<u>0</u>		Hawkins Delafield & Wood LLP Draft of 5/18/2020
now t	PRELIMINARY OFFICIAL STATEME	
on, or sak	NEW ISSUE – BOOK-ENTRY ONLY	RATINGS: Moody's: S&P: Fitch:
Citati		(See "Ratings" herein)
buy, not shall there be any sale of these securities, in any jurisdiction in which such offer, solicitation, of sale would be of such jurisdiction.	[In the opinion of Orrick, Herrington & Sutcliffe LLP, San Francisco, Counsel, based upon an analysis of existing laws, regulations, rulings and coof certain representations and compliance with certain covenants, interest of income tax purposes under Section 103 of the Internal Revenue Code of 196 2020B-1 Bonds is not a specific preference item for purposes of the federal at that interest on the 2020B-1 Bonds is exempt from State of California person observes that interest on the 2020B-2 Bonds is not excluded from gross income See "TAX MATTERS." Bond Counsel expresses no opinion regarding any of or the amount, accrual or receipt of interest on, the Bonds.]	urt decisions, and assuming, among other matters, the accuracy in the 2020B-I Bonds is excluded from gross income for federal 86. In the further opinion of Co-Bond Counsel, interest on the lternative minimum tax. Co-Bond Counsel is also of the opinion al income taxes. See "TAX MATTERS." Bond Counsel further be for federal income tax purposes under Section 103 of the Code.
y Juris	\$[Par Amount]*	\$[Par Amount]*
cullites, in an	CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO GENERAL OBLIGATION BONDS (TRANSPORTATION & ROAD IMPROVEMENT BONDS, 2014)	CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO GENERAL OBLIGATION BONDS (TRANSPORTATION & ROAD IMPROVEMENT BONDS, 2014)
es es	SERIES 2020B-1	SERIES 2020B-2 (TAXABLE)
<u> </u>	Dated: Date of Delivery	Due: June 15, as shown in the inside cover
ly, not stall triefe be any safe or such jurisdiction.	The City and County of San Francisco General Obligation Bonds (Tran (the "2020B-1 Bonds") and the City and County of San Francisco General (2014), Series 2020B-2 (Taxable) (the "2020B-2 Bonds," and together with Government Code of the State of California and the Charter of the City and Chas been authorized by certain resolutions adopted by the Board of Supervis described under "THE BONDS – Authority for Issuance; Purposes." The improvements as described herein, and to pay certain costs related to the issua AND USES OF FUNDS."	Obligation Bonds (Transportation & Road Improvement Bonds, the 2020B-1 Bonds, the "Bonds") are being issued under the County of San Francisco (the "City"). The issuance of the Bonds ors of the City and duly approved by the Mayor of the City, as proceeds of the Bonds will be used to finance certain public
	The Bonds will be dated and bear interest from their date of delivery uninside cover hereof. Interest on the Bonds will be payable on June 15 and Principal will be paid at maturity as shown on the inside cover. See "THE B issued only in fully registered form without coupons, and when issued will	December 15 of each year, commencing December 15, 2020. ONDS – Payment of Interest and Principal." The Bonds will be
i i i	Depository Trust Company ("DTC"). Individual purchases of the Bonds will any integral multiple thereof. Payments of principal of and interest on the Bonds which in turn is required to remit such principal and interest to the DTC Part the Bonds. See "THE BONDS – Form and Registration."	nds will be made by the City Treasurer, as paying agent, to DTC,
der th	The Bonds will be subject to redemption prior to maturity, as describ	ed herein. See "THE BONDS – Redemption."
ation	The Board of Supervisors has the power and is obligated to levy ad v property subject to taxation by the City (except certain property which i the interest thereon when due. See "SECURITY FOR THE BONDS."	
tion or qualific	This cover page contains certain information for general reference of the terms of the Bonds. Investors are advised to read the entire Official S informed investment decision.	
stratio	BIDS FOR THE PURCHASE OF THE 2020B-1 BONDS AND THE	

CITY AT _:00] A.M. AND [_:30] A.M. PACIFIC TIME, RESPECTIVELY, ON [_ NOTICE OF SALE INVITING BIDS DATED [______], 2020, UNLESS POSTPONED AS SET FORTH IN SUCH OFFICIAL NOTICE OF SALE. See "SALE OF THE BONDS" herein.

^{*} Preliminary, subject to change.

	Hawkins Delafield & Wood LLP
	Draft of 5/18/2020
PRELIMINARY OFFICIAL STATEMENT DATED _	

MATURITY SCHEDULE

(See Inside Cover)

The Bonds are offered when, as and if issued by the City and accepted by the initial purchasers, subject to the approval of legality by
Orrick, Herrington & Sutcliffe LLP, San Francisco, California and Curls Bartling P.C., Oakland, California, Co-Bond Counsel, and certain
other conditions. Certain legal matters will be passed upon for the City by its City Attorney and by Hawkins Delafield & Wood LLP, San
Francisco, California and Stradling Yocca Carlson & Rauth, a Professional Corporation, Newport Beach, California, Co-Disclosure Counsel
to the City. It is expected that the Bonds in book-entry form will be available for delivery through the facilities of DTC on or about
2020.

Dated: _____, 2020

MATURITY SCHEDULE

(Base CUSIP[†] Number: _____)

\$[Par Amount]* CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO GENERAL OBLIGATION BONDS (TRANSPORTATION & ROAD IMPROVEMENT BONDS, 2014) SERIES 2020B-1

Maturity				
Date	Principal	Interest		CUSIP [†]
(June 15)	$Amount^*$	Rate	Yield/Price	Suffix
-	\$-	-%	-%	-
-	-	-	-	-
-	-	-	-	-
-	-	-	-	-
-	=	_	_	-

\$[Par Amount]* CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO GENERAL OBLIGATION BONDS (TRANSPORTATION & ROAD IMPROVEMENT BONDS, 2014) SERIES 2020B-2 (TAXABLE)

Maturity				
Date	Principal	Interest		CUSIP [†]
(June 15)	$Amount^*$	Rate	Yield/Price	Suffix
-	\$-	-%	-%	=
-	-	-	-	-
-	-	-	-	-
-	-	=	-	-
-	-	-	-	-
-	-	_	-	_

^{*} Preliminary, subject to change.

[†] CUSIP is a registered trademark of the American Bankers Association. CUSIP data herein is provided by CUSIP Global Services, managed by Standard and Poor's Financial Services LLC on behalf of the American Bankers Association. CUSIP numbers are provided for convenience of reference only. The City does not take any responsibility for the accuracy of such numbers.

No dealer, broker, salesperson or other person has been authorized by the City to give any information or to make any representations other than those contained herein and, if given or made, such other information or representation must not be relied upon as having been authorized by the City. This Official Statement does not constitute an offer to sell or the solicitation of an offer to buy nor shall there be any sale of the Bonds by any person, in any jurisdiction in which it is unlawful for such person to make such an offer, solicitation or sale.

This Official Statement is not to be construed as a contract with the purchaser or purchasers of the Bonds. Statements contained in this Official Statement which involve estimates, forecasts or matters of opinion, whether or not expressly so described herein, are intended solely as such and are not to be construed as representations of fact.

The information set forth herein, other than that provided by the City, has been obtained from sources that are believed to be reliable, but is not guaranteed as to accuracy or completeness. The information and expressions of opinion herein are subject to change without notice and neither delivery of this Official Statement nor any sale made hereunder shall, under any circumstances, create any implication that there has been no change in the affairs of the City since the date hereof.

This Official Statement is submitted in connection with the sale of the Bonds referred to herein and may not be reproduced or used, in whole or in part, for any other purpose, unless authorized in writing by the City. All summaries of the documents and laws are made subject to the provisions thereof and do not purport to be complete statements of any or all such provisions.

In connection with the offering of the Bonds, the underwriters may over-allot or effect transactions which stabilize or maintain the market price of the Bonds at levels above that which might otherwise prevail in the open market. Such stabilizing, if commenced, may be discontinued at any time. The underwriters may offer and sell the Bonds to certain dealers and dealer banks at prices lower than the initial public offering prices stated on the inside cover hereof. Such initial public offering prices may be changed from time to time by the underwriters.

This Official Statement contains forecasts, projections, estimates and other forward-looking statements that are based on current expectations. The words "expects," "forecasts," "projects," "intends," "anticipates," "estimates," "assumes" and analogous expressions are intended to identify forward-looking statements. Such forecasts, projections and estimates are not intended as representations of fact or guarantees of results. Any such forward-looking statements inherently are subject to a variety of risks and uncertainties that could cause actual results or performance to differ materially from those that have been forecast, estimated or projected. Such risks and uncertainties include, among others, public health emergencies, such as the COVID-19 Emergency (as defined herein), changes in social and economic conditions, federal, state and local statutory and regulatory initiatives, litigation, population changes, seismic events and various other events, conditions and circumstances, many of which are beyond the control of the City. These forward-looking statements speak only as of the date of this Official Statement. The City disclaims any obligation or undertaking to release publicly any updates or revisions to any forward-looking statement contained herein to reflect any changes in the expectations of the City with regard thereto or any change in events, conditions or circumstances on which any such statement is based.

The issuance and sale of the Bonds have not been registered under the Securities Act of 1933 in reliance upon the exemption provided thereunder by Section 3(a)2 for the issuance and sale of municipal securities.

The City maintains a website. The information presented on such website is *not* incorporated by reference as part of this Official Statement and should not be relied upon in making investment decisions with respect to the Bonds. Various other websites referred to in this Official Statement also are not incorporated herein by such references.



CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO MAYOR

London N. Breed

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

Norman Yee, Board President, District 7

Sandra Lee Fewer, District 1
Catherine Stefani, District 2
Aaron Peskin, District 3
Gordon Mar, District 4
Dean Preston, District 5

Matt Haney, *District 6*Rafael Mandelman, *District 8*Hillary Ronen, *District 9*Shamann Walton, *District 10*Ahsha Safai, *District 11*

CITY ATTORNEY

Dennis J. Herrera

CITY TREASURER

José Cisneros

OTHER CITY AND COUNTY OFFICIALS

Naomi M. Kelly, City Administrator Benjamin Rosenfield, Controller Anna Van Degna, Director, Controller's Office of Public Finance

PROFESSIONAL SERVICES

Paying Agent and Registrar

[Treasurer of the City and County of San Francisco]

Co-Bond Counsel

Orrick, Herrington & Sutcliffe LLP San Francisco, California

Curls Bartling P.C. *Oakland, California*

Municipal Advisor

Fieldman, Rolapp & Associates, Inc. *Irvine, California*

Co-Disclosure Counsel

Hawkins Delafield & Wood LLP San Francisco, California

Stradling Yocca Carlson & Rauth, a Professional Corporation Newport Beach, California



TABLE OF CONTENTS

INTRODUCTION	
THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO	1
RECENT DEVELOPMENTS	3
General	
Modifications to Budget Calendar	4
March Joint Report Update	5
May Update Report	
Threat of Extended Recession	
Impact of the State of California Budget on Local Finances	
Impact of the Federal Government on Local Finances	
THE BONDS	
Authority for Issuance; Purposes	12
Form and Registration	
Payment of Interest and Principal	13
Redemption	
Defeasance	
SOURCES AND USES OF FUNDS	
Deposit and Investment of Bond Proceeds	
DEBT SERVICE SCHEDULE	
SECURITY FOR THE BONDS	
General	
Pledge	
Statutory Lien on Taxes	
Property Taxation	
CERTAIN RISK FACTORS	
Factors Affecting Property Tax Security for the Bonds	
City Long-Term Financial Challenges	
Seismic Risks	
Climate Change, Risk of Sea Level Rise and Flooding Damage	
Cybersecurity	
Limitation on Remedies; Bankruptcy	30
State Law Limitations on Appropriations	31
Public Health Emergencies	
Other Events	
TAX MATTERS	
OTHER LEGAL MATTERS	
PROFESSIONALS INVOLVED IN THE OFFERING	
ABSENCE OF LITIGATION	
CONTINUING DISCLOSURE	
RATINGS	
SALE OF THE BONDS	
MISCELLANEOUS	35

APPENDICES

- APPENDIX A CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO ORGANIZATION AND FINANCES
- APPENDIX B COMPREHENSIVE ANNUAL FINANCIAL REPORT OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO FOR THE FISCAL YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2019
- APPENDIX C CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO, OFFICE OF THE TREASURER INVESTMENT POLICY
- APPENDIX D FORM OF CONTINUING DISCLOSURE CERTIFICATE
- APPENDIX E DTC AND THE BOOK ENTRY ONLY SYSTEM
- APPENDIX F PROPOSED FORMS OF OPINIONS OF CO-BOND COUNSEL



OFFICIAL STATEMENT

\$[Par Amount]*
CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO
GENERAL OBLIGATION BONDS
(TRANSPORTATION & ROAD
IMPROVEMENT BONDS, 2014)
SERIES 2020B-1

\$[Par Amount]*
CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO
GENERAL OBLIGATION BONDS
(TRANSPORTATION & ROAD
IMPROVEMENT BONDS, 2014)
SERIES 2020B-2 (TAXABLE)

INTRODUCTION

This Official Statement, including the cover page and the appendices hereto, is provided to furnish information in connection with the public offering by the City and County of San Francisco (the "City") of its City and County of San Francisco General Obligation Bonds (Transportation & Road Improvement Bonds, 2014), Series 2020B-1 (the "2020B-1 Bonds") and the City and County of San Francisco General Obligation Bonds (Transportation & Road Improvement Bonds, 2014), Series 2020B-2 (Taxable) (the "2020B-2 Bonds," and together with the 2020B-1 Bonds, the "Bonds"). The Board of Supervisors of the City (the "Board of Supervisors") at the time of fixing the general tax levy will fix, and in the manner provided for such general tax levy, levy and collect annually until the Bonds are paid, an *ad valorem* tax upon the taxable property of the City, without limitation as to rate or amount, sufficient to pay principal of and interest on the Bonds as they become due. See "SECURITY FOR THE BONDS."

This Official Statement speaks only as of its date, and the information contained herein is subject to change. Except as required by the Continuing Disclosure Certificate to be executed by the City with respect to the Bonds, the City has no obligation to update the information in this Official Statement. See "CONTINUING DISCLOSURE" and APPENDIX D – "FORM OF CONTINUING DISCLOSURE CERTIFICATE" herein.

Quotations from and summaries and explanations of the Bonds, the resolutions providing for the issuance and payment of the Bonds, and provisions of the constitution and statutes of the State of California (the "State"), the charter of the City (the "Charter") and City ordinances, and other documents described herein, do not purport to be complete, and reference is made to said laws and documents for the complete provisions thereof. Copies of those documents and information concerning the Bonds are available from the City through the Controller's Office of Public Finance, 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 336, San Francisco, California 94102-4682. Reference is made herein to various other documents, reports, websites, etc., which were either prepared by parties other than the City, or were not prepared, reviewed and approved by the City with a view towards making an offering of public securities, and such materials are therefore not incorporated herein by such references nor deemed a part of this Official Statement.

THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

General. The City is the economic and cultural center of the San Francisco Bay Area and northern California. The limits of the City encompass over 93 square miles, of which 49 square miles are land, with the balance consisting of tidelands and a portion of the San Francisco Bay (the "Bay"). The City is located at the northern tip of the San Francisco Peninsula, bounded by the Pacific Ocean to the west, the Bay and the San Francisco-Oakland Bay Bridge to the east, the entrance to the Bay and the Golden Gate Bridge to the north, and San Mateo County to the south. Silicon Valley is about a 40-minute drive to the south, and the wine country is about an hour's drive to the north. The City estimates the City's population in fiscal year 2018-19 to be 887,463.

^{*} Preliminary, subject to change.

The San Francisco Bay Area consists of the nine counties contiguous to the Bay: Alameda, Contra Costa, Marin, Napa, San Francisco, San Mateo, Santa Clara, Solano and Sonoma Counties (collectively, the "Bay Area"). The economy of the Bay Area includes a wide range of industries, supplying local needs as well as the needs of national and international markets. Major business sectors in the Bay Area include technology, retail, entertainment and the arts, conventions and tourism, service businesses, banking, professional and financial services, corporate headquarters, international and wholesale trade, multimedia and advertising and higher education. The California State Supreme Court is also based in San Francisco.

The COVID-19 Emergency (as defined herein) is a significant new development materially adversely affecting the City's finances and outlook. Many aspects of the City's future finances and operations and the local economy are expected to be materially adversely impacted by the COVID-19 Emergency, Accordingly, any historical information or budgets and projections described in this Official Statement, including Appendices A and B attached hereto, which predate the COVID-19 Emergency or do not include information regarding its impact, should be considered in light of a possible or probable negative impact from the COVID-19 Emergency. To date, City economic and tax revenue losses associated with the COVID-19 Emergency have been stark and immediate. Future impacts are expected to be significant to many aspects of the local economy and City operations and finances. These impacts involve many developing and unknown outcomes. On March 31, 2020, the City released the March Joint Report Update (as defined herein), which included preliminary updates of the City's fiscal year 2019-20 budget and revised projections of the City's budgets for fiscal years 2020-21 and 2021-22. On May 13, 2020, the City released the May Update (as defined herein), which updated the March Joint Report Update and included further analysis of the economic impacts to the City of the City's Shelter-in-Place (as defined herein) order and the City's response to the COVID-19 Emergency. See "RECENT DEVELOPMENTS" herein. This budget and projection information and all otherforward looking statements in this Official Statement are based on current expectations and are not intended as representations of fact or guarantees of results. Any such forward-looking statements inherently are subject to a variety of risks and uncertainties that could cause actual results or performance to differ materially from those that have been forecast, estimated or projected. See "RISK FACTORS - Public Health Emergencies" herein. The City may post certain reports and other information relating to the COVID-19 Emergency when available on its investor information website located at https://sfcontroller.org/continuing-secondary-market-disclosure.

