed by Agents Of Harm. I intend to seek remedy and lawful justice unless and until the stated harmful actions cease and desist.

If you do not agree with my lawful ability to state and realize these claims and terms, please make your case in writing within 72 hours. If I receive no response from you, or if you offer any response that violates the supremacy of the original U.S. Constitution and you do not disprove my claim that the U.S. Constitution is active and valid, I will deem you to be in agreement and will proceed accordingly.

QUESTIONS AND EVIDENCE

1. Why are doctors asked to RECORD ANY CAUSE OF DEATH AS CV-19 EVEN IN THE ABSENSE OF CONFIRMATORY TESTING? bit.ly/2yfAJgc
2. Why are hospitals paid \$13,000 for EACH claimed CV-19 patient and \$39,000 for EACH ventilator request? bit.ly/2wFxH4i
3. Why are testing methods being used which DO NOT confirm CV-19, and which the test inventor said should NOT be used to identify a specific disease? bit.ly/2LfTdAw
4. Why are goats and even fruit apparently testing “positive” for CV-19? bit.ly/35Skx1
5. Why is the media suppressing a study showing CV-19 may be up to 50-85x LESS fatal than was thought? bit.ly/3cxTyd5
6. Why are hospitals empty if CV-19 is a legitimate pandemic? bit.ly/3cn11
7. Why do you not tell us social distancing costs us \$2 trillion dollars, contributes to depression and suicide, and will CAUSE the “second wave”? bit.ly/3ezOdno

8. Why is 5G being fast-tracked in our cities and schools during lockdown? bit.ly/34Jr9hN
9. Why are the economy, jobs, and freedoms being destroyed, allegedly to save people, when alcohol, cigarettes and junk food kill 21 MILLION people/year AND ARE STILL ALLOWED? bit.ly/3dt31
10. Why is Bill Gates, who is neither an elected official, nor a medical professional, saying vaccines are the “final solution” when vaccines can reduce immunity, increase disease, and harm or kill us? bit.ly/3clw1fG
11. Why did Bill Gates say, "eventually, we will have some digital certificates to show who has recovered or been tested recently or when we have a vaccine who has received it"? bit.ly/3fBzw
12. Why did Bill Gates say, "we're taking genetically-modified organisms and we're injecting them into a little kid's arm — just shoot 'em right in the vein"? bit.ly/3dwO1
13. Why does Microsoft own a patent (#060606, Mar 2020) for a cryptocurrency system using microchipped humans? bit.ly/3fyQ71
14. Why are doctors CENSORED for reporting that their CV-19 patients recovered from vitamin C? bit.ly/2xHpGfD
15. Why are YouTube and Google allowed to censor videos from hundreds of CREDIBLE EXPERTS, expressing grave concerns? See what's been censored: bit.ly/2zsH1 & bit.ly/34DiM79
16. Why has mask-wearing been forced when the Surgeon General, the WHO and even Dr. Fauci say to not wear them? bit.ly/3ckVt & bit.ly/3dw81
17. Why do gov't and Hollywood co-fabricate stories intended control our thoughts and behaviors? bit.ly/2RDSBbq
18. With this evidence of how we are being gravely misled, CAN YOU PLEASE RESPOND WITH VALID, JUSTIFIABLE REASONS WHY I SHOULD SURRENDER ANY OF MY UNALIENABLE CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS?

Sincerely,
Heidi Hanson
heidimariahanson@gmail.com
Lake St. San Francisco, CA 94121 Constituent

From: [Camille Calame-Martin](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Non consent and accountability: contact tracing, lockdown measures, etc
Date: Sunday, May 10, 2020 5:35:21 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Re: Non consent and accountability: contact tracing, lockdown measures, etc

Dear Ms. Stefani,

Below are critically important questions, evidence, facts, and my NOTICE OF TERMS to you in your public and private capacities.

Transmission of this notice has been electronically recorded. Thank you for reading this notice, sent to you in good faith, and without ill-intent. Please respond ASAP.

In this current crisis, measures have been undertaken which are harming me against my consent. Further malicious actions are being threatened against me, and against the rule of law itself.

A central example is the TRACE Act (HR 6666), proposing \$100 BILLION in 2020 to create an industry of “contact tracers” – a ubiquitous, fascist surveillance network – to enforce testing and apparently to grant the “authority” to remove people from their dwellings. See: bit.ly/bill6666

This action is egregious and, for federal officials, I ask you to immediately stop this bill. For all officials: stop all anti-Constitution legislation, and pass laws preserve all Constitutional rights and the rule of law in this country.

PLAIN STATEMENT OF FACTS

A. I have unalienable rights recognized in the original U.S. Constitution that cannot be superseded by any agenda, nor be removed from me. I understand these laws of the land to be active and currently valid. If this is not the case, please send verification in writing within 72 hours.

B. My unalienable rights are being harmed by agendas implemented by oath-sworn elected officials, corporate executives and/or non-elected officials (collectively “Agents Of Harm”). These include, without limitation:

- i. My free rights to speak, travel, do commerce, work, assemble, and be free of surveillance without warrant.
- ii. A threat of medical procedures or experimentation without my consent.
- iii. Threats of punitive detention, violence and or assault for non-compliance.

C. My unalienable rights are NOT conditional – i.e. subject to proving I am not contagious, nor submitting to surveillance, nor anything that would cause me harm – properly called “extortion”.

NOTICE OF TERMS

Effective immediately, and until each of the below questions are answered to my satisfaction, LET IT BE KNOWN throughout all jurisdictions and dimensions that:

A. I DO NOT CONSENT to being harmed by any imposition of quarantine, or restriction on my right to assemble, work, travel, speak or do commerce.

B. I DO NOT CONSENT to being told to live in fear, nor made to wear a mask, nor being mandated an allowable proximity to others.

C. I DO NOT CONSENT to any offer of forced medical experimentation or testing; nor forced medical procedure (i.e. vaccine, or substance delivered by air, water, or other means without my knowledge and consent); nor exposure to radiation from 4G/5G/wireless deployments; nor being tracked by any technology or biometric device on or adjacent to my body.

D. I HEREBY ACCEPT YOUR OATH OF OFFICE as your sworn statement that binds you to uphold my Constitutional rights, protect me from harm once noticed, and to liability when acting with negligence or without duty of care.

E. I RESERVE ALL OF MY RIGHTS, nunc pro tunc, to pursue remedy for ALL harm AND THREATS OF HARM, which may constitute CRIMINAL ASSAULT, and or tort, caused or contributed by Agents Of Harm. I intend to seek remedy and lawful justice unless and until the stated harmful actions cease and desist.

If you do not agree with my lawful ability to state and realize these claims and terms, please make your case in writing within 72 hours. If I receive no response from you, or if you offer any response that violates the supremacy of the original U.S. Constitution and you do not disprove my claim that the U.S. Constitution is active and valid, I will deem you to be in agreement and will proceed accordingly.

QUESTIONS AND EVIDENCE

1. Why are doctors asked to RECORD ANY CAUSE OF DEATH AS CV-19 EVEN IN THE ABSENSE OF CONFIRMATORY TESTING? bit.ly/2yfAJgc
2. Why are hospitals paid \$13,000 for EACH claimed CV-19 patient and \$39,000 for EACH ventilator request? bit.ly/2wFxH4i
3. Why are testing methods being used which DO NOT confirm CV-19, and which the test inventor said should NOT be used to identify a specific disease? bit.ly/2LfTdAw
4. Why are goats and even fruit apparently testing “positive” for CV-19? bit.ly/35Skx1
5. Why is the media suppressing a study showing CV-19 may be up to 50-85x LESS fatal than was thought? bit.ly/3cxTyd5
6. Why are hospitals empty if CV-19 is a legitimate pandemic? bit.ly/3cn11
7. Why do you not tell us social distancing costs us \$2 trillion dollars, contributes to depression and suicide, and will CAUSE the “second wave”? bit.ly/3ezOdno

8. Why is 5G being fast-tracked in our cities and schools during lockdown? bit.ly/34Jr9hN
9. Why are the economy, jobs, and freedoms being destroyed, allegedly to save people, when alcohol, cigarettes and junk food kill 21 MILLION people/year AND ARE STILL ALLOWED? bit.ly/3dt31
10. Why is Bill Gates, who is neither an elected official, nor a medical professional, saying vaccines are the “final solution” when vaccines can reduce immunity, increase disease, and harm or kill us? bit.ly/3clw1fG
11. Why did Bill Gates say, "eventually, we will have some digital certificates to show who has recovered or been tested recently or when we have a vaccine who has received it"? bit.ly/3fBzw
12. Why did Bill Gates say, "we're taking genetically-modified organisms and we're injecting them into a little kid's arm — just shoot 'em right in the vein"? bit.ly/3dwO1
13. Why does Microsoft own a patent (#060606, Mar 2020) for a cryptocurrency system using microchipped humans? bit.ly/3fyQ71
14. Why are doctors CENSORED for reporting that their CV-19 patients recovered from vitamin C? bit.ly/2xHpGfD
15. Why are YouTube and Google allowed to censor videos from hundreds of CREDIBLE EXPERTS, expressing grave concerns? See what's been censored: bit.ly/2zsH1 & bit.ly/34DiM79
16. Why has mask-wearing been forced when the Surgeon General, the WHO and even Dr. Fauci say to not wear them? bit.ly/3ckVt & bit.ly/3dw81
17. Why do gov't and Hollywood co-fabricate stories intended control our thoughts and behaviors? bit.ly/2RDSBbq
18. With this evidence of how we are being gravely misled, CAN YOU PLEASE RESPOND WITH VALID, JUSTIFIABLE REASONS WHY I SHOULD SURRENDER ANY OF MY UNALIENABLE CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS?

Sincerely,
Camille Calame-Martin
beingwithmila@gmail.com
2506 35th ave San Francisco, CA 94116 Constituent

From: [Dorothy Fitzer](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Non consent and accountability: contact tracing, lockdown measures, etc
Date: Saturday, May 9, 2020 10:31:15 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Re: Non consent and accountability: contact tracing, lockdown measures, etc

Dear Ms. Stefani,

Below are critically important questions, evidence, facts, and my NOTICE OF TERMS to you in your public and private capacities.

Transmission of this notice has been electronically recorded. Thank you for reading this notice, sent to you in good faith, and without ill-intent. Please respond ASAP.

In this current crisis, measures have been undertaken which are harming me against my consent. Further malicious actions are being threatened against me, and against the rule of law itself.

A central example is the TRACE Act (HR 6666), proposing \$100 BILLION in 2020 to create an industry of “contact tracers” – a ubiquitous, fascist surveillance network – to enforce testing and apparently to grant the “authority” to remove people from their dwellings. See: bit.ly/bill6666

This action is egregious and, for federal officials, I ask you to immediately stop this bill. For all officials: stop all anti-Constitution legislation, and pass laws preserve all Constitutional rights and the rule of law in this country.

PLAIN STATEMENT OF FACTS

A. I have unalienable rights recognized in the original U.S. Constitution that cannot be superseded by any agenda, nor be removed from me. I understand these laws of the land to be active and currently valid. If this is not the case, please send verification in writing within 72 hours.

B. My unalienable rights are being harmed by agendas implemented by oath-sworn elected officials, corporate executives and/or non-elected officials (collectively “Agents Of Harm”). These include, without limitation:

- i. My free rights to speak, travel, do commerce, work, assemble, and be free of surveillance without warrant.
- ii. A threat of medical procedures or experimentation without my consent.
- iii. Threats of punitive detention, violence and or assault for non-compliance.

C. My unalienable rights are NOT conditional – i.e. subject to proving I am not contagious, nor submitting to surveillance, nor anything that would cause me harm – properly called “extortion”.

NOTICE OF TERMS

Effective immediately, and until each of the below questions are answered to my satisfaction, LET IT BE KNOWN throughout all jurisdictions and dimensions that:

A. I DO NOT CONSENT to being harmed by any imposition of quarantine, or restriction on my right to assemble, work, travel, speak or do commerce.

B. I DO NOT CONSENT to being told to live in fear, nor made to wear a mask, nor being mandated an allowable proximity to others.

C. I DO NOT CONSENT to any offer of forced medical experimentation or testing; nor forced medical procedure (i.e. vaccine, or substance delivered by air, water, or other means without my knowledge and consent); nor exposure to radiation from 4G/5G/wireless deployments; nor being tracked by any technology or biometric device on or adjacent to my body.

D. I HEREBY ACCEPT YOUR OATH OF OFFICE as your sworn statement that binds you to uphold my Constitutional rights, protect me from harm once noticed, and to liability when acting with negligence or without duty of care.

E. I RESERVE ALL OF MY RIGHTS, nunc pro tunc, to pursue remedy for ALL harm AND THREATS OF HARM, which may constitute CRIMINAL ASSAULT, and or tort, caused or contributed by Agents Of Harm. I intend to seek remedy and lawful justice unless and until the stated harmful actions cease and desist.

If you do not agree with my lawful ability to state and realize these claims and terms, please make your case in writing within 72 hours. If I receive no response from you, or if you offer any response that violates the supremacy of the original U.S. Constitution and you do not disprove my claim that the U.S. Constitution is active and valid, I will deem you to be in agreement and will proceed accordingly.

QUESTIONS AND EVIDENCE

1. Why are doctors asked to RECORD ANY CAUSE OF DEATH AS CV-19 EVEN IN THE ABSENSE OF CONFIRMATORY TESTING? bit.ly/2yfAJgc
2. Why are hospitals paid \$13,000 for EACH claimed CV-19 patient and \$39,000 for EACH ventilator request? bit.ly/2wFxH4i
3. Why are testing methods being used which DO NOT confirm CV-19, and which the test inventor said should NOT be used to identify a specific disease? bit.ly/2LfTdAw
4. Why are goats and even fruit apparently testing “positive” for CV-19? bit.ly/35Skx1
5. Why is the media suppressing a study showing CV-19 may be up to 50-85x LESS fatal than was thought? bit.ly/3cxTyd5
6. Why are hospitals empty if CV-19 is a legitimate pandemic? bit.ly/3cn11
7. Why do you not tell us social distancing costs us \$2 trillion dollars, contributes to depression and suicide, and will CAUSE the “second wave”? bit.ly/3ezOdno

8. Why is 5G being fast-tracked in our cities and schools during lockdown? bit.ly/34Jr9hN
9. Why are the economy, jobs, and freedoms being destroyed, allegedly to save people, when alcohol, cigarettes and junk food kill 21 MILLION people/year AND ARE STILL ALLOWED? bit.ly/3dt31
10. Why is Bill Gates, who is neither an elected official, nor a medical professional, saying vaccines are the “final solution” when vaccines can reduce immunity, increase disease, and harm or kill us? bit.ly/3clw1fG
11. Why did Bill Gates say, "eventually, we will have some digital certificates to show who has recovered or been tested recently or when we have a vaccine who has received it"? bit.ly/3fBzw
12. Why did Bill Gates say, "we're taking genetically-modified organisms and we're injecting them into a little kid's arm — just shoot 'em right in the vein"? bit.ly/3dwO1
13. Why does Microsoft own a patent (#060606, Mar 2020) for a cryptocurrency system using microchipped humans? bit.ly/3fyQ71
14. Why are doctors CENSORED for reporting that their CV-19 patients recovered from vitamin C? bit.ly/2xHpGfD
15. Why are YouTube and Google allowed to censor videos from hundreds of CREDIBLE EXPERTS, expressing grave concerns? See what's been censored: bit.ly/2zsH1 & bit.ly/34DiM79
16. Why has mask-wearing been forced when the Surgeon General, the WHO and even Dr. Fauci say to not wear them? bit.ly/3ckVt & bit.ly/3dw81
17. Why do gov't and Hollywood co-fabricate stories intended control our thoughts and behaviors? bit.ly/2RDSBbq
18. With this evidence of how we are being gravely misled, CAN YOU PLEASE RESPOND WITH VALID, JUSTIFIABLE REASONS WHY I SHOULD SURRENDER ANY OF MY UNALIENABLE CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS?

Sincerely,
Dorothy Fitzer
dorothyfitzer@icloud.com
1542 27th Ave. San Francisco, CA 94122 Constituent

From: [Robert Watson](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Non consent and accountability: contact tracing, lockdown measures, etc
Date: Monday, May 11, 2020 11:08:38 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Re: Non consent and accountability: contact tracing, lockdown measures, etc

Dear Ms. Stefani,

Below are critically important questions, evidence, facts, and my NOTICE OF TERMS to you in your public and private capacities.

Transmission of this notice has been electronically recorded. Thank you for reading this notice, sent to you in good faith, and without ill-intent. Please respond ASAP.

In this current crisis, measures have been undertaken which are harming me against my consent. Further malicious actions are being threatened against me, and against the rule of law itself.

A central example is the TRACE Act (HR 6666), proposing \$100 BILLION in 2020 to create an industry of “contact tracers” – a ubiquitous, fascist surveillance network – to enforce testing and apparently to grant the “authority” to remove people from their dwellings. See: bit.ly/bill6666

This action is egregious and, for federal officials, I ask you to immediately stop this bill. For all officials: stop all anti-Constitution legislation, and pass laws preserve all Constitutional rights and the rule of law in this country.

PLAIN STATEMENT OF FACTS

A. I have unalienable rights recognized in the original U.S. Constitution that cannot be superseded by any agenda, nor be removed from me. I understand these laws of the land to be active and currently valid. If this is not the case, please send verification in writing within 72 hours.

B. My unalienable rights are being harmed by agendas implemented by oath-sworn elected officials, corporate executives and/or non-elected officials (collectively “Agents Of Harm”). These include, without limitation:

- i. My free rights to speak, travel, do commerce, work, assemble, and be free of surveillance without warrant.
- ii. A threat of medical procedures or experimentation without my consent.
- iii. Threats of punitive detention, violence and or assault for non-compliance.

C. My unalienable rights are NOT conditional – i.e. subject to proving I am not contagious, nor submitting to surveillance, nor anything that would cause me harm – properly called “extortion”.

NOTICE OF TERMS

Effective immediately, and until each of the below questions are answered to my satisfaction, LET IT BE KNOWN throughout all jurisdictions and dimensions that:

A. I DO NOT CONSENT to being harmed by any imposition of quarantine, or restriction on my right to assemble, work, travel, speak or do commerce.

B. I DO NOT CONSENT to being told to live in fear, nor made to wear a mask, nor being mandated an allowable proximity to others.

C. I DO NOT CONSENT to any offer of forced medical experimentation or testing; nor forced medical procedure (i.e. vaccine, or substance delivered by air, water, or other means without my knowledge and consent); nor exposure to radiation from 4G/5G/wireless deployments; nor being tracked by any technology or biometric device on or adjacent to my body.

D. I HEREBY ACCEPT YOUR OATH OF OFFICE as your sworn statement that binds you to uphold my Constitutional rights, protect me from harm once noticed, and to liability when acting with negligence or without duty of care.

E. I RESERVE ALL OF MY RIGHTS, nunc pro tunc, to pursue remedy for ALL harm AND THREATS OF HARM, which may constitute CRIMINAL ASSAULT, and or tort, caused or contributed by Agents Of Harm. I intend to seek remedy and lawful justice unless and until the stated harmful actions cease and desist.

If you do not agree with my lawful ability to state and realize these claims and terms, please make your case in writing within 72 hours. If I receive no response from you, or if you offer any response that violates the supremacy of the original U.S. Constitution and you do not disprove my claim that the U.S. Constitution is active and valid, I will deem you to be in agreement and will proceed accordingly.

QUESTIONS AND EVIDENCE

1. Why are doctors asked to RECORD ANY CAUSE OF DEATH AS CV-19 EVEN IN THE ABSENSE OF CONFIRMATORY TESTING? bit.ly/2yfAJgc
2. Why are hospitals paid \$13,000 for EACH claimed CV-19 patient and \$39,000 for EACH ventilator request? bit.ly/2wFxH4i
3. Why are testing methods being used which DO NOT confirm CV-19, and which the test inventor said should NOT be used to identify a specific disease? bit.ly/2LfTdAw
4. Why are goats and even fruit apparently testing “positive” for CV-19? bit.ly/35Skx1
5. Why is the media suppressing a study showing CV-19 may be up to 50-85x LESS fatal than was thought? bit.ly/3cxTyd5
6. Why are hospitals empty if CV-19 is a legitimate pandemic? bit.ly/3cn11
7. Why do you not tell us social distancing costs us \$2 trillion dollars, contributes to depression and suicide, and will CAUSE the “second wave”? bit.ly/3ezOdno

8. Why is 5G being fast-tracked in our cities and schools during lockdown? bit.ly/34Jr9hN
9. Why are the economy, jobs, and freedoms being destroyed, allegedly to save people, when alcohol, cigarettes and junk food kill 21 MILLION people/year AND ARE STILL ALLOWED? bit.ly/3dt31
10. Why is Bill Gates, who is neither an elected official, nor a medical professional, saying vaccines are the “final solution” when vaccines can reduce immunity, increase disease, and harm or kill us? bit.ly/3clw1fG
11. Why did Bill Gates say, "eventually, we will have some digital certificates to show who has recovered or been tested recently or when we have a vaccine who has received it"? bit.ly/3fBzw
12. Why did Bill Gates say, "we're taking genetically-modified organisms and we're injecting them into a little kid's arm — just shoot 'em right in the vein"? bit.ly/3dwO1
13. Why does Microsoft own a patent (#060606, Mar 2020) for a cryptocurrency system using microchipped humans? bit.ly/3fyQ71
14. Why are doctors CENSORED for reporting that their CV-19 patients recovered from vitamin C? bit.ly/2xHpGfD
15. Why are YouTube and Google allowed to censor videos from hundreds of CREDIBLE EXPERTS, expressing grave concerns? See what's been censored: bit.ly/2zsH1 & bit.ly/34DiM79
16. Why has mask-wearing been forced when the Surgeon General, the WHO and even Dr. Fauci say to not wear them? bit.ly/3ckVt & bit.ly/3dw81
17. Why does gov't and Hollywood co-fabricate stories intended to control our thoughts and behaviors? bit.ly/2RDSBbq
18. With this evidence of how we are being gravely misled, CAN YOU PLEASE RESPOND WITH VALID, JUSTIFIABLE REASONS WHY I SHOULD SURRENDER ANY OF MY UNALIENABLE CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS?

Sincerely,

Robert Watson

bobsomworks@aol.com

856 Fell St., P.O. Box 170292 San Francisco, CA 94117 Constituent

From: [Will Fitzgerald](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Non consent for any and all "contact tracing"
Date: Monday, May 11, 2020 7:43:25 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Re: Non consent for any and all "contact tracing"

Dear Ms. Stefani,

Below are critically important questions, evidence, facts, and my NOTICE OF TERMS to you in your public and private capacities.

Transmission of this notice has been electronically recorded. Thank you for reading this notice, sent to you in good faith, and without ill-intent. Please respond ASAP.

In this current crisis, measures have been undertaken which are harming me against my consent. Further malicious actions are being threatened against me, and against the rule of law itself.

A central example is the TRACE Act (HR 6666), proposing \$100 BILLION in 2020 to create an industry of "contact tracers" – a ubiquitous, fascist surveillance network – to enforce testing and apparently to grant the "authority" to remove people from their dwellings. See: bit.ly/bill6666

This action is egregious and, for federal officials, I ask you to immediately stop this bill. For all officials: stop all anti-Constitution legislation, and pass laws preserve all Constitutional rights and the rule of law in this country.

PLAIN STATEMENT OF FACTS

A. I have unalienable rights recognized in the original U.S. Constitution that cannot be superseded by any agenda, nor be removed from me. I understand these laws of the land to be active and currently valid. If this is not the case, please send verification in writing within 72 hours.

B. My unalienable rights are being harmed by agendas implemented by oath-sworn elected officials, corporate executives and/or non-elected officials (collectively "Agents Of Harm"). These include, without limitation:

- i. My free rights to speak, travel, do commerce, work, assemble, and be free of surveillance without warrant.
- ii. A threat of medical procedures or experimentation without my consent.
- iii. Threats of punitive detention, violence and or assault for non-compliance.

C. My unalienable rights are NOT conditional – i.e. subject to proving I am not contagious, nor submitting to surveillance, nor anything that would cause me harm – properly called "extortion".

NOTICE OF TERMS

Effective immediately, and until each of the below questions are answered to my satisfaction, LET IT BE KNOWN throughout all jurisdictions and dimensions that:

A. I DO NOT CONSENT to being harmed by any imposition of quarantine, or restriction on my right to assemble, work, travel, speak or do commerce.

B. I DO NOT CONSENT to being told to live in fear, nor made to wear a mask, nor being mandated an allowable proximity to others.

C. I DO NOT CONSENT to any offer of forced medical experimentation or testing; nor forced medical procedure (i.e. vaccine, or substance delivered by air, water, or other means without my knowledge and consent); nor exposure to radiation from 4G/5G/wireless deployments; nor being tracked by any technology or biometric device on or adjacent to my body.

D. I HEREBY ACCEPT YOUR OATH OF OFFICE as your sworn statement that binds you to uphold my Constitutional rights, protect me from harm once noticed, and to liability when acting with negligence or without duty of care.

E. I RESERVE ALL OF MY RIGHTS, nunc pro tunc, to pursue remedy for ALL harm AND THREATS OF HARM, which may constitute CRIMINAL ASSAULT, and or tort, caused or contributed by Agents Of Harm. I intend to seek remedy and lawful justice unless and until the stated harmful actions cease and desist.

If you do not agree with my lawful ability to state and realize these claims and terms, please make your case in writing within 72 hours. If I receive no response from you, or if you offer any response that violates the supremacy of the original U.S. Constitution and you do not disprove my claim that the U.S. Constitution is active and valid, I will deem you to be in agreement and will proceed accordingly.

QUESTIONS AND EVIDENCE

1. Why are doctors asked to RECORD ANY CAUSE OF DEATH AS CV-19 EVEN IN THE ABSENSE OF CONFIRMATORY TESTING? bit.ly/2yfAJgc
2. Why are hospitals paid \$13,000 for EACH claimed CV-19 patient and \$39,000 for EACH ventilator request? bit.ly/2wFxH4i
3. Why are testing methods being used which DO NOT confirm CV-19, and which the test inventor said should NOT be used to identify a specific disease? bit.ly/2LfTdAw
4. Why are goats and even fruit apparently testing “positive” for CV-19? bit.ly/35Skx1
5. Why is the media suppressing a study showing CV-19 may be up to 50-85x LESS fatal than was thought? bit.ly/3cxTyd5
6. Why are hospitals empty if CV-19 is a legitimate pandemic? bit.ly/3cn11
7. Why do you not tell us social distancing costs us \$2 trillion dollars, contributes to depression and suicide, and will CAUSE the “second wave”? bit.ly/3ezOdno

8. Why is 5G being fast-tracked in our cities and schools during lockdown? bit.ly/34Jr9hN
9. Why are the economy, jobs, and freedoms being destroyed, allegedly to save people, when alcohol, cigarettes and junk food kill 21 MILLION people/year AND ARE STILL ALLOWED? bit.ly/3dt31
10. Why is Bill Gates, who is neither an elected official, nor a medical professional, saying vaccines are the “final solution” when vaccines can reduce immunity, increase disease, and harm or kill us? bit.ly/3clw1fG
11. Why did Bill Gates say, "eventually, we will have some digital certificates to show who has recovered or been tested recently or when we have a vaccine who has received it"? bit.ly/3fBzw
12. Why did Bill Gates say, "we're taking genetically-modified organisms and we're injecting them into a little kid's arm — just shoot 'em right in the vein"? bit.ly/3dwO1
13. Why does Microsoft own a patent (#060606, Mar 2020) for a cryptocurrency system using microchipped humans? bit.ly/3fyQ71
14. Why are doctors CENSORED for reporting that their CV-19 patients recovered from vitamin C? bit.ly/2xHpGfD
15. Why are YouTube and Google allowed to censor videos from hundreds of CREDIBLE EXPERTS, expressing grave concerns? See what's been censored: bit.ly/2zsH1 & bit.ly/34DiM79
16. Why has mask-wearing been forced when the Surgeon General, the WHO and even Dr. Fauci say to not wear them? bit.ly/3ckVt & bit.ly/3dw81
17. Why does gov't and Hollywood co-fabricate stories intended to control our thoughts and behaviors? bit.ly/2RDSBbq
18. With this evidence of how we are being gravely misled, CAN YOU PLEASE RESPOND WITH VALID, JUSTIFIABLE REASONS WHY I SHOULD SURRENDER ANY OF MY UNALIENABLE CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS?

Sincerely,
Will Fitzgerald
nevernaw@yahoo.com
632 fell st San Francisco, CA 94602 Constituent

From: [Victor Starsky](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Non consent for any and all "contact tracing"
Date: Monday, May 11, 2020 12:56:41 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Re: Non consent for any and all "contact tracing"

Dear Ms. Stefani,

Below are critically important questions, evidence, facts, and my NOTICE OF TERMS to you in your public and private capacities.

Transmission of this notice has been electronically recorded. Thank you for reading this notice, sent to you in good faith, and without ill-intent. Please respond ASAP.

In this current crisis, measures have been undertaken which are harming me against my consent. Further malicious actions are being threatened against me, and against the rule of law itself.

A central example is the TRACE Act (HR 6666), proposing \$100 BILLION in 2020 to create an industry of "contact tracers" – a ubiquitous, fascist surveillance network – to enforce testing and apparently to grant the "authority" to remove people from their dwellings. See: bit.ly/bill6666

This action is egregious and, for federal officials, I ask you to immediately stop this bill. For all officials: stop all anti-Constitution legislation, and pass laws preserve all Constitutional rights and the rule of law in this country.

PLAIN STATEMENT OF FACTS

A. I have unalienable rights recognized in the original U.S. Constitution that cannot be superseded by any agenda, nor be removed from me. I understand these laws of the land to be active and currently valid. If this is not the case, please send verification in writing within 72 hours.

B. My unalienable rights are being harmed by agendas implemented by oath-sworn elected officials, corporate executives and/or non-elected officials (collectively "Agents Of Harm"). These include, without limitation:

- i. My free rights to speak, travel, do commerce, work, assemble, and be free of surveillance without warrant.
- ii. A threat of medical procedures or experimentation without my consent.
- iii. Threats of punitive detention, violence and or assault for non-compliance.

C. My unalienable rights are NOT conditional – i.e. subject to proving I am not contagious, nor submitting to surveillance, nor anything that would cause me harm – properly called "extortion".

NOTICE OF TERMS

Effective immediately, and until each of the below questions are answered to my satisfaction, LET IT BE KNOWN throughout all jurisdictions and dimensions that:

A. I DO NOT CONSENT to being harmed by any imposition of quarantine, or restriction on my right to assemble, work, travel, speak or do commerce.

B. I DO NOT CONSENT to being told to live in fear, nor made to wear a mask, nor being mandated an allowable proximity to others.

C. I DO NOT CONSENT to any offer of forced medical experimentation or testing; nor forced medical procedure (i.e. vaccine, or substance delivered by air, water, or other means without my knowledge and consent); nor exposure to radiation from 4G/5G/wireless deployments; nor being tracked by any technology or biometric device on or adjacent to my body.

D. I HEREBY ACCEPT YOUR OATH OF OFFICE as your sworn statement that binds you to uphold my Constitutional rights, protect me from harm once noticed, and to liability when acting with negligence or without duty of care.

E. I RESERVE ALL OF MY RIGHTS, nunc pro tunc, to pursue remedy for ALL harm AND THREATS OF HARM, which may constitute CRIMINAL ASSAULT, and or tort, caused or contributed by Agents Of Harm. I intend to seek remedy and lawful justice unless and until the stated harmful actions cease and desist.

If you do not agree with my lawful ability to state and realize these claims and terms, please make your case in writing within 72 hours. If I receive no response from you, or if you offer any response that violates the supremacy of the original U.S. Constitution and you do not disprove my claim that the U.S. Constitution is active and valid, I will deem you to be in agreement and will proceed accordingly.

