

Young, Victor (BOS)

From: David Goff <mesachi@yahoo.com>
Sent: Monday, June 1, 2020 7:15 AM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Subject: NANCY TUNG

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Sirs/Ma'am,

I am writing to recommend Nancy Tung, Esq., for this position. I have known her since she was a junior in Arcadia High School - I was the Kiwanis advisor to the Key Club, of which she was treasurer. She is honest, loyal, hard working and one of the most intelligent individuals I have ever met. I know she will fill this position with every capability you could ask for.

Sincerely,

Dr. W. David Goff

Young, Victor (BOS)

From: Andrew deCoriolis <andrewdecoriolis@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, June 1, 2020 8:41 AM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Subject: Oppose Nancy Tung for Police Commission

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to urge you to vote against Nancy Tung to join the board of the Police Commission.

We are at a turning point in SF and this country. We must radically rethink the role that police play in our society and in our city. To that end, we a Police Commission that is ready to pursue reform and to hold police accountable.

From her public statements and record, I do not believe that Nancy Tung is prepared for that role. I urge you to select members of the Police Commission that are prepared to aggressively take on police misconduct, violence, and who will work with Board to redeploy away from police toward social services.

Best,

Andrew

District 4 resident

--

Andrew deCoriolis
San Francisco, CA
630.740.5769

Young, Victor (BOS)

From: Faye Wang <yuhe.f.wang@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, June 2, 2020 3:55 PM
To: RonenStaff (BOS); Ronen, Hillary; Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Subject: Oppose the Appointment of both Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed to the Police Commission

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisor Ronen and the rest of the Board of Supervisors,

I sent this message earlier opposing Nancy Tung's and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed's Appointment to the Police Commission, but I think it might have bounced back. Apologies if this is a repeat message:

I am a San Francisco resident and voter in District 9. I strongly oppose Nancy Tung's and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed's nominations to the Police Commission.

I echo what I am sure are sentiments other people have expressed about how Nancy Tung's positions do not reflect those of city residents'. She is unfit to be a Police Commissioner — her policy positions facilitate abuses of police powers. She supports stripping the Police Commission of the power to oversee taser use-of-force policy. Protecting the Police Commission's power to meaningfully enforce its policies seems like a baseline qualification for appointment to the Police Commission, a qualification Nancy Tung's own positions demonstrates she does not meet.

She also consistently supports other harsh, punitive, and, most of all, harmful policies that increase the danger the police poses to everyone in the city and especially those who are a part of the city's Black and Latinx communities and who the police disproportionately target. She supports the continued usage of tasers, in spite of the fact that residents voted against them in 2018. Research has proven that they do not decrease the use of force the police use and actually increase in-custody deaths. She opposed closing Juvenile Hall in spite of its documented abuses, its exponentially higher cost in comparison to other methods of redress, and being far less effective those methods in supporting the city's young people. She supports cash bail — another punitive and ineffective policy that often only punishes low-income people who have not even been convicted of a crime. She accepted money from the POA during her campaign for District Attorney. Any financial support from the POA makes her ability to be an impartial judge of the police questionable. She has already opposed prosecuting police officers who were involved in shootings in the city.

Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission — or, at the very least, the absence of information on his positions on policing inspire little confidence in his ability to be a valuable addition to the Police Commission and that he will act in a way that reflects the values of the city and its voters. He has no public history of engaging with criminal justice issues or of representing people and communities policing policies impact. He has no public stance on the Taser Use-of-Force Policy, and no indication of any reference to any public engagement with all the incredibly important issues the Police Commission is regularly tasked with overseeing.

We already needed civilian Police Commissioners whose is more interested in protecting the city's residents than in supporting police. I believe current events make that fact impossible to ignore and put that need into sharp relief. The city's police force has already demonstrated that they are dangerous to the public — law enforcement has shot multiple people this year alone, not to mention the shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongara Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams, and every other person who the SFPD has killed, all of which the city has failed to adequately address with appropriate disciplinary action.

Police Commissioners serve such a vital role in protecting the city from police abuse. It would be a mistake to approve Nancy Tung's and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed's nominations — Nancy Tung for her demonstrated positions that would make her a lax enforcer of police abuse and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for the absence of any information on his positions for such an important role. San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department.

I also wanted to add that I have heard that part of the support for Nancy Tung's candidacy is that there is currently no Chinese American representation on the board. As a Chinese American resident of the city, I find it insulting that people are suggesting merely appointing a token Chinese American member to the Police Commission is sufficient to represent the interests of our complex and multifaceted communities. I want a representative who not only happens to be Chinese American, but one who is actively invested in protecting our community members most in need —and have demonstrated thoughtful, researched positions that would act in our best interests. Nancy Tung and her harmful policy positions have proven she is not that person.

Please do not approve either Nancy Tung or Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for a position on the Police Commission. San Francisco city residents deserve representatives who are passionate and committed to police reform, not collusion or a total lack of previous public involvement. I urge you to vote against both Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed.