The City has historically been a major convention and tourist destination. According to the San Francisco Travel Association, a nonprofit membership organization, during the calendar year 2019, approximately 26.2 million tourists visited the City, with total spending estimated at \$10.2 billion, including spending from conventions, trade shows and group meetings. The COVID-19 Emergency has significantly adversely impacted tourism and convention activities in the City.

The City is also a leading center for financial activity in the State. The headquarters of the Twelfth Federal Reserve District and the Eleventh District Federal Home Loan Bank are located in the City.

The City benefits from a highly skilled, educated and professional labor force. The City estimates the per-capita personal income of the City for fiscal year 2018-19 to be \$130,961. The San Francisco Unified School District ("SFUSD"), which is a separate legal entity from the City, operates 14 transitional kindergarten schools, 64 elementary schools serving grades transitional kindergarten ("TK")-5, 8 schools serving grades TK-8, 13 middle schools serving grades 6-8, 15 high schools serving grades 9-12, 12 early education schools, and 14 active charter schools authorized by SFUSD. Higher education institutions located in the City include the University of San Francisco, California State University – San Francisco, University of California – San Francisco (a medical school and health science campus), the University of California Hastings College of the Law, the University of the Pacific's School of Dentistry, Golden Gate University, City College of San Francisco (a public community college), the Art Institute of California – San Francisco, the San Francisco Conservatory of Music, the California Culinary Academy, and the Academy of Art University.

San Francisco International Airport ("SFO"), located 14 miles south of downtown San Francisco in an unincorporated area of San Mateo County, is owned and operated by the City, and is the principal commercial service airport for the Bay Area and one of the nation's principal gateways for Pacific Rim traffic. In fiscal year 2018-19, SFO serviced approximately 57 million passengers and handled 564,521 metric tons of cargo. The City is also served by the Bay Area Rapid Transit District ("BART," an electric rail commuter service linking the City with the East Bay and the San Francisco Peninsula, including SFO), Caltrain (a conventional commuter rail line linking the City with the San Francisco Peninsula), and bus and ferry services between the City and residential areas to the north, east and south of the City. San Francisco Municipal Railway ("Muni"), operated by the San Francisco Municipal Transportation Agency ("SFMTA"), provides bus and streetcar service within the City. The Port of San Francisco (the "Port"), which administers 7.5 miles of Bay waterfront held in "public trust" by the Port on behalf of the people of the State, promotes a balance of maritime-related commerce, fishing, recreational, industrial and commercial activities, and natural resource protection.

Government. San Francisco is a city and county chartered pursuant to Article XI, Sections 3, 4, 5 and 6 of the Constitution of the State of California and is the only consolidated city and county in the State. Voters approved the City's current Charter at the November 1995 election. The City is governed by a Board of Supervisors elected from 11 districts to serve 4-year terms, and a Mayor who serves as chief executive officer, elected citywide to a 4-year term. The City's adopted budget for fiscal years 2019-20 and 2020-21 totaled \$12.3 billion and \$12.0 billion, respectively. The General Fund portion of each year's adopted budget was \$6.1 billion in fiscal year 2019-20 and \$6.0 billion in fiscal year 2020-21, with the balance being allocated to all other funds, including enterprise fund departments, such as SFO, SFMTA, the Port Commission and the San Francisco Public Utilities Commission ("SFPUC"). The City's budget for fiscal year 2019-20 and 2020-21 includes 37,907 and 38,122 budgeted and funded City positions, respectively. According to the Treasurer and Tax Collector, the fiscal year 2019-20 total net assessed valuation of taxable property in the City is approximately \$281.1 billion, which represented an increase of 8.4% over fiscal year 2018-19.

More detailed information about the City's governance, organization and finances may be found in APPENDIX A – "CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO ORGANIZATION AND FINANCES" and in APPENDIX B – "COMPREHENSIVE ANNUAL FINANCIAL REPORT OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO FOR THE FISCAL YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2019."

RECENT DEVELOPMENTS

[To be updated as information becomes available]

The following information regarding certain recent developments in the finances and operations of the City supplements and amends the information set forth in Appendix A as of the date of this Official Statement. Certain of the information provided below regarding the recent and ongoing COVID-19 Emergency (as defined below) is expected to have material adverse impacts on the projections and budget information provided in APPENDIX A – "CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO ORGANIZATION AND FINANCES," which information in certain cases was prepared and released by the City prior to the COVID-19 Emergency. Investors are advised to carefully consider the information presented below, together with other information presented in this Official Statement, in order to make an informed investment decision. Certain of the information provided below, and elsewhere in this Official Statement, involves forward-looking statements, which are based on current expectations and are not intended as representations of fact or guarantees of results. Any such forward-looking statements inherently are subject to a variety of risks and uncertainties that could cause actual results or performance to differ materially from those that have been forecast, estimated or projected. See "CERTAIN RISK FACTORS – Public Health Emergencies."

The Resolutions provide that the Bonds are payable from and secured by a voter-approved dedicated property tax levy on all taxable property in the City. Under the Resolutions, the City is not obligated to pay the debt service from any other sources. This Official Statement, including Appendix A hereto, provide information on the City's overall operations and finances with an emphasis on its

General Fund and therefore includes information on revenues and other funds that are not pledged to the Bonds under the Resolutions and that should not be considered available to pay debt service on the Bonds. See "SECURITY FOR THE BONDS" herein.

General

On February 11, 2020 the World Health Organization ("WHO") announced the official name for the outbreak of a new disease ("COVID-19") caused by a strain of novel coronavirus, an upper respiratory tract illness which has since spread across the globe. The spread of COVID-19 is having significant adverse health and financial impacts throughout the world, including the City. The WHO has declared the COVID-19 outbreak to be a pandemic, and states of emergency have been declared by the Mayor of the City, the Governor of the State and the President of the United States.

To date there have been over 2,000 confirmed cases of COVID-19 in the City, and health officials expect the number of confirmed cases to grow. The outbreak has resulted in the imposition of restrictions on mass gatherings and widespread temporary closings of businesses, universities and schools (including the San Francisco Unified School District) throughout the United States. In addition, stock markets in the United States and globally have been volatile, with significant declines in market value.

Several counties in the Bay Area (including the City) announced shelter-in-place ("Shelter-in-Place") emergency orders, which direct individuals to stay home, except for certain limited travel for the conduct of essential services. Most retail establishments (e.g., restaurants, bars and nightclubs, entertainment venues, gyms, etc.) were closed in response to the Shelter-in-Place order. The Governor of the State has announced a similar Shelter-in-Place emergency order (N-33-20) effective for the entire state. The Governor's order states that it will remain in place "until further notice." On April 27, 2020 Mayor London Breed announced that the Shelter-in-Place order would be extended through the end of May 2020. On May 14, 2020, Mayor Breed amended the Shelter-in-Place order and issued guidelines to allow retail businesses and associated manufacturers, warehouses and logistical businesses to operate storefront pickup operations.

The City has announced emergency relief measures for local businesses that will defer collection of certain tax revenues and increase City expenditures, with potential offsets from federal and State emergency funds. Existing and potential impacts to the City associated with the COVID-19 outbreak include, but are not limited to, increasing costs and challenges to the City's public health system, reductions in tourism and disruption of the regional and local economy, including triggering an economic recession of unknown duration, widespread business closures and significantly higher levels of unemployment, with corresponding decreases in City revenues.

The adverse effects of the COVID-19 outbreak will likely also have an adverse impact on the City's retirement system. See "May Update Report" below. While the City's retirement system is structured for long term performance, it is likely that the current market value of the City's retirement fund has been materially adversely affected given the recent volatility and deterioration in global stock market values. These declines in market value could result in future increases in required pension fund contributions.

Modifications to Budget Calendar

On March 31, 2020, Mayor Breed announced in a press release that due to the current COVID-19 pandemic, the City's budget timeline will be delayed for two months. This delay will allow the City to focus on responding to the public health crisis and provide enough time for City budget staff to develop a plan to bring current year expenditures into alignment with projected lower revenues and prepare for the upcoming budget cycle. The additional time is intended to ensure the City's response to the significant current year shortfall and upcoming budget deficits are thoughtful and responsible.

Mayor Breed also announced that she will reissue Budget Instructions to departments in May, and Departments will be instructed to submit new department proposals to aid the Mayor in developing her balanced budget in June and July. By June 1, 2020, the Mayor plans to introduce a balanced interim budget to the Board of Supervisors. The Mayor plans to introduce a two-year balanced budget to the Board of Supervisors by August 1, 2020 for fiscal years 2020-21 and 2021-22. Following the Budget and Finance Committee Phase and the full Board phase, the budget is planned to go to Mayor Breed for her approval and signature by October 1, 2020. Also, see "May Update Report" below.

March Joint Report Update

On March 31, 2020, the Mayor, Board of Supervisors Budget Analyst, and Controller released an update (the "March Joint Report Update") to the City's Five-Year Financial Plan (the "Plan" or the "January Joint Report"). The January Joint Report forecasts City expenditures and revenues for the next five fiscal years. See APPENDIX A – "CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO ORGANIZATION AND FINANCES – CITY BUDGET – Five-Year Financial Plan." The March Joint Report Update updated the previous projections in the January Joint Report with new information since January, notably the impacts on the City's local tax revenues resulting from the COVID-19 public health emergency (the "COVID-19 Emergency"). Generally, the March Joint Report Update has been updated and superseded by the May Update described below.

May Update Report

On May 13, 2020, the City released the May Update. The May Update report summarizes current projections of the City's General Fund revenue and expenditures for the five-year period from fiscal year 2019-20 through fiscal year 2023-24, and was prepared jointly by the Mayor's Budget Office, the Board of Supervisors Budget & Legislative Analyst, and the Controller's Office. A copy of the May Update may be found on the City's investor information website located at https://sfcontroller.org/continuing-secondary-market-disclosure. Neither the copy of the May Update on the City's investor relations website nor any other content on the City's investor relations website is incorporated by reference herein.

The report's assessment of the severity and duration of economic and financial losses have worsened and changed in some aspects since the March Joint Report Update. In the City's upcoming budget cycle, the Mayor and Board of Supervisors will be required to close projected shortfalls totaling \$1.7 billion during the remaining months of the current fiscal year and the upcoming two-year budget period (through fiscal year 2021-22).

In addition to the projected shortfalls through fiscal year 2021-22, the May Update report projects annual shortfalls of \$1.0 billion in fiscal year 2022-23 and \$1.1 billion in fiscal year 2023-24, the last two fiscal years of the five-year forecast period:

Cumulative Changes in General Fund Supported Revenues and Expenditures (\$ in millions)

	Fiscal Year				
	2019-20	2020-21	2021-22	2022-23	2023-24
Current fiscal year	(246.2)				
Future fiscal years		(753.9)	(735.4)	(1,016.4)	(1,088.5)
Projected shortfalls	(246.2)	(753.9)	(735.4)	(1,016.4)	(1,088.5)
FY 2019-20 through FY 2021-22 total	(1,735.5)				

While the March Joint Report Update presented the impacts of both an extended and limited recession, the May Update report no longer includes a more rapid recovery underpinning a limited impact scenario. Further, numerous factors, known and unknown, could cause actual results to differ materially from the projections, including but not limited to a delayed economic and revenue recovery scenario, emergency expenditures being required for a longer duration and/or loss of expected federal or State aid.

Economic and Revenue Recovery Delayed. As mentioned above, the May Update projections assume a slower economic recovery begins later in 2020 and continues into subsequent fiscal years. To the extent that the recovery occurs later or more gradually than assumed in the May Update, tax revenue losses will exceed those projected in the May Update report. Deeper losses would occur if continued community exposure to COVID-19 requires a slower resumption of economic activity, or subsequent outbreaks require re-imposition of public health measures that had been lifted.

Property, business, hotel, and sales tax revenue account for \$3.6 billion of the City's General Fund revenues. More significant economic losses that drive either a deeper loss or slower recovery of these revenue sources than assumed in the May Update would worsen the projections significantly.

Emergency Expenditures Required for Longer Duration. The City's response to the public health emergency has been expansive, and the City projects emergency response expenditures to total approximately \$375 million during the current fiscal year alone. These costs include extensive procurement of protective equipment for medical staff and first responders, operation and augmentation of the City's public health system, new congregate and non-congregate housing alternatives for vulnerable residents, and economic and social support programs for those effected by both the public health and economic emergencies.

Given uncertainty regarding the duration of the public health emergency and nascent financial planning regarding the need to sustain response programs in upcoming fiscal years, these projections assume no additional General Fund cost for these programs beyond June 30, 2020. However, sustained emergency and public health responses will be required.

Reliance on Federal and State Support. The May Update notes that the City is reliant on federal and State revenues to support a variety of public health, social, and other government services. These funds account for approximately 20% of total General Fund revenues. The reliance on federal funds is heightened in the current emergency, as Federal Emergency Management Agency ("FEMA") and other federal grant programs are needed to offset the costs of the City's emergency response. The May Update projects that federal sources, including a significant allocation provided under the federal CARES Act for state and local governments, will offset the majority of emergency costs during the current fiscal year. However, absent additional allocations from the federal government, CARES Act funds will be largely exhausted in the current fiscal year. Similarly, the duration of reimbursements from FEMA are unknown and tied to the duration of the federal emergency. As these federal programs expire, it will significantly decrease non-City revenues available to offset future local emergency response costs.

Additionally, the City receives funding through the State for a number of human welfare, public health, and other programs. The public health emergency has significantly weakened the State's financial condition. On May 14, 2020, the Governor released a proposed State budget to bridge a projected \$54 billion shortfall for the current and upcoming fiscal year. See "Impact of the State of California Budget on Local Finances." To the extent that the State's budget challenge results in reductions in funding for local governments, it may increase General Fund shortfalls accordingly. Projections in the May Update report assume no loss of federal or State aid.

Other Key Assumptions. The May Update report includes projections of all General Fund expenditures and revenues for fiscal years 2019-20 through 2023-24 and assumes current service levels and adopted policies. The City is required to adopt and maintain balanced budgets.

The Mayor's Office has indicated they intend to submit a plan to offset projected revenue losses in the current fiscal year (fiscal year 2019-20) in the coming weeks. The Board Budget and Appropriations Committee has scheduled a hearing in May to review this plan. In its projections of fund balance available to support future fiscal years, the May Update assumes that a plan to bridge the \$246 million projected fiscal year 2019-20 shortfall will be enacted in the current year.

Nine-Month Report for Fiscal Year 2019-20. The May Update includes the Controller's ninemonth update on fiscal year 2019-20 revenue and expenditures as required by Charter Section 3.105, with information and projections as of April 15, 2020 ("Nine Month Report"). The Nine Month Report shows a fiscal year 2019-20 mid-year shortfall of \$246.2 million, as shown in the table below.

FY 2019-20 Project General Fund Variances to Budget (\$ in millions)

	FY 2018-19 Ending Fund Balance	504.7
	Appropriation in the FY 2019-20 Budget	(210.6)
A.	FY 2019-20 Starting Fund Balance	294.0
	Citywide Revenue Surplus / (Shortfall)	(436.0)
	Baseline Contributions	103.8
	Departmental Operations	123.7
	Approved Supplemental Appropriations	2.2
	Projected Use of General Reserve	(2.2)
В.	Current Year Revenues and Expenditures	(208.5)
	Deposit to Budget Stabilization Reserve	(66.8)
	Deposit to Budget Stabilization One-Time Reserve	66.8
	Deposit to Budget Savings Incentive Fund	-
C.	Withdrawals from / (Deposits) to Reserves	-
D.	FY 2019-20 Projected Ending Balance	85.5
Ε.	Previously Projected Available for Budget Years	331.7
F.	FY 2019-20 Mid-Year Shortfall	(246.2)

Citywide revenues are anticipated to be \$436.0 million below budget, a decline of \$542.8 million from the budget status update on revenues and expenditures through the first six months of the year issued by the Controller's Office in February 2020 (the "Six Month Report"). Formula-driven voter-mandated baseline spending requirements are projected to be \$103.8 million below budget as a result of projected revenue declines.

The Controller's Office projects a net departmental operating surplus of \$123.7 million. At the time of the Six-Month report, several departments anticipated requesting overtime supplemental appropriations in annual operating funds, as required by Administrative Code Section 3.17. This requirement is currently superseded by the Mayor's Emergency Declaration.

Given a significant projected revenue shortfall and as permitted by the authorizing legislation, the Controller has suspended deposits to the Citywide Budget Savings Incentive Fund, and no deposits to other reserves are projected. The funded level of the City's economic stabilization reserves remains at the target of 10% of General Fund revenue, absent appropriation of these reserves by policymakers. Due to revenue losses in the current year and high levels of excess ERAF revenues received in the prior fiscal year, the value of the

10% cap has fallen by \$66.8 million in the current year, which causes the \$66.8 million in excess of the cap to be shifted into the Budget Stabilization One-Time Reserve.

Based on the above assumptions and projections, the Nine-Month Report anticipates an ending available General Fund balance for fiscal year 2019-20 of \$85.5 million.

The budget outlook for fiscal years 2020-21 to 2023-24 described below assumes \$331.7 million in available fund balance is drawn down to reduce shortfalls in those years. This balance is based upon estimates of available balance as of the City's January 2020 projection report for those years.

The difference between current and previous estimates of ending available fund balance for fiscal year 2019-20 totals \$246.2 million. The Mayor's Office has stated they intend to implement a rebalancing plan to bridge this loss in May 2020. To the extent that this plan offsets this projected loss of fund balance, the ending balance for the current fiscal year will be restored to \$331.7 million, consistent with assumptions for subsequent fiscal year projections below.

