

FILE NO. 200601

Petitions and Communications received from May 28, 2020, through June 4, 2020, for reference by the President to Committee considering related matters, or to be ordered filed by the Clerk on June 9, 2020.

Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information will not be redacted.

From the Office of the Mayor, submitting supplements to the Mayoral Proclamation Declaring the Existence of a Local Emergency, dated February 25, 2020. Copy: Each Supervisor. (1)

From the Office of the Mayor, submitting a Proclamation Declaring the Existence of a Local Emergency, dated May 31, 2020; the Order Setting Curfew During Local Emergency, dated May 31, 2020; and the Termination of Emergency Proclamation, dated June 4, 2020. Copy: Each Supervisor. (2)

From the Health Officer of the Department of Public Health, issuing Health Order No. C19-07e, and revised C19-12b; and Health Directives 2020-04, 2020-10b, and 2020-15. Copy: Each Supervisor. (3)

From the Public Utilities Commission, pursuant to Charter, Section 8B.125, submitting Hetch Hetchy Power Enterprise General Use Municipal Electricity Rate Adjustment effective July 1, 2020. Copy: Each Supervisor. (4)

From the Office of the City Administrator, submitting a memorandum titled "City Policy Regarding Enforcement of Certain Tenant Lease Obligations by City Departments From March 17, 2020 through December 31, 2020." Copy: Each Supervisor. (5)

From concerned citizens, regarding the COVID-19 pandemic. Copy: Each Supervisor. (6)

From concerned citizens, regarding reopening Fisherman's Wharf. Copy: Each Supervisor. (7)

From the Treasure Island Development Authority, submitting the approval of Amended Treasure Island Infrastructure Plan. Copy: Each Supervisor. (8)

From Norton Life Lock, pursuant to WARN Act, California Labor Code, Section 1401, submitting notice of plant closures and/or mass layoffs. Copy: Each Supervisor. (9)

From concerned citizens, regarding the proposed Mayoral Appointment, Municipal Transportation Agency Board of Directors - Jane Natoli. File No. 200389. 4 letters. Copy: Each Supervisor. (10)

From concerned citizens, regarding the proposed Ordinance Planning, Building Codes - Reauthorization and Extension of Fee Waiver - Legalization of Unauthorized Dwelling Units. File No. 200113. 5 letters. Copy: Each Supervisor. (11)

From concerned citizens, regarding the proposed General Obligation Bond Election - Health and Recovery - Not to Exceed \$438,500,000. File No. 200478. 71 letters. Copy: Each Supervisor. (12)

From Anonymous, regarding Mayor Breed and public record text messages. Copy: Each Supervisor. (13)

From Rita Kelly, regarding the proposed Ordinance Emergency Ordinance - Emergency Response In Parks. File No. 200453. Copy: Each Supervisor. (14)

From Allyson Bravmann, regarding the overreach of executive powers. Copy: Each Supervisor. (15)

From Nancy Wuerfel, regarding a request for the Board of Supervisors to review California Senate Bills 902, 995, 1085, 1120, and 1385. Copy: Each Supervisor. (16)

From concerned citizens, regarding 5G risk and local legislative solutions. 2 letters. Copy: Each Supervisor. (17)

From Reuben, Junius & Rose, LLP, regarding the Hearing - Committee of the Whole - Report of Delinquent Real Property Transfer Taxes - June 2, 2020, at 3:00 PM. File No. 200447. Copy: Each Supervisor. (18)

From concerned citizens, requesting George Floyd and racism. Copy: Each Supervisor. (19)

From Justin Mikecz, regarding streamlining CEQA requirements for housing projects. Copy: Each Supervisor. (20)

From Adams Broadwell Joseph & Cardozo, regarding Zero Emission Building Task Force Development of Proposed All Electric New Construction Ordinance. Copy: Each Supervisor. (21)

From Equity For Older Students, regarding the proposed closing of Fort Mason by City College Trustees. Copy: Each Supervisor. (22)

From concerned citizens, regarding the proposed Emergency Ordinance - Temporary Right to Reemployment Following Layoff Due to COVID-19 Pandemic. File No. 200455. 2 letters. Copy: Each Supervisor. (23)

From Sonja Trauss, regarding proposed Ordinance Planning Code - Exemption from Density Limits for Affordable and Unauthorized Units - Residential Care Facilities. File No. 190757. Copy: Each Supervisor. (24)

From concerned citizens, regarding homelessness and safety in San Francisco. 2 letters. Copy: Each Supervisor. (25)

From AJ, regarding the proposed Ordinances - Planning Code and Zoning Map - Balboa Reservoir Special Use District; and Development Agreement - Reservoir Community Partners, LLC - Balboa Reservoir Project. File Nos. 200422 and 200423. Copy: Each Supervisor. (26)

From concerned citizens, regarding Establishment of Curfew to Meet Local Emergency - Peril to Safety of Persons and Property. File No. 200574. 8 letters. Copy: Each Supervisor. (27)

From concerned citizens, regarding divesting the San Francisco Police Department. 37 letters. Copy: Each Supervisor. (28)

From concerned citizens, regarding two appointments to the Police Commission for Nancy Tung and Geffrey Gordon-Creed. File Nos. 200392 and 200393. 961 letters. Copy: Each Supervisor. (29)

From concerned citizens, regarding proposed Ordinance - Administrative Code - COVID-19 Tenant Protections. File No. 200375. 42 letters. Copy: Each Supervisor. (30)

From: [Mchugh, Eileen \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#); [BOS-Administrative Aides](#)
Cc: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#); [Laxamana, Junko \(BOS\)](#); [Ng, Wilson \(BOS\)](#); [PEARSON, ANNE \(CAT\)](#)
Subject: FW: 16th Supplement to Emergency Order
Date: Saturday, May 30, 2020 9:32:27 AM
Attachments: [16th Supplement 05292020.pdf](#)

Hello Supervisors,

Please see the Sixteenth Supplement to Mayoral Proclamation Declaring the Existence of a Local Emergency dated May 29, 2020.

Thank you,

Eileen McHugh

Executive Assistant

Board of Supervisors

1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, City Hall, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102-4689

Phone: (415) 554-7703 | Fax: (415) 554-5163

eileen.e.mchugh@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

From: Kittler, Sophia (MYR) <sophia.kittler@sfgov.org>

Sent: Saturday, May 30, 2020 7:37 AM

To: Calvillo, Angela (BOS) <angela.calvillo@sfgov.org>; BOS-Operations <bos-operations@sfgov.org>; Mchugh, Eileen (BOS) <eileen.e.mchugh@sfgov.org>

Subject: Fwd: 16th Supplement to Emergency Order

Please see attached the 16th supplement to the Mayor's Proclamation of emergency.

1. Authorizing safe sleeping on MOHCD parcels;
2. Category 17 and 18 timing extensions;
3. Extension of DHR Paid Sick Leave policy.

Sophia



**SIXTEENTH SUPPLEMENT TO MAYORAL PROCLAMATION DECLARING
THE EXISTENCE OF A LOCAL EMERGENCY DATED FEBRUARY 25, 2020**

WHEREAS, California Government Code Sections 8550 et seq., San Francisco Charter Section 3.100(14) and Chapter 7 of the San Francisco Administrative Code empower the Mayor to proclaim the existence of a local emergency, subject to concurrence by the Board of Supervisors as provided in the Charter, in the case of an emergency threatening the lives, property or welfare of the City and County or its citizens; and

WHEREAS, On February 25, 2020, the Mayor issued a Proclamation (the “Proclamation”) declaring a local emergency to exist in connection with the imminent spread within the City of a novel (new) coronavirus (“COVID-19”); and

WHEREAS, On March 3, 2020, the Board of Supervisors concurred in the Proclamation and in the actions taken by the Mayor to meet the emergency; and

WHEREAS, On March 4, 2020, Governor Gavin Newsom proclaimed a state of emergency to exist within the State due to the threat posed by COVID-19; and

WHEREAS, On March 6, 2020, the Local Health Officer declared a local health emergency under Section 101080 of the California Health and Safety Code, and the Board of Supervisors concurred in that declaration on March 10, 2020; and

WHEREAS, On March 16, 2020, the City’s Health Officer issued a stay safe at home order, Health Officer Order No. C19-07 (the “Stay Safe At Home Order”), requiring most people to remain in their homes subject to certain exceptions including obtaining essential goods such as food and necessary supplies, and requiring the closure of non-essential businesses; the Health Officer has amended the Stay Safe At Home Order to modify the ongoing restrictions; and

WHEREAS, There have been 2,473 confirmed cases of COVID-19 within the City and 41 COVID-19-related deaths in the City; there have been more than 100,000 confirmed cases in California and more than 3,900 COVID-19-related deaths in California; and

WHEREAS, This order and the previous orders issued during this emergency have all been issued because of the propensity of the virus to spread person to person and also



because the virus physically is causing property loss or damage due to its proclivity to attach to surfaces for prolonged periods of time; and

WHEREAS, The Stay Safe At Home Order provides that individuals experiencing homelessness should, to the maximum extent feasible, abide by 12 foot by 12 foot distancing for the placement of tents, and that government agencies should provide restroom and hand washing facilities for individuals in encampments as set forth in Centers for Disease Control and Prevention Interim Guidance Responding to Coronavirus 2019 (COVID-19) Among People Experiencing Unsheltered Homelessness. Implementation of these measures will reduce the risk of outbreaks and spread of the virus in this extremely vulnerable population and among all City residents. To comply with this guidance and to provide a safe and sanitary location for individuals experiencing homelessness to get through the emergency, the City must open additional space to allow individuals to set up tents and for the City to provide proper facilities and other services. It is in the public interest to suspend provisions of City law that would inhibit the use of City property that is appropriate to accomplish this goal; and

WHEREAS, In the Third Supplement to the Emergency Proclamation dated March 17, 2020, the Mayor prohibited meetings of City boards, commissions, and advisory bodies, other than the Board of Supervisors, through April 7, 2020, unless authorized by the Mayor or the Board of Supervisors; the Mayor extended this order through May 31, 2020. Given the ongoing public health concern, closure of City buildings, and restrictions and recommendations in the Stay Safe At Home Order it is necessary to continue some limitations on meetings of these bodies to protect public health. The Governor and the Mayor have issued emergency orders allowing public meetings to occur remotely, provided the public can observe and participate; and

WHEREAS, The disruption to City operations caused by the pandemic, including closure of City offices, deployment of City employees as disaster service workers, and City employees working remotely, and the physical distancing measures required by the Stay Safe at Home Order, have prevented the City from conducting civil service examinations to establish eligible lists to make permanent civil service appointments. To ensure continuity of City services and avoid unduly separating employees from employment, the City needs flexibility to allow employees in appointments with time limitations to continue working beyond the time limit applicable to the appointment. It is in the public interest to authorize the temporary waiver of the Charter provisions setting time restriction for such appointments; and



WHEREAS, In the Third Supplement to the Emergency Proclamation dated March 17, 2020, recognizing that many City employees affected by the Stay Safe At Home Order cannot perform their duties remotely and must stay home and that it is in the public interest to support such employees with paid leave, the Mayor authorized a paid leave program through April 17, 2020, to mitigate financial impacts of the emergency on City employees; the Mayor extended the program to May 31, 2020 through a further orders in the Seventh and Twelfth Supplements to the Emergency Proclamation; due to the ongoing restrictions of the Stay Safe At Home Order, it is in the public interest to further extend this paid leave program; and

NOW, THEREFORE,

I, London N. Breed, Mayor of the City and County of San Francisco, proclaim that there continues to exist an emergency within the City and County threatening the lives, property or welfare of the City and County and its citizens;

In addition to the measures outlined in the Proclamation and in the Supplements to the Proclamation dated March 11, March 13, March 17, March 18, March 23, March 27, March 31, April 1, April 10, April 14, April 23, April 30, May 11, May 13, and May 18, 2020, it is further ordered that:

- (1) The Department of Emergency Management and the Mayor's Office of Housing and Community Development ("MOHCD") may authorize the use of any property under the jurisdiction of MOHCD to (a) erect, provide, or accommodate temporary shelter, including shelter in tents or similar structures, for people experiencing homelessness, and (b) provide attendant services for people experiencing homelessness and erect temporary structures for that purpose. The Director of Emergency Management in consultation with the Director of the MOHCD is authorized to select property for this purpose. Any provision of local law that would prohibit the use of property for this purpose, including any provision of the Planning Code, is suspended and any local permitting requirement is waived. This Order shall remain in effect during the local emergency unless terminated earlier by the Mayor.
- (2) Public meetings of City commissions, boards, and advisory bodies other than the Board of Supervisors and its committees must comply with the following conditions for all public meetings:



- a. The meetings must occur by teleconference or other electronic means without providing a physical meeting place, and the commission, board, or advisory body must comply with all applicable law governing public meetings during the emergency, including allowing public observation and participation;
- b. The commission, board, or advisory body must prioritize any urgent action items necessary for public health, safety, and essential government function; and
- c. Before scheduling a meeting, a policy body that is not established in the Charter must confer with the department that provides administrative and clerical support to the body, to ensure that the meeting will not unreasonably require the time of staff who are otherwise deployed or participating in the City's response to the COVID-19 pandemic.

This Order shall take effect on June 1, 2020 and shall remain in place for 60 days unless terminated earlier by the Mayor or unless the Governor rescinds his executive order authorizing public meetings to occur by electronic means without providing a physical meeting place under state law.

(3) The Human Resources Director or designee is delegated authority during the emergency, as determined appropriate in the exercise of their discretion, to temporarily waive the maximum number of years employees may work in appointments under Charter Sections 10.104(17) and (18). The Director of Transportation or designee is delegated authority during the emergency, as determined appropriate in the exercise of their discretion, to temporarily waive the maximum number of years employees may work in "service critical" appointments under Charter Sections 10.104(17) and (18). Employees have no right to a waiver of these Charter time limitations. This Order shall remain in effect during the local emergency unless terminated earlier by the Mayor.

(4) The Human Resources Director is authorized, with the concurrence of the Controller, to extend the existing paid leave program for City employees, first authorized on March 17, 2020 in the Third Supplement to the Emergency Proclamation, through June 30, 2020, to mitigate the financial impacts of the emergency on these City employees. The Director of Transportation is authorized, with the concurrence of the Controller, to extend the existing paid leave program for service critical employees of the San Francisco Municipal Transportation Agency first authorized on March 17, 2020 by the Third Supplement to the Emergency Proclamation, through June 30, 2020. This paid leave



program is for employees who are available to work, but not working, including working from home, due to the Stay Safe At Home Order.

DATED: May 29, 2020

A handwritten signature in blue ink, reading "London Breed", written over a horizontal line.

London N. Breed
Mayor of San Francisco

n:\govern\as2020\9690082\01451212.doc

From: [Mchugh, Eileen \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#); [BOS-Administrative Aides](#)
Cc: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#); [Laxamana, Junko \(BOS\)](#); [Ng, Wilson \(BOS\)](#); [PEARSON, ANNE \(CAT\)](#)
Subject: FW: 17th Supplement to the Emergency Proclamation
Date: Thursday, June 4, 2020 10:03:00 AM
Attachments: [Supplement 17_06022020.pdf](#)

Hello Supervisors,

Please see the attached Seventeenth Supplement to Mayoral Proclamation Declaring the Existence of a Local Emergency dated June 2, 2020.

Thank you,

Eileen McHugh
Executive Assistant
Board of Supervisors
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102-4689
Phone: (415) 554-7703 | Fax: (415) 554-5163
eileen.e.mchugh@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

From: Kittler, Sophia (MYR) <sophia.kittler@sfgov.org>
Sent: Thursday, June 4, 2020 9:57 AM
To: Calvillo, Angela (BOS) <angela.calvillo@sfgov.org>; BOS-Operations <bos-operations@sfgov.org>
Cc: PEARSON, ANNE (CAT) <Anne.Pearson@sfcityatty.org>; RUSSI, BRAD (CAT) <Brad.Russi@sfcityatty.org>; Peacock, Rebecca (MYR) <rebecca.peacock@sfgov.org>
Subject: 17th Supplement to the Emergency Proclamation

Madam Clerk,

Please find attached the 17th Supplement to the Mayor's Proclamation Declaring an Emergency, authorizing a one-time \$500 payment to certain HCSO participants.

Sophia Kittler
Office of Mayor London N. Breed
415 554 6153

From: Power, Andres (MYR) <andres.power@sfgov.org>
Sent: Tuesday, June 2, 2020 3:57 PM
To: Kittler, Sophia (MYR) <sophia.kittler@sfgov.org>
Cc: Wagner, Greg (DPH) <greg.wagner@sfdph.org>; Rosenfield, Ben (CON) <ben.rosenfield@sfgov.org>; Kirkpatrick, Kelly (MYR) <kelly.kirkpatrick@sfgov.org>; DPH-External-ssousa-sfhp.org <ssousa@sfhp.org>; Geithman, Kyra (MYR) <kyra.geithman@sfgov.org>
Subject: 17th Supplement

Hello,

Attached, please find the 17th Supplement to the Emergency Declaration, authorizing a one-time \$500 payment to certain HCSO participants.

Thanks

Andres Power | Policy Director
Office of Mayor London N. Breed
City and County of San Francisco



**SEVENTEENTH SUPPLEMENT TO MAYORAL PROCLAMATION DECLARING
THE EXISTENCE OF A LOCAL EMERGENCY DATED FEBRUARY 25, 2020**

WHEREAS, California Government Code Sections 8550 et seq., San Francisco Charter Section 3.100(14) and Chapter 7 of the San Francisco Administrative Code empower the Mayor to proclaim the existence of a local emergency, subject to concurrence by the Board of Supervisors as provided in the Charter, in the case of an emergency threatening the lives, property or welfare of the City and County or its citizens; and

WHEREAS, On February 25, 2020, the Mayor issued a Proclamation (the “Proclamation”) declaring a local emergency to exist in connection with the imminent spread within the City of a novel (new) coronavirus (“COVID-19”); and

WHEREAS, On March 3, 2020, the Board of Supervisors concurred in the Proclamation and in the actions taken by the Mayor to meet the emergency; and

WHEREAS, On March 4, 2020, Governor Gavin Newsom proclaimed a state of emergency to exist within the State due to the threat posed by COVID-19; and

WHEREAS, On March 6, 2020, the Local Health Officer declared a local health emergency under Section 101080 of the California Health and Safety Code, and the Board of Supervisors concurred in that declaration on March 10, 2020; and

WHEREAS, On March 16, 2020, the City’s Health Officer issued a stay safe at home order, Health Officer Order No. C19-07 (the “Stay Safe At Home Order”), requiring most people to remain in their homes subject to certain exceptions including obtaining essential goods such as food and necessary supplies, and requiring the closure of non-essential businesses; the Health Officer has amended the Stay Safe At Home Order to modify the ongoing restrictions; and

WHEREAS, There have been 2,487 confirmed cases of COVID-19 within the City and 43 COVID-19-related deaths in the City; there have been more than 115,000 confirmed cases in California and more than 4,200 COVID-19-related deaths in California; and

WHEREAS, This order and the previous orders issued during this emergency have all been issued because of the propensity of the virus to spread person to person and also because the virus physically is causing property loss or damage due to its proclivity to attach to surfaces for prolonged periods of time; and



WHEREAS, The COVID-19 crisis and the ongoing restrictions on the ability of businesses to operate have placed a severe economic strain on workers in the City due to reduced hours, furloughs, and layoffs, and it is in the public interest to provide grants to workers to cover expenses not compensated by insurance or otherwise to assist in ensuring the housing and health stability of such workers during the emergency;

NOW, THEREFORE,

I, London N. Breed, Mayor of the City and County of San Francisco, proclaim that there continues to exist an emergency within the City and County threatening the lives, property or welfare of the City and County and its citizens;

In addition to the measures outlined in the Proclamation and in the Supplements to the Proclamation dated March 11, March 13, March 17, March 18, March 23, March 27, March 31, April 1, April 10, April 14, April 23, April 30, May 11, May 13, May 18, and May 29, 2020, it is further ordered that:

To promote the general welfare, the Director of Public Health or the Director's designee (the "Director") is authorized to establish a program to make grants of up to \$500 to qualified individuals to assist such individuals and their families with COVID-19-related expenses not otherwise compensated for by insurance or otherwise. For purposes of this program, "qualified individuals" are individuals who have active Medical Reimbursement Accounts with the City under Chapter 14 of the Administrative Code with a remaining balance of at least \$100 as of June 2, 2020. The City shall borrow funds for this purpose from deactivated Medical Reimbursement Accounts held by the City under Chapter 14. The Director shall set the commencement date of this program by posting notification on the Department of Public Health's website, and the program shall continue during the local emergency unless terminated earlier by the Mayor or the Board of Supervisors.

DATED: June 2, 2020

A handwritten signature in blue ink, reading "London N. Breed".

London N. Breed
Mayor of San Francisco

From: [Mchugh, Eileen \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#); [BOS-Administrative Aides](#)
Cc: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#); [Laxamana, Junko \(BOS\)](#); [Ng, Wilson \(BOS\)](#); [PEARSON, ANNE \(CAT\)](#)
Subject: FW: Signed Emergency Order & Curfew Order
Date: Sunday, May 31, 2020 10:49:21 AM
Attachments: [Proclamation By the Mayor Declaring the Existence of a Local Emergency.pdf](#)
[Order Setting Curfew During Local Emergency.pdf](#)

Hello Supervisors,

Please see the attached Proclamation by the Mayor Declaring the Existence a Local Emergency as well as the Order Setting Curfew During a Local Emergency.

Thank you,

Eileen McHugh

Executive Assistant

Board of Supervisors

1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, City Hall, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102-4689

Phone: (415) 554-7703 | Fax: (415) 554-5163

eileen.e.mchugh@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

From: Kittler, Sophia (MYR) <sophia.kittler@sfgov.org>

Sent: Sunday, May 31, 2020 10:40 AM

To: BOS-Supervisors <bos-supervisors@sfgov.org>; Calvillo, Angela (BOS) <angela.calvillo@sfgov.org>; Mchugh, Eileen (BOS) <eileen.e.mchugh@sfgov.org>

Cc: BOS-Legislative Aides <bos-legislative_aides@sfgov.org>; PEARSON, ANNE (CAT) <Anne.Pearson@sfcityatty.org>; Bechelli, Adrienne (DEM) <adrienne.bechelli@sfgov.org>

Subject: Signed Emergency Order & Curfew Order

Attached.

It's two separate signed documents in one PDF; wanted to send as quickly as possible.

Clerk - Apologies for the inconvenience. I'll send individual files when I can.

Sophia



**PROCLAMATION BY THE MAYOR DECLARING
THE EXISTENCE OF A LOCAL EMERGENCY**

WHEREAS, California Government Code Sections 8550 et seq., San Francisco Charter Section 3.100(14), and Chapter 7 of the San Francisco Administrative Code empower the Mayor to proclaim the existence of a local emergency, subject to concurrence by the Board of Supervisors as provided in the Charter, in the case of an emergency threatening the lives, property or welfare of the City and County or its citizens; and

WHEREAS, On May 25, 2020, police officers in Minneapolis, Minnesota forcibly arrested an unarmed black Minneapolis resident named George Floyd. The officers placed Mr. Floyd prone on the ground and a police officer pressed his knee against Mr. Floyd's neck for more than eight minutes while Mr. Floyd repeatedly stated that he could not breathe. After about six minutes in this position, Mr. Floyd became unresponsive, and he was declared deceased after paramedics arrived. This incident was captured on a video that was widely disseminated and caused outrage across the country and around the world; and

WHEREAS, The day after Mr. Floyd's death, protestors began to assemble in Minneapolis demanding the officers involved be criminally charged. The protests in Minneapolis continued over the course of the next several days, leading to confrontations with law enforcement, looting, and vandalization and arson of businesses and government buildings. Protests have spread to many other cities in the United States, including Los Angeles, Oakland, San Jose and San Francisco; and

WHEREAS, On May 29, 2020, a protest in Oakland turned into dangerous civil unrest, with people blocking streets, shutting down the Bay Bridge, and looting various businesses. The violence increased, resulting in the death of a Federal Protective Service Officer in Oakland and leaving another officer critically injured; and

WHEREAS, On May 30, 2020, Los Angeles Mayor Eric Garcetti declared a local state of emergency and issued a curfew order; and

WHEREAS, On May 30, 2020, protests in San Francisco began peacefully, but later in the evening looters broke into the Westfield Centre and other retail businesses in the Union Square area, and several fires were set across the City, including at stores on



Market Street. Some in the crowd defaced buildings and ATM machines, pulled down signs and barriers, stacked trash bins in the street, and smashed windows; and

WHEREAS, Protests are anticipated to continue in the City over the next several days. The City encourages residents to peaceably assemble and exercise their First Amendment rights. In light of the potential for continued civil unrest and violence, it is necessary to take steps to ensure the City is adequately prepared to protect its residents and businesses from further peril; and

WHEREAS, Conditions of extreme peril to the safety of persons and property have arisen; and

WHEREAS, The Mayor does hereby proclaim that the aforesaid conditions of extreme peril warrant and necessitate the proclamation of the existence of a local emergency,

NOW, THEREFORE,

I, London N. Breed, Mayor of the City and County of San Francisco, proclaim the existence, effective immediately on May 31, 2020, of an emergency within the City and County threatening the lives, property or welfare of the City and County and its citizens.

It is further ordered that:

- (1) All City and County officers and employees take all steps requested by the Chief of Police or the Sheriff to address the emergency and to prevent or end violations of any curfew orders issued by the Mayor under this emergency declaration; and
- (2) All City and County officers and employees take all steps requested by the Chief of Police or Sheriff to qualify the City for reimbursement from the Federal Emergency Management Agency and for other state and federal relief as may be available to reimburse the City for the expenses it incurs in addressing this emergency; and
- (3) By the terms of this emergency declaration the government of the City and County of San Francisco is organized under the provisions of the Incident Command System (ICS), which system forms an essential part of the City's Emergency Operations Plan. The head of each City department and agency shall observe his or her proper relationship in the command structure outlined by the system and shall respond to the orders and requests of



the Lead Department designated to exercise supervision over his or her department during the course of this emergency; and

(4) Because of the extreme peril to its residents and visitors, the Governor of the State of California is hereby requested to include the area of the City and County of San Francisco in any emergency declaration by the State, and is further requested to ensure that the City and County is included in any emergency declaration that may be issued by the President of the United States.

And I further proclaim and order that:

This declaration of a local emergency shall continue to exist until it is terminated by the Mayor or the Board of Supervisors. All departments of the City and County of San Francisco are strictly ordered to cooperate with the requests for material and personnel resources that may emanate from the Incident Command Staff of the City and County which is located in the Emergency Command Center of the City and County of San Francisco.

DATED: May 31, 2020

A handwritten signature in cursive script, reading "London Breed", written over a horizontal line.

London N. Breed
Mayor of San Francisco



ORDER SETTING CURFEW DURING LOCAL EMERGENCY

WHEREAS, On May 31, 2020, I, London N. Breed, Mayor of the City and County of San Francisco, declared a Local State of Emergency pursuant to California Government Code Sections 8550 et seq., San Francisco Charter Section 3.100(14), and Chapter 7 of the San Francisco Administrative Code; and

WHEREAS, Conditions of extreme peril to the safety of persons and property have arisen within the City and County, arising from unrest and violence in San Francisco and other cities in the Bay Area and around the country in the wake of the tragic death of George Floyd; and

WHEREAS, On the night of May 30, 2020, before the issuance of the declaration of Local Emergency, thousands of people in San Francisco protested peacefully and lawfully, but a significant number of people engaged in criminal behavior, including violence, vandalism, looting of businesses, arson, and failure to follow lawful dispersal orders; and

WHEREAS, These conditions make the unrestricted presence of persons on City streets and sidewalks after 8:00 p.m. dangerous to both them and the public safety,

NOW, THEREFORE,

Pursuant to the authorities cited above and Government Code Section 8634, I hereby declare the following Order necessary for the protection of life and property:

No person, except as set forth below, shall be on any public street, sidewalk, plaza, park, other public property, or unimproved private property within the boundaries of the City and County of San Francisco between 8:00 p.m. and 5:00 a.m. of the following day, beginning at 8:00 p.m. on May 31, 2020, until the termination of this Order.

This Order shall not apply to: (1) peace officers, firefighters, other City and County employees engaged in authorized emergency operations, members of the National Guard, or any other responding military personnel deployed to the City and County; (2) individuals who can establish to the satisfaction of a peace officer that they are in such place for the sole purpose of traveling to a home or workplace or to obtain medical assistance; (3) authorized representatives of any news service, newspaper, radio or



television station or network, or other media organization; or (4) people experiencing homelessness.

Violation of this curfew is a misdemeanor under California Government Code Section 8665 and San Francisco Administrative Code Section 7.17, and violators may be subject to immediate arrest.

This Order shall remain in effect until such time as the Declaration of Local Emergency is terminated, or sooner at the direction of the Mayor.

DATED: May 31, 2020

A handwritten signature in black ink, reading "London Breed", written over a horizontal line.

London N. Breed
Mayor of San Francisco

n:\govern\as2020\9690082\01451580.doc

From: [Kittler, Sophia \(MYR\)](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [BOS-Supervisors](#)
Cc: [BOS-Operations](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#); [Peacock, Rebecca \(MYR\)](#); [PEARSON, ANNE \(CAT\)](#); [GIVNER, JON \(CAT\)](#); [RUSSI, BRAD \(CAT\)](#)
Subject: Termination of Emergency Proclamation
Date: Thursday, June 4, 2020 9:23:08 AM

Madam Clerk, President Yee, and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

Please find attached a Proclamation Terminating the Declaration of Local Emergency, which in turn will terminate the Curfew order.

Mayor Breed signed this item this morning, and it is effective immediately.

Please let me know if you have any questions.

Sophia

Sophia Kittler
Office of Mayor London N. Breed
415 554 6153



**PROCLAMATION TERMINATING
DECLARATION OF LOCAL EMERGENCY**

WHEREAS, On May 31, 2020, I declared a Local State of Emergency to exist within the City and County of San Francisco in connection with the civil unrest and violence that occurred in the City in the wake of the killing of George Floyd in Minneapolis, Minnesota; and

WHEREAS, The situation resulting from those conditions is now within the control of the normal protective services, personnel, equipment and facilities of and within the City and County;

NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY PROCLAIMED AND ORDERED, That the declaration of a Local State of Emergency is terminated. The Order Setting Curfew During Local Emergency, dated May 31, 2020, that was predicated on the existence of the Local State of Emergency is also therefore terminated.

DATED: June 4, 2020

A handwritten signature in cursive script, reading "London N. Breed".

London N. Breed
Mayor of San Francisco

From: [Mchugh, Eileen \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#); [BOS-Administrative Aides](#)
Cc: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#); [Laxamana, Junko \(BOS\)](#); [Ng, Wilson \(BOS\)](#); [PEARSON, ANNE \(CAT\)](#)
Subject: FW: Updated Stay-Home Order
Date: Tuesday, June 2, 2020 9:28:00 AM
Attachments: [2020.06.01 FINAL signed Health Officer Order C19-07e \(updated\)- Shelter in Place.pdf](#)

Hello Supervisors,

Please see the attached updated Order of the Health Officer No. C19-07e. It replaces the version sent yesterday.

Thank you,

Eileen McHugh
Executive Assistant
Board of Supervisors
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102-4689
Phone: (415) 554-7703 | Fax: (415) 554-5163
eileen.e.mchugh@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

From: Patil, Sneha (DPH) <sneha.patil@sfdph.org>
Sent: Tuesday, June 2, 2020 9:07 AM
To: Calvillo, Angela (BOS) <angela.calvillo@sfgov.org>; Mchugh, Eileen (BOS) <eileen.e.mchugh@sfgov.org>
Subject: Updated Stay-Home Order

Hi Angela and Eileen - City Attorney may have reached out to you about this already. Please replace the final stay at home order that I sent yesterday with the version attached. This version fixes some issues in the section regarding shopping malls.

Sneha Patil, MPH

Director, Office of Policy and Planning

San Francisco Department of Public Health

sneha.patil@sfdph.org | 415-554-2795



ORDER OF THE HEALTH OFFICER No. C19-07e

**ORDER OF THE HEALTH OFFICER
OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO DIRECTING
ALL INDIVIDUALS IN THE COUNTY TO CONTINUE SHELTERING AT
THEIR PLACE OF RESIDENCE EXCEPT FOR IDENTIFIED NEEDS
AND ACTIVITIES, IN COMPLIANCE WITH SPECIFIED
REQUIREMENTS; CONTINUING TO EXEMPT HOMELESS
INDIVIDUALS FROM THE ORDER BUT URGING GOVERNMENT
AGENCIES TO PROVIDE THEM SHELTER; REQUIRING ALL
BUSINESSES AND RECREATION FACILITIES THAT ARE ALLOWED
TO OPERATE TO IMPLEMENT SOCIAL DISTANCING, FACE
COVERING, AND CLEANING PROTOCOLS; AND DIRECTING ALL
BUSINESSES, FACILITY OPERATORS, AND GOVERNMENTAL
AGENCIES TO CONTINUE THE TEMPORARY CLOSURE OF ALL
OTHER OPERATIONS NOT ALLOWED UNDER THIS ORDER**

(SHELTER IN PLACE)

DATE OF ORDER: May 22, 2020 (updated June 1, 2020)

Please read this Order carefully. Violation of or failure to comply with this Order is a misdemeanor punishable by fine, imprisonment, or both. (California Health and Safety Code § 120295, *et seq.*; California Penal Code §§ 69, 148(a)(1); and San Francisco Administrative Code § 7.17(b))

Summary: The City and County of San Francisco (the “County”) and five other Bay Area counties and the City of Berkeley have been under shelter-in-place orders since March 16, 2020, in a collective effort to reduce the impact of the virus that causes coronavirus disease 2019 (“COVID-19”). That virus is easily transmitted, especially in group settings, and the disease can be extremely serious. It can require long hospital stays, and in some instances cause long-term health consequences or death. It can impact not only those known to be at high risk but also other people, regardless of age. This spread of disease is a global pandemic causing untold societal, social, and economic harm. To mitigate the harm from the pandemic, these jurisdictions issued parallel health officer orders on March 16, 2020 imposing shelter in place limitations across the Bay Area, requiring everyone to stay safe at home except for certain essential needs. Other jurisdictions in the Bay Area and ultimately the State have since joined in adopting stay-safe-at-home orders.



ORDER OF THE HEALTH OFFICER No. C19-07e

Our collective effort has had a positive impact on limiting the spread of the virus. As of the date of this Order, our hospitals have capacity and hospitalization rates have been relatively low and flat for the past four weeks and recently have been slowly decreasing. San Francisco continues to work on building up its testing, case finding, case investigation, and contact tracing capacity, and its means to protect vulnerable populations and address outbreaks. In light of significant progress made, the prior May 17, 2020 order added two new categories of activities allowed to resume: “Additional Businesses” (described in Appendix C-1) and “Additional Activities” (described in Appendix C-2).

Still, the danger the virus poses to the health and welfare of all continues. A major risk remains the spread of COVID-19 through asymptomatic carriers. Also, while the search continues, there is not yet an effective treatment or cure for the disease. The vast majority of the population remains susceptible to infection. Therefore, this incremental resumption of certain business and other activities is designed to gradually increase the volume of person-to-person contact to help contain the risk of a surge in COVID-19 cases in the County and neighboring counties. Accordingly, the May 17 order explained that the Health Officer will assess the activities allowed by this Order on an ongoing basis and may need to restrict or otherwise modify them if the risk associated with COVID-19 increases in the future. But if San Francisco continues to make progress on ways to contain virus transmission and health-based risk considerations support doing so, the Health Officer will allow additional business and other activity under a phased, incremental process, to provide for a safer economic recovery.

Generally, under this Order gatherings of individuals with anyone outside of their household or living unit remain prohibited, with limited exceptions for essential activities, outdoor activities, additional activities, or essential travel, or to perform work for essential businesses, outdoor businesses, additional businesses, and government agencies. Also, under this Order, many businesses must remain closed. But:

- The May 17 order added curbside or outside pickup of goods from non-essential retail stores, as well as related manufacturing, and warehousing and logistical support to the list of Additional Businesses, subject to specified conditions. The May 17 order also added attendance at outdoor museums, outdoor historical sites, and public gardens to the list of allowed Additional Activities.
- This amendment to the Order adds childcare programs and summer camps for all children to the list of Additional Business that may resume operations, subject to further requirements in Appendix C-1 and any associated directives.
- The June 1, 2020 update to the Order adds curbside/outdoor low contact retail services (like dog grooming and shoe repair); businesses that rent equipment for outdoor activities; and professional sports team practices (with an approved plan) to the list of Additional Business that may resume operations, subject to further requirements in Appendix C-1 and any associated directives.



ORDER OF THE HEALTH OFFICER No. C19-07e

- The June 1, 2020 update to the Order also adds tennis, golf, and dog parks to the list of Additional Activities that may resume, subject to further requirements in Appendix C-2 and any associated directives.

Also, the June 1, 2020 update to the Order updates Appendix A to include new requirements in the Social Distancing Protocol. Any businesses with an existing Social Distancing Protocol must update its Social Distancing Protocol within two weeks, by June 15, 2020. All businesses implementing a Social Distancing Protocol for the first time on or after June 1, 2020 must prepare and implement the updated protocol before resuming operations.

This new Order replaces the prior May 17, 2020 extension of the shelter in place order. Beginning at 12:00 p.m. on May 22, 2020, all people and businesses in San Francisco must strictly comply with this new Order. This Order is in effect, without a specific expiration date, until it is extended, rescinded, superseded, or amended in writing by the Health Officer. But, as mentioned above, the Health Officer will continue to carefully monitor the evolving situation and will periodically revise this Order to loosen – or if need be tighten – restrictions as conditions warrant, to help further the safer economic recovery. Facilities must stay updated by checking the City Administrator’s website (www.sfgsa.org) regularly.

In addition to extending and replacing Health Officer Order Number C19-07d (shelter in place), issued May 17, 2020, this Order also extends Order Nos. C19-01b (prohibiting visitors at Laguna Honda Hospital and Rehabilitation Center and Unit 4A at Zuckerberg San Francisco General Hospital), C19-03 (prohibiting visitors to specific residential facilities), C19-04 (imposing cleaning standards for residential hotels), C19-09 (prohibiting visitors to residential care facilities for the elderly, adult residential facilities, and residential facilities for the chronically ill), and C19-11 (placing Laguna Honda Hospital and Rehabilitation Center under protective quarantine) through for as long as this Order is in effect, with the requirements of those listed orders otherwise remaining unchanged. Order Nos. C19-06b (restricting visitors to general acute care hospitals and acute psychiatric hospitals), C19-08b (limiting most routine appointments and elective surgeries and encouraging delivery of prescriptions and cannabis products), C19-10 (requiring reporting by labs of COVID-19 testing information), C19-12 (face coverings), and C19-13 (regarding testing, reporting, and cooperation at skilled nursing facilities) remain in effect indefinitely, and this Order makes clear that face coverings are required for operators and customers of additional businesses, with certain limitations. The provisions of this Order are subject to any provisions of the state shelter-in-place order that are more restrictive. This summary is for convenience only and may not be used to interpret this Order; in the event of any inconsistency between the summary and the text of this Order below, the text will control.



ORDER OF THE HEALTH OFFICER No. C19-07e

**UNDER THE AUTHORITY OF CALIFORNIA HEALTH AND SAFETY CODE
SECTIONS 101040, 101085, AND 120175, THE HEALTH OFFICER OF THE CITY AND
COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO ("HEALTH OFFICER") ORDERS:**

- 1. This Order supersedes the May 17, 2020 Order of the Health Officer directing all individuals to shelter in place (the "Prior Order", Order No. C19-07d). This Order amends, clarifies, and continues certain terms of the Prior Order to ensure continued social distancing and limit person-to-person contact to reduce the rate of transmission of Novel Coronavirus Disease 2019 ("COVID-19"). This Order continues to restrict most activity, travel, and governmental and business functions to essential needs and to the Outdoor Activities and Outdoor Businesses that the prior Order allowed to resume. But in light of progress achieved in slowing the spread of COVID-19 in the County and neighboring counties, the Order allows a limited number of Additional Businesses and Additional Activities (as defined in Section 15 below and described in Appendixes C-1 and C-2) to resume operating, subject to specified conditions and safety precautions to reduce associated risk of COVID-19 transmission. This gradual and measured resumption of activity is designed to manage the overall volume, duration, and intensity of person-to-person contact to prevent a surge in COVID-19 cases in the County and neighboring counties. As further provided in Section 11 below, the Health Officer will continue to monitor the risks of the activities and businesses allowed under this Order based on the COVID-19 Indicators (as defined in Section 11) and other data, and may, if conditions support doing so, incrementally add to the list of Additional Businesses and Additional Activities. The activities allowed by this Order will be assessed on an ongoing basis, and these activities and others allowed by the Order may need to be modified (including, without limitation, temporarily restricted or prohibited) if the risk associated with COVID-19 increases in the future. As of the effective date and time of this Order set forth in Section 18 below, all individuals, businesses, and government agencies in the County are required to follow the provisions of this Order.**
- 2. The primary intent of this Order is to ensure that County residents continue to shelter in their places of residence to slow the spread of COVID-19 and mitigate the impact on delivery of critical healthcare services. This Order allows a limited number of Additional Businesses and Additional Activities to resume while the Health Officer continues to assess the transmissibility and clinical severity of COVID-19 and monitors indicators described in Section 11. All provisions of this Order must be interpreted to effectuate this intent. Failure to comply with any of the provisions of this Order constitutes an imminent threat and menace to public health, constitutes a public nuisance, and is punishable by fine, imprisonment, or both.**
- 3. All individuals currently living within the County are ordered to shelter at their place of residence. They may leave their residence only for Essential Activities as defined in Section 15.a, Outdoor Activities as defined in Section 15.m, and**



ORDER OF THE HEALTH OFFICER No. C19-07e

Additional Activities as defined in Section 15.o; Essential Governmental Functions as defined in Section 15.d; Essential Travel as defined in Section 15.i; to work for Essential Businesses as defined in Section 15.f, Outdoor Businesses as defined in Section 15.l, and Additional Businesses as defined in Section 15.n; or to perform Minimum Basic Operations for other businesses that must remain temporarily closed, as provided in Section 15.g. For clarity, individuals who do not currently reside in the County must comply with all applicable requirements of the Order when in the County. Individuals experiencing homelessness are exempt from this Section, but are strongly urged to obtain shelter, and governmental and other entities are strongly urged to, as soon as possible, make such shelter available and provide handwashing or hand sanitation facilities to persons who continue experiencing homelessness.

- 4. When people need to leave their place of residence for the limited purposes allowed in this Order, they must strictly comply with Social Distancing Requirements as defined in Section 15.k, except as expressly provided in this Order, and must wear Face Coverings as provided in, and subject to the limited exceptions in, Health Officer Order No. C19-12 issued April 17, 2020 (the "Face Covering Order"), including any amendments to that order.**
- 5. All businesses with a facility in the County, except Essential Businesses, Outdoor Businesses, and Additional Businesses, as defined in Section 15, are required to cease all activities at facilities located within the County except Minimum Basic Operations, as defined in Section 15. For clarity, all businesses may continue operations consisting exclusively of owners, personnel, volunteers, or contractors performing activities at their own residences (i.e., working from home). All Essential Businesses are strongly encouraged to remain open. But all businesses are directed to maximize the number of personnel who work from home. Essential Businesses, Outdoor Businesses, and Additional Businesses may only assign those personnel who cannot perform their job duties from home to work outside the home. Outdoor Businesses must conduct all business and transactions involving members of the public outdoors.**
- 6. As a condition of operating under this Order, the operators of all businesses must prepare or update, post, implement, and distribute to their personnel a Social Distancing Protocol for each of their facilities in the County frequented by personnel or members of the public, as specified in Section 15.h. In addition to the Social Distancing Protocol, all businesses allowed to operate under this Order must follow any industry-specific guidance issued by the Health Officer related to COVID-19 and any conditions on operation specified in this Order, including those specified in Appendix C-1. Except as otherwise provided in Appendix C-1, businesses that include an Essential Business or Outdoor Business component at their facilities alongside other components must, to the extent feasible, scale down their operations to the Essential Business and Outdoor Business components only; provided, however, mixed retail businesses that are otherwise allowed to operate**



ORDER OF THE HEALTH OFFICER No. C19-07e

under this Order may continue to stock and sell non-essential products.

- 7. All public and private gatherings of any number of people occurring outside a single household or living unit are prohibited, except for the limited purposes expressly permitted in this Order. Nothing in this Order prohibits members of a single household or living unit from engaging in Essential Travel, Essential Activities, Outdoor Activities, or Additional Activities together.**
- 8. All travel, including, but not limited to, travel on foot, bicycle, scooter, motorcycle, automobile, or public transit, except Essential Travel, as defined below in Section 15.i, is prohibited. People may use public transit only for purposes of performing Essential Activities, Outdoor Activities, or Additional Activities, or to travel to and from Essential Businesses, Outdoor Businesses, or Additional Businesses, to maintain Essential Governmental Functions, or to perform Minimum Basic Operations at businesses that are not allowed to resume operations. Transit agencies and people riding on public transit must comply with Social Distancing Requirements, as defined in Section 15.k, to the greatest extent feasible, and personnel and passengers must wear Face Coverings as required by the Face Covering Order. This Order allows travel into or out of the County only to perform Essential Activities, Outdoor Activities, or Additional Activities; to operate, perform work for, or access a business allowed to operate under this Order; to perform Minimum Basic Operations at other businesses; or to maintain Essential Governmental Functions.**
- 9. This Order is issued based on evidence of continued significant community transmission of COVID-19 within the County and throughout the Bay Area; continued uncertainty regarding the degree of undetected asymptomatic transmission; scientific evidence and best practices regarding the most effective approaches to slow the transmission of communicable diseases generally and COVID-19 specifically; evidence that the age, condition, and health of a significant portion of the population of the County places it at risk for serious health complications, including death, from COVID-19; and further evidence that others, including younger and otherwise healthy people, are also at risk for serious outcomes. Due to the outbreak of the COVID-19 disease in the general public, which is now a pandemic according to the World Health Organization, there is a public health emergency throughout the County. Making the problem worse, some individuals who contract the virus causing the COVID-19 disease have no symptoms or have mild symptoms, which means they may not be aware they carry the virus and are transmitting it to others. Further, evidence shows that the virus can survive for hours to days on surfaces and be indirectly transmitted between individuals. Because even people without symptoms can transmit the infection, and because evidence shows the infection is easily spread, gatherings and other direct or indirect interpersonal interactions can result in preventable transmission of the virus.**



ORDER OF THE HEALTH OFFICER No. C19-07e

- 10. The collective efforts taken to date regarding this public health emergency have slowed the virus' trajectory, but the emergency and the attendant risk to public health remain significant. As of May 31, 2020, there are 2,558 confirmed cases of COVID-19 in the County (up from 37 on March 16, 2020, just before the first shelter-in-place order) as well as at least 42 deaths (up from 1 death on March 17, 2020). The cumulative number of confirmed cases continues to increase, though the rate of increase has slowed in the weeks leading up to this Order. Evidence suggests that the restrictions on mobility and social distancing requirements imposed by the Prior Order (and the orders that preceded it) are slowing the rate of increase in community transmission and confirmed cases by limiting interactions among people, consistent with scientific evidence of the efficacy of similar measures in other parts of the country and world.**
- 11. The local health officers who jointly issued the Prior Order are monitoring several key indicators ("COVID-19 Indicators"), which are among the many factors informing their decisions whether to modify existing shelter-in-place restrictions. Progress on some of these COVID-19 Indicators—specifically related to hospital utilization and capacity—makes it appropriate, at this time, to allow certain Additional Businesses to resume operations and Additional Activities to take place under specified conditions, as set forth in Sections 15.n and 15.o. But the continued prevalence of the virus that causes COVID-19 requires most activities and business functions to remain restricted, and those activities that are allowed to occur must do so subject to social distancing and other infection control practices identified by the Health Officer. Evaluation of the COVID-19 Indicators will be critical to determinations by the local health officers regarding whether the restrictions imposed by this Order will be further modified to ease or tighten the restrictions imposed by this Order and augment, limit, or prohibit the Additional Businesses and Additional Activities allowed to resume. The Health Officer will continually review whether modifications to the Order are warranted based on (1) progress on the COVID-19 Indicators; (2) developments in epidemiological and diagnostic methods for tracing, diagnosing, treating, or testing for COVID-19; and (3) scientific understanding of the transmission dynamics and clinical impact of COVID-19. The COVID-19 Indicators include, but are not limited to, the following:**

 - a. The capacity of hospitals and the health system in the County and region, including acute care beds and Intensive Care Unit beds, to provide care for COVID-19 patients and other patients, including during a surge in COVID-19 cases.**
 - b. The supply of personal protective equipment (PPE) available for hospital staff and other healthcare providers and personnel who need PPE to safely respond to and treat COVID-19 patients.**
 - c. The ability and capacity to quickly and accurately test persons to determine whether they are COVID-19 positive, especially those in vulnerable**



ORDER OF THE HEALTH OFFICER No. C19-07e

populations or high-risk settings or occupations.

- d. The ability to conduct case investigation and contact tracing for the volume of cases and associated contacts that will continue to occur, isolating confirmed cases and quarantining persons who have had contact with confirmed cases.
12. The scientific evidence shows that at this stage of the emergency, it remains essential to continue to slow virus transmission to help (a) protect the most vulnerable; (b) prevent the health care system from being overwhelmed; (c) prevent long-term chronic health conditions, such as cardiovascular, kidney, and respiratory damage and loss of limbs from blood clotting; and (d) prevent deaths. Continuation of the Prior Order is necessary to slow the spread of the COVID-19 disease, preserving critical and limited healthcare capacity in the County and advancing toward a point in the public health emergency where transmission can be controlled. At the same time, since the Prior Order was issued the County has continued to make progress in expanding health system capacity and healthcare resources and in slowing community transmission of COVID-19. In light of progress on these indicators, and subject to continued monitoring and potential public health-based responses, in addition to those already allowed to operate under the Prior Order as Essential Businesses and Outdoor Businesses, it is appropriate at this time to begin allowing operation of specified Additional Businesses. These businesses are identified based on health-related considerations and transmission risk factors including, but not limited to, the intensity and quantity of contacts and the ability to substantially mitigate transmission risks associated with the operations.
 13. This Order is issued in accordance with, and incorporates by reference, the March 4, 2020 Proclamation of a State of Emergency issued by Governor Gavin Newsom, the March 12, 2020 Executive Order (Executive Order N-25-20) issued by Governor Gavin Newsom, the February 25, 2020 Proclamation by the Mayor Declaring the Existence of a Local Emergency issued by Mayor London Breed, as supplemented on March 11, 2020, the March 6, 2020 Declaration of Local Health Emergency Regarding Novel Coronavirus 2019 (COVID-19) issued by the Health Officer, and guidance issued by the California Department of Public Health, as each of them have been and may be supplemented.
 14. This Order is also issued in light of the March 19, 2020 Order of the State Public Health Officer (the "State Shelter Order"), which set baseline statewide restrictions on non-residential business activities, effective until further notice, and the Governor's March 19, 2020 Executive Order N-33-20 directing California residents to follow the State Shelter Order. The May 4, 2020 Executive Order issued by Governor Newsom and May 7, 2020 Order of the State Public Health Officer permit certain businesses to reopen if a local health officer believes the conditions in that jurisdictions warrant it, but expressly acknowledge the authority of local health officers to establish and implement public health measures within their respective



ORDER OF THE HEALTH OFFICER No. C19-07e

jurisdictions that are more restrictive than those implemented by the State Public Health Officer. This Order adopts in certain respects more stringent restrictions addressing the particular facts and circumstances in this County, which are necessary to control the public health emergency as it is evolving within the County and the Bay Area. Without this tailored set of restrictions that further reduces the number of interactions between persons, scientific evidence indicates that the public health crisis in the County will worsen to the point at which it may overtake available health care resources within the County and increase the death rate. Also, this Order enumerates additional restrictions on non-work-related travel not covered by the State Shelter Order; sets forth mandatory Social Distancing Requirements for all individuals in the County when engaged in activities outside their residences; and adds a mechanism to ensure that all businesses with facilities that are allowed to operate under the Order comply with the Social Distancing Requirements. Where a conflict exists between this Order and any state public health order related to the COVID-19 pandemic, the most restrictive provision controls. Consistent with California Health and Safety Code section 131080 and the Health Officer Practice Guide for Communicable Disease Control in California, except where the State Health Officer may issue an order expressly directed at this Order and based on a finding that a provision of this Order constitutes a menace to public health, any more restrictive measures in this Order continue to apply and control in this County. In addition, to the extent any federal guidelines allow activities that are not allowed by this Order, this Order controls and those activities are not allowed.

15. Definitions and Exemptions.

- a. For the purposes of this Order, individuals may leave their residence only to perform the following “Essential Activities.” But people at high risk of severe illness from COVID-19 and people who are sick are strongly urged to stay in their residence to the extent possible, except as necessary to seek or provide medical care or Essential Governmental Functions. Essential Activities are:
 - i. To engage in activities or perform tasks important to their health and safety, or to the health and safety of their family or household members (including pets), such as, by way of example only and without limitation, obtaining medical supplies or medication, or visiting a health care professional.
 - ii. To obtain necessary services or supplies for themselves and their family or household members, or to deliver those services or supplies to others, such as, by way of example only and without limitation, canned food, dry goods, fresh fruits and vegetables, pet supply, fresh meats, fish, and poultry, and any other household consumer products, or products necessary to maintain the habitability, sanitation, and



ORDER OF THE HEALTH OFFICER No. C19-07e

operation of residences.

- iii. **To engage in outdoor recreation activity, including, by way of example and without limitation, walking, hiking, bicycling, and running, in compliance with Social Distancing Requirements and with the following limitations:**
 - 1. **Outdoor recreation activity at parks, beaches, and other open spaces must comply with any restrictions on access and use established by the Health Officer, government, or other entity that manages such area to reduce crowding and risk of transmission of COVID-19. Such restrictions may include, but are not limited to, restricting the number of entrants, closing the area to vehicular access and parking, or closure to all public access;**
 - 2. **Except as otherwise provided in Appendix C-2, use of outdoor recreational areas and facilities with high-touch equipment or that encourage gathering, including, but not limited to, playgrounds, gym equipment, climbing walls, picnic areas, dog parks, pools, spas, and barbecue areas, is prohibited outside of residences, and all such areas shall be closed to public access including by signage and, as appropriate, by physical barriers;**
 - 3. **Except as otherwise provided in Appendix C-2, sports or activities that include the use of shared equipment or physical contact between participants may only be engaged in by members of the same household or living unit; and**
 - 4. **Use of shared outdoor facilities for recreational activities that may occur outside of residences consistent with the restrictions set forth in subsections 1, 2, and 3, above, including, but not limited to, golf courses, skate parks, and athletic fields, must, before they may begin, comply with social distancing and health/safety protocols posted at the site and any other restrictions, including prohibitions, on access and use established by the Health Officer, government, or other entity that manages such area to reduce crowding and risk of transmission of COVID-19.**
- iv. **To perform work for or access an Essential Business, Outdoor Business, or Additional Business; or to otherwise carry out activities specifically permitted in this Order, including Minimum Basic Operations, as defined in this Section.**



ORDER OF THE HEALTH OFFICER No. C19-07e

- v. To provide necessary care for a family member or pet in another household who has no other source of care.
 - vi. To attend a funeral with no more than 10 individuals present.
 - vii. To move residences. When moving into or out of the Bay Area region, individuals are strongly urged to quarantine for 14 days. To quarantine, individuals should follow the guidance of the United States Centers for Disease Control and Prevention.
 - viii. To engage in Additional Activities, as specified in Appendix C-2.
- b. For the purposes of this Order, individuals may leave their residence to work for, volunteer at, or obtain services at “Healthcare Operations,” including, without limitation, hospitals, clinics, COVID-19 testing locations, dentists, pharmacies, blood banks and blood drives, pharmaceutical and biotechnology companies, other healthcare facilities, healthcare suppliers, home healthcare services providers, mental health providers, or any related and/or ancillary healthcare services. “Healthcare Operations” also includes veterinary care and all healthcare services provided to animals. This exemption for Healthcare Operations shall be construed broadly to avoid any interference with the delivery of healthcare, broadly defined. “Healthcare Operations” excludes fitness and exercise gyms and similar facilities.
- c. For the purposes of this Order, individuals may leave their residence to provide any services or perform any work necessary to the operation and maintenance of “Essential Infrastructure,” including airports, utilities (including water, sewer, gas, and electrical), oil refining, roads and highways, public transportation, solid waste facilities (including collection, removal, disposal, recycling, and processing facilities), cemeteries, mortuaries, crematoriums, and telecommunications systems (including the provision of essential global, national, and local infrastructure for internet, computing services, business infrastructure, communications, and web-based services).
- d. For the purposes of this Order, all first responders, emergency management personnel, emergency dispatchers, court personnel, and law enforcement personnel, and others who need to perform essential services are categorically exempt from this Order to the extent they are performing those essential services. Further, nothing in this Order shall prohibit any individual from performing or accessing “Essential Governmental Functions,” as determined by the governmental entity performing those functions in the County. Each governmental entity shall identify and designate appropriate personnel, volunteers, or contractors to continue providing and carrying out any Essential Governmental Functions, including the hiring or retention of new personnel or contractors to perform such



ORDER OF THE HEALTH OFFICER No. C19-07e

functions. Each governmental entity and its contractors must employ all necessary emergency protective measures to prevent, mitigate, respond to, and recover from the COVID-19 pandemic, and all Essential Governmental Functions shall be performed in compliance with Social Distancing Requirements to the greatest extent feasible.

- e. For the purposes of this Order, a “business” includes any for-profit, non-profit, or educational entity, whether a corporate entity, organization, partnership or sole proprietorship, and regardless of the nature of the service, the function it performs, or its corporate or entity structure.**
- f. For the purposes of this Order, “Essential Businesses” are:**
 - i. Healthcare Operations and businesses that operate, maintain, or repair Essential Infrastructure;**
 - ii. Grocery stores, certified farmers’ markets, farm and produce stands, supermarkets, food banks, convenience stores, and other establishments engaged in the retail sale of unprepared food, canned food, dry goods, non-alcoholic beverages, fresh fruits and vegetables, pet supply, fresh meats, fish, and poultry, as well as hygienic products and household consumer products necessary for personal hygiene or the habitability, sanitation, or operation of residences. The businesses included in this subsection (ii) include establishments that sell multiple categories of products provided that they sell a significant amount of essential products identified in this subsection, such as liquor stores that also sell a significant amount of food;**
 - iii. Food cultivation, including farming, livestock, and fishing;**
 - iv. Businesses that provide food, shelter, and social services, and other necessities of life for economically disadvantaged or otherwise needy individuals;**
 - v. Construction, but only as permitted under the State Shelter Order and only pursuant to the Construction Safety Protocols listed in Appendix B and incorporated into this Order by this reference. City public works projects shall also be subject to Appendix B, except if other protocols are specified by the Health Officer;**
 - vi. Newspapers, television, radio, and other media services;**
 - vii. Gas stations and auto-supply, auto-repair (including, but not limited to, for cars, trucks, motorcycles and motorized scooters), and automotive dealerships, but only for the purpose of providing auto-**



ORDER OF THE HEALTH OFFICER No. C19-07e

supply and auto-repair services. This subsection (vii) does not restrict the on-line purchase of automobiles if they are delivered to a residence or Essential Business;

- viii. Bicycle repair and supply shops;
- ix. Banks and related financial institutions;
- x. Service providers that enable real estate transactions (including rentals, leases, and home sales), including, but not limited to, real estate agents, escrow agents, notaries, and title companies, provided that appointments and other residential real estate viewings must only occur virtually or, if a virtual viewing is not feasible, by appointment with no more than two visitors at a time residing within the same household or living unit and one individual showing the unit (except that in person visits are not allowed when the occupant is present in the residence);
- xi. Hardware stores;
- xii. Plumbers, electricians, exterminators, and other service providers who provide services that are necessary to maintaining the habitability, sanitation, or operation of residences and Essential Businesses;
- xiii. Businesses providing mailing and shipping services, including post office boxes;
- xiv. Educational institutions—including public and private K-12 schools, colleges, and universities—for purposes of facilitating distance learning or performing essential functions, or as allowed under subsection (xxvi), provided that social distancing of six feet per person is maintained to the greatest extent possible;
- xv. Laundromats, drycleaners, and laundry service providers;
- xvi. Restaurants and other facilities that prepare and serve food, but only for delivery or carry out. Schools and other entities that typically provide free food services to students or members of the public may continue to do so under this Order on the condition that the food is provided to students or members of the public on a pick-up and take-away basis only. Schools and other entities that provide food services under this exemption shall not permit the food to be eaten at the site where it is provided, or at any other gathering site;



ORDER OF THE HEALTH OFFICER No. C19-07e

- xvii. Funeral home providers, mortuaries, cemeteries, and crematoriums, to the extent necessary for the transport, preparation, or processing of bodies or remains;**
- xviii. Businesses that supply other Essential Businesses and Outdoor Businesses with the support or supplies necessary to operate, but only to the extent that they support or supply these businesses. This exemption shall not be used as a basis for engaging in sales to the general public from retail storefronts;**
- xix. Businesses that have the primary function of shipping or delivering groceries, food, or other goods directly to residences or businesses. This exemption shall not be used to allow for manufacturing or assembly of non-essential products or for other functions besides those necessary to the delivery operation;**
- xx. Airlines, taxis, rental car companies, rideshare services (including shared bicycles and scooters), and other private transportation providers providing transportation services necessary for Essential Activities and other purposes expressly authorized in this Order;**
- xxi. Home-based care for seniors, adults, children, and pets;**
- xxii. Residential facilities and shelters for seniors, adults, and children;**
- xxiii. Professional services, such as legal, notary, or accounting services, when necessary to assist in compliance with non-elective, legally required activities or in relation to death or incapacity;**
- xxiv. Services to assist individuals in finding employment with Essential Businesses;**
- xxv. Moving services that facilitate residential or commercial moves that are allowed under this Order; and**
- xxvi. Childcare establishments and other educational or recreational institutions or programs providing care or supervision for children of all ages (with the exception of summer camps, which are addressed separately in Appendix C-1) that enable owners, employees, volunteers, and contractors for Essential Businesses, Essential Governmental Functions, Outdoor Businesses, Additional Businesses, or Minimum Basic Operations to work as allowed under this Order. To the extent possible, these operations must comply with the following conditions:**



ORDER OF THE HEALTH OFFICER No. C19-07e

1. They must be carried out in stable groups of 12 or fewer children (“stable” means that the same 12 or fewer children are in the same group each day).
 2. Children shall not change from one group to another.
 3. If more than one group of children is at one facility, each group shall be in a separate room. Groups shall not mix with each other.
 4. Providers or educators shall remain solely with one group of children.
- g. For the purposes of this Order, “Minimum Basic Operations” means the following activities for businesses, provided that owners, personnel, and contractors comply with Social Distancing Requirements as defined this Section, to the extent possible, while carrying out such operations:
- i. The minimum necessary activities to maintain and protect the value of the business’s inventory and facilities; ensure security, safety, and sanitation; process payroll and employee benefits; provide for the delivery of existing inventory directly to residences or businesses; and related functions. For clarity, this section does not permit businesses to provide curbside pickup to customers.
 - ii. The minimum necessary activities to facilitate owners, personnel, and contractors of the business being able to continue to work remotely from their residences, and to ensure that the business can deliver its service remotely.
- h. For the purposes of this Order, all businesses that are operating at facilities in the County visited or used by the public or personnel must, as a condition of such operation, prepare and post a “Social Distancing Protocol” for each of these facilities; provided, however, that construction activities shall instead comply with the Construction Project Safety Protocols set forth in Appendix B and not the Social Distancing Protocol. The Social Distancing Protocol must be substantially in the form attached to this Order as Appendix A, and it must be updated from prior versions to address new requirements listed in this Order or in related guidance or directives from the Health Officer. The Social Distancing Protocol must be posted at or near the entrance of the relevant facility, and shall be easily viewable by the public and personnel. A copy of the Social Distancing Protocol must also be provided to each person performing work at the facility. All businesses subject to this paragraph shall implement the Social Distancing Protocol and provide evidence of its implementation to any authority enforcing this Order upon demand. The



ORDER OF THE HEALTH OFFICER No. C19-07e

Social Distancing Protocol must explain how the business is achieving the following, as applicable:

- i. Limiting the number of people who can enter into the facility at any one time to ensure that people in the facility can easily maintain a minimum six-foot distance from one another at all times, except as required to complete Essential Business activity;**
 - ii. Requiring face coverings to be worn by all persons entering the facility, other than those exempted from face covering requirements (e.g., young children);**
 - iii. Where lines may form at a facility, marking six-foot increments at a minimum, establishing where individuals should stand to maintain adequate social distancing;**
 - iv. Providing hand sanitizer, soap and water, or effective disinfectant at or near the entrance of the facility and in other appropriate areas for use by the public and personnel, and in locations where there is high-frequency employee interaction with members of the public (e.g., cashiers);**
 - v. Providing for contactless payment systems or, if not feasible to do so, the providing for disinfecting all payment portals, pens, and styluses after each use;**
 - vi. Regularly disinfecting other high-touch surfaces;**
 - vii. Posting a sign at the entrance of the facility informing all personnel and customers that they should: avoid entering the facility if they have any COVID-19 symptoms; maintain a minimum six-foot distance from one another; sneeze and cough into their own elbow; and not shake hands or engage in any unnecessary physical contact; and**
 - viii. Any additional social distancing measures being implemented (see the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention's guidance at: <https://www.cdc.gov/coronavirus/2019-ncov/community/guidance-business-response.html>).**
- i. For the purposes of this Order, "Essential Travel" means travel for any of the following purposes:**
- i. Travel related to the provision of or access to Essential Activities, Essential Governmental Functions, Essential Businesses, Minimum**



ORDER OF THE HEALTH OFFICER No. C19-07e

Basic Operations, Outdoor Activities, Outdoor Businesses, Additional Activities, and Additional Businesses.

- ii. **Travel to care for any elderly, minors, dependents, or persons with disabilities.**
- iii. **Travel to or from educational institutions for purposes of receiving materials for distance learning, for receiving meals, and any other related services.**
- iv. **Travel to return to a place of residence from outside the County.**
- v. **Travel required by law enforcement or court order.**
- vi. **Travel required for non-residents to return to their place of residence outside the County. Individuals are strongly encouraged to verify that their transportation out of the County remains available and functional prior to commencing such travel.**
- vii. **Travel to manage after-death arrangements and burial.**
- viii. **Travel to arrange for shelter or avoid homelessness.**
- ix. **Travel to avoid domestic violence or child abuse.**
- x. **Travel for parental custody arrangements.**
- xi. **Travel to a place to temporarily reside in a residence or other facility to avoid potentially exposing others to COVID-19, such as a hotel or other facility provided by a governmental authority for such purposes.**
- j. **For purposes of this Order, “residences” include hotels, motels, shared rental units, and similar facilities. Residences also include living structures and outdoor spaces associated with those living structures, such as patios, porches, backyards, and front yards that are only accessible to a single family or household unit.**
- k. **For purposes of this Order, “Social Distancing Requirements” means:**
 - i. **Maintaining at least six-foot social distancing from individuals who are not part of the same household or living unit;**
 - ii. **Frequently washing hands with soap and water for at least 20 seconds, or using hand sanitizer that is recognized by the Centers for**



ORDER OF THE HEALTH OFFICER No. C19-07e

Disease Control and Prevention as effective in combatting COVID-19;

- iii. Covering coughs and sneezes with a tissue or fabric or, if not possible, into the sleeve or elbow (but not into hands);**
- iv. Wearing a face covering when out in public, consistent with the orders or guidance of the Health Officer; and**
- v. Avoiding all social interaction outside the household when sick with a fever, cough, or other COVID-19 symptoms.**

All individuals must strictly comply with Social Distancing Requirements, except to the limited extent necessary to provide care (including childcare, adult or senior care, care to individuals with special needs, and patient care); as necessary to carry out the work of Essential Businesses, Essential Governmental Functions, or provide for Minimum Basic Operations; or as otherwise expressly provided in this Order. Outdoor Activities, Outdoor Businesses, Additional Activities, and Additional Businesses must strictly adhere to these Social Distancing Requirements.

***l.* For purposes of this Order, “Outdoor Businesses” means:**

- i. The following businesses that normally operated primarily outdoors prior to March 16, 2020 and where there is the ability to fully maintain social distancing of at least six feet between all persons:**
 - 1. Businesses primarily operated outdoors, such as wholesale and retail plant nurseries, agricultural operations, and garden centers.**
 - 2. Service providers that primarily provide outdoor services, such as landscaping and gardening services, and environmental site remediation services.**

For clarity, “Outdoor Businesses” do not include outdoor restaurants, cafes, or bars. Except as otherwise provided in Appendix C, they also do not include businesses that promote large, coordinated, and prolonged gatherings, such as outdoor concert venues and amusement parks.

***m.* For purposes of this Order, “Outdoor Activities” means:**

- i. To obtain goods, services, or supplies from, or perform work for, an Outdoor Business.**



ORDER OF THE HEALTH OFFICER No. C19-07e

- ii. To engage in outdoor recreation as permitted in Section 15.a.
- n. For purposes of this Order, “Additional Business” means any business, entity, or other organization identified as an Additional Business in Appendix C-1, which will be updated as warranted based on the Health Officer’s ongoing evaluation of the COVID-19 Indicators and other data. In addition to the other requirements in this Order, operation of those Additional Businesses is subject to any conditions and health and safety requirements set forth in Appendix C-1 and in any industry-specific guidance issued by the Health Officer.
- o. For purposes of this Order, “Additional Activities” means:
 - i. To obtain goods, services, or supplies from, or perform work for, Additional Businesses identified in Appendix C-1, subject to requirements in this Order, and any conditions and health and safety requirements set forth in this Order or in any industry-specific guidance issued by the Health Officer.
 - ii. To engage in outdoor recreation activities or other activities set forth in Appendix C-2, subject to any conditions and health and safety requirements set forth there.
- 16. Government agencies and other entities operating shelters and other facilities that house or provide meals or other necessities of life for individuals experiencing homelessness must take appropriate steps to help ensure compliance with Social Distancing Requirements, including adequate provision of hand sanitizer. Also, individuals experiencing homelessness who are unsheltered and living in encampments should, to the maximum extent feasible, abide by 12 foot by 12 foot distancing for the placement of tents, and government agencies should provide restroom and hand washing facilities for individuals in such encampments as set forth in Centers for Disease Control and Prevention Interim Guidance Responding to Coronavirus 2019 (COVID-19) Among People Experiencing Unsheltered Homelessness (<https://www.cdc.gov/coronavirus/2019-ncov/need-extra-precautions/unsheltered-homelessness.html>).
- 17. Pursuant to Government Code sections 26602 and 41601 and Health and Safety Code section 101029, the Health Officer requests that the Sheriff and the Chief of Police in the County ensure compliance with and enforce this Order. The violation of any provision of this Order constitutes an imminent threat and menace to public health, constitutes a public nuisance, and is punishable by fine, imprisonment, or both.
- 18. This Order shall become effective at 12:00 p.m. on May 22, 2020 and will continue to be in effect until it is rescinded, superseded, or amended in writing by the Health



ORDER OF THE HEALTH OFFICER No. C19-07e

Officer.

- 19. Effective as of 12:00 p.m. on May 22, 2020, this Order revises and replaces Order Number C19-07d, issued May 17, 2020. This Order also extends Order Nos. C19-01b (prohibiting visitors at Laguna Honda Hospital and Rehabilitation Center and Unit 4A at Zuckerberg San Francisco General Hospital), C19-03 (prohibiting visitors to specific residential facilities), C19-04 (imposing cleaning standards for residential hotels), C19-06 (prohibiting visitors to general acute care hospitals and acute psychiatric hospitals), C19-09 (prohibiting visitors to residential care facilities for the elderly, adult residential facilities, and residential facilities for the chronically ill), and C19-11 (placing Laguna Honda Hospital and Rehabilitation Center under protective quarantine) without any further need to amend those orders, with those listed orders otherwise remaining in effect until the specific listed order or this Order is extended, rescinded, superseded, or amended in writing by the Health Officer. This Order does not prohibit amendment of those orders separately. This Order also does not affect Order Nos. C19-08b (limiting routine appointments and elective surgeries and encouraging delivery of prescriptions and cannabis products), C19-10 (requiring reporting by labs of COVID-19 testing information), C19-12 (requiring face coverings), and C19-13 (regarding testing, reporting, and cooperation at skilled nursing facilities), which continue indefinitely as provided in those respective orders until each of them is extended, rescinded, superseded, or amended in writing by the Health Officer.**
- 20. The County must promptly provide copies of this Order as follows: (1) by posting on the City Administrator's website (www.sfgsa.org) and the Department of Public Health website (www.sfdph.org); (2) by posting at City Hall, located at 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Pl., San Francisco, CA 94102; and (3) by providing to any member of the public requesting a copy. In addition, the owner, manager, or operator of any facility that is likely to be impacted by this Order is strongly encouraged to post a copy of this Order onsite and to provide a copy to any member of the public asking for a copy.**
- 21. If any provision of this Order or its application to any person or circumstance is held to be invalid, the remainder of the Order, including the application of such part or provision to other persons or circumstances, shall not be affected and shall continue in full force and effect. To this end, the provisions of this Order are severable.**

IT IS SO ORDERED:

Tomás J. Aragón, MD, DrPH,
Health Officer of the
City and County of San Francisco

Dated: May 22, 2020 (updated June 1, 2020)



**City and County of
San Francisco**

**Department of Public Health
Order of the Health Officer**

ORDER OF THE HEALTH OFFICER No. C19-07e

Attachments: Appendix A – Social Distancing Protocol (revised 6/1/2020)
Appendix B-1 – Small Construction Project Safety Protocol
Appendix B-2 – Large Construction Project Safety Protocol
Appendix C-1 – Additional Businesses (June 1, 2020)
Appendix C-2 – Additional Activities (June 1, 2020)

Each business operating in San Francisco must complete, post onsite, and follow this Social Distancing Protocol. See the attached **Instructions and Requirements** for details on what is required and how to complete this checklist.

Check off all items below that apply and list other required information.

Business/Entity name:

Contact name:

Facility Address:

Contact telephone:

(You may contact the person listed above with any questions or comments about this protocol.)

SIGNAGE & EDUCATION

- ☐ Post signage at each public entrance of the facility requiring of everyone:
 - (1) avoid entering if experiencing COVID-19 symptoms;
 - (2) maintain a minimum six-foot distance from others in line and in the facility; and
 - (3) wear a Face Covering
- ☐ Post a copy of this two-page Social Distancing Protocol checklist at each public entrance
- ☐ Post signage showing maximum number of patrons who can be in line and in the facility
- ☐ Educate Personnel about this Protocol and other COVID-19 related items

PROTECTIVE MEASURES

- ☐ Follow Sections 2.1 through 2.4 below, including:
 - ☐ Ensure Personnel stay home or leave work if they are sick
 - ☐ Provide a copy of the Attachment to Personnel to ensure they understand when to stay home; translated versions of the Attachment are available online
 - ☐ Ensure Personnel review health criteria before each shift and advise Personnel what to do if they are required to stay home
- ☐ Require Personnel and patrons to wear a face covering as required by Health Officer orders
- ☐ Implement a plan to keep site Personnel safe, including by limiting the number of Personnel and customers onsite to a number that ensures physical distancing and favoring allowing Personnel to carry out their duties from home when possible

MEASURES TO PREVENT UNNECESSARY CONTACT

- ☐ Tell Personnel and patrons to maintain physical distancing of at least six feet, except Personnel may momentarily come closer when necessary to accept payment, deliver goods or services, or as otherwise necessary
- ☐ Separate all desks or individual work stations by at least six feet
- ☐ Place markings in patron line areas to ensure six foot social distancing (inside and outside)
- ☐ Provide for contactless payment systems or, if not feasible, disinfect payment systems regularly. Describe:
- ☐ Maintain Plexiglas or other barriers between patrons and Personnel at point of payment (if not possible, then ensure at least six foot distance)

- ☐ Limit the number of patrons in the store at any one time to: _____
- ☐ Separate order areas from delivery areas or similarly help distance patrons when possible
- ☐ Optional—Describe other measures:

SANITIZING MEASURES

- ☐ Regularly disinfect high touch areas, and do so continuously for surfaces patrons touch (countertops, payment systems, pens, and styluses)
- ☐ Provide disinfecting wipes that are effective against SARS-CoV-2 near shopping carts, shopping baskets, and high-touch surfaces and provide hand sanitizer
- ☐ Have Personnel disinfect carts and baskets after each use
- ☐ Provide hand sanitizer, soap and water, and/or disinfecting wipes to patrons and Personnel at or near the entrance of the facility, at checkout counters, and anywhere else where people have direct interactions
- ☐ Disinfect break rooms, bathrooms, and other common areas frequently, on the following schedule:
 - ☐ Break rooms:
 - ☐ Bathrooms:
 - ☐ Other:
- ☐ Prevent people from self-serving any items that are food-related:
 - ☐ Provide lids and utensils for food items by Personnel, not for patrons to grab
 - ☐ Limit access to bulk-item food bins to Personnel—no self-service use
- ☐ Prohibit patrons from bringing their own bags, coffee mugs, or other reusable items
- ☐ Prohibit Personnel from using shared food prep equipment for their own use (e.g., microwaves, water coolers), but microwaves may be used if disinfected between each use and hand sanitizer is available nearby
- ☐ Optional—Describe other measures (e.g., providing senior-only hours):

INDUSTRY-SPECIFIC DIRECTIVES

- ☐ Ensure that you have read and implemented the attached list of requirements.
- ☐ In addition to complying with the Social Distancing Protocol, many businesses must comply with additional, industry-specific directives. Go to www.sfdph.org/directives and check to see if your business is subject to one or more additional directives. For each one, you must review the Health and Safety Plan (HSP) requirements and post an additional two-page checklist for each one that applies. In the event that any directive changes the requirements of the Social Distancing Protocol, the more specific language of the directive controls, even if it is less restrictive. Check this box after you have checked the list and posted any other required HSP.

* Any additional measures may be listed on separate pages and attached.

[You are not required to post these Instructions and Requirements]**Instructions:**

The two-page Social Distancing Protocol above must reflect the business's completion of each requirement listed below unless an item is not applicable. Use the two-page checklist above to show compliance with these requirements. The business does not need to post these Instructions and Requirements, only the two-page checklist above.

Any business that has an existing Social Distancing Protocol must update its Social Distance Protocol to meet the requirements in this new version no later than June 15, 2020. Any other business that is implementing a Social Distancing Protocol for the first time on or after June 1, 2020 must comply with the new protocol before resuming operations.

Depending on the nature of the business covered by the Social Distancing Protocol, there are certain people associated with the business who are subject to this protocol. Collectively those people are referred to by this protocol, and any associated Health Officer directive, as "Personnel", and those people include all of the following who provide goods or services associated with the business in the City: employees; contractors and sub-contractors (such as those who sell goods or perform services onsite or who deliver goods for the business); independent contractors (such as "gig workers" who perform work via the business's app or other online interface, if any); vendors who are permitted to sell goods onsite (such as farmers or others who sell at stalls in farmers' markets); volunteers; and other individuals who regularly provide services onsite at the request of the business.

Requirements:

In addition to the items below, this protocol requires the business to ensure that Personnel who perform work associated with the business are addressed by the Social Distancing Protocol and comply with those requirements. Each business is required to take certain steps in the protocol related to its Personnel, including the actions listed in Sections 2.1 through 2.4 below if Personnel are sick. Each business is prohibited from taking any adverse action against any Personnel for staying home in the circumstances listed in Sections 2.1 through 2.4 below. Personnel of each business are prohibited from coming to work if they are sick and must comply with the protocol, including the rules for returning to work listed in Sections 2.1 through 2.4 below.

1. Section 1 – Signage and Education:

- 1.1.** Post signage at each public entrance of the facility or location (if any) to inform all Personnel and customers that they must: avoid waiting in line or entering the facility or location if they have a cough or fever, maintain a minimum six-foot distance from one another while in line or in the facility or location, wear a face covering or barrier mask (a "Face Covering") at all times, and not shake hands or engage in any unnecessary physical contact. Criteria for Face Coverings and the requirements related to their use are set forth in Health Officer Order No. C19-12, issued on April 17, 2020 (the "Face Covering Order"). Sample signs are available online at <https://sf.gov/outreach-toolkit-coronavirus-covid-19>.
- 1.2.** Post a copy of the Social Distancing Protocol at each public entrance to the facility or location.
- 1.3.** Distribute to all Personnel copies of the Social Distancing Protocol.
- 1.4.** Educate all Personnel on the requirements of the Social Distancing Protocol and any other Health Officer directive that applies.

[You are not required to post these Instructions and Requirements]**2. Section 2 – Personnel and Customer Protection and Sanitation Requirements:**

- 2.1.** Instruct all Personnel orally and in writing not to come to work or the facility if they are sick.
- 2.2.** Provide a copy of the attachment to this Exhibit, titled “Information for Personnel (Employees, Contractors, Volunteers) of Additional Business and Other Businesses Permitted To Operate During the Health Emergency” (the “Attachment”), to all Personnel who regularly work at the facility or location in hardcopy format or electronically. PDF and translated versions of the Attachment can be found online at www.sfgdcp.org/covid19 (open the “Businesses and Employers” area of the “Information and Guidance for the Public” section). If the Attachment is updated, provide an updated copy to all Personnel.
- 2.3.** Review the criteria listed in Part 1 of the Attachment on a daily basis with all Personnel in the City who regularly work at the facility or location before each person enters work spaces or begins a shift. If such a review is not feasible because the business does not directly interact with some Personnel onsite daily, then that business must for those Personnel (1) instruct such Personnel to review the criteria before each shift in the City and (2) have such Personnel report to the business that they are okay to begin the shift such as through an app, website, or phone call.

Instruct any Personnel who answered yes to any question in Part 1 of the Attachment to return home or not come to work and follow the directions on the Attachment.

- 2.4.** Instruct Personnel who stayed home or who went home based on the criteria listed on the Attachment that they must follow the criteria as well as any applicable requirements from the quarantine and isolation directives (available online at www.sfdph.org/dph/alerts/coronavirus-healthorders.asp) before returning to work. If they are required to self-quarantine or self-isolate, they may only return to work after they have completed self-quarantine or self-isolation. If they test negative for the virus (no virus found), they may only return to work after waiting for the amount of time listed on the Attachment after their symptoms have resolved. Personnel are not required to provide a medical clearance letter to return to work as long as they have met the requirements outlined on the Attachment.
- 2.5.** In the coming weeks the Department of Public Health may issue guidelines requiring businesses to comply with COVID-19 testing requirements for employers and businesses. Periodically, check the following website for any testing requirements for employers and businesses: www.sfgdcp.org/covid19. If requirements are added, ensure that the business and all Personnel comply with testing requirements.
- 2.6.** If an aspect of the business is allowed to operate and is covered by a Health Officer directive, then the business must comply with all applicable directives as well as this Social Distancing Protocol. Copies of other directives are available online at www.sfdph.org/directives. For each directive that applies, review the Health and Safety Plan (HSP) requirements and post an additional two-page checklist for each one that applies. In the event that any directive changes the requirements of the Social Distancing Protocol, the more specific language of the directive controls, even if it is less restrictive.
- 2.7.** Instruct all Personnel and customers to maintain at least six-foot distance from others, including when in line and when shopping or collecting goods on behalf of customers, except when momentarily necessary to facilitate or accept payment and hand off items or deliver goods. Note that if the business cannot ensure maintenance of a six-foot distance within the business or facility between Personnel or other people onsite, such as by moving work

[You are not required to post these Instructions and Requirements]

stations or spreading Personnel out, it must reduce the number of Personnel permitted in the business or facility accordingly.

- 2.8. Provide Face Coverings for all Personnel, with instructions that they must wear Face Coverings at all times when at work, as further set forth in the Face Covering Order. A sample sign is available online at <https://sf.gov/outreach-toolkit-coronavirus-covid-19>. Allow Personnel to bring their own Face Covering if they bring one that has been cleaned before the shift. In general, people should have multiple Face Coverings (whether reusable or disposable) to ensure they use a clean one each day. The Face Covering Order permits certain exceptions, and the business should be aware of those exceptions (for example, children 12 years old or younger or based on a written medical excuse). When Personnel do not wear a Face Covering because of an exception, take steps to otherwise increase safety for all.
- 2.9. If customers wait in line outside or inside any business or location operated by the business, require customers to wear a Face Covering while waiting in line outside or inside the business or location. This includes taking steps to notify customers they will not be served if they are in line without a Face Covering and refusing to serve a customer without a Face Covering, as further provided in the Face Covering Order. The business may provide a clean Face Covering to customers while in line. For clarity, the transaction must be aborted if the customer is not wearing a Face Covering. But the business must permit a customer to obtain service who is excused by the Face Covering Order from wearing a Face Covering, including by taking steps that can otherwise increase safety for all.
- 2.10. Provide a sink with soap, water, and paper towels for handwashing, for all Personnel working onsite at the facility or location and for customers if sinks and restrooms are open to customers. Require that all Personnel wash hands at least at the start and end of each shift, after sneezing, coughing, eating, drinking, smoking (to the extent smoking is allowed by law and the business), or using the restroom, when changing tasks, and, when possible, frequently during each shift. Personnel who work off-site, such as driving or delivering goods, must be required to use hand sanitizer throughout their shift.
- 2.11. Provide hand sanitizer effective against COVID-19 at appropriate locations for customers and elsewhere at the business or facility for Personnel. Sanitizer must also be provided to Personnel who shop, deliver, or drive for use when they are shopping, delivering, or driving. If sanitizer cannot be obtained, a handwashing station with soap, water, and paper towels will suffice for Personnel who are on-site at the business. But for Personnel who shop, deliver, or drive in relation to their work, the business must provide hand sanitizer effective against COVID-19 at all times; for any period during which the business does not provide sanitizer to such shopping, delivery, or driving Personnel, the business is not allowed for that aspect of its service to operate in the City. Information on hand sanitizer, including sanitizer effective against COVID-19 and how to obtain sanitizer, is available online from the Food and Drug Administration here: <https://www.fda.gov/drugs/information-drug-class/ga-consumers-hand-sanitizers-and-covid-19>.
- 2.12. Provide disinfectant and related supplies to Personnel and require Personnel to sanitize all high-touch surfaces under their control, including but not limited to: shopping carts and baskets used by Personnel and customers; countertops, food/item display cases, refrigerator and freezer case doors, drawers with tools or hardware, and check-out areas; cash registers, payment equipment, and self-check-out kiosks; door handles; tools and equipment used by Personnel during a shift; and any inventory-tracking or delivery-tracking equipment or devices which require handling throughout a work shift. These items should be routinely disinfected during the course of the day, including as required below. A list of products listed by the

[You are not required to post these Instructions and Requirements]

United States Environmental Protection Agency as meeting criteria for use against the virus that causes COVID-19 can be found online here: <https://www.epa.gov/pesticide-registration/list-n-disinfectants-use-against-sars-cov-2>.

- 2.13. Ensure that all shared devices or equipment are cleaned and/or sanitized by Personnel on frequent schedules, not less than at the beginning and end of each Personnel member's work shift and during the shift.
- 2.14. Direct all Personnel to avoid touching unsanitized surfaces that may be frequently touched, such as door handles, tools, or credit cards, unless protective equipment such as gloves (provided by the business) are used and discarded after each use or hand sanitizer is used after each interaction.
- 2.15. Frequently disinfect any break rooms, bathrooms, and other common areas. Create and use a daily checklist to document each time disinfection of these rooms or areas occurs. Conspicuously post the checklist inside each respective break room, bathroom, or other common area clearly detailing the dates and times the room was last cleaned, disinfected, or restocked.
- 2.16. For any facility or location that has carts, baskets, or other equipment for use by Personnel, assign Personnel to disinfect carts, baskets, or other equipment after each use and take steps to prevent anyone from grabbing used carts, baskets, or other equipment before disinfection.
- 2.17. Establish adequate time in the work day to allow for proper cleaning and decontamination throughout the facility or location by Personnel including, but not limited to, before closing for the day and opening in the morning.
- 2.18. Suspend use of any microwaves, water coolers, drinking fountains, and other similar group equipment for breaks until further notice. Microwaves may be used if disinfected between each use and hand sanitizer is available nearby.
- 2.19. When possible, provide a barrier between the customer and the cashier such as a plexi-glass temporary barrier. When not possible, create sufficient space to enable the customer to stand more than six feet away from the cashier while items are being scanned/tallied and bagged.
- 2.20. Provide for contactless payment systems or, if not feasible, sanitize payment systems, including touch screens, payment portals, pens, and styluses, after each customer use. Customers may pay with cash but to further limit person-to-person contact, Personnel should encourage customers to use credit, debit, or gift cards for payment.
- 2.21. For any larger facility or location, appoint a designated sanitation worker at all times to continuously clean and sanitize commonly touched surfaces and meet the environmental cleaning guidelines set by the Center for Disease Control and Prevention.
- 2.22. If an employee or other Personnel tests positive for COVID-19, follow the guidance on "Business guidance if a staff member tests positive for COVID-19," available online at <https://sf.gov/business-guidance-if-staff-member-tests-positive-covid-19>.
- 2.23. Post signs to advise customers of the maximum line capacity to ensure that the maximum number of customers in line is not exceeded. Once the maximum number of customers is reached, customers should be advised to return later to prevent buildup of congestion in the line.

[You are not required to post these Instructions and Requirements]

- 2.24.** Place tape or other markings on the sidewalk or floor at least six feet apart in customer line areas with signs directing customers to use the markings to maintain distance.
- 2.25.** When stocking shelves, if any, ensure that Personnel wash or sanitize hands before placing items on shelves, making sure to again wash or sanitize hands if they become contaminated by touching face or hair or being exposed to other soiled surfaces.
- 2.26.** Ensure that all Personnel who select items on behalf of customers wear a Face Covering when selecting, packing, and/or delivering items.
- 2.27.** Require Personnel to wash hands frequently, including:
- When entering any kitchen or food preparation area
 - Before starting food preparation or handling
 - After touching their face, hair, or other areas of the body
 - After using the restroom
 - After coughing, sneezing, using a tissue, smoking, eating, or drinking
 - Before putting on gloves
 - After engaging in other activities that may contaminate the hands
- 2.28.** Assign Personnel to keep soap and paper towels stocked at sinks and handwashing stations at least every hour and to replenish other sanitizing products.

City and County of San Francisco Health Officer Order Appendix A - Attachment
Handout for Personnel (Employees, Contractors, Volunteers) of Essential Business and
Other Businesses Permitted to Operate During the Health Emergency (May 18, 2020)

Any business or entity that is subject to a Health Officer Directive to which this handout is attached (each "Business") must give a copy of this handout to Personnel who work in the City outside their household during this emergency. Go to www.sfgcdcp.org/covid19 for more info or a copy of this form.

All Personnel: If you work outside your household in the City during this local health emergency, you may qualify for a free test for the virus that causes COVID-19, even if you have no symptoms. Contact your healthcare provider or go to **CityTestSF** at <https://sf.gov/get-tested-covid-19-citytests> to sign up for a free test.

Part 1 – You must answer the following questions before starting your work every day that you work.

You may be required to provide the answers in person or via phone or other electronic means to the Business before the start of each shift. If any answers change while you are at work, notify the Business by phone and leave the workplace.

1. Within the last 10 days have you been diagnosed with COVID-19 or had a test confirming you have the virus?
2. Do you live in the same household with, or have you had **close contact*** with someone who in the past 14 days has been in isolation for COVID-19 or had a test confirming they have the virus?

If the answer to either question is "yes", do not go to work and follow the steps listed in **Part 2** below.

3. Have you had any one or more of these symptoms today or within the past 24 hours, which is new or not explained by another reason?

- | | |
|--|---------------------------|
| • Fever, Chills, or Repeated Shaking/Shivering | • Loss of Taste or Smell |
| • Cough | • Muscle pain |
| • Sore Throat | • Headache |
| • Shortness of Breath, Difficulty Breathing | • Runny or congested nose |
| • Feeling Unusually Weak or Fatigued | • Diarrhea |

If the answer to Question 3 is "yes", do not go to work and follow the steps listed in **Part 3** below.

Part 2 –

- If you answered **yes to Question 1**: you are subject to the Health Officer Isolation Directive. Do not go to work. **Follow Isolation Steps** at: <https://www.sfgcdcp.org/Isolation-Quarantine-Packet>
- If you answered **yes to Question 2**: you are subject to the Health Officer Quarantine Directive. Do not go to work. **Follow Quarantine Steps** at: <https://www.sfgcdcp.org/Isolation-Quarantine-Packet>
- Do not return to work until the Isolation or Quarantine Steps tell you it is safe to return!
- The meaning of ***Close Contact** is explained in this document: <https://www.sfgcdcp.org/Isolation-Quarantine-Packet>

Part 3 – If you answered yes to Question 3:

You may have COVID-19 and **must be tested for the virus** before returning to work. Without a test, the Business must treat you as being positive for COVID-19 and require you to stay out of work for at least **10** calendar days. In order to return to work sooner and to protect those around you, you must get tested for the virus. Follow these steps:

1. Contact your usual healthcare provider about getting tested for the virus, or sign up for free testing at CityTestSF <https://sf.gov/get-tested-covid-19-citytests>. If you live outside the City, you can check with the county where you live, get tested by your usual healthcare provider, or use CityTestSF.
2. Wait for your test results at home while minimizing exposure to those you live with. A good resource is <https://www.cdc.gov/coronavirus/2019-ncov/if-you-are-sick/steps-when-sick.html>
- If your result is positive (confirms that you have the virus) go to **Part 2** above and follow **Isolation Steps**.
- If your result is negative, do not return to work until you have had at least 3 days in a row without fever and with improvement in your other symptoms. Consult with your healthcare provider to decide.

If you have questions about any part of this Handout, please see FAQs at www.sfgcdcp.org/covid19 under "Isolation & Quarantine Directives" or call 3-1-1

ORDER OF THE HEALTH OFFICER No. C19-07e
Appendix B-1

Small Construction Project Safety Protocol (revised May 22, 2020)

1. Any construction project meeting any of the following specifications is subject to this Small Construction Project Safety Protocol (“SCP Protocol”), including public works projects unless otherwise specified by the Health Officer:
 - a. For residential projects, any single-family, multi-family, senior, student, or other residential construction, renovation, or remodel project consisting of 10 units or fewer. This SCP Protocol does not apply to construction projects where a person is performing construction on their current residence either alone or solely with members of their own household.
 - b. For commercial projects, any construction, renovation, or tenant improvement project consisting of 20,000 square feet of floor area or less.
 - c. For mixed-use projects, any project that meets both of the specifications in subsections 1.a and 1.b.
 - d. All other construction projects not subject to the Large Construction Project Safety Protocol set forth in Appendix B-2.
2. The following restrictions and requirements must be in place at all construction job sites subject to this SCP Protocol:
 - a. Comply with all applicable and current laws and regulations including but not limited to OSHA and Cal-OSHA. If there is any conflict, difference, or discrepancy between or among applicable laws and regulations and/or this SCP Protocol, the stricter standard shall apply.
 - b. Designate a site-specific COVID-19 supervisor or supervisors to enforce this guidance. A designated COVID-19 supervisor must be present on the construction site at all times during construction activities. A COVID-19 supervisor may be an on-site worker who is designated to serve in this role.
 - c. The COVID-19 supervisor must review this SCP Protocol with all workers and visitors to the construction site.
 - d. Establish a daily screening protocol for arriving staff to ensure that potentially infected staff do not enter the construction site. If workers leave the jobsite and return the same day, establish a cleaning and decontamination protocol prior to entry and exit of the jobsite. Post the daily screening protocol at all entrances and exits to the jobsite. More information on screening can be found online at: <https://www.cdc.gov/coronavirus/2019-ncov/community/index.html>.
 - e. Practice social distancing by maintaining a minimum six-foot distance between workers at all times, except as strictly necessary to carry out a task associated with the construction project.

ORDER OF THE HEALTH OFFICER No. C19-07e

Appendix B-1

- f. In the event of a confirmed case of COVID-19 at any jobsite, the following must take place:
 - i. Immediately remove the infected individual from the jobsite with directions to seek medical care.
 - ii. Decontaminate and sanitize all surfaces at each location at which the infected worker was present. Provide those performing the decontamination and sanitization work with medical-grade PPE, ensure the workers are trained in proper use of the PPE, require the workers to use the provided PPE, and prohibit any sharing of the PPE. Prohibit anyone from entering the possibly contaminated area, except those performing decontamination and sanitization work. Cease all work in these locations until decontamination and sanitization is complete.
 - iii. Notify the County Public Health Department Communicable Disease Control (CD Control) immediately at 415-554-2830. Follow all directives and complete any additional requirements by County health officials, including full compliance with any tracing efforts by the County.
- g. Where construction work occurs within an occupied residential unit, separate work areas must be sealed off from the remainder of the unit with physical barriers such as plastic sheeting or closed doors sealed with tape to the extent feasible. If possible, workers must access the work area from an alternative entry/exit door to the entry/exit door used by residents. Available windows and exhaust fans must be used to ventilate the work area. If residents have access to the work area between workdays, the work area must be cleaned and sanitized at the beginning and at the end of workdays. Every effort must be taken to minimize contact between workers and residents, including maintaining a minimum of six feet of social distancing at all times.
- h. Where construction work occurs within common areas of an occupied residential or commercial building or a mixed-use building in use by on-site employees or residents, separate work areas must be sealed off from the rest of the common areas with physical barriers such as plastic sheeting or closed doors sealed with tape to the extent feasible. If possible, workers must access the work area from an alternative building entry/exit door to the building entry/exit door used by residents or other users of the building. Every effort must be taken to minimize contact between worker and building residents and users, including maintaining a minimum of six feet of social distancing at all times.
- i. Prohibit gatherings of any size on the jobsite, including gatherings for breaks or eating, except for meetings regarding compliance with this protocol or as strictly necessary to carry out a task associated with the construction project.
- j. Cal-OSHA requires employers to provide water, which should be provided in single-serve containers. Sharing of any of any food or beverage is strictly prohibited and if sharing is observed, the worker must be sent home for the day.
- k. Provide personal protective equipment (PPE) specifically for use in construction, including gloves, goggles, face shields, and face coverings as appropriate for the activity being performed. At no time may a contractor secure or use medical-grade PPE unless required

ORDER OF THE HEALTH OFFICER No. C19-07e
Appendix B-1

due to the medical nature of a jobsite. Face coverings must be worn in compliance with Section 5 of the Health Officer's Order No. C19-12, dated April 17, 2020, or any subsequently issued or amended order.

- l. Prohibit use of microwaves, water coolers, and other similar shared equipment.
- m. Strictly control "choke points" and "high-risk areas" where workers are unable to maintain six-foot social distancing and prohibit or limit use to ensure that six-foot distance can easily be maintained between individuals.
- n. Minimize interactions and maintain social distancing with all site visitors, including delivery workers, design professional and other project consultants, government agency representatives, including building and fire inspectors, and residents at residential construction sites.
- o. Stagger trades as necessary to reduce density and allow for easy maintenance of minimum six-foot separation.
- p. Discourage workers from using others' desks, work tools, and equipment. If more than one worker uses these items, the items must be cleaned and disinfected with disinfectants that are effective against COVID-19 in between use by each new worker. Prohibit sharing of PPE.
- q. If hand washing facilities are not available at the jobsite, place portable wash stations or hand sanitizers that are effective against COVID-19 at entrances to the jobsite and in multiple locations dispersed throughout the jobsite as warranted.
- r. Clean and sanitize any hand washing facilities, portable wash stations, jobsite restroom areas, or other enclosed spaces daily with disinfectants that are effective against COVID-19. Frequently clean and disinfect all high touch areas, including entry and exit areas, high traffic areas, rest rooms, hand washing areas, high touch surfaces, tools, and equipment
- s. Maintain a daily attendance log of all workers and visitors that includes contact information, including name, phone number, address, and email.
- t. Post a notice in an area visible to all workers and visitors instructing workers and visitors to do the following:
 - i. Do not touch your face with unwashed hands or with gloves.
 - ii. Frequently wash your hands with soap and water for at least 20 seconds or use hand sanitizer with at least 60% alcohol.
 - iii. Clean and disinfect frequently touched objects and surfaces such as work stations, keyboards, telephones, handrails, machines, shared tools, elevator control buttons, and doorknobs.
 - iv. Cover your mouth and nose when coughing or sneezing, or cough or sneeze into the crook of your arm at your elbow/sleeve.

ORDER OF THE HEALTH OFFICER No. C19-07e

Appendix B-1

- v. Do not enter the jobsite if you have a fever, cough, or other COVID-19 symptoms. If you feel sick, or have been exposed to anyone who is sick, stay at home.
 - vi. Constantly observe your work distances in relation to other staff. Maintain the recommended minimum six feet at all times when not wearing the necessary PPE for working in close proximity to another person.
 - vii. Do not carpool to and from the jobsite with anyone except members of your own household unit, or as necessary for workers who have no alternative means of transportation.
 - viii. Do not share phones or PPE.
- u. The notice in Section 2.t must be translated as necessary to ensure that all non-English speaking workers are able to understand the notice.

ORDER OF THE HEALTH OFFICER No. C19-07e
Appendix B-2

Large Construction Project Safety Protocol (revised May 22, 2020)

1. Any construction project meeting any of the following specifications is subject to this Large Construction Project Safety Protocol (“LCP Protocol”), including public works projects unless otherwise specified by the Health Officer:
 - a. For residential construction projects, any single-family, multi-family, senior, student, or other residential construction, renovation, or remodel project consisting of more than 10 units.
 - b. For commercial construction projects, any construction, renovation, or tenant improvement project consisting of more than 20,000 square feet of floor area.
 - c. For construction of Essential Infrastructure, as defined in Section 16.c of the Order, any project that requires twenty or more workers at the jobsite at any one time.
2. The following restrictions and requirements must be in place at all construction job sites subject to this LCP Protocol:
 - a. Comply with all applicable and current laws and regulations including but not limited to OSHA and Cal-OSHA. If there is any conflict, difference or discrepancy between or among applicable laws and regulations and/or this LCP Protocol, the stricter standard will apply.
 - b. Prepare a new or updated Site-Specific Health and Safety Plan to address COVID-19-related issues, post the Plan on-site at all entrances and exits, and produce a copy of the Plan to County governmental authorities upon request. The Plan must be translated as necessary to ensure that all non-English speaking workers are able to understand the Plan.
 - c. Provide personal protective equipment (PPE) specifically for use in construction, including gloves, goggles, face shields, and face coverings as appropriate for the activity being performed. At no time may a contractor secure or use medical-grade PPE, unless required due to the medical nature of a job site. Face Coverings must be worn in compliance with Section 5 of the Health Officer’s Order, dated April 17, 2020, or any subsequently issued or amended order.
 - d. Ensure that employees are trained in the use of PPE. Maintain and make available a log of all PPE training provided to employees and monitor all employees to ensure proper use of the PPE.
 - e. Prohibit sharing of PPE.
 - f. Implement social distancing requirements including, at minimum:

ORDER OF THE HEALTH OFFICER No. C19-07e

Appendix B-2

- i. Stagger stop- and start-times for shift schedules to reduce the quantity of workers at the jobsite at any one time to the extent feasible.
- ii. Stagger trade-specific work to minimize the quantity of workers at the jobsite at any one time.
- iii. Require social distancing by maintaining a minimum six-foot distance between workers at all times, except as strictly necessary to carry out a task associated with the project.
- iv. Prohibit gatherings of any size on the jobsite, except for safety meetings or as strictly necessary to carry out a task associated with the project.
- v. Strictly control “choke points” and “high-risk areas” where workers are unable to maintain minimum six-foot social distancing and prohibit or limit use to ensure that minimum six-foot distancing can easily be maintained between workers.
- vi. Minimize interactions and maintain social distancing with all site visitors, including delivery workers, design professional and other project consultants, government agency representatives, including building and fire inspectors, and residents at residential construction sites.
- vii. Prohibit workers from using others’ phones or desks. Any work tools or equipment that must be used by more than one worker must be cleaned with disinfectants that are effective against COVID-19 before use by a new worker.
- viii. Place wash stations or hand sanitizers that are effective against COVID-19 at entrances to the jobsite and in multiple locations dispersed throughout the jobsite as warranted.
- ix. Maintain a daily attendance log of all workers and visitors that includes contact information, including name, address, phone number, and email.
- x. Post a notice in an area visible to all workers and visitors instructing workers and visitors to do the following:
 1. Do not touch your face with unwashed hands or with gloves.
 2. Frequently wash your hands with soap and water for at least 20 seconds or use hand sanitizer with at least 60% alcohol.
 3. Clean and disinfect frequently touched objects and surfaces such as workstations, keyboards, telephones, handrails, machines, shared tools, elevator control buttons, and doorknobs.
 4. Cover your mouth and nose when coughing or sneezing or cough or sneeze into the crook of your arm at your elbow/sleeve.
 5. Do not enter the jobsite if you have a fever, cough, or other COVID-19 symptoms. If you feel sick, or have been exposed to anyone who is sick, stay at home.
 6. Constantly observe your work distances in relation to other staff. Maintain the recommended minimum six-feet distancing at all times when not wearing the necessary PPE for working in close proximity to another person.
 7. Do not share phones or PPE.

ORDER OF THE HEALTH OFFICER No. C19-07e

Appendix B-2

- xi. The notice in section 2.f.x must be translated as necessary to ensure that all non-English speaking workers are able to understand the notice.
- g. Implement cleaning and sanitization practices in accordance with the following:
 - i. Frequently clean and sanitize, in accordance with CDC guidelines, all high-traffic and high-touch areas including, at a minimum: meeting areas, jobsite lunch and break areas, entrances and exits to the jobsite, jobsite trailers, hand-washing areas, tools, equipment, jobsite restroom areas, stairs, elevators, and lifts.
 - ii. Establish a cleaning and decontamination protocol prior to entry and exit of the jobsite and post the protocol at entrances and exits of jobsite.
 - iii. Supply all personnel performing cleaning and sanitization with proper PPE to prevent them from contracting COVID-19. Employees must not share PPE.
 - iv. Establish adequate time in the workday to allow for proper cleaning and decontamination including prior to starting at or leaving the jobsite for the day.
- h. Implement a COVID-19 community spread reduction plan as part of the Site-Specific Health and Safety Plan that includes, at minimum, the following restrictions and requirements:
 - i. Prohibit all carpooling to and from the jobsite except by workers living within the same household unit, or as necessary for workers who have no alternative means of transportation.
 - ii. Cal-OSHA requires employers to provide water, which should be provided in single-serve containers. Prohibit any sharing of any food or beverage and if sharing is observed, the worker must be sent home for the day.
 - iii. Prohibit use of microwaves, water coolers, and other similar shared equipment.
- i. Assign a COVID-19 Safety Compliance Officer (SCO) to the jobsite and ensure the SCO's name is posted on the Site-Specific Health and Safety Plan. The SCO must:
 - i. Ensure implementation of all recommended safety and sanitation requirements regarding the COVID-19 virus at the jobsite.
 - ii. Compile daily written verification that each jobsite is compliant with the components of this LCP Protocol. Each written verification form must be copied, stored, and made immediately available upon request by any County official.
 - iii. Establish a daily screening protocol for arriving staff, to ensure that potentially infected staff do not enter the construction site. If workers leave the jobsite and return the same day, establish a cleaning and decontamination protocol prior to entry and exit of the jobsite. Post the daily screening protocol at all entrances and exit to the jobsite. More information on screening can be found online at: <https://www.cdc.gov/coronavirus/2019-ncov/community/index.html>.
 - iv. Conduct daily briefings in person or by teleconference that must cover the following topics:
 - 1. New jobsite rules and pre-job site travel restrictions for the prevention of COVID-19 community spread.
 - 2. Review of sanitation and hygiene procedures.
 - 3. Solicitation of worker feedback on improving safety and sanitation.
 - 4. Coordination of construction site daily cleaning/sanitation requirements.

ORDER OF THE HEALTH OFFICER No. C19-07e

Appendix B-2

5. Conveying updated information regarding COVID-19.
 6. Emergency protocols in the event of an exposure or suspected exposure to COVID-19.
 - v. Develop and ensure implementation of a remediation plan to address any non-compliance with this LCP Protocol and post remediation plan at entrance and exit of jobsite during remediation period. The remediation plan must be translated as necessary to ensure that all non-English speaking workers are able to understand the document.
 - vi. The SCO must not permit any construction activity to continue without bringing such activity into compliance with these requirements.
 - vii. Report repeated non-compliance with this LCP Protocol to the appropriate jobsite supervisors and a designated County official.
- j. Assign a COVID-19 Third-Party Jobsite Safety Accountability Supervisor (JSAS) for the jobsite, who at a minimum holds an OSHA-30 certificate and first-aid training within the past two years, who must be trained in the protocols herein and verify compliance, including by visual inspection and random interviews with workers, with this LCP Protocol.
- i. Within seven calendar days of each jobsite visit, the JSAS must complete a written assessment identifying any failure to comply with this LCP Protocol. The written assessment must be copied, stored, and, upon request by the County, sent to a designated County official.
 - ii. If the JSAS discovers that a jobsite is not in compliance with this LCP Protocol, the JSAS must work with the SCO to develop and implement a remediation plan.
 - iii. The JSAS must coordinate with the SCO to prohibit continuation of any work activity not in compliance with rules stated herein until addressed and the continuing work is compliant.
 - iv. The remediation plan must be sent to a designated County official within five calendar days of the JSAS's discovery of the failure to comply.
- k. In the event of a confirmed case of COVID-19 at any jobsite, the following must take place:
- i. Immediately remove the infected individual from the jobsite with directions to seek medical care.
 - ii. Decontaminate and sanitize all surfaces at each location at which the infected worker was present. Provide those performing the decontamination and sanitization work with medical-grade PPE, ensure the workers are trained in proper use of the PPE, require the workers to use the provided PPE, and prohibit any sharing of the PPE. Prohibit anyone from entering the possibly contaminated area, except those performing decontamination and sanitization work. Cease all work in these locations until decontamination and sanitization is complete.
 - iii. Notify the County Public Health Department Communicable Disease Control (CD Control) immediately at 415-554-2830. Follow all directives and complete any

ORDER OF THE HEALTH OFFICER No. C19-07e

Appendix B-2

additional requirements by County health officials, including full compliance with any tracing efforts by the County.

- l.* Where construction work occurs within an occupied residential unit, any separate work area must be sealed off from the remainder of the unit with physical barriers such as plastic sheeting or closed doors sealed with tape to the extent feasible. If possible, workers must access the work area from an alternative entry/exit door to the entry/exit door used by residents. Available windows and exhaust fans must be used to ventilate the work area. If residents have access to the work area between workdays, the work area must be cleaned and sanitized at the beginning and at the end of workdays. Every effort must be taken to minimize contact between workers and residents, including maintaining a minimum of six feet of social distancing at all times.

- m.* Where construction work occurs within common areas of an occupied residential or commercial building or a mixed-use building in use by on-site employees or residents, any separate work area must be sealed off from the rest of the common areas with physical barriers such as plastic sheeting or closed doors sealed with tape to the extent feasible. If possible, workers must access the work area from an alternative building entry/exit door to the building entry/exit door used by residents or other users of the building. Every effort must be taken to minimize contact between worker and building residents and users, including maintaining a minimum of six feet of social distancing at all times.

Order No. C19-07e – Appendix C-1: Additional Businesses Permitted to Operate

[June 1, 2020]

A. General Requirements

The “Additional Businesses” listed below may begin operating, subject to the requirements set forth in the Order and to any additional requirements set forth below or in separate industry-specific guidance by the Health Officer. These businesses were selected based on current health-related information and the availability and efficacy of measures that can substantially mitigate the risk of COVID-19 transmission associated with these business operations and related factors, such as the following:

- **Increase in mobility and volume of activity**—the overall impact that allowing these businesses to begin resuming operation will have on the number of people leaving their homes;
- **Contact intensity**—the type (close or distant) and duration (brief or prolonged) of the person-to-person contact involved in the business;
- **Number of contacts**—the approximate number of people likely to be in the setting at the same time; and
- **Modification potential**—the degree to which mitigation measures are likely to decrease the risk of transmission.

To mitigate the risk of transmission to the greatest extent possible, before resuming operations, each Additional Business must:

- a. Comply with Social Distancing Requirements, including preparation, posting, implementation, and distribution to their Personnel a Social Distancing Protocol as specified in Section 6 and subsection 15.h of the Order for each of their facilities in the County where personnel or members of the public will be onsite; and
- b. Prepare, post, implement, and distribute to their Personnel a written health and safety plan that addresses all applicable best practices set forth in relevant Health Officer directives; and
- c. Comply with any relevant state guidance and local directives. If a conflict exists between state guidance and local public health directives related to the COVID-19 pandemic, the most restrictive provision shall be followed.

The health-related basis for selection of Additional Businesses and the specific requirements for risk mitigation are detailed below.

As used in this Appendix C-1, “Personnel” means the following people who provide goods or services associated with the Additional Business in the County: employees; contractors and sub-contractors (such as those who sell goods or perform services onsite or who deliver goods for the business); independent contractors (such as “gig workers” who perform work via the Additional Business’s app or other online interface); vendors who are permitted to sell goods onsite; volunteers; and other individuals who regularly provide services onsite at the request of the Additional Business.

Order No. C19-07e – Appendix C-1: Additional Businesses Permitted to Operate

[June 1, 2020]

List of Additional Businesses

For purposes of the Order, Additional Businesses include the following subject to the stated limitations and conditions:

(1) Retail Stores for Goods with Outdoor Curbside Pickup and Supply Chain Businesses.....	2
(2) Childcare Programs and Summer Camps for All Children	3
(3) Outdoor Curbside Pickup and Drop Off for Low Contact Retail Services.....	5
(4) Outdoor Activity Equipment Rental Businesses.....	6
(5) Pilot Program for Professional Sports Teams: Practices under Approved Plan	8

(1) Retail Stores for Goods with Outdoor Curbside Pickup and Supply Chain Businesses

- a. **Basis for Addition.** Curbside outdoor pickup of goods at retail stores has low contact intensity—interactions between the businesses’ Personnel and customers are short and distancing is not difficult to attain. Businesses that involve outdoor interactions carry a lower risk of transmission than most indoor businesses. Also, curbside pickup at these businesses is expected to result in only a relatively modest increase in the number of people reentering the workforce, the additional volume of commercial activity is moderate, and mitigation measures are available to meaningfully decrease the public health risk associated with reopening this type of business.
- b. **Description and Conditions to Operate.** The following businesses are permitted to operate, beginning at 10:00 a.m. on May 18, 2020, subject to the stated limitations and conditions:
 - i. Retail stores may operate for curbside/outside pickup of goods, subject to the following limitations:
 1. Customers may not enter the store—the exchange of goods between the store’s Personnel and its customers must take place in the outdoors, though the exchange may be through a door or open window;
 2. Goods must remain inside; no products may be moved outside for display or sale at this time;
 3. A Social Distancing Protocol must be created, posted and implemented and all relevant elements of Health Officer Directive No. 2020-10 regarding required best practices for retail businesses with curbside pickup—including the requirement to create a Health and Safety Plan—must be complied with;
 4. No more than 10 Personnel may be on site at any time;
 5. The stores must have direct access to an immediately adjacent sidewalk, street, alley, or parking area for pickup by customers using any mode of

Order No. C19-07e – Appendix C-1: Additional Businesses Permitted to Operate

[June 1, 2020]

travel, without blocking pedestrian access or causing pedestrian or vehicle congestion; and

6. Retail stores in an enclosed indoor shopping center that do not have direct access to adjacent sidewalk, street, parking lot or alley area may not reopen at this time except that a Shopping Center (defined as a large building or group of buildings where customer access to stores is possible only through indoor passage ways or indoor common areas (such as Stonestown Galleria, Westfield San Francisco Centre and the Ferry Building Marketplace)) may reopen if the shopping center operator submits to the Health Officer a proposed plan for reopening that includes:
 - a. the number of stores and businesses that would be resuming operation;
 - b. the number of Personnel associated with each store or business;
 - c. the number of customers expected daily; and
 - d. the specific social distancing/sanitation measures the shopping center would employ to prevent congestion at the doorways and streets, and protect customers and Personnel.

Subject to the written approval of the Health Officer or the Health Officer's designee, the shopping center may then operate for curbside pickup consistent with the approved plan.

- ii. Businesses that manufacture the goods sold at retail stores covered in category (i) above and have no more than 50 Personnel on site in the facility at any time.
- iii. Businesses that provide warehousing and logistical support to the retail stores covered in category (i) above and have no more than 50 Personnel on site in the facility at any time.

For clarity, the limits on the total number of Personnel in categories (i), (ii), and (iii) are subject to Personnel maintaining at least six feet of physical distance at all times; that is, if a facility or location does not have enough space to provide at least six feet of physical distance between the maximum number of Personnel then it may only have the number of people on site that does allow for such physical distancing. Maximum limits on Personnel in categories (i), (ii), and (iii) do not apply to any facility that has been operating as an Essential Business under previously issued Health Orders or Directives. Operation of retail stores for curbside pickup under category (i) applies only to the sale of goods and not the provision of services, which are covered separately in Section 3 below.

(Added May 17, 2020; updated June 1, 2020)

(2) Childcare Programs and Summer Camps for All Children

- a. Basis for Addition. Childcare is critical to early education and developmental equity, family social and economic wellbeing, and economic recovery from the pandemic. More

[June 1, 2020]

specifically, childcare is an important element for a child’s social and emotional development, as well as for a child’s physical health and wellness. Also, childcare is often necessary to allow parents or guardians to work, making the availability of childcare important for individual families as well as the local economy. Although attendance at a childcare program involves a high number of close contacts that may be of lengthy duration. The risks of virus transmission can be reduced by mitigation measures, as generally described below. But children’s inability to consistently follow social distancing and sanitation recommendations means that even with the mitigation measures the risk of transmission is higher than in interactions exclusively among adults. And while based on available evidence, children do not appear to be at higher risk for COVID-19 than adults, medical knowledge about the possible health effects of COVID-19 on children is evolving. Accordingly, the decision about whether to enroll a child in a childcare program is an individualized inquiry that should be made by parents/guardians with an understanding of the risks that such enrollment entails. Parents/guardians may discuss these risks and their concerns with their pediatrician. The Health Officer will continue to monitor the changing situation and may amend this section as necessary to protect the public health.

b. Description and Conditions to Operate.

- i. Beginning at 7:00 a.m. on June 1, 2020, educational or recreational institutions or programs that provide care or supervision for children of any age (with the exception of summer camps, which are addressed separately in b.ii. below) (“Childcare Programs”) may open for all children, subject to the following limitations and conditions:
 1. State-licensed Childcare Programs for children ages 0-5 years must limit group size to 10 children per room or space under state licensing requirements; if the state increases the permitted group size, State-licensed Childcare Programs may increase the size of their groups accordingly, not to exceed 12 children.;
 2. All other Childcare Programs (not State-licensed) must limit group size to 12 children per room or space;
 3. Childcare Program sessions must be at least three weeks long, and childcare programs without set sessions may not enroll children for fewer than three weeks;
 4. Childcare Programs must create, post and implement a Social Distancing Protocol and comply with all of the requirements set forth in relevant industry-specific Health Officer directives (*see* Health Officer Directive 2020-14), including the requirements to have the parent(s) or guardian(s) of any child attending the program sign an acknowledgement of health risks, and to prepare and implement a written health and safety plan to mitigate the risk of virus transmission to the greatest extent feasible.
- ii. Beginning at 7:00 a.m. on June 15, 2020, summer camps and summer learning programs that operate exclusively outside of the academic school year (“Summer Camps”) may open for all children over the age of six and school-aged children

Order No. C19-07e – Appendix C-1: Additional Businesses Permitted to Operate

[June 1, 2020]

currently in grades transitional kindergarten (TK) and above who are under age six, subject to the following limitations and conditions:

1. Summer Camps must limit group size to 12 children (a “pod”) per room or space;
2. Summer Camp sessions must last at least three weeks;
3. Children must remain in the same pod for at least three weeks, and preferably for the entire time throughout the summer.
4. Summer Camps may not begin to operate for any children prior to June 15, 2020, and until they have created, posted and implemented a Social Distancing Protocol and complied with all of the requirements set forth in relevant industry-specific Health Officer directives (*see* Health Officer Directive 2020-13) including the requirements to complete an online form with general information about the program and required certifications, to have the parent(s) or guardian(s) of any child attending the program sign an acknowledgement of health risks, and to prepare and implement a written health and safety plan to mitigate the risk of virus transmission to the greatest extent feasible.

For clarity, Childcare Programs that were already operating after May 22, 2020 in accordance with previous Health Orders or Directives for the children of parent(s) or guardian(s) who are owners, employees, volunteers, and contractors of Essential Businesses, Essential Governmental Functions, Outdoor Businesses, or Additional Businesses, may continue to operate as long as they comply with the above conditions and the relevant industry-specific directive. On June 1, 2020, Childcare Programs may begin to operate for all children. Summer Camps may not begin to operate for any children before June 15, 2020, and must comply with all of the requirements of the relevant industry-specific directive prior to beginning to operate Childcare Programs and Summer Camps may operate for all or part of the day.

(Added May 22, 2020; updated June 1, 2020)

(3) Outdoor Curbside Pickup and Drop Off for Low Contact Retail Services

- a. Basis for Addition. Low contact retail services—where a customer drops off or picks up goods or pets outside a store for care or other service—involves low contact intensity and a moderate number of contacts. And businesses that involve outdoor interactions carry a lower risk of transmission than most indoor businesses. Accordingly, the risk of transmission is relatively low and mitigation measures can further decrease this risk. Also resumption of these services is expected to result in only a small increase in the number of people reentering the workforce and the overall volume of commercial activity.
- b. Description and Conditions to Operate. Beginning at 8:00 a.m. on June 1, 2020, limited services that do not generally require close customer contact (e.g., dog grooming and shoe or electronics repair) may begin to operate subject to the following limitations and conditions:

Order No. C19-07e – Appendix C-1: Additional Businesses Permitted to Operate

[June 1, 2020]

- i. Customers must drop off and/or pick up goods or pets curbside/outside and be able to do so with minimal physical contact with the facility's Personnel, and customers may not enter the store;
- ii. No more than 10 Personnel may be on site at any time;
- iii. The businesses must create, post and implement a Social Distancing Protocol and comply with Health Officer Directive No. 2020-10 regarding required best practices for retail businesses with curbside pickup and drop-off;
- iv. The stores must have direct access to an immediately adjacent sidewalk, street, alley, or parking area for pickup by customers using any mode of travel, without blocking pedestrian access or causing pedestrian or vehicle congestion; and
- v. Stores in an enclosed indoor shopping center that do not have direct access to adjacent sidewalk, street, parking lot or alley area may not reopen at this time unless they are located in an approved Shopping Center as described in 1.b.i.7 above.

For clarity, the 10 person limit on the total number of Personnel is subject to Personnel maintaining at least six feet of physical distance at all times; that is, if a facility does not have enough space to provide at least six feet of physical distance between the maximum number of Personnel then it may only have the number of people on site that does allow for such physical distancing. The exchange of goods or pets between the store's Personnel and its customers must take place in the outdoors, with minimal contact between the customers and the Personnel, though the exchange may be through a door or, if appropriate, an open window. As discussed in Section (1) above regarding retail curbside pickup for goods, shopping centers, and stores within enclosed shopping centers, may operate for curbside/service only upon written approval by the Health Officer or the Health Officer's designee of a plan submitted by the shopping center for preventing congestion at the doorways and streets and protecting customers and employees.

(Added June 1, 2020)

(4) Outdoor Activity Equipment Rental Businesses

- a. Basis for Addition. Picking up rental equipment for permissible outdoor activities involves only low contact intensity and a moderate number of contacts. Accordingly, the risk of transmission is relatively low and mitigation measures can further decrease this risk. Also the risk of multiple individuals using shared equipment can be mitigated through sanitation measures. Finally, resumption of these businesses is expected to result in only a small increase in the number of people reentering the workforce and the overall volume of commercial activity.
- b. Description and Conditions to Operate. Beginning at 8:00 a.m. on June 1, 2020, businesses that rent equipment for permissible outdoor recreational activities (e.g., bicycles, kayaks, paddleboards, boats, horseback riding or fishing equipment) may begin to operate subject to the following limitations and conditions:

Order No. C19-07e – Appendix C-1: Additional Businesses Permitted to Operate

[June 1, 2020]

- i. Customers must drop off and pick up equipment curbside or outside, and customers may not enter an indoor facility to do so;
- ii. No more than 10 Personnel may be on site at any time;
- iii. The business must have created, posted and implemented a Social Distancing Protocol and must comply with Health Officer Directive No. 2020-10 regarding required best practices for retail businesses with curbside pickup and drop-off;
- iv. The business must have direct access to an immediately adjacent sidewalk, street, alley, or parking area for pickup by customers using any mode of travel, without blocking pedestrian access or causing pedestrian or vehicle congestion;
- v. Businesses in an enclosed indoor shopping center that do not have direct access to adjacent sidewalk, street, parking lot or alley area may not reopen at this time unless they are in an approved Shopping Center as described in 1.b.i.7. above; and
- vi. All equipment must be thoroughly cleaned and disinfected between each use with procedures effective against the Novel Coronavirus SARS-CoV-2 in accordance with the following guidelines, which may be modified by the Health Officer as new information becomes available:
 - For hard non-porous surfaces, clean with detergent or soap and water if the surfaces are visibly dirty, before applying disinfectant. For these purposes, appropriate disinfectants include:
 - [EPA's Registered Antimicrobial Products for Use against Novel Coronavirus SARS-CoV-2](#). Follow the manufacturer's instructions for concentration, application method, and contact time for all cleaning and disinfection products.
 - Diluted household bleach solutions prepared according to the manufacturer's label for disinfection, if appropriate for the surface. Follow manufacturer's instructions for application and proper ventilation. Check to ensure the product is not past its expiration date. Never mix household bleach with ammonia or any other cleanser.
 - Alcohol solutions with at least 70% alcohol.
 - For soft or porous surfaces, remove any visible contamination, if present, and clean with appropriate cleaners indicated for use on these surfaces. After cleaning, use products that are [EPA-approved for use against the virus that causes COVID-19](#) and that are suitable for porous surfaces.
 - For frequently touched electronic surfaces, remove visible dirt, then disinfect following the manufacturer's instructions for all cleaning and disinfection products. If no manufacturer guidance is available, then consider the using alcohol-based wipes or sprays containing at least 70% alcohol to disinfect.
 - Gloves and any other disposable PPE used for cleaning and disinfecting the vehicle must be removed and disposed of after cleaning; wash hands immediately after removing gloves and PPE with soap and water for at least

Order No. C19-07e – Appendix C-1: Additional Businesses Permitted to Operate

[June 1, 2020]

20 seconds, or use an alcohol-based hand sanitizer with at least 60% alcohol if soap and water are not available. If a disposable gown was not worn, work uniforms/clothes worn during cleaning and disinfecting should be laundered afterwards using the warmest appropriate water setting and dry items completely. Wash hands after handling laundry.

For clarity, the 10 person limit on the total number of Personnel is subject to Personnel maintaining at least six feet of physical distance at all times; that is, if a facility does not have enough space to provide at least six feet of physical distance between the maximum number of Personnel then it may only have the number of people on site that does allow for such physical distancing. The exchange of rental equipment between the store's Personnel and its customers must take place in the outdoors, though the exchange may be through a door or open window. As discussed in Section (1) above regarding curbside pickup for retail stores, shopping centers, and stores within enclosed shopping centers, may operate for curbside/service only upon written approval by the Health Officer or the Health Officer's designee of a plan submitted by the shopping center for preventing congestion at the doorways and streets and protecting customers and employees.

(Added June 1, 2020)

(5) Pilot Program for Professional Sports Teams: Practices under Approved Plan

- a. Basis for Addition. Although practices for contact sports may present a significant risk of transmission, those risks can be mitigated by stringent social distancing, sanitation, and testing measures. This pilot project, which will allow sports teams to begin practicing subject to strict controls and mitigation measures, represents a first step toward the resumption of recreational activities that can be broadcast and watched by the public remotely in a safe manner.
- b. Description and Conditions to Operate. Professional sports teams that wish to resume practicing in the City may submit to the Health Officer a proposed plan detailing the sanitation, social distancing, health screening, and other procedures that will be implemented to minimize the risk of transmission among players and staff. The plan must include a proposal for interval testing (without using City resources) of all players and staff who will be present in the training facility. Subject to the written approval of the Health Officer or the Health Officer's designee, the team may then resume practices consistent with the approved plan, including any conditions to approval of the Health Officer or the Health Officer's designee.

(Added June 1, 2020)

Order No. C19-07e – Appendix C-2: Allowed Additional Activities

[June 1, 2020]

General Requirements

The “Additional Activities” listed below may resume, subject to the requirements set forth in the Order and to any additional requirements set forth below or in separate guidance by the Health Officer. These activities were selected based on current health-related information, the availability and efficacy of measures that can substantially mitigate transmission risks associated with these activities, and related factors, such as the following:

- **Increase in mobility and volume of activity**—the overall impact that allowing resumption of the activity will have on the number of people leaving their homes and interacting with others in the community;
- **Contact intensity**—the type (close or distant) and duration (brief or prolonged) of the person-to-person contact involved in the activity;
- **Number of contacts**—the approximate number of people that will be in the setting at the same time; and
- **Modification potential**—the degree to which mitigation measures can decrease the risk of transmission.

List of Additional Activities

For purposes of the Order, Additional Activities include the following based on the summarized health risk related rationale:

(1) Outdoor Museums, Outdoor Historical Sites, and Public Gardens	1
(2) Outdoor Recreation: Golf and Tennis	2
(3) Outdoor Recreation: Dog Parks	3

(1) Outdoor Museums, Outdoor Historical Sites, and Public Gardens

- a. Basis for Addition. Visiting outdoor museums, outdoor historical sites, and public gardens involves low contact intensity and a low number of contacts. Also, interactions and activities that occur outdoors carry a lower risk of transmission than most indoor interactions and activities. And because outdoor recreation is already allowed under the Order, resumption of this activity is expected to result in only a relatively modest increase in mobility and may decrease congestion in other outdoor locations like public parks and beaches.
- b. Description and Conditions. Outdoor museums, outdoor historical sites, and public gardens (for example, the Botanical Gardens and Japanese Tea Garden) may reopen to the public—and individuals may leave their residence and travel to visit these locations—subject to the following conditions:

Order No. C19-07e – Appendix C-2: Allowed Additional Activities

[June 1, 2020]

1. Face coverings must be worn by all staff and visitors, subject to the limited exceptions in Health Officer Order No. C19-12 (e.g., for young children), including as that order is amended in the future;
2. Social distancing of at least 6-feet must be maintained at all times other than between members of the same household;
3. Common high-touch equipment and fixtures such as picnic benches or tables must be off-limits, with signage and with physical barriers as appropriate;
4. Public restrooms, if any, must
 - a. be routinely disinfected frequently throughout the day,
 - b. have open doors to prevent touching of door handles or knobs,
 - c. have soap and paper towels, and
 - d. have signs promoting handwashing;
5. The museum, outdoor historical site, or public garden must provide for contactless payment systems or, if not feasible, sanitize any payment systems, including touch screens, payment portals, pens, and styluses, after each customer use. Under the city's Legal Tender Law, customers must be allowed to pay with cash but to further limit person-to-person contact, Personnel should encourage customers to use credit, debit, or gift cards for payment;
6. Signage must be posted at each public entrance to inform all personnel and customers that they must: avoid entering the facility or location if they have a cough or fever, maintain a minimum six-foot distance from one another while in the facility or location, wear a face covering at all times, and not shake hands or engage in any unnecessary physical contact (sample signs are available online at <https://sf.gov/outreach-toolkit-coronavirus-covid-19>);
7. Any on-site retail stores (e.g., gift shops) may operate for curbside/outdoor pickup only, and must do so in compliance with Appendix C-1 of this Order and Health Officer Directive 2020-10 (available at <https://www.sfdph.org/dph/alerts/coronavirus-healthorders.asp>);
8. Before resuming operations, outdoor museums, outdoor historical sites, and public gardens must prepare, post, implement, and distribute to their Personnel a Social Distancing Protocol as required by the Order and a written health and safety plan that addresses all best practices listed in Section (1)b of this Appendix.

(Added May 17, 2020; revised June 1, 2020)

(2) Outdoor Recreation: Golf and Tennis

- a. Basis for Addition. Non-contact outdoor sports like tennis and golf involve low contact intensity and a low number of contacts, as long as the groups engaged in play together are small and maintain social distance to the greatest extent possible. The risk of

Order No. C19-07e – Appendix C-2: Allowed Additional Activities

[June 1, 2020]

transmission can be further mitigated by sanitation and hygiene practices. Also, interactions and activities that occur outdoors carry a lower risk of transmission than most indoor interactions and activities. And because outdoor recreation is already allowed under the Order, resumption of this activity is expected to result in only a relatively modest increase in mobility and may decrease congestion in other outdoor locations like public parks and beaches.

- b. **Description and Conditions.** Beginning at 8:00 a.m. on June 1, 2020, individuals may play tennis and golf outdoors, and outdoor tennis and golf facilities/clubs may open, subject to the following conditions:
1. Face coverings must be worn by all golf and tennis facility/club Personnel, subject to the limited exceptions in Health Officer Order No. C19-12 (e.g., for young children), including as that order is amended in the future;
 2. All golf and tennis players must wear a face covering while in facility/club parking lots, when entering and exiting facilities/clubs, and while waiting to play—face coverings may be removed during play if social distancing requirements are met;
 3. For golf, groups must be limited to a maximum of four players per group, unless all players within the group are part of a single household or living unit. Groups of players from different households or living units must comply with the State of California under its Stay-Safer-At Home Order;
 4. Tennis may only be played between members of the same household or living unit or between a maximum two single individuals outside of the same household or living unit; and
 5. Before resuming operations, each golf or tennis facility/club must create, post and implement a Social Distancing Protocol and comply with Health Officer Directive No. 2020-15 regarding required best practices for tennis and golf.

“Personnel” includes all of the following people who provide goods or services associated with the tennis or golf facility/club in the City: employees; contractors and sub-contractors (such as those who sell goods or perform services onsite or who deliver goods for the business); independent contractors (such as “gig workers” who perform work via the business’s app or other online interface, if any); vendors who are permitted to sell goods onsite (such as farmers or others who sell at stalls in farmers’ markets); volunteers; and other individuals who regularly provide services onsite at the request of the business.

(Added June 1, 2020)

(3) Outdoor Recreation: Dog Parks

- a. **Basis for Addition.** Taking a dog to a dog park involves low contact intensity and a low number of contacts, as long as people maintain at least six feet of physical distance from individuals who are not in their household. Also, interactions and activities that occur outdoors carry a lower risk of transmission than most indoor interactions and activities.

Order No. C19-07e – Appendix C-2: Allowed Additional Activities

[June 1, 2020]

- b. Description and Conditions. Beginning at 8:00 a.m. on June 1, 2020, individuals may take their dogs to dog parks (both enclosed and unenclosed), and all dog parks may open, subject to the following conditions:
1. Face coverings must be worn by all people in the dog park, subject to the limited exceptions in Health Officer Order No. C19-12 (e.g., for young children), including as that order is amended in the future;
 2. The Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) has advised that “[u]ntil we learn more about how this virus affects animals,” owners should “treat pets as you would other human family members to protect them from a possible infection.” Specifically, the CDC recommends that pet owners: “Do not let pets interact with people or other animals outside the household,” “Walk dogs on a leash, maintaining at least 6 feet (2 meters) from other people and animals,” and “Avoid dog parks or public places where a large number of people and dogs gather.” Accordingly, pet owners are urged to use on-leash dog parks or keep their dogs on a leash, particularly if the dog is not under voice control—pet owners who choose to let their dogs be off leash in an off-leash dog park should prevent their dog from interacting with other people or animals to the greatest extent feasible;
 3. People in the dog park should maintain at least six feet of physical distance from people or animals other than those in their same household;
 4. People must bring their own water for themselves and their pets, and must not use common touch water facilities in the park;
 5. People must use their sleeve or a disposable cloth to touch high-touch surfaces like gates;
 6. People should bring their own bags for picking up and disposing of pet waste;
 7. Signage must be posted at each dog park to inform people that they must: avoid entering the location if they have a cough or fever, maintain a minimum six-foot distance from one another, wear a face covering at all times, and not shake hands or engage in any unnecessary physical contact (sample signs are available online at <https://sf.gov/outreach-toolkit-coronavirus-covid-19>); and
 8. People must follow any other rules and regulations adopted by the operator of the dog park.

(Added June 1, 2020)

From: [Mchugh, Eileen \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#); [BOS-Administrative Aides](#)
Cc: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#); [Laxamana, Junko \(BOS\)](#); [Ng, Wilson \(BOS\)](#); [PEARSON, ANNE \(CAT\)](#)
Subject: FW: Final revised face covering order (C19-12b)
Date: Thursday, May 28, 2020 1:15:00 PM
Attachments: [2020.05.28 FINAL signed Order No. C19-12b - Requiring Face Coverings.pdf](#)

Hello Supervisors,

Please see the attached revised Order of the Health Officer No. C19-12b.

Thank you,

Eileen

From: Patil, Sneha (DPH) <sneha.patil@sfdph.org>
Sent: Thursday, May 28, 2020 1:02 PM
To: Calvillo, Angela (BOS) <angela.calvillo@sfgov.org>; Mchugh, Eileen (BOS) <eileen.e.mchugh@sfgov.org>
Subject: Final revised face covering order (C19-12b)

Hello,

Please see attached a revised order on face coverings that goes into effect 11:59 on Friday.
Some general notes about the order below:

1. People must wear a face covering anytime they're outside the home and are indoors (such as at work or other allowed activities) with some exceptions.
2. People must wear a face covering when outdoors and within 30 feet (10 yards) of anyone who is not in their own household or living group with some exceptions. This helps avoid situations where people can quickly be within six feet of each other without wearing a face covering. This includes while exercising near others.
3. A face covering is not required when eating or drinking outside the home when alone or with others from the same household so long as others are not within six feet.
4. A face covering is not required when stationary outdoors alone or with others from the same household and at least six feet separate the person/group from the edge of the nearest group. This allows people to be in parks, patios, etc., especially when using "social distancing circles" at parks, without having to wear a face covering. But when people walk to and from those areas they must wear a face covering when within six feet of others.
5. Children 12 years old and younger are not required to wear a face covering, and those 2 years old and younger should not due to the risk of suffocation.
6. Anyone who has a written doctor's exemption due to health or other reasons or who cannot wear a face covering while working due to workplace safety concerns is excepted from wearing one.
7. People are not required to wear a face covering when in a vehicle alone or with only members of their household unless the vehicle is used to transport others. But public transport vehicle

operators are permitted to remove the face covering when parked at a terminal, inside the operator compartment, and no others are in the vehicle.

8. Masks with one-way valves are not permitted under the order because they permit spread of respiratory droplets, putting others who are nearby at risk.
9. Anyone who is preparing food or other items for sale or distribution (except to their own household) must wear a face covering, even when alone.
10. Anyone working in someone else's home must wear a face covering when visiting that home, and the host must wear one when in the same area as the visitor.
11. The order adds an encouragement to anyone who lives with a vulnerable person to wear a face covering when at home to protect the vulnerable person. The order has a list of things that make someone vulnerable and a link to DPH guidance.
12. The order continues to require businesses and other entities to require employees/contractors/personnel to wear a face covering unless an exception applies and to notify the public/customers they must wear a face covering at the business/location in most situations.



ORDER OF THE HEALTH OFFICER No. C19-12b

**ORDER OF THE HEALTH OFFICER
OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO
GENERALLY REQUIRING MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC AND
WORKERS TO WEAR FACE COVERINGS**

(PUBLIC HEALTH EMERGENCY ORDER)

DATE OF ORDER: May 28, 2020

Please read this Order carefully. Violation of or failure to comply with this Order is a misdemeanor punishable by fine, imprisonment, or both. (California Health and Safety Code § 120295, *et seq.*; Cal. Penal Code §§ 69, 148(a)(1); San Francisco Administrative Code §7.17(b))

Summary: Since March 2020, the City and County of San Francisco (the “City”), its citizens, and the Bay Area have collectively worked together to reduce the spread of SARS-CoV-2, the virus that causes coronavirus disease 2019 (“COVID-19”) and that is the cause of the global pandemic. These efforts have shown enough success that the City’s Health Officer continues to allow the careful, gradual return of more business, social, and other activities. As the City adds these additional components, people will be increasingly interacting in person, creating a risk that viral transmission will increase. One of the strongest protections we, as a society, can implement as we continue to interact more in person is to increase our use of Face Coverings. Substantial scientific evidence shows that when combined with physical distancing and other health and safety practices like handwashing and regular disinfection of surfaces, wearing Face Coverings permits additional activities to be resumed in the safest possible way.

As we collectively go out into the community more, we need to have a corresponding increase in the steps we take to protect those around us. By doing so, we not only protect our fellow community members, but ultimately ourselves and our loved ones, especially those who are vulnerable due to age or health conditions. And in wearing a Face Covering around others, we show that we care for those around us. “My mask protects you, and yours protects me.” By ensuring that people generally wear Face Coverings when in public, the City is better able to continue to open businesses and resume activities in a safer manner to the benefit of all.

For these reasons, the City’s Health Officer is now revising the Face Covering order to more generally require wearing Face Coverings when people are outside their homes. The order also provides simpler guidance for when to wear a Face Covering as activities increase, such as when working or engaging in face-to-face transactions. The goal is to provide simple rules that we must all follow in the months to come.

In sum, going forward and for as long as this Order remains in effect as needed to address the pandemic, people must, unless an exception applies, wear a Face Covering when outside and when anyone else other than just members of their household or living unit is



ORDER OF THE HEALTH OFFICER No. C19-12b

within 30 feet (10 yards). They must wear a Face Covering when in the workplace except when in a private space or area not regularly used by others. They must wear a Face Covering when preparing food or other items for sale or distribution to people who are not members of their household or living unit. They may remove their Face Covering when eating or drinking if they are alone or with only members of their household or living unit and nobody else is within six feet. And a person who is alone or with only members of their household or living unit, is stationary in an outdoor area such as a park or patio, and is maintaining at least six feet of distance between them and the nearest people who do not live with them does not need to wear a Face Covering so long as they have one readily accessible.

This Order includes certain exceptions. For instance, this Order does not require that any child aged 12 years or younger wear a Face Covering and requires that any child aged two years or younger not wear one because of the risk of suffocation. This Order also does not apply to people who are in their own cars alone or with members of their own household or living unit except if they operate the vehicle to transport others. And anyone who has a written exemption from a healthcare provider based on a disability, medical condition, or other condition that prevents them from wearing a Face Covering does not need to wear one.

This Order is issued in support of Health Officer Order No. C19-07e issued on May 22, 2020 (the "Stay-Safe-At-Home Order") and any amendments to that order, as well as guidance issued by the United States Centers for Disease Control and Prevention ("CDC"), the California Department of Public Health ("CDPH"), and the San Francisco Department Public Health ("DPH") regarding COVID-19, including as such guidance is amended.

The Order replaces the prior Face Covering order (Health Officer Order No. C19-12) issued on April 17, 2020. Beginning at 11:59 p.m. on May 29, 2020, all people in the City must comply with this new Order. This Order is in effect, without a specific expiration date, until it is extended, rescinded, superseded, or amended in writing by the Health Officer. The Health Officer will continue to carefully monitor the evolving situation and will periodically revise this Order as conditions warrant to protect the public and limit the spread of the virus.

This summary is for convenience only and may not be used to interpret this Order; in the event of any inconsistency between the summary and the text of this Order below, the text will control.