Thank you,
Y. Faye Wang

Young, Victor (BOS)

From: Jason Kruta <jpkruta@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, June 1, 2020 3:39 PM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS); BOS-Supervisors
Cc: FewerStaff (BOS)
Subject: Oppose the appointments of Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed to the Police Commission

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

My name is Jason Kruta and I live in the Richmond District,

Nancy Tung is completely unfit to serve on the Police Commission. SF voters overwhelmingly rejected her far-right candidacy for District Attorney last year, and it is wrong for her to now be re-introduced as a criminal justice authority via this back door. Tung has repeatedly sided with and been supported by the Police Officers Association, including by supporting Proposition H which would have neutered the very board she is seeking to serve on now. I will remind you that Prop H was so extreme it was opposed even by Police Chief Scott (and London Breed who has now decided to show her true beliefs by appointing Tung). The POA is a racist hate group, not a labor union, and any support from or association with them should be automatically disqualifying for any public office. It was only a year ago that they were dancing on Jeff Adachi's grave before the body was even cold.

In contrast to Tung who is thoroughly disqualified for this commission, Mr. Gordon-Creed has shown himself to have no qualifications whatsoever for this board other than having defended the very same officers he is now being asked to be a watchdog over. A nominee of the police commission should be well-versed in police accountability and reform and far too many of Mr. Gordon-Creed's answers at the Rules Committee today (6/1/20) have shown a complete lack of any background, expertise, or even interest in the issues he will be asked to weigh in on as a commissioner. He has also, by his own admission, never met with a single community group focused on criminal justice or policing, or any community group at all other than the Rose Pak Democratic Club. It is insulting that Mr. Gordon-Creed could not form an opinion about the Mario Woods or Jessica Williams shootings yet seeks to serve on the commission which oversees police conduct. How can we expect Mr. Gordon-Creed to be an informed and engaged member of the Commission if he couldn't even prep for his job interview?

The role of the Police Commission is not to "be a bridge between the police and the public" (as Mr. Gordon-Creed put it), it is to serve as an independent watchdog over the police to protect the public. It is not enough to support implicit bias trainings which have shown very little effectiveness at reducing police violence, and body cameras which are consistently turned off, obscured, and otherwise misused by the police. We must defund, demilitarize, and disarm the police, and appoint committed reformers to the police commission. In overwhelmingly rejecting Prop H in 2018 and in electing Chesa Boudin last year, the people of SF have made clear their desire for fundamental change in law enforcement.

Sincerely,
Jason Kruta

Young, Victor (BOS)

From: Jeremy Rosenberg <jeremyrosenberg92@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, June 1, 2020 12:58 PM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Subject: Opposition to Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Creed

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I write as a resident of 4332B 17th Street, San Francisco CA 94114, in opposition to appointing Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon Creed to the Police Commission. We don't need more prosecutors in positions of police oversight. Law enforcement is unable to effectively oversee itself. Thank you for considering my comment.

Jeremy Rosenberg, Esq.
(917) 620-2523

Young, Victor (BOS)

From: cameron.kato=ucsf.edu@dsasf.org on behalf of Kato, Cameron (UCSF)
Sent: Monday, June 1, 2020 11:07 PM
To: BOS-Supervisors; BOS-Legislative Aides
Cc: Young, Victor (BOS)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT

ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Mr Cameron Kato
cameron.kato@ucsf.edu

Young, Victor (BOS)

From: Kiera Collins <kcollins@macalester.edu>
Sent: Thursday, June 4, 2020 11:25 AM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Subject: vote NO on police commission nominees Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hello Board of Supervisors,

My name is Kiera Collins. I was born and raised in San Francisco and I am disgusted by the current practices of the SFPD. I urge you strongly to VOTE NO on Police Commission nominees Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed. These nominees are NOT advocates of police reform and racial justice. Nancy Tung has supported policies to further militarize the police force and she was against charging the officers who brutally murdered Mario Woods. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed has no record of engaging in criminal issues or of representing communities impacted by police brutality. These are not the people we need in power. If you care about dismantling white supremacy and violence within SFPD you will vote NO to these nominations.

Thank you for taking the time to read this email,
Kiera Collins

Young, Victor (BOS)

From: Sonja Trauss <sonja.trauss@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, June 1, 2020 9:17 AM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS); Stefani, Catherine (BOS); Fewer, Sandra (BOS); MandelmanStaff, [BOS]; Mar, Gordon (BOS); Peskin, Aaron (BOS); Ronen, Hillary; Safai, Ahsha (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); Yee, Norman (BOS); Preston, Dean (BOS); Haney, Matt (BOS)
Subject: Vote yes for Nancy Tung for Police Commission

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

The way I was introduced to Nancy Tung was by reading a newspaper article about her fighting with Kamala Harris, at the time SF's DA and Nancy's boss. Nancy was advocating for the DA's office to drop charges in 750 cases where the evidence had been compromised. Harris disagreed and most of the cases were not dismissed, despite the obvious wrongdoing on the part of the DA's office. ([source](#))

I support Nancy because she believes in the rules of evidence. Nancy's advocacy undoubtedly hurt her career in the SF DA's office, but she did it anyway, because she is the kind of person who believes in the integrity of the process - even when, as a DA, she was advocating following a rule that favored defendants.

Nancy has also successfully prosecuted law enforcement officers. If appointed, she will be the only member of the police commission with experience convicting law enforcement officers, which is something we want the police commission to know how to do. ([source](#))

Nancy Tung will be the only representative of the Chinese community on the 7-person commission, and one of only two people of Asian descent, in a city that is 22% Chinese and 35% Asian. Police reform is possibly one of the most important issues of our time, and we will not be successful in implementing it if all communities aren't represented in the process.