FY 2020-21 – FY 2023-24 Budget Outlook. The table below describes the changes since the City's January Joint Report:

Summary Changes to Updated Projected Budgetary Surplus / (Shortfall), cumulative, as compared to January 2020 Projection (\$ in millions)

	FY	FY	FY	FY
	2020-21	2021-22	2022-23	2023-24
Sources - Revenue and Fund Balance	(739.2)	(534.2)	(479.2)	(419.1)
Uses - Baselines & Reserves	138.4	75.5	97.9	77.1
Uses - Salaries & Benefits	43.0	(37.8)	(76.6)	(87.0)
Uses - Citywide Operating Budget Costs	1.4	6.2	0.9	(0.9)
Uses - Departmental Costs	(2.1)	(20.9)	(28.4)	(28.0)
Total Cumulative Change	(558.6)	(511.2)	(485.3)	(457.9)

Change in Two Year Deficit (1,069.8)

Certain of the explanations provided in the May Update regarding changes to projected revenue sources and uses are summarized blow.

SOURCES – Revenue and Fund Balance:

- *Use of Fund Balance*. The current projection is the same as the January projection, assuming the use of \$331.7 million of fund balance, as well as the entirety of the fund balance draw down reserve. To achieve this level of fund balance, the May Update notes that policymakers will need to eliminate the current year shortfall of \$246.2 million, as described above.
- *Citywide Revenue*. The current projection includes significant downward revisions of revenue. Changes to major sources of revenue are detailed below:
 - O <u>Property Tax Revenue</u>. Changes in property tax revenues lag other recessionary revenue losses due to statutory deadlines. Current fiscal year taxable values reflect the lower of either the current market value of the property as of the January 1, 2019 lien date or the property's base year value (when first acquired or new construction improvements completed) plus accumulated annual inflation (capped at 2% per year) since that base

year. Taxable values for fiscal 2020-21 were set as of the January 1, 2020 lien date. The first upcoming fiscal year that may reflect negative economic conditions is fiscal year 2021-22 with a lien date of January 1, 2021.

Over two-thirds of the fiscal 2019-20 secured taxable value of San Francisco real estate is comprised of either single or multi-unit residential properties. Due to Proposition 13 limitations on reassessments, the median taxable value of single-family dwellings of \$590,000 in San Francisco is well below recent median market sales prices above \$1 million, and most valuations will continue to increase with California Consumer Price Index (CPI). The revenue risk from declining residential property market values would stem largely from new construction and recently sold properties with taxable base year valuations set near their full market values. Given restrictions on travel and commerce and the move toward telecommuting, hotel and commercial retail property values appear to be at greatest risk of reduction, followed by office space.

Although there is little argument for reductions to values as of the January 1, 2020 lien date, there is a risk that legislators may approve changes (e.g. SB 1431) that would allow the COVID-19 public health emergency to be considered a misfortune and calamity for commercial properties such as hotels, retail, and office that could result in material losses of property tax revenue. Multi-unit residential buildings values would not be immune from downward revision if harmed by the emergency.

Fiscal year 2021-22 General Fund property tax revenues are projected to be \$1,819.0 million. This is the first fiscal year that COVID-19 is anticipated to substantially impact property tax revenues. Automatic inflationary increases to taxable values, usually assumed at the 2% Prop 13 limit, have been reduced to 1%, and hotel, retail, and office assessed values are assumed reduced by \$8.5 billion, reflecting declines of about 20%, 20%, and 7%, respectively. A 20% reduction in unsecured business property tax assessments is included in the projection, reflecting the possibility that many businesses will dissolve prior to the January 1, 2021 lien date.

Fiscal year 2022-23 and fiscal year 2023-24 General Fund property tax revenues are projected to be \$1,922 million and \$2,037 million, respectively, assuming California CPI will provide the Proposition 13 capped 2% growth in secured property taxable valuations along with 2% growth from changes in ownership that result taxable values set to higher market rates.

- o <u>Business Tax</u>. The May Update assumes 6% growth in business tax revenue in 2021 as well as 3% growth in 2022 and 2% in 2023.
- O <u>Local Sales Tax and State Sales Tax Subventions</u>. In fiscal year 2020-21, the May Update projection assumes a more moderate sales decrease from pre-COVID-19 levels in July to September to a 50% reduction for restaurants and bars and 25% for all other sectors, and a 25% reduction for restaurants and bars and 10% for all other sectors into December. Beyond fiscal 2020-21, consumer spending is expected to slowly recover, and sales tax is anticipated to rise to \$190.0 million by fiscal year 2023-24, which would still be 11.1% below the fiscal year 2018-19 peak.
- <u>Hotel Room Tax.</u> The May Update projection assumes a decline in revenue per available room (RevPAR) of 38% in the first quarter (January to March), and a 90% decrease into September 2020, 75% in the fourth quarter (October to December), 30% through June 2022, and 20% through the end of FY 2022-23. The hotel industry is anticipated to slowly recover; hotel tax across all funds is projected to be \$402.3 million in fiscal year

- 2023-24, still below the prior peak, with \$363.2 million in the General Fund, \$29.2 million (7.4%) less than that in fiscal year 2018-19.
- o <u>Real Property Transfer Tax</u>. In fiscal year 2020-21, the May Update continues to assume dampened commercial real estate activity through the first half of the fiscal year, with monthly receipts of \$15 million, rising to \$21 million per month in the second half of the year. Transfer tax is assumed to return its long-term historical average of \$253.4 million by fiscal year 2021-22 and remain at that level through fiscal year 2023-24.
- O <u>Department of Public Health Revenue</u>. In addition to the January assumptions, the current projection includes a new one-time reduction of public health revenues of \$80.2 million in fiscal year 2020-21, recovering in the following year. This amount mostly represents lost patient and Medi-Cal revenue due to the cancellation and deferral of elective medical visits as a result of the health emergency.

<u>USES – Salaries and Benefits</u>

- Labor Agreements. The May Update assumes contracts for Police and Firefighter unions remain closed through fiscal year 2020-21, and contracts for miscellaneous unions remain closed through fiscal year 2021-22. The May Update assumes the six-month delay of wage increases set to go into effect in July 2020 and December 2020, consistent with language in negotiated memorandums of understanding (MOUs) regarding current fiscal conditions.
- Retirement Benefits Employer Contribution Rates. Changes in the assumed employer contribution rates for SFERS are a significant driver in the change in salary and benefits costs as compared to the January 2020 report. The projection reflects the employer contribution rate set by the Retirement Board in February 2020 for the upcoming fiscal year 2020-21, resulting in a savings of \$5.6 million compared to January. For the remaining years of the projection, the report assumes investment returns of -5.0% in the current year as a result of poor market performance due to the COVID-19 emergency, as opposed to the 7.4% rate of returns assumed in January. These losses result in projected increased year-over- year costs of \$26.9 million in fiscal year 2021-22 and an additional \$30.7 million in fiscal year 2022-23 versus the January projection.
- Health Benefits for Active Employees and Retirees. The update includes a number of changes to the cost of health benefits for active employees and retirees. In January 2020, the average health rate increases for active and retirees was approximately 6.0% across the projection period; in this update, average health rates are projected to increase to 6.7%. For active employees, health rates were increased modestly from the January report to account for projected increases in health care costs. For retirees, the update includes increased retiree health costs in fiscal year 2020-21 to reflect actual retiree health costs in the current fiscal year, and then assumes increased rates in the final three years of the projection. The May Update report also assumes the elimination of the so-called Cadillac Tax, which was repealed by Congress in December 2019, reducing expected costs of health care. Together, these changes result in an additional year-over-year increase of \$8.7 million in fiscal year 2020-21, \$2.1 million in fiscal year

<u>USES – Departmental Costs</u>

• These changes are primarily due to a projected increased General Fund subsidy for the Moscone Convention Center and increased cost for entitlements and other benefits, offset by some savings in the annualization of current year supplementals.

Emergency Expenditure and Revenue. The City's response to the COVID-19 public health emergency has been extensive, and has involved significant public health, emergency management, shelter and

temporary housing, and social and economic support programs. The May Update summarizes projection of these costs during the current fiscal year and provides a preliminary assessment of possible spending levels in fiscal year 2020-21.

City costs and encumbrances for the effort in the current fiscal year ending June 30, 2020 are projected to total \$372.2 million. These expenses are expected to be offset in part by projected FEMA reimbursements, local philanthropy, and other sources of approximately \$231.9 million. The remaining projected shortfall of \$140.8 million will likely be covered by one-time allocations available from the CARES Act, but largely deplete the value of those allocations available to support those expenditures in coming fiscal years.

City costs for fiscal year 2020-21, and potentially beyond, are largely unknown at this time, but are likely to be significant. The level of costs will depend on the intensity and duration of local health risks in the next phases of the COVID-19 emergency and the investment in strategies to mitigate this risk. For illustration, if current spending rates are sustained for the coming fiscal year, local costs remaining after FEMA reimbursement would total approximately \$470 million. If costs drop to 25% of current spending levels, the local share after FEMA reimbursement would total approximately \$85 million. Local costs pressures will rise if the duration of FEMA reimbursements, which is tied to the federally-declared national emergency, is shortened.

The table below summarizes projected expenditures and revenues related to the City's emergency response efforts to mitigate, prepare for, and respond to the spread of COVID-19, and to provide immediate relief and assistance to San Francisco residents and workers. The figures represent projected expenditures and revenues for the current fiscal year ending on June 30, 2020. The City projects current year expenditures and encumbrances totaling \$372.7 million, offset by projected claims to FEMA, local philanthropic allocations to date, and some state or federal sources that have already been allocated for specific programs of \$231.9 million. The City projects that the remaining fiscal year 2019-20 shortfall of \$140.8 million can be covered in the current year by available one-time allocations of \$183.2 million from the CARES Act Coronavirus Relief Fund and the State's Senate Bill 89. However, this will largely exhaust these CARES Act allocations, resulting in uncertainty as to funding for continued emergency response costs in FY 2020-21.

FY 2019-20 COVID-19 Response Expenditures & Revenues (\$ in millions)

	Total Cost	FEMA & Other	Net Local
Expenditures			
Health system costs	177.7	132.5	45.2
Shelter and housing programs	91.6	46.2	45.4
Emergency operations and staffing	30.7	10.7	20.0
Economic and social relief programs	72.7	42.5	30.2
Subtotal, Expenditures	372.7	231.9	140.8
Other Federal & State Sources			
CARES Act - State & Local Governments			153.8
CARES Act - Other allocations			22.0
State Senate Bill 89 - Emergency homelessness funding			7.4
Subtotal, Other Federal & State Sources			183.2
Balance of CARES Act Funding for Response Costs in FY 2020-	21		42.4

Threat of Extended Recession

Widespread shutdown of businesses and supply chain disruption in response to the COVID-19 pandemic is expected to have started a U.S. recession in March 2020. According to the California Employment

Development Department, the State's unemployment rate rose by 1.4 percentage points in March 2020 to 5.3 percent, which was the State's largest unemployment rate increase on record in a data series going back to 1976. In the "Great Recession" occurring nationally from December 2007 to June 2009 (according to the U.S. National Bureau of Economic Research), California real GDP growth slowed for five consecutive quarters from the third quarter of 2008 to the third quarter of 2009 and did not return to pre-recession level of output until three years later in the third quarter of 2012. The unemployment rate rose steadily from 4.9 percent in the fourth quarter of 2006 to peak at 12.3 percent in the fourth quarter of 2010 and did not return to the pre-recession level until the second quarter of 2017. More than a third of California jobs are in sectors that are immediately vulnerable to stay-at-home disruptions, and unemployment could peak at around 25 percent, or twice as high as in the Great Recession.

Impact of the State of California Budget on Local Finances

On May 14, 2020, the Governor submitted his fiscal year 2020-21 May Revision budget proposal to the Legislature which provides for closing a budget gap of more than \$54 billion brought on by the COVID-19 recession. The May Revise proposes to cancel new initiatives proposed in the Governor's original January budget, cancel and reduce spending included in the 2019 Budget Act, draw down reserves, borrow from special funds, temporarily increase revenues and make government more efficient. Due to the size of the challenge, the May Revise includes reductions in spending. The May Revise provides that certain significant cuts will only be triggered if the federal government does not pass an aid package that helps states and local governments.

The May Revise responds to the dramatic economic and revenue changes since January 2020, when the budget reflected a \$5.6 billion surplus and record reserve levels. In a release that accompanied the May Revise the Governor's Office noted that the rapid onset of the COVID-19 recession in California has resulted in more than 4 million unemployment claims being filed since mid-March, the unemployment rate is now projected to be 18 percent for the year, and there is a \$41 billion decline in revenues compared to January's forecast. With a higher demand for social safety net services increasing state costs, the \$54.3 billion deficit is more than three times the size of the record \$16 billion set aside in the state's Rainy Day Fund.

The City is reviewing the May Revise and has not yet determined what impacts the May Revise, if enacted, would have on the finances and operations of the City. It is likely that the State budget for fiscal year 2020-21 will continue to undergo significant revisions before being adopted in its final form. Further, as provided in the May Revise, the adopted budget will be significantly impacted by developing economic circumstances and whether the federal government provides additional funding to states and local governments.

Impact of the Federal Government on Local Finances

Under the CARES Act, the United States Treasury department will distribute \$150 billion to state and local governments within 30 days of enactment under a population-based formula. The statute limits the use of funds to COVID-19 expense reimbursement rather than to offset anticipated state tax revenue losses. The City has received its \$153.8 million allocation from this Coronavirus Relief Fund, which can be used to cover COVID-19-related medical, public health, economic support, and other emergency response costs. The federal government also provides significant funding for COVID-19 expenses through FEMA. See "May Update Report" above.

THE BONDS

Authority for Issuance; Purposes

The Bonds will be issued under Title 5, Division 2, Part 1, Chapter 3, Article 4.5 of the Government Code of the State and the Charter. The City authorized the issuance of the Bonds by Resolution No. 193-15

and Resolution No. [__-_], adopted by the Board of Supervisors of the City on June 2, 2015 and [_____], 2020, respectively, and duly approved by the Mayor of the City on June 9, 2015 and [_____], 2020, respectively (together, the "Resolutions").

The Bonds will constitute the third series of bonds to be issued from an aggregate authorized amount of \$500,000,000 of City and County of San Francisco General Obligation Bonds (Transportation and Road Improvement Bonds, 2014), duly approved by more than two-thirds of the voters voting on Proposition A at an election held on November 4, 2014 ("Proposition A (2014)"), to provide funds for the purposes authorized in Proposition A (2014), which are summarized as follows: to construct, redesign and rebuild streets and sidewalks and to make infrastructure repairs and improvements that increase Muni service reliability, ease traffic congestion, reduce vehicle travel times, enhance pedestrian and bicycle safety, and improve disabled access. The City previously issued \$67,005,000 of the bonds authorized by Proposition A (2014) on July 14, 2015 and \$174,445,000 of the bonds authorized by Proposition A (2014) on April 3, 2018.

The Administrative Code of the City (the "Administrative Code") and Proposition A (2014) provide that, to the extent permitted by law, 0.1% of the gross proceeds of all proposed bonds, including the Bonds, be deposited by the Controller and used to fund the costs of the City's independent citizens' general obligation bond oversight committee. The committee was created by the Administrative Code and its members are appointed by the Board of Supervisors of the City to inform the public concerning the expenditure of general obligation bond proceeds in accordance with the voter authorization. In addition, the Resolutions provide that to the extent permitted by law, one-fifth of one percent (0.2%) of the amount of gross proceeds of the Bonds deposited into the Project Subaccounts (as defined herein) will be applied to pay the City's Office of the Controller audit fee. See "ESTIMATED SOURCES AND USES OF FUNDS" herein.

Form and Registration

The Bonds will be issued in the principal amounts set forth on the inside cover hereof, in the denomination of \$5,000 each or any integral multiple thereof, and will be dated their date of delivery. The Bonds will be issued in fully registered form, without coupons. The Bonds will be initially registered in the name of Cede & Co. as registered owner and nominee for The Depository Trust Company ("DTC"), which is required to remit payments of principal and interest to the DTC Participants for subsequent disbursement to the beneficial owners of the Bonds. See APPENDIX E – "DTC AND THE BOOK-ENTRY ONLY SYSTEM."

Payment of Interest and Principal

Interest on the Bonds will be payable on each June 15 and December 15 to maturity or prior redemption, commencing December 15, 2020 at the interest rates shown on the inside cover hereof. Interest will be calculated on the basis of a 360-day year comprised of twelve 30-day months. The City Treasurer will act as paying agent and registrar with respect to the Bonds. The interest on the Bonds will be payable in lawful money of the United States to the Registered Owner whose name appears on the Bond registration books of the City Treasurer as the owner thereof as of the close of business on the last day of the month immediately preceding an interest payment date (the "Record Date"), whether or not such day is a business day. Each Bond authenticated on or before November 30, 2020 will bear interest from the date of delivery. Every other Bond will bear interest from the interest payment date next preceding its date of authentication unless it is authenticated as of a day during the period from the Record Date next preceding any interest payment date to the interest payment date, inclusive, in which event it will bear interest from such interest payment date; provided, that if, at the time of authentication of any Bond, interest is then in default on the Bonds, such Bond will bear interest at its stated rate from the interest payment date to which interest has previously been paid or made available for payment on the Bonds.

The Bonds will mature on the dates shown on the inside cover page hereof. The Bonds will be subject to redemption prior to maturity, as described below. See "- Redemption" below. The principal of the Bonds

will be payable in lawful money of the United States to the owner thereof upon the surrender thereof at maturity or earlier redemption at the office of the City Treasurer.