**UNDER THE AUTHORITY OF CALIFORNIA HEALTH AND SAFETY CODE
SECTIONS 101040, 101085, 120175, AND 120220, THE HEALTH OFFICER OF THE
CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO ("HEALTH OFFICER") ORDERS:**

- 1. This Order will take effect at 11:59 p.m. on May 29, 2020, and will continue to be in effect until it is extended, rescinded, superseded, or amended in writing by the Health**



ORDER OF THE HEALTH OFFICER No. C19-12b

Officer. Effective as of 11:59 p.m. on May 29, 2020, this Order revises and replaces Order Number C19-12, issued April 17, 2020. Any capitalized terms in this Order that are defined in the Stay-Safe-At-Home Order incorporate the definitions from that order and are automatically updated to incorporate revisions to that order without a need to update this Order.

2. As used in this Order, a “Face Covering” means a covering made of cloth, fabric, or other soft or permeable material, without holes, that covers only the nose and mouth and surrounding areas of the lower face. A covering that hides or obscures the wearer’s eyes or forehead is not a Face Covering. Examples of Face Coverings include a scarf or bandana; a neck gaiter; a homemade covering made from a t-shirt, sweatshirt, or towel, held on with rubber bands or otherwise; or a mask, which need not be medical-grade. A Face Covering may be factory-made, or may be handmade and improvised from ordinary household materials. The Face Covering should be comfortable, so that the wearer can breathe through the nose and does not have to adjust it frequently, so as to avoid touching the face. For Face Coverings that are not disposed of after each use, people should clean them frequently and have extra ones available so that they have a clean one available for use. Information on cleaning a Face Covering is available from the CDC at <https://www.cdc.gov/coronavirus/2019-ncov/prevent-getting-sick/how-to-wash-cloth-face-coverings.html>.

For as long as medical-grade masks such as N95 masks and surgical masks are in short supply, members of the public should not purchase those masks for use as Face Coverings under this Order; those medical-grade masks should be reserved for health care providers and first responders.

Any mask that incorporates a one-way valve (typically a raised plastic cylinder about the size of a quarter on the front or side of the mask) that is designed to facilitate easy exhaling allows droplets to be released from the mask, putting others nearby at risk. As a result, these masks are not a Face Covering under this Order and must not be used to comply with this Order’s requirements.

A video showing how to make a face covering and additional information about how to wear and clean Face Coverings may be found at the CDC website, at <https://www.cdc.gov/coronavirus/2019-ncov/prevent-getting-sick/div-cloth-face-coverings.html>.

3. Each person in the City must wear a Face Covering when outside the person’s household, living unit, or other place they reside (when “Outside the Residence”) at all times except as follows:
 - a. A person does not need to wear a Face Covering when allowed by another Health Officer order or directive not to wear a Face Covering. In such instances, the other order or directive will describe the specific conditions that permit the person not to



ORDER OF THE HEALTH OFFICER No. C19-12b

wear a Face Covering.

- b. A person does not need to wear a Face Covering when outdoors alone or with a member of their household or living unit and they have a Face Covering visible and immediately ready to cover the nose and mouth (such as hanging around their neck) and nobody else (other a member of their own household or living unit) is outdoors within 30 feet (10 yards) of them. It is recommended that people from the same household or living unit wear a Face Covering when outside, even if others are not nearby, any time others may appear without much notice. For reference, 30 feet is around the length of two cars end-to-end. When people are approaching each other and likely to pass in the coming seconds, they must put on their Face Coverings when they are within 30 feet. This 30-foot rule applies whether people are on the sidewalk, in a park, on a path or trail, or in any other outdoor area, and whether they are walking, running, biking, otherwise exercising, standing, or engaged in transportation such as using a motorcycle, skateboard, moped, or scooter. But the requirement to put on a Face Covering within 30 feet of others does not apply to people excepted from wearing a Face Covering under this Order due to age or medical condition or because they are eating or drinking or are stationary outside in a way that meets the requirements of Sections 3.e or 3.f below.

The 30 feet (10 yard) distance is used here to give people adequate time to put on a Face Covering before the distance closes and the people are within six feet of each other, which puts them at greater risk for transmission of the virus. As more activities are permitted, more people will be near each other without much advance warning, making wearing a Face Covering essential when people are within 30 feet.

- c. A person does not need to wear a Face Covering when wearing personal protective equipment ("PPE") such as a medical-grade N95 mask or a similar mask that is more protective than a Face Covering, as required by (i) any workplace policy or (ii) any local, state, or federal law, regulation, or other mandatory guidance. When a person is not required to wear such PPE, they must wear a Face Covering unless otherwise exempted from this Order.
- d. A person does not need to wear a Face Covering when (i) alone or only with others from their household or living unit in any building or enclosed space, such as when at work, (ii) there is nobody else within six feet, and (iii) others, whether coworkers, customers, building staff, or members of the public, are not likely to be in the same space for more than a few minutes in the following few days. A Face Covering must be worn if the person is working or engaged in activities where others routinely are present, even if the person is alone at the time, due to the risk of contaminating surfaces that others may soon touch. By way of example and without limitation, a Face Covering must be worn if a person shares a desk or individual office with co-workers on an alternating schedule or in a space where equipment such as tools, supplies, copiers, or computers are shared. A Face Covering must also be worn by someone like a plumber, teacher, care assistant, or housecleaner who visits someone



ORDER OF THE HEALTH OFFICER No. C19-12b

else's house or living space to perform work, and anyone who lives there should also wear a Face Covering when near the visitor.

A Face Covering does not need to be worn in such spaces by someone who is eating or drinking so long as that person complies with Section 3.e below. A Face Covering need not be worn when a person is alone in a private office or area that is not shared and not likely to be visited by others without prior warning, but if another person enters the immediate area and is likely to remain nearby, both people must put on a Face Covering for the duration of the interaction. And anyone who is preparing food or other items for sale or distribution to others is required by Section 4.b below to wear a Face Covering at all times when preparing such food or other items, even if they are alone when doing so.

- e. A person does not need to wear a Face Covering when (i) alone or only with members of their household or living unit, (ii) they are eating or drinking, whether indoors or outdoors, and (iii) nobody else is within six feet. In the context of foodservice such as a restaurant, guidelines issued by the state or in a separate Health Officer order or directive must be followed and may require servers to wear a Face Covering.
- f. A person does not need to wear a Face Covering when (i) outdoors alone or with members of their household or living unit, (ii) they are stationary, and (iii) they are maintaining at least six feet between the edge of the area they are in and the edge of next closest person's area. By way of example, this means that when someone is sitting with only household members and they are entirely within a distancing circle that is painted on the grass in a public park or on a picnic blanket that is at least six feet from the nearest picnic blanket, a Face Covering is not required to be worn. But the individuals must have a Face Covering readily available, and anyone walking to or from the location must wear a Face Covering when within six feet of anyone else.
- g. Children 12 years old or younger are not required by this Order to wear a Face Covering, and any child two years old or younger must not wear a Face Covering because of the risk of suffocation. Parents and caregivers must supervise use of Face Coverings by children to ensure safety and avoid misuse.
- h. A person does not need to wear a Face Covering when they can show either:
(1) a medical professional has provided a written exemption to the Face Covering requirement, based on the individual's medical condition, other health concern, or disability; or (2) wearing a Face Covering while working would create a risk to the person related to their work as determined by local, state, or federal regulators or workplace safety guidelines. A Face Covering should also not be used by anyone who has trouble breathing or is unconscious, incapacitated, or otherwise unable to remove the Face Covering without assistance.



ORDER OF THE HEALTH OFFICER No. C19-12b

- i. A person does not need to wear a Face Covering when in a motor vehicle and either alone or exclusively with other members of the same household or living unit. But a Face Covering is required when alone in the vehicle if the vehicle is used as a taxi or for any private car service or ride-sharing vehicle as outlined in Section 4.c below.
4. Regardless of the exceptions listed above, a Face Covering is required as follows:
 - a. A person must wear a Face Covering when they are required by another Health Officer order or directive to wear a Face Covering, including when the requirement of the other order or directive is more restrictive than this Order.
 - b. A person must wear a Face Covering when they are working in any space where food or other goods are handled, prepared, or packaged for sale or distribution to others. This requirement does not apply when preparing food or items for members of a person's own household or living unit.
 - c. A driver or operator of any public transportation or paratransit vehicle, taxi, or private car service or ride-sharing vehicle must wear a Face Covering when driving, operating, standing, or sitting in such vehicle, regardless of whether anyone else is in the vehicle, due to the need to reduce the spread of respiratory droplets in the vehicle at all times. But drivers or operators of public transportation vehicles are permitted to remove a Face Covering when seated in the operator compartment of the vehicle at terminals, the vehicle is stopped, and there are no passengers onboard due to the physical separation of the operator compartment and cleaning protocols between drivers.
5. People in the City are encouraged to consider whether wearing a Face Covering in their household or living unit would protect someone else living there who is vulnerable to COVID-19. Vulnerable people include: people 60 years old and older; people with serious heart conditions, hypertension, severe obesity, diabetes, chronic lung disease, chronic kidney disease being treated by dialysis, and moderate-to-severe asthma; and those who are immunosuppressed. A full list of populations that are vulnerable to COVID-19 and which should accordingly take extra precautions is available online at <https://www.sfcddcp.org/infectious-diseases-a-to-z/coronavirus-2019-novel-coronavirus/coronavirus-2019-information-for-healthcare-providers/> (look at the Frequently Asked Questions section). This determination is left to the individual, but if anyone who lives with a vulnerable person is engaged in frequent out-of-home activity under the Stay-Safe-At-Home Order, wearing a Face Covering when home may reduce the risk to the vulnerable person.
6. By way of example and without limitation, this Order requires a Face Covering when a person is Outside the Residence in all of the following circumstances unless an exception applies:



ORDER OF THE HEALTH OFFICER No. C19-12b

- a. When working at, engaged in, in line at, or seeking services or goods from any Essential Business, Outdoor Business, or Additional Business;
 - b. When inside or at any location or facility engaging in Minimum Basic Operations or when seeking, receiving, or providing Essential Government Functions;
 - c. When engaged in Essential Infrastructure work;
 - d. When engaged in any Outdoor Activity or Additional Activity;
 - e. When providing or obtaining services at Healthcare Operations unless permitted by this Order or a directive not to wear a Face Covering for a limited amount of time;
 - f. When at or near a transit stop, station, or terminal and when waiting for or riding on public transportation (including without limitation any bus, BART, Muni light rail, street car, cable car, or CalTrain) or in a paratransit vehicle, taxi, private car service, or ride-sharing vehicle; and
 - g. When in or walking through common areas such as hallways, stairways, elevators, and parking facilities.
7. All Essential Businesses, Outdoor Businesses, Additional Businesses, as well as entities and organizations with people engaged in Essential Infrastructure work, Minimum Basic Operations, Essential Government Functions, Outdoor Activities, Additional Activities, or Healthcare Operations, must:
- a. Require their employees, contractors, owners, volunteers, gig workers, and other personnel to wear a Face Covering at the workplace and when performing work off-site at all times as required by this Order and with allowance for exceptions included in the order.
 - b. Take reasonable measures, such as posting signs, to remind customers, clients, visitors, and others of the requirement that they wear a Face Covering while inside of or waiting in line to enter the business, facility, or location. Essential Businesses, Outdoor Businesses, Additional Businesses, and entities or organizations that are engaged in Essential Infrastructure work, Minimum Basic Operations, Essential Government Functions, or Healthcare Operations or that facilitate Outdoor Activities or Additional Activities must take all reasonable steps to prohibit any member of the public who is not wearing a Face Covering from waiting in line or entering, must not serve that person if those efforts are unsuccessful, and seek to remove that person.

A sample sign to be used for notifying customers can be found at the Department of Public Health website, at <https://sf.gov/outreach-toolkit-coronavirus-covid-19>.



ORDER OF THE HEALTH OFFICER No. C19-12b

- 8. The intent of this Order is to ensure that all people when Outside the Residence in the City as permitted by the Stay-Safe-At-Home Order wear a Face Covering to reduce the likelihood that they may transmit or contract the virus that causes COVID-19. In so doing, this Order will help reduce the spread of the virus and mitigate its impact on members of the public and on the delivery of critical healthcare services to those in need. All provisions of this Order must be interpreted to effectuate this intent.**
- 9. This Order is issued based on evidence of ongoing occurrence of COVID-19 and transmission of the SARS-CoV-2 virus within the City, the Bay Area, and the United States of America and best practices regarding the most effective approaches to slow the transmission of communicable diseases generally and COVID-19 specifically. Due to the outbreak of the virus in the general public, which is a pandemic according to the World Health Organization, there is a public health emergency throughout the City. People can be infected with the virus and be contagious and not have any symptoms, meaning they are asymptomatic. People can also be infected and contagious 48 hours before developing symptoms, the time when they are pre-symptomatic. Many people with the SARS-CoV-2 virus also have only mild symptoms and do not realize they are infected and contagious. Asymptomatic and pre-symptomatic people and those with only mild symptoms can unintentionally infect others. Evidence shows that wearing a face covering, when combined with physical distancing of at least 6 feet and frequent hand washing, significantly reduces the risk of transmitting coronavirus when in public and engaged in activities. And because it is not always possible to maintain at least 6 feet of distance, all people must wear a Face Covering when outdoors near others or engaged in work and other activities when others are nearby or likely to touch shared surfaces or use shared equipment. For clarity, although wearing a Face Covering is one tool for reducing the spread of the virus, doing so is not a substitute for sheltering in place, physical distancing of at least 6 feet, and frequent hand washing.**
- 10. This Order is also issued in light of the existence, as of May 28, 2020, of 2,437 confirmed cases of infection by the virus that causes COVID-19, primarily by way of community transmission, and 40 deaths in the City. This Order is necessary to slow the rate of spread, and the Health Officer will continue to assess the quickly evolving situation and may modify this Order, or issue additional Orders, related to COVID-19, as changing circumstances dictate.**
- 11. This Order is also issued in accordance with, and incorporates by reference, the Stay-Safe-At-Home Order, the March 4, 2020 Proclamation of a State of Emergency issued by Governor Gavin Newsom, the March 12, 2020 Executive Order (Executive Order N-25-20) issued by Governor Newsom, the February 25, 2020 Proclamation by Mayor London Breed Declaring the Existence of a Local Emergency (as supplemented several times after its issuance), the March 6, 2020 Declaration of Local Health Emergency Regarding Novel Coronavirus 2019 (COVID-19) issued by the Health Officer, all other orders and directives issued by the Health Officer, and guidance issued by CDPH and CDC, as each of them have been and may be amended or supplemented.**



**City and County of
San Francisco**

**Department of Public Health
Order of the Health Officer**

ORDER OF THE HEALTH OFFICER No. C19-12b

- 12. Failure to comply with any of the provisions of this Order constitutes an imminent threat and immediate menace to public health, constitutes a public nuisance, and is punishable by fine, imprisonment, or both.**
- 13. The City must promptly provide copies of this Order as follows: (1) by posting on the City Administrator's website (<https://www.sfgsa.org/>) and the Department of Public Health website (<https://www.sfdph.org/dph/alerts/coronavirus-healthorders.asp>); (2) by posting at City Hall, located at 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Pl., San Francisco, CA 94102; and (3) by providing to any member of the public requesting a copy.**
- 14. If any provision of this Order or its application to any person or circumstance is held to be invalid, then the remainder of the Order, including the application of such part or provision to other people or circumstances, shall not be affected and shall continue in full force and effect. To this end, the provisions of this Order are severable.**

IT IS SO ORDERED:

A handwritten signature in blue ink that reads "Tomás Aragón".

Tomás J. Aragón, MD, DrPH,
Health Officer of the
City and County of San Francisco

May 28, 2020

From: [Mchugh, Eileen \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#); [BOS-Administrative Aides](#)
Cc: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#); [Laxamana, Junko \(BOS\)](#); [Ng, Wilson \(BOS\)](#); [PEARSON, ANNE \(CAT\)](#)
Subject: Directive of the Health Officer No. 2020-04
Date: Thursday, May 28, 2020 7:17:00 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)
[2020.05.05 FINAL \(signed\) Directive No. 2020-04 re Public Works Construction Protocol.pdf](#)

Hello Supervisors,

Please see attached Health Directive No. 2020-04 received May, 28, 2020. The Office of the Clerk of the Board inquired about the break in the sequencing on May 10, 2020. We followed up today and were told it was issued on May 5, 2020. We have provided it for your information and attached it to our website.

Thank you,

Eileen McHugh
Executive Assistant
Board of Supervisors
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102-4689
Phone: (415) 554-7703 | Fax: (415) 554-5163
eileen.e.mchugh@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

From: Levy, Glenn (CAT) <Glenn.Levy@sfcityatty.org>
Sent: Thursday, May 28, 2020 1:40 PM
To: Patil, Sneha (DPH) <sneha.patil@sfdph.org>; Ng, Wilson (BOS) <wilson.l.ng@sfgov.org>
Subject: RE: Directive of the Health Officer No. 2020-04?

Actually it was issued on 5/5. A copy is attached FYI. I'm not sure why it didn't make it onto the DPH webpage.

Best,

-Glenn
Temp. Tel.: (415) 846-0797

From: Patil, Sneha (DPH) <sneha.patil@sfdph.org>
Sent: Thursday, May 28, 2020 1:33 PM
To: Ng, Wilson (BOS) <wilson.l.ng@sfgov.org>; Levy, Glenn (CAT) <Glenn.Levy@sfcityatty.org>
Subject: Re: Directive of the Health Officer No. 2020-04?

Hi Wilson,

I believe you are correct, it was not issued. Glenn, can you confirm?

Thanks,
Sneha

Sneha Patil, MPH

Director, Office of Policy and Planning

San Francisco Department of Public Health

sneha.patil@sfdph.org | 415-554-2795

From: Ng, Wilson (BOS) <wilson.l.ng@sfgov.org>
Sent: Thursday, May 28, 2020 1:29 PM
To: Patil, Sneha (DPH) <sneha.patil@sfdph.org>
Subject: Directive of the Health Officer No. 2020-04?

Hi Sneha, I hope all is well.

I noticed that we have a break in the sequencing of Health Directives that [we received to date](#).

Can you please confirm whether Directive of the Health Officer No. 2020-**04** was ever assigned and/or issued? I did not find it on the SFDPH [website](#), but just want to check for good measure.

Wilson L. Ng
Deputy Director of Operations

San Francisco Board of Supervisors

1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102

Phone: (415) 554-7725
Web: www.sfbos.org



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form

Disclosures: Personal information that is provided in communications to the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal

information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.

[...]

Hi Eileen,

I'm not aware of a Directive 2020-04 - it is strange and will ask City Attorney about that jump. All of our orders and directives can be found here: <https://www.sfdph.org/dph/alerts/coronavirus-healthorders.asp>

Sneha Patil, MPH

Director, Office of Policy and Planning

San Francisco Department of Public Health

sneha.patil@sfdph.org | 415-554-2795

From: Mchugh, Eileen (BOS) <eileen.e.mchugh@sfgov.org>

Sent: Friday, May 8, 2020 10:39 PM

To: Patil, Sneha (DPH) <sneha.patil@sfdph.org>

Cc: Calvillo, Angela (BOS) <angela.calvillo@sfgov.org>

Subject: RE: New Health Officer Directives (2020-05, 2020-06, and 2020-07)

Hello Sneha,

Thank you! Is there a Directive 2020-04? If so, can you please send it to us?

Thank you and have a wonderful weekend!

Eileen McHugh

Executive Assistant

Board of Supervisors

1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, City Hall, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102-4689

Phone: (415) 554-7703 | Fax: (415) 554-5163

eileen.e.mchugh@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

From: Patil, Sneha (DPH) <sneha.patil@sfdph.org>

Sent: Friday, May 8, 2020 7:06 PM

To: Calvillo, Angela (BOS) <angela.calvillo@sfgov.org>; Mchugh, Eileen (BOS) <eileen.e.mchugh@sfgov.org>

Subject: New Health Officer Directives (2020-05, 2020-06, and 2020-07)

Hi Angela - please see attached health officer directives on 1) restaurant takeout and delivery; 2) other delivery services; and 3) grocers, farmers' markets, pharmacies, and hardware stores. These require compliance by 11:59 p.m. on Friday, 5/15, but will not be enforced until after 5/18.



DIRECTIVE OF THE HEALTH OFFICER No. 2020-04

**DIRECTIVE OF THE HEALTH OFFICER OF
THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO REGARDING
THE CONSTRUCTION SAFETY PROTOCOLS FOR CITY PUBLIC WORKS
PROJECTS**

(PUBLIC HEALTH DIRECTIVE)
DATE OF DIRECTIVE: May 5, 2020

By this Directive, the Health Officer of the City and County of San Francisco (the “City”) issues industry-specific direction that Essential Businesses that perform construction as Essential Businesses under Section 16.f.v. of Health Officer Order No. C19-07c issued on April 29, 2020 (the “Stay-Safe-At-Home Order”) and that are serving as City and County of San Francisco public works project contractors must follow as part of the local response to the Coronavirus Disease 2019 (“COVID-19”) pandemic. This Directive constitutes industry-specific guidance as provided under Section 6 of the Stay-Safe-At-Home Order and a protocol for public works project contractors as provided under Section 16.f.v of the Stay-Safe-At-Home Order. For all City public works projects, this Directive takes the place of the construction safety protocols attached as Appendices B-1 and B-2 to the Stay-Safe-At-Home Order. Unless otherwise defined below, initially capitalized terms used in this Directive have the same meaning given them in that order. This Directive goes into effect immediately upon issuance but provides for an implementation grace period requiring compliance by 11:59 p.m. on May 6, 2020, and this Directive remains in effect until suspended, superseded, or amended by the Health Officer, as further provided below. This Directive has support in the bases and justifications set forth in the Stay-Safe-At-Home Order. As further provided below, it also automatically incorporates any revisions to that order or other future orders issued by the Health Officer that supersede that order or reference this Directive. This Directive is intended to promote best practices as to health and safety requirements and Social Distancing Requirements, helping prevent the transmission of COVID-19 and safeguard the health of managers, supervisors, contractors, other workers and the community.

**UNDER THE AUTHORITY OF CALIFORNIA HEALTH AND SAFETY CODE
SECTIONS 101040, 101085, AND 120175, THE HEALTH OFFICER OF THE CITY AND
COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO (“HEALTH OFFICER”) DIRECTS AS FOLLOWS:**

1. All onsite managers, supervisors, and contractors that perform construction-related services as Essential Businesses under Section 16.f.v. of the Stay-Safe-At-Home Order (“Contractors”) for City public works projects must, by 11:59 p.m. on May 6, 2020, comply with the requirements of the “City and County of San Francisco Public Works Project Safety Protocol for COVID-19 (Alternative to Appendices B-1 and B-2 for Public Works Projects)” (the “Protocol”). A copy of the Protocol is attached to this Directive as Exhibit A and incorporated by this reference. For clarity, this Directive requires each Contractor for a City public works project to comply with all aspects of the Protocol, including, but not limited to: creating or updating, translating, adopting, and implementing a written Site-Specific Health and Safety Plan (“HASP”) that includes implementation of each measure listed in the Protocol; providing workers with personal protective equipment; requiring all persons at public works project jobsites to wear a Face Covering as required by Health Officer Order No. C19-12, dated April 17, 2020 (including as subsequently



City and County of San Francisco

Department of Public Health Health Officer Directive

HEALTH OFFICER DIRECTIVE No. 2020-04

revised or amended); creating a task specific Job Hazard Analysis (“JHA”) for all tasks that may require staff to work inside of the six-foot social distancing zone; implementing cleaning and sanitization practices; implementing a COVID-19 community spread reduction plan; and assigning a COVID-19 Safety Compliance Officer (“SCO”).

2. This Directive and the Protocol may be revised by the Health Officer, through revision of this Directive or another future directive or order, as conditions relating to COVID-19 require, in the discretion of the Health Officer. Each Contractor for a City public works project must stay updated regarding any changes to the Stay-Safe-At-Home Order and this Directive by checking the City Administrator’s website (www.sfgsa.org) or the Department of Public Health website (<https://www.sfdph.org/dph/alerts/coronavirus.asp>) regularly.
3. Implementation of this Directive augments—but does not limit—the obligations of each Contractor on a City public works project under the Stay-Safe-At-Home Order. Each such Contractor must follow these industry-specific Best Practices and update them as necessary for the duration of this Directive, including, without limitation, as this Directive is amended or extended in writing by the Health Officer and consistent with any extension of the Stay-Safe-At-Home Order or any other order that supersedes that order.

This Directive is issued in furtherance of the purposes of the Stay-Safe-At-Home Order. Where a conflict exists between this Directive and any state, local, or federal public health order related to the COVID-19 pandemic, including, without limitation, the Protocol, the most restrictive provision controls. Failure to carry out this Directive is a violation of the Stay-Safe-At-Home Order, constitutes an imminent threat and menace to public health, constitutes a public nuisance, and is a misdemeanor punishable by fine, imprisonment, or both.

A handwritten signature in blue ink that reads "Tomás Aragón".

Tomás J. Aragón, MD, DrPH,
Health Officer of the
City and County of San Francisco

Date: May 5, 2020

HEALTH OFFICER DIRECTIVE No. 2020-04

Appendix A: City and County of San Francisco Public Works Project Safety Protocol for COVID-19 (Alternative to Appendices B-1 and B-2 for Public Works Projects) May 5, 2020

1. All public works projects in the City and County of San Francisco (“City”) must implement and adhere to this Public Works Project Safety Protocol for COVID-19 (“PWP Protocol”), which:
 - a. Conforms to the Order of the Health Officer No. C19-07c, issued on April 29, 2020.
 - b. Constitutes “other protocols” for City public works projects under Order No. C19-07c, section 16.f.v.
 - c. Replaces the COVID-19 Construction Field Safety Guidelines (“Guidelines”) issued on April 1, 2020.
 - d. Replaces the Directive of the Health Officer of the City and County of San Francisco (Guidance for Construction-Related Essential Businesses), which made implementation of the Guidelines mandatory, issued on April 2, 2020.
 - e. Replaces the Procedures for Implementation and Enforcement of COVID-19 Construction Field Safety Guidelines for Public Works Projects (“Procedures”) issued by the City Administrator on April 15, 2020.
2. City public works project contractors (“Contractors”) must comply with the following restrictions and requirements on all City public works projects. Contractors must:
 - a. Comply with all current, applicable laws and regulations including, but not limited to, OSHA and Cal-OSHA. If there is any conflict, difference or discrepancy between or among applicable laws and regulations and or the PWP Protocol, the stricter standard will apply.
 - b. Have sole responsibility for construction site health and safety, regulatory compliance, and the associated means and methods and techniques for ensuring a safe and healthy work environment on the Contractor’s site for their own employees, employees of their subcontractors, City employees and consultants, visitors to the site, and any other persons granted access to the site.
 - c. Provide safe access to all work areas to permit inspections by City inspectors.
 - d. Submit a new or updated Site-Specific Health and Safety Plan (“HASP”) to the City representative if Contractor does not already have an approved plan on file with the City that meets the requirements of this PWP Protocol. Translate

information in the HASP for trainings and signs to ensure that information in the HASP is communicated effectively to all non-English speaking workers.

- e. Provide workers with personal protective equipment (PPE) specifically for use in construction including gloves, goggles, face shields, and face coverings as appropriate for the activity being performed.
- f. Require all persons on public works project jobsites to wear face coverings in compliance with Section 5 of the Health Officer's Order No. C19-12, dated April 17, 2020, or any subsequently issued or amended order.
- g. Establish the level of PPE required for each specific task. Create a task specific Job Hazard Analysis (JHA) for all tasks that may require staff to work inside of the six-foot social distancing zone. Make JHA forms available to the City Representative and City inspectors for review upon request.
- h. Monitor all employees to ensure proper use of the PPE.
- i. Prohibit sharing of PPE.
- j. Implement social distancing requirements including, at minimum:
 - i. Stagger start-times and stop-times for shift schedules to reduce the number of workers at the jobsite at any one time to the extent feasible.
 - ii. Stagger trade-specific work to minimize the number of workers at the jobsite at any one time to the extent feasible.
 - iii. Require social distancing that maintains a minimum six-foot distance between workers at all times, except as strictly necessary to carry out a task associated with the project performed by workers utilizing appropriate PPE. In addition, maintain a minimum six-foot distance from any other persons present on-site.
 - iv. Prohibit gatherings of any size on the jobsite unless social distancing can be maintained or any attendees closer than six-foot are wearing appropriate PPE.
 - v. Strictly control "choke points" and "high-risk areas" where workers are unable to maintain minimum six-foot social distancing and prohibit or limit access to such areas to ensure that minimum six-foot distancing can easily be maintained between workers.
 - vi. Minimize interactions and maintain social distancing with all site visitors, including delivery workers, design professional, other project consultants, and City representatives, including construction and fire inspectors.
 - vii. Prohibit workers from using others' phones or desks. Clean any work tools or equipment that must be used by more than one worker with disinfectants that are effective against COVID-19 before use by a new worker.
 - viii. Place wash stations or hand sanitizers that are effective against COVID-19 at entrances to the jobsite and in multiple locations dispersed throughout the jobsite in sufficient quantities for the number of people at the jobsite.

- ix. Maintain a daily attendance log of all workers and visitors that includes contact information, including name, address, phone number, email address, and the name of the employer for each worker and visitor to the jobsite.
- x. Post a notice in an area visible to all workers instructing workers to do the following:
 - 1. Do not touch your face with unwashed hands or with gloves;
 - 2. Frequently wash your hands with soap and water for at least 20 seconds or use hand sanitizer with at least 60% alcohol;
 - 3. Clean and disinfect frequently touched objects and surfaces, such as work stations, keyboards, telephones, handrails, machines, shared tools, elevator control buttons, and doorknobs;
 - 4. Do not use your hand to cover your mouth and nose when coughing or sneezing; cough or sneeze into the crook of your arm at your elbow/sleeve;
 - 5. Do not enter the jobsite if you have a fever, cough or any other COVID-19 symptoms. If you feel sick, or have been exposed to anyone who is sick, stay at home;
 - 6. Constantly observe your work distances in relation to other staff. Maintain the recommended minimum six feet of separation at all times when not wearing the necessary PPE for working in close proximity to another person; and.
 - 7. Do not share phones, Ipads/tablets or similar devices.
- k. Implement cleaning and sanitization practices in accordance with the following:
 - i. Frequently clean and sanitize all high-traffic and high-touch areas in accordance with CDC guidelines, including, at a minimum: meeting areas; jobsite lunch and break areas; entrances and exits to the jobsite; jobsite trailers; jobsite restroom areas, stairs, elevators and lifts.
 - ii. Establish a cleaning and decontamination protocol for workers and others prior to their entry to and exit from the jobsite.
 - iii. Supply all personnel performing cleaning and sanitation with proper PPE to prevent them from contracting COVID-19.
 - iv. Prohibit employees from sharing PPE.
 - v. Establish adequate time in the work day to allow for proper cleaning and decontamination including prior to leaving the jobsite for the day.
- l. Implement a COVID-19 community spread reduction plan that includes, at minimum, the following restrictions and requirements:
 - i. Prohibit carpooling to and from the jobsite except by workers living within the same household, or as necessary (including public transportation while maintaining social distancing) for workers who have no alternative means of transportation.
 - ii. Provide water for workers in single-serve containers in accordance with OSHA requirements.
 - iii. Prohibit any sharing of food or beverage and send any worker who violates this prohibition home for the day.

- iv. Prohibit shared use of microwaves, water coolers, and other similar shared equipment.
- m. Assign a COVID-19 Safety Compliance Officer (SCO) to the jobsite and provide the City Representative written notice of the SCO's name, address, cell phone number, and email address. The SCO is Contractor's safety representative who must be at the site during all working hours. The SCO must be available 24 hours a day, 7 days a week, by telephone or other approved means. The SCO must at a minimum hold an OSHA-30 certificate and a certificate of first-aid training within the past two years. The SCO must:
 - i. Ensure implementation of all recommended safety and sanitation requirements regarding the COVID-19 virus at the jobsite.
 - ii. Compile daily written verification that each jobsite is compliant with the components of this PWP Protocol. Each written verification form must be copied, stored, and made immediately available upon request by any City official.
 - iii. Establish a daily screening protocol for arriving staff to ensure that potentially infected staff do not enter the jobsite. If workers leave the jobsite and return the same day, establish a cleaning and decontamination protocol prior to entry and exit of the jobsite. Post the daily screening protocol at all entrances and exits to the jobsite. More information on screening can be found online at: <https://www.cdc.gov/coronavirus/2019-ncov/community/critical-workers/implementing-safety-practices.html>.
- iv. Establish an assembly point for staff "tailgate meetings" before the start of work each day, which complies with the recommended social distancing parameters. Conduct daily briefings in person or by teleconference that cover the following topics:
 - 1. New jobsite rules and pre-jobsite travel restrictions for the prevention of COVID-19 community spread;
 - 2. Review of sanitation and hygiene procedures;
 - 3. Solicitation of worker feedback on improving safety and sanitation;
 - 4. Coordination of construction site daily cleaning/sanitation requirements;
 - 5. Conveying updated information regarding COVID-19; and,
 - 6. Emergency protocols in the event of an exposure or suspected exposure to COVID-19.
- v. Develop and ensure implementation of a remediation plan to address any non-compliance with this PWP Protocol and post remediation plan at entrance and exit of jobsite during remediation period. Translate the remediation plan as necessary to ensure that all non-English speaking workers are able to understand the document.
- vi. The SCO must prohibit any non-compliant activity from continuing without bringing it into compliance with these requirements.
- n. In the event of a confirmed case of COVID-19 at any jobsite, Contractor must take the following actions:

- i. Immediately remove the infected individual from the jobsite with directions to seek medical care and follow applicable self-isolation requirements.
- ii. Decontaminate and sanitize each location at which the infected worker was present.
- iii. Notify the City Representative and the City Public Health Department immediately and perform any additional actions required by a City Public Health official, including full compliance with any tracing efforts by the City.

3. City's Review, Inspection and Enforcement

- a. This paragraph establishes standardized procedures for the City to review, inspect and enforce this PWP Protocol, and specifies measures City Representative must take to address non-conformance with the PWP Protocol, including the following elements:
 - i. Site-Specific Health and Safety Plan (HASP); and
 - ii. Job Hazard Analysis (JHA) forms.
- b. Definitions
 - i. Health and Safety Plan (HASP).
 - 1. Contractor must develop and submit a project Site-Specific HASP to the City Representative for approval.
 - 2. Attachment-1 provides a sample Table of Contents for a Health and Safety Plan.
 - ii. Job Hazard Analysis (JHA)
 - 1. A JHA is a form used to identify a task and break it down into steps, identify the hazards associated with each step, and identify the control measures used for each step to protect the worker, environment and/or public. See Attachment-2.
 - 2. A JHA provides a method to document an employer's evaluation of a particular task and the development of engineering controls or PPE to eliminate or minimize the hazard involved with the task.
 - 3. Contractors must create or update JHAs for tasks where, for example, workers engage in tool sharing or where the nature of a task makes it difficult to establish social distancing.
- c. Each City Chapter 6 Department must identify a Construction Safety Manager (CSM) for each public works project. A Department CSM may be responsible for more than one project. The CSM in coordination with the project's City Representative must:
 - i. Collect HASPs from the Contractor on each public works project.
 - ii. Provide a report to the Department's Director or General Manager ("Director") or designee informing the Director whether the Contractor has submitted an approved HASP.
 - iii. Permit a Contractor to continue work on a public works construction project only if it submits a HASP for the project that the CSM has approved.
 - iv. Require a Contractor to address any HASP deficiency promptly.

- v. Prohibit a Contractor from proceeding with work on a public works project if the CSM has not approved the Contractor's HASP.
- d. City Representatives and Inspectors
- i. City Representatives and inspectors must not direct Contractors' means and methods for achieving conformance with the PWP Protocol.
 - ii. Chapter 6 Departments must assign inspectors to conduct site visits to inspect construction activities on each of these projects to ensure that the Contractor is in compliance with approved HASPs, JHA forms, and this PWP Protocol.
 - iii. City inspectors must conduct both scheduled and unannounced site visits to inspect projects for compliance with approved HASPs, JHA forms, the PWP Protocols.
 - iv. CSMs and inspectors must monitor compliance with the Coronavirus (COVID-19) Safety Protocols for Inspection Services, Attachment-3, and evaluate documentation of the required health and safety measures for conformance with the checklist at Attachment-4.
 - v. The inspector must prepare an Inspection Report for each day that he or she is on a construction site. Inspectors must document inspection findings in detail and in writing, recording observations about the execution of the work, comparing the contractor's performance and deliverables to the HASP, JHA forms, and the PWP Protocol, describing any deficiencies issued and/or corrected; Non-Conformance Notices (NCNs) issued; with a determination whether the work is in compliance with the HASP, JHAs, the PWP Protocol.
 - vi. The inspector must inform the Contractors' SCO of any observed deficiencies at the time they are observed, take digital images, and document deficiencies in the inspection reports. All entries in the inspection reports must be clear, concise and factual.
 - vii. The inspector will notify the Contractor's SCO of any observed project safety hazards and deficiencies and require Contractor to initiate corrective actions in a timely manner to ensure compliance with the HASP, the JHAs, and the PWP Protocol.
 - viii. When a Contractor does not promptly correct (or when the Contractor's inaction would result in) a violation of the PWP Protocol, the inspector and/or City Representative will issue a written non-compliance notice (NCN) to the Contractor. The NCN will describe and record a breach of the HASP, JHA, and/or PWP Protocol, and will direct the contractor to perform corrective action promptly by a designated deadline.
- e. Non-Compliance Notices
- i. The Contractor must respond to a NCN by completion and submission of a Corrective Action Report (CAR), with a revised and updated HASP and/or JHA form (if necessary), and must include the cause of the problem, remedial action, long term action, and timeline to correct the problem. The Contractor is responsible for selecting the appropriate corrective actions. City personnel must not provide any

- suggestions or provide guidance to the Contractor that could be construed as directing the means and methods of the Contractor.
- ii. The inspector and the City Representative must review the CAR and revised/updated HASP or JHA form, which must be approved by the project's CSM before implementation.
 - iii. The inspector must re-inspect the work for compliance with the CAR. When the work required to correct the NCN is complete to the satisfaction of the inspector, the inspector will issue a written notice closing the NCN with copies to the Contractor's SCO, the City Representative and the City's CSM.
 - iv. If the Contractor does not take corrective action in response to the NCN by the deadline, or the Contractor is unable or unwilling to submit a CAR and/or revised/updated HASP or JHA form, the City must direct the Contractor to stop the non-complaint portion(s) of work involved until the Contractor submits a CAR that is reviewed by the Inspector and City Representative, and approved by the City's CSM.
 - v. Any member of the City's construction management team who observes a situation where the Contractor is not in compliance with the PWP Protocol must immediately contact the SCO and direct the Contractor to take immediate corrective action. The Contractor's labor supervisors must have the authority, through consultation with the SCO, to halt all activities that do not adhere to this PWP Protocol and direct the work involved to stop.
 - vi. If the Contractor fails to take action after being advised of an unsafe condition, or fails to carry out required corrective actions, the CSM will direct Contractor to shut down the project.
- f. Potential Consequences of Non-Compliance
- i. Violation of or failure to comply with the PWP Protocol is a misdemeanor punishable by fine, imprisonment, or both. (California Health and Safety Code § 120295, et seq.; California Penal Code §§ 69, 148(a)(1). Pursuant to Government Code sections 26602 and 41601 and Health and Safety Code section 101029, the Health Officer requests that the Sheriff and the Chief of Police for the City and County of San Francisco try to ensure compliance with and enforce this PWP Protocol.

Attachments

1. Contractor Health and Safety Plan (HASP), Table of Contents – Typical
2. Job Analysis (JHA)/Activity Hazard Analysis (AHA) – Typical
3. Coronavirus (COVID-19) Safety Protocols for Inspection Services
4. Contractor COVID-19 HASP Amendment Checklist

Attachment - 1

Page 1 of 3

Contractor Health and Safety Plan (HASP) Table of Contents – Typical

NOTE:

A typical HASP is provided to assist the Contractor in developing a Site-Specific Health and Safety Plan for a project. *This information is for guidance only* and is not intended to be utilized as the Contractor's sole source for development of a Project HASP, *refer to additional notes below.*

Section 1 Introduction

- 1.1 Project Background
- 1.2 Project Objectives

Section 2 Scope of Work

- 2.1 Scope of Work
- 2.2 Health and Safety Plan Application
- 2.3 Emergency Response

Section 3 Contractor Organization Roles, Responsibilities and Coordination

- 3.1 Key Contractor Personnel
 - 3.1.1 Construction Manager
 - 3.1.2 Construction Superintendent
 - 3.1.3 Site Safety Representative
 - 3.1.4 Emergency Response Coordinator
- 3.2 Key Project Construction Management Personnel
 - 3.2.1 Project Construction Manager
 - 3.2.2 Program Safety Manager

Section 4 HASP Plans and Guidelines

- 4.1 Site Risk Analysis
- 4.2 Safety Systems Analysis
- 4.3 Specific Activity Hazard Analysis (AHA)
- 4.4 Hazards Communication
- 4.5 Site Audits/Inspections
- 4.6 Discipline and Enforcement
- 4.7 Critical Lift Plans

Attachment - 1

Page 2 of 3

Contractor Health and Safety Plan (HASP) Table of Contents – Typical

Section 5 HASP Procedures, Training, and Orientation

- 5.1** Contractor Weekly Safety Meeting Requirements
- 5.2** Contractor Workers Daily Task Safety Planning
- 5.3** HASP Procedures
- 5.4** Job Task/Safety Analysis Training
- 5.5** Special Training Programs
 - 5.5.1 Hazardous Materials
 - 5.5.2 Hazardous Waste
 - 5.5.3 Confined Space/Underground Work
 - 5.5.4 Trenching and Shoring
 - 5.5.5 Crane Inspection/Heavy Lifts
 - 5.5.6 Fall protection
 - 5.5.7 Work in Operating Treatment Plants
- 5.6** OSHA 10/30 – Hour Outreach Program
- 5.7** Training Documentation and Record Keeping

Section 6 Emergency Management Planning/Injury Reporting

- 6.1** Emergency Response
- 6.2** Emergency management Coordination
- 6.3** Injury Reporting
- 6.4** Incident Investigation/Root Cause Analysis
- 6.5** First Aid/Competent Persons
- 6.6** Medical Treatment Locations

Section 7 Record Keeping, Reporting, Posting, and Communications

- 7.1** Record Keeping Requirements
- 7.2** Reporting Requirements
- 7.3** Posting Requirements
- 7.4** Communication Requirements

Attachment - 1

Page 3 of 3

Contractor Health and Safety Plan (HASP) Table of Contents – Typical

Section 8 Health and Safety Requirements

- 8.1** Health and Safety Requirements and Applicability
- 8.2** OSHA Requirements
- 8.3** Cal/OSHA Requirements
- 8.4** Project Specific Requirements
 - 8.4.1 Lockout/Tagout Process
 - 8.4.2 Facility Site-Specific Safety Procedure

Section 9 Medical Qualification, Surveillance, and Protection

- 9.1** Medical Qualifications and Surveillance
- 9.2** Personal Protective Equipment (PPE)
- 9.3** Hearing Conservation
- 9.4** Respirator Protection
- 9.5** **COVID-19 Safe Practices**
- 9.6** Controlled Substances and Smoking
- 9.7** Other Contractor Site-Specific Safety, *as required*

Section 10 Security Requirements

- 10.1** Site Security

References (partial listings)

- OSHA 1910 General Industry Standards
- OSHA 1926 Construction Standards
- San Francisco Construction Industry Consensus – Best Practices COVID-19 / Construction Field Safety Guidelines
- Contract Technical Specification, Health and Safety
- Contract Technical Specification, Site Security

NOTES:

- (a) The Contractor is reminded to develop the Site-Specific Safety Requirements in coordination with the owner's facility site specific safety procedures. (If applicable with OSHA and Health and Safety regulations and policies.)
- (b) The Contractor should amend the HASP as required in support of any additional Health and Safety requirements or changes in condition that mandate changes/modifications to the project Site-Specific HASP.

Attachment - 2
Page 1 of 2
Job Analysis (JHA)/Activity Hazard Analysis (AHA) – Typical

INSTRUCTIONS FOR COMPLETING A CODE OF SAFE PRACTICE (CSP)

DEPARTMENT/DIVISION: Enter the Department/bureau name for which this CSP will apply.

WORK GROUP: Enter the work group name for which this CSP will apply.

DATE PREPARED: Enter the date this CSP was prepared or updated.

TASK: Describe the task that will be performed.

HAZARDS: Place a check in all the boxes that apply to hazards encountered while performing this task.

DESCRIBE: List any additional detail that is needed to identify the hazards present while performing this task.

PERSONAL PROTECTIVE EQUIPMENT and OTHER SAFETY EQUIPMENT:

Place a check in all the boxes that apply to the PPE and all other Safety Equipment necessary to protect employees while performing this task.

SPECIFY STEPS TO COMPLETE TASK and RELATED CONTROLS:

List the steps to complete the task this CSP covers and include the ways employee will be protected from injury. The information should be clear and detailed, such that employees will know the specific safety requirements.

Attachment 2
Page 2 of 2
Job Analysis (JHA)/Activity Hazard Analysis (AHA) – Typical

CODE OF SAFE PRACTICES

Division:	Department:
Prepared By:	Date:
Title:	
Location and Crew:	
Task:	
Approved By and Date:	

Hazards:

Describe:

<input type="checkbox"/> Chemicals <input type="checkbox"/> Confined Space <input type="checkbox"/> Cumulative Trauma <input type="checkbox"/> Electrical <input type="checkbox"/> Fire <input type="checkbox"/> Heat Stress <input type="checkbox"/> High Pressure <input type="checkbox"/> High Work/Falls	<input type="checkbox"/> Hot Work <input type="checkbox"/> Infectious Materials <input type="checkbox"/> Lifting <input type="checkbox"/> Materials Handling <input type="checkbox"/> Moving Machinery <input type="checkbox"/> Noise <input type="checkbox"/> Slips <input type="checkbox"/> Other	
---	--	--

Personal Protective and Other Safety Equipment:

Eyes: <input type="checkbox"/> Chemical Goggles <input type="checkbox"/> Safety Glasses <input type="checkbox"/> Face Shield and Goggles <input type="checkbox"/> Other – Describe:	Body: <input type="checkbox"/> Gloves <input type="checkbox"/> Work Shoes/Rubber Boots <input type="checkbox"/> Coveralls <input type="checkbox"/> Hard Hat	Respiratory Protection: <input type="checkbox"/> Half-Face Air Purifying Respirator with Appropriate Cartridge <input type="checkbox"/> Self-Contained Breathing Apparatus <input type="checkbox"/> Emergency Escape Respirator <input type="checkbox"/> Dust/Mist Mask
Hearing: <input type="checkbox"/> Ear Plugs <input type="checkbox"/> Ear Muffs <input type="checkbox"/> Other – Describe:	Ventilation: <input type="checkbox"/> Exhaust Fan <input type="checkbox"/> Blower Fan <input type="checkbox"/> Other – Describe:	Fall Protection: <input type="checkbox"/> Safety Harness and Lanyard <input type="checkbox"/> Self-Retracting Lifeline (SRL) <input type="checkbox"/> Portable Anchor Type:

Air Monitoring Equipment:

- ☐ Four Gas Meter How Many? _____
☐ Five Gas Meter How Many? _____
☐ Other – Describe:

Confined Space Retrieval:

- ☐ Tripod or UCL
☐ SRL/Winch Combination Unit
☐ Safety Harness
☐ Ladder

Specific Procedures:

Attachment – 3

Coronavirus (COVID-19) Safety Protocols for Inspection Services

Because of the COVID-19 public health crisis, inspectors can work in the field without checking in in-person until further notice. They should check-in with their supervisor or manager a minimum of twice a day via phone or as frequently as needed for guidance.

In order to keep our workers safe, inspectors must take the following precautions:

- When inspectors get to the site that is to be inspected, they should call the contractor's Safety Compliance Officer ("SCO") or other person in control of the site and request access to the jobsite area being inspected. This is to prevent unnecessary touching of anything at the location not directly related to the inspection.
- Inspectors should seek to stand at least 6 feet apart from others at all times. Inspectors should make sure before entering the jobsite that that Contractor has told all parties at the jobsite that the inspector needs to stand 6 feet apart during the inspection at all times.
- Health Orders have been updated to require wearing face coverings to avoid transmission of the virus. You must wear a face covering at all times during the inspection, and everyone else on the jobsite must also be wearing a face covering. Face coverings are an additional protective measure but are not a substitute for hand washing, which continues to be a priority.
- Inspectors are under no obligation to perform an inspection if they have reason to believe that they cannot safely perform their duties at a site. An inspector feels unsafe immediately leave the potentially unsafe location immediately, contact his or her supervisor, inform the supervisor of the situation and the inspector's concerns, and determine next steps in consultation with the supervisor.
- An inspector may ask the person in charge of the site if there is anyone sick at the location. If they respond yes or anyone at the site has observable signs of sickness, then the inspection should not continue.
- The use of the Departmental vehicle fleet is limited to one employee per vehicle to adhere to the social distancing requirement.
- As you know, conditions at jobsites vary considerably. Therefore, inspectors and their supervisors may exercise their discretion to determine the best course of action if a potentially unsafe situations arises.

- If the project provides handwashing stations, inspectors are encouraged to wash their hands. Alternately, inspectors should use sanitized wipes or hand sanitizer if available. Inspectors should wash hands or use hand sanitizer when entering or leaving the site of the inspection.

Please follow common-sense precautions to stay safe:

- Wash your hands frequently with soap and water for 20 seconds each time.
- Keep six feet between you and other people at all times.
- Do not touch other people: no shaking hands or hugs.
- Sneeze and cough into your sleeve.

ATTACHMENT 4

Contractor COVID-19 HASP Amendment Checklist

Please review checklist and include needed items to protect workers from COVID-19 and other infectious diseases.
Please tailor plan to be site specific to the contract work performed.

Contract: _____

Date: _____

Name: _____

Category	Items to Include In HASP	Reference	Comment	Check
OSHA	Comply with all current, applicable laws and regulations including, but not limited to, OSHA and Cal-OSHA. If there is any conflict, difference or discrepancy between or among applicable laws and regulations and or the PWP Protocol, the stricter standard will apply.	SF Public Works Projects Safety Protocol, May 3, 2020 ("PW Protocol"), §2.a.		
Responsible for Means and Methods	Have sole responsibility for construction site health and safety, regulatory compliance, and the associated means and methods and techniques for ensuring a safe and healthy work environment on the Contractor's site for their own employees, employees of their subcontractors, City employees and consultants, visitors to the site, and any other persons granted access to the site.	PW Protocol, §2.b.		
Safe Access	Provide safe access to all work areas to permit inspections by City inspectors.	PW Protocol, § 2.c.		
Current, Approved HASP	Submit a new or updated Site-Specific Health and Safety Plan ("HASP") to the City representative if Contractor does not already have an approved plan on file with the City that meets the requirements of this PWP Protocol. Translate the HASP for trainings and signs as necessary to ensure that all non-English speaking workers are able to understand information in the HASP.	PW Protocol, § 2.d.		
PPE	Provide workers with personal protective equipment (PPE) specifically for use in construction including gloves, goggles, face shields, and face coverings as appropriate for the activity being performed.	PW Protocol, § 2.e.		
Face Coverings	Require all persons on public works project jobsites to wear face coverings in compliance with Section 5 of the Health Officer's Order No. C19-12, dated April 17, 2020, or any subsequently issued or amended order.	PW Protocol, § 2.f.		
JHA	Establish the level of PPE required for each specific task. Create a task specific Job Hazard Analysis (JHA) for all tasks that may require staff to work inside of the six-foot social distancing zone. Make JHA forms available to the City representative and City inspectors for review upon request.	PW Protocol, § 2.g.		

PPE	Monitor all employees to ensure proper use of the PPE.	PW Protocol, § 2.h.		
PPE	Prohibit sharing of PPE.	PW Protocol, § 2.i.		
SOCIAL DISTANCING Minimum Requirements	Stagger start-times and stop-times for shift schedules to reduce the number of workers at the jobsite at any one time to the extent feasible.	PW Protocol, § 2.j.i.		
	Stagger trade-specific work to minimize the number of workers at the jobsite at any one time to the extent feasible.	PW Protocol, § 2.j.ii.		
	Require social distancing that maintains a minimum 6-foot distance between workers at all times, except as strictly necessary to carry out a task associated with the project performed by workers utilizing appropriate PPE. In addition, maintain a minimum six-foot distance from any other persons present on-site.	PW Protocol, § 2.j.iii.		
	Prohibit gatherings of any size on the jobsite unless social distancing can be maintained or any attendees closer than 6-foot are wearing appropriate PPE.	PW Protocol, § 2.j.iv.		
	Strictly control “choke points” and “high-risk areas” where workers are unable to maintain minimum 6-foot social distancing and prohibit or limit access to such areas to ensure that minimum 6-foot distancing can easily be maintained between workers.	PW Protocol, § 2.j.v.		
	Minimize interactions and maintain social distancing with all site visitors, including delivery workers, design professional, other project consultants, and City representatives, including construction and fire inspectors.	PW Protocol, § 2.j.vi.		
	Prohibit workers from using others’ phones or desks. Clean any work tools or equipment that must be used by more than one worker with disinfectants that are effective against COVID-19 before use by a new worker.	PW Protocol, § 2.j.vii.		
	Place wash stations or hand sanitizers that are effective against COVID-19 at entrances to the jobsite and in multiple locations dispersed throughout the jobsite as warranted in sufficient quantities for the number of people on the jobsite.	PW Protocol, § 2.j.viii.		
	Maintain a daily attendance log of all workers and visitors that includes contact information, including name, address, phone number, email address, and employer.	PW Protocol, § 2.j.ix.		
	Post a notice in an area visible to all workers instructing workers to do the following: 1. Do not touch your face with unwashed hands or with gloves; 2. Frequently wash your hands with soap and water for at least 20 seconds or use hand sanitizer with at least 60% alcohol; 3. Clean and disinfect frequently touched objects and surfaces, such as work stations, keyboards, telephones, handrails, machines,	PW Protocol, § 2.j.x.		

	<p>shared tools, elevator control buttons, and doorknobs;</p> <p>4. Do not use your hand to cover your mouth and nose when coughing or sneezing; cough or sneeze into the crook of your arm at your elbow/sleeve;</p> <p>5. Do not enter the jobsite if you have a fever, cough or any other COVID-19 symptoms. If you feel sick, or have been exposed to anyone who is sick, stay at home;</p> <p>6. Constantly observe your work distances in relation to other staff. Maintain the recommended minimum six feet of separation at all times when not wearing the necessary PPE for working in close proximity to another person; and.</p> <p>7. Do not share phones, Ipads/tablets or similar devices.</p>			
CLEANING & STERILIZATION PRACTICES		PW Protocol, § 2.k.		
	Frequently clean and sanitize all high-traffic and high-touch areas in accordance with CDC guidelines, including, at a minimum: meeting areas; jobsite lunch and break areas; entrances and exits to the jobsite; jobsite trailers; jobsite restroom areas, stairs, elevators and lifts.	PW Protocol, § 2.k.i.		
	Establish a cleaning and decontamination protocol for works and others prior to their entry to and exit from the jobsite.	PW Protocol, § 2.k.ii		
	Supply all personnel performing cleaning and sanitation with proper PPE to prevent them from contracting COVID-19.	PW Protocol, § 2.k.iii.		
	Prohibit employees from sharing PPE.	PW Protocol, § 2.k.iv.		
	Establish adequate time in the work day to allow for proper cleaning and decontamination including prior to leaving the jobsite for the day.	PW Protocol, § 2.k.v.		
Safety Compliance Officer (SCO)	Assign a COVID-19 Safety Compliance Officer (SCO) to the jobsite and provide the City Representative written notice of the SCO's name, address, cell phone number, and email address. The SCO is Contractor's safety representative who must be at the site during all working hours. The SCO must be available 24 hours a day, 7 days a week, by telephone or other approved means. The SCO must at a minimum hold an OSHA-30 certificate and a certificate of first-aid training within the past two years.	PW Protocol, § 2.m.		
	Ensure implementation of all recommended safety and sanitation requirements regarding the COVID-19 virus at the jobsite.	PW Protocol, § 2.m.i.		
	Compile daily written verification that each jobsite is compliant with the components of this PWP Protocol. Each written verification form must be copied, stored, and made immediately available upon request by any City official.	PW Protocol, § 2.m.ii.		

	Establish a daily screening protocol for arriving staff to ensure that potentially infected staff do not enter the jobsite. If workers leave the jobsite and return the same day, establish a cleaning and decontamination protocol prior to entry and exit of the jobsite. Post the daily screening protocol at all entrances and exits to the jobsite. More information on screening can be found online at: https://www.cdc.gov/coronavirus/2019-ncov/community/critical-workers/implementing-safety-practices.html .	PW Protocol, § 2.m.iii.		
	Establish an assembly point for staff “tailgate meetings” before the start of work each day, which complies with the recommended social distancing parameters. Conduct daily briefings in person or by teleconference that cover the following topics: 1. New jobsite rules and pre-jobsite travel restrictions for the prevention of COVID-19 community spread; 2. Review of sanitation and hygiene procedures; 3. Solicitation of worker feedback on improving safety and sanitation; 4. Coordination of construction site daily cleaning/sanitation requirements; 5. Conveying updated information regarding COVID-19; and, 6. Emergency protocols in the event of an exposure or suspected exposure to COVID-19.	PW Protocol, § 2.m.iv.		
	Develop and ensure implementation of a remediation plan to address any non-compliance with this PWP Protocol and post remediation plan at entrance and exit of jobsite during remediation period. Translate the remediation plan as necessary to ensure that all non-English speaking workers are able to understand the document.	PW Protocol, § 2.m.v.		
	The SCO must not permit any activity to continue without bringing it into compliance with these requirements.	PW Protocol, § 2.m.vi.		
	Report repeated non-compliance with this PWP Protocol to the appropriate jobsite supervisors and the City Representative.	PW Protocol, § 2.m.vii.		
Confirmed Case of COVID-10 at a Jobsite	In the event of a confirmed case of COVID-19 at any jobsite, Contractor must take the following actions	PW Protocol, § 2.n.		
	Immediately remove the infected individual from the jobsite with directions to seek medical care and follow applicable self-isolation requirements.	PW Protocol, § 2.n.i.		
	Conduct contact tracing of each area where the infected worker was present and immediately notify any exposed workers.	PW Protocol, § 2.n.ii.		
	Decontaminate and sanitize each location at which the infected worker was present.	PW Protocol, § 2.n.iii.		
	Notify the City Representative and the City Public Health Department immediately and	PW Protocol, § 2.n.iv.		

	perform any additional actions required by a City Public Health official.			
--	--	--	--	--

From: [Mchugh, Eileen \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#); [BOS-Administrative Aides](#)
Cc: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#); [Laxamana, Junko \(BOS\)](#); [Ng, Wilson \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: FW: June 1 update to SIP order and directives re curbside services and tennis/golf
Date: Monday, June 1, 2020 10:25:00 AM
Attachments: [2020.06.01 Final Directive 2020-10b re curbside pickup low contact services-signed.pdf](#)
[2020.06.01 Final Directive 2020-15 re tennis and golf-signed.pdf](#)
[2020.06.01 FINAL signed Health Officer Order C19-07e \(updated\)- Shelter in Place.pdf](#)

Hello Supervisors,

Please see the attached Directives of the Health Officer Nos. 2020-10b and 2020-15 as well as an Order of the Health Officer No. C19-07e.

Thank you,

Eileen McHugh
Executive Assistant
Board of Supervisors
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102-4689
Phone: (415) 554-7703 | Fax: (415) 554-5163
eileen.e.mchugh@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

From: Patil, Sneha (DPH) <sneha.patil@sfdph.org>
Sent: Monday, June 1, 2020 9:22 AM
To: Calvillo, Angela (BOS) <angela.calvillo@sfgov.org>; Mchugh, Eileen (BOS) <eileen.e.mchugh@sfgov.org>
Subject: June 1 update to SIP order and directives re curbside services and tennis/golf

Good morning,

Please see attached three documents: 1) an updated Health Officer Shelter in Place Order; 2) an updated Health Officer Directive which adds curbside services to pickup of curbside goods and 3) a new Health Officer Directive regarding tennis and golf. A summary of changes provided by City Attorney is below:

1. The June 1, 2020 update to the Order adds curbside/outdoor low contact retail services (like dog grooming and shoe repair); businesses that rent equipment for outdoor activities; and professional sports team practices (with an approved plan) to the list of Additional Business that may resume operations, subject to further requirements in Appendix C-1 and any associated directives.
2. The June 1, 2020 update to the Order also adds tennis, golf, and dog parks to the list of Additional Activities that may resume, subject to further requirements in Appendix C-2 and any associated directives.

3. Also, the June 1, 2020 update to the Order updates Appendix A to include new requirements in the Social Distancing Protocol. The new requirements are what used to be used in Sections 1 and 2 of the directives, which now will apply to all businesses that are reopened in the City. These include basic things like wearing face coverings, screening personnel, posting information, educating personnel, marking six-foot increments for lines, etc. Any businesses with an existing Social Distancing Protocol must update its Social Distancing Protocol within two weeks, by June 15, 2020. All businesses implementing a Social Distancing Protocol for the first time on or after June 1, 2020 must prepare and implement the updated protocol before resuming operations. The Social Distancing Protocol checklist is still just 2 pages.
4. The directives now use a format more similar to the new Social Distancing Protocol. They are shorter and simpler than they used to be, and there is a one or two page Health and Safety Plan checklist to show compliance. So a business covered by a directive can now post the 2 page Social Distancing Protocol checklist and the 1-2 page Health and Safety Plan checklist to show compliance.
5. The updated curbside pickup directive adds services on top of the sale of goods that were previously permitted.
6. The new golf and tennis directive outlines who those group sports are allowed to occur.



DIRECTIVE OF THE HEALTH OFFICER No. 2020-10b

**DIRECTIVE OF THE HEALTH OFFICER OF
THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO REGARDING REQUIRED BEST
PRACTICES FOR CERTAIN RETAIL BUSINESSES: RETAIL GOODS PROVIDERS
WITH OUTDOOR/CURBSIDE PICKUP AND LOW CONTACT RETAIL SERVICES
PROVIDERS WITH OUTDOOR CURBSIDE DROP-OFF AND PICKUP**

(PUBLIC HEALTH DIRECTIVE)

DATE OF DIRECTIVE: June 1, 2020

By this Directive, the Health Officer of the City and County of San Francisco (the “Health Officer”) issues industry-specific direction that certain Additional Businesses providing goods and services described below must follow as part of the local response to the Coronavirus Disease 2019 (“COVID-19”) pandemic. This Directive constitutes industry-specific guidance as provided under Section 6 of Health Officer Order No. C19-07e issued on May 22, 2020 (the “Stay-Safe-At-Home Order”) and, unless otherwise defined below, initially capitalized terms used in this Directive have the same meaning given them in that order. This Directive goes into effect immediately upon issuance and remains in effect until suspended, superseded, or amended by the Health Officer. This Directive has support in the bases and justifications set forth in the Stay-Safe-At-Home Order. As further provided below, this Directive automatically incorporates any revisions to the Stay-Safe-At-Home Order or other future orders issued by the Health Officer that supersede that order or reference this Directive. This Directive is intended to promote best practices as to Social Distancing Requirements and sanitation measures, helping prevent the transmission of COVID-19 and safeguard the health of workers, customers, and the community.

**UNDER THE AUTHORITY OF CALIFORNIA HEALTH AND SAFETY CODE
SECTIONS 101040, 101085, AND 120175, THE HEALTH OFFICER DIRECTS AS
FOLLOWS:**

1. This Directive applies to all owners, operators, managers, and supervisors of any Additional Businesses that the Stay-Safe-At-Home Order permits to be open to the public in the City and County of San Francisco (the “City”) and that provide either:
 - a) outdoor/curbside pickup of goods as described in subsection (1).b.i of Appendix C-1 of the Stay-Safe-At-Home Order (“Retail Good Providers”), or
 - b) services involving the outdoor/curbside drop-off and pickup of goods or pets for care and generally do not require close contact with customers, as described in subsection (3).b.i of Appendix C-1 of the Stay-Safe-At-Home Order (“Retail Service Providers”) (together, “Retail Businesses with Curbside Operations”).
2. Attached as Exhibit A to this Directive is a list of best practices that apply to Retail Businesses with Curbside Operations (the “Best Practices”). Each Retail Business with Curbside Operations must comply with all of the relevant requirements listed in the Best Practices.
3. Each Retail Business with Curbside Operations must, before it begins to offer its customers curbside/outside pickup of purchased goods or curbside/outside drop-off and pickup of goods or pets for care or other services, create, adopt, and implement a written health and safety plan (a “Health and Safety Plan”). The Health and Safety Plan must be substantially in the form attached to this Directive as Exhibit B.



City and County of San Francisco

Department of Public Health Health Officer Directive

Health Officer Directive No. 2020-10b

4. If an aspect, service, or operation of the Retail Business with Curbside Operations is also covered by another Health Officer directive (all of which are available at <http://www.sfdph.com/directives>), then the Retail Business with Curbside Operations must comply with all applicable directives, and it must complete all relevant Health and Safety Plan forms.
5. Each Retail Business with Curbside Operations must (a) make the Health and Safety Plan available to a member of the public and Personnel on request, (b) provide a summary of the plan to all Personnel working on site or otherwise in the City in relation to its operations, and (c) post the plan at any storefront and at the entrance to any other physical location that the Retail Business with Curbside Operations operates within the City. Also, each Retail Business with Curbside Operations must provide a copy of the Health and Safety Plan and evidence of its implementation to any authority enforcing this Order upon demand.
6. Each Retail Business with Curbside Operations subject to this Directive must provide items such as Face Coverings (as provided in Health Order No. C19-12b issued on May 28, 2020, and any future amendment to that order), hand sanitizer or handwashing stations, or both, and disinfectant and related supplies to Personnel and to the public, all as required by the Best Practices. If any such Retail Business with Curbside Operations is unable to provide these required items or otherwise fails to comply with required Best Practices or fails to abide by its Health and Safety Plan, then it must cease operating until it can fully comply and demonstrate its strict compliance. Further, as to any non-compliant operation, any such Retail Business with Curbside Operations is subject to immediate closure and the fines and other legal remedies described below, as a violation of the Stay-Safe-At-Home Order.
7. For purposes of this Directive, “Personnel” includes all of the following people who provide goods or services associated with the Retail Business with Curbside Operations in the City: employees; contractors and sub-contractors (such as those who sell goods or perform services onsite or who deliver goods for the business); independent contractors (such as “gig workers” who perform work via the Additional Business’s app or other online interface, if any); vendors who are permitted to sell goods onsite (such as farmers or others who sell at stalls in farmers’ markets); volunteers; and other individuals who regularly provide services onsite at the request of the Additional Business.
8. This Directive and the attached Best Practices may be revised by the Health Officer, through revision of this Directive or another future directive or order, as conditions relating to COVID-19 require, in the discretion of the Health Officer. Each Retail Business with Curbside Operations must stay updated regarding any changes to the Stay-Safe-At-Home Order and this Directive by checking the City Administrator’s website (<https://www.sfgsa.org>) or the Department of Public Health website (<https://www.sfdph.org/dph/alerts/coronavirus.asp>) regularly.
9. Implementation of this Directive augments—but does not limit—the obligations of each Retail Business with Curbside Operations under the Stay-Safe-At-Home Order including, but not limited to, the obligation to prepare, post, and implement a Social Distancing Protocol under Section 6 and subsection 15.h of the Stay-Safe-At-Home Order. The Retail Business with Curbside Operations must follow these industry-



City and County of San Francisco

Department of Public Health Health Officer Directive

Health Officer Directive No. 2020-10b

specific Best Practices and update them as necessary for the duration of this Directive, including, without limitation, as this Directive is amended or extended in writing by the Health Officer and consistent with any extension of the Stay-Safe-At-Home Order, any other order that supersedes that order, and any Health Officer order that references this Directive.

This Directive is issued in furtherance of the purposes of the Stay-Safe-At-Home Order. Where a conflict exists between this Directive and any state, local, or federal public health order related to the COVID-19 pandemic, including, without limitation, the Social Distancing Protocol, the most restrictive provision controls. Failure to carry out this Directive is a violation of the Stay-Safe-At-Home Order, constitutes an imminent threat and menace to public health, constitutes a public nuisance, and is a misdemeanor punishable by fine, imprisonment, or both.

A handwritten signature in blue ink that reads "Tomás Aragón".

Tomás J. Aragón, MD, DrPH,
Health Officer of the
City and County of San Francisco

Date: June 1, 2020



Exhibit A to Health Officer Directive No. 2020-10b (issued 5/17/20, revised 6/1/20)

Best Practices for Retail Businesses or Services with Curbside Pickup

In addition to preparing, posting, and implementing the Social Distancing Protocol required by Section 6 and subsection 15.h of Health Officer Order No. C19-07e (the “Stay-Safe-At-Home Order”), each Retail Business with Curbside Operations that operates in the City must comply with each requirement listed below and prepare a Health and Safety Plan substantially in the format of Exhibit B, below.

Requirements:

1. Section 1 – Requirements For Curbside Drop-off and Pickup:

- 1.1.*** Prohibit customers from entering the facility. All pickup or drop-off transactions must occur outside the building, such as in the doorway or through an exterior window.
- 1.2.*** Instruct all Personnel involved in curbside drop-off or pickup to wash their hands frequently and to use hand sanitizer (provided by the Retail Business or Service with Curbside Operations) before and after receiving items from a customer or handing items to a customer.
- 1.3.*** If possible, provide a specified delivery location and contact method to allow for delivery without direct interaction, except as necessary to accept payment. When possible, provide options to accept payment through contactless technologies, in advance via phone, an app, or the internet, or verbally (such as reading a credit card number and required information).
- 1.4.*** Remind Personnel to wear a Face Covering at all times, including when interacting with customers who are dropping off or picking up items.
- 1.5.*** When necessary for the curbside drop-off or pickup processes, modify or eliminate (if possible) customer signature-capture procedures so Personnel may maintain a safe, appropriate distance and/or avoid sharing of signing equipment such as pen or stylus and avoid shared handling of devices or equipment. If not feasible, sanitize such equipment or devices before and after each use to protect each customer.
- 1.6.*** If there is a drop-off or pick-up area of sufficient size and that is safe (*e.g.*, an open parking lot), the curbside transaction should occur without the customer exiting their motor vehicle if they are parked in the parking lot. In such situations, the vehicle should be parked with the motor turned off. The customer should provide the vehicle’s make, model, color, and license plate number during the initial, offsite/remote sales transaction, or the initial offsite/remote transaction arranging the pet care or other service, so as to clearly identify the vehicle for Personnel. For this kind of drop-off or pick-up, the customer should contact the Retail Business with Curbside Operations when they are parked. Personnel may then unload the pet or good being brought in for care or service, load the pet or good after care or service has been provided, or load the purchased item into the vehicle’s trunk compartment when feasible. If the handoff of the pet, good, or ordered items requires an interaction between the customer and Personnel, such as handing off the pet or good to the Personnel or handing off a purchased item into the vehicle’s backseat or through a window, the Customer and any Personnel involved in the interaction must each be wearing a Face Covering during the interaction and must use



City and County of San Francisco

Department of Public Health Health Officer Directive

their own equipment (and not the customer's equipment) in making the transfer. The Customer should be advised, such as through a hand-held sign, to put on the Face Covering before any Personnel approach the vehicle. A similar procedure may be used for other modes of transportation, such as bicycles or motorcycles.

- 1.7.** Consider extending the deadline for returns or exchanges of goods or items to help customers postpone repeat trips to the business until a safer time, or encourage customers to send returns or exchanges by a delivery service to reduce unnecessary contact.
- 1.8.** Limit the number of customers waiting in line for curbside drop-off or pickup at any one time to a number that allows for customers and Personnel to easily maintain at least six foot distance from one another and allows sufficient sidewalk space to allow safe pedestrian right-of-way at all times. One possible way to ensure this is to offer time windows during which customers may schedule time to drop off or pick up items to disperse customer traffic throughout the day.
- 1.9.** Create a drop-off/pick-up plan that will reduce customer and Personnel exposure to traffic and bike lanes, minimize blocking visibility of other travelers (whether vehicle, pedestrian, or bicyclist), minimize or eliminate potential blockages of passageways, including ADA-compliant public access to sidewalks, and eliminating the overlap of lines outside the facility with lines from other neighboring stores or businesses. For example, the plan must ensure that customers are not encouraged to block traffic or bike lanes, for example, even if briefly, and it must limit the number of customers who may stand in line in order not to overlap with the line of a neighboring retail business.

Checklist

Health Officer Directive No. 2020-10b (Exhibit B) Health and Safety Plan (issued 6/1/2020)

Each Retail Business with Curbside Operations must complete, post onsite, and follow this Health and Safety Plan.

Check off all items below that apply and list other required information.

Business/Entity name:

Contact name:

Facility Address:

Contact telephone:

(You may contact the person listed above with any questions or comments about this plan.)

- ☐ Business is familiar with and complies with all requirements set forth in Health Officer Directive No. 2020-10, available at <http://www.sfdph.com/directives>.
- ☐ Customers may not enter the store.
- ☐ Exchange of goods between Personnel and customer must take place outdoors, though the exchange may be through a door or open window.
- ☐ Personnel wash hands frequently and use hand sanitizer before and after handing items to customers.
- ☐ If possible, conduct delivery and contact method without direct interaction.
- ☐ Modify or eliminate any customer signature-capture procedures or sanitize equipment after each use.
- ☐ If possible, curbside transactions should occur without customer exiting their parked car.
- ☐ Customer line outside is adequately spaced, allows sufficient sidewalk space for people to walk by safely, and does not overlap with lines from other neighboring businesses.
- ☐ No goods have been moved outside for display or sale.
- ☐ No more than 10 Personnel are on site in the facility at any time.
 - ☐ Less than 10 if Personnel cannot maintain at least six feet of physical distance at all times (specify number: ____).
- ☐ Store has direct access to an immediately adjacent sidewalk, street, alley, or parking area for pickup by customers using any mode of travel, without blocking pedestrian access or causing pedestrian or vehicle congestion.



DIRECTIVE OF THE HEALTH OFFICER No. 2020-15

**DIRECTIVE OF THE HEALTH OFFICER OF
THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO REGARDING REQUIRED BEST
PRACTICES FOR TENNIS AND GOLF**

(PUBLIC HEALTH DIRECTIVE)
DATE OF DIRECTIVE: June 1, 2020

By this Directive, the Health Officer of the City and County of San Francisco (the “Health Officer”) issues industry-specific direction that people engaged in outdoor tennis (singles only for members of different households) or golf (up to a foursome) must follow as part of the local response to the Coronavirus Disease 2019 (“COVID-19”) pandemic. This Directive constitutes industry-specific guidance as provided under Section 6 of Health Officer Order No. C19-07e issued on May 22, 2020 (the “Stay-Safe-At-Home Order”) and, unless otherwise defined below, initially capitalized terms used in this Directive have the same meaning given them in that order. This Directive goes into effect immediately upon issuance and remains in effect until suspended, superseded, or amended by the Health Officer. This Directive has support in the bases and justifications set forth in the Stay-Safe-At-Home Order. As further provided below, this Directive automatically incorporates any revisions to the Stay-Safe-At-Home Order or other future orders issued by the Health Officer that supersede that order or reference this Directive. This Directive is intended to promote best practices as to Social Distancing Requirements and sanitation measures, helping prevent the transmission of COVID-19 and safeguard the health of workers, customers, and the community.

**UNDER THE AUTHORITY OF CALIFORNIA HEALTH AND SAFETY CODE
SECTIONS 101040, 101085, AND 120175, THE HEALTH OFFICER DIRECTS AS
FOLLOWS:**

- 1. This Directive applies to:**
 - a) All people engaged in tennis or golf (“Players”) in the City and County of San Francisco (the “City”); and**
 - b) All owners, operators, managers, and supervisors of any public or private tennis or golf facility in the City that are Outdoor Businesses permitted to be open to the public under the Stay-Safe-At-Home Order (the “Facility”).**
- 2. Attached as Exhibit A to this Directive is a list of best practices that apply to Players and Facilities (the “Best Practices”). Each Player and Facility must comply with all of the relevant requirements listed in the Best Practices.**
- 3. Each Facility must, before it begins to offer tennis or golf services, create, adopt, and implement a written health and safety plan (a “Health and Safety Plan”). The Health and Safety Plan must be substantially in the form attached to this Directive as Exhibit B.**
- 4. If an aspect, service, act or operation of a Facility or Player is also covered by another Health Officer directive (all of which are available at <http://www.sfdph.com/directives>), then the Facility or Player must comply with all applicable directives, and must complete all relevant Health and Safety Plan forms.**



City and County of San Francisco

Department of Public Health Health Officer Directive

Health Officer Directive No. 2020-15

5. Each Facility must (a) make the Health and Safety Plan available to a member of the public and Personnel on request, (b) provide a summary of the plan to all Personnel working on site or otherwise in the City in relation to its operations, and (c) post the plan at any storefront and at the entrance to any other physical location that the Facility operates within the City. Also, each Facility must provide a copy of the Health and Safety Plan and evidence of its implementation to any authority enforcing this Order upon demand.
6. Each Facility subject to this Directive may be required to provide items such as Face Coverings (as provided in Health Order No. C19-12b issued on May 28, 2020 and any future amendment to that order), hand sanitizer or handwashing stations, or both, and disinfectant and related supplies to Personnel and/or to the public, all as required by the Best Practices. If any such Facility is unable to provide these required items or otherwise fails to comply with required Best Practices or fails to abide by its Health and Safety Plan, then it must cease operating until it can fully comply and demonstrate its strict compliance. Further, as to any non-compliant operation, any such Facility is subject to immediate closure and the fines and other legal remedies described below, as a violation of the Stay-Safe-At-Home Order.
7. For purposes of this Directive, "Personnel" includes all of the following people who provide goods or services associated with the Facility in the City: employees; contractors and sub-contractors (such as those who sell goods or perform services onsite or who deliver goods for the business); independent contractors (such as "gig workers" who perform work via the Facility's app or other online interface, if any); vendors who are permitted to sell goods onsite (such as farmers or others who sell at stalls in farmers' markets); volunteers; and other individuals who regularly provide services onsite at the request of the Facility.
8. This Directive and the attached Best Practices may be revised by the Health Officer, through revision of this Directive or another future directive or order, as conditions relating to COVID-19 require, in the discretion of the Health Officer. Each Facility must stay updated regarding any changes to the Stay-Safe-At-Home Order and this Directive by checking the City Administrator's website (<https://www.sfgsa.org>) or the Department of Public Health website (<https://www.sfdph.org/dph/alerts/coronavirus.asp>) regularly.
9. Implementation of this Directive augments—but does not limit—the obligations of each Facility under the Stay-Safe-At-Home Order including, but not limited to, the obligation to prepare, post, and implement a Social Distancing Protocol under Section 6 and subsection 15.h of the Stay-Safe-At-Home Order. The Facility must follow these industry-specific Best Practices and update them as necessary for the duration of this Directive, including, without limitation, as this Directive is amended or extended in writing by the Health Officer and consistent with any extension of the Stay-Safe-At-Home Order, any other order that supersedes that order, and any Health Officer order that references this Directive.

This Directive is issued in furtherance of the purposes of the Stay-Safe-At-Home Order. Where a conflict exists between this Directive and any state, local, or federal public health order related to the COVID-19 pandemic, including, without limitation, the Social Distancing Protocol, the most restrictive provision controls unless otherwise specifically provided. Failure to carry out this Directive is a violation of the Stay-Safe-At-Home Order,



City and County of San Francisco

Department of Public Health Health Officer Directive

Health Officer Directive No. 2020-15

constitutes an imminent threat and menace to public health, constitutes a public nuisance, and is a misdemeanor punishable by fine, imprisonment, or both.

A handwritten signature in blue ink that reads "Tomás Aragón".

Tomás J. Aragón, MD, DrPH,
Health Officer of the
City and County of San Francisco

Date: June 1, 2020



Exhibit A to Health Officer Directive No. 2020-15 (issued 6/1/20)

Best Practices for Tennis and Golf

In addition to preparing, posting, and implementing the Social Distancing Protocol required by Section 6 and subsection 15.h of Health Officer Order No. C19-07e (the “Stay-Safe-At-Home Order”), each Facility that operates in the City must comply with each requirement listed below and prepare a Health and Safety Plan substantially in the format of Exhibit B, below.

Requirements:

1. Section 1 – Facility Requirements:

- 1.1.** Ensure that the following aspects of Facility premises are shut down and not accessible by Players or the public: clubhouses, restaurants, bars, dining areas, seating or lounge areas, common areas not required to reach outdoor facilities, fitness facilities, shower facilities, locker areas, and retail space, unless specifically allowed under the Stay-Safe-At-Home Order and any directives or guidance issued by the Health Officer.
- 1.2.** Without limiting Section 2.7, if an aspect of Facility premises is allowed to operate under another directive (for example, a pro-shop or retail space may or may not currently qualify as Retail Business with Curbside Pickup, which is covered by Directive No. 2020-10), then the Facility must comply with all applicable directives, and its Health and Safety Plan must include all applicable components from those directives. Copies of other directives are available online at <https://www.sfdph.org/directives>.

2. Section 2 – General Sanitation Exemptions for Public Facilities:

- 2.1.** Private Facilities are required to implement all applicable sanitation requirements of the Social Distancing Protocol.
- 2.2.** Public Facilities are required to implement all applicable sanitation requirements of the Social Distancing Protocol with the following exceptions:
 - 2.2.1.** If it is not feasible for a public Facility to provide hand sanitizer or a handwashing station to Players in accordance the Social Distancing Protocol, public Facilities must require Players to bring their own hand sanitizer.
 - 2.2.2.** If it is not feasible for a public Facility to continuously disinfect shared or high-touch surfaces and devices as required by the Social Distancing Protocol, then the public Facility must post signage requiring Players to bring their own hand sanitizer to use after touching high-touch surfaces like gates.
 - 2.2.3.** Except with respect to bathrooms, public Facilities are not required to clean and decontaminate premises in accordance with Sections 2.15 and 2.17 of the Social Distancing Protocol, but are strongly encouraged to clean and disinfect premises to the maximum extent feasible.
 - 2.2.4.** Public Facilities are not required to clean and decontaminate premises in accordance with Section 2.21 of the Social Distancing Protocol, but are strongly encouraged to clean and disinfect premises to the maximum extent feasible.



City and County of San Francisco

Department of Public Health Health Officer Directive

3. Section 3 – Requirements Specific to Golf Players and Golf Facilities:

- 3.1.** Golf Players and Personnel must practice—and Facilities with onsite personnel must enforce—all social distancing requirements in accordance with the Stay-Safe-At-Home Order.
- 3.2.** Golf Facilities must conduct all business and transactions involving Players and members of the public in outdoor spaces in accordance with the Stay-Safe-At-Home Order.
- 3.3.** In Golf Facilities where restrooms are available on the course, Facilities must prohibit Players and other members of the public from using any indoor restroom facilities, such as those located in the clubhouse. For clarity, if no bathrooms are available on the course, indoor bathrooms may be made available to Players and the public. Personnel may use any bathrooms designated by the Facility.
- 3.4.** Groups must be limited to a maximum of four players per group, unless all players within the group are part of a single household or living unit. Groups of players from different households or living units must comply with the State of California under its Stay-Safer-At Home Order.
- 3.5.** Golf Players must not share equipment with people outside of their household or living unit or rent any equipment unless otherwise expressly allowed for equipment rental as an Additional Business under the Stay-Safe-At-Home Order and subject to all applicable requirements for sanitization between uses.
- 3.6.** Golf Cart Operations:
 - 3.6.1.** Carts must be limited to one rider and one bag;
 - 3.6.2.** Carts must be sanitized after each use; and
 - 3.6.3.** Hand carts must be sanitized after each use.
- 3.7.** Before the Golf Facility may open its course, all high-touch surfaces and equipment including, but not limited to, water stations, hand towels, ball washers, bunker rakes, scorecards and pencils, must be removed or made inaccessible from the range or course. To avoid contact with a high-touch surface, players must be prevented from reaching into cups for golf balls. Cups must be lifted above the putting surface to ensure there is no retrieval of balls from the cup. Flags may be removed or fixed in place at each hole. Cups may be filled or inverted so that the ball can come to a rest at the cup but also be retrieved easily without touching any surfaces.
- 3.8.** Where possible, designated paths of travel within Golf Facility premises must be clearly marked.
- 3.9.** No tournament style events, competitions, group instruction, or clinics, except for any professional tournaments without spectators that may be allowed in the future under the Stay-Safe-At-Home Order. Players who are attending summer camps for children are authorized to use Golf Facilities in accordance with this Directive to the extent the summer camp is allowed under a separate directive.



City and County of San Francisco

Department of Public Health Health Officer Directive

- 3.10. No caddies, spectators, or persons other than Players. A Golf Player who is a minor may be accompanied by their parent or legal guardian.
- 3.11. Golf Players must bring plenty of water to drink as water fountains or drinking stations will be closed.
- 3.12. Only Golf Players with a previously scheduled tee time may access Facility premises. Tee times must be scheduled 10 minutes or more apart. Groups are required to stay away from the starting tee off area until it is cleared by the group ahead of them. All Players must arrive at Facility premises no more than 30 minutes prior to their scheduled tee time and must leave immediately after completing their round.
- 3.13. Golf Facilities must provide security or patrolling to ensure golfers' compliance with the requirements of this Directive.
- 3.14. This Directive cannot anticipate every way to make golf safer for everyone in light of COVID-19. Facilities and Players are encouraged to make their sport safer by adding changes to their practices and procedures that are consistent with the intent of the Stay-Safe-At-Home Order and this Directive. Players and Facilities are also encouraged to implement guidance from athletic associations where applicable (For example, the USGA provides guidance for Players and Facilities here: <https://www.usga.org/content/usga/home-page/course-care/covid-19-resource-center.html>.) Nothing in this section allows a Golf Player or Facility to replace, supplement, or change any restriction in the Stay-Safe-At-Home Order, this Directive, or any local, state, or federal health order or guidance related to COVID-19 with a less restrictive measure. For clarity, all Golf Players and Facilities must strictly implement every measure in this Directive and may only supplement new safety measures to the extent they are more restrictive (i.e., more protective of public health) than any local, state, or federal health order or guidance related to COVID-19.

4. Section 4 – Requirements Specific to Tennis Players and Tennis Facilities:

- 4.1. Tennis Players must practice – and Facilities with onsite personnel must enforce – all social distancing requirements in accordance with the Stay-Safe-At-Home Order.
- 4.2. Tennis Players may only use outdoor courts. Facilities must conduct all business and transactions involving Players and members of the public in outdoor spaces in accordance with the Stay-Safe-At-Home Order.
- 4.3. Tennis may only be played between members of the same household or living unit or between two individuals outside of the same household or living unit (i.e., singles).
- 4.4. Tennis Players must bring plenty of water to drink as water fountains or drinking stations must be closed.
- 4.5. No equipment may be shared between players outside of their household or living unit, including tennis balls and rackets. Tennis Players should avoid switching sides of the court during play. Players switching sides of the court must maintain social distancing at all times.
- 4.6. No tournament style events, competitions, group instruction, or clinics, except for any professional tournaments without spectators that may be allowed in the future under the Stay-Safe-At-Home Order. Players who are attending summer camps for children are authorized



City and County of San Francisco

Department of Public Health Health Officer Directive

to use Tennis Facilities in accordance with this Directive to the extent the summer camp is allowed under a separate directive.

- 4.7.** No spectators. A Tennis Player who is a minor may be accompanied by their parent or legal guardian.
- 4.8.** Rental of equipment is not permitted at this time unless otherwise expressly allowed for equipment rental as an Additional Business under the Stay-Safe-At-Home Order and subject to all applicable requirements for sanitization between uses.
- 4.9.** Each Tennis Player must mark their balls with clear and unique markings. Accordingly, Players must use at least six balls (two cans) rather than three balls (one can).
- 4.10.** Tennis Players will only serve and touch their own marked tennis balls. When another Player's ball needs to be returned to them, the Player must roll or hit the ball with a racket back to the other Player, without touching the ball in any way.
- 4.11.** Tennis Players at private Facilities must have a reservation to play before arriving at Facility premises. Players must arrive no more than 10 minutes before the time expected to play and leave the facility immediate after play. No extra-curricular or social activity may take place.
- 4.12.** Where possible, designated paths of travel within Tennis Facility premises must be clearly marked.
- 4.13.** All court gates and entrances to Tennis Facility premises must be roped off (when closed) or left open (during hours of court operation) to prevent touching.
- 4.14.** All furniture in the Tennis Facility premises, such as benches and tables, must either (1) be conspicuously marked with an easily readable posting informing people that the furniture is a high touch surface and reminding people to follow all social distancing, masking, and sanitation requirements when using the furniture or (2) be made inaccessible by, for instance, being removed, covered, taped, or roped off to prevent congregating and touching. All water dispensers or water fountains must be made inaccessible by, for instance, being covered, taped, or roped off to prevent touching. Public Facilities are required to implement this section to the maximum extent feasible.
- 4.15.** Use of tennis ball machines is not allowed at this time. The Tennis Facility must make ball machines and other high touch equipment inaccessible for use by Players.
- 4.16.** This Directive cannot anticipate every way to make tennis safer for everyone. Tennis Facilities and Players are encouraged to make their sport safer by adding changes to their practices and procedures that are consistent with the intent of the Stay-Safe-At-Home Order and this Directive. Players and Facilities are also encouraged to implement guidance from athletic associations where applicable (For example, the USTA provides guidance for Players and Facilities here: <https://www.usta.com/en/home/usta-covid-19-updates.html>.) Nothing in this paragraph allows a Player or Facility to replace, supplement, or change any restriction in the Stay-Safe-At-Home Order, this Directive, or any local, state, or federal health order or guidance related to COVID-19 with a less restrictive measure. For clarity, all Players and Facilities must strictly implement every measure in this Directive and may only supplement new safety measures to the extent they are more restrictive (i.e., more protective of public health) than any local, state, or federal health order or guidance related to COVID-19.

Checklist

Health Officer Directive No. 2020-15 (Exhibit B) Health and Safety Plan (issued 6/1/2020)

Each Facility must complete, post onsite, and follow this Health and Safety Plan.

Check off all items below that apply and list other required information.

Business/Entity name:

Contact name:

Facility Address:

Contact telephone:

(You may contact the person listed above with any questions or comments about this plan.)

For All Facilities

- ☐ Business is familiar with and complies with all requirements set forth in Health Officer Directive No. 2020-15, available at <http://www.sfdph.com/directives>.
- ☐ Shut down clubhouses, restaurants, bars, dining areas, seating or lounge areas, common areas not required to reach outdoor facilities, fitness facilities, shower facilities, locker areas, and retail space.
- ☐ Make sure players do not share equipment with anyone outside of their household. No equipment rental is permitted unless the Facility meets all the requirements for equipment rental under the Stay-Safe-At-Home Order.
- ☐ Conduct all interactions with Players or other members of the public in outdoor spaces.
- ☐ Where possible, clearly mark designated paths of travel within the facility to help everyone maintain social distance.
- ☐ Require Players to bring plenty of their own water because fountains and drinking stations will be closed.
- ☐ No spectators or persons other than Players. Players who are minors may be accompanied by their parent or legal guardian.
- ☐ No tournament style event, competitions, group instruction, or clinics.

For Golf Facilities

- ☐ If restrooms are available on the course, prohibit Players and members of the public from using any other restroom facilities such as those located in the clubhouse.
- ☐ No more than four players may be part of a single group, unless everyone in the group is part of the same household.
- ☐ Limit golf carts to one rider and one bag. Sanitize motorized carts and hand carts after each use.

Health Officer Directive No. 2020-15 (Exhibit B) Health and Safety Plan (issued 6/1/2020)

- ☐ Remove or make inaccessible high touch surfaces including: water stations, hand towels, ball washers, bunker rakes, scorecards and pencils.
- ☐ Take steps to seal off or otherwise remove cups to prevent Players from reaching into cups to retrieve balls.
- ☐ Only allow golf Players with previously scheduled tee times to access the premises.
- ☐ Schedule tee times 10 minutes or more apart.
- ☐ Keep groups away from the starting tee off area until the group ahead is clear.
- ☐ Require Players to arrive at the facility no more than 30 minutes before their tee time and leave immediately after finishing their round.
- ☐ Provide security or patrolling to make sure golfers are complying.

For Tennis Facilities

- ☐ Allow tennis to be played on outdoor courts only.
- ☐ If Players are not part of the same household, they may only play singles tennis (maximum of two people on the court).
- ☐ Encourage Players to avoid switching sides of the court during play and maintain social distancing if they do switch sides.
- ☐ Require all Players to mark their balls with clear and unique markings and use at least six balls (two cans).
- ☐ Make sure Players touch only their own marked balls. Make sure Players return a ball to another player by rolling or hitting the ball with a racket back to the other Player without touching the ball at all.
- ☐ Allow Players on private Facility premises only if they have a reservation to play. Require all Players to arrive no earlier than 10 minutes before their scheduled court time. Require all Players to leave the Facility immediately after the end of their play.
- ☐ Keep court gates open at all times during hours of operation. Keep as many other gates and doors as feasible open during hours of operation.
- ☐ Either mark all high touch furniture such as benches and tables with posters informing people about high-touch surfaces or remove or make inaccessible high-touch furniture.
- ☐ Prevent the use of tennis ball machines at this time.



ORDER OF THE HEALTH OFFICER No. C19-07e

**ORDER OF THE HEALTH OFFICER
OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO DIRECTING
ALL INDIVIDUALS IN THE COUNTY TO CONTINUE SHELTERING AT
THEIR PLACE OF RESIDENCE EXCEPT FOR IDENTIFIED NEEDS
AND ACTIVITIES, IN COMPLIANCE WITH SPECIFIED
REQUIREMENTS; CONTINUING TO EXEMPT HOMELESS
INDIVIDUALS FROM THE ORDER BUT URGING GOVERNMENT
AGENCIES TO PROVIDE THEM SHELTER; REQUIRING ALL
BUSINESSES AND RECREATION FACILITIES THAT ARE ALLOWED
TO OPERATE TO IMPLEMENT SOCIAL DISTANCING, FACE
COVERING, AND CLEANING PROTOCOLS; AND DIRECTING ALL
BUSINESSES, FACILITY OPERATORS, AND GOVERNMENTAL
AGENCIES TO CONTINUE THE TEMPORARY CLOSURE OF ALL
OTHER OPERATIONS NOT ALLOWED UNDER THIS ORDER**

(SHELTER IN PLACE)

DATE OF ORDER: May 22, 2020 (updated June 1, 2020)

Please read this Order carefully. Violation of or failure to comply with this Order is a misdemeanor punishable by fine, imprisonment, or both. (California Health and Safety Code § 120295, *et seq.*; California Penal Code §§ 69, 148(a)(1); and San Francisco Administrative Code § 7.17(b))

Summary: The City and County of San Francisco (the “County”) and five other Bay Area counties and the City of Berkeley have been under shelter-in-place orders since March 16, 2020, in a collective effort to reduce the impact of the virus that causes coronavirus disease 2019 (“COVID-19”). That virus is easily transmitted, especially in group settings, and the disease can be extremely serious. It can require long hospital stays, and in some instances cause long-term health consequences or death. It can impact not only those known to be at high risk but also other people, regardless of age. This spread of disease is a global pandemic causing untold societal, social, and economic harm. To mitigate the harm from the pandemic, these jurisdictions issued parallel health officer orders on March 16, 2020 imposing shelter in place limitations across the Bay Area, requiring everyone to stay safe at home except for certain essential needs. Other jurisdictions in the Bay Area and ultimately the State have since joined in adopting stay-safe-at-home orders.



ORDER OF THE HEALTH OFFICER No. C19-07e

Our collective effort has had a positive impact on limiting the spread of the virus. As of the date of this Order, our hospitals have capacity and hospitalization rates have been relatively low and flat for the past four weeks and recently have been slowly decreasing. San Francisco continues to work on building up its testing, case finding, case investigation, and contact tracing capacity, and its means to protect vulnerable populations and address outbreaks. In light of significant progress made, the prior May 17, 2020 order added two new categories of activities allowed to resume: “Additional Businesses” (described in Appendix C-1) and “Additional Activities” (described in Appendix C-2).

Still, the danger the virus poses to the health and welfare of all continues. A major risk remains the spread of COVID-19 through asymptomatic carriers. Also, while the search continues, there is not yet an effective treatment or cure for the disease. The vast majority of the population remains susceptible to infection. Therefore, this incremental resumption of certain business and other activities is designed to gradually increase the volume of person-to-person contact to help contain the risk of a surge in COVID-19 cases in the County and neighboring counties. Accordingly, the May 17 order explained that the Health Officer will assess the activities allowed by this Order on an ongoing basis and may need to restrict or otherwise modify them if the risk associated with COVID-19 increases in the future. But if San Francisco continues to make progress on ways to contain virus transmission and health-based risk considerations support doing so, the Health Officer will allow additional business and other activity under a phased, incremental process, to provide for a safer economic recovery.

Generally, under this Order gatherings of individuals with anyone outside of their household or living unit remain prohibited, with limited exceptions for essential activities, outdoor activities, additional activities, or essential travel, or to perform work for essential businesses, outdoor businesses, additional businesses, and government agencies. Also, under this Order, many businesses must remain closed. But:

- The May 17 order added curbside or outside pickup of goods from non-essential retail stores, as well as related manufacturing, and warehousing and logistical support to the list of Additional Businesses, subject to specified conditions. The May 17 order also added attendance at outdoor museums, outdoor historical sites, and public gardens to the list of allowed Additional Activities.
- This amendment to the Order adds childcare programs and summer camps for all children to the list of Additional Business that may resume operations, subject to further requirements in Appendix C-1 and any associated directives.
- The June 1, 2020 update to the Order adds curbside/outdoor low contact retail services (like dog grooming and shoe repair); businesses that rent equipment for outdoor activities; and professional sports team practices (with an approved plan) to the list of Additional Business that may resume operations, subject to further requirements in Appendix C-1 and any associated directives.



ORDER OF THE HEALTH OFFICER No. C19-07e

- The June 1, 2020 update to the Order also adds tennis, golf, and dog parks to the list of Additional Activities that may resume, subject to further requirements in Appendix C-2 and any associated directives.

Also, the June 1, 2020 update to the Order updates Appendix A to include new requirements in the Social Distancing Protocol. Any businesses with an existing Social Distancing Protocol must update its Social Distancing Protocol within two weeks, by June 15, 2020. All businesses implementing a Social Distancing Protocol for the first time on or after June 1, 2020 must prepare and implement the updated protocol before resuming operations.

This new Order replaces the prior May 17, 2020 extension of the shelter in place order. Beginning at 12:00 p.m. on May 22, 2020, all people and businesses in San Francisco must strictly comply with this new Order. This Order is in effect, without a specific expiration date, until it is extended, rescinded, superseded, or amended in writing by the Health Officer. But, as mentioned above, the Health Officer will continue to carefully monitor the evolving situation and will periodically revise this Order to loosen – or if need be tighten – restrictions as conditions warrant, to help further the safer economic recovery. Facilities must stay updated by checking the City Administrator’s website (www.sfgsa.org) regularly.

In addition to extending and replacing Health Officer Order Number C19-07d (shelter in place), issued May 17, 2020, this Order also extends Order Nos. C19-01b (prohibiting visitors at Laguna Honda Hospital and Rehabilitation Center and Unit 4A at Zuckerberg San Francisco General Hospital), C19-03 (prohibiting visitors to specific residential facilities), C19-04 (imposing cleaning standards for residential hotels), C19-09 (prohibiting visitors to residential care facilities for the elderly, adult residential facilities, and residential facilities for the chronically ill), and C19-11 (placing Laguna Honda Hospital and Rehabilitation Center under protective quarantine) through for as long as this Order is in effect, with the requirements of those listed orders otherwise remaining unchanged. Order Nos. C19-06b (restricting visitors to general acute care hospitals and acute psychiatric hospitals), C19-08b (limiting most routine appointments and elective surgeries and encouraging delivery of prescriptions and cannabis products), C19-10 (requiring reporting by labs of COVID-19 testing information), C19-12 (face coverings), and C19-13 (regarding testing, reporting, and cooperation at skilled nursing facilities) remain in effect indefinitely, and this Order makes clear that face coverings are required for operators and customers of additional businesses, with certain limitations. The provisions of this Order are subject to any provisions of the state shelter-in-place order that are more restrictive. This summary is for convenience only and may not be used to interpret this Order; in the event of any inconsistency between the summary and the text of this Order below, the text will control.



ORDER OF THE HEALTH OFFICER No. C19-07e

**UNDER THE AUTHORITY OF CALIFORNIA HEALTH AND SAFETY CODE
SECTIONS 101040, 101085, AND 120175, THE HEALTH OFFICER OF THE CITY AND
COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO ("HEALTH OFFICER") ORDERS:**

- 1. This Order supersedes the May 17, 2020 Order of the Health Officer directing all individuals to shelter in place (the "Prior Order", Order No. C19-07d). This Order amends, clarifies, and continues certain terms of the Prior Order to ensure continued social distancing and limit person-to-person contact to reduce the rate of transmission of Novel Coronavirus Disease 2019 ("COVID-19"). This Order continues to restrict most activity, travel, and governmental and business functions to essential needs and to the Outdoor Activities and Outdoor Businesses that the prior Order allowed to resume. But in light of progress achieved in slowing the spread of COVID-19 in the County and neighboring counties, the Order allows a limited number of Additional Businesses and Additional Activities (as defined in Section 15 below and described in Appendixes C-1 and C-2) to resume operating, subject to specified conditions and safety precautions to reduce associated risk of COVID-19 transmission. This gradual and measured resumption of activity is designed to manage the overall volume, duration, and intensity of person-to-person contact to prevent a surge in COVID-19 cases in the County and neighboring counties. As further provided in Section 11 below, the Health Officer will continue to monitor the risks of the activities and businesses allowed under this Order based on the COVID-19 Indicators (as defined in Section 11) and other data, and may, if conditions support doing so, incrementally add to the list of Additional Businesses and Additional Activities. The activities allowed by this Order will be assessed on an ongoing basis, and these activities and others allowed by the Order may need to be modified (including, without limitation, temporarily restricted or prohibited) if the risk associated with COVID-19 increases in the future. As of the effective date and time of this Order set forth in Section 18 below, all individuals, businesses, and government agencies in the County are required to follow the provisions of this Order.**
- 2. The primary intent of this Order is to ensure that County residents continue to shelter in their places of residence to slow the spread of COVID-19 and mitigate the impact on delivery of critical healthcare services. This Order allows a limited number of Additional Businesses and Additional Activities to resume while the Health Officer continues to assess the transmissibility and clinical severity of COVID-19 and monitors indicators described in Section 11. All provisions of this Order must be interpreted to effectuate this intent. Failure to comply with any of the provisions of this Order constitutes an imminent threat and menace to public health, constitutes a public nuisance, and is punishable by fine, imprisonment, or both.**
- 3. All individuals currently living within the County are ordered to shelter at their place of residence. They may leave their residence only for Essential Activities as defined in Section 15.a, Outdoor Activities as defined in Section 15.m, and**



ORDER OF THE HEALTH OFFICER No. C19-07e

Additional Activities as defined in Section 15.o; Essential Governmental Functions as defined in Section 15.d; Essential Travel as defined in Section 15.i; to work for Essential Businesses as defined in Section 15.f, Outdoor Businesses as defined in Section 15.l, and Additional Businesses as defined in Section 15.n; or to perform Minimum Basic Operations for other businesses that must remain temporarily closed, as provided in Section 15.g. For clarity, individuals who do not currently reside in the County must comply with all applicable requirements of the Order when in the County. Individuals experiencing homelessness are exempt from this Section, but are strongly urged to obtain shelter, and governmental and other entities are strongly urged to, as soon as possible, make such shelter available and provide handwashing or hand sanitation facilities to persons who continue experiencing homelessness.

- 4. When people need to leave their place of residence for the limited purposes allowed in this Order, they must strictly comply with Social Distancing Requirements as defined in Section 15.k, except as expressly provided in this Order, and must wear Face Coverings as provided in, and subject to the limited exceptions in, Health Officer Order No. C19-12 issued April 17, 2020 (the "Face Covering Order"), including any amendments to that order.**
- 5. All businesses with a facility in the County, except Essential Businesses, Outdoor Businesses, and Additional Businesses, as defined in Section 15, are required to cease all activities at facilities located within the County except Minimum Basic Operations, as defined in Section 15. For clarity, all businesses may continue operations consisting exclusively of owners, personnel, volunteers, or contractors performing activities at their own residences (i.e., working from home). All Essential Businesses are strongly encouraged to remain open. But all businesses are directed to maximize the number of personnel who work from home. Essential Businesses, Outdoor Businesses, and Additional Businesses may only assign those personnel who cannot perform their job duties from home to work outside the home. Outdoor Businesses must conduct all business and transactions involving members of the public outdoors.**
- 6. As a condition of operating under this Order, the operators of all businesses must prepare or update, post, implement, and distribute to their personnel a Social Distancing Protocol for each of their facilities in the County frequented by personnel or members of the public, as specified in Section 15.h. In addition to the Social Distancing Protocol, all businesses allowed to operate under this Order must follow any industry-specific guidance issued by the Health Officer related to COVID-19 and any conditions on operation specified in this Order, including those specified in Appendix C-1. Except as otherwise provided in Appendix C-1, businesses that include an Essential Business or Outdoor Business component at their facilities alongside other components must, to the extent feasible, scale down their operations to the Essential Business and Outdoor Business components only; provided, however, mixed retail businesses that are otherwise allowed to operate**



ORDER OF THE HEALTH OFFICER No. C19-07e

under this Order may continue to stock and sell non-essential products.

- 7. All public and private gatherings of any number of people occurring outside a single household or living unit are prohibited, except for the limited purposes expressly permitted in this Order. Nothing in this Order prohibits members of a single household or living unit from engaging in Essential Travel, Essential Activities, Outdoor Activities, or Additional Activities together.**
- 8. All travel, including, but not limited to, travel on foot, bicycle, scooter, motorcycle, automobile, or public transit, except Essential Travel, as defined below in Section 15.i, is prohibited. People may use public transit only for purposes of performing Essential Activities, Outdoor Activities, or Additional Activities, or to travel to and from Essential Businesses, Outdoor Businesses, or Additional Businesses, to maintain Essential Governmental Functions, or to perform Minimum Basic Operations at businesses that are not allowed to resume operations. Transit agencies and people riding on public transit must comply with Social Distancing Requirements, as defined in Section 15.k, to the greatest extent feasible, and personnel and passengers must wear Face Coverings as required by the Face Covering Order. This Order allows travel into or out of the County only to perform Essential Activities, Outdoor Activities, or Additional Activities; to operate, perform work for, or access a business allowed to operate under this Order; to perform Minimum Basic Operations at other businesses; or to maintain Essential Governmental Functions.**
- 9. This Order is issued based on evidence of continued significant community transmission of COVID-19 within the County and throughout the Bay Area; continued uncertainty regarding the degree of undetected asymptomatic transmission; scientific evidence and best practices regarding the most effective approaches to slow the transmission of communicable diseases generally and COVID-19 specifically; evidence that the age, condition, and health of a significant portion of the population of the County places it at risk for serious health complications, including death, from COVID-19; and further evidence that others, including younger and otherwise healthy people, are also at risk for serious outcomes. Due to the outbreak of the COVID-19 disease in the general public, which is now a pandemic according to the World Health Organization, there is a public health emergency throughout the County. Making the problem worse, some individuals who contract the virus causing the COVID-19 disease have no symptoms or have mild symptoms, which means they may not be aware they carry the virus and are transmitting it to others. Further, evidence shows that the virus can survive for hours to days on surfaces and be indirectly transmitted between individuals. Because even people without symptoms can transmit the infection, and because evidence shows the infection is easily spread, gatherings and other direct or indirect interpersonal interactions can result in preventable transmission of the virus.**



ORDER OF THE HEALTH OFFICER No. C19-07e

- 10. The collective efforts taken to date regarding this public health emergency have slowed the virus' trajectory, but the emergency and the attendant risk to public health remain significant. As of May 31, 2020, there are 2,558 confirmed cases of COVID-19 in the County (up from 37 on March 16, 2020, just before the first shelter-in-place order) as well as at least 42 deaths (up from 1 death on March 17, 2020). The cumulative number of confirmed cases continues to increase, though the rate of increase has slowed in the weeks leading up to this Order. Evidence suggests that the restrictions on mobility and social distancing requirements imposed by the Prior Order (and the orders that preceded it) are slowing the rate of increase in community transmission and confirmed cases by limiting interactions among people, consistent with scientific evidence of the efficacy of similar measures in other parts of the country and world.**
- 11. The local health officers who jointly issued the Prior Order are monitoring several key indicators ("COVID-19 Indicators"), which are among the many factors informing their decisions whether to modify existing shelter-in-place restrictions. Progress on some of these COVID-19 Indicators—specifically related to hospital utilization and capacity—makes it appropriate, at this time, to allow certain Additional Businesses to resume operations and Additional Activities to take place under specified conditions, as set forth in Sections 15.n and 15.o. But the continued prevalence of the virus that causes COVID-19 requires most activities and business functions to remain restricted, and those activities that are allowed to occur must do so subject to social distancing and other infection control practices identified by the Health Officer. Evaluation of the COVID-19 Indicators will be critical to determinations by the local health officers regarding whether the restrictions imposed by this Order will be further modified to ease or tighten the restrictions imposed by this Order and augment, limit, or prohibit the Additional Businesses and Additional Activities allowed to resume. The Health Officer will continually review whether modifications to the Order are warranted based on (1) progress on the COVID-19 Indicators; (2) developments in epidemiological and diagnostic methods for tracing, diagnosing, treating, or testing for COVID-19; and (3) scientific understanding of the transmission dynamics and clinical impact of COVID-19. The COVID-19 Indicators include, but are not limited to, the following:**

 - a. The capacity of hospitals and the health system in the County and region, including acute care beds and Intensive Care Unit beds, to provide care for COVID-19 patients and other patients, including during a surge in COVID-19 cases.**
 - b. The supply of personal protective equipment (PPE) available for hospital staff and other healthcare providers and personnel who need PPE to safely respond to and treat COVID-19 patients.**
 - c. The ability and capacity to quickly and accurately test persons to determine whether they are COVID-19 positive, especially those in vulnerable**



ORDER OF THE HEALTH OFFICER No. C19-07e

populations or high-risk settings or occupations.

- d. The ability to conduct case investigation and contact tracing for the volume of cases and associated contacts that will continue to occur, isolating confirmed cases and quarantining persons who have had contact with confirmed cases.
12. The scientific evidence shows that at this stage of the emergency, it remains essential to continue to slow virus transmission to help (a) protect the most vulnerable; (b) prevent the health care system from being overwhelmed; (c) prevent long-term chronic health conditions, such as cardiovascular, kidney, and respiratory damage and loss of limbs from blood clotting; and (d) prevent deaths. Continuation of the Prior Order is necessary to slow the spread of the COVID-19 disease, preserving critical and limited healthcare capacity in the County and advancing toward a point in the public health emergency where transmission can be controlled. At the same time, since the Prior Order was issued the County has continued to make progress in expanding health system capacity and healthcare resources and in slowing community transmission of COVID-19. In light of progress on these indicators, and subject to continued monitoring and potential public health-based responses, in addition to those already allowed to operate under the Prior Order as Essential Businesses and Outdoor Businesses, it is appropriate at this time to begin allowing operation of specified Additional Businesses. These businesses are identified based on health-related considerations and transmission risk factors including, but not limited to, the intensity and quantity of contacts and the ability to substantially mitigate transmission risks associated with the operations.
 13. This Order is issued in accordance with, and incorporates by reference, the March 4, 2020 Proclamation of a State of Emergency issued by Governor Gavin Newsom, the March 12, 2020 Executive Order (Executive Order N-25-20) issued by Governor Gavin Newsom, the February 25, 2020 Proclamation by the Mayor Declaring the Existence of a Local Emergency issued by Mayor London Breed, as supplemented on March 11, 2020, the March 6, 2020 Declaration of Local Health Emergency Regarding Novel Coronavirus 2019 (COVID-19) issued by the Health Officer, and guidance issued by the California Department of Public Health, as each of them have been and may be supplemented.
 14. This Order is also issued in light of the March 19, 2020 Order of the State Public Health Officer (the "State Shelter Order"), which set baseline statewide restrictions on non-residential business activities, effective until further notice, and the Governor's March 19, 2020 Executive Order N-33-20 directing California residents to follow the State Shelter Order. The May 4, 2020 Executive Order issued by Governor Newsom and May 7, 2020 Order of the State Public Health Officer permit certain businesses to reopen if a local health officer believes the conditions in that jurisdictions warrant it, but expressly acknowledge the authority of local health officers to establish and implement public health measures within their respective



ORDER OF THE HEALTH OFFICER No. C19-07e

jurisdictions that are more restrictive than those implemented by the State Public Health Officer. This Order adopts in certain respects more stringent restrictions addressing the particular facts and circumstances in this County, which are necessary to control the public health emergency as it is evolving within the County and the Bay Area. Without this tailored set of restrictions that further reduces the number of interactions between persons, scientific evidence indicates that the public health crisis in the County will worsen to the point at which it may overtake available health care resources within the County and increase the death rate. Also, this Order enumerates additional restrictions on non-work-related travel not covered by the State Shelter Order; sets forth mandatory Social Distancing Requirements for all individuals in the County when engaged in activities outside their residences; and adds a mechanism to ensure that all businesses with facilities that are allowed to operate under the Order comply with the Social Distancing Requirements. Where a conflict exists between this Order and any state public health order related to the COVID-19 pandemic, the most restrictive provision controls. Consistent with California Health and Safety Code section 131080 and the Health Officer Practice Guide for Communicable Disease Control in California, except where the State Health Officer may issue an order expressly directed at this Order and based on a finding that a provision of this Order constitutes a menace to public health, any more restrictive measures in this Order continue to apply and control in this County. In addition, to the extent any federal guidelines allow activities that are not allowed by this Order, this Order controls and those activities are not allowed.

15. Definitions and Exemptions.

- a. For the purposes of this Order, individuals may leave their residence only to perform the following “Essential Activities.” But people at high risk of severe illness from COVID-19 and people who are sick are strongly urged to stay in their residence to the extent possible, except as necessary to seek or provide medical care or Essential Governmental Functions. Essential Activities are:
 - i. To engage in activities or perform tasks important to their health and safety, or to the health and safety of their family or household members (including pets), such as, by way of example only and without limitation, obtaining medical supplies or medication, or visiting a health care professional.
 - ii. To obtain necessary services or supplies for themselves and their family or household members, or to deliver those services or supplies to others, such as, by way of example only and without limitation, canned food, dry goods, fresh fruits and vegetables, pet supply, fresh meats, fish, and poultry, and any other household consumer products, or products necessary to maintain the habitability, sanitation, and



ORDER OF THE HEALTH OFFICER No. C19-07e

operation of residences.

- iii. To engage in outdoor recreation activity, including, by way of example and without limitation, walking, hiking, bicycling, and running, in compliance with Social Distancing Requirements and with the following limitations:
 - 1. Outdoor recreation activity at parks, beaches, and other open spaces must comply with any restrictions on access and use established by the Health Officer, government, or other entity that manages such area to reduce crowding and risk of transmission of COVID-19. Such restrictions may include, but are not limited to, restricting the number of entrants, closing the area to vehicular access and parking, or closure to all public access;
 - 2. Except as otherwise provided in Appendix C-2, use of outdoor recreational areas and facilities with high-touch equipment or that encourage gathering, including, but not limited to, playgrounds, gym equipment, climbing walls, picnic areas, dog parks, pools, spas, and barbecue areas, is prohibited outside of residences, and all such areas shall be closed to public access including by signage and, as appropriate, by physical barriers;
 - 3. Except as otherwise provided in Appendix C-2, sports or activities that include the use of shared equipment or physical contact between participants may only be engaged in by members of the same household or living unit; and
 - 4. Use of shared outdoor facilities for recreational activities that may occur outside of residences consistent with the restrictions set forth in subsections 1, 2, and 3, above, including, but not limited to, golf courses, skate parks, and athletic fields, must, before they may begin, comply with social distancing and health/safety protocols posted at the site and any other restrictions, including prohibitions, on access and use established by the Health Officer, government, or other entity that manages such area to reduce crowding and risk of transmission of COVID-19.
- iv. To perform work for or access an Essential Business, Outdoor Business, or Additional Business; or to otherwise carry out activities specifically permitted in this Order, including Minimum Basic Operations, as defined in this Section.



ORDER OF THE HEALTH OFFICER No. C19-07e

- v. To provide necessary care for a family member or pet in another household who has no other source of care.
 - vi. To attend a funeral with no more than 10 individuals present.
 - vii. To move residences. When moving into or out of the Bay Area region, individuals are strongly urged to quarantine for 14 days. To quarantine, individuals should follow the guidance of the United States Centers for Disease Control and Prevention.
 - viii. To engage in Additional Activities, as specified in Appendix C-2.
- b. For the purposes of this Order, individuals may leave their residence to work for, volunteer at, or obtain services at “Healthcare Operations,” including, without limitation, hospitals, clinics, COVID-19 testing locations, dentists, pharmacies, blood banks and blood drives, pharmaceutical and biotechnology companies, other healthcare facilities, healthcare suppliers, home healthcare services providers, mental health providers, or any related and/or ancillary healthcare services. “Healthcare Operations” also includes veterinary care and all healthcare services provided to animals. This exemption for Healthcare Operations shall be construed broadly to avoid any interference with the delivery of healthcare, broadly defined. “Healthcare Operations” excludes fitness and exercise gyms and similar facilities.
- c. For the purposes of this Order, individuals may leave their residence to provide any services or perform any work necessary to the operation and maintenance of “Essential Infrastructure,” including airports, utilities (including water, sewer, gas, and electrical), oil refining, roads and highways, public transportation, solid waste facilities (including collection, removal, disposal, recycling, and processing facilities), cemeteries, mortuaries, crematoriums, and telecommunications systems (including the provision of essential global, national, and local infrastructure for internet, computing services, business infrastructure, communications, and web-based services).
- d. For the purposes of this Order, all first responders, emergency management personnel, emergency dispatchers, court personnel, and law enforcement personnel, and others who need to perform essential services are categorically exempt from this Order to the extent they are performing those essential services. Further, nothing in this Order shall prohibit any individual from performing or accessing “Essential Governmental Functions,” as determined by the governmental entity performing those functions in the County. Each governmental entity shall identify and designate appropriate personnel, volunteers, or contractors to continue providing and carrying out any Essential Governmental Functions, including the hiring or retention of new personnel or contractors to perform such



ORDER OF THE HEALTH OFFICER No. C19-07e

functions. Each governmental entity and its contractors must employ all necessary emergency protective measures to prevent, mitigate, respond to, and recover from the COVID-19 pandemic, and all Essential Governmental Functions shall be performed in compliance with Social Distancing Requirements to the greatest extent feasible.

- e. For the purposes of this Order, a “business” includes any for-profit, non-profit, or educational entity, whether a corporate entity, organization, partnership or sole proprietorship, and regardless of the nature of the service, the function it performs, or its corporate or entity structure.**
- f. For the purposes of this Order, “Essential Businesses” are:**
 - i. Healthcare Operations and businesses that operate, maintain, or repair Essential Infrastructure;**
 - ii. Grocery stores, certified farmers’ markets, farm and produce stands, supermarkets, food banks, convenience stores, and other establishments engaged in the retail sale of unprepared food, canned food, dry goods, non-alcoholic beverages, fresh fruits and vegetables, pet supply, fresh meats, fish, and poultry, as well as hygienic products and household consumer products necessary for personal hygiene or the habitability, sanitation, or operation of residences. The businesses included in this subsection (ii) include establishments that sell multiple categories of products provided that they sell a significant amount of essential products identified in this subsection, such as liquor stores that also sell a significant amount of food;**
 - iii. Food cultivation, including farming, livestock, and fishing;**
 - iv. Businesses that provide food, shelter, and social services, and other necessities of life for economically disadvantaged or otherwise needy individuals;**
 - v. Construction, but only as permitted under the State Shelter Order and only pursuant to the Construction Safety Protocols listed in Appendix B and incorporated into this Order by this reference. City public works projects shall also be subject to Appendix B, except if other protocols are specified by the Health Officer;**
 - vi. Newspapers, television, radio, and other media services;**
 - vii. Gas stations and auto-supply, auto-repair (including, but not limited to, for cars, trucks, motorcycles and motorized scooters), and automotive dealerships, but only for the purpose of providing auto-**



ORDER OF THE HEALTH OFFICER No. C19-07e

supply and auto-repair services. This subsection (vii) does not restrict the on-line purchase of automobiles if they are delivered to a residence or Essential Business;

- viii. Bicycle repair and supply shops;
- ix. Banks and related financial institutions;
- x. Service providers that enable real estate transactions (including rentals, leases, and home sales), including, but not limited to, real estate agents, escrow agents, notaries, and title companies, provided that appointments and other residential real estate viewings must only occur virtually or, if a virtual viewing is not feasible, by appointment with no more than two visitors at a time residing within the same household or living unit and one individual showing the unit (except that in person visits are not allowed when the occupant is present in the residence);
- xi. Hardware stores;
- xii. Plumbers, electricians, exterminators, and other service providers who provide services that are necessary to maintaining the habitability, sanitation, or operation of residences and Essential Businesses;
- xiii. Businesses providing mailing and shipping services, including post office boxes;
- xiv. Educational institutions—including public and private K-12 schools, colleges, and universities—for purposes of facilitating distance learning or performing essential functions, or as allowed under subsection (xxvi), provided that social distancing of six feet per person is maintained to the greatest extent possible;
- xv. Laundromats, drycleaners, and laundry service providers;
- xvi. Restaurants and other facilities that prepare and serve food, but only for delivery or carry out. Schools and other entities that typically provide free food services to students or members of the public may continue to do so under this Order on the condition that the food is provided to students or members of the public on a pick-up and take-away basis only. Schools and other entities that provide food services under this exemption shall not permit the food to be eaten at the site where it is provided, or at any other gathering site;



ORDER OF THE HEALTH OFFICER No. C19-07e

- xvii. Funeral home providers, mortuaries, cemeteries, and crematoriums, to the extent necessary for the transport, preparation, or processing of bodies or remains;**
- xviii. Businesses that supply other Essential Businesses and Outdoor Businesses with the support or supplies necessary to operate, but only to the extent that they support or supply these businesses. This exemption shall not be used as a basis for engaging in sales to the general public from retail storefronts;**
- xix. Businesses that have the primary function of shipping or delivering groceries, food, or other goods directly to residences or businesses. This exemption shall not be used to allow for manufacturing or assembly of non-essential products or for other functions besides those necessary to the delivery operation;**
- xx. Airlines, taxis, rental car companies, rideshare services (including shared bicycles and scooters), and other private transportation providers providing transportation services necessary for Essential Activities and other purposes expressly authorized in this Order;**
- xxi. Home-based care for seniors, adults, children, and pets;**
- xxii. Residential facilities and shelters for seniors, adults, and children;**
- xxiii. Professional services, such as legal, notary, or accounting services, when necessary to assist in compliance with non-elective, legally required activities or in relation to death or incapacity;**
- xxiv. Services to assist individuals in finding employment with Essential Businesses;**
- xxv. Moving services that facilitate residential or commercial moves that are allowed under this Order; and**
- xxvi. Childcare establishments and other educational or recreational institutions or programs providing care or supervision for children of all ages (with the exception of summer camps, which are addressed separately in Appendix C-1) that enable owners, employees, volunteers, and contractors for Essential Businesses, Essential Governmental Functions, Outdoor Businesses, Additional Businesses, or Minimum Basic Operations to work as allowed under this Order. To the extent possible, these operations must comply with the following conditions:**



ORDER OF THE HEALTH OFFICER No. C19-07e

1. They must be carried out in stable groups of 12 or fewer children (“stable” means that the same 12 or fewer children are in the same group each day).
 2. Children shall not change from one group to another.
 3. If more than one group of children is at one facility, each group shall be in a separate room. Groups shall not mix with each other.
 4. Providers or educators shall remain solely with one group of children.
- g. For the purposes of this Order, “Minimum Basic Operations” means the following activities for businesses, provided that owners, personnel, and contractors comply with Social Distancing Requirements as defined this Section, to the extent possible, while carrying out such operations:
- i. The minimum necessary activities to maintain and protect the value of the business’s inventory and facilities; ensure security, safety, and sanitation; process payroll and employee benefits; provide for the delivery of existing inventory directly to residences or businesses; and related functions. For clarity, this section does not permit businesses to provide curbside pickup to customers.
 - ii. The minimum necessary activities to facilitate owners, personnel, and contractors of the business being able to continue to work remotely from their residences, and to ensure that the business can deliver its service remotely.
- h. For the purposes of this Order, all businesses that are operating at facilities in the County visited or used by the public or personnel must, as a condition of such operation, prepare and post a “Social Distancing Protocol” for each of these facilities; provided, however, that construction activities shall instead comply with the Construction Project Safety Protocols set forth in Appendix B and not the Social Distancing Protocol. The Social Distancing Protocol must be substantially in the form attached to this Order as Appendix A, and it must be updated from prior versions to address new requirements listed in this Order or in related guidance or directives from the Health Officer. The Social Distancing Protocol must be posted at or near the entrance of the relevant facility, and shall be easily viewable by the public and personnel. A copy of the Social Distancing Protocol must also be provided to each person performing work at the facility. All businesses subject to this paragraph shall implement the Social Distancing Protocol and provide evidence of its implementation to any authority enforcing this Order upon demand. The



ORDER OF THE HEALTH OFFICER No. C19-07e

Social Distancing Protocol must explain how the business is achieving the following, as applicable:

- i. Limiting the number of people who can enter into the facility at any one time to ensure that people in the facility can easily maintain a minimum six-foot distance from one another at all times, except as required to complete Essential Business activity;**
 - ii. Requiring face coverings to be worn by all persons entering the facility, other than those exempted from face covering requirements (e.g., young children);**
 - iii. Where lines may form at a facility, marking six-foot increments at a minimum, establishing where individuals should stand to maintain adequate social distancing;**
 - iv. Providing hand sanitizer, soap and water, or effective disinfectant at or near the entrance of the facility and in other appropriate areas for use by the public and personnel, and in locations where there is high-frequency employee interaction with members of the public (e.g., cashiers);**
 - v. Providing for contactless payment systems or, if not feasible to do so, the providing for disinfecting all payment portals, pens, and styluses after each use;**
 - vi. Regularly disinfecting other high-touch surfaces;**
 - vii. Posting a sign at the entrance of the facility informing all personnel and customers that they should: avoid entering the facility if they have any COVID-19 symptoms; maintain a minimum six-foot distance from one another; sneeze and cough into their own elbow; and not shake hands or engage in any unnecessary physical contact; and**
 - viii. Any additional social distancing measures being implemented (see the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention's guidance at: <https://www.cdc.gov/coronavirus/2019-ncov/community/guidance-business-response.html>).**
- i. For the purposes of this Order, "Essential Travel" means travel for any of the following purposes:**
- i. Travel related to the provision of or access to Essential Activities, Essential Governmental Functions, Essential Businesses, Minimum**



ORDER OF THE HEALTH OFFICER No. C19-07e

Basic Operations, Outdoor Activities, Outdoor Businesses, Additional Activities, and Additional Businesses.

- ii. **Travel to care for any elderly, minors, dependents, or persons with disabilities.**
- iii. **Travel to or from educational institutions for purposes of receiving materials for distance learning, for receiving meals, and any other related services.**
- iv. **Travel to return to a place of residence from outside the County.**
- v. **Travel required by law enforcement or court order.**
- vi. **Travel required for non-residents to return to their place of residence outside the County. Individuals are strongly encouraged to verify that their transportation out of the County remains available and functional prior to commencing such travel.**
- vii. **Travel to manage after-death arrangements and burial.**
- viii. **Travel to arrange for shelter or avoid homelessness.**
- ix. **Travel to avoid domestic violence or child abuse.**
- x. **Travel for parental custody arrangements.**
- xi. **Travel to a place to temporarily reside in a residence or other facility to avoid potentially exposing others to COVID-19, such as a hotel or other facility provided by a governmental authority for such purposes.**
- j. **For purposes of this Order, “residences” include hotels, motels, shared rental units, and similar facilities. Residences also include living structures and outdoor spaces associated with those living structures, such as patios, porches, backyards, and front yards that are only accessible to a single family or household unit.**
- k. **For purposes of this Order, “Social Distancing Requirements” means:**
 - i. **Maintaining at least six-foot social distancing from individuals who are not part of the same household or living unit;**
 - ii. **Frequently washing hands with soap and water for at least 20 seconds, or using hand sanitizer that is recognized by the Centers for**



ORDER OF THE HEALTH OFFICER No. C19-07e

Disease Control and Prevention as effective in combatting COVID-19;

- iii. Covering coughs and sneezes with a tissue or fabric or, if not possible, into the sleeve or elbow (but not into hands);**
- iv. Wearing a face covering when out in public, consistent with the orders or guidance of the Health Officer; and**
- v. Avoiding all social interaction outside the household when sick with a fever, cough, or other COVID-19 symptoms.**

All individuals must strictly comply with Social Distancing Requirements, except to the limited extent necessary to provide care (including childcare, adult or senior care, care to individuals with special needs, and patient care); as necessary to carry out the work of Essential Businesses, Essential Governmental Functions, or provide for Minimum Basic Operations; or as otherwise expressly provided in this Order. Outdoor Activities, Outdoor Businesses, Additional Activities, and Additional Businesses must strictly adhere to these Social Distancing Requirements.

***l.* For purposes of this Order, “Outdoor Businesses” means:**

- i. The following businesses that normally operated primarily outdoors prior to March 16, 2020 and where there is the ability to fully maintain social distancing of at least six feet between all persons:**
 - 1. Businesses primarily operated outdoors, such as wholesale and retail plant nurseries, agricultural operations, and garden centers.**
 - 2. Service providers that primarily provide outdoor services, such as landscaping and gardening services, and environmental site remediation services.**

For clarity, “Outdoor Businesses” do not include outdoor restaurants, cafes, or bars. Except as otherwise provided in Appendix C, they also do not include businesses that promote large, coordinated, and prolonged gatherings, such as outdoor concert venues and amusement parks.

***m.* For purposes of this Order, “Outdoor Activities” means:**

- i. To obtain goods, services, or supplies from, or perform work for, an Outdoor Business.**



ORDER OF THE HEALTH OFFICER No. C19-07e

- ii. To engage in outdoor recreation as permitted in Section 15.a.
- n. For purposes of this Order, “Additional Business” means any business, entity, or other organization identified as an Additional Business in Appendix C-1, which will be updated as warranted based on the Health Officer’s ongoing evaluation of the COVID-19 Indicators and other data. In addition to the other requirements in this Order, operation of those Additional Businesses is subject to any conditions and health and safety requirements set forth in Appendix C-1 and in any industry-specific guidance issued by the Health Officer.
- o. For purposes of this Order, “Additional Activities” means:
 - i. To obtain goods, services, or supplies from, or perform work for, Additional Businesses identified in Appendix C-1, subject to requirements in this Order, and any conditions and health and safety requirements set forth in this Order or in any industry-specific guidance issued by the Health Officer.
 - ii. To engage in outdoor recreation activities or other activities set forth in Appendix C-2, subject to any conditions and health and safety requirements set forth there.
- 16. Government agencies and other entities operating shelters and other facilities that house or provide meals or other necessities of life for individuals experiencing homelessness must take appropriate steps to help ensure compliance with Social Distancing Requirements, including adequate provision of hand sanitizer. Also, individuals experiencing homelessness who are unsheltered and living in encampments should, to the maximum extent feasible, abide by 12 foot by 12 foot distancing for the placement of tents, and government agencies should provide restroom and hand washing facilities for individuals in such encampments as set forth in Centers for Disease Control and Prevention Interim Guidance Responding to Coronavirus 2019 (COVID-19) Among People Experiencing Unsheltered Homelessness (<https://www.cdc.gov/coronavirus/2019-ncov/need-extra-precautions/unsheltered-homelessness.html>).
- 17. Pursuant to Government Code sections 26602 and 41601 and Health and Safety Code section 101029, the Health Officer requests that the Sheriff and the Chief of Police in the County ensure compliance with and enforce this Order. The violation of any provision of this Order constitutes an imminent threat and menace to public health, constitutes a public nuisance, and is punishable by fine, imprisonment, or both.
- 18. This Order shall become effective at 12:00 p.m. on May 22, 2020 and will continue to be in effect until it is rescinded, superseded, or amended in writing by the Health



ORDER OF THE HEALTH OFFICER No. C19-07e

Officer.

- 19. Effective as of 12:00 p.m. on May 22, 2020, this Order revises and replaces Order Number C19-07d, issued May 17, 2020. This Order also extends Order Nos. C19-01b (prohibiting visitors at Laguna Honda Hospital and Rehabilitation Center and Unit 4A at Zuckerberg San Francisco General Hospital), C19-03 (prohibiting visitors to specific residential facilities), C19-04 (imposing cleaning standards for residential hotels), C19-06 (prohibiting visitors to general acute care hospitals and acute psychiatric hospitals), C19-09 (prohibiting visitors to residential care facilities for the elderly, adult residential facilities, and residential facilities for the chronically ill), and C19-11 (placing Laguna Honda Hospital and Rehabilitation Center under protective quarantine) without any further need to amend those orders, with those listed orders otherwise remaining in effect until the specific listed order or this Order is extended, rescinded, superseded, or amended in writing by the Health Officer. This Order does not prohibit amendment of those orders separately. This Order also does not affect Order Nos. C19-08b (limiting routine appointments and elective surgeries and encouraging delivery of prescriptions and cannabis products), C19-10 (requiring reporting by labs of COVID-19 testing information), C19-12 (requiring face coverings), and C19-13 (regarding testing, reporting, and cooperation at skilled nursing facilities), which continue indefinitely as provided in those respective orders until each of them is extended, rescinded, superseded, or amended in writing by the Health Officer.**
- 20. The County must promptly provide copies of this Order as follows: (1) by posting on the City Administrator's website (www.sfgsa.org) and the Department of Public Health website (www.sfdph.org); (2) by posting at City Hall, located at 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Pl., San Francisco, CA 94102; and (3) by providing to any member of the public requesting a copy. In addition, the owner, manager, or operator of any facility that is likely to be impacted by this Order is strongly encouraged to post a copy of this Order onsite and to provide a copy to any member of the public asking for a copy.**
- 21. If any provision of this Order or its application to any person or circumstance is held to be invalid, the remainder of the Order, including the application of such part or provision to other persons or circumstances, shall not be affected and shall continue in full force and effect. To this end, the provisions of this Order are severable.**

IT IS SO ORDERED:

Tomás J. Aragón, MD, DrPH,
Health Officer of the
City and County of San Francisco

Dated: May 22, 2020 (updated June 1, 2020)



**City and County of
San Francisco**

**Department of Public Health
Order of the Health Officer**

ORDER OF THE HEALTH OFFICER No. C19-07e

Attachments: Appendix A – Social Distancing Protocol (revised 6/1/2020)
Appendix B-1 – Small Construction Project Safety Protocol
Appendix B-2 – Large Construction Project Safety Protocol
Appendix C-1 – Additional Businesses (June 1, 2020)
Appendix C-2 – Additional Activities (June 1, 2020)

Each business operating in San Francisco must complete, post onsite, and follow this Social Distancing Protocol. See the attached **Instructions and Requirements** for details on what is required and how to complete this checklist.

Check off all items below that apply and list other required information.

Business/Entity name:

Contact name:

Facility Address:

Contact telephone:

(You may contact the person listed above with any questions or comments about this protocol.)

SIGNAGE & EDUCATION

- ☐ Post signage at each public entrance of the facility requiring of everyone:
 - (1) avoid entering if experiencing COVID-19 symptoms;
 - (2) maintain a minimum six-foot distance from others in line and in the facility; and
 - (3) wear a Face Covering
- ☐ Post a copy of this two-page Social Distancing Protocol checklist at each public entrance
- ☐ Post signage showing maximum number of patrons who can be in line and in the facility
- ☐ Educate Personnel about this Protocol and other COVID-19 related items

PROTECTIVE MEASURES

- ☐ Follow Sections 2.1 through 2.4 below, including:
 - ☐ Ensure Personnel stay home or leave work if they are sick
 - ☐ Provide a copy of the Attachment to Personnel to ensure they understand when to stay home; translated versions of the Attachment are available online
 - ☐ Ensure Personnel review health criteria before each shift and advise Personnel what to do if they are required to stay home
- ☐ Require Personnel and patrons to wear a face covering as required by Health Officer orders
- ☐ Implement a plan to keep site Personnel safe, including by limiting the number of Personnel and customers onsite to a number that ensures physical distancing and favoring allowing Personnel to carry out their duties from home when possible

MEASURES TO PREVENT UNNECESSARY CONTACT

- ☐ Tell Personnel and patrons to maintain physical distancing of at least six feet, except Personnel may momentarily come closer when necessary to accept payment, deliver goods or services, or as otherwise necessary
- ☐ Separate all desks or individual work stations by at least six feet
- ☐ Place markings in patron line areas to ensure six foot social distancing (inside and outside)
- ☐ Provide for contactless payment systems or, if not feasible, disinfect payment systems regularly. Describe:
- ☐ Maintain Plexiglas or other barriers between patrons and Personnel at point of payment (if not possible, then ensure at least six foot distance)

- ☐ Limit the number of patrons in the store at any one time to: _____
- ☐ Separate order areas from delivery areas or similarly help distance patrons when possible
- ☐ Optional—Describe other measures:

SANITIZING MEASURES

- ☐ Regularly disinfect high touch areas, and do so continuously for surfaces patrons touch (countertops, payment systems, pens, and styluses)
- ☐ Provide disinfecting wipes that are effective against SARS-CoV-2 near shopping carts, shopping baskets, and high-touch surfaces and provide hand sanitizer
- ☐ Have Personnel disinfect carts and baskets after each use
- ☐ Provide hand sanitizer, soap and water, and/or disinfecting wipes to patrons and Personnel at or near the entrance of the facility, at checkout counters, and anywhere else where people have direct interactions
- ☐ Disinfect break rooms, bathrooms, and other common areas frequently, on the following schedule:
 - ☐ Break rooms:
 - ☐ Bathrooms:
 - ☐ Other:
- ☐ Prevent people from self-serving any items that are food-related:
 - ☐ Provide lids and utensils for food items by Personnel, not for patrons to grab
 - ☐ Limit access to bulk-item food bins to Personnel—no self-service use
- ☐ Prohibit patrons from bringing their own bags, coffee mugs, or other reusable items
- ☐ Prohibit Personnel from using shared food prep equipment for their own use (e.g., microwaves, water coolers), but microwaves may be used if disinfected between each use and hand sanitizer is available nearby
- ☐ Optional—Describe other measures (e.g., providing senior-only hours):

INDUSTRY-SPECIFIC DIRECTIVES

- ☐ Ensure that you have read and implemented the attached list of requirements.
- ☐ In addition to complying with the Social Distancing Protocol, many businesses must comply with additional, industry-specific directives. Go to www.sfdph.org/directives and check to see if your business is subject to one or more additional directives. For each one, you must review the Health and Safety Plan (HSP) requirements and post an additional two-page checklist for each one that applies. In the event that any directive changes the requirements of the Social Distancing Protocol, the more specific language of the directive controls, even if it is less restrictive. Check this box after you have checked the list and posted any other required HSP.

* Any additional measures may be listed on separate pages and attached.

[You are not required to post these Instructions and Requirements]**Instructions:**

The two-page Social Distancing Protocol above must reflect the business's completion of each requirement listed below unless an item is not applicable. Use the two-page checklist above to show compliance with these requirements. The business does not need to post these Instructions and Requirements, only the two-page checklist above.

Any business that has an existing Social Distancing Protocol must update its Social Distance Protocol to meet the requirements in this new version no later than June 15, 2020. Any other business that is implementing a Social Distancing Protocol for the first time on or after June 1, 2020 must comply with the new protocol before resuming operations.

Depending on the nature of the business covered by the Social Distancing Protocol, there are certain people associated with the business who are subject to this protocol. Collectively those people are referred to by this protocol, and any associated Health Officer directive, as "Personnel", and those people include all of the following who provide goods or services associated with the business in the City: employees; contractors and sub-contractors (such as those who sell goods or perform services onsite or who deliver goods for the business); independent contractors (such as "gig workers" who perform work via the business's app or other online interface, if any); vendors who are permitted to sell goods onsite (such as farmers or others who sell at stalls in farmers' markets); volunteers; and other individuals who regularly provide services onsite at the request of the business.

Requirements:

In addition to the items below, this protocol requires the business to ensure that Personnel who perform work associated with the business are addressed by the Social Distancing Protocol and comply with those requirements. Each business is required to take certain steps in the protocol related to its Personnel, including the actions listed in Sections 2.1 through 2.4 below if Personnel are sick. Each business is prohibited from taking any adverse action against any Personnel for staying home in the circumstances listed in Sections 2.1 through 2.4 below. Personnel of each business are prohibited from coming to work if they are sick and must comply with the protocol, including the rules for returning to work listed in Sections 2.1 through 2.4 below.

1. Section 1 – Signage and Education:

- 1.1.** Post signage at each public entrance of the facility or location (if any) to inform all Personnel and customers that they must: avoid waiting in line or entering the facility or location if they have a cough or fever, maintain a minimum six-foot distance from one another while in line or in the facility or location, wear a face covering or barrier mask (a "Face Covering") at all times, and not shake hands or engage in any unnecessary physical contact. Criteria for Face Coverings and the requirements related to their use are set forth in Health Officer Order No. C19-12, issued on April 17, 2020 (the "Face Covering Order"). Sample signs are available online at <https://sf.gov/outreach-toolkit-coronavirus-covid-19>.
- 1.2.** Post a copy of the Social Distancing Protocol at each public entrance to the facility or location.
- 1.3.** Distribute to all Personnel copies of the Social Distancing Protocol.
- 1.4.** Educate all Personnel on the requirements of the Social Distancing Protocol and any other Health Officer directive that applies.

[You are not required to post these Instructions and Requirements]**2. Section 2 – Personnel and Customer Protection and Sanitation Requirements:**

- 2.1.** Instruct all Personnel orally and in writing not to come to work or the facility if they are sick.
- 2.2.** Provide a copy of the attachment to this Exhibit, titled “Information for Personnel (Employees, Contractors, Volunteers) of Additional Business and Other Businesses Permitted To Operate During the Health Emergency” (the “Attachment”), to all Personnel who regularly work at the facility or location in hardcopy format or electronically. PDF and translated versions of the Attachment can be found online at www.sfgdcp.org/covid19 (open the “Businesses and Employers” area of the “Information and Guidance for the Public” section). If the Attachment is updated, provide an updated copy to all Personnel.
- 2.3.** Review the criteria listed in Part 1 of the Attachment on a daily basis with all Personnel in the City who regularly work at the facility or location before each person enters work spaces or begins a shift. If such a review is not feasible because the business does not directly interact with some Personnel onsite daily, then that business must for those Personnel (1) instruct such Personnel to review the criteria before each shift in the City and (2) have such Personnel report to the business that they are okay to begin the shift such as through an app, website, or phone call.

Instruct any Personnel who answered yes to any question in Part 1 of the Attachment to return home or not come to work and follow the directions on the Attachment.