There are a lot of people opposing Nancy's appointment to the Police Commission who really want to help the cause of police reform, and that's good. They might have heard about this hearing from Shawn King. There are millions of public decision points out there, and people should definitely get involved in them.

Also, people need to do their own research, because no one in public service is perfectly aligned with anything. If you apply purity tests to everyone, then you will not be able to work with anyone.

For example, Matt Haney, SF Supervisor, who before being elected, worked for Cut50, which is a major decarceration effort, happily accepted the endorsement of the SF Sheriff's Association - a police union - when running for supervisor. He also regularly calls for increasing police presence in the Tenderloin and recently complained he is unable to order the police to clear the streets in the TL ([source](#)). When asked why he accepted the Sheriff's endorsement when they are also

supporters of Blue Lives Matter, Matt claimed he didn't know what blue lives matter was. ([source](#))

Supervisor Sandra Lee Fewer, who recently authored legislation speeding up the process of closing the SF jail, also opposed releasing the disciplinary file of her husband, an SF Police officer who has been repeatedly disciplined for excessive use of force. ([source](#))

Former SF DA George Gascon, who was endorsed by Shawn King when he recently ran for DA in LA, and has a reputation of being a criminal justice reformer, is the person who ultimately decided NOT to press charges against Mario Wood's killers. ([source](#))

Despite what Nancy's opponents have been saying, the SF POA did NOT endorse Nancy in her race for SF DA. They endorsed another guy, who lost. ([source](#))

Everyone in advocacy and politics is complex, and will appear at one time or another to be on more than one side of an issue. That is because no issue has only 2 sides. Please help build a representative and productive police commission. Vote Yes for Nancy Tung.

Best,
Sonja Trauss



June 1, 2020

RE: Oppose Police Commission Appointees Tung and Gordon-Creed

Dear Board of Supervisors:

We are writing on behalf of San Francisco Rising to urge you to oppose Mayor Breed's appointment of Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed to the San Francisco Police Commission. SF Rising is an alliance of working class, communities of color organizing in the Chinese, Black, Latinx, and Filipino community. Our 8 member organizations include: Causa Justa Just Cause, Chinese Progressive Association, Coleman Advocates for Children and Youth, People Organizing to Demand Environmental and Economic Rights, Filipino Community Center, Mujeres Unidas y Activas, South of Market Community Action Network, and Dolores Street Community Services.

As diverse communities, we recognize that all of us deserve to feel safe in our neighborhoods, and that law enforcement should act in partnership with community, but that is not the case as we have seen time and again. We have seen police murdering our black and brown community members with impunity, and in the Chinese community there has been frustration and anger about lack of action in regards to violent assaults that have occurred to senior citizens. We deserve to have police commissioners who are deeply trusted by the community serving on the Police Commission, and who have deep ties and relationships to our organizations and our members. Unfortunately, neither Nancy Tung nor Geoffrey Gordon-Creed have any history of working with communities of color to address their needs in relationship to the SF Police Department.

The Police Commission is tasked with: 1) Setting policy for the police department, 2) Conducting disciplinary hearings on charges of police misconduct, 3) Imposing discipline for misconduct; and 4) Hearing police officers' appeals from discipline imposed by the Chief of Police. Police accountability is a central role of the Police Commissioners, and it is one of the few public bodies where the public can weigh in on the SF Police Department's actions. Given the recent brutal murder of George Floyd by police in Minnesota and the national outrage and outcry over the last week, it is now more critical than ever to ensure we have police commissioners who take their role of holding police accountable seriously.

However, as recently as last year, Deputy District Attorney Nancy Tung has opposed police accountability. During her campaign for San Francisco District Attorney in November 2019, in response to a questionnaire from the ACLU of Northern California, San Francisco Rising, and the Immigrant Legal Resource Center, she expressly opposed AB 392 (The California Act to Save Lives). Championed by families whose loved ones were killed by the police, AB 392 established that police can use deadly force “only when necessary” to defend against threat of death or serious bodily injury, replacing the vague and dangerous standard that authorized officers to use deadly force whenever “reasonable.”

Additionally, Tung has opposed the closure of the SF Juvenile Hall as well as the County Jail 7 at 850 Bryant. On these issues, a majority of the SF Board of Supervisors has supported closing Juvenile Hall and County Jail 7, with broad community support. Given these examples of Tung's positions, we have serious concerns about her ability to represent community needs in regards to public safety, police accountability, or investing in rehabilitation and not incarceration.

While many of our community members wish to see Chinese representation on the Commission, which has none despite the city's $\frac{1}{3}$ population of Chinese residents, we are sorely disappointed by Tung, who has little to no connection to the Chinese immigrant community. The two San Francisco based organizations that Tung is active in, Stop Crime SF and Moms Demand Action SF Chapter, have no history of engagement with the Chinese immigrant community or other communities of color.

While Tung's record has been made public as a candidate for District Attorney and DCCC, Gordon-Creed has almost no public track record to speak of. We are unclear what his experience is in holding police officers accountable or on the various issues we've raised. Additionally, it is unknown what experience he brings to the area of police accountability in the last 25 years since his days as a Deputy City Attorney where he represented police officers.