Redemption

Optional Redemption of the Bonds

The 2020B-1 Bonds maturing on or before June 15, 20__ will not be subject to optional redemption prior to their respective stated maturities. The 2020B-1 Bonds maturing on and after June 15, 20__ will be subject to optional redemption prior to their respective stated maturities, at the option of the City, from any source of available funds, as a whole or in part on any date on or after June 15, 20__, at the redemption price equal to the principal amount of the Bonds redeemed, together with accrued interest to the date fixed for redemption (the "Redemption Date"), without premium.

[The 2020B-2 Bonds will not be subject to optional redemption prior to maturity.]

Mandatory Redemption of Bonds*

The 2020B-1 Bonds maturing on June 15, 20_ will be subject to mandatory sinking fund redemption prior to their stated maturity date, by lot, on each June 15, as shown in the table below, at a redemption price equal to the principal amount thereof plus accrued interest thereon to the Redemption Date, without premium.

Sinking Fund Payment
Principal Amount

In lieu of any such mandatory redemption, the City may apply amounts on deposit in the 2020B-1 Bond Subaccount, as defined below (See "SOURCES AND USES – Deposit and Investment of Bond Proceeds"), created under the Resolutions to purchase the 2020B-1 Bonds subject to such redemption at public or private sale, as and when and at such prices not in excess of the principal amount thereof (including sales commission and other charges but excluding accrued interest), as the City may determine.

Selection of Bonds for Redemption

Whenever less than all of the outstanding Bonds are called for redemption on any one date, the City Treasurer will select the maturities of Bonds to be redeemed in the sole discretion of the City Treasurer, and whenever less than all the outstanding Bonds maturing on any one date are called for redemption on any date, the particular Bonds or portions thereof to be redeemed will be selected by lot, in any manner which the City Treasurer in its sole discretion deems fair. The Bonds may be redeemed in denominations of \$5,000 or any integral multiple thereof.

Notice of Redemption

The City Treasurer will mail, or cause to be mailed by its agent, including but not limited to an escrow

14

^{*} Preliminary, subject to change.

agent, notice of any redemption of the Bonds, postage prepaid, to the respective registered owners thereof at the addresses appearing on the Bond registration books not less than 20 days and not more than 60 days prior to the Redemption Date.

Each notice of redemption will: (a) state the Redemption Date; (b) state the redemption price; (c) state the maturity dates of the Bonds called for redemption, and, if less than all of any such maturity is called for redemption, the distinctive numbers of the Bonds of such maturity to be redeemed, and in the case of a Bond redeemed in part only, the respective portions of the principal amount thereof to be redeemed; (d) state the CUSIP number, if any, of each Bond to be redeemed; (e) require that such Bonds be surrendered by the owners at the office of the City Treasurer or his or her agent; and (f) give notice that interest on such Bonds or portions of such Bonds to be redeemed will cease to accrue after the designated Redemption Date. Unless funds are on deposit in the redemption account (the "Redemption Account") for any Bonds called for redemption on the date the notice of redemption is given, redemption shall be conditioned on sufficient moneys to redeem such bonds being on deposit in the Redemption Account for the Bonds called for redemption on the Redemption Date, and if sufficient moneys to redeem the Bonds called for redemption are not on deposit in the Redemption Account for such Bonds on the Redemption Date, the Bonds called for redemption shall not be redeemed and shall remain outstanding for all purposes under the Resolutions and the redemption not occurring shall not constitute an event of default under the Resolutions. See "— Conditional Notice; Right to Rescind Notice of Optional Redemption" below.

The actual receipt by the owner of any Bond of such notice of redemption will not be a condition precedent to redemption of such Bond, and failure to receive such notice, or any defect in such notice, will not affect the validity of the proceedings for the redemption of such Bond or the cessation of the accrual of interest on such Bond on the Redemption Date.

Effect of Notice of Redemption

When notice of optional redemption has been given as described above, the Bonds designated for redemption will become due and payable on the Redemption Date (subject to the conditions for notice of redemption, above, and any other conditions set forth in this section), and upon presentation and surrender of said Bonds at the place specified in the notice of redemption, those Bonds will be redeemed and paid at said redemption price out of the Redemption Account. No interest will accrue on such Bonds called for redemption after the Redemption Date and the registered owners of such Bonds will look for payment of such Bonds only to the Redemption Account. Moneys held in the Redemption Account will be invested by the City Treasurer pursuant to the City's policies and guidelines for investment of moneys in the General Fund of the City. See APPENDIX C – "CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO, OFFICE OF THE TREASURER – INVESTMENT POLICY."

Conditional Notice; Right to Rescind Notice of Optional Redemption

Any notice of optional redemption may provide that such redemption is conditioned upon: (i) deposit of sufficient moneys to redeem the applicable Bonds called for redemption on the anticipated Redemption Date, or (ii) the occurrence of any other event specified in the notice of redemption. In the event that such conditional notice of optional redemption has been given and on the scheduled Redemption Date (i) sufficient moneys to redeem the Bonds have not been deposited or (ii) any other event specified in the notice of redemption did not occur, such Bonds for which notice of conditional optional redemption was given will not be redeemed and will remain outstanding for all purposes and the redemption not occurring will not constitute a default under the Resolutions.

In addition, the City may rescind any optional redemption and notice thereof for any reason on any date prior to any Redemption Date by causing written notice of the rescission to be given to the Registered Owner of all Bonds so called for redemption. Notice of such rescission of redemption will be given in the same manner notice of redemption was originally given. The actual receipt by the Registered Owner of any

Bond of notice of such rescission will not be a condition precedent to rescission, and failure to receive such notice or any defect in such notice so mailed will not affect the validity of the rescission.

Defeasance

Payment of all or any portion of the Bonds may be provided for prior to such Bonds' respective stated maturities by irrevocably depositing with the City Treasurer (or any commercial bank or trust company designated by the City Treasurer to act as escrow agent with respect thereto): (a) an amount of cash equal to the principal amount of all of such Bonds or a portion thereof, and all unpaid interest thereon to maturity, except that in the case of Bonds which are to be redeemed prior to such Bonds' respective stated maturities and in respect of which notice of such redemption will have been given as described above or an irrevocable election to give such notice will have been made by the City, the amount to be deposited will be the principal amount thereof, all unpaid interest thereon to the Redemption Date, and premium, if any, due on such Redemption Date; or (b) Defeasance Securities (as defined below) not subject to call, except as described in the definition below, maturing and paying interest at such times and in such amounts, together with interest earnings and cash, if required, as will, without reinvestment, as certified by an independent certified public accountant, be fully sufficient to pay the principal and all unpaid interest to maturity, or to the Redemption Date, as the case may be, and any premium due on the Bonds to be paid or redeemed, as such principal and interest come due; provided, that, in the case of the Bonds which are to be redeemed prior to maturity, irrevocable notice of such redemption will be given as described above or an irrevocable election to give such notice will have been made by the City; then, all obligations of the City with respect to said outstanding Bonds will cease and terminate, except only the obligation of the City to pay or cause to be paid from the funds deposited as described in this paragraph, to the owners of said Bonds all sums due with respect thereto, and the tax covenant obligations of the City with respect to such Bonds; provided, that the City will have received, if desirable, an opinion of nationally recognized bond counsel that provision for the payment of said Bonds has been made as required by the Resolutions.

As used in this section, the following terms have the meanings given below:

"Defeasance Securities" means any of the following which at the time are legal investments under the laws of the State of California for the moneys proposed to be invested therein: (1) United States Obligations (as defined below); and (2) Pre-refunded fixed interest rate municipal obligations meeting the following conditions: (a) the municipal obligations are not subject to redemption prior to maturity, or the trustee or paying agent has been given irrevocable instructions concerning their calling and redemption and the issuer has covenanted not to redeem such obligations other than as set forth in such instructions; (b) the municipal obligations are secured by cash or United States Obligations (as defined below); (c) the principal of and interest on the United States Obligations (plus any cash in the escrow fund or the applicable Redemption Account) are sufficient to meet the liabilities of the municipal obligations; (d) the United States Obligations serving as security for the municipal obligations are held by an escrow agent or trustee; (e) the United States Obligations are not available to satisfy any other claims, including those against the trustee or escrow agent; and (f) the municipal obligations are rated (without regard to any numerical modifier, plus or minus sign or other modifier), at the time of original deposit to the escrow fund, by any two Rating Agencies (as defined below) not lower than the rating then maintained by the respective Rating Agency on such United States Obligations.

"United States Obligations" means (i) direct and general obligations of the United States of America, or obligations that are unconditionally guaranteed as to principal and interest by the United States of America, including without limitation, the interest component of Resolution Funding Corporation (REFCORP) bonds that have been stripped by request to the Federal Reserve Bank of New York in book-entry form, or (ii) any security issued by an agency or instrumentality of the United States of America that is selected by the Director of Public Finance that results in the escrow fund being rated by any two Rating Agencies (as defined below) at the time of the initial deposit to the escrow fund and upon any substitution or subsequent deposit to the escrow

fund, no lower than the rating then maintained by the respective Rating Agency on United States Obligations described in (i) herein.

"Rating Agencies" means Moody's Investors Service, Inc., Fitch Ratings, and S&P Global Ratings, or any other nationally-recognized bond rating agency that is the successor to any of the foregoing rating agencies or that is otherwise recognized as a national rating agency after the date of adoption of the Resolutions.

SOURCES AND USES OF FUNDS

The following are the estimated sources and uses of funds in connection with the Bonds:

Sources	2020B-1	2020B-2	Total
Principal Amount of Bonds	\$-	\$-	\$-
[Net Original Issue Premium/Discount]	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	_
Total Sources of Funds	\$-	\$-	\$-
Uses			
Deposit to Project Subaccounts ⁽¹⁾	\$-	\$-	\$-
Deposit into Bond Subaccounts	-	-	-
Oversight Committee	-	-	-
Underwriter's Discount	-	-	-
Costs of Issuance ⁽²⁾	-	-	-
Total Uses of Funds	\$-	\$-	\$-

Of the total 2020B-1 Project Subaccount deposit, \$_____ will be used to pay project costs and \$____ (representing 0.2% of the 2020B-1 Project Subaccount for project costs) will be used to pay the City's Office of the Controller's audit fee. Of the total 2020B-2 Project Subaccount deposit, \$____ will be used to pay project costs and \$____ (representing 0.2% of the 2020B-2 Project Subaccount for project costs) will be used to pay the City's Office of the Controller's audit fee.

Deposit and Investment of Bond Proceeds

2020B-1 Bond Proceeds. Any bid net premium received upon the delivery of the 2020B-1 Bonds, and all taxes collected for payment of the 2020B-1 Bonds, will be deposited into a special subaccount established for the payment of the 2020B-1 Bonds. The subaccount was created by the Resolutions specifically for payment of principal of and interest on the 2020B-1 Bonds (the "2020B-1 Bond Subaccount").

All remaining proceeds of the sale of the 2020B-1 Bonds are required to be deposited by the City Treasurer into a special subaccount within the project account created by the City to hold proceeds of the sale of all of the Proposition A (2014) bonds, which proceeds are required to be applied exclusively to the purposes approved by the voters in Proposition A (2014), and to pay costs of issuance of such bonds. See "THE BONDS – Authority for Issuance; Purposes." The subaccount was created by the Resolutions specifically to hold the proceeds of the 2020B-1 Bonds (the "2020B-1 Project Subaccount").

2020B-2 Bond Proceeds. Any bid net premium received upon the delivery of the 2020B-2 Bonds, and all taxes collected for payment of the 2020B-2 Bonds, will be deposited into a special subaccount established for the payment of the 2020B-2 Bonds. The subaccount was created by the Resolutions specifically for

⁽²⁾ Includes fees for services of rating agencies, Municipal Advisor, Co-Bond Counsel, Disclosure Counsel, costs to the City, printing costs, other miscellaneous costs associated with the issuance of the Bonds, and rounding amounts.

payment of principal of and interest on the 2020B-2 Bonds (the "2020B-2 Bond Subaccount," and together with the 2020B-1 Bond Subaccount, the "Bond Subaccounts").

All remaining proceeds of the sale of the 2020B-2 Bonds are required to be deposited by the City Treasurer into a special subaccount within the project account created by the City to hold proceeds of the sale of all of the Proposition A (2014) bonds, which proceeds are required to be applied exclusively to the purposes approved by the voters in Proposition A (2014), and to pay costs of issuance of such bonds. See "THE BONDS – Authority for Issuance; Purposes." The subaccount was created by the Resolutions specifically to hold the proceeds of the 2020B-2 Bonds (the "2020B-2 Project Subaccount," and together with the 2020B-1 Project Subaccount, the "Project Subaccounts").

Under the Resolutions, the Bond Subaccounts and the Project Subaccounts may each be invested in any investment of the City in which moneys in the General Fund of the City are invested. The City Treasurer may commingle any of the moneys held in any such account with other City moneys, or deposit amounts credited to such accounts into a separate fund or funds for investment purposes only. All interest earned on any such account will be retained in that account. See APPENDIX C – "CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO, OFFICE OF THE TREASURER – INVESTMENT POLICY."

A portion of the proceeds of the Bonds will be used to pay certain costs related to the issuance of the Bonds. One-tenth of one percent (0.1%) of the proceeds of each series of the Bonds are required to be appropriated to fund the Citizens' General Obligation Bond Oversight Committee, created to oversee various general obligation bond programs of the City. In addition, the Resolutions provide that to the extent permitted by law, 0.2% of the amount of gross proceeds of the Bonds deposited into the Project Subaccounts (as defined herein) will be applied to pay the City's Office of the Controller audit fee. See "THE BONDS – Authority for Issuance; Purposes" and "ESTIMATED SOURCES AND USES OF FUNDS" herein.

[Remainder of Page Intentionally Left Blank]

DEBT SERVICE SCHEDULE

The consolidated scheduled debt service payable with respect to the Bonds and the City's other general obligation bonds is shown in the table below (assuming no early redemptions).

City and County of San Francisco General Obligation Bonds Series 2020B-1 and Series 2020B-2⁽¹⁾

Payment Date	2020B-1 Bonds			2020B-2 Bonds				
	Principal	Interest	Total Debt Service	Principal	Interest	Total Debt Service	Other GO Bonds Debt Service	Fiscal Year Ending June 30 Total
-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
_	-	-	- -	- -	- -	- -	- -	-
-	-	-	_	-	_	-	_	-
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
-	-	-	_	-	_	-	-	-
-	-	-	_	-	_	-	-	-
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
-	-	-	_	-	_	-	-	-
_	_	-		- -		-	- -	-
_	-	-	-	-	-	-	_	-
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
-	-	-	_	-	_	-	-	-
-	-	-	_	-	_	-	_	-
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
-	_	-	-	-	-	-	- -	-
-	-	-	-	-	_	-	_	-
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
-		-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-

_

⁽¹⁾ Amounts are rounded off to the nearest dollar.

SECURITY FOR THE BONDS

General

For the purpose of paying the principal of and interest on the Bonds, the City at the time of fixing the general tax levy will continue to fix, and in the manner provided for such general tax levy, levy and collect taxes annually each year until all outstanding Bonds have been paid, or until there shall be a sum set apart for that purpose in the Treasury of the City sufficient to meet all sums coming due for payment of principal of and interest on the Bonds, a tax sufficient to pay the annual interest on the Bonds as the same becomes due and also such part of the principal thereof as shall become due before the proceeds of a tax levied at the time for making the next general tax levy can be made available for the payment of such interest or principal. Said tax shall be in addition to all other taxes levied for City purposes, shall be collected at the time and in the same manner as other taxes of the City are collected, and shall be used only for the payment of the Bonds and the interest thereon. Under the framework of the constitutional provisions and statutes applicable to California general obligation bonds, including the Bonds, taxes levied to pay debt service on the Bonds may not be used for any other purpose and are not available to support general City operations. See "Property Taxation" below.

Property tax revenues result from the application of the appropriate tax rate to the total assessed value of taxable property in the City. The annual property tax rate for repayment of the Bonds will be based on the total assessed value of taxable property in the City and the scheduled debt service on the Bonds in each year, less any other lawfully available funds applied by the City for repayment of the Bonds. Fluctuations in the annual debt service on the Bonds, the assessed value of taxable property in the City, and the availability of such other funds in any year, may cause the annual property tax rate applicable to the Bonds to fluctuate. In general, if overall assessed values of taxable property in the City were to decline, then the City, in order to generate sufficient tax revenues to pay debt service on the Bonds and other general obligation bonds, would increase tax rates applicable to the Bonds and other general obligations bonds. See "Property Taxation" below.

Pursuant to Section 53515 of the California Government Code, the Bonds will be secured by a statutory lien on all revenues received pursuant to the levy and collection of the *ad valorem* taxes levied for the Bonds. See "Statutory Lien on Taxes" below.

Pursuant to the Resolutions, the City will pledge amounts in the Bond Account for the payment of the principal of and interest on the Bonds when and as the same become due. See "Pledge" below.

Under the Resolutions, the City is not obligated to pay the debt service from any sources other than as described above. This Official Statement, including Appendix A hereto, provides information on the City's overall operations and finances with an emphasis on its General Fund and therefore includes information on revenues and other funds that are not pledged to the Bonds under the Resolutions and that should not be considered available to pay debt service on the Bonds.

Pledge

Pursuant to the Resolutions, the City will pledge amounts in the Bond Account for the payment of the principal of and interest on the Bonds when and as the same become due, including the principal of any term bonds required to be paid upon the mandatory sinking fund redemption thereof. In addition, the payment of such principal and interest will be secured by the statutory lien of California Government Code Section 53515, to the extent applicable to the amounts of *ad valorem* taxes on deposit in the Bond Fund. See "Statutory Lien on Taxes" below.