QUESTIONS AND EVIDENCE

1. Why are doctors asked to RECORD ANY CAUSE OF DEATH AS CV-19 EVEN IN THE ABSENSE OF CONFIRMATORY TESTING? bit.ly/2yfAJgc
2. Why are hospitals paid \$13,000 for EACH claimed CV-19 patient and \$39,000 for EACH ventilator request? bit.ly/2wFxH4i
3. Why are testing methods being used which DO NOT confirm CV-19, and which the test inventor said should NOT be used to identify a specific disease? bit.ly/2LfTdAw
4. Why are goats and even fruit apparently testing “positive” for CV-19? bit.ly/35Skx1
5. Why is the media suppressing a study showing CV-19 may be up to 50-85x LESS fatal than was thought? bit.ly/3cxTyd5
6. Why are hospitals empty if CV-19 is a legitimate pandemic? bit.ly/3cn11
7. Why do you not tell us social distancing costs us \$2 trillion dollars, contributes to depression and suicide, and will CAUSE the “second wave”? bit.ly/3ezOdno

8. Why is 5G being fast-tracked in our cities and schools during lockdown? bit.ly/34Jr9hN
9. Why are the economy, jobs, and freedoms being destroyed, allegedly to save people, when alcohol, cigarettes and junk food kill 21 MILLION people/year AND ARE STILL ALLOWED? bit.ly/3dt31
10. Why is Bill Gates, who is neither an elected official, nor a medical professional, saying vaccines are the “final solution” when vaccines can reduce immunity, increase disease, and harm or kill us? bit.ly/3clw1fG
11. Why did Bill Gates say, "eventually, we will have some digital certificates to show who has recovered or been tested recently or when we have a vaccine who has received it"? bit.ly/3fBzw
12. Why did Bill Gates say, "we're taking genetically-modified organisms and we're injecting them into a little kid's arm — just shoot 'em right in the vein"? bit.ly/3dwO1
13. Why does Microsoft own a patent (#060606, Mar 2020) for a cryptocurrency system using microchipped humans? bit.ly/3fyQ71
14. Why are doctors CENSORED for reporting that their CV-19 patients recovered from vitamin C? bit.ly/2xHpGfD
15. Why are YouTube and Google allowed to censor videos from hundreds of CREDIBLE EXPERTS, expressing grave concerns? See what's been censored: bit.ly/2zsH1 & bit.ly/34DiM79
16. Why has mask-wearing been forced when the Surgeon General, the WHO and even Dr. Fauci say to not wear them? bit.ly/3ckVt & bit.ly/3dw81
17. Why do gov't and Hollywood co-fabricate stories intended control our thoughts and behaviors? bit.ly/2RDSBbq
18. With this evidence of how we are being gravely misled, CAN YOU PLEASE RESPOND WITH VALID, JUSTIFIABLE REASONS WHY I SHOULD SURRENDER ANY OF MY UNALIENABLE CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS?

Sincerely,
Victor Starsky
vickverses@aol.com
478 Warren Drive San Francisco, CA 94131 Constituent

From: [Suzanne Astar](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Non consent for any and all "contact tracing"
Date: Monday, May 11, 2020 10:17:33 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Re: Non consent for any and all "contact tracing"

Dear Ms. Stefani,

Below are critically important questions, evidence, facts, and my NOTICE OF TERMS to you in your public and private capacities.

Transmission of this notice has been electronically recorded. Thank you for reading this notice, sent to you in good faith, and without ill-intent. Please respond ASAP.

In this current crisis, measures have been undertaken which are harming me against my consent. Further malicious actions are being threatened against me, and against the rule of law itself.

A central example is the TRACE Act (HR 6666), proposing \$100 BILLION in 2020 to create an industry of "contact tracers" – a ubiquitous, fascist surveillance network – to enforce testing and apparently to grant the "authority" to remove people from their dwellings. See: bit.ly/bill6666

This action is egregious and, for federal officials, I ask you to immediately stop this bill. For all officials: stop all anti-Constitution legislation, and pass laws preserve all Constitutional rights and the rule of law in this country.

PLAIN STATEMENT OF FACTS

A. I have unalienable rights recognized in the original U.S. Constitution that cannot be superseded by any agenda, nor be removed from me. I understand these laws of the land to be active and currently valid. If this is not the case, please send verification in writing within 72 hours.

B. My unalienable rights are being harmed by agendas implemented by oath-sworn elected officials, corporate executives and/or non-elected officials (collectively "Agents Of Harm"). These include, without limitation:

- i. My free rights to speak, travel, do commerce, work, assemble, and be free of surveillance without warrant.
- ii. A threat of medical procedures or experimentation without my consent.
- iii. Threats of punitive detention, violence and or assault for non-compliance.

C. My unalienable rights are NOT conditional – i.e. subject to proving I am not contagious, nor submitting to surveillance, nor anything that would cause me harm – properly called "extortion".

NOTICE OF TERMS

Effective immediately, and until each of the below questions are answered to my satisfaction, LET IT BE KNOWN throughout all jurisdictions and dimensions that:

A. I DO NOT CONSENT to being harmed by any imposition of quarantine, or restriction on my right to assemble, work, travel, speak or do commerce.

B. I DO NOT CONSENT to being told to live in fear, nor made to wear a mask, nor being mandated an allowable proximity to others.

C. I DO NOT CONSENT to any offer of forced medical experimentation or testing; nor forced medical procedure (i.e. vaccine, or substance delivered by air, water, or other means without my knowledge and consent); nor exposure to radiation from 4G/5G/wireless deployments; nor being tracked by any technology or biometric device on or adjacent to my body.

D. I HEREBY ACCEPT YOUR OATH OF OFFICE as your sworn statement that binds you to uphold my Constitutional rights, protect me from harm once noticed, and to liability when acting with negligence or without duty of care.

E. I RESERVE ALL OF MY RIGHTS, nunc pro tunc, to pursue remedy for ALL harm AND THREATS OF HARM, which may constitute CRIMINAL ASSAULT, and or tort, caused or contributed by Agents Of Harm. I intend to seek remedy and lawful justice unless and until the stated harmful actions cease and desist.

If you do not agree with my lawful ability to state and realize these claims and terms, please make your case in writing within 72 hours. If I receive no response from you, or if you offer any response that violates the supremacy of the original U.S. Constitution and you do not disprove my claim that the U.S. Constitution is active and valid, I will deem you to be in agreement and will proceed accordingly.

QUESTIONS AND EVIDENCE

1. Why are doctors asked to RECORD ANY CAUSE OF DEATH AS CV-19 EVEN IN THE ABSENSE OF CONFIRMATORY TESTING? bit.ly/2yfAJgc
2. Why are hospitals paid \$13,000 for EACH claimed CV-19 patient and \$39,000 for EACH ventilator request? bit.ly/2wFxH4i
3. Why are testing methods being used which DO NOT confirm CV-19, and which the test inventor said should NOT be used to identify a specific disease? bit.ly/2LfTdAw
4. Why are goats and even fruit apparently testing “positive” for CV-19? bit.ly/35Skx1
5. Why is the media suppressing a study showing CV-19 may be up to 50-85x LESS fatal than was thought? bit.ly/3cxTyd5
6. Why are hospitals empty if CV-19 is a legitimate pandemic? bit.ly/3cn11
7. Why do you not tell us social distancing costs us \$2 trillion dollars, contributes to depression and suicide, and will CAUSE the “second wave”? bit.ly/3ezOdno

8. Why is 5G being fast-tracked in our cities and schools during lockdown? bit.ly/34Jr9hN
9. Why are the economy, jobs, and freedoms being destroyed, allegedly to save people, when alcohol, cigarettes and junk food kill 21 MILLION people/year AND ARE STILL ALLOWED? bit.ly/3dt31
10. Why is Bill Gates, who is neither an elected official, nor a medical professional, saying vaccines are the “final solution” when vaccines can reduce immunity, increase disease, and harm or kill us? bit.ly/3clw1fG
11. Why did Bill Gates say, "eventually, we will have some digital certificates to show who has recovered or been tested recently or when we have a vaccine who has received it"? bit.ly/3fBzw
12. Why did Bill Gates say, "we're taking genetically-modified organisms and we're injecting them into a little kid's arm — just shoot 'em right in the vein"? bit.ly/3dwO1
13. Why does Microsoft own a patent (#060606, Mar 2020) for a cryptocurrency system using microchipped humans? bit.ly/3fyQ71
14. Why are doctors CENSORED for reporting that their CV-19 patients recovered from vitamin C? bit.ly/2xHpGfD
15. Why are YouTube and Google allowed to censor videos from hundreds of CREDIBLE EXPERTS, expressing grave concerns? See what's been censored: bit.ly/2zsH1 & bit.ly/34DiM79
16. Why has mask-wearing been forced when the Surgeon General, the WHO and even Dr. Fauci say to not wear them? bit.ly/3ckVt & bit.ly/3dw81
17. Why does gov't and Hollywood co-fabricate stories intended to control our thoughts and behaviors? bit.ly/2RDSBbq
18. With this evidence of how we are being gravely misled, CAN YOU PLEASE RESPOND WITH VALID, JUSTIFIABLE REASONS WHY I SHOULD SURRENDER ANY OF MY UNALIENABLE CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS?

Sincerely,
Suzanne Astar
suzanneastar@gmail.com
103 Grattan Street San Francisco, CA 94117 Constituent

From: [Fumi Johns](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Non consent for contact tracing, lockdown measures, and other violations of rights
Date: Wednesday, May 13, 2020 3:24:45 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Re: Non consent for contact tracing, lockdown measures, and other violations of rights

Dear Ms. Stefani,

The discussions about “contact tracing” surveillance, and other measures associated with the lockdown, have unparalleled importance since what is at stake is whether Americans will retain unalienable Constitutional rights – or if those rights will be exchanged for “privileges”.

Various unprecedented “pandemic measures” are being discussed and or implemented by federal, state and municipal governments. On May 1, the TRACE Act (HR 6666) was introduced, proposing \$100 BILLION in 2020 to create a “contact tracing” mega-industry – a ubiquitous, unconstitutional surveillance network – to continuously surveil without warrant, to enforce “social distancing” through citizen-policing, to enforce testing and possibly medicating against consent, and apparently to grant the “authority” to remove people from their dwellings (though as written, this last point is as of yet unclear in intent). See: bit.ly/bill6666

Combined with “lockdowns”, which are increasingly seen by Americans as clearly unfitting measures, this new legislative action is egregious and, for federal officials, you need to immediately stop this bill. For all officials: stop all anti-Constitution legislation, and align your actions to preserve all Constitutional rights and the rule of law in this country.

Will you uphold the US Constitution and support Chief Justice John Marshall’s decree that any laws in opposition to the Constitution are repugnant, and are null and void, as per the US Supreme Court case Marbury v. Madison decided on February 24, 1803?

Second, is my understanding correct, that the US Constitution is still active and valid; and that I have the ability to seek legal and lawful remedy for that which causes me harm?

I will expect a response in writing (email) with your answer within 10 days. If I do not hear back from you, or you fail to respond, it will be clear to me that you do NOT deserve my vote in the next election; and that my understanding, as stated here, is correct.

There are many fundamental questions emerging about the CV-19 pandemic situation. Much is coming to light about how mortality models were wrong; doctors saying they were asked to inaccurately state CV-19 as cause of death; studies reveal gross inaccuracies with PCR testing; whether mask-wearing helps or harms; and apparent agendas for advancing pharmaceutical/telecom interests, biometrics and the removal of basic freedoms. Many millions of Americans are becoming aware, and this isn’t a passing thing. See: bit.ly/2AoLEFn

AN UNDERSTANDING, PERTAINING TO MY RIGHTS

A. I have unalienable rights recognized in the original US Constitution that cannot be superseded by any agenda, nor be removed from me.

B. My unalienable rights are being harmed by agendas implemented by oath-sworn elected officials, corporate executives and/or non-elected officials (collectively “Agents Of Harm”). These include, without limitation:

- i. My free rights to speak, travel, do commerce, work, assemble, and be free of surveillance without warrant.
- ii. A threat of medical procedures, testing or experimentation without my consent.
- iii. Threats of punitive detention, violence and or harm for non-compliance.

C. My unalienable rights are NOT conditional – i.e. subject to proving I am not contagious, nor submitting to surveillance, nor anything that would cause me harm – properly called “extortion”.

NOTICE OF NON CONSENT

Let it be known throughout all jurisdictions and dimensions that:

A. I do not consent to being harmed by any imposition of quarantine, or restriction on my Constitutional right to assemble, work, travel, speak or do commerce.

B. I do not consent to being told to live in fear, nor made to wear a mask, nor being mandated an allowable proximity to others, nor being made subject to “contract tracing” surveillance.

C. I do not consent to any offer of forced medical experimentation or testing; nor forced medical procedure (i.e. vaccine, or substance delivered by air, water, or other means without my knowledge and consent); nor exposure to radiation from deployments of 4G/5G/wireless infrastructure; nor being tracked by any technology or biometric device on or adjacent to my body.

D. I accept your oath of office as your sworn statement which compels you to uphold the Constitutional against all enemies, foreign and domestic; to protect my rights; and to exhibit a duty of care to respond appropriately when informed of harm taking place.

E. I reserve all my rights, nunc pro tunc, to pursue legal and lawful remedy for all harm and threats of harm, which may constitute criminal assault and or tort, caused or contributed by Agents Of Harm. I reserve the right to seek legal and lawful remedy unless and until the stated harmful actions cease and desist.

I sincerely hope that you perceive the gravity of the present situation, and that you choose to be on the right side of this matter – the side in which Constitutional rights are defended and we have a chance at life, liberty and the pursuit of happiness.

Thank you for reading this notice, sent to you in good faith, and without ill-intent.

“The law helps the vigilant, before those who sleep on their rights.”

“He that would make his own liberty secure, must guard even his enemy from oppression; for if he violates this duty, he establishes a precedent that will reach to himself.”

-Thomas Paine

Sincerely,
Fumi Johns
fumi@worldpeace.org
3065 22nd Avenue San Francisco, CA 94132 Constituent

From: [Margaret McKelvie](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Notice and terms regarding warrantless surveillance and other violations
Date: Thursday, May 14, 2020 4:45:18 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Re: Notice and terms regarding warrantless surveillance and other violations

Dear Ms. Stefani,

The discussions about “contact tracing” surveillance, and other measures associated with the lockdown, have unparalleled importance since what is at stake is whether Americans will retain unalienable Constitutional rights – or if those rights will be exchanged for “privileges”.

Various unprecedented “pandemic measures” are being discussed and or implemented by federal, state and municipal governments. On May 1, the TRACE Act (HR 6666) was introduced, proposing \$100 BILLION in 2020 to create a “contact tracing” mega-industry – a ubiquitous, unconstitutional surveillance network – to continuously surveil without warrant, to enforce “social distancing” through citizen-policing, to enforce testing and possibly medicating against consent, and apparently to grant the “authority” to remove people from their dwellings (though as written, this last point is as of yet unclear in intent). See: bit.ly/bill6666

Combined with “lockdowns”, which are increasingly seen by Americans as clearly unfitting measures, this new legislative action is egregious and, for federal officials, you need to immediately stop this bill. For all officials: stop all anti-Constitution legislation, and align your actions to preserve all Constitutional rights and the rule of law in this country.

Will you uphold the US Constitution and support Chief Justice John Marshall’s decree that any laws in opposition to the Constitution are repugnant, and are null and void, as per the US Supreme Court case Marbury v. Madison decided on February 24, 1803?

Second, is my understanding correct, that the US Constitution is still active and valid; and that I have the ability to seek legal and lawful remedy for that which causes me harm?

I will expect a response in writing (email) with your answer within 10 days. If I do not hear back from you, or you fail to respond, it will be clear to me that you do NOT deserve my vote in the next election; and that my understanding, as stated here, is correct.

There are many fundamental questions emerging about the CV-19 pandemic situation. Much is coming to light about how mortality models were wrong; doctors saying they were asked to inaccurately state CV-19 as cause of death; studies reveal gross inaccuracies with PCR testing; whether mask-wearing helps or harms; and apparent agendas for advancing pharmaceutical/telecom interests, biometrics and the removal of basic freedoms. Many millions of Americans are becoming aware, and this isn’t a passing thing. See: bit.ly/2AoLEFn

AN UNDERSTANDING, PERTAINING TO MY RIGHTS

A. I have unalienable rights recognized in the original US Constitution that cannot be superseded by any agenda, nor be removed from me.

B. My unalienable rights are being harmed by agendas implemented by oath-sworn elected officials, corporate executives and/or non-elected officials (collectively “Agents Of Harm”). These include, without limitation:

- i. My free rights to speak, travel, do commerce, work, assemble, and be free of surveillance without warrant.
- ii. A threat of medical procedures, testing or experimentation without my consent.
- iii. Threats of punitive detention, violence and or harm for non-compliance.

C. My unalienable rights are NOT conditional – i.e. subject to proving I am not contagious, nor submitting to surveillance, nor anything that would cause me harm – properly called “extortion”.

NOTICE OF NON CONSENT

Let it be known throughout all jurisdictions and dimensions that:

A. I do not consent to being harmed by any imposition of quarantine, or restriction on my Constitutional right to assemble, work, travel, speak or do commerce.

B. I do not consent to being told to live in fear, nor made to wear a mask, nor being mandated an allowable proximity to others, nor being made subject to “contract tracing” surveillance.

C. I do not consent to any offer of forced medical experimentation or testing; nor forced medical procedure (i.e. vaccine, or substance delivered by air, water, or other means without my knowledge and consent); nor exposure to radiation from deployments of 4G/5G/wireless infrastructure; nor being tracked by any technology or biometric device on or adjacent to my body.

D. I accept your oath of office as your sworn statement which compels you to uphold the Constitutional against all enemies, foreign and domestic; to protect my rights; and to exhibit a duty of care to respond appropriately when informed of harm taking place.

E. I reserve all my rights, nunc pro tunc, to pursue legal and lawful remedy for all harm and threats of harm, which may constitute criminal assault and or tort, caused or contributed by Agents Of Harm. I reserve the right to seek legal and lawful remedy unless and until the stated harmful actions cease and desist.

I sincerely hope that you perceive the gravity of the present situation, and that you choose to be on the right side of this matter – the side in which Constitutional rights are defended and we have a chance at life, liberty and the pursuit of happiness.

Thank you for reading this notice, sent to you in good faith, and without ill-intent.

“The law helps the vigilant, before those who sleep on their rights.”

“He that would make his own liberty secure, must guard even his enemy from oppression; for if he violates this duty, he establishes a precedent that will reach to himself.”

-Thomas Paine

Sincerely,
Margaret McKelvie
mdlmktn@gmail.com
1112 Shrader Street San Francisco, CA 94117 Constituent

From: [Rosie aguilar](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Notice of non consent
Date: Monday, May 11, 2020 9:02:40 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Re: Notice of non consent

Dear Ms. Stefani,

Below are critically important questions, evidence, facts, and my NOTICE OF TERMS to you in your public and private capacities.

Transmission of this notice has been electronically recorded. Thank you for reading this notice, sent to you in good faith, and without ill-intent. Please respond ASAP.

In this current crisis, measures have been undertaken which are harming me against my consent. Further malicious actions are being threatened against me, and against the rule of law itself.

A central example is the TRACE Act (HR 6666), proposing \$100 BILLION in 2020 to create an industry of “contact tracers” – a ubiquitous, fascist surveillance network – to enforce testing and apparently to grant the “authority” to remove people from their dwellings. See: bit.ly/bill6666

This action is egregious and, for federal officials, I ask you to immediately stop this bill. For all officials: stop all anti-Constitution legislation, and pass laws preserve all Constitutional rights and the rule of law in this country.

PLAIN STATEMENT OF FACTS

A. I have unalienable rights recognized in the original U.S. Constitution that cannot be superseded by any agenda, nor be removed from me. I understand these laws of the land to be active and currently valid. If this is not the case, please send verification in writing within 72 hours.

B. My unalienable rights are being harmed by agendas implemented by oath-sworn elected officials, corporate executives and/or non-elected officials (collectively “Agents Of Harm”). These include, without limitation:

- i. My free rights to speak, travel, do commerce, work, assemble, and be free of surveillance without warrant.
- ii. A threat of medical procedures or experimentation without my consent.
- iii. Threats of punitive detention, violence and or assault for non-compliance.

C. My unalienable rights are NOT conditional – i.e. subject to proving I am not contagious, nor submitting to surveillance, nor anything that would cause me harm – properly called “extortion”.

NOTICE OF TERMS

Effective immediately, and until each of the below questions are answered to my satisfaction, LET IT BE KNOWN throughout all jurisdictions and dimensions that:

A. I DO NOT CONSENT to being harmed by any imposition of quarantine, or restriction on my right to assemble, work, travel, speak or do commerce.

B. I DO NOT CONSENT to being told to live in fear, nor made to wear a mask, nor being mandated an allowable proximity to others.

C. I DO NOT CONSENT to any offer of forced medical experimentation or testing; nor forced medical procedure (i.e. vaccine, or substance delivered by air, water, or other means without my knowledge and consent); nor exposure to radiation from 4G/5G/wireless deployments; nor being tracked by any technology or biometric device on or adjacent to my body.

D. I HEREBY ACCEPT YOUR OATH OF OFFICE as your sworn statement that binds you to uphold my Constitutional rights, protect me from harm once noticed, and to liability when acting with negligence or without duty of care.

E. I RESERVE ALL OF MY RIGHTS, nunc pro tunc, to pursue remedy for ALL harm AND THREATS OF HARM, which may constitute CRIMINAL ASSAULT, and or tort, caused or contributed by Agents Of Harm. I intend to seek remedy and lawful justice unless and until the stated harmful actions cease and desist.

If you do not agree with my lawful ability to state and realize these claims and terms, please make your case in writing within 72 hours. If I receive no response from you, or if you offer any response that violates the supremacy of the original U.S. Constitution and you do not disprove my claim that the U.S. Constitution is active and valid, I will deem you to be in agreement and will proceed accordingly.

QUESTIONS AND EVIDENCE

1. Why are doctors asked to RECORD ANY CAUSE OF DEATH AS CV-19 EVEN IN THE ABSENSE OF CONFIRMATORY TESTING? bit.ly/2yfAJgc
2. Why are hospitals paid \$13,000 for EACH claimed CV-19 patient and \$39,000 for EACH ventilator request? bit.ly/2wFxH4i
3. Why are testing methods being used which DO NOT confirm CV-19, and which the test inventor said should NOT be used to identify a specific disease? bit.ly/2LfTdAw
4. Why are goats and even fruit apparently testing “positive” for CV-19? bit.ly/35Skx1
5. Why is the media suppressing a study showing CV-19 may be up to 50-85x LESS fatal than was thought? bit.ly/3cxTyd5
6. Why are hospitals empty if CV-19 is a legitimate pandemic? bit.ly/3cn11
7. Why do you not tell us social distancing costs us \$2 trillion dollars, contributes to depression and suicide, and will CAUSE the “second wave”? bit.ly/3ezOdno

8. Why is 5G being fast-tracked in our cities and schools during lockdown? bit.ly/34Jr9hN
9. Why are the economy, jobs, and freedoms being destroyed, allegedly to save people, when alcohol, cigarettes and junk food kill 21 MILLION people/year AND ARE STILL ALLOWED? bit.ly/3dt31
10. Why is Bill Gates, who is neither an elected official, nor a medical professional, saying vaccines are the “final solution” when vaccines can reduce immunity, increase disease, and harm or kill us? bit.ly/3clw1fG
11. Why did Bill Gates say, "eventually, we will have some digital certificates to show who has recovered or been tested recently or when we have a vaccine who has received it"? bit.ly/3fBzw
12. Why did Bill Gates say, "we're taking genetically-modified organisms and we're injecting them into a little kid's arm — just shoot 'em right in the vein"? bit.ly/3dwO1
13. Why does Microsoft own a patent (#060606, Mar 2020) for a cryptocurrency system using microchipped humans? bit.ly/3fyQ71
14. Why are doctors CENSORED for reporting that their CV-19 patients recovered from vitamin C? bit.ly/2xHpGfD
15. Why are YouTube and Google allowed to censor videos from hundreds of CREDIBLE EXPERTS, expressing grave concerns? See what's been censored: bit.ly/2zsH1 & bit.ly/34DiM79
16. Why has mask-wearing been forced when the Surgeon General, the WHO and even Dr. Fauci say to not wear them? bit.ly/3ckVt & bit.ly/3dw81
17. Why does gov't and Hollywood co-fabricate stories intended to control our thoughts and behaviors? bit.ly/2RDSBbq
18. With this evidence of how we are being gravely misled, CAN YOU PLEASE RESPOND WITH VALID, JUSTIFIABLE REASONS WHY I SHOULD SURRENDER ANY OF MY UNALIENABLE CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS?

Sincerely,
Rosie aguilar
navaromo48@gmail.com
PO Box 642511 San Francisco, CA 96164-2511 Constituent

From: [Jaime Barrett Vigil](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Notice of non consent
Date: Monday, May 11, 2020 1:09:58 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Re: Notice of non consent

Dear Ms. Stefani,

Below are critically important questions, evidence, facts, and my NOTICE OF TERMS to you in your public and private capacities.

Transmission of this notice has been electronically recorded. Thank you for reading this notice, sent to you in good faith, and without ill-intent. Please respond ASAP.

In this current crisis, measures have been undertaken which are harming me against my consent. Further malicious actions are being threatened against me, and against the rule of law itself.

A central example is the TRACE Act (HR 6666), proposing \$100 BILLION in 2020 to create an industry of “contact tracers” – a ubiquitous, fascist surveillance network – to enforce testing and apparently to grant the “authority” to remove people from their dwellings. See: bit.ly/bill6666

This action is egregious and, for federal officials, I ask you to immediately stop this bill. For all officials: stop all anti-Constitution legislation, and pass laws preserve all Constitutional rights and the rule of law in this country.

PLAIN STATEMENT OF FACTS

A. I have unalienable rights recognized in the original U.S. Constitution that cannot be superseded by any agenda, nor be removed from me. I understand these laws of the land to be active and currently valid. If this is not the case, please send verification in writing within 72 hours.

B. My unalienable rights are being harmed by agendas implemented by oath-sworn elected officials, corporate executives and/or non-elected officials (collectively “Agents Of Harm”). These include, without limitation:

- i. My free rights to speak, travel, do commerce, work, assemble, and be free of surveillance without warrant.
- ii. A threat of medical procedures or experimentation without my consent.
- iii. Threats of punitive detention, violence and or assault for non-compliance.

C. My unalienable rights are NOT conditional – i.e. subject to proving I am not contagious, nor submitting to surveillance, nor anything that would cause me harm – properly called “extortion”.

NOTICE OF TERMS

Effective immediately, and until each of the below questions are answered to my satisfaction, LET IT BE KNOWN throughout all jurisdictions and dimensions that:

A. I DO NOT CONSENT to being harmed by any imposition of quarantine, or restriction on my right to assemble, work, travel, speak or do commerce.

B. I DO NOT CONSENT to being told to live in fear, nor made to wear a mask, nor being mandated an allowable proximity to others.

C. I DO NOT CONSENT to any offer of forced medical experimentation or testing; nor forced medical procedure (i.e. vaccine, or substance delivered by air, water, or other means without my knowledge and consent); nor exposure to radiation from 4G/5G/wireless deployments; nor being tracked by any technology or biometric device on or adjacent to my body.

D. I HEREBY ACCEPT YOUR OATH OF OFFICE as your sworn statement that binds you to uphold my Constitutional rights, protect me from harm once noticed, and to liability when acting with negligence or without duty of care.

E. I RESERVE ALL OF MY RIGHTS, nunc pro tunc, to pursue remedy for ALL harm AND THREATS OF HARM, which may constitute CRIMINAL ASSAULT, and or tort, caused or contributed by Agents Of Harm. I intend to seek remedy and lawful justice unless and until the stated harmful actions cease and desist.

If you do not agree with my lawful ability to state and realize these claims and terms, please make your case in writing within 72 hours. If I receive no response from you, or if you offer any response that violates the supremacy of the original U.S. Constitution and you do not disprove my claim that the U.S. Constitution is active and valid, I will deem you to be in agreement and will proceed accordingly.

QUESTIONS AND EVIDENCE

1. Why are doctors asked to RECORD ANY CAUSE OF DEATH AS CV-19 EVEN IN THE ABSENSE OF CONFIRMATORY TESTING? bit.ly/2yfAJgc
2. Why are hospitals paid \$13,000 for EACH claimed CV-19 patient and \$39,000 for EACH ventilator request? bit.ly/2wFxH4i
3. Why are testing methods being used which DO NOT confirm CV-19, and which the test inventor said should NOT be used to identify a specific disease? bit.ly/2LfTdAw
4. Why are goats and even fruit apparently testing “positive” for CV-19? bit.ly/35Skx1
5. Why is the media suppressing a study showing CV-19 may be up to 50-85x LESS fatal than was thought? bit.ly/3cxTyd5
6. Why are hospitals empty if CV-19 is a legitimate pandemic? bit.ly/3cn11
7. Why do you not tell us social distancing costs us \$2 trillion dollars, contributes to depression and suicide, and will CAUSE the “second wave”? bit.ly/3ezOdno

8. Why is 5G being fast-tracked in our cities and schools during lockdown? bit.ly/34Jr9hN
9. Why are the economy, jobs, and freedoms being destroyed, allegedly to save people, when alcohol, cigarettes and junk food kill 21 MILLION people/year AND ARE STILL ALLOWED? bit.ly/3dt31
10. Why is Bill Gates, who is neither an elected official, nor a medical professional, saying vaccines are the “final solution” when vaccines can reduce immunity, increase disease, and harm or kill us? bit.ly/3clw1fG
11. Why did Bill Gates say, "eventually, we will have some digital certificates to show who has recovered or been tested recently or when we have a vaccine who has received it"? bit.ly/3fBzw
12. Why did Bill Gates say, "we're taking genetically-modified organisms and we're injecting them into a little kid's arm — just shoot 'em right in the vein"? bit.ly/3dwO1
13. Why does Microsoft own a patent (#060606, Mar 2020) for a cryptocurrency system using microchipped humans? bit.ly/3fyQ71
14. Why are doctors CENSORED for reporting that their CV-19 patients recovered from vitamin C? bit.ly/2xHpGfD
15. Why are YouTube and Google allowed to censor videos from hundreds of CREDIBLE EXPERTS, expressing grave concerns? See what's been censored: bit.ly/2zsH1 & bit.ly/34DiM79
16. Why has mask-wearing been forced when the Surgeon General, the WHO and even Dr. Fauci say to not wear them? bit.ly/3ckVt & bit.ly/3dw81
17. Why do gov't and Hollywood co-fabricate stories intended control our thoughts and behaviors? bit.ly/2RDSBbq
18. With this evidence of how we are being gravely misled, CAN YOU PLEASE RESPOND WITH VALID, JUSTIFIABLE REASONS WHY I SHOULD SURRENDER ANY OF MY UNALIENABLE CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS?

Sincerely,
Jaime Barrett Vigil
jaime@jaimebarrett.com
533 Vallejo Street San Francisco, CA 94133 Constituent

From: [Mario Abad](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Notice of non consent
Date: Tuesday, May 12, 2020 7:06:50 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Re: Notice of non consent

Dear Ms. Stefani,

Below are critically important questions, evidence, facts, and my NOTICE OF TERMS to you in your public and private capacities.

Transmission of this notice has been electronically recorded. Thank you for reading this notice, sent to you in good faith, and without ill-intent. Please respond ASAP.

In this current crisis, measures have been undertaken which are harming me against my consent. Further malicious actions are being threatened against me, and against the rule of law itself.

A central example is the TRACE Act (HR 6666), proposing \$100 BILLION in 2020 to create an industry of “contact tracers” – a ubiquitous, fascist surveillance network – to enforce testing and apparently to grant the “authority” to remove people from their dwellings. See: bit.ly/bill6666

This action is egregious and, for federal officials, I ask you to immediately stop this bill. For all officials: stop all anti-Constitution legislation, and pass laws preserve all Constitutional rights and the rule of law in this country.

PLAIN STATEMENT OF FACTS

A. I have unalienable rights recognized in the original U.S. Constitution that cannot be superseded by any agenda, nor be removed from me. I understand these laws of the land to be active and currently valid. If this is not the case, please send verification in writing within 72 hours.

B. My unalienable rights are being harmed by agendas implemented by oath-sworn elected officials, corporate executives and/or non-elected officials (collectively “Agents Of Harm”). These include, without limitation:

- i. My free rights to speak, travel, do commerce, work, assemble, and be free of surveillance without warrant.
- ii. A threat of medical procedures or experimentation without my consent.
- iii. Threats of punitive detention, violence and or assault for non-compliance.

C. My unalienable rights are NOT conditional – i.e. subject to proving I am not contagious, nor submitting to surveillance, nor anything that would cause me harm – properly called “extortion”.

NOTICE OF TERMS

Effective immediately, and until each of the below questions are answered to my satisfaction, LET IT BE KNOWN throughout all jurisdictions and dimensions that:

A. I DO NOT CONSENT to being harmed by any imposition of quarantine, or restriction on my right to assemble, work, travel, speak or do commerce.

B. I DO NOT CONSENT to being told to live in fear, nor made to wear a mask, nor being mandated an allowable proximity to others.

C. I DO NOT CONSENT to any offer of forced medical experimentation or testing; nor forced medical procedure (i.e. vaccine, or substance delivered by air, water, or other means without my knowledge and consent); nor exposure to radiation from 4G/5G/wireless deployments; nor being tracked by any technology or biometric device on or adjacent to my body.