- 2.4.** Instruct Personnel who stayed home or who went home based on the criteria listed on the Attachment that they must follow the criteria as well as any applicable requirements from the quarantine and isolation directives (available online at www.sfdph.org/dph/alerts/coronavirus-healthorders.asp) before returning to work. If they are required to self-quarantine or self-isolate, they may only return to work after they have completed self-quarantine or self-isolation. If they test negative for the virus (no virus found), they may only return to work after waiting for the amount of time listed on the Attachment after their symptoms have resolved. Personnel are not required to provide a medical clearance letter to return to work as long as they have met the requirements outlined on the Attachment.
- 2.5.** In the coming weeks the Department of Public Health may issue guidelines requiring businesses to comply with COVID-19 testing requirements for employers and businesses. Periodically, check the following website for any testing requirements for employers and businesses: www.sfgdcp.org/covid19. If requirements are added, ensure that the business and all Personnel comply with testing requirements.
- 2.6.** If an aspect of the business is allowed to operate and is covered by a Health Officer directive, then the business must comply with all applicable directives as well as this Social Distancing Protocol. Copies of other directives are available online at www.sfdph.org/directives. For each directive that applies, review the Health and Safety Plan (HSP) requirements and post an additional two-page checklist for each one that applies. In the event that any directive changes the requirements of the Social Distancing Protocol, the more specific language of the directive controls, even if it is less restrictive.
- 2.7.** Instruct all Personnel and customers to maintain at least six-foot distance from others, including when in line and when shopping or collecting goods on behalf of customers, except when momentarily necessary to facilitate or accept payment and hand off items or deliver goods. Note that if the business cannot ensure maintenance of a six-foot distance within the business or facility between Personnel or other people onsite, such as by moving work

[You are not required to post these Instructions and Requirements]

stations or spreading Personnel out, it must reduce the number of Personnel permitted in the business or facility accordingly.

- 2.8. Provide Face Coverings for all Personnel, with instructions that they must wear Face Coverings at all times when at work, as further set forth in the Face Covering Order. A sample sign is available online at <https://sf.gov/outreach-toolkit-coronavirus-covid-19>. Allow Personnel to bring their own Face Covering if they bring one that has been cleaned before the shift. In general, people should have multiple Face Coverings (whether reusable or disposable) to ensure they use a clean one each day. The Face Covering Order permits certain exceptions, and the business should be aware of those exceptions (for example, children 12 years old or younger or based on a written medical excuse). When Personnel do not wear a Face Covering because of an exception, take steps to otherwise increase safety for all.
- 2.9. If customers wait in line outside or inside any business or location operated by the business, require customers to wear a Face Covering while waiting in line outside or inside the business or location. This includes taking steps to notify customers they will not be served if they are in line without a Face Covering and refusing to serve a customer without a Face Covering, as further provided in the Face Covering Order. The business may provide a clean Face Covering to customers while in line. For clarity, the transaction must be aborted if the customer is not wearing a Face Covering. But the business must permit a customer to obtain service who is excused by the Face Covering Order from wearing a Face Covering, including by taking steps that can otherwise increase safety for all.
- 2.10. Provide a sink with soap, water, and paper towels for handwashing, for all Personnel working onsite at the facility or location and for customers if sinks and restrooms are open to customers. Require that all Personnel wash hands at least at the start and end of each shift, after sneezing, coughing, eating, drinking, smoking (to the extent smoking is allowed by law and the business), or using the restroom, when changing tasks, and, when possible, frequently during each shift. Personnel who work off-site, such as driving or delivering goods, must be required to use hand sanitizer throughout their shift.
- 2.11. Provide hand sanitizer effective against COVID-19 at appropriate locations for customers and elsewhere at the business or facility for Personnel. Sanitizer must also be provided to Personnel who shop, deliver, or drive for use when they are shopping, delivering, or driving. If sanitizer cannot be obtained, a handwashing station with soap, water, and paper towels will suffice for Personnel who are on-site at the business. But for Personnel who shop, deliver, or drive in relation to their work, the business must provide hand sanitizer effective against COVID-19 at all times; for any period during which the business does not provide sanitizer to such shopping, delivery, or driving Personnel, the business is not allowed for that aspect of its service to operate in the City. Information on hand sanitizer, including sanitizer effective against COVID-19 and how to obtain sanitizer, is available online from the Food and Drug Administration here: <https://www.fda.gov/drugs/information-drug-class/ga-consumers-hand-sanitizers-and-covid-19>.
- 2.12. Provide disinfectant and related supplies to Personnel and require Personnel to sanitize all high-touch surfaces under their control, including but not limited to: shopping carts and baskets used by Personnel and customers; countertops, food/item display cases, refrigerator and freezer case doors, drawers with tools or hardware, and check-out areas; cash registers, payment equipment, and self-check-out kiosks; door handles; tools and equipment used by Personnel during a shift; and any inventory-tracking or delivery-tracking equipment or devices which require handling throughout a work shift. These items should be routinely disinfected during the course of the day, including as required below. A list of products listed by the

[You are not required to post these Instructions and Requirements]

United States Environmental Protection Agency as meeting criteria for use against the virus that causes COVID-19 can be found online here: <https://www.epa.gov/pesticide-registration/list-n-disinfectants-use-against-sars-cov-2>.

- 2.13. Ensure that all shared devices or equipment are cleaned and/or sanitized by Personnel on frequent schedules, not less than at the beginning and end of each Personnel member's work shift and during the shift.
- 2.14. Direct all Personnel to avoid touching unsanitized surfaces that may be frequently touched, such as door handles, tools, or credit cards, unless protective equipment such as gloves (provided by the business) are used and discarded after each use or hand sanitizer is used after each interaction.
- 2.15. Frequently disinfect any break rooms, bathrooms, and other common areas. Create and use a daily checklist to document each time disinfection of these rooms or areas occurs. Conspicuously post the checklist inside each respective break room, bathroom, or other common area clearly detailing the dates and times the room was last cleaned, disinfected, or restocked.
- 2.16. For any facility or location that has carts, baskets, or other equipment for use by Personnel, assign Personnel to disinfect carts, baskets, or other equipment after each use and take steps to prevent anyone from grabbing used carts, baskets, or other equipment before disinfection.
- 2.17. Establish adequate time in the work day to allow for proper cleaning and decontamination throughout the facility or location by Personnel including, but not limited to, before closing for the day and opening in the morning.
- 2.18. Suspend use of any microwaves, water coolers, drinking fountains, and other similar group equipment for breaks until further notice. Microwaves may be used if disinfected between each use and hand sanitizer is available nearby.
- 2.19. When possible, provide a barrier between the customer and the cashier such as a plexi-glass temporary barrier. When not possible, create sufficient space to enable the customer to stand more than six feet away from the cashier while items are being scanned/tallied and bagged.
- 2.20. Provide for contactless payment systems or, if not feasible, sanitize payment systems, including touch screens, payment portals, pens, and styluses, after each customer use. Customers may pay with cash but to further limit person-to-person contact, Personnel should encourage customers to use credit, debit, or gift cards for payment.
- 2.21. For any larger facility or location, appoint a designated sanitation worker at all times to continuously clean and sanitize commonly touched surfaces and meet the environmental cleaning guidelines set by the Center for Disease Control and Prevention.
- 2.22. If an employee or other Personnel tests positive for COVID-19, follow the guidance on "Business guidance if a staff member tests positive for COVID-19," available online at <https://sf.gov/business-guidance-if-staff-member-tests-positive-covid-19>.
- 2.23. Post signs to advise customers of the maximum line capacity to ensure that the maximum number of customers in line is not exceeded. Once the maximum number of customers is reached, customers should be advised to return later to prevent buildup of congestion in the line.

[You are not required to post these Instructions and Requirements]

- 2.24.** Place tape or other markings on the sidewalk or floor at least six feet apart in customer line areas with signs directing customers to use the markings to maintain distance.
- 2.25.** When stocking shelves, if any, ensure that Personnel wash or sanitize hands before placing items on shelves, making sure to again wash or sanitize hands if they become contaminated by touching face or hair or being exposed to other soiled surfaces.
- 2.26.** Ensure that all Personnel who select items on behalf of customers wear a Face Covering when selecting, packing, and/or delivering items.
- 2.27.** Require Personnel to wash hands frequently, including:
- When entering any kitchen or food preparation area
 - Before starting food preparation or handling
 - After touching their face, hair, or other areas of the body
 - After using the restroom
 - After coughing, sneezing, using a tissue, smoking, eating, or drinking
 - Before putting on gloves
 - After engaging in other activities that may contaminate the hands
- 2.28.** Assign Personnel to keep soap and paper towels stocked at sinks and handwashing stations at least every hour and to replenish other sanitizing products.

City and County of San Francisco Health Officer Order Appendix A - Attachment
Handout for Personnel (Employees, Contractors, Volunteers) of Essential Business and
Other Businesses Permitted to Operate During the Health Emergency (May 18, 2020)

Any business or entity that is subject to a Health Officer Directive to which this handout is attached (each "Business") must give a copy of this handout to Personnel who work in the City outside their household during this emergency. Go to www.sfgcdcp.org/covid19 for more info or a copy of this form.

All Personnel: If you work outside your household in the City during this local health emergency, you may qualify for a free test for the virus that causes COVID-19, even if you have no symptoms. Contact your healthcare provider or go to **CityTestSF** at <https://sf.gov/get-tested-covid-19-citytests> to sign up for a free test.

Part 1 – You must answer the following questions before starting your work every day that you work.

You may be required to provide the answers in person or via phone or other electronic means to the Business before the start of each shift. If any answers change while you are at work, notify the Business by phone and leave the workplace.

1. Within the last 10 days have you been diagnosed with COVID-19 or had a test confirming you have the virus?
2. Do you live in the same household with, or have you had **close contact*** with someone who in the past 14 days has been in isolation for COVID-19 or had a test confirming they have the virus?

If the answer to either question is "yes", do not go to work and follow the steps listed in **Part 2** below.

3. Have you had any one or more of these symptoms today or within the past 24 hours, which is new or not explained by another reason?

- | | |
|--|---------------------------|
| • Fever, Chills, or Repeated Shaking/Shivering | • Loss of Taste or Smell |
| • Cough | • Muscle pain |
| • Sore Throat | • Headache |
| • Shortness of Breath, Difficulty Breathing | • Runny or congested nose |
| • Feeling Unusually Weak or Fatigued | • Diarrhea |

If the answer to Question 3 is "yes", do not go to work and follow the steps listed in **Part 3** below.

Part 2 –

- If you answered **yes to Question 1**: you are subject to the Health Officer Isolation Directive. Do not go to work. **Follow Isolation Steps** at: <https://www.sfgcdcp.org/Isolation-Quarantine-Packet>
- If you answered **yes to Question 2**: you are subject to the Health Officer Quarantine Directive. Do not go to work. **Follow Quarantine Steps** at: <https://www.sfgcdcp.org/Isolation-Quarantine-Packet>
- Do not return to work until the Isolation or Quarantine Steps tell you it is safe to return!
- The meaning of ***Close Contact** is explained in this document: <https://www.sfgcdcp.org/Isolation-Quarantine-Packet>

Part 3 – If you answered yes to Question 3:

You may have COVID-19 and **must be tested for the virus** before returning to work. Without a test, the Business must treat you as being positive for COVID-19 and require you to stay out of work for at least **10** calendar days. In order to return to work sooner and to protect those around you, you must get tested for the virus. Follow these steps:

1. Contact your usual healthcare provider about getting tested for the virus, or sign up for free testing at CityTestSF <https://sf.gov/get-tested-covid-19-citytests>. If you live outside the City, you can check with the county where you live, get tested by your usual healthcare provider, or use CityTestSF.
2. Wait for your test results at home while minimizing exposure to those you live with. A good resource is <https://www.cdc.gov/coronavirus/2019-ncov/if-you-are-sick/steps-when-sick.html>
- If your result is positive (confirms that you have the virus) go to **Part 2** above and follow **Isolation Steps**.
- If your result is negative, do not return to work until you have had at least 3 days in a row without fever and with improvement in your other symptoms. Consult with your healthcare provider to decide.

If you have questions about any part of this Handout, please see FAQs at www.sfgcdcp.org/covid19 under "Isolation & Quarantine Directives" or call 3-1-1

ORDER OF THE HEALTH OFFICER No. C19-07e
Appendix B-1

Small Construction Project Safety Protocol (revised May 22, 2020)

1. Any construction project meeting any of the following specifications is subject to this Small Construction Project Safety Protocol (“SCP Protocol”), including public works projects unless otherwise specified by the Health Officer:
 - a. For residential projects, any single-family, multi-family, senior, student, or other residential construction, renovation, or remodel project consisting of 10 units or fewer. This SCP Protocol does not apply to construction projects where a person is performing construction on their current residence either alone or solely with members of their own household.
 - b. For commercial projects, any construction, renovation, or tenant improvement project consisting of 20,000 square feet of floor area or less.
 - c. For mixed-use projects, any project that meets both of the specifications in subsections 1.a and 1.b.
 - d. All other construction projects not subject to the Large Construction Project Safety Protocol set forth in Appendix B-2.
2. The following restrictions and requirements must be in place at all construction job sites subject to this SCP Protocol:
 - a. Comply with all applicable and current laws and regulations including but not limited to OSHA and Cal-OSHA. If there is any conflict, difference, or discrepancy between or among applicable laws and regulations and/or this SCP Protocol, the stricter standard shall apply.
 - b. Designate a site-specific COVID-19 supervisor or supervisors to enforce this guidance. A designated COVID-19 supervisor must be present on the construction site at all times during construction activities. A COVID-19 supervisor may be an on-site worker who is designated to serve in this role.
 - c. The COVID-19 supervisor must review this SCP Protocol with all workers and visitors to the construction site.
 - d. Establish a daily screening protocol for arriving staff to ensure that potentially infected staff do not enter the construction site. If workers leave the jobsite and return the same day, establish a cleaning and decontamination protocol prior to entry and exit of the jobsite. Post the daily screening protocol at all entrances and exits to the jobsite. More information on screening can be found online at: <https://www.cdc.gov/coronavirus/2019-ncov/community/index.html>.
 - e. Practice social distancing by maintaining a minimum six-foot distance between workers at all times, except as strictly necessary to carry out a task associated with the construction project.

ORDER OF THE HEALTH OFFICER No. C19-07e

Appendix B-1

- f. In the event of a confirmed case of COVID-19 at any jobsite, the following must take place:
 - i. Immediately remove the infected individual from the jobsite with directions to seek medical care.
 - ii. Decontaminate and sanitize all surfaces at each location at which the infected worker was present. Provide those performing the decontamination and sanitization work with medical-grade PPE, ensure the workers are trained in proper use of the PPE, require the workers to use the provided PPE, and prohibit any sharing of the PPE. Prohibit anyone from entering the possibly contaminated area, except those performing decontamination and sanitization work. Cease all work in these locations until decontamination and sanitization is complete.
 - iii. Notify the County Public Health Department Communicable Disease Control (CD Control) immediately at 415-554-2830. Follow all directives and complete any additional requirements by County health officials, including full compliance with any tracing efforts by the County.
- g. Where construction work occurs within an occupied residential unit, separate work areas must be sealed off from the remainder of the unit with physical barriers such as plastic sheeting or closed doors sealed with tape to the extent feasible. If possible, workers must access the work area from an alternative entry/exit door to the entry/exit door used by residents. Available windows and exhaust fans must be used to ventilate the work area. If residents have access to the work area between workdays, the work area must be cleaned and sanitized at the beginning and at the end of workdays. Every effort must be taken to minimize contact between workers and residents, including maintaining a minimum of six feet of social distancing at all times.
- h. Where construction work occurs within common areas of an occupied residential or commercial building or a mixed-use building in use by on-site employees or residents, separate work areas must be sealed off from the rest of the common areas with physical barriers such as plastic sheeting or closed doors sealed with tape to the extent feasible. If possible, workers must access the work area from an alternative building entry/exit door to the building entry/exit door used by residents or other users of the building. Every effort must be taken to minimize contact between worker and building residents and users, including maintaining a minimum of six feet of social distancing at all times.
- i. Prohibit gatherings of any size on the jobsite, including gatherings for breaks or eating, except for meetings regarding compliance with this protocol or as strictly necessary to carry out a task associated with the construction project.
- j. Cal-OSHA requires employers to provide water, which should be provided in single-serve containers. Sharing of any of any food or beverage is strictly prohibited and if sharing is observed, the worker must be sent home for the day.
- k. Provide personal protective equipment (PPE) specifically for use in construction, including gloves, goggles, face shields, and face coverings as appropriate for the activity being performed. At no time may a contractor secure or use medical-grade PPE unless required

ORDER OF THE HEALTH OFFICER No. C19-07e
Appendix B-1

due to the medical nature of a jobsite. Face coverings must be worn in compliance with Section 5 of the Health Officer's Order No. C19-12, dated April 17, 2020, or any subsequently issued or amended order.

- l. Prohibit use of microwaves, water coolers, and other similar shared equipment.
- m. Strictly control "choke points" and "high-risk areas" where workers are unable to maintain six-foot social distancing and prohibit or limit use to ensure that six-foot distance can easily be maintained between individuals.
- n. Minimize interactions and maintain social distancing with all site visitors, including delivery workers, design professional and other project consultants, government agency representatives, including building and fire inspectors, and residents at residential construction sites.
- o. Stagger trades as necessary to reduce density and allow for easy maintenance of minimum six-foot separation.
- p. Discourage workers from using others' desks, work tools, and equipment. If more than one worker uses these items, the items must be cleaned and disinfected with disinfectants that are effective against COVID-19 in between use by each new worker. Prohibit sharing of PPE.
- q. If hand washing facilities are not available at the jobsite, place portable wash stations or hand sanitizers that are effective against COVID-19 at entrances to the jobsite and in multiple locations dispersed throughout the jobsite as warranted.
- r. Clean and sanitize any hand washing facilities, portable wash stations, jobsite restroom areas, or other enclosed spaces daily with disinfectants that are effective against COVID-19. Frequently clean and disinfect all high touch areas, including entry and exit areas, high traffic areas, rest rooms, hand washing areas, high touch surfaces, tools, and equipment
- s. Maintain a daily attendance log of all workers and visitors that includes contact information, including name, phone number, address, and email.
- t. Post a notice in an area visible to all workers and visitors instructing workers and visitors to do the following:
 - i. Do not touch your face with unwashed hands or with gloves.
 - ii. Frequently wash your hands with soap and water for at least 20 seconds or use hand sanitizer with at least 60% alcohol.
 - iii. Clean and disinfect frequently touched objects and surfaces such as work stations, keyboards, telephones, handrails, machines, shared tools, elevator control buttons, and doorknobs.
 - iv. Cover your mouth and nose when coughing or sneezing, or cough or sneeze into the crook of your arm at your elbow/sleeve.

ORDER OF THE HEALTH OFFICER No. C19-07e

Appendix B-1

- v. Do not enter the jobsite if you have a fever, cough, or other COVID-19 symptoms. If you feel sick, or have been exposed to anyone who is sick, stay at home.
 - vi. Constantly observe your work distances in relation to other staff. Maintain the recommended minimum six feet at all times when not wearing the necessary PPE for working in close proximity to another person.
 - vii. Do not carpool to and from the jobsite with anyone except members of your own household unit, or as necessary for workers who have no alternative means of transportation.
 - viii. Do not share phones or PPE.
- u. The notice in Section 2.t must be translated as necessary to ensure that all non-English speaking workers are able to understand the notice.

ORDER OF THE HEALTH OFFICER No. C19-07e
Appendix B-2

Large Construction Project Safety Protocol (revised May 22, 2020)

1. Any construction project meeting any of the following specifications is subject to this Large Construction Project Safety Protocol (“LCP Protocol”), including public works projects unless otherwise specified by the Health Officer:
 - a. For residential construction projects, any single-family, multi-family, senior, student, or other residential construction, renovation, or remodel project consisting of more than 10 units.
 - b. For commercial construction projects, any construction, renovation, or tenant improvement project consisting of more than 20,000 square feet of floor area.
 - c. For construction of Essential Infrastructure, as defined in Section 16.c of the Order, any project that requires twenty or more workers at the jobsite at any one time.
2. The following restrictions and requirements must be in place at all construction job sites subject to this LCP Protocol:
 - a. Comply with all applicable and current laws and regulations including but not limited to OSHA and Cal-OSHA. If there is any conflict, difference or discrepancy between or among applicable laws and regulations and/or this LCP Protocol, the stricter standard will apply.
 - b. Prepare a new or updated Site-Specific Health and Safety Plan to address COVID-19-related issues, post the Plan on-site at all entrances and exits, and produce a copy of the Plan to County governmental authorities upon request. The Plan must be translated as necessary to ensure that all non-English speaking workers are able to understand the Plan.
 - c. Provide personal protective equipment (PPE) specifically for use in construction, including gloves, goggles, face shields, and face coverings as appropriate for the activity being performed. At no time may a contractor secure or use medical-grade PPE, unless required due to the medical nature of a job site. Face Coverings must be worn in compliance with Section 5 of the Health Officer’s Order, dated April 17, 2020, or any subsequently issued or amended order.
 - d. Ensure that employees are trained in the use of PPE. Maintain and make available a log of all PPE training provided to employees and monitor all employees to ensure proper use of the PPE.
 - e. Prohibit sharing of PPE.
 - f. Implement social distancing requirements including, at minimum:

ORDER OF THE HEALTH OFFICER No. C19-07e

Appendix B-2

- i. Stagger stop- and start-times for shift schedules to reduce the quantity of workers at the jobsite at any one time to the extent feasible.
- ii. Stagger trade-specific work to minimize the quantity of workers at the jobsite at any one time.
- iii. Require social distancing by maintaining a minimum six-foot distance between workers at all times, except as strictly necessary to carry out a task associated with the project.
- iv. Prohibit gatherings of any size on the jobsite, except for safety meetings or as strictly necessary to carry out a task associated with the project.
- v. Strictly control “choke points” and “high-risk areas” where workers are unable to maintain minimum six-foot social distancing and prohibit or limit use to ensure that minimum six-foot distancing can easily be maintained between workers.
- vi. Minimize interactions and maintain social distancing with all site visitors, including delivery workers, design professional and other project consultants, government agency representatives, including building and fire inspectors, and residents at residential construction sites.
- vii. Prohibit workers from using others’ phones or desks. Any work tools or equipment that must be used by more than one worker must be cleaned with disinfectants that are effective against COVID-19 before use by a new worker.
- viii. Place wash stations or hand sanitizers that are effective against COVID-19 at entrances to the jobsite and in multiple locations dispersed throughout the jobsite as warranted.
- ix. Maintain a daily attendance log of all workers and visitors that includes contact information, including name, address, phone number, and email.
- x. Post a notice in an area visible to all workers and visitors instructing workers and visitors to do the following:
 1. Do not touch your face with unwashed hands or with gloves.
 2. Frequently wash your hands with soap and water for at least 20 seconds or use hand sanitizer with at least 60% alcohol.
 3. Clean and disinfect frequently touched objects and surfaces such as workstations, keyboards, telephones, handrails, machines, shared tools, elevator control buttons, and doorknobs.
 4. Cover your mouth and nose when coughing or sneezing or cough or sneeze into the crook of your arm at your elbow/sleeve.
 5. Do not enter the jobsite if you have a fever, cough, or other COVID-19 symptoms. If you feel sick, or have been exposed to anyone who is sick, stay at home.
 6. Constantly observe your work distances in relation to other staff. Maintain the recommended minimum six-feet distancing at all times when not wearing the necessary PPE for working in close proximity to another person.
 7. Do not share phones or PPE.

ORDER OF THE HEALTH OFFICER No. C19-07e

Appendix B-2

- xi. The notice in section 2.f.x must be translated as necessary to ensure that all non-English speaking workers are able to understand the notice.
- g. Implement cleaning and sanitization practices in accordance with the following:
 - i. Frequently clean and sanitize, in accordance with CDC guidelines, all high-traffic and high-touch areas including, at a minimum: meeting areas, jobsite lunch and break areas, entrances and exits to the jobsite, jobsite trailers, hand-washing areas, tools, equipment, jobsite restroom areas, stairs, elevators, and lifts.
 - ii. Establish a cleaning and decontamination protocol prior to entry and exit of the jobsite and post the protocol at entrances and exits of jobsite.
 - iii. Supply all personnel performing cleaning and sanitization with proper PPE to prevent them from contracting COVID-19. Employees must not share PPE.
 - iv. Establish adequate time in the workday to allow for proper cleaning and decontamination including prior to starting at or leaving the jobsite for the day.
- h. Implement a COVID-19 community spread reduction plan as part of the Site-Specific Health and Safety Plan that includes, at minimum, the following restrictions and requirements:
 - i. Prohibit all carpooling to and from the jobsite except by workers living within the same household unit, or as necessary for workers who have no alternative means of transportation.
 - ii. Cal-OSHA requires employers to provide water, which should be provided in single-serve containers. Prohibit any sharing of any food or beverage and if sharing is observed, the worker must be sent home for the day.
 - iii. Prohibit use of microwaves, water coolers, and other similar shared equipment.
- i. Assign a COVID-19 Safety Compliance Officer (SCO) to the jobsite and ensure the SCO's name is posted on the Site-Specific Health and Safety Plan. The SCO must:
 - i. Ensure implementation of all recommended safety and sanitation requirements regarding the COVID-19 virus at the jobsite.
 - ii. Compile daily written verification that each jobsite is compliant with the components of this LCP Protocol. Each written verification form must be copied, stored, and made immediately available upon request by any County official.
 - iii. Establish a daily screening protocol for arriving staff, to ensure that potentially infected staff do not enter the construction site. If workers leave the jobsite and return the same day, establish a cleaning and decontamination protocol prior to entry and exit of the jobsite. Post the daily screening protocol at all entrances and exit to the jobsite. More information on screening can be found online at: <https://www.cdc.gov/coronavirus/2019-ncov/community/index.html>.
 - iv. Conduct daily briefings in person or by teleconference that must cover the following topics:
 - 1. New jobsite rules and pre-job site travel restrictions for the prevention of COVID-19 community spread.
 - 2. Review of sanitation and hygiene procedures.
 - 3. Solicitation of worker feedback on improving safety and sanitation.
 - 4. Coordination of construction site daily cleaning/sanitation requirements.

ORDER OF THE HEALTH OFFICER No. C19-07e

Appendix B-2

5. Conveying updated information regarding COVID-19.
 6. Emergency protocols in the event of an exposure or suspected exposure to COVID-19.
 - v. Develop and ensure implementation of a remediation plan to address any non-compliance with this LCP Protocol and post remediation plan at entrance and exit of jobsite during remediation period. The remediation plan must be translated as necessary to ensure that all non-English speaking workers are able to understand the document.
 - vi. The SCO must not permit any construction activity to continue without bringing such activity into compliance with these requirements.
 - vii. Report repeated non-compliance with this LCP Protocol to the appropriate jobsite supervisors and a designated County official.
- j. Assign a COVID-19 Third-Party Jobsite Safety Accountability Supervisor (JSAS) for the jobsite, who at a minimum holds an OSHA-30 certificate and first-aid training within the past two years, who must be trained in the protocols herein and verify compliance, including by visual inspection and random interviews with workers, with this LCP Protocol.
- i. Within seven calendar days of each jobsite visit, the JSAS must complete a written assessment identifying any failure to comply with this LCP Protocol. The written assessment must be copied, stored, and, upon request by the County, sent to a designated County official.
 - ii. If the JSAS discovers that a jobsite is not in compliance with this LCP Protocol, the JSAS must work with the SCO to develop and implement a remediation plan.
 - iii. The JSAS must coordinate with the SCO to prohibit continuation of any work activity not in compliance with rules stated herein until addressed and the continuing work is compliant.
 - iv. The remediation plan must be sent to a designated County official within five calendar days of the JSAS's discovery of the failure to comply.
- k. In the event of a confirmed case of COVID-19 at any jobsite, the following must take place:
- i. Immediately remove the infected individual from the jobsite with directions to seek medical care.
 - ii. Decontaminate and sanitize all surfaces at each location at which the infected worker was present. Provide those performing the decontamination and sanitization work with medical-grade PPE, ensure the workers are trained in proper use of the PPE, require the workers to use the provided PPE, and prohibit any sharing of the PPE. Prohibit anyone from entering the possibly contaminated area, except those performing decontamination and sanitization work. Cease all work in these locations until decontamination and sanitization is complete.
 - iii. Notify the County Public Health Department Communicable Disease Control (CD Control) immediately at 415-554-2830. Follow all directives and complete any

ORDER OF THE HEALTH OFFICER No. C19-07e

Appendix B-2

additional requirements by County health officials, including full compliance with any tracing efforts by the County.

- l.* Where construction work occurs within an occupied residential unit, any separate work area must be sealed off from the remainder of the unit with physical barriers such as plastic sheeting or closed doors sealed with tape to the extent feasible. If possible, workers must access the work area from an alternative entry/exit door to the entry/exit door used by residents. Available windows and exhaust fans must be used to ventilate the work area. If residents have access to the work area between workdays, the work area must be cleaned and sanitized at the beginning and at the end of workdays. Every effort must be taken to minimize contact between workers and residents, including maintaining a minimum of six feet of social distancing at all times.
- m.* Where construction work occurs within common areas of an occupied residential or commercial building or a mixed-use building in use by on-site employees or residents, any separate work area must be sealed off from the rest of the common areas with physical barriers such as plastic sheeting or closed doors sealed with tape to the extent feasible. If possible, workers must access the work area from an alternative building entry/exit door to the building entry/exit door used by residents or other users of the building. Every effort must be taken to minimize contact between worker and building residents and users, including maintaining a minimum of six feet of social distancing at all times.

Order No. C19-07e – Appendix C-1: Additional Businesses Permitted to Operate

[June 1, 2020]

A. General Requirements

The “Additional Businesses” listed below may begin operating, subject to the requirements set forth in the Order and to any additional requirements set forth below or in separate industry-specific guidance by the Health Officer. These businesses were selected based on current health-related information and the availability and efficacy of measures that can substantially mitigate the risk of COVID-19 transmission associated with these business operations and related factors, such as the following:

- **Increase in mobility and volume of activity**—the overall impact that allowing these businesses to begin resuming operation will have on the number of people leaving their homes;
- **Contact intensity**—the type (close or distant) and duration (brief or prolonged) of the person-to-person contact involved in the business;
- **Number of contacts**—the approximate number of people likely to be in the setting at the same time; and
- **Modification potential**—the degree to which mitigation measures are likely to decrease the risk of transmission.

To mitigate the risk of transmission to the greatest extent possible, before resuming operations, each Additional Business must:

- a. Comply with Social Distancing Requirements, including preparation, posting, implementation, and distribution to their Personnel a Social Distancing Protocol as specified in Section 6 and subsection 15.h of the Order for each of their facilities in the County where personnel or members of the public will be onsite; and
- b. Prepare, post, implement, and distribute to their Personnel a written health and safety plan that addresses all applicable best practices set forth in relevant Health Officer directives; and
- c. Comply with any relevant state guidance and local directives. If a conflict exists between state guidance and local public health directives related to the COVID-19 pandemic, the most restrictive provision shall be followed.

The health-related basis for selection of Additional Businesses and the specific requirements for risk mitigation are detailed below.

As used in this Appendix C-1, “Personnel” means the following people who provide goods or services associated with the Additional Business in the County: employees; contractors and sub-contractors (such as those who sell goods or perform services onsite or who deliver goods for the business); independent contractors (such as “gig workers” who perform work via the Additional Business’s app or other online interface); vendors who are permitted to sell goods onsite; volunteers; and other individuals who regularly provide services onsite at the request of the Additional Business.

Order No. C19-07e – Appendix C-1: Additional Businesses Permitted to Operate

[June 1, 2020]

List of Additional Businesses

For purposes of the Order, Additional Businesses include the following subject to the stated limitations and conditions:

(1) Retail Stores for Goods with Outdoor Curbside Pickup and Supply Chain Businesses.....	2
(2) Childcare Programs and Summer Camps for All Children	3
(3) Outdoor Curbside Pickup and Drop Off for Low Contact Retail Services.....	5
(4) Outdoor Activity Equipment Rental Businesses.....	6
(5) Pilot Program for Professional Sports Teams: Practices under Approved Plan	8

(1) Retail Stores for Goods with Outdoor Curbside Pickup and Supply Chain Businesses

- a. **Basis for Addition.** Curbside outdoor pickup of goods at retail stores has low contact intensity—interactions between the businesses’ Personnel and customers are short and distancing is not difficult to attain. Businesses that involve outdoor interactions carry a lower risk of transmission than most indoor businesses. Also, curbside pickup at these businesses is expected to result in only a relatively modest increase in the number of people reentering the workforce, the additional volume of commercial activity is moderate, and mitigation measures are available to meaningfully decrease the public health risk associated with reopening this type of business.
- b. **Description and Conditions to Operate.** The following businesses are permitted to operate, beginning at 10:00 a.m. on May 18, 2020, subject to the stated limitations and conditions:
 - i. Retail stores may operate for curbside/outside pickup of goods, subject to the following limitations:
 1. Customers may not enter the store—the exchange of goods between the store’s Personnel and its customers must take place in the outdoors, though the exchange may be through a door or open window;
 2. Goods must remain inside; no products may be moved outside for display or sale at this time;
 3. A Social Distancing Protocol must be created, posted and implemented and all relevant elements of Health Officer Directive No. 2020-10 regarding required best practices for retail businesses with curbside pickup—including the requirement to create a Health and Safety Plan—must be complied with;
 4. No more than 10 Personnel may be on site at any time;
 5. The stores must have direct access to an immediately adjacent sidewalk, street, alley, or parking area for pickup by customers using any mode of

Order No. C19-07e – Appendix C-1: Additional Businesses Permitted to Operate

[June 1, 2020]

travel, without blocking pedestrian access or causing pedestrian or vehicle congestion; and

6. Retail stores in an enclosed indoor shopping center that do not have direct access to adjacent sidewalk, street, parking lot or alley area may not reopen at this time except that Shopping Centers (defined as a large building or group of buildings containing more than XX retail stores and other businesses, where customer access to at least a XX number of those stores is possible only through indoor passage ways or indoor common areas (such as Stonestown Galleria, Westfield San Francisco Centre and the Ferry Building Marketplace) may reopen if the shopping center operator submits to the Health Officer a proposed plan for reopening that includes:
 - a. the number of stores and businesses that would be resuming operation;
 - b. the number of Personnel associated with each store or business;
 - c. the number of customers expected daily; and
 - d. the specific social distancing/sanitation measures the shopping center would employ to prevent congestion at the doorways and streets, and protect customers and Personnel.

Subject to the written approval of the Health Officer or the Health Officer's designee, the shopping center may then operate for curbside pickup consistent with the approved plan.

- ii. Businesses that manufacture the goods sold at retail stores covered in category (i) above and have no more than 50 Personnel on site in the facility at any time.
- iii. Businesses that provide warehousing and logistical support to the retail stores covered in category (i) above and have no more than 50 Personnel on site in the facility at any time.

For clarity, the limits on the total number of Personnel in categories (i), (ii), and (iii) are subject to Personnel maintaining at least six feet of physical distance at all times; that is, if a facility or location does not have enough space to provide at least six feet of physical distance between the maximum number of Personnel then it may only have the number of people on site that does allow for such physical distancing. Maximum limits on Personnel in categories (i), (ii), and (iii) do not apply to any facility that has been operating as an Essential Business under previously issued Health Orders or Directives. Operation of retail stores for curbside pickup under category (i) applies only to the sale of goods and not the provision of services, which are covered separately in Section 3 below.

(Added May 17, 2020; updated June 1, 2020)

(2) Childcare Programs and Summer Camps for All Children

- a. Basis for Addition. Childcare is critical to early education and developmental equity, family social and economic wellbeing, and economic recovery from the pandemic. More

[June 1, 2020]

specifically, childcare is an important element for a child’s social and emotional development, as well as for a child’s physical health and wellness. Also, childcare is often necessary to allow parents or guardians to work, making the availability of childcare important for individual families as well as the local economy. Although attendance at a childcare program involves a high number of close contacts that may be of lengthy duration. The risks of virus transmission can be reduced by mitigation measures, as generally described below. But children’s inability to consistently follow social distancing and sanitation recommendations means that even with the mitigation measures the risk of transmission is higher than in interactions exclusively among adults. And while based on available evidence, children do not appear to be at higher risk for COVID-19 than adults, medical knowledge about the possible health effects of COVID-19 on children is evolving. Accordingly, the decision about whether to enroll a child in a childcare program is an individualized inquiry that should be made by parents/guardians with an understanding of the risks that such enrollment entails. Parents/guardians may discuss these risks and their concerns with their pediatrician. The Health Officer will continue to monitor the changing situation and may amend this section as necessary to protect the public health.

b. Description and Conditions to Operate.

- i. Beginning at 7:00 a.m. on June 1, 2020, educational or recreational institutions or programs that provide care or supervision for children of any age (with the exception of summer camps, which are addressed separately in b.ii. below) (“Childcare Programs”) may open for all children, subject to the following limitations and conditions:
 1. State-licensed Childcare Programs for children ages 0-5 years must limit group size to 10 children per room or space under state licensing requirements; if the state increases the permitted group size, State-licensed Childcare Programs may increase the size of their groups accordingly, not to exceed 12 children.;
 2. All other Childcare Programs (not State-licensed) must limit group size to 12 children per room or space;
 3. Childcare Program sessions must be at least three weeks long, and childcare programs without set sessions may not enroll children for fewer than three weeks;
 4. Childcare Programs must create, post and implement a Social Distancing Protocol and comply with all of the requirements set forth in relevant industry-specific Health Officer directives (*see* Health Officer Directive 2020-14), including the requirements to have the parent(s) or guardian(s) of any child attending the program sign an acknowledgement of health risks, and to prepare and implement a written health and safety plan to mitigate the risk of virus transmission to the greatest extent feasible.
- ii. Beginning at 7:00 a.m. on June 15, 2020, summer camps and summer learning programs that operate exclusively outside of the academic school year (“Summer Camps”) may open for all children over the age of six and school-aged children

Order No. C19-07e – Appendix C-1: Additional Businesses Permitted to Operate

[June 1, 2020]

currently in grades transitional kindergarten (TK) and above who are under age six, subject to the following limitations and conditions:

1. Summer Camps must limit group size to 12 children (a “pod”) per room or space;
2. Summer Camp sessions must last at least three weeks;
3. Children must remain in the same pod for at least three weeks, and preferably for the entire time throughout the summer.
4. Summer Camps may not begin to operate for any children prior to June 15, 2020, and until they have created, posted and implemented a Social Distancing Protocol and complied with all of the requirements set forth in relevant industry-specific Health Officer directives (*see* Health Officer Directive 2020-13) including the requirements to complete an online form with general information about the program and required certifications, to have the parent(s) or guardian(s) of any child attending the program sign an acknowledgement of health risks, and to prepare and implement a written health and safety plan to mitigate the risk of virus transmission to the greatest extent feasible.

For clarity, Childcare Programs that were already operating after May 22, 2020 in accordance with previous Health Orders or Directives for the children of parent(s) or guardian(s) who are owners, employees, volunteers, and contractors of Essential Businesses, Essential Governmental Functions, Outdoor Businesses, or Additional Businesses, may continue to operate as long as they comply with the above conditions and the relevant industry-specific directive. On June 1, 2020, Childcare Programs may begin to operate for all children. Summer Camps may not begin to operate for any children before June 15, 2020, and must comply with all of the requirements of the relevant industry-specific directive prior to beginning to operate Childcare Programs and Summer Camps may operate for all or part of the day.

(Added May 22, 2020; updated June 1, 2020)

(3) Outdoor Curbside Pickup and Drop Off for Low Contact Retail Services

- a. Basis for Addition. Low contact retail services—where a customer drops off or picks up goods or pets outside a store for care or other service—involves low contact intensity and a moderate number of contacts. And businesses that involve outdoor interactions carry a lower risk of transmission than most indoor businesses. Accordingly, the risk of transmission is relatively low and mitigation measures can further decrease this risk. Also resumption of these services is expected to result in only a small increase in the number of people reentering the workforce and the overall volume of commercial activity.
- b. Description and Conditions to Operate. Beginning at 8:00 a.m. on June 1, 2020, limited services that do not generally require close customer contact (e.g., dog grooming and shoe or electronics repair) may begin to operate subject to the following limitations and conditions:

Order No. C19-07e – Appendix C-1: Additional Businesses Permitted to Operate

[June 1, 2020]

- i. Customers must drop off and/or pick up goods or pets curbside/outside and be able to do so with minimal physical contact with the facility's Personnel, and customers may not enter the store;
- ii. No more than 10 Personnel may be on site at any time;
- iii. The businesses must create, post and implement a Social Distancing Protocol and comply with Health Officer Directive No. 2020-10 regarding required best practices for retail businesses with curbside pickup and drop-off;
- iv. The stores must have direct access to an immediately adjacent sidewalk, street, alley, or parking area for pickup by customers using any mode of travel, without blocking pedestrian access or causing pedestrian or vehicle congestion; and
- v. Stores in an enclosed indoor shopping center that do not have direct access to adjacent sidewalk, street, parking lot or alley area may not reopen at this time unless they are located in an approved Shopping Center as described in 1.b.i.7 above.

For clarity, the 10 person limit on the total number of Personnel is subject to Personnel maintaining at least six feet of physical distance at all times; that is, if a facility does not have enough space to provide at least six feet of physical distance between the maximum number of Personnel then it may only have the number of people on site that does allow for such physical distancing. The exchange of goods or pets between the store's Personnel and its customers must take place in the outdoors, with minimal contact between the customers and the Personnel, though the exchange may be through a door or, if appropriate, an open window. As discussed in Section (1) above regarding retail curbside pickup for goods, shopping centers, and stores within enclosed shopping centers, may operate for curbside/service only upon written approval by the Health Officer or the Health Officer's designee of a plan submitted by the shopping center for preventing congestion at the doorways and streets and protecting customers and employees.

(Added June 1, 2020)

(4) Outdoor Activity Equipment Rental Businesses

- a. Basis for Addition. Picking up rental equipment for permissible outdoor activities involves only low contact intensity and a moderate number of contacts. Accordingly, the risk of transmission is relatively low and mitigation measures can further decrease this risk. Also the risk of multiple individuals using shared equipment can be mitigated through sanitation measures. Finally, resumption of these businesses is expected to result in only a small increase in the number of people reentering the workforce and the overall volume of commercial activity.
- b. Description and Conditions to Operate. Beginning at 8:00 a.m. on June 1, 2020, businesses that rent equipment for permissible outdoor recreational activities (e.g., bicycles, kayaks, paddleboards, boats, horseback riding or fishing equipment) may begin to operate subject to the following limitations and conditions:

Order No. C19-07e – Appendix C-1: Additional Businesses Permitted to Operate

[June 1, 2020]

- i. Customers must drop off and pick up equipment curbside or outside, and customers may not enter an indoor facility to do so;
- ii. No more than 10 Personnel may be on site at any time;
- iii. The business must have created, posted and implemented a Social Distancing Protocol and must comply with Health Officer Directive No. 2020-10 regarding required best practices for retail businesses with curbside pickup and drop-off;
- iv. The business must have direct access to an immediately adjacent sidewalk, street, alley, or parking area for pickup by customers using any mode of travel, without blocking pedestrian access or causing pedestrian or vehicle congestion;
- v. Businesses in an enclosed indoor shopping center that do not have direct access to adjacent sidewalk, street, parking lot or alley area may not reopen at this time unless they are in an approved Shopping Center as described in 1.b.i.7. above; and
- vi. All equipment must be thoroughly cleaned and disinfected between each use with procedures effective against the Novel Coronavirus SARS-CoV-2 in accordance with the following guidelines, which may be modified by the Health Officer as new information becomes available:
 - For hard non-porous surfaces, clean with detergent or soap and water if the surfaces are visibly dirty, before applying disinfectant. For these purposes, appropriate disinfectants include:
 - [EPA's Registered Antimicrobial Products for Use against Novel Coronavirus SARS-CoV-2](#). Follow the manufacturer's instructions for concentration, application method, and contact time for all cleaning and disinfection products.
 - Diluted household bleach solutions prepared according to the manufacturer's label for disinfection, if appropriate for the surface. Follow manufacturer's instructions for application and proper ventilation. Check to ensure the product is not past its expiration date. Never mix household bleach with ammonia or any other cleanser.
 - Alcohol solutions with at least 70% alcohol.
 - For soft or porous surfaces, remove any visible contamination, if present, and clean with appropriate cleaners indicated for use on these surfaces. After cleaning, use products that are [EPA-approved for use against the virus that causes COVID-19](#) and that are suitable for porous surfaces.
 - For frequently touched electronic surfaces, remove visible dirt, then disinfect following the manufacturer's instructions for all cleaning and disinfection products. If no manufacturer guidance is available, then consider the using alcohol-based wipes or sprays containing at least 70% alcohol to disinfect.
 - Gloves and any other disposable PPE used for cleaning and disinfecting the vehicle must be removed and disposed of after cleaning; wash hands immediately after removing gloves and PPE with soap and water for at least

Order No. C19-07e – Appendix C-1: Additional Businesses Permitted to Operate

[June 1, 2020]

20 seconds, or use an alcohol-based hand sanitizer with at least 60% alcohol if soap and water are not available. If a disposable gown was not worn, work uniforms/clothes worn during cleaning and disinfecting should be laundered afterwards using the warmest appropriate water setting and dry items completely. Wash hands after handling laundry.

For clarity, the 10 person limit on the total number of Personnel is subject to Personnel maintaining at least six feet of physical distance at all times; that is, if a facility does not have enough space to provide at least six feet of physical distance between the maximum number of Personnel then it may only have the number of people on site that does allow for such physical distancing. The exchange of rental equipment between the store's Personnel and its customers must take place in the outdoors, though the exchange may be through a door or open window. As discussed in Section (1) above regarding curbside pickup for retail stores, shopping centers, and stores within enclosed shopping centers, may operate for curbside/service only upon written approval by the Health Officer or the Health Officer's designee of a plan submitted by the shopping center for preventing congestion at the doorways and streets and protecting customers and employees.

(Added June 1, 2020)

(5) Pilot Program for Professional Sports Teams: Practices under Approved Plan

- a. Basis for Addition. Although practices for contact sports may present a significant risk of transmission, those risks can be mitigated by stringent social distancing, sanitation, and testing measures. This pilot project, which will allow sports teams to begin practicing subject to strict controls and mitigation measures, represents a first step toward the resumption of recreational activities that can be broadcast and watched by the public remotely in a safe manner.
- b. Description and Conditions to Operate. Professional sports teams that wish to resume practicing in the City may submit to the Health Officer a proposed plan detailing the sanitation, social distancing, health screening, and other procedures that will be implemented to minimize the risk of transmission among players and staff. The plan must include a proposal for interval testing (without using City resources) of all players and staff who will be present in the training facility. Subject to the written approval of the Health Officer or the Health Officer's designee, the team may then resume practices consistent with the approved plan, including any conditions to approval of the Health Officer or the Health Officer's designee.

(Added June 1, 2020)

Order No. C19-07e – Appendix C-2: Allowed Additional Activities

[June 1, 2020]

General Requirements

The “Additional Activities” listed below may resume, subject to the requirements set forth in the Order and to any additional requirements set forth below or in separate guidance by the Health Officer. These activities were selected based on current health-related information, the availability and efficacy of measures that can substantially mitigate transmission risks associated with these activities, and related factors, such as the following:

- **Increase in mobility and volume of activity**—the overall impact that allowing resumption of the activity will have on the number of people leaving their homes and interacting with others in the community;
- **Contact intensity**—the type (close or distant) and duration (brief or prolonged) of the person-to-person contact involved in the activity;
- **Number of contacts**—the approximate number of people that will be in the setting at the same time; and
- **Modification potential**—the degree to which mitigation measures can decrease the risk of transmission.

List of Additional Activities

For purposes of the Order, Additional Activities include the following based on the summarized health risk related rationale:

(1) Outdoor Museums, Outdoor Historical Sites, and Public Gardens	1
(2) Outdoor Recreation: Golf and Tennis.....	2
(3) Outdoor Recreation: Dog Parks	3

(1) Outdoor Museums, Outdoor Historical Sites, and Public Gardens

- a. Basis for Addition. Visiting outdoor museums, outdoor historical sites, and public gardens involves low contact intensity and a low number of contacts. Also, interactions and activities that occur outdoors carry a lower risk of transmission than most indoor interactions and activities. And because outdoor recreation is already allowed under the Order, resumption of this activity is expected to result in only a relatively modest increase in mobility and may decrease congestion in other outdoor locations like public parks and beaches.
- b. Description and Conditions. Outdoor museums, outdoor historical sites, and public gardens (for example, the Botanical Gardens and Japanese Tea Garden) may reopen to the public—and individuals may leave their residence and travel to visit these locations—subject to the following conditions:

Order No. C19-07e – Appendix C-2: Allowed Additional Activities

[June 1, 2020]

1. Face coverings must be worn by all staff and visitors, subject to the limited exceptions in Health Officer Order No. C19-12 (e.g., for young children), including as that order is amended in the future;
2. Social distancing of at least 6-feet must be maintained at all times other than between members of the same household;
3. Common high-touch equipment and fixtures such as picnic benches or tables must be off-limits, with signage and with physical barriers as appropriate;
4. Public restrooms, if any, must
 - a. be routinely disinfected frequently throughout the day,
 - b. have open doors to prevent touching of door handles or knobs,
 - c. have soap and paper towels, and
 - d. have signs promoting handwashing;
5. The museum, outdoor historical site, or public garden must provide for contactless payment systems or, if not feasible, sanitize any payment systems, including touch screens, payment portals, pens, and styluses, after each customer use. Under the city's Legal Tender Law, customers must be allowed to pay with cash but to further limit person-to-person contact, Personnel should encourage customers to use credit, debit, or gift cards for payment;
6. Signage must be posted at each public entrance to inform all personnel and customers that they must: avoid entering the facility or location if they have a cough or fever, maintain a minimum six-foot distance from one another while in the facility or location, wear a face covering at all times, and not shake hands or engage in any unnecessary physical contact (sample signs are available online at <https://sf.gov/outreach-toolkit-coronavirus-covid-19>);
7. Any on-site retail stores (e.g., gift shops) may operate for curbside/outdoor pickup only, and must do so in compliance with Appendix C-1 of this Order and Health Officer Directive 2020-10 (available at <https://www.sfdph.org/dph/alerts/coronavirus-healthorders.asp>);
8. Before resuming operations, outdoor museums, outdoor historical sites, and public gardens must prepare, post, implement, and distribute to their Personnel a Social Distancing Protocol as required by the Order and a written health and safety plan that addresses all best practices listed in Section (1)b of this Appendix.

(Added May 17, 2020; revised June 1, 2020)

(2) Outdoor Recreation: Golf and Tennis

- a. Basis for Addition. Non-contact outdoor sports like tennis and golf involve low contact intensity and a low number of contacts, as long as the groups engaged in play together are small and maintain social distance to the greatest extent possible. The risk of

Order No. C19-07e – Appendix C-2: Allowed Additional Activities

[June 1, 2020]

transmission can be further mitigated by sanitation and hygiene practices. Also, interactions and activities that occur outdoors carry a lower risk of transmission than most indoor interactions and activities. And because outdoor recreation is already allowed under the Order, resumption of this activity is expected to result in only a relatively modest increase in mobility and may decrease congestion in other outdoor locations like public parks and beaches.

- b. **Description and Conditions.** Beginning at 8:00 a.m. on June 1, 2020, individuals may play tennis and golf outdoors, and outdoor tennis and golf facilities/clubs may open, subject to the following conditions:
1. Face coverings must be worn by all golf and tennis facility/club Personnel, subject to the limited exceptions in Health Officer Order No. C19-12 (e.g., for young children), including as that order is amended in the future;
 2. All golf and tennis players must wear a face covering while in facility/club parking lots, when entering and exiting facilities/clubs, and while waiting to play—face coverings may be removed during play if social distancing requirements are met;
 3. For golf, groups must be limited to a maximum of four players per group, unless all players within the group are part of a single household or living unit. Groups of players from different households or living units must comply with the State of California under its Stay-Safer-At Home Order;
 4. Tennis may only be played between members of the same household or living unit or between a maximum two single individuals outside of the same household or living unit; and
 5. Before resuming operations, each golf or tennis facility/club must create, post and implement a Social Distancing Protocol and comply with Health Officer Directive No. 2020-15 regarding required best practices for tennis and golf.

“Personnel” includes all of the following people who provide goods or services associated with the tennis or golf facility/club in the City: employees; contractors and sub-contractors (such as those who sell goods or perform services onsite or who deliver goods for the business); independent contractors (such as “gig workers” who perform work via the business’s app or other online interface, if any); vendors who are permitted to sell goods onsite (such as farmers or others who sell at stalls in farmers’ markets); volunteers; and other individuals who regularly provide services onsite at the request of the business.

(Added June 1, 2020)

(3) Outdoor Recreation: Dog Parks

- a. **Basis for Addition.** Taking a dog to a dog park involves low contact intensity and a low number of contacts, as long as people maintain at least six feet of physical distance from individuals who are not in their household. Also, interactions and activities that occur outdoors carry a lower risk of transmission than most indoor interactions and activities.

Order No. C19-07e – Appendix C-2: Allowed Additional Activities

[June 1, 2020]

- b. Description and Conditions. Beginning at 8:00 a.m. on June 1, 2020, individuals may take their dogs to dog parks (both enclosed and unenclosed), and all dog parks may open, subject to the following conditions:
1. Face coverings must be worn by all people in the dog park, subject to the limited exceptions in Health Officer Order No. C19-12 (e.g., for young children), including as that order is amended in the future;
 2. The Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) has advised that “[u]ntil we learn more about how this virus affects animals,” owners should “treat pets as you would other human family members to protect them from a possible infection.” Specifically, the CDC recommends that pet owners: “Do not let pets interact with people or other animals outside the household,” “Walk dogs on a leash, maintaining at least 6 feet (2 meters) from other people and animals,” and “Avoid dog parks or public places where a large number of people and dogs gather.” Accordingly, pet owners are urged to use on-leash dog parks or keep their dogs on a leash, particularly if the dog is not under voice control—pet owners who choose to let their dogs be off leash in an off-leash dog park should prevent their dog from interacting with other people or animals to the greatest extent feasible;
 3. People in the dog park should maintain at least six feet of physical distance from people or animals other than those in their same household;
 4. People must bring their own water for themselves and their pets, and must not use common touch water facilities in the park;
 5. People must use their sleeve or a disposable cloth to touch high-touch surfaces like gates;
 6. People should bring their own bags for picking up and disposing of pet waste;
 7. Signage must be posted at each dog park to inform people that they must: avoid entering the location if they have a cough or fever, maintain a minimum six-foot distance from one another, wear a face covering at all times, and not shake hands or engage in any unnecessary physical contact (sample signs are available online at <https://sf.gov/outreach-toolkit-coronavirus-covid-19>); and
 8. People must follow any other rules and regulations adopted by the operator of the dog park.

(Added June 1, 2020)

From: [Mchugh, Eileen \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#); [BOS-Administrative Aides](#)
Cc: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Linda \(BOS\)](#); [BOS Legislation, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Time Sensitive: Hetch Hetchy Power Enterprise General Use Municipal Electricity Rate Adjustment effective July 1, 2020
Date: Tuesday, June 2, 2020 10:07:00 PM
Attachments: [2020 PUC Rates and Charges 6.1.2020.pdf](#)
[1. Bos Transmittal Letter SFPUC HHP GUSE Municipal Rates.pdf](#)
[2. SFPUC Reso 20-0098.pdf](#)
[3. Agenda Item for SFPUC Resolution 20-0098.pdf](#)
[3a. Agenda Item attachment 1 - Statutory Exemption Concurrence.pdf](#)

Hello Supervisors,

The SFPUC has submitted the attached rate adjustment, pursuant to Charter, Section 8B.125. Please see the attached Clerk's Memo from the Clerk of the Board for further information and instructions.

Thank you,

Eileen McHugh
 Executive Assistant
 Board of Supervisors
 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, City Hall, Room 244
 San Francisco, CA 94102-4689
 Phone: (415) 554-7703 | Fax: (415) 554-5163
eileen.e.mchugh@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

From: Imperial, Megan M <MImperial@sfgwater.org>
Sent: Monday, June 1, 2020 1:37 PM
To: Calvillo, Angela (BOS) <angela.calvillo@sfgov.org>; Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>
Cc: Scarpulla, John (PUC) <JScarpulla@sfgwater.org>; Mchugh, Eileen (BOS) <eileen.e.mchugh@sfgov.org>
Subject: Hetch Hetchy Power Enterprise General Use Municipal Electricity Rate Adjustment effective July 1, 2020

Dear Madam Clerk,

In accordance with section 8B.125 of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, the SFPUC "shall set rates, fees and other charges in connection with providing the utility services under its jurisdiction, subject to rejection – within 30 days of submission – by resolution of the Board of Supervisors. If the Board of Supervisors fails to act within 30 days, the rates shall become effective without further action."

The SFPUC is submitting the San Francisco Public Utilities Commission's May 26, 2020, Resolution No. 20-0098 adopting Hetch Hetchy Power Enterprise General Use Municipal Electricity Rates for Fiscal Years 2020-21 and 2021-22 for certain municipal entities, certain non-City governmental agencies, and certain non-profit entities. The anticipated effective date of adopted Hetch Hetchy Power Enterprise General Use Municipal Electricity Rates for Fiscal Years 2020-21 and 2021-22 is July 1, 2020.

Please find attached copies of the following documents relating to this rates action by the SFPUC Commission:

1. Cover Letter from SFPUC General Manager Harlan L. Kelly, Jr.
2. SFPUC Resolution 20-0098
3. SFPUC Agenda Item for SFPUC Resolution 20-0098 with the following attachments:
 - 3a) CEQA Statutory Exemption Request and Planning Department Concurrence

Hard copies of the quarterly report will be delivered to the Clerk's Office and the Board of Supervisors offices once the Shelter-in Place order has been lifted.

Best,
Megan

Megan M. Imperial 竜芽願
Policy & Government Affairs
San Francisco Public Utilities Commission
525 Golden Gate Ave., 12th Floor
San Francisco, CA 94102
mimperial@sfwater.org
Office: 415-554-3241 | Mobile: 415-654-1654
Pronouns: She, Her, Hers

BOARD of SUPERVISORS



City Hall
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco 94102-4689
Tel. No. 554-5184
Fax No. 554-5163
TDD/TTY No. 544-5227

MEMORANDUM

Date: June 2, 2020
To: Members, Board of Supervisors
From: *AOC* Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board
Subject: San Francisco Public Utilities Commission (SFPUC)
Adopting Hetch Hetchy Power Enterprise General Use Municipal Electricity Rates

On June 1, 2020, the Office of the Clerk of the Board received the attached resolution adopting Hetch Hetchy Power Enterprise General Use Municipal Electricity Rates for Fiscal Years 2020-21 and 2021-22 for certain municipal entities, certain non-City governmental agencies, and certain non-profit entities.

Under San Francisco Charter Section 8B.125, the SFPUC "shall set rates, fees and charges in connection with providing the utility services under its jurisdiction, subject to rejection – within 30 days (July 1, 2020) of submission – by resolution of the Board of Supervisors. If the Board fails to act within 30 days, the rates shall become effective without further action."

If you would like to hold a hearing on this matter, please let me know in writing by 12:00 p.m. on June 9, 2020.

c: Alisa Somera - Legislative Deputy
Anne Pearson - Deputy City Attorney
Sophia Kittler - Mayor's Legislative Liaison



May 29, 2020

Ms. Angela Calvillo
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors
City Hall, Room 244
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102-4689

RE: Notice of San Francisco Public Utilities Commission (SFPUC) Adoption of Hetch Hetchy Power Enterprise General Use Municipal Electricity Rates for Fiscal Years 2020-21 and 2021-22

Dear Ms. Calvillo:

In accordance with section 8B.125 of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, the SFPUC "shall set rates, fees and other charges in connection with providing the utility services under its jurisdiction, subject to rejection – within 30 days of submission – by resolution of the Board of Supervisors. If the Board of Supervisors fails to act within 30 days, the rates shall become effective without further action."


The SFPUC is submitting the San Francisco Public Utilities Commission's May 26, 2020, Resolution No. 20-0098 adopting Hetch Hetchy Power Enterprise General Use Municipal Electricity Rates for Fiscal Years 2020-21 and 2021-22 for certain municipal entities, certain non-City governmental agencies, and certain non-profit entities. The anticipated effective date of adopted Hetch Hetchy Power Enterprise General Use Municipal Electricity Rates for Fiscal Years 2020-21 and 2021-22 is July 1, 2020.

*Please find attached copies of the following documents
relating to this rates action by the Commission:*

1. Resolution No. 20-0098 – SFPUC Agenda Item Adopting Hetch Hetchy Power Enterprise General Use Municipal Electricity Rates for Fiscal Years 2020-21 and 2021-22

Should you have any questions, please contact Eric Sandler, SFPUC Chief Financial Officer, at 415-934-5707.

Sincerely,


Harlan L. Kelly, Jr.
General Manager

Attachments: a/s

OUR MISSION: To provide our customers with high-quality, efficient and reliable water, power and sewer services in a manner that values environmental and community interests and sustains the resources entrusted to our care.

London N. Breed
Mayor

Ann Moller Caen
President

Francesca Vietor
Vice President

Anson Moran
Commissioner

Sophie Maxwell
Commissioner

Tim Paulson
Commissioner

Harlan L. Kelly, Jr.
General Manager



PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION

City and County of San Francisco

RESOLUTION NO.: 20-0098

WHEREAS, San Francisco Charter Section 8B.121 grants the San Francisco Public Utilities Commission (SFPUC) exclusive charge of all energy supplies and utilities of the City, and the SFPUC is the governing body for the publicly owned electric utility (Power Enterprise); and

WHEREAS, Pursuant to Charter Section 8B.125, the Commission sets the rates, fees and other charges in connection with providing utility services under its jurisdiction; and

WHEREAS, In November 1989, the SFPUC conducted a comprehensive review and rate setting process for the Power Enterprise and the Commission adopted Resolution No. 89-0355 establishing rates, fees and charges intended to cover Power Enterprise operations and maintenance expenses, taxes, property depreciation, as well as a capital investment return to fund repair and replacement expenses; and

WHEREAS, By Resolution No. 89-0355, the Commission also established a specific cost of service fixed rate of \$0.02921 per kilowatt-hour (kWh) for electric service to certain municipal and existing public agency customers, referred to herein as "GUSE Rates," and this Commission has adjusted those rates from time to time since then; and

WHEREAS, On May 8, 2018, by Resolution No. 18-0081, this Commission adopted revised GUSE rates which increased electric rates and charges on average by \$0.005/kWh each year for Fiscal Year 2018-19 and 2019-20 (for a total of one cent) for all municipal departments and other public agencies that receive electric service at the GUSE rate and such rates have remained in effect since that time; and

WHEREAS, In July 2004, the Board of Supervisors adopted Resolution 431-04, establishing a policy to set annual appropriations for General Fund departments at levels sufficient to compensate the Power Enterprise for all electricity sales to those departments at a rate that reflects the same cost of service principles outlined in the San Francisco Charter Section 8B.125 Rates. The general principles set forth in that Charter Section, applicable to setting retail rates, fees and charges, is that those rates should be based on the cost-of-service, set at levels sufficient to improve or maintain financial conditions at or above levels equivalent to highly rated utilities, and provide sufficient resources for appropriate reserves, operation, maintenance and repair, consistent with good utility practice; and

WHEREAS, San Francisco Charter Section 8B.125 also provides that the Commission shall retain an independent rate consultant to conduct rate and cost-of-service studies for each utility at least every five years and in Fiscal Year 2015-16, the SFPUC retained a joint-venture, independent consulting team from NBS and Navigant to perform a cost of service study for the Power Enterprise to inform electric rates and charges for the five-year period from FY 2016-17 to FY 2020-21; and

WHEREAS, The SFPUC General Manager recommends, in accordance with the recommendations of the most recent cost of service study, increasing the electric rates and charges for the municipal departments, public agencies and non-profit organizations that receive electric service at the GUSE rate by \$0.01 /kWh each year, over the next two fiscal years, and the new rate schedule for Fiscal Year 2020-21 and 2021-22, including a list of those customers eligible for the GUSE rate, is attached to this resolution; and

WHEREAS, The proposed increases in rates, fees and charges are intended to more closely align revenues with Power Enterprise expenses, and to provide additional revenues for capital financing for repair and replacement of existing utility infrastructure; and


WHEREAS, Charter Section 8B.125 requires the Commission to set rates and charges, subject to rejection by the Board of Supervisors, within 30 days of submission; now, therefore, be it

RESOLVED, This Commission hereby determines that the proposed increases to electric rates and charges for those receiving electric service at GUSE rates are required to meet the projected revenue requirement for the Power Enterprise; and be it

FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Commission hereby adopts the revised Schedule of General Use Municipal Electricity Service Rates, attached hereto, to replace the former Schedule of Municipal Electricity Service Rates adopted on May 8, 2018, by Resolution No. 18-0081, with such rates to apply to the customer list as defined in the Schedule, and take effect on July 1, 2020 and remain in effect until further action by this Commission; and be it

FURTHER RESOLVED, That any City and County of San Francisco municipal department or other public agencies not included in the customer list attached to the revised Schedule shall be offered service at the Enterprise Rate or Hetch Hetchy Power Rate.

I hereby certify that the foregoing was adopted by the Public Utilities Commission at its meeting of May 26, 2020.


Secretary, Public Utilities Commission



AGENDA ITEM

Public Utilities Commission

City and County of San Francisco



DEPARTMENT Financial Services AGENDA NO. 10

MEETING DATE May 26, 2020

Public Hearing: Adopt General Use Municipal Electric Rates for FY 2020-21 and FY 2021-22 for certain municipal entities, certain non-City governmental agencies, and certain non-profit entities

Project Manager: Charles Perl

Summary of Proposed Commission Action:	Public Hearing: Adopt a new schedule of Hetch Hetchy Power Enterprise General Use Municipal Electricity Service Rates for Fiscal Years 2020-21 and 2021-22 to take effect only July 1, 2020 for certain City agencies, certain non-City governmental agencies such as the San Francisco Unified School District, and certain non-profit entities such as the California Academy of Sciences that are closely related to the City through City governance, financial support, or other legacy relationship. The new schedule would increase General Use Municipal Electrical Rates by \$0.01/kWh each fiscal year. The proposed action constitutes the Approval Action for the purposes of CEQA, pursuant to Section 31.04(h) of the San Francisco Administrative Code.
Background:	<p>Overview</p> <p>The Power Enterprise generates, schedules, purchases, sells, transmits and distributes electricity to meet the needs of more than 3,300 retail and wholesale customers or locations. The Power Enterprise's customers include, but are not limited to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Municipal departments, including the City's fire houses, hospitals, municipal transit system, water and wastewater treatment facilities, San Francisco Airport (SFO), recreational facilities, maritime facilities, public housing, and all City streetlights and traffic signals;• Tenants in City-owned properties, including tenants of the Port and SFO;• Retail residential, commercial, and industrial customers in locations where the Power Enterprise is the energy provider. <p>Charter Section 8B.125 establishes the Commission's authority to set rates, fees and other charges for utility services under its jurisdiction, subject to rejection – within 30 days of submission – by resolution of the Board of Supervisors. Charter section 8B.125 also includes the substantive requirement to set retail rates and charges, based on cost of service, to provide sufficient resources for the operation, maintenance and continued</p>

APPROVAL:

COMMISSION
SECRETARY

Donna Hood

financial health, including appropriate reserves, of each enterprise. Finally, section 8B.125 established the Rate Fairness Board (RFB) as the oversight body for SFPUC rates and charges. The Rate Fairness Board may hold public hearings on rate proposals and provide recommendations to the Commission regarding those rate proposals.

The Power Enterprise's 2016 Business Plan, presented to the Commission through workshops in 2015, reiterated the importance of the Commission's rate policy principles, particularly the need for rates sufficient to cover cost of service. Not only do rates that cover cost of service ensure long-term financial stability through revenue sufficiency, they provide customers with an appropriate measure of service value relative to alternatives, and help to guide the Power Enterprise's capital investment and operating expenditure decisions. Rates based on cost of service also support customer equity by charging customers with similar costs of service the same rate, and promote environmental sustainability by providing a price signal to high-volume electricity users that will incentivize conservation.

SFPUC Ratepayer Assurance Policy

On September 12, 2017, the Commission approved the combination of two separate policies (Rates Policy and Ratepayer Assurance Policy) into the revised Ratepayer Assurance Policy, which sets out principles to guide the development of rates and charges. They are:

- Revenue Sufficiency
- Customer Equity
- Environmental Sustainability
- Affordability
- Predictability
- Simplicity

The proposed rate increase in this agenda item relies on these principles.

Because the proposal primarily impacts other city departments, the SFPUC has worked closely with the Mayor's Budget Office and large city departments to discuss the impacts of the proposed rate change on their budgets.

Municipal Electric Rates and Charges History

In November 1989, the Commission adopted Resolution 89-0355, which established electric rates and charges to cover Power Enterprise expenses for operations, maintenance and capital investment. For certain private entities and some municipal and public agencies, this action set electric rates based on rate schedules adopted by the State of California Public Utilities Commission (CPUC), with adjustments likewise mirroring those authorized by the CPUC. These are herein referred to as “Enterprise rates.” Enterprise rates are not the subject of this public hearing and proposed action.

For certain municipal and other public agencies, this 1989 action set a fixed rate of \$0.02921/kWh, with no provision for adjustment. These are herein referred to as General Use or “GUSE” Municipal Electric Rates. In December 1989, pursuant to the San Francisco Charter provisions then in effect, the Board of Supervisors affirmed these rates through adoption of Resolution 961-89. These rates have been modified by the Commission from time to time in subsequent years, most recently on May 8, 2018 by Resolution No. 18-0081.

2020 COVID-19 Economic Shock

On March 17, 2020, the Mayor declared a health emergency and Shelter-In-Place Order (SIP), in coordination with five other counties in the Bay Area in response to the COVID-19 global pandemic. The SFPUC is currently examining the financial implications of the COVID-19 emergency. At this time, Hetch Hetchy Power service delivery has seen an approximate 20% load decline across the entire enterprise since the issuance of the SIP. If these observed load declines persist through the end of the current fiscal year, SFPUC Finance projects an approximate \$6 million revenue loss for the Hetch Hetchy Power Enterprise to occur by June 30, 2020.

While operating and capital cost savings contingency planning is underway to offset this projected lost revenue, approval of FY 2020-21 and FY 2021-22 SFPUC operating and capital budgets by the Board of Supervisors has been delayed to August 2020. The SFPUC is preparing changes to the budget this Commission approved on February 11, 2020, which will include revenues rebalanced against revised power load projections and approved rates, including this General Use Municipal Electric Rate change. Expenses will be reduced as necessary to balance the budget for the next 2 fiscal years. SFPUC staff will work with the Mayor’s Budget Office to ensure the budget changes align the revenues with Power Enterprise expenses to maintain financial conditions at or above levels equivalent to highly rated utilities, and provide sufficient resources for appropriate reserves, operation, maintenance and repair, consistent with good utility practice.

Proposed Increase to General Use Municipal Electric Rates for FY 2020-21 and FY 2021-22

In FY 2015-16, in accordance with the requirements of Charter Section 8B.125, the SFPUC retained a joint-venture, independent consulting team from NBS and Navigant to perform a cost of service study for the Power Enterprise to inform electric rates and charges for the five-year period from FY 2016-17 to FY 2020-21. This report is available on www.sfwater.org.

In accordance with this rate study, SFPUC staff proposes \$0.01/kWh increases to the current GUSE Municipal Electric Rates for the two fiscal years of FY 2020-21 and FY 2021-22. Table 1 shows the proposed increased rates for FY 2020-21 and FY 2021-22.

Table 1

Proposed General Use Municipal Electricity Service Rate

General Use Municipal Electricity Service Rate	FY 2020-21 (\$/kWh)	FY 2021-22 (\$/kWh)
	\$0.08877	\$0.09877
Rate Increase	12.7%	11.3%

The new GUSE Municipal Electric Rate Schedule, and a list of the customers to whom the rate applies – consisting of certain City agencies, governmental agencies, and affiliated non-profit organizations -- is attached to the Commission resolution for this agenda item.

This proposed increase in GUSE Municipal Electric Rates will result in cost increases to City departments. SFPUC staff has worked with the Mayor's Budget Office to ensure that the resulting budget changes have been included in early budget communication and longer term five year financial planning. SFPUC staff will notify City departments of this rate proposal through the City wide budget adoption process.

Public Hearing Notice

Pursuant to Charter Section 16.112, a Notice of Public Hearing on the General Use Municipal Electric Rate change was published in the official newspaper on May 7, 8, 10, 13 and 14, 2020 and posted on the SFPUC website, for a public hearing on May 26, 2020, with possible Commission action on this date. If approved by the Commission, this General Use Municipal Electric Rate change will be subject to rejection by the Board of Supervisors (BOS), as provided in Charter section 8B.125, within 30 days following notification to the BOS. This proposed General Use Municipal Electric Rate change will become effective July 1, 2020 and will remain effective until revised.

Authorize: Consider and Adopt Municipal Electric Rates for FY 2020-21 and FY 2021-22
Commission Meeting Date: May 26, 2020

	Rate Adoption Process If approved by the Commission, these rates would take effect July 1, 2020, unless rejected by the Board of Supervisors, as provided in Charter section 8B.125.
Result of Inaction:	A delay or denial approving this agenda item may adversely impact the SFPUC's ability to maintain current levels of service of the Hetch Hetchy Power Enterprise, and the power and joint assets of the Hetch Hetchy project.
Recommendation:	SFPUC staff recommends that the Commission adopt the attached resolution.
Attachments:	Schedule of General Use Municipal Electricity Service Rates effective July 1, 2020

**SCHEDULE OF GENERAL USE MUNICIPAL ELECTRICITY SERVICE RATES
EFFECTIVE JULY 1, 2020**

Schedule M-2: General Use Municipal Electricity Service Rate

This schedule of rates, fees and charges is applicable for electric service from Hetch Hetchy Power Enterprise to the below list of City and County of San Francisco municipal departments, other public agencies, and City-affiliated non-profit organizations (all or specific divisions of the entities and departments listed below) receiving electric service at fixed rates, as initially established by Commission Resolution 89-0355 and amended thereafter, depending upon the charge per kWh in effect for FY 2020-21 and FY 2021-22, as shown:

A charge for all electricity delivered based on meter readings on or after July 1, 2020 as follows.

General Use Municipal Electricity Service Rate	FY 2020-21 (\$/kWh)	FY 2021-22 (\$/kWh)
	\$0.08877	\$0.09877

City and County of San Francisco Municipal Departments, Government Agencies, and Affiliated Non-Profits	
Administrative / General Services – General Fund Supported	Fine Arts Museums
Administrative Services / General Services – Street Lighting	Fire Department
Adult Probation	General Services Agency – ReproMail
Animal Care & Control	Health Service Administration
Art Commission	Juvenile Probation
Asian Art Museum	Mayor’s Office of Housing & Community Development
California Academy of Science	Office of City Administrator
Child Support Services	Police Department
City Attorney’s Office	Public Defender’s Office
Civic Center Court House	Public Health
Community College	Public Works (except Street and Sewer Repair & Subdivisions, Street and Environmental Services)
Criminal Justice	Real Estate Department
Department of Consumer Assurance	Recreation & Parks (except Yacht Harbor)
Department of Elections	San Francisco Zoo
Human Services Agency	San Francisco Municipal Transportation Agency (except Parking Engineering and Parking Authority)
District Attorney	San Francisco Unified School District
Emergency Centers	Sheriff
Exploratorium	Department of Technology
	War Memorial

Re: SFPUC Statutory Exemption Request - Hetch Hetchy Power General Use Rates

Moore, Julie (CPC) <julie.moore@sfgov.org>

Tue 5/12/2020 9:43 AM

To: Revelli, Lindsay (PUC) <LRevelli@sfgov.org>

Cc: Johnston, Timothy (CPC) <timothy.johnston@sfgov.org>; Wu, Monica (PUC) <MWu@sfgov.org>

Hi Lindsay,

The San Francisco Planning Department concurs that the Hetch Hetchy Power General Use Rates project is exempt per Public Resources Code Section 21080(b)(8) and CEQA Guidelines Section 15273 (Rates, Tolls, Fares, and Charges) related to the establishment, modification, structuring, restructuring, or approval of rates, tolls, fares, or other charges.

The Planning Department's case number for this project is 2020-004920ENV.

Thanks,

Julie Moore, Principal Planner
Environmental Planning Division

San Francisco Planning Department

1650 Mission Street, Suite 400 San Francisco, CA 94103

Direct: 415.575.8733 | www.sfplanning.org

[San Francisco Property Information Map](#)

From: Revelli, Lindsay

Sent: Friday, May 8, 2020 11:02 AM

To: CPC.EPIntake@sfgov.org

Cc: Kern, Chris (CPC) <chris.kern@sfgov.org>; Johnston, Timothy (CPC) <timothy.johnston@sfgov.org>; Wu, Monica <MWu@sfgov.org>

Subject: SFPUC Statutory Exemption Request - Hetch Hetchy Power General Use Rates

Hello – Hope you are all doing well. Thank you for your assistance with this SFPUC request for environmental review. Attached please find the Statutory Exemption Request for Hetch Hetchy Power General Use Rates.

Please feel free to contact me with any questions you may have.

Thank you,

Lindsay

**Please note that I am telecommuting during the COVID-19 Health Emergency and the best way to reach me is by email.*

Lindsay Lane Revelli

Environmental Project Manager

San Francisco Public Utilities Commission

Bureau of Environmental Management

525 Golden Gate Avenue, 6th Floor, San Francisco, CA 94102

D 415-554-1823 F 415-934-5750





May 7, 2020

Chris Kern, Principal Planner
Environmental Planning Division
San Francisco Planning Department
1650 Mission Street, Suite 400
San Francisco, CA 94103

RE: CEQA Statutory Exemption Request
Hetch Hetchy Power Municipal Electric Rates or
General Use Rates

Dear Chris,

The San Francisco Public Utilities Commission (SFPUC) proposes to adopt a new electric rate schedule for certain Hetch Hetchy Power municipal customers for fiscal year (FY) 2020-2021 and FY 2021-2022. The Hetch Hetchy Power General Use (GUSE) rate schedule applies to certain municipal entities and non-City governmental agencies and would be increased to account for the financial implications of the COVID-19 health emergency and the approximate 20% load decline resulting from the Shelter-In-Place (SIP) Order. The Bureau of Environmental Management recommends the proposed adoption of the new rate schedule by the Commission is statutorily exempt from the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) under Public Resources Code Section 21080(b)(8) and CEQA Guidelines Section 15273 (Rates, Tolls, Fares, and Charges) related to the establishment, modification, structuring, restructuring, or approval of rates, tolls, fares, or other charges.

BACKGROUND

Hetch Hetchy Power (HHP) generates, schedules, purchases, sells, transmits and distributes electricity to meet the needs of more than 3,300 retail and wholesale customers including municipal departments and tenants in City-owned properties. GUSE rates apply to certain municipal entities supported primarily by the City's general fund and certain non-City governmental agencies such as the San Francisco Unified School District, and certain non-profit entities such as the California Academy of Sciences, that are closely

OUR MISSION: To provide our customers with high-quality, efficient and reliable water, power and sewer services in a manner that values environmental and community interests and sustains the resources entrusted to our care.

London N. Breed
Mayor

Ann Moller Caen
President

Francesca Vietor
Vice President

Anson Moran
Commissioner

Sophie Maxwell
Commissioner

Tim Paulson
Commissioner

Harlan L. Kelly, Jr.
General Manager



related to the City through City governance, financial support, or other legacy relationship.

The SFPUC is currently examining the financial implication of the COVID-19 global pandemic, however it is clear that HHP service delivery has seen an approximate 20% load decline post SIP. If these observed load declines persist through the end of the current fiscal year, an approximate \$6 million revenue loss is projected. Operating and capital cost savings contingency planning is underway to offset this lost revenue. Approval of the FY 2020-2021 and FY 2021-2022 operating and capital budgets by the Board of Supervisors has been delayed to August 2020. The SFPUC is preparing changes to the budget approved by the Commission on February 11, 2020 and is planning to provide these changes in early July 2020. Revisions will include revenues rebalanced against revised power load projections and approved rates, including this proposed GUSE rate change.

Per the FY 2015-2016 rate study conducted in accordance with the requirements of Charter Section 8B.125, SFPUC staff proposes \$0.01/kWh increases to the current GUSE rates for the two budget years of FY 2020-21 and FY 2021-22. Staff continues to discuss the proposed rate changes and the financial challenges of charging less than cost of service with the relevant City departments and will revisit the need for larger GUSE rate increases in the 2021 Power Cost of Service study.

The proposed municipal electric rates have been provided to members of the Rate Fairness Board.

Adoption of the action is scheduled for hearing before the Commission on May 26, 2020. If approved by the Commission and not rejected by the Board of Supervisors, the proposed GUSE Rate change would become effective July 1, 2020, as provided in Charter Section 8B.125.

CEQA COMPLIANCE RECOMMENDATION

Public Resources Code Section 21080(b)(8) and CEQA Guidelines Section 15273 (Rates, Tolls, Fares, and Charges) Subsection (a)(1) provides a statutory exemption from CEQA for the establishment, modification, structuring, restructuring, or approval of rates, tolls, fares, or other charges by public agencies for the purposes of meeting operating expenses.

Chris Kern, Principal Planner
Environmental Planning Division, San Francisco Planning Department
CEQA Exemption Request
Hetch Hetchy Power Municipal Electric Rates or General Use Rates
May 7, 2020
Page 3

Thank you for your concurrence with this request.

Sincerely,

 for

Irina P. Torrey, AICP, Bureau Manager
Bureau of Environmental Management

Cc: Kristina Cordero, SFPUC Director of Financial Planning
Charles Perl, SFPUC Deputy CFO
Timothy Johnston, SF Planning Department Senior Environmental
Planner
Lindsay Revelli, SFPUC Environmental Project Manager

From: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#)
Cc: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#); [Laxamana, Junko \(BOS\)](#); [Ng, Wilson \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: FW: Updated memorandum regarding rent forbearance for certain tenants on City property
Date: Monday, June 1, 2020 10:53:00 PM
Attachments: [City Administrator Memo on Lease Obligations by City Departments 6.1.2020.pdf](#)

Hello,

Please see the attached memo from the City Administrator.

Thank you,

Eileen McHugh

Executive Assistant

Board of Supervisors

1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, City Hall, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102-4689

Phone: (415) 554-7703 | Fax: (415) 554-5163

eileen.e.mchugh@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

From: Administrator, City (ADM) <city.administrator@sfgov.org>

Sent: Monday, June 1, 2020 1:49 PM

To: Administrator, City (ADM) <city.administrator@sfgov.org>

Cc: Penick, Andrico <andrico.penick@sfgov.org>; Hansen, Matt (ADM) <matt.hansen@sfgov.org>

Subject: Updated memorandum regarding rent forbearance for certain tenants on City property

Dear Colleagues:

I hope this finds you healthy and safe. Attached, please find a memorandum that updates and replaces the March 27, 2020 memorandum regarding enforcement of certain tenant lease obligations by extending the Policy through December 31, 2020.

Sincerely,

Naomi M. Kelly

City Administrator




OFFICE OF THE CITY ADMINISTRATOR



London N. Breed, Mayor
Naomi M. Kelly, City Administrator

MEMORANDUM

TO: All City agencies and tenants

FROM: Naomi M. Kelly
City Administrator 

CC: Matt Hansen, Director of Risk Management
Andrico Penick, Director of Property

SUBJECT: City Policy Regarding Enforcement of Certain Tenant Lease Obligations by City Departments From March 17, 2020 through December 31, 2020 ("Policy")

DATE: June 1, 2020

This memorandum updates and replaces the memorandum of March 27, 2020 regarding enforcement of certain tenant lease obligations by extending the Policy through December 31, 2020.

Background

The policy described below (this "Policy") is prompted by the remarkably rapid developments in the recognition of and reaction to the COVID-19 pandemic. Two of the most impactful developments for the City's economy over the last few months include the issuance and extensions of the March 16 Order by the Local Health Officer instituting shelter-in-place requirements to slow the spread of the disease, and the March 18 Order by Mayor Breed declaring a 30-day moratorium on commercial evictions related to financial impacts caused by COVID-19 for small and medium-sized businesses that have less than \$25 million in annual gross receipts (the "Eviction Moratorium Order").

Many City agencies lease space to private tenants for a variety of business and recreational uses. In keeping with the spirit of the broad moratorium under the Eviction Moratorium Order, the City now wishes to issue further guidance so that affected tenants can have confidence in being able to retain their leasehold while they use their financial reserves on immediately pressing operational needs to retain employees and remain viable to the maximum extent possible. This Policy is directive to all General Fund agencies with private tenants (each, a "City Landlord Agency"). Mayor Breed encourages City enterprise agencies with private tenants to pursue similar tenant relief actions as appropriate to their respective portfolios.

It is important to note that this Policy is a baseline for Citywide action regarding City leases within the geographic boundaries of San Francisco. Individual agencies may target additional relief policies beyond these terms (including but not limited to extended payment dates and relief for those classes of tenant otherwise excepted below) based on each agency's mission and the financial situation of each agency in relation to their respective tenant portfolios. Every business saved will help the City's recovery when the spread of the disease is curbed enough to allow the economy to regain its footing.

Policy

1. Summary:

Under this updated Policy, to the extent not otherwise prevented by law or the City's own obligations to its lenders, trustees, bond holders and/or taxing agencies, City Landlord Agencies are directed to waive all late charges, default interest and associated penalties and fees for any delinquent rent payments that were or are due for use of City property for commercial purposes within San Francisco city limits during the period of March 17 through December 31, 2020 due to the impact of COVID-19. City Landlord Agency staff are also directed to follow the policies and timeframes set forth in the Eviction Moratorium Order with respect to the initiation of any default enforcement or eviction process due to the failure to pay rent owed under any commercial lease due to the impact of COVID-19 while the Eviction Moratorium Order is in effect.

2. Lease Obligations and Payment Provisions:

The relief offered by this updated Policy is contingent upon the tenant's continued compliance with all lease obligations other than the payment of rent (such as maintenance and repair obligations, percentage rent reporting obligations and obligations to pay taxes). Subject to any extension of these deadlines and/or additional relief targeted by individual City Landlord Agencies as noted in sections 3 and 4 below, this Policy requires tenant's resumption of normal timely rent payments on January 1, 2021 and payment of any rent arrearages in full no later than June 30, 2021. Any amounts not paid in full as of June 30, 2021 (or such later date as set forth under any subsequent relief program approved by the tenant's City Landlord Agency) would then be subject to interest and penalties from and after that date.

The forbearance program outlined in this Policy does not change the terms or conditions of any lease or other agreement. Further, this policy will not affect ongoing lease enforcement actions or lease enforcement actions for defaults not related to the current emergency. For any tenant claiming the benefit of force majeure or similar provisions in its lease, those provisions will control.

3. Agency Action:

The City has a range of agencies that lease property to private entities, and these agencies have differing authority for leasing property. City Landlord Agencies are directed to take all actions necessary to implement this Policy, to the extent further action is needed.

4. **Further Tenant Relief:**

This Policy is intended as a temporary emergency measure to provide clarity to the City's tenants. Each City Landlord Agency will monitor the performance of its tenants during this time and will determine whether in and in what form it should offer additional tenant relief in light of public health and safety developments.

5. **Other Sources of Relief:**

All departments are directed to work with the Risk Manager to document and seek recovery from any possible private or public insurance program or other assistance that is forthcoming in the ongoing response to this situation. Tenants have been and should continue to be encouraged to investigate any coverage available to them under any business interruption or similar policies or programs along with public assistance efforts.

6. **Eligibility:**

This Policy applies to all leases, licenses and other agreements to use City land located within the geographic boundaries of San Francisco under the jurisdiction of a City Landlord Agency. This Policy does not apply to MOUs among City departments, vessel berthing agreements and permits to enter where access to the property was not interrupted by the permittor. In any scenario where a tenant has subleased some or all of its space, including master tenants, such relief will only be available to the extent that the City's tenant has offered equivalent relief to the subtenant(s).

From: [pmonette-shaw](#)
To: [Breed, London \(MYR\)](#); [Elsbernd, Sean \(MYR\)](#)
Cc: [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Mar, Gordon \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Haney, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Data Shows Why Mayor Breed Should Extend Her COVID-19 Stay-at-Home Order Through July
Date: Tuesday, May 26, 2020 9:53:48 PM
Attachments: [Letter to London Breed Extend Shelter-in-Place Order 20-05-26.pdf](#)

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Patrick Monette-Shaw

975 Sutter Street, Apt. 6
 San Francisco, CA 94109
 Phone: (415) 292-6969 • e-mail: pmonette-shaw@earthlink.net

May 26, 2020

The Honorable London Breed
 Mayor of San Francisco
 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 200
 San Francisco, CA 94102

Re: **Extend COVID-19 Shelter-in-Place Order**

Dear Mayor Breed,

I recommend that you rapidly extend your shelter-in-place order for San Francisco from May 31 to July 31, following the lead of Los Angeles, which is reported to continue its shelter-in-place order through July.

After all, you noted on May 6 that the City has not completely flattened the COVID-19 curve :

“The numbers are still going up. The number of deaths are still going up. We have not lowered the curve.”

— **London Breed**, Mayor, May 6, 2020

Data posted on the San Francisco Department of Public Health’s **COVID-19 Tracker** web site documented that on May 6, our City had 1,806 COVID-19-positive cases, and a total of 33 deaths from the coronavirus. In the 19 calendar days since May 6, the **COVID-19 Tracker** web site reports:

- The number of COVID-19-positive cases has risen by 593 additional cases to a total of 2,399 positive cases, which represents fully 25% of San Francisco’s cases to date. Worse, the increase from 1,806 to 2,399 cases represents a 33% change increase across those 19 days.
- The number of San Francisco COVID-related deaths has grown by eight additional deaths — from 32 on May 6 to 40 as of May 21, **within just a 15-calendar-day period**. Those additional eight deaths are 20% of the total 40 deaths.

Figure 1: COVID Curve Is Not Flattening




Figure 1 shows that the vast majority of COVID cases occurred in the nine-week period (though May 6) when testing was largely confined to testing of symptomatic people only. Since May 6, there is still only limited testing for asymptomatic people, and the total number of cases are still going up. Has DPH finished testing yet all residents and staff in all 21 San Francisco skilled nursing facilities that were scheduled to complete testing before the end of May?

Figure 2: Weekly COVID Cases




Figure 2 illustrates that as of the week ending on May 23 — two weeks after you observed on May 6 that San Francisco hadn't flattened its curve — the number of new cases each week still remains alarming. Indeed, May 23 (the 12th week of reporting) was the third highest week in weekly cases (341 cases ending the week May 9, 304 cases ending the week of April 11, and then 295 cases in the week ending May 23).

Figure 3: Cumulative Testing




Figure 3 illustrates that fully 29,434 — 54% — of San Francisco's 54,409 tests conducted to date were taken during the three weeks ending September 9, September 16, and September 23 after you admitted on May 6 that San Francisco's curve had not been flattened. That's because testing is still largely restricted to only *essential workers* who may be asymptomatic, not *all* vulnerable populations who may also be asymptomatic.

When is the City going to mandate — and rapidly conduct — testing of all staff and residents in Residential Care Facilities for the Elderly (RCFE's), Adult Residential Facilities (ARF'S), and other assisted living facilities in the City?

Based on the science, San Francisco Department of Public Health's *COVID-19 Tracker* data, and other facts, extending your shelter-in-place order through the end of July would not be arbitrary or unlawful, as the Trump administration might threaten you with. Extending your shelter-in-place order — and mandating wearing facial coverings in public at all times, and not just while in line while in stores — would go a long way towards a more cautious approach going forward.

Finally, DPH's COVID Tracker web site needs to be fixed. While the home page of the Tracker shows that as of May 25 there were 2,399 COVID cases, other tables on the web site show conflicting information. Downloading the COVID-19 *Laboratory testing* CVS file, and using the Excel auto-sum feature on the number of positive cases column, reports 3,245 positive cases. That's a difference of 846 positive cases, without an explanation of the discrepancy. (As an aside, only twice has the downloaded file for this specific report included data for positive test, negative test, and indeterminate test results; why aren't all three columns included routinely in the downloaded reports? As Mayor, can you fix this?)

By contrast, downloading the separate "COVID in San Francisco" report (*Summarized by Date, Transmission and Case Disposition.csv*) reports a [COVID-positive] case count of 2,439.

So which is the correct number of COVID-positive people in San Francisco? 2,399? 3,245? 2,439? Why aren't the three separate reports reporting the same number of positive cases uniformly on the same date?

On April 22, I submitted a public records request to Dr. Colfax and DPH asking why there was a discrepancy among the various data sets. To date, DPH has not responded or provided an explanation, perhaps because DPH may believe it doesn't have to respond to public records requests, given language in your stay-at-home orders. You need to fix that, Mayor!

Please extend your shelter-in place order, Mayor Breed. Otherwise, San Francisco may face another surge in cases and deaths, even before a Second COVID Wave will probably reach San Francisco's shores in the fall.

Respectfully submitted,

Patrick Monette-Shaw

Columnist,

Westside Observer Newspaper

cc: San Francisco Board of Supervisors

The Honorable Norman Yee, Board President

The Honorable Sandra Lee Fewer, Supervisor, District 1

The Honorable Catherine Stefani, Supervisor, District 2

The Honorable Aaron Peskin, Supervisor, District 3

The Honorable Gordon Mar, Supervisor, District 4

The Honorable Dean Preston, Supervisor, District 5

The Honorable Matt Haney, Supervisor, District 6

The Honorable Rafael Mandelman, Supervisor, District 8

The Honorable Hillary Ronen, Supervisor, District 9

The Honorable Shamann Walton, Supervisor, District 10

The Honorable Ahsha Safai, Supervisor, District 11

Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board

Sean Elsbernd, Chief of Staff to the Mayor

Grant Colfax, San Francisco Department of Public Health **Patrick Monette-Shaw**

Columnist

Westside Observer Newspaper

Read more in the attached printer-friendly PDF file.

Please feel free to widely share the printer-friendly version of this testimony.

To unsubscribe, send me an e-mail.

975 Sutter Street, Apt. 6
San Francisco, CA 94109
Phone: (415) 292-6969 • e-mail: pmonette-shaw@earthlink.net

The Honorable London Breed
Mayor of San Francisco
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 200
San Francisco, CA 94102

Figure 1 shows that the vast majority of COVID cases occurred in the nine-week period (though May 6) when testing was largely confined to testing of symptomatic people only. Since May 6, there is still only limited testing for asymptomatic people, and the total number of cases are still going up. Has DPH finished testing yet all residents and staff in all 21 San Francisco skilled nursing facilities that were scheduled to complete testing before the end of May?

Figure 2: Weekly COVID Cases




Figure 2 illustrates that as of the week ending on May 23 — two weeks after you observed on May 6 that San Francisco hadn't flattened its curve — the number of new cases each week still remains alarming. Indeed, May 23 (the 12th week of reporting) was the third highest week in weekly cases (341 cases ending the week May 9, 304 cases ending the week of April 11, and then 295 cases in the week ending May 23).

Figure 3: Cumulative Testing




Figure 3 illustrates that fully 29,434 — 54% — of San Francisco's 54,409 tests conducted to date were taken during the three weeks ending September 9, September 16, and September 23 after you admitted on May 6 that San Francisco's curve had not been flattened. That's because testing is still largely restricted to only *essential workers* who may be asymptomatic, not *all* vulnerable populations who may also be asymptomatic.

May 26, 2020

Extend COVID-19 Shelter-in-Place Order

Page 3

When is the City going to mandate — and rapidly conduct — testing of all staff and residents in Residential Care Facilities for the Elderly (RCFE's), Adult Residential Facilities (ARF'S), and other assisted living facilities in the City?

Based on the science, San Francisco Department of Public Health's *COVID-19 Tracker* data, and other facts, extending your shelter-in-place order through the end of July would not be arbitrary or unlawful, as the Trump administration might threaten you with. Extending your shelter-in-place order — and mandating wearing facial coverings in public at all times, and not just while in line while in stores — would go a long way towards a more cautious approach going forward.

Finally, DPH's COVID Tracker web site needs to be fixed. While the home page of the Tracker shows that as of May 25 there were 2,399 COVID cases, other tables on the web site show conflicting information. Downloading the COVID-19 *Laboratory testing* CVS file, and using the Excel auto-sum feature on the number of positive cases column, reports 3,245 positive cases. That's a difference of 846 positive cases, without an explanation of the discrepancy. (As an aside, only twice has the downloaded file for this specific report included data for positive test, negative test, and indeterminate test results; why aren't all three columns included routinely in the downloaded reports? As Mayor, can you fix this?)

By contrast, downloading the separate "COVID in San Francisco" report (*Summarized by Date, Transmission and Case Disposition.csv*) reports a [COVID-positive] case count of 2,439.

So which is the correct number of COVID-positive people in San Francisco? 2,399? 3,245? 2,439? Why aren't the three separate reports reporting the same number of positive cases uniformly on the same date?

On April 22, I submitted a public records request to Dr. Colfax and DPH asking why there was a discrepancy among the various data sets. To date, DPH has not responded or provided an explanation, perhaps because DPH may believe it doesn't have to respond to public records requests, given language in your stay-at-home orders. You need to fix that, Mayor!

Please extend your shelter-in place order. Otherwise, San Francisco may face another surge in cases and deaths, even before a Second Wave will probably approach in the fall.

Respectfully submitted,

Patrick Monette-Shaw

Columnist,

Westside Observer Newspaper

cc: San Francisco Board of Supervisors

The Honorable Norman Yee, Board President

The Honorable Sandra Lee Fewer, Supervisor, District 1

The Honorable Catherine Stefani, Supervisor, District 2

The Honorable Aaron Peskin, Supervisor, District 3

The Honorable Gordon Mar, Supervisor, District 4

The Honorable Dean Preston, Supervisor, District 5

The Honorable Matt Haney, Supervisor, District 6

The Honorable Rafael Mandelman, Supervisor, District 8

The Honorable Hillary Ronen, Supervisor, District 9

The Honorable Shamann Walton, Supervisor, District 10

The Honorable Ahsha Safai, Supervisor, District 11

Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board

Sean Elsbernd, Chief of Staff to the Mayor

Grant Colfax, San Francisco Director of Public Health

From: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: FW: Listen to Our Better Angels on Apple Podcasts
Date: Monday, June 1, 2020 9:02:40 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

C pages.

ERICA MAJOR

Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors

1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, City Hall, Room 244 San Francisco, CA 94102

Phone: (415) 554-4441 | Fax: (415) 554-5163

Erica.Major@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation, and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.

From: Nettieatkisson <nettieatkisson@gmail.com>

Sent: Saturday, May 30, 2020 5:20 PM

To: Peskin, Aaron (BOS) <aaron.peskin@sfgov.org>; Stefani, Catherine (BOS) <catherine.stefani@sfgov.org>; Preston, Dean (BOS) <dean.preston@sfgov.org>; Major, Erica (BOS) <erica.major@sfgov.org>; Ronen, Hillary <hillary.ronen@sfgov.org>; Mar, Gordon (BOS) <gordon.mar@sfgov.org>; Yee, Norman (BOS) <norman.yee@sfgov.org>; Fewer, Sandra (BOS) <sandra.fewer@sfgov.org>; Walton, Shamann (BOS) <shamann.walton@sfgov.org>; Safai, Ahsha (BOS) <ahsha.safai@sfgov.org>; Haney, Matt (BOS) <matt.haney@sfgov.org>; MandelmanStaff, [BOS] <mandelmanstaff@sfgov.org>; Phil Ting <Phil.Ting@asm.ca.gov>; Tara Gamboa-Eastman <Tara.Gamboa-Eastman@asm.ca.gov>; MandelmanStaff, [BOS] <mandelmanstaff@sfgov.org>; Haney, Matt (BOS) <matt.haney@sfgov.org>; Cityattorney@Cityattorney@sfcityattv.org>; Safai, Ahsha (BOS) <ahsha.safai@sfgov.org>

Subject: Listen to Our Better Angels on Apple Podcasts

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

In the months since the spread of the coronavirus, stories of selfishness and exploitation have become all too familiar: people ignoring social distancing guidelines, or even selling medical equipment at inflated prices. Most of our public and economic policies take aim at these sorts of people — the wrongdoers and the profiteers. But is there a hidden cost to the rest of us when we put bad actors at the center of our thinking? Do the measures we put in place to curtail the selfish inadvertently hurt our capacity to do right by others?

Listen to Our Better Angels from Hidden Brain on Apple Podcasts. <https://podcasts.apple.com/us/podcast/hidden-brain/id1028908750?i=1000475006496>



HIDDEN BRAIN

Sent using Siri voice recognition. Mistakes guaranteed.

From: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#)
Subject: FW: SF Shelter In Place Order Ban On Vacation
Date: Monday, June 1, 2020 11:15:00 AM

From: Danielle Fuschetti <danielle.fuschetti@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, May 28, 2020 7:15 PM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>
Subject: SF Shelter In Place Order Ban On Vacation

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hi Supervisors,

I'm an SF resident in district 5. I see that the City is taking steps towards reopening, but I don't see any mention of when the city will lift the ban on travel outside the county for pleasure - e.g. vacation, visiting friends and family. Currently, the Shelter in Place order for the City prohibits this. It's concerning that the City is resuming relatively high-contact activities necessary to keep the economy moving, while retaining the ban on leaving the city, even if one travels alone and avoids contact with others. This seems unfair and unnecessary. It seems like we are prioritizing the interests of businesses but ignoring the basic freedom of normal people to come and go in the world. In fact, with the increase in transmission risk that will likely attend the reopening, vulnerable people would probably like to leave the City temporarily if they can. Presently, the Shelter in Place order would prohibit them from leaving unless their departure qualifies as a residential move. By contrast, the order would permit those same people who could not leave for their own benefit to leave on essential business (i.e. if it benefits a corporation).

The Order's ban on leaving the city for pleasure is already in tension with exceptions that allow people to leave the City and to travel to the very same places for other reasons. For example, residents may leave the city to go to Napa to hike, fish, or attend summer camp, but may not go to the very same location in Napa to vacation or visit family. If I had a sister in Napa, I could go to jail if I brought my daughter to visit her, but I could lawfully take my child to Napa and drop her off to play with my niece and 10 other children at camp (some of whom could be sick), and then bring my asymptomatic sick child back to the City. In this hypothetical situation, my child would have been exposed to *more* people because the Order made it illegal for her to be exposed to fewer. This absurdity contributes to the sense that the Order's general ban on travel is arbitrary.

Any update on when the vacation/visiting friends and family ban will be lifted would be much appreciated.

Best,

Danielle

From: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#)
Subject: FW: Relief for San Francisco's Independent Live Music Venues
Date: Monday, June 1, 2020 11:25:00 AM
Attachments: [Live Music Venue Relief and Recovery.pdf](#)

From: Allen Scott <allen@anotherplanetent.com>

Sent: Friday, May 29, 2020 10:28 AM

To: Rodney Fong <rfong@sfchamber.com>; Emily Abraham <eabraham@sfchamber.com>; Jay Cheng <jcheng@sfchamber.com>

Cc: Jon Gunton <gunton@theindependentsf.com>; Jon Larner <jonlarnar@gmail.com>; Nate Valentine <nate@augusthallsf.com>; Patricia Dedekian <trish.d99@gmail.com>; Zander BooM BooM RooM <zander@boomboomroom.com>; ira1015@gmail.com; jack.acote@gmail.com; Enrique Landa <enriquelanda5@gmail.com>; Michael O'connor <mocbooking@gmail.com>; Michael Cerchiai <michael@bimbos365club.com>; lynn schwarz <lynn@bottomofthehill.com>; rivaldials@gmail.com; britt@folkyeah.com; Dylan MacNiven <dylanm@gmail.com>; rivaldials@gmail.com

Subject: Relief for San Francisco's Independent Live Music Venues

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Mayor Breed and Supervisors,

On behalf of live music venues across the City, we thank you for your continued efforts to flatten the COVID-19 curve and work with the business community to develop relief and recovery initiatives. Today, we are reaching out to provide some perspective and suggestions from the City's live music venues, an industry that not only drives tourism and generates significant additional commerce in our neighborhoods by local audiences, but is also a core of the appeal and culture of San Francisco.

Our industry was the first to close and will be the last to open. If we do not receive assistance, our independent music venues will not survive. Please see our attached letter for more information on our industry's unique and overwhelming struggles, and our insight into the assistance we need to survive in San Francisco.

Thank you,

San Francisco Independent Live Music Association

Allen Scott

The Independent, LLC

May 29, 2020

The Honorable Mayor Breed and Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA

Re: Live Music Venues Relief and Recovery

Dear Honorable Mayor and Supervisors,

On behalf of live music venues across the City, we thank you for your continued efforts to flatten the COVID-19 curve and work with the business community to develop relief and recovery initiatives. Today, We are reaching out to provide some perspective and suggestions from the City's live music venues, an industry that not only drives tourism and generates significant additional commerce in our neighborhoods by local audiences, but is also a core of the appeal and culture of San Francisco.

Every music venue is part of the cultural fabric of live music, a gift that is a big part of what makes San Francisco such a great city. Right now our City's music venues are facing an existential crisis. We were some of the first businesses forced to close their doors, and due to social distancing protocol, will be one of the last to open. Unlike other industries who have been able to pivot their business models around delivery and take out, the music venue industry is unique in that we rely on full capacity physical attendance to their productions in order to make ends meet.

Music venues have to book shows out months in advance, and even if we are able to open their doors again, this industry will be months behind. Currently, this industry has already lost revenue and the ability to book through the end of June. To open their doors and make a profit, there needs to be enough attendees to generate alcohol sales high enough to cover payroll, artist fees, show costs, city taxes, insurance and rent. With reduced capacity in the future, it will be a struggle for this industry to even break even for months after the pandemic ends.

The reality of the situation is that it could take over a year for venues to open their doors even close to full physical capacity. Unless relief is given to this industry, San Francisco's live music venues will not be able to sustain themselves with no income until the time we are able to safely reopen.

To help sustain our live music venues in San Francisco, we urge you to consider tax relief in the forms listed below for this industry, whose sole revenue stream has been decimated.

Sales Tax:

- With no incoming revenue, and a long runway to re-opening, we suggest **a return of Q4 2019 and Q1 2020 alcohol sales taxes to live music venues**. This would allow employers to pay employee wages, continue paying their rent and fees, and prepare for re-opening.

Property Tax:

- We urge you to consider **a property tax waiver for live music venues** to ensure that we have the cash flow to cover basic costs and eventually re-open. Some live music venues own their properties and property tax payments are looming. These costs have forced layoffs to cover costs. This waiver would benefit live music venues who own their property, and those who

rent. The majority of live music venues who rent are in triple net leases, so property taxes become the tenants responsibility to pay. With the recent announcement of reopening the Tax Collector's office, these deadlines are quickly approaching.

As Shelter in Place extends, new capacity restrictions develop, and relief programs are allocated, we urge the City to communicate to the business community potential new realities. In the live music venue industry especially, it is imperative that we can plan ahead. Business owners need to be aligned with the City and potential restrictions in order to prepare for the future of re-opening.

The live music industry is not classified essential, but it is truly essential to beginning in tourism dollars, generating local spending, and maintaining the heart of San Francisco.

Sincerely,

August Hall
Bimbo's 365 Club
Boom Boom Room
Bottom of the Hill
Brick & Mortar
Café Du Nord
Great American Music Hall
Rickshaw Stop
Swedish American Hall
The Chapel
The Independent
1015 Folsom
San Francisco Chamber of Commerce

From: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#)
Subject: FW: COVID Response -- OPEN GYMS!
Date: Monday, June 1, 2020 11:26:00 AM

From: Mike Casey <eagledriver27@hotmail.com>
Sent: Friday, May 29, 2020 11:35 AM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>
Subject: COVID Response -- OPEN GYMS!

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Good morning,

I'm a democrat, and I am deeply appreciative of all Mayor Breed, City and County Health Officials, and the Board of Supervisors have done in the Covid response. You should be commended---your initial actions were aggressive and save lives.

However, there is a point where you can be far too conservative, to the point you're doing more harm than good. The best example is gyms and fitness centers. You now tell us to not expect them to open until mid-August. That is patently ludicrous! Being healthy is about much more than not getting Covid. It is about being able to maintain an active lifestyle! We keep being told about "underlying conditions" worsening Covid outcomes. Most of those "underlying conditions" are due to unhealthy lifestyles, such as obesity. And before we get the excuse, "well you can exercise outside." Yes, we can, and I have. But you can't lift heavy weights in a park. Most people do not have these in their houses.

We were told to do our part to FLATTEN THE CURVE. We've done that. You need to start opening more things more quickly. There is NO REASON gyms can't open NOW! I know our gym already has mitigation strategies in effect for when they are allowed to reopen, such as cleaning, social distancing, and making work-out "reservations" to limit the amount of people in the gym. Please let them do their jobs!

Thanks,

Mike Casey
3590 20th St Apt 506
SFO CA 94110
8507743574

From: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#)
Subject: FW: Small businesses and restaurants need your help
Date: Tuesday, June 2, 2020 8:15:00 AM

From: J. Pearsons <jpearsons9@aol.com>
Sent: Monday, June 1, 2020 9:14 PM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>
Subject: Small businesses and restaurants need your help

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Supervisors,

We, the small, independently owned businesses and restaurants **desperately need your help**. We all know it is our **DUTY** to protect our employees and customers with extensive new safety measures. But, the mayor and health director are **KILLING our businesses** and **KILLING our livelihoods** with this pro-longed re-opening schedule. We are not making enough from takeout to pay electric and city fees much less rent and other costs. The letter below is the PLEA I am posting to social media PRAYING for help. Hold us accountable for safety measures for employees and customers... but let us re-open!

A LETTER TO SAN FRANCISCO MAYOR LONDON BREED

Dear Mayor Breed,

I like many San Franciscans applaud your early efforts to prevent the extreme spread of COVID-19 in our county. You saved many lives. San Franciscans listened to you. We stayed home mostly. We masked up when told. Most people social distanced. And, important metrics never reached those in other major cities like Los Angeles. COVID-19 is not going away soon. We have to learn to live, work and cope with it until a vaccine. Our local businesses ARE at risk however of disappearing much sooner than a vaccine if you refuse to let us open fully with strict new guidelines. Nearly three months after the first shelter in place order, I PLEAD for you to improve the re-opening timeline of our businesses including restaurants and shops. San Franciscans have proven we will follow health safety orders. But the prolonged timeline to re-open businesses like restaurants, especially independently owned ones, will continue to destroy the economy here. Independent owners don't have the luxury of deep pockets, large bank accounts or political connections. Even Los Angeles which has half of the state's deaths and half of the state's cases has allowed more businesses to re-open now including restaurants with extensive precautions. I would never pretend to speak for others. But the independent restaurant owners I know in this city are ready to put safety and health of employees and guests first. We know it's our responsibility. But, we must be allowed to open first. Failing to develop a more aggressive plan now that protects lives and health while also allowing businesses to operate now will lead to even greater despair for us. More businesses will close for good. More jobs will never return. More people will be out of the street, literally, because they have no option. Nearly three months in, many people are now at a breaking point emotionally, psychologically and financially. Please don't wait any longer. You've had three months of our businesses being closed. We plead, hope and pray for a better plan now, not July 13.

Sincerely,
Jon Pearson

(NOTE: I posted this on social media because the mayor has not responded and is not available for conversations with average citizens like me. Dr Grant Colfax's public email address doesn't accept emails. "Rejected" is what I get returned. And, the governor has said he lets the cities decide.)

From: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#)
Subject: FW: Volunteers want to paint over graffiti & clean up SF this weekend!
Date: Tuesday, June 2, 2020 12:13:00 PM

-----Original Message-----

From: Modamas Painting Office <office@modamas.com>
Sent: Tuesday, June 2, 2020 12:02 PM
To: Breed, Mayor London (MYR) <mayorlondonbreed@sfgov.org>; Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>; SFPD, Chief (POL) <sfpdchief@sfgov.org>; DPW, (DPW) <dpw@sfdpw.org>
Cc: Haney, Matt (BOS) <matt.haney@sfgov.org>; MandelmanStaff, [BOS] <mandelmanstaff@sfgov.org>; Mar, Gordon (BOS) <gordon.mar@sfgov.org>; Peskin, Aaron (BOS) <aaron.peskin@sfgov.org>; PrestonStaff (BOS) <prestonstaff@sfgov.org>; Fewer, Sandra (BOS) <sandra.fewer@sfgov.org>; RonenStaff (BOS) <ronenstaff@sfgov.org>; Safai, Ahsha (BOS) <ahsha.safai@sfgov.org>; Walton, Shamann (BOS) <shamann.walton@sfgov.org>; Yee, Norman (BOS) <norman.yee@sfgov.org>; SFPD, Commission (POL) <SFPD.Commission@sfgov.org>
Subject: Volunteers want to paint over graffiti & clean up SF this weekend!

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Mayor Breed, SF Board of Supervisors, Police Chief Scott, SFPD Police Commission, Director of SF DPW,

I don't know who to contact, so I'm contacting all of you:

I heard on the radio that volunteers in Oakland were cleaning up their city after their riots, and it filled my heart & brought tears to my eyes.

I work for a house painting co. in SF & like you, my heart is heavy with all the trouble going on across the country & in SF right now. My co. & I want to be part of the healing. To that end, I'm offering my services to organize & lead a paint & clean up crew where ever SF needs it this weekend (or whenever it is deemed safe & appropriate). Paint, brushes & all materials would be donated, and volunteers as well as professional painters would do the work for free. I'm already organizing a large group of friends & professional acquaintances.

Just imagine all the GOOD press that would get! I can see the headlines now: "Community comes together to help rebuild & heal SF." And imagine if the police were physically involved in this clean up. Even just a few truly helping (and not just for a photo op) would go so far for goodwill, don't you agree?

I imagine & hope that there are so many volunteers that not all of them could paint, so we could fan out to sweeping, picking up trash & debris in different parts of SF, and/or whatever clean up is needed. I & other companies involved could search our data bases for window repair companies that may want to join for free &/or at greatly reduced rates.

Please email me back or call & let's get the ball rolling! I'd love to plan this for this coming Sat. or Sun., June 6 or 7.

I've also emailed Rebuilding Together, who often does volunteer work like this in large quantities, for their organizing expertise & even extra paint/equipment. I'm waiting to hear back from them.

Humbly,

Peter Illes

Modamas Inc. Fine Painting
415-678-0087

PS- Of course we'd need permission from owners of buildings with graffiti on them to paint over it, as well as to approve colors, but that's where the city can help by contacting or giving me the info to contact these owners. If it's public property, then it's your call!

From: [Hickey, Jacqueline \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#)
Subject: 7 letters regarding Fisherman's Wharf
Date: Thursday, June 4, 2020 3:28:00 PM
Attachments: [7 letters regarding Fisherman's Wharf.pdf](#)

Hello Supervisors,

Please find attached 7 letters regarding reopening Fisherman's Wharf.

Thank you,

Jackie Hickey
Board of Supervisors
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102-4689
Phone: (415) 554-5184 | Direct: (415) 554-7701
jacqueline.hickey@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

From: [Taylor Safford](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors. \(BOS\)](#); [OEWD \(ECN\)](#); [Torres, Joaquin \(ECN\)](#); [Chu, Carmen \(ASR\)](#); [Cisneros, Jose \(TTX\)](#); [Rodney Fong](#); rudym@sflaborcouncil.org; [Whitehouse, Melissa \(ADM\)](#)
Cc: [Forbes, Elaine \(PRT\)](#); [Randall Scott](#)
Subject: Outdoor Activities in Phase 2
Date: Wednesday, June 3, 2020 3:49:50 PM
Attachments: [Reopening of Outdoor Activity Businesses at Fisherman's Wharf 6.3.20 V1](#)

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Mayor London Breed
Members of the Board of Supervisors
Office of Economic and Workforce Development
OEWD Director Joaquin Torres
City of San Francisco Economic Recovery Task Force Co-Chairs - Carmen Chu, City Assessor, José Cisneros, City Treasurer, Rodney Fong, San Francisco Chamber of Commerce Rudy Gonzalez, Executive Director, San Francisco Labor Council
Melissa Whitehouse, Office of the City

I'm writing to support the attached letter from the FWCBD regarding the Reopening of Outdoor Activity Businesses in and around Fisherman's Wharf. Allowing the community to have sufficient activities open to the public in order to support itself is vital for the Phase 2B reopening. The Wharf's ability to draw locals to spend time and enjoy the City's waterfront and its wonderful Outdoor Activities is desperately needed right now. The community can be safe and thoughtful in its approach to reopening Outdoor Activities and the Wharf community needs to be allowed to show that it can do so safely.

Our community is deeply interconnected, and we rely on each other to create a vibrant destination for visitors to Fisherman's Wharf. Please let the community show you how it can do so successfully.

Many thanks,
Taylor



Taylor Safford | President & CEO
E taylor@pier39.com | T 415.705.5500 | F 415.705.5521
PIER 39 | P.O. Box 193730 | San Francisco, CA 94119-3730
www.pier39.com | Follow us: [Facebook](#) + [Twitter](#) + [Instagram](#)

A Visit to San Francisco Starts at The PIER



June 3, 2020

City and County of San Francisco
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102

RE: Reopening of Outdoor Activity Businesses at Fisherman's Wharf

To: Mayor London Breed, San Francisco Board of Supervisors, Office of Economic and Workforce Development, City of San Francisco
Economic Recovery Task Force.

CC: Elaine Forbes, Executive Director, Port of San Francisco

Thank you for your leadership in responding to COVID-19, and for the early measures taken to ensure the safety and well-being of our community. As California and its counties continue in the phased reopening of the economy, it is apparent that not all businesses readily fit into the State of California and the City of San Francisco's current phased reopening plan. Fisherman's Wharf is home to many outdoor activities and attractions (inclusive of but not limited to), boat/bay/fishing excursions and tours, walking tours, Segway tours, outdoor dining and the like. We are seeking your support in enabling additional Outdoor Activity businesses to be allowed to open under existing guidelines and criteria as outlined for the current allowed Phase 2a and 2b openings.

The US Tourism Industry has been disproportionately affected by the COVID-19 crisis, with a current unemployment rate of 52% according to US Travel. Tragically, evidence at the Wharf suggests an unemployment rate at or above 70%. Fisherman's Wharf and the San Francisco waterfront have been among the most severely affected areas of the city, with tourism revenue contracting very early in the crisis. According to the footfall counters along Jefferson Street, Fisherman's Wharf has experienced an 85% decrease in year over year footfall traffic from the time frame of March 17th to May 17th. Unfortunately, the recovery outlook for the Tourism Industry is expected to be long and slow. Nonetheless, we are excited to welcome back local and regional visitors to the Wharf as soon as possible, where everyone can take advantage of the only-in-San Francisco waterfront experience which includes activities, food, and culture in a safe, clean and mainly outdoor environment.

One of the lessons from this crisis is that the virus seems to spread more rapidly in crowded indoor spaces. Many of our local Wharf businesses offer outdoor activities and are similar to other Phase 2 businesses which have already received authorization to reopen and are safely providing services. Examples include farmer's markets, outdoor museums, historical sites and ferries; outdoor dining has also been authorized to reopen in Phase 2b. We strongly believe that with your support, we can now safely reopen additional outdoor-oriented businesses such as walking tours, Segway tours, Alcatraz visitation, bay cruises, fishing outings, and related outdoor attractions, activities and experiences by strictly observing state guidelines and the City and County of San Francisco's existing directives implemented for Phases 2a and 2b.

The business owners at Fisherman's Wharf, many of whom are local and family-owned and operated small businesses, are prepared to put forth complete and detailed Health and Safety Plans which meet all existing reopening criteria to address any additional business-specific concerns. The road to recovery in our district is not through isolated and fragmented reopenings, but through thoughtfully inviting San Franciscans and Bay Area residents back to the City and the Wharf to experience the many activities and services that we uniquely offer. Like other industries, our businesses are deeply interconnected, and we rely on each other to create a vibrant and attractive synergistic destination for all visitors to Fisherman's Wharf.

We ask you to please support our efforts to reopen additional outdoor activity businesses safely within Phase 2a and 2b as soon as possible.

Sincerely,

Sina von Reitzenstein
President of the Board of Directors, Fisherman's Wharf Community Benefit District

2801 Leavenworth Street, Second Floor, Suite B-16 - San Francisco, CA 94133
T 415-673-3530 www.visitfishermanswharf.com

From: [Amy Cacho](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors. \(BOS\)](#); [OEWD \(ECN\)](#); [Joaquin.Torres@sfgov.org](#); [Chu, Carmen \(ASR\)](#); [Cisneros, Jose \(TTX\)](#); [rfong@sfchamber.com](#); [rudy@sflaborcouncil.org](#); [Whitehouse, Melissa \(ADM\)](#)
Cc: [Randall Scott](#); [Forbes, Elaine \(PRT\)](#)
Subject: Reopening of Outdoor Activity Businesses at Fisherman's Wharf
Date: Wednesday, June 3, 2020 4:05:13 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)
[image002.png](#)
[image003.png](#)
[image004.png](#)
[image005.png](#)
[Reopening of Outdoor Activity Businesses at Fisherman's Wharf 6.3.20 V1.pdf](#)

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I, Amy Cacho, Area Director of Sales & Marketing for Hotel Zoe Fisherman's Wharf and Argonaut Hotel support the attached letter from the FWCBD regarding the Reopening of Outdoor Activity Businesses at Fisherman's Wharf.

The road to recovery in our district is not through isolated and fragmented reopenings, but through thoughtfully inviting San Franciscans and Bay Area residents back to the City and the Wharf to experience the many activities and services that we uniquely offer. Like other industries, our businesses are deeply interconnected, and we rely on each other to create a vibrant and attractive synergistic destination for all visitors to Fisherman's Wharf.

Warm Regards –

Amy Cacho
Area Director of Sales & Marketing



495 Jefferson St, San Francisco, CA 94109

415-846-6373

acacho@noblehousehotels.com





June 3, 2020

City and County of San Francisco
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102

RE: Reopening of Outdoor Activity Businesses at Fisherman's Wharf

To: Mayor London Breed, San Francisco Board of Supervisors, Office of Economic and Workforce Development, City of San Francisco
Economic Recovery Task Force.

CC: Elaine Forbes, Executive Director, Port of San Francisco

Thank you for your leadership in responding to COVID-19, and for the early measures taken to ensure the safety and well-being of our community. As California and its counties continue in the phased reopening of the economy, it is apparent that not all businesses readily fit into the State of California and the City of San Francisco's current phased reopening plan. Fisherman's Wharf is home to many outdoor activities and attractions (inclusive of but not limited to), boat/bay/fishing excursions and tours, walking tours, Segway tours, outdoor dining and the like. We are seeking your support in enabling additional Outdoor Activity businesses to be allowed to open under existing guidelines and criteria as outlined for the current allowed Phase 2a and 2b openings.

The US Tourism Industry has been disproportionately affected by the COVID-19 crisis, with a current unemployment rate of 52% according to US Travel. Tragically, evidence at the Wharf suggests an unemployment rate at or above 70%. Fisherman's Wharf and the San Francisco waterfront have been among the most severely affected areas of the city, with tourism revenue contracting very early in the crisis. According to the footfall counters along Jefferson Street, Fisherman's Wharf has experienced an 85% decrease in year over year footfall traffic from the time frame of March 17th to May 17th. Unfortunately, the recovery outlook for the Tourism Industry is expected to be long and slow. Nonetheless, we are excited to welcome back local and regional visitors to the Wharf as soon as possible, where everyone can take advantage of the only-in-San Francisco waterfront experience which includes activities, food, and culture in a safe, clean and mainly outdoor environment.

One of the lessons from this crisis is that the virus seems to spread more rapidly in crowded indoor spaces. Many of our local Wharf businesses offer outdoor activities and are similar to other Phase 2 businesses which have already received authorization to reopen and are safely providing services. Examples include farmer's markets, outdoor museums, historical sites and ferries; outdoor dining has also been authorized to reopen in Phase 2b. We strongly believe that with your support, we can now safely reopen additional outdoor-oriented businesses such as walking tours, Segway tours, Alcatraz visitation, bay cruises, fishing outings, and related outdoor attractions, activities and experiences by strictly observing state guidelines and the City and County of San Francisco's existing directives implemented for Phases 2a and 2b.

The business owners at Fisherman's Wharf, many of whom are local and family-owned and operated small businesses, are prepared to put forth complete and detailed Health and Safety Plans which meet all existing reopening criteria to address any additional business-specific concerns. The road to recovery in our district is not through isolated and fragmented reopenings, but through thoughtfully inviting San Franciscans and Bay Area residents back to the City and the Wharf to experience the many activities and services that we uniquely offer. Like other industries, our businesses are deeply interconnected, and we rely on each other to create a vibrant and attractive synergistic destination for all visitors to Fisherman's Wharf.

We ask you to please support our efforts to reopen additional outdoor activity businesses safely within Phase 2a and 2b as soon as possible.

Sincerely,

Sina von Reitzenstein
President of the Board of Directors, Fisherman's Wharf Community Benefit District

2801 Leavenworth Street, Second Floor, Suite B-16 - San Francisco, CA 94133
T 415-673-3530 www.visitfishermanswharf.com

From: [Stefan Muhle](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [OEWD \(ECN\)](#); [Joaquin.Torres@sfgov.org](#); [Chu, Carmen \(ASR\)](#); [Cisneros, Jose \(TTX\)](#); [rfong@sfchamber.com](#); [rudys@slaborcouncil.org](#); [Whitehouse, Melissa \(ADM\)](#)
Cc: [Forbes, Elaine \(PRT\)](#); [Randall Scott](#)
Subject: FWCBD's letter of support regarding the Reopening of Outdoor Activity Businesses at Fisherman's Wharf
Date: Wednesday, June 3, 2020 4:28:05 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)
[image002.png](#)
[image003.png](#)
[image004.png](#)
[image005.png](#)
[FWCBD's letter of support regarding the Reopening of Outdoor Activity Businesses at Fisherman's Wharf.pdf](#)

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Ladies & Gentlemen:

I, Area Managing Director for The Argonaut Hotel and Hotel Zoe, support the attached letter from the FWCBD regarding the Reopening of Outdoor Activity Businesses at Fisherman's Wharf.

The road to recovery in our district is not through isolated and fragmented re-openings, but through thoughtfully inviting San Franciscans and Bay Area residents back to the City and the Wharf to experience the many activities and services that we uniquely offer. Like other industries, our businesses are deeply interconnected, and we rely on each other to create a vibrant and attractive synergistic destination for all visitors to Fisherman's Wharf.

Sincerely,

Stefan Mühle
Area Managing Director


FISHERMAN'S WHARF


A NOBLE HOUSE HOTEL

495 Jefferson St, San Francisco, CA 94109

(O) 415-345-5505

(F) 415-345-5513

smuhle@noblehousehotels.com





June 3, 2020

City and County of San Francisco
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102

RE: Reopening of Outdoor Activity Businesses at Fisherman's Wharf

To: Mayor London Breed, San Francisco Board of Supervisors, Office of Economic and Workforce Development, City of San Francisco
Economic Recovery Task Force.

CC: Elaine Forbes, Executive Director, Port of San Francisco

Thank you for your leadership in responding to COVID-19, and for the early measures taken to ensure the safety and well-being of our community. As California and its counties continue in the phased reopening of the economy, it is apparent that not all businesses readily fit into the State of California and the City of San Francisco's current phased reopening plan. Fisherman's Wharf is home to many outdoor activities and attractions (inclusive of but not limited to), boat/bay/fishing excursions and tours, walking tours, Segway tours, outdoor dining and the like. We are seeking your support in enabling additional Outdoor Activity businesses to be allowed to open under existing guidelines and criteria as outlined for the current allowed Phase 2a and 2b openings.

The US Tourism Industry has been disproportionately affected by the COVID-19 crisis, with a current unemployment rate of 52% according to US Travel. Tragically, evidence at the Wharf suggests an unemployment rate at or above 70%. Fisherman's Wharf and the San Francisco waterfront have been among the most severely affected areas of the city, with tourism revenue contracting very early in the crisis. According to the footfall counters along Jefferson Street, Fisherman's Wharf has experienced an 85% decrease in year over year footfall traffic from the time frame of March 17th to May 17th. Unfortunately, the recovery outlook for the Tourism Industry is expected to be long and slow. Nonetheless, we are excited to welcome back local and regional visitors to the Wharf as soon as possible, where everyone can take advantage of the only-in-San Francisco waterfront experience which includes activities, food, and culture in a safe, clean and mainly outdoor environment.

One of the lessons from this crisis is that the virus seems to spread more rapidly in crowded indoor spaces. Many of our local Wharf businesses offer outdoor activities and are similar to other Phase 2 businesses which have already received authorization to reopen and are safely providing services. Examples include farmer's markets, outdoor museums, historical sites and ferries; outdoor dining has also been authorized to reopen in Phase 2b. We strongly believe that with your support, we can now safely reopen additional outdoor-oriented businesses such as walking tours, Segway tours, Alcatraz visitation, bay cruises, fishing outings, and related outdoor attractions, activities and experiences by strictly observing state guidelines and the City and County of San Francisco's existing directives implemented for Phases 2a and 2b.

The business owners at Fisherman's Wharf, many of whom are local and family-owned and operated small businesses, are prepared to put forth complete and detailed Health and Safety Plans which meet all existing reopening criteria to address any additional business-specific concerns. The road to recovery in our district is not through isolated and fragmented reopenings, but through thoughtfully inviting San Franciscans and Bay Area residents back to the City and the Wharf to experience the many activities and services that we uniquely offer. Like other industries, our businesses are deeply interconnected, and we rely on each other to create a vibrant and attractive synergistic destination for all visitors to Fisherman's Wharf.

We ask you to please support our efforts to reopen additional outdoor activity businesses safely within Phase 2a and 2b as soon as possible.

Sincerely,

Sina von Reitzenstein
President of the Board of Directors, Fisherman's Wharf Community Benefit District

2801 Leavenworth Street, Second Floor, Suite B-16 - San Francisco, CA 94133
T 415-673-3530 www.visitfishermanswharf.com

From: [Randall Scott](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors. \(BOS\)](#); [OEWD \(ECN\)](#); [Torres, Joaquin \(ECN\)](#); [Chu, Carmen \(ASR\)](#); [Cisneros, Jose \(TTX\)](#); [Rodney Fong](#); rudysf@laborcouncil.org; [Whitehouse, Melissa \(ADM\)](#)
Cc: [Forbes, Elaine \(PRT\)](#)
Subject: Reopening Recommendations from the Fisherman's Wharf CBD
Date: Wednesday, June 3, 2020 5:23:30 PM
Attachments: [Reopening of Outdoor Activity Businesses at Fisherman's Wharf 6.3.20 .pdf](#)
Importance: High

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Good afternoon All,

On behalf of the Fisherman's Wharf Community Benefit District, I am submitting this letter for recommending the inclusion of all of the Outdoor Activities that we rely on here in the Wharf. We believe the local outdoor businesses should also be opened to allow our citizens an alternative and safe way to enjoy the water and all the activities it offers.

Thank you,

Randall Scott | Executive Director
Fisherman's Wharf Community Benefit District
2801 Leavenworth Street, Suite B-16, San Francisco, CA 94133
Looking for our office? Go here: goo.gl/tgTdsX
Phone 415-673-3530 | Email randall@fwcbd.com
VisitFishermansWharf.com | FWCBD.com
[Facebook](#) | [Twitter](#) | [Instagram](#)

From: Laura Schaefer <laura@FWCBD.COM>
Sent: Wednesday, June 3, 2020 5:18 PM
To: Randall Scott <randall@FWCBD.COM>
Subject: Letter PDF & Email Addresses
Importance: High

Hi Randall,

Attached is the final letter PDF and the City Hall email addresses to send the letter to.

Emails:

Mayor London Breed MayorLondonBreed@sfgov.org, San Francisco Board of Supervisors Board.of.Supervisors@sfgov.org, Office of Economic and Workforce Development owewd@sfgov.org & OEWD Director Joaquin Torres Joaquin.Torres@sfgov.org, City of San Francisco Economic Recovery Task Force Co-Chairs - Carmen Chu, City Assessor, Carmen.Chu@sfgov.org, José Cisneros, City Treasurer, Jose.Cisneros@sfgov.org, Rodney Fong, President and CEO, San Francisco Chamber of Commerce, rfong@sfchamber.com, Rudy Gonzalez, Executive Director, San Francisco Labor Council, rudysf@laborcouncil.org and Melissa Whitehouse, Office of the City Administrator (Lead) Melissa.Whitehouse@sfgov.org. Please CC Elaine Forbes, Executive

Director of the Port of San Francisco, elaine.forbes@sfport.com, and Randall Scott, Executive Director of the FWCBD randall@fwcbd.com (so that we can keep track of the letters).

Stay safe tonight.

Best regards,

Laura

Laura Schaefer

Deputy Director and Director of Marketing & Communications

Fisherman's Wharf Community Benefit District

2801 Leavenworth Street, Suite B-16, San Francisco, CA 94133

Looking for our office? Go here: goo.gl/tgTdsX

Phone [415-673-3530](tel:415-673-3530) | Email laura@fwcbd.com

VisitFishermansWharf.com | FWCBD.com

[Facebook](#) | [Twitter](#) | [Instagram](#) | [Pinterest](#) | [Townsguard](#) | [LinkedIn](#)



June 3, 2020

City and County of San Francisco
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102

RE: Reopening of Outdoor Activity Businesses at Fisherman's Wharf

To: Mayor London Breed, San Francisco Board of Supervisors, Office of Economic and Workforce Development, City of San Francisco
Economic Recovery Task Force.

CC: Elaine Forbes, Executive Director, Port of San Francisco

Thank you for your leadership in responding to COVID-19, and for the early measures taken to ensure the safety and well-being of our community. As California and its counties continue in the phased reopening of the economy, it is apparent that not all businesses readily fit into the State of California and the City of San Francisco's current phased reopening plan. Fisherman's Wharf is home to many outdoor activities and attractions (inclusive of but not limited to), boat/bay/fishing excursions and tours, walking tours, Segway tours, outdoor dining and the like. We are seeking your support in enabling additional Outdoor Activity businesses to be allowed to open under existing guidelines and criteria as outlined for the current allowed Phase 2a and 2b openings.

The US Tourism Industry has been disproportionately affected by the COVID-19 crisis, with a current unemployment rate of 52% according to US Travel. Tragically, evidence at the Wharf suggests an unemployment rate at or above 70%. Fisherman's Wharf and the San Francisco waterfront have been among the most severely affected areas of the city, with tourism revenue contracting very early in the crisis. According to the footfall counters along Jefferson Street, Fisherman's Wharf has experienced an 85% decrease in year over year footfall traffic from the time frame of March 17th to May 17th. Unfortunately, the recovery outlook for the Tourism Industry is expected to be long and slow. Nonetheless, we are excited to welcome back local and regional visitors to the Wharf as soon as possible, where everyone can take advantage of the only-in-San Francisco waterfront experience which includes activities, food, and culture in a safe, clean and mainly outdoor environment.

One of the lessons from this crisis is that the virus seems to spread more rapidly in crowded indoor spaces. Many of our local Wharf businesses offer outdoor activities and are similar to other Phase 2 businesses which have already received authorization to reopen and are safely providing services. Examples include farmer's markets, outdoor museums, historical sites and ferries; outdoor dining has also been authorized to reopen in Phase 2b. We strongly believe that with your support, we can now safely reopen additional outdoor-oriented businesses such as walking tours, Segway tours, Alcatraz visitation, bay cruises, fishing outings, and related outdoor attractions, activities and experiences by strictly observing state guidelines and the City and County of San Francisco's existing directives implemented for Phases 2a and 2b.

The business owners at Fisherman's Wharf, many of whom are local and family-owned and operated small businesses, are prepared to put forth complete and detailed Health and Safety Plans which meet all existing reopening criteria to address any additional business-specific concerns. The road to recovery in our district is not through isolated and fragmented reopenings, but through thoughtfully inviting San Franciscans and Bay Area residents back to the City and the Wharf to experience the many activities and services that we uniquely offer. Like other industries, our businesses are deeply interconnected, and we rely on each other to create a vibrant and attractive synergistic destination for all visitors to Fisherman's Wharf.

We ask you to please support our efforts to reopen additional outdoor activity businesses safely within Phase 2a and 2b as soon as possible.

Sincerely,

Sina von Reitzenstein
President of the Board of Directors, Fisherman's Wharf Community Benefit District

2801 Leavenworth Street, Second Floor, Suite B-16 - San Francisco, CA 94133
T 415-673-3530 www.visitfishermanswharf.com

From: [Tom Escher](#)
To: LondonBreed@sfgov.org
Cc: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Reopening Outdoor activity businesses at the Wharf
Date: Thursday, June 4, 2020 7:49:06 AM
Attachments: [Reopening of Outdoor Activity Businesses at Fisherman's Wharf 6.3.20 V1](#)

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

The Red and White Fleet is a San Francisco legacy business located in the heart of Fisherman' Wharf.

We feel that the best choice to recovery in the Fisherman's Wharf district is not through isolated and fragmented reopenings, but through inviting San Franciscans and Bay Area residents back to the City and the Wharf. The businesses on the Wharf are interconnected, and we rely on each other to create a vibrant destination for all visitors.

The Red and White Fleet supports the attached letter from the FWCBD regarding the reopening of the Wharf.

Sincerely,
Tom

Thomas C. Escher

Red and White San Francisco Bay Cruises

Pier 43 1/2, Fisherman's Wharf

San Francisco, California 94133

www.redandwhite.com

cellular: 1.415.341.2782



June 3, 2020

City and County of San Francisco
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102

RE: Reopening of Outdoor Activity Businesses at Fisherman's Wharf

To: Mayor London Breed, San Francisco Board of Supervisors, Office of Economic and Workforce Development, City of San Francisco
Economic Recovery Task Force.

CC: Elaine Forbes, Executive Director, Port of San Francisco

Thank you for your leadership in responding to COVID-19, and for the early measures taken to ensure the safety and well-being of our community. As California and its counties continue in the phased reopening of the economy, it is apparent that not all businesses readily fit into the State of California and the City of San Francisco's current phased reopening plan. Fisherman's Wharf is home to many outdoor activities and attractions (inclusive of but not limited to), boat/bay/fishing excursions and tours, walking tours, Segway tours, outdoor dining and the like. We are seeking your support in enabling additional Outdoor Activity businesses to be allowed to open under existing guidelines and criteria as outlined for the current allowed Phase 2a and 2b openings.

The US Tourism Industry has been disproportionately affected by the COVID-19 crisis, with a current unemployment rate of 52% according to US Travel. Tragically, evidence at the Wharf suggests an unemployment rate at or above 70%. Fisherman's Wharf and the San Francisco waterfront have been among the most severely affected areas of the city, with tourism revenue contracting very early in the crisis. According to the footfall counters along Jefferson Street, Fisherman's Wharf has experienced an 85% decrease in year over year footfall traffic from the time frame of March 17th to May 17th. Unfortunately, the recovery outlook for the Tourism Industry is expected to be long and slow. Nonetheless, we are excited to welcome back local and regional visitors to the Wharf as soon as possible, where everyone can take advantage of the only-in-San Francisco waterfront experience which includes activities, food, and culture in a safe, clean and mainly outdoor environment.

One of the lessons from this crisis is that the virus seems to spread more rapidly in crowded indoor spaces. Many of our local Wharf businesses offer outdoor activities and are similar to other Phase 2 businesses which have already received authorization to reopen and are safely providing services. Examples include farmer's markets, outdoor museums, historical sites and ferries; outdoor dining has also been authorized to reopen in Phase 2b. We strongly believe that with your support, we can now safely reopen additional outdoor-oriented businesses such as walking tours, Segway tours, Alcatraz visitation, bay cruises, fishing outings, and related outdoor attractions, activities and experiences by strictly observing state guidelines and the City and County of San Francisco's existing directives implemented for Phases 2a and 2b.

The business owners at Fisherman's Wharf, many of whom are local and family-owned and operated small businesses, are prepared to put forth complete and detailed Health and Safety Plans which meet all existing reopening criteria to address any additional business-specific concerns. The road to recovery in our district is not through isolated and fragmented reopenings, but through thoughtfully inviting San Franciscans and Bay Area residents back to the City and the Wharf to experience the many activities and services that we uniquely offer. Like other industries, our businesses are deeply interconnected, and we rely on each other to create a vibrant and attractive synergistic destination for all visitors to Fisherman's Wharf.

We ask you to please support our efforts to reopen additional outdoor activity businesses safely within Phase 2a and 2b as soon as possible.

Sincerely,

Sina von Reitzenstein
President of the Board of Directors, Fisherman's Wharf Community Benefit District

2801 Leavenworth Street, Second Floor, Suite B-16 - San Francisco, CA 94133
T 415-673-3530 www.visitfishermanswharf.com

From: [Charlie Bogue - Adventure Cat](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [OEWD \(ECN\)](#); [Torres, Joaquin \(ECN\)](#); [Chu, Carmen \(ASR\)](#); [Cisneros, Jose \(TTX\)](#); rfong@sfchamber.com; rudys@slaborcouncil.org; [Whitehouse, Melissa \(ADM\)](#)
Cc: [Forbes, Elaine \(PRT\)](#); [Randall Scott](#)
Subject: Please ReOpen Fisherman's Wharf
Date: Thursday, June 4, 2020 11:41:38 AM
Attachments: [Reopening of Outdoor Activity Businesses at Fisherman's Wharf 6.3.20 .pdf](#)

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hello,

We at Adventure Cat Sailing wanted to let you know that we very much support the attached letter from the FWCBD regarding the Reopening of Outdoor Activity Businesses at Fisherman's Wharf. This road to recovery in our district is not through isolated and fragmented reopenings, but through thoughtfully inviting San Franciscans and Bay Area residents back to the City and the Wharf to experience the many activities and services that we uniquely offer. Like other industries, our businesses are deeply interconnected, and we rely on each other to create a vibrant and attractive synergistic destination for all visitors to Fisherman's Wharf.

Thank you for your time, efforts and consideration!

Best,
Charlie

Charlie Bogue
Charter & Marketing Director
Adventure Cat Sailing Charters
www.adventurecat.com
Phone [415.777.1630](tel:415.777.1630)
Fax [707.258.6235](tel:707.258.6235)



June 3, 2020

City and County of San Francisco
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102

RE: Reopening of Outdoor Activity Businesses at Fisherman's Wharf

To: Mayor London Breed, San Francisco Board of Supervisors, Office of Economic and Workforce Development, City of San Francisco
Economic Recovery Task Force.

CC: Elaine Forbes, Executive Director, Port of San Francisco

Thank you for your leadership in responding to COVID-19, and for the early measures taken to ensure the safety and well-being of our community. As California and its counties continue in the phased reopening of the economy, it is apparent that not all businesses readily fit into the State of California and the City of San Francisco's current phased reopening plan. Fisherman's Wharf is home to many outdoor activities and attractions (inclusive of but not limited to), boat/bay/fishing excursions and tours, walking tours, Segway tours, outdoor dining and the like. We are seeking your support in enabling additional Outdoor Activity businesses to be allowed to open under existing guidelines and criteria as outlined for the current allowed Phase 2a and 2b openings.

The US Tourism Industry has been disproportionately affected by the COVID-19 crisis, with a current unemployment rate of 52% according to US Travel. Tragically, evidence at the Wharf suggests an unemployment rate at or above 70%. Fisherman's Wharf and the San Francisco waterfront have been among the most severely affected areas of the city, with tourism revenue contracting very early in the crisis. According to the footfall counters along Jefferson Street, Fisherman's Wharf has experienced an 85% decrease in year over year footfall traffic from the time frame of March 17th to May 17th. Unfortunately, the recovery outlook for the Tourism Industry is expected to be long and slow. Nonetheless, we are excited to welcome back local and regional visitors to the Wharf as soon as possible, where everyone can take advantage of the only-in-San Francisco waterfront experience which includes activities, food, and culture in a safe, clean and mainly outdoor environment.