Therefore, we strongly urge the Board of Supervisors to oppose the appointment of both Tung and Gordon-Creed. We believe the Mayor can and should appoint commissioners that more accurately align with community values, have authentic and deep ties to the community, and who have a track record to justify their appointment to such an important office. Our communities deserve to be represented by Commissioners who are trusted and have our support.

Sincerely,

Emily Lee, Director, SF Rising
Le Tim Ly, Executive Director, Chinese Progressive Association
Neva Walker, Executive Director, Coleman Advocates for Children & Youth
Antonio Diaz, Executive Director, PODER
Vanessa Moses, Executive Director, Causa Justa Just Cause
Laura Valdez, Executive Director, Dolores Street Community Services
Angelica Cabande, Executive Director, SOMCAN
Terry Valen, Executive Director, Filipino Community Center
Juana Flores, Executive Director, Mujeres Unidas y Activas

Young, Victor (BOS)

From: Jenn Ko <jennko@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, June 1, 2020 8:48 AM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Subject: Do not nominate Nancy Tung

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hello,

I am an SF resident and I strongly oppose the nomination of Nancy Tung to the SF Police Commission.

Thank you,
Jenn

Young, Victor (BOS)

From: jon wong <jonwongsf@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, June 1, 2020 11:14 AM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Subject: Nancy Tung for Police Commission

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Sirs, and Madams,

I am native San Franciscan, property owner, Father and voter. Please accept my whole-hearted support for Nancy Tung to the SF Police Commission. Nancy is independent, and fully qualified with the experience we need.....and with out political agenda. Please approve her appointment, we citizens are counting on you.

Best regards,

Jon Wong
jonwongsf@gmail.com
1251 Jackson St, San Francisco, CA 94109

--
j. wong
jonwongsf@gmail.com

Young, Victor (BOS)

From: Jason Kruta <jpkruta@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, June 1, 2020 3:39 PM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS); BOS-Supervisors
Cc: FewerStaff (BOS)
Subject: Oppose the appointments of Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed to the Police Commission

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

My name is Jason Kruta and I live in the Richmond District,

Nancy Tung is completely unfit to serve on the Police Commission. SF voters overwhelmingly rejected her far-right candidacy for District Attorney last year, and it is wrong for her to now be re-introduced as a criminal justice authority via this back door. Tung has repeatedly sided with and been supported by the Police Officers Association, including by supporting Proposition H which would have neutered the very board she is seeking to serve on now. I will remind you that Prop H was so extreme it was opposed even by Police Chief Scott (and London Breed who has now decided to show her true beliefs by appointing Tung). The POA is a racist hate group, not a labor union, and any support from or association with them should be automatically disqualifying for any public office. It was only a year ago that they were dancing on Jeff Adachi's grave before the body was even cold.

In contrast to Tung who is thoroughly disqualified for this commission, Mr. Gordon-Creed has shown himself to have no qualifications whatsoever for this board other than having defended the very same officers he is now being asked to be a watchdog over. A nominee of the police commission should be well-versed in police accountability and reform and far too many of Mr. Gordon-Creed's answers at the Rules Committee today (6/1/20) have shown a complete lack of any background, expertise, or even interest in the issues he will be asked to weigh in on as a commissioner. He has also, by his own admission, never met with a single community group focused on criminal justice or policing, or any community group at all other than the Rose Pak Democratic Club. It is insulting that Mr. Gordon-Creed could not form an opinion about the Mario Woods or Jessica Williams shootings yet seeks to serve on the commission which oversees police conduct. How can we expect Mr. Gordon-Creed to be an informed and engaged member of the Commission if he couldn't even prep for his job interview?

The role of the Police Commission is not to "be a bridge between the police and the public" (as Mr. Gordon-Creed put it), it is to serve as an independent watchdog over the police to protect the public. It is not enough to support implicit bias trainings which have shown very little effectiveness at reducing police violence, and body cameras which are consistently turned off, obscured, and otherwise misused by the police. We must defund, demilitarize, and disarm the police, and appoint committed reformers to the police commission. In overwhelmingly rejecting Prop H in 2018 and in electing Chesa Boudin last year, the people of SF have made clear their desire for fundamental change in law enforcement.

Sincerely,
Jason Kruta

Young, Victor (BOS)

From: amy.guo=ucsf.edu@dsasf.org on behalf of Guo, Amy (UCSF)
Sent: Monday, June 1, 2020 10:45 PM
To: BOS-Supervisors; BOS-Legislative Aides
Cc: Young, Victor (BOS)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT

ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Amy Guo
amy.guo@ucsf.edu

Young, Victor (BOS)

From: Matthew Neylan <mdemayneylan@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, June 1, 2020 9:48 AM
To: Yee, Norman (BOS); Safai, Ahsha (BOS); Board of Supervisors, (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); Mandelman, Rafael (BOS); Mar, Gordon (BOS); Fewer, Sandra (BOS); Preston, Dean (BOS); Haney, Matt (BOS); Peskin, Aaron (BOS); Ronen, Hillary; Stefani, Catherine (BOS)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung for Police Commission

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's

trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law enforcement is to the community.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENT OF NANCY TUNG TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Mr. Matthew Neylan

Young, Victor (BOS)

From: Julia Kwasniza <juliakwasniza@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, June 1, 2020 12:36 PM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS); RonenStaff (BOS); Stefani, Catherine (BOS); Marstaff (BOS); Ronen, Hillary; Beinart, Amy (BOS); Herzstein, Daniel (BOS); Mar, Gordon (BOS); Wong, Alan (BOS); Wright, Edward (BOS); Quan, Daisy (BOS)
Cc: United Democrats
Subject: Please Support Nancy Tung for SF Police Commission

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors Ronen, Stefani, and Mar,

I am writing today to humbly urge you to support Nancy Tung for SF Police Commission. I have known Nancy for years and worked closely with her, and am certain she is the best person to serve on the Police Commission.