D. I HEREBY ACCEPT YOUR OATH OF OFFICE as your sworn statement that binds you to uphold my Constitutional rights, protect me from harm once noticed, and to liability when acting with negligence or without duty of care.

E. I RESERVE ALL OF MY RIGHTS, nunc pro tunc, to pursue remedy for ALL harm AND THREATS OF HARM, which may constitute CRIMINAL ASSAULT, and or tort, caused or contributed by Agents Of Harm. I intend to seek remedy and lawful justice unless and until the stated harmful actions cease and desist.

If you do not agree with my lawful ability to state and realize these claims and terms, please make your case in writing within 72 hours. If I receive no response from you, or if you offer any response that violates the supremacy of the original U.S. Constitution and you do not disprove my claim that the U.S. Constitution is active and valid, I will deem you to be in agreement and will proceed accordingly.

QUESTIONS AND EVIDENCE

1. Why are doctors asked to RECORD ANY CAUSE OF DEATH AS CV-19 EVEN IN THE ABSENSE OF CONFIRMATORY TESTING? bit.ly/2yfAJgc
2. Why are hospitals paid \$13,000 for EACH claimed CV-19 patient and \$39,000 for EACH ventilator request? bit.ly/2wFxH4i
3. Why are testing methods being used which DO NOT confirm CV-19, and which the test inventor said should NOT be used to identify a specific disease? bit.ly/2LfTdAw
4. Why are goats and even fruit apparently testing “positive” for CV-19? bit.ly/35Skx1
5. Why is the media suppressing a study showing CV-19 may be up to 50-85x LESS fatal than was thought? bit.ly/3cxTyd5
6. Why are hospitals empty if CV-19 is a legitimate pandemic? bit.ly/3cn11
7. Why do you not tell us social distancing costs us \$2 trillion dollars, contributes to depression and suicide, and will CAUSE the “second wave”? bit.ly/3ezOdno

8. Why is 5G being fast-tracked in our cities and schools during lockdown? bit.ly/34Jr9hN
9. Why are the economy, jobs, and freedoms being destroyed, allegedly to save people, when alcohol, cigarettes and junk food kill 21 MILLION people/year AND ARE STILL ALLOWED? bit.ly/3dt31
10. Why is Bill Gates, who is neither an elected official, nor a medical professional, saying vaccines are the “final solution” when vaccines can reduce immunity, increase disease, and harm or kill us? bit.ly/3clw1fG
11. Why did Bill Gates say, "eventually, we will have some digital certificates to show who has recovered or been tested recently or when we have a vaccine who has received it"? bit.ly/3fBzw
12. Why did Bill Gates say, "we're taking genetically-modified organisms and we're injecting them into a little kid's arm — just shoot 'em right in the vein"? bit.ly/3dwO1
13. Why does Microsoft own a patent (#060606, Mar 2020) for a cryptocurrency system using microchipped humans? bit.ly/3fyQ71
14. Why are doctors CENSORED for reporting that their CV-19 patients recovered from vitamin C? bit.ly/2xHpGfD
15. Why are YouTube and Google allowed to censor videos from hundreds of CREDIBLE EXPERTS, expressing grave concerns? See what's been censored: bit.ly/2zsH1 & bit.ly/34DiM79
16. Why has mask-wearing been forced when the Surgeon General, the WHO and even Dr. Fauci say to not wear them? bit.ly/3ckVt & bit.ly/3dw81
17. Why does gov't and Hollywood co-fabricate stories intended to control our thoughts and behaviors? bit.ly/2RDSBbq
18. With this evidence of how we are being gravely misled, CAN YOU PLEASE RESPOND WITH VALID, JUSTIFIABLE REASONS WHY I SHOULD SURRENDER ANY OF MY UNALIENABLE CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS?

Sincerely,
Mario Abad
mabad1@mac.com
1760 Funston Ave San Francisco, CA 94122 Constituent

From: [Zarin Kotian](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Notice of Non-Consent regarding violations to my Constitutional rights
Date: Monday, May 11, 2020 10:08:58 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Re: Notice of Non-Consent regarding violations to my Constitutional rights

Dear Ms. Stefani,

Below are critically important questions, evidence, facts, and my NOTICE OF TERMS to you in your public and private capacities.

Transmission of this notice has been electronically recorded. Thank you for reading this notice, sent to you in good faith, and without ill-intent. Please respond ASAP.

In this current crisis, measures have been undertaken which are harming me against my consent. Further malicious actions are being threatened against me, and against the rule of law itself.

A central example is the TRACE Act (HR 6666), proposing \$100 BILLION in 2020 to create an industry of “contact tracers” – a ubiquitous, fascist surveillance network – to enforce testing and apparently to grant the “authority” to remove people from their dwellings. See: bit.ly/bill6666

This action is egregious and, for federal officials, I ask you to immediately stop this bill. For all officials: stop all anti-Constitution legislation, and pass laws preserve all Constitutional rights and the rule of law in this country.

PLAIN STATEMENT OF FACTS

A. I have unalienable rights recognized in the original U.S. Constitution that cannot be superseded by any agenda, nor be removed from me. I understand these laws of the land to be active and currently valid. If this is not the case, please send verification in writing within 72 hours.

B. My unalienable rights are being harmed by agendas implemented by oath-sworn elected officials, corporate executives and/or non-elected officials (collectively “Agents Of Harm”). These include, without limitation:

- i. My free rights to speak, travel, do commerce, work, assemble, and be free of surveillance without warrant.
- ii. A threat of medical procedures or experimentation without my consent.
- iii. Threats of punitive detention, violence and or assault for non-compliance.

C. My unalienable rights are NOT conditional – i.e. subject to proving I am not contagious, nor submitting to surveillance, nor anything that would cause me harm – properly called “extortion”.

NOTICE OF TERMS

Effective immediately, and until each of the below questions are answered to my satisfaction, LET IT BE KNOWN throughout all jurisdictions and dimensions that:

A. I DO NOT CONSENT to being harmed by any imposition of quarantine, or restriction on my right to assemble, work, travel, speak or do commerce.

B. I DO NOT CONSENT to being told to live in fear, nor made to wear a mask, nor being mandated an allowable proximity to others.

C. I DO NOT CONSENT to any offer of forced medical experimentation or testing; nor forced medical procedure (i.e. vaccine, or substance delivered by air, water, or other means without my knowledge and consent); nor exposure to radiation from 4G/5G/wireless deployments; nor being tracked by any technology or biometric device on or adjacent to my body.

D. I HEREBY ACCEPT YOUR OATH OF OFFICE as your sworn statement that binds you to uphold my Constitutional rights, protect me from harm once noticed, and to liability when acting with negligence or without duty of care.

E. I RESERVE ALL OF MY RIGHTS, nunc pro tunc, to pursue remedy for ALL harm AND THREATS OF HARM, which may constitute CRIMINAL ASSAULT, and or tort, caused or contributed by Agents Of Harm. I intend to seek remedy and lawful justice unless and until the stated harmful actions cease and desist.

If you do not agree with my lawful ability to state and realize these claims and terms, please make your case in writing within 72 hours. If I receive no response from you, or if you offer any response that violates the supremacy of the original U.S. Constitution and you do not disprove my claim that the U.S. Constitution is active and valid, I will deem you to be in agreement and will proceed accordingly.

QUESTIONS AND EVIDENCE

1. Why are doctors asked to RECORD ANY CAUSE OF DEATH AS CV-19 EVEN IN THE ABSENSE OF CONFIRMATORY TESTING? bit.ly/2yfAJgc
2. Why are hospitals paid \$13,000 for EACH claimed CV-19 patient and \$39,000 for EACH ventilator request? bit.ly/2wFxH4i
3. Why are testing methods being used which DO NOT confirm CV-19, and which the test inventor said should NOT be used to identify a specific disease? bit.ly/2LfTdAw
4. Why are goats and even fruit apparently testing “positive” for CV-19? bit.ly/35Skx1
5. Why is the media suppressing a study showing CV-19 may be up to 50-85x LESS fatal than was thought? bit.ly/3cxTyd5
6. Why are hospitals empty if CV-19 is a legitimate pandemic? bit.ly/3cn11
7. Why do you not tell us social distancing costs us \$2 trillion dollars, contributes to depression and suicide, and will CAUSE the “second wave”? bit.ly/3ezOdno

8. Why is 5G being fast-tracked in our cities and schools during lockdown? bit.ly/34Jr9hN
9. Why are the economy, jobs, and freedoms being destroyed, allegedly to save people, when alcohol, cigarettes and junk food kill 21 MILLION people/year AND ARE STILL ALLOWED? bit.ly/3dt31
10. Why is Bill Gates, who is neither an elected official, nor a medical professional, saying vaccines are the “final solution” when vaccines can reduce immunity, increase disease, and harm or kill us? bit.ly/3clw1fG
11. Why did Bill Gates say, "eventually, we will have some digital certificates to show who has recovered or been tested recently or when we have a vaccine who has received it"? bit.ly/3fBzw
12. Why did Bill Gates say, "we're taking genetically-modified organisms and we're injecting them into a little kid's arm — just shoot 'em right in the vein"? bit.ly/3dwO1
13. Why does Microsoft own a patent (#060606, Mar 2020) for a cryptocurrency system using microchipped humans? bit.ly/3fyQ71
14. Why are doctors CENSORED for reporting that their CV-19 patients recovered from vitamin C? bit.ly/2xHpGfD
15. Why are YouTube and Google allowed to censor videos from hundreds of CREDIBLE EXPERTS, expressing grave concerns? See what's been censored: bit.ly/2zsH1 & bit.ly/34DiM79
16. Why has mask-wearing been forced when the Surgeon General, the WHO and even Dr. Fauci say to not wear them? bit.ly/3ckVt & bit.ly/3dw81
17. Why does gov't and Hollywood co-fabricate stories intended to control our thoughts and behaviors? bit.ly/2RDSBbq
18. With this evidence of how we are being gravely misled, CAN YOU PLEASE RESPOND WITH VALID, JUSTIFIABLE REASONS WHY I SHOULD SURRENDER ANY OF MY UNALIENABLE CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS?

Sincerely,
Zarin Kotian
k100kismet@gmail.com
1860 Washington st San Francisco, CA 94109 Constituent

From: [David Cherry](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Notice of Non-Consent regarding violations to my Constitutional rights
Date: Monday, May 11, 2020 6:45:45 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Re: Notice of Non-Consent regarding violations to my Constitutional rights

Dear Ms. Stefani,

Below are critically important questions, evidence, facts, and my NOTICE OF TERMS to you in your public and private capacities.

Transmission of this notice has been electronically recorded. Thank you for reading this notice, sent to you in good faith, and without ill-intent. Please respond ASAP.

In this current crisis, measures have been undertaken which are harming me against my consent. Further malicious actions are being threatened against me, and against the rule of law itself.

A central example is the TRACE Act (HR 6666), proposing \$100 BILLION in 2020 to create an industry of “contact tracers” – a ubiquitous, fascist surveillance network – to enforce testing and apparently to grant the “authority” to remove people from their dwellings. See: bit.ly/bill6666

This action is egregious and, for federal officials, I ask you to immediately stop this bill. For all officials: stop all anti-Constitution legislation, and pass laws preserve all Constitutional rights and the rule of law in this country.

PLAIN STATEMENT OF FACTS

A. I have unalienable rights recognized in the original U.S. Constitution that cannot be superseded by any agenda, nor be removed from me. I understand these laws of the land to be active and currently valid. If this is not the case, please send verification in writing within 72 hours.

B. My unalienable rights are being harmed by agendas implemented by oath-sworn elected officials, corporate executives and/or non-elected officials (collectively “Agents Of Harm”). These include, without limitation:

- i. My free rights to speak, travel, do commerce, work, assemble, and be free of surveillance without warrant.
- ii. A threat of medical procedures or experimentation without my consent.
- iii. Threats of punitive detention, violence and or assault for non-compliance.

C. My unalienable rights are NOT conditional – i.e. subject to proving I am not contagious, nor submitting to surveillance, nor anything that would cause me harm – properly called “extortion”.

NOTICE OF TERMS

Effective immediately, and until each of the below questions are answered to my satisfaction, LET IT BE KNOWN throughout all jurisdictions and dimensions that:

A. I DO NOT CONSENT to being harmed by any imposition of quarantine, or restriction on my right to assemble, work, travel, speak or do commerce.

B. I DO NOT CONSENT to being told to live in fear, nor made to wear a mask, nor being mandated an allowable proximity to others.

C. I DO NOT CONSENT to any offer of forced medical experimentation or testing; nor forced medical procedure (i.e. vaccine, or substance delivered by air, water, or other means without my knowledge and consent); nor exposure to radiation from 4G/5G/wireless deployments; nor being tracked by any technology or biometric device on or adjacent to my body.

D. I HEREBY ACCEPT YOUR OATH OF OFFICE as your sworn statement that binds you to uphold my Constitutional rights, protect me from harm once noticed, and to liability when acting with negligence or without duty of care.

E. I RESERVE ALL OF MY RIGHTS, nunc pro tunc, to pursue remedy for ALL harm AND THREATS OF HARM, which may constitute CRIMINAL ASSAULT, and or tort, caused or contributed by Agents Of Harm. I intend to seek remedy and lawful justice unless and until the stated harmful actions cease and desist.

If you do not agree with my lawful ability to state and realize these claims and terms, please make your case in writing within 72 hours. If I receive no response from you, or if you offer any response that violates the supremacy of the original U.S. Constitution and you do not disprove my claim that the U.S. Constitution is active and valid, I will deem you to be in agreement and will proceed accordingly.

QUESTIONS AND EVIDENCE

1. Why are doctors asked to RECORD ANY CAUSE OF DEATH AS CV-19 EVEN IN THE ABSENSE OF CONFIRMATORY TESTING? bit.ly/2yfAJgc
2. Why are hospitals paid \$13,000 for EACH claimed CV-19 patient and \$39,000 for EACH ventilator request? bit.ly/2wFxH4i
3. Why are testing methods being used which DO NOT confirm CV-19, and which the test inventor said should NOT be used to identify a specific disease? bit.ly/2LfTdAw
4. Why are goats and even fruit apparently testing “positive” for CV-19? bit.ly/35Skx1
5. Why is the media suppressing a study showing CV-19 may be up to 50-85x LESS fatal than was thought? bit.ly/3cxTyd5
6. Why are hospitals empty if CV-19 is a legitimate pandemic? bit.ly/3cn11
7. Why do you not tell us social distancing costs us \$2 trillion dollars, contributes to depression and suicide, and will CAUSE the “second wave”? bit.ly/3ezOdno

8. Why is 5G being fast-tracked in our cities and schools during lockdown? bit.ly/34Jr9hN
9. Why are the economy, jobs, and freedoms being destroyed, allegedly to save people, when alcohol, cigarettes and junk food kill 21 MILLION people/year AND ARE STILL ALLOWED? bit.ly/3dt31
10. Why is Bill Gates, who is neither an elected official, nor a medical professional, saying vaccines are the “final solution” when vaccines can reduce immunity, increase disease, and harm or kill us? bit.ly/3clw1fG
11. Why did Bill Gates say, "eventually, we will have some digital certificates to show who has recovered or been tested recently or when we have a vaccine who has received it"? bit.ly/3fBzw
12. Why did Bill Gates say, "we're taking genetically-modified organisms and we're injecting them into a little kid's arm — just shoot 'em right in the vein"? bit.ly/3dwO1
13. Why does Microsoft own a patent (#060606, Mar 2020) for a cryptocurrency system using microchipped humans? bit.ly/3fyQ71
14. Why are doctors CENSORED for reporting that their CV-19 patients recovered from vitamin C? bit.ly/2xHpGfD
15. Why are YouTube and Google allowed to censor videos from hundreds of CREDIBLE EXPERTS, expressing grave concerns? See what's been censored: bit.ly/2zsH1 & bit.ly/34DiM79
16. Why has mask-wearing been forced when the Surgeon General, the WHO and even Dr. Fauci say to not wear them? bit.ly/3ckVt & bit.ly/3dw81
17. Why does gov't and Hollywood co-fabricate stories intended to control our thoughts and behaviors? bit.ly/2RDSBbq
18. With this evidence of how we are being gravely misled, CAN YOU PLEASE RESPOND WITH VALID, JUSTIFIABLE REASONS WHY I SHOULD SURRENDER ANY OF MY UNALIENABLE CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS?

Sincerely,

David Cherry

davenjake@me.com

28 Cragmont Avenue San Francisco, CA 94116 Constituent

From: [Kris Wolcott](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Notice of Non-Consent regarding violations to my Constitutional rights
Date: Sunday, May 10, 2020 8:46:19 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Re: Notice of Non-Consent regarding violations to my Constitutional rights

Dear Ms. Stefani,

Below are critically important questions, evidence, facts, and my NOTICE OF TERMS to you in your public and private capacities.

Transmission of this notice has been electronically recorded. Thank you for reading this notice, sent to you in good faith, and without ill-intent. Please respond ASAP.

In this current crisis, measures have been undertaken which are harming me against my consent. Further malicious actions are being threatened against me, and against the rule of law itself.

A central example is the TRACE Act (HR 6666), proposing \$100 BILLION in 2020 to create an industry of “contact tracers” – a ubiquitous, fascist surveillance network – to enforce testing and apparently to grant the “authority” to remove people from their dwellings. See: bit.ly/bill6666

This action is egregious and, for federal officials, I ask you to immediately stop this bill. For all officials: stop all anti-Constitution legislation, and pass laws preserve all Constitutional rights and the rule of law in this country.

PLAIN STATEMENT OF FACTS

A. I have unalienable rights recognized in the original U.S. Constitution that cannot be superseded by any agenda, nor be removed from me. I understand these laws of the land to be active and currently valid. If this is not the case, please send verification in writing within 72 hours.

B. My unalienable rights are being harmed by agendas implemented by oath-sworn elected officials, corporate executives and/or non-elected officials (collectively “Agents Of Harm”). These include, without limitation:

- i. My free rights to speak, travel, do commerce, work, assemble, and be free of surveillance without warrant.
- ii. A threat of medical procedures or experimentation without my consent.
- iii. Threats of punitive detention, violence and or assault for non-compliance.

C. My unalienable rights are NOT conditional – i.e. subject to proving I am not contagious, nor submitting to surveillance, nor anything that would cause me harm – properly called “extortion”.

NOTICE OF TERMS

Effective immediately, and until each of the below questions are answered to my satisfaction, LET IT BE KNOWN throughout all jurisdictions and dimensions that:

A. I DO NOT CONSENT to being harmed by any imposition of quarantine, or restriction on my right to assemble, work, travel, speak or do commerce.

B. I DO NOT CONSENT to being told to live in fear, nor made to wear a mask, nor being mandated an allowable proximity to others.

C. I DO NOT CONSENT to any offer of forced medical experimentation or testing; nor forced medical procedure (i.e. vaccine, or substance delivered by air, water, or other means without my knowledge and consent); nor exposure to radiation from 4G/5G/wireless deployments; nor being tracked by any technology or biometric device on or adjacent to my body.

D. I HEREBY ACCEPT YOUR OATH OF OFFICE as your sworn statement that binds you to uphold my Constitutional rights, protect me from harm once noticed, and to liability when acting with negligence or without duty of care.

E. I RESERVE ALL OF MY RIGHTS, nunc pro tunc, to pursue remedy for ALL harm AND THREATS OF HARM, which may constitute CRIMINAL ASSAULT, and or tort, caused or contributed by Agents Of Harm. I intend to seek remedy and lawful justice unless and until the stated harmful actions cease and desist.

If you do not agree with my lawful ability to state and realize these claims and terms, please make your case in writing within 72 hours. If I receive no response from you, or if you offer any response that violates the supremacy of the original U.S. Constitution and you do not disprove my claim that the U.S. Constitution is active and valid, I will deem you to be in agreement and will proceed accordingly.

QUESTIONS AND EVIDENCE

1. Why are doctors asked to RECORD ANY CAUSE OF DEATH AS CV-19 EVEN IN THE ABSENSE OF CONFIRMATORY TESTING? bit.ly/2yfAJgc
2. Why are hospitals paid \$13,000 for EACH claimed CV-19 patient and \$39,000 for EACH ventilator request? bit.ly/2wFxH4i
3. Why are testing methods being used which DO NOT confirm CV-19, and which the test inventor said should NOT be used to identify a specific disease? bit.ly/2LfTdAw
4. Why are goats and even fruit apparently testing “positive” for CV-19? bit.ly/35Skx1
5. Why is the media suppressing a study showing CV-19 may be up to 50-85x LESS fatal than was thought? bit.ly/3cxTyd5
6. Why are hospitals empty if CV-19 is a legitimate pandemic? bit.ly/3cn11
7. Why do you not tell us social distancing costs us \$2 trillion dollars, contributes to depression and suicide, and will CAUSE the “second wave”? bit.ly/3ezOdno

8. Why is 5G being fast-tracked in our cities and schools during lockdown? bit.ly/34Jr9hN
9. Why are the economy, jobs, and freedoms being destroyed, allegedly to save people, when alcohol, cigarettes and junk food kill 21 MILLION people/year AND ARE STILL ALLOWED? bit.ly/3dt31
10. Why is Bill Gates, who is neither an elected official, nor a medical professional, saying vaccines are the “final solution” when vaccines can reduce immunity, increase disease, and harm or kill us? bit.ly/3clw1fG
11. Why did Bill Gates say, "eventually, we will have some digital certificates to show who has recovered or been tested recently or when we have a vaccine who has received it"? bit.ly/3fBzw
12. Why did Bill Gates say, "we're taking genetically-modified organisms and we're injecting them into a little kid's arm — just shoot 'em right in the vein"? bit.ly/3dwO1
13. Why does Microsoft own a patent (#060606, Mar 2020) for a cryptocurrency system using microchipped humans? bit.ly/3fyQ71
14. Why are doctors CENSORED for reporting that their CV-19 patients recovered from vitamin C? bit.ly/2xHpGfD
15. Why are YouTube and Google allowed to censor videos from hundreds of CREDIBLE EXPERTS, expressing grave concerns? See what's been censored: bit.ly/2zsH1 & bit.ly/34DiM79
16. Why has mask-wearing been forced when the Surgeon General, the WHO and even Dr. Fauci say to not wear them? bit.ly/3ckVt & bit.ly/3dw81
17. Why do gov't and Hollywood co-fabricate stories intended control our thoughts and behaviors? bit.ly/2RDSBbq
18. With this evidence of how we are being gravely misled, CAN YOU PLEASE RESPOND WITH VALID, JUSTIFIABLE REASONS WHY I SHOULD SURRENDER ANY OF MY UNALIENABLE CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS?

Sincerely,
Kris Wolcott
kwwolcott@yahoo.com
1500 Cole Street San Francisco, CA 94117 Constituent

From: [Timothy Leather](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Notice of Non-Consent regarding violations to my Constitutional rights
Date: Sunday, May 10, 2020 3:45:52 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Re: Notice of Non-Consent regarding violations to my Constitutional rights

Dear Ms. Stefani,

Below are critically important questions, evidence, facts, and my NOTICE OF TERMS to you in your public and private capacities.

Transmission of this notice has been electronically recorded. Thank you for reading this notice, sent to you in good faith, and without ill-intent. Please respond ASAP.

In this current crisis, measures have been undertaken which are harming me against my consent. Further malicious actions are being threatened against me, and against the rule of law itself.

A central example is the TRACE Act (HR 6666), proposing \$100 BILLION in 2020 to create an industry of “contact tracers” – a ubiquitous, fascist surveillance network – to enforce testing and apparently to grant the “authority” to remove people from their dwellings. See: bit.ly/bill6666

This action is egregious and, for federal officials, I ask you to immediately stop this bill. For all officials: stop all anti-Constitution legislation, and pass laws preserve all Constitutional rights and the rule of law in this country.

PLAIN STATEMENT OF FACTS

A. I have unalienable rights recognized in the original U.S. Constitution that cannot be superseded by any agenda, nor be removed from me. I understand these laws of the land to be active and currently valid. If this is not the case, please send verification in writing within 72 hours.

B. My unalienable rights are being harmed by agendas implemented by oath-sworn elected officials, corporate executives and/or non-elected officials (collectively “Agents Of Harm”). These include, without limitation:

- i. My free rights to speak, travel, do commerce, work, assemble, and be free of surveillance without warrant.
- ii. A threat of medical procedures or experimentation without my consent.
- iii. Threats of punitive detention, violence and or assault for non-compliance.

C. My unalienable rights are NOT conditional – i.e. subject to proving I am not contagious, nor submitting to surveillance, nor anything that would cause me harm – properly called “extortion”.

NOTICE OF TERMS

Effective immediately, and until each of the below questions are answered to my satisfaction, LET IT BE KNOWN throughout all jurisdictions and dimensions that:

A. I DO NOT CONSENT to being harmed by any imposition of quarantine, or restriction on my right to assemble, work, travel, speak or do commerce.

B. I DO NOT CONSENT to being told to live in fear, nor made to wear a mask, nor being mandated an allowable proximity to others.

C. I DO NOT CONSENT to any offer of forced medical experimentation or testing; nor forced medical procedure (i.e. vaccine, or substance delivered by air, water, or other means without my knowledge and consent); nor exposure to radiation from 4G/5G/wireless deployments; nor being tracked by any technology or biometric device on or adjacent to my body.

D. I HEREBY ACCEPT YOUR OATH OF OFFICE as your sworn statement that binds you to uphold my Constitutional rights, protect me from harm once noticed, and to liability when acting with negligence or without duty of care.

E. I RESERVE ALL OF MY RIGHTS, nunc pro tunc, to pursue remedy for ALL harm AND THREATS OF HARM, which may constitute CRIMINAL ASSAULT, and or tort, caused or contributed by Agents Of Harm. I intend to seek remedy and lawful justice unless and until the stated harmful actions cease and desist.

If you do not agree with my lawful ability to state and realize these claims and terms, please make your case in writing within 72 hours. If I receive no response from you, or if you offer any response that violates the supremacy of the original U.S. Constitution and you do not disprove my claim that the U.S. Constitution is active and valid, I will deem you to be in agreement and will proceed accordingly.

QUESTIONS AND EVIDENCE

1. Why are doctors asked to RECORD ANY CAUSE OF DEATH AS CV-19 EVEN IN THE ABSENSE OF CONFIRMATORY TESTING? bit.ly/2yfAJgc
2. Why are hospitals paid \$13,000 for EACH claimed CV-19 patient and \$39,000 for EACH ventilator request? bit.ly/2wFxH4i
3. Why are testing methods being used which DO NOT confirm CV-19, and which the test inventor said should NOT be used to identify a specific disease? bit.ly/2LfTdAw
4. Why are goats and even fruit apparently testing “positive” for CV-19? bit.ly/35Skx1
5. Why is the media suppressing a study showing CV-19 may be up to 50-85x LESS fatal than was thought? bit.ly/3cxTyd5
6. Why are hospitals empty if CV-19 is a legitimate pandemic? bit.ly/3cn11
7. Why do you not tell us social distancing costs us \$2 trillion dollars, contributes to depression and suicide, and will CAUSE the “second wave”? bit.ly/3ezOdno

8. Why is 5G being fast-tracked in our cities and schools during lockdown? bit.ly/34Jr9hN
9. Why are the economy, jobs, and freedoms being destroyed, allegedly to save people, when alcohol, cigarettes and junk food kill 21 MILLION people/year AND ARE STILL ALLOWED? bit.ly/3dt31
10. Why is Bill Gates, who is neither an elected official, nor a medical professional, saying vaccines are the “final solution” when vaccines can reduce immunity, increase disease, and harm or kill us? bit.ly/3clw1fG
11. Why did Bill Gates say, "eventually, we will have some digital certificates to show who has recovered or been tested recently or when we have a vaccine who has received it"? bit.ly/3fBzw
12. Why did Bill Gates say, "we're taking genetically-modified organisms and we're injecting them into a little kid's arm — just shoot 'em right in the vein"? bit.ly/3dwO1
13. Why does Microsoft own a patent (#060606, Mar 2020) for a cryptocurrency system using microchipped humans? bit.ly/3fyQ71
14. Why are doctors CENSORED for reporting that their CV-19 patients recovered from vitamin C? bit.ly/2xHpGfD
15. Why are YouTube and Google allowed to censor videos from hundreds of CREDIBLE EXPERTS, expressing grave concerns? See what's been censored: bit.ly/2zsH1 & bit.ly/34DiM79
16. Why has mask-wearing been forced when the Surgeon General, the WHO and even Dr. Fauci say to not wear them? bit.ly/3ckVt & bit.ly/3dw81
17. Why do gov't and Hollywood co-fabricate stories intended control our thoughts and behaviors? bit.ly/2RDSBbq
18. With this evidence of how we are being gravely misled, CAN YOU PLEASE RESPOND WITH VALID, JUSTIFIABLE REASONS WHY I SHOULD SURRENDER ANY OF MY UNALIENABLE CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS?

Sincerely,
Timothy Leather
timothyleather@gmail.com
1435 Fulton Street San Francisco, CA 94117 Constituent

From: [Andrea Nyberg](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Notice of Non-Consent regarding violations to my Constitutional rights
Date: Sunday, May 10, 2020 3:26:32 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Re: Notice of Non-Consent regarding violations to my Constitutional rights

Dear Ms. Stefani,

Below are critically important questions, evidence, facts, and my NOTICE OF TERMS to you in your public and private capacities.

Transmission of this notice has been electronically recorded. Thank you for reading this notice, sent to you in good faith, and without ill-intent. Please respond ASAP.

In this current crisis, measures have been undertaken which are harming me against my consent. Further malicious actions are being threatened against me, and against the rule of law itself.

A central example is the TRACE Act (HR 6666), proposing \$100 BILLION in 2020 to create an industry of “contact tracers” – a ubiquitous, fascist surveillance network – to enforce testing and apparently to grant the “authority” to remove people from their dwellings. See: bit.ly/bill6666

This action is egregious and, for federal officials, I ask you to immediately stop this bill. For all officials: stop all anti-Constitution legislation, and pass laws preserve all Constitutional rights and the rule of law in this country.

PLAIN STATEMENT OF FACTS

A. I have unalienable rights recognized in the original U.S. Constitution that cannot be superseded by any agenda, nor be removed from me. I understand these laws of the land to be active and currently valid. If this is not the case, please send verification in writing within 72 hours.

B. My unalienable rights are being harmed by agendas implemented by oath-sworn elected officials, corporate executives and/or non-elected officials (collectively “Agents Of Harm”). These include, without limitation:

- i. My free rights to speak, travel, do commerce, work, assemble, and be free of surveillance without warrant.
- ii. A threat of medical procedures or experimentation without my consent.
- iii. Threats of punitive detention, violence and or assault for non-compliance.

C. My unalienable rights are NOT conditional – i.e. subject to proving I am not contagious, nor submitting to surveillance, nor anything that would cause me harm – properly called “extortion”.

NOTICE OF TERMS

Effective immediately, and until each of the below questions are answered to my satisfaction, LET IT BE KNOWN throughout all jurisdictions and dimensions that:

A. I DO NOT CONSENT to being harmed by any imposition of quarantine, or restriction on my right to assemble, work, travel, speak or do commerce.

B. I DO NOT CONSENT to being told to live in fear, nor made to wear a mask, nor being mandated an allowable proximity to others.

C. I DO NOT CONSENT to any offer of forced medical experimentation or testing; nor forced medical procedure (i.e. vaccine, or substance delivered by air, water, or other means without my knowledge and consent); nor exposure to radiation from 4G/5G/wireless deployments; nor being tracked by any technology or biometric device on or adjacent to my body.

D. I HEREBY ACCEPT YOUR OATH OF OFFICE as your sworn statement that binds you to uphold my Constitutional rights, protect me from harm once noticed, and to liability when acting with negligence or without duty of care.

E. I RESERVE ALL OF MY RIGHTS, nunc pro tunc, to pursue remedy for ALL harm AND THREATS OF HARM, which may constitute CRIMINAL ASSAULT, and or tort, caused or contributed by Agents Of Harm. I intend to seek remedy and lawful justice unless and until the stated harmful actions cease and desist.

If you do not agree with my lawful ability to state and realize these claims and terms, please make your case in writing within 72 hours. If I receive no response from you, or if you offer any response that violates the supremacy of the original U.S. Constitution and you do not disprove my claim that the U.S. Constitution is active and valid, I will deem you to be in agreement.