Statutory Lien on Taxes

Pursuant to Section 53515 of the California Government Code, the Bonds will be secured by a statutory lien on all revenues received pursuant to the levy and collection of the *ad valorem* taxes levied for the

Bonds. Section 53515 of the California Government Code provides that the lien will automatically arise, without the need for any action or authorization by the local agency or its governing board, and will be valid and binding from the time such bonds are executed and delivered. Section 53515 of the California Government Code further provides that the revenues received pursuant to the levy and collection of the tax will be immediately subject to the lien, and the lien will immediately attach to the revenues and be effective, binding and enforceable against the local agency, its successor, transferees and creditors, and all others asserting rights therein, irrespective of whether those parties have notice of the lien and without the need for physical delivery, recordation, filing or further act. See "CERTAIN RISK FACTORS – Limitation on Remedies; Bankruptcy."

Property Taxation

General. The City levies property taxes for general operating purposes as well as for the payment of voter-approved general obligation bonds. Taxes levied to pay debt service for general obligation bonds may only be applied for that purpose. As a county under State law, the City also levies property taxes on behalf of all local agencies with overlapping jurisdiction within the boundaries of the City. Property tax revenues result from the application of the appropriate tax rate to the total assessed value of taxable property in the City. At the start of fiscal year 2019-20, the total net assessed valuation of taxable property (net of homeowner's exemption) within the City was approximately \$281.1 billion, which represents an increase in 8.4% over fiscal year 2018-19. For additional information on the property taxation system, assessed values and appeals to assessed values, see APPENDIX A – "CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO ORGANIZATION AND FINANCES – Property Taxation."

Local property taxation is the responsibility of various City officers. The Assessor computes the value of locally assessed taxable property. After the assessed roll is closed on June 30th, the Controller of the City (the "Controller") issues a Certificate of Assessed Valuation in August which certifies the taxable assessed value for that fiscal year. The Controller also compiles a schedule of tax rates including the 1.0% tax authorized by Article XIIIA of the State Constitution (and mandated by statute), tax surcharges needed to repay voter-approved general obligation bonds, and tax surcharges imposed by overlapping jurisdictions that have been authorized to levy taxes on property located in the City. The Board of Supervisors approves the schedule of tax rates each year by ordinance adopted no later than the last working day of September. The Treasurer and Tax Collector prepare and mail tax bills to taxpayers and collect the taxes on behalf of the City and other overlapping taxing agencies that levy taxes on taxable property located in the City. The Treasurer holds and invests City tax funds, including taxes collected for payment of general obligation bonds, and is charged with the payment of principal and interest on such bonds when due.

Of the \$281.1 billion total net assessed valuation of taxable property within the City, \$264.1 billion (93.9%) represents secured valuations and \$17.0 billion (6.1%) represents unsecured valuations. Proposition 13 limits to 2% per year any increase in the assessed value of property, unless it is sold or the structure is improved. The total net assessed valuation of taxable property therefore does not generally reflect the current market value of taxable property within the City and is in the aggregate substantially less than current market value. For this same reason, the total net assessed valuation of taxable property lags behind changes in market value and may continue to increase even without an increase in aggregate market values of property.

Under Article XIIIA of the State Constitution added by Proposition 13 in 1978, property must be reassessed to full cash value at the time of sale. Taxpayers can appeal the Assessor's determination of their property's assessed value, and the appeals may be retroactive and for multiple years. The State prescribes the assessment valuation methodologies and the adjudication process that counties must employ in connection with counties' property assessments.

The City typically experiences increases in assessment appeals activity during economic downturns and decreases in assessment appeals as the economy rebounds. To mitigate the financial risk of potential assessment appeal refunds, the City funds appeal reserves for its share of estimated property tax revenues for each fiscal year.

In addition, appeals activity is reviewed each year and incorporated into the current and subsequent years' budget projections of property tax revenues. Historical information on refunds of prior years' property taxes from the discretionary General Fund appeals reserve fund are listed in Table A-7 of APPENDIX A attached hereto.

Tax Levy and Collection Process. Generally, property taxes levied by the City on real property become a lien on that property by operation of law. A tax levied on personal property does not automatically become a lien against real property without an affirmative act of the City taxing authority. Real property tax liens have priority over all other liens against the same property regardless of the time of their creation by virtue of express provision of law.

Property subject to *ad valorem* taxes is entered as secured or unsecured on the assessment roll maintained by the Assessor-Recorder. The secured roll is that part of the assessment roll containing State-assessed property and property (real or personal) on which liens are sufficient, in the opinion of the Assessor-Recorder, to secure payment of the taxes owed. Other property is placed on the "unsecured roll." The method of collecting delinquent taxes is substantially different for the two classifications of property.

The City has four ways of collecting unsecured personal property taxes: 1) pursuing civil action against the taxpayer; 2) filing a certificate in the Office of the Clerk of the Court specifying certain facts, including the date of mailing a copy thereof to the affected taxpayer, in order to obtain a judgment against the taxpayer; 3) filing a certificate of delinquency for recording in the Assessor-Recorder's Office in order to obtain a lien on certain property of the taxpayer; and 4) seizing and selling personal property, improvements or possessory interests belonging or assessed to the taxpayer.

The exclusive means of enforcing the payment of delinquent taxes with respect to property on the secured roll is the sale of the property securing the taxes. Proceeds of the sale are used to pay the costs of sale and the amount of delinquent taxes. A 10% penalty is added to delinquent taxes that have been levied on property on the secured roll. In addition, property on the secured roll with respect to which taxes are delinquent is declared "tax defaulted" and subject to eventual sale by the Treasurer and Tax Collector of the City. Such property may thereafter be redeemed by payment of the delinquent taxes and the delinquency penalty, plus a redemption penalty of 1.5% per month, which begins to accrue on such taxes beginning July 1 following the date on which the property becomes tax-defaulted. As discussed in APPENDIX A – "CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO ORGANIZATION AND FINANCES – Property Taxation – Tax Levy and Collection" the Board of Supervisors approved a resolution on May 5, 2020 setting the property tax deadline to May 15, 2020.

Teeter Plan. In October 1993, the Board of Supervisors of the City passed a resolution that adopted the Alternative Method of Tax Apportionment (the "Teeter Plan"). The Teeter Plan method authorizes the City Controller to allocate to the City's taxing agencies 100% of the secured property taxes billed but not yet collected. In return, as the delinquent property taxes and associated penalties and interest are collected, the City's General Fund retains such amounts. The City has funded payment of accrued and current delinquencies through authorized internal borrowing. The City also maintains a Tax Loss Reserve for the Teeter Plan. Information on this Reserve is as shown on Table A-8 in APPENDIX A attached hereto.

Taxation of Utility Property. A portion of the City's total net assessed valuation consists of utility property subject to assessment by the State Board of Equalization. State-assessed property, or "unitary property," is property of a utility system with components located in many taxing jurisdictions assessed as part of a "going concern" rather than as individual parcels of real or personal property. Unitary and certain other State-assessed property values are allocated to the counties by the State Board of Equalization, taxed at special county-wide rates, and the tax revenues distributed to taxing jurisdictions (including the City itself) according to statutory formulae generally based on the distribution of taxes in the prior year. The fiscal year 2019-20 valuation of property assessed by the State Board of Equalization is \$3.7 billion.

CERTAIN RISK FACTORS

The COVID-19 Emergency is a significant new development material adversely affecting the City's finances and outlook. See "RECENT DEVELOPMENTS" herein and "Public Health Emergencies" below.

The Resolutions provide that the Bonds are payable from and secured by a voter-approved dedicated property tax levy on all taxable property in the City. Under the Resolutions, the City is not obligated to pay the debt service from any other sources. This Official Statement, including Appendix A hereto, provide information on the City's overall operations and finances with an emphasis on its General Fund and therefore includes information on revenues and other funds that are not pledged to the Bonds under the Resolutions and that should not be considered available to pay debt service on the Bonds, See "SECURITY FOR THE BONDS" herein.

Factors Affecting Property Tax Security for the Bonds

The annual property tax rate for repayment of the Bonds will be based on the total assessed value of taxable property in the City and the scheduled debt service on the Bonds in each year, less any other lawfully available funds applied by the City for repayment of the Bonds. Fluctuations in the annual debt service on the Bonds, the assessed value of taxable property in the City, and the availability of such other funds in any year, may cause the annual property tax rate applicable to the Bonds to fluctuate. Issuance by the City of additional authorized bonds payable from *ad valorem* property taxes may cause the overall property tax rate to increase.

Discussed below are certain factors that may affect the City's ability to levy and collect sufficient taxes to pay scheduled debt service on the Bonds each year. See APPENDIX A – "CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO ORGANIZATION AND FINANCES" for additional information on these factors.

Total Assessed Value of Taxable Property in the City. The greater the assessed value of taxable property in the City, the lower the tax rate necessary to generate taxes sufficient to pay scheduled debt service on the City's general obligation bonds. The net total assessed valuation of taxable property in the City in fiscal year 2019-20 is approximately \$281.1 billion. During economic downturns, declining market values of real estate, increased foreclosures, and increases in requests submitted to the Assessor and the Assessment Appeals Board for reductions in assessed value have generally caused a reduction in the assessed value of some properties in the City. See APPENDIX A – "CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO ORGANIZATION AND FINANCES – Property Taxation – Assessed Valuations, Tax Rates and Tax Delinquencies."

Natural and economic forces can affect the assessed value of taxable property in the City. The City is located in a seismically active region, and damage from an earthquake in or near the City could cause moderate to extensive or total damage to taxable property. See "Seismic Risks" below. Other natural or man-made disasters, such as flood and sea level rise (see "Climate Change, Risk of Sea Level Rise and Flooding Damage" below), fire, toxic dumping, acts of terrorism or public health emergencies, such as the COVID-19 Emergency (see "Public Health Emergencies" below), could also cause a reduction in the assessed value of taxable property within the City. See "Other Events" below. Economic and market forces, such as a downturn in the Bay Area's economy generally, can also affect assessed values, particularly as these forces might reverberate in the residential housing and commercial property markets. In addition, the total assessed value can be reduced through the reclassification of taxable property to a class exempt from taxation, whether by ownership or use (such as exemptions for property owned by State and local agencies and property used for qualified educational, hospital, charitable or religious purposes).

Concentration of Taxable Property Ownership. The more property (by assessed value) owned by any single assessee, the more exposure of tax collections to weakness in that taxpayer's financial situation and ability or willingness to pay property taxes. As of July 1, 2019, no single assessee owned more than 0.647% of

the total taxable assessed value in the City. See APPENDIX A – "CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO ORGANIZATION AND FINANCES – Property Taxation – Tax Levy and Collection."

Property Tax Rates. One factor in the ability of taxpayers to pay additional taxes for general obligation bonds is the cumulative rate of tax. The total tax rate per \$100 of assessed value (including the basic countywide 1% rate required by statute) is discussed further in APPENDIX A – "CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO ORGANIZATION AND FINANCES – Property Taxation – Assessed Valuations, Tax Rates and Tax Delinquencies."

Debt Burden on Owners of Taxable Property in the City. Another measure of the debt burden on local taxpayers is total debt as a percentage of taxable property value. Issuance of general obligation bonds by the City is limited under Section 9.106 of the Charter to 3.00% of the assessed value of all taxable real and personal property located within the City's boundaries. For purposes of this provision of the Charter, the City calculates its debt limit on the basis of total assessed valuation net of non-reimbursable and homeowner exemptions. On this basis, the City's gross general obligation debt limit for fiscal year 2019-20 is approximately \$8.4 billion, based on a net total assessed valuation of approximately \$281.1 billion. As of May 15, 2020, the City had outstanding approximately \$2.4 billion in aggregate principal amount of general obligation bonds, which equals approximately 0.85% of the net assessed valuation for fiscal year 2019-20. See APPENDIX A – "CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO ORGANIZATION AND FINANCES – Capital Financing and Bonds."

Additional Debt; Authorized but Unissued Bonds. Issuance of additional authorized bonds can cause the overall property tax rate to increase. As of May 15, 2020, the City had voter approval to issue up to \$2.2 billion in additional aggregate principal amount of new bonds payable from ad valorem property taxes. See "RECENT DEVELOPMENTS" and APPENDIX A – "CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO ORGANIZATION AND FINANCES – Capital Financing and Bonds – General Obligation Bonds." In addition, the City expects that it will propose further bond measures to the voters from time to time to help meet its capital needs. The City's most recent adopted 10-year capital plan identifies \$39.1 billion of capital needs for all City departments, including \$5.1 billion in projects for General Fund-supported departments. See APPENDIX A – "CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO ORGANIZATION AND FINANCES – Capital Financing and Bonds – Capital Plan."

Limitations on Development. Construction and development in the City could be limited by governmental or legal limits on growth and/or challenges in the approval of certain residential and commercial projects. For example, San Francisco voters passed Proposition M in November 1986 which created an annual limit on the construction of new office space throughout the City (i.e., 950,000 square feet per year). Proposition M amended the Office Development Annual Limit Program (the "Annual Limit Program") under the City's Planning Code, which Annual Limit Program governs the approval of all development projects that contain more than 25,000 gross square feet of office space. The central provision of the Annual Limit Program is a "metering limit" designed to restrict the amount of office space authorized in a given year. No office project subject to the metering limit can be entitled without receiving an allocation under the Annual Limit Program. In doing so, the Annual Limit Program aims to ensure a manageable rate of new development and to guard against typical "boom and bust" cycles, among other goals.

In March 2020, voters of the City approved Proposition E, amending existing citywide limits on new office development. Proposition E links the amount of new office space that can be approved annually in San Francisco to the City's performance on building new affordable housing. Proposition E allows projects that provide affordable housing and space for community arts or local retail, particularly in the Central South of Market (SoMa) neighborhood, to proceed sooner by borrowing from future allocations. Proposition E also changes the City's criteria for approving new office development.

As described above, the City currently limits the total amount of new office construction that can be approved each year to 950,000 square feet, pursuant to Proposition M. Of this, 75,000 square feet is reserved

for projects between 25,000 and 50,000 square feet (called the "small cap"), while 875,000 square feet is reserved for office buildings greater than 50,000 square feet (called the "large cap"). Any office development less than 25,000 square feet is exempt from the cap. If the City's Planning Commission does not allocate the full cap amount in one year, the remaining square footage accrues to future years. Proposition E links the amount of office construction allowed in the large cap category to the amount of affordable housing that began construction in the prior calendar year. More specifically, the 875,000-square-foot large cap would be reduced by the same percentage that the City is falling short on meeting its affordable housing goals. For example, if the City produces only 50% of its affordable housing goal one year, then the City can only approve 50% of the 875,000 square feet in the large cap category the following year.

Proposition E also limits the amount of large cap office space that may be allocated in the Central SoMa neighborhood to 6 million square feet until at least 15,000 new housing units are produced in the larger SoMa area.

City Long-Term Financial Challenges

The following discussion highlights certain long-term challenges facing the City and is not meant to be an exhaustive discussion of challenges facing the City (see, for example, "Seismic Risks" and "Climate Change, Risk of Sea Level Rise and Flooding Damage" below). Notwithstanding the City's strong economic and financial performance during the recent recovery and despite significant City initiatives to improve public transportation systems, expand access to healthcare and modernize parks and libraries, the City faces several long-term financial challenges and risks described below. In particular, a new, significant challenge faced by the City is the COVID-19 Emergency. See "RECENT DEVELOPMENTS" herein and "Public Health Emergencies" below.

Significant capital investments are proposed in the City's adopted 10-year capital plan. The City's most recent adopted 10-year capital plan sets forth \$39.1 billion of capital needs for all City departments. However, identified funding resources are below those necessary to maintain and enhance the City's physical infrastructure. As a result, over \$4.9 billion in capital needs are deferred from the capital plan's 10-year horizon. More than half of these unfunded needs relate to the City's transportation and waterfront infrastructure, where capital investment has lagged for decades.

In addition, the City faces long-term challenges with respect to the management of pension and post-employment retirement obligations. The City has taken major steps to address long-term unfunded liabilities for employee pension and other post-employment benefits, including retiree health obligations, yet significant liabilities remain. In recent years, the City and voters have adopted changes that should mitigate these unfunded liabilities over time, including adoption of lower-cost benefit tiers, increases to employee and employer contribution requirements, and establishment of a trust fund to set-aside funding for future retiree health costs. The financial benefit from these changes will phase in over time, however, leaving ongoing financial challenges for the City in the shorter term. Further, the size of these liabilities is based on a number of assumptions, including but not limited to assumed investment returns and actuarial assumptions. It is possible that actual results will differ materially from current assumptions, and such changes in investment returns or other actuarial assumptions could increase budgetary pressures on the City.

Further, while the City has adopted a number of measures to better position its operating budget for future economic downturns, these measures may not be sufficient. Economic stabilization reserves have grown significantly during the last five fiscal years. The maximum combined value of the City's Rainy Day Reserve and Budget Stabilization Reserve is 10% of General Fund revenues. Under the City's current policy, once the 10% threshold is reached, excess amounts are deposited into a non-recurring expenditure reserve that may be appropriated for capital expenditures, prepayment of future debts or liabilities, or other non-recurring expenditures. Notwithstanding the foregoing, the City expects that meeting the 10% adopted target level of reserves will not eliminate the need to cut expenditures in a recession to balance the City's budget. See

APPENDIX A – "CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO – ORGANIZATION AND FINANCES – City Budget."

There is no assurance that other challenges not discussed in this Official Statement may become material to investors in the future. For more information, see APPENDIX A – "CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO ORGANIZATION AND FINANCES" and in APPENDIX B – "COMPREHENSIVE ANNUAL FINANCIAL REPORT OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO FOR THE FISCAL YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2019."