One of the lessons from this crisis is that the virus seems to spread more rapidly in crowded indoor spaces. Many of our local Wharf businesses offer outdoor activities and are similar to other Phase 2 businesses which have already received authorization to reopen and are safely providing services. Examples include farmer's markets, outdoor museums, historical sites and ferries; outdoor dining has also been authorized to reopen in Phase 2b. We strongly believe that with your support, we can now safely reopen additional outdoor-oriented businesses such as walking tours, Segway tours, Alcatraz visitation, bay cruises, fishing outings, and related outdoor attractions, activities and experiences by strictly observing state guidelines and the City and County of San Francisco's existing directives implemented for Phases 2a and 2b.

The business owners at Fisherman's Wharf, many of whom are local and family-owned and operated small businesses, are prepared to put forth complete and detailed Health and Safety Plans which meet all existing reopening criteria to address any additional business-specific concerns. The road to recovery in our district is not through isolated and fragmented reopenings, but through thoughtfully inviting San Franciscans and Bay Area residents back to the City and the Wharf to experience the many activities and services that we uniquely offer. Like other industries, our businesses are deeply interconnected, and we rely on each other to create a vibrant and attractive synergistic destination for all visitors to Fisherman's Wharf.

We ask you to please support our efforts to reopen additional outdoor activity businesses safely within Phase 2a and 2b as soon as possible.

Sincerely,

Sina von Reitzenstein
President of the Board of Directors, Fisherman's Wharf Community Benefit District

2801 Leavenworth Street, Second Floor, Suite B-16 - San Francisco, CA 94133
T 415-673-3530 www.visitfishermanswharf.com

From: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#)
Subject: FW: TIDA Approval of Amended Treasure Island Infrastructure Plan
Date: Monday, June 1, 2020 12:15:00 PM
Attachments: [TIDA Ltr Approving Treasure Island Infrastructure Plan Amendment 05 29 2020.PDF](#)

From: Caitlin F. Brown <brown@smwlaw.com>

Sent: Friday, May 29, 2020 2:20 PM

To: Breed, London (MYR) <london.breed@sfgov.org>; True, Judson (DPW) <judson.true@sfgov.org>; Calvillo, Angela (BOS) <angela.calvillo@sfgov.org>; Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>; Rosenfield, Ben (CON) <ben.rosenfield@sfgov.org>; Rydstrom, Todd (CON) <Todd.Rydstrom@sfgov.org>; Kelly, Naomi (ADM) <naomi.kelly@sfgov.org>; Barnes, Bill (ADM) <bill.barnes@sfgov.org>; Degrafinried, Alaric (DPW) <alaric.degrafinried@sfdpw.org>; Thomas, John (DPW) <John.Thomas@sfdpw.org>; Tumlin, Jeffrey (MTA) <Jeffrey.Tumlin@sfmta.com>; Michael, Kristin (MTA) <Kristin.Michael@sfmta.com>; Kelly, Jr, Harlan (PUC) <HKelly@sfgov.org>; Petrick, Molly (PUC) <MPetrick@sfgov.org>; Nicholson, Jeanine (FIR) <jeanine.nicholson@sfgov.org>; DeCossio, Dan (FIR) <dan.decossio@sfgov.org>; Patt, Mike (FIR) <mike.patt@sfgov.org>; Charles Shin <Charles.Shin@tidgsf.com>; Levi Conover <Levi.Conover@tidgsf.com>; Jing.Ng@tidgsf.com

Cc: Beck, Bob (MYR) <bob.beck@sfgov.org>; Gabriel M.B. Ross <ross@smwlaw.com>; Colli, Garrett J. (Perkins Coie) <GColli@perkinscoie.com>; MALAMUT, JOHN (CAT) <John.Malamut@sfcityatty.org>

Subject: TIDA Approval of Amended Treasure Island Infrastructure Plan

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Interagency Cooperation Agreement (Treasure Island/Yerba Buena Island) Signatories:
 On behalf of Bob Beck, Treasure Island Director, I have attached a letter from the Treasure Island Development Authority approving changes to the Treasure Island Infrastructure Plan ("Infrastructure Plan") based on its determination that the Infrastructure Plan Amendments are not material.
 The Infrastructure Plan as amended and attached to this letter hereby replaces any previous version.
 Thank you and please let me know if you have any questions,

Caitlin

Caitlin Brown (she/her)

Attorney

Shute, Mihaly & Weinberger LLP

396 Hayes Street

San Francisco, CA 94102-4421

v: 415/625-4705

f: 415/552-5816

www.smwlaw.com

Please consider the environment before printing this e-mail or attachments.



CITY & COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

TREASURE ISLAND DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY
ONE AVENUE OF THE PALMS,
2ND FLOOR, TREASURE ISLAND
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94130
(415) 274-0660 FAX (415) 274-0299
WWW.SFTREASUREISLAND.ORG

LONDON N. BREED
MAYOR

ROBERT BECK
TREASURE ISLAND DIRECTOR

May 29, 2020

Interagency Cooperation Agreement (Treasure Island/Yerba Buena Island) Signatories:

By this letter and pursuant to the Interagency Cooperation Agreement (Treasure Island/Yerba Buena Island) ("ICA"),¹ the Treasure Island Development Authority ("TIDA") approves changes to the Treasure Island Infrastructure Plan ("Infrastructure Plan"),² shown in the attached amended Infrastructure Plan ("the Infrastructure Plan Amendments"), based on its determination that the Infrastructure Plan Amendments are not material.

The ICA provides an amendment process for the Infrastructure Plan. TIDA may make non-material changes to the Plan that do not increase any obligations of or lessen the primary benefits accruing to the City. ICA § 8.4(b). However, the Mayor must first determine that proposed changes are not material. *Id.* This determination requires the approval of the Municipal Transportation Agency of the City and County of San Francisco ("SFMTA"), the San Francisco Public Utilities Commission ("SFPUC"), and/or the Fire Department of the City and County of San Francisco ("SFFD") if the proposed changes affect these agencies. *Id.* § 8.4(a), (b). The Infrastructure Plan Amendments would affect all three City agencies.

By letter, on May 4, 2020, TIDA provided Mayor London Breed with the required approvals from SFMTA, SFPUC, and SFFD and asked that Mayor Breed agree with TIDA's determination that the Infrastructure Plan Amendments are not material and would not increase any obligations of or lessen the primary benefits accruing to the City.

On May 12, 2020, by countersigning that letter, Mayor Breed agreed with TIDA's determinations.


Now by this letter, TIDA approves the Infrastructure Plan Amendments. The Infrastructure Plan as amended and attached to this letter hereby replaces any previous version.

¹ The ICA is dated as of June 28, 2011 and was executed by and between the City and County of San Francisco and TIDA. The ICA provides for cooperation between TIDA and the City to administer "the control and approval of subdivisions, and all other land use, development, construction, improvement, infrastructure, occupancy, and use requirements applicable to the Project." ICA Recital H.

² The Infrastructure Plan was included as Exhibit FF to the Disposition and Development Agreement.

TREASURE ISLAND DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY

Date: 5/29/2020

By: 
Robert Beck
Treasure Island Director

ICA Signatories:

City and County of San Francisco

Approving Signatures by:

1. Mayor
2. Clerk of the Board of Supervisors
3. City Controller
4. City Administrator
5. Director of Public Works

City Agencies: SFMTA, SFPUC, and SFFD

Consenting Signatures by:

1. SFMTA Executive Director
2. SFPUC General Manager
3. SFFD Fire Chief and Fire Marshall

Developer

Consenting Signatures by:

1. Treasure Island Community Development, LLC

Attachments:

1. Amended Infrastructure Plan (May 2020)
2. May 4, 2020 Letter to Mayor Breed, Countersigned by Mayor Breed on May 12, 2020
(attachments omitted)

Attachment 1

TREASURE ISLAND INFRASTRUCTURE PLAN

June 28, 2011

Revised March 12, 2020

March 12, 2020 Revisions Approved May 2020

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1. INTRODUCTION/PRODUCT DESCRIPTION	1
1.1 Purpose	1
1.2 Land Use Program for the Infrastructure Plan	1
1.3 Infrastructure Plan Overview.....	3
1.4 Property Acquisition, Dedication, and Easements	3
1.5 Project Datum	4
1.6 Technical Memoranda.....	4
1.7 Conformance with EIR & Entitlements	4
1.8 Interagency Cooperation Agreement.....	5
1.9 Applicability of Uniform Codes and Infrastructure Standards	5
1.10 Project Phasing.....	5
1.11 Phases of Infrastructure Construction.....	6
 2. SUSTAINABILITY	 7
2.1 Sustainable Infrastructure	7
 3. ENVIRONMENTAL REMEDIATION	 11
3.1 Background	11
3.2 Status of Land at Transfer from Navy to TIDA	11
3.3 Developer Obligations	11
3.4 Potential Additional Scope of Work.....	12
 4. DEMOLITION AND DECONSTRUCTION	 13
4.1 Scope of Demolition	13
4.2 Phases of Demolition/Deconstruction.....	14
 5. SEA LEVEL RISE AND ADAPTIVE MANAGEMENT STRATEGY	 15
5.1 Sea Level Rise (SLR)	15
5.2 Adaptive Management Approach	15
5.3 Initial Infrastructure Design.....	15
5.3.1 Grading	15
5.3.1.1 Perimeter Protection.....	16
5.3.1.2. Development Area Grading	16
5.3.1.2.1 Proposed Building and Roadway Areas	16
5.3.1.2.2 Open Space Areas	17
5.3.1.2.3 Historic Buildings, School Site, and Job Corps Structures to Remain	17
5.3.1.2.4 Wastewater Treatment Plant.....	17
5.3.2 Stormwater System.....	18
5.4 Infrastructure Adjustments for Future SLR	18
5.4.1 Grading	18
5.4.1.1 Perimeter Protection.....	18
5.4.1.2 Development Area Grading	18
5.4.1.2.1 Proposed Building and Roadway Areas	18
5.4.1.2.2 Open Space Areas	19
5.4.1.2.3 Historic Buildings, School Site, and Job Corps Structures to Remain	19
5.4.1.2.4 Wastewater Treatment Plant.....	19
5.4.2 Stormwater System.....	19
5.5 SLR Monitoring Program.....	20

5.5.1 Decision-Making Framework	20
5.5.2 Sea Level Rise Monitoring and Implementation Report.....	20
5.5.3 Funding Mechanism	21
5.6 Yerba Buena Island	21
6. GEOTECHNICAL CONDITIONS.....	25
6.1 Treasure Island (TI)	25
6.1.1 Overall Geotechnical Approach	26
6.1.1.1 Creation of a Long-Term Stable Platform	27
6.1.1.2 Elevation of the Ground Surface as Long-Term Protection Against Flooding	27
6.1.1.3 Strengthening of the Perimeter Berm and Causeway.	28
6.1.2 Building Foundations	29
6.2 Yerba Buena Island (YBI).....	29
6.2.1 Foundation Design	31
6.2.2 Existing Retaining Walls	31
6.2.3 Perimeter Slopes.....	32
6.2.4 Strengthening of the Viaduct	32
6.3 Phase of Geotechnical Stabilization	33
6.4 Schedule for Additional Geotechnical Studies	33
7. SITE GRADING AND DRAINAGE	35
7.1 Existing Site Conditions	35
7.1.1 Existing Site Elevations	35
7.1.2 Existing FEMA Flood Plain Areas	35
7.2 Proposed Grading Requirements	35
7.2.1 100-Year Design Tide Elevations (Base Flood Elevation).....	36
7.2.2 Potential Sea Level Rise.....	36
7.2.3 Long Term Settlement.....	36
7.3 Site Grading Designs.....	36
7.3.1 TI Perimeter Wave Protection.....	37
7.3.2 Proposed Building and Roadway Areas	37
7.3.2.1 Saw Tooth Grading Scheme for Streets.....	38
7.3.3 Open Space Areas	39
7.3.4 Historic Buildings, School Site, and Job Corps Structures to Remain	40
7.3.5 Wastewater Treatment Plant.....	40
7.3.6 YBI Site Grading.....	40
7.4 Cut/Fill Quantities	40
7.5 Proposed Phases of Grading and Drainage Construction.....	41

8. TRANSPORTATION AND STREETS DESIGN	43
8.1 Transit System.....	43
8.1.1 Bus Service.....	43
8.1.2 Island Shuttle Service	43
8.1.3 Ferry Service	44
8.1.3.1 Waterside Improvements	44
8.1.3.2 Landslide Improvements.....	45
8.2 Public Street System	46
8.2.1 Street and Block Numbers	47
8.2.2 Roadway Dimensions.....	47
8.2.3 TI Public Street System	48
8.2.4 Angled Intersections on TI.....	49
8.2.5 YBI Public Street System	49
8.2.6 YBI Private Street System.....	50
8.2.7 North Gate Road	50
8.2.8 Retaining Walls within Public Street Right of Way	50
8.3 Fire Department Access	50
8.4 Structural Street Sections.....	51
8.5 Traffic Signals and Street Lights.....	51
8.6 Public Bike and Pedestrian Paths.....	51
8.7 Bay Bridge Access	52
8.8 Acceptance and Maintenance of Public Street Improvements	52
8.9 Acceptance of Public Bike and Pedestrian Paths.....	52
8.10 Coast Guard and Job Corps	53
8.11 Phasing of New Roadway Construction.....	53
8.12 SFMTA Infrastructure	54
 9. POTABLE WATER SYSTEM	 55
9.1 Existing System	55
9.1.1 Existing Water Supply.....	55
9.1.2 Existing Water Storage.....	55
9.1.3 Existing Water Distribution System.....	56
9.2 Proposed Potable Water System.....	56
9.2.1 Proposed Water Demands.....	56
9.2.2 Proposed Water Supply.....	61
9.2.2.1 Primary Water Supply	61
9.2.2.2 Emergency Water Supply	61
9.2.3 Proposed Potable Water Storage.....	62
9.2.4 Proposed Potable Water Distribution System.....	63
9.2.4.1 Location of Distribution System within New Streets.....	64
9.2.4.2 Potable Water System Design Criteria	64
9.3 Potable Water Fire Protection.....	64
9.4 Coast Guard and Job Corps	65
9.5 Phases for Potable Water System Construction	65

10. WASTEWATER SYSTEM	67
10.1 Existing Wastewater System	67
10.1.1 Existing Wastewater Collection System	67
10.1.2 Existing Wastewater Treatment Facility (WWTF)	67
10.2 Proposed Wastewater System	68
10.2.1 Proposed Wastewater Demands	68
10.2.2 Proposed Wastewater Collection System	71
10.2.2.1 Location of Wastewater System within New Streets	72
10.2.2.2 Wastewater System Design Criteria	72
10.2.2.3 Sanitary Sewer Overflow Mitigations	72
10.3 Proposed Wastewater Treatment Facility (WWTF)	73
10.3.1 Revisions to Existing NPDES Permit	74
10.4 Coast Guard and Job Corps	74
10.5 Phases for Wastewater System Construction	74
11. RECYCLED WATER SYSTEM	77
11.1 Existing System	77
11.2 Proposed Recycled Water System	77
11.2.1 Proposed Recycled Water Demands	77
11.2.2 Supplemental Source for Fire Protection	77
11.2.3 Proposed Recycled Water Treatment Facility (RWTF)	78
11.2.4 Proposed Recycled Water Storage and Pumps	82
11.2.5 Proposed Recycled Water Distribution	82
11.2.5.1 Location of Distribution System within New Streets	83
11.2.5.2 Recycled Water System Design Criteria	83
11.3 Recycled Water Fire Protection	83
11.4 Coast Guard and Job Corps	83
11.5 Phases for Recycled Water System Construction	84
12. STORMWATER SYSTEM	85
12.1 Existing Stormwater Collection System	85
12.1.1 Existing Stormwater Treatment Systems	85
12.2 Proposed Stormwater System	85
12.2.1 Proposed Stormwater Collection System	85
12.2.1.1 Location of Stormwater System within New Streets	86
12.2.1.2 Stormwater System Design Criteria	86
12.2.1.3 Stormwater System Performance in New Development Area	88
12.2.1.4 Sanitary Sewer Overflow Mitigations	89
12.2.2 Proposed Outfall Structures	89
12.3 Proposed Stormwater Treatment System	91
12.3.1 Treasure Island Stormwater Treatment Areas	91
12.3.2 Yerba Buena Stormwater Treatment Areas	95
12.4 Coast Guard and Job Corps	97
12.5 Phases for Stormwater System Construction	98

13. DRY UTILITY SYSTEMS	101
13.1 Electrical System	101
13.1.1 Existing Electrical Service to Treasure Island	101
13.1.2 Existing Electrical System on Treasure Island	101
13.1.3 Proposed Electrical System	101
13.1.3.1 Proposed Electrical Demand	101
13.1.3.1.1 Proposed Renewable Energy Generation	102
13.1.3.2 Proposed Treasure Island Electrical Distribution System	102
13.1.3.2.1 Location of Electrical Distribution System within New Street	102
13.1.3.3 Phases for Electrical System Construction	103
13.2 Natural Gas System	104
13.2.1 Existing Natural Gas Demand	104
13.2.2 Existing Natural Gas Distribution System	104
13.2.3 Proposed Natural Gas System	104
13.2.3.1 Proposed Natural Gas Demand	104
13.2.3.2 Proposed Natural Gas Distribution	104
13.2.3.3 Phases for Natural Gas System Construction	105
13.3 Telecommunications and Cable TV	105
13.4 Coast Guard and Job Corps	106
 14. PROJECT INFRASTRUCTURE VARIANTS	 107
14.1 Location of Alternative Utilities	107
14.2 Phasing	107

FIGURES

Figure 5.1	TI Perimeter and Inland Areas
Figure 7.1	FEMA Preliminary 100-year Special Flood Hazard Area
Figure 7.2	TI Conceptual Grading Plan
Figure 7.3	YBI Conceptual Grading Plan
Figure 7.4	Approximate Area of Grading Activity on YBI
Figure 7.5	Typical Sawcut Grading
Figure 7.6	Potential Ponding at Catch Basin
Figure 8.1	SFMUNI/AC Transit Bus Routes
Figure 8.2	Transit Hub Area
Figure 8.3	Shuttle Service Routes
Figure 8.4	Ferry Basin
Figure 8.5	Public Streets
Figure 8.6	Street Names and Block Numbers
Figure 8.7	TI Street Plan
Figure 8.8.1.A	Major Arterial Street – California Avenue
Figure 8.8.1.B	Major Arterial Street – Avenue C and Palm Drive
Figure 8.8.2.A	Secondary Arterial Street – Clipper Cove Avenue
Figure 8.8.2.B	Secondary Arterial Street – Retail Streets
Figure 8.8.3	Collector Streets
Figure 8.8.4	Shared Public Ways
Figure 8.9	TI Angled Streets
Figure 8.10	YBI Street Plan
Figure 8.11.1.A	Major Arterial Street – Treasure Island Road and Hillcrest Road
Figure 8.11.1.B	Major Arterial Street – Viaduct/Structure
Figure 8.11.1.C	Major Arterial Street – One Way – Macalla Road
Figure 8.11.2	Secondary Arterial Street & Collector Street
Figure 8.11.3	Private Street
Figure 8.12	Primary Fire Department Access
Figure 8.13.1	Fire Truck Turning at Intersections
Figure 8.13.2	Fire Truck Turning at Intersections
Figure 8.14.1	Treasure Island Bicycle and Pedestrian Paths
Figure 8.14.2	Yerba Buena Island Bicycle and Pedestrian Paths
Figure 8.15	Streets and Paths within Public Trust Areas
Figure 9.1	Proposed Water Tank Locations
Figure 9.2	Proposed Potable Water Distribution System
Figure 9.3.1	Detail of Potable Water System Location in Streets
Figure 9.3.2	Detail of Potable Water System Location in Streets
Figure 10.1	Proposed Wastewater Collection System
Figure 10.2.1	Detail of Wastewater Collection System in Streets
Figure 10.2.2	Detail of Wastewater Collection System in Streets
Figure 11.1	Proposed Recycled Water Distribution System
Figure 11.2.1	Detail of Recycled Water System Location in Streets
Figure 11.2.2	Detail of Recycled Water System Location in Streets

Figure 12.1	Proposed Stormwater Collection System
Figure 12.2.1	Detail of Stormwater Collection System in Streets
Figure 12.2.2	Detail of Stormwater Collection System in Streets
Figure 12.3.1	Conceptual Outfall Structure
Figure 12.3.2	Conceptual Outfall Structure
Figure 12.3.3	Conceptual Outfall Structure
Figure 12.4	Watershed and Treatment Areas
Figure 12.5.1	Typical Stormwater Path
Figure 12.5.2	Typical Street Planter
Figure 12.5.3	Typical Bioretention Treatment Planter
Figure 13.1	Proposed Joint Trench Distribution System
Figure 13.2.1	Detail of Joint Trench System Location in Streets
Figure 13.2.2	Detail of Joint Trench System Location in Streets
Figure 14.1.1	Detail of Heating/Cooling and Automated Waste Systems in Streets
Figure 14.1.2	Detail of Heating/Cooling and Automated Waste Systems in Streets

1. INTRODUCTION / PROJECT DESCRIPTION

1.1 Purpose

This Infrastructure Plan is an attachment to the Disposition and Development Agreement (DDA) between the Treasure Island Development Authority, a public body, corporate, and politic of the State of California, together with any successor public agency, (the Authority) and Treasure Island Community Development, a California limited liability corporation, together with its successors (Developer), and is an exhibit to the Interagency Cooperation Agreement (ICA) between the City and County of San Francisco (City) and the Authority. This Infrastructure Plan defines the Public Infrastructure for those portions of Naval Station Treasure Island (NSTI) that are being redeveloped pursuant to the DDA (the Development Plan Area). For the purposes of this report, “Treasure Island” will refer to both Treasure Island and Yerba Buena Island, collectively, and “TI” or “YBI” will be used when referring to a specific Island. Capitalized terms used but not otherwise defined shall have those meanings set forth in the DDA.

The overall Project description, location, and the nature of the Development within the Development Plan Area are described fully in the DDA. For convenience, a summary of land uses that will be served by the Infrastructure to be developed on Treasure Island is provided here. Not all of these uses are part of the Project, as some are existing uses to remain or be developed separately.

The definitions of development-related terms as defined in the DDA shall apply to this Infrastructure Plan.

1.2 Land Use Program for the Infrastructure Plan

The following land use table is used to determine infrastructure demands in this document only. These numbers do not represent the final land use program and may be adjusted in the future. Adjustments will not significantly change the utility demands.

LAND USE	LOCATION / DESCRIPTION	PROGRAM
Residential	Treasure Island	7,700 – 7,850 units
	Yerba Buena Island	150 - 300 units
	Residential Totals	Up to 8,000 units
Hotel	Treasure Island	450 rooms
	Yerba Buena Island	50 rooms
	Hotel Totals	Up to 500 rooms
Office	Treasure Island	100,000 s.f.
New Construction Retail	Neighborhood Serving	45,000 s.f.
	Other Retail	95,000 s.f.
	New Construction Retail Totals	Up to 140,000 s.f.
Adaptive Reuse*	Building 1	76,000 s.f.
	Building 2	85,000 s.f.
	Building 3	150,000 s.f.
	Adaptive Reuse Totals	311,000 s.f.
Parking Structures		2,479,750 s.f.
Open Space		300 acres
Miscellaneous Structures	YBI Historic / Open Space Structures	75,000 s.f.
Marina		400 slips
Community / Civic Facilities	Treasure Island School	105,000 s.f.
	Police/Fire	30,000 s.f.
	Misc. small community facilities	13,500 s.f.
	Pier 1 community center	35,000 s.f.
	TI Sailing Center	15,000 s.f.
	Museum	75,000 s.f.
	Community / Civic Total	273,500 s.f.
Job Corps		777,029 s.f.
Coast Guard Facility		Existing Square Feet to Remain
Utility Facilities	Wastewater Treatment Plant	10,000 s.f.
	Corporation Yard Buildings at Treatment Plant and Water Tanks	4,000 s.f.
	Utility Facility Buildings Totals	14,000 s.f.

* Of this 311,000 SF total, 67,000 is proposed for retail use.

1.3 Infrastructure Plan Overview

This Infrastructure Plan will govern the construction and development of Infrastructure in the Development Plan Area and site work needed to support the Project. This Infrastructure Plan may be modified to the extent such additional Infrastructure is mutually agreed to by the Authority and the Developer consistent with the terms of the DDA and the ICA.

This Infrastructure Plan defines Infrastructure improvements to be provided by the Developer for the Development Plan Area, as well as off-site and on-site work that may be provided to support development of the Development Plan Area by the San Francisco Public Utilities Commission (SFPUC). While some Infrastructure improvements to be provided by City Agencies and other governmental agencies are described, their inclusion herein is not intended to be inclusive of all improvements to be provided by City Agencies and other governmental agencies.

This Infrastructure Plan and the Treasure Island / Yerba Buena Island Subdivision Regulations (to be developed separately) will establish the design standards, criteria and specifications of Infrastructure in the Project, including streets, potable water, recycled water (including back-up firefighting source), supplementary bay water hydrants and fireboat manifolds for firefighting, joint trench, street lighting, street furniture, separated storm and sewer systems, storm water treatment features, open space parcels, and other Infrastructure. During subdivision processing and approval by the City, including the review and approval of subdivision improvement plans, the final design of Infrastructure will be consistent with this Infrastructure Plan and the other regulations and agreements applicable to Treasure Island.

This Infrastructure Plan focuses on the Infrastructure required to build the Project as described in the Project Environmental Impact Report (EIR). The EIR also includes a number of Project variants, which may or may not be implemented; these variants are also described, but are not required components of the Infrastructure.

1.4 Property Acquisition, Dedication, and Easements

The Mapping, Street Vacations, property acquisition, dedication and acceptance of streets and other Infrastructure improvements will occur through the Subdivision Map process in accordance with the Treasure Island / Yerba Buena Island Subdivision Code and Subdivision Regulations. Except as otherwise noted, all Infrastructure described in this Infrastructure Plan shall be constructed within

the public right-of-way or dedicated easements to provide for access and maintenance of Infrastructure facilities. In the event property necessary to provide the rights-of-way or easements for construction of improvements shown herein cannot be acquired by the Authority or Developer, alternate Infrastructure designs will be submitted by the Developer for consideration by the City.

Public Service Easements will be allowed within the Project as may be necessary to service the Project. Utilities in these areas will be installed in accordance with the standards in this Infrastructure Plan and applicable City Regulations for public acquisition and acceptance within public utility easement areas, including provisions for maintenance access; however, such areas shall not be required to be dedicated as public right-of-ways or improved to public right-of-way standards.

1.5 Project Datum

All elevations referred to herein are based on North American Vertical Datum of 1988 (NAVD 88).

1.6 Technical Memoranda

The Technical Memorandums that have been submitted separately to the City provide additional technical requirements for the each Infrastructure system. These Technical Memoranda form the basis of the conceptual plans developed for this Infrastructure Plan and Major Phase applications. Approval of this Infrastructure Plan does not imply approval of the respective utility system Technical Memoranda. Refinements to the Technical Memoranda are not anticipated to substantially change the descriptions in the Infrastructure Plan. Revisions to the Infrastructure Plan based on the changes to the Technical Memoranda will be made upon mutual agreement between the Authority, City, and the Developer. The Technical Memoranda will be finalized prior to the approval of the first Sub-Phase Application.

1.7 Conformance with EIR & Entitlements

This Infrastructure Plan has been developed to be consistent with Project mitigation measures required by the Environmental Impact Report (EIR) and other entitlement documents. Regardless of the status of their inclusion in this Infrastructure Plan, all mitigation measures of the EIR shall apply to the Project.

1.8 Interagency Cooperation Agreement

Under California Community Redevelopment Law Section 33220(e), certain public bodies, including the City, are authorized to aid and cooperate in the planning, undertaking, construction, or operation of redevelopment projects. To promote development in accordance with the objectives and purposes of the Treasure Island/Yerba Buena Redevelopment Plan and the Disposition and Development Agreement, the City and the Authority are entering into an Interagency Cooperation Agreement (ICA) to provide for the City's cooperation in administering the control and approval of subdivisions, and all other land use, development, construction, improvement, infrastructure, occupancy, and use requirements applicable to the Project, in accordance with this Infrastructure Plan and the other Redevelopment Documents. Signatories to the ICA include the Mayor, the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors, the Controller, the City Administrator, and the Director of Public Works. City Agencies consenting to the ICA and the Infrastructure Plan include the SFPUC, the Planning Commission and Department the Department of Building Inspection, the Arts Commission, and the San Francisco Fire Department. City Permits reviewed and issued by applicable City Agencies will require conformance with this Infrastructure Plan and the other Redevelopment Documents.

1.9 Applicability of Uniform Codes and Infrastructure Standards

Future modifications to this Infrastructure Plan and/or existing City Standards, Guidelines, and Codes are subject to the provisions of sections 2.4.3 and 2.4.4 of the Development Agreement.

1.10 Project Phasing

It is anticipated that the Project will be developed in 4 or 5 Major Phases. Each Major Phase will be further divided into Sub-Phases. The Developer will submit an application for the development of each Major Phase. Major Phase applications will include illustrative concept plans for utilities and transportation improvements within the Major Phase and the infrastructure required to serve the Major Phase that may be outside of the Major Phase limit. Following a Major Phase application, the Developer may submit applications for one or more Sub-Phases. The information provided with each Major Phase and Sub-Phase application will be consistent with the Design Review and Document Approval Procedure (DRDAP).

1.11 Phases of Infrastructure Construction

The infrastructure improvements for Treasure Island will be constructed in phases in accordance with approved Major Phase and Sub-Phase applications.

Each phase of infrastructure construction will provide the new infrastructure necessary to serve the associated Sub- Phases. The amount of the existing infrastructure systems replaced with each Sub-Phase will be the minimum necessary to serve the Sub-Phase. The new Sub-Phases will connect to the existing infrastructure systems as close to the edge of the new Sub-Phase as possible with permanent and/or temporary systems while maintaining the integrity of the existing system for the remainder of the Islands. Any existing land uses remaining during each Sub-Phase will continue to utilize the existing infrastructure systems with temporary connections to the new systems where required to maintain the existing service until the existing uses are demolished. The conceptual limits of the existing infrastructure to be demolished as well as conceptual layouts of the permanent and/or temporary infrastructure systems for each Major Phase will be provided with the Major Phase application. As defined by the DRDAP, 50% plans for the permanent and/or temporary infrastructure systems for each Sub-Phase as well as the capacities and conditions of the existing infrastructure to remain that will serve the Sub-phase will be submitted with the Sub-Phase application. Repairs and/or replacement of the existing facilities necessary to serve the sub-phase will be designed and constructed by the Developer.

The Authority or the City will be responsible for maintenance of existing infrastructure facilities until demolished by the Developer. The City will be responsible for the new facilities once construction of the Sub-Phase or the new facility is complete and accepted by the City.

All stormwater treatment facilities necessary to comply with the current SFPUC stormwater management requirements will be operational at the time of completion of each Sub-Phase, prior to City acceptance of the Sub-Phase. All planted stormwater treatment systems will be established and functional at the time of connection.

2. SUSTAINABILITY

2.1 Sustainable Infrastructure

A key component of Treasure Island's redevelopment is its sustainable infrastructure. This Infrastructure Plan incorporates various strategies that support the long term sustainable vision for this new urban community. Innovative street designs, efficient land planning, and modern efficiently-sized infrastructure serve as the cornerstones for this new sustainable community.

A summary of the key sustainable strategies that are to be incorporated into the infrastructure to be installed on Treasure Island are as follows:

Section 3 - Environmental Remediation

- Environmental remediation and clean up of Treasure Island to satisfy all applicable statutory and regulatory requirements for redevelopment uses

Section 4 - Demolition and Deconstruction

- Deconstruction and abatement of unusable and dilapidated structures
- Rehabilitation and re-use of historic structures
- Demolition of sub-standard utility infrastructure
- Re-use of recycled materials on-site where feasible

Section 5 – Sea Level Rise and Adaptive Management Strategy

- Initial grading and utility infrastructure designs to provide long term protection and adaptability for sea level rise
- Sea level rise adaptation plan put in place to allow monitoring and adaptation
- Financing mechanism put in place to fund future monitoring and improvements to adapt to varying amounts of sea level rise

Section 6 – Geotechnical Conditions

- Geotechnical improvements to significantly improve seismic stability of Treasure Island and provide for stable development platform

Section 7 – Site Grading and Drainage

- Grading plans designed to remove the new proposed development areas from existing FEMA flood plain designation
- Initial grading and drainage designs to provide long term protection and future adaptability to accommodate potential sea level rise
- Grading design to minimize the need to import soil to Treasure Island from offsite locations while accommodating grades adjacent to existing historic structures and minimizing the impact to natural environment on YBI
- Erosion and sedimentation control measures during construction will be utilized consistent with an approved Storm Water Pollution Prevention Plan for the site.

Section 8 – Transportation and Street Design

- New infrastructure to support alternative transit modes such as bicycles, busses, and a new ferry system.
- Innovative new street grid designed to provide solar and wind benefits
- Walkable community designed to optimize the pedestrian experiences throughout the island and intermodal transit hub
- New public bicycle and pedestrian paths to provide connection to open spaces and the new East Span of the Bay Bridge

Section 9 – Potable Water System

- New reliable, robust and efficient potable water system including expanded on-island storage
- Use of state of the art water conservation fixtures to reduce potable water demands

Section 10 – Wastewater System

- New wastewater collection system to reduce the amount of groundwater intrusion and chance for system overflow
- New and/or upgraded on-site wastewater treatment facility
- New low flow fixtures generating reduced discharge into the wastewater system

Section 11 – Recycled Water System

- New Recycled Water Treatment Facility will provide recycled water to Title 22 standards for unrestricted use
- All non-potable demands intended to be met with recycled water. Will reduce potable demand by over 420,000 gallons per day
- All new recycled water distribution system (except on YBI)
- Recycled water also used for backup fire water source in case of emergency conditions

Section 12 - Stormwater System

- New stormwater collection system designed for long term protection from flooding and adaptability for sea level rise
- Designed to provide stormwater treatment prior to outfall to the Bay
- Innovative Low Impact Development (LID) system wide designs and treatment wetlands included in street designs and open spaces

Section 13 – Dry Utility Systems

- New power, gas and communication systems to serve the development
- Project will generate 5% of peak energy demand on-site
- Use of energy efficient fixtures to reduce energy demands

Section 14 - Project Infrastructure Variants

- Project has also been designed with enough flexibility to consider the addition of the following large scale sustainable facilities into the infrastructure program for the development;
 - District heating/cooling
 - Automated waste collection systems
 - Additional on-site energy generation (solar farms)

All of the features above are intended to support achieving a Gold certification level under the United States Green Building Councils LEED (Leadership in Energy & Environmental Design) for Neighborhood Development (ND) rating system. (July 2010 version).

3. ENVIRONMENTAL REMEDIATION

3.1 Background

NSTI was selected for closure under the Base Closure and Realignment (“BRAC”) program in 1993, and was subsequently decommissioned in 1997. Prior to operational closure, a base wide environmental baseline survey (“EBS”) was completed in 1994, which was required as part of the BRAC program. The EBS is a broad evaluation of all known and suspected hazardous materials that were handled, stored, or potentially released into the environment from base operations. The results of the EBS confirmed that portions of the Development Plan Area contain soil and groundwater that have been impacted by hazardous materials.

Since first identified for base closure, a substantial amount of work has been performed by the Navy regarding the identification and cleanup of subsurface contamination. A Finding of Suitability for Transfer (FOST) has been completed for approximately 170 acres of the former naval base. The Navy is continuing to conduct remedial actions, the goal of which is to eliminate the contamination, reduce it to acceptable levels, or, if residual contamination is left in place, to limit exposure pathways that may pose a risk to human health and the environment.

3.2 Status of Land at Transfer from Navy to TIDA

The Navy will transfer NSTI to the Authority, under the terms of an Economic Development Conveyance Agreement (“EDC Agreement”). The EDC Agreement contemplates that the Navy will satisfy all applicable statutory and regulatory requirements for its remediation responsibilities, and issue a FOST, or multiple FOSTs, prior to conveyance of the property.

Sites will be transferred from the Navy to the Authority as FOSTs are issued. The Authority will subsequently transfer the land in phases to the Developer, in accordance with the terms of the DDA.

3.3 Developer Obligations

The Developer will be responsible for completing any additional remediation work that may be required after the Navy’s completion of its obligations in accordance with applicable regulatory requirements. Generally, the following types of additional work are currently anticipated:

- *Removal of any Hazardous Building Materials.* Where the Project requires demolition or renovation of structures containing hazardous building materials such as lead-based paint or asbestos, additional remediation would be required.
- *Compliance with, Alteration, or Removal of a Land Use Covenant.* There may be areas where land use controls on the property are imposed by covenant as part of the Navy's remediation process, and such land use controls are inconsistent with the final reuse. For these areas, the Developer and the Authority will need to obtain approval for the proposed land use from the appropriate regulatory agencies.

3.4 Potential Additional Scope of Work

While the EDC Agreement presumes that all sites will be transferred by the Navy to the Authority following a FOST, the EDC Agreement does allow the Navy and the Authority to enter into negotiations for an Early Transfer (also known as a Finding of Suitability for Early Transfer, or FOSET) for any individual parcel. A FOSET documents the remediation that has not been completed at the time of transfer and the protections to human health and the environment that will be implemented until all action necessary to protect human health and the environment have been taken. Under a FOSET, the Navy would not complete the full remediation prior to transfer and the Authority and Navy would coordinate to complete the remediation in accordance with applicable regulatory requirements.

In addition, the EDC Agreement also provides an election for the Navy and the Authority to enter into a Lease in Furtherance of Conveyance ("LIFOC") for any parcel. In this case, the Navy would continue to retain responsibility for environmental remediation, unless the Navy and the Authority were to agree otherwise, and the land would be leased from the Navy to the Authority until such time that a FOST was issued and land was suitable for transfer.

In the event of either a FOSET or a LIFOC where the Authority assumed some of the Navy's remediation responsibilities, the Authority and Developer would meet and confer to discuss which of those responsibilities, if any, would be carried out by Developer.

4. DEMOLITION AND DECONSTRUCTION

4.1 Scope of Demolition

The Developer will be responsible for the demolition and deconstruction of all non-retained existing buildings and infrastructure features. This includes all non-historic buildings not intended for long-term reuse, site structures (retaining walls, utility buildings), streets and pavements, existing utilities, relocation of existing utilities as needed subject to SFPUC approval, and landscape elements that are unable to be included in the proposed design.

The buildings to be demolished or deconstructed are primarily of wood and concrete construction and were formerly used for administration, storage, classrooms, shops, dormitories, housing and a variety of other purposes. To the extent practical, existing structures will be "deconstructed", allowing for maximum re-use of materials. The feasibility of materials reused or recycled may be limited by the requirements for abatement of hazardous materials and the potential value of the recycled material.

Building demolition and deconstruction will start with the abatement of hazardous materials including lead paint, asbestos and other materials identified as part of a building survey. Hazardous materials will be removed pursuant to a work plan agreed to by the Developer, the Authority, and Federal, State, and local regulators. In addition to hazardous material removal, appropriate methods of vector control will be used to mitigate any possible vermin infestations from the existing buildings.

In addition to the demolition and deconstruction of structures as addressed above, all existing pavements, underground utilities, and overhead utilities in the demolition and deconstruction areas will be abandoned in place, removed or, subject to SFPUC approval, relocated (permanently or temporarily) by the Developer. Where feasible, concrete and asphalt pavements will be recycled and used on site or made available for use elsewhere. This could be accomplished by setting up a concrete/asphalt crushing plant operation on TI. The location of the plant will consider the need for efficiency throughout the construction phases and the need to minimize the impact on existing residents and business. The recycled concrete/asphalt materials will be allowed for pavement and

structural slab sub-base material, utility trench backfill, and, where feasible, concrete and asphalt mixes, as approved by the City.

Utility materials, primarily metals, will be recycled as feasible. Where transite pipe (asbestos-cement pipe) is encountered, appropriate abatement methods will be used to satisfy applicable regulatory agency requirements.

As part of a standard vegetation grubbing and clearing operation, trees and other plant materials will be protected in place, relocated, or removed as needed from future grading areas. All trees and plants to be removed will be recycled by composting for on-site uses associated with replanting and erosion control to the extent feasible.

4.2 Phases of Demolition/Deconstruction

The demolition will occur in phases to match the Sub-Phases of the Project. The amount of demolition will be the minimum necessary for the Sub-Phase. The demolition of smaller areas will allow the existing utility services, vehicular access areas, and vegetation to remain in place as long as possible in order to reduce disruption of existing uses on the Islands.

5. SEA LEVEL RISE AND ADAPTIVE MANAGEMENT STRATEGY

5.1 Sea Level Rise (SLR)

The State of California's 2009 Draft Climate Adaptation Strategy Report includes guidance to State agencies addressing climate change adaptation. In addition, BCDC has proposed Bay Plan amendment language, which includes guidance for addressing future SLR scenarios associated with planning and permitting development in potentially susceptible areas. Both recommend using the following SLR forecast for planning purposes:

- 16 inches by 2050
- 55 inches by 2100

SLR has the potential to increase flooding along shoreline areas as the 100-year high tide (Base Flood Elevation) increases over time. The Project will be built to protect against a reasonable amount of SLR and designed to accommodate higher SLR through an Adaptive Management approach that allows the Project infrastructure to be adjusted over time in response.

5.2 Adaptive Management Approach

Because the actual rate of future SLR is uncertain, the Adaptive Management approach will embrace a pro-active adaptive management strategy that can respond to changes that will come about in the future as a result of additional scientific study and monitoring of SLR conditions.

The Adaptive Management plan will include four basic fundamentals:

1. Initial infrastructure designs to accommodate reasonable SLR scenarios
2. Infrastructure designs that can easily be adjusted in the future in response to actual SLR
3. Monitoring of scientific updates and actual SLR data
4. Funding mechanism to implement the necessary improvements

The following is a description of how the Project will implement these four basic fundamentals.

5.3 Initial Infrastructure Design

5.3.1 Grading (refer to Section 7 for more detailed information)

The FEMA requirements for setting coastal flooding elevations include two components; 1) perimeter shoreline areas, and 2) inland areas. The flood elevations for the perimeter shoreline areas are dictated by the still water, 100-year tide elevation (Base Flood Elevation), plus the potential for wave run-up. The potential wave heights and geometry of the perimeter shoreline will dictate the horizontal extent of the area considered to be "shoreline". Because the inland

areas are protected from wave run-up by the perimeter shoreline, the flood elevations for the inland areas are dictated by the Base Flood Elevation (BFE) only.

Figure 5.1 shows the perimeter area and inland areas for Treasure Island. Descriptions of the different areas are as follows.

5.3.1.1 Perimeter Protection

The perimeter shoreline area of TI will function as a berm to protect the interior of the Island from wave run-up. The height of the existing perimeter will be adjusted such that there is only a 1% chance of wave overtopping due to a combination of high tides, swell, wind, waves, tsunami, and shoreline geometry. The elevation and types of perimeter protection designs will vary around the Island based on the orientation of the shoreline (i.e. wave heights) and the proposed adjacent land plan. The designs in each location will be based on the current tide conditions to meet the FEMA wave protection standards plus an additional 16-inches to accommodate the potential 2050 SLR estimates.

As described below, the proposed building areas on TI will be raised to accommodate 36-inches of potential SLR. Therefore, the perimeter of the island will not be considered a levee under current BFE conditions, and would not be in the future unless more than 36-inches of SLR occur.

5.3.1.2 Development Area Grading

As described above, the perimeter designs will protect the development areas from wave run-up and, therefore, the designs for the interior development areas will be based on the BFE.

There are three different types of development areas located on TI; 1) proposed new building and roadway areas, 2) open space areas, and 3) remaining historic buildings and Job Corps. A description of the proposed grading for each of these conditions is as follows:

5.3.1.2.1 Proposed Building and Roadway Areas

The finished floors and garage entrances for all new structures will be built a minimum of 42-inches above the current Base Flood Elevation (BFE). This will accommodate up to 36-inches of SLR while maintaining 6-inches of freeboard to the new structures.

The minimum roadway elevations will be designed to meet the freeboard requirements for the Hydraulic Grade Line (HGL) of the storm drain system as described in Section 12.

5.3.1.2.2 Open Space Areas

The minimum elevations for the open space areas will be built at the existing BFE. The lowest points in the open space areas may experience minimal amounts of rainwater ponding during large rainstorms occurring simultaneously with 100-year tides, depending on their locations and watershed area. The depth of rainwater ponding during these infrequent events will be minimal for the peak high tide duration (approximately 2 hours) and will drain once the tide subsides. As described below, the stormwater system will be constructed with tide gates at the outfall structures so bay water does not enter the on-site system during high tide events. The horizontal limits and depth of ponding in the open space areas will be developed in coordination with the SFPUC prior to approval of the Major Phase and Sub-Phase applications as consistent with the DRDAP.

5.3.1.2.3 Historic Buildings, School Site, and Job Corps Structures to Remain

Historic Buildings 1, 2, and 3, as well as the Job Corps buildings and School buildings will remain on TI. The existing finished floor elevations for these structures range from elevation 8.5 to 13.2. These finished floors as well as the ground adjacent to the buildings will not be raised as part of the Project. The new street improvements adjacent to these facilities will be constructed to grades of 12 to 15. The grade difference between the lower areas of the existing buildings and proposed improvements will be mitigated by grading transition areas or with low walls, ramps, stairs and/or planters. These improvements will be designed with grades to protect the lower finished floor areas from the current BFE plus 16-inches of SLR. Local storm drain systems will be installed for these lower areas with small pump stations to connect to the main systems within the streets. The Developer will be responsible for the design and installation of the grading transition and pumps, if required. Ownership and maintenance of the local stormwater system on public land will be by SFPUC or TIDA.

5.3.1.2.4 Wastewater Treatment Plant

The existing grades for the existing wastewater treatment plant vary from approximately 10.4 to 12.6. The proposed surrounding grades of the open space area will be lower than

the WWTP area. The existing grades of the facility will remain until the WWTP is upgraded/replaced by the SFPUC.

5.3.2 Stormwater System (refer to Section 12 for more detailed information)

The existing storm drainage collection system on Treasure Island will be replaced in phases that correspond to the Sub-Phases of the Project. The new stormwater system will be designed to accommodate the 100-year storm during the 100-year tide with a maximum of ponding to top of curb at low points in the streets. The system will be constructed with tide gates at the outfall structures so bay water does not enter the on-site system. The system will be designed to gravity flow to the outfalls. New inline lift stations may be required at certain locations due to the depth of the stormwater system or crossing conflicts with other utilities.

5.4 Infrastructure Adjustments for Future SLR

5.4.1 Grading

5.4.1.1 Perimeter Protection

As described above, the perimeter protection will be designed to accommodate up to 16-inches of SLR. The perimeter designs will also provide the ability to make future changes to the perimeter if more than 16-inches of SLR occurs and over topping of the perimeter becomes a nuisance or hazardous at some locations. The appropriate type of adjustments will be determined through the decision making framework described below. If more than 36-inches of SLR occurs, the perimeter area will need to be improved to FEMA levee standards.

5.4.1.2 Development Area Grading

5.4.1.2.1 Proposed Building and Roadway Areas

As described above, the finished floors and garage entrances of the new structures will be set at elevations to accommodate up to 36-inches of SLR and maintain a 6-inch freeboard. SLR beyond 36-inches will require perimeter and stormwater system improvements to protect the structures.

The roadway grading will be designed to limit ponding to the top of curb elevation during the 100-year storm, 100-year tide, and up to 16-inches of SLR. Stormwater system improvements will be required if more than 16-inches of SLR occurs.

5.4.1.2.2 Open Space Areas

As described above, the minimum grade in the open space areas will be the current BFE. Future SLR will increase the amount of rainwater ponding during high tides and larger rain events but will not impact the building areas. As described below, the pump stations added to the stormwater outfalls after 16-inches of SLR will reduce the ponding in the open space areas to levels and durations equal to the current BFE conditions described above. The horizontal limits and depth of ponding in the open space areas will be developed in coordination with the SFPUC prior to approval of the Major Phase and Sub-Phase applications as consistent with the DRDAP.

5.4.1.2.3 Historic Buildings, School Site, and Job Corps Structures to Remain

As described above, the elevations of the historic building, school site buildings, and Job Corps structures will not be adjusted. Grading transitions and other improvements will be installed around the lower finished floor areas to protect these buildings from the current BFE with 16-inches of SLR. As described below, the pump stations added to the stormwater outfalls after 16-inches of SLR will continue to protect these structures from flooding. Additional improvements may be required around these structures if the 100-year high tide becomes higher than the existing finished floors due to SLR.

A summary of the Adaptive Management approach for grading to accommodate SLR scenarios on TI is shown in Table 5.1.

5.4.1.2.4 Wastewater Treatment Plant

The wastewater treatment plant is intended to be updated/replaced by the SFPUC, subject to future negotiation and agreement. The SFPUC will adjust the grades of the new/upgraded facility or protect the plant from flooding through the use of local storm drainage improvements.

5.4.2 Stormwater System

The new stormwater system will also be designed to accommodate modifications in the future for SLR. Modifications will include the addition of pump stations near the development area outfalls to maintain flows during larger storms and high tide events. Details of the new stormwater system and outfalls are described in Section 12.

A summary of the stormwater system design criteria for current tides and potential SLR scenarios is shown in Table 5.2.

5.5 SLR Monitoring Program

As part of the proposed Project, the Authority will create a monitoring program to review and synthesize SLR estimates prepared for San Francisco Bay by the National Oceanic Atmospheric Administration and/or a State agency. The Authority will also conduct a periodic review of scientific literature for updated SLR estimates.

5.5.1 Decision-Making Framework

If the data from the monitoring program demonstrate that SLR in San Francisco Bay has exceeded (or will soon exceed) the allowances designed for in the initial improvements, or if flooding issues on Treasure Island due to SLR occur on a regular basis, a range of additional improvements can be made to protect the island from flooding and periodic wave overtopping. Decisions on which improvements to make will be made by the Authority at the time improvements are required. The decision as to which solutions to implement would likely depend on a variety of factors, including, but not limited to;

- Consultation with the SFPUC and other local agencies
- Any new local, State, or Federal requirements about how to address SLR
- Available technology and industry best practices at the time
- Both the observed rate of actual SLR and updated estimates of future SLR.

5.5.2 Sea Level Rise Monitoring and Implementation Report

The Authority will be responsible for periodically preparing a report on the progress of the adaptive management strategy. The report will be prepared no less than every 5 years, or more frequently if required by regulators. The report will include:

- The publication of the data collected and literature reviewed under the monitoring program.
- A review of any changes in the local, State, or Federal regulatory environment related to SLR, and a discussion of how the Project is complying with any applicable new regulatory requirements.
- A discussion of the improvements recommended to be made if sea levels reach the anticipated thresholds identified above in “Decision-Making Framework” within the next 5 years.
- A report of the funds collected for implementation of the adaptive management strategy, and a projection of funds anticipated to be available in the future.

5.5.3 Funding Mechanism

The Project's Financing Plan includes a mechanism to create project-generated funding that will be dedicated to paying for the flood protection improvements necessary to implement the Adaptive Management plan.

5.6 Yerba Buena Island

Because of natural topography on YBI, the site grades for the proposed buildings, as well as the existing grades for the historic structures, are significantly above both the BFE and SLR allowances.


Table 5.1 – Adaptive Management Approach for Grading on TI

		Minimum Design Criteria				
	Tide/SLR Condition	Perimeter Shoreline	Parks & Open Space	New Finished Floor	Existing Buildings	New Roads
Initial Design	Current Tide Condition 100 year high tide: 9.2-feet NAVD 88 (Determined in 2009 Coastal Flooding Study)	Accommodate current 1% chance of flooding + SLR (16-inches)	Minimum Elevation: 9.2' (NAVD 88) Current 100-year high tide (ponding allowed during large rain and high tide events)	Minimum Elevation: 12.7' (NAVD 88) Current 100-year high tide + SLR (36-inches) + 6-inches of freeboard	No change to Finished Floor. Retaining walls and/or landscape berms, and local storm drainage improvements may be required to protect against flooding.	Minimum Elevation: Minimum elevation that meets stormwater system freeboard criteria.
	SLR Condition: up to 16-inches 100 year high tide: 9.2-feet + 16-inches = 10.53-feet NAVD 88 (Estimated to occur by 2050)	No change needed	No change needed	No change needed	No change needed	No change needed
Adjustments for Future SLR	SLR Condition: 16-inches to 36-inches 100 year high tide: 9.2-feet + 36-inches = 12.2-feet NAVD 88 (Estimated to occur between 2050 and 2100)	<i>Adaptive Management Strategy: adjust perimeter to address wave overtopping.</i> Accommodate 1% chance of flooding at that time + SLR (guidance at that time)	No change needed	No change needed	No change needed	<i>Adaptive Management Strategy: When SLR Monitoring Report (Section 5.5.2) determines 16-inches of SLR (mean sea level 3.29-feet + 16-inches = 4.62-feet NAVD 88) has occurred implement modifications to storm drainage system.</i>
	SLR Condition: greater than 36-inches 100 year high tide: 9.2-feet + 36-inches = 12.2-feet NAVD 88 (Estimated to occur after 2100)	<i>Adaptive Management Strategy: implement modifications to perimeter protection zone when 100-year tide projection is greater than project's lowest finished floor. (12.7 NAVD 88)</i> Accommodate 1% chance of flooding at that time + SLR (guidance at that time)	No change needed	No change needed	No change needed	No change needed. Adaptive Management strategy implemented at 16-inches SLR.

Note: SLR conditions based on current Treasure Island mean sea level of 3.29 feet (NAVD 88) documented in the 2009 Coastal Flooding Study

Table 5.2 – Adaptive Management Approach for Stormwater System on TI

Infra struct ure Desig	Tide/SLR Condition	Minimum Design Criteria	
		Stormwater System	
		5-year storm	5 to 100-year storm
	Current Tide Condition Mean sea level: 3.29-foot NAVD 88 (Determined in 2009 Coastal Flooding Study)	<u>Flow in Pipes</u> Design Storm: 5-year event Design Tide: Current 100-year high tide Minimum Freeboard (Streets): 2.67-feet Minimum Freeboard (parks/open space): Ponding allowed	<u>Overland Flow</u> Design Storm: 5 to 100-year event Design Tide: Current 100-year high tide Minimum Freeboard (Streets): Allowed to flow in street, 6-inches of ponding depth Minimum Freeboard (parks/open space): Ponding allowed
Infrastructure Adjustments for Future SLR	SLR Condition: up to 16-inches Mean sea level: 3.29-foot + 16-inches = 4.62-foot NAVD 88 (Estimated to occur by 2050)	<i>Adaptive Management Strategy: reduce freeboard allowance</i>	<i>Adaptive Management Strategy: reduce freeboard allowance</i>
		<u>Flow in Pipes</u> Design Storm: 5-year event Design Tide: Current 100-year high tide + SLR (16-inches) = 10.53 NAVD 88 Minimum Freeboard (Streets): 16-inches Minimum Freeboard (parks/open space): Ponding allowed	<u>Overland Flow</u> Design Storm: 5 to 100-year event Design Tide: Current 100-year high tide + SLR (16-inches) = 10.53 NAVD 88 Minimum Freeboard (Streets): Allowed to flow in street, 6-inches of ponding depth Minimum Freeboard (parks/open space): Ponding allowed
	SLR Condition: 16-inches to 36-inches	<i>Adaptive Management Strategy: When SLR Monitoring Report (Section 5.5.2) determines 16-inches of SLR has occurred implement modifications to storm drainage system.</i>	<i>Adaptive Management Strategy: When SLR Monitoring Report (Section 5.5.2) determines 16-inches of SLR has occurred implement modifications to storm drainage system.</i>
	Mean sea level: 3.29-foot + 36-inches = 6.29-foot NAVD 88 (Estimated to occur between 2050 and 2100.)	<u>Flow in Pipes</u> Design Storm: 5-year event Design Tide: 100-year high tide at that time + SLR (guidance at that time) Minimum Freeboard (streets): 2.67-feet Minimum Freeboard (parks/open space): Ponding allowed	<u>Overland Flow</u> Design Storm: 5 to 100-year event Design Tide: 100-year high tide at that time + SLR (guidance at that time) Minimum Freeboard (streets): Allowed to flow in street, 6-inches of ponding depth Minimum Freeboard (parks/open space): Ponding allowed
	SLR Condition: greater than 36-inches	<i>Adaptive Management Strategy: When SLR Monitoring Report (Section 5.5.2) determines 16-inches of SLR has occurred implement modifications to storm drainage system.</i>	<i>Adaptive Management Strategy: when freeboard violates minimum allowance 8-inches, implement modifications to storm drainage system.</i>
	Mean sea level: 3.29-foot + 36-inches = 6.29-foot NAVD 88 (Estimated to occur after 2100.)	<u>Flow in Pipes</u> Design Storm: 5-year event Design Tide: 100-year high tide at that time + SLR (guidance at that time) Minimum Freeboard (streets): 2.67-feet Minimum Freeboard (parks/open space): Ponding allowed	<u>Overland Flow</u> Design Storm: 5 to 100-year event Design Tide: 100-year high tide at that time + SLR (guidance at that time) Minimum Freeboard (streets): Allowed to flow in street, 6-inches of ponding depth Minimum Freeboard (parks/open space): Ponding allowed



Source: BRF Engineers, October 2010

6. GEOTECHNICAL CONDITIONS

The information presented in this chapter includes two sections, one on TI and one on YBI, as the two Islands present different geotechnical conditions and require different solutions.

The information presented on TI is based on the Engeo “Geotechnical Conceptual Design Report, Treasure Island, San Francisco, CA” dated February 2009. This report is based on a review of existing geotechnical data and preliminary geotechnical analyses conducted by ENGEO Incorporated in collaboration with BKF Engineers, Moffat and Nichol, SMWM, SOM, and Treadwell & Rollo. The draft findings of the report were reviewed by an Independent Review Panel (IRP) composed of four world-renowned experts in geotechnical engineering:

Professor Izzat M. Idriss, Phd - University of California, Davis (Emeritus)
Professor Raymond B. Seed, Phd - University of California, Berkeley
Professor James K. Mitchell, Phd - Virginia Tech (Emeritus)
Professor Ross W. Boulanger, Phd - University of California, Davis

The IRP evaluated the proposed alternative solutions for the geotechnical constraints involved in developing TI, and their input has been incorporated in the final geotechnical conceptual design report. In addition, review comments provided by URS Corporation on behalf of the City and County of San Francisco (CCSF) were incorporated in the report.

The section on YBI is based on the Engeo “Geotechnical Conceptual Design Report, Yerba Buena Island, San Francisco, CA” dated November 2008, which includes a description of the existing conditions at YBI, a summary of the geological and geotechnical conditions at the site, and potential solutions for the geotechnical challenges that face the proposed development. The YBI report is based on a review of existing geotechnical data and a preliminary geotechnical reconnaissance conducted by ENGEO Incorporated. The intent of this report is to provide preliminary geotechnical guidance for project planning; it is not intended as a design document.

6.1 Treasure Island (TI)

TI was constructed in the late 1930s by placing approximately 30 million cubic yards of dredged sand fill over a sand shoal located north of YBI. From a geotechnical perspective, there are three primary issues for any new development at TI.

- Liquefaction/Settlement of Sand Layers. The combined thickness of the sand shoal and the dredged sand fill ranges from about 30 to 50 feet. These sands are at best medium dense and

are thus subject to liquefaction and settlement during earthquakes. Liquefaction is a phenomenon where saturated, cohesionless soil (such as sand) experiences a temporary reduction in strength during the cyclic loading of an earthquake. The result is immediate settlement and possibly lateral movement of the sand material.

- Settlement of Young Bay Mud. Beneath the sand layers is a layer of compressible Young Bay Mud that ranges in thickness across the site from 20 to 120 feet. The rate of settlement of the Young Bay Mud from the load of the dredged sand fill is now very small, but any further increase in loads, whether due to placement of new fill or the construction of buildings, will initiate a new cycle of consolidation settlements. The Young Bay Mud is underlain by firmer soils that do not pose significant geotechnical challenges.
- Seismic Stability of Perimeter and Causeway. The stability of the perimeter of the island and the causeway connecting TI to YBI may be affected by earthquake-induced liquefaction or a deep-seated failure in the underlying Young Bay Mud layer. Additionally, the perimeter of the island and the causeway may be subject to cumulative damage over time due to slumping and erosion under the combined effects of storm and earthquake loadings.

Without mitigation, the above factors may make it difficult to maintain the grades necessary to prevent flooding due to extreme storms or global sea-level rise. However, a variety of proven soil improvement techniques are available to mitigate all three of these concerns and enable the Project to maintain grades above flood levels over time.

6.1.1 Overall Geotechnical Approach

The geotechnical approach for the current development plan has been developed based on the need to elevate the interior of the island in anticipation of sea-level rise and the desire to reduce damage to surface and subsurface improvements during seismic events.

The approach consists of three parts:

- (1) The sands will be densified throughout the development area to minimize liquefaction and earthquake-induced settlements, creating a long-term stable platform for development;
- (2) Additional fill will be added to compensate for the loss of elevation caused by densification and to raise the site grades in developed areas above the expected flood level, taking an allowance for long-term sea level rise into account; Settlement of the compressible young

- Bay Mud deposits triggered by the additional fill will be accelerated by the use of surcharging, thereby allowing future settlement to occur prior to construction of new improvements; and
- (3) The perimeter will be similarly improved to be seismically stable and to provide protection against overtopping under extreme combinations of tide and storm activity.
 - (4) Utility corridors for critical utilities outside of the new development footprint area will be similarly improved for seismic stability and to reduce long term settlement. The final location and width of the utility corridors to be stabilized will be developed in conjunction with the SFPUC during the Major Phase and Sub Phase application process. It is anticipated the utility corridors will include water, recycled water, sanitary sewer, gas, electric, and stormwater main lines and will be located within/near Eastside Avenue and potentially a connection from the western neighborhood to the Wastewater Treatment Plant.

6.1.1.1 Creation of a Long-Term Stable Platform

The purpose of densification is to improve the sand fill within the planned development area to serve as a long-term stable platform for buildings, roads, and utilities. A variety of proven techniques are available for densification; the most likely to be used on TI are deep dynamic compaction (DDC), which consists of repeatedly dropping a large weight onto the soil, and vibro-compaction, in which a vibrating probe is repeatedly inserted into the soil. With either of these techniques, the objective is to take the medium-dense sands and transform them into dense sands that are no longer susceptible to significant liquefaction and seismic settlement. Since the entire development area of approximately 100 acres will be densified, roads, utilities, and buildings will benefit, the expected differential settlement between these systems will be minimized and the expected damage after earthquakes will be significantly reduced. The final techniques for densification will be selected after conducting field tests of the alternatives to confirm the effectiveness of each, and to optimize production.

6.1.1.2 Elevation of the Ground Surface as Long-Term Protection Against Flooding

Densification of the sands throughout the development area will cause a lowering of the current ground surface. Fill will be needed to compensate for this loss and to bring the ground surface elevation of the developed areas to a level that provides long-term protection

against flooding and sea-level rise. On a block-by-block basis, design finished floor elevations will be increased to allow for long-term site settlement that results from any residual primary consolidation, from secondary compression and from any remaining settlements that might result from earthquake loadings. The depth of the new fill will vary across TI, with smaller amounts on the southern side of the island and the greatest thicknesses required in the northwest corner. To minimize the impact of gradual settlement resulting from new fill, the development areas will likely be surcharged with temporary fill, supplemented by the installation of pre-fabricated vertical (wick) drains in order to speed the settlement. Fill will be obtained from excavation of basements, grading of undeveloped portions of the island and from off-site sources.

6.1.1.3 Strengthening of the Perimeter Berm and Causeway

The sands underlying the perimeter of the island may also be densified by proven densification techniques such as vibro-compaction or DDC, in order to minimize deformation of the perimeter berm in earthquakes. The potential for a deeper-seated slope failure through the underlying Young Bay Mud, especially in the northwest corner of the island where the Young Bay Mud is as much as 120 feet thick will be evaluated by conducting a study involving field work, laboratory testing and analysis. Should the deep-seated stability of the perimeter be shown to be a concern, it can be addressed either by placing a surcharge fill to increase the strength of the Young Bay Mud, or by using deep soil mixing or jet grout techniques to create vertical soil-cement columns within the Young Bay Mud.

Most of the existing Young Bay Mud was removed from under the causeway during construction; however, the sand fill of which it is composed will require densification in order to provide a reliable access route and minimal damage to lifeline utilities following a major earthquake.

From a flood-control standpoint, if final design grades for the development area are high enough to accommodate extreme tide levels and sea-level rise, structures are set back far enough from the Bay's edge, and adequate drainage is provided along the shoreline to accommodate infrequent wave overtopping, the perimeter need only be high enough to limit overtopping to extreme wave events, eliminating the need to treat the perimeter berms as FEMA-certified levees. The perimeter elevation will be set based on an analysis of tides,

storm surges, waves and other factors; however, it is likely that the perimeter will need to be raised on the north and west sides of the island. The perimeter berm height can also be increased in the future if necessary in response to increased wave heights coupled with sea-level rise. Conceptual berm heights for the perimeter adjacent to each Major-Phase will be identified with each Major-Phase Application. Final berm heights and geotechnical stabilization techniques for perimeter adjacent to each Sub-Phase will be provided with the Sub-Phase application.

6.1.2 Building Foundations

As noted above, to minimize the amount of long-term settlement triggered by raising grades, much of the developed area will also be surcharged, or pre-loaded. In addition, it would be beneficial for new building loads to be mostly compensated (or off-set) by excavating full basements for all buildings, except possibly for lighter townhome structures. Based on engineering calculations, when the site is surcharged, the magnitude of differential settlements will generally be within acceptable tolerances for buildings up to 8 to 10 stories on shallow foundations with full basements. In general, buildings greater than 10 stories will need to be pile supported and provided with basements. These basements will be necessary to reduce downdrag forces on the piles and provide lateral support during seismic events. Additionally, for high-rise buildings of 22 stories or greater, at least two basement levels may be required to help resist lateral and overturning loads. Any differential settlements between the pile-supported buildings and adjacent improvements can be accommodated by separating them and using flexible utility connections and transition slabs.

When constructing basements, a generalized interpretation of the groundwater conditions at TI indicates that (1) construction dewatering will be required during full basement excavations throughout the development area, and for half-level basement excavations depending on location, and (2) waterproofing should be provided for all basements assuming full hydrostatic conditions. Dewatering practices will comply with the current Stormwater Pollution Prevention Program at the time of construction.

6.2 Yerba Buena Island (YBI)

Yerba Buena Island has a long history of past development dating to the late 1800s. Site access from the San Francisco Bay Bridge is provided by Macalla Road and Treasure Island Road. Much

of Treasure Island Road is elevated on viaduct structures that also carry utilities from the San Francisco Bay Bridge to Treasure Island. Other existing improvements include access roads and utilities serving approximately 80 existing residential units and the Coast Guard's facilities. Past development has created a series of graded benches bounded by hillside cuts and fills. Site topography is moderately steep to steep, with elevations ranging from 350 feet to sea level. The island perimeter is bounded by steep (1.5:1 to 1:1) natural slopes extending up from the wave-cut shoreline as high as 240 feet.

The geology of the island can be characterized as a bedrock ridge whose flanks are mantled with unconsolidated sandy soils thought to be windblown sand and alluvium. The thickest soil deposits are located on the western, northern and eastern slopes. The thickness of the unconsolidated sandy deposits ranges across the island; the sands reach a maximum depth of greater than 90 feet under Macalla Road on the northern side of the island. Existing fill associated with roads and building pads appear to consist of sandy or rocky material excavated from adjacent cuts.

The proposed development at YBI will consist primarily of two- to four-story townhomes and apartments located generally in areas of current residences. Current plans also include an option for a multi-unit 7-story structure with one level of below grade parking, located in a relatively flat area on the eastern side of the island. Several historic structures located on the northeastern corner of the island will remain in place to be reused for commercial and/or visitor uses. Development plans include lodging and hotel facilities at the southwestern corner of the development area. YBI infrastructure improvements will include: (i) new water tanks, (ii) streets generally following the existing roadway alignment, (iii) open space, including a hilltop park and pocket parks within residential blocks, (iv) pedestrian walks and pathways providing access to a hilltop park.

The proposed redevelopment of YBI must recognize the nature of the island, while at the same time providing a well-engineered framework for new improvements. Development constraints include historic structures, existing vegetation, site topography, and planning and circulation considerations. Geotechnical considerations include:

1. Foundation design issues associated with existing cut slopes and hillside fills.
2. Existing retaining walls.
3. Slope stability issues associated with the steep perimeter slopes, especially along the existing alignments of Macalla Road.
4. Treasure Island Road Viaduct.

6.2.1 Foundation Design

Successful site development will require engineering design and project construction methods that account for the existing soil conditions. Construction on existing fills may require deepened foundations or re-grading to remove weak soils.

The major considerations in foundation design for the structures proposed at YBI include the effects of potential differential movement of on-site soils as a result of their shrink-swell characteristics, settlement associated with deep fills, and the distance of the proposed structures from the top of slopes. Proposed two- to four-story wood-frame buildings located sufficiently back from the tops of slopes or located in areas with less than 10 feet differential fill can generally be supported on one of the following foundation systems: (i) conventionally reinforced structural mat, (ii) stiffened ribbed mat, (ii) post-tensioned slab, (iv) shallow continuous spread footing with slab on grade, or (v) drilled piers with raised floors.

The proposed multi-unit 7 story structure is located in an area of the YBI where Dune Sand and Terrace deposits of various thicknesses are underlain by Franciscan rock. The building structure can generally be supported on one of the following foundation systems: (i) footings bearing in bedrock with slabs on grade, (ii) shallow footings bearing in bedrock combined with footings supported on drilled piers extending into bedrock, or (iii) thickened mat foundation.

6.2.2 Existing Retaining Walls

Existing retaining walls typically consist of cast-in-place concrete or concrete crib walls. Most retaining walls appear to be visibly in serviceable condition, although many existing concrete walls show evidence of past water seepage at the face, indicating that they may be nearing the end of their design life.

It is anticipated that several of the existing retaining walls within the proposed development footprint will be modified or rebuilt due to grade changes and road realignment. The condition of retaining walls proposed to remain in place will be evaluated on a case-by-case basis during final design. These walls may be seismically retrofitted or replaced to comply with City and County of San Francisco and CBC codes and the design-level geotechnical report.

6.2.3 Perimeter Slopes

The island perimeter slopes are mantled with sandy colluvium and landslide deposits. Historic slope instabilities have typically consisted of relatively shallow debris flows, on the order of less than ten feet in depth that have reportedly been triggered by a combination of rainfall and utility leaks.

The highest and most continuous area of steep perimeter slopes occurs along Macalla Road. The presence of a deep deposit of unconsolidated sandy soil adjacent to the existing steep (1.5:1) slope, presents a potential slope stability hazard to existing or proposed buildings close to the top of the slope. Potential slope-stability hazards along Macalla Road can be addressed by limiting construction of new buildings to at least 100 feet from the existing top of slope. Conceptual improvement setbacks from top of slope will be identified in the Major Phase submittals. Final setbacks will be provided with the Sub-Phase applications.

6.2.4 Strengthening of the Viaduct

The Viaduct structures are part of the vehicular access routes on YBI connecting TI to YBI, and by extension to San Francisco and the Greater Bay Area. The Viaduct structure extends from the San Francisco-Oakland Bay Bridge, along the western edge of YBI, and terminates at the start of the causeway. In addition to being part of the primary vehicular access route, the Viaduct also contains utility mains (domestic/fire water and telecommunications) serving TI via the Causeway.

Improvements to the viaduct structures are currently being studied by the City and will be carried out separately from the Project.

6.3 Phase of Geotechnical Stabilization

Geotechnical stabilization will occur in phases to match the Sub-Phases of the Project. The amount of stabilization will be the minimum necessary for the Sub-Phase. The stabilization of smaller areas will allow the existing utility services and vehicular access areas to remain in place as long as possible in order to reduce disruption of existing uses on the Islands.

6.4 Schedule for Additional Geotechnical Studies

The Conceptual Design reports described above will be submitted with the Major Phase applications. Conceptual setbacks required for the stabilization activity to protect the existing structures and utility systems scheduled to remain will be identified with the Major Phase application.

The Developer will complete the necessary site testing to confirm the geotechnical approach described above for each Sub-Phase area during the Sub-Phase application process. The Developer will then prepare Final Geotechnical Reports for each Sub-Phase. The Final Reports will be submitted with each Sub-Phase application. Final Reports are not expected to substantially change the approach described here. The Final Geotechnical Reports for each Sub-Phase will identify the required setbacks for the stabilization activity to protect the existing structures and utility systems scheduled to remain.

7. SITE GRADING AND DRAINAGE

7.1 Existing Site Conditions

7.1.1 Existing Site Elevations

The existing grades on TI are relatively flat from end to end. The ground elevations range from approximately 6 (NAVD 88) in the northwestern edge of the island to approximately 14 (NAVD 88) near the southern edge. The existing perimeter shoreline area around TI generally ranges from elevation 10 to 14 (NAVD 88).

The existing grades on YBI vary dramatically across the island. The ground elevations range from 0 (NAVD 88) near the water's edge up to 340 (NAVD 88) at the peak near the middle of YBI.

7.1.2 Existing FEMA Flood Plain Areas

The Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) prepared preliminary Flood Insurance Rate Maps ("FIRMs") for the City, including Treasure Island, in September 2007. The preliminary FIRM for Treasure Island identified existing special flood hazard areas described as "Zone V" (perimeter shoreline areas subject to additional hazards that accompany wave action) and "Zone A" (inland areas subject to 100-year flood). Figure 7.1 shows the approximate extent of the existing 100-year special flood hazard area, which are likely to be adopted by FEMA.

As shown in Figure 7.1, Yerba Buena Island is located outside of the proposed 100-year special flood hazard zone.

7.2 Proposed Grading Requirements

The FEMA requirements for setting coastal flooding elevations include two components; 1) perimeter shoreline areas, and 2) inland areas. The flood elevations for the perimeter shoreline areas are dictated by the still water 100-year tide elevation (Base Flood Elevation) plus the potential for wave run-up. The potential wave heights and geometry of the perimeter shoreline will dictate the horizontal extent of the area considered to be "shoreline". Because the inland areas are protected from wave run-up by the perimeter shoreline, the flood elevations for the inland areas are dictated by the Base Flood Elevation (BFE) only.

Figure 5.1 shows the perimeter area and inland areas for TI.

7.2.1 100-Year Design Tide Elevations (Base Flood Elevation)

Based on FEMA's standard, the 100-year design tide elevation, or Base Flood Elevation (BFE) is based on a combination of coincident events including tides, storm surges, and waves that result in a 1% annual chance of flooding. Moffatt & Nichol completed an Extreme High Water Level Analysis to determine the BFE as part of their April 2009 "Coastal Flooding Study for Treasure Island". Based on their review of the historic tide data for the San Francisco Bay the BFE for Treasure Island is 9.2 (NAVD 88) under current tide conditions.

7.2.2 Potential Sea Level Rise

The potential for sea level rise induced by global warming could increase the BFE in the future. The State of California's 2009 Draft Climate Adaptation Strategy Report includes guidance to State agencies addressing climate change adaptation, and BCDC has proposed Bay Plan amendment language, which includes guidance for addressing future sea level rise (SLR) scenarios associated with planning and permitting development in potentially susceptible areas. Both recommend using the following SLR forecast for planning purposes:

- 16 inches by 2050
- 55 inches by 2100

A description of Sea Level Rise and the Adaptive Management strategy proposed for the Treasure Island grading design is included in Section 5.

7.2.3 Long Term Settlement

As described in Section 6, geotechnical stabilization techniques will be utilized to create a stable platform for the proposed development. The stabilization techniques will mitigate the potential for settlement due to liquefaction in the sandy soils and compression of the bay mud below the site. The final grading plans will be developed to accommodate the additional minimal amounts of long term settlement anticipated due to secondary compression of the soils or minimal amounts of remaining liquefaction due to seismic events.

7.3 Site Grading Designs

The Developer will be responsible for the design and construction of the proposed grading plan for Treasure Island. A description of the grading design for the different areas of the Island is included

below. The conceptual grading plans for TI and YBI are shown on Figures 7.2 and 7.3, respectively.

7.3.1 TI Perimeter Wave Protection

As described below, the minimum internal site grades will assure that the new structures within the development area are at least 36-inches plus 6-inches of freeboard above the current BFE. Therefore, the perimeter shoreline is not considered a levee under current tide conditions, and would not be in the future until more than 36 inches of sea level rise occurs. Instead, the perimeter area will function as a berm to protect the interior of the Island from wave run-up.

The final elevations for the perimeter shoreline areas will be set such that there is only a 1% chance of wave overtopping due to a combination of high tides, swell, wind waves, tsunami, and shoreline conditions. The final design heights and types of shoreline protection designs at each location along the perimeter will depend on the orientation of the shoreline (i.e. wave heights) and the proposed adjacent land plan. The perimeter designs in each location will be based on the current tide conditions to meet the FEMA wave protection standards plus 16-inches to accommodate the potential 2050 sea level rise estimates, plus additional elevation to accommodate minor long term settlement amounts. In addition, the perimeter designs will provide the ability to make future changes to adjust the height of the perimeter, and/or convert it to a levee, if merited because of sea level rise.

7.3.2 Proposed Building and Roadway Areas

As described above, the minimum grades for the site beyond the perimeter shoreline areas are only influenced by the BFE and are not affected by wave run-up. According to the FEMA requirements, in order for the proposed building areas to be above the Zone A flood plain, the proposed finished floor elevations and below grade garage entrance elevations must remain above the BFE (elevation 9.2). While FEMA does not require an allowance for sea level rise, the building elevations will be set to accommodate up to 36-inches of sea level rise as well as an additional 6-inches of freeboard. Therefore, the minimum finished floor elevations and garage entrances for the proposed buildings will be set at 12.7 (9.2 BFE + 36" SLR + 6" freeboard) plus additional elevation to accommodate minor long term settlement amounts where applicable. In general, the final building finished floor elevations and garage entrances will increase as they

move away from the shoreline. The grades will vary between 12.7 and 14.5 (NAVD 88) in order to provide overland release to the perimeter of the island.

7.3.2.1 Saw Tooth Grading Scheme for Streets

To minimize the amount of fill required for TI, the streets will be graded in a “saw tooth” fashion with a minimum 0.5% slope between grade breaks. Sawtoothed grading alternates between high and low points creating a “saw” like grading pattern. This pattern allows for positive drainage in the streets while maintaining minimal elevation differences between the high and low points.

The “saw tooth” grading plan will be developed in conjunction with the design of the stormwater system. The runoff from a 100-year storm during a 100-year tide with 16-inches of SLR will be stored within the street curb lines. The stormwater runoff during these extreme events will be allowed to pond to a maximum depth equal to top of curb at low point and then flow into the piped system as capacity becomes available.

The “saw tooth” grading plan will provide overland release paths by increasing the elevation of the high points at a slope of approximately 0.2% away from the shoreline/open space towards the center of the Island. Low points will be placed in between the high points so that the downstream high point elevation is equal to or lower than the top of curb elevation at the upstream low point. The downstream high point may be raised to the back of walk/right of way line if an acceptable wastewater vent trap detail, backwater valve, or other alternate design solution is approved by the SFPUC. This overland release design will protect the new building finished floors from storms/tides larger than the 100-year event or system maintenance issue such as blocked catch basins or pipes. During either of these unlikely events, stormwater may pond up to the top of curb (or back of walk/right of way if approved by SFPUC) elevation before releasing to the downstream drainage basins. This will continue through the downstream basins until there is capacity in the storm system or storm water is released to the open space. The new building finish floor elevations will be above the back of walk/right of way elevation and therefore protected from flooding. The ponding depth and overland release occurrence for various storm events are summarized below. The typical

sawcut grading profile is shown on Figure 7.5 and the potential ponding at catch basins is shown on Figure 7.6.

Table 7.1: Street Ponding Depth and Overland Release Summary

Storm Event	Ponding Depth for:		
	Current Tide	16-inches SLR	Maintenance Concerns
Treatment	No Ponding (0 inches)	No Ponding (0 inches)	Up to Top of Curb
5-Year	No Ponding (0 inches)	No Ponding (0 inches)	Up to Top of Curb
100-Year	Top of Curb (6- inches)	Top of Curb (6- inches)	Up to Top of Curb

7.3.3 Open Space Areas

The minimum elevations for the open space areas will be set at the existing BFE (elevation 9.2) plus additional elevation to accommodate minor long term settlement amounts where applicable. The open spaces will be graded to support the open space vision and program for the Project. Lower portions of the open space areas may experience minimal amounts of ponding during large rainstorms occurring simultaneously with 100-year tides, depending on their locations and rain watershed area. The depth of ponding during these events will be minimal for the peak high tide duration (approximately 2 hours) and will drain once the tide subsides. Future sea level rise will increase the amount of ponding during the larger rain events and high tides but will not impact the building areas. As described in Section 12, the pump stations added to the storm water outfalls after 16-inches of sea level rise will reduce the ponding in the open space areas to levels and durations equal to the existing BFE conditions. The horizontal limits and depth of ponding in the open space areas will be developed in coordination with the SFPUC prior to approval of the Major Phase and Sub-Phase applications.

The open space areas may include localized landscape mounding to create wind breaks and overlook areas. These landforms may range in height above surrounding grades from a few feet to 35 feet at their highest points.

7.3.4 Historic Buildings, School Site, and Job Corps Structures to Remain

Historic Buildings 1, 2, and 3, as well as the Job Corps buildings and School buildings will remain on TI. The existing finished floor elevations for these structures range from elevation 8.5 to 13.2. These finished floors as well as the ground adjacent to the buildings will not be raised as part of the Project. The new street improvements adjacent to these facilities will be constructed to grades of 12.0 to 15. The grade difference between the existing buildings and proposed improvements will be mitigated by grading transition areas or with low walls, ramps, stairs and/or planters. The Developer will design and install the grading transition and pumps, if required. Ownership and maintenance of the local stormwater system on public lands will be by SFPUC or TIDA.

7.3.5 Wastewater Treatment Plant

The existing grades for the existing wastewater treatment plant vary from approximately 10.4 to 12.6. The proposed surrounding grades of the open space area will be lower than the WWTP area. The existing grades of the facility will remain until the WWTP is upgraded/replaced by the SFPUC.

7.3.6 YBI Site Grading

Because of the natural topography on YBI, the site grades for the proposed buildings, as well as the existing grades for the historic structures, are significantly above both the BFE and sea level rise allowances. Grading on YBI is instead influenced by construction, maintenance, and access. The grading improvements for YBI will include demolition of existing structures, reshaping portions of the roads for better access, regrading of development pads, and reshaping portions of hillsides for erosion control and landscaping. Retaining walls and grading operations associated with street improvements will be minimized as much as possible, in an effort to retain existing topography. The conceptual grading plan for YBI is shown on Figure 7.3 and the approximate total area for grading activity on YBI is shown on Figure 7.4.

7.4 Cut/Fill Quantities

The combination of the geotechnical stabilization described in Section 6, the site grade elevations for TI based on the approach described above, and landscape mounding in the open space, will require approximately 400,000 cubic yards (cy) of cut and 2-million cy of fill. In addition, basement excavations for the new buildings will generate approximately 500,000 cy of soil that can be used as

fill. Therefore, for the purposes of this Infrastructure Plan, the Project is estimated to require approximately 1.1-million cubic yards of net soil import to complete the grading activity.

The import soil required may be barged and/or trucked to TI. The barges anticipated to be used can move up to 1,000 cubic yards of dirt. Therefore, approximately 1,110 barge round trips would be required to complete the total import operation, if used solely. Trucks can typically carry approximately 10 to 15 cubic yards in one load. Therefore, approximately 110,000 truck trips will be required to complete the total import operation, if used solely. The Project anticipates a combination of barges and trucks. The final number of trips for each mode will depend on the location of the soil source and will be spread over the construction period of the Project.

The grading activity on YBI will be a combination of cuts and fills to develop the proposed roadway alignments and building pads. The grading activity on YBI will yield approximately 80,000 to 100,000 cubic yards of export. This material will be trucked to TI and used as fill.

7.5 Proposed Phases of Grading and Drainage Construction

The geotechnical stabilization and the proposed grading will be completed in phases to match the Sub-Phases of the Project. The amount of grading will be the minimum necessary for the Sub-Phase. The phasing of grading will allow the Project to minimize the disruption to the existing uses on Treasure Island and to limit the amount of import needed for any given phase.


Impacts to improvements installed with previous Phases of development do to the designs of the new Phase will be the responsibility of the Developer and addressed prior to approval of the construction drawings for the new Phase.

LEGEND

APPROXIMATE PRELIMINARY SPECIAL
FLOOD HAZARD AREAS

■ ZONE V – COASTAL FLOOD
ZONE (WAVE ACTION)


▣ ZONE A – INLAND AREAS
SUBJECT TO 100-YEAR FLOOD





Source: BKF Engineers, October 2010


EX GD: EXISTING GRADE
PR GD: PROPOSED GRADE
RWTF: RECYCLED WATER TREATMENT FACILITY
WWTF: WASTEWATER TREATMENT FACILITY
NOTE: ELEVATIONS SHOWN ARE BASED
ON THE NAVD88 DATUM.





LEGEND

-  PROPOSED GRADING AREA
-  CALTRANS RIGHT OF WAY




SEE FIGURE 8.6 FOR STREET NAMES AND
FIGURE 8.10 FOR UPDATED CONFIGURATION
OF SIGNAL ROAD AS OF 3/12/2020

Source: BRF Engineers, October 2010


Treasure Island Infrastructure Plan

Figure 7.4: Approximate Area of Grading Activity on YBI




NOTE: HIGH POINT ELEVATIONS ARE LOWER THAN THE TOP OF CURB ELEVATIONS AT THE UPSTREAM LOW POINT.


SCHEMATIC PROFILE OF TYPICAL "SAWTOOTH" GRADING



MAXIMUM PONDING FOR 100-YEAR STORM DURING 100-YEAR TIDE WITH 16" SLR



ONE BLOCKED CATCH BASIN OR PIPE (MAINTENANCE ISSUE)



TWO BLOCKED CATCH BASINS OR PIPE (MAINTENANCE ISSUE)

LEGEND:
 HPFL = FLOWLINE ELEVATION AT HIGH POINT
 LPBW = BACK OF WALK ELEVATION AT LOW POINT

NOTE: MAX HPFL ELEVATION IS EQUAL TO LOW POINT TOP OF CURB ELEVATION.

8. TRANSPORTATION AND STREETS DESIGN

The transportation program relies on the use of alternative transit modes (buses and ferries) for off-Island trips and shuttle/pedestrian/bike facilities for on-Island travel. One of the key elements of the transportation program is the construction of a new ferry quay and terminal on the western shore of TI in front of historic Building One. Immediately adjacent to the ferry quay is a new bus transit facility. These two uses anchor the “Transit Hub”. The Land Use Plan for TI is centered on this Transit Hub and Building One with the new street system radiating out to the surrounding neighborhoods and open space.

8.1 Transit System

The Ferry Terminal and bus transit facility will be key elements of the Island's Intermodal Transit Hub, providing a focus for ticket sales, travel and tourist information. Bus stops and facilities for East Bay and San Francisco bus service providers, shuttle service stops, bicycle parking, a pool of shared bicycles (“Bicycle Library”), a car share pod, and administration/office space for the new Treasure Island Transportation Management Agency (“TITMA”) would be located at or near the Transit Hub.

8.1.1 Bus Service

Buses from San Francisco and the East Bay would arrive and depart from the Transit Hub. Figure 8.1 shows the proposed SFMUNI and AC Transit bus routes from the Bay Bridge to Treasure Island and the potential future bus routes around TI. Figure 8.2 shows the Transit Hub area with the dedicated bus route and bus services (stops, layovers, etc.). Upon completion of the proposed westbound on/off ramps on the eastern side of YBI, the existing west bound on ramp to San Francisco on the western side of the YBI tunnel will be restricted to buses only.

8.1.2 Island Shuttle Service

The Project will include a fleet of up to four electric or alternative fuel shuttles for circulation around the Islands. The shuttles would be free to all users and would serve residential, commercial, and open space areas on TI and YBI. The shuttles would operate primarily on three routes: one would serve the west side of TI, another would serve the east side of TI, and the third would serve YBI. The proposed routes are shown on Figure 8.3.

8.1.3 Ferry Service

Ferry service between the west side of Treasure Island and the San Francisco Ferry Building is proposed as part of the Project. This Plan makes a basic distinction between “landside” and “waterside” functions of the ferry terminal and service. “Landside” includes all the functions of ferry service and multi-modal exchange that will occur over stable land which is not subject to fluctuations and movement due to the tides and wave action of the bay. “Waterside” includes all the functions of ferry service that will occur on or over the water and that are subject to the fluctuations and movement due to the tides and wave action of the bay. Generally the passenger waiting area, passenger services, staff facilities and light maintenance are considered “landside”, while any gangways, transfer spans, floats, piles, seawall, breakwater and vessels are considered “waterside”.

8.1.3.1 Waterside Improvements

The Developer will be responsible for the design and initial construction of the ferry quay, docks, breakwaters, and basin.

The ferry quay will include two ferry slips for side-loading ferries. The design will allow for the side-loading slips to be replaced with bow-loading slips in the future, but the improvements made by Developer will not address this shift.

The ferry slips would be in a basin protected by angled breakwaters made of precast concrete sheet piles. The basin would have a generally trapezoidal shape created by the angled breakwaters, with a waterside entry about 200 to 300 feet wide. The breakwaters would be asymmetrical, with the longer one on the north side of the basin and the opening directed slightly southward (see Figure 8.4).

The ferry improvements would be constructed in two phases. The first phase would construct the northern, longer breakwater first, along with the ferry slips and passenger facilities. The southern breakwater would be constructed several years later, depending on a range of factors including desired frequency of service and routine maintenance dredging requirements.

Navigation lights would be provided on the breakwaters to mark the harbor entrance. The southern breakwater would have additional lighting for safety and accessibility if it is open to

public access. Public access on the northern breakwater is not proposed, as it could occasionally be overtopped by high waves.

To construct the basin, about 4.9 acres (about 227,000 sq. ft.) would have to be dredged to a depth of about 16-feet at the basin shoreline.

The two angled concrete sheet pile breakwaters, about 350 and 800 feet long, would be constructed, and riprap would be installed along the shore of the basin and the shore ends of the breakwaters for wave suppression. Piles for hydraulic supports for the two transfer spans and aprons leading to each ferry would be installed, as would guide piles to support the boarding float. Additional piles for wingwalls and guide piles, with mooring dolphins or fender walls, would also be installed. The transfer spans would be constructed and installed. In addition, the shoreline would be improved and some existing riprap would be replaced. The total area of embankment affected by this shoreline treatment (from the Bay floor to the mean high water level) would be about 1.12 acres.

8.1.3.2 Landside Improvements

The Developer will be responsible for the design and initial construction of the landside improvements. Interim improvements will be provided with initial ferry operations and be sufficient to support basic passenger needs. The permanent improvements will be designed in accordance with the Design for Development and with TIDA approval. Final permanent improvements will be provided with the second phase of the waterside improvements, construction of the southern breakwater.

Interim improvements will include the following elements:

- **Landscape/Hardscape/Streetscape.** Asphalt hardscape areas, including the waiting areas for the shuttle and transbay bus services and initial bicycle storage and bicycle library facilities.
- **Passenger Waiting Areas.** Weather protected shelter for up to 199 passengers.
- **Gangways.** The gangways should be constructed of materials which are inherently resistant to rust and decay from exposure to the salt water environment. The gangways should accommodate multiple railing/queuing configurations to accommodate normal and special event use.

Permanent improvements will include the following elements:

- **Landscape/Hardscape/Streetscape.** The hardscape should be abuse resistant, allow for easy maintenance and if necessary allow removal to access changing or evolving program requirements for utilities and future modifications. This area would accommodate non-ferry transit and transportation connections, including the waiting areas for the shuttle and transbay bus services, bicycle storage, the bicycle library, and kiss-and-ride facilities.
- **Passenger Waiting Areas.** (15,000-20,000 SF) The passenger waiting areas should provide shelter from the elements, primarily rain and wind. Seating and other amenities for passenger waiting to board the ferry should be designed easy to clean, abuse resistant materials. Includes primary passenger waiting areas, overflow waiting areas, and circulation requirements.
- **Passenger Services.** (approximately 750 SF) Ticket Vendors, Newspaper, ATM and other vending equipment should be collected at areas along primary circulation routes.
- **Staff Facilities and Maintenance/Operations Area.** (1,000 – 1,500 SF) Staff facilities would include a security office and storage for crew and general operations. Staff restrooms could be provided either in the terminal building or in Building 1. Staff parking would not be provided; staff needing parking would use other Island parking facilities. The operations areas should be located adjacent to service vehicular access points with direct routes to the float and vessels. Operations functions include maintenance storage, mechanical equipment, and trash/janitorial.
- **Gangways.** The gangways should be constructed of materials which are inherently resistant to rust and decay from exposure to the salt water environment. The gangways should accommodate multiple railing/queuing configurations to accommodate normal and special event use.

8.2 Public Street System

The Developer will be responsible for the design and construction of the public streets shown on Figure 8.5. Improvements will generally include the following:

- pavement section
- concrete curbs/gutters
- concrete sidewalk and curb ramps
- traffic control signs and striping

- traffic signals
- street lighting
- street landscaping and trees
- stormwater treatment facilities
- street furnishings (includes, but are not limited to, benches, trash cans, bike support facilities and pedestrian scale lighting)

8.2.1 Street and Block Numbers

A system of street and block numbers has been created to facilitate planning and design coordination, see Figure 8.6. Most street names on YBI are current names and are expected to remain in use. Almost all street names on TI are considered temporary and solely for planning use. Final names will be selected in the future.

8.2.2 Roadway Dimensions

The vehicular lane widths are dictated by the proposed bus routes (see Figure 8.1). Vehicular lanes will be 12-feet wide for street segments where buses travel in two directions past each other, 11-feet where buses travel in one direction and do not pass, and 10-feet for streets with no SFMUNI or AC Transit service. Lane widths are measured from face of curbs and center line of lane striping.

The minimum vehicular travel way dimension will be 20-feet to accommodate fire truck access. The minimum 20-feet will not include parking on any of the streets including the Shared Public Way, but will include bike lanes on the one-way portion of Macalla Road.

Class II bike lanes will be 5-feet wide measured from face of curb to the center line of lane striping.

Parallel parking stalls within the street right of way will be 7-feet wide when adjacent to vehicular travel ways and 8-feet wide when adjacent to Class II bike lanes.

Planting areas and pedestrian sidewalks will vary depending on location.

8.2.3 TI Public Street System

The proposed public street network for TI is shown on Figure 8.7. Typical cross sections for these streets are included on Figure 8.8. There are four major classifications for the proposed public street system. A typical description for each type of street follows:

Major Arterial Streets (Primary Access)

Major Arterial streets will comprise the main west/east and north/south streets on TI, which will provide access between the new neighborhoods and open space and the intermodal transit hub adjacent to the Ferry Terminal, as well as to the Causeway and the Bay Bridge.

Secondary Arterial Streets (Primary Access)

Secondary Arterial Streets will comprise the remaining bus routes around TI. This includes the bus route around the Transit Hub and the potential future bus routes along the western and southern edges of TI.

Collector Streets (Neighborhood Access)

Collector streets will comprise the second level of roadways. They provide circulation loops to facilitate movement through and around the urban core, developed neighborhoods, Job Corps campus, and to the island's open space zones including access to the island's perimeter.

Shared Public Way (Pedestrian Focused)

Shared Public Ways are proposed for TI within both the Cityside and Island Center neighborhoods that will prioritize pedestrian use of the entire right-of-way while allowing occasional slow-moving vehicles to access local land uses and parking (both on-street and off-street but never within the 20-foot emergency vehicle access path) and provide necessary services. Working collaboratively with City Departments like Department of Public Works, Municipal Transportation Agency and the Mayor's Office of Disability to adopt the Shared Public Way as a "Dedicated Public Street" in the City's Subdivision code, this right-of-way is designed from property line to property line as a single surface between street and sidewalk areas that gives pedestrians priority and shares space among pedestrians, bicycles, occasional slow-moving vehicles, and public space uses. Shared

Public Ways may be designed with special paving, a variety of amenities, landscaping, and seating, and pockets of on-street parking, to create an environment that encourages public space use and slows occasional vehicles.

8.2.4 Angled Intersections on TI

The Project utilizes angled streets to maximize access to sunlight and views while minimizing the effects of wind on neighborhood public spaces. Where angled intersections occur, the east/west streets will cross the north/south streets at a 68-degree angle as shown in Figure 8.9. The angled intersections will be designed to provide the required vehicular sight distance triangles as defined by the American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials (AASHTO).

8.2.5 YBI Public Street System

The street locations on YBI will generally remain in existing locations with improvements for improved fire truck access and added connections for pedestrian and bicycle paths to the new east span of the Bay Bridge and TI. The proposed public street network for YBI is shown on Figure 8.10. Typical cross sections for these streets are included on Figure 8.11. Similar to TI, there are four main levels for the hierarchy of streets on YBI. A typical description for each type of street follows:

Major Arterial Streets (Primary Access)

Major Arterial streets on YBI will comprise the access from the Bay Bridge down to TI. On the western side of YBI this will include Treasure Island Road. On the eastern side this will include the one way Macalla Road.

Secondary Arterial Streets (Primary Access)

The Secondary Arterial Street on YBI will be the two-way Yerba Buena Road up to the central development area and open space at the top of the island.

Collector Streets (Neighborhood Access)

The Collector Streets on YBI will be a one-way section of Yerba Buena Road starting at the hotel and traveling around the western side of the island and the two-way Signal Road providing access to Hilltop Park.

Private Streets

The primary access to homes within the main western and eastern residential districts will be private streets.

8.2.6 YBI Private Street System

The primary access to homes within the main western and eastern residential districts on YBI will be private streets. The private streets will be designed and developed in concert with the private development. Final locations and configuration of the private streets will be developed in conjunction with detailed development plans for these residential districts. Public Service Easements (PSE) will be recorded over these private streets for the public utilities needed to serve the units.

8.2.7 North Gate Road

The improvements to North Gate Drive will be limited to a 2-inch overlay from Macalla to the Coast Guard entrance once all of the utility systems have been installed.

8.2.8 Retaining Walls within Public Street Right of Way

The construction of the Public Right of Ways may require retaining walls. As described in Section 6.2.2, it is anticipated that several of the existing retaining walls within the proposed development footprint will be modified or rebuilt due to grade changes and road realignment. The condition of retaining walls proposed to remain in place will be evaluated on a case-by-case basis during final design. These walls may be seismically retrofitted or replaced to comply with City and County of San Francisco and CBC codes and the design-level geotechnical report.

8.3 Fire Department Access

The primary fire department access streets are shown on Figure 8.12. Fire trucks will utilize the entire travel way for turning movements at intersections. Intersections will be designed to provide 7-feet clear when fire trucks enter on-coming travel lanes as shown on Figure 8.13.

Fire truck turnaround locations will be coordinated with the SFFD and constructed consistent with the Fire Code at dead-end street locations.

The final street layouts and cross sections will be developed during the Major Phase and Sub Phase applications. The final configurations will be reviewed by the SFFD for conformance to the Fire Code.

8.4 Structural Street Sections

The structural cross section for all new on-grade roadways will comply with the requirements of the San Francisco Subdivision Code. Roadway cross sections will consist of eight inches of Portland Cement Concrete and a two-inch asphalt concrete wearing surface for Collector Streets, and a three-inch asphalt concrete wearing surface for Arterials. Alternative cross sections such as asphalt concrete wearing surface over Class 2 aggregate base, porous paving, and decorative pavement (patterned concrete, patterned asphalt, paving stones, etc.) may be used if approved by SFDPW.

8.5 Traffic Signals and Street Lights

The Developer will design and construct four traffic signals along California Avenue and Palm Avenue as shown in Figure 8.2. One additional pedestrian crossing signal may be constructed at a mid-block pedestrian crossing on California Avenue if required. A traffic signal may also be constructed at the intersection of Hillcrest and South Gate Road. Where possible, the electrical service for the Traffic signals will be located within the joint trench (refer to Section 13). All traffic signals shall be designed and constructed to the specifications and approvals of the San Francisco Municipal Transportation Agency (SFMTA).

The Developer will design and construct street lights. Street lighting shall comply with City of San Francisco standards for light levels and acceptable fixtures. Alternative street light fixtures will be allowed as approved by the SFPUC and SFDPW. Where possible, the electrical service for the street lights will be located within the joint trench (refer to Section 13).

The Developer will design and construct all street and traffic signs as well as pavement markings to the specifications and approvals of the SFMTA.

8.6 Public Bike and Pedestrian Paths

The Developer will design and construct public bike and pedestrian paths throughout Treasure Island. The conceptual location of bike and pedestrian paths are shown on Figure 8.14.

8.7 Bay Bridge Access

As part of the new eastern span of the Bay Bridge, Caltrans will be constructing a new east-bound on-ramp on the eastern side of the YBI tunnel. The east-bound off-ramp at this location will remain in substantially the same existing configuration upon completion of the new bridge.

The City and Caltrans are currently designing replacement westbound on- and off-ramps on the eastern side of the YBI tunnel. This Infrastructure Plan assumes that these new ramps will be completed as part of the construction of the new eastern span of the Bay Bridge.

On the western side of the YBI tunnel, the exiting east-bound off-ramp and west-bound on-ramp will remain. Upon completion of the new west-bound on-ramp on the eastern side of the tunnel, the existing west-bound on-ramp on the western side of the tunnel will be dedicated to SF MUNI bus only, providing a means of queue-jumping for the busses.

8.8 Acceptance and Maintenance of Public Street Improvements

The Authority or SFDPW will be responsible for maintenance of the existing roadways until replaced by the Developer.

Upon acceptance of the new and/or improved public streets by San Francisco Department of Public Works (SFDPW), responsibility for the operation and maintenance of the roadway, streetscape elements, and retaining walls will be designated as defined in the various City of San Francisco Municipal Codes. Responsibility for accepted street improvements for streets within the Public Trust, as shown in Figure 8.15, will be determined separately.

Upon acceptance of the private streets by SFDPW, responsibility for the operation and maintenance will be by the neighborhood homeowners association.

8.9 Acceptance of Public Bike and Pedestrian Paths

Upon acceptance of the public bike and pedestrian paths by SFDPW, responsibility for the operation and maintenance of the paths will be designated as defined in the various City of San Francisco Municipal Codes. Responsibility for accepted path improvements for paths within the Public Trust, as shown in Figure 8.15, will be determined separately.

8.10 Coast Guard and Job Corps

The Developer will not replace the roadways within the Coast Guard and Job Corps properties. The Developer will construct the new roadway systems up to the boundary of these two property owners and connect to their existing roadway network to maintain the existing access points.

8.11 Phasing of New Roadway Construction

The Developer will construct the new roadway system in phases to match the Sub-Phases of the Project. The amount of the existing roadway repaired and/or replaced will be the minimum necessary to serve the Sub-Phase. The Sub-Phase will connect to the existing roadways as close to the edge of the Sub-Phase area as possible while maintaining safe access to the new development and the remainder of the Island. The existing land uses on Treasure Island will continue to utilize the existing roadways until the existing uses are demolished. Repairs and/or replacement of the existing facilities necessary to serve the sub-phase will be designed and constructed by the Developer. Fire truck turnaround areas will be coordinated with the SFFD and constructed by the Developer consistent with the Fire Code.


The Developer will provide an existing conditions report for the existing streets scheduled to remain adjacent to the Sub-Phase prior to the geotechnical mitigation activity. The report will include the conditions of the original street system on TI as well as the new system constructed with previous phases adjacent to the new Phase. The report will be updated at the end of the geotechnical mitigation activity and again at the end of the construction of the Sub-Phase. The limit of the report and how the conditions of the systems are determined will be coordinated with the SFPUC and/or SFDPW. The Developer will be responsible for damage to the existing streets, and/or newly installed streets on previous phases, due to geotechnical mitigation activity and/or construction of the proposed improvements. The Developer will make the necessary repairs as required and be responsible for any permit violations due to the damage.


The Authority or SFDPW will be responsible for maintenance of existing roadways until replaced by the Developer. The SFDPW will be responsible for the new roadways once construction of the Sub-Phase or new roadway facility is complete and accepted by the SFDPW.

8.12 SFMTA Infrastructure

SFMTA Infrastructure is defined as:

- Security monitors and cameras
- Signals and Signal Interconnects
- TPS signal preempt detectors
- Conduit containing TPS signal cables
- Shelters (with Vendor)
- Paint – poles and asphalt delineating coach stops
- Asphalt painting for transit lanes
- Departure prediction (“NextBus”) monitors and related communications equipment
- Bicycle racks
- Crosswalk striping
- Bike lane and facility striping
- APS/Pedestrian crossing signals
- Street Signs"






Source: BKF Engineers, October 2010


LEGEND

- PRELIMINARY SHUTTLE ROUTE
- PRELIMINARY SHUTTLE STOPS



SEE FIGURE 8.6 FOR STREET NAMES AND
FIGURE 8.10 FOR UPDATED CONFIGURATION
OF SIGNAL ROAD AS OF 3/12/2020


Source: BRF Engineers, October 2010



0 150

LEGEND

— PUBLIC STREET




1 3/12/2020 REVISED TO INCLUDE SIGNAL ROAD AS PUBLIC STREET

Source: BKF Engineers, October 2010


LEGEND

DEVELOPMENT BLOCK






1 3/12/2020 REVISED TO REMOVE SIGNAL ROAD FROM THE DEVELOPMENT BLOCK.

Source: BRF Engineers, October 2010




Source: BKF Engineers, February 2011







AVENUE C (NORTH)




AVENUE C (ADJACENT TO JOB CORPS)




PALM DRIVE (ADJACENT TO FERRY QUAY)




STREET KEY




CLIPPER COVE AVENUE (B1 BLOCK - WEST)




CLIPPER COVE AVENUE (B1 BLOCK - EAST)




CLIPPER COVE AVENUE (M1 BLOCK)




CLIPPER COVE AVENUE (B2 & B3 BLOCKS)




STREET KEY




RETAIL STREET (BETWEEN B1 & M1)





RETAIL STREET (MID-BLOCK M1)




SECONDARY ARTERIAL



STREET KEY







SHARED PUBLIC WAY


SHARED PUBLIC WAY (PEDESTRIAN FOCUSED)







The Shared Public Way is a new street typology for the City of San Francisco being implemented on Treasure Island. It is meant to favor pedestrian activity with limited vehicular access and low vehicle speeds. Shared Public Ways prioritize pedestrian use of the entire right-of-way while allowing occasional slow-moving vehicles to access local land uses and parking, and provide necessary services. Treasure Island Shared Public Ways will be designed with special paving, a variety of amenities, landscaping, seating, and pockets of on-street loading (not parking), to create an environment that encourages public space use and slows occasional vehicles.








ANGLED INTERSECTION






SEE FIGURE 8.6 FOR STREET NAMES AND
FIGURE 8.10 FOR UPDATED CONFIGURATION
OF SIGNAL ROAD AS OF 3/12/2020

Source: BRF Engineers, February 2011




SEE FIGURE 8.6 FOR STREET NAMES AND
FIGURE 8.10 FOR UPDATED CONFIGURATION
OF SIGNAL ROAD AS OF 3/12/2020


Source: BRF Engineers, October 2010




1 3/12/2020 REVISED TO UPDATE MACALLA ROAD SECTION TO MATCH CURRENT YBI STREET IMPROVEMENT PERMITS




STREET KEY



SECONDARY ARTERIAL STREET - YERBA BUENA ROAD




COLLECTOR STREET - YERBA BUENA ROAD





COLLECTOR STREET - SIGNAL ROAD

3/12/2020 REVISED TO ADD SECTION FOR SIGNAL ROAD




STREET KEY





STREET KEY


1 3/12/2020 REVISED TO REMOVE SIGNAL ROAD FROM PRIVATE STREETS

Source: BRF Engineers, October 2010






0 40



INTERSECTION AT MACALLA RD AND YERBA BUENA ROAD ON YBI



0 40



LEGEND


- ||— CLASS II BIKE LANE
(DEDICATED BIKE LANE IN STREET & PED ON S/W)
- - - - CLASS III BIKE LANE
(BIKE/AUTO IN STREET AND PED ON SIDEWALK)
- + + + + + BIKE/PED PATH
- - - BIKE ONLY
- PED PATH



SEE FIGURE 8.6 FOR STREET NAMES AND
FIGURE 8.10 FOR UPDATED CONFIGURATION
OF SIGNAL ROAD AS OF 3/12/2020

Source: BKF Engineers, February 2011





9. POTABLE WATER SYSTEM

9.1 Existing System

9.1.1 Existing Water Supply

There are two existing sources of water supply serving Treasure Island. The primary supply is provided by the San Francisco Public Utilities Commission (SFPUC) through an existing 10-inch diameter steel pipe attached to the western span of the Bay Bridge. Water is pumped across the bridge by a pumping station located at 475 Spear Street in San Francisco. The station contains four pumps each rated at 900 gallons per minute (gpm). The station can run a maximum of two pumps at a time for a maximum station output of 1,800 gpm.

The East Bay Municipal Utility District (EBMUD) provides the existing emergency supply of water. The Navy's emergency water line begins at an EBMUD meter located in Beach Street in Emeryville. From the EBMUD meter location, the Navy's 12-inch diameter ductile iron main runs to an existing pump station located at Pier E23 of the existing Bay Bridge in Oakland. Water is then pumped through a 12-inch diameter steel pipe attached to the eastern span of the Bay Bridge. This water supply charges the fire hydrants on the Bridge and is connected to the existing water tanks on YBI for an emergency backup water supply. The maximum flow rate for this system is reported to be 1,500 gpm. There is currently an agreement in place between EBMUD and the Navy that limits the average annual flow 61 gallons per minute to maintain water quality in the line on the bridge. Actual average annual flows are well below that limit, at approximately 35 gpm. The SFPUC will provide emergency water supply services to the Project. The Navy's emergency water line is intended to be transferred first to the Authority and ultimately to the SFPUC, subject to future negotiation and agreement. Once transferred the SFPUC will be responsible for the ownership and maintenance of the line and the agreement with EBMUD, as well as other improvements as necessary to provide emergency water supply services to the Project.

9.1.2 Existing Water Storage

There are currently four existing concrete reservoirs on Yerba Buena Island that service both Yerba Buena Island and Treasure Island. Combined they have a total design capacity of approximately 6.5 million gallons to serve as both the potable and fire protection water supplies for Treasure Island and Yerba Buena Island. However, all of the tanks are in varying states of disrepair and cannot operate to their full design capacity. The actual operating storage capacity

is approximately 1.9 million gallons with another 0.5 million gallons dedicated for fire protection. The design capacities, operating capacities, and operating elevations of the existing reservoirs are shown in Table 9.1.

Table 9.1 – Existing Reservoir Data

Reservoir Number	Design Capacity (million gallons)	Current Operating Capacity (million gallons)	Operating Elevation Range (NAVD88)	Primary Service
227	3.0	0.0	252.5 to 255.5	TI
162	2.0	1.3	322.0 to 327.0	YBI
168	0.5	0.5	356.0 to 359.0	Fire Reserve
242	1.0	0.6	247.0 to 251.0	TI/YBI

The elevations of the existing reservoirs provide an operating pressure of approximately 100-115 pounds per square inch (psi) on TI and 80 psi on YBI (pressures at the higher areas of YBI are achieved with booster pumps).

The existing operational water storage tanks will be utilized on an interim basis during the initial phases of the Project but will be replaced by the Developer before projected demands exceed the existing capacity.

9.1.3 Existing Water Distribution System

The two original piping systems for potable water and fire protection for the Islands was constructed in 1939 out of copper, galvanized steel, and asbestos cement pipe. In 1990, the Navy combined the two systems and replaced the pipe material with PVC pipe. Many of the individual building services and irrigation services originally constructed out of galvanized steel, however, have not been replaced. The relatively new PVC pipe system will be utilized on an interim basis during the initial phases of the Project, but will eventually be replaced at the full build out of the project.

9.2 Proposed Potable Water System

9.2.1 Proposed Water Demands

The potable water demand factors used for the Projects various land uses are shown in Table 9.2. The potable demands account for the use of water conserving fixtures in all buildings, the use of

recycled water for toilet flushing and other non potable water uses in commercial buildings, and the use of recycled water for irrigation uses where appropriate. The project will also use recycled water for appropriate plumbing fixtures in residential buildings to the extent permitted at the time of construction. Therefore two residential demand factors have been included; 1) without recycled water for toilet flushing in residential buildings, and 2) with recycled water for toilet flushing in residential buildings.

The total estimated water demands for the Project land uses are shown on Table 9.3 (without recycled water use in residential units for toilet flushing) and Table 9.4 (with recycled water use in residential units for toilet flushing). These tables include the demands for the Project as well as the existing demands for the Department of Labor and the Coast Guard.

Table 9.5 includes a summary of the average daily demands and maximum day demands for potable water with, and without, the use of recycled water in the residential units. Because of the size of the proposed Project, the relatively homogeneous use, and the use of recycled water for the irrigation needs, the project will use a maximum day demand factor of 1.2 times the average daily demand.

Table 9.2 – Treasure Island Project Potable Water Demand Factors

Land Use	Potable Water Demand Factor	Notes
Residential (w/o recycled water)	116.5 gallons per day per unit (50 gallons per resident per day * 2.33 residents per unit)	SFPUC 2030 water conserving projections Resident./unit based on SFPUC Demands Report
Residential (w/ recycled water)	101.6 gallons per day per unit (additional 14.9 gpd/u of rec. water for toilet flushing) (43.6 gallons per resident per day * 2.33 residents per unit)	SFPUC 2030 water conserving projections Resident/unit based on SFPUC Demands Report
Hotel	265 gallons per day per room (additional 7gpd/room of rec. water for toilet flushing)	AWWA Standard
Office / Retail / Commercial	0.07 gallons per day per square feet (additional 0.0344 gpd/sf of recycled water) ((30 persons per acre * 100 gallons per person per day) / (43,560 square feet per acre))	
Adaptive Reuse	0.07 gallons per day per square feet (additional 0.0344 gpd/sf of recycled water) ((30 persons per acre * 100 gallons per person per day) / 43,560 square feet per acre))	
Open Space	100 gallons per day per acre (additional 180,000 gpd for irrigation demand)	Includes misc. drinking fountains, bathrooms, etc.
Misc. Structures	0.07 gallons per day per square feet (additional 0.025 gpd/sf of recycled water) (1 person per 200 square feet * 15 gallons per person per day)	Includes miscellaneous structures in open space, and YBI historic structures
Marina	50 gallons per day per slip	Day use only (no live-aboard)
School	0.20 gallons per day per square feet (1 student per 100 square feet * 20 gallons per student per day)	
Police/Fire Station	0.13 gallons per day per square feet (additional 0.067 gpd/sf of recycled water) (400 persons per day for 30,000 square feet * 10 gallons per person per day)	
Misc. Small Community Facilities	0.07 gallons per day per square feet (additional 0.0344 gpd of recycled water) ((30 persons per acre * 100 gallons per person per day) / (43,560 square feet per acre))	
Pier 1 Community Center	0.07 gallons per day per square feet (additional 0.034 gpd/sf of recycled water) ((30 persons per acre * 100 gallons per person per day) / (43,560 square feet per acre))	
Sailing Center	0.07 gallons per day per square feet (additional 0.034 gpd/sf of recycled water) ((30 persons per acre * 100 gallons per person per day) / (43,560 square feet per acre))	
Museum	0.07 gallons per day per square feet (additional 0.034 gpd/sf of recycled water) ((30 persons per acre * 100 gallons per person per day) / (43,560 square feet per acre))	
Department of Labor	111,254 gallons per day (Based on actual demands provided by SFPUC)	
Coast Guard Facility	17,000 gallons per day (Based on actual demands provided by SFPUC)	
Utility Facilities	0.07 gallons per day per square feet (additional 0.034 gpd/sf of recycled water) ((30 persons per acre * 100 gallons per person per day) / (43,560 square feet per acre))	
Urban Farm	100 gallons per day per acre	

Table 9.3 - Treasure Island Project Water Demand (without recycled water for residential toilet flushing)

DESCRIPTION OF USE			POTABLE WATER DEMAND			RECYCLED WATER DEMAND	
Land Use	No.	Unit	Average Daily Demand (gpd)	Average Daily Demand (gpm)	Maximum Daily Demand (gpm)	Average Daily Irrigation Demand (gpd)	Average Daily Building Demand (gpd)
Residential	8,000	Units	932,000	647	777	30,000	0
Hotel	500	Rooms	132,500	92	110		3,500
Office	100,000	sf	7,000	5	6		3,500
Retail	140,000	sf	9,800	7	8		4,900
Adaptive Reuse, General	244,000	sf	17,080	12	14		8,540
Adaptive Reuse, Retail	67,000	sf	4,690	3	4		2,345
Open Space	300	ac	30,000	21	25	180,000	0
Miscellaneous Structures	75,000	sf	5,625	4	5		1,875
Marina	400	Slips	20,000	14	17		0
Treasure Island School	105,000	sf	21,000	15	18		0
Police/Fire	30,000	sf	4,000	3	3		2,000
Misc. Small Community Facilities	13,500	sf	945	1	1		473
Pier 1 Community Center	35,000	sf	2,450	2	2		1,225
TI Sailing Center	15,000	sf	1,050	1	1		525
Museum	75,000	sf	5,250	4	4		2,625
Department of Labor (DOL)			111,542	77	93		0
Coast Guard Facility			17,000	12	14		0
Utility Facilities	14,000	sf	980	1	1		490
Urban Farm	20	ac	2,000	1	2	60,000	0
Totals			1,324,912	920	1,104	270,000	31,998

Table 9.4 - Treasure Island Project Water Demand (with recycled water for residential toilet flushing)

DESCRIPTION OF USE			POTABLE WATER DEMAND			RECYCLED WATER DEMAND	
Land Use	No.	Unit	Average Daily Demand (gpd)	Average Daily Demand (gpm)	Maximum Daily Demand (gpm)	Average Daily Irrigation Demand (gpd)	Average Daily Building Demand (gpd)
Residential (with toilet recycled water)	8,000	Units	812,704	564	677	30,000	119,296
Hotel	500	Rooms	132,500	92	110		3,500
Office	100,000	sf	7,000	5	6		3,500
Retail	140,000	sf	9,800	7	8		4,900
Adaptive Reuse, General	244,000	sf	17,080	12	14		8,540
Adaptive Reuse, Retail	67,000	sf	4,690	3	4		2,345
Open Space	300	ac	30,000	21	25	180,000	0
Miscellaneous Structures	75,000	sf	5,625	4	5		1,875
Marina	400	Slips	20,000	14	17		0
Treasure Island School	105,000	sf	21,000	15	18		0
Police/Fire	30,000	sf	4,000	3	3		2,000
Misc. Small Community Facilities	13,500	sf	945	1	1		473
Pier 1 Community Center	35,000	sf	2,450	2	2		1,225
TI Sailing Center	15,000	sf	1,050	1	1		525
Museum	75,000	sf	5,250	4	4		2,625
Department of Labor (DOL)			111,542	77	93		0
Coast Guard Facility			17,000	12	14		0
Utility Facilities	14,000	sf	980	1	1		490
Urban Farm	20	ac	2,000	1	2	60,000	0
Totals			1,205,616	837	1,005	270,000	151,294

Table 9.5 Summary of Average and Maximum Daily Potable Water Demands

Description of Demand	w/o Recycled Water in Residential Units mgd (gpm)	w/ Recycled Water in Residential Units mgd (gpm)
Average Daily Demand	1.32 (920)	1.21 (837)
Maximum Daily Demand	1.59 (1,104)	1.45 (1,005)

9.2.2 Proposed Water Supply

9.2.2.1 Primary Water Supply

The existing SFPUC pump station in San Francisco and 10-inch line on the western span of the Bay Bridge are adequate to provide the required water supply to the project at full build out and will continue to be the primary supply of water to Treasure Island. As with other water systems in the City, the SFPUC will continue to monitor the condition of this system and perform routine maintenance and repairs to ensure reliable service to the islands.

9.2.2.2 Emergency Water Supply

The emergency water supply to Treasure Island will continue to be from the EBMUD service in Oakland. Caltrans' construction of the new eastern span of the Bay Bridge, the Eastern Span Seismic Safety Project (ESSSP), is requiring modifications to the EBMUD service near the bridge abutment in Oakland and across the bridge. The new improvements will include:

- Relocation of the water main to the new Bay Bridge abutment.
- New pump station near the new Bay Bridge abutment in Oakland.
- New 12-inch diameter water line on the new Bay Bridge
- New stub and shut off valve on YBI near column W-2 of the new Bay Bridge structure.

The SFPUC will provide emergency water supply services to the Project. Subject to future negotiation and agreement, it is intended that the SFPUC will construct, or reimburse Caltrans, for all of these items separately and they are not considered part of this project. The Developer will construct the extension of the emergency water line from column W-2 to the water tanks on YBI.

The EBMUD emergency system will be capable of delivering approximately 1,800 gpm during emergency conditions. The system will continue to operate within the existing limit of 61 gpm in average annual flow. This modest routine use is needed to maintain the water quality in the line across the Bay Bridge. If transferred to the City, the

SFPUC will continue to monitor the condition of this system and perform routine maintenance and repairs to ensure reliable service to the Islands.

9.2.3 Proposed Potable Water Storage

For the following discussion, all tank volumes described refer to “operational storage” that can be drawn from the tank at any given time. All tanks will require an additional amount of “dead storage” that cannot be accessed under normal operations.

The storage volume requirement for Treasure Island will be 2 days of maximum daily demand plus 4 hours of fire flow. The existing water storage tanks will be utilized on an interim basis during the initial phases of the Project but will eventually be replaced by the Developer before the project storage requirements exceed the existing volume available. The new water storage tanks will be sized to serve both the proposed new uses, as well as the existing uses that will remain.

Based on the maximum daily demand of 1.59 mgd and a fire flow of 3,500 gpm, the total water storage required for the full build out of the project is 4.02 million gallons. This volume assumes recycled water will not be allowed in the residential buildings. If recycled water is allowed within the residential buildings at the time the water tanks are constructed, the total volume will be reduced to 3.73 million gallons (1.45 mgd maximum daily demand plus 4 hours of fire flow).

In addition to the normal storage requirements described above, the storage design will also need the ability to accommodate the maintenance of storage tanks. During maintenance, one tank, or portions of a tank, will need to be taken out of service. During these regularly scheduled maintenance periods the SFPUC requires the Treasure Island project to maintain a minimum storage of 1 day maximum daily demand plus 4 hours of fire storage, or approximately 2.43 million gallons of storage, at all times.

In order to meet the emergency and maintenance storage requirements, the Developer will design and construct two tanks on YBI pursuant to SFPUC standards. The proposed tank locations are shown on Figure 9.1. The existing 1.0 million gallon, circular, steel water storage tank adjacent to Macalla Road will be replaced with a new 1.0 million gallon, above grade, circular, steel water storage tank in the existing location. The remainder of the storage will be in a 3.02 million

gallon water storage tank located at a higher elevation on YBI. Two locations are being considered for this tank as shown on Figure 9.1. The final location of this tank will be determined with the Sub-Phase application that requires the addition of the tank. The 3.02 million gallon tank will be divided into two 1.51 million gallon cells to accommodate maintenance and provide a minimum of 2.51 million gallons of storage at all times during maintenance. Together, the two tanks will provide 4.02 million gallons of storage.

The upper storage tank (3.02 million gallons) will be supplied by water pumped directly from the 10-inch supply line from San Francisco, and the back up supply from EBMUD during emergencies. Supply to the lower, 1.0 million gallon tank will flow from the 3.02 million gallon tank by gravity. Because of the elevation of the 1.0 million gallon tank, it is likely that there will need to be a pressure-reducing valve between the tank and the Treasure Island service area. The upper storage tank is not high enough to provide service with adequate pressure to the upper portions of YBI. Therefore, the Developer will design and construct a booster pump station with redundant pumps, alarm system, emergency generator, and hydropneumatic tank near the upper tank to provide fire flow and potable demands to these YBI areas.

9.2.4 Proposed Potable Water Distribution System

The Developer will be responsible for the design and construction of the proposed potable water distribution system. The California Code of Regulations, Title 22, requires that the water distribution system be capable of delivering the maximum daily demand coincident with the required fire flow. Based on the demand calculations described above, the proposed water system will be designed to deliver the maximum daily demand of 1,104 gpm (assumes no recycled water for toilet flushing in residential units) along with the design fire flow of 3,500 gpm with a minimum residual pressure of 20 pounds per square inch at the fire hydrant outlets on the Island. Because of the elevations of the water tanks on YBI, the distribution system will include pressure-reducing valves at strategic locations to control the pressures at the lower elevations.

The Developer will replace the existing water distribution system in phases with a new water system. The pipe material for the new mains will meet the SFPUC standards but alternative pipe materials such as High Density Polyethylene (HDPE) or polyvinyl chloride (PVC) may be used if approved by the SFPUC. A conceptual layout of the proposed potable water distribution

system is shown on Figure 9.2.

Flexible connections or other flexible system designs will be utilized where differential settlement may be of concern due to long term settlement anticipated due to secondary compression of the soils or minimal amounts of remaining liquefaction due to seismic events. Final designs to be reviewed by SFPUC.

9.2.4.1 Location of Distribution System within New Streets

Figure 9.3 shows the typical alignment of the new water system within the proposed streets.

9.2.4.2 Potable Water System Design Criteria

The design criteria used for the development of the potable water system is based upon established industry operations and regulatory agency requirements described in the Treasure Island Potable Water Technical Memorandum submitted by the Developer. In subdivision processing, including the review and approval of subdivision improvements plans, the precise location and final design of the potable water system will be generally consistent with this Infrastructure Plan and the Potable Water Technical Memorandum.

9.3 Potable Water Fire Protection

The potable water system will be the primary fire water supply for the Island. The recycled water system will provide a supplemental fire water supply as described in Section 11.

The potable water system will be designed to provide the maximum daily demand plus a design fire flow of 3,500 gpm. The 3,500 gpm fire flow will provide adequate fire protection for the new construction. The existing historical structures to remain will be retrofitted with appropriate fire protection systems when they are remodeled for commercial use and will be designed based on the 3,500 gpm flow available. The 3,500 gpm fire flow is more than the existing system provides to the Job Corps and Coast Guard. Upgrades to existing building systems on the Job Corps and Coast Guard campus are not part of this project.

The Developer will coordinate with the SFFD for the final location of potable water fire hydrants around the Project.

9.4 Coast Guard and Job Corps

The Developer will not replace the water facilities within the Coast Guard and Job Corps properties. The Developer will construct the new systems, including connection and/or transition facilities, up to the boundary of these two property owners and connect to their existing systems to maintain the existing water services.

9.5 Phases for Potable Water System Construction

The Developer will design and install the new potable water system in phases to match the Sub-Phase of the Project. The amount of the existing system replaced with each Sub-Phase will be the minimum necessary to serve the Sub-Phase. The new Sub-Phase will connect to the existing systems as close to the edge of the Sub-Phase area as possible while maintaining the integrity of the existing system for the remainder of the Island. The existing land uses on Treasure Island will continue to utilize the existing water distribution system with interim connections to the new system where required to maintain the existing service until the existing uses are demolished. Repairs and/or replacement of the existing facilities necessary to serve the sub-phase will be designed and constructed by the Developer.

The existing operational water storage tanks will be utilized during initial phases of the Project. The Developer will replace and/or add storage tanks to meet the projected demand before the phases of the Project result in water demand that exceeds the operational capacity of the existing storage tanks. The Authority or the SFPUC will be responsible for maintenance of existing potable water facilities until replaced by the Developer. The SFPUC will be responsible for the new potable water facilities once construction of the Sub-Phase or new potable water facility is complete and accepted by the SFPUC.

The Developer will provide an existing conditions report for the existing water mains scheduled to remain adjacent to the Sub-Phase prior to the geotechnical mitigation activity. The report will include the conditions of the original system on TI as well as the new system constructed with previous phases adjacent to the new Phase. The report will be updated at the end of the geotechnical mitigation activity and again at the end of the construction of the Sub-Phase. The limit of the report and how the conditions of the systems are determined will be coordinated with the SFPUC. The Developer will be responsible for damage to the original water mains, and/or newly installed water

mains on previous phases, due to geotechnical mitigation activity and/or construction of the proposed improvements. The Developer will make the necessary repairs as required and be responsible for any permit violations due to the damage.









Figure 9.2: Proposed Potable Water Distribution System





10. WASTEWATER SYSTEM

10.1 Existing Wastewater System

10.1.1 Existing Wastewater Collection System

Unlike most of San Francisco, the existing wastewater system on Treasure Island is a separate system from the storm drain system. The existing wastewater collection system for the Island consists of 4-inch to 12-inch diameter gravity lines, approximately 29 sewage pump/lift stations, and force mains ranging from 6- to 16-inch diameter. Pipe materials include PVC, asbestos cement, cast iron, steel, and vitrified clay. The pump/lift stations consist of both dry well and wet well systems.

The existing wastewater system on TI consists of 11 main drainage areas that pump into one force main trunk line. In general, each of these main drainage areas includes a combination gravity/lift station system that directs flow to its own central pump station. These pump stations then connect to the existing main trunk line that delivers the wastewater flow to the existing wastewater treatment facility located at the northeast corner of TI. The existing main trunk line begins in the southwest corner of TI near the Officers Club and follows California Avenue to the east and Avenue M to the north until it connects to the existing treatment facility. The total length of the main trunk line is approximately 5,300 feet and consists of 6- to 16-inch asbestos cement pipe.

The existing wastewater service on YBI is split into two systems. The eastern side of the Island, including the Coast Guard base, gravity drains to an existing pump station located under the Bay Bridge at the eastern tip of the Island. This pump station delivers the wastewater to the southern shore of TI via a 6-inch submarine force main. The western side of YBI gravity drains across the Causeway and connects to the TI system near the main entrance to TI.

10.1.2 Existing Wastewater Treatment Facility (WWTF)

The existing WWTF is located at the northeastern corner of TI and treats wastewater from the existing development on Treasure Island. The WWTF was constructed in 1961 to provide primary treatment, and was upgraded to secondary treatment in 1969. A second upgrade came in 1989 to bring the WWTF to its current treatment capacity of 2.0 million gallons per day (mgd) average dry weather flow, with a peak wet weather capacity of 8.0 mgd. The SFPUC will

monitor the existing facility and upgrade/replace the system as necessary to meet the existing demands and increasing Project demands.

The discharge from the existing WWTF is governed by NPDES Permit No. CA0110116, Order No. R2-2010-001, issued by the California Regional Water Quality Control Board. The permit/order was adopted on January 10, 2010, became effective on March 1, 2010, and expires February 28, 2015. The permit allows a discharge of 2.0 mgd with a permitted peak flow, providing secondary treatment under worst weather conditions of 4.4 mgd.

The permit was issued to the Navy as the owner and discharger. The WWTF is currently operated by the SFPUC Wastewater Enterprise, under an agreement with the Navy. The Authority and SFPUC will negotiate a separate utilities memorandum of understanding that will provide for the SFPUC to continue its activities as a contract provider of utility services during the interim period between the conveyance of the Project Site to the Authority and the installation of new utility infrastructure, including but not limited to the WWTF and its permit.

10.2 Proposed Wastewater System

10.2.1 Proposed Wastewater Demands

The total estimated wastewater demands for the Project land uses are shown on Table 10.1 (without recycled water use in residential units for toilet flushing) and Table 10.2 (with recycled water use in residential units for toilet flushing). The wastewater demands are based on 95% of the potable water demands plus 100% of the recycled water used for non-irrigation purposes.

Table 10.3 includes a summary of the Average Dry Weather Flow (ADWF), Peak Dry Weather Flow (PDWF), and Peak Wet Weather Flow (PWWF), for the Project with, and without, the use of recycled water in the residential units.

The PDWF is 1.8 times the ADWF.

The PWWF is the PDWF plus an allowance for groundwater infiltration. The assumed infiltration rate required by the SFPUC is 0.003 cfs (1,925.36 gpd) per acre for the development area. The Project development area where wastewater lines will be installed is approximately 300-acres (including the developed portions of TI, Department of Labor, YBI development area, and Coast Guard) for a total infiltration volume of 577,608 gpd.

**Table 10.1 - Treasure Island Project Wastewater Demand
(without recycled water for residential toilet flushing)**

DESCRIPTION OF USE			POTABLE WATER DEMAND	RECYCLED WATER DEMAND	SEWER DEMAND
Land Use	No.	Unit	Average Daily Demand (gpd)	Average Daily Building Demand (gpd)	Average Daily Demand (gpd)
Residential	8,000	Units	932,000		885,400
Hotel	500	Rooms	132,500	3,500	129,375
Office	100,000	sf	7,000	3,500	10,150
Retail	140,000	sf	9,800	4,900	14,210
Adaptive Reuse, General	244,000	sf	17,080	8,540	24,766
Adaptive Reuse, Retail	67,000	sf	4,690	2,345	6,801
Open Space	300	ac	30,000		28,500
Miscellaneous Structures	75,000	sf	5,625	1,875	7,219
Marina	400	Slips	20,000	0	19,000
Treasure Island School	105,000	sf	21,000	0	19,950
Police/Fire	30,000	sf	4,000	2,000	5,800
Misc. Small Community Facilities	13,500	sf	945	473	1,370
Pier 1 Community Center	35,000	sf	2,450	1,225	3,553
TI Sailing Center	15,000	sf	1,050	525	1,523
Museum	75,000	sf	5,250	2,625	7,613
Department of Labor (DOL)			111,542	0	105,965
Coast Guard Facility			17,000	0	16,150
Utility Facilities	14,000	sf	980	490	1,421
Urban Farm	20	ac	2,000		1,900
Totals			1,324,912	31,998	1,290,664

**Table 10.2 - Treasure Island Project Wastewater Demand
(with recycled water for residential toilet flushing)**

DESCRIPTION OF USE			POTABLE WATER DEMAND	RECYCLED WATER DEMAND	SEWER DEMAND
Land Use	No.	Unit	Average Daily Demand (gpd)	Average Daily Building Demand (gpd)	Average Daily Demand (gpd)
Residential (with toilet recycled water)	8,000	Units	782,880	149,120	892,856
Hotel	500	Rooms	132,500	3,500	129,375
Office	100,000	sf	7,000	3,500	10,150
Retail	140,000	sf	9,800	4,900	14,210
Adaptive Reuse, General	244,000	sf	17,080	8,540	24,766
Adaptive Reuse, Retail	67,000	sf	4,690	2,345	6,801
Open Space	300	ac	30,000	0	28,500
Miscellaneous Structures	75,000	sf	5,625	1,875	7,219
Marina	400	Slips	20,000	0	19,000
Treasure Island School	105,000	sf	21,000	0	19,950
Police/Fire	30,000	sf	4,000	2,000	5,800
Misc. Small Community Facilities	13,500	sf	945	473	1,370
Pier 1 Community Center	35,000	sf	2,450	1,225	3,553
TI Sailing Center	15,000	sf	1,050	525	1,523
Museum	75,000	sf	5,250	2,625	7,613
Department of Labor (DOL)			111,542	0	105,965
Coast Guard Facility			17,000	0	16,150
Utility Facilities	14,000	sf	980	490	1,421
Urban Farm	20	ac	2,000	0	1,900
Totals			1,175,792	181,118	1,298,120

Table 10.3 - Treasure Island Total Project Wastewater Demand Summary

Description of Flow	w/o Recycled Water in Residential Unit (gpd)	w/ Recycled Water in Residential Unit (gpd)
ADWF	1,290,664	1,298,120
PDWF	2,323,195	2,336,616
PWWF	2,900,803	2,914,224

10.2.2 Proposed Wastewater Collection System

The Developer will be responsible for the design and construction of the proposed wastewater collection system. The pipe material for the new system will meet the SFPUC standards but alternative pipe materials such as High Density Polyethylene (HDPE) or polyvinyl chloride (PVC) may be used if approved by the SFPUC. All of the existing pump/lift stations will be removed or replaced with new stations in phases designed to SFPUC standards as needed to serve the Project. The pump stations will include redundant pumps, alarm systems and emergency backup power supplies to run the pump stations when the power is out.

For YBI, the proposed wastewater collection system for the eastern side of the Island will be designed and constructed to flow by gravity to the existing pump station located under the Bay Bridge near the Coast Guard facility. This existing pump station currently serves the eastern side of YBI and the Coast Guard. The Developer will coordinate with the SFPUC to evaluate the existing pump station and determine if it needs to be repaired or replaced. There are two alternative routes from the discharge of this pump station; 1) the station will pump wastewater up to the top of the YBI into a structure (manhole or vault) where it will transition from a force main to a gravity system and flow down to the TI system, or 2) the station will pump flows to the existing submarine force main that currently serves the eastern side of YBI and connect to the TI gravity sewer system. The Developer will coordinate with the SFPUC to determine the preferred route during the Sub-Phase Application process. The western half of YBI will utilize a gravity system to serve the residential units and connect to the TI system within the Causeway.

A conceptual layout of the proposed wastewater collection system is shown on Figure 10.1. The final designs shall optimize wastewater flows to ensure maximization of efficiency, and minimization and consolidation of required pump stations. The final number of pump stations will be based on a system layout that follows reasonable engineering standards and is economically feasible. Concurrent with each Major Phase Application, the overall design will be evaluated by the SFPUC to determine if additional feasible opportunities to increase efficiency or reduce the reliance on pump stations exist.

The gravity system will be designed to accommodate long term settlement anticipated due to secondary compression of the soils or minimal amounts of remaining liquefaction due to seismic events. Final designs to be reviewed by the SFPUC.

10.2.2.1 Location of Wastewater System within New Streets

Figure 10.2 shows the typical alignment of the new wastewater system within the proposed streets.

The angled orientation of the streets on TI will result in wastewater to flow in and out (through) manholes at a 68-degree reverse angle at many intersections. (see Figure 10.1).

10.2.2.2 Wastewater System Design Criteria

The design criteria used for the development of the wastewater system is based upon established industry operations and regulatory agency requirements described in the Treasure Island Wastewater Technical Memorandum submitted by the Developer. In subdivision processing, including the review and approval of subdivision improvements plans, the precise location and final design of the wastewater system will be generally consistent with this Infrastructure Plan and the Wastewater Technical Memorandum. The wastewater system shall be designed to SFPUC design standards and regulation, as modified in this Infrastructure Plan, with exceptions to case-by-case scenarios as approved by the SFPUC.

The following design criteria will be used to design the new stormwater collection system:

1. Velocity: Wastewater system velocity will be not less than 2 feet per second when flowing half full.
2. Minimum Depth of Cover: Minimum depth of cover shall be 4.0 feet. 3.0 feet minimum cover may be approved by SFPUC on a case-by-case basis.

10.2.2.3 Sanitary Sewer Overflow Mitigations

The State of California has recently adopted a Sanitary Sewer Overflow (SSO) Policy to eliminate, to the extent possible, the potential for sewer overflows into the San Francisco Bay. The potential for SSO occurs when pump stations fail, or if lines become plugged and the sewer flows enter the storm drainage system. To prevent potential SSOs, the pump stations proposed for the Project will include redundant pumps, alarm systems and emergency backup power supplies to run the pump stations when the power is out. In addition, the Developer will coordinate with the SFPUC and prepare an evaluation of the

need for diverting stormwater first flush volumes to the sewer system for review and approval by the SFPUC prior to the approval of the first Major Phase application.

The elevations for the service lateral sewer vents will be above the 100-year storm event HGL.

10.3 Proposed Wastewater Treatment Facility (WWTF)

The SFPUC will provide wastewater treatment services to the Project. Subject to future negotiation and agreement between the Authority and the SFPUC on the provisions and terms upon which the SFPUC will provide such services it is intended that the SFPUC may finance, design, build, own, and operate a new Wastewater Treatment Facility (WWTF) on Treasure Island or provide for other improvements and/or agreements as necessary to provide wastewater treatment services to the Project. The existing WWTF would be upgraded and its capacity increased in order to meet projected demands in each Major Phase as the Project progresses. The new or upgraded WWTF would have the capacity to treat the estimated average dry-weather build out flow of 1.3 mgd (based on 95 percent of potable water demand and all of the recycled water demand except that used for irrigation) and the estimated peak wet-weather flow of 2.9 mgd (based on SFPUC standard peaking factors and inflow and infiltration allowance).

The treatment process will start with primary and secondary treatment. The specifics of these processes will be determined by the SFPUC. The volume of effluent needed for recycled water would then undergo further treatment to meet the requirements for use as recycled water in appropriate plumbing fixtures and for irrigation.

Two variants in the wastewater treatment process, each involving wetlands, are under consideration by the SFPUC. These wetlands, if constructed, would be separate from the 10-15 acre wetland proposed to treat stormwater before discharge to the Bay (see Section 12).

Under the first variant, treated effluent to be used for recycled water would be discharged to a wetlands designed and constructed for tertiary treatment before additional treatment to meet the recycled water quality standards. The wetlands would occupy about 5-acres and would include both open water areas and planted areas, with the water depth varying from 1.5 to 4 feet.

Under the second variant, effluent would undergo treatment to meet recycled water standards and then would be discharged to constructed wetlands prior to being discharged through the outfall. The

recycled water needed for the Project, however, would not pass through these wetlands. These wetlands would occupy about 2 to 4 acres of land, with water depth varying from 1.5 to 4-feet.

10.3.1 Revisions to Existing NPDES Permit

If the SFPUC agrees to construct and operate the new WWTF, the SFPUC will process amendments to the existing NPDES permit described above for the new/upgraded WWTF. The new permit will reflect the treatment processes that will be constructed, and the projected/permitted flows.

10.4 Coast Guard and Job Corps

The Developer will not replace the wastewater facilities within the Coast Guard and Job Corps properties. The Developer will construct new systems, including connection and/or transition facilities, up to the boundary of these two property owners and connect to their existing systems to maintain the existing wastewater collection services.

10.5 Phases for Wastewater System Construction

The Developer will design and install the new wastewater collection system to match the Sub-Phases of the Project. The amount of the existing system replaced with each Sub-Phase will be the minimum necessary to serve the Sub-Phase. The new Sub-Phases will connect to the existing systems as close to the edge of the new Sub-Phase as possible while maintaining the integrity of the existing system for the remainder of the Island. The existing land uses on Treasure Island will continue to utilize the existing wastewater collection system with interim connections to the new system where required to maintain the existing service until the existing uses are demolished. The existing wastewater pump/lift stations will continue to be used during the initial Sub-Phases of the Project. The existing pump/lift stations located within each Sub-Phase will be removed or replaced with that Sub-Phase. Repairs and/or replacement of the existing facilities necessary to serve the sub-phase will be designed and constructed by the Developer.

Subject to negotiating a separate utilities interim operations memorandum of understanding between the Authority or the SFPUC, either the Authority or the SFPUC will be responsible for maintenance of existing collection facilities until replaced by the Developer. The SFPUC will be responsible for the new wastewater collection facilities once construction of the Sub-Phase or new wastewater collection facility is complete and accepted by the SFPUC.