QUESTIONS AND EVIDENCE

1. Why are doctors asked to RECORD ANY CAUSE OF DEATH AS CV-19 EVEN IN THE ABSENCE OF CONFIRMATORY TESTING? bit.ly/2yfAJgc
2. Why are hospitals paid \$13,000 for EACH claimed CV-19 patient and \$39,000 for EACH ventilator request? bit.ly/2wFxH4i
3. Why are testing methods being used which DO NOT confirm CV-19, and which the test inventor said should NOT be used to identify a specific disease? bit.ly/2LfTdAw
4. Why are goats and even fruit apparently testing “positive” for CV-19? bit.ly/35Skx1
5. Why is the media suppressing a study showing CV-19 may be up to 50-85x LESS fatal than was thought? bit.ly/3cxTyd5
6. Why are hospitals empty if CV-19 is a legitimate pandemic? bit.ly/3cn11
7. Why do you not tell us social distancing costs us \$2 trillion dollars, contributes to depression and suicide, and will CAUSE the “second wave”? bit.ly/3ezOdno

8. Why is 5G being fast-tracked in our cities and schools during lockdown? bit.ly/34Jr9hN
9. Why are the economy, jobs, and freedoms being destroyed, allegedly to save people, when alcohol, cigarettes and junk food kill 21 MILLION people/year AND ARE STILL ALLOWED? bit.ly/3dt31
10. Why is Bill Gates, who is neither an elected official, nor a medical professional, saying vaccines are the “final solution” when vaccines can reduce immunity, increase disease, and harm or kill us? bit.ly/3clw1fG
11. Why did Bill Gates say, "eventually, we will have some digital certificates to show who has recovered or been tested recently or when we have a vaccine who has received it"? bit.ly/3fBzw
12. Why did Bill Gates say, "we're taking genetically-modified organisms and we're injecting them into a little kid's arm — just shoot 'em right in the vein"? bit.ly/3dwO1
13. Why does Microsoft own a patent (#060606, Mar 2020) for a cryptocurrency system using microchipped humans? bit.ly/3fyQ71
14. Why are doctors CENSORED for reporting that their CV-19 patients recovered from vitamin C? bit.ly/2xHpGfD
15. Why are YouTube and Google allowed to censor videos from hundreds of CREDIBLE EXPERTS, expressing grave concerns? See what's been censored: bit.ly/2zsH1 & bit.ly/34DiM79
16. Why has mask-wearing been forced when the Surgeon General, the WHO and even Dr. Fauci say to not wear them? bit.ly/3ckVt & bit.ly/3dw81
17. Why do government and Hollywood co-fabricate stories intended control our thoughts and behaviors? bit.ly/2RDSBbq
18. With this evidence of how we are being gravely misled, CAN YOU PLEASE RESPOND WITH VALID, JUSTIFIABLE REASONS WHY I SHOULD SURRENDER ANY OF MY UNALIENABLE CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS?

Sincerely,
Andrea Nyberg
andrea.nyberg@gmail.com
1459 33rd Ave. San Francisco, CA 94122 Constituent

From: [Tracy Struggs](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Notice of Non-Consent regarding violations to my Constitutional rights
Date: Tuesday, May 12, 2020 8:44:08 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Re: Notice of Non-Consent regarding violations to my Constitutional rights

Dear Ms. Stefani,

Below are critically important questions, evidence, facts, and my NOTICE OF TERMS to you in your public and private capacities.

Transmission of this notice has been electronically recorded. Thank you for reading this notice, sent to you in good faith, and without ill-intent. Please respond ASAP.

In this current crisis, measures have been undertaken which are harming me against my consent. Further malicious actions are being threatened against me, and against the rule of law itself.

A central example is the TRACE Act (HR 6666), proposing \$100 BILLION in 2020 to create an industry of “contact tracers” – a ubiquitous, fascist surveillance network – to enforce testing and apparently to grant the “authority” to remove people from their dwellings. See: bit.ly/bill6666

This action is egregious and, for federal officials, I ask you to immediately stop this bill. For all officials: stop all anti-Constitution legislation, and pass laws preserve all Constitutional rights and the rule of law in this country.

PLAIN STATEMENT OF FACTS

A. I have unalienable rights recognized in the original U.S. Constitution that cannot be superseded by any agenda, nor be removed from me. I understand these laws of the land to be active and currently valid. If this is not the case, please send verification in writing within 72 hours.

B. My unalienable rights are being harmed by agendas implemented by oath-sworn elected officials, corporate executives and/or non-elected officials (collectively “Agents Of Harm”). These include, without limitation:

- i. My free rights to speak, travel, do commerce, work, assemble, and be free of surveillance without warrant.
- ii. A threat of medical procedures or experimentation without my consent.
- iii. Threats of punitive detention, violence and or assault for non-compliance.

C. My unalienable rights are NOT conditional – i.e. subject to proving I am not contagious, nor submitting to surveillance, nor anything that would cause me harm – properly called “extortion”.

NOTICE OF TERMS

Effective immediately, and until each of the below questions are answered to my satisfaction, LET IT BE KNOWN throughout all jurisdictions and dimensions that:

A. I DO NOT CONSENT to being harmed by any imposition of quarantine, or restriction on my right to assemble, work, travel, speak or do commerce.

B. I DO NOT CONSENT to being told to live in fear, nor made to wear a mask, nor being mandated an allowable proximity to others.

C. I DO NOT CONSENT to any offer of forced medical experimentation or testing; nor forced medical procedure (i.e. vaccine, or substance delivered by air, water, or other means without my knowledge and consent); nor exposure to radiation from 4G/5G/wireless deployments; nor being tracked by any technology or biometric device on or adjacent to my body.

D. I HEREBY ACCEPT YOUR OATH OF OFFICE as your sworn statement that binds you to uphold my Constitutional rights, protect me from harm once noticed, and to liability when acting with negligence or without duty of care.

E. I RESERVE ALL OF MY RIGHTS, nunc pro tunc, to pursue remedy for ALL harm AND THREATS OF HARM, which may constitute CRIMINAL ASSAULT, and or tort, caused or contributed by Agents Of Harm. I intend to seek remedy and lawful justice unless and until the stated harmful actions cease and desist.

If you do not agree with my lawful ability to state and realize these claims and terms, please make your case in writing within 72 hours. If I receive no response from you, or if you offer any response that violates the supremacy of the original U.S. Constitution and you do not disprove my claim that the U.S. Constitution is active and valid, I will deem you to be in agreement and will proceed accordingly.

QUESTIONS AND EVIDENCE

1. Why are doctors asked to RECORD ANY CAUSE OF DEATH AS CV-19 EVEN IN THE ABSENSE OF CONFIRMATORY TESTING? bit.ly/2yfAJgc
2. Why are hospitals paid \$13,000 for EACH claimed CV-19 patient and \$39,000 for EACH ventilator request? bit.ly/2wFxH4i
3. Why are testing methods being used which DO NOT confirm CV-19, and which the test inventor said should NOT be used to identify a specific disease? bit.ly/2LfTdAw
4. Why are goats and even fruit apparently testing “positive” for CV-19? bit.ly/35Skx1
5. Why is the media suppressing a study showing CV-19 may be up to 50-85x LESS fatal than was thought? bit.ly/3cxTyd5
6. Why are hospitals empty if CV-19 is a legitimate pandemic? bit.ly/3cn11
7. Why do you not tell us social distancing costs us \$2 trillion dollars, contributes to depression and suicide, and will CAUSE the “second wave”? bit.ly/3ezOdno

8. Why is 5G being fast-tracked in our cities and schools during lockdown? bit.ly/34Jr9hN
9. Why are the economy, jobs, and freedoms being destroyed, allegedly to save people, when alcohol, cigarettes and junk food kill 21 MILLION people/year AND ARE STILL ALLOWED? bit.ly/3dt31
10. Why is Bill Gates, who is neither an elected official, nor a medical professional, saying vaccines are the “final solution” when vaccines can reduce immunity, increase disease, and harm or kill us? bit.ly/3clw1fG
11. Why did Bill Gates say, "eventually, we will have some digital certificates to show who has recovered or been tested recently or when we have a vaccine who has received it"? bit.ly/3fBzw
12. Why did Bill Gates say, "we're taking genetically-modified organisms and we're injecting them into a little kid's arm — just shoot 'em right in the vein"? bit.ly/3dwO1
13. Why does Microsoft own a patent (#060606, Mar 2020) for a cryptocurrency system using microchipped humans? bit.ly/3fyQ71
14. Why are doctors CENSORED for reporting that their CV-19 patients recovered from vitamin C? bit.ly/2xHpGfD
15. Why are YouTube and Google allowed to censor videos from hundreds of CREDIBLE EXPERTS, expressing grave concerns? See what's been censored: bit.ly/2zsH1 & bit.ly/34DiM79
16. Why has mask-wearing been forced when the Surgeon General, the WHO and even Dr. Fauci say to not wear them? bit.ly/3ckVt & bit.ly/3dw81
17. Why does gov't and Hollywood co-fabricate stories intended to control our thoughts and behaviors? bit.ly/2RDSBbq
18. With this evidence of how we are being gravely misled, CAN YOU PLEASE RESPOND WITH VALID, JUSTIFIABLE REASONS WHY I SHOULD SURRENDER ANY OF MY UNALIENABLE CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS?

Sincerely,

Tracy Struggs

tracyapples@aol.co

345 Bridgeview drive San Francisco, CA 94124 Constituent

From: [Lan Quach](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Notice regarding "contact tracing", aka surveillance, and my terms
Date: Monday, May 11, 2020 6:44:31 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Re: Notice regarding "contact tracing", aka surveillance, and my terms

Dear Ms. Stefani,

Below are critically important questions, evidence, facts, and my NOTICE OF TERMS to you in your public and private capacities.

Transmission of this notice has been electronically recorded. Thank you for reading this notice, sent to you in good faith, and without ill-intent. Please respond ASAP.

In this current crisis, measures have been undertaken which are harming me against my consent. Further malicious actions are being threatened against me, and against the rule of law itself.

A central example is the TRACE Act (HR 6666), proposing \$100 BILLION in 2020 to create an industry of "contact tracers" – a ubiquitous, fascist surveillance network – to enforce testing and apparently to grant the "authority" to remove people from their dwellings. See: bit.ly/bill6666

This action is egregious and, for federal officials, I ask you to immediately stop this bill. For all officials: stop all anti-Constitution legislation, and pass laws preserve all Constitutional rights and the rule of law in this country.

PLAIN STATEMENT OF FACTS

A. I have unalienable rights recognized in the original U.S. Constitution that cannot be superseded by any agenda, nor be removed from me. I understand these laws of the land to be active and currently valid. If this is not the case, please send verification in writing within 72 hours.

B. My unalienable rights are being harmed by agendas implemented by oath-sworn elected officials, corporate executives and/or non-elected officials (collectively "Agents Of Harm"). These include, without limitation:

- i. My free rights to speak, travel, do commerce, work, assemble, and be free of surveillance without warrant.
- ii. A threat of medical procedures or experimentation without my consent.
- iii. Threats of punitive detention, violence and or assault for non-compliance.

C. My unalienable rights are NOT conditional – i.e. subject to proving I am not contagious, nor submitting to surveillance, nor anything that would cause me harm – properly called "extortion".

NOTICE OF TERMS

Effective immediately, and until each of the below questions are answered to my satisfaction, LET IT BE KNOWN throughout all jurisdictions and dimensions that:

A. I DO NOT CONSENT to being harmed by any imposition of quarantine, or restriction on my right to assemble, work, travel, speak or do commerce.

B. I DO NOT CONSENT to being told to live in fear, nor made to wear a mask, nor being mandated an allowable proximity to others.

C. I DO NOT CONSENT to any offer of forced medical experimentation or testing; nor forced medical procedure (i.e. vaccine, or substance delivered by air, water, or other means without my knowledge and consent); nor exposure to radiation from 4G/5G/wireless deployments; nor being tracked by any technology or biometric device on or adjacent to my body.

D. I HEREBY ACCEPT YOUR OATH OF OFFICE as your sworn statement that binds you to uphold my Constitutional rights, protect me from harm once noticed, and to liability when acting with negligence or without duty of care.

E. I RESERVE ALL OF MY RIGHTS, nunc pro tunc, to pursue remedy for ALL harm AND THREATS OF HARM, which may constitute CRIMINAL ASSAULT, and or tort, caused or contributed by Agents Of Harm. I intend to seek remedy and lawful justice unless and until the stated harmful actions cease and desist.

If you do not agree with my lawful ability to state and realize these claims and terms, please make your case in writing within 72 hours. If I receive no response from you, or if you offer any response that violates the supremacy of the original U.S. Constitution and you do not disprove my claim that the U.S. Constitution is active and valid, I will deem you to be in agreement and will proceed accordingly.

QUESTIONS AND EVIDENCE

1. Why are doctors asked to RECORD ANY CAUSE OF DEATH AS CV-19 EVEN IN THE ABSENSE OF CONFIRMATORY TESTING? bit.ly/2yfAJgc
2. Why are hospitals paid \$13,000 for EACH claimed CV-19 patient and \$39,000 for EACH ventilator request? bit.ly/2wFxH4i
3. Why are testing methods being used which DO NOT confirm CV-19, and which the test inventor said should NOT be used to identify a specific disease? bit.ly/2LfTdAw
4. Why are goats and even fruit apparently testing “positive” for CV-19? bit.ly/35Skx1
5. Why is the media suppressing a study showing CV-19 may be up to 50-85x LESS fatal than was thought? bit.ly/3cxTyd5
6. Why are hospitals empty if CV-19 is a legitimate pandemic? bit.ly/3cn11
7. Why do you not tell us social distancing costs us \$2 trillion dollars, contributes to depression and suicide, and will CAUSE the “second wave”? bit.ly/3ezOdno

8. Why is 5G being fast-tracked in our cities and schools during lockdown? bit.ly/34Jr9hN
9. Why are the economy, jobs, and freedoms being destroyed, allegedly to save people, when alcohol, cigarettes and junk food kill 21 MILLION people/year AND ARE STILL ALLOWED? bit.ly/3dt31
10. Why is Bill Gates, who is neither an elected official, nor a medical professional, saying vaccines are the “final solution” when vaccines can reduce immunity, increase disease, and harm or kill us? bit.ly/3clw1fG
11. Why did Bill Gates say, "eventually, we will have some digital certificates to show who has recovered or been tested recently or when we have a vaccine who has received it"? bit.ly/3fBzw
12. Why did Bill Gates say, "we're taking genetically-modified organisms and we're injecting them into a little kid's arm — just shoot 'em right in the vein"? bit.ly/3dwO1
13. Why does Microsoft own a patent (#060606, Mar 2020) for a cryptocurrency system using microchipped humans? bit.ly/3fyQ71
14. Why are doctors CENSORED for reporting that their CV-19 patients recovered from vitamin C? bit.ly/2xHpGfD
15. Why are YouTube and Google allowed to censor videos from hundreds of CREDIBLE EXPERTS, expressing grave concerns? See what's been censored: bit.ly/2zsH1 & bit.ly/34DiM79
16. Why has mask-wearing been forced when the Surgeon General, the WHO and even Dr. Fauci say to not wear them? bit.ly/3ckVt & bit.ly/3dw81
17. Why does gov't and Hollywood co-fabricate stories intended to control our thoughts and behaviors? bit.ly/2RDSBbq
18. With this evidence of how we are being gravely misled, CAN YOU PLEASE RESPOND WITH VALID, JUSTIFIABLE REASONS WHY I SHOULD SURRENDER ANY OF MY UNALIENABLE CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS?

Sincerely,
Lan Quach
lanleq@gmail.com
478 31st Ave San Francisco, CA 94121 Constituent

From: [Gigi Ortiz](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NOTICE regarding contact tracing and other measures causing harm
Date: Wednesday, May 13, 2020 10:59:46 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Re: NOTICE regarding contact tracing and other measures causing harm

Dear Ms. Stefani,

The discussions about “contact tracing” surveillance, and other measures associated with the lockdown, have unparalleled importance since what is at stake is whether Americans will retain unalienable Constitutional rights – or if those rights will be exchanged for “privileges”.

Various unprecedented “pandemic measures” are being discussed and or implemented by federal, state and municipal governments. On May 1, the TRACE Act (HR 6666) was introduced, proposing \$100 BILLION in 2020 to create a “contact tracing” mega-industry – a ubiquitous, unconstitutional surveillance network – to continuously surveil without warrant, to enforce “social distancing” through citizen-policing, to enforce testing and possibly medicating against consent, and apparently to grant the “authority” to remove people from their dwellings (though as written, this last point is as of yet unclear in intent). See: bit.ly/bill6666

Combined with “lockdowns”, which are increasingly seen by Americans as clearly unfitting measures, this new legislative action is egregious and, for federal officials, you need to immediately stop this bill. For all officials: stop all anti-Constitution legislation, and align your actions to preserve all Constitutional rights and the rule of law in this country.

Will you uphold the US Constitution and support Chief Justice John Marshall’s decree that any laws in opposition to the Constitution are repugnant, and are null and void, as per the US Supreme Court case Marbury v. Madison decided on February 24, 1803?

Second, is my understanding correct, that the US Constitution is still active and valid; and that I have the ability to seek legal and lawful remedy for that which causes me harm?

I will expect a response in writing (email) with your answer within 10 days. If I do not hear back from you, or you fail to respond, it will be clear to me that you do NOT deserve my vote in the next election; and that my understanding, as stated here, is correct.

There are many fundamental questions emerging about the CV-19 pandemic situation. Much is coming to light about how mortality models were wrong; doctors saying they were asked to inaccurately state CV-19 as cause of death; studies reveal gross inaccuracies with PCR testing; whether mask-wearing helps or harms; and apparent agendas for advancing pharmaceutical/telecom interests, biometrics and the removal of basic freedoms. Many millions of Americans are becoming aware, and this isn’t a passing thing. See: bit.ly/2AoLEFn

AN UNDERSTANDING, PERTAINING TO MY RIGHTS

A. I have unalienable rights recognized in the original US Constitution that cannot be superseded by any agenda, nor be removed from me.

B. My unalienable rights are being harmed by agendas implemented by oath-sworn elected officials, corporate executives and/or non-elected officials (collectively “Agents Of Harm”).

These include, without limitation:

- i. My free rights to speak, travel, do commerce, work, assemble, and be free of surveillance without warrant.
- ii. A threat of medical procedures, testing or experimentation without my consent.
- iii. Threats of punitive detention, violence and or harm for non-compliance.

C. My unalienable rights are NOT conditional – i.e. subject to proving I am not contagious, nor submitting to surveillance, nor anything that would cause me harm – properly called “extortion”.

NOTICE OF NON CONSENT

Let it be known throughout all jurisdictions and dimensions that:

A. I do not consent to being harmed by any imposition of quarantine, or restriction on my Constitutional right to assemble, work, travel, speak or do commerce.

B. I do not consent to being told to live in fear, nor made to wear a mask, nor being mandated an allowable proximity to others, nor being made subject to “contract tracing” surveillance.

C. I do not consent to any offer of forced medical experimentation or testing; nor forced medical procedure (i.e. vaccine, or substance delivered by air, water, or other means without my knowledge and consent); nor exposure to radiation from deployments of 4G/5G/wireless infrastructure; nor being tracked by any technology or biometric device on or adjacent to my body.

D. I accept your oath of office as your sworn statement which compels you to uphold the Constitutional against all enemies, foreign and domestic; to protect my rights; and to exhibit a duty of care to respond appropriately when informed of harm taking place.

E. I reserve all my rights, nunc pro tunc, to pursue legal and lawful remedy for all harm and threats of harm, which may constitute criminal assault and or tort, caused or contributed by Agents Of Harm. I reserve the right to seek legal and lawful remedy unless and until the stated harmful actions cease and desist.

I sincerely hope that you perceive the gravity of the present situation, and that you choose to be on the right side of this matter – the side in which Constitutional rights are defended and we have a chance at life, liberty and the pursuit of happiness.

Thank you for reading this notice, sent to you in good faith, and without ill-intent.

“The law helps the vigilant, before those who sleep on their rights.”

“He that would make his own liberty secure, must guard even his enemy from oppression; for if he violates this duty, he establishes a precedent that will reach to himself.”

-Thomas Paine

Sincerely,
Gigi Ortiz
classysportchick@yahoo.com
1800 Broadway St San Francisco, CA 94115 Constituent

From: [Karin Willman](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Notice regarding harm being done to me
Date: Wednesday, May 13, 2020 6:05:11 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Re: Notice regarding harm being done to me

Dear Ms. Stefani,

The discussions about “contact tracing” surveillance, and other measures associated with the lockdown, have unparalleled importance since what is at stake is whether Americans will retain unalienable Constitutional rights – or if those rights will be exchanged for “privileges”.

Various unprecedented “pandemic measures” are being discussed and or implemented by federal, state and municipal governments. On May 1, the TRACE Act (HR 6666) was introduced, proposing \$100 BILLION in 2020 to create a “contact tracing” mega-industry – a ubiquitous, unconstitutional surveillance network – to continuously surveil without warrant, to enforce “social distancing” through citizen-policing, to enforce testing and possibly medicating against consent, and apparently to grant the “authority” to remove people from their dwellings (though as written, this last point is as of yet unclear in intent). See: bit.ly/bill6666

Combined with “lockdowns”, which are increasingly seen by Americans as clearly unfitting measures, this new legislative action is egregious and, for federal officials, you need to immediately stop this bill. For all officials: stop all anti-Constitution legislation, and align your actions to preserve all Constitutional rights and the rule of law in this country.

Will you uphold the US Constitution and support Chief Justice John Marshall’s decree that any laws in opposition to the Constitution are repugnant, and are null and void, as per the US Supreme Court case Marbury v. Madison decided on February 24, 1803?

Second, is my understanding correct, that the US Constitution is still active and valid; and that I have the ability to seek legal and lawful remedy for that which causes me harm?

I will expect a response in writing (email) with your answer within 10 days. If I do not hear back from you, or you fail to respond, it will be clear to me that you do NOT deserve my vote in the next election; and that my understanding, as stated here, is correct.

There are many fundamental questions emerging about the CV-19 pandemic situation. Much is coming to light about how mortality models were wrong; doctors saying they were asked to inaccurately state CV-19 as cause of death; studies reveal gross inaccuracies with PCR testing; whether mask-wearing helps or harms; and apparent agendas for advancing pharmaceutical/telecom interests, biometrics and the removal of basic freedoms. Many millions of Americans are becoming aware, and this isn’t a passing thing. See: bit.ly/2AoLEFn

AN UNDERSTANDING, PERTAINING TO MY RIGHTS

A. I have unalienable rights recognized in the original US Constitution that cannot be superseded by any agenda, nor be removed from me.

B. My unalienable rights are being harmed by agendas implemented by oath-sworn elected officials, corporate executives and/or non-elected officials (collectively “Agents Of Harm”).

These include, without limitation:

- i. My free rights to speak, travel, do commerce, work, assemble, and be free of surveillance without warrant.
- ii. A threat of medical procedures, testing or experimentation without my consent.
- iii. Threats of punitive detention, violence and or harm for non-compliance.

C. My unalienable rights are NOT conditional – i.e. subject to proving I am not contagious, nor submitting to surveillance, nor anything that would cause me harm – properly called “extortion”.

NOTICE OF NON CONSENT

Let it be known throughout all jurisdictions and dimensions that:

A. I do not consent to being harmed by any imposition of quarantine, or restriction on my Constitutional right to assemble, work, travel, speak or do commerce.

B. I do not consent to being told to live in fear, nor made to wear a mask, nor being mandated an allowable proximity to others, nor being made subject to “contract tracing” surveillance.

C. I do not consent to any offer of forced medical experimentation or testing; nor forced medical procedure (i.e. vaccine, or substance delivered by air, water, or other means without my knowledge and consent); nor exposure to radiation from deployments of 4G/5G/wireless infrastructure; nor being tracked by any technology or biometric device on or adjacent to my body.

D. I accept your oath of office as your sworn statement which compels you to uphold the Constitutional against all enemies, foreign and domestic; to protect my rights; and to exhibit a duty of care to respond appropriately when informed of harm taking place.

E. I reserve all my rights, nunc pro tunc, to pursue legal and lawful remedy for all harm and threats of harm, which may constitute criminal assault and or tort, caused or contributed by Agents Of Harm. I reserve the right to seek legal and lawful remedy unless and until the stated harmful actions cease and desist.

I sincerely hope that you perceive the gravity of the present situation, and that you choose to be on the right side of this matter – the side in which Constitutional rights are defended and we have a chance at life, liberty and the pursuit of happiness.

Thank you for reading this notice, sent to you in good faith, and without ill-intent.

“The law helps the vigilant, before those who sleep on their rights.”

“He that would make his own liberty secure, must guard even his enemy from oppression; for if he violates this duty, he establishes a precedent that will reach to himself.”

-Thomas Paine

Sincerely,
Karin Willman
karin.willman@gmail.com
3944 Cabrillo St. San Francisco, CA 94121 Constituent

From: [Francis Berry](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Notice regarding harm being done to me
Date: Wednesday, May 13, 2020 10:26:19 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Re: Notice regarding harm being done to me

Dear Ms. Stefani,

The discussions about “contact tracing” surveillance, and other measures associated with the lockdown, have unparalleled importance since what is at stake is whether Americans will retain unalienable Constitutional rights – or if those rights will be exchanged for “privileges”.

Various unprecedented “pandemic measures” are being discussed and or implemented by federal, state and municipal governments. On May 1, the TRACE Act (HR 6666) was introduced, proposing \$100 BILLION in 2020 to create a “contact tracing” mega-industry – a ubiquitous, unconstitutional surveillance network – to continuously surveil without warrant, to enforce “social distancing” through citizen-policing, to enforce testing and possibly medicating against consent, and apparently to grant the “authority” to remove people from their dwellings (though as written, this last point is as of yet unclear in intent). See: bit.ly/bill6666

Combined with “lockdowns”, which are increasingly seen by Americans as clearly unfitting measures, this new legislative action is egregious and, for federal officials, you need to immediately stop this bill. For all officials: stop all anti-Constitution legislation, and align your actions to preserve all Constitutional rights and the rule of law in this country.

Will you uphold the US Constitution and support Chief Justice John Marshall’s decree that any laws in opposition to the Constitution are repugnant, and are null and void, as per the US Supreme Court case Marbury v. Madison decided on February 24, 1803?

Second, is my understanding correct, that the US Constitution is still active and valid; and that I have the ability to seek legal and lawful remedy for that which causes me harm?

I will expect a response in writing (email) with your answer within 10 days. If I do not hear back from you, or you fail to respond, it will be clear to me that you do NOT deserve my vote in the next election; and that my understanding, as stated here, is correct.

There are many fundamental questions emerging about the CV-19 pandemic situation. Much is coming to light about how mortality models were wrong; doctors saying they were asked to inaccurately state CV-19 as cause of death; studies reveal gross inaccuracies with PCR testing; whether mask-wearing helps or harms; and apparent agendas for advancing pharmaceutical/telecom interests, biometrics and the removal of basic freedoms. Many millions of Americans are becoming aware, and this isn’t a passing thing. See: bit.ly/2AoLEFn

AN UNDERSTANDING, PERTAINING TO MY RIGHTS

A. I have unalienable rights recognized in the original US Constitution that cannot be superseded by any agenda, nor be removed from me.

B. My unalienable rights are being harmed by agendas implemented by oath-sworn elected officials, corporate executives and/or non-elected officials (collectively “Agents Of Harm”). These include, without limitation:

- i. My free rights to speak, travel, do commerce, work, assemble, and be free of surveillance without warrant.
- ii. A threat of medical procedures, testing or experimentation without my consent.
- iii. Threats of punitive detention, violence and or harm for non-compliance.

C. My unalienable rights are NOT conditional – i.e. subject to proving I am not contagious, nor submitting to surveillance, nor anything that would cause me harm – properly called “extortion”.

NOTICE OF NON CONSENT

Let it be known throughout all jurisdictions and dimensions that:

A. I do not consent to being harmed by any imposition of quarantine, or restriction on my Constitutional right to assemble, work, travel, speak or do commerce.

B. I do not consent to being told to live in fear, nor made to wear a mask, nor being mandated an allowable proximity to others, nor being made subject to “contract tracing” surveillance.

C. I do not consent to any offer of forced medical experimentation or testing; nor forced medical procedure (i.e. vaccine, or substance delivered by air, water, or other means without my knowledge and consent); nor exposure to radiation from deployments of 4G/5G/wireless infrastructure; nor being tracked by any technology or biometric device on or adjacent to my body.

D. I accept your oath of office as your sworn statement which compels you to uphold the Constitution against all enemies, foreign and domestic; to protect my rights; and to exhibit a duty of care to respond appropriately when informed of harm taking place.

E. I reserve all my rights, nunc pro tunc, to pursue legal and lawful remedy for all harm and threats of harm, which may constitute criminal assault and or tort, caused or contributed by Agents Of Harm. I reserve the right to seek legal and lawful remedy unless and until the stated harmful actions cease and desist.

I do trust that you perceive the gravity of the present situation, and that you choose to be on the right side of this matter – the side in which Constitutional rights are defended and we have a chance at life, liberty and the pursuit of happiness.

Thank you for reading this notice, sent to you in good faith, and without ill-intent.

“The law helps the vigilant, before those who sleep on their rights.”

“He that would make his own liberty secure, must guard even his enemy from oppression; for if he violates this duty, he establishes a precedent that will reach to himself.”

-Thomas Paine

Respectfully,

Francis Berry

Sincerely,

Francis Berry

francis@francisberry.com

1114 Sutter St San Francisco, CA 94109 Constituent

From: [James Love](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NOTICE regarding HR 6666 (TRACE Act) and other measures causing harm
Date: Monday, May 11, 2020 5:19:05 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Re: NOTICE regarding HR 6666 (TRACE Act) and other measures causing harm

Dear Ms. Stefani,

Below are critically important questions, evidence, facts, and my NOTICE OF TERMS to you in your public and private capacities.

Transmission of this notice has been electronically recorded. Thank you for reading this notice, sent to you in good faith, and without ill-intent. Please respond ASAP.

In this current crisis, measures have been undertaken which are harming me against my consent. Further malicious actions are being threatened against me, and against the rule of law itself.

A central example is the TRACE Act (HR 6666), proposing \$100 BILLION in 2020 to create an industry of “contact tracers” – a ubiquitous, fascist surveillance network – to enforce testing and apparently to grant the “authority” to remove people from their dwellings. See: bit.ly/bill6666

This action is egregious and, for federal officials, I ask you to immediately stop this bill. For all officials: stop all anti-Constitution legislation, and pass laws preserve all Constitutional rights and the rule of law in this country.

PLAIN STATEMENT OF FACTS

A. I have unalienable rights recognized in the original U.S. Constitution that cannot be superseded by any agenda, nor be removed from me. I understand these laws of the land to be active and currently valid. If this is not the case, please send verification in writing within 72 hours.

B. My unalienable rights are being harmed by agendas implemented by oath-sworn elected officials, corporate executives and/or non-elected officials (collectively “Agents Of Harm”). These include, without limitation:

- i. My free rights to speak, travel, do commerce, work, assemble, and be free of surveillance without warrant.
- ii. A threat of medical procedures or experimentation without my consent.
- iii. Threats of punitive detention, violence and or assault for non-compliance.

C. My unalienable rights are NOT conditional – i.e. subject to proving I am not contagious, nor submitting to surveillance, nor anything that would cause me harm – properly called “extortion”.

NOTICE OF TERMS

Effective immediately, and until each of the below questions are answered to my satisfaction, LET IT BE KNOWN throughout all jurisdictions and dimensions that:

A. I DO NOT CONSENT to being harmed by any imposition of quarantine, or restriction on my right to assemble, work, travel, speak or do commerce.

B. I DO NOT CONSENT to being told to live in fear, nor made to wear a mask, nor being mandated an allowable proximity to others.

C. I DO NOT CONSENT to any offer of forced medical experimentation or testing; nor forced medical procedure (i.e. vaccine, or substance delivered by air, water, or other means without my knowledge and consent); nor exposure to radiation from 4G/5G/wireless deployments; nor being tracked by any technology or biometric device on or adjacent to my body.

D. I HEREBY ACCEPT YOUR OATH OF OFFICE as your sworn statement that binds you to uphold my Constitutional rights, protect me from harm once noticed, and to liability when acting with negligence or without duty of care.

E. I RESERVE ALL OF MY RIGHTS, nunc pro tunc, to pursue remedy for ALL harm AND THREATS OF HARM, which may constitute CRIMINAL ASSAULT, and or tort, caused or contributed by Agents Of Harm. I intend to seek remedy and lawful justice unless and until the stated harmful actions cease and desist.

If you do not agree with my lawful ability to state and realize these claims and terms, please make your case in writing within 72 hours. If I receive no response from you, or if you offer any response that violates the supremacy of the original U.S. Constitution and you do not disprove my claim that the U.S. Constitution is active and valid, I will deem you to be in agreement and will proceed accordingly.