As an attorney, public servant, and a civically engaged citizen of San Francisco, Nancy always puts the community first. She is level-headed, incredibly moral, and dedicated to justice. Nancy will address the underlying issues that plague our city and criminal justice system (no superficial bandaid fixes) and will hold police accountable (and has done so in her career as a deputy DA).

She is not only an incredibly talented attorney, but a genuinely good person who wants to make San Francisco a better and safer place to live.

If there is anyone who should serve on the Police Commission, there is no one with more integrity, sense of equitable justice, and morality than Nancy Tung.

Sincerely,

Julia Kwasniza
San Francisco Resident
Nonprofit Project Manager

Young, Victor (BOS)

From: Melanie Scardina <scardinama@yahoo.com>
Sent: Monday, June 1, 2020 12:50 PM
To: Young, Victor (BOS); Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Subject: Public Comment Police Commissioner

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I'm a lifelong San Franciscan and a resident of District 4.

I support Nancy Tung for the Police Commission because she understands both the challenges the police face, and the necessity for reform. There's no question the murder of George Floyd was absolutely abhorrent, there's no excuse for it. But I also think the events of the past few days illustrate why we need our police departments more than ever.

There are millions of interactions between police and citizens every year, and yes, a few are problematic. Can we do better? Of course we can and we should, but demoralizing the police is not going to help recruit or retain good officers. We don't need bias on the police commission, we need balance.

I heard Supervisor Ronan's question about Prop 47, and the way that it was posed inferred that property crimes by the poor, minority or drug addicted persons shouldn't be prosecuted, but if this city wants to retain their businesses, their tourism dollars, and the revenue stream necessary to pay for essential services, they can't allow lawlessness no matter who the perpetrators are. The citizens and business owners need to be supported too, otherwise the entire system falls apart. Nancy Tung's common sense approach supports the safety of all people.

Please confirm Nancy Tung for the Police Commission. Thank you.

Young, Victor (BOS)

From: Iris Biblowitz <irisbiblowitz@hotmail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, June 3, 2020 10:49 AM
To: Preston, Dean (BOS); Haney, Matt (BOS); Marstaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); Li-D9, Jennifer (BOS); Yee, Norman (BOS); Mandelman, Rafael (BOS); Peskin, Aaron (BOS); Fewer, Sandra (BOS); asha.safai@sfgov.org; Stefani, Catherine (BOS); Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Subject: Please reject NancyTung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed to the San Francisco Police Commission

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors - I'm a retired nurse, a senior and tenant in San Francisco for over 40 years, and have to join the voices of people asking you to reject Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for the Police Commission.

The Police Commission hasn't been strong, and these applicants would make it even weaker. I've had the honor to get to know several families in the Mission whose sons, brothers, cousins, sisters, fathers, etc., have been murdered by SFPD, and have been part of communities who've fought to have the officers fired and charged, to no avail. The families have not even been given any resources to help them cope with the trauma and pain of love at the hands of SFPD. Now, at this critical time in our city, state, and country, the Police Commission has to have the strength to hold SFPD accountable for all its actions. As you know, Nancy Tung has shown support of the SFPOA (including their Prop H for wide use of tasers), and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed seems woefully unprepared for the serious life and death issues that the Police Comm regularly deals with.

You have all the information. I'm sorry that I'm late writing this email but I've been sick and couldn't get it together. I appreciate that you're focusing on racist practices in the city, who gets hired by SFPD (thank you, Supervisor Walton), and all the issues that hurt people of color every day (including curfews).

Thank you so much - Iris Biblowitz, RN

Young, Victor (BOS)

From: Kiyomi Takeda <kiyomijtakeda@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, June 2, 2020 12:55 AM
To: Breed, Mayor London (MYR); Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Subject: Please Reject the Police Commissioner Appointees

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Mayor Breed and City Supervisors,

My name is Kiyomi Takeda and, as a lifelong resident of San Francisco, I respectfully ask that the current two mayoral appointees for the Police Commission be rejected.

Now, as our entire country is an uproar and demanding police reform, it is imperative that we fill these positions on the Police Commission with people clearly independent of the Police Officers Association in order to be effective as an accountability measure. We need to have people well versed and passionate about reform.

As you know, the San Francisco Police Department has only implemented 15% of the Department of Justice reforms that they pledged to implement back in 2016. In a letter that the Department of Justice wrote this year, they stated that SFPD has "reports of anti Black bias within the department and the persistent disproportionate use of force against African American and Latino individuals." Reforming SFPD is an important racial justice issue. We need Police Commissioners who have a clear vision for implementing all 272 of these reforms and have a track record in police reform. Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed made it clear in their statements that they do not have a plan for implementation and they do not have expertise in police reform .