The Developer will provide an existing conditions report for the existing wastewater mains scheduled to remain adjacent to the Sub-Phase prior to the geotechnical mitigation activity. The report will include the conditions of the original system on TI as well as the new system constructed with previous phases adjacent to the new Phase. The report will be updated at the end of the geotechnical mitigation activity and again at the end of the construction of the Sub-Phase. The limit of the report and how the conditions of the systems are determined will be coordinated with the SFPUC. The Developer will be responsible for damage to the original wastewater mains, and/or newly installed wastewater mains on previous phases, due to geotechnical mitigation activity and/or construction of the proposed improvements. The Developer will make the necessary repairs as required and be responsible for any permit violations due to the damage.

Subject to negotiating a separate utilities interim operations memorandum of understanding between the Authority and the SFPUC, either the Authority or the SFPUC will be responsible for operating and maintaining the existing WWTF.

The SFPUC will provide on-going wastewater treatment services to the Project. Subject to future negotiation and agreement between the Authority and the SFPUC on the provisions and terms upon which the SFPUC will provide such services, it is intended that the SFPUC will maintain, upgrade, design, replace and/or construct wastewater treatment facilities by the SFPUC during each phase of the Project to meet the ongoing and increasing flow requirements of the Project. The Developer will provide the Authority and the SFPUC with the anticipated Sub-Phase schedule and wastewater demands.

SFPUC WASTEWATER
TREATMENT FACILITY

LEGEND




JOB CORPS




USCG



GRAVITY PIPE



FORCE MAIN




OPTIONAL PIPE
LOCATION






LIFT STATION



PUMP STATION







WASTEWATER IN STREETS
1"=10'

11. RECYCLED WATER SYSTEM

11.1 Existing System

Treasure Island does not currently have a recycled water system.

11.2 Proposed Recycled Water System

11.2.1 Proposed Recycled Water Demands

Recycled water will be used on TI for irrigation of the open space areas, urban farm, roadside planter areas, landscape water features, and appropriate plumbing fixtures within commercial buildings. Recycled water may also be used to maintain water levels in the stormwater treatment wetlands during the dry season. In addition, recycled water will be used on TI for appropriate plumbing fixtures in residential buildings to the extent permitted at the time of construction. Recycled water will not be used on YBI due to its distance from the recycled treatment plant and the pumping that would be required to meet the elevation change.

The recycled water demands for the various uses are shown in Table 11.1. Two residential demand factors have been included; 1) without recycled water for residential toilet flushing, and 2) with recycled water for residential toilet flushing. The total estimated demands are shown on Table 11.2 (without recycled water use in residential units for toilet flushing) and Table 11.3 (with recycled water use in residential units for toilet flushing).

11.2.2 Supplemental Source for Fire Protection

In addition to the recycled water demands described above, the recycled water system will also provide a supplemental source of water for fire protection in case of emergency. Fire protection will not increase the average daily demand for recycled water but will increase the storage requirements and require a more robust distribution system as described below.

In addition to the recycled water system for a supplemental source of fire protection, the Developer will install two fire boat manifolds (one near the new Ferry Quay and one near Pier 1) and two wharf hydrants (one near each historic hangar building). These items will allow the SFFD to draw bay water on to TI in case of emergency. The locations of these facilities are shown on Figure 11.1.

11.2.3 Proposed Recycled Water Treatment Facility (RWTF)

The SFPUC will provide recycled water treatment and/or delivery services to the Project. Subject to future negotiation and agreement between the Authority and the SFPUC on the provisions and terms upon which the SFPUC will provide such services, it is intended that the SFPUC will finance, design, build, own, and operate the new Recycled Water Treatment Facility (RWTF). The RWTF would be sized to meet the average long-term recycled water demand of 0.42 million gallons per day (mgd) and would provide recycled water treated to meet the requirements for use as recycled water in appropriate plumbing fixtures and irrigation as well as the stormwater wetlands to maintain seasonal flows.

If the recycled water demand exceeds the recycled water supply during the first Sub-Phases of the Project, the excess demand will be provided by the potable water system. A permanent potable water connection to the recycled water tanks will be provided and will include a backflow prevention device approved by the SFPUC. This permanent connection will provide a supplemental supply of water to the recycled system if needed during the initial phases of the project or in the future during maintenance of the recycled water tanks or if the production of recycled water is interrupted.

Table 11.1 – Recycled Water Demand Factors

Land Use	Recycled Water Demand Factor	Notes
Residential (w/o recycled water)	0 gallons per day per unit for toilet flushing 30,000 gpd total for irrigation within the development area	
Residential (w/ recycled water)	14.9 gpd/u of recycled water for toilet flushing 30,000 gpd total for irrigation within the development area	SFPUC 2030 water conserving projections Resident/unit based on SFPUC Demands Report
Hotel	7 gpd/room of rec. water for toilet flushing Assumes no grounds around the hotel for irrigation demand	AWWA Standard
Office / Retail / Commercial	0.035 gpd/sf of recycled water for appropriate plumbing fixtures	
Adaptive Reuse	0.035 gpd/sf of recycled water for appropriate plumbing fixtures	
Wetlands	30,000 gpd to maintain water level	
Open Space	150,000 gpd for irrigation demand	
Misc. Structures	0.025 gpd/sf of recycled water for appropriate plumbing fixtures	Includes miscellaneous structures in open space, and YBI historic structures
Marina	0 gallons per day	
School	0 gallons per day	
Police/Fire Station	0.067 gpd/sf of recycled water for appropriate plumbing fixtures	
Misc. Small Community Facilities	0.035 gpd of recycled water for appropriate plumbing fixtures	
Pier 1 Community Center	0.035 gpd/sf of recycled water for appropriate plumbing fixtures	
Sailing Center	0.035 gpd/sf of recycled water for appropriate plumbing fixtures	
Museum	0.035 gpd/sf of recycled water for appropriate plumbing fixtures	
Department of Labor	0 gallons per day	
Coast Guard Facility	0 gallons per day	
Utility Facilities	0.035 gpd/sf of recycled water for appropriate plumbing fixtures	
Urban Farm	60,000 gpd for irrigation demand.	

**Table 11.2 - Treasure Island Project Recycled Water Demand
(without recycled water for residential toilet flushing)**

DESCRIPTION OF USE			RECYCLED WATER DEMAND	
Land Use	No.	Unit	Average Daily Irrigation (Seasonal) Demand (gpd)	Average Daily Building (Year Round) Demand (gpd)
Residential (with toilet recycled water)	8,000	Units	30,000	0
Hotel	500	Rooms		3,500
Office	100,000	sf		3,500
Retail	140,000	sf		4,900
Adaptive Reuse, General	244,000	sf		8,540
Adaptive Reuse, Retail	67,000	sf		2,345
Wetlands	15	ac	30,000	0
Open Space	285	ac	150,000	0
Miscellaneous Structures	75,000	sf		1,875
Marina	400	Slips		0
Treasure Island School	105,000	sf		0
Police/Fire	30,000	sf		2,000
Misc. Small Community Facilities	13,500	sf		473
Pier 1 Community Center	35,000	sf		1,225
TI Sailing Center	15,000	sf		525
Museum	75,000	sf		2,625
Department of Labor (DOL)				0
Coast Guard Facility				0
Utility Facilities	14,000	sf		490
Urban Farm	20	ac	60,000	0
Totals			270,000	31,998

**Table 11.3 - Treasure Island Project Recycled Water Demand
(with recycled water for residential toilet flushing)**

DESCRIPTION OF USE			RECYCLED WATER DEMAND	
Land Use	No.	Unit	Average Daily Irrigation (Seasonal) Demand (gpd)	Average Daily Building (Year Round) Demand (gpd)
Residential (with toilet recycled water)	8,000	Units	30,000	119,296
Hotel	500	Rooms		3,500
Office	100,000	sf		3,500
Retail	140,000	sf		4,900
Adaptive Reuse, General	244,000	sf		8,540
Adaptive Reuse, Retail	67,000	sf		2,345
Wetlands	15	ac	30,000	0
Open Space	285	ac	150,000	0
Miscellaneous Structures	75,000	sf		1,875
Marina	400	Slips		0
Treasure Island School	105,000	sf		0
Police/Fire	30,000	sf		2,000
Misc. Small Community Facilities	13,500	sf		473
Pier 1 Community Center	35,000	sf		1,225
TI Sailing Center	15,000	sf		525
Museum	75,000	sf		2,625
Department of Labor (DOL)				0
Coast Guard Facility				0
Utility Facilities	14,000	sf		490
Urban Farm	20	ac	60,000	0
Totals			270,000	151,294

11.2.4 Proposed Recycled Water Storage and Pumps

For the following discussion, all tank volumes described refer to “operational storage” that can be drawn from the tank at any given time. All tanks will require an additional amount of “dead storage” that cannot be accessed under normal operations.

Storage tanks for the recycled water system will be constructed near the RWTF.

The storage volume requirement for recycled water will be 1 day of average daily demand plus 4 hours of fire flow. Based on the average daily demand of 0.42 mgd and the required fire flow of 3,500 gpm, the total recycled water storage for full build out is 1.26 million gallons. Multiple tanks may be used to separate the fire demand from the average daily demand, or to accommodate the phased Project schedule.

The recycled water tank designs will include the ability to supplement the recycled water supply with the potable water supply if the recycled supply is interrupted or for scheduled maintenance on the recycled storage tanks.

A pump station capable of delivering the recycled demand through the distribution system will be constructed adjacent to the recycled water storage tanks. The pump station design will include redundant pumps, alarm system, emergency backup power and a hydropneumatic tank.

The Developer will finance, design, build, and own the recycled water tanks and pump station.

11.2.5 Proposed Recycled Water Distribution

The Developer will be responsible for the design and construction of the proposed recycled water distribution system. The recycled water distribution system will be designed to deliver the average daily demand coincident with the required fire flow of 3,500 gpm with a minimum residual pressure of 20 pounds per square inch to the recycled water fire hydrants on TI.

The Developer will install the recycled water system in phases to match the Project phasing. Alternative pipe materials such as High Density Polyethylene (HDPE) or polyvinyl chloride (PVC) may be used if approved by the SFPUC. A conceptual layout of the proposed recycled water system is shown on Figure 11.1.

Flexible connections or other flexible system designs will be utilized where differential settlement may be of concern due to long term settlement anticipated due to secondary compression of the soils or minimal amounts of remaining liquefaction due to seismic events. Final designs to be reviewed by SFPUC.

11.2.5.1 Location of Distribution System within New Streets

Figure 11.2 shows the typical alignment of the new recycled water system within the proposed streets. The Developer will coordinate with the SFFD for the final location of the fire hydrants.

11.2.5.2 Recycled Water System Design Criteria

The design criteria used for the development of the recycled water system is based upon established industry operations and regulatory agency requirements described in the Treasure Island Recycled Water Technical Memorandum submitted by the Developer. In subdivision processing, including the review and approval of subdivision improvements plans, the precise location and final design of the recycled water system will be generally consistent with this Infrastructure Plan and the Recycled Water Technical Memorandum.

11.3 Recycled Water Fire Protection

The recycled water system will be used for a supplemental source of water for fire protection in case of emergency. As described above, the recycled distribution system will be sized to deliver the average daily demand coincident with the required fire flow of 3,500 gpm with a minimum residual pressure of 20 pounds per square inch to the recycled water fire hydrant outlets on TI. The conceptual location of the recycled water hydrants are shown on Figure 11.1. The hydrants are spaced around TI to provide approximately 750-foot hose lengths along the street from the recycled hydrant to the farthest building. The conceptual location of the hydrants will be coordinated with the SFFD prior to approval of the Major Phase Applications.

11.4 Coast Guard and Job Corps

The Developer will not construct the recycled water system on the Coast Guard or Jobs Corps property.

11.5 Phases for Recycled Water System Construction

The Developer will design and install the new recycled water distribution system in phases to match the recycled water use demands of each Sub-Phase of the Project. The amount of the system constructed with each Sub-Phase will be the minimum necessary to serve the Sub-Phase.

The Developer will construct the recycled water storage tanks and pump station in phases to meet the Sub-Phase requirements. If the recycled water demand exceeds the recycled water supply during the first phases of the Project, the excess demand will be provided by the potable water system. A permanent potable water connection to the recycled water tanks will be provided and will include a backflow prevention device approved by the SFPUC. This permanent connection will provide a supplemental supply of water to the recycled system if needed during the initial phases of the project or in the future during maintenance of the recycled water tanks or if the production of recycled water is interrupted.

The SFPUC will be responsible for the recycled water system once the Sub-Phase or new recycled water system is complete and accepted by the SFPUC.

The Developer will provide an existing conditions report for the newly installed recycled water mains adjacent to the new Sub-Phase prior to the geotechnical mitigation activity. The report will be updated at the end of the geotechnical mitigation activity and again at the end of the construction of the Sub-Phase. The limit of the report and how the conditions of the system are determined will be coordinated with the SFPUC. The Developer will be responsible for damage to the newly installed recycled water mains on previous phases, due to geotechnical mitigation activity and/or construction of the proposed improvements. The Developer will make the necessary repairs as required and be responsible for any permit violations due to the damage.

SFPUC RECYCLED
WATER TREATMENT
FACILITY

LEGEND



JOB CORPS



USCG

--- RECYCLED WATER
DISTRIBUTION PIPE

--- OPTIONAL PIPE
LOCATION



TANK



PUMP STATION




RECYCLED WATER
FIRE HYDRANT



WHARF FIRE HYDRANT





FIRE BOAT MANIFOLD




SEE FIGURE 8.6 FOR STREET NAMES AND
FIGURE 8.10 FOR UPDATED CONFIGURATION
OF SIGNAL ROAD AS OF 3/12/2020

Source: BKF Engineers, October 2010





RECYCLED WATER IN STREETS
1"=10'



TYPICAL UTILITY CROSS SECTION
1"=10'

12. STORMWATER SYSTEM

12.1 Existing Stormwater Collection System

The existing stormwater system on Treasure Island is separated from the wastewater collection and treatment system. The existing stormwater system for the two islands consists of 6- to 42-inch diameter gravity pipes and lift stations with various-sized outfalls along the perimeter of the Islands that discharge directly into the San Francisco Bay. Existing pipe materials include PVC, asbestos cement, VCP, RCP and steel. There are approximately 31 existing outfalls on TI and approximately 32 outfalls on YBI.

12.1.1 Existing Stormwater Treatment Systems

There is currently no treatment of stormwater prior to discharge to the Bay.

12.2 Proposed Stormwater System

12.2.1 Proposed Stormwater Collection System

The Developer will be responsible for the design and construction of the proposed stormwater collection system. The proposed stormwater system will be a combination of gravity lines, lift stations, pump stations and outfalls to the Bay. The pipe material for the new system will meet the SFPUC standards but alternative pipe materials such as High Density Polyethylene (HDPE) or polyvinyl chloride (PVC) may be used if approved by the SFPUC. All of the existing pump/lift stations will be removed or replaced with new stations in phases designed to SFPUC standards as needed to serve the Project. Pump stations required to convey the 5-year storm event will include redundant pumps, alarm system, and emergency power if needed to prevent flooding beyond the depths described in Table 12.1. Pump stations required to deliver treatment flows to the treatment areas will not include redundant pumps or emergency power but will include an alarm system to notify if the pump station requires maintenance.

A conceptual layout of the proposed stormwater collection system is shown on Figure 12.1. The final designs shall optimize stormwater flows to ensure maximization of efficiency, and minimization and consolidation of required pump stations, including the potential wetland pump station. The final number of pump stations will be based on a system layout that follows reasonable engineering standards and is economically feasible. Concurrent with each Major Phase Application, the overall design will be evaluated by the SFPUC to determine if additional feasible opportunities to increase efficiency or reduce the reliance on pump stations exist.

The gravity system will be designed to accommodate long term settlement anticipated due to secondary compression of the soils or minimal amounts of remaining liquefaction due to seismic events. Final designs to be reviewed by the SFPUC.

12.2.1.1 Location of Stormwater System within New Streets

Figure 12.2 shows the typical alignment of the new stormwater system within the proposed streets.

The angled orientation of the streets on TI will result in stormwater flow in and out (through) manholes at a 68-degree reverse angle at many intersections. (see Figure 12.1).

12.2.1.2 Stormwater System Design Criteria

The design criteria used for the development of the stormwater system is based upon established industry operations and regulatory agency requirements described in the Treasure Island Stormwater Technical Memorandum submitted by the Developer. In subdivision processing, including the review and approval of subdivision improvements plans, the precise location and final design of the stormwater system will be generally consistent with this Infrastructure Plan and the Stormwater Technical Memorandum. The stormwater system shall be designed to SFPUC design standards and regulation, as modified in this Infrastructure Plan, with exceptions to case-by-case scenarios as approved by the SFPUC.

The following design criteria will be used to design the new stormwater collection system:

1. Design Storm Frequency:

A 5-year rain event as defined by the “San Francisco Rainfall Rate Table 1941 Plan I-3903.4” will be maintained within the stormwater system. Storm frequency larger than 5-years will be allowed to run in the streets as overland flow.

2. Design Tide:

The stormwater collection system will be designed to accommodate 100-year tide elevations. Moffatt & Nichol has completed an Extreme High Water Level Analysis to determine the 100-year high tide as part of their April, 2009 “Treasure Island

Coastal Flooding Study”. Based on their review of the historic tide data for the San Francisco Bay the 100-year high tide, or Base Flood Elevation (BFE), for Treasure Island is 9.2 (NAVD88).

A description of the Adaptive Management strategy for SLR is included in Section 5.

3. Hydraulic Grade Line:

The hydraulic grade line criteria will be based on the City of San Francisco Subdivision Code;

“Sewer sizes shall be selected so that the hydraulic grade line shall, in general, be four feet below the pavement or ground surface, and at no point less than two feet. The tidal elevation to be used in hydraulic computations, where applicable, shall be -3.5, City datum”

The tidal elevation of -3.5 City Datum is equal to 7.81 NAVD 88 Datum. The design tide for this infrastructure plan will be the current 100-year high tide of 9.2 NAVD 88. Therefore the City of San Francisco Subdivision Code requirement for depth of hydraulic grade line below pavement surface will be adjusted by 1.39 feet ($9.2 - 7.81 = 1.39$) to 2.61 feet below the pavement surface. Hydraulic grade line criteria does not supersede the Minimum Depth of Cover.

The minimum hydraulic grade line for the different tide events, locations around the Island, and SLR are described in Table 12.2.

4. Velocity:

The velocity in the stormwater system shall not be less than 3 feet per second flowing by gravity and discharging against mean high tide with 16-inches of sea level rise (elevation 4.62-feet NAVD 88).

5. Minimum Depth of Cover:

Minimum depth of cover shall be 4.0 feet. 3.0 feet minimum cover may be approved by SFPUC on a case-by-case basis.

A hydrologic model (SWMM or equivalent) for all of the development will be developed prior to approval of the Major Phase and Sub-Phase applications in coordination with the SFPUC consistent with the DRDAP.

12.2.1.3 Stormwater System Performance in New Development Area

The Hydraulic Requirements listed in Table 12.2 are the minimum performance criteria for the project. The TI grading plan and stormwater system within the new development area, however, will be designed to accommodate the 100-year storm during the 100-year tide with 16-inches of sea level rise (SLR) without relying on flow within the streets. Instead, during these extreme events, the stormwater runoff will pond in the street to a maximum depth equal to the top of curb at the low point and then flow into the piped system as capacity becomes available.

This overland release grading design described in Section 7 will protect the new building finished floors from storms larger than the 100-year event or system maintenance issue such as blocked catch basins or pipes. During either of these unlikely events, stormwater may pond up to the top of curb (or back of walk/right of way if approved by the SFPUC) elevation before releasing to the downstream drainage basins. This will continue through the downstream basins until there is capacity in the storm system or storm water is released to

the open space. The new building finish floor elevations will be above the back of walk/right of way elevation and therefore protected from flooding. The ponding depth and overland release occurrence for various storm events are summarized below.

Table 12.1: Street Ponding Depth and Overland Release Summary

Storm Event	Ponding Depth for:		
	Current Tide	16-inches SLR	Maintenance Concerns
Treatment	No Ponding (0 inches)	No Ponding (0 inches)	Up to Top of Curb
5-Year	No Ponding (0 inches)	No Ponding (0 inches)	Up to Top of Curb
100-Year	Top of Curb (6- inches)	Top of Curb (6- inches)	Up to Top of Curb

12.2.1.4 Sanitary Sewer Overflow Mitigations

The State of California has recently adopted a Sanitary Sewer Overflow (SSO) Policy to eliminate, to the extent possible, the potential for sewer overflows into the San Francisco Bay. The potential for SSO occurs when pump stations fail, or if lines become plugged and the sewer flows enter the storm drainage system. To prevent potential SSOs, the sewer pump stations proposed for the Project will include redundant pumps, alarm systems and emergency backup power supplies to run the pump stations when the power is out.

12.2.2 Proposed Outfall Structures

The stormwater outfall structures will be located at the perimeter of the Island and discharge to the Bay. See Figure 12.1 for approximate locations. The outfall structure will include the combination of an inlet sized to accommodate the 100-year overland release flows from the development area, a structure containing a “Tideflex” device that will keep the Bay water from backing up into the Island system during high tides, and the outfall structure in the Bay. The outfall elements will be sized to accommodate the 100-year storm flow volumes plus anticipated wave overtopping. See Figure 12.3 for a conceptual plan view and section of the outfall structures.

Table 12.2-Hydraulic Requirements

		Minimum Design Criteria	
Initial Infrastructure Design	Tide/SLR Condition	Stormwater System	
		5-year storm	5 to 100-year storm
	Current Tide Condition Mean sea level: 3.29-feet NAVD 88 (Determined in 2009 Coastal Flooding Study)	<u>Flow in Pipes</u> Design Storm: 5-year event Design Tide: Current 100-year high tide Minimum Freeboard (Streets): 2.67-feet Minimum Freeboard (parks/open space): Ponding allowed	<u>Overland Flow</u> Design Storm: 5 to 100-year event Design Tide: Current 100-year high tide Minimum Freeboard (Streets): Allowed to flow within street, 6-inch of ponding depth Minimum Freeboard (parks/open space): Ponding allowed
Infrastructure Adjustments for Future SLR	SLR Condition: up to 16-inches Mean sea level: 3.29-feet + 16-inches = 4.62-feet NAVD 88 (Estimated to occur by 2050)	<i>Adaptive Management Strategy: reduce freeboard allowance</i> <u>Flow in Pipes</u> Design Storm: 5-year event Design Tide: Current 100-year high tide + SLR (16-inches)=10.53 NAVD 88 Minimum Freeboard (Streets): 16-inches Minimum Freeboard (parks/open space): Ponding allowed	<i>Adaptive Management Strategy: reduce freeboard allowance</i> <u>Overland Flow</u> Design Storm: 5 to 100-year event Design Tide: Current 100-year high tide + SLR (16-inches)=10.53 NAVD 88 Minimum Freeboard (Streets): Allowed to flow in street, 6-inches of ponding depth Minimum Freeboard (parks/open space): Ponding allowed
	SLR Condition: 16-inches to 36-inches Mean sea level: 3.29-feet + 36-inches = 6.29-feet NAVD 88 (Estimated to occur between 2050 and 2100.)	<i>Adaptive Management Strategy: When SLR Monitoring Report (Section 5.5.2) determines 16-inches of SLR has occurred implement modifications to storm drainage system.</i> <u>Flow in Pipes</u> Design Storm: 5-year event Design Tide: 100-year high tide at that time + SLR (guidance at that time) Minimum Freeboard (streets): 2.67-feet Minimum Freeboard (parks/open space): Ponding allowed	<i>Adaptive Management Strategy: When SLR Monitoring Report (Section 5.5.2) determines 16-inches of SLR has occurred implement modifications to storm drainage system.</i> <u>Overland Flow</u> Design Storm: 5 to 100-year event Design Tide: 100-year high tide at that time + SLR (guidance at that time) Minimum Freeboard (streets): Allowed to flow in street, 6-inches of ponding depth Minimum Freeboard (parks/open space): Ponding allowed
	SLR Condition: greater than 36-inches Mean sea level: 3.29-feet + 36-inches = 6.29-feet NAVD 88 (Estimated to occur after 2100.)	<i>Adaptive Management Strategy: When SLR Monitoring Report (Section 5.5.2) determines 16-inches of SLR has occurred .</i> <u>Flow in Pipes</u> Design Storm: 5-year event Design Tide: 100-year high tide at that time + SLR (guidance at that time) Minimum Freeboard (streets): 2.67-feet Minimum Freeboard (parks/open space): Ponding allowed	<i>Adaptive Management Strategy: When SLR Monitoring Report (Section 5.5.2) determines 16-inches of SLR has occurred implement modifications to storm drainage system.</i> <u>Overland Flow</u> Design Storm: 5 to 100-year event Design Tide: 100-year high tide at that time + SLR (guidance at that time) Minimum Freeboard (streets): Allowed to flow in street, 6-inches of ponding depth Minimum Freeboard (parks/open space): Ponding allowed

Note: SLR conditions based on current Treasure Island mean sea level 3.29 feet (NAVD 88) documented in the 2009 Coastal Flooding Study

12.3 Proposed Stormwater Treatment System

The project treatment BMPs described below will be designed to comply with the San Francisco Stormwater Design Guidelines. Upon review, the SFPUC may accept either a volume-based or acceptable flow-based calculation method to provide compliance with the Stormwater Design Guidelines.

In addition, the Developer will coordinate with the SFPUC and prepare an evaluation of the need for diverting stormwater first flush volumes to the sewer system for review and approval by the SFPUC prior to the approval of the first Major Phase application.

Figure 12.4 shows the different approximate water shed areas for Treasure Island. A description of the stormwater treatment for each of the watershed is as follows:

12.3.1 Treasure Island Stormwater Treatment Areas

Watershed Area A & B

These watershed areas will utilize outfall structures into Clipper Cove to discharge runoff. These areas will utilize Low Impact Development (LID) type measures for the treatment of runoff. BMPs in this area could include such things as:

- Bioretention Planters
- Street Planters
- Swales
- Subgrade Infiltration Areas
- Permeable Paving

The development parcels within this watershed area will be responsible for treating their storm water runoff prior to discharging their runoff into the public stormwater system.

Watershed Area C & D

These watershed areas will utilize outfall structures located along the western shoreline to discharge runoff. The combined treatment areas for these watersheds will be located within the City Side Park prior to the outfall. The treatment flows from these watershed areas will be split off from the larger flows near the outfall and pumped up to the treatment area. Stormwater treatment BMPs will be integrated with

the park design to ensure aesthetic and programmatic consistency. The BMPs in the City Side Park could include:

- Bio-retention Planters
- Street Planters
- Raingardens
- Swales

The stormwater runoff from the public streets within these watershed areas will be pre-treated with bio-retention/infiltration planters or bio-swales within the landscape strips along the roadway section. The street flows will then be treated again in the combined treatment area.

The development parcels within these watershed areas will not be required to pre-treat their storm water runoff prior to discharging to the public stormwater system.

Watershed Area D2

This watershed area will utilize an outfall structure located along northwestern shoreline to discharge runoff. The combined treatment area for this watershed will be located in the northwestern open space area. Stormwater treatment BMPs will be integrated with the park design to ensure aesthetic and programmatic consistency. The treatment flow from this watershed will be split off from the larger flows near the outfall and pumped up to the treatment area. The BMPs could include:

- Bio-retention Planters
- Street Planters
- Seasonal Wetland
- Swales

The stormwater runoff from the public streets within this watershed area will be pre-treated with bio-retention/infiltration planters or bio-swales within the landscape strips along the roadway section. The street flows will then be treated again in the combined treatment area.

The development parcels within this watershed area will not be required to pre-treat their storm water runoff prior to discharging to the public stormwater system.

Watershed Area E

The Authority will construct, own and maintain a seasonal and/or perennial stormwater treatment wetland system shall be located in the open space area west of the WWTF. The stormwater treatment wetland shall be integrated with both the Wilds area (refer to Open Space plan) and the WWTF layout. The wetland shall be 10-15 acres in size. The wetlands shall include retention and flow control structures as required to regulate stormwater flows and ensure slope stability and erosion control. Watershed Area E will utilize outfall structures into the wetland area. The wetland area will then discharge through an outfall located along the eastern shoreline near the WWTF. The wetland area will be designed to meet regulated treatment standards for the runoff prior to discharging to the Bay. The wetland area will include seasonal and/or perennial stormwater treatment areas.

The stormwater runoff from the public streets within this watershed area will be pre-treated with bio-retention/infiltration planters or bio-swales within the landscape strips along the roadway section. The street flows will then be treated again in the combined treatment area.

The development parcels within this watershed area will not be required to pre-treat their storm water runoff prior to discharging to the public stormwater system.

Watershed Area E2

The SFPUC will be responsible for the construction of the WWTF in this watershed area. The WWTF area will utilize an outfall structure into the wetland area or an outfall along the eastern shoreline. Portions of treatment flows may be directed to the wetland area for treatment. The other areas will emphasize Low Impact Development (LID) type measures for the treatment of runoff. BMPs in this area will be selected by the SFPUC as part of the design of the treatment facility. The stormwater treatment wetland will be constructed by the Developer.

Watershed Area F

This watershed area will utilize outfall structures along the eastern shoreline or the wetland area. The urban farm and sports fields have been identified as specific treatment areas to address specific pollutants of concern associated with

garden/farming activities and field maintenance. Appropriate BMPs will be incorporated within these areas to address these concerns.

Watershed Area G & H

Watershed H will be combined with G and will utilize an outfall structure located along eastern shoreline to discharge runoff. The combined treatment area for these watersheds will be located along the northern edge of Watershed G near the recreation

fields. The treatment flow from these watersheds will be split off from the larger flows near the outfall and pumped up to the treatment area. Stormwater treatment BMPs will be integrated with the park design to ensure aesthetic and programmatic consistency. The BMPs could include:

- Bio-retention Planters
- Street Planters
- Swales

The stormwater runoff from the public streets within these watershed areas will be pre-treated with bio-retention/infiltration planters or bio-swales within the landscape strips along the roadway section. The street flows will then be treated again in the combined treatment area.

The development parcels within these watershed areas will not be required to pre-treat their storm water runoff prior to discharging to the public stormwater system.

Existing School Site

As a distinct use with ample open space adjacent to buildings this area will be treated as a discrete treatment area. BMPs will be selected with an emphasis on ecological and educational opportunities associated with the green schoolyard concept. Selected BMPs may include Bioretention/Infiltration Planters, Raingardens, Swales, Subgrade Infiltration Areas and/or Permeable Paving. The outfall for the school site will be directed towards the wetland area.

Centralized Treatment Areas

Many of the watershed areas included centralized treatment areas where a single treatment feature treats stormwater from the entire watershed including private parcels and TIDA controlled property. Private vertical development and TIDA controlled property will not be required to implement any stormwater treatment measures on their parcels if the stormwater treatment is provided in designated off-parcel, centralized treatment areas as approved by the SFPUC. Stormwater Control Plans will be submitted as per the DRDAP.

12.3.2 Yerba Buena Stormwater Treatment Areas

Watershed Area Y1

This watershed area will utilize an outfall located near the intersection of Macalla Road and Treasure Island Road. The treatment areas for this watershed will be a combination of areas along Macalla Road. The treatment flow from this watershed will be split off from the larger flows and directed to the treatment areas with gravity diversion structures where possible or with pump stations prior to the outfall. Stormwater treatment BMPs will be integrated with the YBI Habitat Plan and open space design. The BMPs could include:

- Bio-retention/Infiltration Planters
- Raingardens
- Swales

The development parcels within these watershed areas will not be required to pre-treat their storm water runoff prior to discharging to the public stormwater system.

Watershed Area Y2

This watershed area will utilize an outfall located on the northern shoreline of YBI at the lower elevations below the Great White historic buildings. The treatment area for this watershed will be located in the open space area below the Great Whites. The treatment flow from this watershed will be split off from the larger flows and directed to the treatment areas with gravity diversion structures where possible or with pump stations prior to the outfall. Stormwater treatment BMPs will be integrated with the YBI Habitat Plan and open space design. The BMPs could include:

- Bio-retention/Infiltration Planters,
- Raingardens
- Swales

The development parcels within these watershed areas will not be required to pre-treat their storm water runoff prior to discharging to the public stormwater system.

Centralized Treatment Areas

Many of the watershed areas included centralized treatment areas where a single treatment feature treats stormwater from the entire watershed including private parcels and TIDA controlled property. Private vertical development and TIDA controlled property will not be required to implement any stormwater treatment measures on their parcels if the stormwater treatment is provided in designated off-parcel, centralized treatment areas as approved by the SFPUC. Stormwater Control Plans will be submitted as per the DRDAP.

Typical treatment cross sections for the street planters and bio-retention planters are shown on Figure 12.5. These BMPs will be designed to meet the stormwater control requirements of the Stormwater Design Guidelines at all times during the treatment storm at Mean Higher High Water (MHHW) conditions, with 16-inches of SLR. Stormwater treatment BMPs required to meet the Stormwater Design Guidelines shall be designed such that the system hydraulic grade line during the treatment storm, at MHHW (6.22 NAVD 88) conditions, with 16-inches of SLR shall have a 6-inch clearance below the bottom of the treatment and/or storage zones, unless approved by the SFPUC on a case-by-case scenario. The final sizing and elevations of the stormwater treatment devices will be developed in coordination with the SFPUC prior to approval of the Major Phase and Sub Phase applications and will meet the San Francisco Stormwater Design Guidelines treatment requirements.

Maintenance of the Stormwater Management Controls for Treasure Island / Yerba Buena Island Development Project will be as follows:

Homeowners Association or TIDA: The development homeowners association or TIDA will maintain all Stormwater Management Controls required to meet SFPUC stormwater management

requirements to treat runoff from private development (buildings, courtyards, parks and open space, private alleys, etc.) or TIDA controlled property (TIDA owned trust streets, TIDA owned facilities, etc.) as defined within the property legal descriptions. At no time will this runoff discharge to stormwater management controls located within the public right of way. This maintenance obligation includes all necessary stormwater lift stations and other ancillary infrastructure required for the Stormwater Management Controls to properly function.

SFPUC or other City Agency: The SFPUC or other City Agency will be responsible for maintenance of Stormwater Management Controls within the public right of way designed to only treat runoff from the public right of way. This maintenance obligation includes all infrastructure required for the Stormwater Management Controls located in the public right-of-way to properly function.

“Stormwater Management Controls” means the facilities, both those to remain privately-owned and those to be dedicated to the City, that comprise the infrastructure and landscape system that is intended to manage the stormwater runoff associated with the Project, as required by the San Francisco stormwater management standards, the applicable NPDES permit, and/or state and federal law, and as described in this Infrastructure Plan. Stormwater Management Controls include but are not limited to: (i) swales and bio-swales (including plants and soils), (ii) bio-retention and bio-filtration systems (including plants and soils), (iii) constructed ponds and/or wetlands (vi) permeable paving systems, and (v) other facilities performing a stormwater control function constructed to comply with the San Francisco stormwater management standards, the applicable NPDES permit, and/or state and federal law. Stormwater Management Controls shall not mean Infrastructure that is part of the traditional collection system such as catch basins, stormwater pipes, stormwater pump stations, outfalls, etc, that are located in the public right-of-way.

12.4 Coast Guard and Job Corps

The Developer will not replace the stormwater facilities within the Coast Guard and Job Corps properties.

The Coast Guard facility is a separate system on YBI and no connections to the new system are required.

The existing Job Corps stormwater system crosses their property line at several locations along their western and southern property line and connects to the existing TI system. The Project will coordinate with the Job Corps and re-connect their system at one location on Avenue C. The Project will then provide one of the following two alternatives for connecting the Job Corps stormwater system to the existing outfall along the western shoreline that currently serves the Job Corps site:

1. Install a new gravity line from the Job Corps connection point on Avenue C to the existing outfall. The gravity line would be sized to match the existing drainage conditions on the Job Corps campus.
2. Install a new pump station at the connection point and provide a dedicated force main to the existing outfall. The pump station and force main would be designed to match the existing drainage conditions on the Job Corps campus.

No improvements to the existing outfall are proposed and the Job Corps will be responsible for any required storm water treatment on their site.

12.5 Phases for Stormwater System Construction


The Developer will design and install the new stormwater collection and treatment systems to match the Sub-Phases of the Project. The amount of the existing system replaced with each Sub-Phase will be the minimum necessary to serve the Sub-Phase. The existing land areas on Treasure Island will continue to utilize the existing stormwater collection system with interim connections to the new system where required to maintain the existing service until the existing areas are demolished. The existing stormwater pump/lift stations will continue to be used for the existing land areas to remain during the initial Sub-Phases of the Project. The existing pump/lift stations located within each Sub-Phase will be removed or replaced with that Sub-Phase. Repairs and/or replacement of the existing facilities necessary to serve the sub-phase will be designed and constructed by the Developer.


Subject to negotiating a separate utilities interim operations memorandum of understanding between the Authority and the SFPUC, either the Authority or the SFPUC will be responsible for maintenance of existing collection facilities until replaced by the Developer. Once construction of



the Sub-Phase or new collection/treatment facilities are complete and accepted by the SFPUC, the SFPUC will be responsible for the new public stormwater collection system, including pump stations, located in public right of way areas. The Authority will be responsible for the stormwater treatment facilities in public areas. Private stormwater systems, including pump stations and treatment areas, located on private property will be maintained by the property owner.


The Developer will provide an existing conditions report for the existing stormwater system scheduled to remain adjacent to the Sub-Phase prior to the geotechnical mitigation activity. The report will include the conditions of the original system on TI as well as the new system constructed with previous phases adjacent to the new Phase. The report will be updated at the end of the

geotechnical mitigation activity and again at the end of the construction of the Sub-Phase. The limit of the report and how the conditions of the systems are determined will be coordinated with the SFPUC. The Developer will be responsible for damage to the original stormwater system, and/or newly installed stormwater mains on previous phases, due to geotechnical mitigation activity and/or construction of the proposed improvements. The Developer will make the necessary repairs as required and be responsible for any permit violations due to the damage.










STORM DRAIN OUTFALL AT CONSTRUCTION

NOT TO SCALE




STORM DRAIN OUTFALL - SECTION

NOT TO SCALE

PROJECTED TIDE ELEVATIONS WITH SEA LEVEL RISE

TIDE BENCHMARK	SLR	100-YR	MLW	MHHW
CURRENT	—	9.20'	1.06'	6.14'
MID-CENTURY (~2050)	16"	10.53'	2.39'	7.47'
3/4 CENTURY (~2075)	36"	12.20'	4.06'	9.14'
END CENTURY (~2100)	55"	13.78'	5.64'	10.72'











Figure 12.5.1: Typical Stormwater Path



*INDICATES THE HYDRAULIC GRADE LINE (HGL) OF THE STORM EVENT AND TIDE CONDITION (STORM EVENT/TIDE CONDITION) ASSUMING PLANTER LOCATED AT MOST UPSTREAM DRAINAGE STRUCTURE AND FL = 12.0 (NAVD 88).

TYPICAL STREET PLANTER

NOT TO SCALE



NOTES:

1. *INDICATES THE HYDRAULIC GRADE LINE (HGL) OF THE STORM EVENT AND TIDE CONDITION (STORM EVENT/TIDE CONDITION) ASSUMING PLANTER LOCATED IN SHORELINE PARK JUST UPSTREAM OF TIDEFLEX STRUCTURE AND FG = 12.0 (NAVD 88).

TYPICAL BIORETENTION TREATMENT PLANTER

NOT TO SCALE

13. DRY UTILITY SYSTEMS

Dry utilities on Treasure Island include electrical, natural gas, cable TV and telecommunications services.

13.1 Electrical System

13.1.1 Existing Electrical Service to Treasure Island

TIDA, or the Power Provider will own, operate, and maintain the existing electrical system once the Navy transfer is complete. They will be responsible for updating/executing/maintaining all related agreements for the continued electrical service to Treasure Island.

13.1.2 Existing Electrical System on Treasure Island

The existing submarine cables from Oakland land on TI near the end of 3rd Street. These lines connect to a series of existing 15kV switches located within Building 3. The existing switches provide sectionalizing capability to various parts of the Island. The existing distribution system on Treasure Island is a mix of underground cables and overhead lines. The rated capacities of the existing systems on Treasure Island are unknown. YBI is served by an existing 12 kV submarine cable running from Treasure Island to Yerba Buena Island under Clipper Cove.

To provide redundant power in case of emergency, the SFPUC owns two portable, diesel-fueled 2 MW generators that serve Treasure Island. The generators are kept outside of Building 3 and connect to the main 12 kV switchgear at TI. In the event of a power outage from an off-Island event, the power is manually switched to the portable generators. The portable generators are currently tested every other week. Each unit has a double-contained storage tank that holds approximately 2,100 gallons of diesel fuel that is adequate to run each generator at 70 percent load for about 20 hours.

13.1.3 Proposed Electrical System

13.1.3.1 Proposed Electrical Demand

The Project's estimated electrical peak demand is 11.4 MW and annual electrical energy consumption is 58,500 Megawatt-hours (MWh). This includes the proposed land uses, existing facilities to remain, infrastructure demands, and the WWTF.

13.1.3.1.1 Proposed Renewable Energy Generation

The Developer will provide 5 percent of peak electric demand with on-site renewable sources. The Project is anticipated to include photovoltaic panels to meet the goal. This would include the ability to provide roof-mounted photovoltaic systems on all buildings, including historic Buildings 1, 2, and 3.

13.1.3.2 Proposed Treasure Island Electrical Distribution System

The Developer will be responsible for the design and construction of the proposed electrical distribution system. The existing electrical distribution will be replaced in phases as the Project builds out. . The new system will be designed and constructed to PG&E standards. The on-island system will include new 15kV class switchgear (outdoor gear in a fenced enclosure) located near the southeast corner of the Project with bus and breakers for protection and sectionalizing load on the island. The submarine cables will be connected to this new switchgear through separate breakers, providing a redundant supply to the Island. The switchgear will include connection points for the two existing trailer mounted generators (to be relocated in close proximity to the switchgear yard) to provide additional redundancy. The distribution system throughout the Project will consist of a looped 600 amp, 12kV, main underground feeder system with fuses to protect radial and looped 200 amp circuits feeding transformers and service cables to residential and commercial developments. Distribution equipment will be installed subsurface or pad mounted as approved by the Power Provider. The existing 12kV submarine cable to YBI will remain and will be reused to provide service to the existing uses on YBI.

The new permanent electric distribution system will be underground in a joint or common trench which shall include gas, communication, and cable TV facilities as described below. The joint trench will also include electrical service for other infrastructure items such as street lights, traffic signals, and pump stations.

13.1.3.2.1 Location of Electrical Distribution System within New Streets

Figure 13.1 shows a conceptual layout of the joint trench system. Figure 13.2 shows the typical alignment of the joint trench system within the proposed streets.

13.1.3.3 Phases for Electrical System Construction

The Developer will design and install the new electrical system in phases to match the Sub-Phases of the Project. The amount of the existing system replaced with each Sub-Phase will be the minimum necessary to serve the Sub-Phase. The Sub-Phase will connect to the existing systems as close to the edge of the new Sub-Phase as possible while maintaining the integrity of the existing system for the remainder of the Island. Repairs and/or replacement of the existing facilities necessary to serve the Sub-Phase will be designed and constructed by the Developer.

The existing land uses on Treasure Island will continue to utilize the existing electrical distribution system with interim connections to the new system where required to maintain the existing service until the existing uses are demolished. These interim connections may be on overhead pole lines to facilitate ease of relocation to accommodate construction. The Power Provider will be responsible for maintenance of existing facilities until replaced by the Developer and will be responsible for the new power facilities once the Sub-Phase or new power facility is complete and accepted by the Utility Provider.

The Developer will provide an existing conditions report for the existing electrical system scheduled to remain adjacent to the Sub-Phase prior to the geotechnical mitigation activity. The report will include the conditions of the original system on TI as well as the new system constructed with previous phases adjacent to the new Phase. The report will be updated at the end of the geotechnical mitigation activity and again at the end of the construction of the Sub-Phase. The limit of the report and how the conditions of the systems are determined will be coordinated with the SFPUC. The Developer will be responsible for damage to the original electrical system, and/or newly installed electrical system on previous phases, due to geotechnical mitigation activity and/or construction of the proposed improvements. The Developer will make the necessary repairs as required and be responsible for any permit violations due to the damage.

13.2 Natural Gas System

13.2.1 Existing Natural Gas Demand

The existing natural gas demand at the Islands, including the Job Corps campus and the Coast Guard, is roughly 1.5 million therms per year.

13.2.2 Existing Natural Gas Distribution System

The SFPUC provides the existing natural gas supply to Treasure Island through a contract with the State of California Department of General Services ("DGS"). DGS has a contract with PG&E to use its distribution system and convey natural gas to TI through its 10" diameter submarine pipeline from Oakland. A portion of the existing pipe was recently replaced by Caltrans and PG&E due to conflicts with the construction of the new East Span of the Bay Bridge. There is no existing back-up gas supply.

The existing PG&E submarine gas line lands on the southeast corner TI. This line terminates at a large PG&E meter. Service lines radiate out from this meter to serve the uses on TI and YBI. The existing natural gas distribution system on the Island consists of 10 psi distribution lines using multiple types of pipe, including PVC and steel. The Gas Provider will own, operate, and maintain the existing natural gas service lines after the existing PG&E meter once the Navy transfer is complete.

13.2.3 Proposed Natural Gas System

13.2.3.1 Proposed Natural Gas Demand

The Project's peak natural gas demand is estimated at 42.6 million British Thermal Units per hour (Btu/hr) and annual gas consumption at approximately 1.3 million therms per year.

13.2.3.2 Proposed Natural Gas Distribution

The Developer will be responsible for the design and construction of the proposed gas distribution system. The new gas distribution system on Treasure Island will be constructed to PG&E standards and owned and maintained by the Gas Provider. The new distribution lines will be included in the joint trench facility shown in Figure 13.1 and 13.2.

13.2.3.3 Phases for Natural Gas System Construction

The Developer will install the new natural gas system in phases to match the Sub-Phases of the Project. The amount of the existing gas system replaced with each Sub-Phase will be the minimum necessary to serve the Sub-Phase. The new Sub-Phases will connect to the existing systems as close to the edge of the new Sub-Phase as possible while maintaining the integrity of the existing system for the remainder of the Island. Repairs and/or replacement of the existing facilities necessary to serve the sub-phase will be designed and constructed by the Developer.

The existing land uses on Treasure Island will continue to utilize the existing gas distribution system with interim connections to the new system where required to maintain the existing service until the existing uses are demolished. The Gas Provider will be responsible for maintenance of existing facilities until replaced by the Developer. The new gas system will be owned, operated and maintained by Gas Provider.

The Developer will provide an existing conditions report for the existing gas system scheduled to remain adjacent to the Sub-Phase prior to the geotechnical mitigation activity. The report will include the conditions of the original system on TI as well as the new system constructed with previous phases adjacent to the new Phase. The report will be updated at the end of the geotechnical mitigation activity and again at the end of the construction of the Sub-Phase. The limit of the report and how the conditions of the systems are determined will be coordinated with the SFPUC. The Developer will be responsible for damage to the original gas system, and/or newly installed gas system on previous phases, due to geotechnical mitigation activity and/or construction of the proposed improvements. The Developer will make the necessary repairs as required and be responsible for any permit violations due to the damage.

13.3 Telecommunications and Cable TV

The existing telecommunication facilities and cable TV on Treasure Island are outdated and in a poor state of repair. The entire system will need to be replaced with the Project.


The Developer will be responsible for the design and construction of the new services for the Project. The new services will be constructed in phases. The amount of the existing systems


replaced with each Sub-Phase will be the minimum necessary to serve the Phase. The Sub-Phase will connect to the existing systems as close to the edge of the Sub-Phase as possible while maintaining the integrity of the existing system for the remainder of the Island. The existing land uses on Treasure Island will continue to utilize the existing system with interim connections to the new system where required to maintain the existing service until the existing uses are demolished.

The new system will be included in the joint trench facility shown in Figure 13.1 and 13.2.



13.4 Coast Guard and Job Corps

The Developer will not replace the dry utility facilities within the Coast Guard and Job Corps properties. The Developer will construct the new systems, including connection and/or transition facilities, up to the boundary of these two property owners and connect to their existing systems to maintain the existing services.





Source: BRF Engineers, February 2011



14. PROJECT INFRASTRUCTURE VARIANTS

A number of alternative infrastructure utilities have been considered as variants in the EIR for the project, including district heating and cooling, automated waste collection, and on-site renewable energy generation. These systems have been evaluated for use on the project, but have not been confirmed for implementation as of the date of this Infrastructure Plan. Upon mutual agreement between the City and the Developer, future implementation of any of these systems could be integrated into the project design as project approvals progress. Implementation and maintenance of these systems may be by the SFPUC, the Authority, or third party providers, or in combination between such parties. The infrastructure presented in this Infrastructure Plan would not preclude the future implementation of any of these systems.


14.1 Location of Alternative Utilities



Figure 14.1 shows the potential pipe locations within the public street sections for the district heating and cooling, and automated waste collection systems.

14.2 Phasing

Any such alternative infrastructure utilities selected for implementation will be developed in conjunction with the phased buildout of the project. In cooperation with the Authority and the party responsible for implementation of such system(s), the Developer shall coordinate the submittal of design plans as part of the applicable Major Phase or Sub Phase Application.

Impacts to improvements installed with previous Phases of development do to the designs of the new Phase will be the responsibility of the Authority/System Operator/Developer and addressed prior to approval of the construction drawings for the new Phase.





TYPICAL UTILITY CROSS SECTION

1"=10'

Attachment 2

CITY & COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

TREASURE ISLAND DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY
ONE AVENUE OF THE PALMS,
2ND FLOOR, TREASURE ISLAND
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94130
(415) 274-0660 FAX (415) 274-0299
WWW.SFTREASUREISLAND.ORG



LONDON N. BREED
MAYOR

ROBERT BECK
TREASURE ISLAND DIRECTOR

May 4, 2020

Dear Mayor Breed:

By this letter and pursuant to the Interagency Cooperation Agreement (Treasure Island/Yerba Buena Island) ("ICA"),¹ the Treasure Island Development Authority ("TIDA") requests that you determine that TIDA's proposed changes to the Treasure Island Infrastructure Plan ("Infrastructure Plan")² are not material.

The Infrastructure Plan defines the public infrastructure for the portions of Naval Station Treasure Island, including Yerba Buena Island ("Project"), that are being redeveloped pursuant to the Disposition and Development Agreement, executed by and between TIDA and Treasure Island Community Development, LLC, dated June 28, 2011. As you are aware, the Project is a housing priority project pursuant to Mayoral Executive Directive 17-02. The Infrastructure Plan governs the construction, development, and site work related to infrastructure required by the Project.

The ICA provides an amendment process for the Infrastructure Plan. TIDA may make non-material changes to the Plan that do not increase any obligations of or lessen the primary benefits accruing to the City. ICA § 8.4(b). However, the Mayor must first determine that proposed changes are not material. *Id.* This determination requires the approval of the Municipal Transportation Agency of the City and County of San Francisco ("SFMTA"), the San Francisco Public Utilities Commission ("SFPUC"), and/or the Fire Department of the City and County of San Francisco ("SFFD") if the proposed changes affect these agencies. *Id.* § 8.4(a), (b).

In this case, all three City agencies approved the proposed Infrastructure Plan amendment changes to Macalla Road, discussed below, through their review of the Street Improvement Permit No. 18IE-003 that Public Works approved on May 31, 2018.³ The proposed amendments

¹ The ICA is dated as of June 28, 2011 and was executed by and between the City and County of San Francisco and TIDA. The ICA provides for cooperation between TIDA and the City to administer "the control and approval of subdivisions, and all other land use, development, construction, improvement, infrastructure, occupancy, and use requirements applicable to the Project." ICA Recital H.

² The Infrastructure Plan was included as Exhibit FF to the Disposition and Development Agreement.

³ For reference, the cover sheet to this permit, stamped with Public Works' approval, is attached and may be reviewed in its entirety in Public Works' file for Project No. 20140015-12.

related to Signal Road do not require the approval of SFMTA or SFFD for the reasons explained below, and the SFPUC has approved them, as conveyed in the attached letter.

TIDA's proposed Infrastructure Plan amendment changes account for updated engineering and design solutions negotiated by TIDA, the Project developer, and City agencies over the last several years. The updated Infrastructure Plan is attached to this letter. The proposed changes and the status of City agency approvals are as follows:

Macalla Road: The Infrastructure Plan designates Macalla Road as a one-way major arterial street. This road will remain one-way, but the planned dimensions of the roadway have been changed to match Street Improvement Permit No. 18IE-003 approved by Public Works in 2018 after review by SFPUC, SFMTA, SFFD and other relevant City agencies. The amended Infrastructure Plan retrospectively updates the Macalla Road engineering cross section to reflect these approved changes which were initiated at the request of City agencies.

Signal Road: The Infrastructure Plan currently designates Signal Road as a private road. Following negotiations with the Project developer, Public Works, the SFPUC, and the City Attorney, all parties now agree that Signal Road will be dedicated as a public road and subject to City jurisdiction, allowing the City to manage and issue permits for utilities in the road. TIDA will retain maintenance and liability responsibility for the roadway surface unless and until the City, through Board of Supervisors action, accepts it. The proposed changes in the Infrastructure Plan update the road's designation.

SFPUC has submitted the attached letter pursuant to Section 8.4 of the ICA approving the amendments to the Infrastructure Plan which memorialize certain exceptions to the Treasure Island / Yerba Buena Island Subdivision Code along Signal Road and convert Signal Road to a public street.

Additionally, Signal Road's designation as a public street will not affect SFMTA or SFFD. First, the redesignation of Signal Road from private to public will not change how the road functions for vehicular (SFMTA) and emergency vehicle (SFFD) access. Signal Road meets the minimum standards imposed by SFMTA and SFFD, including a minimum 20' width and, for SFMTA, two lanes of traffic, one in each direction. The road width and lane direction are memorialized in the updated Infrastructure Plan. Additionally, SFFD is unaffected by this change because the Subdivision Regulations for Treasure Island and Yerba Buena Island (Appendix D, Section IIC.3.c) place restrictions on the vertical buildings constructed along the road to accommodate SFFD operation requirements. Any future development will be required to comply with these restrictions. Because the road will meet these design standards, the Infrastructure Plan revision designating Signal Road as public affects neither SFFD nor SFMTA.

As described above, these proposed changes are not material, and no additional approvals from SFMTA, SFFD, or SFPUC are needed. TIDA requests that you countersign this letter to reflect your determination that these proposed changes are not material and that you agree with TIDA's


Date: May 4, 2020
Subject: Treasure Island Infrastructure Plan Amendment

Page 3 of 3

determination that these amendments do not increase any obligations of or lessen the primary benefits accruing to the City. This approval is necessary to facilitate the approval of the first phased final map for Yerba Buena Island, which includes 189 new homes.

TREASURE ISLAND DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY


Date: 5/4/2020

By: 
Robert Beck
Treasure Island Director

CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

Countersigned:

Date: 5/12/2020

By: 
London Breed
Mayor of City and County of San Francisco

Attachments:

1. Amendments to Infrastructure Plan
2. Treasure Island Infrastructure Plan (June 28, 2011)
3. Cover Sheet to Street Improvement Permit No. 18IE-003 (approved May 31, 2018)
4. Letter from SFPUC Approving Changes to the Infrastructure Plan re Signal Road

From: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#)
Subject: FW: WARN Notice
Date: Monday, June 1, 2020 4:11:00 PM
Attachments: [WARN_MTV_SF_6.1.20.pdf](#)
[image002.png](#)

From: Tracey Bye <Tracey.Bye@nortonlifelock.com>
Sent: Monday, June 1, 2020 3:46 PM
To: Tracey Bye <Tracey.Bye@nortonlifelock.com>
Subject: WARN Notice

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hello, attached is a WARN notice regarding a recent elimination of positions at NortonLifeLock, Inc. (formerly known as Symantec Corporation).

Thank you,

Tracey Bye
Sr. Paralegal, Employment Law
O: 650 527 5081
tracey_bye@symantec.com
NortonLifeLock.com



Symantec Corporation and its related Consumer Division (Norton and LifeLock) are now NortonLifeLock Inc. – a standalone company dedicated to consumer Cyber Safety. Email addresses will change in February 2020.

June 1, 2020

Sent via e-Mail

Mayor Lisa Matichak
City Hall
500 Castro Street
Mountain View, CA 94041
Lisa.matichak@mountainview.gov

Mayor London Breed
City Hall, Room 200
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 941023
mayorlondonbreed@sfgov.org

NOVA Consortium (North Santa Clara)
Ms. Kristan Stadelman, Director
North Valley Job Training Consortium (NOVA)
505 W. Olive, Suite 550
Sunnyvale, CA 94086
business@novaworks.org

San Francisco City/County Workforce Development
Mr. Joshua Arce, Director
1 South Van Ness Ave., 5th Floor
San Francisco, CA 94103
Workforce.development@sfgov.org

County of Santa Clara
Santa Clara Board of Supervisors
70 West Hedding Street, 10th Floor, East Wing
San Jose, CA 95110
Cindy.chavez@bos.sccgov.org

County of San Francisco
San Francisco Board of Supervisors
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place #244
San Francisco, CA 94102
Board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org

WARN Act Coordinator
Program Support Unit
Workforce Services Division
Employment Development Department
722 Capitol Mall, MIC 50/Room 5099
Sacramento, CA 95814
eddwarnnotice@edd.ca.gov

Re. Notice of Layoff: Mountain View, California and San Francisco, California



To Whom It May Concern:

This letter is to notify you that NortonLifeLock Inc. (formerly known as Symantec Corporation) ("NortonLifeLock") will be permanently eliminating the positions of 14 employees in or associated with the Mountain View and San Francisco, California offices.

In the event the Federal Worker Adjustment and Retraining Notification Act and/or any corollary state law is applicable, we hereby provide you with the following information:

1. Location of Mountain View, California and San Francisco, California facilities:

NortonLifeLock Inc.
350 Ellis Street
Mountain View, California 94043

NortonLifeLock Inc.
795 Folsom Street, 1st Floor
San Francisco, CA 94111

2. Expected dates of layoff:

With limited potential variation, employees were notified May 27, 2020 and the termination date will either be July 29, 2020, July 31, 2020, or August 26, 2020 – refer to Attachment A for specific date. Layoffs are expected to be permanent.

3. Bumping rights:

None of the affected employees are represented by a union, and no bumping rights exist.

4. Job titles of positions to be affected, the number of affected employees in each job, associated location, and noticed term date:

See Attachment A.

5. For further information, please contact:

Tracey Bye
NortonLifeLock Inc.
Legal & Global Cyber Security
350 Ellis Street
Mountain View, CA 94043
650-527-5081

Any assistance that the State might provide to NortonLifeLock employees who will be losing their employment with this action would be appreciated.

Sincerely,


Tracey Bye
Sr. Paralegal

ATTACHMENT A

May 2020 Notifications			
Job Title	Headcount	Job Location	Term Dates
Dir, Finance	1	Mountain View, CA	8/26/2020
Dir, Information Security	1	Mountain View, CA	7/31/2020
Dir, IT	1	Mountain View, CA	8/26/2020
IT Applications Architect	1	Mountain View, CA	8/26/2020
Mgr, Finc'l Pln & Analysis	1	Mountain View, CA	8/26/2020
Sr Dir, Information Security	1	Mountain View, CA	8/26/2020
Sr Dir, IT	1	Mountain View, CA	8/26/2020
Sr Mgr, Finance	1	Mountain View, CA	8/26/2020
Sr Mgr, Gen Acctg & Reporting	1	Mountain View, CA	7/31/2020
Sr Mgr, IT	2	Mountain View, CA	8/26/2020
UX/UI Design Dir	1	Mountain View, CA	7/29/2020
VP, Legal	1	Mountain View, CA	7/31/2020
Sr Dir, Workforce Planning & Excellence	1	Mountain View, CA	8/26/2020

From: [Hickey, Jacqueline \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#)
Subject: 4 letters for File No. 200389
Date: Thursday, June 4, 2020 3:33:00 PM
Attachments: [4 letters for File No. 200389.pdf](#)

Hello Supervisors,

Please find attached 4 letters for File No. 200389.

File No. 200389: Mayoral Appointment, Municipal Transportation Agency Board of Directors - Jane Natoli

Thank you,

Jackie Hickey
Board of Supervisors
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102-4689
Phone: (415) 554-5184 | Direct: (415) 554-7701
jacqueline.hickey@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

From: [Dan Federman](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary; Beinart, Amy \(BOS\)](#); [Herzstein, Daniel \(BOS\)](#); [Mar, Gordon \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Wright, Edward \(BOS\)](#); [Quan, Daisy \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Supporting Jane Natoli for SFMTA Board
Date: Thursday, May 28, 2020 4:33:12 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Chair Ronen,

I am writing to express my support for Jane Natoli as Mayor London Breed's nominee for the SFMTA Board of Directors.

Jane comes to this work as an advocate for safe streets, regularly showing up and fighting for everyday San Franciscans walking, biking, and taking transit. From 2018 to 2020, she served as an elected member of the San Francisco Bicycle Coalition's Board of Directors, helping to guide the 10,000 member organization in its mission of making biking more accessible, safe, and diverse for everyone in the City. She has regularly shown up to advocate on behalf of projects that will do just that throughout the City. For her, it's not just the right thing to do, but personal, as she has been hit while biking three times in San Francisco.

In addition to that experience, Jane serves on two other boards currently, the SF LGBT Center and YIMBY Action, and is also a Mayoral appointee on the Citizen's General Obligation Bond Oversight Committee. She understands the need for a steady hand guiding organizations, making the right and sometimes tough decisions for the organizations she serves and staying focused on the mission and centering the needs of those who need our help most. She takes the fiscal responsibility of overseeing organizations seriously and understands the challenges ahead for SFMTA and our City.

She understands the gravity of what is ahead of us and remains committed to ensuring we meet our Vision Zero goals while getting San Franciscans around safely. She wants to uphold our values as a Transit First city and ensure that our system truly serves the riders who need it most. Frequent and reliable transit is a must, but as a trans woman, she also understands that everyone who rides our buses and trains or walking or biking deserves to be treated with dignity and that is just as real a barrier for too many San Franciscans getting around our City as how often the bus comes.

I am confident that Jane will bring all of her experiences to bear in this role and will step in and work quickly towards solutions for our City and our citizens in these unprecedented times. I ask you to recommend Jane Natoli for the SFMTA Board of Directors to the Board of Supervisors.

Thank you,
- Dan Federman

Member, United Democratic Club

From: [Jordan DeAngelo](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary; Beinart, Amy \(BOS\)](#); [Herzstein, Daniel \(BOS\)](#); [Mar, Gordon \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Wright, Edward \(BOS\)](#); [Quan, Daisy \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please support Jane Natoli for the SFMTA Board
Date: Thursday, May 28, 2020 5:04:53 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Chair Ronen,

I am writing to express my support for Jane Natoli as Mayor London Breed's nominee for the SFMTA Board of Directors.

Jane comes to this work as an advocate for safe streets, regularly showing up and fighting for everyday San Franciscans walking, biking, and taking transit. From 2018 to 2020, she served as an elected member of the San Francisco Bicycle Coalition's Board of Directors, helping to guide the 10,000 member organization in its mission of making biking more accessible, safe, and diverse for everyone in the City. She has regularly shown up to advocate on behalf of projects that will do just that throughout the City. For her, it's not just the right thing to do, but personal, as she has been hit while biking three times in San Francisco.

In addition to that experience, Jane serves on two other boards currently, the SF LGBT Center and YIMBY Action, and is also a Mayoral appointee on the Citizen's General Obligation Bond Oversight Committee. She understands the need for a steady hand guiding organizations, making the right and sometimes tough decisions for the organizations she serves and staying focused on the mission and centering the needs of those who need our help most. She takes the fiscal responsibility of overseeing organizations seriously and understands the challenges ahead for SFMTA and our City.

She understands the gravity of what is ahead of us and remains committed to ensuring we meet our Vision Zero goals while getting San Franciscans around safely. She wants to uphold our values as a Transit First city and ensure that our system truly serves the riders who need it most. Frequent and reliable transit is a must, but as a trans woman, she also understands that everyone who rides our buses and trains or walking or biking deserves to be treated with dignity and that is just as real a barrier for too many San Franciscans getting around our City as how often the bus comes.

I am confident that Jane will bring all of her experiences to bear in this role and will

step in and work quickly towards solutions for our City and our citizens in these unprecedented times. I ask you to recommend Jane Natoli for the SFMTA Board of Directors to the Board of Supervisors.

Thank you and best regards,
Jordan DeAngelo

From: [Ashlin Bruce](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary; Beinart, Amy \(BOS\)](#); [Herzstein, Daniel \(BOS\)](#); [Mar, Gordon \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Wright, Edward \(BOS\)](#); [Quan, Daisy \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Supporting Jane Natoli for SFMTA Board
Date: Sunday, May 31, 2020 2:04:58 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

San Francisco Board of Supervisors
Rules Committee
Supervisor Hillary Ronen, Chair
City Hall, 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102

Dear Chair Ronen,

I am writing to express my support for Jane Natoli as Mayor London Breed's nominee for the SFMTA Board of Directors.

Jane comes to this work as an advocate for safe streets, regularly showing up and fighting for everyday San Franciscans walking, biking, and taking transit. From 2018 to 2020, she served as an elected member of the San Francisco Bicycle Coalition's Board of Directors, helping to guide the 10,000 member organization in its mission of making biking more accessible, safe, and diverse for everyone in the City. She has regularly shown up to advocate on behalf of projects that will do just that throughout the City. For her, it's not just the right thing to do, but personal, as she has been hit while biking three times in San Francisco.

In addition to that experience, Jane serves on two other boards currently, the SF LGBT Center and YIMBY Action, and is also a Mayoral appointee on the Citizen's General Obligation Bond Oversight Committee. She understands the need for a steady hand guiding organizations, making the right and sometimes tough decisions for the organizations she serves and staying focused on the mission and centering the needs of those who need our help most. She takes the fiscal responsibility of overseeing organizations seriously and understands the challenges ahead for SFMTA and our City.

She understands the gravity of what is ahead of us and remains committed to ensuring we meet our Vision Zero goals while getting San Franciscans around safely. She wants to uphold our values as a Transit First city and ensure that our system truly serves the riders who need it most. Frequent and reliable transit is a must, but as a trans woman, she also understands that everyone who rides our buses and trains or walking or biking deserves to

be treated with dignity and that is just as real a barrier for too many San Franciscans getting around our City as how often the bus comes.

I am confident that Jane will bring all of her experiences to bear in this role and will step in and work quickly towards solutions for our City and our citizens in these unprecedented times. I ask you to recommend Jane Natoli for the SFMTA Board of Directors to the Board of Supervisors.

Thank you,

Ashlin Bruce
Member, United Democratic Club

--

Ashlin Bruce
ashlinkbruce@gmail.com

From: [Robert Mansfield](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary; Beinart, Amy \(BOS\)](#); [Herzstein, Daniel \(BOS\)](#); [Mar, Gordon \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Wright, Edward \(BOS\)](#); [Quan, Daisy \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Supporting Jane Natoli for SFMTA Board
Date: Monday, June 1, 2020 1:42:44 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

San Francisco Board of Supervisors
Rules Committee
Supervisor Hillary Ronen, Chair
City Hall, 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102

Dear Chair Ronen,

I am writing to express my support for Jane Natoli as Mayor London Breed's nominee for the SFMTA Board of Directors.

Jane comes to this work as an advocate for safe streets, regularly showing up and fighting for everyday San Franciscans walking, biking, and taking transit. From 2018 to 2020, she served as an elected member of the San Francisco Bicycle Coalition's Board of Directors, helping to guide the 10,000 member organization in its mission of making biking more accessible, safe, and diverse for everyone in the City. She has regularly shown up to advocate on behalf of projects that will do just that throughout the City. For her, it's not just the right thing to do, but personal, as she has been hit while biking three times in San Francisco.

In addition to that experience, Jane serves on two other boards currently, the SF LGBT Center and YIMBY Action, and is also a Mayoral appointee on the Citizen's General Obligation Bond Oversight Committee. She understands the need for a steady hand guiding organizations, making the right and sometimes tough decisions for the organizations she serves and staying focused on the mission and centering the needs of those who need our help most. She takes the fiscal responsibility of overseeing organizations seriously and understands the challenges ahead for SFMTA and our City.

She understands the gravity of what is ahead of us and remains committed to ensuring we meet our Vision Zero goals while getting San Franciscans around safely. She wants to uphold our values as a Transit First city and ensure that our system truly serves the riders who need it most. Frequent and reliable transit is a must, but as a trans woman, she also understands that everyone who rides our buses and trains or walking or biking deserves to be treated with dignity and that is just as real a barrier for too many San Franciscans getting around our City as how often the bus comes.

I am confident that Jane will bring all of her experiences to bear in this role and will step in and work quickly towards solutions for our City and our citizens in these unprecedented

times. I ask you to recommend Jane Natoli for the SFMTA Board of Directors to the Board of Supervisors.

Thank you,

Robert Mansfield
2 Townsend St Apt 1-408
San Francisco CA 94107

Member, United Democrats Club

From: [Hickey, Jacqueline \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#)
Subject: 5 letters for File No. 200113
Date: Thursday, June 4, 2020 3:40:00 PM
Attachments: [5 letters for File No. 200113.pdf](#)

Hello,

Please find attached 5 letters for File No. 200113.

**File No. 200113 - Planning, Building Codes - Reauthorization and Extension of Fee Waiver
- Legalization of Unauthorized Dwelling Units**

Thank you,

Jackie Hickey
Board of Supervisors
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102-4689
Phone: (415) 554-5184 | Direct: (415) 554-7701
jacqueline.hickey@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

From: [Alla Dubrovsky, Esq.LLM](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Cc: [Quan, Daisy \(BOS\)](#); [Mar, Gordon \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: support Supervisor Mar"sordinance - Legalization of Unauthorized units
Date: Tuesday, June 2, 2020 11:20:46 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear members of Government Audit and Oversight Committee,

As a homeowner and resident in San Francisco, I urge you to support Supervisor Mar's ordinance to reauthorize and extend fee waivers for the unauthorized dwelling units legalization program. We need to make this program accessible to help both small property owners and tenants and stabilize the community. My house is in the final process of legalization, and the new affordable unit will become available for tenants in need as soon as it becomes legalized.

Please include my correspondence to File 200113.

Sincerely,

Alla Dubrovsky, Esq, LLM
1815 40th Ave, SF
alla.law@gmail.com
415-596-3002

From: [E D](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Cc: [Quan, Daisy \(BOS\)](#); [Mar, Gordon \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: support Supervisor Mar's ordinance - Legalization of Unauthorized units
Date: Tuesday, June 2, 2020 11:31:13 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear members of Government Audit and Oversight Committee,

As a resident in San Francisco, I urge you to support Supervisor Mar's ordinance to reauthorize and extend fee waivers for the unauthorized dwelling units legalization program. We need to make this program accessible to help both small property owners and tenants and stabilize the community. We, tenants of affordable units, benefit from more of the affordable units come on the market from our neighbors in the community.

Please include my correspondence to File 200113.

Sincerely,

Eugene and Nelly D.

From: [helen.yelluas](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Quan, Daisy \(BOS\)](#); [Mar, Gordon \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Support Supervisor Mar's ordinance - Legalization of Unauthorized units
Date: Wednesday, June 3, 2020 12:28:33 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear members of Government Audit and Oversight Committee,

As a resident in San Francisco, I urge you to support Supervisor Mar's ordinance to reauthorize and extend fee waivers for the unauthorized dwelling units legalization program. We need to make this program accessible to help both small property owners and tenants and stabilize the community. My house is in the process of legalization, and the new affordable unit should become available for all the tenants in need as soon as it becomes legalized.

Please include my correspondence to File 200113.

Sincerely,

Sunset resident at Ortega St

Helen Yelluas, MSEE
Adjunct Professor
Agno School of Business
GOLDEN GATE UNIVERSITY

From: [Alex Yelluas](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Quan, Daisy \(BOS\)](#); [Mar, Gordon \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Support Supervisor Mar's ordinance - Legalization of Unauthorized units
Date: Wednesday, June 3, 2020 1:06:07 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear members of Government Audit and Oversight Committee,

As a homeowner and resident in San Francisco, I urge you to support Supervisor Mar's ordinance to reauthorize and extend fee waivers for the unauthorized dwelling units legalization program. We need to make this program accessible to help both small property owners and tenants and stabilize the community. My house is in the process of legalization, and the new affordable unit should become available for tenants in need as soon as it becomes legalized.

Please include my correspondence to File 200113.

Sincerely,

Sunset resident at Ortega Sth

homeowner& landlord

From: [Maria Rivero](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Quan, Daisy \(BOS\)](#); [Mar, Gordon \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Support Supervisor Mar's ordinance - Legalization of Unauthorized Dwelling Units
Date: Wednesday, June 3, 2020 7:59:26 AM
Importance: High

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear members of Government Audit and Oversight Committee,

As a homeowner and resident in San Francisco, I urge you to please support Supervisor Mar's ordinance to reauthorize and extend fee waivers for the unauthorized dwelling units legalization program. We need to make this program accessible to help both small property owners and tenants and stabilize the community.

Please include my correspondence to File 200113.

Thank you for your attention to this important matter

Sincerely,

Maria Rivero

Noriega St

Sunset resident

From: [Jeanne M](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#)
Cc: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#); Eileen.mchugh@sfgov.org; info@japantowntaskforce.org
Subject: Peace Plaza- We Need Your Full Support
Date: Tuesday, May 26, 2020 5:13:19 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

This community has suffered, as you all are aware, through decades of many troubling times, and now again it seems that the Peace Plaza Renovation Proposal may not be able to move forward as was expected.

Those of us that live in Cathedral Hill, the immediate neighborhood, are excited and anxious to move forward on the entire project, with your full support, that will be possible.

I urge you to support this project as presented, with the full investment that the community has sought of \$25M.

Thank you.

Jeanne Milligan

1220 Ellis Street

From: [Hiroko Ono](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#)
Subject: Future of San Francisco Japantown
Date: Tuesday, May 26, 2020 6:15:10 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

San Francisco Board of Supervisors
City Hall, Room 244
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102

To the Members of the Board of Supervisors:

On behalf of (organization), we urge you to support the \$25 million allocation for the Japantown Peace Plaza currently included in the proposed Health and Recovery Bond Measure. The Japantown community has waited nearly 20 years for the space to be properly repaired and to create an open space that appropriately reflects the priorities of our residents, community organizations, businesses and visitors.

As you are aware, the Peace Plaza is the only open space in Japantown and is deeply symbolic of the plight and struggles of San Francisco's Japanese American community. This project is particularly meaningful for the Japantown community because the Peace Plaza area was once occupied by Japanese American residents and businesses. After being forcibly removed and incarcerated by the military during World War II, the Japanese American community was forced out a second time by the San Francisco Redevelopment Agency. The eviction of our community resulted in the loss of a staggering amount of wealth for the families and businesses who had no choice but to accept whatever sale price was offered for their property.

While our community does not dwell on the fact that the city forcibly acquired ownership of the area where the Peace Plaza was built, we do feel that San Francisco has a responsibility to take care of the space. We understand that San Francisco has many urgent priorities, but our community is adamant that we have been more than patient and it is time for this city to address this matter. The residential base of our community may never return to this area and having the means to bring people back is critical to the economy of this neighborhood. Having an open space which addresses the needs and represents the character of Japantown is vital to the long-term sustainability of this community.

We urge you to support the full \$25 million current allocated for Peace Plaza in the

Health and Recovery bond.

Sincerely,

Hiroko Ono

A native San Franciscan

cc: Angela.calvillo@sfgov.org
 Alisa.somera@sfgov.org
 Eileen.mchugh@sfgov.org
 info@japantowntaskforce.org

Sent from my iPad

From: [Peter](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#)
Subject: Japantown Peace Plaza
Date: Tuesday, May 26, 2020 7:05:35 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

From: [Rodney Chin](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#)
Cc: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#); Eileen.mchugh@sfgov.org; info@japantowntaskforce.org
Subject: Japantown Plaza - 2020 Health and Recovery Bond Measure
Date: Wednesday, May 27, 2020 9:07:16 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

May 27, 2020

San Francisco Board of Supervisors
City Hall, Room 244
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102

To the Members of the Board of Supervisors:

On behalf of the Buchanan YMCA, we urge you to support the \$25 million allocation for the Japantown Peace Plaza currently included in the proposed Health and Recovery Bond Measure. The Japantown community has waited nearly 20 years for the space to be properly repaired and to create an open space that appropriately reflects the priorities of our residents, community organizations, businesses and visitors.

As you are aware, the Peace Plaza is the only open space in Japantown and is deeply symbolic of the plight and struggles of San Francisco's Japanese American community. This project is particularly meaningful for the Japantown community because the Peace Plaza area was once occupied by Japanese American residents and businesses. After being forcibly removed and incarcerated by the military during World War II, the Japanese American community was forced out a second time by the San Francisco Redevelopment Agency. The eviction of our community resulted in the loss of a staggering amount of wealth for the families and businesses who had no choice but to accept whatever sale price was offered for their property.

While our community does not dwell on the fact that the city forcibly acquired ownership of the area where the Peace Plaza was built, we do feel that San Francisco has a responsibility to take care of the space. We understand that San Francisco has many urgent priorities, but our community is adamant that we have been more than patient and it is time for this city to address this matter. The residential base of our community may never return to this area and having the means to bring people back is critical to the economy of this neighborhood. Having an open space which addresses the needs and represents the character of Japantown is vital to the long-term sustainability of this community.

We urge you to support the full \$25 million current allocated for Peace Plaza in the Health and Recovery bond.

Sincerely,
Rodney Chin

Rodney Chin
Executive Director
Buchanan YMCA

cc: Angela.calvillo@sfgov.org
Alisa.somera@sfgov.org
Eileen.mchugh@sfgov.org
info@japantowntaskforce.org

Rodney Chin
Executive Director
Buchanan YMCA
1530 Buchanan St.
SF, CA 94115
415 931-9622
415 292-3011 - Direct
rchin@ymcasf.org
www.ymcasf.org/buchanan

From: [Christine Hiroshima](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#)
Cc: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#); Eileen.mchugh@sfgov.org; info@japantowntaskforce.org
Subject: PEACE PLAZA RENOVATION PROJECT
Date: Wednesday, May 27, 2020 9:24:19 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

May 27, 2020

San Francisco Board of Supervisors
City Hall, Room 244
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102

To the Members of the Board of Supervisors:

I urge you to support the \$25 million allocation for the Japantown Peace Plaza currently included in the proposed Health and Recovery Bond Measure. The Japantown community has waited nearly 20 years for the space to be properly repaired and to create an open space that appropriately reflects the priorities of our residents, community organizations, businesses and visitors.

As you are aware, the Peace Plaza is the only open space in Japantown and is deeply symbolic of the plight and struggles of San Francisco's Japanese American community. This project is particularly meaningful for the Japantown community because the Peace Plaza area was once occupied by Japanese American residents and businesses. After being forcibly removed and incarcerated by the military during World War II, the Japanese American community was forced out a second time by the San Francisco Redevelopment Agency. The eviction of our community resulted in the loss of a staggering amount of wealth for the families and businesses who had no choice but to accept whatever sale price was offered for their property.

While our community does not dwell on the fact that the city forcibly acquired ownership of the area where the Peace Plaza was built, we do feel that San Francisco has a responsibility to take care of the space. We understand that San Francisco has many urgent priorities, but our community is adamant that we have been more than patient and it is time for this city to address this matter. The residential base of our community may never return to this area and having the means to bring people back is critical to the economy of this neighborhood. Having an open space which addresses the needs and represents the character of Japantown is vital to the long-term sustainability of this community.

I urge you to support the full \$25 million current allocated for Peace Plaza in the Health and Recovery bond.

Sincerely,

Christine W. Hiroshima

cc: Angela.calvillo@sfgov.org
Alisa.somera@sfgov.org
Eileen.mchugh@sfgov.org
info@japantowntaskforce.org

From: rh2511@comcast.net
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#)
Cc: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#); Eileen.mchugh@sfgov.org; info@japantowntaskforce.org
Subject: Peace Plaza Renovation Project
Date: Wednesday, May 27, 2020 9:59:32 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

May 27, 2020

San Francisco Board of Supervisors
City Hall, Room 244
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102

To the Members of the Board of Supervisors:

On behalf of Kimochi, Inc., we urge you to support the \$25 million allocation for the Japantown Peace Plaza currently included in the proposed Health and Recovery Bond Measure. The Japantown community has waited nearly 20 years for the space to be properly repaired and to create an open space that appropriately reflects the priorities of our residents, community organizations, businesses and visitors.

As you are aware, the Peace Plaza is the only open space in Japantown and is deeply symbolic of the plight and struggles of San Francisco's Japanese American community. This project is particularly meaningful for the Japantown community because the Peace Plaza area was once occupied by Japanese American residents and businesses. After being forcibly removed and incarcerated by the military during World War II, the Japanese American community was forced out a second time by the San Francisco Redevelopment Agency. The eviction of our community resulted in the loss of a staggering amount of wealth for the families and businesses who had no choice but to accept whatever sale price was offered for their property.

While our community does not dwell on the fact that the city forcibly acquired ownership of the area where the Peace Plaza was built, we do feel that San Francisco has a responsibility to take care of the space. We understand that San Francisco has many urgent priorities, but our community is adamant that we have been more than patient and it is time for this city to address this matter. The residential base of our community may never return to this area and having the means to bring people back is critical to the economy of this neighborhood. Having an open space which addresses the needs and represents the character of Japantown is vital to the long-term sustainability of this community.

We urge you to support the full \$25 million current allocated for Peace Plaza in the Health and Recovery bond.

Sincerely,



Roy Ikeda
Member of the Kimochi Board of Directors

cc: Angela.calvillo@sfgov.org
Alisa.somera@sfgov.org
Eileen.mchugh@sfgov.org
info@japantowntaskforce.org

From: [Kathleen Kimura](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#)
Cc: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#); eileen.mchugh@sfgov.org; [Japantown Task Force](#)
Subject: SF PEACE PLAZA RENOVATION PROJECT
Date: Wednesday, May 27, 2020 10:16:09 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Honorable Members of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors:

I am writing to strongly support the renovation of a vital San Francisco community landmark, the San Francisco Peace Plaza Renovation Project in San Francisco's historic Japantown. I have been and continue to be involved in the wider San Francisco international community for now over four decades, including the Japanese and Japanese-American community. I currently serve as co-chair of the SF-Osaka Sister City Association and on the board of the Japan Society of Northern California (president 1995-97). I am also privileged to be serving on this year's Asian Pacific Heritage Celebration Committee. I have seen and been involved in many events that have taken place in the San Francisco Peace Plaza.

San Francisco's Japantown, one of the three remaining in the US, is a destination for many locals and international visitors alike. It is a icon of San Francisco, with its pagoda (a gift from the people of Osaka, Japan) its focal point. The plaza has been subject to flooding and is in disrepair. The community has waited nearly 20 years for this Peace Plaza to be repaired and to create an open space that appropriately reflects the priorities of its residents, community organizations, businesses and visitors. The repair and renovation is urgently needed.

I humbly request that this San Francisco Peace Plaza Renovation Project be approved by you all as a project to be placed on the November ballot for a \$25 million bond.

Thank you for your attention, and kind cooperation.

Best wishes
Kathleen Kimura
Kathleen Kimura, MBE
(415) 602-1660

From: [Jeddie Kawahatsu](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#)
Cc: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#); Eileen.mchugh@sfgov.org; info@japantowntaskforce.org
Subject: Peace Plaza Renovation Project- Japantown
Date: Wednesday, May 27, 2020 10:33:06 AM
Attachments: [Peace Plaza BOS Letter 5.27.2020.pdf](#)

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Aloha,

I grew up on Laguna street between Post and Sutter and spent the majority of my childhood playing in Peace Plaza with my friends after school, performing taiko and Japanese dance at the various Japantown cultural festivals, and participating in community fundraisers and important events such as the Day of Remembrance.

I have fond recollections of the most recent renovation and recall visiting the poster with all the changes that were to be made with excitement and anticipation. Since then, it has been a good space for performances and community gatherings, however there are many things that can be altered and fixed to ensure the enjoyment and safety of the elderly and youth that enjoy that space.

Please see the attached letter and we urge you to pass this project. In a time of difficulty and uncertainty, it would be a beacon of hope for our community.

Thank you!

Jeddie Kawahatsu

5/27/2020

San Francisco Board of Supervisors
City Hall, Room 244
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102

To the Members of the Board of Supervisors:

On behalf of Japantown residents, we urge you to support the \$25 million allocation for the Japantown Peace Plaza currently included in the proposed Health and Recovery Bond Measure. The Japantown community has waited nearly 20 years for the space to be properly repaired and to create an open space that appropriately reflects the priorities of our residents, community organizations, businesses and visitors.

As you are aware, the Peace Plaza is the only open space in Japantown and is deeply symbolic of the plight and struggles of San Francisco's Japanese American community. This project is particularly meaningful for the Japantown community because the Peace Plaza area was once occupied by Japanese American residents and businesses. After being forcibly removed and incarcerated by the military during World War II, the Japanese American community was forced out a second time by the San Francisco Redevelopment Agency. The eviction of our community resulted in the loss of a staggering amount of wealth for the families and businesses who had no choice but to accept whatever sale price was offered for their property.

While our community does not dwell on the fact that the city forcibly acquired ownership of the area where the Peace Plaza was built, we do feel that San Francisco has a responsibility to take care of the space. We understand that San Francisco has many urgent priorities, but our community is adamant that we have been more than patient and it is time for this city to address this matter. The residential base of our community may never return to this area and having the means to bring people back is critical to the economy of this neighborhood. Having an open space which addresses the needs and represents the character of Japantown is vital to the long-term sustainability of this community.

We urge you to support the full \$25 million current allocated for Peace Plaza in the Health and Recovery bond.

Sincerely,

Jeddie Kawahatsu

cc: Angela.calvillo@sfgov.org
Alisa.somera@sfgov.org
Eileen.mchugh@sfgov.org
info@japantowntaskforce.org

From: [Fisher, Lucy](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#)
Cc: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#); Eileen.mchugh@sfgov.org; info@japantowntaskforce.org
Subject: \$25M for Japantown Peace Plaza
Date: Wednesday, May 27, 2020 11:07:20 AM
Attachments: [Peace Plaza BOS Letter.docx](#)

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear members of San Francisco Board of Supervisors:

I urge you to support the inclusion of the full \$25 million for the Peace Plaza Renovation Project in the 2020 Health and Recovery Bond. Please read the attached letter for critical details.

Sincerely,
Lucy Fisher

Lucy Fisher, RN, MA, PhD
Clinical Professor and Curriculum Director
MS - Healthcare Administration and Interprofessional Leadership
University of California, San Francisco
<http://healthleadership.ucsf.edu/>

2 Koret Way, Box 0608
San Francisco, CA 94143-0608
Wk: (415) 476 4250; Cell (415) 244 5803

May 27, 2020

San Francisco Board of Supervisors
City Hall, Room 244
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102

To the Members of the Board of Supervisors:

As a member of the Board of Directors of the Japantown Task Force, I urge you to support the \$25 million allocation for the Japantown Peace Plaza currently included in the proposed Health and Recovery Bond Measure. Our community has waited nearly 20 years for the space to be properly repaired and to create an open space that appropriately reflects the priorities of our residents, community organizations, businesses and visitors.

As you are aware, the Peace Plaza is the only open space in Japantown and is deeply symbolic of the history, plight and struggles of San Francisco's Japanese American community. This restoration is particularly meaningful because the Peace Plaza area was once occupied by Japanese American residents and businesses. After being forcibly removed and incarcerated by the military during World War II, our community was forced out a second time by the San Francisco Redevelopment Agency. This eviction again resulted in the loss of a staggering amount of wealth for the families and businesses who had no choice but to accept whatever sale price was offered for their property. These economic damages added to social, religious, educational and artistic losses to individuals and families.

Since the city forcibly acquired ownership of the area where the Peace Plaza was built, it has a responsibility to care for that space. While San Francisco has many urgent priorities, our community has been waiting 20 years for a safe, beautiful and culturally relevant space. Having an open space which addresses the needs and represents the character of Japantown is vital to the long-term sustainability of this community.

We urge you to support the full \$25 million current allocated for Peace Plaza in the Health and Recovery bond.

Sincerely,

Lucy Fisher, RN, PhD
Clinical Professor and Curriculum Director
MS-Healthcare Administration
University of California, San Francisco

cc: Angela.calvillo@sfgov.org
Alisa.somera@sfgov.org
Eileen.mchugh@sfgov.org
info@japantowntaskforce.org

From: [JanMasaoka](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#)
Subject: Japantown Peace Plaza
Date: Wednesday, May 27, 2020 8:24:45 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Why this project deserves the money:

There are so many deserving projects. As San Francisco's tourist economy recovers, it's important to have a wide variety of tourist draws. Japantown draws a very different group of tourists -- many of them from California as well as overseas. It also plays an important role in keeping Japanese visitors -- both tourists and people here on business -- in San Francisco.

Its proximity to St Mary's Cathedral also makes it a commercial district that supports and draws from the church and from the dozens of conferences that are held at St Mary's.

Thank you.

Jan Masaoka
580 Alvarado Street, San Francisco 94114
jan@janmasaoka.net
415 926 0034

From: [Karl Matsushita](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#)
Date: Wednesday, May 27, 2020 10:17:32 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

The Japanese American National Library

1619 Sutter Street, San Francisco, California PHONE: (415) 567-5006

May 27, 2020

San Francisco Board of Supervisors
City Hall, Room 244
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102

To the Members of the Board of Supervisors:

On behalf of (organization), we urge you to support the \$25 million allocation for the Japantown Peace Plaza currently included in the proposed Health and Recovery Bond Measure. The Japantown community has waited nearly 20 years for the space to be properly repaired and to create an open space that appropriately reflects the priorities of our residents, community organizations, businesses and visitors.

As you are aware, the Peace Plaza is the only open space in Japantown and is deeply symbolic of the plight and struggles of San Francisco's Japanese American community. This project is particularly meaningful for the Japantown community because the Peace Plaza area was once occupied by Japanese American residents and businesses. After being forcibly removed and incarcerated by the military during World War II, the Japanese American community was forced out a second time by the San Francisco Redevelopment Agency. The eviction of our community resulted in the loss of a staggering amount of wealth for the families and businesses who had no choice but to accept whatever sale price was offered for their property.

While our community does not dwell on the fact that the city forcibly acquired ownership of the area where the Peace Plaza was built, we do feel that San Francisco has a responsibility to take care of the space. We understand that San Francisco has many urgent priorities, but our community is adamant that we have been more than patient and it is time for this city to address this matter. The residential base of our community may never return to this area and having the means to bring people back is critical to the economy of this neighborhood. Having an open space which addresses the needs and represents the character of Japantown is vital to the long-term sustainability of this community.

We urge you to support the full \$25 million current allocated for Peace Plaza in the Health and Recovery bond.

Sincerely,

Karl K. Matsushita
Director

cc: Angela.calvillo@sfgov.org
Alisa.somera@sfgov.org
Eileen.mchugh@sfgov.org
info@japantowntaskforce.org

From: [Grace Horikiri](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#)
Cc: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#); Eileen.mchugh@sfgov.org; [Japantown Task Force](#)
Subject: 2020 Health and Recovery Bond - Peace Plaza Renovation Project
Date: Thursday, May 28, 2020 7:59:25 AM
Attachments: [NSF_Japantown_Peace_Plaza_Ltr.pdf](#)

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To the Members of the Board of Supervisors,

The Nihonmachi Street Fair is showing our support in the letter attached for the Peace Plaza renovation project and to request your support that it will be included in the final version of the 2020 Health and Recovery Bond.

We as well as many other Japantown community organizations utilizes the Peace Plaza to hold events that not only locals attend, but is an important destination point for millions of visitors. In order to continue holding celebrations to promote our cultural pride and history it needs to be updated so that the Peace Plaza continues to be an important hub of activities for generations to come.

I ask you for your support.

Respectfully,

Grace Horikiri
Executive Director

Nihonmachi Street Fair, Inc.
grace@nihonmachisf.org

1581 Webster Street, Suite 240
San Francisco, CA 94115
Cel 1-415-867-1318
Office 1-415-771-9861
Fax 1-415-796-0863

<http://www.nihonmachistreetfair.org>
<http://www.alohabythebay.org>



1581 Webster Street, #240
San Francisco, CA 94115
415-771-9861

Grace Horikiri
Executive Director

Pat Fong
Chief Financial Officer

Board of Directors

Richard Jue, Chair
Nicholas Footracer, Vice
Chair
Tobin Tsuji, Treasurer
Aina Dang
Rodger Fujinaga
Erika Tamura

Planning Committee

Jenn Adams
Justin Butac
Winfred Chin
Joey Convento
Jen Dowd
Brian Fong
Jaclyn Funasaki
Jillian Kimiko Hom
Reuben Houston
Aya Ino
Tai Iwamasa
Nathan Lau
Annie Li
Ryan Louie
Gail Mametsuka
Lauren Morimoto
Denise Naganuma
Cheri Quan
Ryan Quan
Quillan Rusky
Roy Sexton
Andrew Sumi
Kiyomi Takeda
Shingo Tanaka
Tiffany Curameng-Taylor
Megumi Tsurukawa
Troy Untelan
Lee Yanase
Shirley Wing
Wayne Yoshida

May 28, 2020

San Francisco Board of Supervisors
City Hall, Room 244
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102

To the Members of the Board of Supervisors:

On behalf of the Nihonmachi Street Fair, Inc., we urge you to support the \$25 million allocation for the Japantown Peace Plaza currently included in the proposed Health and Recovery Bond Measure. The Japantown community has waited nearly 20 years for the space to be properly repaired and to create an open space that appropriately reflects the priorities of our residents, community organizations, businesses and visitors.

As you are aware, the Peace Plaza is the only open space in Japantown and is deeply symbolic of the plight and struggles of San Francisco's Japanese American community. This project is particularly meaningful for the Japantown community because the Peace Plaza area was once occupied by Japanese American residents and businesses. After being forcibly removed and incarcerated by the military during World War II, the Japanese American community was forced out a second time by the San Francisco Redevelopment Agency. The eviction of our community resulted in the loss of a staggering amount of wealth for the families and businesses who had no choice but to accept whatever sale price was offered for their property.

While our community does not dwell on the fact that the city forcibly acquired ownership of the area where the Peace Plaza was built, we do feel that San Francisco has a responsibility to take care of the space. We understand that San Francisco has many urgent priorities, but our community is adamant that we have been more than patient and it is time for this city to address this matter. The residential base of our community may never return to this area and having the means to bring people back is critical to the economy of this neighborhood. Having an open space which addresses the needs and represents the character of Japantown is vital to the long-term sustainability of this community.

I along with the NSF Board of Directors and Planning Committee urge you to support the full \$25 million current allocated for Peace Plaza in the Health and Recovery bond.

Sincerely,

Nihonmachi Street Fair, Inc.:
Grace Horikiri
Executive Director

cc: Angela.calvillo@sfgov.org
Alisa.somera@sfgov.org
Eileen.mchugh@sfgov.org
info@japantowntaskforce.org

From: katayama.96go@jal.com
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#)
Cc: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#); Eileen.mchugh@sfgov.org; info@japantowntaskforce.org
Subject: JAPANTOWN PEACE PLAZA RENOVATION PROJECT
Date: Thursday, May 28, 2020 10:30:41 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

San Francisco Board of Supervisors
City Hall, Room 244
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102

To the Members of the Board of Supervisors:

On behalf of Japan Airlines, we urge you to support the \$25 million allocations for the Japantown Peace Plaza currently included in the proposed Health and Recovery Bond Measure. The Japantown community has waited nearly 20 years for the space to be properly repaired and to create an open space that appropriately reflects the priorities of our residents, community organizations, businesses, and visitors.

As you are aware, the Peace Plaza is the only open space in Japantown and is deeply symbolic of the plight and struggles of San Francisco's Japanese American community. This project is particularly meaningful for the Japantown community because the Peace Plaza area was once occupied by Japanese American residents and businesses. After being forcibly removed and incarcerated by the military during World War II, the Japanese American community was forced out a second time by the San Francisco Redevelopment Agency. The eviction of our community resulted in the loss of a staggering amount of wealth for the families and businesses who had no choice but to accept whatever sale price was offered for their property.

While our community does not dwell on the fact that the city forcibly acquired ownership of the area where the Peace Plaza was built, we do feel that San Francisco has a responsibility to take care of the space. We understand that San Francisco has many urgent priorities, but our community is adamant that we have been more than patient and it is time for this city to address this matter. The residential base of our community may never return to this area and having the means to bring people back is critical to the economy of this neighborhood. Having an open space which addresses the needs and represents the character of Japantown is vital to the long-term sustainability of this community.

We urge you to support the full \$25 million currently allocated for Peace Plaza in the Health and Recovery bond.

Sincerely,

Stephen Katayama
Managing Director, Global Sales, Western Sales Office
Japan Airlines Co., Ltd.
I - 5 - 105, P.O. Box 28002, San Francisco Int'l Airport
San Francisco, CA 94128-0025
Tel: (650) 821-1224 Fax: (650) 821-1259

For reservations and information: www.jal.com

Toll-Free: 800-JAL-FONE (800-525-3663)



cc:

Angela.calvillo@sfgov.org

Alisa.somera@sfgov.org

Eileen.mchugh@sfgov.org

info@japantowntaskforce.org

From: [Lauren Nosaka](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#)
Cc: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#); Eileen.mchugh@sfgov.org; [Japantown Task Force](#)
Subject: 2020 Health and Recovery Bond - Peace Plaza Renovation Project
Date: Thursday, May 28, 2020 2:58:51 PM
Attachments: [Peace Plaza Support Letter Japantown Task Force.pdf](#)

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Board of Supervisors,

Please see Japantown Task Force, Inc's Letter of Support for the Peace Plaza Renovation Project. Thank you.

Sincerely,
Lauren

Lauren Nosaka
野坂ローレン
Administrative Manager
Japantown Task Force, Inc.
[1765 Sutter Street, 3rd Floor](#)
[San Francisco, CA 94115](#)
www.japantowntaskforce.org
415.346.1239 (Office)

lnosaka@japantowntaskforce.org

May 28, 2020

San Francisco Board of Supervisors
City Hall, Room 244
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102

To the Members of the Board of Supervisors:


On behalf of Japantown Task Force, Inc., we urge you to support the \$25 million allocation for the Japantown Peace Plaza currently included in the proposed Health and Recovery Bond Measure. The Japantown community has waited nearly 20 years for the space to be properly repaired and to create an open space that appropriately reflects the priorities of our residents, community organizations, businesses and visitors.

As you are aware, the Peace Plaza is the only open space in Japantown and is deeply symbolic of the plight and struggles of San Francisco's Japanese American community. This project is particularly meaningful for the Japantown community because the Peace Plaza area was once occupied by Japanese American residents and businesses. After being forcibly removed and incarcerated by the military during World War II, the Japanese American community was forced out a second time by the San Francisco Redevelopment Agency. The eviction of our community resulted in the loss of a staggering amount of wealth for the families and businesses who had no choice but to accept whatever sale price was offered for their property.

While our community does not dwell on the fact that the city forcibly acquired ownership of the area where the Peace Plaza was built, we do feel that San Francisco has a responsibility to take care of the space. We understand that San Francisco has many urgent priorities, but our community is adamant that we have been more than patient and it is time for this city to address this matter. The residential base of our community may never return to this area and having the means to bring people back is critical to the economy of this neighborhood. Having an open space which addresses the needs and represents the character of Japantown is vital to the long-term sustainability of this community.

We urge you to support the full \$25 million current allocated for Peace Plaza in the Health and Recovery bond.

Sincerely,


Steve Nakajo
Executive Director


Sandy Mori
President, Board of Directors

From: [Richard Hashimoto](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#)
Cc: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#); Eileen.McHugh@sfgov.org; [Japantown Task Force](#)
Subject: Japantown Peace Plaza Renovation Project
Date: Thursday, May 28, 2020 3:07:52 PM
Attachments: [JMA BOS Letter re Peace Plaza.pdf](#)

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Honorable Supervisors,

Please find attached our letter asking for your utmost support of the Japantown Peace Plaza Renovation Project within the 2020 Health and Recovery Act Bond.

Thank you,
Richard Hashimoto
President
Japantown Merchants Association



May 28, 2020

San Francisco Board of Supervisors
City Hall, Room 244
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102

Dear Honorable Members of the Board of Supervisors:

On behalf of the Japantown Merchants Association, we urge you to support the \$25 million allocation for the Japantown Peace Plaza Renovation Project that is currently included in the proposed 2020 Health and Recovery Act Bond Measure.

In 1998, the city reneged on the last renovation promising to renovate the plaza with plans the Friends of the Peace Plaza Committee (Friends) funded. The city received funds raised by Friends and also accepted a \$550,000 gift from the parking corporation that operates the garage below explicitly for the waterproofing. For unknown reasons, the city broke their promise and forced the current problem plagued design that has haunted us ever since it was installed.

As you are aware, the Peace Plaza is the only open space in Japantown that should properly reflect the culture and integrity of the community. The current design is far from that.

Supervisors, this is a unique opportunity to make a right from a wrong and we are asking for your support to approve the \$25M allocation for the Peace Plaza in the Health and Recovery Act Bond.

Respectfully,

Richard Hashimoto
President

cc: Angela.calvillo@sfgov.org
Alisa.somera@sfgov.org
Eileen.mchugh@sfgov.org
info@japantowntaskforce.org

From: [Koichi Fukuda](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#); Eileen.mchugh@sfgov.org
Cc: bquan@japantowntaskforce.org; info@japantowntaskforce.org; [Koichi Fukuda](#)
Subject: I urge you to support the \$25 million allocation for the Japantown Peace Plaza currently included in the proposed Health and Recovery Bond Measure.
Date: Thursday, May 28, 2020 3:28:00 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

05-28-2020

San Francisco Board of Supervisors

City Hall, Room 244

1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place

San Francisco, CA 94102

To the Members of the Board of Supervisors:

I'm 77 years old & I grew up on Bush & Laguna Street in San Francisco's Japantown. I had a long journey, in 1942 my family was forced into a Concentration Camp (where I was born), in 1947 my family & I returned to San Francisco's Japantown after being released from a Texas Internment Camp. As part of the 1960s Western Addition Redevelopment Project the Japanese Americans, Afro American & others were removed from Japantown. I went to College in LA & worked in Manhattan but returned to the City in 1970. I had a house in the Castro District but sold it & I returned to J-town because it's my homebase. I find comfort, security & culturally relevant. For the Japantown community The Peace Plaza is a very important cultural community symbol that needs to be funded. Please Help!

I & others in the J-town community urge you to support the \$25 million allocation for the Japantown Peace Plaza currently included in the proposed Health and Recovery Bond Measure. The Japantown community has waited nearly 20 years for the space to be properly repaired and to create an open space that appropriately reflects the priorities of our residents, community organizations, businesses and visitors.

Thanks you for your support,
Koichi Fukuda

Buchanan Street Apt. 302

415-647-2460

sashimi1942@yahoo.com

From: [Kenji Dohi](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#)
Cc: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#); Eileen.mchugh@sfgov.org; info@japantowntaskforce.org; [Seiji Horibuchi](#); [Kenji Dohi](#)
Subject: Japantown Peace Plaza Support Request
Date: Thursday, May 28, 2020 4:18:13 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

May 28, 2020

San Francisco Board of Supervisors
City Hall, Room 244
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102

To the Members of the Board of Supervisors:

On behalf of (organization), we urge you to support the \$25 million allocation for the Japantown Peace Plaza currently included in the proposed Health and Recovery Bond Measure. The Japantown community has waited nearly 20 years for the space to be properly repaired and to create an open space that appropriately reflects the priorities of our residents, community organizations, businesses and visitors.

As you are aware, the Peace Plaza is the only open space in Japantown and is deeply symbolic of the plight and struggles of San Francisco's Japanese American community. This project is particularly meaningful for the Japantown community because the Peace Plaza area was once occupied by Japanese American residents and businesses. After being forcibly removed and incarcerated by the military during World War II, the Japanese American community was forced out a second time by the San Francisco Redevelopment Agency. The eviction of our community resulted in the loss of a staggering amount of wealth for the families and businesses who had no choice but to accept whatever sale price was offered for their property.

While our community does not dwell on the fact that the city forcibly acquired ownership of the area where the Peace Plaza was built, we do feel that San Francisco has a responsibility to take care of the space. We understand that San Francisco has many urgent priorities, but our community is adamant that we have been more than patient and it is time for this city to address this matter. The residential base of our community may never return to this area and having the means to bring people back is critical to the economy of this neighborhood. Having an open space which addresses the needs and represents the character of Japantown is vital to the long-term sustainability of this community.

We urge you to support the full \$25 million current allocated for Peace Plaza in the Health and Recovery bond.

Sincerely,

Seiji Horibuchi
President & CEO, New People, Inc.

seiji@newpeopleworld.com

CC:

Angela.calvillo@sfgov.org

Alisa.somera@sfgov.org

Eileen.mchugh@sfgov.org

info@japantowntaskforce.org

From: [rich e](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#)
Cc: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#); eileen.mchugh@sfgov.org; info@japantowntaskforce.org
Subject: Support \$25 Million Allocation - Japantown Peace Plaza - Health & Recovery Bond Measure
Date: Thursday, May 28, 2020 4:34:31 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

May 28, 2020

San Francisco Board of Supervisors
City Hall, Room 244
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102

To the Members of the Board of Supervisors:

I respectfully urge you to support the \$25 million allocation for the Japantown Peace Plaza currently included in the proposed Health and Recovery Bond Measure. The Japantown community has waited nearly 20 years for the space to be properly repaired and to create an open space that appropriately reflects the needs of our residents, community organizations, businesses, and patrons.

As you are aware, the Peace Plaza is the only open space in Japantown and is deeply symbolic of the plight and struggles of San Francisco's Japanese American community. This project is significant because the Peace Plaza area was an area once occupied by Japanese American residents and businesses. After being forcibly removed and incarcerated by the United States Government and its military during World War II, the Japanese American community was forcibly displaced a second time by the City's San Francisco Redevelopment Agency. The seizure of land and the displacement of our community resulted in the loss of a staggering amount of wealth for the families and businesses who had no choice but to accept whatever sales price was offered for their property.

While our community does not dwell on the city's forcible acquisition of the property where the Peace Plaza sits, we do feel that San Francisco has a responsibility to sustain maintenance and care of the space. We understand that San Francisco has many urgent priorities, but our community is adamant that we have been more than patient and it is time for this city to address this matter. Having an open space which represents the character of Japantown is vital to the long-term sustainability for a vibrant community.

I urge you to support the full \$25 million current allocated for the Peace Plaza in the Health and Recovery bond.

Sincerely,

Richard Eijima
Former Member of the Board of Directors - Nihonmachi Little Friends; Buddhist Church of San Francisco Youth Athletic Organization; and Asian Pacific Islander Legal Outreach

cc: Angela.calvillo@sfgov.org

Alisa.somera@sfgov.org

Eileen.mchugh@sfgov.org

info@japantowntaskforce.org

From: taiki.qc5r@jal.com
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#)
Cc: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#); Eileen.mchugh@sfgov.org; info@japantowntaskforce.org
Subject: As for the San Francisco Japan Town Peace Plaza Renovation Project
Date: Thursday, May 28, 2020 5:21:36 PM
Attachments: [image.png](#)

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

San Francisco Board of Supervisors
City Hall, Room 244
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102

To the Members of the Board of Supervisors:

On behalf of Japanese Chamber of Commerce of Northern California, we urge you to support the \$25 million allocation for the Japantown Peace Plaza currently included in the proposed Health and Recovery Bond Measure. The Japantown community has waited nearly 20 years for the space to be properly repaired and to create an open space that appropriately reflects the priorities of our residents, community organizations, businesses and visitors.

As you are aware, the Peace Plaza is the only open space in Japantown and is deeply symbolic of the plight and struggles of San Francisco's Japanese American community. This project is particularly meaningful for the Japantown community because the Peace Plaza area was once occupied by Japanese American residents and businesses. After being forcibly removed and incarcerated by the military during World War II, the Japanese American community was forced out a second time by the San Francisco Redevelopment Agency. The eviction of our community resulted in the loss of a staggering amount of wealth for the families and businesses who had no choice but to accept whatever sale price was offered for their property.

While our community does not dwell on the fact that the city forcibly acquired ownership of the area where the Peace Plaza was built, we do feel that San Francisco has a responsibility to take care of the space. We understand that San Francisco has many urgent priorities, but our community is adamant that we have been more than patient and it is time for this city to address this matter. The residential base of our community may never return to this area and having the means to bring people back is critical to the economy of this neighborhood. Having an open space which addresses the needs and represents the character of Japantown is vital to the long-term sustainability of this community.

We urge you to support the full \$25 million current allocated for Peace Plaza in the Health and Recovery bond.

Sincerely,

Taiki Ozawa
President



From: vanceyoshida@gmail.com
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Cc: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#); Eileen.mchugh@sfgov.org; info@japantowntaskforce.org
Subject: Japantown Peace Plaza
Date: Thursday, May 28, 2020 5:29:24 PM
Attachments: [200528 Peace Plaza San Francisco BOS Letter.pdf](#)

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Please see attached

May 5, 2020

San Francisco Board of Supervisors
City Hall, Room 244
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102

To the Members of the Board of Supervisors:

On behalf of (organization), we urge you to support the \$25 million allocation for the Japantown Peace Plaza currently included in the proposed Health and Recovery Bond Measure. The Japantown community has waited nearly 20 years for the space to be properly repaired and to create an open space that appropriately reflects the priorities of our residents, community organizations, businesses and visitors.

As you are aware, the Peace Plaza is the only open space in Japantown and is deeply symbolic of the plight and struggles of San Francisco's Japanese American community. This project is particularly meaningful for the Japantown community because the Peace Plaza area was once occupied by Japanese American residents and businesses. After being forcibly removed and incarcerated by the military during World War II, the Japanese American community was forced out a second time by the San Francisco Redevelopment Agency. The eviction of our community resulted in the loss of a staggering amount of wealth for the families and businesses who had no choice but to accept whatever sale price was offered for their property.

While our community does not dwell on the fact that the city forcibly acquired ownership of the area where the Peace Plaza was built, we do feel that San Francisco has a responsibility to take care of the space. We understand that San Francisco has many urgent priorities, but our community is adamant that we have been more than patient and it is time for this city to address this matter. The residential base of our community may never return to this area and having the means to bring people back is critical to the economy of this neighborhood. Having an open space which addresses the needs and represents the character of Japantown is vital to the long-term sustainability of this community.

We urge you to support the full \$25 million current allocated for Peace Plaza in the Health and Recovery bond.

Sincerely,

Vance Yoshida
President
California Flower Market, LLC

cc: Angela.calvillo@sfgov.org
Alisa.somera@sfgov.org
Eileen.mchugh@sfgov.org
info@japantowntaskforce.org

From: [Cecilia Ching](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#)
Cc: [Rodney Chin](#); [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#); Eileen.mchugh@sfgov.org; info@japantowntaskforce.org
Subject: Japantown Peace Plaza Renovation Project
Date: Thursday, May 28, 2020 7:59:52 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Thursday, May 28th, 2020

San Francisco Board of Supervisors
City Hall, Room 244
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102

To the Members of the Board of Supervisors:

On behalf of the Richmond District YMCA, we urge you to support the \$25 million allocation for the Japantown Peace Plaza currently included in the proposed Health and Recovery Bond Measure. The Japantown community has waited nearly 20 years for the space to be properly repaired and to create an open space that appropriately reflects the priorities of our residents, community organizations, businesses and visitors.

As you are aware, the Peace Plaza is the only open space in Japantown and is deeply symbolic of the plight and struggles of San Francisco's Japanese American community. This project is particularly meaningful for the Japantown community because the Peace Plaza area was once occupied by Japanese American residents and businesses. After being forcibly removed and incarcerated by the military during World War II, the Japanese American community was forced out a second time by the San Francisco Redevelopment Agency. The eviction of our community resulted in the loss of a staggering amount of wealth for the families and businesses who had no choice but to accept whatever sale price was offered for their property.

While our community does not dwell on the fact that the city forcibly acquired ownership of the area where the Peace Plaza was built, we do feel that San Francisco has a responsibility to take care of the space. We understand that San Francisco has many urgent priorities, but our community is adamant that we have been more than patient and it is time for this city to address this matter. The residential base of our community may never return to this area and having the means to bring people back is critical to the economy of this neighborhood. Having an open space which addresses the needs and represents the character of Japantown is vital to the long-term sustainability of this community.

On a personal level, I am a San Francisco native who attended high school at Sacred Heart Cathedral Preparatory, just a couple of blocks away from Japantown. Some of my fondest memories from those years include hanging

out at the Peace Plaza with my friends afterschool, eating some sushi from the nearby Nijiya Market, and taking in the vibrant culture of Japantown. The Peace Plaza has a special place in my heart. This renovation project would allow community members, students, families, and visitors to continue enjoying one of our city's most important cultural landmarks for many years to come.

We urge you to support the full \$25 million current allocated for Peace Plaza in the Health and Recovery bond.

Sincerely,

Cecilia Ching
Director of Administration & Financial Development
Richmond District YMCA

cc: Angela.calvillo@sfgov.org
Alisa.somera@sfgov.org
Eileen.mchugh@sfgov.org
info@japantowntaskforce.org

Best regards,
Cecilia



Cecilia Ching (she/they)
Director of Administration & Financial Development
Richmond District YMCA
360 18th Avenue
San Francisco, CA 94121
(415) 666-9615
cching@ymcasf.org
<http://www.ymcasf.org/richmond>

"I like to score goals, but I also like to have friends among the people I have played with." - Leo Messi

From: anakahata@comcast.net
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#)
Cc: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#); info@Japantowntaskforce.org; Eileen.McHugh@sfgov.org
Subject: \$25 million - Health Recovery Bond Measure - Peace Plaza Renovation
Date: Thursday, May 28, 2020 8:30:52 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

May 28, 2020

San Francisco Board of Supervisors
City Hall, Room 244
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102

Members, Board of Supervisors, San Francisco,

I am a resident of San Francisco, having lived in Japan Town for the last four years. Originally, I grew up in the central part of California but came to know San Francisco Nihonmachi (Japan Town) as a mecca for Japanese culture as it evolved in the United States. The Plaza is THE focal point in Japan Town and has served as a desirable venue for meeting friends/ family from the City, the Bay Area or other regions of California. The Japan Town Plaza is also a stopping point for visitors from around the world (including Japan) and other regions of the U.S.

As you know, San Francisco has one of only three remaining Japan Towns in the entire U.S. In its current state the Plaza needs much upgrading, repairs, and improvements, something that is long overdue. We are hopeful that our City realizes that the Plaza needs to come up to the 21st century. The \$25 million Bond Measure allocated to this project will help it to come to that fruition. Your vote to approve this project will help to keep San Francisco's Japan Town alive for many years to come.

I strongly urge you to support this \$25 million allocation for the Japan Town Peace Plaza currently included in the proposed Health and Recovery Bond Measure. The Japantown community has waited nearly 20 years for the space to be properly repaired and to create a space that appropriately reflects the priorities of our residents, community organizations, businesses, and visitors.

As you are aware, the Peace Plaza is the only open space in Japantown and is deeply symbolic of the plight and struggles of San Francisco's Japanese American community. For us, this project is particularly meaningful because the Peace Plaza area was once occupied by Japanese American residents and businesses. After being forcibly removed and incarcerated by the military during WWII, the Japanese American community was forced out a second time by the San Francisco Redevelopment Agency. The eviction of our community resulted in the loss of a staggering amount of wealth for the families and businesses who had no choice but to

accept whatever sale price was offered to them for their property.

While our community does not dwell on the fact that the city forcibly acquired ownership of the area where the Peace Plaza was built, we did feel that San Francisco has a responsibility to take care of the space. We understand that San Francisco has many urgent priorities, but our community is adamant that we have been more than patient and it is time to address this matter. The resident base of our community may never return to this area and having the means for bringing people back is critical to the community of this neighborhood. Having an open space which addresses the needs and represents the culture of Japantown is vital to the long-term sustainability of this community.

I urge you to support the full \$25 million currently allocated for Peace Plaza in the Health and Recovery Bond.

Sincerely
Alice K. Nakahata

From: [Hiroshi Sengoku](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#)
Cc: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#); Eileen.mchugh@sfgov.org; info@japantowntaskforce.org; sknakajo@japantowntaskforce.org; [John Nishizawa](#)
Subject: Japantown Peace Plaza Renovation Project
Date: Friday, May 29, 2020 7:22:27 AM
Attachments: [200527 Peace Plaza BOS Sample Letter Nichibei Kai.pdf](#)

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hello,

I'm a vice president of Hokka Nichibei Kai in Japantown. We are non-profit organization to support Japanese culture, language and relationship between Japan and US.

We'd like to ask The City and County of San Francisco Board of Supervisors member and member of the Board to ask for their vote & support for our \$25m Peace Plaza Project.

Please find an attached letter and hope this project will be true.

Thank you for your support our community and your consideration.

Best Regards,

Hiroshi Sengoku

Vice President
HOKKA NICHIBEI KAI
Japanese American Association of Northern California



THE JAPANESE AMERICAN ASSOCIATION
of Northern California

5/28/20

San Francisco Board of Supervisors
City Hall, Room 244
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102

To the Members of the Board of Supervisors:

On behalf of (organization), we urge you to support the \$25 million allocation for the Japantown Peace Plaza currently included in the proposed Health and Recovery Bond Measure. The Japantown community has waited nearly 20 years for the space to be properly repaired and to create an open space that appropriately reflects the priorities of our residents, community organizations, businesses and visitors.

As you are aware, the Peace Plaza is the only open space in Japantown and is deeply symbolic of the plight and struggles of San Francisco's Japanese American community. This project is particularly meaningful for the Japantown community because the Peace Plaza area was once occupied by Japanese American residents and businesses. After being forcibly removed and incarcerated by the military during World War II, the Japanese American community was forced out a second time by the San Francisco Redevelopment Agency. The eviction of our community resulted in the loss of a staggering amount of wealth for the families and businesses who had no choice but to accept whatever sale price was offered for their property.

While our community does not dwell on the fact that the city forcibly acquired ownership of the area where the Peace Plaza was built, we do feel that San Francisco has a responsibility to take care of the space. We understand that San Francisco has many urgent priorities, but our community is adamant that we have been more than patient and it is time for this city to address this matter. The residential base of our community may never return to this area and having the means to bring people back is critical to the economy of this neighborhood. Having an open space which addresses the needs and represents the character of Japantown is vital to the long-term sustainability of this community.

We urge you to support the full \$25 million current allocated for Peace Plaza in the Health and Recovery bond.

Sincerely,

Hiroshi Sengoku

Hiroshi Sengoku
2nd Vice President

cc: Angela.calvillo@sfgov.org
Alisa.somera@sfgov.org
Eileen.mchugh@sfgov.org
info@japantowntaskforce.org

HOKKA NICHIBEI KAI 北加日米会

1759 Sutter Street, San Francisco, California 94115 Phone (415) 921-1782 Fax (415) 931-1826

From: [Cathy Inamasu](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#)
Cc: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#); Eileen.mchugh@sfgov.org; info@japantowntaskforce.org
Subject: Support for Japantown Peace Plaza Project
Date: Friday, May 29, 2020 12:19:45 PM
Attachments: [Peace Plaza Support.pdf](#)

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Members of the Board of Supervisors,

Attached is our support letter for the Japantown Peace Plaza Renovation Project. We urge you to place this critical project on the 2020 Health Recovery Bond for the November vote.

Thank you for your support.

Sincerely,

Cathy Inamasu
Executive Director
Nihonmachi Little Friends



May 29, 2020

San Francisco Board of Supervisors
City Hall, Room 244
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102

To the Members of the Board of Supervisors:

On behalf of Nihonmachi Little Friends, we urge you to support the \$25 million allocation for the Japantown Peace Plaza currently included in the proposed Health and Recovery Bond Measure. The Japantown community has waited nearly 20 years for the space to be properly repaired and to create an open space that appropriately reflects the priorities of our residents, community organizations, businesses and visitors.

As you are aware, the Peace Plaza is the only open space in Japantown and is deeply symbolic of the plight and struggles of San Francisco's Japanese American community. This project is particularly meaningful for the Japantown community because the Peace Plaza area was once occupied by Japanese American residents and businesses. After being forcibly removed and incarcerated by the military during World War II, the Japanese American community was forced out a second time by the San Francisco Redevelopment Agency. The eviction of our community resulted in the loss of a staggering amount of wealth for the families and businesses who had no choice but to accept whatever sale price was offered for their property.

While our community does not dwell on the fact that the city forcibly acquired ownership of the area where the Peace Plaza was built, we do feel that San Francisco has a responsibility to take care of the space. We understand that San Francisco has many urgent priorities, but our community is adamant that we have been more than patient and it is time for this city to address this matter. The residential base of our community may never return to this area and having the means to bring people back is critical to the economy of this neighborhood. Having an open space which addresses the needs and represents the character of Japantown is vital to the long-term sustainability of this community.

We urge you to support the full \$25 million current allocated for Peace Plaza in the Health and Recovery bond.

Sincerely,

Cathy Inamasu
Executive Director

cc: Angela.calvillo@sfgov.org
Alisa.somera@sfgov.org
Eileen.mchugh@sfgov.org
info@japantowntaskforce.org

From: [Susan Kobayashi](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#)
Cc: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#); Eileen.mchugh@sfgov.org; info@japantowntaskforce.org; [Cathy Inamasu](#); [Paul Osaki](#)
Date: Friday, May 29, 2020 12:24:06 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

May, 29, 2020

San Francisco Board of Supervisors
City Hall, Room 244
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102

To the Members of the Board of Supervisors:

As a Board Member of the Japanese Cultural Center, a Capital Campaign Committee Member of Nihonmachi Little Friends as well as a resident of the neighborhood, I urge you to support the \$25 million allocation for the Japantown Peace Plaza currently included in the proposed Health and Recovery Bond Measure. The Japantown community has waited nearly 20 years for the space to be properly repaired and to create an open space that appropriately reflects the priorities of our residents, community organizations, businesses and visitors.

As you are aware, the Peace Plaza is the only open space in Japantown and is deeply symbolic of the plight and struggles of San Francisco's Japanese American community. This project is particularly meaningful for the Japantown community because the Peace Plaza area was once occupied by Japanese American residents and businesses. After being forcibly removed and incarcerated by the military during World War II, the Japanese American community was forced out a second time by the San Francisco Redevelopment Agency. The eviction of our community resulted in the loss of a staggering amount of wealth for the families and businesses who had no choice but to accept whatever sale price was offered for their property.

While our community does not dwell on the fact that the city forcibly acquired ownership of the area where the Peace Plaza was built, we do feel that San Francisco has a responsibility to take care of the space. We understand that San Francisco has many urgent priorities, but our community is adamant that we have been more than patient and it is time for this city to address this matter. The residential base of our community may never return to this area and having the means to bring people back is critical to the economy of this neighborhood. Having an open space which addresses the needs and represents the character of Japantown is vital to the long-term sustainability of this community.

We urge you to support the full \$25 million current allocated for Peace Plaza in the Health and Recovery bond.

Sincerely,

Susan Mariko Kobayashi

Community Supporter and Resident

--

Susan Mariko Kobayashi / 小林 スーザン 万利子

From: [Takeshi Moro](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#)
Cc: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#); Eileen.mchugh@sfgov.org; info@japantowntaskforce.org
Subject: Regarding Peace Plaza renovation project
Date: Friday, May 29, 2020 12:35:56 PM
Attachments: [Moro_PeacePlaza_BOS.pdf](#)

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Please find attached a letter regarding the Peace Plaza renovation project.

Best,
Takeshi Moro

May 29th, 2020

San Francisco Board of Supervisors
City Hall, Room 244
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102

To the Members of the Board of Supervisors:

As a San Francisco resident and parents of two young Japanese American girls, we have utilized the Plaza daily as they attend Nihonmachi Little Friends, a pre-school dedicated to Japanese American education in Japantown SF. The Plaza is essential to the wellbeing of our children and the state of disrepair is a hazard to the health and safety of our children and community.

I urge you to support the \$25 million allocation for the Japantown Peace Plaza currently included in the proposed Health and Recovery Bond Measure. The Japantown community has waited nearly 20 years for the space to be properly repaired and to create an open space that appropriately reflects the priorities of our residents, community organizations, businesses and visitors.

As you are aware, the Peace Plaza is the only open space in Japantown and is deeply symbolic of the plight and struggles of San Francisco's Japanese American community. This project is particularly meaningful for the Japantown community because the Peace Plaza area was once occupied by Japanese American residents and businesses. After being forcibly removed and incarcerated by the military during World War II, the Japanese American community was forced out a second time by the San Francisco Redevelopment Agency. The eviction of our community resulted in the loss of a staggering amount of wealth for the families and businesses who had no choice but to accept whatever sale price was offered for their property.

While our community does not dwell on the fact that the city forcibly acquired ownership of the area where the Peace Plaza was built, we do feel that San Francisco has a responsibility to take care of the space. We understand that San Francisco has many urgent priorities, but our community is adamant that we have been more than patient and it is time for this city to address this matter. The residential base of our community may never return to this area and having the means to bring people back is critical to the economy of this neighborhood. Having an open space which addresses the needs and represents the character of Japantown is vital to the long-term sustainability of this community.

We urge you to support the full \$25 million current allocated for Peace Plaza in the Health and Recovery bond.

Sincerely,



Takeshi Moro
SF resident of Japanese descent
Member of the board of directors at Nihonmachi Little Friends

cc: Angela.calvillo@sfgov.org
Alisa.somera@sfgov.org
Eileen.mchugh@sfgov.org
info@japantowntaskforce.org

From: [Samantha Kuang](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors: Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\); Somera, Alisa \(BOS\); Eileen.mchugh@sfgov.org; info@japantowntaskforce.org](#)
Subject: Peace Plaza Renovation
Date: Friday, May 29, 2020 12:36:48 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

5/28/2020
San Francisco Board of Supervisors
City Hall, Room 244
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102

To the Members of the Board of Supervisors:

On behalf of the Abraham Lincoln Highschool Japanese culture club, we urge you to support the \$25 million allocation for the Japantown Peace Plaza currently included in the proposed Health and Recovery Bond Measure. The Japantown community has waited nearly 20 years for the space to be properly repaired and to create an open space that appropriately reflects the priorities of our residents, community organizations, businesses and visitors.

As you are aware, the Peace Plaza is the only open space in Japantown and is deeply symbolic of the plight and struggles of San Francisco's Japanese American community. This project is particularly meaningful for the Japantown community because the Peace Plaza area was once occupied by Japanese American residents and businesses. After being forcibly removed and incarcerated by the military during World War II, the Japanese American community was forced out a second time by the San Francisco Redevelopment Agency. The eviction of our community resulted in the loss of a staggering amount of wealth for the families and businesses who had no choice but to accept whatever sale price was offered for their property.

While our community does not dwell on the fact that the city forcibly acquired ownership of the area where the Peace Plaza was built, we do feel that San Francisco has a responsibility to take care of the space. We understand that San Francisco has many urgent priorities, but our community is adamant that we have been more than patient and it is time for this city to address this matter. The residential base of our community may never return to this area and having the means to bring people back is critical to the economy of this neighborhood. Having an open space which addresses the needs and represents the character of Japantown is vital to the long-term sustainability of this community.

We urge you to support the full \$25 million current allocated for Peace Plaza in the Health and Recovery bond.

Sincerely,

Samantha Kuang
Co-president of ALHS Japanese Culture club

From: [Darrell Stone](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#)
Subject: Japantown Peace Plaza
Date: Friday, May 29, 2020 1:53:38 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisor, Please help save the Japantown Peace Plaza. It is an important part of San Francisco History and National History. I stand with the people that want this site preserved and enhanced for future generations to enjoy.

Thank you in advance.

Darrell Stone

From: [Kristine Hashimoto](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#)
Cc: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#); Eileen.mchugh@sfgov.org
Subject: Japantown Peace Plaza Renovation
Date: Friday, May 29, 2020 4:18:20 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

May 29, 2020

San Francisco Board of Supervisors
City Hall, Room 244
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102

To the Members of the Board of Supervisors:

I am a Japanese American, born and raised in San Francisco. I grew up in Japantown and have great memories in the Peace Plaza with my grandparents who are now watching me from above. We used to walk over here and they'd let me run around and chase birds. As I grew up I often hung out in the Peace Plaza with my friends while attending JCYC's summer program, which my children now attend and use the space accordingly. We've attended many festivals and cultural events here in the Peace Plaza. It is very important to our community and I urge you to support the \$25 million allocation for the Japantown Peace Plaza currently included in the proposed Health and Recovery Bond Measure. The Japantown community has waited nearly 20 years for the space to be properly repaired and to create an open space that appropriately reflects the priorities of our residents, community organizations, businesses and visitors.

As you are aware, the Peace Plaza is the only open space in Japantown and is deeply symbolic of the plight and struggles of San Francisco's Japanese American community. This project is particularly meaningful for the Japantown community because the Peace Plaza area was once occupied by Japanese American residents and businesses. After being forcibly removed and incarcerated by the military during World War II, the Japanese American community was forced out a second time by the San Francisco Redevelopment Agency. The eviction of our community resulted in the loss of a staggering amount of wealth for the families and businesses who had no choice but to accept whatever sale price was offered for their property.

While our community does not dwell on the fact that the city forcibly acquired ownership of the area where the Peace Plaza was built, we do feel that San Francisco has a responsibility to take care of the space. We understand that San Francisco has many urgent priorities, but our community is adamant that we have been more than patient and it is time for this city to address this matter. The residential base of our community may never return to this area and having the means to bring people back is critical to the economy of this neighborhood. Having an open space which addresses the needs and represents the character of Japantown is vital to the long-term sustainability of this community.

We urge you to support the full \$25 million current allocated for Peace Plaza in the Health and Recovery bond.

Sincerely,
Kristine Hashimoto

From: [Hiroshi Shimizu](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#)
Cc: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#); Eileen.mchugh@sfgov.org; info@japantowntaskforce.org; [Steve Ishii](#)
Subject: Japantown Peace Plaza Renovation Project
Date: Friday, May 29, 2020 5:16:50 PM
Attachments: [Letter for SF Board of Supervisors.docx](#)

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.



May 29, 2020

San Francisco Board of Supervisors
City Hall, Room 244
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102

To the Members of the Board of Supervisors:

On behalf of the Tule Lake Committee, we urge you to support the \$25 million allocation for the Japantown Peace Plaza currently included in the proposed Health and Recovery Bond Measure. The Japantown community has waited nearly 20 years for the space to be properly repaired and to create an open space that appropriately reflects the priorities of our residents, community organizations, businesses and visitors.

As you are aware, the Peace Plaza is the only open space in Japantown and is deeply symbolic of the plight and struggles of San Francisco's Japanese American community. This project is particularly meaningful for the Japantown community because the Peace Plaza area was once occupied by Japanese American residents and businesses. After being forcibly removed and incarcerated by the military during World War II, the Japanese American community was forced out a second time by the San Francisco Redevelopment Agency. The eviction of our community resulted in the loss of a staggering amount of wealth for the families and businesses who had no choice but to accept whatever sale price was offered for their property.

While our community does not dwell on the fact that the city forcibly acquired ownership of the area where the Peace Plaza was built, we do feel that San Francisco has a responsibility to take care of the space. We understand that San Francisco has many urgent priorities, but our community is adamant that we have been more than patient and it is time for this city to address this matter. The residential base of our community may never return to this area and having the means to bring people back is critical to the economy of this neighborhood. Having an open space which addresses the needs and represents the character of Japantown is vital to the long-term sustainability of this community.



May 29, 2020

San Francisco Board of Supervisors
City Hall, Room 244
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102

To the Members of the Board of Supervisors:

On behalf of the Tule Lake Committee, we urge you to support the \$25 million allocation for the Japantown Peace Plaza currently included in the proposed Health and Recovery Bond Measure. The Japantown community has waited nearly 20 years for the space to be properly repaired and to create an open space that appropriately reflects the priorities of our residents, community organizations, businesses and visitors.

As you are aware, the Peace Plaza is the only open space in Japantown and is deeply symbolic of the plight and struggles of San Francisco's Japanese American community. This project is particularly meaningful for the Japantown community because the Peace Plaza area was once occupied by Japanese American residents and businesses. After being forcibly removed and incarcerated by the military during World War II, the Japanese American community was forced out a second time by the San Francisco Redevelopment Agency. The eviction of our community resulted in the loss of a staggering amount of wealth for the families and businesses who had no choice but to accept whatever sale price was offered for their property.

While our community does not dwell on the fact that the city forcibly acquired ownership of the area where the Peace Plaza was built, we do feel that San Francisco has a responsibility to take care of the space. We understand that San Francisco has many urgent priorities, but our community is adamant that we have been more than patient and it is time for this city to address this matter. The residential base of our community may never return to this area and having the means to bring people back is critical to the economy of this neighborhood. Having an open space which addresses the needs and represents the character of Japantown is vital to the long-term sustainability of this community.

We urge you to support the full \$25 million current allocated for Peace Plaza in the Health and Recovery bond.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, reading "Hiroshi Shimizu". The signature is fluid and cursive, with the first name "Hiroshi" and last name "Shimizu" clearly distinguishable.

Hiroshi Shimizu
President
Tule Lake Committee

cc: Angela.calvillo@sfgov.org
Alisa.somera@sfgov.org
Eileen.mchugh@sfgov.org
info@japantowntaskforce.org

We urge you to support the full \$25 million current allocated for Peace Plaza in the Health and Recovery bond.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, reading "Hiroshi Shimizu". The signature is written in a cursive, flowing style.


Hiroshi Shimizu
President
Tule Lake Committee

cc: Angela.calvillo@sfgov.org
Alisa.somera@sfgov.org
Eileen.mchugh@sfgov.org
info@japantowntaskforce.org

Tule Lake Committee
94117

P.O. Box 170141

San Francisco, CA

A handwritten signature in black ink, reading "Hiroshi". The signature is written in a cursive, flowing style.

From: [Wayne Hiroshima](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#)
Cc: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#); Eileen.mchugh@sfgov.org; info@japantowntaskforce.org
Subject: PEACE PLAZA RENOVATION PROJECT
Date: Friday, May 29, 2020 10:20:30 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

May 29, 2020

San Francisco Board of Supervisors
City Hall, Room 244
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102

To the Members of the Board of Supervisors:

Christ United Presbyterian Church in San Francisco's Japantown area would like to urge you to support the \$25 million allocation for the Japantown Peace Plaza currently included in the proposed Health and Recovery Bond Measure. The Japantown community has waited nearly 20 years for the space to be properly repaired and to create an open space that appropriately reflects the priorities of our residents, community organizations, businesses and visitors.

As you are aware, the Peace Plaza is the only open space in Japantown and is deeply symbolic of the plight and struggles of San Francisco's Japanese American community. This project is particularly meaningful for the Japantown community because the Peace Plaza area was once occupied by Japanese American residents and businesses. After being forcibly removed and incarcerated by the military during World War II, the Japanese American community was forced out a second time by the San Francisco Redevelopment Agency. The eviction of our community resulted in the loss of a staggering amount of wealth for the families and businesses who had no choice but to accept whatever sale price was offered for their property.

While our community does not dwell on the fact that the city forcibly acquired ownership of the area where the Peace Plaza was built, we do feel that San Francisco has a responsibility to take care of the space. We understand that San Francisco has many urgent priorities, but our community is adamant that we have been more than patient and it is time for this city to address this matter. The residential base of our community may never return to this area and having the means to bring people back is critical to the economy of this neighborhood. Having an open space, which addresses the needs and represents the character of Japantown is vital to the long-term sustainability of this community.

On behalf of Christ United Presbyterian Church's congregation we urge you to support the full \$25 million current allocated for Peace Plaza in the Health and Recovery bond.

Sincerely,

Reverend Doctor Grace Kaori Suzuki
Pastor, Christ United Presbyterian Church

Wayne T. Hiroshima
Clerk of Session

cc: Angela.calvillo@sfgov.org

Alisa.somera@sfgov.org
Eileen.mchugh@sfgov.org
info@japantowntaskforce.org

From: [Max Chan](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#)
Cc: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#); Eileen.mchugh@sfgov.org; info@japantowntaskforce.org
Subject: Japantown Peace Plaza Project
Date: Saturday, May 30, 2020 1:05:53 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Greetings Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I hope this finds you well.

As a young adult who has grown up in and found success because of the community of SF Japantown, I urge you to support the \$25 million allocation for the Japantown Peace Plaza currently included in the proposed Health and Recovery Bond Measure. My community has waited nearly 20 years (almost as long as I have been alive) for this initiative, which will bring life and much needed improvements to the place I call home away from home.

With the myriad of struggles my community has faced over the course of Japantown's history, I know how vital this space is for us to continue to provide the traditions and culture that help make this city the best place to be. **I am a proud Japanese-American who has been shaped by the environment that is SF Japantown.** I am an Eagle Scout from Troop 29 located at the Buddhist Church of SF on Octavia St. I am a former member of Gen Taiko hosted by the JCCNC. I am a young adult who lives and attends college in Seattle, WA, a city that cannot be proud of a Japantown (there is a small block within the International District), and have seen what it's like to not have a strong cultural footprint in a similar metropolitan area. Japanese in the Seattle area do not have this iconic space to gather and unite, and **I would be heartbroken to see the same happen in the place where I grew up.**

San Francisco has many things that need attention, but I know that the residential base of our community may never return to this area, along with the cultural richness that makes San Francisco the phenomenal city that it is. Having this space that shows the heart and soul of Japantown, but more importantly, San Francisco's Japanese heritage, is vital to the long-term sustainability of this community, and will also give future generations like myself the opportunities to celebrate their culture. **Please consider those who will come after myself and those also writing you letters, as they will be the ones most impacted.**

I hope to count on your support for the full \$25 million currently allocated for our Peace Plaza in the Health and Recovery bond, and that you will bring positivity to the members of my community.

Sincerely,
Max Tokuo Chan
San Francisco Born and Raised

From: [mikiko shimabe](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#)
Cc: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#); Eileen.mchugh@sfgov.org; info@japantowntaskforce.org
Subject: Japantown Peace Plaza Renovation Project
Date: Saturday, May 30, 2020 3:13:38 PM
Attachments: [2020 Letter to SF Board of Supervisors \(Miki\).PDF](#)

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

>
>



THE JAPANESE AMERICAN ASSOCIATION
of Northern California

May 30, 2020

San Francisco Board of Supervisors
City Hall, Room 244
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102

To the Members of the Board of Supervisors:

On behalf of (organization), we urge you to support the \$25 million allocation for the Japantown Peace Plaza currently included in the proposed Health and Recovery Bond Measure. The Japantown community has waited nearly 20 years for the space to be properly repaired and to create an open space that appropriately reflects the priorities of our residents, community organizations, businesses and visitors.

As you are aware, the Peace Plaza is the only open space in Japantown and is deeply symbolic of the plight and struggles of San Francisco's Japanese American community. This project is particularly meaningful for the Japantown community because the Peace Plaza area was once occupied by Japanese American residents and businesses. After being forcibly removed and incarcerated by the military during World War II, the Japanese American community was forced out a second time by the San Francisco Redevelopment Agency. The eviction of our community resulted in the loss of a staggering amount of wealth for the families and businesses who had no choice but to accept whatever sale price was offered for their property.

While our community does not dwell on the fact that the city forcibly acquired ownership of the area where the Peace Plaza was built, we do feel that San Francisco has a responsibility to take care of the space. We understand that San Francisco has many urgent priorities, but our community is adamant that we have been more than patient and it is time for this city to address this matter. The residential base of our community may never return to this area and having the means to bring people back is critical to the economy of this neighborhood. Having an open space which addresses the needs and represents the character of Japantown is vital to the long-term sustainability of this community.

We urge you to support the full \$25 million current allocated for Peace Plaza in the Health and Recovery bond.

Sincerely,

Mikiko Shimabe
3rd Vice President
Hokka Nichibei Kai

cc: Angela.calvillo@sfgov.org
Alisa.somera@sfgov.org
Eileen.mchugh@sfgov.org
info@japantowntaskforce.org

HOKKA NICHIBEI KAI 北加日米会

1759 Sutter Street, San Francisco, California 94115 Phone (415) 921-1782 Fax (415) 931-1826

From: [takao shimabe](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#)
Cc: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#); Eileen.mchugh@sfgov.org; info@japantowntaskforce.org; [Hokka Nichibeikai](#)
Subject: Japan Town Peace Plaza Renovation Project
Date: Saturday, May 30, 2020 3:14:29 PM
Attachments: [2020 Letter to SF Board of Supervisors \(Takao\).PDF](#)

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.



THE JAPANESE AMERICAN ASSOCIATION
of Northern California

May 30, 2020

San Francisco Board of Supervisors
City Hall, Room 244
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102

To the Members of the Board of Supervisors:

On behalf of (organization), we urge you to support the \$25 million allocation for the Japantown Peace Plaza currently included in the proposed Health and Recovery Bond Measure. The Japantown community has waited nearly 20 years for the space to be properly repaired and to create an open space that appropriately reflects the priorities of our residents, community organizations, businesses and visitors.

As you are aware, the Peace Plaza is the only open space in Japantown and is deeply symbolic of the plight and struggles of San Francisco's Japanese American community. This project is particularly meaningful for the Japantown community because the Peace Plaza area was once occupied by Japanese American residents and businesses. After being forcibly removed and incarcerated by the military during World War II, the Japanese American community was forced out a second time by the San Francisco Redevelopment Agency. The eviction of our community resulted in the loss of a staggering amount of wealth for the families and businesses who had no choice but to accept whatever sale price was offered for their property.

While our community does not dwell on the fact that the city forcibly acquired ownership of the area where the Peace Plaza was built, we do feel that San Francisco has a responsibility to take care of the space. We understand that San Francisco has many urgent priorities, but our community is adamant that we have been more than patient and it is time for this city to address this matter. The residential base of our community may never return to this area and having the means to bring people back is critical to the economy of this neighborhood. Having an open space which addresses the needs and represents the character of Japantown is vital to the long-term sustainability of this community.

We urge you to support the full \$25 million current allocated for Peace Plaza in the Health and Recovery bond.

Sincerely,

Takao Shimabe
Member of Hokka Nichibei Kai

cc: Angela.calvillo@sfgov.org
Alisa.somera@sfgov.org
Eileen.mchugh@sfgov.org
info@japantowntaskforce.org

HOKKA NICHIBEI KAI 北加日米会

1759 Sutter Street, San Francisco, California 94115 Phone (415) 921-1782 Fax (415) 931-1826

From: [Mari Kawaguchi](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#)
Cc: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#); Eileen.mchugh@sfgov.org; info@japantowntaskforce.org
Subject: Support for Peace Plaza Renovation Project
Date: Saturday, May 30, 2020 5:17:39 PM
Attachments: [Support Peace Plaza Scan 2020-5-30 17.14.53 MKawaguchi.pdf](#)

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

May 30, 2020

San Francisco Board of Supervisors
City Hall, Room 244
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102

To the Members of the Board of Supervisors:

On behalf of Kimochi, Inc. and Pine United Methodist church, we urge you to support the \$25 million allocation for the Japantown Peace Plaza currently included in the proposed Health and Recovery Bond Measure. The Japantown community has waited nearly 20 years for the space to be properly repaired and to create an open space that appropriately reflects the priorities of our residents, community organizations, businesses and visitors.

As you are aware, the Peace Plaza is the only open space in Japantown and is deeply symbolic of the plight and struggles of San Francisco's Japanese American community. This project is particularly meaningful for the Japantown community because the Peace Plaza area was once occupied by Japanese American residents and businesses. After being forcibly removed and incarcerated by the military during World War II, the Japanese American community was forced out a second time by the San Francisco Redevelopment Agency. The eviction of our community resulted in the loss of a staggering amount of wealth for the families and businesses who had no choice but to accept whatever sale price was offered for their property.