QUESTIONS AND EVIDENCE

1. Why are doctors asked to RECORD ANY CAUSE OF DEATH AS CV-19 EVEN IN THE ABSENSE OF CONFIRMATORY TESTING? bit.ly/2yfAJgc
2. Why are hospitals paid \$13,000 for EACH claimed CV-19 patient and \$39,000 for EACH ventilator request? bit.ly/2wFxH4i
3. Why are testing methods being used which DO NOT confirm CV-19, and which the test inventor said should NOT be used to identify a specific disease? bit.ly/2LfTdAw
4. Why are goats and even fruit apparently testing “positive” for CV-19? bit.ly/35Skx1
5. Why is the media suppressing a study showing CV-19 may be up to 50-85x LESS fatal than was thought? bit.ly/3cxTyd5
6. Why are hospitals empty if CV-19 is a legitimate pandemic? bit.ly/3cn11
7. Why do you not tell us social distancing costs us \$2 trillion dollars, contributes to depression and suicide, and will CAUSE the “second wave”? bit.ly/3ezOdno

8. Why is 5G being fast-tracked in our cities and schools during lockdown? bit.ly/34Jr9hN
9. Why are the economy, jobs, and freedoms being destroyed, allegedly to save people, when alcohol, cigarettes and junk food kill 21 MILLION people/year AND ARE STILL ALLOWED? bit.ly/3dt31
10. Why is Bill Gates, who is neither an elected official, nor a medical professional, saying vaccines are the “final solution” when vaccines can reduce immunity, increase disease, and harm or kill us? bit.ly/3clw1fG
11. Why did Bill Gates say, "eventually, we will have some digital certificates to show who has recovered or been tested recently or when we have a vaccine who has received it"? bit.ly/3fBzw
12. Why did Bill Gates say, "we're taking genetically-modified organisms and we're injecting them into a little kid's arm — just shoot 'em right in the vein"? bit.ly/3dwO1
13. Why does Microsoft own a patent (#060606, Mar 2020) for a cryptocurrency system using microchipped humans? bit.ly/3fyQ71
14. Why are doctors CENSORED for reporting that their CV-19 patients recovered from vitamin C? bit.ly/2xHpGfD
15. Why are YouTube and Google allowed to censor videos from hundreds of CREDIBLE EXPERTS, expressing grave concerns? See what's been censored: bit.ly/2zsH1 & bit.ly/34DiM79
16. Why has mask-wearing been forced when the Surgeon General, the WHO and even Dr. Fauci say to not wear them? bit.ly/3ckVt & bit.ly/3dw81
17. Why do gov't and Hollywood co-fabricate stories intended control our thoughts and behaviors? bit.ly/2RDSBbq
18. With this evidence of how we are being gravely misled, CAN YOU PLEASE RESPOND WITH VALID, JUSTIFIABLE REASONS WHY I SHOULD SURRENDER ANY OF MY UNALIENABLE CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS?

Sincerely,
James Love
jamesloveishere@gmail.com
345 Berry Street San Francisco, CA 94158 Constituent

From: [Claire Lacy-Thompson](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Notice regarding the Constitution and harm to my rights
Date: Thursday, May 14, 2020 11:33:58 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Re: Notice regarding the Constitution and harm to my rights

Dear Ms. Stefani,

The discussions about unlawful quarantining, “contact tracing” surveillance, “social distancing” and other measures associated with the lockdown, have unparalleled importance since what is at stake is whether Americans will retain unalienable Constitutional rights – or if those rights will be exchanged for “privileges”.

Various unprecedented “pandemic” measures are being discussed and or implemented by federal, state and municipal governments. The “lockdowns” are increasingly seen by Americans as clearly unfitting and causing untold harm – and must be ended immediately.

And on May 1, the TRACE Act (HR 6666) was introduced, proposing \$100 BILLION in 2020 to create a “contact tracing” mega-industry – a ubiquitous, unconstitutional surveillance network – to continuously surveil without warrant, to enforce “social distancing” through citizen-policing, to enforce testing and possibly even medicating/vaccinating against consent, and apparently to grant the “authority” to remove people from their dwellings (though as written, this last point is as of yet unclear in intent). See: bit.ly/bill6666

These actions are EGREGIOUS and, for federal officials, you need to immediately stop this bill. For all officials: stop all anti-Constitution legislation, and align your actions to preserve all Constitutional rights and the rule of law in this country.

Will you uphold the US Constitution and support Chief Justice John Marshall’s decree that any laws in opposition to the Constitution are repugnant, and are null and void, as per the US Supreme Court case *Marbury v. Madison* decided on February 24, 1803?

Second, is my understanding correct, that the US Constitution is still active and valid; and that I have the ability to seek legal and lawful remedy for that which causes me harm?

I will expect a response in writing (email) with your answer within 10 days. If I do not hear back from you, or you fail to respond, it will be clear to me that you do NOT deserve my vote in the next election; and that my understanding, as stated here, is correct.

There are many fundamental questions emerging about the CV-19 pandemic situation. Much is coming to light about how mortality models were wrong; doctors saying they were asked to inaccurately state CV-19 as cause of death; studies reveal gross inaccuracies with PCR testing; whether mask-wearing helps or harms; and apparent agendas for advancing pharmaceutical/telecom interests, biometrics and the removal of basic freedoms. Many millions of Americans are becoming aware, and this isn’t a passing thing. See: bit.ly/2AoLEFn

AN UNDERSTANDING, PERTAINING TO MY RIGHTS

A. I have unalienable rights recognized in the original US Constitution that cannot be superseded by any agenda, nor be removed from me.

B. My unalienable rights are being harmed by agendas implemented by oath-sworn elected officials, corporate executives and or non-elected officials (collectively “Agents Of Harm”).

These rights include, without limitation:

i. My rights to travel, do commerce, work, engage in outdoor activity, freely speak, and freely assemble at ANY proximity and in ANY number that I and other men and or women deem appropriate;

ii. My right to be free of "contact tracing" and all other warrantless surveillance:

iii. My right to be free of:

(a) any and all extortive measures, such as suffering surveillance, medical procedures, testing, and or experimentation in order be "allowed" to have certain rights;

(b) threats of punitive detention, violence, restriction any of my rights, and or harm for non-compliance.

C. My unalienable rights are NOT conditional – e.g. subject to proving I am not contagious, proving I am vaccinated, accepting a vaccination, being surveiled in any way, and or submitting to anything that would cause me harm. Such an arrangement is properly termed “extortion”.

NOTICE OF NON CONSENT

Let it be known throughout all jurisdictions and dimensions that:

A. I do not consent to being harmed by any imposition of quarantine, or restriction on my Constitutional right to assemble, work, travel, speak or do commerce.

B. I do not consent to being told to live in fear, nor made to wear a mask, nor being mandated an allowable proximity to others, nor being made subject to “contract tracing” surveillance, nor to any such "new normal" measures which violates any of my Constitutional rights.

C. I do not consent to any offer of forced medical experimentation or testing; nor forced medical procedure (i.e. vaccine, or substance delivered by air, water, or other means without my knowledge and consent); nor exposure to radiation from deployments of 4G/5G/wireless infrastructure; nor being tracked by any technology or biometric device on or adjacent to my body.

D. I accept your oath of office as your sworn statement which compels you to uphold the Constitutional against all enemies, foreign and domestic; to protect my rights; and to exhibit a duty of care to respond appropriately when informed of harm taking place.

E. I reserve all my rights, nunc pro tunc, to pursue legal and lawful remedy for all harm and threats of harm, which may constitute criminal assault and or tort, caused or contributed by Agents Of Harm. I reserve the right to seek legal and lawful remedy unless and until the stated harmful actions cease and desist.

I sincerely hope that you perceive the gravity of the present situation, and that you choose to be on the right side of this matter – the side in which Constitutional rights are defended and we

have a chance at life, liberty and the pursuit of happiness.

Thank you for reading this notice, sent to you in good faith, and without ill-intent.

“The law helps the vigilant, before those who sleep on their rights.”

“He that would make his own liberty secure, must guard even his enemy from oppression; for if he violates this duty, he establishes a precedent that will reach to himself.”

-Thomas Paine

Sincerely,

Claire Lacy-Thompson

cclacyth@gmail.com

2602 Sacramento St., #1A San Francisco, CA 94115 Constituent

From: [Sandra Leiva](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: PLEASE READ (Notice)
Date: Tuesday, May 12, 2020 1:00:18 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Re: PLEASE READ (Notice)

Dear Ms. Stefani,

Below are critically important questions, evidence, facts, and my NOTICE OF TERMS to you in your public and private capacities.

Transmission of this notice has been electronically recorded. Thank you for reading this notice, sent to you in good faith, and without ill-intent. Please respond ASAP.

In this current crisis, measures have been undertaken which are harming me against my consent. Further malicious actions are being threatened against me, and against the rule of law itself.

A central example is the TRACE Act (HR 6666), proposing \$100 BILLION in 2020 to create an industry of “contact tracers” – a ubiquitous, fascist surveillance network – to enforce testing and apparently to grant the “authority” to remove people from their dwellings. See: bit.ly/bill6666

This action is egregious and, for federal officials, I ask you to immediately stop this bill. For all officials: stop all anti-Constitution legislation, and pass laws preserve all Constitutional rights and the rule of law in this country.

PLAIN STATEMENT OF FACTS

A. I have unalienable rights recognized in the original U.S. Constitution that cannot be superseded by any agenda, nor be removed from me. I understand these laws of the land to be active and currently valid. If this is not the case, please send verification in writing within 72 hours.

B. My unalienable rights are being harmed by agendas implemented by oath-sworn elected officials, corporate executives and/or non-elected officials (collectively “Agents Of Harm”). These include, without limitation:

- i. My free rights to speak, travel, do commerce, work, assemble, and be free of surveillance without warrant.
- ii. A threat of medical procedures or experimentation without my consent.
- iii. Threats of punitive detention, violence and or assault for non-compliance.

C. My unalienable rights are NOT conditional – i.e. subject to proving I am not contagious, nor submitting to surveillance, nor anything that would cause me harm – properly called “extortion”.

NOTICE OF TERMS

Effective immediately, and until each of the below questions are answered to my satisfaction, LET IT BE KNOWN throughout all jurisdictions and dimensions that:

A. I DO NOT CONSENT to being harmed by any imposition of quarantine, or restriction on my right to assemble, work, travel, speak or do commerce.

B. I DO NOT CONSENT to being told to live in fear, nor made to wear a mask, nor being mandated an allowable proximity to others.

C. I DO NOT CONSENT to any offer of forced medical experimentation or testing; nor forced medical procedure (i.e. vaccine, or substance delivered by air, water, or other means without my knowledge and consent); nor exposure to radiation from 4G/5G/wireless deployments; nor being tracked by any technology or biometric device on or adjacent to my body.

D. I HEREBY ACCEPT YOUR OATH OF OFFICE as your sworn statement that binds you to uphold my Constitutional rights, protect me from harm once noticed, and to liability when acting with negligence or without duty of care.

E. I RESERVE ALL OF MY RIGHTS, nunc pro tunc, to pursue remedy for ALL harm AND THREATS OF HARM, which may constitute CRIMINAL ASSAULT, and or tort, caused or contributed by Agents Of Harm. I intend to seek remedy and lawful justice unless and until the stated harmful actions cease and desist.

If you do not agree with my lawful ability to state and realize these claims and terms, please make your case in writing within 72 hours. If I receive no response from you, or if you offer any response that violates the supremacy of the original U.S. Constitution and you do not disprove my claim that the U.S. Constitution is active and valid, I will deem you to be in agreement and will proceed accordingly.

QUESTIONS AND EVIDENCE

1. Why are doctors asked to RECORD ANY CAUSE OF DEATH AS CV-19 EVEN IN THE ABSENSE OF CONFIRMATORY TESTING? bit.ly/2yfAJgc
2. Why are hospitals paid \$13,000 for EACH claimed CV-19 patient and \$39,000 for EACH ventilator request? bit.ly/2wFxH4i
3. Why are testing methods being used which DO NOT confirm CV-19, and which the test inventor said should NOT be used to identify a specific disease? bit.ly/2LfTdAw
4. Why are goats and even fruit apparently testing “positive” for CV-19? bit.ly/35Skx1
5. Why is the media suppressing a study showing CV-19 may be up to 50-85x LESS fatal than was thought? bit.ly/3cxTyd5
6. Why are hospitals empty if CV-19 is a legitimate pandemic? bit.ly/3cn11
7. Why do you not tell us social distancing costs us \$2 trillion dollars, contributes to depression and suicide, and will CAUSE the “second wave”? bit.ly/3ezOdno

8. Why is 5G being fast-tracked in our cities and schools during lockdown? bit.ly/34Jr9hN
9. Why are the economy, jobs, and freedoms being destroyed, allegedly to save people, when alcohol, cigarettes and junk food kill 21 MILLION people/year AND ARE STILL ALLOWED? bit.ly/3dt31
10. Why is Bill Gates, who is neither an elected official, nor a medical professional, saying vaccines are the “final solution” when vaccines can reduce immunity, increase disease, and harm or kill us? bit.ly/3clw1fG
11. Why did Bill Gates say, "eventually, we will have some digital certificates to show who has recovered or been tested recently or when we have a vaccine who has received it"? bit.ly/3fBzw
12. Why did Bill Gates say, "we're taking genetically-modified organisms and we're injecting them into a little kid's arm — just shoot 'em right in the vein"? bit.ly/3dwO1
13. Why does Microsoft own a patent (#060606, Mar 2020) for a cryptocurrency system using microchipped humans? bit.ly/3fyQ71
14. Why are doctors CENSORED for reporting that their CV-19 patients recovered from vitamin C? bit.ly/2xHpGfD
15. Why are YouTube and Google allowed to censor videos from hundreds of CREDIBLE EXPERTS, expressing grave concerns? See what's been censored: bit.ly/2zsH1 & bit.ly/34DiM79
16. Why has mask-wearing been forced when the Surgeon General, the WHO and even Dr. Fauci say to not wear them? bit.ly/3ckVt & bit.ly/3dw81
17. Why does gov't and Hollywood co-fabricate stories intended to control our thoughts and behaviors? bit.ly/2RDSBbq
18. With this evidence of how we are being gravely misled, CAN YOU PLEASE RESPOND WITH VALID, JUSTIFIABLE REASONS WHY I SHOULD SURRENDER ANY OF MY UNALIENABLE CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS?

Sincerely,
Ssndra leiva
sandrleiva7@gmail.com
777 Bay St. Apt. 1 San Francisco, CA 94109 Constituent

From: [Isabel Bautista](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: PLEASE READ (Notice)
Date: Monday, May 11, 2020 3:10:06 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Re: PLEASE READ (Notice)

Dear Ms. Stefani,

Below are critically important questions, evidence, facts, and my NOTICE OF TERMS to you in your public and private capacities.

Transmission of this notice has been electronically recorded. Thank you for reading this notice, sent to you in good faith, and without ill-intent. Please respond ASAP.

In this current crisis, measures have been undertaken which are harming me against my consent. Further malicious actions are being threatened against me, and against the rule of law itself.

A central example is the TRACE Act (HR 6666), proposing \$100 BILLION in 2020 to create an industry of “contact tracers” – a ubiquitous, fascist surveillance network – to enforce testing and apparently to grant the “authority” to remove people from their dwellings. See: bit.ly/bill6666

This action is egregious and, for federal officials, I ask you to immediately stop this bill. For all officials: stop all anti-Constitution legislation, and pass laws preserve all Constitutional rights and the rule of law in this country.

PLAIN STATEMENT OF FACTS

A. I have unalienable rights recognized in the original U.S. Constitution that cannot be superseded by any agenda, nor be removed from me. I understand these laws of the land to be active and currently valid. If this is not the case, please send verification in writing within 72 hours.

B. My unalienable rights are being harmed by agendas implemented by oath-sworn elected officials, corporate executives and/or non-elected officials (collectively “Agents Of Harm”). These include, without limitation:

- i. My free rights to speak, travel, do commerce, work, assemble, and be free of surveillance without warrant.
- ii. A threat of medical procedures or experimentation without my consent.
- iii. Threats of punitive detention, violence and or assault for non-compliance.

C. My unalienable rights are NOT conditional – i.e. subject to proving I am not contagious, nor submitting to surveillance, nor anything that would cause me harm – properly called “extortion”.

NOTICE OF TERMS

Effective immediately, and until each of the below questions are answered to my satisfaction, LET IT BE KNOWN throughout all jurisdictions and dimensions that:

A. I DO NOT CONSENT to being harmed by any imposition of quarantine, or restriction on my right to assemble, work, travel, speak or do commerce.

B. I DO NOT CONSENT to being told to live in fear, nor made to wear a mask, nor being mandated an allowable proximity to others.

C. I DO NOT CONSENT to any offer of forced medical experimentation or testing; nor forced medical procedure (i.e. vaccine, or substance delivered by air, water, or other means without my knowledge and consent); nor exposure to radiation from 4G/5G/wireless deployments; nor being tracked by any technology or biometric device on or adjacent to my body.

D. I HEREBY ACCEPT YOUR OATH OF OFFICE as your sworn statement that binds you to uphold my Constitutional rights, protect me from harm once noticed, and to liability when acting with negligence or without duty of care.

E. I RESERVE ALL OF MY RIGHTS, nunc pro tunc, to pursue remedy for ALL harm AND THREATS OF HARM, which may constitute CRIMINAL ASSAULT, and or tort, caused or contributed by Agents Of Harm. I intend to seek remedy and lawful justice unless and until the stated harmful actions cease and desist.

If you do not agree with my lawful ability to state and realize these claims and terms, please make your case in writing within 72 hours. If I receive no response from you, or if you offer any response that violates the supremacy of the original U.S. Constitution and you do not disprove my claim that the U.S. Constitution is active and valid, I will deem you to be in agreement and will proceed accordingly.

QUESTIONS AND EVIDENCE

1. Why are doctors asked to RECORD ANY CAUSE OF DEATH AS CV-19 EVEN IN THE ABSENSE OF CONFIRMATORY TESTING? bit.ly/2yfAJgc
2. Why are hospitals paid \$13,000 for EACH claimed CV-19 patient and \$39,000 for EACH ventilator request? bit.ly/2wFxH4i
3. Why are testing methods being used which DO NOT confirm CV-19, and which the test inventor said should NOT be used to identify a specific disease? bit.ly/2LfTdAw
4. Why are goats and even fruit apparently testing “positive” for CV-19? bit.ly/35Skx1
5. Why is the media suppressing a study showing CV-19 may be up to 50-85x LESS fatal than was thought? bit.ly/3cxTyd5
6. Why are hospitals empty if CV-19 is a legitimate pandemic? bit.ly/3cn11
7. Why do you not tell us social distancing costs us \$2 trillion dollars, contributes to depression and suicide, and will CAUSE the “second wave”? bit.ly/3ezOdno

8. Why is 5G being fast-tracked in our cities and schools during lockdown? bit.ly/34Jr9hN
9. Why are the economy, jobs, and freedoms being destroyed, allegedly to save people, when alcohol, cigarettes and junk food kill 21 MILLION people/year AND ARE STILL ALLOWED? bit.ly/3dt31
10. Why is Bill Gates, who is neither an elected official, nor a medical professional, saying vaccines are the “final solution” when vaccines can reduce immunity, increase disease, and harm or kill us? bit.ly/3clw1fG
11. Why did Bill Gates say, "eventually, we will have some digital certificates to show who has recovered or been tested recently or when we have a vaccine who has received it"? bit.ly/3fBzw
12. Why did Bill Gates say, "we're taking genetically-modified organisms and we're injecting them into a little kid's arm — just shoot 'em right in the vein"? bit.ly/3dwO1
13. Why does Microsoft own a patent (#060606, Mar 2020) for a cryptocurrency system using microchipped humans? bit.ly/3fyQ71
14. Why are doctors CENSORED for reporting that their CV-19 patients recovered from vitamin C? bit.ly/2xHpGfD
15. Why are YouTube and Google allowed to censor videos from hundreds of CREDIBLE EXPERTS, expressing grave concerns? See what's been censored: bit.ly/2zsH1 & bit.ly/34DiM79
16. Why has mask-wearing been forced when the Surgeon General, the WHO and even Dr. Fauci say to not wear them? bit.ly/3ckVt & bit.ly/3dw81
17. Why do gov't and Hollywood co-fabricate stories intended control our thoughts and behaviors? bit.ly/2RDSBbq
18. With this evidence of how we are being gravely misled, CAN YOU PLEASE RESPOND WITH VALID, JUSTIFIABLE REASONS WHY I SHOULD SURRENDER ANY OF MY UNALIENABLE CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS?

Sincerely,

Isabel Bautista

isbamo@hotmail.com

615 John Muir Dr Apt 414 San Francisco, CA 94132-1070 Constituent

From: [Gilberto Coote](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: PLEASE READ (Notice)
Date: Wednesday, May 13, 2020 7:33:18 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Re: PLEASE READ (Notice)

Dear Ms. Stefani,

The discussions about “contact tracing” surveillance, and other measures associated with the lockdown, have unparalleled importance since what is at stake is whether Americans will retain unalienable Constitutional rights – or if those rights will be exchanged for “privileges”.

Various unprecedented “pandemic measures” are being discussed and or implemented by federal, state and municipal governments. On May 1, the TRACE Act (HR 6666) was introduced, proposing \$100 BILLION in 2020 to create a “contact tracing” mega-industry – a ubiquitous, unconstitutional surveillance network – to continuously surveil without warrant, to enforce “social distancing” through citizen-policing, to enforce testing and possibly medicating against consent, and apparently to grant the “authority” to remove people from their dwellings (though as written, this last point is as of yet unclear in intent). See: bit.ly/bill6666

Combined with “lockdowns”, which are increasingly seen by Americans as clearly unfitting measures, this new legislative action is egregious and, for federal officials, you need to immediately stop this bill. For all officials: stop all anti-Constitution legislation, and align your actions to preserve all Constitutional rights and the rule of law in this country.

Will you uphold the US Constitution and support Chief Justice John Marshall’s decree that any laws in opposition to the Constitution are repugnant, and are null and void, as per the US Supreme Court case Marbury v. Madison decided on February 24, 1803?

Second, is my understanding correct, that the US Constitution is still active and valid; and that I have the ability to seek legal and lawful remedy for that which causes me harm?

I will expect a response in writing (email) with your answer within 10 days. If I do not hear back from you, or you fail to respond, it will be clear to me that you do NOT deserve my vote in the next election; and that my understanding, as stated here, is correct.

There are many fundamental questions emerging about the CV-19 pandemic situation. Much is coming to light about how mortality models were wrong; doctors saying they were asked to inaccurately state CV-19 as cause of death; studies reveal gross inaccuracies with PCR testing; whether mask-wearing helps or harms; and apparent agendas for advancing pharmaceutical/telecom interests, biometrics and the removal of basic freedoms. Many millions of Americans are becoming aware, and this isn’t a passing thing. See: bit.ly/2AoLEFn

AN UNDERSTANDING, PERTAINING TO MY RIGHTS

A. I have unalienable rights recognized in the original US Constitution that cannot be superseded by any agenda, nor be removed from me.

B. My unalienable rights are being harmed by agendas implemented by oath-sworn elected officials, corporate executives and/or non-elected officials (collectively “Agents Of Harm”). These include, without limitation:

- i. My free rights to speak, travel, do commerce, work, assemble, and be free of surveillance without warrant.
- ii. A threat of medical procedures, testing or experimentation without my consent.
- iii. Threats of punitive detention, violence and or harm for non-compliance.

C. My unalienable rights are NOT conditional – i.e. subject to proving I am not contagious, nor submitting to surveillance, nor anything that would cause me harm – properly called “extortion”.

NOTICE OF NON CONSENT

Let it be known throughout all jurisdictions and dimensions that:

A. I do not consent to being harmed by any imposition of quarantine, or restriction on my Constitutional right to assemble, work, travel, speak or do commerce.

B. I do not consent to being told to live in fear, nor made to wear a mask, nor being mandated an allowable proximity to others, nor being made subject to “contract tracing” surveillance.

C. I do not consent to any offer of forced medical experimentation or testing; nor forced medical procedure (i.e. vaccine, or substance delivered by air, water, or other means without my knowledge and consent); nor exposure to radiation from deployments of 4G/5G/wireless infrastructure; nor being tracked by any technology or biometric device on or adjacent to my body.

D. I accept your oath of office as your sworn statement which compels you to uphold the Constitutional against all enemies, foreign and domestic; to protect my rights; and to exhibit a duty of care to respond appropriately when informed of harm taking place.

E. I reserve all my rights, nunc pro tunc, to pursue legal and lawful remedy for all harm and threats of harm, which may constitute criminal assault and or tort, caused or contributed by Agents Of Harm. I reserve the right to seek legal and lawful remedy unless and until the stated harmful actions cease and desist.

I sincerely hope that you perceive the gravity of the present situation, and that you choose to be on the right side of this matter – the side in which Constitutional rights are defended and we have a chance at life, liberty and the pursuit of happiness.

Thank you for reading this notice, sent to you in good faith, and without ill-intent.

“The law helps the vigilant, before those who sleep on their rights.”

“He that would make his own liberty secure, must guard even his enemy from oppression; for if he violates this duty, he establishes a precedent that will reach to himself.”

-Thomas Paine

Sincerely,
Gilberto Coote
gilbertocoote@comcast.net
1341 valencia street #32 San Francisco, CA 94110 Constituent

From: [William Tognotti](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: PLEASE READ: Notice of Non-Consent to HR 6666 and Urgent Request to My Government Representatives
Date: Wednesday, May 13, 2020 11:05:20 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Re: PLEASE READ: Notice of Non-Consent to HR 6666 and Urgent Request to My Government Representatives

Dear Ms. Stefani,

Discussions concerning “contact tracing” surveillance, and other measures associated with the lockdown, have great importance since unalienable Constitutional rights are at stake – these rights should not be exchanged for “privileges”.

I am concerned that unprecedented “pandemic measures” are being discussed and/or implemented by federal, state and municipal governments.

On May 1, the TRACE Act (HR 6666) was introduced, proposing \$100 billion in 2020 to create a “contact tracing” mega-industry – a ubiquitous, unconstitutional surveillance network – to continuously surveil without warrant, to enforce “social distancing” through citizen-policing, to enforce testing and possibly medicating against consent, and apparently to grant the “authority” to remove people from their dwellings (though as written, this last point is as of yet unclear in intent). See: bit.ly/bill6666

Combined with “lockdowns”, which are increasingly seen by Americans as clearly unfitting measures, this new legislative action is unacceptable. Federal officials need to stop this bill immediately. All officials need to stop all anti-Constitution legislation, and align your actions to preserve all Constitutional rights and the rule of law in this country.

Will you uphold the US Constitution and support Chief Justice John Marshall’s decree that any laws in opposition to the Constitution are repugnant, and are null and void, as per the US Supreme Court case *Marbury v. Madison* decided on February 24, 1803?

Is my understanding correct that the US Constitution is still active and valid, and that I have the ability to seek legal and lawful remedy for that which causes me harm or violates my Constitutional rights?

I will expect a response in writing (email) with your answer within 10 days. If you fail to respond to me or to make a clear public pronouncement on these matters, you will lose my support in the next election.

There are many questions emerging about the CV-19 pandemic situation. Much is coming to light about how mortality models were wrong and how doctors were asked to inaccurately state CV-19 as cause of death. Studies are revealing gross inaccuracies with PCR testing, lack of clarity whether mask-wearing helps or harms. Agendas are in play for advancing pharmaceutical/telecom interests, biometrics and the removal of basic freedoms. Many millions of Americans are becoming aware of these matters and distrustful of the narrative

being propagated in the media. See: bit.ly/2AoLEFn

AN UNDERSTANDING PERTAINING TO MY RIGHTS

A. I have unalienable rights recognized in the US Constitution that cannot be superseded by any agenda, nor be removed from me.

B. My unalienable rights are being harmed by agendas implemented by oath-sworn elected officials, corporate executives and/or non-elected officials (collectively “Agents Of Harm”). These include, without limitation:

- i. My free rights to speak, travel, do commerce, work, assemble, and be free of surveillance without warrant.
- ii. A threat of medical procedures, testing or experimentation without my consent.
- iii. Threats of punitive detention, violence and or harm for non-compliance.

C. My unalienable rights are not conditional – i.e. they are not subject to proving I am not contagious, nor to submitting to surveillance, nor to anything that would cause me harm, properly called “extortion”.

NOTICE OF NON CONSENT

Let it be known throughout all jurisdictions and dimensions that:

A. I do not consent to being harmed by any imposition of quarantine or restriction on my Constitutional right to assemble, work, travel, speak or do commerce.

B. I do not consent to being told to live in fear, nor made to wear a mask, nor being mandated an allowable proximity to others, nor being made subject to “contract tracing” surveillance.

C. I do not consent to any offer of forced medical experimentation or testing; nor forced medical procedure (i.e. vaccine, or substance delivered by air, water, or other means without my knowledge and consent); nor being tracked by any technology or biometric device on or adjacent to my body.

D. Your oath of office is your sworn statement compelling you to uphold the Constitutional against all enemies, foreign and domestic; to protect my rights; and to exhibit a duty of care to respond appropriately when informed of harm taking place.

E. I reserve all my rights, nunc pro tunc, to pursue legal and lawful remedy for all harm and threats of harm, which may constitute criminal assault and or tort, caused or contributed by Agents Of Harm. I reserve the right to seek legal and lawful remedy unless and until the stated harmful actions cease and desist.

I sincerely hope that you perceive the gravity of the present situation, and that you choose to be on the right side of this matter – the side in which Constitutional rights are defended and we have a chance at life, liberty and the pursuit of happiness.

Thank you for reading this notice, sent to you in good faith, and without ill intent.

“He that would make his own liberty secure, must guard even his enemy from oppression; for if he violates this duty, he establishes a precedent that will reach to himself.”

-Thomas Paine

Sincerely,
William Tognotti
mtognotti@gmail.com
140 Alhambra St. San Francisco, CA 94123 Constituent

From: [Beatrice chjmk](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Regarding my rights
Date: Sunday, May 10, 2020 8:51:23 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Re: Regarding my rights

Dear Ms. Stefani,

Below are critically important questions, evidence, facts, and my NOTICE OF TERMS to you in your public and private capacities.

Transmission of this notice has been electronically recorded. Thank you for reading this notice, sent to you in good faith, and without ill-intent. Please respond ASAP.

In this current crisis, measures have been undertaken which are harming me against my consent. Further malicious actions are being threatened against me, and against the rule of law itself.

A central example is the TRACE Act (HR 6666), proposing \$100 BILLION in 2020 to create an industry of “contact tracers” – a ubiquitous, fascist surveillance network – to enforce testing and apparently to grant the “authority” to remove people from their dwellings. See: bit.ly/bill6666

This action is egregious and, for federal officials, I ask you to immediately stop this bill. For all officials: stop all anti-Constitution legislation, and pass laws preserve all Constitutional rights and the rule of law in this country.

PLAIN STATEMENT OF FACTS

A. I have unalienable rights recognized in the original U.S. Constitution that cannot be superseded by any agenda, nor be removed from me. I understand these laws of the land to be active and currently valid. If this is not the case, please send verification in writing within 72 hours.

B. My unalienable rights are being harmed by agendas implemented by oath-sworn elected officials, corporate executives and/or non-elected officials (collectively “Agents Of Harm”). These include, without limitation:

- i. My free rights to speak, travel, do commerce, work, assemble, and be free of surveillance without warrant.
- ii. A threat of medical procedures or experimentation without my consent.
- iii. Threats of punitive detention, violence and or assault for non-compliance.

C. My unalienable rights are NOT conditional – i.e. subject to proving I am not contagious, nor submitting to surveillance, nor anything that would cause me harm – properly called “extortion”.

NOTICE OF TERMS

Effective immediately, and until each of the below questions are answered to my satisfaction, LET IT BE KNOWN throughout all jurisdictions and dimensions that:

A. I DO NOT CONSENT to being harmed by any imposition of quarantine, or restriction on my right to assemble, work, travel, speak or do commerce.

B. I DO NOT CONSENT to being told to live in fear, nor made to wear a mask, nor being mandated an allowable proximity to others.

C. I DO NOT CONSENT to any offer of forced medical experimentation or testing; nor forced medical procedure (i.e. vaccine, or substance delivered by air, water, or other means without my knowledge and consent); nor exposure to radiation from 4G/5G/wireless deployments; nor being tracked by any technology or biometric device on or adjacent to my body.

D. I HEREBY ACCEPT YOUR OATH OF OFFICE as your sworn statement that binds you to uphold my Constitutional rights, protect me from harm once noticed, and to liability when acting with negligence or without duty of care.

E. I RESERVE ALL OF MY RIGHTS, nunc pro tunc, to pursue remedy for ALL harm AND THREATS OF HARM, which may constitute CRIMINAL ASSAULT, and or tort, caused or contributed by Agents Of Harm. I intend to seek remedy and lawful justice unless and until the stated harmful actions cease and desist.