In the hearing, Nancy Tung stated that she does not believe that the officers who killed Mario Woods and Jessica Williams should be charged or fired. Given our current context of people protesting in the streets about police officers not being charged for the murder of George Floyd, I just cannot support a Police Commissioner nominee who does not believe that Black Lives Matter.

Today, I was proud that my city's mayor actually stood up at a rally and spoke, saying "I will do everything I can to push for the right policies for our law enforcement here." But those words ring hollow if these are the candidates currently being pushed.

Sincerely,
Kiyomi Takeda
Zip Code: 94115

Young, Victor (BOS)

From: Ben Sheiner <benjamin.sheiner@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, June 4, 2020 11:34 AM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Subject: San Francisco Police Commission

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hello Board of Supervisors,

My name is Benjamin Sheiner. I was born and raised in San Francisco's beautiful Bernal heights district and I am disgusted by the current practices of the SFPD. I urge you strongly to VOTE NO on Police Commission nominees Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed. These nominees are NOT advocates of police reform and racial justice. Nancy Tung has supported policies to further militarize the police force and she was against charging the officers who brutally murdered Mario Woods. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed has no record of engaging in criminal issues or of representing communities impacted by police brutality. These are not the people we need in power. If you care about dismantling white supremacy and violence within SFPD you will vote NO to these nominations. Otherwise you will be making it abundantly clear to your constituents whose side you are on and you won't have jobs come next election. Yes that is a threat.

Thank you for taking the time to read this email,

Young, Victor (BOS)

From: Arisa Hiroi <arisahiroi@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, June 1, 2020 11:41 PM
To: Breed, Mayor London (MYR); Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Subject: Please reject the current appointees for the Police Commission

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Mayor Breed and City Supervisors,

My name is Arisa Hiroi and, as a lifelong resident of San Francisco, I respectfully ask that the current two mayoral appointees for the Police Commission be rejected.

Now, as our entire country is an uproar and demanding police reform, it is imperative that we fill these positions on the Police Commission with people clearly independent of the Police Officers Association in order to be effective as an accountability measure. We need to have people well versed and passionate about reform.

Today, I was proud that my city's mayor actually stood up at a rally and spoke, saying "I will do everything I can to push for the right policies for our law enforcement here." But those words ring hollow if these are the candidates currently being pushed.

Sincerely,
Arisa Hiroi
ZIP: 94103

Young, Victor (BOS)

From: Adam Raskin <adamraskinpi@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, June 4, 2020 9:47 AM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Subject: Police commision

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear supervisors:

Please to **vote no** on Police Commission nominees Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed because they are not advocates of police reform, and tell the Mayor to withdraw the nominees and find nominees who are real advocates.

Thank you,
Adam Raskin
SF Resident

Young, Victor (BOS)

From: Linda Quan <lindaquansf@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, June 1, 2020 9:17 AM
To: Young, Victor (BOS); Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Subject: Support for Nancy Tung to the SF Police Commission

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors Ronen, Stefani and Mar:

I would like to voice my strong support for Nancy Tung's nomination to the San Francisco Police Commission because of her experience and independence.

We need representation and service to the APA community especially now with the hate incidents directed toward the Asians during the pandemic crisis.

Your vote to confirm Nancy Tung's nomination to the Police Commission is urgently requested.

Respectfully
Linda Quan
Resident of the Richmond District

Young, Victor (BOS)

From: Nelson Zhao <nelsonnzhao@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, June 1, 2020 11:59 AM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS); RonenStaff (BOS); Stefani, Catherine (BOS); Marstaff (BOS); Ronen, Hillary; Beinart, Amy (BOS); Herzstein, Daniel (BOS); Mar, Gordon (BOS); Wong, Alan (BOS); Wright, Edward (BOS); Quan, Daisy (BOS)
Subject: SUPPORTING Nancy Tung for Police Commission

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Nelson Zhao
10 Doric Alley
San Francisco, CA 94133
June 1, 2020

San Francisco Board of Supervisors
Rules Committee
Supervisor Hillary Ronen, Chair
City Hall, 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102

Dear Chair Ronen,

I am writing to express my support for Nancy Tung as Mayor London Breed's nominee for the Police Commission.

Nancy has served as a Deputy District Attorney in Alameda County since 2017, focusing on investigating and prosecuting civil law enforcement actions against corporations based on California's Unfair Competition Law and False Advertising Law. She served as an Assistant District Attorney in San Francisco from 2006 to 2017. A trial prosecutor and former Deputy California Attorney General with nearly two decades of experience delivering justice for the People, Nancy has handled thousands of cases in local, state, and federal courts. Nancy's experience will play an invaluable role on the Commission, as she embraces the community's goals for a model police department. Nancy will bring legal expertise and community-driven passion to the role, critical to collaborating with other Commissioners on fair and progressive oversight.