While our community does not dwell on the fact that the city forcibly acquired ownership of the area where the Peace Plaza was built, we do feel that San Francisco has a responsibility to take care of the space. We understand that San Francisco has many urgent priorities, but our community is adamant that we have been more than patient and it is time for this city to address this matter. The residential base of our community may never return to this area and having the means to bring people back is critical to the economy of this neighborhood. Having an open space which addresses the needs and represents the character of Japantown is vital to the long-term sustainability of this community.

We urge you to support the full \$25 million current allocated for Peace Plaza in the Health and Recovery bond.

Sincerely,



Mari A. Kawaguchi
Kimochi, Inc.
Board of Director

Pine United Methodist Church
Board of Trustee

cc: Angela.calvillo@sfgov.org
Alisa.somera@sfgov.org
Eileen.mchugh@sfgov.org
info@japantowntaskforce.org

From: [Mitsuko Omachi](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#); info@japantowntaskforce.org
Subject: Japan town peace plaza
Date: Sunday, May 31, 2020 3:11:13 PM
Attachments: [Epson_05312020140334.pdf](#)

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I support the full \$25 million current allocated for peace plaza in the Health and Recovery.

(date)

San Francisco Board of Supervisors
City Hall, Room 244
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102

To the Members of the Board of Supervisors:

On behalf of (organization), we urge you to support the \$25 million allocation for the Japantown Peace Plaza currently included in the proposed Health and Recovery Bond Measure. The Japantown community has waited nearly 20 years for the space to be properly repaired and to create an open space that appropriately reflects the priorities of our residents, community organizations, businesses and visitors.

As you are aware, the Peace Plaza is the only open space in Japantown and is deeply symbolic of the plight and struggles of San Francisco's Japanese American community. This project is particularly meaningful for the Japantown community because the Peace Plaza area was once occupied by Japanese American residents and businesses. After being forcibly removed and incarcerated by the military during World War II, the Japanese American community was forced out a second time by the San Francisco Redevelopment Agency. The eviction of our community resulted in the loss of a staggering amount of wealth for the families and businesses who had no choice but to accept whatever sale price was offered for their property.

While our community does not dwell on the fact that the city forcibly acquired ownership of the area where the Peace Plaza was built, we do feel that San Francisco has a responsibility to take care of the space. We understand that San Francisco has many urgent priorities, but our community is adamant that we have been more than patient and it is time for this city to address this matter. The residential base of our community may never return to this area and having the means to bring people back is critical to the economy of this neighborhood. Having an open space which addresses the needs and represents the character of Japantown is vital to the long-term sustainability of this community.

We urge you to support the full \$25 million current allocated for Peace Plaza in the Health and Recovery bond.

Sincerely,

(name) *Mitsuko Omachi*
(title)

cc: Angela.calvillo@sfgov.org
Alisa.somera@sfgov.org
Eileen.mchugh@sfgov.org
info@japantowntaskforce.org

北加日米会

1759 Sutter Street San Francisco CA 94115 Phone:(415)921-1782

From: [Todd Eshima](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#)
Cc: [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#); [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); eileen.mchugh@sfgov.org; info@japantowntaskforce.org
Subject: support for Peace Plaza Renovation
Date: Sunday, May 31, 2020 5:08:58 PM
Attachments: [200530 Peace Plaza Ltrr.pdf](#)

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear All,

Please find attached our letter in support of the Peace Plaza renovation project.

Thank you,
The Eshima Family

May 30, 2020

San Francisco Board of Supervisors
City Hall, Room 244
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102

To the Members of the Board of Supervisors:

As long time Japanese American residents of San Francisco, we urge you to support the \$25 million allocation for the Japantown Peace Plaza currently included in the proposed Health and Recovery Bond Measure.

The Peace Plaza is one of the precious reminders of the cultural enclaves that make San Francisco special. We welcome all, but we celebrate culture. This is an important theme of inclusivity that city leadership is starting to forget about as we undergo gentrification and manhattanization. This city is becoming a playground for the rich and starting to forget about the hardworking families that work and play here who create cohesiveness and consistency for the city.

The Peace Plaza is the where the heartbeat of Japantown resides. This is the home of numerous festivals, parades and performances that gives this city its color and flavor. The Peace Plaza is the focal point for a community fighting for its survival. What will it take for people to recognize how important it is to save and assist the cultural communities that make San Francisco great before these communities are gone and we are just left with acres of condos for people who are uninterested in laying roots and creating a sense of loyalty and ownership in community?

The Plaza is not only a celebration of community, but it is also a poignant reminder of past mistakes. The mistakes of racism and prejudice that uprooted and tore apart a peaceful community. The same community that sent their sons to fight and die for this country. The Peace Plaza is the only open space in Japantown and is deeply symbolic of the plight and struggles of San Francisco's Japanese American community.

Let's preserve, protect and support what makes San Francisco special before it's gone forever. This project is an important priority and we urge you to support the full \$25 million current allocated for Peace Plaza in the Health and Recovery bond.

Sincerely,

Todd Eshima

Shellin Young

Kelly Eshima

Keith Eshima

Grant Eshima

cc: Angela.calvillo@sfgov.org
Alisa.somera@sfgov.org
Eileen.mchugh@sfgov.org
info@japantowntaskforce.org

From: [Jack & Jun Dairiki](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#)
Cc: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#); Eileen.mchugh@sfgov.org; Info@Japantowntaskforce.org
Subject: Fwd: \$25 Million - Health/Recovery Bond Measure - Peace Plaza Renovation
Date: Sunday, May 31, 2020 5:21:57 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Am resending as both Ms. Calvillo & McHugh's were returned as "Undeliverable." Am hoping this will go thru.

Begin forwarded message:

From: Jack & Jun Dairiki <judawaca@att.net>
Subject: \$25 Million - Health/Recovery Bond Measure - Peace Plaza Renovation
Date: May 31, 2020 at 5:12:53 PM PDT
To: BOS-Supervisors@sfgov.org
Cc: Anela.Calvillo@sfgov.org, Alisa.Somera@sfgov.org, Eileen.McHugh@sfgov.org, Japantown Task Force <info@japantowntaskforce.org>

June 1, 2020

San Francisco Board of Supervisors
City Hall, Room 244
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102

Members, Board of Supervisors, San Francisco:

My wife (San Francisco native) and I have lived in San Francisco for many years. We have lived in JapanTown since 2013 and, in fact, live only a couple of blocks from the apartment building my wife and her family resided until internment. The Plaza is **THE** focal point in JapanTown and has served as an excellent venue to meet friends/family from San Francisco, the Bay Area or elsewhere from California. The JapanTown Plaza is also a stopping point for visitors from

around the world and the United States. Many of our relatives/friends, especially from Japan, want to see it when they visit San Francisco. They're amazed when the Pagoda comes into view.

As you know, San Francisco has only one of three remaining JapanTowns in the entire U.S. In its current state the Plaza needs much upgrading, repairs and improvements, one that is long overdue. We are hopeful that our City realizes the Plaza needs to come up to the 21st Century. the \$25 million Bond Measure allocated to this project will help it to come to that fruition.

We are in our mid-80's to early 90's and want to see this project approved so that we will have the opportunity to enjoy it during our lifetime as well as for the benefit of future Japanese American generations and others. We strongly ask for your support to vote for approval of this project so that it can be placed on the November ballot. In doing so and on assumption it passes, you have helped San Francisco's JapanTown by keeping it alive for many years to come for all to enjoy.

Please support this \$25 million allocation for the Japantown Peace Plaza currently included in the proposed Health and Recovery Bond Measure. The Japantown community has waited nearly 20 years for the space to be properly repaired and to create an open space that appropriately reflects the priorities of our residents, community organizations, businesses and visitors.

As you are aware, the Peace Plaza is the only open space in Japantown and is deeply symbolic of the plight and struggles of San Francisco's Japanese American community. This project is particularly meaningful for the Japantown community because the Peace Plaza area was once occupied by

Japanese American residents and businesses. After being forcibly removed and incarcerated by the military during World War II, the Japanese American community was forced out a second time by the San Francisco Redevelopment Agency. The eviction of our community resulted in the loss of a staggering amount of wealth for the families and businesses who had no choice but to accept whatever sale price was offered for their property.

While our community does not dwell on the fact that the city forcibly acquired ownership of the area where the Peace Plaza was built, we do feel that San Francisco has a responsibility to take care of the space. We understand that San Francisco has many urgent priorities, but our community is adamant that we have been more than patient and it is time for this city to address this matter. The residential base of our community may never return to this area and having the means to bring people back is critical to the economy of this neighborhood. Having an open space which addresses the needs and represents the character of Japantown is vital to the long-term sustainability of this community.

We urge you to support the full \$25 million currently allocated for Peace Plaza in the Health and Recovery bond.

Sincerely,

Jack and Jun Dairiki

cc: Angela.calvillo@sfgov.org
Alisa.somera@sfgov.org
Eileen.mchugh@sfgov.org
Info@Japantowntaskforce.org

From: [Clint Taura](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#); Eileen.mchugh@sfgov.org; [Japantown Task Force](#)
Subject: Japantown Peace Plaza
Date: Sunday, May 31, 2020 5:35:50 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To the Members of the Board of Supervisors:

On behalf of my dental practice in Japantown, we urge you to support the \$25 million allocation for the Japantown Peace Plaza currently included in the proposed Health and Recovery Bond Measure. The Japantown community has waited nearly 20 years for the space to be properly repaired and to create an open space that appropriately reflects the priorities of our residents, community organizations, businesses and visitors.

As you are aware, the Peace Plaza is the only open space in Japantown and is deeply symbolic of the plight and struggles of San Francisco's Japanese American community. This project is particularly meaningful for the Japantown community because the Peace Plaza area was once occupied by Japanese American residents and businesses. After being forcibly removed and incarcerated by the military during World War II, the Japanese American community was forced out a second time by the San Francisco Redevelopment Agency. The eviction of our community resulted in the loss of a staggering amount of wealth for the families and businesses who had no choice but to accept whatever sale price was offered for their property.

While our community does not dwell on the fact that the city forcibly acquired ownership of the area where the Peace Plaza was built, we do feel that San Francisco has a responsibility to take care of the space. We understand that San Francisco has many urgent priorities, but our community is adamant that we have been more than patient and it is time for this city to address this matter. The residential base of our community may never return to this area and having the means to bring people back is critical to the economy of this neighborhood. Having an open space which addresses the needs and represents the character of Japantown is vital to the long-term sustainability of this community.

We urge you to support the full \$25 million current allocated for Peace Plaza in the Health and Recovery bond.

Sincerely,
Clint K. Taura, DDS
Dentist, Japantown

cc:
Angela.calvillo@sfgov.org
Alisa.somera@sfgov.org
Eileen.mchugh@sfgov.org
info@japantowntaskforce.org

From: [Jane Saelai](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#)
Cc: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#); Eileen.mchugh@sfgov.org; info@japantowntaskforce.org
Subject: Peace Plaza Renovation Project
Date: Sunday, May 31, 2020 5:53:06 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

May 31, 2020
San Francisco Board of Supervisors
City Hall, Room 244
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102

To the Members of the Board of Supervisors:

As a member of San Francisco/Osaka Sister City Association, I urge you to support the \$25 million allocation for the Japantown Peace Plaza currently included in the proposed Health and Recovery Bond Measure. The Japantown community has waited nearly 20 years for the space to be properly repaired and to create an open space that appropriately reflects the priorities of our residents, community organizations, businesses and visitors.

As you are aware, the Peace Plaza is the only open space in Japantown and is deeply symbolic of the plight and struggles of San Francisco's Japanese American community. This project is particularly meaningful for the Japantown community because the Peace Plaza area was once occupied by Japanese American residents and businesses. After being forcibly removed and incarcerated by the military during World War II, the Japanese American community was forced out a second time by the San Francisco Redevelopment Agency. The eviction of our community resulted in the loss of a staggering amount of wealth for the families and businesses who had no choice but to accept whatever sale price was offered for their property.

While our community does not dwell on the fact that the city forcibly acquired ownership of the area where the Peace Plaza was built, we do feel that San Francisco has a responsibility to take care of the space. We understand that San Francisco has many urgent priorities, but our community is adamant that we have been more than patient and it is time for this city to address this matter. The residential base of our community may never return to this area and having the means to bring people back is critical to the economy of this neighborhood. Having an open space which addresses the needs and represents the character of Japantown is vital to the long-term sustainability of this community.

I urge you to support the full \$25 million current allocated for Peace Plaza in the Health and Recovery bond.

Sincerely,
Jane Saelai

From: [Nano Visser](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: 2020 San Francisco Health and Recovery Bond
Date: Sunday, May 31, 2020 9:56:21 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

June 1, 2020

Board of Supervisors

1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244

San Francisco, CA

Dear Board of Supervisors,

I am writing in support of the **2020 San Francisco Health and Recovery Bond** that is being considered for the November 2020 ballot. The COVID-19 global pandemic has changed California's and San Francisco's economic and financial situation. We cannot weather this storm alone; the 2020 Bond will allow San Francisco to come together and begin an economic recovery that will create opportunities for all.

The **2020 San Francisco Health and Recovery Bond** will prioritize shovel-ready projects delivering essential government services, support economic recovery through job creation for San Franciscans, provide funding for behavioral health and health access, while prioritizing basic infrastructure investments in our parks and recreation facilities and right-of-way infrastructure so people can get back to work quickly and help San Francisco recover.

It has been widely reported that parks have been a welcome respite and source of physical and mental health well-being during this pandemic. I live across the street from Larsen Park and watch people all day every day as they find some comfort and joy there. I myself go to the flower beds in front of the Conservatory of Flowers to watch the bees there and in the Dahlia Garden whenever I can to 'recharge my batteries' and de-stress. For my husband's recent birthday we went to Stowe Lake and watched the nesting herons and walked around the lake . . .

The benefits of parks are long lasting, and it is clear that planning for better days ahead will ensure that our open spaces are resilient. Please support the **2020 San Francisco Health and Recovery Bond** by approving the Bond proposal for the November 2020 Ballot.

Thank you for your support of our parks and City!

Sincerely,

Nano Visser
2555 20th Ave.
SF CA 94116

From: [Thaomy Beltran](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#)
Cc: [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#); [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); eileen.mchugh@sfgov.org; info@japantowntaskforce.org
Subject: Peace Plaza Renovation Project
Date: Monday, June 1, 2020 8:00:53 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

6/1/2020

San Francisco Board of Supervisors
City Hall, Room 244
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102

To the Members of the Board of Supervisors:

On behalf of neighbors living in the Western Addition neighborhood, we are a family who utilizes the Peace Plaza and we urge you to support the \$25 million allocation for the Japantown Peace Plaza currently included in the proposed Health and Recovery Bond Measure. My children also go to school located in Japantown and use the Peace Plaza for field trips and community events. The Japantown community has waited nearly 20 years for the space to be properly repaired and to create an open space that appropriately reflects the priorities of our residents, community organizations, businesses and visitors.

As you are aware, the Peace Plaza is the only open space in Japantown and is deeply symbolic of the plight and struggles of San Francisco's Japanese American community. This project is particularly meaningful for the Japantown community because the Peace Plaza area was once occupied by Japanese American residents and businesses. After being forcibly removed and incarcerated by the military during World War II, the Japanese American community was forced out a second time by the San Francisco Redevelopment Agency. The eviction of our community resulted in the loss of a staggering amount of wealth for the families and businesses who had no choice but to accept whatever sale price was offered for their property.

While our community does not dwell on the fact that the city forcibly acquired ownership of the area where the Peace Plaza was built, we do feel that San Francisco has a responsibility to take care of the space. We understand that San Francisco has many urgent priorities, but our community is adamant that we have been more than patient and it is time for this city to address this matter. The residential base of our community may never return to this area and having the means to bring people back is critical to the economy of this neighborhood. Having an open space which addresses the needs and represents the character of Japantown is vital to the long-term sustainability of this community.

We urge you to support the full \$25 million current allocated for Peace Plaza in the Health and Recovery bond.

Sincerely,

Thaomy Beltran, PT, DPT

cc: Angela.calvillo@sfgov.org
Alisa.somera@sfgov.org
Eileen.mchugh@sfgov.org
info@japantowntaskforce.org

From: [George Omi](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#)
Cc: [Angela.cavillo@sfgov.org](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#); [Eileen.mchugh@sfgov.org](#); [info@japantowntaskforce.org](#)
Subject: Japantown Pagoda and Peace Plaza
Date: Monday, June 1, 2020 10:00:27 AM
Attachments: [June 2.docx](#)

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisor,

I respectfully submit to you my letter (attached) for your thoughtful consideration.

Sincerely,

George Omi

June 1, 2020

San Francisco Board of Supervisors
City Hall, Room 244
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102

To the Members of the Board of Supervisors:

To the Members of the Board of Supervisors:

I am among a vanishing generation of Japanese Americans, a Nisei, who was born on December 15, 1930 in San Francisco. My parents, sister, relatives, friends, and our entire community of Japanese Americans in San Francisco and all of the state of California were evacuated, relocated and incarcerated during the War.

And years later, after the War, when our working community and friends in San Francisco's Japantown had risen from near extinction to recovery, they were evacuated and relocated once again, when they received notices of eviction, eminent domain, followed by the demolition of their stores and homes with an ensuing construction of a Japan Center mall, where their homes and businesses once lay. ■

And so I urge you to support the full amount of the \$25 million allocation for the Japantown Peace Plaza, currently included in the proposed Health and Recovery Bond Measure.

This plaza, the center piece of Japantown, is in dire need of renovation. It was once as beautiful and sacred as its Pagoda, designed by Toshiro Taniguchi, a brilliant Japanese master architect. The Plaza was also a reminder of where many of our friends and their families once lived. But then this memorial was stripped of its adornments due to a water leakage problem, which began soon after the plaza was constructed more than fifty years ago.

This wasted plaza was then transformed by the Japanese traditions of the past, from a place of worship, contemplation and meditation into a community gathering place. The only open space in Japantown, has become a center piece of activity, filled with thousands of people throughout the year, to celebrate the Cherry Blossom Festival, Children's Day, Japan Day, SF Anime Festival & Cosplay, Origami Palooza, Nihonmachi Street Fair, Sumo Exhibition, Osaka Festival, and Aki Matsuri.

Through public meetings with the residents, business owners and organizations in Japantown, supported by the San Francisco Japantown Task Force, the Park & Recreation Department, together with the Department of Public Works, developed a plan for renovation, which will not only improve the look of the plaza, but will better accommodate its annual events, and attract even more visitors to Japantown to celebrate its heritage. This plan also came with a \$25 million cost estimate, which covers the renovation to the plaza, as well as prevent further leaks of water into the garage below

And so I hope you understand our need for your help in addressing a problem which has festered in our community for decades. We ask for no more than to fix what is broken. Thank you.

Sincerely,

George Omi
Landscape Architect, retired
Member of SF Japanese American Community

From: [Mercedes Stuefen](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#)
Cc: [Beau Simon](#)
Subject: Japantown Task Force Letter
Date: Monday, June 1, 2020 10:19:11 AM
Attachments: [Peace Plaza Task Force - BOS Letter 06-01-2020.pdf](#)

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Board,

On behalf of Mr. Simon, this email shall serve as a transmission for the attached letter from the Japantown Task Force.

Sincerely,

Mercedes Stuefen

Mercedes Stuefen
Temporary Legal Secretary
Cooper, White & Cooper LLP
201 California Street, 17th Floor
San Francisco, CA 94111
Telephone: (415) 433-1900
Facsimile: (415) 433-5530
Please consider the environment before printing.

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This communication contains information intended for the use of the individuals to whom it is addressed and may contain information that is privileged, confidential or exempt from other disclosure under applicable law. If you are not the intended recipient, you are notified that any disclosure, printing, copying, distribution or use of the contents is prohibited. If you have received this in error, please notify the sender immediately by telephone or by returning it by return mail and then permanently delete the communication from your system. Thank you.

Beau Simon
201 California Street, 17th Floor
San Francisco, California 94111

June 1, 2020

Via Email: (bos-supervisors@sfgov.org)

San Francisco Board of Supervisors
City Hall, Room 244
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, California 94102

To the Members of the Board of Supervisors:

On behalf of the Japantown Task Force, I write to urge you to support the \$25 million allocation for the Japantown Peace Plaza currently included in the proposed Health and Recovery Bond Measure. The Japantown community has waited nearly 20 years for the space to be properly repaired and to create an open space that appropriately reflects the priorities of our residents, community organizations, businesses, and visitors.

As you know, the Peace Plaza is the only open space in Japantown and is deeply symbolic of the plight and struggles of San Francisco's Japanese American community. This project is particularly meaningful for the Japantown community because the Peace Plaza area was once occupied by Japanese American residents and businesses. After being forcibly removed and incarcerated by the military during World War II, the Japanese American community was forced out a second time by the San Francisco Redevelopment Agency. The eviction of the Japanese and Japanese American community resulted in the loss of a staggering amount of wealth for the families and businesses who had no choice but to accept whatever sale price was offered for their property.

While the Japanese and Japanese American community does not dwell on the fact that the city forcibly acquired ownership of the area where the Peace Plaza was built, the Japantown Task Force believes that San Francisco has a responsibility to take care of the space. We understand that San Francisco has many urgent priorities, but the Japanese and Japanese American community is adamant that it has been more than patient and it is time for this city to address -- and redress -- this matter. The residential base of the Japanese and Japanese American community may never return to this area, and having the means to bring people back is critical to the economy of this neighborhood. Having an open space that addresses the needs, and represents the character, of Japantown is vital to the long-term sustainability of this community.

San Francisco Board of Supervisors

June 1, 2020

Page 2

I urge you to support the full \$25 million currently allocated for the Japantown Peace Plaza in the Health and Recovery Bond Measure.

Sincerely,



Beau Simon

Member, Board of Directors, Japantown Task Force

cc: Angela.calvillo@sfgov.org
Alisa.somera@sfgov.org
Eileen.mchugh@sfgov.org
info@japantowntaskforce.org

From: [Asaki Alysia Osato](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#)
Subject: Japantown Peace Plaza
Date: Monday, June 1, 2020 10:33:58 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

San Francisco Board of Supervisors
City Hall, Room 244
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102

To the Members of the Board of Supervisors:

As you are aware, the Peace Plaza is the only open space in Japantown and is deeply symbolic of the plight and struggles of San Francisco's Japanese American community. After being incarcerated by US government during World War II, the Japanese American community was forced out a second time by the San Francisco Redevelopment Agency. This resulted the Japanese American communities losing a significant amount of their wealth.

Especially during these times, as we fight for social justice for the African American community, I believe it is even more important for the city of San Francisco to celebrate the complex and rich histories of people of Color. The Peace Plaza create not just a space for the Japanese American community, but also the Asian American Community, All people of Color, and a safe pace for all San Francisco residents to discover and celebrate diversity.

San Francisco Japantown is one of only three Japantowns left in United States. San Francisco Japantown is and will become even more a valuable tourist attraction.

We understand that San Francisco has many urgent priorities, but our community is adamant that we have been more than patient. The residential base of our community may never return to this area and having the means to bring people back is critical to the economy of this neighborhood. Having an open space which addresses the needs and represents the character of Japantown is vital to the long-term sustainability of this community.

We urge you to support the full \$25 million current allocated for Peace Plaza in the Health and Recovery bond.

Sincerely,

Asaki Osato
Co-Chair of Northern California Cherry Blossom Festival Queen Program
Board Member of Cherry Blossom Alumnae

From: [Tea Garden](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: 2020 San Francisco Health and Recovery Bond
Date: Monday, June 1, 2020 10:49:20 AM
Attachments: [2020 San Francisco Health and Recovery Bond - Citywide Parks.docx](#)

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

June 1, 2020

Board of Supervisors

1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244

San Francisco, CA

Via email Board.of.Supervisors@sfgov.org

District 1 Sandra.Fewer@sfgov.org

District 2 Catherine.Stefani@sfgov.org

District 3 Aaron.Peskin@sfgov.org

District 4 Gordon.Mar@sfgov.org

District 5 Dean.Preston@sfgov.org

District 6 Matt.Haney@sfgov.org

District 7 Norman.Yee@sfgov.org

District 8 Rafael.Mandelman@sfgov.org

District 9 Hillary.Ronen@sfgov.org

District 10 Shamann.Walton@sfgov.org

District 11 Ahsha.Safai@sfgov.org

RE: 2020 San Francisco Health and Recovery Bond

Dear Board of Supervisors,

I am writing in support of the **2020 San Francisco Health and Recovery Bond** that is being considered for the November 2020 ballot. The COVID-19 global pandemic has changed California's and San Francisco's economic and financial situation. We cannot weather this storm alone; the 2020 Bond will allow San Francisco to come together and begin an economic recovery that will create opportunities for all.

The **2020 San Francisco Health and Recovery Bond** will prioritize shovel-ready projects delivering essential government services, support economic recovery through job creation for San Franciscans, provide funding for behavioral health and health access, while prioritizing basic infrastructure investments in our parks and recreation facilities and right-of-way infrastructure so people can get back to work quickly and help San Francisco recover.

It has been widely reported that parks have been a welcome respite and source of physical and mental health well-being during this pandemic. Our citywide parks, Golden Gate, McLaren Park, and Lake Merced are the jewels in the fabric of our city. Together, they comprise almost 2,000 acres of open space, each with vast, ongoing capital needs. Golden Gate Park alone is estimated to need over \$500 million in capital investment to renovate and improve park features. The 2020 Bond will build upon projects delivered through past bonds and provide an additional \$18 million for improvements in these three parks, allocating \$10 million for improvements in Golden Gate Park, \$6 million for improvements in McLaren Park, and \$2 million for improvements in Lake Merced.

I have visited GGP more times than I could ever count – to museums, soccer games, birthday parties, soccer practices, Opera in the Park and so on. I have been a strong advocate for the Japanese Tea Garden for 15 years and believe the GGP has received too little attention and too little of capital improvement for too long.

The benefits of parks are long lasting, and it is clear that planning for better days ahead will ensure that our open spaces are resilient. Please support the **2020 San Francisco Health and Recovery Bond** by approving the Bond proposal for the November 2020 Ballot.

Thank you for your support of our parks and City!

John Ware

Founder, Friends of the Japanese Tea Garden

Board member, San Francisco Parks Trust (2004-2011)

Board member, San Francisco Parks Alliance (2011 to present)

From: sishii@Kimochi-Inc.org
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#)
Cc: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#); Eileen.mchugh@sfgov.org; [Japantown Task Force](#)
Subject: Japantown Peace Plaza - support
Date: Monday, June 1, 2020 1:30:32 PM
Attachments: [Japantown Peace Plaza Renovation Project - letter of support.pdf](#)

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Board of Supervisors,

Please find Kimochi, Inc.'s letter of support to the Japantown Peace Plaza renovation. I hope that you will support our much needed renovation for the Japantown Peace Plaza to be fully funded.

Thank you in advance for your support.

Steve Ishii
Executive Director
Kimochi, Inc.
1715 Buchanan Street
San Francisco, CA. 94115
Tel: (415) 931-2294
Fax: (415) 931-2299
Email: sishii@kimochi-inc.org
Web: www.kimochi-inc.org

The information contained in this transmission may contain privileged and confidential information. It is intended only for the use of the person(s) named above. If you are not the recipient, you are hereby notified that any review, dissemination, distribution or duplication of this communication is strictly prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient, please contact the sender by reply and destroy all copies of the original message.



June 1, 2020

San Francisco Board of Supervisors
City Hall, Room 244
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102

Re: Members of the Board of Supervisors

On behalf of Kimochi, Inc., I urge you to support the \$25 million allocation for the Japantown Peace Plaza currently included in the proposed Health and Recovery Bond Measure. The Japantown community has waited nearly 20 years for the space to be properly repaired and to create an open space that appropriately reflects the priorities of our residents, community organizations, businesses and visitors.

As you are aware, the Peace Plaza is the only open space in Japantown and is deeply symbolic of the plight and struggles of San Francisco's Japanese American community. This project is particularly meaningful for the Japantown community because the Peace Plaza area was once occupied by Japanese American residents and businesses. After being forcibly removed and incarcerated by the military during World War II, the Japanese American community was forced out a second time by the San Francisco Redevelopment Agency. The eviction of our community resulted in the loss of a staggering amount of wealth for the families and businesses who had no choice but to accept whatever sale price was offered for their property.

While our community does not dwell on the fact that the city forcibly acquired ownership of the area where the Peace Plaza was built, we do feel that San Francisco has a responsibility to take care of the space. We understand that San Francisco has many urgent priorities, but our community is adamant that we have been more than patient and it is time for this city to address this matter. The residential base of our community may never return to this area and having the means to bring people back is critical to the economy of this neighborhood. Having an open space which addresses the needs and represents the character of Japantown is vital to the long-term sustainability of this community.

We urge you to support the full \$25 million current allocated for Peace Plaza in the Health and Recovery bond.

Sincerely,

Steve Ishii
Executive Director

cc: Angela.calvillo@sfgov.org
Alisa.somera@sfgov.org
Eileen.mchugh@sfgov.org
info@japantowntaskforce.org

Kimochi, Inc.
1715 Buchanan Street
San Francisco, CA 94115
Fax (415) 931-2299
www.Kimochi-Inc.org

- Administration
(415) 931-2294
- Social Services
(415) 931-2275
- Club Nikkei
(415) 931-2294
- Volunteer Services
(415) 931-2294

Kimochi Home
1531 Sutter Street
San Francisco, CA 94109
(415) 922-9972
Fax (415) 922-6821

- Adult Day Program
- Residential Care
- Respite Care

Kimochi Senior Center
1840 Sutter Street, #101
Issei Memorial Hall
San Francisco, CA 94115
(415) 931-2287
Fax (415) 931-2299

- Community Dining
- Home Delivered Meals
- Senior Center Activities
- Transportation

Kimochi Lounge
1581 Webster Street, #202
San Francisco, CA 94115
(415) 563-5626
Fax (415) 931-2299

- Information and Referral

Kimochi San Mateo
453 N. San Mateo Drive
San Mateo, CA 94401
(650) 388-7130
Fax (650) 340-0256

- Residential Care
- Home Delivered Meals

From: [Paul Gamba](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#)
Cc: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#); Eileen.mchugh@sfgov.org; info@japantowntaskforce.org
Subject: Japantown Peace Plaza Renovation Project
Date: Monday, June 1, 2020 2:05:12 PM
Attachments: [peace plaza ltr.pdf](#)

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Attached please find my letter in support of the long awaited and much needed renovations to the Japantown Peace Plaza.

Please feel free to contact me with any questions you may have.

Paul Gamba

From: [Katherine Okamoto](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#)
Cc: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#); Eileen.mchugh@sfgov.org; info@japantowntaskforce.org
Subject: Japantown Peace Plaza Renovation Project - URGENT
Date: Monday, June 1, 2020 2:37:33 PM
Attachments: [Japantown Letter to BOS 2020.06.01.pdf](#)

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

June 1, 2020

San Francisco Board of Supervisors
City Hall, Room 244
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102

To the Members of the Board of Supervisors:

As a life-long San Francisco resident and fourth generation Japanese-American, I urge you to support the \$25 million allocation for the Japantown Peace Plaza currently included in the proposed Health and Recovery Bond Measure. The Japantown community has waited nearly 20 years for the space to be properly repaired and to create an open space that appropriately reflects the priorities of our residents, community organizations, businesses and visitors.

As you are aware, the Peace Plaza is the only open space in Japantown and is deeply symbolic of the plight and struggles of San Francisco's Japanese-American community. This project is particularly meaningful for the Japantown community because the Peace Plaza area was once occupied by Japanese-American residents and businesses. After being forcibly removed and incarcerated by the military during World War II, the Japanese-American community was forced out a second time by the San Francisco Redevelopment Agency. The eviction of our community resulted in the loss of a staggering amount of wealth for the families and businesses who had no choice but to accept whatever sale price was offered for their property.

While our community does not dwell on the fact that the city forcibly acquired ownership of the area where the Peace Plaza was built, we do feel that San Francisco has a responsibility to take care of the space. We understand that San Francisco has many urgent priorities, but our community is adamant that we have been more than patient and it is time for this city to address this matter. The residential base of our community may never return to this area and having the means to bring people back is critical to the economy of this neighborhood. Having an open space that addresses the needs and represents the character of Japantown is vital to the long-term sustainability of this community.

My family and I, along with our friends and neighbors, urge you to support the full \$25 million current allocated for Peace Plaza in the Health and Recovery bond. A copy of this letter with my signature is attached. Thank you for your support in this very important matter.

Sincerely,

Katherine Okamoto
San Francisco Resident and Small Business Owner

cc: Angela.calvillo@sfgov.org
Alisa.somera@sfgov.org Eileen.mchugh@sfgov.org
info@japantowntaskforce.org

From: [pikapika](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#)
Cc: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#); Eileen.mchugh@sfgov.org; info@japantowntaskforce.org
Subject: Japantown Peace Plaza Support Letter
Date: Monday, June 1, 2020 2:51:50 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

June 1, 2020

San Francisco Board of Supervisors
City Hall, Room 244
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102

To the Members of the Board of Supervisors:

On behalf of Pika Pika, we urge you to support the \$25 million allocation for the Japantown Peace Plaza currently included in the proposed Health and Recovery Bond Measure. The Japantown community has waited nearly 20 years for the space to be properly repaired and to create an open space that appropriately reflects the priorities of our residents, community organizations, businesses and visitors.

As you are aware, the Peace Plaza is the only open space in Japantown and is deeply symbolic of the plight and struggles of San Francisco's Japanese American community. This project is particularly meaningful for the Japantown community because the Peace Plaza area was once occupied by Japanese American residents and businesses. After being forcibly removed and incarcerated by the military during World War II, the Japanese American community was forced out a second time by the San Francisco Redevelopment Agency. The eviction of our community resulted in the loss of a staggering amount of wealth for the families and businesses who had no choice but to accept whatever sale price was offered for their property.

While our community does not dwell on the fact that the city forcibly acquired ownership of the area where the Peace Plaza was built, we do feel that San Francisco has a responsibility to take care of the space. We understand that San Francisco has many urgent priorities, but our community is adamant that we have been more than patient and it is time for this city to address this matter. The residential base of our community may never return to this area and having the means to bring people back is critical to the economy of this neighborhood. Having an open space which addresses the needs and represents the character of Japantown is vital to the long-term sustainability of this community.

We urge you to support the full \$25 million current allocated for Peace Plaza in the Health and Recovery bond.

Sincerely,

Ryan Kimura

Owner

Pika Pika

cc:

Angela.calvillo@sfgov.org

Alisa.somera@sfgov.org

Eileen.mchugh@sfgov.org

info@japantowntaskforce.org

--

Thanks for visiting PikaPika! Enjoy your pictures, and we hope to see you again soon!

PIKAPIKA

1581 Webster St. STE 225

San Francisco, CA 94115

www.pikapikasf.com

Please email us if you're planning a party/wedding, we offer photobooth rentals!

FACEBOOK

www.facebook.com/pikapikasf

INSTAGRAM!

www.instagram.com/pikapikasf

From: [Asami Osato](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#)
Subject: Japantown Peace Plaza
Date: Monday, June 1, 2020 3:10:31 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

San Francisco Board of Supervisors
City Hall, Room 244
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102

To the Members of the Board of Supervisors:

As you are aware, the Peace Plaza is the only open space in Japantown and is deeply symbolic of the plight and struggles of San Francisco's Japanese American community. After being incarcerated by US government during World War II, the Japanese American community was forced out a second time by the San Francisco Redevelopment Agency. This resulted the Japanese American communities losing a significant amount of their wealth.

Especially during these times, as we fight for social justice for the African American community, I believe it is even more important for the city of San Francisco to celebrate the complex and rich histories of people of Color. The Peace Plaza create not just a space for the Japanese American community, but also the Asian American Community, All people of Color, and a safe pace for all San Francisco residents to discover and celebrate diversity.

San Francisco Japantown is one of only three Japantowns left in United States. San Francisco Japantown is and will become even more a valuable tourist attraction.

We understand that San Francisco has many urgent priorities, but our community is adamant that we have been more than patient. The residential base of our community may never return to this area and having the means to bring people back is critical to the economy of this neighborhood. Having an open space which addresses the needs and represents the character of Japantown is vital to the long-term sustainability of this community.

We urge you to support the full \$25 million current allocated for Peace Plaza in the Health and Recovery bond.

Sincerely,

--

Asami Osato
asamiosato@gmail.com

From: [Peter Namkung](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#)
Cc: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#); Eileen.mchugh@sfgov.org; info@japantowntaskforce.org
Subject: Japantown Peace Plaza Renovation Project
Date: Monday, June 1, 2020 3:30:14 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

San Francisco Board of Supervisors
City Hall, Room 244
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102

To the Members of the Board of Supervisors:

As a board member of Kimochi, I urge you to support the \$25 million allocation for the Japantown Peace Plaza currently in the proposed Health and Recovery Bond Measure.

The Japantown community has waited nearly 20 years for the space to be properly renovated. Creating an inviting area that reflects the priorities of our residents, community organizations, businesses and visitors is a top priority for the economic vitality of the neighborhood.

Peace Plaza, the only open space in Japantown, is also deeply symbolic of the struggles of San Francisco's Japanese American community. This project is particularly meaningful for us because the Peace Plaza area was once a bustling with Japanese American residents and businesses.

After being forcibly removed and incarcerated by the military during World War II, Japanese Americans were forced out a second time by the San Francisco Redevelopment Agency. Families and businesses in the community lost a staggering amount of wealth because of this eviction, but they had to accept the price offered for their property.

While our community does not dwell on the fact that the city forcibly acquired the area where Peace Plaza was built, we do feel that San Francisco has a responsibility to take care of the space. We understand that San Francisco has many priorities, but our community is adamant. We have been more than patient and it is time for this city to address this matter.

The residential base of Japantown may never return, but having a suitable, attractive open space to gather is critical to the long-term sustainability of this neighborhood.

We urge you to support the full \$25 million current allocated for Peace Plaza in the Health and Recovery bond.

Sincerely,

Peter Namkung

From: [Sandy Mori](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#)
Cc: [angela.cavillo@sfgov.org](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#); [eileen.mchugh@sfgov.org](#); [Japantown Task Force](#)
Subject: Japantown Peace Plaza
Date: Tuesday, June 2, 2020 9:49:00 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear President Yee and Members of the SF Board of Supervisors; As advocates for Japanese Americans for most of our lives and for San Francisco's Japantown since the 60's, we urge you to include the Peace Plaza Renovation Project at the full \$25 million in the Health and Recovery Act Bond scheduled for the November 2020 ballot. With Japantown's history of over 110 years in San Francisco, and being part of this City's diversity for 5 generations, the Peace Plaza represents an open space which is vital to the long term sustainability of our community. Please remain steadfast on the full \$25 million for the Peace Plaza. Warm regards, Jeff and Sandy Mori

Sent from my iPhone

From: [Greg Vioria](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#)
Cc: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#); Eileen.mchugh@sfgov.org; info@japantowntaskforce.org
Subject: Support of the Japantown Peace Plaza Project
Date: Tuesday, June 2, 2020 10:24:25 AM
Attachments: [LOS - Peace Plaza BOS_NCCBF.docx.pdf](#)

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Honorable Board of Supervisors,

Good morning. Please find attached our letter of support to include the Japantown Peace Plaza Project in the upcoming bond. As an organization in one of the three remaining Japantowns in the United States, this project, which is long overdue, is important to the future of Japantown and the Northern California Cherry Blossom Festival. Please support the project.

Best regards,

Greg Vioria
Co-chair, Northern California Cherry Blossom Festival



Greg C. Vioria
グレッグ・ヴィロリア
Festival Co-Chair
Northern California Cherry Blossom Festival
1759 Sutter Street
San Francisco, CA 94115
www.nccbf.org

415.563.2313 (Office)
408.316.8349 (mobile)
gvioria@nccbf.org
Skype: yokosogreg

Follow Us: [Twitter](#) | [Facebook](#) | [Instagram](#) | [Blog](#)

From: [steve nakajo](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#)
Cc: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); Eileen.mchugh@sfgov.org; [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Support for Peace Plaza Project full funding of \$25m
Date: Tuesday, June 2, 2020 10:40:07 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Members of the Board of Supervisors.

I am writing to request your support to the Health & Recovery Act Bond which the Park is a component where our Japan Town Peace Plaza Redesign Project is @ full funding of \$25m. We have advocated for this important cultural, Historical, sacred space where we have held our community festival & celebration for decades.

With the result of SF Redevelopment destruction of our total community thru their implementation of eminent domain preceded by the injustice of the Concentration Camp experiences..

We in the SF J/A Community have waited for too many years for a positive investment by the city for Japan Town.

Regards,
Steve Nakajo

Steve Nakajo
Mobile: (415) 279-7267
Email: sknakajo@yahoo.com

From: [Sack, Misako M.](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#)
Cc: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#); [Eileen.mchugh@sfgov.org](#); [info@japantowntaskforce.org](#); [Allen M. Okamoto \(allen@okamoto-re.com\)](#); [Kathleen Kimura \(kathleenkimura@comcast.net\)](#)
Subject: Support for Peace Plaza Renovation Project
Date: Tuesday, June 2, 2020 11:15:44 AM
Attachments: [SFOSCA Supp-Peace Plaza Proj.pdf](#)

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear members of the Board of Supervisors of San Francisco,

Please find attached the letter of support of San Francisco/Osaka Sister City Association for Peace Plaza Project.

Best regards,
Misako Sack

Misako Maki Sack | サック・牧・ミサ子
Executive Director | 事務局長 - **SFOSCA**
P: 415-268-7007 | msack@mofo.com
<http://www.sf-osaka.org/>

=====
This message may be confidential and privileged. Use or disclosure by anyone other than an intended addressee is prohibited. If you received this message in error, please delete it and advise the sender by reply email. Learn about Morrison & Foerster LLP's [Privacy Policy](#).

From: rhashimoto@aol.com
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#)
Cc: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#); eileen.mchugh@sfgov.org; info@japantowntaskforce.org
Subject: Letter of Support
Date: Tuesday, June 2, 2020 11:21:29 AM
Attachments: [20200602111712009.pdf](#)

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Board of Supervisors,

Please find attached our letter asking for your support for the Peace Plaza Project in the full amount of \$25M.

Thank you,
Richard Hashimoto
Board Member

From: [Jaclyn Funasaki](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#)
Cc: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#); Eileen.mchugh@sfgov.org; info@japantowntaskforce.org
Subject: 2020 Health and Recovery Bond - Peace Plaza Renovation Project
Date: Tuesday, June 2, 2020 12:01:29 PM
Attachments: [JF_Peace Plaza BOS Letter.docx](#)

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

June 2, 2020

San Francisco Board of Supervisors
City Hall, Room 244
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102

To the Members of the Board of Supervisors:

When I relocated to San Francisco ten years ago, I knew two people, and had no family in the area. Yet, it was the Japantown community who welcomed and embraced me. Through the mentorship of community leaders, who entrusted me with leadership roles, I was able to grow and prosper, and truly understand the power of grassroots organizing. As one of the last remaining Japantown in the nation, I think it is of great importance to preserve and save the Peace Plaza, a truly iconic gathering place for our community.

Therefore, I'd like to urge you to support the \$25 million allocation for the Japantown Peace Plaza currently included in the proposed Health and Recovery Bond Measure. The Japantown community has waited nearly 20 years for the space to be properly repaired and to create an open space that appropriately reflects the priorities of our residents, community organizations, businesses and visitors.

As you are aware, the Peace Plaza is the only open space in Japantown and is deeply symbolic of the plight and struggles of San Francisco's Japanese American community. This project is particularly meaningful for the Japantown community because the Peace Plaza area was once occupied by Japanese American residents and businesses. After being forcibly removed and incarcerated by the military during World War II, the Japanese American community was forced out a second time by the San Francisco Redevelopment Agency. The eviction of our community resulted in the loss of a staggering amount of wealth for the families and businesses who had no choice but to accept whatever sale price was offered for their property.

While our community does not dwell on the fact that the city forcibly acquired ownership of the area where the Peace Plaza was built, we do feel that San Francisco has a responsibility to take care of the space. We understand that San Francisco has many urgent priorities, but our community is adamant that we have been more than patient and it is time for this city to address this matter. The

residential base of our community may never return to this area and having the means to bring people back is critical to the economy of this neighborhood. Having an open space which addresses the needs and represents the character of Japantown is vital to the long-term sustainability of this community.

We urge you to support the full \$25 million current allocated for Peace Plaza in the Health and Recovery bond.

Sincerely,

Jaclyn Funasaki

Economic Affairs, Consulate General of Japan

Vice President, Hawaii Chamber of Commerce of Northern California

Volunteer Committee Co-Chair, Nihonmachi Street Fair

Celebration Committee Member, Asian Pacific American Heritage Month

Member, U.S.-Japan Council (USJC)

Member, Japan Exchange Teaching Alumni Association of Northern California

cc: Angela.calvillo@sfgov.org
Alisa.somera@sfgov.org
Eileen.mchugh@sfgov.org
info@japantowntaskforce.org

From: [Marlayne Morgan](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Japantown Peace Plaza
Date: Tuesday, June 2, 2020 1:36:42 PM
Attachments: [chnapeace2.pdf](#)

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Ms. Calvillo-

Please forward this letter to the Board Members.

Regards,

Marlayne Morgan
Cathedral Hill Neighborhood Association

From: [Aokizu - First Voice](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#)
Cc: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#); Eileen.mchugh@sfgov.org; info@japantowntaskforce.org; [Grace Horikiri](#)
Subject: Peace Plaza Endorsement Letter
Date: Tuesday, June 2, 2020 2:01:50 PM
Attachments: [Peace Plaza Letter of Endorsement final.pdf](#)

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

See Attached Signed pdf below.

Date: 6/2/2020

San Francisco Board of Supervisors
City Hall, Room 244
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102

To the Members of the Board of Supervisors:

On behalf of First Voice, we urge you to support the \$25 million allocation for the Japantown Peace Plaza currently included in the proposed Health and Recovery Bond Measure. The Japantown community has waited nearly 20 years for the space to be properly repaired and to create an open space that appropriately reflects the priorities of our residents, community organizations, businesses and visitors.

As you are aware, the Peace Plaza is the only open space in Japantown and is deeply symbolic of the plight and struggles of San Francisco's Japanese American community. This project is particularly meaningful for the Japantown community because the Peace Plaza area was once occupied by Japanese American residents and businesses. After being forcibly removed and incarcerated by the military during World War II, the Japanese American community was forced out a second time by the San Francisco Redevelopment Agency. The eviction of our community resulted in the loss of a staggering amount of wealth for the families and businesses who had no choice but to accept whatever sale price was offered for their property.

While our community does not dwell on the fact that the city forcibly acquired ownership of the area where the Peace Plaza was built, we do feel that San Francisco has a responsibility to take care of the space. We understand that San Francisco has many urgent priorities, but our community is adamant that we have been more than patient and it is time for this city to address this matter. The residential base of our community may never return to this area and having the means to bring people back is critical to the economy of this neighborhood. Having an open space which addresses the needs and represents the character of Japantown is vital to the long-term sustainability of this community.

We urge you to support the full \$25 million current allocated for Peace Plaza in the Health and

Recovery bond.

Sincerely,
Mark Izu & Brenda Wong Aoki
First Voice

From: [Benh Nakajo](#)
To: [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#)
Cc: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#); Eileen.mchugh@sfgov.org; info@japantowntaskforce.org
Subject: Re: Japantown Peace Plaza
Date: Tuesday, June 2, 2020 8:27:55 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Honorable Supervisor Dean Preston
San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I am urging you to cast your support of \$25 million dollar allocation for the Japantown Peace Plaza which is part of the 2020 Health and Recovery Bond Measure.

The Japantown Community, the Western Addition Neighborhood, and San Franciscans have waited decades for the Peace Plaza to be repaired and renovated which properly reflects the residents of the Japanese American Community, the Organizations, Businesses here to locals and visitors to Japantown.

You are aware the Peace Plaza is the only open space in Japantown and is symbolic to its history, which reflects the dark, sad struggles of the Japanese, Japanese American Community, since Japantown rose from the ashes after the 1906 San Francisco Earthquake.

The Peace Plaza is particularly close to the heart of the Community as it was built over the destroyed homes and businesses, during the second demolition of the Japanese Community by Urban Renewal under the San Francisco Redevelopment Agency.

While the Community had no choice but to accept the twice traumatic destruction of Japantown and forced removal of the our Japanese population, we feel that the City of San Francisco should assist to right these wrongs by doing something right by supporting this Bond.

I am painfully aware of our City of San Francisco multitude of urgent priorities, due to the earth shattering situation with the Pandemic, with the needs that are great, but the Allocation is there, the Community has done what was requested, have followed the rules, worked hard to finish the process, accomplished the steps and finished with the work.

The renovation of the Peace Plaza will have a positive effect and bring meaningful changes to the area, attracting both citizens of San Francisco along with local, overseas guests and visitors, to support our local businesses, and organizations, that will strengthen and revitalize the economy. The Peace Plaza will finally shine to reflect the true history, the character and the culture of Japantown and the diversity of the City of San Francisco.

I need to urge your total **full support** of the entire **\$25 million** allocation for the Japantown Peace Plaza.

I feel, unfortunately, this will be the last opportunity to accomplish this renovation and if this opportunity is not met, it will begin the demise of our weak and frail Community, and we too will become but a memory.

The Community urgently need the Peace Plaza Renovation to preserve and sustain one of the last of three Japantown's left in the United States.

My Urgent Request for Your Support,

Benh Nakajo
Resident of Japantown
San Francisco

Sent from my iPad

From: [Editor Bayspo](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#)
Cc: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#); Eileen.mchugh@sfgov.org; info@japantowntaskforce.org
Subject: Peace Plaza Renovation Project
Date: Tuesday, June 2, 2020 10:01:16 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

June 2nd, 2020

San Francisco Board of Supervisors
City Hall, Room 244
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102

To the Members of the Board of Supervisors:

On behalf of (organization), we urge you to support the \$25 million allocation for the Japantown Peace Plaza currently included in the proposed Health and Recovery Bond Measure. The Japantown community has waited nearly 20 years for the space to be properly repaired and to create an open space that appropriately reflects the priorities of our residents, community organizations, businesses and visitors.

As you are aware, the Peace Plaza is the only open space in Japantown and is deeply symbolic of the plight and struggles of San Francisco's Japanese American community. This project is particularly meaningful for the Japantown community because the Peace Plaza area was once occupied by Japanese American residents and businesses. After being forcibly removed and incarcerated by the military during World War II, the Japanese American community was forced out a second time by the San Francisco Redevelopment Agency. The eviction of our community resulted in the loss of a staggering amount of wealth for the families and businesses who had no choice but to accept whatever sale price was offered for their property.

While our community does not dwell on the fact that the city forcibly acquired ownership of the area where the Peace Plaza was built, we do feel that San Francisco has a responsibility to take care of the space. We understand that San Francisco has many urgent priorities, but our community is adamant that we have been more than patient and it is time for this city to address this matter. The residential base of our community may never return to this area and having the means to bring people back is critical to the economy of this neighborhood. Having an open space which addresses the needs and represents the character of Japantown is vital to the long-term sustainability of this community.

We urge you to support the full \$25 million current allocated for Peace Plaza in the Health and Recovery bond.

Sincerely,

Kyoko Nomura

野村 京子(Kyoko Nomura)

Editor

Inter-Pacific Publications, Inc. (Bayspo)

111 Anza Blve., #304, Burlingame, CA 94010

Email. editor@bayspo.com

Phone.650.548.0720

Cell. 917-566-9529

From: [Keiji Imura](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#)
Cc: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#); Eileen.mchugh@sfgov.org; info@japantowntaskforce.org
Subject: Japantown Peace Plaza Project
Date: Tuesday, June 2, 2020 10:46:19 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To the Members of the Board of Supervisors:

As a Japanese American born and raised in Japantown, I am writing to urge you to support the \$25 million allocation for the Japantown Peace Plaza currently included in the proposed Health and Recovery Bond Measure. The Japantown community has waited nearly 20 years for the space to be properly repaired and to create an open space that appropriately reflects the priorities of our residents, community organizations, businesses and visitors.

As you are aware, the Peace Plaza is the only open space in Japantown and is deeply symbolic of the plight and struggles of San Francisco's Japanese American community. This project is particularly meaningful for the Japantown community because the Peace Plaza area was once occupied by Japanese American residents and businesses. After being forcibly removed and incarcerated by the military during World War II, the Japanese American community was forced out a second time by the San Francisco Redevelopment Agency. The eviction of our community resulted in the loss of a staggering amount of wealth for the families and businesses who had no choice but to accept whatever sale price was offered for their property.

While our community does not dwell on the fact that the city forcibly acquired ownership of the area where the Peace Plaza was built, we do feel that San Francisco has a responsibility to take care of the space. We understand that San Francisco has many urgent priorities, but our community is adamant that we have been more than patient and it is time for this city to address this matter. The residential base of our community may never return to this area and having the means to bring people back is critical to the economy of this neighborhood. Having an open space which addresses the needs and represents the character of Japantown is vital to the long-term sustainability of this community.

We urge you to support the full \$25 million current allocated for Peace Plaza in the Health and Recovery bond.

Sincerely,
Keiji Imura
San Francisco Resident

From: [Patty Wada](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#)
Cc: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#); Eileen.mchugh@sfgov.org; info@japantowntaskforce.org
Subject: Letter: J-town Peace Plaza Renovation Project
Date: Wednesday, June 3, 2020 12:09:53 PM
Attachments: [Ltr to SF BOS for Plaza Funding.docx](#)

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To the San Francisco Board of Supervisors:

Attached, please find a letter from the Japanese American Citizens League (JACL) in support of full funding for the Japantown Peace Plaza Renovation Project.

Sincerely,
Patty Wada
JACL

--

Patty Wada
Regional Director
Japanese American Citizens League
No. Calif-W. Nevada-Pacific District
1765 Sutter Street
San Francisco, CA 94115
pwada@jacl.org
(415) 921-5225
www.jacl.org

From: [Robert Sakai](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#)
Cc: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#); Eileen.mchugh@sfgov.org; info@japantowntaskforce.org
Subject: Support For Peace Plaza Renovation
Date: Wednesday, June 3, 2020 12:55:45 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

6-04-20.

San Francisco Board of Supervisors City Hall, Room 244
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102

To the Members of the Board of Supervisors:

My name is Robert K. Sakai. I have grown up in the S.F. Japantown. My family first opened a grocery store in the current S.F. Japantown after the 1906 earthquake. We ran this grocery store through 2011. I renovated the building and now own and rent the spaces out as a mixed use property. I currently sit on the board of a number of Japantown community organizations.

I have been involved in the S.F. Japantown all my life and from this perspective I urge you to support the \$25 million allocation for the Japantown Peace Plaza currently included in the proposed Health and Recovery Bond Measure. The Japantown community has waited nearly 20 years for the space to be properly repaired and to create an open space that appropriately reflects the priorities of our residents, community organizations, businesses and visitors.

As you are aware, the Peace Plaza is the only open space in Japantown and is deeply symbolic of the plight and struggles of San Francisco's Japanese American community. This project is particularly meaningful for the Japantown community because the Peace Plaza area was once occupied by Japanese American residents and businesses. After being forcibly removed and incarcerated by the military during World War II, the Japanese American community was forced out a second time by the San Francisco Redevelopment Agency. The eviction of our community resulted in the loss of a staggering amount of wealth for the families and businesses who had no choice but to accept whatever sale price was offered for their property.

While our community does not dwell on the fact that the city forcibly acquired ownership of the area where the Peace Plaza was built, we do feel that San Francisco has a responsibility to take care of the space. We understand that San Francisco has many urgent priorities, but our community is adamant that we have been more than patient and it is time for this city to address this matter. The residential base of our community may never return to this area and having the means to bring people back is critical to the economy of this neighborhood. Having an open space which addresses the needs and represents the character of Japantown is vital to the long-term sustainability of this community.

We urge you to support the full \$25 million current allocated for Peace Plaza in the Health and

Recovery bond.

Sincerely,

Robert Kiichi Sakai

K.Sakai Company

1680 Post LLC

Managing Partner

cc: Angela.calvillo@sfgov.org

Alisa.somera@sfgov.org Eileen.mchugh@sfgov.org info@japantowntaskforce.org

From: [Kay Nomura](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#)
Cc: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#); Eileen.mchugh@sfgov.org; info@japantowntaskforce.org
Subject: Peace Plaza Renovation
Date: Wednesday, June 3, 2020 3:40:33 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

The Japantown community has waited nearly 20 years for the Peace Plaza to be properly repaired and to create an open space that appropriately reflects the priorities of our residents, community organizations, businesses, and visitors.

We need you to support and keep the full \$25 million for the Peace Plaza Renovation on the final version of the bond.

San Francisco Japantown is one of the last three remaining Japantowns in the United States. Without the needed \$25 million for the renovation, one of San Francisco's treasures will slowly crumble and eventually disappear.

Don't let this happen!

From: [Lily Doherty](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#)
Cc: [Brent Natsume](#)
Subject: Save Peace Plaza!!! Please Renovate!!
Date: Wednesday, June 3, 2020 3:40:42 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

June 3, 2020

San Francisco Board of Supervisors
City Hall, Room 244
[1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place](#)
[San Francisco, CA 94102](#)

To the Members of the Board of Supervisors:

On behalf of me and my family (we are Native San Franciscans both Japanese and Chinese) we urge you to support the \$25 million allocation for the Japantown Peace Plaza currently included in the proposed Health and Recovery Bond Measure. The Japantown community has waited nearly 20 years for the space to be properly repaired and to create an open space that appropriately reflects the priorities of our residents, community organizations, businesses and visitors.

As you are aware, the Peace Plaza is the only open space in Japantown and is deeply symbolic of the plight and struggles of San Francisco's Japanese American community. This project is particularly meaningful for the Japantown community because the Peace Plaza area was once occupied by Japanese American residents and businesses. After being forcibly removed and incarcerated by the military during World War II, the Japanese American community was forced out a second time by the San Francisco Redevelopment Agency. The eviction of our community resulted in the loss of a staggering amount of wealth for the families and businesses who had no choice but to accept whatever sale price was offered for their property.

While our community does not dwell on the fact that the city forcibly acquired ownership of the area where the Peace Plaza was built, we do feel that San Francisco has a responsibility to take care of the space. We understand that San Francisco has many urgent priorities, but our community is adamant that we have been more than patient and it is time for this city to address this matter. The residential base of our community may never return to this area and having the means to bring people back is critical to the economy of this neighborhood. Having an open space which addresses the needs and represents the character of Japantown is vital to the long-term sustainability of this community.

We urge you to support the full \$25 million current allocated for Peace Plaza in the Health and Recovery bond.

Sincerely,

Lily Doherty
Homemaker

cc:

Angela.calvillo@sfgov.org

Alisa.somera@sfgov.org

Eileen.mchugh@sfgov.org

info@japantowntaskforce.org

From: [Mark Thoma](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#)
Subject: Japantown Peace Plaza
Date: Wednesday, June 3, 2020 4:59:01 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Board of Supervisors,

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support the inclusion of **the full \$25 million** for the Peace Plaza Renovation Project. San Francisco Japantown is one of the last three remaining Japantowns in the United States and this may be our best and only opportunity to realize Japantown's vision for the plaza.

Sincerely,

Mark Thoma

San Francisco resident and student at the Japanese Community Cultural Center

From: [Richard Tokeshi](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#)
Cc: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#); Eileen.mchugh@sfgov.org; info@japantowntaskforce.org
Subject: Peace Plaza Renovation Project
Date: Wednesday, June 3, 2020 6:00:25 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

Please include the Peace Plaza Renovation project in the 2020 Health and Recovery Bond. Historically, the Peace Plaza is the most visible symbol of San Francisco's Japantown, one of the last Japantowns left in America.

Thank you for your consideration,

Richard Tokeshi, SF resident

From: [FUENTES, DAISY](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors: Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\); Somera, Alisa \(BOS\); Eileen.mchugh@sfgov.org; info@japantowntaskforce.org](#)
Subject: Peace Plaza Renovation Project
Date: Wednesday, June 3, 2020 6:41:36 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

6-3-20 San Francisco Board of Supervisors City Hall, Room 244 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place San Francisco, CA 94102 To the Members of the Board of Supervisors: On behalf of Abraham Lincoln High School's Japanese Culture Club, we urge you to support the \$25 million allocations for the Japantown Peace Plaza currently included in the proposed Health and Recovery Bond Measure. The Japantown community has waited nearly 20 years for the space to be properly repaired and to create an open space that appropriately reflects the priorities of our residents, community organizations, businesses, and visitors. As you are aware, the Peace Plaza is the only open space in Japantown and is deeply symbolic of the plight and struggles of San Francisco's Japanese American community. This project is particularly meaningful for the Japantown community because the Peace Plaza area was once occupied by Japanese American residents and businesses. After being forcibly removed and incarcerated by the military during World War II, the Japanese American community was forced out a second time by the San Francisco Redevelopment Agency. The eviction of our community resulted in the loss of a staggering amount of wealth for the families and businesses who had no choice but to accept whatever sale price was offered for their property. While our community does not dwell on the fact that the city forcibly acquired ownership of the area where the Peace Plaza was built, we do feel that San Francisco has a responsibility to take care of the space. We understand that San Francisco has many urgent priorities, but our community is adamant that we have been more than patient and it is time for this city to address this matter. The residential base of our community may never return to this area and having the means to bring people back is critical to the economy of this neighborhood. Having an open space that addresses the needs and represents the character of Japantown is vital to the long-term sustainability of this community. We urge you to support the full \$25 million currently allocated for Peace Plaza in the Health and Recovery bond. Sincerely, Daisy Fuentes student

This message was sent from a high school student using a Gmail account at San Francisco Unified School District. The content of the email should be related to education in support of 21st Century learning. If you have any questions regarding this message, please email gafe@t.sfusd.edu and include "Student email" in the subject line.

From: [Hokka Nichibeikai](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#)
Cc: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#); Eileen.mchugh@sfgov.org; info@japantowntaskforce.org
Subject: Japan town Peace Plaza Renovation Project
Date: Thursday, June 4, 2020 9:49:08 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

San Francisco Board of Supervisors
City Hall, Room 244
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102

To the Members of the Board of Supervisors:

On behalf of (organization), we urge you to support the \$25 million allocation for the Japantown Peace Plaza currently included in the proposed Health and Recovery Bond Measure. The Japantown community has waited nearly 20 years for the space to be properly repaired and to create an open space that appropriately reflects the priorities of our residents, community organizations, businesses and visitors.

As you are aware, the Peace Plaza is the only open space in Japantown and is deeply symbolic of the plight and struggles of San Francisco's Japanese American community. This project is particularly meaningful for the Japantown community because the Peace Plaza area was once occupied by Japanese American residents and businesses. After being forcibly removed and incarcerated by the military during World War II, the Japanese American community was forced out a second time by the San Francisco Redevelopment Agency. The eviction of our community resulted in the loss of a staggering amount of wealth for the families and businesses who had no choice but to accept whatever sale price was offered for their property.

While our community does not dwell on the fact that the city forcibly acquired ownership of the area where the Peace Plaza was built, we do feel that San Francisco has a responsibility to take care of the space. We understand that San Francisco has many urgent priorities, but our community is adamant that we have been more than patient and it is time for this city to address this matter. The residential base of our community may never return to this area and having the means to bring people back is critical to the economy of this neighborhood. Having an open space which addresses the needs and represents the character of Japantown is vital to the long-term sustainability of this community.

We urge you to support the full \$25 million current allocated for Peace Plaza in the Health and Recovery bond.

Sincerely,

Yayoi Baker
Hokka Nichi Bei Kai

cc:
Angela.calvillo@sfgov.org
Alisa.somera@sfgov.org
Eileen.mchugh@sfgov.org
info@japantowntaskforce.org

From: [Anonymous](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Cc: [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Mar, Gordon \(BOS\)](#); [Haney, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary; PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#)
Subject: Stop Mayor Breed from Destroying her Public Record Text Messages
Date: Thursday, June 4, 2020 1:27:25 AM
Attachments: [Stop Mayor Breed from Destroying her Public Record Text Messages.msg](#)

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

From: [Anonymous](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Cc: [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Mar, Gordon \(BOS\)](#); [Haney, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary; PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#)
Subject: Stop Mayor Breed from Destroying her Public Record Text Messages
Date: Thursday, June 4, 2020 1:27:19 AM
Attachments: [20-013-Scott202620Breed_Redacted-merged-compressed.pdf](#)
[signature.asc](#)

Dear Board of Supervisors,
as a public communication, with attachments, to BoS

Earlier this year we requested via the Sunshine Ordinance, and SFPD Chief Scott provided: contents and metadata of public record text messages between Mayor Breed and Chief Scott, as SFPD must under the law [Attachment 1]. Weeks later, [Twitter](#) and [various journalists and advocates](#) for the homeless independently publicized the SFPD's records response to our request, including the Mayor's demands about moving homeless persons, and a robust public debate occurred from both supporters and opponents of the Mayor's actions. That is the power of the Sunshine Ordinance - to permit the public to know the full truth of what their public officials do, without special access journalism, so that they can decide whether the actions represent the public's wishes.

However, when we demanded from Mayor Breed her own copies of her texts about City business, her office responded there were no responsive records. After multiple records requests of different scopes, **Mayor Breed has never provided us a single text or chat message she has sent to anyone, ever.** When her office was confronted with the fact that we *know* that these records must exist, because we already had Chief Scott's copies, the Mayor's Office claimed their self-defined and self-serving retention policy doesn't require Mayor Breed to retain her texts, and thus they have nothing to provide. However, the Sunshine Ordinance already demands that the Mayor and every dept head preserve their correspondence in a "professional and businesslike manner" and release them as a public record (SF Admin Code 67.29-7(a)).

Why is Mayor Breed permitted to destroy or refuse to retain the people's public records?

Why do San Franciscans not deserve to know the content of Mayor Breed's text messages to and from City officials?

Since she refuses, we will now seek these Mayoral texts from every City department directly, as we did with SFPD, and see who is hiding what.

Worse yet, Mayor Breed, along with City Attorney Dennis Herrera, have exploited the COVID-19 emergency to purport to suspend, via her [5th Supplement to the COVID-19 proclamation](#), some of the most important parts of the Sunshine Ordinance: SF Admin Code 67.24(g) and (i) - which prohibit the City from using the "catch-all" exemption in the state-wide Public Records Act, allowing public officials to censor information arbitrarily, instead of with a specific legal justification as the Sunshine Ordinance requires.

Unsurprisingly, City Attorney Herrera has used Mayor Breed's purported suspension of this part of the Sunshine Ordinance exactly *once* [Attachment 2]: to attempt to prevent the release of records for which *we already won our case* before the Sunshine Ordinance Task Force ([SOTF Order 19044](#)), unrelated to and long before the COVID-19 emergency.

Mayor Breed's purported suspension of SFAC 67.24(g,i) would also permit SFPD and DPA to arbitrarily censor SB 1421 police use of force records using the catch-all

exemption, which was not possible prior to the Mayor's proclamation, but that the Police Commission has already included in their SB 1421 policy drafts.

We have [won appeal after appeal](#) because the Sunshine Ordinance demands better of City officials.

But Mayor Breed acts with impunity because you allow her to do so.

Mayor Breed, Dennis Herrera, and the City have now refused to comply with their own Sunshine Ordinance Task Force's orders - the next step is to file suit to enforce the SOTF's existing orders against the City.

External links:

<https://www.sfexaminer.com/news/texts-show-mayor-breed-ordering-police-chief-to-clear-out-homeless/>

<https://missionlocal.org/2020/05/trove-of-text-messages-reveals-mayor-breed-ordered-sweeps-directly-despite-frequent-denials/>

<https://www.sfchronicle.com/bayarea/article/SF-Mayor-Breed-s-texts-with-police-chief-rile-15296453.php>

https://twitter.com/dizz_h/status/1265003839364579328

<https://sfist.com/2020/05/26/sunshine-ordinance-dump-of-mayor-breeds-texts-shows-she-orders-lots-of-homeless-sweeps/>

<https://48hills.org/2020/05/breed-orders-repeated-homeless-sweeps-text-messages-show/>

*We have also filed an appeal against SFPD's redaction of these messages and withholding of photo attachments.

NOTE: Nothing herein is legal, IT, or professional advice of any kind. The author disclaims all warranties, express or implied, including but not limited to all warranties of merchantability or fitness. In no event shall the author be liable for any special, direct, indirect, consequential, or any other damages whatsoever. The digital signature (signature.asc attachment), if any, in this email is not an indication of a binding agreement or offer; it merely authenticates the sender. Please do not include any confidential information, as I intend that these communications with the government all be disclosable public records.

Sincerely,

Anonymous

Date (UTC)	Sender	Name	Recipients		Body
7/8/19 22:19		Mayor		Chief, Sean Elsbernd, unknown, unknown	"Tents and homeless starting to resurface near showplace"
7/9/19 1:43		Chief		Mayor, Sean Elsbernd, unknown, unknoww	"HSOC team responded to Showplace to address. "
7/9/19 15:36		Mayor		Chief, Sean Elsbernd, unknown, unknown	"7 11 on market is a hot mess"
8/23/19 0:55		Mayor		Chief, Sean Elsbernd, unknown, unknown	"Police are there but we need to clear it out and clean it up. 800 block of market in front of Walgreens"
8/23/19 0:55		Mayor		Chief, Sean Elsbernd, unknown, unknown	
8/23/19 0:55		Mayor		Chief, Sean Elsbernd, unknown, unknown	
8/23/19 1:17		Chief		Mayor, Sean Elsbernd, unknown, unknoww	"We are sending officers to clear this sidewalk. "
8/23/19 2:09		Chief		Mayor, Sean Elsbernd, unknown, unknoww	
8/23/19 2:09		Chief		Mayor, Sean Elsbernd, unknown, unknoww	"800 block of Market in front of Walgreens cleared. "

Date (UTC)	Sender	Name	Recipients		Body
8/23/19 2:12		Mayor		Chief, Sean Elsbernd, unknown, unknown	"Thank you. Let's keep that block safe and clean. It is our bread and butter"
8/23/19 2:16		Chief		Mayor, Sean Elsbernd, unknown, unknown	"We will. "
7/10/19 21:56		Chief		Mayor	"Hi Mayor, we had tourist from [REDACTED] who was hit by a suspect's vehicle as they tried to flee after breaking into his rental car. He was taken to [REDACTED] with [REDACTED] but is [REDACTED] and talking. The incident occurred on the 100 block of 34th Avenue in front of the Legion of Honor. We also had an elderly [REDACTED] woman hit by a car as she crossed the street outside of the crosswalk at Columbus/Stockton. The driver of the vehicle remained at scene at the woman who was hit was transported to the [REDACTED] and is in [REDACTED] Both may get media attention. -For your awareness. "
7/10/19 23:58		Mayor		Chief	"👉🇺🇸"
7/11/19 18:01		Chief		Mayor	"News just out that there is a guilty verdict for the person that ran over and severely injured [REDACTED] in October 2017. [REDACTED] is still in a [REDACTED] from [REDACTED]. The verdict announcement had a lot of media interest. For your awareness. "

Date (UTC)	Sender	Name	Recipients		Body
7/13/19 6:15		Chief		Mayor	"Good Evening Mayor, KTVU aired a story tonight on a verbal confrontation that occurred between an African American man and a Taiwanese woman. During the argument the Male ranted several racially derogatory remarks. When an officer arrived he resisted and drove off after being pepper sprayed. He was located about an hour later and arrested for resisting then cited and released. There was no physical violence between the man and the woman however our Special Victims Unit is investigating this as a hate incident based on the racial remarks and witness statements and what was depicted on cell phone videos from witnesses. For your awareness. "
7/13/19 6:16		Mayor		Chief	"👉🇺🇸
7/27/19 3:19		Chief		Mayor	"Mayor, thank you for being there tonight. It means a lot to all of us. On another note, I have not received any street conditions messages from you since I returned yesterday. If you could please add me back to the group messages sent to me Mohammed, and Jeff to address street co edition issues I'd appreciate it. I want to make sure I am in the loop and responsive and that the command staff are all in. Thanks again and have a good evening. "
7/27/19 3:20		Mayor		Chief	"👉🇺🇸
7/27/19 20:53		Chief		Mayor	"Good Afternoon Mayor, FYI, a car crashed into a building at Stevenson Street in the Mission District. Only the driver of the car was injured and the injuries are not life threatening. The officers at scene . On a side note, Phil Matier is running a story tomorrow on our retired officer Ambassador program planned roll out in Union Sqaure. It should be a positive piece. (We should be up and running by end of the summer). For your awareness. "
7/27/19 21:03		Mayor		Chief	"👉🇺🇸
7/27/19 23:34		Chief		Mayor	"Hi Mayor, There is a protest/demonstration of 150 people at Montgomery Street in the Central District. The protest is regarding China's extradition policy. They are following the law. Officers are monitoring there as well as Toomey and Farmer. For your awareness. "

Date (UTC)	Sender	Name	Recipients		Body
7/30/19 18:49	[REDACTED]	Chief	[REDACTED]	Mayor	"Good Morning, FYI we received word of a protest in front of the Federal Immigration building at Sansome/Washington. It's schedule to start at 1200 and scheduled to go through out this evening. There will be various groups protesting federal immigration issues and policies. We are [REDACTED] For your awareness. "
8/1/19 3:46	[REDACTED]	Chief	[REDACTED]	Mayor	"Hi Mayor, FYI [REDACTED] mother in law passed this afternoon. Just wanted to make you aware in case you want to reach out. Have a good evening. "
8/1/19 17:16	[REDACTED]	Chief	[REDACTED]	Mayor	"Good Morning, FYI, we ended up citing 17 people last night on Sansome in front of the Federal Building. Although the group was peaceful for the most part, a group of the protestors set up numerous tents on the streets and sidewalks which became a public safety concern. All but one person was cited for illegal lodging and released at the scene. The one person who was booked at county jail was booked for resisting. The plan moving forward: [REDACTED] For your awareness. "
8/1/19 17:17	[REDACTED]	Chief	[REDACTED]	Mayor	"Meant [REDACTED] "
8/4/19 20:37	[REDACTED]	Chief	[REDACTED]	Mayor	"Good Afternoon Mayor, can you talk for a quick briefing on yesterday's mass shootings in El Paso and Dayton?"

Date (UTC)	Sender	Name	Recipients		Body
					<p>"Good Afternoon Mayor, I just got off a national and local conference call briefing with federal agencies and Bay area Chiefs regarding yesterday's mass shootings in El Paso and Dayton. The two shooting gs are not believed to be connected. The El Paso shooter wrote a manifesto indicating the shooting was racially motivated. The Dayton shooter killed his sister among other victims so the motive is not known at this time. Both shooters used assault rifles. In the Dayton shooting a quick response by police officers who were nearby and armed with rifles saved lives. There does not appear to be a connection with either Dayton or El Paso with last week's Gilroy shooting. As far as our Department and the City we are conducting extra patrols in our high pedestrian locations and will revisit our plans for large events to ensure we are as secure as possible and coordinated with all the appropriate agencies. "</p>
8/4/19 21:11		Chief		Mayor	
8/4/19 21:12		Mayor		Chief	"Ok. Will call you Horton"
8/4/19 21:12		Mayor		Chief	"Shortly "
8/4/19 21:13		Chief		Mayor	"Thanks"
8/5/19 1:12		Chief		Mayor	"Yes. Will have someone follow up. "
					<p>"Good Evening Mayor, Update on the narcotics investigation in the Tenderloin. There were 11 drug additio al Te derloin drug dealers arrested today on federal charges after warrants were served. There will be additional arrests made in the coming days as we assist federal agents on the arrests and search warrants. The DEA and the San Francisco US Attorney will have a press conference tomorrow announcing these and other arrests as well as additional federal efforts to address criminal activity, especially drug dealing in the Tenderloin. We will not participate in the press conference but will continue to collaborate with the DEA and US San Francisco US Attorney to address drug dealing in the TL. For your awareness. "</p>
8/7/19 3:32		Chief		Mayor	
8/7/19 3:40		Chief		Mayor	"I apologize for the typo. Meant '11 additional Tenderloin drug dealers arrested'"
8/7/19 4:55		Mayor		Chief	"👍🇺🇸"

Date (UTC)	Sender	Name	Recipients		Body
8/7/19 19:14		Chief		Mayor	"Mayor, FYI, In addition to the bomb threat at the POA building, there is a suspicious package at the Police Headquarters building. Shelter in place in effect until the package is rendered safe. "
8/7/19 19:54		Chief		Mayor	"Package at headquarters re deed safe. Returning everything back to normal. "
8/7/19 19:55		Mayor		Chief	"👉🇺🇸"
8/7/19 23:36		Chief		Mayor	"Hi Mayor, For your awareness re: the Tenderloin arrest today: Tweet from SFPD Tenderloin (@SFPDTenderloin) SFPD Tenderloin (@SFPDTenderloin) Tweeted: An operation led by Tenderloin Station Officers has resulted in more than 50 suspects arrested over the last 5 hours. Multiple fugitives, drug dealers, armed suspects are being booked. Please see attached photos and excerpts from notes we have received today from our community. https://t.co/f0rhNtlU71 https://twitter.com/SFPDTenderloin/status/1159237923587280897?s=17 "
8/9/19 20:59		Chief		Mayor	"Hi Mayor, For your awareness. Firearm discharge/Dog shooting at UN Plaza. BART officer involved. No injuries to persons no SFPD involvement. "
8/9/19 20:59		Mayor		Chief	"OK"
8/10/19 21:04		Chief		Mayor	"Update on outside lands from David. A sellout crowd today no significant issues to report today. Yesterday there were 55 visits to the sobering tent which includes people checking in for medical purposes. One person with a broken arm (no crime involved). There were 63 noise complaints from surrounding community. Everything is going well at both outside lands and grass lands. I will have an update after the next briefing later this afternoon. "
8/11/19 3:14		Chief		Mayor	"Hi Mayor, For your awareness- In the Southern District: Our dispatch advises a collision train vs pedestrian on the 900 block of Mariposa St. An unidentified female appeared to be walking on the tracks when she was struck by a train. The victim is deceased. For your awareness. "

Date (UTC)	Sender	Name	Recipients		Body
8/11/19 5:51		Chief		Mayor	"Hi Mayor, shooting/homicide at Oakdale and Griffin in the Bayview. The victim is (not made public pending next of kin notification). He's associated with . Hit multiple times and pronounced deceased at hospital. Investigators at scene. Will update with any significant updates. "
8/11/19 16:20		Chief		Mayor	"Good morning Mayor, Here is what we have on last night's 4 victim shooting at Fillmore/Post. The victim's were hanging out in the McDonalds parking when a dark colored minivan entered the parking lot and a passenger in the van opened fire on the group. Four victims were shot. All expected to survive; one victim is in the rest, . Two of the victims are . A semi-automatic handgun was recovered when officers stopped a car that exited the parking lot with one of the victims of the shooting. The gun was recovered from inside the car. Investigators believe this is . Two of the victims have addresses, one has an h address and one has a address. The suspects have not yet been identified. If you have a few minutes for a phone call this morning I will provide a few more details. For your awareness. "
8/11/19 16:37		Chief		Mayor	"Hi Mayor, For your awareness: Outside lands Day 2 summary: Crowd estimate 80,000 1 arrest - for a misdemeanor warrant. Estimated medical calls 50 Reports written 7: 3 were 849 (b) - certificate of release at scene after an arrest. 1 for sexual battery 2 pick pockets/phones. 1 narcotics arrest. Overall - No significant issues. "
8/11/19 18:13		Chief		Mayor	"Mayor, !! I hope you get time to relax and enjoy the day today. "

Date (UTC)	Sender	Name	Recipients		Body
8/12/19 16:18	[REDACTED]	Chief	[REDACTED]	Mayor	"Good Morning Mayor, Outside lands Summary from yesterday: Crowd estimate 66,000 2 misdemeanor Arrests - Medical Calls - 114 Reports written - 5: 1-juvenile incident report 2-pickpocket reports 1 obstruction/delaying an investigation report 1 found property 5-infractions 17-traffic citations 17-Parking citations Good night overall with no major problems. "
8/12/19 17:44	[REDACTED]	Chief	[REDACTED]	Mayor	"Mayor, can you take a quick call? Re an incident that will likely get media coverage later today. "
8/12/19 17:57	[REDACTED]	Chief	[REDACTED]	Mayor	"Good Morning Mayor, I just wanted to give you a heads up on a news worthy incident. Baseball Hall of Famer Alex Rodriguez' car was broken into last night in the area of 4th/Brannan. Rodriguez is a retired New York Yankee player and currently Jennifer Lopez' boyfriend. Approximately \$500,000 in property including Rolex watches, and other items were taken. It has not hit the press yet. For your awareness. "
8/13/19 22:27	[REDACTED]	Chief	[REDACTED]	Mayor	"Hi Mayor, good news. We made an arrest on this past weekend's Bayview homicide on Oakdale/Griffith. For your awareness. "
8/14/19 2:43	[REDACTED]	Chief	[REDACTED]	Mayor	"Hi Mayor, KRON is running a story on a woman who was assaulted by an apparently homeless man in the 500 block of Beale Street. There is private video that captured the attack. The woman and at least one community member are attempting to connect this to navigation centers. There is no connection to between this incident and any navigation center. The suspect was arrested y officers and remains in custody for the assault. For your awareness. "
8/14/19 19:04	[REDACTED]	Chief	[REDACTED]	Mayor	"Hi Mayor A person attempted suicide by jumping off a resivor at Hyde/Francisco. He is alive but no update yet on extent of injuries. It is getting media attention. For your awareness. "
8/15/19 16:20	[REDACTED]	Chief	[REDACTED]	Mayor	"Mayor, sorry about that. My phone kept disconnecting anh I had to restart it. I just tried to call you back several times and it went to your voicemail. I am available on either phone. "

Date (UTC)	Sender	Name	Recipients		Body
8/15/19 23:49		Chief		Mayor	"Mayor, gas line explosion at 20th/Illinois. Just occurred so I don't have any details yet. Will let you k kw as information develops. "
8/15/19 23:50		Chief		Mayor	"Let you 'know'"
8/15/19 23:57		Chief		Mayor	"Explosion update: Construction crew hit gas line. Illinois from 20th to 22nd being evacuated. No report yet on if there are any injuries. "
8/22/19 3:02		Chief		Mayor	
8/22/19 3:02		Chief		Mayor	"Hi Mayor, here is the logo we came up with for our polo shirts and jackets for the Ambassador program. Wanted to hear your thoughts on it. I'm available if you would like to discuss tonight or prior to your departure. Thanks "
8/22/19 14:21		Mayor		Chief	"Don't like it"
8/22/19 14:21		Mayor		Chief	"Too busy"
8/22/19 17:53		Chief		Mayor	"How about if we model after this one? I noticed it at yesterday's Opportunities For All Ceremony. We will of course take out the Opportunities For All Wording and put the San Francisco Police Ambassadors wording out side of the circle to make it less busy. If that's workable, I will have a mock up to show you when you return. "
8/22/19 17:53		Chief		Mayor	
8/22/19 17:55		Mayor		Chief	"👉🇺🇸"
8/22/19 17:55		Chief		Mayor	"Thank you. "
8/22/19 18:16		Chief		Mayor	"In the Central District: Robbery at the 700 block of Pacific: Suspect, is a Black Male in his 20's w dreadlocks, took a gold chain, wallet and contents from an Asian Male, 65 years old. The suspect ran into the Ping Yuen. We believe it was captured on video. We're retrieving video. The victim is not injured. Our housing units were in the area and responded quickly. They have a suspect identified and we think we have him surrounded in one of the Ping apartments. This will likely get community and media attention. For your awareness"

Date (UTC)	Sender	Name	Recipients		Body
8/22/19 19:20	[REDACTED]	Chief	[REDACTED]	Mayor	"Hi Mayor, I am sitting next to Jeff Kositsky and David Lazar at the HSOC meeting. I wasn't on the text you sent out regarding the Tenderloin and UN Plaza but saw the text and want to let you know we are adjusting to address both the TL issues and the fencing on the Mccallister side of UN Plaza. "
8/31/19 1:02	[REDACTED]	Chief	[REDACTED]	Mayor	"Hi Mayor, a couple of incidents for your awareness on Market Street. Overnight we had a shooting at 2 a.m. in the 1600 block of Market St. between two men who know each other. The men got in to an argument that escalated into a shooting. The victim who was shot has [REDACTED] injuries. The suspect was identified and arrested by officers who responded to the incident. The second incident occurred this afternoon at 7th/Market where a female tourist was hit on the head by a can that had been thrown by homeless woman at the location. The victim suffered a [REDACTED] to her head and the injury is [REDACTED]. The incident was unprovoked and the suspect was arrested by Mid Market footbeat officers who were nearby on their beat and responded to the incident. For your awarenes. "
7/19/19 19:54	[REDACTED]	Mayor	[REDACTED]	Chief, unknown, unknown	"There is a tent on Octavia near market. And a few homeless folks in the area. "
7/19/19 19:54	[REDACTED]	Mayor	[REDACTED]	Chief, unknown, unknown	"There is a growing need to address homelessness in Hayes valley"
7/31/19 5:37	[REDACTED]	Mayor	[REDACTED]	Chief, Sean Elsbernd	"How's the officer who was injured today? What's his name?"
7/31/19 5:51	[REDACTED]	Chief	[REDACTED]	Mayor, Sean Elsbernd	"Thank you for asking and checking. [REDACTED] got [REDACTED] [REDACTED]. He's back at the station and in good spirits. [REDACTED]. "

Date (UTC)	Sender	Name	Recipients		Body
7/31/19 15:42	[REDACTED]	Chief	[REDACTED]	Mayor, Sean Elsbernd	"Good Morning Mayor, overnight update on immigration protest on Sansome. Approximately 40 people and 10 tents remained overnight. Washington was the primarily closed due to protestors in the streets overnight. The plan for this morning is to work with the protestors to return traffic to normal. The protestors originally said they would shut the protest down some time this afternoon. For your awareness. "
8/24/19 14:33	[REDACTED]	Mayor	[REDACTED]	Chief, Sean Elsbernd	"Is there a reason why I was not notified about this?"
8/24/19 14:33	[REDACTED]	Mayor	[REDACTED]	Chief, Sean Elsbernd	
8/24/19 16:57	[REDACTED]	Chief	[REDACTED]	Mayor, Sean Elsbernd	"Mayor, this one is totally on me and I apologize. I was waiting to verify conflicting information and got distracted and never got the text to you. The status of the investigation: Fortunately no one was struck by gunshots in this incident. Yesterday we identified the shooter and are now searching for him. "
8/24/19 17:49	[REDACTED]	Mayor	[REDACTED]	Chief, Sean Elsbernd	"We have got to get control of market. People are too comfortable breaking the law! "
8/24/19 17:56	[REDACTED]	Chief	[REDACTED]	Mayor, Sean Elsbernd	"Yes. We will!"
8/27/19 17:49	[REDACTED]	Chief	[REDACTED]	Mayor, Sean Elsbernd	"Hi Mayor, we made arrest of two of the three suspects from the 6th/Market shooting. The third suspect has been identified. The two who were arrested were from Oakland and the third is believed to be from Oakland. The search for the third suspect is ongoing. Press release has been issued to media and is getting very good coverage. For your awareness. "
7/9/19 15:41	[REDACTED]	Chief	[REDACTED]	Mayor, unknown, unknown,	"I'm at the Walgreens at 4th/Market. All clear and no tents in the vicinity. "
7/9/19 15:41	[REDACTED]	Mayor	[REDACTED]	Chief, unknown, unknown	"Just drove pass and tent is gone"

Date (UTC)	Sender	Name	Recipients		Body
7/9/19 15:42		Mayor		Chief, unknown, unknown	"But lots of homeless out"
7/9/19 15:43		Chief		Mayor, unknown, unknown,	"I am on Market. I will get HSOC to respond and engage. "
7/9/19 15:43		Mayor		Chief, unknown, unknown	"900 block as well"
7/9/19 15:43		Chief		Mayor, unknown, unknown,	
7/9/19 15:44		Chief		Mayor, unknown, unknown,	"Got it. "
7/9/19 15:45		Mayor		Chief, unknown, unknown	"Someone is sleep at market st And someone is posted at 8th and market"
7/9/19 15:50		Chief		Mayor, unknown, unknown,	"Got it. Just talked to HSOC leadership. The team is responding to address. "
7/10/19 14:53		Mayor		Chief, unknown, unknown	"Another homicide in Bayview this am and silence from my team. What the hell are we doing? What's going on and why has no one told me what's really going on and why there's a spike? Is this a turf war? What is svip doing? What is SFPD doing? Perception is everything and it looks like the city doesn't care. What is our plan? I shouldn't have to chase info! You all need to be more proactive!"

Date (UTC)	Sender	Name	Recipients		Body
7/10/19 15:16		Chief		Chief, Sean Elsbernd, unknown	<p>"Good Morning Mayor, Here is the synopsis of this morning's homicide in the Bayview: At this point of the investigation we believe there was a gun battle between two parties in front of [REDACTED] Jennings (In front of [REDACTED]). The deceased victim, Eric Wilson, BM, [REDACTED] may have been an innocent bystander. We have one of the suspects in custody at SFGH, Percy Reed, BM, [REDACTED]. The other shooter may have driven away in a white vehicle. This other shooter is described as a BM, 5'07, 160, wearing a white shirt, and black pants. Case number is 190 497 713. The Homicide Team is moving forward with the investigation. At this point there is nothing to indicate that this homicide is related to the other recent ones. The victim's name has not yet been made public pending verification of next of kin notification. We had already [REDACTED] in the Bayview with [REDACTED] and will continue to do so. We are also scheduling meetings to mobilize the community to quell this spike of violence. I will get the next briefing shortly and will update when I have more. "</p>
7/11/19 14:22		Mayor		Chief, Sean Elsbernd, unknown, unknown	<p>"This is a real problem for the city. Get the lots cleaned up, the signage should be clear and the cameras should be working. Where's the security for the parking lot? We need to discuss our plans for addressing issues in tourist areas. This is an embarrassment to our city! https://abc7news.com/tourist-rammed-by-burglars-at-legion-of-honor-seriously-injured/5387096/"</p>
7/11/19 14:25		Mayor		Chief, Sean Elsbernd, unknown, unknown	<p>"What about signage? And other tourist areas? We need to be proactive! "</p>
7/11/19 14:29		Chief		Mayor, Sean Elsbernd, unknown, unknoww	<p>"We will work with him on signage via SAFE. They have funding for that. "</p>

Date (UTC)	Sender	Name	Recipients		Body
7/11/19 14:53		Mayor		Chief, Sean Elsbernd, unknown, unknown	"There needs to be some sort of announcement about improvements to the area to increase Public Safety"
7/11/19 14:57		Chief		Mayor, Sean Elsbernd, unknown, unknoww	"Will work with everyone involved to get it done. "
7/11/19 14:59		Chief		Mayor, Sean Elsbernd, unknown, unknoww	"Will do. "
7/11/19 15:00		Mayor		Chief, Sean Elsbernd, unknown, unknown	"We need to get the glass cleaned up ASAP why hasn't that happened?"
7/11/19 15:07		Chief		Mayor, Sean Elsbernd, unknown, unknoww	"Not sure why but will follow up when on that this morning also. "
7/12/19 19:16		Chief		Mayor, Sean Elsbernd, unknown, unknoww	"The demonstration/prayer service has started in front of the ICE building on sansome st. For Your Awareness: ICE protest Sansome/Washington- Approximately 100 people, one lane of traffic has been taken over. It's peaceful. ICE has barricades deployed in front of their building. Central Capt Yick at scene and gauges this group to be peaceful. "
7/14/19 16:14		Chief		Mayor, Sean Elsbernd, unknown, unknoww	"Good Morning, There have been no reports of ICE immigration enforcement as of now. New York City Mayor put out an article that they were in his city but said that they did not get who they were looking for. Our Department Operations Center (DOC) will monitor the news throughout the day for activities in other cities. For your awareness. "

Date (UTC)	Sender	Name	Recipients		Body
7/13/19 0:48	[REDACTED]	Chief	[REDACTED]	Mayor, unknown	"Good Evening, following up to close the loop on the Legion of Honor incident. I spoke with Thomas Campbell and Phil Ginsberg. SF Safe will conduct a safety assessment and is will provide crime prevention signage. We will coordinate with Park Rangers and Richmond District Station for patrol coverage and presence. Once we have the safety assessment completed and signage ready to go we will coordinate a public announcement of our plan and efforts. Mr. Campbell and I discussed getting the glass cleaned up immediately and Phil Ginsberg and I will work together on an ongoing plan for expediting glass clean up for future incidents that may occur. As to the investigation we have put out a description to the public and the suspects have not yet been identified. "
7/30/19 15:56	[REDACTED]	Mayor	[REDACTED]	Chief, Sean Elsbernd, unknown, unknown, unknown	"500 block of market still a problem"
7/30/19 15:57	[REDACTED]	Mayor	[REDACTED]	Chief, Sean Elsbernd, unknown, unknown, unknown	"Please make sure no one is sleeping on sidewalk on market"
7/30/19 15:59	[REDACTED]	Chief	[REDACTED]	Mayor, Sean Elsbernd, unknown, unknown, unknown	"Good Mornin, Got it. "
7/30/19 23:38	[REDACTED]	Mayor	[REDACTED]	Chief, Sean Elsbernd, unknown, unknown, unknown	"Homeless man laying in grey blanket near bus stop at mcallister and Hyde. "

Date (UTC)	Sender	Name	Recipients		Body
7/30/19 23:39		Chief		Mayor, Sean Elsbernd, unknown, unknown, unknown	"Got it. On it. "
7/30/19 23:40		Mayor		Chief, Sean Elsbernd, unknown, unknown, unknown	"Going to UN plaza from McAllister walk way is a shit show! Can we get officers to clear the illegal activity happening in broad daylight everyday in that area! I'm sick of seeing it!"
7/31/19 1:20		Chief		Mayor, Sean Elsbernd, unknown, unknown, unknown	"Will get the side walks and shelters cleared. "
7/31/19 19:38		Mayor		Chief, Sean Elsbernd, unknown, unknown, unknown	"There is guy on the ground on market near theater and farmers market"
7/31/19 21:25		Chief		Mayor, Sean Elsbernd, unknown, unknown, unknown	"Got it, We are on it. "
8/1/19 2:25		Mayor		Chief, Sean Elsbernd, unknown, unknown, unknown	"I just drove north on Franklin and from McAllister to O'Farrell, I noticed at least 15 tents in alley's to the east near McAllister and west in the other alleys. Please deal with it. Especially the one near McAllister. It's been a growing problem for a while. "
8/1/19 2:26		Chief		Mayor, Sean Elsbernd, unknown, unknown, unknown	"Got it. "

Date (UTC)	Sender	Name	Recipients		Body
8/1/19 2:27		Mayor		Chief, Sean Elsbernd, unknown, unknown, unknown	"I just drove north on Franklin and from McAllister to O'Farrell, I noticed at least 15 tents in alley's to the east near McAllister and west in the other alleys. Please deal with it. Especially the one near McAllister. It's been a growing problem for a while. "
8/1/19 15:52		Mayor		Chief, Sean Elsbernd, unknown, unknown, unknown	"Please deal with the drug use and sale at 8th and market near Bart entrance "
8/3/19 0:04		Mayor		Chief, Sean Elsbernd, unknown, unknown, unknown	"Just drove up Franklin, only spotted one tent. Good job!"
8/3/19 0:05		Chief		Mayor, Sean Elsbernd, unknown, unknown, unknown	"Thank you! "
8/6/19 14:24		Mayor		Chief, Sean Elsbernd, unknown, unknown, unknown	"800 and 700 block of market needs clean up and several homeless people need asst "
8/6/19 14:26		Chief		Mayor, Sean Elsbernd, unknown, unknown, unknown	"Got it. "
8/6/19 15:49		Mayor		Chief, Sean Elsbernd, unknown, unknown, unknown	"500 block looks terrible "

Date (UTC)	Sender	Name	Recipients		Body
8/6/19 15:49		Mayor		Chief, Sean Elsbernd, unknown, unknown, unknown	"500 block of market"
8/6/19 15:52		Mayor		Chief, Sean Elsbernd, unknown, unknown, unknown	"800 and 700 block look much better but the bus stop in front of 890 market needs attention"
8/6/19 15:53		Chief		Mayor, Sean Elsbernd, unknown, unknown, unknown	"Got it. Thanks"
8/6/19 16:49		Mayor		Chief, Sean Elsbernd, unknown, unknown, unknown	"Looks great! It's actually the bus stop that's the problem."
8/7/19 23:24		Mayor		Chief, Sean Elsbernd, unknown, unknown, unknown	"From : Dore Street is a mess again, your help is needed, also there is an encampment across from our front entrance, right across from 1000 Brannan Thanks. right at the corner. "
8/7/19 23:25		Chief		Mayor, Sean Elsbernd, unknown, unknown, unknown	"Got it. On it. "
8/8/19 0:18		Mayor		Chief, Sean Elsbernd, unknown, unknown, unknown	"I just drove by the 600 block of Ellis st between taylor and jones and it is an embarrassment! What the hell is going on that block? It's a nightmare. You can't even walk on that block. Clean it up!"

Date (UTC)	Sender	Name	Recipients		Body
8/8/19 0:25		Chief	+ [REDACTED]	Mayor, Sean Elsbernd, unknown, unknown, unknown	"Copy. Team is enroute. "
8/8/19 5:07		Mayor	[REDACTED]	Chief, Sean Elsbernd, unknown, unknown, unknown	"I need photos please"
8/8/19 5:09		Mayor	+ [REDACTED]	Chief, Sean Elsbernd, unknown, unknown, unknown	"Any for 600 block of Ellis?"
8/8/19 15:46		Mayor	+ [REDACTED]	Chief, Sean Elsbernd, unknown, unknown, unknown	"It's the 300 block of Ellis. "
8/8/19 15:47		Mayor	[REDACTED]	Chief, Sean Elsbernd, unknown, unknown, unknown	"Find somewhere for these people to go!"
8/8/19 16:04		Chief	[REDACTED]	Mayor, Sean Elsbernd, unknown, unknown, unknown	"Working on it now. "
8/8/19 16:38		Mayor	[REDACTED]	Chief, Sean Elsbernd, unknown, unknown, unknown	"The tents need to go and they can't block the sidewalk"

Date (UTC)	Sender	Name	Recipients		Body
8/13/19 14:27	[REDACTED]	Mayor	[REDACTED]	Chief, Sean Elsbernd, unknown, unknown, unknown	"Hi Team, please send folks to 800 block of market for help with homeless and clean up. They are in doorways of business"
8/13/19 15:05	[REDACTED]	Chief	[REDACTED]	Mayor, Sean Elsbernd, unknown, unknown, unknown	"We are sending teams also. "
8/14/19 16:39	[REDACTED]	Chief	[REDACTED]	Mayor, Sean Elsbernd, unknown, unknown, unknown	"Thanks, Got it. If you can send the contact info we will reach out to Mr. [REDACTED] as well. "
8/17/19 2:16	[REDACTED]	Mayor	[REDACTED]	Chief, Sean Elsbernd, unknown, unknown, unknown	"Just drove down market Street there are a lot of homeless people laying on the sidewalk near the Burger King in particular at Civic Center it's really dirty and there's a lot of folks out can we get the area cleaned up at least up until about I think Turks street"
8/17/19 2:17	[REDACTED]	Mayor	[REDACTED]	Chief, Sean Elsbernd, unknown, unknown, unknown	"Can we please have support to clean the area and help people between Grove where the Burger King is on market all the way to around fourth Street thank you"
8/17/19 2:17	[REDACTED]	Mayor	[REDACTED]	Chief, Sean Elsbernd, unknown, unknown, unknown	"No one should be sleeping on the sidewalk in broad daylight"
8/17/19 2:19	[REDACTED]	Chief	[REDACTED]	Mayor, Sean Elsbernd, unknown, unknown, unknown	"Yes, Got it, on it. "

Date (UTC)	Sender	Name	Recipients		Body
8/17/19 16:37		Mayor		Chief, Sean Elsbernd, unknown, unknown, unknown	"There are tents and garbage on Fulton and Buchanan, can we get it cleaned up ASAP please"
8/17/19 16:37		Mayor		Chief, Sean Elsbernd, unknown, unknown, unknown	"Also on Fulton and gough "
8/17/19 16:37		Chief		Mayor, Sean Elsbernd, unknown, unknown, unknown	"Good Morning, we will get on it. "
8/17/19 16:40		Chief		Mayor, Sean Elsbernd, unknown, unknown, unknown	"Jeff, we are on it. "
8/17/19 21:43		Mayor		Chief, Sean Elsbernd, unknown, unknown, unknown	"Please come and get these people laying in the sidewalk on the 800 block of market near Stockton"
8/17/19 21:43		Mayor		Chief, Sean Elsbernd, unknown, unknown, unknown	"We have tons of people down here shopping and no officers, cleaning crew or anything in sight. "
8/17/19 22:19		Chief		Mayor, Sean Elsbernd, unknown, unknown, unknown	"We sent officers to the area also. "

Date (UTC)	Sender	Name	Recipients		Body
8/17/19 22:45		Mayor		Chief, Sean Elsbernd, unknown, unknown, unknown	"Please get the panhandlers off the mediums on haight and Octavia "
8/17/19 23:00		Chief		Mayor, Sean Elsbernd, unknown, unknown, unknown	"Got it and on Octavia. "
8/18/19 4:36		Mayor		Chief, Sean Elsbernd, unknown, unknown, unknown	"There should be no homeless people sleeping on the 800 block of market and that is still happening at 9:30 tonight. please make sure that block is cleared."
8/18/19 4:36		Chief		Mayor, Sean Elsbernd, unknown, unknown, unknown	"Will send team. "
8/18/19 4:37		Mayor		Chief, Sean Elsbernd, unknown, unknown, unknown	"Thank you "
8/20/19 14:18		Mayor		Chief, Sean Elsbernd, unknown, unknown, unknown	"The 800 block of market continues to be a problem. We cannot allow that to continue. Please clear it and stay on top of it. Thank you"
8/20/19 14:21		Chief		Mayor, Sean Elsbernd, unknown, unknown, unknown	"Will get it cleared. "

Date (UTC)	Sender	Name	Recipients		Body
8/20/19 15:37		Mayor		Chief, Sean Elsbernd, unknown, unknown, unknown	"On the north side of the street"
8/20/19 15:38		Mayor		Chief, Sean Elsbernd, unknown, unknown, unknown	"The 500 block of market needs to be cleared as well"
8/20/19 15:38		Mayor		Chief, Sean Elsbernd, unknown, unknown, unknown	"By the 711 area"
8/20/19 15:38		Chief		Mayor, Sean Elsbernd, unknown, unknown, unknown	"Got it. On it. "
8/21/19 16:45		Mayor		Chief, Sean Elsbernd, unknown, unknown, unknown	"Please assist the homeless man who is asleep in front of a spa on Steiner @waller"
8/21/19 17:16		Chief		Mayor, Sean Elsbernd, unknown, unknown, unknown	"Got it. "
8/21/19 17:19		Mayor		Chief, Sean Elsbernd, unknown, unknown, unknown	"Also there are needles and some trash on the west side of Steiner st close to haight"

Date (UTC)	Sender	Name	Recipients		Body
8/22/19 4:40	[REDACTED]	Mayor	[REDACTED]	Chief, Sean Elsbernd, unknown, unknown, unknown	"Please deal with the 500 block of market. It's terrible right now. Thanks "
8/22/19 4:40	[REDACTED]	Chief	[REDACTED]	Mayor, Sean Elsbernd, unknown, unknown, unknown	"On it. "
8/22/19 4:43	[REDACTED]	Mayor	[REDACTED]	Chief, Sean Elsbernd, unknown, unknown, unknown	"And 800 block of market is problem as well. That's the one block that needs to be clean!!!"
8/22/19 4:53	[REDACTED]	Mayor	[REDACTED]	Chief, Sean Elsbernd, unknown, unknown, unknown	"6th and market is out of control"
8/22/19 5:04	[REDACTED]	Chief	[REDACTED]	Mayor, Sean Elsbernd, unknown, unknown, unknown	"Additonal officers have been sent to the area. "
8/22/19 20:02	[REDACTED]	Mayor	[REDACTED]	Chief, Sean Elsbernd, unknown, unknown, unknown	"Man sleeping on bench on Hayes st near gough. Can someone come ASAP. I'm in the area having lunch"
8/22/19 20:04	[REDACTED]	Chief	[REDACTED]	Mayor, Sean Elsbernd, unknown, unknown, unknown	"Copy. We are sending a team. "

Date (UTC)	Sender	Name	Recipients		Body
8/22/19 21:12		Mayor		Chief, Sean Elsbernd, unknown, unknown, unknown	"He's still there but not sleeping"
8/22/19 21:13		Chief		Mayor, Sean Elsbernd, unknown, unknown, unknown	"Following up now. "
8/22/19 21:30		Mayor		Chief, Sean Elsbernd, unknown, unknown, unknown	"K"

San Francisco Police Department - Chief's Office
Public Records Request P011148-022520

Date (UTC)	Sender	Sender Name	Recipients	Recipient Name	Body
1/5/20 18:59		Chief William Scott		Mayor London Breed	"Good Morning Mayor, we had our first homicide this year overnight at O'Farrell a d Larkin Victim is [REDACTED], [REDACTED] years old. She has a local address on [REDACTED] Summary [REDACTED] and an unknown Hispanic Male engaged in an argument [REDACTED] armed herself with a knife and a milk crate. She hit the male with the milk crate several times. The male produced a handgun and shot [REDACTED]. [REDACTED] walked into a store and told the employees that she was shot. She exited the store and collapsed on the north sidewalk of O'Farrell Street. The male suspect fled on O'Farrell on foot. A white [REDACTED] (unknown license plate) may have also been connected to the incident and also fled on O'Farrell. The victim has a history of [REDACTED] and [REDACTED] but at this time our investigators are still trying to determine the motive for homicide or if his connected to any previous incidents. "
1/5/20 19:02		Chief William Scott		Mayor London Breed	"Please excuse the typo: In the last sentence I meant if 'it is' connected to a previous incident. "
1/6/20 1:31		Chief William Scott		Mayor London Breed	"Hi Mayor, For your awareness there have been at least four slideshows reported across the City this afternoon at the following locations: Mission/Persia; 24th/Bryant; Evans Ave/Hunters Point Blvd.;Saint Charles/Alemanly Blvd. We have several squads of officers working with squads of CHP officers to deter further sideshows. We have also been in contact with Oakland PD as we believe the sideshow participants are active today on both sides of the bay. There have been o reported injuries or violence at this point. The sideshows normally get broadcasted on social media. "
1/10/20 17:22		Chief William Scott		Mayor London Breed	"Good Morning Mayor, if you haven't seen it, news outlets have shown video of a pretty graphic home invasion robbery in our City two days ago. There were 8 suspects all armed and one victim was pistol whipped. Over [REDACTED] was taken. FYI we have identified 3 of the 8 suspects and investigators are attempting to locate and arrest them. For your awareness. "
1/11/20 5:27		Mayor London Breed		Chief William Scott	"6th and market is a problem right now. "
1/11/20 5:27		Chief William Scott		Mayor London Breed	"On it. "
1/11/20 5:39		Chief William Scott		Mayor London Breed	"Mayor, we have arrested 5 of the 8 suspects on the home invasion robbery in sent you the message about this morning. We are trying to locate the remaining three. For your awareness. "
1/11/20 16:44		Chief William Scott		Mayor London Breed	"Good Morning Mayor, FYI in case you haven't seen this article. It speaks well about our strategies and the work that our people have done to reduce auto burglaries in our City: As auto burglaries spike in East Bay, police pool resources - SFChronicle.com https://www.sfchronicle.com/crime/article/As-auto-burglaries-spike-in-East-Bay-police-pool-14966473.php "
1/11/20 19:07		Mayor London Breed		Chief William Scott	"Please get the guy off the medium of the freeway at market and octavia "

San Francisco Police Department - Chief's Office
Public Records Request P011148-022520

Date (UTC)	Sender	Sender Name	Recipients	Recipient Name	Body
1/11/20 23:13		Chief William Scott		Mayor London Breed	"I apologize I just text but will follow up to make sure he is cleared from there. "
1/18/20 19:33		Chief William Scott		Mayor London Breed	"Good Morning Mayor, Overnight we had a body found badly burned and partially decomposed in an abandon building on the 400 block of Ave. M on Treasure Island. We believe the deceased person is a San Francisco missing person due to evidence found at the scene. Because of the circumstances we believe this is a homicide. We are awaiting Medical Examiner identification of the deceased and cause of death. There are additional details if this is the missing person as we believe. For your awareness. "
1/20/20 5:50		Mayor London Breed		Chief William Scott	
1/20/20 5:50		Mayor London Breed		Chief William Scott	"Hey chief, was this really necessary? Stopping the low riders from cruising down mission in celebration of the 49ers?"
1/20/20 7:01		Chief William Scott		Mayor London Breed	"Hi Mayor, briefings I received after the game and ongoing up to a few minutes ago was that the crowd was very rowdy at 24th/Mission. There were trash cans set on fire, bottles and other objects thrown including at the officers, and a lot of drunk people in the streets. It is under control now and crowd is less than 100 and have calmed down. We still have a presence. No property damage known at this time and no reported injuries. I will get a more complete assessment and brief you once I have it. "
2/5/20 2:35		Chief William Scott		Mayor London Breed	"Good Evening Mayor, There was a fatal single-vehicle collision that occurred at Panorama and Cityview on 1653 hours. The driver was driving a small street sweeper vehicle. He lost control of vehicle and crashed into a house. He was pronounced deceased at the scene. Our traffic collision investigators are handling the collision investigation. We are awaiting for identity confirmation of the deceased. The deceased worked for a private construction company, and is not a city worker. This will likely get media coverage. For your awareness. "
2/9/20 8:25		Chief William Scott		Mayor London Breed	"Hi Mayor, I was just notified of a shooting at Haight and Ashbury involving an off duty FBI agent involved in a shooting. The other person was shot and I am waiting on further on injuries/condition. No SFPD personnel involved. I will send an update when I have more. "
2/9/20 16:07		Mayor London Breed		Chief William Scott	"Ok"

San Francisco Police Department - Chief's Office
Public Records Request P011148-022520

Date (UTC)	Sender	Sender Name	Recipients	Recipient Name	Body
2/9/20 19:06		Chief William Scott		Mayor London Breed	"Good Morning Mayor, update from last night's incident in the Haight. The person who was shot by the federal agent is [REDACTED] and [REDACTED]. We have witnesses from last night who have agreed to come in for an interview by our investigators today. We will learn more as our investigation evolves. The FBI will also conduct a federal investigation on this incident. This is standard for any agent involved shooting. "
2/9/20 19:06		Mayor London Breed		Chief William Scott	"Ok"
2/15/20 18:48		Chief William Scott		Mayor London Breed	"Good Morning Mayor, our officers responded to a stabbing at [REDACTED] and a an assault at the 700 and 1200 block of Embarcadero. In one incident a jogger was stabbed in the back and the second incident the victim (a tourist) was struck in the head. Both victims [REDACTED]. The suspect was the same in both incidents was immediately located and arrested. He appears to be homeless and is [REDACTED]. Both incidents were unprovoked and happened about 0650 this morning. For your awareness. "
2/22/20 2:12		Chief William Scott		Mayor London Breed	"Good Evening Mayor, we had a homicide this afternoon at Wallace/Jennings in the Bayview. The victim is a African American male 41 years old. The suspects are two Hispanic males who walk up to the victims car and shot and killed him. Early indications is this is [REDACTED] and over a [REDACTED]. The investigation is at the early stages and ongoing. "
2/23/20 20:53		Chief William Scott		Mayor London Breed	"Good Afternoon Mayor, The Jewish Comm Center, 3200 California Ave. received a bomb threat via email and center is evacuating. Richmond station officers just got onscene. Threat is for remote detonations of multiple devices in the area. Suspect is claiming ICE is going to deport them so they want to negotiate their stay. It appears that this the threat is nationwide: https://twitter.com/newschannel9/status/1231662535448940545?s=21 This may get more media coverage. -For your aware ess. "
2/23/20 21:22		Mayor London Breed		Chief William Scott	"Ok"
2/24/20 1:55		Chief William Scott		Mayor London Breed	"Mayor, the threats to the Jewush Center deemed a hoax. For your awareness. "
2/24/20 1:56		Mayor London Breed		Chief William Scott	"Ok"
2/28/20 17:47		Chief William Scott		Mayor London Breed	"At the Fire Department graduation on stage. I will call you back as soon as I can. If urgent I will step off stage to call. "
2/29/20 18:13		Mayor London Breed		Chief William Scott	"Please have officers deal with the people on Masonic and haight who are sleeping and sitting in the middle of the sidewalk "
2/29/20 20:59		Chief William Scott		Mayor London Breed	"We got it. "

San Francisco Police Department - Chief's Office
Public Records Request P011148-022520

Date (UTC)	Sender	Sender Name	Recipients	Recipient Name	Body
3/1/20 21:29	[REDACTED]	Chief William Scott	[REDACTED]	Mayor London Breed	"Hi Mayor, For your awareness, The main person in the Osceola incident has been arrested. We will be going to the press with this information. On a separate note, there was a traffic collision at Geary/Taylor this morning. A DPW truck struck an elderly pedestrian who was transported to SFGH and has since died. "
3/5/20 4:49	[REDACTED]	Chief William Scott	[REDACTED]	Mayor London Breed	"Good Evening Mayor, FYI on an arrest in the Mission. Footbeats went to arrest a [REDACTED] at 16th and Mission when a crowd starting surrounding them an off duty fire fighter, [REDACTED] went to help when he was punched in the face. Both the [REDACTED] and the assault susp in custody. Fire fighter is okay. Cops were not injured. [REDACTED] phone was lost or stolen during the incident. "
3/12/20 19:43	[REDACTED]	Chief William Scott	[REDACTED]	Mayor London Breed	"Good Morning Mayor, we participated in search warrants and arrests in connection with a joint federal Homeland Security HSI/SFPD investigation. In total there were 6 Mara Salva Trucha (MS)13 suspects arrested today. A total of 17 MS gang members have been indicted on this investigation. Large amounts of drugs & Money recovered at out of county search warrant location today. [REDACTED]. This is a part of our larger ongoing efforts to stem the associated violence and drugs brought into and sold in our City. We plan to have a joint press event tomorrow with our Federal partners regarding this investigation and indictment. For your awareness. "
3/14/20 17:26	[REDACTED]	Chief William Scott	[REDACTED]	Mayor London Breed	"Good Morning Mayor, We had a homicide overnight at Jones/Market in the Tenderloin. The victim [REDACTED] was standing in front of [REDACTED] Jones St. when he was shot by an unidentified suspect. The suspect fled in a dark colored car. The Victim's address [REDACTED]. Investigator are searching for witnesses and possible video evidence in the area. For your awareness. "
3/14/20 17:27	[REDACTED]	Mayor London Breed	[REDACTED]	Chief William Scott	"How old?"
3/14/20 17:28	[REDACTED]	Chief William Scott	[REDACTED]	Mayor London Breed	[REDACTED] years old. Born in [REDACTED]. "
3/17/20 0:40	[REDACTED]	Chief William Scott	[REDACTED]	Mayor London Breed	"Good Evening Mayor, we just had a homicide at [REDACTED] 16th Street at the [REDACTED] Restaurant. Three suspects entered the restaurant, suspect 1 (HM 20s) approached the victim from behind while he was seated at a table, and fired 4 or 5 rounds into the victim's back. The victim was pronounced deceased on scene. The suspects fled on foot northbound on Caledonia St. Investigators are at the scene and we don't have much info at this time including confirmation of the victim's identity. I will keep you updated as we have additional information. "
3/17/20 0:41	[REDACTED]	Mayor London Breed	[REDACTED]	Chief William Scott	" Wow that's terrible"
3/17/20 0:42	[REDACTED]	Chief William Scott	[REDACTED]	Mayor London Breed	"Appears to be very intentional. "

San Francisco Police Department - Chief's Office
Public Records Request P011148-022520

Date (UTC)	Sender	Sender Name	Recipients	Recipient Name	Body
3/17/20 1:43	[REDACTED]	Chief William Scott	[REDACTED]	Mayor London Breed	"Further on the homicide. The victim is believed to be a [REDACTED] Suspects are [REDACTED] The victim and suspects got into a fight shortly before the shooting. The suspect left and came back armed and shot the victim. Still trying to determine what caused the fight. "
3/17/20 3:53	[REDACTED]	Chief William Scott	[REDACTED]	Mayor London Breed	"Hi Mayor, FYI beginning at midnight tonight I have directed our officers to conduct bar and restaurant checksthroughout the City. Our goal is to seek compliance with the order. We are putting out a statement tonight to inform the public. For your awareness. "
3/17/20 4:39	[REDACTED]	Mayor London Breed	[REDACTED]	Chief William Scott	"Can you send me a photo of your gym at the public safety building please "
3/17/20 5:47	[REDACTED]	Chief William Scott	[REDACTED]	Mayor London Breed	"Yes. I will send it to you tomorrow morning. It's a nice gym. "
3/17/20 15:57	[REDACTED]	Chief William Scott	[REDACTED]	Mayor London Breed	"Good Morning, Citywide no issues reported by officers regarding non compliance with the restaurant/bar closures. It appears that San Franciscans are taking this serious and are complying with the order. With today being g St. Patrick's Day we will stay on this and pay particular attention to the bars this evening. As to other retail stores, by 8 p.m. last night many of the non essential retailers were already closed as well. For your awareness. PS I will send pictures of the gym shortly. "
3/19/20 16:05	[REDACTED]	Chief William Scott	[REDACTED]	Mayor London Breed	"Good Morning Mayor, last night we had a shooting at 21st/Shotwell in the Mission District. The victim was shot and died at the location. Officers we in the area on patrol and observed the suspect vehicle, pursued it and caught and arrested both suspects after a foot chase. The officers also recovered a gun believed to be the .order weapon. The victim has not yet been positive identified and is listed as a John Doe. Investigators are trying to determine the motive for the shooting. This is the 8th homicide of the year and second in a week. Our response to the homicides coupled with the policing needs for the Shelter in Place Order: All officers departmentwide have be ordered to be in uniform for the duration of the shelter in place order. Officers working administrative assignments are rotating working in the field across the City to supplement and support District Statio s. I have suspended all in service training. Officers assigned to the Police Academy have been re-assigned to work foot beats in the Civic Center and downtown business districts. Many of the non sworn personnel are telecommuting. -For your awareness. "

San Francisco Police Department - Chief's Office
Public Records Request P011148-022520

Date (UTC)	Sender	Sender Name	Recipients	Recipient Name	Body
3/21/20 21:51		Chief William Scott		Mayor London Breed	"Good Afternoon Mayor, Wanted to give you a status of the City re: COVID-19: SFPD Command Staff checking the city today for compliance with the Public Health order. People out are very small in numbers. The following hot spots were noted (these are social distancing challenging locations): Dolores Park; Marina; Embarcadero; Mid Market; crowds are small. Officers posted at 8th, 7th and 6th and Market. UN plaza looks good. Homeless population is going to be tough to manage. We are working collaboratively with stakeholder agencies through the EOC on strategy to address this effectively. For your awareness. "
3/21/20 21:52		Mayor London Breed		Chief William Scott	"Thanks for the update"
3/23/20 0:33		Chief William Scott		Mayor London Breed	"Good Afternoon Mayor, Wanted to give you a status of the City re: COVID-19: I have been out for several hours checking the city today for compliance with the Public Health order and verifying our deployment. People out are very small in numbers. The following hot spots were noted (these are social distancing challenging locations): Marina- people were out walking and exercising. No large groups of people observed; Embarcadero- people out and exercising - no large groups observed; Mid Market; Crowds are small overall. Officers posted and visible at 8th, 7th and 6th and Market. UN plaza was thick with people not practicing social distancing. Capt. Fabbri handling and I will go back by there in a bit to recheck. Homeless population is still challenging. Footbeat officers engaging. In the Bayview, 3rd Street is challenging at Quesada, Revere, Paulou, and Newcomb. Groups of people hanging out. Officers are engaging, educating and messaging on social distancing. Potrero Hills housing developments was very quiet with very few people out. Fillmore/Golden Gate has been a problem for the past few nights with groups 30 or so deep hanging out and not practicing social distancing. We have engaged with the groups (including me personally Friday night) and educated people on social distancing to help prevent the spread. Today no one was out at 4:30 p.m. at Fillmore/Golden Gate Note: Liquor was closed by the time I got there today so that may have contributed to no one being out. I was all over the City today and overall, people are listening and complying. For your awareness. "
3/23/20 0:35		Mayor London Breed		Chief William Scott	"👍📊"
3/23/20 1:45		Chief William Scott		Mayor London Breed	"Went back and checked on UN Plaza. Its virtually empty now. Officers still on post on Market except for 6th. which is starting to re populate. Getting officers back there now. "
3/24/20 5:21		Chief William Scott		Mayor London Breed	"Good Evening Mayor, One of the homicide suspects from the 3/16/2020 homicide at the restaurant in the Mission District was just arrested in Alameda by our officers. For your awareness. "
3/24/20 5:22		Mayor London Breed		Chief William Scott	"Great! Thanks for letting me know"

San Francisco Police Department - Chief's Office
Public Records Request P011148-022520

Date (UTC)	Sender	Sender Name	Recipients	Recipient Name	Body
3/24/20 18:38	[REDACTED]	Chief William Scott	[REDACTED]	Mayor London Breed	"Good Afternoon Mayor, we have a [REDACTED] t who works out [REDACTED] who has was confirmed COVID-19 positivd by their Doctor. I am getting more information and will update. We have not made this information public yet as we just learned of this information. "
3/24/20 18:41	[REDACTED]	Mayor London Breed	[REDACTED]	Chief William Scott	"Dang, does he use my gym?"
3/24/20 18:44	[REDACTED]	Chief William Scott	[REDACTED]	Mayor London Breed	"I am pretty sure he does not. He [REDACTED] There is gym [REDACTED] . We rarely get anyone outside of those who work in the building here using this gym. "
3/25/20 2:39	[REDACTED]	Chief William Scott	[REDACTED]	Mayor London Breed	"Good Evening Mayor, the other two suspects from the March 16th Mission District murder in the restaurant were just arrested. For your awareness. Also, I talked to the [REDACTED] who tested positive for COVID-19. He has not worked out at the gym at HQ. "
3/25/20 18:45	[REDACTED]	Chief William Scott	[REDACTED]	Mayor London Breed	"Good Morning Mayor, just got confirmation of a positive COVID-19 test of the [REDACTED] r [REDACTED] who tested COVID-19 positive yesterday. For your awareness. The good news is at least two officers who were quarantined from other potential exposures have been cleared to return to work. For your awareness. "

San Francisco Police Department - Chief's Office
Public Records Request P011148-022520

Date (UTC)	Sender	Sender Name	Recipients	Recipient Name	Body
3/26/20 4:24		Chief William Scott		Mayor London Breed	<p>"Good Afternoon Mayor, This evening's status of the City re: COVID-19: Assistant Chief Redmond personally went out and checked the following locations around the City from a out 2 p.m. to 5 p.m. People out are very small in numbers again today. The following hot spots were noted (these are social distancing challenging locations): Mid Market; Crowds are small overall when checked but I was told that earlier today the Officers posted and visible at 8th, 7th and 6th and Market. UN Plaza gatherings were light and manageable over all with officers messaging social disting when checked. However, I was told the Farmer's Market was thick today and social distancing was an issue. We either need to work with the vendors to help with the social distancing or I recommend restrictions or shutting it down. The HSOC team was focus on engagement with the street population and homeless population. They made some progress with spreading people out and clearing some of the problem streets. We have to keep going back to continue pt.make progress. Capt. Homeless population is still challenging with the tents in the Tenderloin but it reportedly looked a little better today with the HSOC outreach. Footbeat officers engaging in Mission Bay and Embarcadero reported a lot less people out that week and a lot less socializing. People were .mainly walking and exercising. The exercise park near the food trucks in Mission Bay continues to be a problem with social distancing. Officers were sent there today and gave warnings. People complied but we need to stay on it. In the Mission District we have officers posted at 24th/Mission and 16th/Mission. These have been social distancing challenges. 24th was thinned out this afternoon. 16th was a bit more challenging with . We put out to . In the Bayview, 3rd Street was a little better today.</p>

San Francisco Police Department - Chief's Office
Public Records Request P011148-022520

Date (UTC)	Sender	Sender Name	Recipients	Recipient Name	Body
					<p>Fewer people at Mendell Plaza but still a problem with gatherings and social distancing along 3rd at Quesada, Revere, Paulou, and Newcomb. The liquor store at [REDACTED] is a problem with groups of people hanging out. Officers are still engaging, educating and messaging on social distancing. I recommend restricting their hours or shutting them down altogether if restricting their hours doesn't solve the problem. The City Attorney is on board and has provided advice on how we can make that happen.</p> <p>Fillmore/Golden Gate looked great tonight. I will send you a picture that was taken in front to [REDACTED] Liquor. Early this evening no one was out there on either side of the street. As to enforcement, now that the City Attorney's Office has helped put out clear enforcement protocols officers shut down two businesses that were opened and none essential in the last couple of days. These were officer initiated shut downs (a wine bar and the other was a businessman who was open for business and was determined by officers to be non essential (not exactly sure what the business nature was but the City Attorney who reviewed the report said the shutdown was appropriate) . Both business owners complied with the officers orders to close. An incident report was taken for each incidents and routed to the City Attorney's Office. If a decision is made to go forward with prosecution we can go the criminal or civil route. We will have officers follow up to make sure they stay closed and certainly push for prosecution if they reopen. We have put systems in place and are tracking all such reports. Businesses still mostly in compliance from what has been reported. For your awareness. "</p>
3/26/20 4:25	[REDACTED]	Chief William Scott	[REDACTED]	Mayor London Breed	"In front of [REDACTED] Liquor this evening. "
3/26/20 4:25	[REDACTED]	Chief William Scott	[REDACTED]	Mayor London Breed	
3/26/20 17:27	[REDACTED]	Chief William Scott	[REDACTED]	Mayor London Breed	<p>"Good Morning Mayor, [REDACTED] was burglarized at [REDACTED]. Officers responded and caught the two suspects and arrested them. May get media attention but we have not put it out. For your awareness. "</p>
3/26/20 21:52	[REDACTED]	Chief William Scott	[REDACTED]	Mayor London Breed	"Officers at the scene of what appears to be a murder/suicide at the Parc 55 Hotel. "
3/26/20 21:52	[REDACTED]	Chief William Scott	[REDACTED]	Mayor London Breed	"More to follow when I have more. "

San Francisco Police Department - Chief's Office
Public Records Request P011148-022520

Date (UTC)	Sender	Sender Name	Recipients	Recipient Name	Body
3/27/20 4:26		Chief William Scott		Mayor London Breed	<p>"Good Evening Mayor, Here is the status of the City today for COVID-19. I spent the last 5 hours in the field around the City to get eyes on: Bayview- 3rd Street look much better (early evening from 4:45 to 5:45) the gatherings along 3rd Street were much smaller than last week. The [REDACTED] had no one in front when I was there. Mendell Plaza, LaSalle, Paulou, and Revere were much lighter than normal and the people who were out there were keeping some distance between them for the most part. There were a few pockets of people that has to be addressed. Bayview officers reported that the outreach teams from the EOC flood the area with COVID-19 pamphlets and they believe that has made a difference. Alice Griffith was very quiet. No gatherings at all. Ingleside- Mission St. from Geniva to Ocean all non essential businesses were closed. Very light pedestrian traffic and people at the bus stops were social distancing. We saw one group of 5 people not social distancing and out playing dominos in the bed of a pickup truck. They were warning and complied immediately. Along Ocean Avenue all non essential businesses were closed. Very light pedestrian activity no social distancing issues. West Portal, Traval, Clement were all the same with no non essential businesses open, very light pedestrian activity. Problem areas observed were Haight Between Masonic and Stanyan. All non essential businesses were closed but there were pocket of homeless people sitting on the sidewalks in groups of two to about 10 along Haight. We engaged most of them and they mostly claimed that they lived together and therefore they were not practicing social distancing. This will be a challenge. Not sure they lived together but that seems to be what they all were saying along the block about 40 to 50 in all in different groups. At Balboa Park, the park was empty except for the skate board park. Lots of young kids 10 years old or so, some teens and a few adults. About 30 in all.</p> <p>This is a constant issue since the Public Health Order went out I'm told. We are working with Ginsberg to post Park Rangers and/or Officers or at least regular check. From my understanding the kids and teens climb over the fence even when the park is closed. I didn't get eyes on Market or the Tenderloin tonight but the reports that I recieved were that the people gathering at the hotspots were less hostile today and there seemed to be fewer people along Market. Officers are still being posted along Market and other areas of the Tenderloin still challenging. For your awareness "</p>
3/27/20 15:31		Mayor London Breed		Chief William Scott	"Please get the people who are on octavia Blvd area with signs"
3/27/20 15:32		Mayor London Breed		Chief William Scott	"Leading up to the feeway "
3/27/20 15:32		Chief William Scott		Mayor London Breed	"Got it. Will send officers now. "

San Francisco Police Department - Chief's Office
Public Records Request P011148-022520

Date (UTC)	Sender	Sender Name	Recipients	Recipient Name	Body
3/28/20 3:51		Chief William Scott		Mayor London Breed	<p>"Good Evening Mayor, Here is the status of the City re: C COVID-19 public health order related issues this evening: Officers shut down two smoke shops (). There was a discussion about whether they .at the essential business criteria and once ruled non essential we advised the owners and shut it down. An incident report were taken. And we will follow up to ensure the business stay closed. At , there was a restaurant/Bar selling alcohol to people waiting in the take out restaurant lines allowing people to drink while they waited in line. We shut down the illegal alcohol sales and an incident report. Central officers will monitor the restaurant this weekend. In the Mission District we had officers walking through Dolores Park this evening and right now. Not a big crowd but some Social Distancing issues. Same at Wahington Square. We are engaging with the people out there. Park Station officers just did a walk through on Haight Street. We had been advised today that homeless advocates will be giving out tents along Haight and encouraging homeless persons to pitch their tents on the sidewalks. As of 20 minutes ago the officers did not see any tents. Park Station Captain Pagano trying to keep this situation from becoming a new or worse public health and social distancing issue with encampments along the sidewalks on Haight Street. Captain Dangerfield has assigned a team of officers to work 3rd Street. That along with the education and messaging by the Community Branch dispatched from the EOC is making a difference we believe. There were not issues at Mendell Plaza today and 3rd Street, although not totally there, is looking much better than this past weekend. We sent out the update from the newest order you approved today. We emphasized new restricted liquor store hours.</p> <p>Tonight our officers will start engaging and messaging with Liquor and convenience store owners and managers to get compliance starting now. Tenderloin Captain Fabbri and our HSOC Commander Fong reporting that less people were out today than earlier in the week and we are making slight progress getting some social distancing compliance in the Tenderloin but we still have a uphill climb and a lot of work to do. For your awareness. "</p>
3/29/20 22:40		Mayor London Breed		Chief William Scott	
3/29/20 22:40		Mayor London Breed		Chief William Scott	
3/29/20 22:40		Mayor London Breed		Chief William Scott	"Someone just sent this to me: There is a guy walking around sea cliff looking for money and checking doors."
3/29/20 22:40		Mayor London Breed		Chief William Scott	"He just tried our door as well and asking for money saying he is part of the release program."
3/29/20 23:51		Chief William Scott		Mayor London Breed	"Hi Mayor, the message won't open. "
3/29/20 23:53		Mayor London Breed		Chief William Scott	

San Francisco Police Department - Chief's Office
Public Records Request P011148-022520

Date (UTC)	Sender	Sender Name	Recipients	Recipient Name	Body
3/29/20 23:53	[REDACTED]	Mayor London Breed	[REDACTED]	Chief William Scott	
3/29/20 23:53	[REDACTED]	Mayor London Breed	[REDACTED]	Chief William Scott	"He just tried our door as well and asking for money saying he is part of the release program."
3/29/20 23:55	[REDACTED]	Chief William Scott	[REDACTED]	Mayor London Breed	"Got it. Will follow up. We out press releases about this on Friday warning the public. "
3/30/20 0:59	[REDACTED]	Chief William Scott	[REDACTED]	Mayor London Breed	"Meant 'put out' press release"
3/30/20 4:26	[REDACTED]	Chief William Scott	[REDACTED]	Mayor London Breed	"Good Evening Mayor, Status of City today re: COVID related issues: Dolores park looked good today. Panhandle looked good. People out walking but no basketball. Haight and Clayton hroup an issue today. Park officers engaged them. Haight and ashbury and Masonic was clear today. Mission Street looked better today. Officers engaged groups at 16th and were able to prevent groups from gathering and sidewalk panhandling on Mission between 15th and 16th. The markets were not as crowded but still need to be addressed. We are working with The Community Branch from the EOC on this. Most of the dispatched public health calls today were about recreational locations (parks, public spaces) and essential businesses (groceries stores mainly) not promoting social distancing. There were 28 such calls by early evening. The assessment overall was good compliance even at the parks and open spaces but still sporadic non compliance. For your awareness. "
3/30/20 4:27	[REDACTED]	Mayor London Breed	[REDACTED]	Chief William Scott	"Thanks. I think the rain was helpful "
3/30/20 4:28	[REDACTED]	Chief William Scott	[REDACTED]	Mayor London Breed	"Yes. Me too. "
3/30/20 18:26	[REDACTED]	Chief William Scott	[REDACTED]	Mayor London Breed	"Hi Mayor, I just called you but did not leave a message. Follow up from our conversation this morning regarding restricting Liquor store hours. Our Officers contact Liquor/Conve science stores all over the City. All co acted were compliant except for two stores that tried to stay open past 8 pm: One at [REDACTED] It was shut down by officers. The other was on [REDACTED] They were claiming to be delivery only after 8 pm but were observed serving in-store customers also. Officers also shut that o w down. As far as reducing gatherings at those problem location the results are very good. "
2/24/20 15:13	[REDACTED]	Mayor London Breed	[REDACTED], 5	Mayor London Breed, CoS Sean Elsbernd	"Need to understand the news reports about the side shows this weekend. Why did the police stay on the sidelines and allow them to happen?"

San Francisco Police Department - Chief's Office
Public Records Request P011148-022520

Date (UTC)	Sender	Sender Name	Recipients	Recipient Name	Body
2/24/20 16:31	[REDACTED]	Chief William Scott	[REDACTED]	CoS Sean Elsbernd Chief William Scott	"Good Morning Mayor, We recieved information that they we coming to the city and assembled officers from stations all around the city to respond. They met at Treasure Island and we had officers there waiting for them. They then moved to Candlestick point and we were there as well. From there they splintered and we had a series of simultaneous side shows across the City in Bayview, Ingleside, Richmond, Mission, Park, and Southern Districts from about 1230 a.m. until about 3 a.m. There were upwards of 100 cars and crowds of spectators at each sideshow. There was also at least one assault reported at Market/Clayton. Our officers responded to as many as they could [REDACTED] I am ready to discuss when you are ready. "
2/24/20 16:38	[REDACTED]	Mayor London Breed	[REDACTED]	Chief William Scott CoS Sean Elsbernd	"Why did people say on the news that the police were there and stood around and allowed it to happen? "
2/24/20 16:56	[REDACTED]	Chief William Scott	[REDACTED]	CoS Sean Elsbernd Mayor London Breed	"Not sure what they saw or why they said what they said but what I do know is when officers arrive at sideshows [REDACTED] I have seen officers [REDACTED] [REDACTED] "
2/24/20 16:59	[REDACTED]	Mayor London Breed	[REDACTED]	Chief William Scott CoS Sean Elsbernd	"Someone needs to explain that to the press. Why isn't your communications person not on top of this?"
2/24/20 17:04	[REDACTED]	Chief William Scott	[REDACTED]	CoS Sean Elsbernd Mayor London Breed	"Ok. We put out a media statement but it did not lay out our tactics. I will follow up to have them to reach out to explain on background to the media. "
2/25/20 6:48	[REDACTED]	Chief William Scott	[REDACTED]	CoS Sean Elsbernd Mayor London Breed	"Good Evening Mayor, we are investigating what appears to be an intentional act of a car driving onto a side walk and strike two pedestrians at Haight and Ashbury. The vehicle fled then struck a police car and then a house. Two people in the car have been arrested. Awaiting an update on condition of the two individuals who were struck. Investigation is ongoing. For your awareness. "
3/4/20 6:05	[REDACTED]	Mayor London Breed	[REDACTED]	Chief William Scott CoS Sean Elsbernd	"6th and market and 7th and market are embarrassing "

San Francisco Police Department - Chief's Office
Public Records Request P011148-022520

Date (UTC)	Sender	Sender Name	Recipients	Recipient Name	Body
3/4/20 16:29	[REDACTED]	Chief William Scott	[REDACTED]	CoS Sean Elsbernd Mayor London Breed	"Good Morning, didn't see your text until this morning. The TL Captain made adjustments making adjustment last week for better coverage during the busier hours when people are working/shopping. That is working well but we are lighter later at night. We will continue to tweak coverage as necessary and give support for additional coverage later at night. "
3/4/20 16:31	[REDACTED]	Mayor London Breed	[REDACTED]	Chief William Scott CoS Sean Elsbernd	"I'm tired of excuses and repeating myself. Fix it. It's the same corners"
3/4/20 19:40	[REDACTED]	Chief William Scott	[REDACTED]	CoS Sean Elsbernd Mayor London Breed	"FYI, [REDACTED] 74 year old aunt was struck by a vehicle as she walked across an intersection at Fillmore/Sutter. She [REDACTED]. The driver remained at scene and was cited and released. "
3/17/20 17:26	[REDACTED]	Mayor London Breed	[REDACTED]	Chief William Scott CoS Sean Elsbernd	"Market and 7th and market and 6th still a shit show. With the city shut down, We should be able to get this under control. "
3/17/20 18:42	[REDACTED]	Chief William Scott	[REDACTED]	CoS Sean Elsbernd Mayor London Breed	"Will have it taken care of now. "
3/17/20 19:07	[REDACTED]	Chief William Scott	[REDACTED]	CoS Sean Elsbernd Mayor London Breed	"Mike Redmond out there right now to ensure this is taken care of. "
3/18/20 4:30	[REDACTED]	Chief William Scott	[REDACTED]	CoS Sean Elsbernd Mayor London Breed	"Good Evening Mayor, CHP involved in a high speed chase of a motorcycle through San Francisco. The motorcycle crashed at 16th/Guerrero. The motorcycle driver [REDACTED]. No SFPD personnel were involved. This will likely get media attention. No information yet on why they were chasing the driver of the motorcycle. For your awareness. "
3/18/20 5:25	[REDACTED]	Chief William Scott	[REDACTED]	CoS Sean Elsbernd Mayor London Breed	"Motorcycle driver from the CHP pursuit is now deceased. Your Awareness. "
4/1/20 18:06	[REDACTED]	Mayor London Breed	[REDACTED]	Chief William Scott CoS Sean Elsbernd	"We have a real problem with the line on McAllister and market [REDACTED]. It's outrageous "
4/1/20 18:07	[REDACTED]	Mayor London Breed	[REDACTED]	Chief William Scott CoS Sean Elsbernd	"People are packed on the sidewalk standing in line and not social distancing "
4/1/20 18:08	[REDACTED]	Chief William Scott	[REDACTED]	CoS Sean Elsbernd Mayor London Breed	"Ok. Will have someone go there right now. "

San Francisco Police Department - Chief's Office
Public Records Request P011148-022520

Date (UTC)	Sender	Sender Name	Recipients	Recipient Name	Body
4/1/20 19:08		Chief William Scott		CoS Sean Elsbernd Mayor London Breed	"Hi Mayor, a follow up report regarding the [REDACTED] on McAllister. Officers, including a lieutenant, were sent to the [REDACTED] store. The Lieutenant spoke with the owner/manager about social distancing among the customers. The lines were then thinned out to comply with the social distancing requirements. Officer will stay on this for now to ensure social distancing continues to happen. There were also gatherings of people around the [REDACTED] and the [REDACTED]. Both have been addressed and thinned out to comply with social distancing. Officers will maintain a presence and visibility. UN Plaza looks pretty good for now. The farmer's market crowd is lighter right now than in past weeks and there is good spacing between the vendors. Officers will also be assigned to focus on other hot spots in the Tenderloin today. For your awareness. "
4/1/20 20:37		Chief William Scott		CoS Sean Elsbernd Mayor London Breed	"We still have officers at the [REDACTED] business. It's the first of the month so this will be an issue today and maybe for the next couple of days. We have officers posted to force compliance with social distancing. The line stretches down the block. "
3/20/20 15:45		Chief William Scott		Chief William Scott	"Jag Grant's can be reprogrammed for emergencies. Contact the state JAG coordinator. "
2/24/20 4:48		Mayor London Breed		Unknown Chief William Scott Unknown CoS Sean Elsbernd Unknown	"Market st looks clean and I'm grateful, but the [REDACTED] and the entire block of market between 7th and 8th continued to be a problem including UN plaza with illegal activity including drug dealing and buying and selling stolen goods. There is also a problem with public drug use. We really need to concentrate on the area with law enforcement and asst from public health. It's the same area every day and this should not be difficult. Enforcement is key."
2/24/20 5:28		Chief William Scott		Unknown Unknown CoS Sean Elsbernd Mayor London Breed Unknown	"Enforcement will continue. "
3/13/20 16:51		Mayor London Breed		Unknown Chief William Scott Unknown	"Can you clear out the very bad corner on market and Castro "
3/13/20 17:03		Chief William Scott		Unknown Unknown Mayor London Breed	"Got it. Working on it now. "

OFFICE OF THE CHIEF OF POLICE
Response to Anonymous – P011148-022520

1. All text or chat messages in any form or application (SMS, MMS, WhatsApp, WeChat, Signal, Instagram, Twitter, Facebook, Hangouts, Skype, Teams) sent by Chief Scott to Mayor Breed OR sent by Mayor Breed to Chief Scott, timestamps between June 1, 2019 and Aug 30, 2019 (inclusive), including a personal search under City of San Jose vs Superior Court (2017). Please also include any group messages where more than Chief Scott and Breed are on the message. While the phone numbers are not needed, the following must be preserved: the timestamps, the textual content, attachments, and images, and also ALL sender and recipient names (including groups). Pursuant to SF Admin Code 67.21(l), please provide a spreadsheet format or if you will use PDF, do not print and scan.

In response to the above referenced Public Records Act Request, a search for SMS/MMS text messages was completed. The below messages were extracted by the department's Information Technology team.

There were no responsive documents in WhatsApp, WeChat, Signal, Instagram, Twitter, Facebook, Hangouts, Skype, Teams.

Please note:

The enclosed documents have been redacted per the following:

California Government Code Section 6254(c): Personnel, medical, or similar files, the disclosure of which would constitute an unwarranted invasion of personal privacy.

California Government Code Section 6254(f): Records of complaints to, investigations conducted by, intelligence information or security procedures of, and investigatory or security files compiled by local police agencies... Notwithstanding any other provision of this subdivision, state and local law enforcement agencies shall make public the following information, except to the extent that disclosure of a particular item of information would endanger the safety of a person involved in an investigation or would endanger the successful completion of the investigation or a related investigation.

Evidence Code Section 1040

(2) Disclosure of the information is against the public interest because there is a necessity for preserving the confidentiality of the information that outweighs the necessity for disclosure in the interest of justice; but no privilege may be claimed under this paragraph if any person authorized to do so has consented that the information be disclosed in the proceeding. In determining whether disclosure of the information is against the public interest, the interest of the public entity as a party in the outcome of the proceeding may not be considered.

Columns “Sender” and “Recipients”

The cell phone numbers have been redacted per Gov. Code §6254(f) and Evidence Code §1040(2).

However, a search of the “Contact” folder on Chief William Scott’s department-issued cell phone was completed and the name of the contact associated with a redacted number has been listed in the column next to both “Sender” and “Recipients” if the name appeared in the “Contact” folder.