Seismic Risks

General. The City is located in a seismically active region. Active earthquake faults underlie both the City and the surrounding Bay Area, including the San Andreas Fault, which passes within about three miles of the City's border, and the Hayward Fault, which runs under Oakland, Berkeley and other cities on the east side of San Francisco Bay, about 10 miles away, as well as a number of other significant faults in the region. Significant seismic events include the 1989 Loma Prieta earthquake, centered about 60 miles south of the City, which registered 6.9 on the Richter scale of earthquake intensity. That earthquake caused fires, building collapses, and structural damage to buildings and highways in the City and surrounding areas. The San Francisco-Oakland Bay Bridge, the only east-west vehicle access into the City, was closed for a month for repairs, and several highways in the City were permanently closed and eventually removed. On August 24, 2014, the San Francisco Bay Area experienced a 6.0 earthquake centered near Napa along the West Napa Fault. The City did not suffer any material damage as a result of this earthquake.

California Earthquake Probabilities Study. In March 2015, the Working Group on California Earthquake Probabilities (a collaborative effort of the U.S. Geological Survey (U.S.G.S.), the California Geological Survey, and the Southern California Earthquake Center) reported that there is a 72% chance that one or more earthquakes of magnitude 6.7 (the magnitude of the 1994 Northridge earthquake) or larger will occur in the San Francisco Bay Area before the year 2045. In addition, the U.S.G.S. released a report in April 2017 entitled The HayWired Earthquake Scenario, which estimates that property damage and direct business disruption losses from a magnitude 7.0 earthquake on the Hayward Fault would be more than \$82 billion (in 2016 dollars). Most of the losses are expected to be attributable to shaking damage, liquefaction, and landslides (in that order). Eighty percent of shaking damage is expected to be caused by the magnitude 7.0 mainshock, with the rest of the damage resulting from aftershocks occurring over a 2-year period thereafter. Such earthquakes could be very destructive. In addition to the potential damage to City-owned buildings and facilities (on which the City does not generally carry earthquake insurance), due to the importance of San Francisco as a tourist destination and regional hub of commercial, retail and entertainment activity, a major earthquake anywhere in the Bay Area may cause significant temporary and possibly long-term harm to the City's economy, tax receipts, and residential and business real property values.

Earthquake Safety Implementation Plan (ESIP). ESIP began in early 2012, evolving out of the key recommendations of the Community Action Plan for Seismic Safety (CAPSS), a 10-year-long study evaluating the seismic vulnerabilities the City faces. The CAPSS Study prepared by the Applied Technology Council looked at the impact to all of San Francisco's buildings and recommended a 30-year plan for action. As a result of this plan, the City has mandated the retrofit of nearly 5,000 soft-story buildings housing over 111,000 residents by September 2020. Future tasks will address the seismic vulnerability of older nonductile concrete buildings, which are at high risk of severe damage or collapse in an earthquake.

Vulnerability Study of the Northern Waterfront Seawall. In early 2016, the Port Commission of the City commissioned an earthquake vulnerability study of the Northern Waterfront Seawall. The three-mile Seawall was constructed over 100 years ago and sits on reclaimed land, rendering it vulnerable to seismic risk. The Seawall provides flood and wave protection to downtown San Francisco, and stabilizes hundreds of acres of filled land. Preliminary findings of the study indicate that a strong earthquake may cause most of the Seawall to settle and move outward toward the Bay, which would significantly increase earthquake damage

and disruption along the waterfront. The Port Commission estimates that seismic retrofitting of the Seawall could cost as much as \$3 billion, with another \$2 billion or more needed to prepare the Seawall for rising sea levels. The study estimates that approximately \$1.6 billion in Port assets and \$2.1 billion of rents, business income, and wages are at risk from major damage to the Seawall. See "Climate Change, Risk of Sea Level Rise and Flooding Damage" below.

Tall Buildings Safety Strategy Report and Executive Directive. The City commissioned a first in the nation "Tall Buildings Study" by the Applied Technology Council to consider the impact of earthquakes on buildings taller than 240 feet. The final report following the study, released in January 2019, evaluates best practices for geotechnical engineering, seismic risks, standards for post-earthquake structural evaluations, barriers to re-occupancy, and costs and benefits of higher performance goals for new construction. The study estimates that for a tall building designed to current seismic standards, it might take two to six months to mobilize for and repair damage from a major earthquake, depending on the building location, geologic conditions, and the structural and foundation systems. The report identifies and summarizes sixteen recommendations for reducing seismic risk prior to earthquakes for new and existing buildings, reducing seismic risk following earthquakes, and improving the City's understanding of its tall building seismic risk.

On January 24, 2019, Mayor London N. Breed issued an executive directive instructing City departments to work with community stakeholders, develop regulations to address geotechnical and engineering issues, clarify emergency response and safety inspection roles, and establish a Disaster Recovery Task Force for citywide recovery planning, including a comprehensive recovery plan for the financial district and surrounding neighborhoods by the end of the year. All of these tasks are currently underway. In November 2019, an exercise was conducted to test post-earthquake building safety inspection protocol and logistics. San Francisco was the first jurisdiction to test this Statewide program. The City's Disaster Recovery Taskforce had its kickoff meeting in February 2020 to evaluate plans for development of a Disaster Recovery Framework and Downtown Resilience Plan, following several months of groundwork by a consultant team. Partnering with the Structural Engineers Association of Northern California (SEAONC), geotechnical regulations for tall buildings are being drafted and expected to be delivered to the City for adoption in June 2020.

The City obtains commercial insurance only in certain limited circumstances, including when required by bond or lease financing transactions and for other limited purposes. The City does not maintain commercial earthquake coverage, with certain minor exceptions. See APPENDIX A – "CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO ORGANIZATION AND FINANCES – Litigation and Risk Management."

Climate Change, Risk of Sea Level Rise and Flooding Damage

Numerous scientific studies on global climate change show that, among other effects on the global ecosystem, sea levels will rise, extreme temperatures, and extreme weather events will become more frequent as a result of increasing global temperatures attributable to atmospheric pollution.

The Fourth National Climate Assessment, published by the U.S. Global Change Research Program in November 2018 (NCA4), finds that more frequent and intense extreme weather and climate-related events, as well as changes in average climate conditions, are expected to continue to damage infrastructure, ecosystems and social systems over the next 25 to 100 years. NCA4 states that rising temperatures, sea level rise, and changes in extreme events are expected to increasingly disrupt and damage critical infrastructure and property and regional economies and industries that depend on natural resources and favorable climate conditions. Disruptions could include more frequent and longer-lasting power outages, fuel shortages and service disruptions. NCA4 states that the continued increase in the frequency and extent of high-tide flooding due to sea level rise threatens coastal public infrastructure. NCA4 also states that expected increases in the severity and frequency of heavy precipitation events will affect inland infrastructure, including access to roads, the viability of bridges and the safety of pipelines.

Sea levels will continue to rise in the future due to the increasing temperature of the oceans causing thermal expansion and growing ocean volume from glaciers and ice caps melting into the ocean. Between 1854 and 2016, sea level rose about nine inches according to the tidal gauge at Fort Point, a location underneath the Golden Gate Bridge. Weather and tidal patterns, including 100-year or more storms and king tides, may exacerbate the effects of climate related sea level rise. Coastal areas like the City are at risk of substantial flood damage over time, affecting private development and public infrastructure, including roads, utilities, emergency services, schools, and parks. As a result, the City could lose considerable tax revenues and many residents, businesses, and governmental operations along the waterfront could be displaced, and the City could be required to mitigate these effects at a potentially material cost.

Adapting to sea level rise is a key component of the City's policies. The City and its enterprise departments have been preparing for future sea level rise for many years and have issued a number of public reports. For example, in March 2016, the City released a report entitled "Sea Level Rise Action Plan," identifying geographic zones at risk of sea level rise and providing a framework for adaptation strategies to confront these risks. That study shows an upper range of end-of-century projections for permanent sea level rise, including the effects of temporary flooding due to a 100-year storm, of up to 108 inches above the 2015 average high tide. To implement this Plan, the Mayor's Sea Level Rise Coordinating Committee, co-chaired by the Planning Department and Office of Resilience and Capital Planning, joined the Port, the Public Utilities Commission and other public agencies in moving several initiatives forward. This includes a Citywide Sea Level Rise Vulnerability and Consequences Assessment to identify and evaluate sea level rise impacts across the city and in various neighborhoods that was released in February 2020.

In April 2017, the Working Group of the California Ocean Protection Council Science Advisory Team (in collaboration with several state agencies, including the California Natural Resource Agency, the Governor's Office of Planning and Research, and the California Energy Commission) published a report, that was formally adopted in March 2018, entitled "Rising Seas in California: An Update on Sea Level Rise Science" (the "Sea Level Rise Report") to provide a new synthesis of the state of science regarding sea level rise. The Sea Level Rise Report provides the basis for State guidance to state and local agencies for incorporating sea level rise into design, planning, permitting, construction, investment and other decisions. Among many findings, the Sea Level Rise Report indicates that the effects of sea level rise are already being felt in coastal California with more extensive coastal flooding during storms, exacerbated tidal flooding, and increased coastal erosion. In addition, the report notes that the rate of ice sheet loss from Greenland and Antarctic ice sheets poses a particular risk of sea level rise for the California coastline. The City has incorporated the projections from the 2018 report into its Guidance for Incorporating Sea Level Rise Guidance into Capital Planning. The Guidance requires that City projects over \$5 million consider mitigation and/or adaptation measures.

In March 2020, a consortium of State and local agencies, led by the Bay Area Conservation and Development Commission, released a detailed study entitled, "Adapting to Rising Tides Bay Area: Regional Sea Level Rise Vulnerability and Adaptation Study," on how sea level rise could alter the Bay Area. The study states that a 48-inch increase in the bay's water level in coming decades could cause more than 100,000 Bay Area jobs to be relocated, nearly 30,000 lower-income residents to be displaced, and 68,000 acres of ecologically valuable shoreline habitat to be lost. The study further argues that without a far-sighted, nine-county response, the region's economic and transportation systems could be undermined along with the environment. Runways at SFO could largely be under water.

The City has already incorporated site specific adaption plans in the conditions of approval for certain large waterfront development projects, such as the Candlestick/Hunters Point Shipyard, Treasure Island, Pier 70 and Mission Rock projects. Also, the City has started the process of planning to fortify the Port's seawall from sea level rise, including an initial investment of about \$8 million during fiscal year 2017-18 and consideration of financing options. The City expects short term upgrades to cost over \$500 million and long term upgrades to cost more than \$5 billion.

Portions of the San Francisco Bay Area, including the City, are built on fill that was placed over saturated silty clay known as "Bay Mud." This Bay Mud is soft and compressible, and the consolidation of the Bay Mud under the weight of the existing fill is ongoing. A report issued in March 2018 by researchers at UC Berkeley and the University of Arizona suggests that flooding risk from climate change could be exacerbated in the San Francisco Bay Area due to the sinking or settling of the ground surface, known as subsidence. The study claims that the risk of subsidence is more significant for certain parts of the City built on fill.

Projections of the effects of global climate change on the City are complex and depend on many factors that are outside the City's control. The various scientific studies that forecast climate change and its adverse effects, including sea level rise and flooding risk, are based on assumptions contained in such studies, but actual events may vary materially. Also, the scientific understanding of climate change and its effects continues to evolve. Accordingly, the City is unable to forecast when sea level rise or other adverse effects of climate change (e.g., the occurrence and frequency of 100-year storm events and king tides) will occur. In particular, the City cannot predict the timing or precise magnitude of adverse economic effects, including, without limitation, material adverse effects on the business operations or financial condition of the City and the local economy during the term of the Bonds. While the effects of climate change may be mitigated by the City's past and future investment in adaptation strategies, the City can give no assurance about the net effects of those strategies and whether the City will be required to take additional adaptive mitigation measures. If necessary, such additional measures could require significant capital resources.

In September 2017, the City filed a lawsuit against the five largest investor-owned oil companies seeking to have the companies pay into an equitable abatement fund to help fund investment in sea level rise adaptation infrastructure. In July 2018, the United States District Court, Northern District of California denied the plaintiffs' motion for remand to state court, and then dismissed the lawsuit. The City appealed these decisions to the United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit, which is pending. While the City believes that its claims are meritorious, the City can give no assurance regarding whether it will be successful and obtain the requested relief from the courts, or contributions to the abatement fund from the defendant oil companies.

Cybersecurity

The City, like many other large public and private entities, relies on a large and complex technology environment to conduct its operations, and faces multiple cybersecurity threats including, but not limited to, hacking, viruses, malware and other attacks on its computing and other digital networks and systems (collectively, "Systems Technology"). As a recipient and provider of personal, private, or sensitive information, the City has been the subject of cybersecurity incidents that have resulted in or could have resulted in adverse consequences to the City's Systems Technology and that required a response action to mitigate the consequences. For example, in November 2016, the San Francisco Metropolitan Transportation Agency (the "SFMTA") was subject to a ransomware attack which disrupted some of the SFMTA's internal computer systems. Although the attack neither interrupted Muni train services nor compromised customer privacy or transaction information, SFMTA took the precaution of turning off the ticket machines and fare gates in the Muni Metro subway stations from Friday, November 25 until the morning of Sunday, November 27.

Cybersecurity incidents could result from unintentional events, or from deliberate attacks by unauthorized entities or individuals attempting to gain access to the City's Systems Technology for the purposes of misappropriating assets or information or causing operational disruption and damage. To mitigate the risk of business operations impact and/or damage from cybersecurity incidents or cyber-attacks, the City invests in multiple forms of cybersecurity and operational safeguards. In November 2016, the City adopted a City-wide Cyber Security Policy ("Cyber Policy") to support, maintain, and secure critical infrastructure and data systems. The objectives of the Cyber Policy include the protection of critical infrastructure and information, manage risk, improve cyber security event detection and remediation, and facilitate cyber awareness across all City departments. The City's Department of Technology has established a cybersecurity

team to work across all City departments to implement the Cyber Policy. The City's Cyber Policy is reviewed periodically.

The City has also appointed a City Chief Information Security Officer ("CCISO"), who is directly responsible for understanding the business and related cybersecurity needs of the City's 54 departments. The CCISO is responsible for identifying, evaluating, responding, and reporting on information security risks in a manner that meets compliance and regulatory requirements, and aligns with and supports the risk posture of the City.

While City cybersecurity and operational safeguards are periodically tested, no assurances can be given by the City that such measures will ensure against other cybersecurity threats and attacks. Cybersecurity breaches could damage the City's Systems Technology and cause material disruption to the City's operations and the provision of City services. The costs of remedying any such damage or protecting against future attacks could be substantial. Further, cybersecurity breaches could expose the City to material litigation and other legal risks, which could cause the City to incur material costs related to such legal claims or proceedings.

Limitation on Remedies; Bankruptcy

General. The rights of the owners of the Bonds are subject to limitations on legal remedies against the City, including applicable bankruptcy or similar laws affecting the enforcement of creditors' rights generally, now or hereafter in effect. Bankruptcy proceedings, if initiated, could subject the owners of the Bonds to judicial discretion and interpretation of their rights in bankruptcy proceedings or otherwise, and consequently may entail risks of delay, limitation or modification of the rights of the owners of the Bonds.

Bankruptcy courts are courts of equity and as such have broad discretionary powers. If the City were to become the debtor in a proceeding under Chapter 9 of the Bankruptcy Code, the parties to the proceedings may be prohibited from taking any action to collect any amount from the City (including *ad valorem* tax revenues) or to enforce any obligation of the City, without the bankruptcy court's permission. In such a proceeding, as part of its plan of adjustment in bankruptcy, the City may be able to alter the priority, interest rate, principal amount, payment terms, collateral, maturity dates, payment sources, covenants (including tax-related covenants), and other terms or provisions of the Bonds and other transaction documents related to the Bonds, as long as the bankruptcy court determines that the alterations are fair and equitable. In addition, in such a proceeding, as part of such a plan, the City may be able to eliminate the obligation of the City to raise taxes if necessary to pay the Bonds. There also may be other possible effects of a bankruptcy of the City that could result in delays or reductions in payments on the Bonds. Moreover, regardless of any specific adverse determinations in any City bankruptcy proceeding, the fact of a City bankruptcy proceeding, could have an adverse effect on the liquidity and market price of the Bonds.

As stated above, if the City were to go into bankruptcy, the bankruptcy petition would be filed under Chapter 9 of the Bankruptcy Code. Chapter 9 provides that it does not limit or impair the power of a state to control, by legislation or otherwise, a municipality of or in such state in the exercise of the political or governmental powers of such municipality, including expenditures for such exercise. For purposes of the language of Chapter 9, the City is a municipality. State law provides that the *ad valorem* taxes levied to pay the principal and interest on the Bonds shall be used for the payment of principal and interest of the City's general obligation bonds and for no other purpose. If this restriction on the expenditure of such *ad valorem* taxes is respected in a bankruptcy case, then the *ad valorem* tax revenue could not be used by the City for any purpose other than to make payments on the Bonds. It is possible, however, that a bankruptcy court could conclude that the restriction should not be respected.

Statutory Lien. Pursuant to Section 53515 of the California Government Code (which became effective on January 1, 2016, as part of Senate Bill 222), the Bonds will be secured by a statutory lien on all revenues received pursuant to the levy and collection of the *ad valorem* taxes levied for the Bonds. Section 53515 provides that the lien will automatically arise, without the need for any action or authorization by the

local agency or its governing board, and will be valid and binding from the time the bonds are executed and delivered. See "SECURITY FOR THE BONDS." Although a statutory lien would not be automatically terminated by the filing of a Chapter 9 bankruptcy petition by the City, the automatic stay provisions of the Bankruptcy Code would apply and payments that become due and owing on the Bonds during the pendency of the Chapter 9 proceeding could be delayed (unless the Bonds are determined to be secured by a pledge of "special revenues" within the meaning of the Bankruptcy Code and the pledged taxes are applied to pay the Bonds in a manner consistent with the Bankruptcy Code).