In her free time, Nancy is an active member of Moms Demand Action, using her experience as a prosecutor and mom to fight for sensible gun laws in America. She serves on the board of Stop Crime SF, a local group dedicated to protecting victims and holding public officials and the criminal justice system accountable. She also has been a mentor with Big Brothers Big Sisters, developing a lifelong relationship with the girl she was matched with over a decade ago. Nancy leads an informal group of EMILY's List graduates, which trains and supports women who run for public office, and she has served on the boards of local Democratic clubs. Nancy will contribute a focused mindset and collaborative professionalism to the Commission, as she has to her other many voluntary roles in service of the public.

Personally, she has been a mentor and has always been incredibly insightful whenever I sought her advice. Her wisdom and experience are second only to her thoughtfulness in policy. She will be a role model for the Asian American community as she is to me with her leadership.

I am confident that Nancy will bring all of her experiences to bear in this role and will step in and work quickly towards solutions for our City and our citizens in these unprecedented times. I ask you to recommend Nancy Tung for the Police Commission to the Board of Supervisors.

Thank you,

Nelson Zhao

Member, United Democratic Club



Young, Victor (BOS)

From: megha.mehrotra=ucsf.edu@dsasf.org on behalf of Mehrotra, Megha (UCSF)
Sent: Monday, June 1, 2020 11:17 AM
To: BOS-Supervisors; BOS-Legislative Aides
Cc: Young, Victor (BOS)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT

ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Dr. Megha Mehrotra
megha.mehrotra@ucsf.edu

Young, Victor (BOS)

From: Mullane Ahern <mullane.ahern@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, June 1, 2020 9:24 AM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Cc: Ronen, Hillary; Stefani, Catherine (BOS); Mar, Gordon (BOS); Preston, Dean (BOS); Haney, Matt (BOS); Yee, Norman (BOS); Fewer, Sandra (BOS); Mandelman, Rafael (BOS); Safai, Ahsha (BOS); shamann.walto@sfgov.org; Peskin, Aaron (BOS)
Subject: Voices of SF Survivor Community Oppose Police Commission Nominations
Attachments: Survivor Community - Policy Commission.pdf; DOJ Letter from Survivor .pdf

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

Please see the attached letter from a number of survivors of rape and sexual assault urging you to vote against the appointments of Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon Creed to the Police Commission.

The additional attachment is the Jane Doe letter to the Department of Justice investigator who was conducting the civil rights review of SFPD in 2016. Thank you for your consideration in this important matter. Please do not hesitate to contact me with questions or to join our community in discussion. We meet next on Wednesday evening at 6:15 via Zoom and would welcome your participation. Although some individuals have previously gone on record with their names linked to rape and assault in hearings, news articles, public statements, and civil lawsuits, we are signing this as a small grassroots community and prefer to keep a measure of privacy around publicly linking our names to sexual violence when possible.

Kindly,

Members of the SF Survivor Community

Young, Victor (BOS)

From: Fix Shotwell <fixshotwell@gmail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, June 3, 2020 5:44 PM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Subject: Support for Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Whom It May Concern:

As 26-year resident of the Mission, 20 of which have been on a street plagued by organized criminal prostitution which has never been adequately addressed by the City, I wish it to be known that my household wholeheartedly endorses these two appointees.

With a non-prosecutor as DA and an historically lax attitude toward punishing crime, our City needs Nancy and Geoffrey now more than ever. Their professionalism and credentials speak volumes, and it's disturbing to see their appointments held up by political hacks who value grandstanding more than the safety of their constituents. Please drop the shenanigans and confirm them now.

Sincerely,
Andrew Oglesby
554 Shotwell Street,
SF, CA 94110



Young, Victor (BOS)

From: Lesley Norelli <lesleynorelli@live.com>
Sent: Monday, June 1, 2020 11:43 AM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Subject: Support Nancy Tung

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Board of Supervisors,
Please support Nancy Tung for the Commission.
We voted for her for DA. We are permanent residents of SF. Along with many of our neighbors we no longer feel safe in our City. Given the current DA we feel that balance is needed to protect our citizens and our property.
Please confirm Nancy. Her strong showing in the election shows her support in our community.
Thank you.
Lesley Norelli

Sent from my iPhone



RACIAL JUSTICE COMMITTEE

NICOLE SOLIS - CHAIR

REBECCA S. YOUNG - Co-CHAIR

June 1, 2020

Honorable Board of Supervisors

To: Dean.Preston@sfgov.org,
Matt.Haney@sfgov.org,
Gordon.Mar@sfgov.org,
Hillary.Ronen@sfgov.org,
Catherine.Stefani@sfgov.org,
Norman.Yee@sfgov.org,
MandelmanStaff@sfgov.org,
Aaron.Peskin@sfgov.org,
Sandra.Fewer@sfgov.org,
Ahsha.Safai@sfgov.org,
Shamann.Walton@sfgov.org,
Board.of.Supervisors@sfgov.org

Re: Mayor Breed's two proposed appointments to the San Francisco Police Commission

Dear Honorable Supervisors,

The Racial Justice Committee¹ of the San Francisco Public Defenders Office (RJC) writes to express its considered opposition to the appointments of Nancy Tung and Gordon Creed to the San Francisco Police Commission (Commission.)