If a name is not in the “Contact” folder of Chief William Scott, “unknown” was used.

Additional redactions are indicated below.

Page 1

No Additional Redactions

Page 2

Date 7/10/19 at 21:56

Redaction 1: Gov. Code §6254(c) – personal information

Redaction 2: Gov. Code §6254(c) – personal information

Redaction 3: Gov. Code §6254(c) – personal information

Redaction 4: Gov. Code §6254(c) – personal information

Redaction 5: Gov. Code §6254(c) – personal information

Redaction 6: Gov. Code §6254(c) – personal information

Redaction 6: Gov. Code §6254(c) – personal information

Date 7/11/19 at 18:01

Redaction 1: Gov. Code §6254(c) – personal information

Redaction 2: Gov. Code §6254(c) – personal information

Redaction 3: Gov. Code §6254(c) – personal information

Redaction 4: Gov. Code §6254(c) – personal information

Page 3

Date 7/27/19 at 20:53

Redaction 1: Gov. Code §6254(c) – personal information

Redaction 2: Gov. Code §6254(f) – Investigation file

Redaction 3: Gov. Code §6254(c) – personal information

Redaction 4: Gov. Code §6254(c) – personal information

Date 7/27/19 at 23:34

Redaction 1: Gov. Code §6254(c) – personal information

Page 4

Date 7/30/19 at 18:49

Redaction 1: Gov. Code §6254(f) – security procedures

Redaction 2: Gov. Code §6254(f) – security procedures

Date 8/1/19 at 3:46

Redaction 1: Gov. Code §6254(c) – personal information

Date 8/1/19 at 17:16

Redaction 1: Gov. Code §6254(f) – security procedures

Redaction 2: Gov. Code §6254(f) – security procedures

Redaction 3: Gov. Code §6254(f) – security procedures

Date 8/1/19 at 17:17

Redaction 1: Gov. Code §6254(f) – security procedures

Page 5

No Additional Redactions

Page 6

No Additional Redactions

Page 7

Date 8/11/19 at 5:51

Redaction 1: Gov. Code §6254(c) – personal information

Redaction 2: Gov. Code §6254(f) – investigation file

Date 8/11/19 at 16:20

Redaction 1: Gov. Code §6254(c) – personal information

Redaction 2: Gov. Code §6254(c) – personal information

Redaction 3: Gov. Code §6254(c) – personal information

Redaction 4: Gov. Code §6254(f) – investigation file

Redaction 5: Gov. Code §6254(f) – investigation file

Redaction 6: Gov. Code §6254(f) – investigation file

Redaction 7: Gov. Code §6254(c) – personal information

Redaction 8: Gov. Code §6254(c) – personal information

Redaction 9: Gov. Code §6254(c) – personal information

Date 8/11/19 at 18:13

Redaction 1: Gov. Code §6254(c) – personal information

Page 8

No Additional Redactions

Page 9

No Additional Redactions

Page 10

Date 8/31/19 at 1:02

Redaction 1: Gov. Code §6254(c) – personal information

Redaction 2: Gov. Code §6254(c) – personal information
Redaction 3: Gov. Code §6254(c) – personal information

Date 7/31/19 at 5:51

Redaction 1: Gov. Code §6254(c) – personal information
Redaction 2: Gov. Code §6254(c) – personal information
Redaction 3: Gov. Code §6254(c) – personal information
Redaction 4: Gov. Code §6254(c) – personal information

Page 11

No Additional Redactions

Page 12

Date 7/9/19 at 15:45

Redaction 1: Gov. Code §6254(c) – personal information

Page 13

Date 7/10/19 at 15:16

Redaction 1: Gov. Code §6254(c) – personal information
Redaction 2: Gov. Code §6254(c) – personal information
Redaction 3: Gov. Code §6254(c) – personal information
Redaction 4: Gov. Code §6254(c) – personal information
Redaction 5: Gov. Code §6254(f) – security procedure
Redaction 6: Gov. Code §6254(f) – security procedure
Redaction 7: Gov. Code §6254(f) – security procedure
Redaction 8: Gov. Code §6254(f) – security procedure

Page 14

No Additional Redactions

Page 15

No Additional Redactions

Page 16

No Additional Redactions

Page 17

No Additional Redactions

Page 18

Date 8/7/19 at 23:24

Redaction 1: Gov. Code §6254(c) – personal information

Page 19

No Additional Redactions

Page 20

Date 8/14/19 at 15:05

Redaction 1: Gov. Code §6254(c) – personal information

Page 21

No Additional Redactions

Page 22

No Additional Redactions

Page 23

No Additional Redactions

Page 24

No Additional Redactions

Page 25

No Additional Redactions

OFFICE OF THE CHIEF OF POLICE
Response to Anonymous – Follow-up P011148-022520

1. All text or chat messages in any form or application (SMS, MMS, WhatsApp, WeChat, Signal, Instagram, Twitter, Facebook, Hangouts, Skype, Teams) sent by Chief Scott to Mayor Breed OR sent by Mayor Breed to Chief Scott, timestamps between Jan 1, 2020 and Apr 1, 2020 (inclusive), including a personal search under City of San Jose vs Superior Court (2017). Please also include any group messages where more than Chief Scott and Breed are on the message. While the phone numbers are not needed, the following must be preserved: the timestamps, the textual content, attachments, and images, and also ALL sender and recipient names (including groups).

In response to the above referenced Public Records Act Request, a search for SMS/MMS text messages was completed. The below messages were extracted by the department's Information Technology team.

There were no responsive documents in WhatsApp, WeChat, Signal, Instagram, Twitter, Facebook, Hangouts, Skype, Teams.

Please note:

The enclosed documents have been redacted per the following:

REDACTIONS CODES

California Government Code Section 6254(c): Personnel, medical, or similar files, the disclosure of which would constitute an unwarranted invasion of personal privacy.

California Government Code Section 6254(f): Records of complaints to, investigations conducted by, intelligence information or security procedures of, and investigatory or security files compiled by local police agencies... Notwithstanding any other provision of this subdivision, state and local law enforcement agencies shall make public the following information, except to the extent that disclosure of a particular item of information would endanger the safety of a person involved in an investigation or would endanger the successful completion of the investigation or a related investigation.

Evidence Code Section 1040: (2) Disclosure of the information is against the public interest because there is a necessity for preserving the confidentiality of the information that outweighs the necessity for disclosure in the interest of justice; but no privilege may be claimed under this paragraph if any person authorized to do so has consented that the information be disclosed in the proceeding. In determining whether disclosure of the information is against the public interest, the interest of the public entity as a party in the outcome of the proceeding may not be considered.

Penal Code Section 11105 and/or Penal Code Section 13300/13301: Any information obtained from the state summary criminal history information is confidential and the receiving local

government shall not disclose its contents other than for the purpose for which it was acquired. Local Summary Criminal History Information is releasable only to a person authorized by law to receive a record meaning any person or public agency authorized by a court, statute, or decisional law to receive a record.

REDACTIONS IN DOCUMENT

Columns “Sender” and “Recipients”

The cell phone numbers have been redacted per Gov. Code §6254(f) and Evidence Code §1040(2).

However, a search of the “Contact” folder on Chief William Scott’s department-issued cell phone was completed and the name of the contact associated with a redacted number has been listed in the column next to both “Sender” and “Recipients” if the name appeared in the “Contact” folder.

If a name is not in the “Contact” folder of Chief William Scott, “unknown” was used.

Additional redactions are indicated below.

Page 1

Date 1/5/20 at 18:59

Redaction 1: Gov. Code §6254(c) – personal information

Redaction 2: Gov. Code §6254(c) – personal information

Redaction 3: Gov. Code §6254(c) – personal information

Redaction 4: Gov. Code §6254(c) – personal information

Redaction 5: Gov. Code §6254(c) – personal information

Redaction 6: Gov. Code §6254(c) – personal information

Redaction 7: Gov. Code §6254(c) – personal information

Redaction 8: Gov. Code §6254(f) – investigative file

Redaction 9: Penal Code §11105/13300 – state/local criminal history

Redaction 10: Penal Code §11105/13300 – state/local criminal history

Date 1/10/20 at 17:22

Redaction 8: Gov. Code §6254(f) – investigative file

Page 2

No additional redactions made

Page 3

Date 2/9/20 at 19:06

Redaction 1: Gov. Code §6254(c) – personal information

Redaction 2: Gov. Code §6254(c) – personal information

Date 2/15/20 at 18:48

Redaction 1: Gov. Code §6254(c) – personal information

Redaction 2: Gov. Code §6254(c) – personal information

Redaction 3: Gov. Code §6254(c) – personal information

Date 2/22/20 at 2:12

Redaction 1: Gov. Code §6254(f) – investigative file

Redaction 2: Gov. Code §6254(f) – investigative file

Page 4

Date 3/5/20 at 4:49

Redaction 1: Gov. Code §6254(f) – investigative file

Redaction 2: Gov. Code §6254(c) – personal information

Redaction 3: Gov. Code §6254(f) – investigative file

Redaction 4: Gov. Code §6254(c) – personal information

Date 3/12/20 at 19:43

Redaction 1: Gov. Code §6254(f) – security procedure

Redaction 2: Gov. Code §6254(f) – security procedure

Date 3/14/20 at 17:26

Redaction 1: Gov. Code §6254(c) – personal information

Redaction 2: Gov. Code §6254(c) – personal information

Redaction 3: Gov. Code §6254(c) – personal information

Date 3/14/20 at 17:28

Redaction 1: Gov. Code §6254(c) – personal information

Redaction 2: Gov. Code §6254(c) – personal information

Date 3/17/20 at 0:40

Redaction 1: Gov. Code §6254(f) – investigative file

Redaction 2: Gov. Code §6254(f) – investigative file

Page 5

Date 3/17/20 at 1:43

Redaction 1: Gov. Code §6254(f) – investigative file

Redaction 2: Gov. Code §6254(f) – investigative file

Page 6

Date 3/23/20 at 0:33

Redaction 1: Gov. Code §6254(f) – investigative file

Page 7

Date 3/24/20 at 18:38

Redaction 1: Gov. Code §6254(c) – personal information

Redaction 2: Gov. Code §6254(c) – personal information

Date 3/24/20 at 18:44

Redaction 1: Gov. Code §6254(c) – personal information

Redaction 2: Gov. Code §6254(c) – personal information

Redaction 3: Gov. Code §6254(c) – personal information

Date 3/25/20 at 2:39

Redaction 1: Gov. Code §6254(c) – personal information

Date 3/25/20 at 18:45

Redaction 1: Gov. Code §6254(c) – personal information

Redaction 2: Gov. Code §6254(c) – personal information

Page 8

Date 3/26/20 at 4:24

Redaction 1: Gov. Code §6254(f) – investigative file

Redaction 2: Gov. Code §6254(f) – security procedure

Redaction 3: Gov. Code §6254(f) – security procedure

Page 9

Date 3/26/20 at 4:24 – continued from Page 8

Redaction 1: Gov. Code §6254(f) – investigative file

Redaction 2: Gov. Code §6254(f) – investigative file

Redaction 3: Gov. Code §6254(f) – investigative file

Date 3/26/20 at 4:25

Redaction 1: Gov. Code §6254(f) – investigative file

Date 3/26/20 at 17:27

Redaction 1: Gov. Code §6254(c) – personal information

Redaction 2: Gov. Code §6254(c) – personal information

Page 10

Date 3/27/20 at 4:26

Redaction 1: Gov. Code §6254(f) – investigative file

Page 11

Date 3/28/20 at 3:51

Redaction 1: Gov. Code §6254(f) – investigative file

Redaction 2: Gov. Code §6254(f) – investigative file

Redaction 3: Gov. Code §6254(f) – investigative file

Page 12

Date 3/30/20 at 18:26

Redaction 1: Gov. Code §6254(f) – investigative file

Redaction 2: Gov. Code §6254(f) – investigative file

Redaction 3: Gov. Code §6254(f) – investigative file

Redaction 4: Gov. Code §6254(f) – investigative file

Page 13

Date 2/24/20 at 16:31

Redaction 1: Gov. Code §6254(f) – security procedure

Redaction 2: Gov. Code §6254(f) – security procedure

Redaction 3: Gov. Code §6254(f) – security procedure

Date 2/24/20 at 16:56

Redaction 1: Gov. Code §6254(f) – security procedure

Redaction 2: Gov. Code §6254(f) – security procedure

Redaction 3: Gov. Code §6254(f) – security procedure

Redaction 4: Gov. Code §6254(f) – security procedure

Redaction 5: Gov. Code §6254(f) – security procedure

Redaction 6: Gov. Code §6254(f) – security procedure

Redaction 7: Gov. Code §6254(f) – security procedure

Redaction 8: Gov. Code §6254(f) – security procedure

Redaction 9: Gov. Code §6254(f) – security procedure

Page 14

Date 3/4/20 at 19:40

Redaction 1: Gov. Code §6254(c) – personal information

Redaction 2: Gov. Code §6254(c) – personal information

Redaction 3: Gov. Code §6254(c) – personal information

Date 3/18/20 at 4:30

Redaction 1: Gov. Code §6254(c) – personal information

Redaction 2: Gov. Code §6254(c) – personal information

Date 4/1/20 at 18:06

Redaction 1: Gov. Code §6254(f) – investigative file

Redaction 2: Gov. Code §6254(f) – investigative file

Page 15

Date 4/1/20 at 19:08

Redaction 1: Gov. Code §6254(f) – investigative file

Redaction 2: Gov. Code §6254(f) – investigative file

Redaction 3: Gov. Code §6254(f) – investigative file

Redaction 4: Gov. Code §6254(f) – investigative file

Date 4/1/20 at 20:37

Redaction 1: Gov. Code §6254(f) – investigative file

Date 2/24/20 at 4:48

Redaction 1: Gov. Code §6254(f) – investigative file



LONDON N. BREED
MAYOR

CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO
POLICE DEPARTMENT
HEADQUARTERS
1245 3RD Street
San Francisco, California 94158



WILLIAM SCOTT
CHIEF OF POLICE

March 26, 2020

Via email 88199-65424898@requests.muckrock.com

Anonymous

Dept. MR 88199 411A Highland Somerville, MA 02144

RE: Public Records Request, dated February 25, 2020, Reference # P011148-022520

Dear Anonymous:

The San Francisco Police Department (SFPD) received your Public Records Act request, dated February 16, 2020.

You requested, "To Whom It May Concern:

Below are new Immediate Disclosure Requests (SF Admin Code 67.25(a)) directed to your agency and its department head. Your response is required by Feb 13, 2020. Rolling records responses are requested (SFAC 67.25(d)) if you are unable to immediately produce records. Exact copies of every responsive record are requested (Gov Code 6253(b)) - do not: provide mere URLs, print and scan electronic records, convert native files to PDFs, or provide black and white versions of any color record. Provide only copies of records not requiring fees and in-person inspection of all other records (GC 6253).

Your non-exhaustive obligations:

- All withholding of any information must be justified in writing (SFAC 67.27).
- All withholdings by masking or deletion (aka redactions) must be keyed by footnote or other clear reference to the specific justification for that redaction, and only the minimal exempt portion of any record may be withheld (SFAC 67.26).
- You must respond to emailed requests (SFAC 67.21(b)).
- You must notify us of whether or not responsive records exist and/or were withheld for each below request (Gov Code 6253(c), 6255(b)).
- You must state the name and title of each person responsible for withholding any information (Gov Code 6253(d)).
- Do not impose any end-user restrictions upon me (Santa Clara Co. vs Superior Ct, 170 Cal.App 4th 1301); so if you use a third-party website to publish records, please make them completely public without any login or sign-in.

Your agency must do all of the above things in your response, and you cannot wait until we file complaints.

***** We have no duty to, and we will not again, remind the City of its obligations. Instead, we will file complaints for every Sunshine Ordinance or CPRA violation. We will continue to file complaints until the City's procedures are modified to fully comply with the Sunshine Ordinance and CPRA, without caveat or exception. *****

1. All text or chat messages in any form or application (SMS, MMS, WhatsApp, WeChat, Signal, Instagram, Twitter, Facebook, Hangouts, Skype, Teams) sent by Chief Scott to Mayor Breed OR sent by Mayor Breed to Chief Scott, timestamps between June 1, 2019 and Aug 30, 2019 (inclusive), including a personal search under City of San Jose vs Superior Court (2017). Please also include any group messages where more than Chief Scott and Breed are on the message. While the phone numbers are not needed, the following must be preserved: the timestamps, the textual content, attachments, and images, and also ALL sender and recipient names (including groups). Pursuant to SF Admin Code 67.21(1), please provide a spreadsheet format or if you will use PDF, do not print and scan. Do not destroy or discard any responsive records - we will appeal all withholdings or Sunshine violations.”

On February 18, SFPD, Media Relations acknowledged your request, and informed you that your request was not considered an Immediate Disclosure request, the 10-day maximum deadline to respond applies. Please refer to California Government Code Section 6253(c).

On February 27, SFPD invoked the extension of time to respond to your request pursuant to Government Code section 6253(c) because of the need to consult with another division of the agency.

On March 12, SFPD informed you that we were still consulting with other divisions of the agency for responsive records to your request.

Responsive records are included in this correspondence.

Thank you for your courtesy in this regard.

Sincerely,

Lt. R. Andrew Cox #287
Officer in Charge
Risk Management - Legal Division



LONDON N. BREED
MAYOR

CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO
POLICE DEPARTMENT
HEADQUARTERS
1245 3RD Street
San Francisco, California 94158



WILLIAM SCOTT
CHIEF OF POLICE

April 13, 2020

Via email 88199-65424898@requests.muckrock.com

Anonymous
Dept. MR 88199 411A Highland
Somerville, MA 02144

RE: Public Records Request, dated April 03, 2020, Reference # P011562-040320

Dear Anonymous:

The San Francisco Police Department (SFPD) received your Public Records Act request, dated April 03, 2020.

You requested, "To Whom It May Concern:

Below are new Immediate Disclosure Requests (SF Admin Code 67.25(a)) directed to your agency and its department head. Your response is required by Apr 6, 2020. Rolling records responses are requested (SFAC 67.25(d)) if you are unable to immediately produce records. Exact copies of every responsive record are requested (Gov Code 6253(b)) - do not: provide mere URLs, print and scan electronic records, convert native files to PDFs, or provide black and white versions of any color record. Provide only copies of records not requiring fees and in-person inspection of all other records (GC 6253).

Your non-exhaustive obligations:

- All withholding of any information must be justified in writing (SFAC 67.27).
- All withholdings by masking or deletion (aka redactions) must be keyed by footnote or other clear reference to the specific justification for that redaction, and only the minimal exempt portion of any record may be withheld (SFAC 67.26).
- You must respond to emailed requests (SFAC 67.21(b)).
- You must notify us of whether or not responsive records exist and/or were withheld for each below request (Gov Code 6253(c), 6255(b)).
- You must state the name and title of each person responsible for withholding any information (Gov Code 6253(d)).
- Do not impose any end-user restrictions upon me (Santa Clara Co. vs Superior Ct, 170 Cal.App 4th 1301); so if you use a third-party website to publish records, please make them completely public without any login or sign-in.

Your agency must do all of the above things in your response, and you cannot wait until we file complaints.

***** We have no duty to, and we will not again, remind the City of its obligations. Instead, we will file complaints for every Sunshine Ordinance or CPRA violation. We will continue to file complaints until the City's procedures are modified to fully comply with the Sunshine Ordinance and CPRA, without caveat or exception. *****

1. All text or chat messages in any form or application (SMS, MMS, WhatsApp, WeChat, Signal, Instagram, Twitter, Facebook, Hangouts, Skype, Teams) sent by Chief Scott to Mayor Breed OR sent by Mayor Breed to Chief Scott, timestamps between Jan 1, 2020 and Apr 1, 2020 (inclusive), including a personal search under City of San Jose vs Superior Court (2017). Please also include any group messages where more than Chief Scott and Breed are on the message. While the phone numbers are not needed, the following must be preserved: the timestamps, the textual content, attachments, and images, and also ALL sender and recipient names (including groups). Pursuant to SF Admin Code 67.21(l), please provide a spreadsheet format or if you will use PDF, do not print and scan. Please provide rolling responses. Do not destroy or discard any responsive records - we will appeal all withholdings or Sunshine violations.”

On April 3, SFPD acknowledged your request, and informed you that the 10-day maximum deadline to respond applies. Please refer to California Government Code Section 6253(c).

Responsive records are included in this correspondence.

Thank you for your courtesy in this regard.

Sincerely,

Lt. R. Andrew Cox #287
Officer in Charge
Risk Management - Legal Division

From: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#)
Subject: FW: Opposing encampment for Homeless in GGP
Date: Thursday, June 4, 2020 3:50:00 PM

From: Rita Kelly <kellyrita@msn.com>
Sent: Thursday, May 28, 2020 11:51 PM
To: mayorlondonbreeds@sfgov.org; Ginsburg, Phil (REC) <phil.ginsburg@sfgov.org>; Mar, Gordon (BOS) <gordon.mar@sfgov.org>; Fewer, Sandra (BOS) <sandra.fewer@sfgov.org>; Yee, Norman (BOS) <norman.yee@sfgov.org>
Cc: Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>
Subject: Opposing encampment for Homeless in GGP

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I am writing to oppose the proposal of Sandra Fewer, Supervisor of the Richmond district to use and Park and Rec sites and Golden Gate Park to allow tent encampments for the homeless population.

I vehemently oppose any legislation that would suggest or plan to move San Francisco homeless population from the downtown area or allow any homeless persons to camp in Golden Gate Park even on a temporary basis.

Golden Gate Park is one precious gem of San Francisco and essentially is our backyard for so many joys. It has taken several years to remove encampments and clean up the aftermath! It has been a long process and remains an ongoing dedicated process to make Golden Gate Park relatively safe for families and individuals to enjoy. That said there is an increase in car break-ins in Golden Gate Park in the past several years with no consequences to the those who do break-ins even when photos are provided. Car break-ins are somehow tolerated and often implied as the car owners fault when it happens.

I do not need to describe what Golden Gate Park will become if designated areas are assigned to homeless.

If any of you are brave enough to walk down town through several blocks in the Tenderloin a few times a week you will understand what I mean.

How would you plan on policing these encampments when currently can't police the encampments in downtown San Francisco?

I live and work in San Francisco. I have worked in healthcare for the past 28 years as an RN in a Hospital in San Francisco. I am a Critical Care nurse and have worked in Emergency Department, Intensive Care as well as other areas. I understand the complexity of dealing with patients/ people who have complicated medical problems. I understand that Drug and alcohol

addiction combined with mental illness are a huge financial and social burden. Many of them are unable to care for themselves even if you provide free housing or free camps. They need supervision and guidance and are often unable to make a decision that maximizes their health. Heather Knight states the homeless problem best in many her Sunday Chronicle columns. I agree that People in this category need protection and care and deserve to be treated with dignity. Permitting encampments is not a solution. Why can't the old San Francisco General hospital and the Old Laguna Honda hospital be used as a navigation Centers and identify programs for support or rehab and spend money on community supportive living. Why do the homeless get to choose to live in the down-town area or in Golden Gate Park. Lots of folks who work hard don't get to have such choices?

I pay a huge amount of money on property taxes. The homeless problem is a failing business. Looks like there is more and more money thrown at a system that does not work in a system that continues to pay city workers special nurse rates to band-aid a situation. There does not appear to be a practical vision or plan for a solution that we can all be part of. If there is a plan it is not shared in a way where we are all working together. During the current Covid-19 crisis with sometimes daily townhalls, little has been achieved in addressing the shocking realities of the homeless. In fact the homeless problem is more evident than ever with so many places boarded up in the downtown the encampments spread out. Looks more like a mental hospital set-up. It is disgraceful and incomprehensible!!

Me and my family work very hard to live in San Francisco. It has become increasingly expensive and unsafe and crowded. There is a constant echo that the police cannot do anything about the homeless eg threatening behavior/ open drug dealing, theft etc.

Only this week an elderly man who was walking his dog at Glen Park Canyon was attacked and later died after being attacked by a mentally ill homeless person.

I urge all you leaders to oppose Supervisor Fewer'' proposal for designated area for encampments for the homeless in Golden Gate Park. Please share and educate strategy for solution so taxpayers and voters can understand the vision.

Thank you very much.

Sincerely,

Rita Kelly

Sunset.

From: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#)
Subject: FW: Overreach of Executive Powers
Date: Thursday, June 4, 2020 3:57:00 PM

From: Allyson Bravmann <allyson.bravmann@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, June 4, 2020 10:03 AM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>
Subject: Overreach of Executive Powers

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am concerned about Mayor Breed's growing use of emergency executive powers.

Under the public health order, she suspended meetings of boards and commissions even though there are ways for them to meet virtually. She suspended Sunshine Act requests, putting the public's access to government records in danger. Journalists have said they aren't even bothering with Sunshine requests right now. I understand city employees are being redeployed for emergency roles, but I previously worked in an archiving position for the city and there is no good reason to hide records even during an emergency.

Mayor Breed extended the SIP indefinitely, meaning all her associated expanded powers and citizens' restricted rights are continued indefinitely. And now she tried, and fortunately failed, to implement an indefinite curfew.

The mayor of San Francisco already has the most power of any mayor in the country, from appointments to department oversight. Recent attempts to put minor checks on that power have failed. I ask the Board to ask hard questions about why Mayor Breed wants expanded, unquestioned powers at this time and how long she intends to hold onto those powers.

Thank you and regards,
Allyson Eddy Bravmann

From: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#)
Subject: FW: Request for BOS review of Senate Housing bills
Date: Thursday, June 4, 2020 8:35:00 AM

From: Nancy Wuerfel <nancenum1@aol.com>
Sent: Wednesday, June 3, 2020 3:31 PM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>
Cc: kathyhoward@earthlink.net
Subject: Request for BOS review of Senate Housing bills

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

This letter is to request that you direct the Budget Legislative Analyst to do an analysis of five California Senate bills (**SB 902, 995, 1085, 1120, and 1385**) as soon as possible. These bills are being rushed through the shortened legislative session in Sacramento which gives less time for public review, comment, and hearings. These bills could have a disproportionate impact on San Franciscans - tenants and homeowners alike - as well as to further undermine environmental protections under CEQA. They could possibly devastate our communities.

The public needs to understand the good and bad impacts of each of these bills. Even though I am asking that the Planning Department staff to provide us with objective reviews of what each bill means for San Franciscans, I am asking the Board of Supervisors to simultaneously request an independent Budget Analyst report to provide you with an analysis of each bill's impact on project CEQA review, compliance with the General Plan Elements, and the timeline needed for BOS public hearings on support for or rejection of each bill, prior to the BOS summer recess between Aug 3 and Sept 7.

Thank you for your help to keep the public informed on these bills.

Sincerely,
 Nancy Wuerfel

-->

From: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#)
Subject: FW: Letter regarding 5G risk, and local legislative solutions
Date: Tuesday, June 2, 2020 8:27:00 AM

From: Marty Covault <myvoice@oneclickpolitics.com>
Sent: Monday, June 1, 2020 11:24 PM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>
Subject: Letter regarding 5G risk, and local legislative solutions

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Re: Letter regarding 5G risk, and local legislative solutions

Dear Ms. Stefani,

Thank you for your consideration of the misgivings that I, and many others, share regarding the risks pertaining to the deployment of 5G technology.

This week, more than 150,000 people are participating in an online Summit to examine the facts pertaining to 5G. To be clear, we understand that there are indeed benefits to this technology. We also understand, however, that there are very significant risks which have not been addressed to date. As my elected representative, I urge you to examine then take immediate action to mitigate these risks. Sincerely, Marty Covault

What are the risks and negative impacts from 5G? These fit into the following broad categories: 1) adverse health impacts, 2) privacy impacts, 3) national security risks and 4) budgetary impacts.

ADVERSE HEALTH IMPACTS have been confirmed in over 3,600 medical studies that include cancer, sterility, heart conditions, exacerbation of other underlying conditions and much more including environmental impacts.

PRIVACY IMPACTS include the harvesting of personal data about citizens without their knowledge or consent. 5G facilitates granular "contact tracing" surveillance. The "business case" for 5G right now is surveillance devices and cameras, not data service for consumers.

NATIONAL SECURITY RISKS include providing hostile nations with access to sensitive military and critical infrastructure networks.

BUDGETARY IMPACTS rise from lawsuits against municipal authorities for failure to comply with current statutory protections such as those provided in the ADA and FHA and tort liability for personal injuries caused by exposure from wireless infrastructure on public right-of-way.

Individually, these risks would merit further action. Collectively, they should justify treating the matter as an urgent, immediate priority.

To be clear, to date there are no independent safety studies demonstrating the safety of prolonged exposure to the microwave and millimeter-wave frequencies; or phased array beamforming intended to be used for 5G in the future.

Thus, with thousands of studies indicating harmful bio-effect(s) from wireless radiation, and since the wireless industry tells us that 5G requires installation of transmitters emitting wireless radiation 24 hours a day in front of every 3-10 homes, causing or facilitating the deployment of 5G and then exposing individuals against their will violates their right to bodily integrity and is a battery tort. The emissions crossing private land are a nuisance.

States such as Wisconsin, Ohio, Pennsylvania, Connecticut, Massachusetts, New Hampshire, Louisiana, Oregon, New York, and California have taken action to limit and exert more control over the 5G rollout.

Federal legislators including Senators Richard Blumenthal and James Gaughran; and Representatives Anna Eshoo, Thomas Suozzi, Dan Lipinski, Andy Kim, and Peter DeFazio have all formally initiated an inquiry regarding the safety of 5G technology and have requested documentation of its safety.

Countries and cities around the world, including Switzerland, Brussels, Italy, Greece, UK, Slovenia, Nigeria, New Guinea, have completely halted or postponed the roll out of 5G until its safety has been firmly established.

Leading tech and industry professionals including Frank Clegg, the former President of Microsoft Canada, have made pragmatic appeals to policy makers regarding the risks of 5G technologies (see: youtu.be/xSP2exnmJXg). Mr. Clegg has requested that his industry, the telecommunications industry, be required by policy makers to do full safety testing on 5G and pursue technological options such as fiber optics which mitigate health, privacy and security risks.

What actions can be taken? Contrary to what you may be told by federal authorities, state authorities or even your own legal counsel, there are significant actions you can take right now to join other communities in the protection of the rights of the citizens within your community.

THESE ACTIONS INCLUDE:

- 1) pursuing ordinances that require the telecom provider to comply with the ADA/FHA;
- 2) requesting applications for installation from 5G service providers stating their compliance with FCC installation requirements;
- 3) submitting these applications to FCC for environmental impact assessment; and
- 4) ensuring that electrosensitive citizens (3.2% of population on average) are provided with an environment free from harm.

Furthermore, please note that at least 23 municipalities from around the US are currently suing in the federal 9th District Court for the rights to regulate and control the rollout of 5G infrastructure in their local communities.

For more information, and/or to receive a summary of key legal facts and proven-successful steps you can take within your local government, please see “5G & Wireless Facts at a Glance” (copy-paste this link): solutionsforhumanity.net/wireless-facts-at-a-glance/

You are the last line of defense for the members of your community including your own family and friends. It is my hope that you will join with others in the pursuit of ordinances that protects all of us.

Sincerely,

Marty Covault

muscles@sfkettlebells.net

673 Grand View av San Francisco, CA 94114 Constituent

From: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#)
Subject: FW: Letter regarding 5G
Date: Monday, June 1, 2020 3:52:00 PM

From: Renita Herrmann <myvoice@oneclickpolitics.com>
Sent: Monday, June 1, 2020 2:15 PM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>
Subject: Letter regarding 5G

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Re: Letter regarding 5G

Dear Ms. Stefani,

After reading the book, Invisible Rainbow (by Arthur Firstenberg) recently, I am compelled to write. The affects people feel from these enhanced electronic pollutions are very disturbing. The fact that there is no scientific research on this technology disturbs me immensely. It scares me much more than Covid-19.

Thank you for your consideration of the misgivings that I, and many others, share regarding the risks pertaining to the deployment of 5G.

This week, more than 150,000 people are participating in an online Summit to examine the facts pertaining to 5G. To be clear, we understand that there are indeed benefits to this technology. We also understand, however, that there are very significant risks associated with the technology which have not been addressed to date. As my elected representative, I urge you to examine then take immediate action to mitigate these risks.

What are the risks and negative impacts from 5G? These fit into the following broad categories: 1) adverse health impacts, 2) privacy impacts, 3) national security risks and 4) budgetary impacts.

ADVERSE HEALTH IMPACTS have been confirmed in over 3,600 medical studies that include cancer, sterility, heart conditions, and much more including environmental impacts.

PRIVACY IMPACTS include the harvesting of personal data about citizens without their knowledge or consent; including granular "contact tracing" surveillance, which 5G facilitates.

NATIONAL SECURITY RISKS include providing hostile nations with access to sensitive military and critical infrastructure networks.

BUDGETARY IMPACTS rise from lawsuits against municipal authorities for failure to comply with current statutory protections such as those provided in the ADA and FHA.

Individually, these risks would merit further action. Collectively, they should justify treating the matter as an urgent, immediate priority.

To be clear, to date there are no independent safety studies demonstrating the safety of prolonged exposure to the microwave and millimeter-wave frequencies; or phased array beamforming intended to be used for 5G in the future.

Thus, with thousands of studies indicating harmful bio-effect(s) from wireless radiation, and since the wireless industry tells us that 5G requires installation of transmitters emitting wireless radiation 24 hours a day in front of every 3-10 homes, causing or facilitating the deployment of 5G is an act of harm and/or negligence.

States such as Wisconsin, Ohio, Pennsylvania, Connecticut, Massachusetts, New Hampshire, Louisiana, Oregon, New York, and California have taken action to limit and exert more control over the 5G rollout.

Federal legislators, Senators Richard Blumenthal and James Gaughran, and Representatives Anna Esho, Thomas Suozzi, Daniel Lipinsky, Andy Kim, and Peter DeFazio have all formally initiated an inquiry regarding the safety of 5G technology and have requested documentation of its safety.

Countries and cities around the world, including Switzerland, Brussels, Italy, Greece, UK, Slovenia, Nigeria, New Guinea, have completely halted or postponed the roll out of 5G until its safety has been firmly established.

Leading tech and industry professionals including Frank Clegg, the former President of Microsoft Canada, have made pragmatic appeals to policy makers regarding the risks of 5G technologies (see: youtu.be/xSP2exnmJXg). Mr. Clegg has requested that his industry, the telecommunications industry, be required by policy makers to do full safety testing on 5G and pursue technological options such as fiber optics which mitigate health, privacy and security risks.

What actions can be taken? Contrary to what you may be told by federal authorities, state authorities or even your own legal counsel, there are significant actions you can take right now to join other communities in the protection of the rights of the citizens within your community.

THESE ACTIONS INCLUDE:

- 1) pursuing ordinances that require the telecom provider to comply with the ADA/FHA;
- 2) requesting applications for installation from 5G service providers stating their compliance with FCC installation requirements;
- 3) submitting these applications to FCC for environmental impact assessment; and
- 4) ensuring that electrosensitive citizens (3.2% of population on average) are provided with an environment free from harm.

Furthermore, please note that at least 23 municipalities from around the US are currently suing in the federal 9th District Court for the rights to regulate and control the rollout of 5G infrastructure in their local communities.

For more information, and/or to receive a summary of key legal facts and proven-successful steps you can take within your local government, please see “5G & Wireless Facts at a Glance” (copy-paste this link): solutionsforhumanity.net/wireless-facts-at-a-glance/

You are the last line of defense for the members of your community including your own family and friends. It is my hope that you will join with others in the pursuit of ordinances that protects all of us.

Sincerely,
Renita Herrmann

renitaherrmann@gmail.com

2939 Irving St San Francisco, CA 94122 Constituent

From: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#)
Cc: [BOS Legislation, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: FW: June 2, 2020 Board of Supervisors Meeting - Item #20
Date: Monday, June 1, 2020 10:22:00 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)
[Ltr. to Ken Fuchs - Transfer Tax Dispute \(3.30.20\).pdf](#)

Hello Supervisors,

Please see the attached communication regarding Item No. 20, File No. 200447, on tomorrow's agenda.

File No. 200447 - Hearing to consider objections to a report of delinquent real property transfer tax under Business and Tax Regulations Code, Section 1115.1(c), for Assessor's Parcel Block No. 0269, Lot No. 004 (364 Bush Street), and directing transmission of said report to the Controller and Tax Collector for collection and deposit into the General Fund, scheduled pursuant to Motion No. M20-054 (File No. 200446) approved May 12, 2020.

Thank you,

Eileen McHugh

Executive Assistant

Board of Supervisors

1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, City Hall, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102-4689

Phone: (415) 554-7703 | Fax: (415) 554-5163

eileen.e.mchugh@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

From: Kevin Rose <krose@reubenlaw.com>

Sent: Monday, June 1, 2020 8:34 AM

To: Calvillo, Angela (BOS) <angela.calvillo@sfgov.org>

Cc: REIBER, SCOTT (CAT) <Scott.Reiber@sfcityatty.org>

Subject: June 2, 2020 Board of Supervisors Meeting - Item #20

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Ms. Calvillo: Would you please include the attached letter with the packets available to members of the Board of Supervisors?

Thank you.

Kevin Rose

REUBEN, JUNIUS & ROSE, LLP

[Kevin H. Rose](#)

Office: (415) 567-9000

Direct Line: (415) 291-7036

Mobile: (925) 586-5725

krose@reubenlaw.com

www.reubenlaw.com

For Conference Calls, use: (866) 528-2256, access code: 7102566.

SF Office: Oakland Office:

One Bush Street, Suite 600 456 8th Street, 2nd Floor
San Francisco, CA 94104 Oakland, CA 94607

REUBEN, JUNIUS & ROSE, LLP

Kevin H. Rose
krose@reubenlaw.com

March 30, 2020

Delivered Via U.S. Mail and Email to kurt.fuchs@sfgov.org

Mr. Kurt Fuchs
Office of the Assessor-Recorder
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 190
San Francisco, CA 94102-4698

**Re: Response to Notice of Deficiency Determination and Demand for Immediate
Payment of San Francisco County Transfer Tax – 364 Bush Street
Our File No.: 10898.04**

Dear Mr. Fuchs:

My office is legal counsel to SBUS Bush Street, LLC (the “Company”), the owner of 364 Bush Street, San Francisco (the “Property”). We are in receipt of your March 4, 2020 letter regarding the payment of transfer taxes associated with the transfer of sixty percent (60%) of the membership interests in the Company. It appears the transfer tax claimed in your letter is based on the alleged reassessed value of the entire Property, without consideration of the specific transaction or the applicable laws and judicial decisions governing such transfers. The transfer tax should have been calculated using the consideration paid for the actual percentage of ownership interest in the Company. We write to object to the assessment of transfer taxes stated in your March 4, 2020 letter, and to determine if this matter can be resolved without legal proceedings.

In brief summary of the facts, on or around March 9, 2018, Royal Phoenix, LLC, purchased a 60% membership interest in the Company. The purchase price for this 60% interest was \$1,200,000, which was the result of good-faith negotiations. (Please see the enclosed purchase agreement and escrow closing statement.) The Property is the only asset held by the Company. In connection with this conveyance, my client remitted a transfer tax payment of \$9,000 to your office. Approximately two years later, the Company received your letter calculating transfer tax based on the Assessor’s proposed reassessed value of \$8,900,000. The Company also disputes this value in part because, among other reasons, the Assessor has not considered that the Property was partially vacant and the Assessor used rental rates for periods of time well after the closing date. (The Company has not received a formal notice of reassessment.)

Pursuant to San Francisco Business and Tax Regulations Code section 1102, where the consideration or value of the interest or property conveyed is at least \$1,000,000 and less than \$5,000,000, a transfer tax may be imposed at the rate of \$3.75 for each \$500 or fractional part thereof for the entire value **or consideration**, including, but not limited to, any portion of such value or consideration that is less than \$1,000,000. B&T Code 1102. When the interest conveyed results in a transfer of more than fifty percent (50%) of the ownership interest in a legal entity, the above-described transfer tax is triggered, with there

San Francisco Office
One Bush Street, Suite 600, San Francisco, CA 94104
tel: 415-567-9000 | fax: 415-399-9480

Oakland Office
827 Broadway, 2nd Floor, Oakland, CA 94607
tel: 510-527-5589

www.reubenlaw.com

Mr. Ken Fuchs
Office of the Assessor-Recorder
March 30, 2020
Page 2

being deemed to have “been an instrument executed whereby there was conveyed, for fair market value, all real property that experienced a change of ownership.” B&T Code 1114(b); California R&T 64, et seq.

By local ordinance, Sections 1102 and 1114 of the San Francisco Business and Taxation Code are to be interpreted consistently with those Documentary Stamp Tax Regulations adopted by the Internal Revenue Service of the United States Treasury Department as of 1967 (the “Regulations”). B&T 1114(a).¹

Under the pertinent Regulations, the documentary transfer tax must be “based upon the *net consideration where it is definite in amount*, or may be definitely determined.” Former Fed. Tax Regs. set forth at former 26 C.F.R. § 47.4361-1, subd. (b) (1968), emphasis added.; Brown v. County of Los Angeles, (1999) 72 Cal.App.4th, 665, 668. Further, it is not proper for a county to impose a transfer tax by applying its own arbitrarily determined “value” for the entire property simply because it is a greater amount than the actual consideration paid for the portion of property conveyed. Brown, supra.

In the present case, your office levied a transfer tax by applying a newly assessed “fair market value” of \$8,900,000 to the entire Property, with no consideration given to the partial conveyance of membership interests in the entity that owns the Property. This deemed value does not reflect the actual consideration paid, which is also generally presumed to be the fair market value of transferred real property. (Section 2, 18 Cal. Code of Regulations). Pursuant to the Regulations and the holding in Brown, the transfer tax should instead be calculated using the specific consideration paid for the interest conveyed.

Purchaser paid \$1,200,000 for 60% of the membership interests in the Company. Applying San Francisco Business and Taxation Code section 1102 to this conveyance, a total transfer tax of \$9,000 should have been levied, which is the amount Purchaser paid. Therefore, no further transfer tax should be due in connection with this transaction, and no penalties or interest should be assessed.

In the event your office proceeds with assessing a value of \$8,900,000 for purposes of calculating and assessing the transfer tax, please be advised that the Company objects to that assessment and reserves all rights, remedies and defenses.

Please contact me if you would like to discuss.

Very truly yours,

REUBEN, JUNIUS & ROSE, LLP



Kevin H. Rose

Enclosures

¹ Importantly, California Revenue and Taxation Code section 11911, the state transfer tax statute that provides local governments with the right to impose a local transfer tax and uses substantially similar language to that of San Francisco Business and Taxation Code section 1102, was enacted to replace and was patterned after those same Documentary Stamp Tax Regulations on conveyances.

MEMBERSHIP INTERESTS PURCHASE AGREEMENT

by and among:

ROYAL PHOENIX, LLC,
a New York limited liability company

SBUS BUSH STREET LLC,
a Delaware limited liability company

and

**THE HOLDERS OF MEMBERSHIP INTERESTS IN
SBUS BUSH STREET LLC,
AS LISTED ON THE SIGNATURE PAGES HERETO**

Dated as of March 9, 2018

MEMBERSHIP INTERESTS PURCHASE AGREEMENT

THIS MEMBERSHIP INTERESTS PURCHASE AGREEMENT (this "Agreement") is entered into as of March 9, 2018 (the "Effective Date"), by ROYAL PHOENIX, LLC, a New York limited liability company (the "Purchaser"), SBUS BUSH STREET LLC, a Delaware limited liability company (the "Company"), and the individuals whose names are set forth on Schedule 1 hereto under the heading "Members" (collectively, the "Sellers"). Certain capitalized terms used in this Agreement are defined in Exhibit A.

RECITALS

- A. The Company is the owner of the Property.
- B. The Sellers own sixty percent (60%) of the issued and outstanding Membership Interests in the Company.
- C. The Purchaser owns forty percent (40%) of the issued and outstanding Membership Interests in the Company.
- D. The Sellers are willing to sell to the Purchaser, and the Purchaser is willing to purchase from the Sellers, the Membership Interests in the Company owned by the Sellers, on the terms and subject to the conditions set forth herein.

NOW, THEREFORE, in consideration of the covenants, promises and representations set forth herein, and for other good and valuable consideration, the receipt and sufficiency of which are hereby acknowledged, the parties hereto, intending to be legally bound, hereby agree as follows:

AGREEMENT

1. Sale and Purchase of Membership Interests.

1.1 Sale and Purchase. At the Closing, the Sellers shall sell, transfer and assign, and the Purchaser shall purchase from the Sellers, sixty percent (60%) of the Membership Interests in the Company, free from all Encumbrances and with the benefit of all rights of whatsoever nature attaching or accruing to the Membership Interests.

1.2 Purchase Price; Adjustment; Excess Cash.

(a) The aggregate purchase price payable by the Purchaser for the Membership Interests shall be \$1,200,000.00 (the "Purchase Price"). Schedule 1 sets forth the amount of the Purchase Price allocated and payable to each Seller (in each case, the "Seller's Applicable Purchase Price Amount").

(b) At the Closing, the Purchaser shall deposit with the Company \$210,821.12 (which represents an amount equal to \$100,000.00 plus the difference between (i) the principal amount of the Loan (\$5,000,000.00) and (ii) the pay-off amount set forth in the Pay-off Letter (\$4,889,178.88)), which shall be applied by the Company at Closing or immediately thereafter together with other cash on hand at the Company and all Company bank accounts, and accrued income and other revenues of the Company for the period of time prior to March 9, 2018 including prorated rents at the Property (for avoidance of doubt, the reserves held by the existing lender as reflected in the Pay-off Letter are included with the calculation of the Pay-off Letter, and are not to be considered as cash on hand) to pay the fees and

expenses set forth in both (i) Schedule 2 attached to this Agreement, and (ii) such other fees and expenses of the Company that have accrued on or before March 9, 2018, including, without limitation, insurance concerning the Property and the real estate taxes concerning the Property for the periods or portion of periods which accrued prior to March 9, 2018. After the payments set forth in the prior sentence are made, and after the true up process described in Section 7.2, then excess cash, if any, shall be distributed by the Company as follows, and as further described in Section 7.2(f):

- (i) First, to the Purchaser in the amount of \$41,828.74; and
- (ii) Second, to the Sellers on a pro-rata basis in accordance with their percentage interests set forth on Schedule 1 attached to this Agreement.

1.3 Closing.

(a) The consummation of the transactions contemplated by this Agreement (the "**Closing**") shall take place at the offices of Diserio Martin O'Connor & Castiglioni LLP in New York, New York, concurrently with the execution and delivery of this Agreement.

(b) At the Closing, the Company shall cause to be delivered to the Purchaser:

(i) for any Membership Interests that are certificated, the applicable Seller shall deliver, or shall cause to be delivered, to the Purchaser the certificates representing such Membership Interests, duly endorsed in blank or accompanied by a power of attorney duly endorsed in blank, in proper form for transfer;

(ii) Assignment of Membership Interests, in the form attached hereto as Exhibit B;

(iii) Amendment to Operating Agreement, in the form attached hereto as Exhibit C;

(iv) A "Fairway" endorsement to the Company's policy of title insurance for the Property; and

(v) a statement (in such form as may be reasonably requested by counsel to the Purchaser) conforming to the requirements of Section 1.1445-11T(d)(2) of the United States Treasury Regulations.

(c) Payment of the Purchase Price payable at the Closing pursuant to Section 1.2 shall be made by the Purchaser by wire transfer at the Closing of immediately available funds to the Sellers in an amount equal to each such Seller's respective Seller's Applicable Purchase Price Amount to the specified accounts of the Sellers.

2. REPRESENTATIONS AND WARRANTIES OF THE COMPANY.

The Company and Sellers represent and warrant to the Purchaser that the following representations and warranties are true, correct and complete as of the Closing:

2.2 Due Organization; Etc.

(a) The Company is a limited liability company duly organized, validly existing and in good standing under the laws of the State of Delaware and has all necessary power and authority: (i) to conduct its business in the manner in which its business is currently being conducted; and (ii) to own and use its assets in the manner in which its assets are currently owned and used.

(b) The Company is duly qualified to do business as a foreign entity and in good standing under the laws of the State of California.

(c) A true, accurate and complete copy of the Operating Agreement has been made available to the Purchaser and except as contemplated by this Agreement there are no amendments to the Operating Agreement.

2.3 Capitalization.

(a) Schedule 1 attached to this Agreement contains a true, complete and accurate list of the outstanding Membership Interests of the Company owned by the Sellers, showing the names and percentages of the total Equity Interests of the Company owned by the Sellers.

(b) To its Knowledge, there is no: (i) outstanding subscription, option, call, warrant or right (whether or not currently exercisable) to acquire any Equity Interests of the Company; or (ii) outstanding security, instrument or obligation that is or may become convertible into or exchangeable for any Equity Interests of the Company.

2.4 Real Property. The Company is the owner of the Property, which is subject to the encumbrances set forth in the Loan Policy LX08114961 issued by Old Republic National Title Insurance Company on February 9, 2015.

2.5 Liabilities. The Company has no Liabilities, except for: (i) liabilities identified on Schedule 2 attached to this Agreement; and (ii) normal and recurring current liabilities incurred by the Company in bona fide transactions entered into in the ordinary course of business.

2.6 Authority; Binding Nature Of Agreements. The Company has the absolute and unrestricted right, power and authority to enter into and to perform its obligations under each of the Transactional Agreements to which it is or becomes a party; and the execution, delivery and performance by the Company of the Transactional Agreements to which it is or becomes a party have been duly authorized by all necessary action on the part of the Company. This Agreement and the other Transactional Agreements to which the Company is a party constitutes the legal, valid and binding obligation of the Company, enforceable against the Company in accordance with their respective terms.

2.7 Non-Contravention; Consents. Neither the execution and delivery of any of the Transactional Agreements, nor the consummation or performance of any of the Transactions, will directly or indirectly (with or without notice or lapse of time):

(a) contravene, conflict with or result in a violation of any of the provisions of the certificate of formation, Operating Agreement or other charter or organizational documents of the Company; or

(b) contravene, conflict with or result in a violation of, or give any Governmental Body or other Person the right to challenge any of the Transactions or to exercise any remedy or obtain any relief under, any Legal Requirement.

2.8 Loan Document. The representations and warranties of the Company contained in Sections 4.4 (Litigation), 4.5 (Agreements), 4.6 (Title), 4.7 (Bankruptcy), 4.9 (Taxes), 4.11 (Compliance), 4.12 (Contracts), 4.14 (Easements, Utilities and Public Access), 4.15 (Physical Condition), 4.16 (Leases) and 4.20 (Hazardous Condition) of the Loan Agreement are true and correct in all material respects as if made on and as of the date hereof, subject, however, to any representations and warranties as of a specific date, any qualifications set forth in the Loan Agreement, and any information provided to Purchaser by the Company and/or the Sellers and any information set forth in this Agreement.

3. REPRESENTATIONS AND WARRANTIES OF THE SELLERS.

Each Seller, severally and not jointly, represents and warrants to the Purchaser, as of the date hereof, as follows:

3.2 Power and Authority. Such Seller has full power and authority or capacity (including all corporate or other entity power and authority) to execute and deliver this Agreement and the Transaction Agreements, and to enter into and perform its, his or her obligations under this Agreement and the Transaction Agreements and to consummate the transactions contemplated herein and therein, and each of this Agreement and the Transaction Agreements has been duly executed and delivered by such Seller pursuant to all necessary authorization and is the legal, valid and binding obligation of such Seller, enforceable against such Seller in accordance with its terms.

3.3 Title. Such Seller (a) is the record and beneficial owner of the number of Membership Interests set forth opposite such Seller's name on Schedule 1 attached hereto; (b) is not a party to any voting trust, proxy or other agreement or understanding with respect to the voting of any Membership Interests, other than the Operating Agreement; (c) is not a party to any option, warrant, purchase right or other contract that could require the Seller to sell, transfer or otherwise dispose of any of its, his or her Membership Interests (other than this Agreement and the Operating Agreement); (d) has full power, right and authority, and any approval required by any Legal Requirement, to make and enter into this Agreement; and (e) has good and valid title to such Seller's Membership Interests, free and clear of all Encumbrances.

3.4 No Conflict. Neither the execution and delivery of this Agreement and the Transaction Agreements by such Seller, nor the consummation by such Seller of the Transactions contemplated herein or therein, nor compliance by such Seller with any of the provisions hereof or thereof, will conflict with any agreement to which such Seller is a party.

4. REPRESENTATIONS AND WARRANTIES OF THE PURCHASER.

The Purchaser hereby represents and warrants, to and for the benefit of the Company and the Sellers, as follows:

4.2 Authority; Binding Nature Of Agreements. The Purchaser has the absolute and unrestricted right, power and authority to enter into and perform its obligations under this Agreement. This Agreement been duly authorized and duly executed and delivered by the Purchaser. This Agreement

constitutes the legal, valid and binding obligation of the Purchaser, enforceable against it in accordance with its terms.

4.3 Investment Representations.

(a) The Purchaser acknowledges and understands that the Membership Interests may not be resold or redistributed without registration under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, and applicable state securities law, unless an applicable exemption from such registration is available.

(b) The Purchaser represents and warrants that it is acquiring the Membership Interests for its own account, for investment purposes, not for the interest of any other person, firm or entity, and not with a view to or present intention of reselling or distributing all or any portion of the Membership Interests.

(c) In making the decision to purchase the Membership Interests pursuant to this Agreement, the Purchaser has relied upon independent investigations by it and its own legal and tax advisors, and the Purchaser, subject to the Sellers' representations and warranties contained in this Agreement, and such advisors have, prior to such purchase, been given access to, and the opportunity to examine, all material books and records of the Company and all material contracts and documents relating to the Company, and an opportunity to ask questions of, and to receive answers from, the Sellers and the Company and to obtain any additional information necessary to verify the accuracy of the information provided to the Purchaser. The Purchaser and the Purchaser's advisors have received complete and satisfactory answers to any such inquiries. The Purchaser and the Purchaser's advisors have been furnished with all materials relating to the business, finances, and operation of the Company including the Property and materials relating to the Membership Interests that have been requested. No statements, promises, warranties or representations have been made to the Purchaser or the Purchaser's advisors concerning the Membership Interests, the Property, the Company, its business or prospects, or other matters, by the Company, the Sellers or any other Person, except as set forth herein. The Purchaser acknowledges that it has been advised and understands that investment in the Company involves substantial risks and has taken such risks and other factors into consideration in making a determination to purchase the Membership Interests. The Purchaser acknowledges that it is a current member of the Company.

(d) The Purchaser is an "accredited investor" within the meaning of the Securities Act.

5. INDEMNIFICATION, ETC.

5.1 The representations and warranties of the Company, the Sellers and the Purchaser set forth in this Agreement shall expire on the Expiration Date, and any liability a party with respect to such representations and warranties shall thereupon cease. Except in the event of fraud or intentional misrepresentation, claims for indemnification, compensation and reimbursement brought in accordance with and subject to this Section 5 shall be the sole and exclusive remedy of any Indemnitee for Damages from and after the Closing with respect to breaches of the representations and warranties set forth in this Agreement.

5.2 Subject to the terms contained in this Section 5, the Sellers (each, an "Indemnitor" and collectively, the "Indemnitors") will, severally and not jointly, indemnify (subject to the limitations contained in Section 5.4 below) the Indemnitees from and against the entirety of any Damages incurred

by any of the Indemnitees, directly arising out of or as a direct result of any breach of any of the representations or warranties of the Company or the Sellers contained in in this Agreement.

5.3 Notwithstanding any other provision of this Agreement to the contrary (other than Section 6), the Sellers shall be given a reasonable opportunity to mitigate any Damages for which indemnity is provided by the Sellers; the Sellers shall be allowed to control any litigation against an Indemnatee with counsel of their choosing, which shall be reasonably acceptable to such Indemnatee, including any negotiations with respect to or any compromise, defense or settlement of such litigation; the Sellers shall have no liability for settlement of any such litigation that is entered into without its consent (provided that such consent shall not be unreasonably withheld, conditioned or delayed) and they shall have the right to settle any such litigation on any terms whatsoever, so long as Purchaser, the Company, and any other Indemnatee are each completely released from liability other than amounts fully paid by the Sellers; and this indemnification provision shall not apply to the extent of any Damages incurred by an Indemnatee that are due to an act or omission of the Purchaser or such Indemnatee.

5.4 Notwithstanding any other provisions of this Agreement, but except as otherwise provided in Section 5.6:

(a) no Seller shall be obligated to indemnify, defend or hold harmless any Indemnatee with respect to any Damages from any claim or claims made by an Indemnatee pursuant to this Agreement until the aggregate Damages from all such claims exceeds Ten Thousand Dollars (\$10,000.00) (the "**Threshold Amount**"), provided, however, that after such Damages exceed the Threshold Amount, the Sellers will be obligated to indemnify the Indemnitees from and against all such Damages in excess of the Threshold Amount on a proportionate basis together based on their percentage of the total Equity Interest in the Company immediately prior to the Closing;

(b) no Seller shall be obligated to indemnify, defend or hold harmless any Indemnatee with respect to Damages to the extent that the aggregate amount of such Damages exceeds such Seller's Applicable Purchase Price Amount, except for Seller's fraud or willful failure to disclose;

(c) any Damages which the Sellers are obligated to indemnify, defend or hold harmless shall be shared on a proportionate basis among all of the Sellers based on their percentage of the total Equity Interest in the Company immediately prior to the Closing; and

(d) the Sellers' foregoing indemnity obligations shall exclude (i) any loss, injury, damage, claim, lien, cost or expense, including attorneys' fees and costs, to the extent arising out of an act or omission of the Purchaser.

5.6 Notwithstanding the foregoing, the Purchaser acknowledges that it is currently a member of the Company, and, based on the information provided to Purchaser by Sellers, it is familiar with the business and operations of the Company including its ownership of the Property and it has had the opportunity to conduct due diligence and investigation with respect to the Company, and in no event shall the Sellers have any liability to the Purchaser or any Indemnatee with respect to a breach of representation, warranty or covenant under this Agreement or the other Transactional Documents to the extent that the Purchaser actually knew of such breach as of the Closing.

6. TAX MATTERS.

6.1 Responsibility for Filing Tax Returns. The Sellers shall prepare, or cause to be prepared in a timely manner, all Tax Returns of the Company that are due prior to the Effective Date, as well as all income and partnership or limited liability company Tax Returns for periods ending on or before the Effective Date, including, in each instance, the Company's "stub year" ending on the Effective Date and even if such Tax Returns are due after the Effective Date; provided, however, that any such Tax Return shall be prepared by treating items on such Tax Return in a manner consistent with the prior Tax Returns of the Company, unless otherwise required by Legal Requirements. The Sellers will not take any position on any such Tax Return that would reasonably be expected to adversely affect the Company after the Effective Date, without the consent of the Purchaser (which consent shall not be unreasonably withheld, conditioned or delayed). The Sellers shall use best efforts to deliver to the Purchaser draft copies of each such Tax Return at least thirty (30) days prior to the date for filing such Tax Return. The Sellers shall make all changes to each such Tax Return reasonably requested by the Purchaser at least fifteen (15) days prior to the date for filing such Tax Return to the extent not inconsistent with any Legal Requirement.

6.2 Cooperation on Tax Matters. The Purchaser and the Sellers shall cooperate fully, as and to the extent reasonably requested by other parties, in connection with the filing of Tax Returns pursuant to Section 6.1 and any audit, litigation or other proceeding with respect to Tax Returns. Such cooperation shall include, in the case of the Purchaser, the retention and (upon the request of the other party and at the requesting party's expense) the provision of records and information that are reasonably relevant to any such audit, litigation or other proceeding and making persons available on a mutually convenient basis to provide additional information and explanation of any material provided hereunder. The Purchaser will: (A) retain all books and records with respect to Tax matters pertinent to the Company relating to any taxable period beginning before the Effective Date until the expiration of the statute of limitations (and, to the extent notified by any party, any extensions thereof) of the respective taxable periods, and to abide by all record retention agreements entered into with any taxing authority; and (B) give the other parties reasonable written notice prior to transferring, destroying or discarding any such books and records and, if any other party so requests, the Purchaser will allow the other parties, at such party's sole cost, to take possession of such books and records.

6.3 Pre-Closing Taxes. The parties acknowledge and agree that the following Taxes relating to the Company and the Property have been paid prior to the Effective Date: (i) local real estate taxes through and including December 31, 2017; (ii) statement of information for the State of California through and including September 30, 2018; (iii) franchise taxes through and including May 31, 2018; (iv) State of California limited liability company fees through and including April 14, 2018; (v) provisional tax for the State of California through and including June 14, 2018; (vi) registered agent fees for the Company through and including August 31, 2018; and (v) gross receipts taxes and local business taxes for the City and County of San Francisco through December 31, 2017. Notwithstanding anything set forth in this Agreement, the Purchaser acknowledges and agrees that it is solely responsible for all Taxes relating to the Company and the Property following the earlier of the dates set forth above and the Effective Date.

6.4 Transfer Taxes and Employment Taxes. All documentary, sales, use, stamp and other such Taxes and all conveyance fees, recording charges and other fees and charges (including any penalties and interest) incurred in connection with consummation of the transactions contemplated by this Agreement shall be paid by the Purchaser when due, and the Purchaser will, at its own expense, file all necessary Tax Returns and other documentation with respect to all such Taxes, fees and charges, and, if required by Legal Requirement, the Sellers will join in the execution of any such Tax Returns and other documentation; provided that, all transfer taxes associated with the transactions contemplated by this Agreement are set forth on Schedule 2 attached to this Agreement and shall be paid by the Company.

6.5 Tax Claims. After the Closing, the Purchaser and each of the Sellers shall promptly notify each of the other parties in writing upon receipt of any written notice of any pending or threatened audit or assessment, suit, proposed adjustment, deficiency, dispute, administrative or judicial proceeding or similar claim relating to Taxes ("**Tax Claim**") for which the Sellers could be liable pursuant to this Agreement. The Purchaser will control the defense of all Tax Claims, provided that the Sellers may elect, at their own expense, to have competent and reputable counsel satisfactory to the Purchaser participate in such defense by providing written notice to the Purchaser. This Section 6.5 shall govern the parties' handling of Tax Claims in lieu of Section 5.

7. COVENANTS.

7.2 Confidentiality. Except as required by Legal Requirement, no Person will make any public announcement of the terms of this Agreement or with respect to the transactions contemplated hereby without the consent of the Purchaser and the Sellers (as applicable), such consent not to be unreasonably withheld, conditioned or delayed. In addition, the parties agree that, other than as agreed or as required to implement the transactions contemplated hereby or as a result of announcements made in accordance with the above, the parties will keep confidential the terms and conditions of this Agreement, except as otherwise required by Legal Requirement.

7.3 Sources and Uses.

(a) Schedule 2 attached to this Agreement contains a list of projected income and expenses of the Company accrued as of March 8, 2018 (the "**Sellers' Projected Company Income and Expenses**").

(b) Within thirty (30) days following the Effective Date, the Purchaser may cause to be prepared and delivered to the Sellers its good faith written calculation of the actual Company income and expenses accrued as of March 8, 2018, calculated as described in Section 1.2 of this Agreement (the "**Purchaser's Projected Company Income and Expenses**"). The Sellers will have the opportunity to review the Purchaser's Projected Company Income and Expenses for a period of thirty (30) days following the Sellers' receipt thereof (the "**Review Period**"). Together with the Purchaser's good faith written calculation of the Purchaser's Projected Company Income and Expenses, the Purchaser will provide the Sellers information in the Purchaser's possession or control that is relevant to the calculation of the Purchaser's Projected Company Income and Expenses.

(c) The Purchaser's Projected Company Income and Expenses will become final, conclusive and binding on the Sellers unless, prior to the end of the Review Period, the Sellers notify the Purchaser in writing of objections to such calculation, identifying the disputed items, the estimated amounts of the disputed items if then reasonably determinable, and the basic facts underlying such objections. If the Sellers delivers an objection notice, the Purchaser and the Sellers will try in good faith to resolve such objections within thirty (30) days following delivery of the objection notice. If the Purchaser and the Sellers resolve some or all of the objections within such thirty (30) time period, they will document their resolution in a writing signed by each of them, and such resolution will be final, conclusive and binding on the parties. If the Purchaser and the Sellers are unable to resolve all objections within such thirty (30) day time period, the Parties will promptly refer any matters still in dispute for resolution as provided in Section 7.2(d).

(d) Any unresolved dispute concerning the Purchaser's Projected Company Income and Expenses will be referred for resolution to the New York, New York office of a nationally recognized

independent public accounting firm, who will be jointly retained by the Purchaser and the Sellers. If the parties are unable to agree to an independent public accounting firm for any reason, then the Purchaser and the Sellers will each designate a nationally recognized independent accounting firm with whom neither it nor any of its affiliates has any current professional relationship and the accounting firm to resolve the dispute will be chosen by lot. The chosen accounting firm is referred to in this Agreement as the "**Accounting Firm**". The Purchaser will pay one-half and the Sellers will pay one-half of the fees and expenses of the Accounting Firm. The Accounting Firm will act as a neutral arbitrator and will exercise its discretion independently to resolve only the disputed items submitted to it within the range of the differences between the parties. The Purchaser and the Sellers will be permitted to furnish the Accounting Firm with all information believed relevant to the determinations to be made by it, and the Accounting Firm will be permitted to request information from any party. None of the parties will meet or discuss any substantive matters with the Accounting Firm without the other present or having the opportunity following at least five (5) business days' notice to be present, either in person or by telephone. All information provided to the Accounting Firm by a party must be concurrently delivered to the other party involved in the dispute. All disputes with respect to the calculation of the actual fees and expenses of the Company as of March 8, 2018 will be resolved exclusively by the Accounting Firm and its determination absent manifest error will be final and binding upon the parties.

(e) Notwithstanding the foregoing, if the Sellers in good faith dispute any accrued income or expenses of the Company as of March 8, 2018, the Sellers may, by notice to the Purchaser, retain the exclusive right to contest, compromise or settle such accrued income and expenses; provided that, any settlement shall require the prior written consent of the Purchaser (which consent will not be unreasonably withheld, delayed or conditioned).

(f) Following the completion of the process described above: (i) if there is excess cash at the Company, it shall be distributed in accordance with Section 1.2(b) of this Agreement; and (ii) if there is a shortfall of cash at the Company, then the principal amount of the Loan shall be set-off by such shortfall amount on a dollar-for-dollar basis as of the date of such determination.

8. MISCELLANEOUS PROVISIONS.

8.2 Further Assurances. Each party hereto shall execute and/or cause to be delivered to each other party hereto such instruments and other documents, and shall take such other actions, as such other party may reasonably request in writing for the purpose of carrying out or evidencing any of the Transactions, including, but not limited to, the transfer of funds of the Company into a new bank account arranged by the Company on or after the Closing.

8.3 Attorneys' Fees. If any legal action or other legal proceeding relating to any of the Transactional Agreements or the enforcement of any provision of any of the Transactional Agreements is brought against any party to this Agreement, the prevailing party shall be entitled to recover reasonable attorneys' fees, costs and disbursements (in addition to any other relief to which the prevailing party may be entitled). All costs and expenses of the Purchaser, including fees and disbursements of counsel, incurred in connection with the preparation and execution of this Agreement and the transactions contemplated by this Agreement and the Loan shall be paid by the Purchaser.

8.4 Notices. Any notice or other communication required or permitted to be delivered to any party under this Agreement shall be in writing and shall be deemed properly delivered, given and received when delivered (by hand, by registered mail, by courier or express delivery service or by facsimile) to the

address or facsimile telephone number set forth beneath the name of such party on the signature page (or to such other address or facsimile telephone number as such party shall have specified in a written notice given to the other parties hereto).

8.5 Headings. The underlined headings contained in this Agreement are for convenience of reference only, shall not be deemed to be a part of this Agreement and shall not be referred to in connection with the construction or interpretation of this Agreement.

8.6 Counterparts and Exchanges by Facsimile Transmission. This Agreement may be executed in several counterparts, each of which shall constitute an original and all of which, when taken together, shall constitute one agreement. The exchange of a fully executed Agreement (in counterparts or otherwise) by facsimile transmission or electronic transmission in .PDF format shall be sufficient to bind the parties to the terms and conditions of this Agreement.

8.7 Governing Law; Venue. This Agreement shall be construed in accordance with, and governed in all respects by, the internal laws of the State of New York (without giving effect to principles of conflicts of laws). Any Proceeding relating to this Agreement or the enforcement of any provision of this Agreement may be brought or otherwise commenced only in any state or federal court located in New York, New York. Each party to this Agreement: (i) irrevocably and unconditionally consents and submits to the exclusive jurisdiction and venue of the state and federal courts located in New York, New York; (ii) agrees that each state and federal court located in the State of New York shall be deemed to be a convenient forum; and (iii) agrees not to assert (by way of motion, as a defense or otherwise), in any such Proceeding commenced in any state or federal court located in New York, any claim that such party is not subject personally to the jurisdiction of such court, that such Proceeding has been brought in an inconvenient forum, that the venue of such Proceeding is improper or that this Agreement or the subject matter of this Agreement may not be enforced in or by such court.

8.8 Successors And Assigns; Parties In Interest. This Agreement shall be binding upon: the Company and its successors and assigns (if any), the Purchaser and its successors and assigns (if any) and the Sellers and their respective successors and assigns (if any). This Agreement shall inure to the benefit of the Company, the Purchaser, the other Indemnitees, the Sellers and the respective successors and assigns (if any) of the foregoing. No party to this Agreement shall assign any of its rights or delegate any of its obligations under this Agreement without the prior written consent of the other parties to this Agreement. None of the provisions of this Agreement is intended to provide any rights or remedies to any Person other than the parties to this Agreement and their respective successors and assigns (if any).

8.9 Remedies Cumulative; Specific Performance. The rights and remedies of the parties hereto shall be cumulative.

8.10 Waiver; Amendments. No failure on the part of any Person to exercise any power, right, privilege or remedy under this Agreement, and no delay on the part of any Person in exercising any power, right, privilege or remedy under this Agreement, shall operate as a waiver of such power, right, privilege or remedy, except as specifically set forth in this Agreement; and no single or partial exercise of any such power, right, privilege or remedy shall preclude any other or further exercise thereof or of any other power, right, privilege or remedy. No Person shall be deemed to have waived any claim arising out of this Agreement, or any power, right, privilege or remedy under this Agreement, unless the waiver of such claim, power, right, privilege or remedy is expressly set forth in a written instrument duly executed and delivered on behalf of such Person; and any such waiver shall not be applicable or have any effect except

in the specific instance in which it is given. This Agreement may not be amended, modified, altered or supplemented other than by means of a written instrument duly executed and delivered on behalf of the Purchaser, the Company and the Sellers.

8.11 Severability. Any term or provision of this Agreement that is invalid or unenforceable in any situation in any jurisdiction shall not affect the validity or enforceability of the remaining terms and provisions hereof or the validity or enforceability of the offending term or provision in any other situation or in any other jurisdiction. If the final judgment of a court of competent jurisdiction declares that any term or provision hereof is invalid or unenforceable, the parties hereto agree that the court making such determination shall have the power to limit the term or provision, to delete specific words or phrases, or to replace any invalid or unenforceable term or provision with a term or provision that is valid and enforceable and that comes closest to expressing the intention of the invalid or unenforceable term or provision, and this Agreement shall be enforceable as so modified. In the event such court does not exercise the power granted to it in the prior sentence, the parties hereto agree to replace such invalid or unenforceable term or provision with a valid and enforceable term or provision that will achieve, to the extent possible, the economic, business and other purposes of such invalid or unenforceable term.

8.12 Entire Agreement. The Transactional Agreements set forth the entire understanding of the parties relating to the subject matter thereof and supersede all prior agreements and understandings among or between any of the parties relating to the subject matter thereof.

8.13 Recitals. The recitals set forth above are hereby incorporated into this Agreement.

[Remainder of page intentionally left blank; signature pages follow.]

The parties to this Agreement have caused this Agreement to be executed and delivered as of the Effective Date.

PURCHASER

ROYAL PHOENIX, LLC

By: 

Name:

Chang Geng Zhao

Title:

Manager

Address:

123 Hazelwood Dr. Jericho
NY 11753

Facsimile:


The parties to this Agreement have caused this Agreement to be executed and delivered as of the Effective Date.

COMPANY

SBUS BUSH STREET LLC

By: ROYAL PHOENIX, LLC,
A New York limited liability company

Its: Sole Member

By: 
Name: Geng Gang Zhao.
Title: Manager.
Address: 123 Hazelwood Dr. Jericho
NY 11753
Facsimile: _____

The parties to this Agreement have caused this Agreement to be executed and delivered as of the Effective Date.

SELLERS

ZENO CAPITAL (USA) INC.

By: _____

Name: Tony Vaccitopoulos

Title: President

Address: 5 Harries Road 11600 Johannesburg
South Africa.

Facsimile: _____

FRANK GALLO REALTY LLC

By: _____

Name: _____

Title: _____

Address: _____

Facsimile: _____

Martin Long

Address: _____

Facsimile: _____

Arie Dahan

Address: _____

Facsimile: _____

[SIGNATURE PAGE TO MEMBERSHIP INTERESTS PURCHASE AGREEMENT]

The parties to this Agreement have caused this Agreement to be executed and delivered as of the Effective Date.

SELLERS

ZENO CAPITAL (USA) INC.

By: _____

Name: _____

Title: _____

Address: _____

Facsimile: _____

FRANK GALLO REALTY LLC

By: Frank Gallo

Name: FRANK GALLO

Title: AUTHORIZED SIGNATORY

Address: 17 BEECHTREE LANE

BRONXVILLE N.Y. 10708

Facsimile: FGALLO@FRANKGALLOLLC.COM

Martin Long

Address: _____

Facsimile: _____

Arie Dahan

Address: _____

Facsimile: _____

The parties to this Agreement have caused this Agreement to be executed and delivered as of the Effective Date.

SELLERS

ZENO CAPITAL (USA) INC.

By: _____

Name: _____

Title: _____

Address: _____

Facsimile: _____

FRANK GALLO REALTY LLC


By: _____

Name: _____

Title: _____

Address: _____

Facsimile: _____



Martin Long

Address: 23 Willowmere Ave

Riverside CT 06878

Facsimile: _____

Arie Dahan

Address: _____

Facsimile: _____

The parties to this Agreement have caused this Agreement to be executed and delivered as of the Effective Date.

SELLERS

ZENO CAPITAL (USA) INC.

By: _____

Name: _____

Title: _____

Address: _____

Facsimile: _____

FRANK GALLO REALTY LLC

By: _____

Name: _____

Title: _____

Address: _____

Facsimile: _____

Martin Long

Address: _____

Facsimile: _____

Arie Dahan

Address: 87 Hancock St
Somerville, MA 02114

Facsimile: _____



Max Risman

Address: 111 York Ter
Brockline MA 02446
Facsimile: _____

Richard Saunders

Address: _____

Facsimile: _____

James Dow

Address: _____

Facsimile: _____

Catherine Saunders

Address: _____

Facsimile: _____

Max Risman

Address: _____

Facsimile: _____



Richard Saunders

Address: _____

Facsimile: _____

James Dow

Address: _____

Facsimile: _____

Catherine Saunders

Address: _____

Facsimile: _____

Max Risman

Address: _____

Facsimile: _____

Richard Saunders

Address: _____

Facsimile: _____

James Dow

Address: _____

Facsimile: _____

Catherine Saunders

Address: _____

Facsimile: _____

Max Risman

Address: _____

Facsimile: _____

Richard Saunders

Address: _____

Facsimile: _____

James Dow

Address: _____

Facsimile: _____



Catherine Saunders

Address: 55 W 84th St Apt 10

Facsimile: _____

EXHIBIT A
CERTAIN DEFINITIONS

For purposes of this Agreement (including this Exhibit A):

Agreement. "Agreement" shall mean the Membership Interests Purchase Agreement to which this Exhibit A is attached, as it may be amended from time to time.

Code. "Code" shall mean the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended.

Damages. "Damages" shall include any actual loss, damage or Liability caused directly by an act or omission of a Person; provided that, the term "Damages" shall not include consequential, exemplary and/or punitive damages.

Encumbrance. "Encumbrance" shall mean any lien, pledge, hypothecation, charge, mortgage, security interest, UCC financing statement, encumbrance, claim, infringement, interference, option, right of first refusal, preemptive right or restriction of any nature other than restrictions imposed under federal and state securities law.

Entity. "Entity" shall mean any corporation, general partnership, limited partnership, limited liability partnership, limited liability company, joint venture or other similar entity.

Equity Interest. "Equity Interest" means any and all units, interests, membership interests or other partnership/limited liability company or ownership interests, and any commitments with respect thereto (including, without limitation any Membership Interests).

Expiration Date. "Expiration Date" shall mean the date that is six (6) months after the Effective Date.

Governmental Body. "Governmental Body" shall mean any: (a) federal, state, local, municipal, foreign or other government; or (b) governmental or quasi-governmental authority of any nature.

Indemnitees. "Indemnitees" shall mean the Purchaser and its successors and assigns.

Knowledge. An individual shall be deemed to have "Knowledge" of a particular fact or other matter if such individual is actually aware of such fact or other matter. An Entity shall be deemed to have "Knowledge" of a particular fact or other matter if any current manager of such Entity has Knowledge of such fact or other matter.

Legal Requirement. "Legal Requirement" shall mean any federal, state, local, municipal or other law, statute, rule, regulation or ruling issued, enacted, adopted, passed, approved, promulgated, made, implemented or otherwise put into effect by or under the authority of any Governmental Body.

Liability. "Liability" shall mean any known debt, obligation, duty or liability.

Loan. "Loan" shall mean the loan made by Zeno Capital (USA) Inc., as lender, to the Purchaser, as borrower, on the date hereof in the original principal amount of Five Million Dollars (\$5,000,000.00) as evidenced by that certain Promissory Note, dated as of the date hereof, issued by the Purchaser to Zeno Capital (USA) Inc.

Loan Agreement. "Loan Agreement" shall mean the Loan Agreement, dated as of February 4, 2015, between the Company and PFP 2015-2, Ltd. (as successor to PFP Holding Company IV, LLC).

Membership Interest. "Membership Interest shall mean any and all membership interests in the Company, including, as to any Person: (a) such Person's rights, if any, to receive allocations and distributions from the Company, to vote any voting interest in the Company and to consent or approve any action by the Company; and (b) any other rights or interests such Person may have in the Company under the Operating Agreement or otherwise pursuant applicable Legal Requirements.

Operating Agreement. "Operating Agreement" shall mean the Limited Liability Company Agreement of SBUS BUSH STREET LLC dated as of October 1, 2014.

Pay-off Letter. "Pay-off Letter" shall mean the pay-off letter to be issued by PFP 2015-2, Ltd. as lender to the Company and mortgagee of the Property.

Person. "Person" shall mean any individual, Entity or Governmental Body.

Proceeding. "Proceeding" shall mean any action, suit, litigation, arbitration or proceeding brought, conducted or heard by or before, or otherwise involving, any Governmental Body.

Property. "Property" shall mean the real property and improvements located at the property commonly known as 364 Bush Street, San Francisco, California.

Tax. "Tax" shall mean any United States federal, state or local tax imposed, assessed or collected by or under the authority of any Governmental Body.

Tax Return. "Tax Return" shall mean any return filed with or submitted to, or required to be filed with or submitted to, any Governmental Body in connection with the collection or payment of any Tax.

Transactional Agreements. "Transactional Agreements" shall mean this Agreement and all other agreements delivered or to be delivered in connection with the Transactions.

Transactions. "Transactions" shall mean (a) the execution and delivery of the respective Transactional Agreements, and (b) all of the transactions contemplated by the respective Transactional Agreements, which are: (i) the sale of the Membership Interests to the Purchaser in accordance with this Agreement; and (ii) the performance by the Company and the Purchaser of their respective obligations under the Transactional Agreements, and the exercise by the Company and the Purchaser of their respective rights under the Transactional Agreements.

EXHIBIT B

ASSIGNMENT OF MEMBERSHIP INTERESTS

KNOW ALL MEN BY THESE PRESENTS, that **each of the signatories to this Agreement** (individually, the "**Assignor**"), for good and valuable consideration, the receipt and sufficiency of which is acknowledged, does hereby sell, assign, transfer and convey to Royal Phoenix, LLC, a New York limited liability company (the "**Assignee**"), the percent membership interest in SBUS Bush Street LLC, a Delaware limited liability company (the "**Company**"), owned by the Assignor identified on Schedule 1 attached to this Assignment, together with all right, title, interest and benefits of any kind to which the Assignor is entitled with respect to such membership interest (the "**Membership Interest**").

This instrument shall inure to the benefit of, and be binding upon, each party and that party's respective successors and assigns. It is the intention of the parties that the laws of the State of New York shall govern the validity of this instrument, the construction of its terms and the interpretation of the rights and duties of the parties hereto.

This instrument is executed and delivered pursuant to the Membership Interest Purchase Agreement, dated as of the date hereof (the "**Agreement**"), and the assignment of the Membership Interest pursuant hereto shall be subject to the terms and conditions set forth in the Agreement.

This Assignment shall be effective as of March 9, 2018.

[Remainder of page intentionally left blank; signature pages follow.]

The undersigned have caused this Assignment to be executed and delivered as of the date set forth above.

ASSIGNORS

ZENO CAPITAL (USA) INC.

By: 

Name: Tony Vassilopoulos

Title: President

FRANK GALLO REALTY LLC

By: _____

Name:

Title:

Martin Long

Arie Dahan

The undersigned have caused this Assignment to be executed and delivered as of the date set forth above.

ASSIGNORS

ZENO CAPITAL (USA) INC.

By: _____
Name:
Title:

FRANK GALLO REALTY LLC

By: Frank Gallo
Name: FRANK GALLO
Title: AUTHORIZED SIGNATORY

Martin Long

Arie Dahan

The undersigned have caused this Assignment to be executed and delivered as of the date set forth above.

ASSIGNORS

ZENO CAPITAL (USA) INC.

By: _____

Name:


Title:

FRANK GALLO REALTY LLC

By: _____

Name:

Title:

 _____

Martin Long

Arie Dahan

The undersigned have caused this Assignment to be executed and delivered as of the date set forth above.

ASSIGNORS

ZENO CAPITAL (USA) INC.

By: _____

Name:

Title:

FRANK GALLO REALTY LLC

By: _____

Name:

Title:

Martin Long

Arie Dahan

Max Risman


A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to be 'Max Risman', written over a horizontal line.

Richard Saunders

James Dow

Catherine Saunders

Max Risman



Richard Saunders

James Dow

Catherine Saunders

Max Risman

Richard Saunders

James Dow


A handwritten signature in dark ink, appearing to read 'James Dow', is written over a horizontal line. The signature is stylized with a large initial 'J' and a cursive 'D'.

Catherine Saunders

Max Risman

Richard Saunders

James Dow



Catherine Saunders

SCHEDULE 1**ASSIGNORS**

Assignor Names	Percentage Interest In the Company being assigned
Zeno Capital (USA) Inc.	40.00%
Frank Gallo Realty LLC	7.79%
Martin Long	3.90%
Arie Dahan	2.60%
Max Rlsman	2.60%
Richard Saunders	1.56%
James Dow	0.78%
Catherine Saunders	0.78%
TOTAL:	60.0%

EXHIBIT C

AMENDMENT TO LLC AGREEMENT

**First Amendment to Limited Liability Company Agreement
of SBUS Bush Street LLC**

This First Amendment to Limited Liability Company Agreement of SBUS Bush Street LLC, a Delaware limited liability company is entered into between the members listed on the signature page of this Agreement, as of March 9, 2018, with reference to the following facts:

RECITALS

A. The members of the Company listed on the signature page to this Amendment entered into that certain Limited Liability Company Agreement of SBUS Bush Street LLC, a Delaware limited liability company (the "Company") on October 1, 2014 (the "Agreement").

B. The following members of the Company have entered into a Membership Interests Purchase Agreement dated March 9, 2018 with regard to their assignment of their membership interests in the Company to Royal Phoenix, LLC, a New York limited liability company ("Royal Phoenix"): Zeno Capital (USA) Inc., Frank Gallo Realty LLC, Martin Long, Arie Dahan, Max Risman, Richard Saunders, James Dow.

Now, therefore, for valuable consideration, the members of the Company hereby amend the Agreement as follows:

AGREEMENT

1. Transfer of Interests. The parties to this Amendment confirm and agree that as of the date of this Amendment, the only member of the Company is Royal Phoenix.
2. No Further Rights. Except for Royal Phoenix, the other parties to this Amendment have any rights, title or interest in or to the Company and/or in or to any profits or proceeds of the Company.
3. General Provisions. This Amendment has been drafted by a mutual effort of the parties, and shall therefore be interpreted without regard to which party is the "drafting party." The parties waive any rights that are inconsistent with the foregoing sentence. This Amendment may be signed by facsimile or pdf signatures.

This Amendment has been signed by the parties and is effective as of the date set forth above.

[Signatures on attached pages]

ROYAL PHOENIX, LLC

By: 

Name:

Title:

Gonggang Zhao
Manager.

ZENO CAPITAL (USA) INC.

By: _____

Name:

Title:

FRANK GALLO REALTY LLC

By: _____

Name:

Title:

Martin Long

Arie Dahan

Max Risman

Richard Saunders

James Dow

Catherine Saunders

ROYAL PHOENIX, LLC

By: _____

Name:

Title:

ZENO CAPITAL (USA) INC.

By: _____

Name: Tony Vassilopoulos

Title: President

FRANK GALLO REALTY LLC

By: _____

Name:

Title:

Martin Long

Arie Dahan

Max Risman

Richard Saunders

James Dow

Catherine Saunders

ROYAL PHOENIX, LLC

By: _____

Name:

Title:

ZENO CAPITAL (USA) INC.

By: _____

Name:

Title:

FRANK GALLO REALTY LLC

By: Frank Gallo

Name: FRANK GALLO

Title: Authorized Signatory

Martin Long

Arie Dahan

Max Risman

Richard Saunders

James Dow

Catherine Saunders

ROYAL PHOENIX, LLC

By: _____

Name:

Title:

ZENO CAPITAL (USA) INC.

By: _____

Name:


Title:

FRANK GALLO REALTY LLC

By: _____

Name:

Title:



Martin Long

Arie Dahan

Max Risman

Richard Saunders

James Dow¹

Catherine Saunders

ROYAL PHOENIX, LLC

By: _____

Name:

Title:

ZENO CAPITAL (USA) INC.

By: _____

Name:

Title:

FRANK GALLO REALTY LLC

By: _____

Name:

Title:

Martin Long

Arie Dahan

Max Risman

Richard Saunders

James Dow

Catherine Saunders

ROYAL PHOENIX, LLC

By: _____
Name: _____
Title: _____

ZENO CAPITAL (USA) INC.

By: _____
Name: _____
Title: _____


FRANK GALLO REALTY LLC

By: _____
Name: _____
Title: _____

Martin Long

Arle Dahan

Max Risman



Richard Saunders

James Dow

Catherine Saunders

ROYAL PHOENIX, LLC

By: _____

Name:

Title:

ZENO CAPITAL (USA) INC.

By: _____

Name:

Title:

FRANK GALLO REALTY LLC

By: _____

Name:

Title:

Martin Long

Arie Dahan

Max Risman

Richard Saunders



James Dow

Catherine Saunders

ROYAL PHOENIX, LLC

By: _____

Name:

Title:

ZENO CAPITAL (USA) INC.

By: _____

Name:

Title:

FRANK GALLO REALTY LLC

By: _____

Name:

Title:


Martin Long

Arle Dahan

Max Risman

Richard Saunders

James Dow



Catherine Saunders

SCHEDULE 1**MEMBERS**

Member Names	Percentage of the Company's Total Equity Interests	Seller's Applicable Purchase Price Amount
Zeno Capital (USA) Inc.	40.00%	\$800,000.00
Frank Gallo Realty LLC	7.79%	\$155,880.00
Martin Long	3.90%	\$77,920.00
Arie Dahan	2.60%	\$51,960.00
Max Risman	2.60%	\$51,960.00
Richard Saunders	1.56%	\$31,160.00
James Dow	0.78%	\$15,560.00
Catherine Saunders	0.78%	\$15,560.00
TOTAL:	60.0%	\$1,200,000.00

BORROWER'S STATEMENT

Date: March 9, 2018

GFNo: TX15002847A

Loan From: ZENO CAPITAL (USA), INC.

To: SBUS Bush Street LLC, a Delaware limited liability company

Property: 364-374 Bush Street, San Francisco, CA, 94104
364-374 Bush Street
San Francisco, CA 94104

Credits/Funds Received

Loan Amount from ZENO CAPITAL (USA), INC.	\$5,000,000.00
Member Injection from SBUS BUSH STREET LLC	\$95,658.64
Member Injection from SBUS BUSH STREET LLC	\$1,300,000.00
Total	\$6,395,658.64

Less: Charges/Disbursements

Payoff Existing Loan to Cohen Financial	\$4,889,178.88
Principal Balance	\$5,675,000.00
Regular Interest to 3/9/2018	\$32,166.22
Exit Fee	\$56,750.00
Appraisal Fee	\$5,000.00
Less T&I and Rate Cap	(\$374,843.18)
Less Taxes & Insurance	(\$70,230.14)
Less Capex	(\$264,803.14)
Less Debt Service	(\$23,010.79)
Less brokerage comm	(\$144,834.16)
Less rollover	(\$2,015.93)
Loan Policy 5MM Liability to Old Republic National Title Insurance Company	\$7,448.00
ALTA 15.2 Non Imputation End to prior Owner Policy to Old Republic National Title Insurance Company	\$575.00
Escrow Fee to Old Republic National Title Insurance Company	\$500.00
Recording Service Fee/E-File to Old Republic National Title Insurance Company	\$25.00
Recording Fees to Old Republic National Title Insurance Company	\$500.00
San Francisco County Assessor Recorder SB2 Filing Tax to Old Republic National Title Insurance Company	\$225.00
Fed ex/Delivery Fees to Old Republic National Title Insurance Company	\$25.00
Professional Services Fees to Diserio Martin O'Connor & Castiglioni LLP	\$25,000.00
Membership Interest Purchase from Royal Phoenix, LLC and SBUS Bush Street LLC to Zeno Capital (USA) Inc.	\$800,000.00
Membership Interest Purchase to SBM Members to St Brides Managers LLC	\$400,000.00
Transfer Tax to Royal Phoenix LLC	\$9,000.00
Payment to SBM MEembers / St brides Managers LLC to St Brides Managers Account - First Republic Bank	\$77,500.00
Sams Tenant Deposit to Royal Phoenix LLC	\$72,942.00
GAF Legal Fees sale - final installment Gibney Anthony & Flaherty to Gibney Anthony & Flaherty	\$7,500.00
RWW Tax Filing Year 2017 to SBUS Bush Street LLC	\$5,000.00

Printed at: 03/09/2018 (05:04 pm)
Company

Compliments of Old Republic National Title Insurance

Renovation Vendors Billed to St. Onge & Associates.....	\$1,860.00
Renovation Vendors Billed to Brereton Architects.....	\$13,161.00
Renovation Vendors Billed to Craig Heidig.....	\$2,489.00
Construction - 2nd floor work to SBUS Bush Street LLC.....	\$40,487.62
Construction - ACM Abatement to SBUS Bush Street LLC.....	\$11,851.47
C&W Commission to Royal Phoenix LLC.....	\$22,865.47
Delaware Payment to Royal Phoenix LLC.....	\$300.00
Operating Expense to Lincoln Property Co.....	\$6,250.00
Operating Expense to Recology.....	\$253.53
Operating Expense to Glenn Parr.....	\$300.00
Operating Expense to Clean Arama Maintenance Service.....	\$270.00
Operating Expense to St. Brides Managers.....	\$81.27
Operating Expense to OP Ex Water Jan & Feb.....	\$70.40
Total Charges/Disbursements.....	\$6,395,658.64
Net Amount Due to Borrower.....	<u>\$0.00</u>

and cannot guarantee the accuracy thereof. The lender involved may be furnished a copy of this statement.

The undersigned hereby authorizes Old Republic National Title Insurance Company to make expenditure and disbursements as shown above and approves same for payment. The undersigned also acknowledges receipt of Loan Funds, if applicable, in the amount shown above and a receipt of a copy of this Statement

Old Republic National Title Insurance Company

By


Mindy Coover

SBUS BUSH STREET LLC,
a Delaware limited liability company

By: ROYAL PHOENIX, LLC
a New York limited liability company

Its: Sole Member

By:

Name: Ganggang Zhao

Title:

ON BEHALF OF LENDER:
ZENO CAPITAL (USA), INC.

By:

Name: Charles J. Spiess

Title: Authorized Signatory

ON BEHALF OF SELLERS OF SBUS BUSH STREET LLC

By:

Name: Charles J. Spiess

Title: Authorized Signatory

Printed at: 03/09/2018 (02:19 pm)
Company

Compliments of Old Republic National Title Insurance
Company

***Note:** Interest on existing liens is figured to the date indicated. If not paid by then, additional interest will have to be collected and your statement will be adjusted to have sufficient funds to secure release from the lienholder.

From: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#)
Subject: FW: SF should respect and honor George Floyd
Date: Monday, June 1, 2020 12:40:00 PM

From: Allen Jones <jones-allen@att.net>

Sent: Sunday, May 31, 2020 5:08 PM

To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>; Breed, Mayor London (MYR) <mayorlondonbreed@sfgov.org>; Heiken, Emma (MYR) <emma.heiken@sfgov.org>

Cc: SFPDCommunityRelations, (POL) <SFPDcommunityrelations@sfgov.org>; P Matier <pmatier@sfchronicle.com>; Heather Knight <hknight@sfchronicle.com>

Subject: SF should respect and honor George Floyd

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Attention: All Members of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors and Mayor London Breed,

The murder of George Floyd by a Minneapolis police officer demands a bold statement of respect for Black life by the nation. But San Francisco, including elected leadership and San Francisco law enforcement community could take the lead.

Therefore, I encourage The City to reach out to the siblings of George Floyd **FIRST**, with a special offer of respect. This offer must have the blessings of Mr. Floyd's siblings.

Renaming of the San Francisco Police headquarters in honor of George Floyd is a statement that places respect for human life where all law enforcement can be reminded and often forget. And though I can hear rank and file officers here resisting, I counter with the fact they took exception to NFL blackballed QB Colin Kaepernick also.

All words of condolence, sympathy, empathy and law enforcement expressed condemnation of time after time police brutality are as hollow as a political promises of police reform.

Let's do something different even if this gesture will not erase police brutality.

Police departments all over this country should consider this gesture or similar. And I have sent this suggestion to the mayors of two other cities.

Black people are not respected by enough in law enforcement. And if you agree with my statement, please don't be naive enough to suggest better training is the answer.

This statement will not end racism in our many police forces but it will give law enforcement all across this nation something to think about.

Your rejection of this gesture would not surprise me one bit. And I send this suggestion despite my belief that San Francisco politics are fueled by racism. And even if you saw merit in my gesture it must be defended properly.

That said, I challenge you all to at least muster up the courage to do me the courtesy of responding to my suggestion.

Allen Jones

(415) 756-7733

jones-allen@att.net

The Only thing I love more than justice is the freedom to fight for it.

P. S. I have not forgotten Mario Woods

From: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#)
Subject: FW: Remove the purveyors of systemic racism from office
Date: Tuesday, June 2, 2020 8:30:00 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

From: Carroll, John (BOS) <john.carroll@sfgov.org>

Sent: Tuesday, June 2, 2020 8:09 AM

To: Jaden B <sfbuffsf@gmail.com>; Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>

Subject: RE: Remove the purveyors of systemic racism from office

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email to the board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org address, I am forwarding your comments to the full Board of Supervisors.

Best to you,

John Carroll

Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors

San Francisco City Hall, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a “virtual” meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Jaden B <sfbuffsf@gmail.com>

Sent: Monday, June 1, 2020 5:23 PM

To: Breed, London (MYR) <london.breed@sfgov.org>; Simley, Shakirah (HRC) <shakirah.simley@sfgov.org>

Cc: Carroll, John (BOS) <john.carroll@sfgov.org>; Miree, David (HRC) <david.miree@sfgov.org>; Walton, Shamann (BOS) <shamann.walton@sfgov.org>; Lyn-Tise.Jones@sfgov.org; karen.clopton@sfgov.org; Info, HRC (HRC) <hrc.info@sfgov.org>

Subject: Remove the purveyors of systemic racism from office

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I read with interest your offer of service to City employees dealing with the aftermath of the George

Floyd (note he is one of many) tragedy – and let us not forget Central Park Karen who, had the outcome of her assault been different, would have resulted in Mr. Cooper on this list.

It is difficult and somewhat offensive to believe that any service offered by the City (and under the name of the current HRD director) could heal the wounds of systemic racism. To be clear, Micki Callahan as public hearings and documents show, has been at the forefront and a promoter of systemic racism and in weaponizing the employment process in an assault toward and to the disadvantage of people of color and to cause them irrefutably consistent harm. Micki Callahan's actions amount to neo-apartheid behavior and crypto fascism. Any director, and this is only one instance, who signs her name to a document that suggests that the use of the N-word does not cause harm, exhibits behavior amounting to a gross and sickening assault on every black (and brown) person. Clearly this is misconduct and a deficiency in her leadership.

Micki Callahan (and any other Karens and purveyors of systemic racism) must be removed immediately and unconditionally removed from office for the healing process to begin. Let us begin to dismantle the power of these abusers of white privilege.

Signed,

A black City employee who knows all too well what it is like to fear going to work for the City on a daily basis.

Dear City Employee:

This past weekend has been incredibly emotional and one of the toughest for our City. The impact of the tragic death of George Floyd has rippled across the country with peaceful protests and demonstrations occurring in every major city, including San Francisco, calling for much-needed change. Unfortunately, some individuals have used peaceful gatherings as an excuse to inflict injury and damage on people and property in San Francisco at a time when we're all still hurting from a global pandemic.

As CCSF employees that impact is amplified by the fact that it's our jobs to keep the city running. Many of you may feel frustrated, angry, sad, worried or confused. We want to reach out and say that's ok.

If you need to talk to someone, we have EAP counselors available 24/7 to support all active City and County of San Francisco employees with confidential tele-counseling as we continue to shelter in place.

From: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#)
Subject: FW: Human Rights Commission
Date: Tuesday, June 2, 2020 8:13:00 AM

From: Mary Tramil <mary.tramil@att.net>
Sent: Monday, June 1, 2020 5:35 PM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>
Subject: Human Rights Commission

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hello Board of Supervisors:

The protest was a very well organized one and had key speakers informing us what to do by several experienced speakers: George Floyd should not have been killed for the so-call crime he was reported to have committed.

I would like to bring to all of the Board's attention that: I was once employed by the HRC. (16 yrs.) I was fired around June 6th, 2016 and later appeared before the HRC Commission on July 14, 2016 asking for my job back, since the union did nothing to help get me my job back. (local 1021). It is my understanding that all speaking appearances before the Commission is 'taped'. I requested from the HRC this Feb. 2020 how to review the tape to read what I said and have it printed out. When I accessed the tape, I discovered my 'whole' testimony has been completely "erased". I emailed one of my District 10 Supervisor Walton's staff person to inform her of the fact that my testimony had been completely erased.

However, Ace Washington, whom I'm sure most of you know, if not all of you, because he often appeared before the Board. His whole testimony was still on the tape. He was there that day and gave testimony just before me.

I'm emailing you about this so that you can put the HRC on notice not to break the city's law, ordinance or regulation to erase testimonies. When the Virus is lifted, I will appear before them and tell them in person what somebody on their staff did to my testimony. Ace's testimony was regarding how 'useless' they have become and if they erase that, I'll make sure I inform him.

You need to know,

Mary L. Tramil

Resident of District 10 for the last 27 years.

From: [Justin Mikecz](#)
To: [Fung, Frank \(CPC\)](#); [Koppel, Joel \(CPC\)](#); mooreurban@aol.com; [Johnson, Millicent \(CPC\)](#); [Imperial, Theresa \(CPC\)](#); [Diamond, Susan \(CPC\)](#); [Ionin, Jonas \(CPC\)](#); aaron.hyland.hpc; [dianematsuda](#); [Black, Kate \(CPC\)](#); [Foley, Chris \(CPC\)](#); [RSEJohns](#); jonathan.pearlman.hpc; [So, Lydia \(CPC\)](#)
Cc: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Mar, Gordon \(BOS\)](#); [Haney, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Support for Streamlining CEQA with Proposed Standard Environmental Requirements
Date: Monday, June 1, 2020 12:31:31 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hi Planning Commissioners and Historic Preservation Commissioners,

I am writing to you to voice my strong support for streamlining the CEQA process with the Planning Department's Proposed Standard Environmental Requirements. While I am giving my support as an Architect in the city, I am also giving it as a resident, who feels the impact of the housing crisis and, more importantly, has seen a lot of friends and members in the community struggle to find adequate housing and often, ultimately, leave the Bay Area.

While I am a strong proponent of protecting our environment and the general intent of CEQA, I have seen first-hand the misuse of CEQA in slowing and sometimes killing proposed housing development for issues not related to the environment or the quality of the design. Quality housing projects on urban, developed sites that are not seeking any variances or conditional use authorizations should not take a year-plus just to get through the Planning Department's portion of the Site Permit review, but we are repeatedly seeing this happen and largely due to the CEQA portion of their review. This is significantly affecting the housing pipeline that is so desperately needed.

We cannot rely on affordable housing requirements alone. We cannot fix the housing crisis until we address the supply problem and remove some of the unproductive roadblocks in the way. Smaller projects seem to be most affected by these roadblocks. Right now housing development only pencils out for Big Developers with deep pockets. Some of our clients are doing fine. But we have other clients that have had to completely abandon projects because lengthy CEQA review and NIMBY opposition has caused them to drain their finances before the project can even break ground.

Board of Supervisors, specifically Supervisor Preston,

I live in your District. I have met you and voted for you before; this vote is important to maintain my support. I hope when this policy makes it to the Board of Supervisors for a vote that you will vote in favor of it.

Thank you all for your consideration. If you have time, I would be happy to hear your thoughts.

Justin Mikecz
 Inner Sunset Resident & Architect

From: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#)
Cc: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: FW: Zero Emission Building Task Development of Proposed All Electric New Construction Ordinance
Date: Monday, June 1, 2020 11:58:00 AM
Attachments: [4856-002j - Local 38 Letter re Zero Emission Task Force building construction electrification ordinance proceeding \(5-29-20\).pdf](#)

From: Lorrie J. LeLe <ljllele@adamsbroadwell.com>

Sent: Friday, May 29, 2020 12:56 PM

To: Breed, Mayor London (MYR) <mayorlondonbreed@sfgov.org>; Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>; Environment, ENV (ENV) <environment@sfgov.org>

Cc: Imazzolajr@ualocal38.org; Aaron Stockwell <aaron@calpipes.org>; Kelly, Jr, Harlan (PUC) <HKelly@sfgov.org>; O'Riordan, Patrick (DBI) <patrick.oriordan@sfgov.org>; Thomas A. Enslow <TEnslow@adamsbroadwell.com>

Subject: Zero Emission Building Task Development of Proposed All Electric New Construction Ordinance

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Please find attached correspondence related to the above-referenced matter.

If you have any questions, please contact Tom Enslow directly.

Thank you,

Lorrie LeLe

Legal Assistant

Adams Broadwell Joseph & Cardozo

520 Capitol Mall, Suite 350

Sacramento, CA 95814

ljllele@adamsbroadwell.com | Phone: 916. 444.6201 Ext. 10 | Fax: 916.444.6209 |

This e-mail may contain material that is confidential, privileged and/or attorney work product for the sole use of the intended recipient. Any review, reliance or distribution by others or forwarding without express permission is strictly prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient, please contact the sender and delete all copies.

ADAMS BROADWELL JOSEPH & CARDOZO

A PROFESSIONAL CORPORATION

ATTORNEYS AT LAW

520 CAPITOL MALL, SUITE 350
SACRAMENTO, CA 95814-4721

TEL: (916) 444-6201

FAX: (916) 444-6209

tenslow@adamsbroadwell.com

SO. SAN FRANCISCO OFFICE

601 GATEWAY BLVD., SUITE 1000
SO. SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94080

TEL: (650) 589-1660

FAX: (650) 589-5062

DANIEL L. CARDOZO
CHRISTINA M. CARO
THOMAS A. ENSLOW
ANDREW J. GRAF
TANYA A. GULESSERIAN
KENDRA D. HARTMANN*
KYLE C. JONES
RACHAEL E. KOSS
NIRIT LOTAN
AARON M. MESSING
WILLIAM C. MUMBY

MARC D. JOSEPH
Of Counsel

*Admitted in Colorado

May 29, 2020

VIA EMAIL AND U.S. MAIL

Mayor London N. Breed
City Hall, Room 200
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102
Email: MayorLondonBreed@sfgov.org

Board of Supervisors
c/o Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board of Supervisors
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, Ca. 94102-4689
Email: Board.of.Supervisors@sfgov.org

Debbie Raphael
Director of the San Francisco Department of the Environment
1455 Market Street, Suite 1200
San Francisco, CA 94103
Email: environment@sfgov.org

RE: Zero Emission Building Task Force Development of Proposed All
Electric New Construction Ordinance

Dear Mayor Breed, Board of Supervisors, and Ms. Raphael:

I am writing on behalf of Plumbers & Pipefitters UA Local 38 regarding the ongoing City of San Francisco proceeding to adopt an "All Electric New Construction Ordinance" banning natural gas in all new construction. The City of San Francisco's Department of the Environment ("SF Environment") has been holding a series of workshops to consider language for such a ban to be adopted by

4856-002j

January 1, 2021. SF Environment has just about completed its Phase I workshops for the development of recommendations for such a ban, and is now moving into its Phase II development of a detailed implementation plan including drafting a proposed “All Electric New Construction Ordinance.”

We urge the City to pause these Phase II proceedings until the California Public Utilities Commission (“CPUC”) completes its proceeding for a statewide plan for reducing natural gas use in California buildings. Sharply reducing or eliminating natural gas usage without a coordinated statewide plan would have significant unintended safety, equity and economic impacts. Phase II should focus on coordinating the recommendations developed in Phase I with the statewide plan being developed by the CPUC.

There is no question that the natural gas system serving San Francisco will look different in the future. But as we transition to a lower greenhouse gas (“GHG”) world, we must be careful to avoid putting the burden of the transition on those who can least afford it. The recent [Gridworks Report Phase I](#) provides a detailed analysis of the problem with an uncoordinated transition away from natural gas.¹ While there is consensus that natural gas usage must be reduced to meet GHG goals, it is already being reduced in a manner that will impose great cost burdens on those who cannot afford it and on industry for which there is no technical alternative (processes that require very high heat).

House by house, neighborhood by neighborhood, or even city by city elimination does not solve the problem. If we simply eliminate natural gas from new construction, that will gradually reduce the amount of natural gas used in the City, but will not change the fixed costs of the natural gas system that must be recovered by PG&E. The same distribution pipeline network will still exist in the City, with the same costs to repair and maintain it. With less gas flowing but the same total costs for the distribution system, gas rates must go up. This means that those who cannot afford the upfront costs to switch their gas space and water heating to electricity will have higher bills. As more switch to electricity, the gas rates for those remaining will go even higher.

¹ https://gridworks.org/wp-content/uploads/2019/09/CA_Gas_System_in_Transition.pdf.

To address the issues highlighted in the Phase I report, the CPUC began a rulemaking (R.20-01-007) this past January to analyze these problems and create a coordinated and equitable statewide plan. This is not a simple process. There are two basic parameters over which the CPUC has to model the future gas system in the State of California: the geographic range of the distribution system (i.e., do we retire large sections so as to dramatically reduce fixed costs) and the future fuel (i.e. forms of renewable gas (biomethane, hydrogen) or electricity). The ultimate pathway needs to take into account effects on prices, safety, workforce, local air pollution, and equity. To avoid committing to a path that will hurt low-income residents of the City and shift costs and burdens to the residents of neighboring cities and counties, we should put this action on hold until California has a statewide plan to manage the transition without hurting the most vulnerable among us.

Drafting a proposed ordinance before such a plan is in place is not just premature, it is unnecessary in light of the City's recent adoption of Ordinance No. 262-19 & 003-20. That Ordinance already moves the City toward addressing the additional GHG emission concerns of mixed-fueled buildings. Under this ordinance, new mixed fuel residential low-rise buildings are required to demonstrate an Energy Design Rating (EDR) compliance margin of 14, and new mixed fuel residential high-rise and nonresidential buildings are required to use 10 percent less energy than code compliant buildings.

Accordingly, the City has already created significant incentives to build all electric buildings that will apply in the interim while the CPUC develops a more far reaching, integrated statewide plan. This interim requirement provides the flexibility for builders to choose to allow the use of gas appliances in return for a significant increase in a building's energy efficiency. This provides a fair, equitable, and more economic approach for reducing energy use and GHG emissions in the short term, while the complicated statewide equity concerns related to electrification requirements are addressed by the CPUC. Once the CPUC establishes a statewide plan for transition, then the City will be in position to facilitate this transition in a manner that does not conflict with statewide plans and does not shift costs and burdens to those who can least afford it.

May 29, 2020
Page 4

Rather than moving directly into Phase 2 of its development of a proposed ordinance to ban natural gas in all new construction, we respectfully suggest that the City instead put its resources into participating in the CPUC rulemaking and then turning back to development of a City ordinance once a coordinated statewide plan is adopted.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in blue ink that reads "Thomas A. Enslow". The signature is fluid and cursive, with a long horizontal stroke at the end.

Thomas A. Enslow

TAE:lj1

cc: Zero Emission Building Task Force, Executive Steering Committee
c/o San Francisco Department of the Environment environment@sfgov.org
Larry Mazzola, Jr., Business Manager, lmazzolajr@ualocal38.org
Plumbers and Pipefitters, Local 38
Aaron Stockwell, Executive Director, aaron@calpipes.org
California State Pipe Trades Council
Harlan Kelly, Jr., HKelly@sfgov.org
General Manager, SFPUC
Patrick O'Riordan, patrick.oriordan@sfgov.org
Interim Director, SF Dept. of Bldg. Inspection

From: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#)
Subject: FW: We Oppose Closing Fort Mason - City College Trustees Vote Today to Do the Deed
Date: Monday, June 1, 2020 11:08:00 AM

From: EquityForOlderStudents <EquityForOlderStudents@protonmail.com>

Sent: Thursday, May 28, 2020 3:19 PM

To: Haney, Matt (BOS) <matt.haney@sfgov.org>; Mar, Gordon (BOS) <gordon.mar@sfgov.org>; Preston, Dean (BOS) <dean.preston@sfgov.org>; Fewer, Sandra (BOS) <sandra.fewer@sfgov.org>; Ronen, Hillary <hillary.ronen@sfgov.org>; Walton, Shamann (BOS) <shamann.walton@sfgov.org>; Safai, Ahsha (BOS) <ahsha.safai@sfgov.org>

Cc: Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>

Subject: We Oppose Closing Fort Mason - City College Trustees Vote Today to Do the Deed

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors:

In a bit less than one hour, the City College Board of trustees monthly meeting will begin, and they are expected to vote, in effect, to end 45-plus years of having a campus at Fort Mason Art Center. FYI, public comment starts near the beginning of the meeting. How to view or call in is provided below.***

We oppose this closure for numerous reasons, among them the following:

--- It continues the self-admitted AGE DISCRIMINATION. The nearly-complete destruction of the Older Adults Program that, in less than two years, has been cut from some 76 classes to just five (5) this Spring is worsened by ending classes in the uniquely valuable Fort Mason, home to multiple long-standing and fully and faithfully attended Older Adult art classes.

--- The College has not presented a comprehensive PLAN to deal with its stated pre- and post-COVID-19 financial problems.

--- The STATISTICS they have provided are highly questionable. For example, the Administration repeatedly combines financial information from ****both**** Fort Mason and another campus, Civic Center, rather than just Fort Mason -- presumably to show financial losses.

There is much more to be said, but in the interest of alerting you promptly, we send this and invite further questions.

Sincerely yours,

Peter Warfield

415 / 7 5 3 - 2 1 8 0

Meeting will be conducted via Zoom:

<https://cccconfer.zoom.us/j/92126556550>,

Using your phone: CALL IN NUMBER 1.699.900.6833 (the ID number for the phone is [92126556550](https://cccconfer.zoom.us/j/92126556550))

Sent with [ProtonMail](#) Secure Email.

From: [Kate Sofis](#)
To: [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Mar, Gordon \(BOS\)](#); [Haney, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); Asha.safai@sfgov.org; [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Kittler, Sophia \(MYR\)](#)
Cc: [Allison Kelly](#); [Sharon Miller](#); [Sara Razavi](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#)
Subject: Letter of Opposition File #200455 "Emergency Ordinance - Temporary Right to Reemployment Following Layoff Due to COVID-19 Pandemic"
Date: Monday, June 1, 2020 9:01:00 AM
Attachments: [SFMade Ren ICA WS Opposition Final.pdf](#)

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Honorable Supervisors (cc Mayor London Breed),

Attached please find our joint letter of opposition to the pending File #200455 "Emergency Ordinance - Temporary Right to Reemployment Following Layoff Due to COVID-19 Pandemic."

Respectfully,
Kate Sofis, SFMade
Sharon Miller, Renaissance Entrepreneurship Center
Sara Ravazi, Working Solutions
Allison Kelly, ICA Fund Good Jobs

Kate Sofis
Chief Executive Officer
SFMade
kate@sfmade.org
415-408-5605 x 1

From: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS Legislation, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: FW: The Epicurean Trader's response to "Emergency Ordinance - Temporary Right to Re-employment Following Layoff Due to COVID19 Pandemic"
Date: Monday, June 1, 2020 5:56:00 AM

From: mat@theepicureantrader.com <mat@theepicureantrader.com>

Sent: Wednesday, May 27, 2020 10:23 AM

To: BOS-Legislative Aides <bos-legislative_aides@sfgov.org>; BOS-Supervisors <bos-supervisors@sfgov.org>; Dick-Endrizzi, Regina (ECN) <regina.dick-endrizzi@sfgov.org>; Torres, Joaquin (ECN) <joaquin.torres@sfgov.org>; SBC (ECN) <sbc@sfgov.org>

Subject: The Epicurean Trader's response to "Emergency Ordinance - Temporary Right to Re-employment Following Layoff Due to COVID19 Pandemic"

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Board of Supervisors, Legislative Aides and Small Business Commission,

Thank you for your continued efforts to protect and guide San Franciscans during the pandemic. Your leadership and focus on our health and well being has been extraordinary. And thank you as well for the efforts to being open to feedback as we build the infrastructure for rebuilding our economy.

We are writing with constructive feedback regarding the [Emergency Ordinance](#) - Temporary Right to Re-employment Following Layoff Due to COVID19 Pandemic.

We completely support the idea of re-employment of furloughed or laid off staff. It is smart business to bring back trained staff that have already been invested in. What we don't support, however, is an ordinance that places a significant administrative, bureaucratic and legal burden on businesses during a time when they are struggling to stay open. They should instead stay focused on keeping their staff safe and surviving the adverse economic impact that the pandemic has had on them.

Specifically, this ordinance leads with the importance of healthcare for displaced workers; however, it does not address the issues with healthcare access and cost. This ordinance instead puts the burden on businesses to be the safety net when they are struggling to maintain operations during the pandemic. Why doesn't this ordinance require health insurers to provide discounts or reduced premiums to impacted workers, especially since, at this time, only emergency services are being provided and most care is through telehealth? Why doesn't this ordinance make government funds available to workers for COBRA premiums? Why doesn't this ordinance expand access or reduced premiums to Healthy SF for impacted workers?

At The Epicurean Trader, have attempted to re-employed any furloughed staff and will continue our efforts to re-employ them. However, given the unemployment numbers currently, it is also important to remember that *anyone we hire is likely to have been laid*

off from their prior job. The few outside hires we have made since March are former staff who lost their jobs due to the pandemic and/or were hires laid off from their prior companies.

Would you prefer The Epicurean Trader focus on completing paperwork for the City or would you like us to focus on protecting the safety of our staff and guests so that we can continue to serve and feed our community? Do you fully understand the pressures we are under? We would be happy to show you our operations and explain what our daily triage and crisis management looks like in order to stay in business and support our staff, suppliers and guests.

Again, we DO NOT support this ordinance. We are already doing the right thing and trying to bring our staff back. And it frankly is too much of a burden to be bogged down with additional administrative and bureaucratic paperwork to maintain compliance.

In the event you decide against our feedback, and do vote this through, we implore you to simplify the process:

1. City creates a “Required Notice” in multiple languages that must be included in notices from employers regarding layoff that simplifies the steps from the original draft. Remove the burden of the City’s data collection efforts from the businesses and allow impacted staff to opt-in directly with the City. Provide impacted staff with the resources, links and information they need directly and in one clear place.

- a. The Required Notice would include information on right to re-employment, resources through OLSE and a link and phone number for the impacted individual to be added to the City’s database of impacted workers.

- b. Include resources for job training programs and job boards through the City (e.g. SF OEWD).

- c. Include any privacy information/language.

2. Allow for email and text to be a method of delivery from employers without consent. Paper mail is time consuming to prepare, costly, slow and is difficult to track. Businesses already communicate with their staff via email, text and HR information systems; obtaining consent to email someone is unnecessary and burdensome.

3. Allow businesses to take exceptions with staff who have previously refused to work – Specifically, allow businesses to NOT have to offer re-employment to staff who were able and available to work but refused to do so for personal (non-medical or otherwise protected) reasons.

4. Remove the seniority rule – staff have varying skills, qualifications, language abilities, and interests that are not based on tenure. Allow the business to manage who is best fit for available positions to ensure success for everyone.

5. 10 days is too long to allow an offer of employment to sit. We need to run our operations and cannot burn out current staff while former staff take 10 days to consider their options. This should be no more than 2 days with email, text and phone calls made to ensure they receive the information. No extensions should be permitted. Again, we are running a business and cannot allow this to hinder our hiring.

6. Remove the 90-day entitlement once re-hired. California is an at-will state. Please do not create promises of employment that are in contradiction to at-will employment. No other staff member is guaranteed that when hired – it is inequitable and not in alignment with California employment laws.

7. Remove any reporting to OLSE.

8. Remove the remedies. If businesses can barely afford to operate under these circumstances, how can they afford to litigate and pay back wages for this ordinance? How will the businesses pay for this?

Thank you so much for our thoughtful consideration and continued leadership as we all work together to navigate out of this mess and into a successful period of recovery.

Kind regards,

Mat

Owner, The Epicurean Trader

From: [Sonja Trauss](#)
To: [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#)
Cc: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Diamond, Susan \(CPC\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Fung, Frank \(CPC\)](#); [Haney, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Imperial, Theresa \(CPC\)](#); [Ionin, Jonas \(CPC\)](#); [Johnson, Milicent \(CPC\)](#); [Koppel, Joel \(CPC\)](#); [Laura Clark; MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [Mar, Gordon \(BOS\)](#); [Merlone, Audrey \(CPC\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Starr, Aaron \(CPC\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [mooreurban@aol.com](#)
Subject: Re: SUPPORT Exemption from Density Limits for Affordable and Unauthorized Units 2019-014348PCA [Board File No. 190757]
Date: Saturday, May 30, 2020 6:03:29 PM

If you have some reason you oppose exempting affordable housing from density limits then say that.

There are reasons:

- Maybe you believe that the density limits serve a legitimate public purpose, and you don't want to allow neighborhoods to be more dense than the law currently allows.
- Or maybe you are opposed to the creation of housing generally, this would promote housing, so you oppose it.

Those are reasons, not ones I agree with, but reasons none the less.

But to oppose this because the math is too complicated, when in fact the math is simple, that's, like I said, embarrassing.

Best,
Sonja

On Sat, May 30, 2020 at 5:53 PM Peskin, Aaron (BOS) <aaron.peskin@sfgov.org> wrote:

Life and the complexities of communities are actually much more complicated than basic math equations.
Aaron Peskin

From: Sonja Trauss <sonja.trauss@gmail.com>
Sent: Saturday, May 30, 2020 4:50:28 PM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>; Stefani, Catherine (BOS) <catherine.stefani@sfgov.org>; Fewer, Sandra (BOS) <sandra.fewer@sfgov.org>; Ionin, Jonas (CPC) <jonas.ionin@sfgov.org>; MandelmanStaff, [BOS] <mandelmanstaff@sfgov.org>; Mar, Gordon (BOS) <gordon.mar@sfgov.org>; Peskin, Aaron (BOS) <aaron.peskin@sfgov.org>; Ronen, Hillary <hillary.ronen@sfgov.org>; Safai, Ahsha (BOS) <ahsha.safai@sfgov.org>; Walton, Shamann (BOS) <shamann.walton@sfgov.org>; Yee, Norman (BOS) <norman.yee@sfgov.org>; Preston, Dean (BOS) <dean.preston@sfgov.org>; Fung, Frank (CPC) <frank.fung@sfgov.org>; Koppel, Joel (CPC) <joel.koppel@sfgov.org>; Haney, Matt (BOS) <matt.haney@sfgov.org>; Johnson, Milicent (CPC) <milicent.johnson@sfgov.org>; mooreurban@aol.com <mooreurban@aol.com>; Diamond, Susan (CPC) <sue.diamond@sfgov.org>; Imperial, Theresa (CPC) <theresa.imperial@sfgov.org>
Cc: Merlone, Audrey (CPC) <audrey.merlone@sfgov.org>; Laura Clark <laura@yimbyaction.org>; Starr, Aaron (CPC) <aaron.starr@sfgov.org>

Subject: Re: SUPPORT Exemption from Density Limits for Affordable and Unauthorized Units 2019-014348PCA [Board File No. 190757]

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I just thought of an easier way to think about it.

If only MR units count toward the density limit, and we assume that a developer wants to propose the maximum number of MR units, then the equation is this:

Total project size (1 - inclusionary rate) = number of market rate units.

So for the numbers in the staff report example:

Total project size * 0.80 = 200 units

Total project size = 250 unit

Best
Sonja

On Sat, May 30, 2020 at 4:05 PM Sonja Trauss <sonja.trauss@gmail.com> wrote:

Re:

Exemption from Density Limits for Affordable and Unauthorized Units
2019-014348PCA [Board File No. 190757]

Hi all,

I am writing to support the above captioned legislation, literally legalizing existing housing, and also exempting affordable housing from density limits. I support this legislation because we are in a housing shortage, it will produce new housing and legalize existing housing, and because I agree with the philosophy - we should not have laws that limit affordable housing.

On page 3 of the planning staff report, attached here, planning objects to removing the "cap" of no more than 25% of units in the building being exempt from the density calculation because it makes the required inclusionary calculation "infinitely more complicated."

While infinity does come into it, calculating the sum of a geometric series is actually super simple. Here is the wikipedia page about it:

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Geometric_series#Formula

As n goes to infinity, the absolute value of r must be less than one for the series to converge. The sum then becomes

$$a + ar + ar^2 + ar^3 + ar^4 + \dots = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} ar^k = \frac{a}{1-r}, \text{ for } |r| < 1.$$

Using the formula for the numbers in the staff report example, the total number of units in

the project will be

$$200 * (1 / [1 - 0.2]) = 200 * (1/0.8) = 200 * (10/8) = 200 * (1.25) = 250.$$

200 MR units and 50 BMR units.

Because they don't know how to find the sum of a converging geometric series, the planning staff recommended that the Board "amend Section 207(c) to state that mandatory inclusionary units may not be exempted from the density calculation," basically gutting this part of the legislation.

If Supervisor Mandelman's proposed policy change doesn't pass because planning staff, planning commissioners and the whole Board of Supervisors all didn't notice that we are dealing with a geometric series, and all don't know how to calculate a sum of one, that would be really very embarrassing.

Hopefully this came up in the testimony at the Planning Commission, and my email is redundant.

Best,
Sonja Trauss
215 900 1457

APPENDIX

If you want more explanation of the arithmetic, read here.

The staff report has the following example:

"If the requirement to retain at least 20% of the units as inclusionary is to remain intact, it will require a lengthy and iterative calculation which will only be complete when the project hits its maximum height and bulk limitations. As an example: A project proposes to build 200 units. Under a 20% inclusionary requirement, 40 of the 200 units must be Affordable. The proposed legislation would allow those 40 Affordable units to be exempt from the density calculation. This means 40 additional market-rate units could be added to the project. The new total number of units in the project is now 240. The 20% inclusionary requirement is taken from the total number of units in a project, not just the number of market-rate units. This means the new inclusionary number of units goes up. Which then means more market-rate units could be built . . ."

Here are the calculations:
 $200 \text{ units} * 0.20 \text{ inclusionary rate} = 40 \text{ units}$

New project: 240 units.
Another way of writing 240 is $200 + 40$, or $200 + 200(0.20)$. In math,
 $240 =$
 $200 + 40 =$
 $200 + 200(0.20)$

But wait! We have to find out what 20% of 240 is! No problem.

$$240(0.20) = 48$$

Ok so the new project is 248 units.

Another way of writing 248 is ...

$$248 =$$

$$200 + 40 + 8 =$$

$$200 + 200(0.2) + 200(0.2)(0.2)$$

But wait! We have to find out what 20% of 248 is! No problem.

$$248(0.2) = 49.6$$

Ok so the new project is 249.6 units.

Another way or writing 249.6 is ...

$$249.6 =$$

$$200 + 40 + 8 + 1.6 =$$

$$200 + 200(0.2) + 200(0.2)(0.2) + 200(0.2)(0.2)(0.2)$$

But wait! We have to find out what 20% of 249.6 is! No problem.

$$249.6(0.2) = 49.92$$

Ok so the new project is 249.92 units.

Another way of writing 249.92 is ...

$$249.92 =$$

$$200 + 40 + 8 + 1.6 + 0.32 =$$

$$200 + 200(0.2) + 200(0.2)(0.2) + 200(0.2)(0.2)(0.2) + 200(0.2)(0.2)(0.2)(0.2)$$

But wait! We have to find out what 20% of 249.92 is. No problem,

$$249.92(0.20) = 49.98$$

Ok, so the new project is 249.98 units.

Another way of writing 249.98 is ...

$$249.98 =$$

$$200 + 40 + 8 + 1.6 + 0.32 + 0.064 =$$

$$200 + 200(0.2) + 200(0.2)(0.2) + 200(0.2)(0.2)(0.2) + 200(0.2)(0.2)(0.2)(0.2) + 200(0.2)(0.2)(0.2)(0.2)(0.2)$$

But wait! I'll cut to the chase, because I think you can start to see the pattern. The next project size is 249.9928.

$$249.9928 = 200 + 40 + 8 + 1.6 + 0.32 + 0.064 + 0.0128$$

Every time we multiply the new project size by 20% we are adding smaller and smaller numbers. First we added 40, then 8, then 1.6 then 0.32 then 0.064 then 0.0128. To get the next number to add, we multiply the last number by 20%. Yes, a planner could do this forever, but since we are dealing in whole numbers, and because our inclusionary rate is less than 100%, after a while, the total number of units stops changing.

No one need do any of these calculations, forever or not, because the formula for this sum is known and has been known since at least 300 BC when [Euclid's Elements](#) was published. Possibly much longer. *Elements* is claimed by some to be second only to the

Bible in number of editions and readership since the advent of the printing press.

--

Sent from a pocket computer

--

Sent from a pocket computer

From: [Sonja Trauss](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Ionin, Jonas \(CPC\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \(BOS\)](#); [Mar, Gordon \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Fung, Frank \(CPC\)](#); [Koppel, Joel \(CPC\)](#); [Haney, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Johnson, Millicent \(CPC\)](#); [mooreurban@aol.com](#); [Diamond, Susan \(CPC\)](#); [Imperial, Theresa \(CPC\)](#)
Cc: [Merlone, Audrey \(CPC\)](#); [Laura Clark](#); [Starr, Aaron \(CPC\)](#)
Subject: Re: SUPPORT Exemption from Density Limits for Affordable and Unauthorized Units 2019-014348PCA [Board File No. 190757]
Date: Saturday, May 30, 2020 4:50:43 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I just thought of an easier way to think about it.

If only MR units count toward the density limit, and we assume that a developer wants to propose the maximum number of MR units, then the equation is this:

Total project size (1 - inclusionary rate) = number of market rate units.

So for the numbers in the staff report example:

Total project size * 0.80 = 200 units

Total project size = 250 unit

Best
Sonja

On Sat, May 30, 2020 at 4:05 PM Sonja Trauss <sonja.trauss@gmail.com> wrote:

Re:
Exemption from Density Limits for Affordable and Unauthorized Units
2019-014348PCA [Board File No. 190757]

Hi all,

I am writing to support the above captioned legislation, literally legalizing existing housing, and also exempting affordable housing from density limits. I support this legislation because we are in a housing shortage, it will produce new housing and legalize existing housing, and because I agree with the philosophy - we should not have laws that limit affordable housing.

On page 3 of the planning staff report, attached here, planning objects to removing the “cap” of no more than 25% of units in the building being exempt from the density calculation because it makes the required inclusionary calculation “infinitely more complicated.”

While infinity does come into it, calculating the sum of a geometric series is actually super simple. Here is the wikipedia page about it:

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Geometric_series#Formula

As n goes to infinity, the absolute value of r must be less than one for the series to converge. The sum then becomes

$$a + ar + ar^2 + ar^3 + ar^4 + \dots = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} ar^k = \frac{a}{1-r}, \text{ for } |r| < 1.$$

Using the formula for the numbers in the staff report example, the total number of units in the project will be

$$200 * (1 / [1 - 0.2]) = 200 * (1/0.8) = 200 * (10/8) = 200 * (1.25) = 250.$$

200 MR units and 50 BMR units.

Because they don't know how to find the sum of a converging geometric series, the planning staff recommended that the Board "amend Section 207(c) to state that mandatory inclusionary units may not be exempted from the density calculation," basically gutting this part of the legislation.

If Supervisor Mandelman's proposed policy change doesn't pass because planning staff, planning commissioners and the whole Board of Supervisors all didn't notice that we are dealing with a geometric series, and all don't know how to calculate a sum of one, that would be really very embarrassing.

Hopefully this came up in the testimony at the Planning Commission, and my email is redundant.

Best,
Sonja Trauss
215 900 1457

APPENDIX

If you want more explanation of the arithmetic, read here.

The staff report has the following example:

"If the requirement to retain at least 20% of the units as inclusionary is to remain intact, it will require a lengthy and iterative calculation which will only be complete when the project hits

it's maximum height and bulk limitations. As an example: A project proposes to build 200 units. Under a 20% inclusionary requirement, 40 of the 200 units must be Affordable. The proposed legislation would allow those 40 Affordable units to be exempt from the density calculation. This means 40 additional market-rate units could be added to the project. The new total number of units in the project is now 240. The 20% inclusionary requirement is taken from the total number of units in a project, not just the number of market-rate units. This means the new inclusionary number of units goes up. Which then means more market-rate units could be built . . ."

Here are the calculations:

$$200 \text{ units} * 0.20 \text{ inclusionary rate} = 40 \text{ units}$$

New project: 240 units.

Another way of writing 240 is $200 + 40$, or $200 + 200(0.20)$. In math,

$$240 =$$

$$200 + 40 =$$

$$200 + 200(0.20)$$

But wait! We have to find out what 20% of 240 is! No problem.

$$240(0.20) = 48$$

Ok so the new project is 248 units.

Another way of writing 248 is ...

$$248 =$$

$$200 + 40 + 8 =$$

$$200 + 200(0.2) + 200(0.2)(0.2)$$

But wait! We have to find out what 20% of 248 is! No problem.

$$248(0.2) = 49.6$$

Ok so the new project is 249.6 units.

Another way or writing 249.6 is ...

$$249.6 =$$

$$200 + 40 + 8 + 1.6 =$$

$$200 + 200(0.2) + 200(0.2)(0.2) + 200(0.2)(0.2)(0.2)$$

But wait! We have to find out what 20% of 249.6 is! No problem.

$$249.6(0.2) = 49.92$$

Ok so the new project is 249.92 units.

Another way of writing 249.92 is ...

$$249.92 =$$

$$200 + 40 + 8 + 1.6 + 0.32 =$$

$$200 + 200(0.2) + 200(0.2)(0.2) + 200(0.2)(0.2)(0.2) + 200(0.2)(0.2)(0.2)(0.2)$$

But wait! We have to find out what 20% of 249.92 is. No problem,

$$249.92(0.20) = 49.98$$

Ok, so the new project is 249.98 units.

Another way of writing 249.98 is ...

$$249.98 =$$

$$200 + 40 + 8 + 1.6 + 0.32 + 0.064 =$$

$$200 + 200(0.2) + 200(0.2)(0.2) + 200(0.2)(0.2)(0.2) + 200(0.2)(0.2)(0.2)(0.2) + 200(0.2)(0.2)(0.2)(0.2)(0.2)$$

But wait! I'll cut to the chase, because I think you can start to see the pattern. The next project size is 249.9928.

$$249.9928 = 200 + 40 + 8 + 1.6 + 0.32 + 0.064 + 0.0128$$

Every time we multiply the new project size by 20% we are adding smaller and smaller numbers. First we added 40, then 8, then 1.6 then 0.32 then 0.064 then 0.0128. To get the next number to add, we multiply the last number by 20%. Yes, a planner could do this

forever, but since we are dealing in whole numbers, and because our inclusionary rate is less than 100%, after a while, the total number of units stops changing.

No one need do any of these calculations, forever or not, because the formula for this sum is known and has been known since at least 300 BC when [Euclid's Elements](#) was published.

Possibly much longer. *Elements* is claimed by some to be second only to the Bible in number of editions and readership since the advent of the printing press.

--

Sent from a pocket computer

From: [Sonja Trauss](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Ionin, Jonas \(CPC\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [Mar, Gordon \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Fung, Frank \(CPC\)](#); [Koppel, Joel \(CPC\)](#); [Haney, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Johnson, Millicent \(CPC\)](#); [mooreurban@aol.com](#); [Diamond, Susan \(CPC\)](#); [Imperial, Theresa \(CPC\)](#)
Cc: [Merlone, Audrey \(CPC\)](#); [Laura Clark](#); [Starr, Aaron \(CPC\)](#)
Subject: SUPPORT Exemption from Density Limits for Affordable and Unauthorized Units 2019-014348PCA [Board File No. 190757]
Date: Saturday, May 30, 2020 4:06:19 PM
Attachments: [190757 CPC report 12.5.19.pdf](#)

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Re:
Exemption from Density Limits for Affordable and Unauthorized Units
2019-014348PCA [Board File No. 190757]

Hi all,

I am writing to support the above captioned legislation, literally legalizing existing housing, and also exempting affordable housing from density limits. I support this legislation because we are in a housing shortage, it will produce new housing and legalize existing housing, and because I agree with the philosophy - we should not have laws that limit affordable housing.

On page 3 of the planning staff report, attached here, planning objects to removing the "cap" of no more than 25% of units in the building being exempt from the density calculation because it makes the required inclusionary calculation "infinitely more complicated."

While infinity does come into it, calculating the sum of a geometric series is actually super simple. Here is the wikipedia page about it:

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Geometric_series#Formula

As n goes to infinity, the absolute value of r must be less than one for the series to converge. The sum then becomes

$$a + ar + ar^2 + ar^3 + ar^4 + \dots = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} ar^k = \frac{a}{1-r}, \text{ for } |r| < 1.$$

Using the formula for the numbers in the staff report example, the total number of units in the project will be

$$200 * (1 / [1 - 0.2]) = 200 * (1/0.8) = 200 * (10/8) = 200 * (1.25) = 250.$$

200 MR units and 50 BMR units.

Because they don't know how to find the sum of a converging geometric series, the planning staff recommended that the Board "amend Section 207(c) to state that mandatory inclusionary units may not be exempted from the density calculation," basically gutting this part of the legislation.

If Supervisor Mandelman's proposed policy change doesn't pass because planning staff, planning commissioners and the whole Board of Supervisors all didn't notice that we are

dealing with a geometric series, and all don't know how to calculate a sum of one, that would be really very embarrassing.

Hopefully this came up in the testimony at the Planning Commission, and my email is redundant.

Best,
Sonja Trauss
215 900 1457

APPENDIX

If you want more explanation of the arithmetic, read here.

The staff report has the following example:

"If the requirement to retain at least 20% of the units as inclusionary is to remain intact, it will require a lengthy and iterative calculation which will only be complete when the project hits it's maximum height and bulk limitations. As an example: A project proposes to build 200 units. Under a 20% inclusionary requirement, 40 of the 200 units must be Affordable. The proposed legislation would allow those 40 Affordable units to be exempt from the density calculation. This means 40 additional market-rate units could be added to the project. The new total number of units in the project is now 240. The 20% inclusionary requirement is taken from the total number of units in a project, not just the number of market-rate units. This means the new inclusionary number of units goes up. Which then means more market-rate units could be built . . ."

Here are the calculations:

$200 \text{ units} * 0.20 \text{ inclusionary rate} = 40 \text{ units}$

New project: 240 units.

Another way of writing 240 is $200 + 40$, or $200 + 200(0.20)$. In math,

$240 =$

$200 + 40 =$

$200 + 200(0.20)$

But wait! We have to find out what 20% of 240 is! No problem.

$240(0.20) = 48$

Ok so the new project is 248 units.

Another way of writing 248 is ...

$248 =$

$200 + 40 + 8 =$

$200 + 200(0.2) + 200(0.2)(0.2)$

But wait! We have to find out what 20% of 248 is! No problem.

$248(0.2) = 49.6$

Ok so the new project is 249.6 units.

Another way or writing 249.6 is ...

$$\begin{aligned}
249.6 &= \\
200 + 40 + 8 + 1.6 &= \\
200 + 200(0.2) + 200(0.2)(0.2) + 200(0.2)(0.2)(0.2) &=
\end{aligned}$$

But wait! We have to find out what 20% of 249.6 is! No problem.

$$249.6(0.2) = 49.92$$

Ok so the new project is 249.92 units.

Another way of writing 249.92 is ...

$$\begin{aligned}
249.92 &= \\
200 + 40 + 8 + 1.6 + 0.32 &= \\
200 + 200(0.2) + 200(0.2)(0.2) + 200(0.2)(0.2)(0.2) + 200(0.2)(0.2)(0.2)(0.2) &=
\end{aligned}$$

But wait! We have to find out what 20% of 249.92 is. No problem,

$$249.92(0.2) = 49.98$$

Ok, so the new project is 249.98 units.

Another way of writing 249.98 is ...

$$\begin{aligned}
249.98 &= \\
200 + 40 + 8 + 1.6 + 0.32 + 0.064 &= \\
200 + 200(0.2) + 200(0.2)(0.2) + 200(0.2)(0.2)(0.2) + 200(0.2)(0.2)(0.2)(0.2) + 200(0.2)(0.2) &= \\
(0.2)(0.2)(0.2) &=
\end{aligned}$$

But wait! I'll cut to the chase, because I think you can start to see the pattern. The next project size is 249.9928.

$$249.9928 = 200 + 40 + 8 + 1.6 + 0.32 + 0.064 + 0.0128$$

Every time we multiply the new project size by 20% we are adding smaller and smaller numbers. First we added 40, then 8, then 1.6 then 0.32 then 0.064 then 0.0128. To get the next number to add, we multiply the last number by 20%. Yes, a planner could do this forever, but since we are dealing in whole numbers, and because our inclusionary rate is less than 100%, after a while, the total number of units stops changing.

No one need do any of these calculations, forever or not, because the formula for this sum is known and has been known since at least 300 BC when [Euclid's Elements](#) was published. Possibly much longer. *Elements* is claimed by some to be second only to the Bible in number of editions and readership since the advent of the printing press.



SAN FRANCISCO PLANNING DEPARTMENT

Executive Summary Planning Code Text Amendment

HEARING DATE: DECEMBER 5, 2019

90-DAY DEADLINE: JANUARY 11, 2019

1650 Mission St.
Suite 400
San Francisco,
CA 94103-2479

Reception:
415.558.6378

Fax:
415.558.6409

Planning
Information:
415.558.6377

Project Name: Exemption from Density Limits for Affordable and Unauthorized Units
Case Number: 2019-014348PCA [Board File No. 190757]
Initiated by: Supervisor Mandelman / Introduced July 9, 2019
Staff Contact: Audrey Merlone, Legislative Affairs
Audrey.Merlone@sfgov.org, 415-575-9129
Reviewed by: Aaron Starr, Manager of Legislative Affairs
aaron.starr@sfgov.org, 415-558-6362
Recommendation: **Approval with Modifications**

PLANNING CODE AMENDMENT

The Ordinance would amend the Planning Code to provide an exception from density limit calculations for all affordable units in projects not seeking and receiving a density bonus, permit the legalization of all unauthorized dwelling units notwithstanding a history of no-fault evictions, and principally permit residential care facilities for seven or more persons in all RH (Residential, House) zoning districts.

The Way It Is Now:

1. Density Limit Exceptions for Affordable Units:
 - a. *At least* 20% of units must be designated as "Affordable" to be exempt from density limit calculation. *No more than* 25% of units proposed to be "Affordable" may be exempt from density limit calculation;
 - b. May not utilize the Individually Requested State Density Bonus program in conjunction with this exemption from density limit provision.
2. Accessory Dwelling Units:
 - a. Legal, nonconforming stand-alone structures on corner lots proposed to be converted to ADU's may be expanded within the existing footprint, up to one additional story.
3. Unauthorized Dwelling Units:
 - a. No more than one Unauthorized Dwelling Unit per lot may be legalized. The Unauthorized Dwelling Unit may be legalized if it results in the property being no more than one unit over the maximum density.
 - b. Unauthorized Dwelling Units that have had a no-fault eviction may not be legalized.
4. Residential Care Facilities:
 - a. Residential Care Facilities with seven or more people must receive Conditional Use authorization in RH-1(D), RH-1, RH-1(S), and RH-2 districts.

The Way It Would Be:

1. Density Limit Exceptions for Affordable Units:
 - a. *Any* unit designated as “Affordable” would not be counted towards the project’s density calculation.
 - b. May not utilize the Individually Requested State Density Bonus program in conjunction with this exemption from density limit provision (*no change*).
2. Accessory Dwelling Units:
 - a. Legal, nonconforming stand-alone structures on corner lots **and through lots** proposed to be converted to ADU’s may be expanded within the existing footprint, up to one additional story.
3. Unauthorized Dwelling Units:
 - a. *Any amount* of Unauthorized Dwelling Units on a lot may be legalized, regardless of density limits.
 - b. Unauthorized Dwelling Units that have had a no-fault eviction *may be* legalized.
4. Residential Care Facilities:
 - a. Residential Care Facilities with seven or more people will no longer require Conditional Use authorization in RH-1(D), RH-1, RH-1(S), and RH-2 districts.

BACKGROUND

On June 28, 2019, the Mayor approved Board File No. 181156 which would among other things, create a ministerial approval process for “no waiver” ADU’s, even if they propose an expansion of the building envelope; and remove the ability for said ADU’s to be appealed through a Discretionary Review process. Board File 181156 still required mailed notice. The Planning Commission heard this item on March 7, 2019 and voted to approve with modifications the proposed Ordinance. There is currently a duplicated file (Board File No. 190590) which would remove the notice requirement for these types of ADU’s. That file is pending at the Land Use and Transportation Committee.

On December 21, 2018, the Mayor approved Board File No. 180915 which would allow Residential Care Facilities with seven or more persons as a principally permitted use in all Residential Districts (except for RH-1 and RH-2), Neighborhood Commercial Districts, and in certain Mixed-Use Districts. Previously, these Residential Districts required a Conditional Use authorization for facilities with seven or more people. The Planning Commission heard this item on November 29, 2018 and voted to approve the Ordinance.