If you do not agree with my lawful ability to state and realize these claims and terms, please make your case in writing within 72 hours. If I receive no response from you, or if you offer any response that violates the supremacy of the original U.S. Constitution and you do not disprove my claim that the U.S. Constitution is active and valid, I will deem you to be in agreement and will proceed accordingly.

QUESTIONS AND EVIDENCE

1. Why are doctors asked to RECORD ANY CAUSE OF DEATH AS CV-19 EVEN IN THE ABSENSE OF CONFIRMATORY TESTING? bit.ly/2yfAJgc
2. Why are hospitals paid \$13,000 for EACH claimed CV-19 patient and \$39,000 for EACH ventilator request? bit.ly/2wFxH4i
3. Why are testing methods being used which DO NOT confirm CV-19, and which the test inventor said should NOT be used to identify a specific disease? bit.ly/2LfTdAw
4. Why are goats and even fruit apparently testing “positive” for CV-19? bit.ly/35Skx1
5. Why is the media suppressing a study showing CV-19 may be up to 50-85x LESS fatal than was thought? bit.ly/3cxTyd5
6. Why are hospitals empty if CV-19 is a legitimate pandemic? bit.ly/3cn11
7. Why do you not tell us social distancing costs us \$2 trillion dollars, contributes to depression and suicide, and will CAUSE the “second wave”? bit.ly/3ezOdno

8. Why is 5G being fast-tracked in our cities and schools during lockdown? bit.ly/34Jr9hN
9. Why are the economy, jobs, and freedoms being destroyed, allegedly to save people, when alcohol, cigarettes and junk food kill 21 MILLION people/year AND ARE STILL ALLOWED? bit.ly/3dt31
10. Why is Bill Gates, who is neither an elected official, nor a medical professional, saying vaccines are the “final solution” when vaccines can reduce immunity, increase disease, and harm or kill us? bit.ly/3clw1fG
11. Why did Bill Gates say, "eventually, we will have some digital certificates to show who has recovered or been tested recently or when we have a vaccine who has received it"? bit.ly/3fBzw
12. Why did Bill Gates say, "we're taking genetically-modified organisms and we're injecting them into a little kid's arm — just shoot 'em right in the vein"? bit.ly/3dwO1
13. Why does Microsoft own a patent (#060606, Mar 2020) for a cryptocurrency system using microchipped humans? bit.ly/3fyQ71
14. Why are doctors CENSORED for reporting that their CV-19 patients recovered from vitamin C? bit.ly/2xHpGfD
15. Why are YouTube and Google allowed to censor videos from hundreds of CREDIBLE EXPERTS, expressing grave concerns? See what's been censored: bit.ly/2zsH1 & bit.ly/34DiM79
16. Why has mask-wearing been forced when the Surgeon General, the WHO and even Dr. Fauci say to not wear them? bit.ly/3ckVt & bit.ly/3dw81
17. Why do gov't and Hollywood co-fabricate stories intended control our thoughts and behaviors? bit.ly/2RDSBbq
18. With this evidence of how we are being gravely misled, CAN YOU PLEASE RESPOND WITH VALID, JUSTIFIABLE REASONS WHY I SHOULD SURRENDER ANY OF MY UNALIENABLE CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS?

Sincerely,
Beatrice chjmk
beatrice@ityu.com
Walker San Francisco, CA 94112 Constituent

From: [Katie Woods](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Regarding my rights
Date: Tuesday, May 12, 2020 7:13:58 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Re: Regarding my rights

Dear Ms. Stefani,

Below are critically important questions, evidence, facts, and my NOTICE OF TERMS to you in your public and private capacities.

Transmission of this notice has been electronically recorded. Thank you for reading this notice, sent to you in good faith, and without ill-intent. Please respond ASAP.

In this current crisis, measures have been undertaken which are harming me against my consent. Further malicious actions are being threatened against me, and against the rule of law itself.

A central example is the TRACE Act (HR 6666), proposing \$100 BILLION in 2020 to create an industry of “contact tracers” – a ubiquitous, fascist surveillance network – to enforce testing and apparently to grant the “authority” to remove people from their dwellings. See: bit.ly/bill6666

This action is egregious and, for federal officials, I ask you to immediately stop this bill. For all officials: stop all anti-Constitution legislation, and pass laws preserve all Constitutional rights and the rule of law in this country.

PLAIN STATEMENT OF FACTS

A. I have unalienable rights recognized in the original U.S. Constitution that cannot be superseded by any agenda, nor be removed from me. I understand these laws of the land to be active and currently valid. If this is not the case, please send verification in writing within 72 hours.

B. My unalienable rights are being harmed by agendas implemented by oath-sworn elected officials, corporate executives and/or non-elected officials (collectively “Agents Of Harm”). These include, without limitation:

- i. My free rights to speak, travel, do commerce, work, assemble, and be free of surveillance without warrant.
- ii. A threat of medical procedures or experimentation without my consent.
- iii. Threats of punitive detention, violence and or assault for non-compliance.

C. My unalienable rights are NOT conditional – i.e. subject to proving I am not contagious, nor submitting to surveillance, nor anything that would cause me harm – properly called “extortion”.

NOTICE OF TERMS

Effective immediately, and until each of the below questions are answered to my satisfaction, LET IT BE KNOWN throughout all jurisdictions and dimensions that:

A. I DO NOT CONSENT to being harmed by any imposition of quarantine, or restriction on my right to assemble, work, travel, speak or do commerce.

B. I DO NOT CONSENT to being told to live in fear, nor made to wear a mask, nor being mandated an allowable proximity to others.

C. I DO NOT CONSENT to any offer of forced medical experimentation or testing; nor forced medical procedure (i.e. vaccine, or substance delivered by air, water, or other means without my knowledge and consent); nor exposure to radiation from 4G/5G/wireless deployments; nor being tracked by any technology or biometric device on or adjacent to my body.

D. I HEREBY ACCEPT YOUR OATH OF OFFICE as your sworn statement that binds you to uphold my Constitutional rights, protect me from harm once noticed, and to liability when acting with negligence or without duty of care.

E. I RESERVE ALL OF MY RIGHTS, nunc pro tunc, to pursue remedy for ALL harm AND THREATS OF HARM, which may constitute CRIMINAL ASSAULT, and or tort, caused or contributed by Agents Of Harm. I intend to seek remedy and lawful justice unless and until the stated harmful actions cease and desist.

If you do not agree with my lawful ability to state and realize these claims and terms, please make your case in writing within 72 hours. If I receive no response from you, or if you offer any response that violates the supremacy of the original U.S. Constitution and you do not disprove my claim that the U.S. Constitution is active and valid, I will deem you to be in agreement and will proceed accordingly.

QUESTIONS AND EVIDENCE

1. Why are doctors asked to RECORD ANY CAUSE OF DEATH AS CV-19 EVEN IN THE ABSENSE OF CONFIRMATORY TESTING? bit.ly/2yfAJgc
2. Why are hospitals paid \$13,000 for EACH claimed CV-19 patient and \$39,000 for EACH ventilator request? bit.ly/2wFxH4i
3. Why are testing methods being used which DO NOT confirm CV-19, and which the test inventor said should NOT be used to identify a specific disease? bit.ly/2LfTdAw
4. Why are goats and even fruit apparently testing “positive” for CV-19? bit.ly/35Skx1
5. Why is the media suppressing a study showing CV-19 may be up to 50-85x LESS fatal than was thought? bit.ly/3cxTyd5
6. Why are hospitals empty if CV-19 is a legitimate pandemic? bit.ly/3cn11
7. Why do you not tell us social distancing costs us \$2 trillion dollars, contributes to depression and suicide, and will CAUSE the “second wave”? bit.ly/3ezOdno

8. Why is 5G being fast-tracked in our cities and schools during lockdown? bit.ly/34Jr9hN
9. Why are the economy, jobs, and freedoms being destroyed, allegedly to save people, when alcohol, cigarettes and junk food kill 21 MILLION people/year AND ARE STILL ALLOWED? bit.ly/3dt31
10. Why is Bill Gates, who is neither an elected official, nor a medical professional, saying vaccines are the “final solution” when vaccines can reduce immunity, increase disease, and harm or kill us? bit.ly/3clw1fG
11. Why did Bill Gates say, "eventually, we will have some digital certificates to show who has recovered or been tested recently or when we have a vaccine who has received it"? bit.ly/3fBzw
12. Why did Bill Gates say, "we're taking genetically-modified organisms and we're injecting them into a little kid's arm — just shoot 'em right in the vein"? bit.ly/3dwO1
13. Why does Microsoft own a patent (#060606, Mar 2020) for a cryptocurrency system using microchipped humans? bit.ly/3fyQ71
14. Why are doctors CENSORED for reporting that their CV-19 patients recovered from vitamin C? bit.ly/2xHpGfD
15. Why are YouTube and Google allowed to censor videos from hundreds of CREDIBLE EXPERTS, expressing grave concerns? See what's been censored: bit.ly/2zsH1 & bit.ly/34DiM79
16. Why has mask-wearing been forced when the Surgeon General, the WHO and even Dr. Fauci say to not wear them? bit.ly/3ckVt & bit.ly/3dw81
17. Why does gov't and Hollywood co-fabricate stories intended to control our thoughts and behaviors? bit.ly/2RDSBbq
18. With this evidence of how we are being gravely misled, CAN YOU PLEASE RESPOND WITH VALID, JUSTIFIABLE REASONS WHY I SHOULD SURRENDER ANY OF MY UNALIENABLE CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS?

Sincerely,
Katie Woods
katelyn.m.c.woods@gmail.com
420 Lake Street Apt 1 San Francisco, CA 94118 Constituent

From: [Aaron Hulme](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Regarding my rights; and accepting your oath of office
Date: Thursday, May 14, 2020 1:46:11 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Re: Regarding my rights; and accepting your oath of office

Dear Ms. Stefani,

The discussions about “contact tracing” surveillance, and other measures associated with the lockdown, have unparalleled importance since what is at stake is whether Americans will retain unalienable Constitutional rights – or if those rights will be exchanged for “privileges”.

Various unprecedented “pandemic measures” are being discussed and or implemented by federal, state and municipal governments. On May 1, the TRACE Act (HR 6666) was introduced, proposing \$100 BILLION in 2020 to create a “contact tracing” mega-industry – a ubiquitous, unconstitutional surveillance network – to continuously surveil without warrant, to enforce “social distancing” through citizen-policing, to enforce testing and possibly medicating against consent, and apparently to grant the “authority” to remove people from their dwellings (though as written, this last point is as of yet unclear in intent). See: bit.ly/bill6666

Combined with “lockdowns”, which are increasingly seen by Americans as clearly unfitting measures, this new legislative action is egregious and, for federal officials, you need to immediately stop this bill. For all officials: stop all anti-Constitution legislation, and align your actions to preserve all Constitutional rights and the rule of law in this country.

Will you uphold the US Constitution and support Chief Justice John Marshall’s decree that any laws in opposition to the Constitution are repugnant, and are null and void, as per the US Supreme Court case Marbury v. Madison decided on February 24, 1803?

Second, is my understanding correct, that the US Constitution is still active and valid; and that I have the ability to seek legal and lawful remedy for that which causes me harm?

I will expect a response in writing (email) with your answer within 10 days. If I do not hear back from you, or you fail to respond, it will be clear to me that you do NOT deserve my vote in the next election; and that my understanding, as stated here, is correct.

There are many fundamental questions emerging about the CV-19 pandemic situation. Much is coming to light about how mortality models were wrong; doctors saying they were asked to inaccurately state CV-19 as cause of death; studies reveal gross inaccuracies with PCR testing; whether mask-wearing helps or harms; and apparent agendas for advancing pharmaceutical/telecom interests, biometrics and the removal of basic freedoms. Many millions of Americans are becoming aware, and this isn’t a passing thing. See: bit.ly/2AoLEFn

AN UNDERSTANDING, PERTAINING TO MY RIGHTS

A. I have unalienable rights recognized in the original US Constitution that cannot be superseded by any agenda, nor be removed from me.

B. My unalienable rights are being harmed by agendas implemented by oath-sworn elected officials, corporate executives and/or non-elected officials (collectively “Agents Of Harm”).

These include, without limitation:

- i. My free rights to speak, travel, do commerce, work, assemble, and be free of surveillance without warrant.
- ii. A threat of medical procedures, testing or experimentation without my consent.
- iii. Threats of punitive detention, violence and or harm for non-compliance.

C. My unalienable rights are NOT conditional – i.e. subject to proving I am not contagious, nor submitting to surveillance, nor anything that would cause me harm – properly called “extortion”.

NOTICE OF NON CONSENT

Let it be known throughout all jurisdictions and dimensions that:

A. I do not consent to being harmed by any imposition of quarantine, or restriction on my Constitutional right to assemble, work, travel, speak or do commerce.

B. I do not consent to being told to live in fear, nor made to wear a mask, nor being mandated an allowable proximity to others, nor being made subject to “contract tracing” surveillance.

C. I do not consent to any offer of forced medical experimentation or testing; nor forced medical procedure (i.e. vaccine, or substance delivered by air, water, or other means without my knowledge and consent); nor exposure to radiation from deployments of 4G/5G/wireless infrastructure; nor being tracked by any technology or biometric device on or adjacent to my body.

D. I accept your oath of office as your sworn statement which compels you to uphold the Constitutional against all enemies, foreign and domestic; to protect my rights; and to exhibit a duty of care to respond appropriately when informed of harm taking place.

E. I reserve all my rights, nunc pro tunc, to pursue legal and lawful remedy for all harm and threats of harm, which may constitute criminal assault and or tort, caused or contributed by Agents Of Harm. I reserve the right to seek legal and lawful remedy unless and until the stated harmful actions cease and desist.

I sincerely hope that you perceive the gravity of the present situation, and that you choose to be on the right side of this matter – the side in which Constitutional rights are defended and we have a chance at life, liberty and the pursuit of happiness.

Thank you for reading this notice, sent to you in good faith, and without ill-intent.

“The law helps the vigilant, before those who sleep on their rights.”

“He that would make his own liberty secure, must guard even his enemy from oppression; for if he violates this duty, he establishes a precedent that will reach to himself.”

-Thomas Paine

Sincerely,
Aaron Hulme
aaronhulme@mac.com
1080 Eddy St. San Francisco, CA 94109 Constituent

From: [Desyslava Stoyanov](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Regarding my rights; and accepting your oath of office
Date: Monday, May 11, 2020 9:47:17 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Re: Regarding my rights; and accepting your oath of office

Dear Ms. Stefani,

Below are critically important questions, evidence, facts, and my NOTICE OF TERMS to you in your public and private capacities.

Transmission of this notice has been electronically recorded. Thank you for reading this notice, sent to you in good faith, and without ill-intent. Please respond ASAP.

In this current crisis, measures have been undertaken which are harming me against my consent. Further malicious actions are being threatened against me, and against the rule of law itself.

A central example is the TRACE Act (HR 6666), proposing \$100 BILLION in 2020 to create an industry of “contact tracers” – a ubiquitous, fascist surveillance network – to enforce testing and apparently to grant the “authority” to remove people from their dwellings. See: bit.ly/bill6666

This action is egregious and, for federal officials, I ask you to immediately stop this bill. For all officials: stop all anti-Constitution legislation, and pass laws preserve all Constitutional rights and the rule of law in this country.

PLAIN STATEMENT OF FACTS

A. I have unalienable rights recognized in the original U.S. Constitution that cannot be superseded by any agenda, nor be removed from me. I understand these laws of the land to be active and currently valid. If this is not the case, please send verification in writing within 72 hours.

B. My unalienable rights are being harmed by agendas implemented by oath-sworn elected officials, corporate executives and/or non-elected officials (collectively “Agents Of Harm”). These include, without limitation:

- i. My free rights to speak, travel, do commerce, work, assemble, and be free of surveillance without warrant.
- ii. A threat of medical procedures or experimentation without my consent.
- iii. Threats of punitive detention, violence and or assault for non-compliance.

C. My unalienable rights are NOT conditional – i.e. subject to proving I am not contagious, nor submitting to surveillance, nor anything that would cause me harm – properly called “extortion”.

NOTICE OF TERMS

Effective immediately, and until each of the below questions are answered to my satisfaction, LET IT BE KNOWN throughout all jurisdictions and dimensions that:

A. I DO NOT CONSENT to being harmed by any imposition of quarantine, or restriction on my right to assemble, work, travel, speak or do commerce.

B. I DO NOT CONSENT to being told to live in fear, nor made to wear a mask, nor being mandated an allowable proximity to others.

C. I DO NOT CONSENT to any offer of forced medical experimentation or testing; nor forced medical procedure (i.e. vaccine, or substance delivered by air, water, or other means without my knowledge and consent); nor exposure to radiation from 4G/5G/wireless deployments; nor being tracked by any technology or biometric device on or adjacent to my body.

D. I HEREBY ACCEPT YOUR OATH OF OFFICE as your sworn statement that binds you to uphold my Constitutional rights, protect me from harm once noticed, and to liability when acting with negligence or without duty of care.

E. I RESERVE ALL OF MY RIGHTS, nunc pro tunc, to pursue remedy for ALL harm AND THREATS OF HARM, which may constitute CRIMINAL ASSAULT, and or tort, caused or contributed by Agents Of Harm. I intend to seek remedy and lawful justice unless and until the stated harmful actions cease and desist.

If you do not agree with my lawful ability to state and realize these claims and terms, please make your case in writing within 72 hours. If I receive no response from you, or if you offer any response that violates the supremacy of the original U.S. Constitution and you do not disprove my claim that the U.S. Constitution is active and valid, I will deem you to be in agreement and will proceed accordingly.

QUESTIONS AND EVIDENCE

1. Why are doctors asked to RECORD ANY CAUSE OF DEATH AS CV-19 EVEN IN THE ABSENSE OF CONFIRMATORY TESTING? bit.ly/2yfAJgc
2. Why are hospitals paid \$13,000 for EACH claimed CV-19 patient and \$39,000 for EACH ventilator request? bit.ly/2wFxH4i
3. Why are testing methods being used which DO NOT confirm CV-19, and which the test inventor said should NOT be used to identify a specific disease? bit.ly/2LfTdAw
4. Why are goats and even fruit apparently testing “positive” for CV-19? bit.ly/35Skx1
5. Why is the media suppressing a study showing CV-19 may be up to 50-85x LESS fatal than was thought? bit.ly/3cxTyd5
6. Why are hospitals empty if CV-19 is a legitimate pandemic? bit.ly/3cn11
7. Why do you not tell us social distancing costs us \$2 trillion dollars, contributes to depression and suicide, and will CAUSE the “second wave”? bit.ly/3ezOdno

8. Why is 5G being fast-tracked in our cities and schools during lockdown? bit.ly/34Jr9hN
9. Why are the economy, jobs, and freedoms being destroyed, allegedly to save people, when alcohol, cigarettes and junk food kill 21 MILLION people/year AND ARE STILL ALLOWED? bit.ly/3dt31
10. Why is Bill Gates, who is neither an elected official, nor a medical professional, saying vaccines are the “final solution” when vaccines can reduce immunity, increase disease, and harm or kill us? bit.ly/3clw1fG
11. Why did Bill Gates say, "eventually, we will have some digital certificates to show who has recovered or been tested recently or when we have a vaccine who has received it"? bit.ly/3fBzw
12. Why did Bill Gates say, "we're taking genetically-modified organisms and we're injecting them into a little kid's arm — just shoot 'em right in the vein"? bit.ly/3dwO1
13. Why does Microsoft own a patent (#060606, Mar 2020) for a cryptocurrency system using microchipped humans? bit.ly/3fyQ71
14. Why are doctors CENSORED for reporting that their CV-19 patients recovered from vitamin C? bit.ly/2xHpGfD
15. Why are YouTube and Google allowed to censor videos from hundreds of CREDIBLE EXPERTS, expressing grave concerns? See what's been censored: bit.ly/2zsH1 & bit.ly/34DiM79
16. Why has mask-wearing been forced when the Surgeon General, the WHO and even Dr. Fauci say to not wear them? bit.ly/3ckVt & bit.ly/3dw81
17. Why do gov't and Hollywood co-fabricate stories intended control our thoughts and behaviors? bit.ly/2RDSBbq
18. With this evidence of how we are being gravely misled, CAN YOU PLEASE RESPOND WITH VALID, JUSTIFIABLE REASONS WHY I SHOULD SURRENDER ANY OF MY UNALIENABLE CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS?

Sincerely,
Desyslava Stoyanov
dstnv@yahoo.com
870 38th Ave San Francisco, CA 94121 Constituent

From: [Claire Eckman](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Regarding my rights; and accepting your oath of office
Date: Thursday, May 14, 2020 4:21:03 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Re: Regarding my rights; and accepting your oath of office

Dear Ms. Stefani,

The discussions about “contact tracing” surveillance, and other measures associated with the lockdown, have unparalleled importance since what is at stake is whether Americans will retain unalienable Constitutional rights – or if those rights will be exchanged for “privileges”.

Various unprecedented “pandemic measures” are being discussed and or implemented by federal, state and municipal governments. On May 1, the TRACE Act (HR 6666) was introduced, proposing \$100 BILLION in 2020 to create a “contact tracing” mega-industry – a ubiquitous, unconstitutional surveillance network – to continuously surveil without warrant, to enforce “social distancing” through citizen-policing, to enforce testing and possibly medicating against consent, and apparently to grant the “authority” to remove people from their dwellings (though as written, this last point is as of yet unclear in intent). See: bit.ly/bill6666

Combined with “lockdowns”, which are increasingly seen by Americans as clearly unfitting measures, this new legislative action is egregious and, for federal officials, you need to immediately stop this bill. For all officials: stop all anti-Constitution legislation, and align your actions to preserve all Constitutional rights and the rule of law in this country.

Will you uphold the US Constitution and support Chief Justice John Marshall’s decree that any laws in opposition to the Constitution are repugnant, and are null and void, as per the US Supreme Court case Marbury v. Madison decided on February 24, 1803?

Second, is my understanding correct, that the US Constitution is still active and valid; and that I have the ability to seek legal and lawful remedy for that which causes me harm?

I will expect a response in writing (email) with your answer within 10 days. If I do not hear back from you, or you fail to respond, it will be clear to me that you do NOT deserve my vote in the next election; and that my understanding, as stated here, is correct.

There are many fundamental questions emerging about the CV-19 pandemic situation. Much is coming to light about how mortality models were wrong; doctors saying they were asked to inaccurately state CV-19 as cause of death; studies reveal gross inaccuracies with PCR testing; whether mask-wearing helps or harms; and apparent agendas for advancing pharmaceutical/telecom interests, biometrics and the removal of basic freedoms. Many millions of Americans are becoming aware, and this isn’t a passing thing. See: bit.ly/2AoLEFn

AN UNDERSTANDING, PERTAINING TO MY RIGHTS

A. I have unalienable rights recognized in the original US Constitution that cannot be superseded by any agenda, nor be removed from me.

B. My unalienable rights are being harmed by agendas implemented by oath-sworn elected officials, corporate executives and/or non-elected officials (collectively “Agents Of Harm”). These include, without limitation:

- i. My free rights to speak, travel, do commerce, work, assemble, and be free of surveillance without warrant.
- ii. A threat of medical procedures, testing or experimentation without my consent.
- iii. Threats of punitive detention, violence and or harm for non-compliance.

C. My unalienable rights are NOT conditional – i.e. subject to proving I am not contagious, nor submitting to surveillance, nor anything that would cause me harm – properly called “extortion”.

NOTICE OF NON CONSENT

Let it be known throughout all jurisdictions and dimensions that:

A. I do not consent to being harmed by any imposition of quarantine, or restriction on my Constitutional right to assemble, work, travel, speak or do commerce.

B. I do not consent to being told to live in fear, nor made to wear a mask, nor being mandated an allowable proximity to others, nor being made subject to “contract tracing” surveillance.

C. I do not consent to any offer of forced medical experimentation or testing; nor forced medical procedure (i.e. vaccine, or substance delivered by air, water, or other means without my knowledge and consent); nor exposure to radiation from deployments of 4G/5G/wireless infrastructure; nor being tracked by any technology or biometric device on or adjacent to my body.

D. I accept your oath of office as your sworn statement which compels you to uphold the Constitutional against all enemies, foreign and domestic; to protect my rights; and to exhibit a duty of care to respond appropriately when informed of harm taking place.

E. I reserve all my rights, nunc pro tunc, to pursue legal and lawful remedy for all harm and threats of harm, which may constitute criminal assault and or tort, caused or contributed by Agents Of Harm. I reserve the right to seek legal and lawful remedy unless and until the stated harmful actions cease and desist.

I sincerely hope that you perceive the gravity of the present situation, and that you choose to be on the right side of this matter – the side in which Constitutional rights are defended and we have a chance at life, liberty and the pursuit of happiness.

Thank you for reading this notice, sent to you in good faith, and without ill-intent.

“The law helps the vigilant, before those who sleep on their rights.”

“He that would make his own liberty secure, must guard even his enemy from oppression; for if he violates this duty, he establishes a precedent that will reach to himself.”

-Thomas Paine

Sincerely,
Claire Eckman
eclaire333@aol.com
236 West Portal Avenue #266 San Francisco, CA 94127 Constituent

From: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#)
Subject: FW: SPUR supports placing Vote16SF measure on the November ballot
Date: Thursday, May 14, 2020 11:55:00 AM
Attachments: [SPUR Supports Vote16SF charter amendment.pdf](#)

From: Susannah Parsons <sparsons@spur.org>
Sent: Thursday, May 14, 2020 9:24 AM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>
Subject: SPUR supports placing Vote16SF measure on the November ballot

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

SPUR supports placing on the ballot the proposed charter amendment to extend municipal and school board voting rights to 16- and 17-year-old San Francisco residents. SPUR believes responsive, effective government requires a high level of involvement by the city's residents. This measure would open participation in public decisions to thousands more citizens who are eager to participate and, we believe, could make conscientious voting decisions for the benefit of San Francisco. We encourage the Board of Supervisors to place this measure on the ballot and put the question to the voters.

Please see our attached letter. Thank you for your continued leadership on behalf of all San Franciscans.

--

Susannah Parsons
Senior Policy Associate
[SPUR](#) | Ideas + Action for a Better City
sparsons@spur.org
415-644-4886

[SPUR](#) | [Facebook](#) | [Twitter](#) | [Join](#) | [Get Newsletters](#)

May 13, 2020

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

SPUR supports placing on the ballot the proposed charter amendment to extend municipal and school board voting rights to 16- and 17-year-old San Francisco residents. In these extraordinary times, expanding democratic representation and building civic engagement among young people is important to the future of our city.

SPUR is a member-supported nonprofit organization that promotes good planning and good government in the San Francisco Bay Area through research, education and advocacy. In 2016, we supported a similar proposal to grant 16- and 17-year-olds who are U.S. citizens and residents of San Francisco the right to vote in municipal and school board elections. We believed that the measure would make San Francisco government more representative and responsive, and would help create a culture of lifelong voter engagement.

We now support placing this charter amendment on the ballot for the voters' approval, not only to increase participation in local government, but to advance equity in our democracy. One in three San Francisco Unified School District students have an immigrant parent, and many young people live in households where their parents cannot vote. The 16- and 17-year-old population is also majority non-white; enfranchising young people of color will improve government decision-making and create lifelong vote engagement.

SPUR believes responsive, effective government requires a high level of involvement by the city's residents. This measure would open participation in public decisions to thousands more citizens who are eager to participate and, we believe, could make conscientious voting decisions for the benefit of San Francisco. We encourage the Board of Supervisors to place this measure on the ballot and put the question to the voters.

Thank you for your continued leadership and support for all San Franciscans.

In partnership,

Nick Josefowitz
Director of Policy

From: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#)
Cc: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: FW: Support for Balboa Reservoir Special Use District (200422)
Date: Thursday, May 14, 2020 8:32:00 AM

From: Avinash Kar <avinashkar2@yahoo.com>
Sent: Wednesday, May 13, 2020 6:42 PM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>
Subject: Support for Balboa Reservoir Special Use District

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I write to express support for the planned development on what is currently the parking lot adjacent to City College. The plan to build significant affordable and market rate housing is a step in the right direction to make the city more affordable and to have private developers cover a significant part of the cost. I live within a mile of the location and am fully supportive of the proposal--I think it will add commercial activity, energy, and vitality to the area--and am glad that Supervisor Yee is representing that perspective for our supervisory district.

With my thanks,
Avinash Kar
141 Dorado Terrace
San Francisco, CA 94112

From: [Nishant Kheterpal](#)
To: [Fung, Frank \(CPC\)](#); [Koppel, Joel \(CPC\)](#); [mooreurban@aol.com](#); [Johnson, Millicent \(CPC\)](#); [Imperial, Theresa \(CPC\)](#); [Diamond, Susan \(CPC\)](#); [Ionin, Jonas \(CPC\)](#); [aaron.hyland.hpc](#); [dianematsuda](#); [Black, Kate \(CPC\)](#); [Foley, Chris \(CPC\)](#); [RSEJohns](#); [jonathan.pearlman.hpc](#); [So, Lydia \(CPC\)](#)
Cc: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Mar, Gordon \(BOS\)](#); [Haney, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: in support of streamlining CEQA requirements for housing projects
Date: Thursday, May 14, 2020 4:09:53 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To whom it may concern:

The lack of housing in the Bay Area was, before COVID-19, the largest crisis facing our region. The coronavirus has exacerbated such issues; overcrowding has led to difficulty in social distancing. We must construct more housing and increase density to prevent overcrowding in existing housing units. As a San Francisco resident and Berkeley grad, I **support the Standard Environmental Requirements (SER) policy** in place of some of the existing CEQA reviews in order to streamline the housing process and increase the amount of housing built, both overall and per unit time. Review will be shortened and housing construction accelerated, which is good.

Furthermore, public comments from those who seek to freeze San Francisco in amber and create a sclerotic, antiquated city by **preventing housing** and **closing the city to newcomers**, creatives, scientists, engineers, artists, and working-class people may be reduced -- this is a good thing. **Public comment as it exists now is unfair**; attention is paid only to those with the time, money, and flexibility to attend public meetings at times like noon on a Wednesday. Even I, with a flexible engineering job, cannot attend public meetings in support of housing -- so I send these emails. This means that the set of people offering public comment is unrepresentative of 1) those who want housing and cannot speak up in favor of it, and 2) those who will benefit from the housing in the future. We do not know of the hundreds of people who may live in a new development, who may benefit from better schools, access to jobs, cleaner air and safer street corners. We only hear from the aggrieved neighbors next door, upset about "the wrong sort of people" or the shadows over their backyard.

I don't support abolishing all public comment, but the set of projects that obey city guidelines maybe do not need to be dragged through a lengthy process that systematically reduces density, units, and building height in favor of "neighborhood character". If developments do what the SER standards request of them, they should be built. Period.

Thanks,
 Nishant Kheterpal
 94110

From: [Alexander Wong](#)
To: [Fung, Frank \(CPC\)](#); [Koppel, Joel \(CPC\)](#); [mooreurban@aol.com](#); [Johnson, Milicent \(CPC\)](#); [Imperial, Theresa \(CPC\)](#); [Diamond, Susan \(CPC\)](#); [Ionin, Jonas \(CPC\)](#)
Cc: [aaron.hyland.hpc](#); [dianematsuda](#); [Black, Kate \(CPC\)](#); [Foley, Chris \(CPC\)](#); [RSEJohns](#); [jonathan.pearlman.hpc](#); [So, Lydia \(CPC\)](#); [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Mar, Gordon \(BOS\)](#); [Haney, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Voice in Support of Changes to streamline CEQA
Date: Monday, May 11, 2020 4:54:00 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To whom it may concern,

My name is Alex Wong and I am a Bay Area native and San Francisco Resident in District 9

I am writing to voice my support to support changes to streamline CEQA in the Planning Department. The lack of housing creation and affordability crisis is partially driven by uncertainty in the process. I believe that creating fair and clear rules, and following through with them is the best way to speed up project and increase the number of units and their associated contributions to BMR units and affordable housing funds. A process where goalposts are moved do not support anyone's interests, and leads to corruption.

Please support the streamlining processes that the Planning Department is advocating.