RJC formed in November 2013 in response to ongoing scandals in the San Francisco Police Department (SFPD) and to cumulative information we were receiving from our clients regarding their treatment at the hands of SFPD officers. More broadly, we organized because America has a problem with police brutality in our black communities. RJC members have served on SFPD working groups to update the

¹. Our group meets once a month and is comprised of attorneys, paralegals, social workers and investigators. We focus our efforts on internal office trainings on the role of bias in the criminal legal system, legislative advocacy, and education of our attorneys regarding local and national issues affecting their practice.

Departmental General Orders (DGOs), and we regularly appear to speak regarding matters that are before the Commission. Recently, our members spoke during public commentary (5/20/2020) encouraging the Commission to adopt SFPD's newly drafted DGO 5.17 on biased policing. Thus, we are familiar with the role Commission members play vis a vis the police department.

San Francisco residents entrust the Commission with oversight of the SFPD and with shaping policy to help reform its practices and policies. Commission members must be thought leaders, subject matter experts on 21st Century policing, and impartial arbiters of discipline. For these reasons we are profoundly dismayed by Mayor Breed's selection of two people who don't register above zero on any of these scales. We will address Tung and Creed separately.

NANCY TUNG

Nancy Tung ran for District Attorney last year, losing to Chesa Boudin, a police department reform candidate, and coming in *third* overall. Clearly, she was not the voter's choice.

But, Nancy Tung *was the POA's choice* for District Attorney and that disturbing organization, which used ethically questionably tactics, contributed early to her campaign.

The POA often voices extreme opposition to any police reform measures, and vehemently opposes officer discipline in shootings of unarmed suspects, calling every single one an act of self-defense, no matter the facts. Nancy Tung's interview with Heather Knight reveal she offers similar justification, including for the killing of Mario Woods.²

The POA also tried to block changing the rules to allow releasing the responsible officers' names, thus revealing that they oppose accountability. The POA lost all its credibility when it vigorously defended the police officers caught in the racist text message scandal four years back. The POA's defense of white supremacist language by its on-duty officers should immediately disqualify any candidate they promote for office.

The POA would love nothing more than to have nine Nancy Tungs on the Commission so that they wouldn't ever have to answer to the voters or obey the Constitution.

Ironically, Nancy Tung supported Prop H (2018), which would have stripped the Commission of its oversight regarding taser use-of-force policy. SF voters overwhelmingly rejected Prop H. She also supports arming police officers with

² (See, [https://www.sfchronicle.com/bayarea/heatherknight/article/SF-D-A-candidate-gives-old-boss-Gasc-n-a-14407312.php?utm_campaign=CMS%20Sharing%20Tools%20\(Premium\)&utm_source=t.co&utm_medium=referral](https://www.sfchronicle.com/bayarea/heatherknight/article/SF-D-A-candidate-gives-old-boss-Gasc-n-a-14407312.php?utm_campaign=CMS%20Sharing%20Tools%20(Premium)&utm_source=t.co&utm_medium=referral))

Tasers. This position shows that she hasn't read the studies on Taser use, because anyone who has read them knows that Tasers have proven to be a *deadly* use of force and have killed over a 1000 people nationwide. Tasers aren't meant to *replace* guns, they are *meant to be used more readily* than guns, and are holstered just like a gun. Let us not forget that BART Officer Johannes Mehserle, when he shot and killed a prone Oscar Grant, said that he thought he was pulling out his Taser.

Nancy Tung's final, anachronistic policy is her continued belief that incarcerating young children rehabilitates them. This position is not only contrary to the Board of Supervisor's vote on the failed and inhumane Youth Guidance Center, but is contrary to the science and literature on adolescent development.

Nancy Tung's career as a prosecutor means that she has worked closely with police for over 20 years. This shouldn't by itself disqualify her, but the manner in which she debated and campaigned last year is all the proof this Board needs that she will be incapable of providing the neutral, but rigorous oversight required of each police commissioner.

GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED

Much less is known about Mr. Creed, but what little we do know reveals that he has no resume to recommend him for a seat on the Police Commission. Appointing a person to the Commission who has done no research or work in the areas of community policing or police department reform does a great disservice to San Francisco.

San Francisco voters overwhelmingly are choosing reform and better accountability for police misconduct and excessive use of force. It is baffling that Mayor Breed's choices for the Commission are the antithesis of the voters' expressed preferences at the ballot box. She is capable of making better choices. RJC implores you to help her do so. What we are seeing today around the country proves that our country has reached a tipping point on police violence against brown and black people. Mayor Breed's choices would tip us backwards.

Police Chief Scott has made significant strides in reforming the SFPD. Chief Scott is focused on better training, and he wants to root out racial intolerance, and biased views in his department. This is no easy task. He needs all the support he can get from the Board of Supervisors and the Police Commission to bring about the transformations that are desperately needed to bring peace to San Francisco.

Choosing two candidates who would transport us back to the 20th Century disrespects Chief Scott's efforts and defies the voters. The Racial Justice Committee respectfully asks that you reject both of these nominations and ask Mayor Breed to nominate appointees who don't have burdensome conflicts of interest and who have a demonstrated record of supporting 21st Century reforms in police departments.

Thank you for your time.

Very truly yours,

/s/ _____
Nicole Solis
Chair, Racial Justice Committee
San Francisco Public Defender
Niki.solis@sfgov.org

/s/ _____
Rebecca S. Young
Co-Chair, Racial Justice Committee
San Francisco Public Defender
Rebecca.young@sfgov.org