Special Revenues. If the tax revenues that are pledged to the payment of the Bonds are determined to be "special revenues" within the meaning of the Bankruptcy Code, then the application in a manner consistent with the Bankruptcy Code of the pledged ad valorem revenues that are collected after the date of the bankruptcy filing should not be subject to the automatic stay. "Special revenues" are defined to include, among others, taxes specifically levied to finance one or more projects or systems of the debtor, but excluding receipts from general property, sales, or income taxes levied to finance the general purposes of the debtor. The City has specifically pledged the taxes for payment of the Bonds. Additionally, the ad valorem taxes levied for payment of the Bonds are permitted under the State Constitution only where the applicable bond proposition is approved by at least two-thirds of the votes cast. State law prohibits the use of the tax proceeds for any purpose other than payment of the bonds and the bond proceeds can only be used to fund the acquisition or improvement of real property and other capital expenditures included in the proposition so such tax revenues appear to fit the definition of special revenues. However, there is no binding judicial precedent dealing with the treatment in bankruptcy proceedings of ad valorem tax revenues collected for the payments of bonds in California, so no assurance can be given that a bankruptcy court would not hold otherwise.

In addition, even if the *ad valorem* tax revenues are determined to be "special revenues," the Bankruptcy Code provides that special revenues can be applied to necessary operating expenses of the project or system, before they are applied to other obligations. This rule applies regardless of the provisions of the transaction documents. Thus, a bankruptcy court could determine that the City is entitled to use the *ad valorem* tax revenues to pay necessary operating expenses of the City before the remaining revenues are paid to the owners of the Bonds.

Possession of Revenues; Remedies. If the City goes into bankruptcy and has possession of tax revenues (whether collected before or after commencement of the bankruptcy), and if the City does not voluntarily pay such tax revenues to the owners of the Bonds, it is not entirely clear what procedures the owners of the Bonds would have to follow to attempt to obtain possession of such tax revenues, how much time it would take for such procedures to be completed, or whether such procedures would ultimately be successful.

State Law Limitations on Appropriations

Article XIIIB of the State Constitution limits the amount that local governments can appropriate annually (the "Gann Limit"). According to the City Controller, the City may exceed the Gann Limit in fiscal years following fiscal year 2020-21, depending on the timing and outcome of litigation regarding three legally-contested tax measures approved by voters in 2018. Should the City exceed the Gann Limit, the City would be required to seek voter approval to exceed such limit, shift spending to capital or other exempt expenditure types, or issue tax rebates. See APPENDIX A – "CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO ORGANIZATION AND FINANCES – BUDGETARY RISKS – Impact of Recent Voter-Initiated and Approved Revenue Measures on Local Finances" and "– CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY LIMITATIONS ON TAXES AND EXPENDITURES – Article XIIIB of the California Constitution."

Public Health Emergencies

In recent years, public health authorities have warned of threats posed by outbreaks of disease and other public health threats. On February 11, 2020 the WHO announced the official name for the outbreak of

COVID-19, an upper respiratory tract illness first identified in Wuhan, China. COVID-19 has since spread across the globe. The spread of COVID-19 is having significant adverse health and financial impacts throughout the world, including the City. See "RECENT DEVELOPMENTS" above. The WHO has declared the COVID-19 outbreak to be a pandemic, and states of emergency have been declared by the Mayor of the City, the Governor of the State and the President of the United States.

The COVID-19 outbreak is ongoing, and its duration and severity and economic effects are uncertain in many respects. Uncertain too are the actions that may be taken by Federal and State governmental authorities to contain or mitigate the effects of the outbreak. The ultimate impact of COVID-19 on the City's operations and finances is not fully known, and it may be some time before the full adverse impact of the COVID-19 outbreak is known. The City has undertaken modifications to its standard budget approval process calendar and has been and plans to issue periodic updates on the Controller's website. Certain reports providing preliminary information regarding the impact of the COVID-19 Emergency are described herein under "RECENT DEVELOPMENTS" above. The COVID-19 outbreak is expected to have material adverse impacts on the projections and budget information provided in APPENDIX A – "CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO ORGANIZATION AND FINANCES." Further, there could be future COVID-19 outbreaks or other public health emergencies that could have material adverse effects on the City's operations and finances.

Other Events

Seismic events, wildfires, tsunamis, and other natural or man-made events may adversely impact persons and property within San Francisco, and damage City infrastructure and adversely impact the City's ability to provide municipal services. For example, in August 2013, a massive wildfire in Tuolumne County and the Stanislaus National Forest burned over 257,135 acres (the "Rim Fire"), which area included portions of the City's Hetch Hetchy Project. The Hetch Hetchy Project is comprised of dams (including O'Shaughnessy Dam), reservoirs (including Hetch Hetchy Reservoir which supplies 85% of San Francisco's drinking water), hydroelectric generation and transmission facilities and water transmission facilities. SFPUC is currently conducting an overall conditions assessment of all dams in its system. Hetch Hetchy facilities affected by the Rim Fire included two power generating stations and the southern edge of the Hetch Hetchy Reservoir. There was no impact to drinking water quality. The City's hydroelectric power generation system was interrupted by the fire, forcing the San Francisco Public Utilities Commission to spend approximately \$1.6 million buying power on the open market and using existing banked energy with PG&E. The Rim Fire inflicted approximately \$40 million in damage to parts of the City's water and power infrastructure located in the region. Certain portions of the Hetch Hetchy Project such as Mountain Tunnel, an 18.9-mile water conveyance facility, are old and deteriorating, and outages at critical points of the project could disrupt water delivery to significant portions of the region and/or cause significant costs and liabilities to the City. Further, many areas of California suffered from wildfires in recent years, including the Kincade Fire in late 2019 that burned approximately 78,000 acres in Sonoma County, California and the Camp Fire in late 2018 that burned over 153,300 acres in Butte County, California.

In September 2010, a PG&E high pressure natural gas transmission pipeline exploded in San Bruno, California, with catastrophic results. PG&E owns, operates and maintains numerous gas transmission and distribution pipelines throughout the City.

With certain exceptions, the City believes that it is more economical to manage its risks internally and administer, adjust, settle, defend, and pay claims from budgeted resources (i.e., "self-insurance"). The City obtains commercial insurance in certain circumstances, including when required by bond or lease financing transactions and for other limited purposes. The City does not maintain commercial earthquake coverage, with certain minor exceptions. See APPENDIX A – "CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO ORGANIZATION AND FINANCES – Litigation and Risk Management."

TAX MATTERS

[To come]

OTHER LEGAL MATTERS

Certain legal matters incident to the authorization, issuance and sale of the Bonds and with regard to the tax status of the interest on the Bonds (see "TAX MATTERS" herein) are subject to the legal opinions of Orrick Herrington & Sutcliffe LLP, San Francisco, California, and Curls Bartling P.C., California, Co-Bond Counsel to the City. The signed legal opinions of Co-Bond Counsel, dated and premised on facts existing and law in effect as of the date of original delivery of the Bonds, will be delivered to the initial purchaser of the Bonds at the time of original delivery of the Bonds.

The proposed form of the legal opinion of Co-Bond Counsel is set forth in APPENDIX F hereto. The legal opinions to be delivered may vary that text if necessary to reflect facts and law on the date of delivery. The opinions will speak only as of their date, and subsequent distributions of it by recirculation of this Official Statement or otherwise will create no implication that Co-Bond Counsel have reviewed or express any opinion concerning any of the matters referred to in the opinion subsequent to its date. In rendering their opinions, Co-Bond Counsel will rely upon certificates and representations of facts to be contained in the transcript of proceedings for the Bonds, which Co-Bond Counsel will not have independently verified.

Co-Bond Counsel undertake no responsibility for the accuracy, completeness or fairness of this Official Statement.

Certain legal matters will be passed upon for the City by the City Attorney and by Hawkins Delafield & Wood LLP, San Francisco, California and Stradling Yocca Carlson & Rauth, a Professional Corporation, Newport Beach, California, Co-Disclosure Counsel.

Co-Disclosure Counsel have served as co-disclosure counsel to the City and in such capacity have advised the City with respect to applicable securities laws and participated with responsible City officials and staff in conferences and meetings where information contained in this Official Statement was reviewed for accuracy and completeness. Co-Disclosure Counsel are not responsible for the accuracy or completeness of the statements or information presented in this Official Statement and have not undertaken to independently verify any of such statements or information. Rather, the City is solely responsible for the accuracy and completeness of the statements and information contained in this Official Statement. Upon the delivery of the Bonds, Co-Disclosure Counsel will each deliver a letter to the City which advises the City, subject to the assumptions, exclusions, qualifications and limitations set forth therein, that no facts came to attention of such firm which caused them to believe that this Official Statement as of its date and as of the date of delivery of the Bonds contained or contains any untrue statement of a material fact or omitted or omits to state any material fact necessary to make the statements therein, in light of the circumstances under which they were made, not misleading. No purchaser or holder of the Bonds, or other person or party other than the City, will be entitled to or may rely on such letter or Co-Disclosure Counsel's having acted in the role of disclosure counsel to the City.

The legal opinions and other letters of counsel to be delivered concurrently with the delivery of the Bonds express the professional judgment of the attorneys rendering the opinions or advice regarding the legal issues and other matters expressly addressed therein. By rendering a legal opinion or advice, the giver of such opinion or advice does not become an insurer or guarantor of the result indicated by that opinion, or the transaction on which the opinion or advice is rendered, or of the future performance of parties to the transaction. Nor does the rendering of an opinion guarantee the outcome of any legal dispute that may arise out of the transaction.

PROFESSIONALS INVOLVED IN THE OFFERING

Fieldman, Rolapp & Associates, Inc, Irvine, California served as Municipal Advisor to the City with respect to the sale of the Bonds. The Municipal Advisor has assisted the City in the City's review and preparation of this Official Statement and in other matters relating to the planning, structuring, and sale of the Bonds. The Municipal Advisor has not independently verified any of the data contained herein nor conducted a detailed investigation of the affairs of the City to determine the accuracy or completeness of this Official Statement and assumes no responsibility for the accuracy or completeness of any of the information contained herein. The Municipal Advisor, Co-Bond Counsel and Co-Disclosure Counsel will all receive compensation from the City for services rendered in connection with the Bonds contingent upon the sale and delivery of the Bonds. [The City Treasurer will act as paying agent and registrar with respect to the Bonds.]

ABSENCE OF LITIGATION

No litigation is pending or threatened concerning the validity of the Bonds, the ability of the City to levy the *ad valorem* tax required to pay debt service on the Bonds, the corporate existence of the City, or the entitlement to their respective offices of the officers of the City who will execute and deliver the Bonds and other documents and certificates in connection therewith.

CONTINUING DISCLOSURE

The City has covenanted for the benefit of the holders and beneficial owners of the Bonds to provide certain financial information and operating data relating to the City (the "Annual Report") not later than 270 days after the end of the City's fiscal year (which currently ends on June 30), commencing with the report for fiscal year 2019-20, which is due not later than March 27, 2021, and to provide notices of the occurrence of certain enumerated events. The Annual Report will be filed by the City with the Electronic Municipal Market Access system ("EMMA") maintained by the Municipal Securities Rulemaking Board. The notices of enumerated events will be filed by the City with EMMA. The specific nature of the information to be contained in the Annual Report or the notices of enumerated events is summarized in APPENDIX D – "FORM OF CONTINUING DISCLOSURE CERTIFICATE." These covenants have been made in order to assist the purchasers in complying with Securities and Exchange Commission Rule 15c2-12(b)(5) (the "Rule").

On March 6, 2018, Moody's Investors Service, Inc. ("Moody's") upgraded certain of the City and County of San Francisco Finance Corporation lease-backed obligations to "Aa1" from "Aa2." The City timely filed notice of the upgrade with EMMA, but inadvertently did not link the notice to all relevant CUSIP numbers. The City has taken action to link such information to the applicable CUSIP numbers.

The City may, from time to time, but is not obligated to, post its Comprehensive Annual Financial Report and other financial information on the City's investor information website located at https://sfcontroller.org/continuing-secondary-market-disclosure.

RATINGS

Moody's Investors Service, Inc. ("Moody's"), S&P Global Ratings ("S&P"), and Fitch Ratings ("Fitch"), have assigned municipal bond ratings of "[___]," "[___]," and "[___]," respectively, to the Bonds. Certain information not included in this Official Statement was supplied by the City to the rating agencies to be considered in evaluating the Bonds. The ratings reflect only the views of each rating agency, and any explanation of the significance of any rating may be obtained only from the respective credit rating agencies: Moody's, at www.moodys.com; S&P, at www.spglobal.com; and Fitch, at www.fitchratings.com. The information presented on the website of each rating agency is not incorporated by reference as part of this Official Statement. Investors are advised to read the entire Official Statement to obtain information essential to the making of an informed investment decision. No assurance can be given that any rating issued by a

rating agency will be retained for any given period of time or that the same will not be revised or withdrawn entirely by such rating agency, if in its judgment circumstances so warrant. Any such revision or withdrawal of the ratings obtained may have an adverse effect on the market price or marketability of the Bonds. The City undertakes no responsibility to oppose any such downward revision, suspension or withdrawal.

SALE OF THE BONDS

The Bonds are scheduled to be sold at competitive bid on [______], 2020, as provided in the Official Notice of Sale, dated [______], 2020 (the "Official Notice of Sale"). The Official Notice of Sale provides that all Bonds would be purchased if any were purchased, the obligation to make such purchase being subject to certain terms and conditions set forth in the Official Notice of Sale, the approval of certain legal matters by Co-Bond Counsel and certain other conditions. The purchaser will represent to the City that the Bonds have been reoffered to the public at the price or yield to be stated on the inside cover page hereof.

MISCELLANEOUS

Any statements in this Official Statement involving matters of opinion, whether or not expressly so stated, are intended as such and not as representations of fact. This Official Statement contains forecasts, projections, estimates and other forward-looking statements that are based on current expectations. The words "expects," "forecasts," "projects," "intends," "anticipates," "estimates," "assumes" and analogous expressions are intended to identify forward-looking statements. Such forecasts, projections and estimates are not intended as representations of fact or guarantees of results. Any such forward-looking statements inherently are subject to a variety of risks and uncertainties that could cause actual results or performance to differ materially from those that have been forecast, estimated or projected. This Official Statement is not to be construed as a contract or agreement between the City and the initial purchaser or owners and beneficial owners of any of the Bonds.

The preparation and distribution Supervisors of the City.	n of this Official Statement have been duly authorized by the Board of
	CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO
	By:
	Benjamin Rosenfield Controller

APPENDIX A

CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO ORGANIZATION AND FINANCES

APPENDIX B

COMPREHENSIVE ANNUAL FINANCIAL REPORT OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO FOR THE FISCAL YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2019

APPENDIX C

CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO OFFICE OF THE TREASURER INVESTMENT POLICY

APPENDIX D

FORM OF CONTINUING DISCLOSURE CERTIFICATE

\$[Par Amount]*
CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO
GENERAL OBLIGATION BONDS
(TRANSPORTATION & ROAD
IMPROVEMENT BONDS, 2014)
SERIES 2020B-1

\$[Par Amount]*
CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO
GENERAL OBLIGATION BONDS
(TRANSPORTATION & ROAD
IMPROVEMENT BONDS, 2014)
SERIES 2020B-2 (TAXABLE)

This Continuing Disclosure Certificate (the "Disclosure Certificate") is executed and delivered by the City and County of San Francisco (the "City") in connection with the issuance of the bonds captioned above (the "Bonds"). The Bonds will be issued under the Government Code of the State and the Charter. The City authorized the issuance of the Bonds by Resolution No. 193-15 and Resolution No. __-__, adopted by the Board of Supervisors of the City on June 2, 2015 and _______, 2020, respectively, and duly approved by the Mayor of the City on June 9, 2015 and _______, 2020, respectively (together, the "Resolutions"). The City covenants and agrees as follows:

SECTION 1. Purpose of the Disclosure Certificate. This Disclosure Certificate is being executed and delivered by the City for the benefit of the Holders and Beneficial Owners of the Bonds and in order to assist the Participating Underwriters in complying with Securities and Exchange Commission Rule 15c2-12(b)(5).

SECTION 2. Definitions. The following capitalized terms shall have the following meanings:

"Annual Report" shall mean any Annual Report provided by the City pursuant to, and as described in, Sections 3 and 4 of this Disclosure Certificate.

"Beneficial Owner" shall mean any person which: (a) has or shares the power, directly or indirectly, to make investment decisions concerning ownership of any Bonds (including persons holding Bonds through nominees, depositories or other intermediaries) including, but not limited to, the power to vote or consent with respect to any Bonds or to dispose of ownership of any Bonds; or (b) is treated as the owner of any Bonds for federal income tax purposes.

"Dissemination Agent" shall mean the City, acting in its capacity as Dissemination Agent under this Disclosure Certificate, or any successor Dissemination Agent designated in writing by the City and which has filed with the City a written acceptance of such designation.

"Financial Obligation" means "financial obligation" as such term is defined in the Rule.

"Holder" shall mean either the registered owners of the Bonds, or, if the Bonds are registered in the name of The Depository Trust Company or another recognized depository, any applicable participant in such depository system.

"Listed Events" shall mean any of the events listed in Section 5(a) and 5(b) of this Disclosure Certificate.

^{*} Preliminary, subject to change.