Best,

Alex Wong
925 876 2115
2897 Cesar Chavez, San Francisco

From: [Laura Dane](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Haney, Matt \(BOS\)](#); scott.wiener@sen.ca.gov; Jeff.Sparks@sen.ca.gov; [Cohen, Emily \(HOM\)](#); [Kositsky, Jeff \(DEM\)](#); [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#); [Rhorer, Trent \(HSA\)](#); [Colfax, Grant \(DPH\)](#)
Cc: info@saintfrancischallenge.org
Subject: Support for SFHC's SOS Village proposals for COVID-19 response
Date: Wednesday, May 13, 2020 5:57:04 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Mayor Breed, Supervisor Walton, and Supervisor Haney, and government officials,

The pre-existing street homelessness crisis in San Francisco has been exacerbated by the COVID-19 pandemic. Saint Francis Homelessness Challenge submitted a proposal to the City on April 6, 2020 to collaborate with the City and service organizations to activate SOS Villages at two sites as part of immediate COVID-19 triage response.

[COVID-19 SOS Village Proposal—4.06.2020—SFHC](#)

[COVID-19 SOS Village Proposal—4.06.2020—SFHC—Attachments](#)

We believe the “SOS Village” model can be effective during this time for the following reasons:

- **Pressing Need:** Existing shelters are currently closed to new entrants, [hotel options are currently limited](#) due to staffing shortages, and thousands of people are still [living in crisis](#) on our streets.
- **Hygiene:** Guarantees basic hygiene and safety necessary during COVID-19 through individual, enclosed sleeping quarters and sanitation stations, including portapotties, handwashing stations and shower trailers.
- **Expediency:** A quick activation to be ready within a week of approval.
- **Feasibility:** With the advent of “[safe camping spaces](#)” and a new movement not to remove any encampments, SOS Village qualifies a safe, supervised and funded site with an existing network of resources and volunteers.
- **Code Compliant:** CA state code compliant emergency shelter response operations toolkit, insurance and license agreements are developed and ready to activate.
-

Sites: 2 potential sites already identified at 180 Jones St. for 15-30 residents, and Gear Park at Iowa and 23rd street for 25-100 residents.

Thank you,

Laura Dane
1060 Gilman Ave
San Francisco, CA 94124

From: [Deborah Elam](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); grant.colfax@sfpd.org; [Aragon, Tomas \(DPH\)](#); [BOS-Supervisors](#)
Cc: [Varisto, Michaela \(DPH\)](#)
Subject: SFDS Petition To Re-Open Dental Practices and Resume Dental Care in San Francisco
Date: Wednesday, May 13, 2020 4:32:57 PM
Attachments: [image007.png](#)
[Petition-To-Re-Open-SF-Dental-Practices.pdf](#)
Importance: High

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.



May 13, 2020

Mayor London Breed
 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Pl., Room 200
 San Francisco, CA 94102

Dr. Grant Colfax, Director of Health
 Dr. Tomas Aragon, Health Officer
 101 Grove St.
 San Francisco, CA 94102

Board of Supervisors
 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Pl., Rm 244
 San Francisco, CA 94102

Dear Mayor Breed, Dr. Colfax, Dr. Aragon and Board of Supervisors:

First, we want to thank you for your leadership in averting the worst of this crisis and working to ensure the health and safety of the San Francisco Community. We also write to you as small business owners and as healthcare providers who are struggling to provide patient care and at the same time, maintain the viability of our small businesses to sustain the health of our practices for future patients and for our dental teams.

As healthcare professionals, we were called upon to make every effort to keep patients out of emergency rooms. And with good logic, dentists were initially asked to restrict treatment to urgent and emergent care only. And finally, dentists were urged to donate their surplus PPE to hospitals, and they did so willingly. All

this, San Francisco dentists have done in the spirit of helping our community, our medical colleagues, and because we are concerned health care professionals contributing to the greater good.

Now dentists, and the patients we serve, need help. By delaying routine care, San Francisco dentists are now seeing an increase in the number of patients needing urgent and emergent care. Delays in routine and preventative care have escalated to dental pain, gum infections, abscesses, and in some cases the need for root canals and extractions.

SFDS dentists are a very well-informed, science and evidence-based, group and dentistry has always been a leader in infection control. We have been following Centers for Disease Control, California Department of Public Health, American Dental Association, California Dental Association, and Occupational Safety and Health Administration recommendations and guidelines. And, as small business owners and employers, we are concerned about the access to care for all San Franciscans and for the survival of our practices.

The State, surrounding counties, and CDPH have allowed for routine and preventive dental services to resume. According to the CDC and the CDPH, no COVID-19 clusters have been linked to dental care during this pandemic.

Based on San Francisco's Moratorium on Routine Medical Appointments (C19-08) and the most recent extension of the moratorium in the April 29th Shelter in Place Order, we have communicated to our members that they limit their care to urgent and emergent care only. However, we are confident that deferred and preventative dental care can now be safely resumed in San Francisco if the new CDPH guidelines released late last week are followed. We want to return to work as quickly as possible, and in the safest possible manner for all involved, including practitioners and patients alike.

Our request is two-fold, that San Francisco lift the moratorium on routine and elective dental care so that dentistry may provide medically necessary and preventive care for our patients and that dentistry be prioritized to receive access to PPE so that we can continue treating our patients.

Thank you and we look forward to working together with you to help protect one another.

Sincerely,

Dr. Carlos Nogueiro
President, San Francisco Dental Society

And the 259 Undersigned Dentist Practitioners and Dentist Small Business

Owners

Dr. Natasha Anne Lee
Dr. Joy Magtanong-Madrid
Dr. Michael Thomas Tiller

Dr. Jeffrey Jang

Dr. Christine Tran

Dr. Ann Azama
Dr. Leo Arellano
Dr. Maria Majda
Dr. Ravi Koka
Dr. Peter Chiu
Dr. Caroline Le
Dr. Maryam Tabar
Dr. Michael Parrett
Dr. Christopher Nichols
Dr. Ralan Wong
Dr. Allan Pineda
Dr. Brian Baliwas
Dr. Cynthia Scipioni
Dr. Greg Larson
Dr. Gary Nomura
Dr. Robert Chong
Dr. Francine Abad
Dr. Lawrence Nakamura
Dr. Glen Young
Dr. Craig Yonemura
Dr. Arthur Lowe
Dr. Maya Eydelman
Dr. William Hom
Dr. Sarah Wong
Dr. Robert Walley
Dr. Nami Cho
Dr. Joseph Yang
Dr. Kenneth Fong
Dr. George Jaber
Dr. Stephanie Jee
Dr. Sonal Patel
Dr. Fabiola Lara
Dr. David Zovickian
Dr. Ryan Chiu

Dr. Michael Stricker
Dr. Ivan Rodriguez
Dr. Edward Orson
Dr. Rosalynn Mojica
Dr. Edmond Bedrossian
Dr. Russell Young
Dr. Ben Amini
Dr. Ivan Serdar
Dr. John Schulz
Dr. Kenneth Ng
Dr. Ryan Kir
Dr. Clement Shek
Dr. Cecilia Santos-Berkowitz
Dr. John Tang
Dr. Kristina Svensson
Dr. Mansi Raina
Dr. John Saribalis
Dr. John Fong
Dr. Patricia Wong
Dr. Donald Oga
Dr. Alexander Sze
Dr. Gayle Chin
Dr. Leslie Tam
Dr. Kayvan Kafayi
Dr. Kevin Growney
Dr. Stephen Cohen
Dr. Bruce Lau
Dr. Nathaniel Minami
Dr. Ka Yan Fong
Dr. Carsen Bentley
Dr. Mat Kiisk
Dr. Mahsa Hakim
Dr. Melissa Maus
Dr. Barbara Baum
Dr. Ardavan Fateh
Dr. Stanley Siu
Dr. Jennifer Yu
Dr. Jane Choi
Dr. Sandy Shih
Dr. Cordelia Achuck
Dr. Maria Pina
Dr. Caroline Daligues
Dr. John Blankfort
Dr. Heather Abramson
Dr. Hibret Benjamin

Dr. Sean Bardsley
Dr. Courtney Hain
Dr. Howard Pollick
Dr. Richard Leeds
Dr. Jack Saroyan
Dr. Farahvash Sefidvash
Dr. Aparna Subramanian
Dr. Sona Bekmezian
Dr. Zahra Ezzy
Dr. Sandy Shih
Dr. Siyouneh Novshadian
Dr. Noyan Aynechi
Dr. Namrata Patel
Dr. Kimberlee Dickerson
Dr. Michael Kim
Dr. Julia Hallisy
Dr. Martin Chin
Dr. Nancy Ly
Dr. Jay Golinveaux
Dr. Lily Voong
Dr. Wei Cui
Dr. Nazanin Hakim
Dr. Lewis Specker
Dr. Kathleen Marcelo
Dr. Steven Baldwin
Dr. Eric Scharf
Dr. Chris Chui
Dr. Stafford Duhn
Dr. Theodore Nguyen
Dr. Kristen Kemmer
Dr. Afshin Rahimi
Dr. Katherine Cook
Dr. Darren Cox
Dr. Dolores Murphy
Dr. Yelena Ostrovsky-Trubin
Dr. Kristina Langworthy
Dr. Michael Chan
Dr. Joy Morris
Dr. Jana Sabo
Dr. Michael Perona
Dr. Leila Farhang-Azad
Dr. Warren Chee
Dr. Jeffrey Cheng
Dr. Karindeep Chima
Dr. Sara Kayeum

Dr. Eric Benson
Dr. Antonio Cucalon
Dr. Sophia Morghem
Dr. Lisa Harpenau
Dr. Frida Kagan
Dr. Harry Chin
Dr. Joseph Gabany
Dr. Daniel Kim
Dr. Ka-Wing Chew
Dr. Christine Vu
Dr. Debby Piccolotti
Dr. Joanna Meekay
Dr. Wanda Leong
Dr. Alan Budenz
Dr. Ross Lai
Dr. David Huynh
Dr. Cynthia Kami
Dr. Vlad Shuster
Dr. David Ehsan
Dr. Michael Hing
Dr. Lisa Nguyen
Dr. David Ho
Dr. Tom Jow
Dr. Peter Su
Dr. Allen Wong
Dr. Madeline Pasimio
Dr. Jack Chow
Dr. Aouse Khalil
Dr. James Hayashi
Dr. Demi Pham
Dr. Jetson Lee
Dr. Anna Ratiner
Dr. Craig Yarborough
Dr. Amparo Heredia
Dr. Nelson Artiga
Dr. Christina McBride
Dr. Brian Kail
Dr. Minoo Makassebi
Dr. Polly Rivas
Dr. Robert Quinn
Dr. Bart Lau
Dr. Lydia Wong Huey
Dr. David Ramirez
Dr. Katy Karimi DDS
Dr. Christopher Oviedo

Dr. Chandra Kodey
Dr. Winnie Lam
Dr. Rodney Chew
Dr. Peter Jacobsen
Dr. Nancy Hsieh
Dr. Marcel Jorna
Dr. Jennifer Litton
Dr. Curtis Raff
Dr. Cynthia Brattesani
Dr. Marianna Rexan
Dr. Dale Zheng
Dr. Marc Lai
Dr. Alexander Hanley
Dr. Stefka Petrova
Dr. Jenny Chen
Dr. Fredric Warren
Dr. Alireza Khoshvaghti
Dr. Duke Yang
Dr. Emily Gentry
Dr. Robert Fujii
Dr. Jing Wen
Dr. Edna Santos
Dr. Dustin Wirig
Dr. Pil Han
Dr. Kelly Leong
Dr. Wenli Loo
Dr. Aaron Rose
Dr. Lauren Hebel
Dr. Richard Choi
Dr. Derrick Chua
Dr. Robert Koshiyama
Dr. George Roller
Dr. Baldwin Louie
Dr. Daniel Barry
Dr. Todor Stavrev
Dr. Frank Dal Santo
Dr. Zhichun Yu
Dr. Minsik Pak
Dr. Katheryn Pooley
Dr. Kathy Mueller
Dr. Galen Wagnild
Dr. Kam-Wan Lee
Dr. Phoebe Good
Dr. Rebecca Boardman
Dr. Royeen Nesari

Dr. Sima Salimi
Dr. Kenneth Bianchi
Dr. Michal Staninec
Dr. Camilo Riano
Dr. Courtney Fitzpatrick
Dr. Michael Fields
Dr. Leyli Shirvani
Dr. Olivia Karla Moran
Dr. William Lee
Dr. Ali Koopah
Dr. Coral Posert
Dr. Olivia Karla Moran
Dr. Kevin Barry
Dr. Bergen James
Dr. Frank Grimaldi
Dr. Amir Koopah
Dr. Maria Rodriguez Perez
Dr. Jorg-Peter Rabanus
Dr. Erika Martinez
Dr. Linda Kuo
Dr. Sahar Tawfik
Dr. Sarah De Sanz
Dr. Ana Casal
Dr. Jon Ornstil
Dr. William Gallagher
Dr. Anisha Kahai
Dr. Josh Berd
Dr. Jarrod Cornehl
Dr. Travis Smith
Dr. James Han
Dr. Naomi Carolino
Dr. Roger Lee
Dr. Nonna Volfson
Dr. Michael Fox
Dr. Kathryn Lee
Dr. Erin Shah
Dr. Terry Im
Dr. Sandy Shih
Dr. Annie Yuen
Dr. Carlos Longa



SAN FRANCISCO
DENTAL SOCIETY

150
years



Recipient of the 2016 ADA Golden Apple Award for Excellence in Access to Dental Care

Recipient of the 2015 ADA Golden Apple Award for Excellence in Member-Related Services/Benefits

Recipient of the 2015 ADA Golden Apple Award for Excellence in Interprofessional Relations



May 13, 2020

Mayor London Breed
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Pl., Room 200
San Francisco, CA 94102

Dr. Grant Colfax, Director of Health
Dr. Tomas Aragon, Health Officer
101 Grove St.
San Francisco, CA 94102

Board of Supervisors
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Pl., Rm 244
San Francisco, CA 94102

Dear Mayor Breed, Dr. Colfax, Dr. Aragon and Board of Supervisors:

First, we want to thank you for your leadership in averting the worst of this crisis and working to ensure the health and safety of the San Francisco Community. We also write to you as small business owners and as healthcare providers who are struggling to provide patient care and at the same time, maintain the viability of our small businesses to sustain the health of our practices for future patients and for our dental teams.

As healthcare professionals, we were called upon to make every effort to keep patients out of emergency rooms. And with good logic, dentists were initially asked to restrict treatment to urgent and emergent care only. And finally, dentists were urged to donate their surplus PPE to hospitals, and they did so willingly. All this, San Francisco dentists have done in the spirit of helping our community, our medical colleagues, and because we are concerned health care professionals contributing to the greater good.

Now dentists, and the patients we serve, need help. By delaying routine care, San Francisco dentists are now seeing an increase in the number of patients needing urgent and emergent care. Delays in routine and preventative care have escalated to dental pain, gum infections, abscesses, and in some cases the need for root canals and extractions.

SFDS dentists are a very well-informed, science and evidence-based, group and dentistry has always been a leader in infection control. We have been following Centers for Disease Control, California Department of Public Health, American Dental Association, California Dental Association, and Occupational Safety and Health Administration recommendations and guidelines. And, as small business owners and employers, we are concerned about the access to care for all San Franciscans and for the survival of our practices.

The State, surrounding counties, and CDPH have allowed for routine and preventive dental services to resume. According to the CDC and the CDPH, no COVID-19 clusters have been linked to dental care during this pandemic.

Based on San Francisco's Moratorium on Routine Medical Appointments (C19-08) and the most recent extension of the moratorium in the April 29th Shelter in Place Order, we have communicated to our members that they limit their care to urgent and emergent care only. However, we are confident that deferred and preventative dental care can now be safely resumed in San Francisco if the new CDPH guidelines released late last week are followed. We want to return to work as quickly as possible, and in the safest possible manner for all involved, including practitioners and patients alike.

Our request is two-fold, that San Francisco lift the moratorium on routine and elective dental care so that dentistry may provide medically necessary and preventive care for our patients and that dentistry be prioritized to receive access to PPE so that we can continue treating our patients.

Thank you and we look forward to working together with you to help protect one another.

Sincerely,



Dr. Carlos Nogueiro
President, San Francisco Dental Society

And the 259 Undersigned Dentist Practitioners and Dentist Small Business Owners

Dr. Natasha Anne Lee	Dr. Gary Nomura	Dr. Ryan Chiu
Dr. Joy Magtanong-Madrid	Dr. Robert Chong	Dr. Michael Stricker
Dr. Michael Thomas Tiller	Dr. Francine Abad	Dr. Ivan Rodriguez
Dr. Jeffrey Jang	Dr. Lawrence Nakamura	Dr. Edward Orson
Dr. Christine Tran	Dr. Glen Young	Dr. Rosalynn Mojica
Dr. Ann Azama	Dr. Craig Yonemura	Dr. Edmond Bedrossian
Dr. Leo Arellano	Dr. Arthur Lowe	Dr. Russell Young
Dr. Maria Majda	Dr. Maya Eydelman	Dr. Ben Amini
Dr. Ravi Koka	Dr. William Hom	Dr. Ivan Serdar
Dr. Peter Chiu	Dr. Sarah Wong	Dr. John Schulz
Dr. Caroline Le	Dr. Robert Walley	Dr. Kenneth Ng
Dr. Maryam Tabar	Dr. Nami Cho	Dr. Ryan Kir
Dr. Michael Parrett	Dr. Joseph Yang	Dr. Clement Shek
Dr. Christopher Nichols	Dr. Kenneth Fong	Dr. Cecilia Santos-Berkowitz
Dr. Ralan Wong	Dr. George Jaber	Dr. John Tang
Dr. Allan Pineda	Dr. Stephanie Jee	Dr. Kristina Svensson
Dr. Brian Baliwas	Dr. Sonal Patel	Dr. Mansi Raina
Dr. Cynthia Scipioni	Dr. Fabiola Lara	Dr. John Saribalis
Dr. Greg Larson	Dr. David Zovickian	Dr. John Fong

Dr. Patricia Wong
Dr. Donald Oga
Dr. Alexander Sze
Dr. Gayle Chin
Dr. Leslie Tam
Dr. Kayvan Kafayi
Dr. Kevin Growney
Dr. Stephen Cohen
Dr. Bruce Lau
Dr. Nathaniel Minami
Dr. Ka Yan Fong
Dr. Carsen Bentley
Dr. Mat Kiisk
Dr. Mahsa Hakim
Dr. Melissa Maus
Dr. Barbara Baum
Dr. Ardavan Fateh
Dr. Stanley Siu
Dr. Jennifer Yu
Dr. Jane Choi
Dr. Sandy Shih
Dr. Cordelia Achuck
Dr. Maria Pina
Dr. Caroline Daligues
Dr. John Blankfort
Dr. Heather Abramson
Dr. Hibret Benjamin
Dr. Sean Bardsley
Dr. Courtney Hain
Dr. Howard Pollick
Dr. Richard Leeds
Dr. Jack Saroyan
Dr. Farahvash Sefidvash
Dr. Aparna Subramanian
Dr. Sona Bekmezian
Dr. Zahra Ezzy
Dr. Sandy Shih
Dr. Siyouneh Novshadian
Dr. Noyan Aynechi
Dr. Namrata Patel
Dr. Kimberlee Dickerson
Dr. Michael Kim
Dr. Julia Hallisy
Dr. Martin Chin
Dr. Nancy Ly
Dr. Jay Golinveaux
Dr. Lily Voong
Dr. Wei Cui
Dr. Nazanin Hakim

Dr. Lewis Specker
Dr. Kathleen Marcelo
Dr. Steven Baldwin
Dr. Eric Scharf
Dr. Chris Chui
Dr. Stafford Duhn
Dr. Theodore Nguyen
Dr. Kristen Kemmer
Dr. Afshin Rahimi
Dr. Katherine Cook
Dr. Darren Cox
Dr. Dolores Murphy
Dr. Yelena Ostrovsky-Trubin
Dr. Kristina Langworthy
Dr. Michael Chan
Dr. Joy Morris
Dr. Jana Sabo
Dr. Michael Perona
Dr. Leila Farhang-Azad
Dr. Warren Chee
Dr. Jeffrey Cheng
Dr. Karindeep Chima
Dr. Sara Kayeum
Dr. Eric Benson
Dr. Antonio Cucalon
Dr. Sophia Morghem
Dr. Lisa Harpenau
Dr. Frida Kagan
Dr. Harry Chin
Dr. Joseph Gabany
Dr. Daniel Kim
Dr. Ka-Wing Chew
Dr. Christine Vu
Dr. Debby Piccolotti
Dr. Joanna Meekay
Dr. Wanda Leong
Dr. Alan Budenz
Dr. Ross Lai
Dr. David Huynh
Dr. Cynthia Kami
Dr. Vlad Shuster
Dr. David Ehsan
Dr. Michael Hing
Dr. Lisa Nguyen
Dr. David Ho
Dr. Tom Jow
Dr. Peter Su
Dr. Allen Wong
Dr. Madeline Pasimio

Dr. Jack Chow
Dr. Aouse Khalil
Dr. James Hayashi
Dr. Demi Pham
Dr. Jetson Lee
Dr. Anna Ratiner
Dr. Craig Yarborough
Dr. Amparo Heredia
Dr. Nelson Artiga
Dr. Christina Mcbride
Dr. Brian Kail
Dr. Minoo Makassebi
Dr. Polly Rivas
Dr. Robert Quinn
Dr. Bart Lau
Dr. Lydia Wong Huey
Dr. David Ramirez
Dr. Katy Karimi DDS
Dr. Christopher Oviedo
Dr. Chandra Kodey
Dr. Winnie Lam
Dr. Rodney Chew
Dr. Peter Jacobsen
Dr. Nancy Hsieh
Dr. Marcel Jorna
Dr. Jennifer Litton
Dr. Curtis Raff
Dr. Cynthia Brattesani
Dr. Marianna Rexan
Dr. Dale Zheng
Dr. Marc Lai
Dr. Alexander Hanley
Dr. Stefka Petrova
Dr. Jenny Chen
Dr. Fredric Warren
Dr. Alireza Khoshvaghti
Dr. Duke Yang
Dr. Emily Gentry
Dr. Robert Fujii
Dr. Jing Wen
Dr. Edna Santos
Dr. Dustin Wirig
Dr. Pil Han
Dr. Kelly Leong
Dr. Wenli Loo
Dr. Aaron Rose
Dr. Lauren Hebel
Dr. Richard Choi
Dr. Derrick Chua

Dr. Robert Koshiyama
Dr. George Roller
Dr. Baldwin Louie
Dr. Daniel Barry
Dr. Todor Stavrev
Dr. Frank Dal Santo
Dr. Zhichun Yu
Dr. Minsik Pak
Dr. Katheryn Pooley
Dr. Kathy Mueller
Dr. Galen Wagnild
Dr. Kam-Wan Lee
Dr. Phoebe Good
Dr. Rebecca Boardman
Dr. Royeen Nesari
Dr. Sima Salimi
Dr. Kenneth Bianchi
Dr. Michal Staninec
Dr. Camilo Riano

Dr. Courtney Fitzpatrick
Dr. Michael Fields
Dr. Leyli Shirvani
Dr. Olivia Karla Moran
Dr. William Lee
Dr. Ali Koopah
Dr. Coral Posert
Dr. Olivia Karla Moran
Dr. Kevin Barry
Dr. Bergen James
Dr. Frank Grimaldi
Dr. Amir Koopah
Dr. Maria Rodriguez Perez
Dr. Jorg-Peter Rabanus
Dr. Erika Martinez
Dr. Linda Kuo
Dr. Sahar Tawfik
Dr. Sarah De Sanz
Dr. Ana Casal

Dr. Jon Ornstil
Dr. William Gallagher
Dr. Anisha Kahai
Dr. Josh Berd
Dr. Jarrod Cornehl
Dr. Travis Smith
Dr. James Han
Dr. Naomi Carolino
Dr. Roger Lee
Dr. Nonna Volfson
Dr. Michael Fox
Dr. Kathryn Lee
Dr. Erin Shah
Dr. Terry Im
Dr. Sandy Shih
Dr. Annie Yuen
Dr. Carlos Longa

From: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#)
Subject: FW: Balboa Reservoir General Plan Amendment /Development Agreement
Date: Wednesday, May 13, 2020 9:08:00 AM

From: aj <ajahjah@att.net>
Sent: Tuesday, May 12, 2020 12:07 AM
To: Major, Erica (BOS) <erica.major@sfgov.org>; Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>; Yee, Norman (BOS) <norman.yee@sfgov.org>; Low, Jen (BOS) <jen.low@sfgov.org>; CPC-Commissions Secretary <commissions.secretary@sfgov.org>; Hood, Donna (PUC) <DHood@sfgov.org>; Koppel, Joel (CPC) <joel.koppel@sfgov.org>; Moore, Kathrin (CPC) <kathrin.moore@sfgov.org>; Imperial, Theresa (CPC) <theresa.imperial@sfgov.org>; Johnson, Milicent (CPC) <milicent.johnson@sfgov.org>; Fung, Frank (CPC) <frank.fung@sfgov.org>; Diamond, Susan (CPC) <sue.diamond@sfgov.org>
Cc: SNA BRC <sna-brc@googlegroups.com>; cgoss2@mail.ccsf.edu
Subject: Balboa Reservoir General Plan Amendment /Development Agreement

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Land Use & Transportation Committee, Board of Supervisors, D7 Supervisor Yee, Planning Commission, PUC:

The General Plan Amendment and Development Agreement for the Reservoir Project will come before you soon.

What's been most disturbing is the lack of integrity in how the Reservoir Project has been shepherded along in a top-down manner.

The M.O. has been to present what has been fundamentally a pre-ordained project and then--to fulfill procedural requirements--going through the motions of getting community input ("public engagement").

Instead of community input, what OEWD-Planning really had in mind was to achieve "buy-in." The CAC format basically provided the authorities a propaganda platform to achieve the desired "buy-in."

Zoning and the broader context of the Balboa Park Station Area Plan had been raised early during the "public engagement process."

Despite the early inquiries regarding zoning and the BPS Area Plan to the OEWD-Planning Team, the Reservoir Team avoided addressing the subject. The issue of rezoning from Public to Special Use District did not show up until publication of the Supplemental EIR. And amending the BPS Area Plan/General Plan to make it backwards compatible with Reservoir Project wasn't revealed by the authorities until a

few months ago!

Integrity would have required an early assessment of the Reservoir Project within the context of the higher program-level BPS Area Plan; not the other way around.

More than anything else, the rezoning from P to SUD is needed solely for the purpose of privatization that would create 363 (Not 550!) affordable units vs. 550 market-rate units. The current P zoning already allows for affordable housing; the only difference is that P zoning would not allow for the market-rate units.

The public has no need to subsidize private developers with public property.

Vote NO to the GPA and DA.

Sincerely,
Alvin Ja

[More](#)

From: [Alissa Anderson](#)
To: [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [BOS-Supervisors](#)
Subject: Sup. Mar Emergency Re-Employment Ordinance
Date: Tuesday, May 12, 2020 9:31:11 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am disturbed and disappointed by the legislation recently proposed by Supervisor Mar. As a small retail business owner in the Richmond District whose business was forced to close to the public due to COVID-19 SIP, I had to lay off more than half of my beloved part-time employees. Most of them have moved on - literally. Either moving back in with their parents to save money, deciding that caring for their family is more important than risking their health, or having physical and mental health setbacks that prevent them from working. All of these are reasons not to come back to my business that I respect and understand, especially while my business remains closed.

Legislation that forces small businesses to offer jobs back to former employees in a specified way is time-consuming, costly, and unfair. Making multiple job offers and city notifications alone is an immense amount of work! We value our employees a lot. Being "pro-worker" does not have to be anti-small business. This legislation would undoubtedly make it harder for small businesses to get back to business and contribute to the growth of our local economy, which continues to suffer immensely.

I am a VERY small business with not even half of the 10-employee minimum suggested in this legislation, and I am concerned for ALL local businesses that would be impacted by this. I believe this legislation would absolutely prevent some businesses from reopening. Thank you for allowing me to contribute my feedback.

Alissa Anderson
Foggy Notion
124 Clement St.
San Francisco, CA 94118
(415) 683-5654
www.foggy-notion.com



From: [Laurine Wickett](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Subject: Temporary Right to Reemployment Following Layoff Due to COVID19
Date: Monday, May 11, 2020 10:34:42 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear City Supervisors,

Please reconsider this order, it is not a one size fits all solution for all businesses affected by Covid 19. I understand the need to protect the employee, but this does not serve small businesses as they look to reorganize and create a new plan for the future.

My catering business was one of the first industries affected in early March and I quickly saw all of our events cancel before the Shelter in Place went into effect. I have pivoted my business and changed our model. I am uncertain when we will be able to gather people for events and cater again. While I would like to bring some of my former employee's back, not all of them are suited for this new business model. It's also an opportunity to clean house. Given the lack of business, those that remain on my team will need to have a certain skill set and be willing to take on new roles and jobs in order to stay lean so that we can survive as a business. This is not the time for the government to get involved in the restructuring and create mandates around bringing former employee's back.

Regards,
Laurine

--



Laurine Wickett

Chef / Owner

p: 415.934.0600

a: 1400 Yosemite Ave.,
San Francisco, CA 94124

[visit website](#) | [send email](#)



From: [Michael Lehr](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Haney, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [Mar, Gordon \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [Koppel, Joel \(CPC\)](#); [Moore, Kathrin \(CPC\)](#); [Diamond, Susan \(CPC\)](#); [Fung, Frank \(CPC\)](#); [Imperial, Theresa \(CPC\)](#); [Johnson, Milicent \(CPC\)](#); [Ionin, Jonas \(CPC\)](#); [CPC-Commissions Secretary](#)
Subject: Oppose Ordinance File #200451.
Date: Friday, May 8, 2020 4:34:47 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Michael E.T. Lehr

The Law Office of Michael E.T. Lehr
645 Hayes Street
San Francisco, CA 94102
415.596.6007
michael@lehr-law.com

THIS ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATION IS PRIVILEGED AND CONFIDENTIAL IMPORTANT NOTICE TO EMAIL RECIPIENTS

1. DO NOT read, copy, or disseminate this communication unless you are the intended addressee. This email communication contains confidential and/or privileged information intended only for the addressee. Anyone who receives this email by error should treat it as confidential and is asked to call (collect) The Law Office of Michael Lehr at (415) 596-6007 or reply by email: michael@lehr-law.com.
2. This email transmission may not be secure and may be illegally intercepted. Do not forward or disseminate this email to any third party. Unauthorized interception of this email is a violation of federal law.
3. Any reliance on the information contained in this correspondence by someone who has not entered into a fee agreement with Law Office of Michael Lehr is taken at the reader's own risk.
4. The attorneys of Law Office of Michael Lehr are licensed to practice law ONLY in California and do not intend to give advice to anyone on any legal matter not involving California law.

From: [Samy Basta, CPA](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Haney, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [Mar, Gordon \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [Koppel, Joel \(CPC\)](#); [Moore, Kathrin \(CPC\)](#); [Diamond, Susan \(CPC\)](#); [Fung, Frank \(CPC\)](#); [Imperial, Theresa \(CPC\)](#); [Johnson, Millicent \(CPC\)](#); [Jonin, Jonas \(CPC\)](#); [CPC-Commissions Secretary](#)
Subject: Opposition to Ordinance File #200451
Date: Thursday, May 7, 2020 4:39:12 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Planning Commission Members,

I'm writing to you to express my strong opposition to the legislation referenced below - Ordinance File #200451. I'm a friend of a small constructions business owner, and I believe this will slow the process of residential permits, and will be devastating to all the construction small businesses in the City.

A copy of the legislation is here: <https://sfgov.legistar.com/View.ashx?M=F&ID=8328725&GUID=DD83C5D8-D3B5-4EAA-8ED4-AF0EB1BDED43> It's Ordinance File #200451.

Regards,

Samy Basta, CPA

[Basta & Company](#)
Tel (415) 384-5004
[Schedule a call](#)



 Think before you print

From: [Serina Calhoun](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Haney, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [Mar, Gordon \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [Koppel, Joel \(CPC\)](#); [Moore, Kathrin \(CPC\)](#); [Diamond, Susan \(CPC\)](#); [Fung, Frank \(CPC\)](#); [Imperial, Theresa \(CPC\)](#); [Johnson, Millicent \(CPC\)](#); [Jonin, Jonas \(CPC\)](#); [CPC-Commissions Secretary](#)
Cc: [Hillis, Rich \(CPC\)](#)
Subject: Proposed change to Demolition Language
Date: Thursday, May 7, 2020 2:07:43 PM
Importance: High

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Good afternoon,

I am a local architect with a small office in Hayes Valley. I am writing today in STRONG OPPOSITION to the proposed changes to SF Planning Code 317 as introduced by Supervisors Mandelman and Peskin. I believe the intent of this legislation is to prevent historic resources from being demolished by a few “bad actors” who lie on their permit applications like what happed with the Neutra house. I support that intent but, my feelings about this legislation are the same as those for Supervisor Peskin’s legislation from last year. This is not the way to achieve that goal.