"MSRB" shall mean the Municipal Securities Rulemaking Board or any other entity designated or authorized by the Securities and Exchange Commission to receive reports pursuant to the Rule. Until otherwise designated by the MSRB or the Securities and Exchange Commission, filings with the MSRB are to be made through the Electronic Municipal Market Access (EMMA) website of the MSRB currently located at http://emma.msrb.org.

"Participating Underwriter" shall mean any of the original underwriters or purchasers of the Bonds required to comply with the Rule in connection with offering of the Bonds.

"Rule" shall mean Rule 15c2-12(b)(5) adopted by the Securities and Exchange Commission under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934.

SECTION 3. Provision of Annual Reports.

- (a) The City shall, or shall cause the Dissemination Agent to, not later than 270 days after the end of the City's fiscal year (which is June 30), commencing with the report for the 2019-20 Fiscal Year (which is due not later than March 27, 2021), provide to the MSRB an Annual Report which is consistent with the requirements of Section 4 of this Disclosure Certificate. If the Dissemination Agent is not the City, the City shall provide the Annual Report to the Dissemination Agent not later than 15 days prior to said date. The Annual Report must be submitted in electronic format and accompanied by such identifying information as is prescribed by the MSRB, and may cross-reference other information as provided in Section 4 of this Disclosure Certificate; *provided*, that if the audited financial statements of the City are not available by the date required above for the filing of the Annual Report, the City shall submit unaudited financial statements and submit the audited financial statements as soon as they are available. If the City's Fiscal Year changes, it shall give notice of such change in the same manner as for a Listed Event under Section 5(e).
- (b) If the City is unable to provide to the MSRB an Annual Report by the date required in subsection (a), the City shall send, in a timely manner, a notice to the MSRB in substantially the form attached as Exhibit A.
- (c) The Dissemination Agent shall (if the Dissemination Agent is other than the City), file a report with the City certifying the date that the Annual Report was provided to the MSRB pursuant to this Disclosure Certificate.

SECTION 4. Content of Annual Reports. The City's Annual Report shall contain or incorporate by reference the following information, as required by the Rule:

- (a) the audited general purpose financial statements of the City prepared in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles applicable to governmental entities;
 - (b) a summary of budgeted general fund revenues and appropriations;
 - (c) a summary of the assessed valuation of taxable property in the City;
 - (d) a summary of the *ad valorem* property tax levy and delinquency rate;
- (e) a schedule of aggregate annual debt service on tax-supported indebtedness of the City; and
- (f) summary of outstanding and authorized but unissued general obligation taxsupported indebtedness of the City.

Any or all of the items listed above may be set forth in a document or set of documents, or may be included by specific reference to other documents, including official statements of debt issues of the City or related public entities, which are available to the public on the MSRB website. If the document included by

reference is a final official statement, it must be available from the MSRB. The City shall clearly identify each such other document so included by reference.

SECTION 5. Reporting of Significant Events.

- (a) The City shall give, or cause to be given, notice of the occurrence of any of the following events numbered 1-10 with respect to the Bonds not later than ten business days after the occurrence of the event:
 - 1. Principal and interest payment delinquencies;
 - 2. Unscheduled draws on debt service reserves reflecting financial difficulties;
 - 3. Unscheduled draws on credit enhancements reflecting financial difficulties;
 - 4. Substitution of credit or liquidity providers, or their failure to perform;
 - 5. Issuance by the Internal Revenue Service of proposed or final determination of taxability or of a Notice of Proposed Issue (IRS Form 5701 TEB) or adverse tax opinions;
 - 6. Tender offers:
 - 7. Defeasances;
 - 8. Rating changes;
 - 9. Bankruptcy, insolvency, receivership or similar event of the obligated person; or
 - 10. Default, event of acceleration, termination event, modification of terms, or other similar events under the terms of a Financial Obligation of the City, any of which reflect financial difficulties.

Note: for the purposes of the event identified in subparagraph (9), the event is considered to occur when any of the following occur: the appointment of a receiver, fiscal agent or similar officer for an obligated person in a proceeding under the U.S. Bankruptcy Code or in any other proceeding under State or federal law in which a court or governmental authority has assumed jurisdiction over substantially all of the assets or business of the obligated person, or if such jurisdiction has been assumed by leaving the existing governmental body and officials or officers in possession but subject to the supervision and orders of a court or governmental authority, or the entry of an order confirming a plan of reorganization, arrangement or liquidation by a court or governmental authority having supervision or jurisdiction over substantially all of the assets or business of the obligated person.

- (b) The City shall give, or cause to be given, notice of the occurrence of any of the following events numbered 11-18 with respect to the Bonds not later than ten business days after the occurrence of the event, if material:
 - 11. Unless described in paragraph 5(a)(5), other material notices or determinations by the Internal Revenue Service with respect to the tax status of the Bonds or other material events affecting the tax status of the Bonds;
 - 12. Modifications to rights of Bondholders;
 - 13. Unscheduled or contingent Bond calls;
 - 14. Release, substitution, or sale of property securing repayment of the Bonds;
 - 15. Non-payment related defaults;
 - 16. The consummation of a merger, consolidation, or acquisition involving an obligated person or the sale of all or substantially all of the assets of the obligated person, other than in the ordinary course of business, the entry into a definitive agreement to undertake such an action or the termination of a definitive agreement relating to any such actions, other than pursuant to its terms;

- 17. Appointment of a successor or additional trustee or the change of name of a trustee;
- 18. Incurrence of a Financial Obligation of the City, if material, or agreement to covenants, events of default, remedies, priority rights, or other similar terms of a Financial Obligation of the City, any of which affect security holders, if material.
- (c) The City shall give, or cause to be given, in a timely manner, notice of a failure to provide the annual financial information on or before the date specified in Section 3, as provided in Section 3(b).
- (d) Whenever the City obtains knowledge of the occurrence of a Listed Event described in Section 5(b), the City shall determine if such event would be material under applicable federal securities laws.
- (e) If the City learns of the occurrence of a Listed Event described in Section 5(a), or determines that knowledge of a Listed Event described in Section 5(b) would be material under applicable federal securities laws, the City shall within ten business days of occurrence file a notice of such occurrence with the MSRB in electronic format, accompanied by such identifying information as is prescribed by the MSRB. Notwithstanding the foregoing, notice of the Listed Event described in subsection 5(b)(13) need not be given under this subsection any earlier than the notice (if any) of the underlying event is given to Holders of affected Bonds pursuant to the Resolutions.
- **SECTION 6.** Termination of Reporting Obligation. The City's obligations under this Disclosure Certificate shall terminate upon the legal defeasance, prior redemption or payment in full of all of the Bonds. If such termination occurs prior to the final maturity of the Bonds, the City shall give notice of such termination in the same manner as for a Listed Event under Section 5(e).
- **SECTION 7. Dissemination Agent**. The City may, from time to time, appoint or engage a Dissemination Agent to assist it in carrying out its obligations under this Disclosure Certificate, and may discharge any such Agent, with or without appointing a successor Dissemination Agent. The Dissemination Agent shall have only such duties as are specifically set forth in this Disclosure Certificate.
- **SECTION 8. Amendment; Waiver**. Notwithstanding any other provision of this Disclosure Certificate, the City may amend or waive this Disclosure Certificate or any provision of this Disclosure Certificate, provided that the following conditions are satisfied:
 - (a) If the amendment or waiver relates to the provisions of Sections 3(a), 3(b), 4, 5(a) or 5(b), it may only be made in connection with a change in circumstances that arises from a change in legal requirements, change in law, or change in the identity, nature or status of an obligated person with respect to the Bonds or the type of business conducted;
 - (b) The undertaking, as amended or taking into account such waiver, would, in the opinion of the City Attorney or nationally recognized bond counsel, have complied with the requirements of the Rule at the time of the original issuance of the Bonds, after taking into account any amendments or interpretations of the Rule, as well as any change in circumstances; and
 - (c) The amendment or waiver either (i) is approved by the owners of a majority in aggregate principal amount of the Bonds or (ii) does not, in the opinion of the City Attorney or nationally recognized bond counsel, materially impair the interests of the Holders.

In the event of any amendment or waiver of a provision of this Disclosure Certificate, the City shall describe such amendment in the next Annual Report, and shall include, as applicable, a narrative explanation of the reason for the amendment or waiver and its impact on the type (or in the case of a change of accounting principles, on the presentation) of financial information or operating data being presented by the City. In

addition, if the amendment relates to the accounting principles to be followed in preparing financial statements: (i) notice of such change shall be given in the same manner as for a Listed Event under Section 5; and (ii) the Annual Report for the year in which the change is made should present a comparison (in narrative form and also, if feasible, in quantitative form) between the financial statements as prepared on the basis of the new accounting principles and those prepared on the basis of the former accounting principles.

SECTION 9. Additional Information. Nothing in this Disclosure Certificate shall be deemed to prevent the City from disseminating any other information, using the means of dissemination set forth in this Disclosure Certificate or any other means of communication, or including any other information in any Annual Report or notice of occurrence of a Listed Event, in addition to that which is required by this Disclosure Certificate. If the City chooses to include any information in any Annual Report or notice of occurrence of a Listed Event in addition to that which is specifically required by this Disclosure Certificate, the City shall have no obligation under this Disclosure Certificate to update such information or include it in any future Annual Report or notice of occurrence of a Listed Event.

SECTION 10. Remedies. In the event of a failure of the City to comply with any provision of this Disclosure Certificate, any Participating Underwriter, Holder or Beneficial Owner of the Bonds may take such actions as may be necessary and appropriate to cause the City to comply with its obligations under this Disclosure Certificate; provided that any such action may be instituted only in a federal or state court located in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and that the sole remedy under this Disclosure Certificate in the event of any failure of the City to comply with this Disclosure Certificate shall be an action to compel performance.

SECTION 11. Beneficiaries. This Disclosure Certificate shall inure solely to the benefit of the City, the Dissemination Agent, the Participating Underwriters and Holders and Beneficial Owners from time to time of the Bonds, and shall create no rights in any other person or entity.

Date: [], 2020	
	CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO
	Benjamin Rosenfield Controller
Approved as to form:	
DENNIS J. HERRERA CITY ATTORNEY	
By: Deputy City Attorney	

EXHIBIT A

FORM OF NOTICE TO THE MUNICIPAL SECURITIES RULEMAKING BOARD OF FAILURE TO FILE ANNUAL REPORT

Name of City:	CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO			
Name of Bond Issue:	CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO GENERAL OBLIGATION BONDS (TRANSPORTATION & ROAD IMPROVEMENT BONDS, 2014), SERIES 2020B-1 GENERAL OBLIGATION BONDS (TRANSPORTATION & ROAD IMPROVEMENT BONDS, 2014), SERIES 2020B-2 (TAXABLE)			
Date of Issuance:	[], 2020			
provided an Annual Re Disclosure Certificate	eport with respect to the above	-named Bonds as r Francisco, dated [_	alemaking Board that the City has not equired by Section 3 of the Continuing	
Dated:				
		CITY AND	COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO	
		By:	[to be signed only if filed]	

APPENDIX E

DTC AND THE BOOK ENTRY ONLY SYSTEM

The information in numbered paragraphs 1-10 of this Appendix E, concerning The Depository Trust Company ("DTC") and DTC's book-entry system, has been furnished by DTC for use in official statements and the City takes no responsibility for the completeness or accuracy thereof. The City cannot and does not give any assurances that DTC, DTC Participants or Indirect Participants will distribute to the Beneficial Owners (a) payments of interest or principal with respect to the Bonds, (b) certificates representing ownership interest in or other confirmation or ownership interest in the Bonds, or (c) redemption or other notices sent to DTC or Cede & Co., its nominee, as the registered owner of the Bonds, or that they will so do on a timely basis, or that DTC, DTC Participants or DTC Indirect Participants will act in the manner described in this Appendix. The current "Rules" applicable to DTC are on file with the Securities and Exchange Commission and the current "Procedures" of DTC to be followed in dealing with DTC Participants are on file with DTC. As used in this appendix, "Securities" means the Bonds, "Issuer" means the City, and "Agent" means the Paying Agent.

- 1. The Depository Trust Company ("DTC") will act as securities depository for the securities (the "Securities"). The Securities will be issued as fully-registered securities registered in the name of Cede & Co. (DTC's partnership nominee) or such other name as may be requested by an authorized representative of DTC. One fully-registered Security certificate will be issued for each maturity of the Securities, and will be deposited with DTC.
- DTC, the world's largest securities depository, is a limited-purpose trust company organized under the New York Banking Law, a "banking organization" within the meaning of the New York Banking Law, a member of the Federal Reserve System, a "clearing corporation" within the meaning of the New York Uniform Commercial Code, and a "clearing agency" registered pursuant to the provisions of Section 17A of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. DTC holds and provides asset servicing for over 3.5 million issues of U.S. and non-U.S. equity issues, corporate and municipal debt issues, and money market instruments (from over 100 countries) that DTC's participants ("Direct Participants") deposit with DTC. DTC also facilitates the post-trade settlement among Direct Participants of sales and other securities transactions in deposited securities, through electronic computerized book- entry transfers and pledges between Direct Participants' accounts. This eliminates the need for physical movement of securities certificates. Direct Participants include both U.S. and non-U.S. securities brokers and dealers, banks, trust companies, clearing corporations, and certain other organizations. DTC is a wholly-owned subsidiary of The Depository Trust & Clearing Corporation ("DTCC"). DTCC is the holding company for DTC, National Securities Clearing Corporation and Fixed Income Clearing Corporation, all of which are registered clearing agencies. DTCC is owned by the users of its regulated subsidiaries. Access to the DTC system is also available to others such as both U.S. and non-U.S. securities brokers and dealers, banks, trust companies, and clearing corporations that clear through or maintain a custodial relationship with a Direct Participant, either directly or indirectly ("Indirect Participants"). DTC is rated "AA+" by Standard & Poor's. The DTC Rules applicable to its Participants are on file with the Securities and Exchange Commission. More information about DTC can be found at www.dtcc.com and www.dtc.org. The information presented on each website is *not* incorporated by reference as part of this Official Statement.
- 3. Purchases of Securities under the DTC system must be made by or through Direct Participants, which will receive a credit for the Securities on DTC's records. The ownership interest of each actual purchaser of each Security ("Beneficial Owner") is in turn to be recorded on the Direct and Indirect Participants' records. Beneficial Owners will not receive written confirmation from DTC of their purchase. Beneficial Owners are, however, expected to receive written confirmations providing details of the transaction, as well as periodic statements of their holdings, from the Direct or Indirect Participant through which the Beneficial Owner entered into the transaction. Transfers of ownership interests in the Securities are to be accomplished by entries made on the books of Direct and Indirect Participants acting on behalf of Beneficial

Owners. Beneficial Owners will not receive certificates representing their ownership interests in Securities, except in the event that use of the book-entry system for the Securities is discontinued.

- 4. To facilitate subsequent transfers, all Securities deposited by Direct Participants with DTC are registered in the name of DTC's partnership nominee, Cede & Co., or such other name as may be requested by an authorized representative of DTC. The deposit of Securities with DTC and their registration in the name of Cede & Co. or such other DTC nominee do not effect any change in beneficial ownership. DTC has no knowledge of the actual Beneficial Owners of the Securities; DTC's records reflect only the identity of the Direct Participants to whose accounts such Securities are credited, which may or may not be the Beneficial Owners. The Direct and Indirect Participants will remain responsible for keeping account of their holdings on behalf of their customers.
- 5. Conveyance of notices and other communications by DTC to Direct Participants, by Direct Participants to Indirect Participants, and by Direct Participants and Indirect Participants to Beneficial Owners will be governed by arrangements among them, subject to any statutory or regulatory requirements as may be in effect from time to time.
- 6. Redemption notices shall be sent to DTC. If less than all of the Securities of a maturity are being redeemed, DTC's practice is to determine by lot the amount of the interest of each Direct Participant in such maturity to be redeemed.
- 7. Neither DTC nor Cede & Co. (nor any other DTC nominee) will consent or vote with respect to Securities unless authorized by a Direct Participant in accordance with DTC's MALI Procedures. Under its usual procedures, DTC mails an Omnibus Proxy to Issuer as soon as possible after the record date. The Omnibus Proxy assigns Cede & Co.'s consenting or voting rights to those Direct Participants to whose accounts Securities are credited on the record date (identified in a listing attached to the Omnibus Proxy).
- 8. Redemption proceeds, distributions, and dividend payments on the Securities will be made to Cede & Co., or such other nominee as may be requested by an authorized representative of DTC. DTC's practice is to credit Direct Participants' accounts upon DTC's receipt of funds and corresponding detail information from Issuer or Agent, on payable date in accordance with their respective holdings shown on DTC's records. Payments by Participants to Beneficial Owners will be governed by standing instructions and customary practices, as is the case with securities held for the accounts of customers in bearer form or registered in "street name," and will be the responsibility of such Participant and not of DTC, Agent, or Issuer, subject to any statutory or regulatory requirements as may be in effect from time to time. Payment of redemption proceeds, distributions, and dividend payments to Cede & Co. (or such other nominee as may be requested by an authorized representative of DTC) is the responsibility of Issuer or Agent, disbursement of such payments to Direct Participants will be the responsibility of DTC, and disbursement of such payments to the Beneficial Owners will be the responsibility of Direct and Indirect Participants.
- 9. DTC may discontinue providing its services as depository with respect to the Securities at any time by giving reasonable notice to Issuer or Agent. Under such circumstances, in the event that a successor depository is not obtained, Security certificates are required to be printed and delivered.
- 10. Issuer may decide to discontinue use of the system of book-entry-only transfers through DTC (or a successor securities depository). In that event, Security certificates will be printed and delivered to DTC.

APPENDIX F

PROPOSED FORMS OF OPINIONS OF CO-BOND COUNSEL

[To be provided by Co-Bond Counsel]