On average, my residential clients already spend 2-5 years going through their permit process on a conforming addition. Recently, one of my clients spent 5 years waiting for their code compliant vertical addition to be approved. That project didn’t even require a hearing. When they started they had a 1-story, 1-bedroom unit and were pregnant with their first child. A child they had no bedroom for. In the span of their 5 year process, they had a child, sent that child to school, their marriage failed, and they filed for divorce. That’s a snapshot of how people’s lives can change during the lengthy and arduous permit process. In that same span, construction costs have more than doubled, placing their project even further out of reach. Let me dispel a mis-conception. My clients are not rich. They are small families having their first child, or having an elderly parent move in with them and they need more space. I can’t tell you how many clients come to me, already pregnant with their first child, needing an addition so they have a room their baby. Unfortunately, that baby will be walking and talking before their code compliant addition will be approved and that’s what I have to tell them each and every time they call me. It’s heartbreaking, to be honest.

This legislation will make an already arduous, and time consuming process, much worse, not to mention much more costly. We need legislation that does the opposite – that makes it easier to modify our homes and businesses. Maybe people wouldn’t lie on their permit applications if the process was simpler and faster. Maybe there wouldn’t be so many vacant storefronts if it didn’t take 6-9 months to get a permit for a commercial space. This proposal makes it worse, not better, across the board.

Now, due to the shut-down, the single family home renovation, addition, and new construction projects have almost entirely been put on hold as homeowners struggle to pay their mortgages and worry for their future. In just the first week of the shut-down, my architectural colleagues, and many

contractors across the city were forced to lay off their entire staff and, in many cases, close their firms forever. They all specialized in single family home projects. The market evaporated overnight. This legislation was introduced prior to the shut-down and with positive intent, but now, more than ever, we need single family residential projects to be as easy to achieve as possible. We're facing a new work model – one where people stay home with their kids and partners. I expect that those people will need more space. Space for a dedicated home office, or private space in their home to separate themselves from the rest of their family. How will they do that if it now takes 3+ years to do a vertical or horizontal addition to their home.

My strong suggestion to solving the problem of people lying on their permit applications is simple – introduce legislation that requires a building inspection prior to start of construction. The City of Oakland does that and it's very successful. I also support penalties for people caught lying on their applications or exceeding the scope of their permit without additional inspection oversight. Bad actors should pay the price, but not the vast majority of homeowners who just need space to meet the needs of their families.

I appreciate your thoughtfulness on this important issue.

Thank you,

Serina Calhoun
Principal Architect
syncopated architecture
415-558-9843

[Placemakers PRO](#) is dedicated to helping you provide higher quality service to your clients AND save time while you're doing it! Try out our time saving [Property Information Map](#)! And, please follow us on [LinkedIN](#) to stay updated!

-

From: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#)
Subject: FW: Allowing Construction Will Now Stop Me From Doing My Job
Date: Tuesday, May 12, 2020 11:05:00 AM

From: Paul n <pnisbett@hotmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, May 12, 2020 10:44 AM
To: Breed, London (MYR) <london.breed@sfgov.org>; Breed, Mayor London (MYR) <mayorlondonbreed@sfgov.org>; Peskin, Aaron (BOS) <aaron.peskin@sfgov.org>
Cc: Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>
Subject: Allowing Construction Will Now Stop Me From Doing My Job

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hello,

Allowing construction to continue unabated while every one is still stuck home for an indeterminate period was short sighted. Now, everyone else who is stuck at home indefinitely will get to compete with loud construction(in my case - separated by a couple sheets of plywood) in order to do their own jobs.

As you can see from the message below ,our building management has decided that now is the best time to do mandated Earthquake retrofitting. The fact that they have known about this for several years and did nothing before now is beyond your control.I understand that.

The timing for this is exceptionally bad.

Because of the Corona Virus, many people (including me) will still be working at home for the next several months .

Earthquake retrofitting is exceptionally loud construction work .Far louder than the usual remodeling that gets done. Trying to get work done while retrofitting work is happening will be impossible regardless of where the tenants are located it the building. The noise and shaking won't just affect the ground floors.


Is there any way this type of construction work can be mandated to be postponed until the current Pandemic has stabilized and and a majority of people are able to work at their usual work places rather than at home and competing with loud construction work to do their jobs ?

Thank you ,
 Paul Nisbett

From: Brick + Timber <no-reply@rentcafe.com>
Sent: Monday, May 11, 2020 4:00 PM

To: pnisbett@hotmail.com <pnisbett@hotmail.com>

Subject: Notice Regarding Upcoming Seismic Improvement Project



NOTICE TO ALL RESIDENTS

Pending City Mandated Seismic Improvement Project at:

1055 Mason St.

San Francisco, CA 94108

May 11th, 2020

Dear Residents:

We are notifying you about construction activity that will begin on 6/15/20. The work will be located in the building's lower level (only) primarily in the basement and garage area of the building. We anticipate the project to take 15 weeks to complete.

MANDATORY SEISMIC RETROFIT

The project is a seismic retrofit improvement of the building, in compliance with San

Francisco's Earthquake Safety Implementation Program. The City of San Francisco is requiring select buildings to undergo a seismic retrofit to ensure the safety and resilience of San Francisco's housing stock.

INQUIRIES

Additional notices will be provided to you periodically for the project. We are available to answer general questions you may have regarding the retrofit. You may email us at the email address listed below. Please expect a response within 48-hours (not including weekends).

IMPORTANT CONTACTS

For other property management inquiries, maintenance requests, and urgent matters please feel welcome to continue contacting your designated Brick + Timber property management representative.

+ Brick + Timber Property Management Team, 415-520-7290, 1055Mason@rentbt.com

+ After-Hours Emergency – 415-520-3900

Thank you for your cooperation and understanding while we make these important safety improvements to your building.

Sincerely,

Your Brick + Timber property management team

CONTACT INFO

1055 Mason St

OFFICE HOURS

Monday-Friday

San Francisco, CA 94108
(415) 520-7290

9AM-5PM

Sat-Sun: By Appointment

THE INFORMATION CONTAINED IN THIS MESSAGE AND ANY ATTACHMENT MAY BE PRIVILEGED, CONFIDENTIAL, PROPRIETARY OR OTHERWISE PROTECTED FROM DISCLOSURE. If the reader of this message is not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution, copying or use of this message and any attachment is strictly prohibited. If you have received this message in error, please notify us immediately by replying to the message and permanently delete it from your computer and destroy any printout thereof.

1055 Mason St, San Francisco, CA 94108

This email was sent to pnisbett@hotmail.com. To ensure you continue receiving our emails, please add us to your address book or safe list. You can opt out of email notifications by [clicking here](#).

|

From: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#)
Subject: FW: Bars and YOUR ADDED CONTAMINATION RULE
Date: Tuesday, May 12, 2020 4:36:00 PM

From: Barbara Burdick <barbaraburdick1@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, May 12, 2020 2:32 PM
To: Marstaff (BOS) <marstaff@sfgov.org>; Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>
Subject: Bars and YOUR ADDED CONTAMINATION RULE

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Am I actually reading this? To open your bar up you need to ADD MORE CONTAMINATION by adding a 2nd party vendor of food (multiple contacts to prepare food) but 1 bartend to 1 consumer is not allowed.

Who is the brainchild of this nonsense?

This rule is utterly ridiculous and creates more health issues than just allowing bars to open with social distancing.

What is your argument?

Barbara Burdick
415 494 1780

- [Brewpubs, breweries, bars, pubs, craft distilleries, and wineries that do not provide sit-down meals themselves, but can contract with another vendor to do so, can serve dine-in meals provided both businesses follow the guidance below and alcohol is only sold in the same transaction as a meal.](#)

From: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#)
Subject: FW: Dean Preston / homeless people during covid-19
Date: Monday, May 11, 2020 11:34:00 AM

From: dina.sporer@gmail.com <dina.sporer@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, May 7, 2020 8:53 PM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>
Subject: Dean Preston / homeless people during covid-19

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dean and the SF Supervisors-

Can you please explain to me this situation that I am reading here in Hoodline? <https://hoodline.com/2020/05/with-hotel-program-stonewalled-city-supervisors-work-to-launch-outdoor-safe-sleeping-sites>

We have hotel rooms available for the homeless population, is my understanding, correct? Are we opting to move the homeless into specific areas and not put them into the hotels to save money, or is there some other, even less satisfying reason, like the hoteliers do not want their hotel rooms for homeless people?

Please advise, as a longtime resident of San Francisco, I'd like to understand the situation better.

Thanks for your time and I look forward to hearing from you.

Best,
Dina

Dina Sporer
dina.sporer@gmail.com

77 Van Ness Ave #606
415 728 3253

From: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#)
Subject: FW: SF City Ordinance to Ban Smoking Inside Muti-Unit Apartments
Date: Monday, May 11, 2020 11:25:00 AM

From: Tom Nguyen <huynguyen531@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, May 7, 2020 5:23 PM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>
Subject: SF City Ordinance to Ban Smoking Inside Muti-Unit Apartments

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hi,

Is it possible to ban smoking inside multi-unit apartments during shelter in place? A lot of counties in CA have already have such ordinance.

Tom

From: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#)
Subject: FW: SHAME ON YOU!!!
Date: Monday, May 11, 2020 11:44:00 AM

From: Mel GRANT <sfx1@comcast.net>
Sent: Saturday, May 9, 2020 11:04 AM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>
Subject: SHAME ON YOU!!!

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Shame on ALL of you for letting this great city be overwhelmed by the mentally insane, drug-addicts and filthy health hazards created by tent encampments in the city and neighborhoods!!!

<https://www.cnn.com/videos/tv/2020/05/09/sf-sued-over-nightmare-neighborhood-conditions.cnn>

There is no easy answer, however, you have let it get worse and worse to where its becoming intolerable for residents to want to live here anymore!!! Maybe if there was a tax revolt from tax payers you might put your collective brain to work and find a much better solution than what we have now which is "NONE" !!!

SHAME ON ALL OF YOU.... !!!!

Do your jobs and protect the best interests of the city and it's residents!!!!!!

Mel Grant

From: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#)
Subject: FW: Two unbelievable SF Board positions on outdoors
Date: Monday, May 11, 2020 11:23:00 AM

From: Allen Jones <jones-allen@att.net>
Sent: Thursday, May 7, 2020 4:13 PM
To: janelle.bitker@sfchronicle.com; Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>; Breed, Mayor London (MYR) <mayorlondonbreed@sfgov.org>; metro@sfchronicle.com; P Matier <pmatier@sfchronicle.com>; Heather Knight <hknight@sfchronicle.com>
Subject: Two unbelievable SF Board positions on outdoors

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Attention: All Members of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

First you hop into bed with the hotel industry and claim we must get all the homeless into hotels to protect them from Coronavirus. Then you hop into bed with the restaurant industry to open up the streets to restaurants to help in Post-Coronavirus business.

If the outdoors are so bad for the homeless why are they good for the restaurant business?

SF Chronicle article 5/7/20

<https://www.sfchronicle.com/food/article/To-survive-coronavirus-San-Francisco-restaurants-15255104.php>

My Medium posts:

“‘Kar Keys’ for Post-Coronavirus Homelessness?” by Allen Jones

<https://link.medium.com/d3DwcqTmc6>

“Shut up, sit down and Listen: I am San Francisco’s Homeless Expert” by Allen Jones

<https://link.medium.com/lGapqRHkf6>

Allen Jones
(415) 756-7733
jones-allen@att.net
Californiaclemency.org

The Only thing I love more than justice is the freedom to fight for it.

--AllenJones--

From: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#)
Subject: FW: UNFAIR Bars without food cannot serve cocktails to go.
Date: Monday, May 11, 2020 11:44:00 AM

-----Original Message-----

From: Barbara Burdick <barbaraburdick1@gmail.com>
Sent: Saturday, May 9, 2020 8:10 AM
To: Marstaff (BOS) <marstaff@sfgov.org>; Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>
Subject: UNFAIR Bars without food cannot serve cocktails to go.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Gordon, Board and Mayor Breed,

My son owns a bar on Taraval St. that was completely shut March 17th and not allowed to serve cocktails "to go". All of his young bartenders, I assume, are on unemployment. The rent is piling up.

Next door, however, is a restaurant with a bar and they are allowed to sell cocktails "to go" as long as food is purchased. Basically, you can order 4 Margaritas 'to go' as long as you have a taco in the order.

Let's look at this:

FOOD: How many people does the order pass through before the consumer? Prep + cook + packaging + window service. (Minimum)

BAR: 1 Bartender to Consumer

This has been brutally unfair in San Francisco.

How are bars that do not serve food being compensated because of your mandate?

Why this discrimination towards bars that don't serve food?

You have mandated an injustice during this pandemic.

By all means, allow people to purchase 0 nutritional value MacDonalds, crappy frappy drinks, hot dogs at Costco... Where is the fairness?

Barbara Burdick
415 494 1780

From: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#)
Cc: [BOS Legislation, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: FW: Strengthen Language in Resolution: Testimony on Agenda Item #16, Additional COVID-19 Data Reporting for Congregate Residential Facilities
Date: Friday, May 8, 2020 4:59:00 PM

From: pmonette-shaw <pmonette-shaw@earthlink.net>

Sent: Friday, May 8, 2020 4:52 PM

To: Yee, Norman (BOS) <norman.yee@sfgov.org>; Fewer, Sandra (BOS) <sandra.fewer@sfgov.org>; Stefani, Catherine (BOS) <catherine.stefani@sfgov.org>; Peskin, Aaron (BOS) <aaron.peskin@sfgov.org>; Mar, Gordon (BOS) <gordon.mar@sfgov.org>; Preston, Dean (BOS) <dean.preston@sfgov.org>; Haney, Matt (BOS) <matt.haney@sfgov.org>; Mandelman, Rafael (BOS) <rafael.mandelman@sfgov.org>; Ronen, Hillary <hillary.ronen@sfgov.org>; Walton, Shamann (BOS) <shamann.walton@sfgov.org>; Safai, Ahsha (BOS) <ahsha.safai@sfgov.org>

Cc: Calvillo, Angela (BOS) <angela.calvillo@sfgov.org>; Yu, Angelina (BOS) <angelina.yu@sfgov.org>; Fregosi, Ian (BOS) <ian.fregosi@sfgov.org>; Boilard, Chelsea (BOS) <chelsea.boilard@sfgov.org>; Herzstein, Daniel (BOS) <daniel.herzstein@sfgov.org>; Bennett, Samuel (BOS) <samuel.bennett@sfgov.org>; Mullan, Andrew (BOS) <andrew.mullan@sfgov.org>; Falzon, Frankie (BOS) <frankie.falzon@sfgov.org>; Angulo, Sunny (BOS) <sunny.angulo@sfgov.org>; Hepner, Lee (BOS) <lee.hepner@sfgov.org>; Yan, Calvin (BOS) <calvin.yan@sfgov.org>; Quan, Daisy (BOS) <daisy.quan@sfgov.org>; Wong, Alan (BOS) <alan.wong1@sfgov.org>; Wright, Edward (BOS) <edward.w.wright@sfgov.org>; Huang, Jenny (BOS) <jenny.huang1@sfgov.org>; RivamonteMesa, Abigail (BOS) <abigail.rivamontemesa@sfgov.org>; Mcdonald, Courtney (BOS) <courtney.mcdonald@sfgov.org>; Mahogany, Honey (BOS) <honey.mahogany@sfgov.org>; Zou, Han (BOS) <han.zou@sfgov.org>; Low, Jen (BOS) <jen.low@sfgov.org>; Maybaum, Erica (BOS) <erica.maybaum@sfgov.org>; Lee, Ivy (MYR) <ivy.lee@sfgov.org>; Vejby, Caitlin (BOS) <caitlin.vejby@sfgov.org>; Smeallie, Kyle (BOS) <kyle.smeallie@sfgov.org>; Temprano, Tom (BOS) <tom.temprano@sfgov.org>; Mundy, Erin (BOS) <erin.mundy@sfgov.org>; Adkins, Joe (BOS) <joe.adkins@sfgov.org>; Goossen, Carolyn (PDR) <carolyn.goossen@sfgov.org>; Monge, Paul (BOS) <paul.monge@sfgov.org>; Beinart, Amy (BOS) <amy.beinart@sfgov.org>; Li-D9, Jennifer (BOS) <jennifer.li-d9@sfgov.org>; Burch, Percy (BOS) <percy.burch@sfgov.org>; Gallardo, Tracy (BOS) <tracy.gallardo@sfgov.org>; Gee, Natalie (BOS) <natalie.gee@sfgov.org>; Evans, Abe (BOS) <abe.evans@sfgov.org>; Sandoval, Suhagey (BOS) <suhagey.sandoval@sfgov.org>; Ho, Tim (BOS) <tim.h.ho@sfgov.org>; Chinchilla, Monica (BOS) <monica.chinchilla@sfgov.org>; Smeallie, Kyle (BOS) <kyle.smeallie@sfgov.org>; Kilgore, Preston (BOS) <preston.kilgore@sfgov.org>; Yu, Avery (BOS) <avery.yu@sfgov.org>

Subject: Strengthen Language in Resolution: Testimony on Agenda Item #16, Additional COVID-19 Data Reporting for Congregate Residential Facilities

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Patrick Monette-Shaw

975 Sutter Street, Apt. 6
San Francisco, CA 94109
Phone: (415) 292-6969 • e-mail:
pmonette-shaw@earthlink.net

May 8, 2020

San Francisco Board of Supervisors

The Honorable Norman Yee, Board President

The Honorable Sandra Lee Fewer, Supervisor, District 1

The Honorable Catherine Stefani, Supervisor, District 2

The Honorable Aaron Peskin, Supervisor, District 3

The Honorable Gordon Mar, Supervisor, District 4

The Honorable Dean Preston, Supervisor, District 5

The Honorable Matt Haney, Supervisor, District 6

The Honorable Rafael Mandelman, Supervisor, District 8

The Honorable Hillary Ronen, Supervisor, District 9

The Honorable Shamann Walton, Supervisor, District 10

The Honorable Ahsha Safai, Supervisor, District 11

1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place

San Francisco, CA 94102

Re: **Testimony on Agenda Item #16,**
Additional COVID-19 Data Reporting for Congregate Residential Facilities

Dear President Yee and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I support the proposed Resolution at agenda item 16 on the Board of Supervisors May 12 agenda, File # 200468, *“Additional COVID-19 Data Reporting for Congregate Residential Facilities and Sexual Orientation and Gender Identity Demographic Information.”*

However, I recommend strengthening language in the Resolution:

1. Line 8, page 2: At the end of line #8, change the word “*the*” to the word “*each*,” so that the clause reads “... *for the following types of congregate residential facilities, including the name of **each** facility.*”
2. Line 24, Page 2: Add an additional “FURTHER RESOLVED” clause directing SFDPH to include online in the Data Tracker web page:
 - a. Group the facilities listed by the various categories of residential facilities. For instance, there should be a category subheading titled “*Chronic Dialysis Clinics*” with a hyperlink to a page that displays only the names of dialysis clinics, separate from a category heading titled “*Skilled Nursing Facilities*” with a hyperlink to a page that only displays the names of each of the 21 SNF’s in San Francisco.
 - b. For each facility listed in each of the various categories of residential facilities, explicitly

direct SFDPH to report the cumulative numbers of COVID-positive staff, COVID-positive clients/patients, number of staff who died, and the number of clients/patients who died.

3. Require SFDPH to actually report all aggregate data, not so-called “de-identified” data by withholding complete numbers under the pretext of avoiding HIPAA violations. As you may know, the California Department of Public Health began on-line reporting of COVID-19 data in only 255 of California’s 1,224 skilled nursing facilities beginning on April 17 but only reports accurate data if there are more than 11 cases among staff or 11 cases among staff if any given SNF; otherwise, they data is hidden by reporting “>11” and obscuring the data. It’s a complete myth that there are HIPPA violations by reporting complete aggregate data.
4. CDPH eventually expanded on-line reporting data for all 1,224 SNF’s, but as of today’s date only 85% of SNF’s have complied, with 185 SNF’s failing to report any data to CDPH, including three SNF’s in San Francisco: SFGH’s D/P SNF, Laurel Heights Community Care on California Street, and Victorian Post Acute on Pine Street. The Resolution should be strengthened to require 100% compliance by all facilities.

Thank you for sponsoring this Resolution!

Respectfully submitted,

Patrick Monette-Shaw

Columnist,

Westside Observer Newspaper

cc: Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board

From: [aeboken](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Subject: SUPPORTING BOS Agenda Item #16 Urging Additional COVID-19 Data Reporting for Congregate Residential Facilities and Sexual Orientation and Gender Identity Demographic Information File #200468
Date: Saturday, May 9, 2020 3:43:34 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

TO: Board of Supervisors members

I am supporting the additional COVID-19 data reporting as outlined in this legislation.

Eileen Boken
Chair, Land Use and Transportation Committee
Coalition for San Francisco Neighborhoods*

* For identification purposes only.

Sent from my Verizon, Samsung Galaxy smartphone

From: [Emily Lee](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Power, Andres \(MYR\)](#); [Kittler, Sophia \(MYR\)](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#); [BOS-Supervisors](#); [SFPD, Commission \(POL\)](#)
Subject: Letter re: Police Commission and Police Interactions During COVID-19
Date: Thursday, May 7, 2020 2:17:30 PM
Attachments: [Letter to Police Commissioners.pdf](#)

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Mayor Breed, Members of the Board of Supervisors, and Police Commissioners:

Please see the attached letter regarding the role of the Police Commission during the COVID-19 crisis and concerns highlighted by the undersigned community organizations and non-profits.

Thank you,

Emily Lee
Director

[San Francisco Rising](#)

Follow us: [Twitter](#), [Facebook](#), [Instagram](#)



May 7, 2020

Dear Mayor Breed, Members of the Board of Supervisors, and Police Commissioners:

We are writing to you on behalf of San Francisco United in Crisis—a coalition of community organizations and labor unions based in San Francisco that are working with city agencies and our elected leaders to develop COVID-19 responses and policies that prioritize those most vulnerable to the virus and its economic impacts. In addition to seniors, people with disabilities, low wage workers, undocumented workers, essential workers, and low-income tenants, one of the most vulnerable groups are those currently incarcerated in our jail system and those who are arrested, charged, or otherwise moving through the criminal legal system. The Police Department is one law enforcement agency that has a significant role in whether communities who are already at higher risk for COVID-19 will be disproportionately part of those arrested or interacting with police during the shelter in place orders.

Therefore, we would like to inquire whether the San Francisco Police Commission has created and implemented formal policies or recommendations on police conduct and safety during COVID-19 and, if so, what those policies are.

San Francisco United in Crisis has created recommendations to ensure the safety of San Francisco police officers and residents during the COVID-19 pandemic, which can be found on our website, www.sfunitedincrisis.org. Under the decarceration category, our recommendation on policing to keep our communities safe is the following:

Reduce police contact to reduce transmission

Law enforcement should decline to arrest people for nonviolent crimes (including resisting arrest, where no violent crime precipitated the arrest), and limit contacts, stops, warrant enforcement, and instances of taking people into custody to situations where there is a reasonable imminent concern for public safety and where a violent crime is involved. Law enforcement should maximize use of cite and release for as many offenses as possible within a jurisdiction's policies, including violent crimes. No citations should be issued for quality of life violations or crimes related to a person's homelessness.

Our coalition has further recommendations both on decarceration and other issues to the relevant stakeholders such as:

- Releasing people from San Francisco locked facilities and housing them
- Ensuring the equitable access to information and delivering food and medications
- Creating public health emergency leave and worker protections and direct payments to vulnerable workers
- Access to food and mental health services to families and school children

- Access to basic sanitation and testing along with adequate staffing and protection in all healthcare facilities
- Rent cancellation and access to 14,000 housing/hotel units for houseless community members.

We are also in support of the FREE SF coalition's letter to the San Francisco Police Department to issue a new memo that rescinds imprisonment as a consequence for violating the shelter-in-place order, publicly denounce ICE's enforcement activities during this time, and to reinforce the department's commitment to abide by the City's local and state laws to protect the immigrant community.


We understand that the Police Commission is not currently meeting as a body during shelter in place, however, we believe that it is critical for the Commission to resume its regular meetings because of the role it plays in holding SFPD publicly accountable and implementing much needed reforms during COVID-19. Community advocates, the public, and elected officials all deserve to have a functioning Police Commission during these extraordinary times.

We would like to collaborate with you to ensure that San Francisco continues to lead the country in effective COVID-19 response and that San Francisco officers have formalized policies to ensure their safety and that of the community. We hope to meet with you individually to discuss your thoughts and concerns. Please let us know a good time to schedule a meeting with you.

Thank you,
SF United in Crisis, including the following groups:

ACLU of Northern California
San Francisco Rising
Transgender, Gender-Variant, & Intersex Justice
Project (TGI Justice Project)

St. James Infirmary
Community Housing Partnership
Coleman Advocates for Children and Youth



From: [Noni Richen - SPOSFI](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Haney, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Mar, Gordon \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Resolution File 200406, Mandelman
Date: Friday, May 8, 2020 1:37:10 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Mayor Breed and District Supervisors:

Small Property Owners of San Francisco Institute (SPOSFI) is an organization of some 2,000 small (“mom-and-pop”) rental housing providers in San Francisco. We normally limit our advocacy to issues directly related to rental housing, but are making an exception on the issue of homelessness because it is something that affects ALL San Franciscans, including our members and their tenants. The homeless situation was very serious before the COVID-19 pandemic. It is now a matter of greatest urgency as we see more and more unhoused individuals congregating on our sidewalks, in our parks, and in doorways.

It is in this context that we urge you to actively support an idea being promoted by D-8 Supervisor Rafael Mandelman to create sanctioned tent encampments as a safe shelter option for homeless currently living on city sidewalks (Resolution File 200406). Using the huge parking lot at Everett Middle School and other available parking lots as temporary sanctioned places for homeless tents, appropriately spaced, and provided with sanitation, cleanup, security, and supervision makes sense. Properly executed (and this is crucial), we

support this approach to dealing with our unhoused population, especially if it is designed as a path **out of homelessness**, not simply perpetuating it. It is certainly far superior to the current situation whereby the homeless are dispersed haphazardly across the city

In conclusion, the Board of Directors of SPOSFI urges you to support pilot-testing this concept and making it a top priority. Time is of the essence.

Sincerely,

Noni Richen, President

SPOSF/SPOSFI
www.smallprop.org

From: paulcox890@comcast.net
To: [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Interim County Veterans Service Officer
Date: Tuesday, May 5, 2020 2:06:39 PM

BOS-11

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To: The San Francisco Board of Supervisors
From: The American Legion War Memorial Commission
RE: Interim appointment of a County Veterans Service Officer

It has come to the ALWMC's attention that the County Veterans Service Office has been without a director, the County Veterans Service Officer, for some time. Since the position is important, as well as legislatively required, and since the a fair portion of the 27,000 veterans in San Francisco benefit from a well run and well led County Veteran's office, it seems imperative that the BoS make every effort to fill the CVSO position immediately on an interim basis while undertaking a serious, and perhaps national, search for a permanent leader. The County Veterans Service office provides critical assistance in getting veterans into the VA system by helping them perfect their disability compensation claims to the Veterans Benefits Administration, and qualifying them for Veterans Healthcare Administration assistance. Both of these benefits require a substantial knowledge of the VA bureaucracy which the trained staff at the CVS office can provide; but they need the direction, organizational and budgetary support, and voice within the vastly larger HHS department that only a knowledgeable, motivating, and focused director can provide.

Seven years ago, the American Legion in San Francisco created the Veterans Success Center, which helps veterans with job applications, resume support, interview techniques, and referrals to other support agencies, including especially the CVSO. The VSC has gotten hundreds of jobs for veterans. The County Veterans office is an important link in the web of support for homeless, poor, or at-risk veterans in this City. Veterans who would otherwise be homeless, but who can get HUD-VASH vouchers, can afford to homes and live with dignity. If for no other reason, please consider that for every dollar a veteran gets in VA benefits, a much larger amount is saved by the City in GA,

healthcare, and other support services. We need the City Fathers to give the CVS office the attention and support it deserves, including an immediate decision to install an interim CVSO director.

Thanks,

Paul Cox, Adjutant

American Legion War Memorial Commission.

Paulcox890@comcast.net

From: [Leah Edwards](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors. \(BOS\)](#)
Cc: [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Haney, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [Mar, Gordon \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [SFPD, Commission \(POL\)](#)
Subject: Advocating for the Police Commission to hold public meetings
Date: Thursday, May 7, 2020 1:10:21 PM
Attachments: [LWVSF letter to SF Mayor and BoS regarding Police Commission 05_07_2020.pdf](#)

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Mayor Breed and Board of Supervisors,

The League of Women Voters of San Francisco is advocating for the City to allow the Police Commission to hold public meetings as it is the body dedicated to oversight and discipline to ensure equitable public safety.

Whereas the Police Commission has not held a public meeting since February 19, 2020, other cities and counties have continued to hold remote meetings for their oversight commissions: Oakland has been holding remote Police Commission meetings since April 9, 2020 and Los Angeles County has been holding remote Sheriff Civilian Oversight Commission meetings since April 16, 2020.

In light of recent events, having the Police Commission absent when an officer involved shooting happens and when SFPD officers wear matching masks with POA insignia and controversial Blue Lives Matter symbol face coverings is not acceptable.

Attached is a letter urging the City to allow the Police Commission to hold public meetings as we see it as a risk to public safety if the Police Commission remains absent.

Thank you for your attention on this matter and the opportunity to provide our urgent concerns to maintain the equitable public safety of our city.

Thank you,
Leah Edwards

From: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#)
Subject: 22 letters regarding safe, car-free space during shelter-in-place
Date: Thursday, May 14, 2020 4:26:00 PM
Attachments: [Thank you for creating car-free safe space in Golden Gate Park McLaren Park..msg](#)
[Thank you for creating car-free safe space in Golden Gate Park McLaren Park..msg](#)
[Thank you for creating car-free safe space in Golden Gate Park McLaren Park..msg](#)
[Thank you for creating car-free safe space in Golden Gate Park McLaren Park..msg](#)
[Thank you for creating car-free safe space in Golden Gate Park McLaren Park..msg](#)
[Thank you for creating car-free safe space in Golden Gate Park McLaren Park..msg](#)
[Thank you for creating car-free safe space in Golden Gate Park McLaren Park..msg](#)
[Thank you for creating car-free safe space in Golden Gate Park McLaren Park..msg](#)
[Thank you for creating car-free safe space in Golden Gate Park McLaren Park..msg](#)
[Thank you for creating car-free safe space in Golden Gate Park McLaren Park..msg](#)
[Thank you for creating car-free safe space in Golden Gate Park McLaren Park..msg](#)
[Thank you for creating car-free safe space in Golden Gate Park McLaren Park..msg](#)
[Thank you for creating car-free safe space in Golden Gate Park McLaren Park..msg](#)
[Thank you for creating car-free safe space in Golden Gate Park McLaren Park..msg](#)
[Thank you for creating car-free safe space in Golden Gate Park McLaren Park..msg](#)
[Thank you for creating car-free safe space in Golden Gate Park McLaren Park..msg](#)
[Thank you for creating car-free safe space in Golden Gate Park McLaren Park..msg](#)
[Thank you for creating car-free safe space in Golden Gate Park McLaren Park..msg](#)
[Thank you for creating car-free safe space in Golden Gate Park McLaren Park..msg](#)
[Thank you for creating car-free safe space in Golden Gate Park McLaren Park..msg](#)
[Thank you for creating car-free safe space in Golden Gate Park McLaren Park..msg](#)

Hello Supervisors,

Please see attached 22 letters regarding safe, car-free space during shelter-in-place.

Thank you,

Jackie Hickey
 Board of Supervisors
 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, City Hall, Room 244
 San Francisco, CA 94102-4689
 Phone: (415) 554-5184 | Direct: (415) 554-7701
jacqueline.hickey@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

From: RPDInfo, RPD (REC) <rpdinfo@sfgov.org>
Sent: Tuesday, May 12, 2020 2:09 PM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>
Subject: Fw: Addresses for RPD list serve

From: Ginsburg, Phil (REC) <phil.ginsburg@sfgov.org>
Sent: Tuesday, May 12, 2020 1:46 PM
To: RPDInfo, RPD (REC) <rpdinfo@sfgov.org>
Subject: Addresses for RPD list serve

Philip A. Ginsburg
General Manager

San Francisco Recreation and Park Department | City & County of San Francisco
McLaren Lodge in Golden Gate Park | [501 Stanyan Street](#) | [San Francisco](#), CA

[\(415\) 831.2701](#)



Visit us at sfrecpark.org

Like us on [Facebook](#)

Follow us on [Twitter](#)

Watch us on [sfRecParkTV](#)

Sign up for our [e-News](#)