ISSUES AND CONSIDERATIONS

Density Limits and Affordable Units

Planning Code Section 207 regulates exceptions to Dwelling Unit density limits. Section 207(c) provides an avenue for projects with at least 20% of units designated as “Affordable” to exempt up to 25% of units in the total project to be exempt from the total density calculation of the project. All exempted units must meet the definition of “Affordable” and the project may not be in an RH-1 or RH-2 District. This option cannot be used in conjunction with any other bonus program. Other density bonus programs, which were added after Section 207(c), tend to be favored over this option. That is because the other programs offer other waivers, concessions, and exceptions from Code requirements, whereas the program in Section 207(c) does not.

Density Limits and Affordable Units

The proposed Ordinance would eliminate the RH-1 and RH-2 Districts' restriction for projects utilizing Section 207(c). It would additionally remove the ceiling and floor of the percentage of affordable units that may be exempt from the density calculation of a project. Instead, the Ordinance would allow *any* "Affordable" unit in a project to be exempt from the density calculation. The restriction on combining this program with any other local or state benefit would remain.

The proposed Ordinance's elimination of the floor and ceiling for the affordable units which may be exempt from density creates several complications. Mandatory inclusionary units are also eligible to be exempt from the density calculation. The result of removing the "cap" of no more than 25% of units in the building being exempt from the density calculation makes the required inclusionary calculation infinitely more complicated. If the calculation is conducted as it is now, it may effectively lower the amount of inclusionary housing a project contains. If the requirement to retain at least 20% of the units as inclusionary is to remain intact, it will require a lengthy and iterative calculation which will only be complete when the project hits its maximum height and bulk limitations. As an example: A project proposes to build 200 units. Under a 20% inclusionary requirement, 40 of the 200 units must be Affordable. The proposed legislation would allow those 40 Affordable units to be exempt from the density calculation. This means 40 additional market-rate units could be added to the project. The new total number of units in the project is now 240. The 20% inclusionary requirement is taken from the **total** number of units in a project, *not* just the number of market-rate units. This means the new inclusionary number of units goes up. Which then means more market-rate units could be built . . . or as illustrated below:

Proposed Total Number of Units	# of Units Required to be Affordable (aka 20% of total units)	New # of Market Rate Units Allowed	New Total Number of Units	New <i>Additional</i> # of Units Required to be Affordable (aka 20% of total units)	New <i>Additional</i> # of Market Rate Units Allowed	New Total Number of Units	
200	40	40	240	8	8	256

This provision further complicates an already complicated program without providing much benefit. Since the enactment of the Individually Requested State Density Bonus Program, the Planning Department has only received one project seeking to utilize Section 207(c). Constructing units that will remain permanently Affordable is a costly process, and if not publicly funded, often requires market rate units accompany the project to make the project financially feasible. Any project that seeks to build larger amounts of Affordable units will likely also need to utilize the waivers, concessions, and incentives that are provided under density bonus programs. The proposed Ordinance as written does not offer any waivers, concessions, or incentives from City codes beyond the exemption from density calculation, nor is it able to be used in conjunction with another program. It is unlikely to be utilized over other more appealing options for building affordable housing.

Residential Care Facilities

In 2016, San Francisco's Post-Acute Care Project recommended expanding opportunities for Residential Care in San Francisco neighborhoods, including Residential Care Facility for the Elderly (RCFE) facilities. Residential Care Facilities are regulated as an Institutional Use, therefore the current controls subject Residential Care Facilities to Floor Area Ratio limits.

A Residential Care Facility is designed to provide long-term care in which the population it serves considers the facility their "home". They are not considered a Health Service Use, as Residential Care Facilities do not offer out-patient services, may or may not have medical doctors on staff, and are generally designed to treat patients of specific demographics, such as the elderly, or those suffering from substance abuse, in a residential setting.

In November of 2018 the Planning Commission approved a proposal to principally permit this use in all Residential Districts except RH-1 and RH-2, regardless of the number of people a facility serves. Previously, these Residential Districts required a Conditional Use authorization for facilities with seven or more people.

The proposed Ordinance will create additional pathways for legal, non-conforming structures on through lots to be converted to much needed housing.

Altering Legal, Non-Conforming Structures

Structures that existed before the effective date of the Planning Code, or of amendments thereto, and which do not comply with one or more of the regulations for structures to-date, are considered legal, non-conforming structures. In certain instances, it may be beneficial to alter a non-conforming structure even if it increases a discrepancy with the Planning Code regulation to not expand these types of structures. One instance where expansion of a legal, non-conforming structure is beneficial is when said expansion will create habitable space for residential uses. Planning Code Sec. 207(c) currently allows one additional story of height to be added to legal, non-conforming stand-alone structures on *corner lots* for the purposes of converting the structure to an ADU. The proposed Ordinance will create additional pathways for legal, non-conforming structures on *through lots* to be converted to much needed housing.

Legalizing Unauthorized Dwelling Units (UDU's)

Unauthorized Dwelling Units (UDU's) are residential units that were built without the benefit of permits. These units are typically subordinate to the other residential units in the same lot, due to factors such as their location on the lot, location of the entrance, low ceiling heights, less light exposure, etc. Such units are generally developed using unused spaces within a building or lot, such as a garage, storage, rear yard, or an attic. These units are wholly independent from the primary units, with independent kitchen, bathroom, sleeping facilities, and access to the street; they may share laundry facilities, yards, and other traditional types of common spaces with the primary unit(s). Due to their subordinate nature, they are generally considered a more affordable housing option to low and middle-income residents and have become a valuable type of housing in the City.

The “no-fault eviction” provision has become a loophole for property owners to evict their tenants by removing their UDU without a CU. The proposed Ordinance will close that loophole.

In 2014 the Board of Supervisors approved an Ordinance which amended the Planning and Building Codes to provide a process for granting legal status to existing UDU’s, *unless* there had been a history of a no-fault eviction of the UDU (Board File No. 131148). The policy goal for this provision was to protect tenants from potential evictions; the opportunity to legalize a unit could incentivize the owner to evict the tenant, legalize the unit, and put the unit back on the market for higher rent. However, subsequent legislative changes conflict with the eviction prohibition in the legalization program and created a loophole. The City now requires Conditional Use (CU) authorization to remove unauthorized units unless the unit is not eligible for legalization. This change has incentivized property owners who wish to remove the unit to evict their tenant, making the unit ineligible for the legalization program. The property owner is then allowed to remove the unit without a CU authorization. In this way, the eviction prohibition in the legalization program is no longer serving its original goal to protect tenants.

In addition, the original concerns driving the eviction prohibition have been addressed through another piece of legislation, commonly known as Eviction Protection 2.0 (Board File No. 150646). Approved by the Board of Supervisors in October of 2015, this legislation incorporated a five-year price control into five types of no-fault evictions: owner move-in, condo conversions, capital improvements, lead abatement, and demolition/removal from housing. The latter is the most common type of eviction used for tenants in unauthorized units. The price control removes the incentive to evict a tenant prior to legalization, since higher rents would not be allowed for five years; therefore, the need for an eviction prohibition in the legalization program is no longer necessary. In addition, a right to return provision can further protect the tenants in the unauthorized units. The right to return already exists for three types of no-fault evictions for five years: Ellis Act, owner move-in, and Capital Improvements.

The 2014 legislation additionally stated that only one UDU per parcel could be legalized. Before the legalization program was established, UDU’s had largely only existed in the shadows. As the City did not yet know the full universe of UDU’s in the city, or the feasibility of being able to bring them up to Code, the legalization program was limited to one unit per lot. Because of that limitation some units that could have been legalized but were over the one-per-lot restriction instead had to be removed. The program has since proven to be quite successful, with over 500 permits having been issued to legalize a UDU under Section 207.3 (*see Exhibit A*). The program has assisted in bringing affordable units out of the shadows, and up to Building Code standards, increasing the housing stock of a desperately needed housing typology. Legalizing UDU’s should be encouraged whenever possible to increase the amount of safe, rent-controlled units.

General Plan Compliance

- The Housing Element supports fostering a housing stock that meets the needs of all residents across lifecycles. The proposed Ordinance will better enable Residential Care Facilities, including nursing and retirement homes, to establish themselves by removing many of the process limitations set by bed number maximums for Institutional Uses. It will additionally assist in the development of

secondary structures on through lots to create new housing, including rental housing, to the City's stock.

- The Commerce and Industry Element strives to promote the provision of adequate health services to all geographical districts and cultural groups in the city. The proposed Ordinance will assist in expanding the reach of Residential Care Facilities across the city, by loosening the restrictions on where they may locate by-right, and by removing the size restrictions based on the number of beds provided.

Racial and Social Equity Analysis

Understanding the benefits, burdens and opportunities to advance racial and social equity that proposed Planning Code and Zoning Map amendments provide is part of the Department's Racial and Social Equity Initiative. This is also consistent with the Mayor's Citywide Strategic Initiatives for equity and accountability and with the Office of Racial Equity, which will require all Departments to conduct this analysis.

- The Healthcare Services Master Plan found that in San Francisco, emergency room visits due to acute and chronic alcohol use disorder continue to increase across all race/ethnicity groups, with the homeless population especially at risk. The Plan recommends San Francisco increase access to and capacity of long-term care options for its growing senior population, those seeking treatment for substance abuse, mental health, and for persons with disabilities to support their ability to live independently in the community. As Skilled Nursing Facilities in the city continue to decline, Residential Care Facilities have been found to be a positive alternative. These types of facilities are usually smaller in nature and located across the City in residential and neighborhood commercial areas. Their type of care and location increases the possibility for residents across many demographics to age in place and remain a part of their local community.
- The Housing Element of the General Plan supports fostering a housing stock that meets the needs of all residents. ADU's and UDU's have been found to provide housing options that are more affordable than market-rate units. ADU's and UDU's are often rental housing, which also increases their accessibility to lower-income residents. These types of units are located throughout all residential neighborhoods across the city, and create a more equitable balance of housing typologies, ensuring our neighborhoods remain varied in their economic offerings of housing.

Implementation

The Department has determined that this Ordinance will impact our current implementation procedures as the amendments will be a change in current processes of eviction history for UDU legalization, and calculating density and inclusionary requirements; however, the proposed changes can be implemented without increasing permit costs or review time.

RECOMMENDATION

The Department recommends that the Commission *approve with modifications* the proposed Ordinance and adopt the attached Draft Resolution to that effect. The Department's proposed recommendations are as follows:

1. Further amend Section 207(c) to state that mandatory inclusionary units may not be exempted from the density calculation and clarify that all voluntary Affordable units provided through this program will not be included in calculations for determining an inclusionary requirement.

2. Add a subsection to Sec. 207(c) that would state for 100% Affordable projects, no density maximums apply.
3. Add clarifying language to the Administrative Code regarding eviction protections for UDU tenants.

BASIS FOR RECOMMENDATION

The Planning Department supports the proposed Ordinance with the recommended modifications to Section 207(c) because it expands the ability to build Affordable housing and Residential Care Facilities in low-density districts, closes loopholes in the UDU legalization program, and increases the ability to build/legalize more ADU's. San Francisco and the Bay Area have a housing shortage. The Planning Department is working to meet these housing needs. The ADU and UDU legalization programs have helped create new dwelling units, mostly through infill efforts. Any such effort to improve the viability of these programs should be supported. The Ordinance will build on these efforts by expanding opportunities for underutilized auxiliary structures and UDU's to become viable, affordable housing. In addition to facing a traditional housing shortage, San Francisco is also facing a shortage of Skilled Nursing Facilities (SNF's). As the number of SNFs in San Francisco continue to decline, Residential Care Facilities are one way of filling the gap in long-term care. As long-term care continues to shift to a more residential model, Residential Care Facilities are also in increasing demand. The proposed amendments will provide more opportunities for Residential Care Facilities to establish themselves in San Francisco.

Recommendation 1: Amend Section 207(c) to exempt voluntary Affordable units *only*. Staff recommends amending Section 207(c) because although the idea of exempting all Affordable units from density calculations seems desirable in theory, in practice it generates undue complications for calculating the maximum possible units that can be built in projects that also contain an inclusionary requirement. The advanced calculations may be worth this amendment if not for the additional fact that this program is extremely unlikely to be utilized for its intended purpose over other, more beneficial programs such as HOME SF and the State Density Bonus Program.

To solve the problem of either lowering the effective inclusionary rate of a project, or creating a long and complicated calculation cycle, the proposed Ordinance should be amended to state that mandatory inclusionary units may not be included in the density exemption. Said voluntary Affordable units would not be included in any calculation for determining the number of inclusionary units required from a project. By retaining mandatory inclusionary units in a project's density calculation, the project will always contain at least the minimum amount of mandatory inclusionary units. Any voluntary Affordable unit built beyond mandatory inclusionary units would not be counted towards total density. These voluntary units would additionally not be included the calculation to determine how many inclusionary units are required for the project. One setback of this amendment is that the program is not providing any type of market rate housing "bonus", as the only units a project may exempt from their calculation are voluntary Affordable units. It is staff's understanding that the sponsor's intent of this amendment *was* to provide an avenue for projects in RH Districts that would choose to build Affordable units without a market-rate bonus. This amendment would still accomplish the sponsor's intent by being able to provide Affordable units in RH Districts even if they would put the project over density.

To further aid in creating an amendment to 207(c) that may actually provide an attractive alternative to the State Density Bonus or HOME SF, Section 207(c) should be further amended to state that 100% affordable

projects have no density limits. This amendment could provide an avenue for affordable housing projects located in RH Districts that are proposing demolitions and reconstruction over density.

One such project is a proposal at 2206 Great Highway. The lot is an oversized lot zoned RH-2 and is owned by the Housing Authority. It currently contains 16 dwelling units that require substantial rehabilitation which would likely be tantamount to demolition. If the units are rebuilt, the zoning would allow for a maximum of 10 units. The Housing Authority would like to be able to construct 25 units, all proposed to be two- and three- bedroom units priced for households earning 80% AMI and below. The project would be able to construct said units without a height increase. They are ineligible to use the HOME-SF as the project proposes residential demolition and is in an RH-2 District. The Individually Requested State Density Bonus Program would only allow for 35% additional density, therefore allowing only 14 units to be constructed on the lot. Under the proposed Ordinance *with* all staff recommended modifications, the project could move forward and rebuild 25 affordable units of a desperately needed housing typology.

Recommendation 2: Add a subsection to Section 207(c) for 100% Affordable projects. Creating an additional subsection to exempt 100% Affordable projects from density limits ensures that publicly funded projects that are more likely to utilize this program, may benefit from density calculation exemptions. This alternative to Individually Requested State Density Bonus and HOME SF is most likely to be used by 100% affordable projects in RH Districts and/or with projects that include demolition. This alternative would not offer additional height or grant any waivers to modify the building envelope standards set forth in the underlying zoning district.

Recommendation 3: Remove the prohibition to use the legalization program where no-fault evictions have occurred and amend the Planning and Rent Ordinance to:

- a. Clarify that the existing five year price control applies to no-fault evictions in unauthorized units (Section 37.3(f) of the Administrative Code).
- b. Require the unit be offered to the previous tenant evicted similar to provisions for capital improvement (37.9a(11)), Ellis Act (37.9A), and owner move-in evictions (37.9(B)).

Staff identified a need to address the eviction loophole currently existing in the legalization program in 2018. Legislation sponsored by Supervisor Tang that would alter the Accessory Dwelling Unit Program (Board File No. 180268) came to the Commission in June of 2018. One of staff's recommended modifications was to close the eviction loophole and clarify language to protect tenants of UDU's in the Administrative Code. The 2018 Ordinance and staff's recommended modification were approved by the Commission. Unfortunately, this provision was deleted at the Land Use Committee by Supervisor Tang due to the complications involved with amending the Administrative Code. The legislation was on a tight timeline and the Supervisor was not able to delay the Ordinance to incorporate changes to the Administrative Code.

The proposed Ordinance that is the subject of this case report would once again, attempt to remove the eviction loophole, which the Commission supported in 2018.

The proposed Ordinance that is the subject of this case report would once again, attempt to remove the eviction loophole, which the Commission supported in 2018. Through this loophole, property owners inclined to remove an unauthorized unit can evict their tenants, and then remove the unit without a CU authorization. The eviction prohibition in the legalization program was originally placed to protect tenants but no longer serves this goal. To address this loophole, staff's recommendations would maintain the goal of tenant protection but change how the legalization program serves this goal. Staff recommends removing the eviction prohibition in the legalization program; this would eliminate using tenant evictions as an excuse to remove the unauthorized unit. It would also help the City to preserve its existing rent-controlled housing stock. In addition, existing price control laws already address the goal of tenant protections. This means that property owners no longer have the opportunity to evict a tenant, legalize their unit, and then increase the rental price. Instead, to re-rent a newly legalized unit within five years subsequent to an eligible no-fault eviction, the owner can only ask for the rental rates at the time of eviction (plus allowable annual increases). Staff recommends simply making a reference in the legalization program that those price controls apply.

Second, to fully discourage evictions prior to legalization, staff recommends using the right to return model currently in practice for Capital Improvement, Ellis Act, and Owner Move-in evictions. In these models, property owners are required to offer the unit to tenants previously evicted, if the unit is being re-rented for a period of time after eviction occurred. Together with price control, this would mean that if an owner legalizes a unit subsequent to a no-fault eviction and then re-rents the unit, the unit would have to be first offered to the same tenant and at the same rate as the time of eviction (plus allowable annual increases). This would further prevent using the legalization program as a means for evicting tenants.

REQUIRED COMMISSION ACTION

The proposed Ordinance is before the Commission so that it may approve it, reject it, or approve it with modifications.

ENVIRONMENTAL REVIEW

The proposed amendments are not defined as a project under CEQA Guidelines Section 15060(c) and 15378 because they do not result in a physical change in the environment.

PUBLIC COMMENT

As of the date of this report, the Planning Department has not received any public comment regarding the proposed Ordinance.

Attachments:

- Exhibit A: Department of Building Inspection Report on Legalization of Units Per Ord. 43-14
- Exhibit B: Draft Planning Commission Resolution
- Exhibit C: Board of Supervisors File No. 190757

Weekly Report of Unit Legalization Per Ordinance 43-14

Date	Number of Enquiry Phone Call	Number of Enquiry Counter Visits	Number of Screening Form Filed at DBI	Number of Permit Submitted	Permits Submitted with NOV's	Number of Permit Issued	Number of Work Completed	Remark
From 5/17/14 to 6/12/14	120	80	2	3	2	0		
From 6/13/14 to 7/2/14	108	111	6	4	0	0		
From 7/3/14 to 7/10/14	32	45	3	2	1	0		
From 7/11/14 to 8/3/14	30	129	14	11	4	0		
From 8/4/14 to 8/31/14	15	84	15	16	1	1		2 withdraws
From 9/1/14 to 9/30/14	32	112	12	12	0	0		
From 10/1/14 to 10/31/14	30	103	16	9	1	0		
From 11/1/14 to 11/30/14	32	78	14	15	3	0		
From 12/1/14 to 12/5/14	5	24	2	1	0	0		
From 12/8/14 to 12/12/14	7	18	4	4	0	1		
From 12/15/14 to 12/19/14	7	5	0	1	0	0		
From 12/22/14 to 12/26/14	2	10	3	3	1	1		
From 12/29/14 to 1/2/15	1	13	2	2	1	0		
From 1/5/15 to 1/9/15	10	18	1	1	0	0		
From 1/12/15 to 1/16/15	3	23	8	6	2	0		
From 1/20/15 to 1/23/15	9	18	1	1	1	0		
From 1/26/15 to 1/29/15	14	14	2	2	1	2		
From 1/30/15 to 2/5/15	13	31	5	4	0	0		
From 2/6/15 to 2/12/15	18	34	3	2	2	1		
From 2/13/15 to 2/19/15	20	25	2	3	1	0		
From 2/20/15 to 2/26/15	17	33	4	2	0	0		
From 2/27/15 to 3/5/15	23	37	2	3	0	1		
From 3/6/15 to 3/12/15	12	33	5	5	1	1		
From 3/13/15 to 3/19/15	16	53	9	6	1	1		
From 3/20/15 to 3/26/15	16	34	5	5	0	3		
From 3/27/15 to 4/2/15	18	35	7	8	1	1		
From 4/3/15 to 4/9/15	12	30	7	7	1	3		
From 4/10/15 to 4/16/15	8	40	10	9	2	2		
From 4/17/15 to 4/23/15	17	28	6	7	1	4		
From 4/24/15 to 4/30/15	18	35	9	2	2	0		
From 5/1/15 to 5/7/15	15	29	4	7	1	2		
From 5/8/15 to 5/17/15	20	71	44	23	2	2		
From 5/18/15 to 5/21/15	18	25	12	4	0	1		
From 5/22/15 to 5/28/15	9	30	4	3	0	0		
From 5/29/15 to 6/4/15	10	39	3	4	0	4		1 withdraw
From 6/5/15 to 6/11/15	30	27	1	2	0	1		
From 6/12/15 to 6/18/15	9	35	4	4	0	0		
From 6/19/15 to 6/25/15	10	36	6	5	1	2		
From 6/26/15 to 7/2/15	8	26	4	2	1	3		
From 7/3/15 to 7/8/15	10	18	3	0	0	2		
From 7/9/15 to 7/16/15	16	33	2	1	0	3		1 withdraw
From 7/17/15 to 7/23/15	12	33	3	4	1	2	1	
From 7/24/15 to 7/30/15	6	36	1	2	0	3	0	
From 7/31/15 to 8/6/15	14	27	2	3	1	2	0	
From 8/7/15 to 8/13/15	15	30	2	6	1	4	2	
From 8/14/15 to 8/20/15	7	27	1	2	0	1	1	
From 8/21/15 to 8/27/15	8	30	4	3	0	3	1	
From 8/28/15 to 9/3/15	10	28	3	0	0	1	1	
From 9/4/15 to 9/10/15	7	16	2	4	0	2	0	
From 9/11/15 to 9/17/15	9	23	3	2	0	1	1	
From 9/18/15 to 9/24/15	11	30	2	2	2	1	0	
From 9/25/15 to 10/1/15	10	22	0	1	0	0	2	
From 10/2/15 to 10/8/15	12	47	3	2	0	4	0	1 withdraw
From 10/9/15 to 10/15/15	12	20	6	4	0	0	2	
From 10/16/15 to 10/22/15	8	20	4	3	1	1	0	
From 10/23/15 to 10/29/15	9	27	3	5	1	2	1	
From 10/30/15 to 11/5/15	4	27	3	3	0	1	2	
From 11/6/15 to 11/12/15	6	28	8	8	5	0	0	
From 11/13/15 to 11/19/15	6	30	0	0	0	1	2	
From 11/20/15 to 11/25/15	5	28	11	3	3	0	0	
From 11/30/15 to 12/3/15	6	14	1	3	2	1	1	

Weekly Report of Unit Legalization Per Ordinance 43-14

Date	Number of Enquiry Phone Call	Number of Enquiry Counter Visits	Number of Screening Form Filed at DBI	Number of Permit Submitted	Permits Submitted with NOV's	Number of Permit Issued	Number of Work Completed	Remark
From 12/4/15 to 12/10/15	7	19	1	2	1	1	0	
From 12/11/15 to 12/17/15	9	21	1	5	3	1	0	
From 12/18/15 to 12/24/15	11	18	4	4	0	1	1	
From 12/25/15 to 12/31/15	8	13	1	1	0	1	0	
From 1/1/16 to 1/7/16	10	15	1	2	1	4	1	
From 1/8/16 to 1/14/16	16	22	2	2	0	2	0	
From 1/15/16 to 1/21/16	9	10	1	1	0	4	3	
From 1/22/16 to 1/28/16	16	23	9	6	1	3	0	
From 1/29/16 to 2/4/16	12	28	3	4	1	4	2	
2/5/16 to 2/11/16	10	30	5	2	1	0	0	
2/12/2016 to 2/18/16	6	20	0	1	0	1	1	
2/19/16 to 2/25/16	5	31	7	5	0	4	2	
2/26/16 to 3/3/16	5	12	0	2	2	2	1	
3/4/16 to 3/10/16	5	26	4	6	1	1	2	
3/11/16 to 3/17/16	8	30	3	3	0	0	1	
3/18/16 to 3/24/16	5	30	4	2	1	1	0	
3/25/16 to 3/31/16	17	35	2	1	1	5	0	
4/1/16 to 4/7/16	17	24	2	3	2	0	0	
4/8/16 to 4/14/16	10	25	4	4	1	3	1	
4/15/16 to 4/21/16	6	33	10	6	1	1	0	
4/22/16 to 4/28/16	9	27	2	1	0	2	1	
4/29/16 to 5/5/16	14	22	2	5	1	2	0	
5/6/16 to 5/12/16	6	27	4	5	1	6	2	
5/13/16 to 5/17/16	3	16	1	2	0	1	0	
5/18/16 to 5/26/16	18	40	3	4	0	1	2	
5/27/16 to 6/2/16	5	20	5	2	1	3	0	
6/3/16 to 6/9/16	13	15	3	3	0	4	1	
6/10/2016 to 6/16/16	8	15	6	5	1	4	0	
6/17/2016 to 6/23/16	6	31	3	5	1	4	2	
6/24/16 to 6/30/16	15	21	1	2	0	1	1	
7/1/16 to 7/7/16	15	30	2	2	1	0	0	
7/8/16 to 7/14/16	17	32	1	3	0	3	1	
7/15/16 to 7/21/16	13	38	8	6	3	6	4	
7/22/16 to 7/28/16	13	40	3	2	0	2	4	
7/29/16 to 8/4/16	9	30	2	2	0	1	1	1 withdraw
8/5/16 to 8/11/16	7	29	3	6	2	1	1	
8/12/16 to 8/18/16	8	30	7	3	0	0	1	
8/19/16 to 8/25/16	10	36	4	5	0	3	1	
8/26/16 to 9/1/16	8	50	3	4	2	1	0	
9/2/16 to 9/8/16	7	24	3	4	2	3	0	
9/9/16 to 9/15/16	7	18	3	2	0	0	2	
9/16/16 to 9/22/16	4	20	8	7	1	3	1	
9/23/16 to 9/29/16	16	31	3	5	0	1	0	
9/30/16 to 10/12/16	22	45	3	3	0	4	1	
10/13/16 to 10/20/16	5	30	1	3	0	2	3	
10/21/16 to 10/27/16	5	23	5	5	1	4	1	
10/28/16 to 11/3/16	11	24	4	3	0	1	1	
11/4/16 to 11/10/16	9	28	6	4	0	2	0	1 withdraw
11/11/16 to 11/17/16	20	20	2	2	2	0	4	
11/18/16 to 11/24/16	15	20	1	1	0	0	3	
11/25/16 to 12/1/16	11	13	6	6	0	2	1	
12/2/16 to 12/8/16	10	28	0	1	0	2	3	
12/9/16 to 12/15/16	10	28	6	2	0	3	3	
12/16/16 to 12/22/16	9	31	8	3	1	2	1	
12/23/16 to 12/31/16	6	18	2	1	0	4	2	
1/1/17 to 1/5/17	3	8	4	4	0	1	1	1 withdraw
1/6/17 to 1/12/17	7	21	7	7	1	1	2	
1/13/17 to 1/19/17	10	22	2	2	0	2	0	
1/20/17 to 1/26/17	4	25	3	4	1	3	1	
1/27/17 to 2/2/17	11	19	0	0	0	2	3	
2/3/17 to 2/9/17	9	20	2	3	1	2	0	

Weekly Report of Unit Legalization Per Ordinance 43-14

Date	Number of Enquiry Phone Call	Number of Enquiry Counter Visits	Number of Screening Form Filed at DBI	Number of Permit Submitted	Permits Submitted with NOV's	Number of Permit Issued	Number of Work Completed	Remark
2/10/17 to 2/16/17	3	26	2	2	1	2	2	
2/17/17 to 2/23/17	5	16	1	1	1	4	4	
2/24/17 to 3/2/17	10	20	7	6	2	3	0	
3/3/17 to 3/9/17	8	18	2	2	1	1	1	
3/10/17 to 3/16/17	9	22	1	0	0	0	0	
3/17/17 to 3/23/17	12	25	4	3	1	3	2	
3/24/17 to 4/6/17	15	32	4	4	0	4	2	
4/7/17 to 4/13/17	14	28	3	2	0	3	0	
4/14/17 to 4/20/17	21	34	3	2	1	1	1	
4/21/17 to 4/27/17	18	22	3	4	2	4	0	
4/28/17 to 5/4/17	29	33	5	6	3	1	4	
5/5/2017 to 5/11/17	19	29	3	2	0	2	1	
5/12/17 to 5/18/17	22	31	3	5	0	3	2	
5/19/17 to 5/25/17	16	35	5	3	1	2	1	
5/26/17 to 6/1/17	18	38	4	8	0	3	1	
6/2/17 to 6/8/17	19	33	8	6	4	2	0	
6/9/17 to 6/16/17	15	25	7	9	1	4	3	
6/17/17 to 6/22/17	13	19	1	2	1	2	3	
6/23/17 to 7/7/17	17	22	4	3	1	5	4	
7/8/17 to 7/13/17	10	16	0	4	0	2	1	
7/14/17 to 7/20/17	11	21	4	3	0	4	1	
7/21/17 to 7/27/17	9	19	5	2	0	2	2	
7/28/17 to 8/3/17	10	23	0	1	1	7	1	
8/4/17 to 8/10/17	11	12	2	2	2	2	2	
8/11/17 to 8/17/17	23	18	3	2	1	2	1	
08/18/17 to 08/24/17	13	29	3	3	1	0	2	
08/25/17 to 08/31/17	15	33	5	7	0	3	0	
09/1/17 to 09/7/17	16	22	4	4	0	1	1	
09/8/17 to 09/14/17	12	17	7	5	1	1	2	
09/15/17 to 09/21/17	26	21	6	4	1	0	0	1 withdraw
09/22/17 to 09/28/17	25	19	5	6	1	2	2	
9/29/17 to 10/5/17	29	22	4	7	1	1	2	
10/6/17 to 10/12/17	20	18	3	3	0	1	1	
10/13/17 to 10/19/17	16	19	2	1	1	0	2	
10/20/2017 to 10/26/17	23	26	6	4	1	1	0	
10/27/17 to 11/2/17	26	21	9	9	5	1	0	
11/3/17 to 11/9/17	22	25	5	4	1	3	0	
11/10/17 to 11/16/17	19	23	1	1	0	2	0	
11/17/17 to 11/30/17	37	35	3	5	1	6	7	
12/1/17 to 12/7/17	17	26	7	6	2	1	4	
12/8/17 to 12/14/17	23	31	6	6	3	0	0	
12/15/2017 to 12/21/2017	19	22	5	6	2	1	1	
12/22/2017 to 12/28/2017	21	15	3	3	1	0	2	
12/29/2017 to 1/4/2018	17	18	1	0	0	3	0	
1/5/2018 to 1/11/2018	29	26	2	4	0	1	1	
1/12/2018 to 1/18/2018	22	25	2	2	2	1	2	
1/19/2018 to 1/25/2018	30	27	6	6	2	4	2	1 withdraw
1/26/2018 to 2/1/2018	28	33	2	2	0	3	2	
2/2/2018 to 2/8/2018	26	31	1	1	1	2	1	1 withdraw
2/9/2018 to 2/15/2018	29	22	4	3	1	1	3	
2/16/2018 to 2/22/2018	25	23	7	6	3	2	2	1 withdraw
2/23/2018 to 3/1/2018	26	25	2	1	1	2	0	
3/2/2018 to 3/8/2018	25	24	5	5	2	2	0	
3/9/2018 to 3/15/2018	27	25	4	4	0	2	0	
3/16/2018 to 3/22/2018	29	28	6	6	3	4	0	
3/23/2018 to 3/29/2018	30	29	3	5	2	3	1	
3/30/2018 to 4/5/2018	32	28	5	5	0	2	1	
4/6/2018 to 4/12/2018	35	30	5	5	4	3	0	
4/13/2018 to 4/19/2018	34	31	3	2	0	3	1	
4/20/2018 to 4/26/2018	33	29	7	7	4	0	1	
4/27/2018 to 5/3/2018	35	32	6	8	3	4	6	1 withdraw

Weekly Report of Unit Legalization Per Ordinance 43-14

Date	Number of Enquiry Phone Call	Number of Enquiry Counter Visits	Number of Screening Form Filed at DBI	Number of Permit Submitted	Permits Submitted with NOV's	Number of Permit Issued	Number of Work Completed	Remark
5/4/2018 to 5/10/2018	34	33	7	7	2	0	2	
5/11/2018 to 5/17/2018	32	34	0	1	0	2	0	
5/18/2018 to 5/24/2018	33	32	1	1	1	2	0	1 withdraw
5/25/2018 to 5/31/2018	34	31	3	3	0	3	1	
6/1/2018 to 6/7/2018	35	30	5	4	1	4	1	
6/8/2018 to 6/14/2018	30	33	2	2	1	2	1	
6/15/2018 to 6/21/2018	32	34	5	5	0	2	1	
6/22/2018 to 6/28/2018	29	31	4	4	2	2	0	
6/29/2018 to 7/5/2018	33	29	5	4	2	4	2	
7/6/2018 to 7/12/2018	31	35	2	3	2	1	2	
7/13/2018 to 7/19/2018	28	27	0	0	0	4	2	
7/20/2018 to 7/26/2018	27	30	4	4	2	2	1	
7/27/2018 to 8/2/2018	30	32	5	5	1	1	0	
8/3/2018 to 8/9/2018	29	28	2	1	0	2	3	1 withdraw
8/10/2018 to 8/16/2018	28	26	0	0	0	3	0	
8/17/2018 to 8/23/2018	25	31	6	4	3	3	2	
8/24/2018 to 8/30/2018	32	33	2	2	0	3	3	
8/31/2018 to 9/6/2018	30	29	6	5	1	2	3	
9/7/2018 to 9/13/2018	33	34	7	6	3	4	0	
9/14/2018 to 9/20/2018	31	35	4	4	1	1	1	
9/21/2018 to 9/27/2018	35	27	2	1	0	2	0	1 withdraw
9/28/2018 to 10/4/2018	34	32	7	3	0	2	1	
10/5/2018 to 10/11/2018	30	33	1	2	1	8	1	
10/12/2018 to 10/18/2018	29	31	2	4	2	3	1	
10/19/2018 to 10/25/2018	31	29	6	6	3	6	0	
10/26/2018 to 11/1/2018	28	28	3	3	2	2	2	1 withdraw
11/2/2018 to 11/8/2018	30	27	2	1	0	1	0	
11/9/2018 to 11/15/2018	27	29	6	6	3	2	2	
11/16/2018 to 11/29/2018	44	41	3	3	1	7	2	
11/30/2018 to 12/6/2018	40	39	4	3	0	1	2	
12/7/2018 to 12/14/2018	32	30	9	8	0	3	4	
12/15/2018 to 12/21/2018	29	31	2	3	2	3	4	
12/22/2018 to 12/28/2018	33	34	2	2	1	1	0	
12/29/2018 to 1/4/2019	26	24	2	3	1	4	0	
1/5/2019 to 1/11/2019	24	30	7	7	0	5	0	1 withdraw
1/12/2019 to 1/18/2019	22	24	4	3	0	4	1	1 withdraw
1/19/2019 to 1/23/2019	20	23	3	4	4	0	2	
1/24/2019 to 1/30/2019	25	27	4	4	2	1	3	1 withdraw
1/31/2019 to 2/6/2019	22	26	2	12	5	1	1	
2/7/2019 to 2/13/2019	18	22	8	6	3	2	2	
2/14/2019 to 2/20/2019	15	18	5	4	3	2	2	
2/21/2019 to 2/27/2019	17	17	3	2	2	6	2	
2/28/2019 to 3/6/2019	14	12	4	2	0	2	0	
3/7/2019 to 3/13/2019	16	15	6	7	6	4	1	
3/14/2019 to 3/20/2019	18	16	2	1	1	4	3	
3/21/2019 to 3/27/2019	15	14	4	7	4	1	1	
3/28/2019 to 4/3/2019	14	18	4	2	0	1	2	1 withdraw
4/4/2019 to 4/10/2019	17	21	4	5	0	2	0	
4/11/2019 to 4/17/2019	18	22	10	4	0	1	1	1 revised
4/18/2019 to 4/24/2019	19	22	4	5	1	1	2	
4/25/2019 to 5/1/2019	22	19	4	3	1	1	2	1 withdraw
5/2/2019 to 5/8/2019	18	16	2	5	2	2	5	1 withdraw
5/9/2019 to 5/15/2019	19	17	4	3	2	1	1	
5/16/2019 to 5/22/2019	15	17	0	0	0	1	1	hdraw and 1 re
5/23/2019 to 5/29/19	12	15	5	3	1	9	2	
5/30/2019 to 6/05/2019	15	13	1	2	1	3	4	
6/6/2019 to 6/12/2019	11	16	4	5	2	2	2	
6/13/2019 to 6/19/2019	12	14	4	2	0	2	3	1 withdrawn
6/20/2019 to 06/26/2019	14	13	7	5	3	9	0	
6/27/2019 to 07/03/2019	10	12	4	3	1	6	3	hdrawn and 1 re

Weekly Report of Unit Legalization Per Ordinance 43-14

Date	Number of Enquiry Phone Call	Number of Enquiry Counter Visits	Number of Screening Form Filed at DBI	Number of Permit Submitted	Permits Submitted with NOVs	Number of Permit Issued	Number of Work Completed	Remark
7/04/2019 to 7/10/2019	7	10	2	0	0	2	2	
7/11/2019 to 07/17/2019	5	7	4	3	1	1	1	
7/18/2019 to 07/24/2019	8	10	2	2	0	3	1	
07/25/2019 to 07/31/2019	6	7	3	3	0	1	1	1 withdrawn
08/01/2019 to 08/07/2019	5	10	6	3	1	4	0	1 withdrawn
08/08/2019 to 08/14/2019	7	11	4	3	0	8	1	1 withdrawn
08/15/2019 to 08/21/2019	6	9	4	6	1	2	0	1 withdrawn
08/22/2019 to 08/28/2019	5	7	3	1	0	1	1	
08/29/2019 to 09/04/2019	3	6	3	4	1	3	3	
09/05/2019 to 09/11/2019	6	3	1	2	0	3	4	
09/12/2019 to 09/18/2019	4	7	5	4	2	3	1	
09/19/2019 to 09/25/2019	3	5	3	2	0	1	3	
09/26/2019 to 10/02/2019	4	2	2	1	0	2	3	
10/03/2019 to 10/09/2019	2	5	1	2	0	3	0	
10/10/2019 to 10/16/2019	5	8	6	7	3	0	2	
10/17/2019 to 10/23/2019	3	7	4	6	3	4	2	2 withdrawn
TOTAL:	4430	6957	1056	980	260	540	290	



SAN FRANCISCO PLANNING DEPARTMENT

EXHIBIT B

Planning Commission Draft Resolution HEARING DATE DECEMBER 5, 2019

1650 Mission St.
Suite 400
San Francisco,
CA 94103-2479

Reception:
415.558.6378

Fax:
415.558.6409

Planning
Information:
415.558.6377

Project Name: **Exemption from Density Limits for Affordable and Unauthorized Units**
Case Number: **2019-014348PCA** [Board File No. 190757]
Initiated by: Supervisor Mandelman / Introduced July 9, 2019
Staff Contact: **Audrey Merlone**, Legislative Affairs
Audrey.Merlone@sfgov.org, 415-575-9129
Reviewed by: Aaron D Starr, Manager of Legislative Affairs
aaron.starr@sfgov.org, 415-558-6362

RESOLUTION APPROVING A PROPOSED ORDINANCE THAT WOULD AMEND THE PLANNING CODE TO PROVIDE AN EXCEPTION FROM DENSITY LIMIT CALCULATIONS FOR ALL AFFORDABLE UNITS IN PROJECTS NOT SEEKING AND RECEIVING A DENSITY BONUS, PERMIT THE LEGALIZATION OF ALL UNAUTHORIZED DWELLING UNITS NOTWITHSTANDING A HISTORY OF NO-FAULT EVICTIONS, AND PRINCIPALLY PERMIT RESIDENTIAL CARE FACILITIES FOR SEVEN OR MORE PERSONS IN ALL RH (RESIDENTIAL, HOUSE) ZONING DISTRICTS.; ADOPTING FINDINGS, INCLUDING ENVIRONMENTAL FINDINGS, PLANNING CODE SECTION 302 FINDINGS, AND FINDINGS OF CONSISTENCY WITH THE GENERAL PLAN AND PLANNING CODE SECTION 101.1.

WHEREAS, on July 9, 2019 Supervisor Mandelman introduced a proposed Ordinance under Board of Supervisors (hereinafter "Board") File Number 190757, which would amend the Planning Code to provide an exception from density limit calculations for all affordable units in projects not seeking and receiving a density bonus, permit the legalization of all unauthorized dwelling units notwithstanding a history of no-fault evictions, and principally permit residential care facilities for seven or more persons in all RH (Residential, House) zoning districts;

WHEREAS, The Planning Commission (hereinafter "Commission") conducted a duly noticed public hearing at a regularly scheduled meeting to consider the proposed Ordinance on November 21, 2019; and,

WHEREAS, the proposed Ordinance has been determined to be categorically exempt from environmental review under the California Environmental Quality Act Section 15060(c); and

WHEREAS, the Planning Commission has heard and considered the testimony presented to it at the public hearing and has further considered written materials and oral testimony presented on behalf of Department staff and other interested parties; and

WHEREAS, all pertinent documents may be found in the files of the Department, as the custodian of records, at 1650 Mission Street, Suite 400, San Francisco; and

WHEREAS, the Planning Commission has reviewed the proposed Ordinance; and

WHEREAS, the Planning Commission finds from the facts presented that the public necessity, convenience, and general welfare require the proposed amendment; and

MOVED, that the Planning Commission hereby **approves with modifications** the proposed ordinance.

FINDINGS

Having reviewed the materials identified in the preamble above, and having heard all testimony and arguments, this Commission finds, concludes, and determines as follows:

1. The Planning Commission supports the proposed Ordinance with the recommended modifications to Section 207(c) because it expands the ability to build Affordable housing and Residential Care Facilities in low-density districts, closes loopholes in the UDU legalization program, and increases the ability to build/legalize more ADU's. San Francisco and the Bay Area have a housing shortage. The Planning Department is working to meet these housing needs. The ADU and UDU legalization programs have helped create new dwelling units, mostly through infill efforts. Any such effort to improve the viability of these programs should be supported. The Ordinance will build on these efforts by expanding opportunities for underutilized auxiliary structures and UDU's to become viable, affordable housing. In addition to facing a traditional housing shortage, San Francisco is also facing a shortage of Skilled Nursing Facilities (SNF's). As the number of SNFs in San Francisco continue to decline, Residential Care Facilities are one way of filling the gap in long-term care. As long-term care continues to shift to a more residential model, Residential Care Facilities are also in increasing demand. The proposed amendments will provide more opportunities for Residential Care Facilities to establish themselves in San Francisco.
2. **General Plan Compliance.** The proposed Ordinance and the Commission's recommended modifications are is consistent with the following Objectives and Policies of the General Plan:

HOUSING ELEMENT

OBJECTIVE 1

IDENTIFY AND MAKE AVAILABLE FOR DEVELOPMENT ADEQUATE SITES TO MEET THE CITY'S HOUSING NEEDS, ESPECIALLY PERMANENTLY AFFORDABLE HOUSING.

Policy 1.5

Consider secondary units in community plans where there is neighborhood support and when other neighborhood goals can be achieved, especially if that housing is made permanently affordable to lower-income households.

The proposed Ordinance would provide further flexibility for Accessory Dwelling unit program in pursuit of goals to increase housing opportunities. It would also provide more opportunities to preserve existing unauthorized units.

OBJECTIVE 4

FOSTER A HOUSING STOCK THAT MEETS THE NEEDS OF ALL RESIDENTS ACROSS LIFECYCLES.

The proposed Ordinance will expand opportunities for Residential Care in San Francisco neighborhoods, including Residential Care Facility for the Elderly (RCFE) facilities, those seeking treatment for substance abuse, mental health, and for persons with disabilities to support their ability to live independently in the community.

OBJECTIVE 7

SECURE FUNDING AND RESOURCES FOR PERMANENTLY AFFORDABLE HOUSING, INCLUDING INNOVATIVE PROGRAMS THAT ARE NOT SOLELY RELIANT ON TRADITIONAL MECHANISMS OR CAPITAL.

Policy 7.7

Support housing for middle income households, especially through programs that do not require a direct public subsidy.

ADUs and UDU's are subordinate to the original unit due to their size, location of the entrance, lower ceiling heights, etc. ADUs and UDU's provide a lower rent compared to the residential units developed in newly constructed buildings and therefore the proposed Ordinance would support housing for middle income households.

Removing dwelling unit density limits for 100% affordable projects and excluding voluntary affordable units from density calculations will additionally assist in building permanently affordable housing without public subsidy.

COMMERCE AND INDUSTRY ELEMENT

OBJECTIVE 7

ENHANCE SAN FRANCISCO'S POSITION AS A NATIONAL AND REGIONAL CENTER FOR GOVERNMENTAL, HEALTH, AND EDUCATIONAL SERVICES.

Policy 7.3

Promote the provision of adequate health and educational services to all geographical districts and cultural groups in the city.

The proposed Ordinance will assist in expanding the reach of Residential Care Facilities across the city, by loosening the restrictions on where they may locate by-right, and by removing the size restrictions based on the number of beds provided.

3. **Planning Code Section 101 Findings.** The proposed amendments to the Planning Code are consistent with the eight Priority Policies set forth in Section 101.1(b) of the Planning Code in that:

1. That existing neighborhood-serving retail uses be preserved and enhanced and future opportunities for resident employment in and ownership of such businesses enhanced;

The proposed Ordinance would not have a negative effect on neighborhood serving retail uses and will not have a negative effect on opportunities for resident employment in and ownership of neighborhood-serving retail.

2. That existing housing and neighborhood character be conserved and protected in order to preserve the cultural and economic diversity of our neighborhoods;

The proposed Ordinance would not have a negative effect on housing or neighborhood character.

3. That the City's supply of affordable housing be preserved and enhanced;

The proposed Ordinance would not have an adverse effect on the City's supply of affordable housing.

4. That commuter traffic not impede MUNI transit service or overburden our streets or neighborhood parking;

The proposed Ordinance would not result in commuter traffic impeding MUNI transit service or overburdening the streets or neighborhood parking.

5. That a diverse economic base be maintained by protecting our industrial and service sectors from displacement due to commercial office development, and that future opportunities for resident employment and ownership in these sectors be enhanced;

The proposed Ordinance would not cause displacement of the industrial or service sectors due to office development, and future opportunities for resident employment or ownership in these sectors would not be impaired.

6. That the City achieve the greatest possible preparedness to protect against injury and loss of life in an earthquake;

The proposed Ordinance would not have an adverse effect on City's preparedness against injury and loss of life in an earthquake.

7. That the landmarks and historic buildings be preserved;

The proposed Ordinance would not have an adverse effect on the City's Landmarks and historic buildings.

8. That our parks and open space and their access to sunlight and vistas be protected from

development;

The proposed Ordinance would not have an adverse effect on the City's parks and open space and their access to sunlight and vistas.

4. **Planning Code Section 302 Findings.** The Planning Commission finds from the facts presented that the public necessity, convenience and general welfare require the proposed amendments to the Planning Code as set forth in Section 302.

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that the Commission hereby APPROVES WITH MODIFICATIONS the proposed Ordinance as described in this Resolution.

I hereby certify that the foregoing Resolution was adopted by the Commission at its meeting on November 21, 2019.

Jonas P. Ionin
Commission Secretary

AYES:

NOES:

ABSENT:

ADOPTED: November 21, 2019

[Planning Code - Exemption from Density Limits for Affordable and Unauthorized Units; Residential Care Facilities]

Ordinance amending the Planning Code to provide an exception from density limit calculations for all affordable units in projects not seeking and receiving a density bonus, permit the legalization of all unauthorized dwelling units notwithstanding a history of no-fault evictions, and principally permit residential care facilities for seven or more persons in all RH (Residential, House) zoning districts; affirming the Planning Department's determination under the California Environmental Quality Act; making findings of consistency with the General Plan, and the eight priority policies of Planning Code, Section 101.1; and adopting findings of public necessity, convenience, and general welfare under Planning Code, Section 302.

NOTE: **Unchanged Code text and uncoded text** are in plain Arial font.
Additions to Codes are in *single-underline italics Times New Roman font*.
Deletions to Codes are in *strikethrough italics Times New Roman font*.
Board amendment additions are in double-underlined Arial font.
Board amendment deletions are in ~~strikethrough Arial font~~.
Asterisks (* * * *) indicate the omission of unchanged Code subsections or parts of tables.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. Findings.

(a) The Planning Department has determined that the actions contemplated in this ordinance comply with the California Environmental Quality Act (California Public Resources Code Sections 21000 et seq.). Said determination is on file with the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors in File No. 190757 and is incorporated herein by reference. The Board affirms this determination.

1 (b) On _____, the Planning Commission, in Resolution No. _____,
2 adopted findings that the actions contemplated in this ordinance are consistent, on balance,
3 with the City's General Plan and eight priority policies of Planning Code Section 101.1. The
4 Board adopts these findings as its own. A copy of said Resolution is on file with the Clerk of
5 the Board of Supervisors in File No. _____, and is incorporated herein by reference.

6 (c) Pursuant to Planning Code Section 302, this Board finds that these Planning
7 Code amendments will serve the public necessity, convenience, and general welfare for the
8 reasons set forth in Planning Commission Resolution No. _____.

9
10 Section 2. The Planning Code is hereby amended by revising Sections 207, 207.3,
11 and 209.1, to read as follows:

12 **SEC. 207. DWELLING UNIT DENSITY LIMITS.**

13 * * * *

14 (c) **Exceptions to Dwelling Unit Density Limits.** An exception to the calculations
15 under this Section 207 shall be made in the following circumstances:

16 (1) **Affordable Units ~~in Projects with 20 Percent or More Affordable Units~~.** For
17 projects that are not ~~located in any RH-1 or RH-2 zoning district, or are not~~ seeking and receiving
18 a density bonus under the provisions of Planning Code Section 206.5 or 206.6, California
19 ~~Government Code Section 65915, where 20 percent or more of the Dwelling Units on-site are~~
20 ~~"Affordable Units," the~~ on-site Affordable Units shall not count towards the calculation of
21 dwelling unit density. This Planning Code Section 207(c)(1) does not provide exceptions to any
22 other Planning Code requirements such as height or bulk. For purposes of this Section
23 207(c)(1), "Affordable Units" shall be defined as meeting (A) the criteria of Section 406(b); (B)
24 the requirements of Section 415 et seq. for on-site units; or (C) restricted units in a project
25 using California Debt Limit Allocation Committee (CDLAC) tax-exempt bond financing and 4%

1 ~~percent~~ tax credits under the Tax Credit Allocation Committee (TCAC). If a project sponsor
2 proposes to provide "Affordable Units" that are not restricted by any other program, in order to
3 receive the benefit of the additional density permitted under this ~~§~~subsection (c)(1) or
4 ~~§~~subsection (c)(2), the project sponsor shall elect and the Planning Department and MOHCD
5 shall be authorized to enforce, restricting the units as affordable under Planning Code Section
6 415.6 ~~up to a maximum of 25 percent of the units in the principal project~~. The project sponsor shall
7 make such election through the procedures described in Section 415.5(g) including submitting
8 an Affidavit of Compliance indicating the project sponsor's election to pursue the benefits of
9 ~~§~~subsection (c)(1) or (c)(2) and committing to ~~up to 25 percent~~ on-site units restricted under
10 Section 415.6 prior to approval by the Planning Commission or Planning Department staff. If a
11 project sponsor obtains the exemption from the density calculation for Affordable Units
12 provided in this subsection (c)(1), the exemption shall be recorded against the property. Any
13 later request to decrease the number of Affordable Units shall require the project to go back to
14 the Planning Commission or Planning Department, whichever entity approved the project as a
15 whole.

16 (2) **Designated Child Care Units.** A Designated Child Care Unit that meets all the
17 applicable standards of Planning Code Section 414A.6 shall not count towards the calculation of
18 maximum density permitted on the site.

19 ~~(2) — **Affordable Units in RTO Districts.** In the RTO District, on-site Dwelling Units~~
20 ~~that are "Affordable Units," as defined in Subsection (a), shall not count toward density calculations or~~
21 ~~be limited by lot area.~~

22 * * * *

23 (4) **Local Accessory Dwelling Unit Program: Accessory Dwelling Units**
24 **in Multifamily Buildings; Accessory Dwelling Units in Single-Family Homes That Do Not**
25 **Strictly Meet the Requirements in Subsection (c)(6).**

1 * * * *

2 (B) **Applicability.** This subsection (c)(4) shall apply to the construction
3 of Accessory Dwelling Units on all lots located within the City and County of San Francisco in
4 areas that allow ~~Residential~~ ~~Use~~, except that construction of an Accessory Dwelling Unit is
5 regulated by subsection (c)(6), and not this subsection (c)(4), if all of the following
6 circumstances exist:

7 * * * *

8 (iii) the ADU is either attached to or will be constructed entirely
9 within the “living area” (as defined in subsection (c)(6)(B)(iii)) or the buildable area of the
10 proposed or existing primary dwelling, or constructed within the built envelope of an existing
11 and authorized auxiliary structure on the same lot; provided, however, that (A) when a stand-
12 alone garage, storage structure, or other auxiliary structure is being converted to an ADU, an
13 expansion to the envelope is allowed to add dormers even if the stand-alone garage, storage
14 structure, or other auxiliary structure is in the required rear yard and (B) on a ~~Corner~~ ~~Lot~~ or
15 through lot, a legal stand-alone nonconforming garage, storage structure, or other auxiliary
16 structure may be expanded within its existing footprint by up to one additional story in order to
17 create a consistent street wall and improve the continuity of buildings on the block.

18 * * * *

19 (H) **Regulatory Agreements.** A Regulatory Agreement required by
20 subsection (c)(4)(G) as a condition of approval of an Accessory Dwelling Unit shall contain the
21 following:

22 (i) a statement that the ADU(s) are not subject to the Costa-
23 Hawkins Rental Housing Act (California Civil Code Section 1954.50 et seq.) because, under
24 Section 1954.52(b), the owner has entered into this agreement with the City in consideration
25 for a complete or partial waiver of the density limits, and/or bicycle parking, rear yard,

1 exposure, or open space standards of this Code or other direct financial contribution or other
2 form of assistance specified in California Government Code Sections 65915 et seq.
3 (“Agreement”); and

4 * * * *

5 (6) **State Mandated Accessory Dwelling Unit Program: Accessory**
6 **Dwelling Units in Existing or Proposed Single-Family Homes or in a Detached Auxiliary**
7 **Structure on the Same Lot.**

8 * * * *

9 (B) **Lots Zoned for Single-Family or Multifamily Use and**
10 **Containing an Existing Single-Family Home; Controls on Construction.** An Accessory
11 Dwelling Unit located on a lot that is zoned for single-family or multifamily use and contains an
12 existing or proposed single-family dwelling and constructed pursuant to this subsection (c)(6)
13 shall meet all of the following:

14 * * * *

15 (ix) ~~No parking is required for the ADU. If existing parking is~~
16 ~~demolished in order to construct the ADU, only the parking space required by this Code for the existing~~
17 ~~single-family home must be replaced. If replacement parking is required, it may be located in any~~
18 ~~configuration on the lot including but not limited to covered, uncovered, or tandem space or by the use~~
19 ~~of mechanical automobile parking lifts.~~

20 (x) When a stand-alone garage, storage structure, or other
21 auxiliary structure is being converted to an ADU, an expansion to the envelope is allowed to
22 add dormers even if the stand-alone garage, storage structure, or other auxiliary structure is in
23 the required rear yard.

24 (xi) On a corner lot or through lot, a legal stand-alone
25 nonconforming garage, storage structure, or other auxiliary structure may be expanded within

1 its existing footprint by up to one additional story in order to create a consistent street wall and
2 improve the continuity of buildings on the block.

3 * * * *

4 ~~(7) A Designated Child Care Unit that meets all the applicable standards of Planning~~
5 ~~Code Section 414A.6 shall not count towards the calculation of maximum density permitted on the site.~~

6 **SEC. 207.3. AUTHORIZATION OF DWELLING UNITS CONSTRUCTED WITHOUT A**
7 **PERMIT IN AN EXISTING BUILDING ZONED FOR RESIDENTIAL USE.**

8 Notwithstanding Section 207.2 or any other provision of this Code, certain dwelling
9 units that were constructed without benefit of permit in an existing residential building or in an
10 ancillary structure located on the same lot, defined for purposes of this Section 207.3 and in Section
11 317(b) as “unauthorized units,” may be granted legal status subject to the conditions and
12 procedures set forth below. ~~For purposes of this Section 207.3, a dwelling unit shall not include~~
13 ~~single room occupancy units.~~

14 (a) **Purpose and Findings.**

15 * * * *

16 (4) Providing a mechanism to grant legal status to ~~an~~ illegally constructed
17 dwelling units in an existing building zoned for ~~Residential~~ ~~Use~~ furthers several public policy
18 objectives. By encouraging the legalization of these unauthorized units, the City can add
19 legitimate units to the City's supply of affordable housing, ensure that these units are safe and
20 habitable, and properly include these units when calculating the City's existing housing
21 supply.

22 (b) **Scope.** ~~(1) Except as provided in subsection (2) below, t~~ This Section 207.3 shall
23 apply to an existing building or an ancillary structure on the same lot, that is located in a
24 district where residential use is principally permitted, and that has one or more unauthorized
25 ~~dwelling~~ units that were constructed prior to January 1, 2013 without benefit of permit and

1 used as residential space. ~~One of~~The unauthorized ~~dwelling~~ units on the lot that meet this
2 threshold requirement and the requirements of this Section 207.3 may be granted legal status
3 under this Section 207.3, regardless of the density limits of the zoning district.

4 ~~(2) — **No-fault Eviction.** The Department shall not approve an application for~~
5 ~~legalization if any tenant has been evicted from the unit pursuant to Administrative Code Sections~~
6 ~~37.9(a)(9) through (a)(14) where the tenant was served with the notice of eviction after March 13, 2014~~
7 ~~if the notice was served within ten (10) years prior to filing the application for legalization.~~
8 ~~Additionally, the Department shall not approve an application for legalization of the unit if any tenant~~
9 ~~has been evicted pursuant to Administrative Code Section 37.9(a)(8) where the tenant was served with~~
10 ~~a notice of eviction after March 13, 2014 if the notice was served within five (5) years prior to filing the~~
11 ~~application for legalization. The Department shall verify with the Rent Board that no no-fault eviction~~
12 ~~had been filed. This subsection (b)(2) shall not apply if the tenant was evicted under Administrative~~
13 ~~Code Section 37.9(a)(11) and the applicant(s) have either: (A) certified that the original tenant~~
14 ~~reoccupied the unit after the temporary eviction or (B) submitted to the Department a declaration from~~
15 ~~the property owner or the tenant certifying that the property owner or the Rent Board has notified the~~
16 ~~tenant of the tenant's right to reoccupy the unit after the temporary eviction and the tenant chose not to~~
17 ~~reoccupy it.~~

18 (c) **Notices of Violation.** If the Director or Zoning Administrator has issued a notice
19 of violation for ~~the~~ an unauthorized unit for which legalization is being sought and all violations
20 would be corrected by legalization of the unit, the Director or Zoning Administrator shall:

21 * * * *

22 (d) **Legalization Application.** The Department shall approve an application to
23 legalize an existing unauthorized dwelling unit if the unit complies with Planning Code
24 requirements as specified in subsection (e) below and with other City codes as specified in
25 subsection (f) below; ~~if the Rent Board verifies that no no-fault eviction was filed pursuant to~~

1 ~~subsection (b)(2) above,~~ and if the permit application is completed at and plans approved by the
2 Department of Building Inspection. In compliance with the State's ~~Second~~ Accessory Dwelling
3 Unit Law (California Government Code 65852.2), the Department shall ~~exercise ministerial~~
4 ~~approval of~~ approve the application ministerially if the ~~dwelling unauthorized~~ unit is ~~in a single-~~
5 ~~family home and thus within the scope of the State's Second Unit Law~~ approved as an Accessory
6 Dwelling Unit pursuant to Section 206(c)(6) of this Code.

7 (e) **Compliance with Planning Code Requirements; Exceptions.**

8 (1) A ~~d~~Dwelling ~~#~~Unit~~s~~ authorized under this Section 207.3 must satisfy all
9 applicable requirements of this Code except for the rear yard requirements set forth in Section
10 134, the usable open space requirements set forth in Section 135, and the light and air
11 requirements set forth in Section 140, and except as otherwise provided in this Section 207.3.

12 (2) ~~One such d~~Dwelling ~~#~~Unit~~s~~ on the lot ~~is~~ are allowed to exceed the permitted
13 density authorized for that zoning district provided that a ~~#~~Residential ~~#~~Use is a ~~p~~Principally
14 ~~p~~Permitted Use in that zoning district. Authorization of ~~an~~ the additional unit~~s~~ over the density
15 limits will not change the official zoning classification of the lot; provided, however, that the
16 additional ~~d~~Dwelling ~~#~~Unit~~s~~ shall count towards the density limits if the parcel is under its
17 density limit capacity.

18 (3) ~~Off-street parking requirements may be reduced to the extent necessary to retain~~
19 ~~dwelling units authorized under this Section 207.3, without requiring compliance with Sections 305,~~
20 ~~161(j) or 307(g) or (i) of this Code.~~

21 (f) **Compliance With Other City Codes.** A ~~d~~Dwelling ~~#~~Unit authorized under this
22 Section 207.3 must meet all applicable provisions of other City codes other than the
23 provisions of the Planning Code cited in subsection (e). Any Code equivalencies authorized
24 under the Building Code, Electrical Code, Plumbing Code, Mechanical Code, Fire Code, or
25 other applicable Code shall be considered by the relevant agency.

~~Legalization~~ Authorization of a ~~d~~Dwelling ~~#~~Unit under this Section 207.3 shall not affect whether the ~~d~~Dwelling ~~#~~Unit is subject to the Residential Rent Stabilization and Arbitration Ordinance (Chapter 37 of the Administrative Code). A ~~d~~Dwelling ~~#~~Unit that was subject to the Residential Rent Stabilization and Arbitration Ordinance prior to legalization under this Section 207.3 shall remain subject to the Residential Rent Stabilization and Arbitration Ordinance after legalization. Landlords shall pay relocation assistance to tenants who are temporarily displaced due to work required for dwelling unit legalization pursuant to the provisions in Section 37.9C of the Residential Rent Stabilization and Arbitration Ordinance or California Civil Code Section 1947.9 for displacements of less than 20 days.

(g) **Additional Dwelling Unit Considered a Lawful Nonconforming Use.** Any ~~d~~Dwelling ~~#~~Unit authorized under this Section 207.3 shall be considered a lawful nonconforming use subject to the provisions of Planning Code Sections 180 through 189; provided, however, that expansion of the additional ~~d~~Dwelling ~~#~~Unit within the building envelope shall be permitted as part of the legalization process.

* * * *

(k) **Master List of Additional Dwelling Units Approved.** The Planning Department shall create and maintain a master list of ~~d~~Dwelling ~~#~~Units approved pursuant to the provisions of this Section 207.3 and corresponding property addresses for use by the San Francisco Rent Stabilization and Arbitration Board, Tax Assessor, and other interested City departments, boards or commissions.

SEC. 209.1. RH (RESIDENTIAL, HOUSE) DISTRICTS.

* * * *

**Table 209.1
ZONING CONTROL TABLE FOR RH DISTRICTS**

Zoning Category	§ References	RH-1(D)	RH-1	RH-1(S)	RH-2	RH-3
-----------------	--------------	---------	------	---------	------	------

* * * *						
NON-RESIDENTIAL STANDARDS AND USES						
* * * *						
Institutional Use Category						
Institutional Uses*	§ 102	NP	NP	NP	NP	NP
* * * *	* * * *	* * * *	* * * *	* * * *	* * * *	* * * *
Residential Care Facility	§ 102	P (3)	P (3)	P (3)	P (3)	P
* * * *	* * * *	* * * *	* * * *	* * * *	* * * *	* * * *

* Not listed below.

(3) [Note deleted] ~~C required for seven or more persons.~~

* * * *

Section 3. Effective Date. This ordinance shall become effective 30 days after enactment. Enactment occurs when the Mayor signs the ordinance, the Mayor returns the ordinance unsigned or does not sign the ordinance within ten days of receiving it, or the Board of Supervisors overrides the Mayor's veto of the ordinance.

Section 4. Scope of Ordinance. In enacting this ordinance, the Board of Supervisors intends to amend only those words, phrases, paragraphs, subsections, sections, articles, numbers, punctuation marks, charts, diagrams, or any other constituent parts of the Municipal Code that are explicitly shown in this ordinance as additions, deletions, Board amendment

1 additions, and Board amendment deletions in accordance with the “Note” that appears under
2 the official title of the ordinance.

3
4 APPROVED AS TO FORM:
5 DENNIS J. HERRERA, City Attorney

6 By: _____
7 JUDITH A. BOYAJIAN
8 Deputy City Attorney

9
10 n:\legana\as2019\1900565\01367221.docx
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

From: [Julien DeFrance](#)
To: [Kositsky, Jeff \(DEM\)](#); [Angulo, Sunny \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Engler, Joseph \(POL\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Hepner, Lee \(BOS\)](#); [Lupino, Paul \(MYR\)](#); [Chris Schulman](#); [PeskinStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Haney, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \(BOS\)](#); [Mar, Gordon \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Re: Request for a Meeting Re Homelessness and Encampments in the City
Date: Friday, May 29, 2020 10:59:22 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I am sure many of you are anxiously monitoring this:

10,000+ signatures and counting.

Is this enough to urge the evacuation and cleaning of our alleys and our streets?

<https://www.change.org/p/the-san-francisco-government-save-san-francisco-from-encampments>

Julien DeFrance

Julien.DeFrance@Gmail.com

On Thu, May 28, 2020 at 10:07 PM Julien DeFrance <julien.defrance@gmail.com> wrote:
 We've also launched a [change.org](https://www.change.org/p/the-san-francisco-government-save-san-francisco-from-encampments) version of it with already more than 4,000 signatures in just a couple of hours.

<https://www.change.org/p/the-san-francisco-government-save-san-francisco-from-encampments>

On Thu, May 28, 2020 at 1:41 PM Julien DeFrance <julien.defrance@gmail.com> wrote:
 Good afternoon,

I wanted to let you know that the Law Office of Arkady Itkin has finalized a group letter to the Mayors office cc: supervisors and other officials, requesting:

- An immediate change in the city's actions or lack of actions,
- A meeting with Mrs. Breed.
- Also stressing that City Hall shall not put the interests of the homeless populations ahead of the lives of the hard working, high tax paying residents that we are.
- Finally, more consideration for business owners of the neighborhood

I've attached the final revision of it as this will be in the mail today.

Please reach out to the Arkady Law Office of A. Itkin for coverage, additional information, details, and eventual interviews:

Offices in San Francisco & Sacramento

Physical Address: Law Office of A. Itkin 57 Post Street, Suite 812 San Francisco, CA 94104

Mailing Address 100 Pine Street, Suite 1250 San Francisco, CA 94111

Tel. (415) 640-6765
Fax. (415) 508-3474
arkady@arkadylaw.com

Best regards,

Julien DeFrance
San Francisco, CA 94109
Julien.DeFrance@Gmail.com

From: [Board of Supervisors - \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#)
Subject: FW: Neighbors have lots of concern about district 1 recent events
Date: Thursday, June 4, 2020 4:35:00 PM

From: christina altick <cwissy727@hotmail.com>
Sent: Saturday, May 30, 2020 12:10 PM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>; Ginsburg, Phil (REC) <phil.ginsburg@sfgov.org>; Mar, Gordon (BOS) <gordon.mar@sfgov.org>; Yee, Norman (BOS) <norman.yee@sfgov.org>
Cc: Fewer, Sandra (BOS) <sandra.fewer@sfgov.org>
Subject: Re: Neighbors have lots of concern about district 1 recent events

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

This is what should be happening. Please read the whole article.

<https://www.marinatimes.com/2019/07/dignity-through-accountability/>

From: christina altick <cwissy727@hotmail.com>
Sent: Monday, May 18, 2020 6:17:49 PM
To: Board.of.Supervisors@sfgov.org <Board.of.Supervisors@sfgov.org>; Phil.Ginsburg@sfgov.org <Phil.Ginsburg@sfgov.org>; Gordon.Mar@sfgov.org <Gordon.Mar@sfgov.org>; Norman.Yee@sfgov.org <Norman.Yee@sfgov.org>
Cc: Sandra.Fewer@sfgov.org <Sandra.Fewer@sfgov.org>
Subject: Re: Neighbors have lots of concern about district 1 recent events

I listened to the discussion today online.

To get these encampments off the sidewalks and into proper facilities, I am thinking this could work:

Use the cow palace

Have assigned offices for psych treatment and drug addiction treatment

Have curfews and consequences

Have specialists that know exactly how to deal with drug addiction and wheening of drugs, and deal with mental illness such as schizophrenia.

Have food delivered, and proper sanitation

Have training for jobs

Have these people be held accountable. San Francisco shouldn't have open drug use, violence, tents on sidewalks, scared and freaked out residents who follow rules and pay taxes

When it comes to severe drug addicts, extreme mental issues, and drug dealers, law enforcement and jail is needed.

No more turning blind eye or not having real solutions.

Moving encampments around, allowing covid to easily spread, and have people not follow laws and rules causes danger and peacefulness to many people. It is not "compassionate" to let this pattern of encampments of legal open crimes to keep occurring. If anything, people that think this ok and don't have proper solutions are the ones not being compassionate!

I was appalled at people yelling and completely not getting the message, thinking as if we don't consider these people humans. It seems they don't have real solutions and think it is ok. If anything, those people have no compassion and think they are being "humane" letting encampment situations continue. They need to be educated. This is not a political issue or money issue. I make below 75k. I'm not a rich republican. I'm actually liberal and a democrat. However I am educated, a tax payer, follow rules, want a peaceful life, AND I studied psychology in college. I can easily see what these people in encampments need.

Thanks for listening.

Christina

From: christina altick <cwissy727@hotmail.com>
Sent: Monday, May 18, 2020 7:25 AM
To: Board.of.Supervisors@sfgov.org; Phil.Ginsburg@sfgov.org; Gordon.Mar@sfgov.org; Norman.Yee@sfgov.org
Subject: Fwd: Neighbors have lots of concern about district 1 recent events
Hi all,

I just want to be clear that the people that live here are not going to take this, and have the Richmond district turn into the new Tenderloin. You guys moved three new encampments here to spread them out and move from the city encampments, let in more danger from other cities and states because you guys don't care about citizens getting crime, robberies, fear happening to them, you give them services on neighbors' sidewalks, not follow covid rules, turn a blind eye to open drug use, crime, aggressive behavior, lewd acts, toxic and human waste, open fires etc.

And no, putting encampments in golden gate park also does not work!!!

Shuffling these people around are just "band-aids".

Sf is already sued because of tenderloin, it could happen with the other districts suing the city as well. I heard many neighbors will even get the federal government and media notified about this dangerous, negligent and horrible situation.

What these people need are proper mental and drug help, consequences, tougher rules, and proper housing.

Sf is not "compassionate" to them , nor are they compassionate to law abiding tax paying citizens living in this neighborhood properly.

And just a reminder, WE ALL DESERVE TO FEEL SAFE, A FEELING OF PEACE, A FEELING OF RULES AND REGULATIONS, etc.

Christina

From: christina altick <cwissy727@hotmail.com>

Sent: Friday, May 8, 2020 9:02 AM

To: MayorLondonBreed@sfgov.org

Subject: Fwd: Neighbors have lots of concern about district 1 recent events


From: christina altick <cwissy727@hotmail.com>

Sent: Friday, May 8, 2020 8:56 AM

To: SFPDRichmondStation@sfgov.org

Subject: Re: Neighbors have lots of concern about district 1 recent events
48th before was empty normal sidewalk next to Safeway area.


Here is it now. Pictures posted on next door






8:53
◀ Outlook







From: christina altick <cwissy727@hotmail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, May 6, 2020 9:15:08 PM
To: SFPDRichmondStation@sfgov.org <SFPDRichmondStation@sfgov.org>
Subject: Neighbors have lots of concern about district 1 recent events

Hello,

There is a huge problem in Richmond district (district 1) that is causing hundreds of neighbors to feel distress and worry not only because of covid but because of other health hazards and dangerous aggressive behavior, open drug use, intimidation, etc.

It is - the 3 homeless encampments that have popped up since shelter in place. Located at
Geary and 18th
Balboa and 24th
Balboa and 48th

This is a huge concern for the people that live here for many reasons, and moving them to our neighborhood has not solved anything whatsoever for the homeless issue. These encampments were not here before. There has also been a lot of trash from sutro heights parked that has spilled over on the highway, so I am assuming they are also there. Once again, this doesn't solve anything. Neither for them nor for the people that live here.

These people in the encampments truly need mental and/or drug addiction help and proper housing-- not tents and open area with crime, health hazard, etc.

Please let me know how my neighbors and I can get this addressed/who we should reach out to. We deserve to feel safe and ok in our neighborhood. We shouldn't have to feel scared and unsafe, we already are worried about the virus and now this has caused more anguish for us. And this is totally out of control that the city allows this...

Thanks,
Christina

From: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#)
Cc: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: FW: Scandalous property valuation for Balboa Reservoir Project--Comps (File Nos. 200422 & 200423)
Date: Monday, June 1, 2020 12:30:00 PM

From: aj <ajahjah@att.net>

Sent: Saturday, May 30, 2020 2:54 PM

To: Major, Erica (BOS) <erica.major@sfgov.org>; Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>; Yee, Norman (BOS) <norman.yee@sfgov.org>; Low, Jen (BOS) <jen.low@sfgov.org>; Maybaum, Erica (BOS) <erica.maybaum@sfgov.org>; Hood, Donna (PUC) <DHood@sfwater.org>; jdineen@sfchronicle.com

Cc: Public Lands for Public Good <publiclandsforpublicgood@gmail.com>; ccsfheat@gmail.com; CCSF Collective <kien.eira@gmail.com>; SNA BRC <sna-brc@googlegroups.com>; JK Dineen <jdineen@sfchronicle.com>; Roland Li <roland.li@sfchronicle.com>; Joshua Sabatini <jsabatini@sfexaminer.com>

Subject: Scandalous property valuation for Balboa Reservoir Project--Comps

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Land Use & Transportation Committee (Files 4200422 & 200423) , Supervisor Yee, BOS, PUC:

INFO REGARDING PROPERTY VALUATION IN RELATION TO BALBOA RESERVOIR:

1. The Balboa Reservoir Final EIR's CEQA Findings that were revealed last week showed that the estimated value of the 17.6 acre PUC property is \$11.2 million;


2. A 0.3 acre lot at 16th/Shotwell is going for \$10 million;

7:42 ⓘ 🏠 🏠 📧 • 🔔 🔕 📶 🔋

✕ 🔒 San Francisco, CA Commercial Real Estate For Sale - LoopNet
loopnet.com 📌 🔗 ⋮

☰ 🏠 San Francisco, CA Filter


169 Results | For Sale



2860 16th St
San Francisco, CA
\$10,000,000
1 Commercial Lot
0.30 AC Lot

🔍 Save Search 📍 Map

3. The new City College-Reservoir Project Access Easement Agreement's Lee Extension and North Street's 0.35 acre lot (\$15,032 sq ft.) is valued at \$3.8 million. [Bal. Res., Access Easement agreement, 2020.tiff](#)



 Bal. Res., Access Easement agreement, 2020.tiff

Comparing the three properties on a per-square foot basis, from low to high:

Reservoir Project: \$ 14.61 /sq ft

Lee Ext, North St: \$250. /sq ft

16th/Shotwell: \$765. /sq ft

Is something out of whack here?!

--aj

From: [Jason Kruta](#)
To: [FewerStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Cc: [BOS-Supervisors](#)
Subject: Please vote to oppose the curfew!
Date: Tuesday, June 2, 2020 11:10:52 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hello, my name is Jason Kruta and I live in District 1.

Please reject the curfew, it serves no purpose but to criminalise protestors.
Looting/vandalism/etc is already illegal! The curfew is fascistic collaboration with Trump, and will be selectively enforced. They aren't arresting people for being outside in Sea Cliff!

From: [Alison Heath](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Mar, Gordon \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Haney, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Curfew
Date: Wednesday, June 3, 2020 9:37:33 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

Let me start by saying I was in full support of the quick action London Breed and other Bay Area mayors took to issue a SIP order. This leadership has no doubt saved many lives and made me proud to be a 40 year SF resident.

Unfortunately the City's recent actions in response to the Floyd murder have been less than foresighted. I have deep concerns about the need for an ongoing curfew. There is ample evidence that curfews can actually provoke unrest and lead to selective and biased enforcement. Given the fact that San Francisco has been spared much of the looting seen in other cities I believe the current heavy handed response is unwarranted.

The ongoing failure to establish a timeframe, or communicate clear guidelines leaves us captive to capricious day to day declarations that are confusing and even nonsensical. Apparently the only people allowed outside are police, firefighters and emergency responders, as well as journalists and homeless individuals. Not on the list are healthcare workers, and other essential workers. As to what is essential - Aren't grocery stores essential? What if I need to have food delivered? Can I walk my dog? What kind of documentation would I need to prove any of this?

This uncertainty undermines the recovery of our precious local businesses, particularly our restaurants. How do they manage deliveries and when do they need to close to get their employees home safely as the curfew is extended? Do we care more about CVS, Old Navy and Best Buy? I think they'll be OK. Most downtown storefronts are already boarded up and the thousands of officers on the street should be able to protect our national chains.

Other cities have figured this out. In Chicago they have limited downtown access to people who live and work there. The rest of the city is not under curfew. In NYC, despite massive looting, they've exempted businesses such as grocery stores and neighborhood markets. They allow restaurant and food delivery, while limiting vehicle traffic below 96th Street. They've also established the duration of the curfew rather than leaving people guessing.

San Francisco's curfew is extreme in comparison and sets a dangerous precedent, one that is antithetical to the tolerance and progressive thinking that defines us as a city. I understand that the Northern CA ACLU has even weighed in, saying the "extraordinary government power created by the ongoing pandemic" could lead to "broad and limitless measures" that move society "closer toward a police state."

We can do better. I urge you to end the curfew now.

Sincerely,

Alison Heath
D10 resident

Alison Heath
alisonheath.com

From: [Tara Coughlin](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#)
Cc: [SFPD, Chief \(POL\)](#); [SFPD, Chief \(POL\)](#); [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [Mar, Gordon \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Haney, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Shilpi Agarwal](#); [Vasudha Talla](#)
Subject: San Francisco City and County Demand Letter
Date: Wednesday, June 3, 2020 11:12:30 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)
[SF County and City Demand Letter.pdf](#)

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Good morning,

Please find attached a letter from the ACLU of Northern California. Should you have any issues accessing the document, please let me know.

Sincerely,



Tara Coughlin
Litigation Assistant
ACLU of Northern California
39 Drumm Street, San Francisco, CA 94111
office +1 (415) 293-6387 | mobile +1 (310) 990-3932
tcoughlin@aclunc.org | www.aclunc.org
[pronouns](#): she/her/hers



VIA ELECTRONIC MAIL

June 3, 2020

Honorable London Breed, Mayor
City Hall, Room 200
1 Dr Carlton B Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102
Email: MayorLondonBreed@sfgov.org
Fax: (415) 554-6160

Re: San Francisco City and County Order

Dear Mayor Breed:

We write to request that you, in your capacity as Mayor of the City of and County of San Francisco, rescind or substantially restrict the Curfew Order declared on May 31, 2020. The Order in its present form imposes a sweeping general ban on the public assembly, free expression in all public forums, and movement of nearly all 900,000 San Francisco City and County residents from 8pm to 5am and is neither authorized by state statutory law nor consistent with the freedoms guaranteed by the United States and California Constitutions—including the constitutional rights to freedom of speech, assembly, press and movement, and the most basic notice requirements.

Since the collective police killings of Black people such as Breonna Taylor, Tony McDade, and recently George Floyd, community members, collectively and individually, have expressed their opposition to the systemic use of unreasonable and unnecessary police violence against Black people and have called for police accountability. The ACLU of Northern California equally condemns and has long advocated against police brutality, racial profiling, and selective enforcement of laws against Black people that results in the disproportionate impact of the criminal justice system on Black lives. The public demonstrations and protests constitute an exercise of rights squarely protected by the First Amendment. Their lawful efforts to stop excessive force by law enforcement have been met, at times, with excessive force and now a curfew that improperly curtails their constitutional rights.

If anything, the imposition of a curfew—a signature measure of a police state—in direct response to protests regarding police accountability demonstrates the importance of these protests. We therefore urge you as strongly as possible to take immediate action to uphold the U.S. and California Constitutions.

American Civil Liberties Union Foundation of Northern California

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR Abdi Soltani • BOARD CHAIR Magan Pritam Ray
SAN FRANCISCO OFFICE: 39 Drumm St. San Francisco, CA 94111 • FRESNO OFFICE: PO Box 188 Fresno, CA 93707
TEL (415) 621-2493 • FAX (415) 255-1478 • TTY (415) 863-7832 • WWW.ACLUNC.ORG

The Curfew Order Exceeds the State’s Authority Under Govt. Code § 8634

The Order exceeds state statutory authority because it extends far beyond any emergency it seeks to address. Cal Gov’t Code §§ 8558, 8634. Conditions posing ongoing “extreme peril” to persons or property *throughout* the territorial limits of San Francisco City and County do not exist. While the order states that it responds to unrest and violence in San Francisco and *other* cities in the Bay Area, damage to property has only occurred in commercial districts in a few isolated regions. Indeed, the order itself acknowledges that *thousands* of people have protested peacefully and lawfully, far outnumbering any instances of property damage or violence. Nonetheless, the Order applies throughout the entirety of the County’s 230 square miles, and to nearly all of its 900,000 residents. It therefore applies in numerous regions where no protests of any kind have occurred, let alone protests threatening life or property. While it is conceivable that a “local emergency” could encompass all of San Francisco City and County—such as perhaps after a severe earthquake—protests, isolated incidents, or damage to property in a few isolated locales do not give rise to an emergency in the entire County.

The Curfew Order Violates the First Amendment

The Order prohibits the speech and assembly—core First Amendment rights—for a significant portion of each day it remains in effect and while community members continue to demand racial justice and accountability for the murder of George Floyd, Ahmaud Arbery, Breonna Taylor, Oscar Grant, Stephon Clark, Mario Woods, Jessica Williams, Stephen Taylor, Eric Garner, Tamir Rice, Trayvon Martin, Sandra Bland, Amadou Diallo, Tony McDade, and the many other Black lives taken by law enforcement. Now more than ever, the “principal function of free speech under our system of government is to invite dispute. It may indeed best serve its high purpose when it induces a condition of unrest, creates dissatisfaction with conditions as they are, or even stirs people to anger.” *Texas v. Johnson*, 491 U.S. 397, 408–09 (1989) (citation and quotation marks omitted).

The Order is not narrowly tailored to the purported concerns such as alleged illegal conduct or particular geographic areas where property damage or violence is imminently likely to occur. “To meet the requirement of narrow tailoring, the government must demonstrate that alternative measures that burden substantially less speech would fail to achieve the government’s interests, not simply that the chosen route is easier.” *McCullen v. Coakley*, 573 U.S. 464, 495 (2014). The City may “enforce reasonable time, place, and manner regulations” only if they “are content-neutral, are narrowly tailored to serve a significant government interest, and leave open ample alternative channels of communication.”¹ *United States v. Grace*, 461 U.S. 171, 177 (1983).

This Order imposes a sweeping general ban on the public assembly, free expression in all public forums, and movement of nearly all San Francisco residents from 8pm to 5am throughout the City and County. Put another way, for 9 hours of each day, the Order prohibits residents

¹ Even if the curfew is viewed as a regulation of conduct with an incidental impact on speech, it is evaluated by the same “standard applied to time, place, or manner restrictions” on speech in a public forum. *Clark v. Cmty. for Creative Non-Violence*, 468 U.S. 288, 298 (1984).

seeking to express rights guaranteed by the First Amendment from doing so in any public forums, whether individually or in a peaceful assembly. The Curfew Order does not narrowly focus its restrictions on those engaged in illegal activity, the enforcement of property-related laws, nor geographically. Instead, it preventively suppresses lawful First Amendment protest activity, such as congregating for protest or individually displaying signs or speaking on public streets. Though apparently content neutral on its face, the curfew is not narrowly tailored to public safety interests, and thus it violates the First Amendment regardless of whether alternative times for protest are available.²

If needed, San Francisco could enforce “other laws at its disposal that would allow it to achieve its stated interests” without a curfew that is unjustified by actual or imminent mass violence. *Comite de Jornaleros de Redondo Beach v. City of Redondo Beach*, 657 F.3d 936, 949 (9th Cir. 2011). Therefore, absent actual or imminent mass violence, “[o]bvious, less burdensome means for achieving the City’s aims are readily and currently available by employing traditional legal methods.” *Foti v. City of Menlo Park*, 146 F.3d 629, 642–43 (9th Cir. 1998). Because “there are a number of feasible, readily identifiable, and less-restrictive means of addressing” the City’s interests, the curfew “is not narrowly tailored” to serve those interests. *Comite de Jornaleros*, 657 F.3d at 950.³

The community’s right to protest day or night may not be infringed merely because some people act unlawfully in certain, limited areas of San Francisco. The Order suggests its restrictions are lawful because the “unrestricted presence of persons on City streets and sidewalks after 8:00 p.m. [is] dangerous to both them and public safety.” See Curfew Order cl. 4. Not only does the curfew extend beyond the hours of darkness, the Constitution rejects this speculative approach to public safety restrictions on speech. “The generally accepted way of dealing with unlawful conduct that may be intertwined with First Amendment activity is to punish it after it occurs, rather than to prevent the First Amendment activity from occurring in order to obviate the possible unlawful conduct.... The law is clear that First Amendment activity may not be banned simply because prior similar activity led to or involved instances of violence.... Banning or postponing legitimate expressive activity because other First Amendment activity regarding the same subject has resulted in violence deprives citizens of their right to demonstrate in a timely and effective fashion.” *Collins v. Jordan*, 110 F.3d 1363, 1371–72 (9th Cir. 1996). If an unlawful assembly can be declared only for “assemblies which are violent or which pose a clear and present danger of imminent violence,” *In re Brown*, 9 Cal. 3d

² Perhaps San Francisco believes the Order is lawful because it preserves alternative means of protest during daylight hours. However, particularly during weekdays, the ability to protest during daylight hours cannot constitute an adequate substitute for the right to protest after work. Moreover, to satisfy First Amendment requirements a curfew must both be narrowly tailored and allow for ample alternative channels of communication. “[A] restriction that meets the ample alternative requirement can fail the narrow tailoring requirement.” *iMatter Utah v. Njord*, 774 F.3d 1258, 1267–68 (10th Cir. 2014) (citing *United States v. Grace*, 461 U.S. 171 (1983)).

³ San Francisco may not rely on *In re Juan C.*, 28 Cal. App. 4th 1093 (1994). In that case, the respondent did “not dispute that a state of emergency existed when the curfew went into effect.” *Id.* at 1098. The court’s holding was thus premised on the existence of a “bona fide emergency” presenting a serious threat of “imminent destruction of life and property.” *Id.* at 1100–01. As explained above, no such emergency exists here, and certainly not throughout the entirety of San Francisco.

612, 623 (1973), the same is true for a curfew, which can only be authorized, if at all, when no other means are available to prevent actual or imminent mass violence.

The Curfew Order Violates the Freedom of Movement

The Order also violates the Constitution's protection for the freedom of movement. "Citizens have a fundamental right of free movement, 'historically part of the amenities of life as we have known them.'" *Nunez by Nunez v. City of San Diego*, 114 F.3d 935, 944 (9th Cir. 1997) (citations omitted). Freedom of movement "is simply elementary in a free society." *In re White*, 97 Cal. App. 3d 141, 148–49 (Ct. App. 1979) ("Such a right is implicit in the concept of a democratic society and is one of the attributes of personal liberty under common law."). "In all the states, from the beginning down to the adoption of the Articles of Confederation, the citizens thereof possessed the fundamental right, inherent in citizens of all free governments, peacefully to dwell within the limits of their respective states, to move at will from place to place therein, and to have free ingress thereto and egress therefrom. . . ." *United States v. Wheeler*, 254 U.S. 281, 293 (1920). While the state may impose restrictions on this right, any restrictions must both serve a compelling state interest and be narrowly tailored to accomplish that objective. *Nunez*, 114 F.3d at 946 (applying strict scrutiny to curfew order even though it only applied to minors); *see also In re White*, 97 Cal. App. 3d at 150 ("If available alternative means exist which are less violative of the constitutional right and are narrowly drawn so as to correlate more closely with the purposes contemplated, those alternatives should be used[.]").

The Order's restrictions on movement are not narrowly tailored. Apart from the geographic breadth noted above, the Order applies to all kinds of movement, including many that obviously could not be mistaken for unlawful protest activity. To give but a few examples, the Order bans people from walking their dogs, jogging or riding bicycles for exercise, walking with their children, going to the grocery store, traveling for family caregiving obligations, visiting their sick relatives, and various other forms of entirely innocuous movement. Indeed, given that the Order's only generally-applicable travel exemptions permit travel to work or home and for medical care, in practice the Order essentially places nearly everyone in San Francisco under house arrest for 9 hours a day, including after work hours before dark.

The Constitution does not permit such a draconian deprivation of liberty under these circumstances. *Cf. Nunez*, 114 F.3d at 948 (striking down curfew order because "it does not provide exceptions for many legitimate activities"). Moreover, the imposition of the curfew at 8 p.m., during after work hours and before darkness, needlessly makes it difficult for many working people to take care of basic necessities like shopping for essential goods or checking in on loved ones.

The Curfew Order Contains Insufficient Notice

Finally, even if narrowed to deal with the various problems described above, the Order would remain unconstitutional because it provides for insufficient notice in two respects: it contains no provision requiring authorities to notify individuals prior to enforcing the Order, and it has been imposed without sufficient advance notice for all those subject to its restrictions.

Both the Fifth and Fourteenth Amendments prohibit deprivations of liberty without “due process.” The most essential element of due process is, of course, notice. Due process requires that notice “must be of such nature as reasonably to convey the required information[.]” *Mullane v. Central Hanover Bank & Trust Co.*, 339 U.S. 306, 314 (1950). The California Government Code itself recognizes the important need for notice, requiring orders and regulations during a local emergency to “be given widespread publicity and notice.” Cal. Gov’t Code § 8634.

Given the breadth of the Order’s prohibition, due process therefore requires that officers seeking to enforce it first provide notice to the general population of their intent to do so. The few cases upholding curfews comparable (albeit lesser in scope) than this one have contained such a requirement. *See e.g., In re Juan C.* 28 Cal. App. 4th 1093, 1097 (1994) (order permitted arrest only of “such persons as do not obey this curfew *after due notice, oral or written, has been given to said persons*”) (emphasis added).

The Curfew Order Creates Vague Standards for Enforcement

The Order—through ostensibly banning all individuals from public areas in San Francisco—vests law enforcement officers with unfettered discretion to take any individual into custody. Such discretion will result in selective and biased enforcement against the very groups whose targeting by police are the subject of protest.

Though the Order contains exemptions for certain groups, these exemptions are vague and incomplete. Individuals must “establish to the satisfaction of a peace officer” that their presence during curfew hours is for the “sole purpose” of traveling to their home or work or to receive medical attention. Curfew Order ¶ 6. Such vague standards create a high likelihood of disparate application and enforcement. Individuals “experiencing homelessness” are also exempt from the Order, but this will require individuals to prove that they are permitted to be outside. Officers must rely on discretion and bias to perceive who may be unhoused. Finally, the exemption for “authorized representatives” of media organizations requires officers to make on-the-spot calls that exclude citizen journalists and other reporters.

Finally, in light of news reports of U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement and Customs and Border Protection providing assistance to law enforcement agencies in their response to protests, we remind you of your obligations under the California Values Act (S.B. 54). County and city law enforcement shall not provide assistance for the purpose of immigration enforcement, including inquiring about immigration status, providing interpretation services, or facilitating arrests or transfers to the custody of immigration authorities in the field.

Demand for Rescission, Review and Records

The emergency proclamation and curfew order issued on May 31st should be rescinded without delay given its serious infirmities under state law and the Constitution. Even if the curfew is substantially restricted in an attempt to satisfy statutory and constitutional muster, it is incumbent on you and the Board of Supervisors to review the emergency proclamation and

curfew order on a **day-to-day basis**. Though you may contend that conditions exist to justify the issuance of an emergency proclamation and curfew, the curfew must be lifted as soon as those conditions dissipate.

Further, we request pursuant to the California Public Records Act (Government Code §§ 6250, et. seq.) and Article I § 3(b) of the California Constitution⁴ the following records:

- (1) Complaints received by the City and County of San Francisco regarding protests, demonstrations, or other activity in response to the police killings of people, from May 25, 2020 until the date that the curfew is terminated;
- (2) Any memoranda or records dated January 1, 2018 to the present regarding the need for or issuance of an emergency order and/or curfew;
- (3) Correspondence between the City and County of San Francisco and any federal agency regarding protests, demonstrations, or other activity in response to the police killings of people, from May 25, 2020 until the date that the curfew is terminated;
- (4) Correspondence between the City and County of San Francisco and the National Guard regarding protests, demonstrations, or other activity in response to the police killings of people, from May 25, 2020 until the date that the curfew is terminated;
- (5) Correspondence between the City and County of San Francisco and any California law enforcement agency, including Sheriff's Offices or police departments, regarding protests, demonstrations, or other activity in response to the police killings of people, from May 25, 2020 until the date that the curfew is terminated;
- (6) The number of people that the City and County of San Francisco has transferred into the custody of ICE or CBP, whether or not it was in response to an ICE or CBP transfer request, and the basis for that transfer, from May 25, 2020 until the date that the curfew is terminated;
- (7) Records related to the City and County of San Francisco's use of surveillance technology, including location surveillance (such as automated license plate readers) and social media surveillance, from May 25, 2020 until the date that the curfew is terminated.

Please send copies of the requested records to me at the address shown above, or email them to me at sagarwal@aclunc.org. We request that you waive any fees that would be normally applicable to a Public Records Act request. In addition, if you have the records in electronic form you can simply email them to me without incurring any copying costs. *See* Gov't. Code § 6253.9.

Thank you for your prompt consideration of these issues. We respectfully ask that you provide a response to the issues we have raised in this letter within 24 hours.

⁴ "Records" covered by this request include but are not limited to: internal and external correspondence (including email), memoranda, drafts, notes, outlines, policies, procedures, regulations, directives, instructions, orders, bulletins, pamphlets or brochures, scripts, handouts, analyses, evaluations, reports, summaries, writings, logs and other written records or records by any other means, including but not limited to records kept on computers, computer source and object code, electronic communications, computer disks, CD-ROM, video tapes or digital video disks.

Mayor Breed
June 3, 2020
Page 7

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in blue ink, appearing to read "Shilpi Agarwal". The signature is fluid and cursive, with a large loop at the end.

Shilpi Agarwal, Interim Legal & Policy Director and Senior Staff Attorney
Vasudha Talla, Senior Staff Attorney

cc:
Police Chief William Scott
Members, San Francisco Board of Supervisors

From: [Hickey, Jacqueline \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#)
Subject: 5 letters for File No. 200574
Date: Thursday, June 4, 2020 6:19:00 PM
Attachments: [5 letters for File No. 200574.pdf](#)

Hello,

Please find attached 5 letters for File No. 200574.

File No. 200574 - Establishment of Curfew to Meet Local Emergency - Peril to Safety of Persons and Property

Thank you,

Jackie Hickey
Board of Supervisors
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102-4689
Phone: (415) 554-5184 | Direct: (415) 554-7701
jacqueline.hickey@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

From: [Timothy Rubel](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: San Francisco Curfew.
Date: Tuesday, June 2, 2020 8:14:49 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Board of Supervisors,

My name is Tim Rubel. I am a part-time San Francisco resident. I lived for many years as a full time resident in this wonderful city. I'm sure you are fielding a lot of questions and concerns right now, but I want to write to voice my protest against the curfew Mayor Breed's administration has just implemented. I have also sent this letter to her office.

I know Mayor Breed has the best intentions, but this curfew is the wrong tactic. Most of the protesters that have taken to the streets have been peaceful. The people who have took to vandalism and destruction of public property are a small handful of bad actors. The rest of us who protest peacefully or who simply want to take an evening walk down our street should not have our civil liberties stripped of us because others are breaking the law. We are already enduring so many restrictions required by current social distancing guidelines. I was thrilled to learn that it is safe enough for San Francisco to start a gradual reopening. To be slapped in the face with a curfew now is unacceptable.

Secondly, what good is a curfew going to do? Those who want to commit vandalism and public destruction will not be quelled by a curfew. All this does is punish those of us who are law abiding citizens who simply want to exercise our constitutional rights and are rights as adults to leave our homes when we choose to.

We are in the middle of two unprecedented crises. We are trying to cope with a pandemic while we mourn the loss of so many black lives to police brutality, the most recent and gruesome being that of George Floyd. Instituting this curfew will limit our protests and give more power to police. Right now, we need the police to have LESS power over our lives, not more. This curfew will backfire and will only inflame people's rage more. The authorities should be able to address looters and vandals without limiting the civil liberties of the rest of us.

As you are all elected officials who get paid partially by my tax dollars, I am asking you to urge Mayor Breed to end this curfew immediately and let the people of San Francisco seek justice and personal time how we see fit.

Best,
Tim

--

Tim Rubel, M.F.A.
Choreographer
Tim Rubel Human Shakes
www.humanshakes.com

--

Tim Rubel, M.F.A.

Choreographer

Tim Rubel Human Shakes

www.humanshakes.com

From: [Aol Member Info](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Curfew and Shelter-In-Place
Date: Tuesday, June 2, 2020 9:29:42 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Members of the Board of Supervisors:

Enough is enough with the strong arm tactics of Mayor London Breed. Restricting movements of housed residents in the City and County of San Francisco has gone too far. The homeless have more rights than the rest of us.

I live in a city-owned building but don't pay my rent to the City and County of San Francisco. When Shelter-In-Place went into effect, my building was slapped with an Order from the Department of Health. The Order affected residents who live in SRO's (congregate settings) of which is not what I live in. I rent a studio apartment which is over 400 square feet with 2 walk-in closets. I understand why SRO's are affected due to having shared kitchens and bathrooms but not residents who live in their own apartments.

As a result of the Order imposed by the Department of Health under the Mayor's direction, some of my neighbors have left with either family members or a friend since we are not allowed to have visitors and they still have to pay rent (which seems to be unfair) until the Order is lifted and they can come back. This has caused some distress among some of the remaining residents in the building since they can't have a family member or friend to check up on them or bring in items that they might need. It has also caused distress among family members or friends of the residents who are still here since someone who lives in the building has to help them take in supplies to the affected resident. Calling someone to see how they are doing is one thing, but seeing a person and seeing what is going on is something totally different.

This is unfair and going too far. Enough is enough.

Sincerely,

Lisa Quail

Sent from [Mail](#) for Windows 10something different.

From: [Marla Marcianelli](#)
To: [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Mar, Gordon \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Quan, Daisy \(BOS\)](#); [Haney, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: End the curfew for SF now!
Date: Wednesday, June 3, 2020 10:16:09 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Rafael and board of supervisors,

This indefinite 8pm curfew is hurting San Francisco business already struggling with the effects of covid19. We do not need a curfew for police to arrest individuals who are looting because this is already illegal.

I own a restaurant in Pacific Heights and live in Noe Valley. We have to now close early so our employees who live in Oakland can get home by 8pm. This is taking away our meager profits for no reason. This could be what causes us to close because we can not have another limitation on our business. How can you let this happen to small businesses in San Francisco!

This infringement on the first amendment rights of all San Francisco residents need to stop now. Not Saturday, not tomorrow, NOW.

San Francisco has had a complete failure of leadership, we have dozens of cases of police officers murdering members of the community including: Alex Nieto, Amilcar Perez-Lopez, Mario Woods, Luis Góngora Pat, Jessica Williams. Instead of making ANY changes to the way SFPD conducts business you have let our mayor violate our first amendment rights. We need you to loudly and publicly call for the curfew to end. The three hours spent discussing it yesterday with no resolution is disappointing.

I know we need all of the supervisors to unanimously vote to end the curfew. And I know Sandra Fewer has a husband who is former SFPD and is on the side of taking away our rights. However if you speak up the voices of the city will echo yours and call for the end of this unnecessary policy. Please stop the curfew now and vocally condemn it.

Marla Marcianelli
3722 24th street
San Francisco

From: [Britni Snow](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: End the curfew
Date: Wednesday, June 3, 2020 3:47:38 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

My name is Britni Snow and I am a resident of district 4 of San Francisco. I am calling to demand the Board moves to end the curfew. Curfew is a fascist tool to suppress our right to protest and disproportionately harms black and brown San Franciscans. I urge you to raise this matter on the Board and vote to end curfew.

--

Britni Snow, M.A.

IMPORTANT NOTICE: This transmission and any attachments is intended only for the use of the individual or entity to which it is addressed and may contain information that is privileged, confidential or exempt from disclosure under applicable federal or state laws. If the reader of this transmission is not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any use, dissemination, distribution or copying of this communication is strictly prohibited. If you have received this transmission in error, please notify me immediately by email or telephone

From: [Jason Wray](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: A curfew is not justice
Date: Wednesday, June 3, 2020 7:35:13 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Mayor Breed,

I'm a resident of District 5.

The ongoing and indefinite curfew is unjust, self-defeating, and must be repudiated immediately. Pretextually criminalizing your constituents by removing their rights to assembly, movement, and speech is patently wrong and only serves to further erode trust in our civic institutions.

Willfully siccing armed and armored police on peaceful protesters — and anyone else in the city with the temerity to step outside this evening — on the pretense of protecting public safety only serves to underscore their protests: we live in an intentionally unjust society that must be fundamentally dismantled and reconstituted, starting with the police.

Suppressing the grief and anger of the community by exposing us all to the very harm that caused our entirely justified outrage is perverse. Those in power, like yourself, must listen to those being harmed: be proactively and unequivocally anti-racist. It's not enough to make speeches or pass toothless resolutions — take permanent and effective action to correct systemic injustices: stop racist enforcement of the law, repeal racist laws, identify and destroy racist institutions, end tolerance for state violence, and elevate the disenfranchised.

Protecting plate glass windows does not justify the suspension of essential human freedoms. Taking positive action in this moment does not mean silencing those calling for justice and equity. Have the courage to stand with your city and act decisively to move us forward, not confine us to our homes.

Sincerely,
— Jason Wray

From: [Erin Frawley](#)
To: [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); catherine.stefanie@sfgov.org; [Mar, Gordon \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Haney, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#)
Subject: Cut Police Funding: Do Better
Date: Wednesday, June 3, 2020 9:30:50 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To the Board of Supervisors in San Francisco,

My name is Erin Frawley, and I am a resident of San Francisco. I am emailing to demand that San Francisco cut funding for its police department.

I have woken up enraged every day for the last week and a half. I haven't thought about or spoken about much else, and that's appropriate. I, much like most of you, am disgusted by the murder of George Floyd. I am outraged at the blatant disregard for life cops showed for Ahmaud Arbery and Breonna Taylor and Tony McDade.

I am also aware that these murders are not isolated incidents. These murders are simply a symptom of something much more pervasive and sinister: systemic racism and the resulting dismissal of BIPOC's humanity, especially by police.

[According to an article published last year in the Examiner](#), San Francisco plans to continue "to fully fund the Police Department's four-year hiring plan, which will result in 50 additional officers next fiscal year alone and a total of 250 more by fiscal year 2021-2022." Rather than continuing to hire MORE COPS, the city of San Francisco needs to divest funds away from SFPD and towards the underfunded and proactive supports that focus on child, family and community success and well-being.

While there may be "well-meaning" cops out there, unfortunately it is impossible to be a cop (in the system as it stands) and participate in a way that proactively supports community health and safety. Cops, as they are currently utilized, are reactive. They respond to "crises" (which understandably occur more frequently in areas where education and public health are underfunded), and they are trained to respond with force and aggression "when needed." This "need" is magnified by individual cop's biases and prejudices towards folks of color.

Furthermore, I am a proud employee of San Francisco Unified School District. Unfortunately, and as you know, we are navigating a \$30 million dollar deficit, and we plan to see an additional \$30 million deficit due to the coronavirus and school closures. This is, put bluntly, is absurd. It is made even more absurd when thrown into contrast to the amount of funding SFPD receives from the city.

I am tired, and I am angry, and we need to do better. #BlackLivesMatter, Black lives matter, and BLACK LIVES MATTER.

Sincerely,
Erin Frawley

From: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#)
Subject: FW: SFPD Budget
Date: Monday, June 1, 2020 12:29:00 PM

From: Hilary Finck <hilaryfinck@gmail.com>

Sent: Saturday, May 30, 2020 2:24 PM

To: Breed, Mayor London (MYR) <mayorlondonbreed@sfgov.org>; Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>

Subject: SFPD Budget

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Mayor London Breed and the San Francisco Board of Supervisors:

In light of what has happened with police murders of black men and women in America in the past few weeks (not to mention past several hundred years) I am writing to request that the budget for the San Francisco Police Department not be increased, and in fact be cut during the next budget cycle. Indeed our community needs to be protected in times of need, but I would like to see San Francisco move towards more of a community-based policing system with emergency response programs, as discussed in the NY Times Op-ed linked below. Please divert police funds away from a system that is obviously not working for black, brown, and socioeconomically distressed communities and instead put the funding towards a system with more peaceful and specialized care. Wouldn't it be amazing if San Francisco could be the first to move in this direction and become a shining example of what is possible to maintain and promote peace and justice in this country?

<https://www.nytimes.com/2020/05/30/opinion/george-floyd-police-funding.html>

Thank you for your time.

With respect,

Hilary Finck

From: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#)
Subject: FW: Call a Supervisors Meeting to Defund Police
Date: Tuesday, June 2, 2020 8:00:00 AM

-----Original Message-----

From: Savannah Schulze <savannah.schulze13@icloud.com>
Sent: Monday, June 1, 2020 4:42 PM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>
Cc: Savannah Schulze <savannah.schulze13@gmail.com>
Subject: Call a Supervisors Meeting to Defund Police

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hello,

My name is Savannah Schulze and I am a resident of San Francisco.

I am deeply concerned by the militarization of police around the country and especially in San Francisco.

I request the Board of Supervisors call a meeting to address reorganizing the city budgets in favor of services over policing. I know San Francisco wants to value its residents and devotes a good deal of its budget toward healthcare and transportation but I think we can still do more. San Francisco does not need more police who continue to brutalize and terrorize communities specifically Black and Brown communities across the city and we should start investing in SF's peoples' welfare not their oppression. We need to fund our residents and our communities. We need and demand a city budget that focuses more on housing, education, healthcare, healthy food, public transportation, mental healthcare, small business support, and access to good and stable jobs for all SF residents.

Thank you for hearing me, and as an elected member of this city council, I hope you do what is right by the people you serve.

Sincerely,
Savannah

From: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#)
Subject: FW: Call a Supervisors Meeting to Defund Police
Date: Tuesday, June 2, 2020 8:31:00 AM

From: Natalie Gable <natgable@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, June 1, 2020 8:06 PM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>
Subject: Call a Supervisors Meeting to Defund Police

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hello,

My name is Natalie Gable and I am a resident of San Francisco.

I am deeply concerned by the militarization of police around the country and especially in San Francisco

I request the Board of Supervisors call a meeting to address reorganizing the city budgets in favor of services over policing. I know San Francisco wants to value its residents and devotes a good deal of its budget toward healthcare and transportation but I think we can still do more. San Francisco does not need more police who continue to brutalize and terrorize communities specifically Black and Brown communities across the city and we should start investing in SF's peoples' welfare not their oppression. We need to fund our residents and our communities. We need and demand a city budget that focuses more on housing, education, healthcare, healthy food, public transportation, mental healthcare, small business support, and access to good and stable jobs for all SF residents.

Thank you for hearing me and as an elected member of this city council I hope you do what is right by the people you serve.

Sincerely,

Natalie Gable

--

Natalie Gable
pronouns: she/her/hers

From: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#)
Subject: FW: Call a Supervisors Meeting to Defund Police
Date: Tuesday, June 2, 2020 8:31:00 AM

From: Amber Chen <amberr.chen@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, June 1, 2020 4:52 PM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>
Subject: Call a Supervisors Meeting to Defund Police

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hello,

My name is Amber and I am a resident of San Francisco.

I am deeply concerned by the militarization of police around the country and especially in San Francisco.

I request the Board of Supervisors call a meeting to address reorganizing the city budgets in favor of services over policing. I know San Francisco wants to value its residents and devotes a good deal of its budget toward healthcare and transportation but I think we can still do more. San Francisco does not need more police who continue to brutalize and terrorize communities specifically Black and Brown communities across the city and we should start investing in SF's peoples' welfare not their oppression. We need to fund our residents and our communities. We need and demand a city budget that focuses more on housing, education, healthcare, healthy food, public transportation, mental healthcare, small business support, and access to good and stable jobs for all SF residents.

Thank you for hearing me and as an elected member of this city council I hope you do what is right by the people you serve.

Sincerely,

Amber Chen

From: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#)
Subject: FW: Resolution to End Knee Hold by SFPD
Date: Tuesday, June 2, 2020 9:29:00 AM

From: Betty Traynor <btraynor@att.net>
Sent: Tuesday, June 2, 2020 9:02 AM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>
Subject: Resolution to End Knee Hold by SFPD

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hello Supervisors,

Please pass a Resolution at today's Board meeting to end the use of the deadly knee hold by our San Francisco Police Department, and urge the Police Commission to vote in an emergency session to abolish it as a police method immediately.

We must begin to make changes now.

Thank You,

Betty Traynor

S.F. Resident

District 5

Sent from [Mail](#) for Windows 10

From: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#)
Subject: 31 letters regarding the SFPD
Date: Thursday, June 4, 2020 6:31:00 PM
Attachments: [31 letters regarding SFPD.pdf](#)

Hello,

Please find attached 31 letters regarding divesting funds from the San Francisco Police Department.

Thank you,

Jackie Hickey
Board of Supervisors
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102-4689
Phone: (415) 554-5184 | Direct: (415) 554-7701
jacqueline.hickey@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

From: [Brian Riedel](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Divest from the SFPD
Date: Thursday, June 4, 2020 12:06:54 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, specifically the Budget & Finance Committee of Supervisors Sandra Fewer, Shamann Walton, and Rafael Mandelman,

My name is Brian Riedel. I am a resident of the richmond, and I am emailing today to demand a divestation of funds from San Francisco's police department and redistribution towards social services focused on community safety and health. These include: San Francisco Human Services Agency, Adult Protective Services, SF Dept of Emergency Preparedness and Assistance, Medi-Cal, CalWORKS, County Adult Assistance Programs, JobsNOW!, Families Rising, Family and Children's Services, and SF Dept of Homelessness and Supportive Housing, to name a few.

As you are reviewing Mayor London Breed's proposed city budget for the next two years, I know SF's financial outlook has changed drastically as a result of COVID-19 and many social services are up for budget cuts. I also know that the SFPD has a history of fatal police shootings (the murders of Alex Nieto, Amilcar Perez-Lopez, Mario Woods, Luis Gongora Pat, Jessica Williams, the list goes on), and countless reports of officer misconduct and brutality that continue to persist despite efforts by the District Attorney and public defenders to press charges, and despite implementation of additional bias trainings and other reforms from both a local and federal level. These harmful issues persist and something needs to change.

(With funds that are directed to the police department I ask that additional efforts towards ending police violence be made, outlined in Campaign Zero (<https://www.joincampaignzero.org/solutions#solutionsoverview>).)

I am demanding that you remove funds from this institution that violently responds to community concerns and move these vital funds to services that work to de-escalate crime before it has the chance to emerge. These services, initiatives such as affordable housing, youth programs, social worker support, mental health support, and crisis intervention and hotlines, are better equipped to protect our communities both now and long-term than police departments that have a consistent and inexcusable history of violence and excessive force, specifically against communities of color, as we are seeing at a local and national level from police departments around the country. Social services also play a vital role in providing support and resources for marginalized communities during a global pandemic. This divestation is crucial and urgent to ensure the flourishing of all communities and an end to systemic racism and oppression.

Sincerely,
Brian Riedel

From: [Erin Schaeferle](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Divest from the SFPD
Date: Thursday, June 4, 2020 12:03:30 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, specifically the Budget & Finance Committee of Supervisors Sandra Fewer, Shamann Walton, and Rafael Mandelman,

My name is Erin Schaeferle. I am a resident of Mission district of San Francisco, and I am emailing today to demand a divestment of funds from San Francisco's police department and redistribution towards social services focused on community safety and health. These include: San Francisco Human Services Agency, Adult Protective Services, SF Dept of Emergency Preparedness and Assistance, Medi-Cal, CalWORKS, County Adult Assistance Programs, JobsNOW!, Families Rising, Family and Children's Services, and SF Dept of Homelessness and Supportive Housing, to name a few.

As you are reviewing Mayor London Breed's proposed city budget for the next two years, I know SF's financial outlook has changed drastically as a result of COVID-19 and many social services are up for budget cuts. I also know that the SFPD has a history of fatal police shootings (the murders of Alex Nieto, Amilcar Perez-Lopez, Mario Woods, Luis Gongora Pat, Jessica Williams, the list goes on), and countless reports of officer misconduct and brutality that continue to persist despite efforts by the District Attorney and public defenders to press charges, and despite implementation of additional bias trainings and other reforms from both a local and federal level. These harmful issues persist and something needs to change.

(With funds that are directed to the police department I ask that additional efforts towards ending police violence be made, outlined in Campaign Zero (<https://www.joincampaignzero.org/solutions#solutionsoverview>).)

I am demanding that you remove funds from this institution that violently responds to community concerns and move these vital funds to services that work to de-escalate crime before it has the chance to emerge. These services, initiatives such as affordable housing, youth programs, social worker support, mental health support, and crisis intervention and hotlines, are better equipped to protect our communities both now and long-term than police departments that have a consistent and inexcusable history of violence and excessive force, specifically against communities of color, as we are seeing at a local and national level from police departments around the country. Social services also play a vital role in providing support and resources for marginalized communities during a global pandemic. This divestment is crucial and urgent to ensure the flourishing of all communities and an end to systemic racism and oppression.

Sincerely,
Erin Schaeferle

Sent from my iPhone

From: [Sara Gibbs](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Divest from the SFPD
Date: Thursday, June 4, 2020 12:02:11 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, specifically the Budget & Finance Committee of Supervisors Sandra Fewer, Shamann Walton, and Rafael Mandelman,

My name is Sara. I am a resident of Inner Richmond, and I am emailing today to demand a divestation of funds from San Francisco's police department and redistribution towards social services focused on community safety and health. These include: San Francisco Human Services Agency, Adult Protective Services, SF Dept of Emergency Preparedness and Assistance, Medi-Cal, CalWORKS, County Adult Assistance Programs, JobsNOW!, Families Rising, Family and Children's Services, and SF Dept of Homelessness and Supportive Housing, to name a few.

As you are reviewing Mayor London Breed's proposed city budget for the next two years, I know SF's financial outlook has changed drastically as a result of COVID-19 and many social services are up for budget cuts. I also know that the SFPD has a history of fatal police shootings (the murders of Alex Nieto, Amilcar Perez-Lopez, Mario Woods, Luis Gongora Pat, Jessica Williams, the list goes on), and countless reports of officer misconduct and brutality that continue to persist despite efforts by the District Attorney and public defenders to press charges, and despite implementation of additional bias trainings and other reforms from both a local and federal level. These harmful issues persist and something needs to change.

(With funds that are directed to the police department I ask that additional efforts towards ending police violence be made, outlined in Campaign Zero (<https://www.joincampaignzero.org/solutions#solutionsoverview>).)

I am demanding that you remove funds from this institution that violently responds to community concerns and move these vital funds to services that work to de-escalate crime before it has the chance to emerge. These services, initiatives such as affordable housing, youth programs, social worker support, mental health support, and crisis intervention and hotlines, are better equipped to protect our communities both now and long-term than police departments that have a consistent and inexcusable history of violence and excessive force, specifically against communities of color, as we are seeing at a local and national level from police departments around the country. Social services also play a vital role in providing support and resources for marginalized communities during a global pandemic. This divestation is crucial and urgent to ensure the flourishing of all communities and an end to systemic racism and oppression.

Sincerely,
Sara G.

Sent from my iPhone

From: [Andrea Rocha](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Divest from the SFPD
Date: Thursday, June 4, 2020 11:59:10 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, specifically the Budget & Finance Committee of Supervisors Sandra Fewer, Shamann Walton, and Rafael Mandelman,

My name is Andrea Rocha. I am a resident of Ingleside and I am emailing today to demand a divestation of funds from San Francisco's police department and redistribution towards social services focused on community safety and health. These include: San Francisco Human Services Agency, Adult Protective Services, SF Dept of Emergency Preparedness and Assistance, Medi-Cal, CalWORKS, County Adult Assistance Programs, JobsNOW!, Families Rising, Family and Children's Services, and SF Dept of Homelessness and Supportive Housing, to name a few.

As you are reviewing Mayor London Breed's proposed city budget for the next two years, I know SF's financial outlook has changed drastically as a result of COVID-19 and many social services are up for budget cuts. I also know that the SFPD has a history of fatal police shootings (the murders of Alex Nieto, Amilcar Perez-Lopez, Mario Woods, Luis Gongora Pat, Jessica Williams, the list goes on), and countless reports of officer misconduct and brutality that continue to persist despite efforts by the District Attorney and public defenders to press charges, and despite implementation of additional bias trainings and other reforms from both a local and federal level. These harmful issues persist and something needs to change.

(With funds that are directed to the police department I ask that additional efforts towards ending police violence be made, outlined in Campaign Zero (<https://www.joincampaignzero.org/solutions#solutionsoverview>).)

I am demanding that you remove funds from this institution that violently responds to community concerns and move these vital funds to services that work to de-escalate crime before it has the chance to emerge. These services, initiatives such as affordable housing, youth programs, social worker support, mental health support, and crisis intervention and hotlines, are better equipped to protect our communities both now and long-term than police departments that have a consistent and inexcusable history of violence and excessive force, specifically against communities of color, as we are seeing at a local and national level from police departments around the country. Social services also play a vital role in providing support and resources for marginalized communities during a global pandemic. This divestation is crucial and urgent to ensure the flourishing of all communities and an end to systemic racism and oppression.

Sincerely,
Andrea Rocha

Sent from my iPhone

From: [Lindsey Ibarra](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Divest from the SFPD
Date: Thursday, June 4, 2020 11:55:18 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, specifically the Budget & Finance Committee of Supervisors Sandra Fewer, Shamann Walton, and Rafael Mandelman,

My name is Lindsey. I am a resident of The Mission, and I am emailing today to demand a divestation of funds from San Francisco's police department and redistribution towards social services focused on community safety and health. These include: San Francisco Human Services Agency, Adult Protective Services, SF Dept of Emergency Preparedness and Assistance, Medi-Cal, CalWORKS, County Adult Assistance Programs, JobsNOW!, Families Rising, Family and Children's Services, and SF Dept of Homelessness and Supportive Housing, to name a few.

As you are reviewing Mayor London Breed's proposed city budget for the next two years, I know SF's financial outlook has changed drastically as a result of COVID-19 and many social services are up for budget cuts. I also know that the SFPD has a history of fatal police shootings (the murders of Alex Nieto, Amilcar Perez-Lopez, Mario Woods, Luis Gongora Pat, Jessica Williams, the list goes on), and countless reports of officer misconduct and brutality that continue to persist despite efforts by the District Attorney and public defenders to press charges, and despite implementation of additional bias trainings and other reforms from both a local and federal level. These harmful issues persist and something needs to change.

(With funds that are directed to the police department I ask that additional efforts towards ending police violence be made, outlined in Campaign Zero (<https://www.joincampaignzero.org/solutions#solutionsoverview>).)

I am demanding that you remove funds from this institution that violently responds to community concerns and move these vital funds to services that work to de-escalate crime before it has the chance to emerge. These services, initiatives such as affordable housing, youth programs, social worker support, mental health support, and crisis intervention and hotlines, are better equipped to protect our communities both now and long-term than police departments that have a consistent and inexcusable history of violence and excessive force, specifically against communities of color, as we are seeing at a local and national level from police departments around the country. Social services also play a vital role in providing support and resources for marginalized communities during a global pandemic. This divestation is crucial and urgent to ensure the flourishing of all communities and an end to systemic racism and oppression.

Thank you for your time,
Lindsey

Sent from my iPhone

From: [Blake Cox](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Divest from the SFPD
Date: Thursday, June 4, 2020 11:40:09 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, specifically the Budget & Finance Committee of Supervisors Sandra Fewer, Shamann Walton, and Rafael Mandelman,

My name is Blake Cox. I am a resident of the Mission District, and I am emailing today to demand a divestment of funds from San Francisco's police department and redistribution towards social services focused on community safety and health. These include: San Francisco Human Services Agency, Adult Protective Services, SF Dept of Emergency Preparedness and Assistance, Medi-Cal, CalWORKS, County Adult Assistance Programs, JobsNOW!, Families Rising, Family and Children's Services, and SF Dept of Homelessness and Supportive Housing, to name a few.

As you are reviewing Mayor London Breed's proposed city budget for the next two years, I know SF's financial outlook has changed drastically as a result of COVID-19 and many social services are up for budget cuts. I also know that the SFPD has a history of fatal police shootings (the murders of Alex Nieto, Amilcar Perez-Lopez, Mario Woods, Luis Gongora Pat, Jessica Williams, the list goes on), and countless reports of officer misconduct and brutality that continue to persist despite efforts by the District Attorney and public defenders to press charges, and despite implementation of additional bias trainings and other reforms from both a local and federal level. These harmful issues persist and something needs to change.

(With funds that are directed to the police department I ask that additional efforts towards ending police violence be made, outlined in Campaign Zero (<https://www.joincampaignzero.org/solutions#solutionsoverview>).)

I am demanding that you remove funds from this institution that violently responds to community concerns and move these vital funds to services that work to de-escalate crime before it has the chance to emerge. These services, initiatives such as affordable housing, youth programs, social worker support, mental health support, and crisis intervention and hotlines, are better equipped to protect our communities both now and long-term than police departments that have a consistent and inexcusable history of violence and excessive force, specifically against communities of color, as we are seeing at a local and national level from police departments around the country. Social services also play a vital role in providing support and resources for marginalized communities during a global pandemic. This divestment is crucial and urgent to ensure the flourishing of all communities and an end to systemic racism and oppression.

Sincerely,
Blake Cox

From: [andie](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Divest from the SFPD
Date: Thursday, June 4, 2020 11:35:09 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, specifically the Budget & Finance Committee of Supervisors Sandra Fewer, Shamann Walton, and Rafael Mandelman,

My name is Andrea Sobrepeña. I am a resident of Bernal Heights, and I am emailing today to demand a divestation of funds from San Francisco's police department and redistribution towards social services focused on community safety and health. These include: San Francisco Human Services Agency, Adult Protective Services, SF Dept of Emergency Preparedness and Assistance, Medi-Cal, CalWORKS, County Adult Assistance Programs, JobsNOW!, Families Rising, Family and Children's Services, and SF Department of Homelessness and Supportive Housing, to name a few.

As you are reviewing Mayor London Breed's proposed city budget for the next two years, I know SF's financial outlook has changed drastically as a result of COVID-19 and many social services are up for budget cuts. I also know that the SFPD has a history of fatal police shootings (the murders of Alex Nieto, Amilcar Perez-Lopez, Mario Woods, Luis Gongora Pat, Jessica Williams, the list goes on), and countless reports of officer misconduct and brutality that continue to persist despite efforts by the District Attorney and public defenders to press charges, and despite implementation of additional bias trainings and other reforms from both a local and federal level. These harmful issues persist and something needs to change. (With funds that are directed to the police department I ask that additional efforts towards ending police violence be made, outlined in Campaign Zero (<https://www.joincampaignzero.org/solutions#solutionsoverview>).)

I am demanding that you remove funds from this institution that violently responds to community concerns and move these vital funds to services that work to de-escalate crime before it has the chance to emerge. These services, initiatives such as affordable housing, youth programs, social worker support, mental health support, and crisis intervention and hotlines, are better equipped to protect our communities both now and long-term than police departments that have a consistent and inexcusable history of violence and excessive force, specifically against communities of color, as we are seeing at a local and national level from police departments around the country. Social services also play a vital role in providing support and resources for marginalized communities during a global pandemic. This divestation is crucial and urgent to ensure the flourishing of all communities and an end to systemic racism and oppression.

Sincerely,

Andie Sobrepeña | 415 350 3301

From: [Elias Medici](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Divest from the SFPD
Date: Thursday, June 4, 2020 11:22:30 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, specifically the Budget & Finance Committee of Supervisors Sandra Fewer, Shamann Walton, and Rafael Mandelman,

My name is Elias Medici. I am a resident of Mill Valley, and I am emailing today to demand a divestation of funds from San Francisco's police department and redistribution towards social services focused on community safety and health. These include: San Francisco Human Services Agency, Adult Protective Services, SF Dept of Emergency Preparedness and Assistance, Medi-Cal, CalWORKS, County Adult Assistance Programs, JobsNOW!, Families Rising, Family and Children's Services, and SF Dept of Homelessness and Supportive Housing, to name a few.

As you are reviewing Mayor London Breed's proposed city budget for the next two years, I know SF's financial outlook has changed drastically as a result of COVID-19 and many social services are up for budget cuts. I also know that the SFPD has a history of fatal police shootings (the murders of Alex Nieto, Amilcar Perez-Lopez, Mario Woods, Luis Gongora Pat, Jessica Williams, the list goes on), and countless reports of officer misconduct and brutality that continue to persist despite efforts by the District Attorney and public defenders to press charges, and despite implementation of additional bias trainings and other reforms from both a local and federal level. These harmful issues persist and something needs to change.

(With funds that are directed to the police department I ask that additional efforts towards ending police violence be made, outlined in Campaign Zero (<https://www.joincampaignzero.org/solutions#solutionsoverview>).)

I am demanding that you remove funds from this institution that violently responds to community concerns and move these vital funds to services that work to de-escalate crime before it has the chance to emerge. These services, initiatives such as affordable housing, youth programs, social worker support, mental health support, and crisis intervention and hotlines, are better equipped to protect our communities both now and long-term than police departments that have a consistent and inexcusable history of violence and excessive force, specifically against communities of color, as we are seeing at a local and national level from police departments around the country. Social services also play a vital role in providing support and resources for marginalized communities during a global pandemic. This divestation is crucial and urgent to ensure the flourishing of all communities and an end to systemic racism and oppression.

Sincerely,
Elias

From: [Shelby Henneberger](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Defund the Police.
Date: Thursday, June 4, 2020 11:15:06 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To whom it may concern,

Pull the police from protests. Redistribute police funding back into the communities, people, and systems that need it. Learn from the people in Minneapolis who look towards a police-free future. <https://www.mpd150.com/about/>

Defund the police.

From: [Lucky Sok](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Divest from the SFPD
Date: Thursday, June 4, 2020 10:28:21 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, specifically the Budget & Finance Committee of Supervisors Sandra Fewer, Shamann Walton, and Rafael Mandelman,

My name is Lucky Sok. I am a resident of Sunset, and I am emailing today to demand a divestation of funds from San Francisco's police department and redistribution towards social services focused on community safety and health. These include: San Francisco Human Services Agency, Adult Protective Services, SF Dept of Emergency Preparedness and Assistance, Medi-Cal, CalWORKS, County Adult Assistance Programs, JobsNOW!, Families Rising, Family and Children's Services, and SF Dept of Homelessness and Supportive Housing, to name a few.

As you are reviewing Mayor London Breed's proposed city budget for the next two years, I know SF's financial outlook has changed drastically as a result of COVID-19 and many social services are up for budget cuts. I also know that the SFPD has a history of fatal police shootings (the murders of Alex Nieto, Amilcar Perez-Lopez, Mario Woods, Luis Gongora Pat, Jessica Williams, the list goes on), and countless reports of officer misconduct and brutality that continue to persist despite efforts by the District Attorney and public defenders to press charges, and despite implementation of additional bias trainings and other reforms from both a local and federal level. These harmful issues persist and something needs to change.

(With funds that are directed to the police department I ask that additional efforts towards ending police violence be made, outlined in Campaign Zero (<https://www.joincampaignzero.org/solutions#solutionsoverview>).)

I am demanding that you remove funds from this institution that violently responds to community concerns and move these vital funds to services that work to de-escalate crime before it has the chance to emerge. These services, initiatives such as affordable housing, youth programs, social worker support, mental health support, and crisis intervention and hotlines, are better equipped to protect our communities both now and long-term than police departments that have a consistent and inexcusable history of violence and excessive force, specifically against communities of color, as we are seeing at a local and national level from police departments around the country. Social services also play a vital role in providing support and resources for marginalized communities during a global pandemic. This divestation is crucial and urgent to ensure the flourishing of all communities and an end to systemic racism and oppression.

Sincerely,
Lucky Sok

From: [Monica Niehaus](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Divest from the SFPD
Date: Thursday, June 4, 2020 10:06:43 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, specifically the Budget & Finance Committee of Supervisors Sandra Fewer, Shamann Walton, and Rafael Mandelman,

My name is Monica I am a resident of Haight-Ashbury, and I am emailing today to demand a divestation of funds from San Francisco's police department and redistribution towards social services focused on community safety and health. These include: San Francisco Human Services Agency, Adult Protective Services, SF Dept of Emergency Preparedness and Assistance, Medi-Cal, CalWORKS, County Adult Assistance Programs, JobsNOW!, Families Rising, Family and Children's Services, and SF Dept of Homelessness and Supportive Housing, to name a few.

As you are reviewing Mayor London Breed's proposed city budget for the next two years, I know SF's financial outlook has changed drastically as a result of COVID-19 and many social services are up for budget cuts. I also know that the SFPD has a history of fatal police shootings (the murders of Alex Nieto, Amilcar Perez-Lopez, Mario Woods, Luis Gongora Pat, Jessica Williams, the list goes on), and countless reports of officer misconduct and brutality that continue to persist despite efforts by the District Attorney and public defenders to press charges, and despite implementation of additional bias trainings and other reforms from both a local and federal level. These harmful issues persist and something needs to change.

(With funds that are directed to the police department I ask that additional efforts towards ending police violence be made, outlined in Campaign Zero (<https://www.joincampaignzero.org/solutions#solutionsoverview>).)

I am demanding that you remove funds from this institution that violently responds to community concerns and move these vital funds to services that work to de-escalate crime before it has the chance to emerge. These services, initiatives such as affordable housing, youth programs, social worker support, mental health support, and crisis intervention and hotlines, are better equipped to protect our communities both now and long-term than police departments that have a consistent and inexcusable history of violence and excessive force, specifically against communities of color, as we are seeing at a local and national level from police departments around the country. Social services also play a vital role in providing support and resources for marginalized communities during a global pandemic. This divestation is crucial and urgent to ensure the flourishing of all communities and an end to systemic racism and oppression.

Sincerely,
Monica

From: [Amos Goldbaum](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Divest from the SFPD
Date: Thursday, June 4, 2020 9:47:09 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, specifically the Budget & Finance Committee of Supervisors Sandra Fewer, Shamann Walton, and Rafael Mandelman,

My name is Amos Goldbaum. I am a resident of Noe Valley, and I am emailing today to demand a divestation of funds from San Francisco's police department and redistribution towards social services focused on community safety and health. These include: San Francisco Human Services Agency, Adult Protective Services, SF Dept of Emergency Preparedness and Assistance, Medi-Cal, CalWORKS, County Adult Assistance Programs, JobsNOW!, Families Rising, Family and Children's Services, and SF Dept of Homelessness and Supportive Housing, to name a few.

As you are reviewing Mayor London Breed's proposed city budget for the next two years, I know SF's financial outlook has changed drastically as a result of COVID-19 and many social services are up for budget cuts. I also know that the SFPD has a history of fatal police shootings (the murders of Alex Nieto, Amilcar Perez-Lopez, Mario Woods, Luis Gongora Pat, Jessica Williams, the list goes on), and countless reports of officer misconduct and brutality that continue to persist despite efforts by the District Attorney and public defenders to press charges, and despite implementation of additional bias trainings and other reforms from both a local and federal level. These harmful issues persist and something needs to change.

(With funds that are directed to the police department I ask that additional efforts towards ending police violence be made, outlined in Campaign Zero (<https://www.joincampaignzero.org/solutions#solutionsoverview>).)

I am demanding that you remove funds from this institution that violently responds to community concerns and move these vital funds to services that work to de-escalate crime before it has the chance to emerge. These services, initiatives such as affordable housing, youth programs, social worker support, mental health support, and crisis intervention and hotlines, are better equipped to protect our communities both now and long-term than police departments that have a consistent and inexcusable history of violence and excessive force, specifically against communities of color, as we are seeing at a local and national level from police departments around the country. Social services also play a vital role in providing support and resources for marginalized communities during a global pandemic. This divestation is crucial and urgent to ensure the flourishing of all communities and an end to systemic racism and oppression.

Sincerely,
Amos Goldbaum

From: [Sophie Mosgrove](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Divest from the SFPD
Date: Thursday, June 4, 2020 9:38:30 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, specifically the Budget & Finance Committee of Supervisors Sandra Fewer, Shamann Walton, and Rafael Mandelman,

My name is Sophie. I am a resident of Mission Terrace, and I am emailing today to demand a divestation of funds from San Francisco's police department and redistribution towards social services focused on community safety and health. These include: San Francisco Human Services Agency, Adult Protective Services, SF Dept of Emergency Preparedness and Assistance, Medi-Cal, CalWORKS, County Adult Assistance Programs, JobsNOW!, Families Rising, Family and Children's Services, and SF Dept of Homelessness and Supportive Housing, to name a few.

As you are reviewing Mayor London Breed's proposed city budget for the next two years, I know SF's financial outlook has changed drastically as a result of COVID-19 and many social services are up for budget cuts. I also know that the SFPD has a history of fatal police shootings (the murders of Alex Nieto, Amilcar Perez-Lopez, Mario Woods, Luis Gongora Pat, Jessica Williams, the list goes on), and countless reports of officer misconduct and brutality that continue to persist despite efforts by the District Attorney and public defenders to press charges, and despite implementation of additional bias trainings and other reforms from both a local and federal level. These harmful issues persist and something needs to change.

(With funds that are directed to the police department I ask that additional efforts towards ending police violence be made, outlined in Campaign Zero (<https://www.joincampaignzero.org/solutions#solutionsoverview>).)

I am demanding that you remove funds from this institution that violently responds to community concerns and move these vital funds to services that work to de-escalate crime before it has the chance to emerge. These services, initiatives such as affordable housing, youth programs, social worker support, mental health support, and crisis intervention and hotlines, are better equipped to protect our communities both now and long-term than police departments that have a consistent and inexcusable history of violence and excessive force, specifically against communities of color, as we are seeing at a local and national level from police departments around the country. Social services also play a vital role in providing support and resources for marginalized communities during a global pandemic. This divestation is crucial and urgent to ensure the flourishing of all communities and an end to systemic racism and oppression.

Sincerely,
Sophie

--

Sophie Mosgrove
(415) 717-2656
www.sophiemosgrove.com

From: [Rachel Schmitz](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Divest from the SFPD
Date: Thursday, June 4, 2020 7:57:27 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, specifically the Budget & Finance Committee of Supervisors Sandra Fewer, Shamann Walton, and Rafael Mandelman,

My name is Rachel Schmitz. I am a resident of District 1, the Richmond District, and I am emailing today to demand a divestment of funds from San Francisco's police department and redistribution towards social services focused on community safety and health. These include: San Francisco Human Services Agency, Adult Protective Services, SF Dept of Emergency Preparedness and Assistance, Medi-Cal, CalWORKS, County Adult Assistance Programs, JobsNOW!, Families Rising, Family and Children's Services, and SF Dept of Homelessness and Supportive Housing, to name a few.

As you are reviewing Mayor London Breed's proposed city budget for the next two years, I know SF's financial outlook has changed drastically as a result of COVID-19 and many social services are up for budget cuts. I also know that the SFPD has a history of fatal police shootings (the murders of Alex Nieto, Amilcar Perez-Lopez, Mario Woods, Luis Gongora Pat, Jessica Williams, the list goes on), and countless reports of officer misconduct and brutality that continue to persist despite efforts by the District Attorney and public defenders to press charges, and despite implementation of additional bias trainings and other reforms from both a local and federal level. These harmful issues persist and something needs to change.

(With funds that are directed to the police department I ask that additional efforts towards ending police violence be made, outlined in Campaign Zero (<https://www.joincampaignzero.org/solutions#solutionsoverview>).)

I am demanding that you remove funds from this institution that violently responds to community concerns and move these vital funds to services that work to de-escalate crime before it has the chance to emerge. These services, initiatives such as affordable housing, youth programs, social worker support, mental health support, and crisis intervention and hotlines, are better equipped to protect our communities both now and long-term than police departments that have a consistent and inexcusable history of violence and excessive force, specifically against communities of color, as we are seeing at a local and national level from police departments around the country. Social services also play a vital role in providing support and resources for marginalized communities during a global pandemic. This divestment is crucial and urgent to ensure the flourishing of all communities and an end to systemic racism and oppression.

Sincerely,
Rachel Schmitz

From: [Cate Chevalier](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Divest from the SFPD
Date: Thursday, June 4, 2020 12:03:07 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, specifically the Budget & Finance Committee of Supervisors Sandra Fewer, Shamann Walton, and Rafael Mandelman,

My name is Cate Chevalier. I am a resident of Nob Hill, and I am emailing today to demand a divestation of funds from San Francisco's police department and redistribution towards social services focused on community safety and health. These include: San Francisco Human Services Agency, Adult Protective Services, SF Dept of Emergency Preparedness and Assistance, Medi-Cal, CalWORKS, County Adult Assistance Programs, JobsNOW!, Families Rising, Family and Children's Services, and SF Dept of Homelessness and Supportive Housing, to name a few.

As you are reviewing Mayor London Breed's proposed city budget for the next two years, I know SF's financial outlook has changed drastically as a result of COVID-19 and many social services are up for budget cuts. I also know that the SFPD has a history of fatal police shootings (the murders of Alex Nieto, Amilcar Perez-Lopez, Mario Woods, Luis Gongora Pat, Jessica Williams, the list goes on), and countless reports of officer misconduct and brutality that continue to persist despite efforts by the District Attorney and public defenders to press charges, and despite implementation of additional bias trainings and other reforms from both a local and federal level. These harmful issues persist and something needs to change.

(With funds that are directed to the police department I ask that additional efforts towards ending police violence be made, outlined in Campaign Zero (<https://www.joincampaignzero.org/solutions#solutionsoverview>).)

I am demanding that you remove funds from this institution that violently responds to community concerns and move these vital funds to services that work to de-escalate crime before it has the chance to emerge. These services, initiatives such as affordable housing, youth programs, social worker support, mental health support, and crisis intervention and hotlines, are better equipped to protect our communities both now and long-term than police departments that have a consistent and inexcusable history of violence and excessive force, specifically against communities of color, as we are seeing at a local and national level from police departments around the country. Social services also play a vital role in providing support and resources for marginalized communities during a global pandemic. This divestation is crucial and urgent to ensure the flourishing of all communities and an end to systemic racism and oppression.

Sincerely,
Cate Chevalier

Sent from my iPhone

From: [Cruz Ignacio](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Divest from the SFPD
Date: Wednesday, June 3, 2020 10:02:12 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, specifically the Budget & Finance Committee of Supervisors Sandra Fewer, Shamann Walton, and Rafael Mandelman,

My name is Cruz Ignacio. I am a resident of the Mission District, and I am emailing today to demand a divestation of funds from San Francisco's police department and redistribution towards social services focused on community safety and health. These include: San Francisco Human Services Agency, Adult Protective Services, SF Dept of Emergency Preparedness and Assistance, Medi-Cal, CalWORKS, County Adult Assistance Programs, JobsNOW!, Families Rising, Family and Children's Services, and SF Dept of Homelessness and Supportive Housing, to name a few.

As you are reviewing Mayor London Breed's proposed city budget for the next two years, I know SF's financial outlook has changed drastically as a result of COVID-19 and many social services are up for budget cuts. I also know that the SFPD has a history of fatal police shootings (the murders of Alex Nieto, Amilcar Perez-Lopez, Mario Woods, Luis Gongora Pat, Jessica Williams, the list goes on), and countless reports of officer misconduct and brutality that continue to persist despite efforts by the District Attorney and public defenders to press charges, and despite implementation of additional bias trainings and other reforms from both a local and federal level. These harmful issues persist and something needs to change.

(With funds that are directed to the police department I ask that additional efforts towards ending police violence be made, outlined in Campaign Zero (<https://www.joincampaignzero.org/solutions#solutionsoverview>).)

I am demanding that you remove funds from this institution that violently responds to community concerns and move these vital funds to services that work to de-escalate crime before it has the chance to emerge. These services, initiatives such as affordable housing, youth programs, social worker support, mental health support, and crisis intervention and hotlines, are better equipped to protect our communities both now and long-term than police departments that have a consistent and inexcusable history of violence and excessive force, specifically against communities of color, as we are seeing at a local and national level from police departments around the country. Social services also play a vital role in providing support and resources for marginalized communities during a global pandemic. This divestation is crucial and urgent to ensure the flourishing of all communities and an end to systemic racism and oppression.

Sincerely,
Cruz Ignacio

From: [Michael Forst](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Divest from the SFPD
Date: Wednesday, June 3, 2020 11:22:08 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, specifically the Budget & Finance Committee of Supervisors Sandra Fewer, Shamann Walton, and Rafael Mandelman,

My name is Michael Forst. I am a resident of the Richmond District and I am emailing today to demand a divestation of funds from San Francisco's police department and redistribution towards social services focused on community safety and health. These include: San Francisco Human Services Agency, Adult Protective Services, SF Dept of Emergency Preparedness and Assistance, Medi-Cal, CalWORKS, County Adult Assistance Programs, JobsNOW!, Families Rising, Family and Children's Services, and SF Dept of Homelessness and Supportive Housing, to name a few.

As you are reviewing Mayor London Breed's proposed city budget for the next two years, I know SF's financial outlook has changed drastically as a result of COVID-19 and many social services are up for budget cuts. I also know that the SFPD has a history of fatal police shootings (the murders of Alex Nieto, Amilcar Perez-Lopez, Mario Woods, Luis Gongora Pat, Jessica Williams, the list goes on), and countless reports of officer misconduct and brutality that continue to persist despite efforts by the District Attorney and public defenders to press charges, and despite implementation of additional bias trainings and other reforms from both a local and federal level. These harmful issues persist and something needs to change.

(With funds that are directed to the police department I ask that additional efforts towards ending police violence be made, outlined in Campaign Zero (<https://www.joincampaignzero.org/solutions#solutionsoverview>).)

I am demanding that you remove funds from this institution that violently responds to community concerns and move these vital funds to services that work to de-escalate crime before it has the chance to emerge. These services, initiatives such as affordable housing, youth programs, social worker support, mental health support, and crisis intervention and hotlines, are better equipped to protect our communities both now and long-term than police departments that have a consistent and inexcusable history of violence and excessive force, specifically against communities of color, as we are seeing at a local and national level from police departments around the country. Social services also play a vital role in providing support and resources for marginalized communities during a global pandemic. This divestation is crucial and urgent to ensure the flourishing of all communities and an end to systemic racism and oppression.

Sincerely,
Michael Forst

From: [Katherine Berry](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Divest from the SFPD
Date: Wednesday, June 3, 2020 11:08:55 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, specifically the Budget & Finance Committee of Supervisors Sandra Fewer, Shamann Walton, and Rafael Mandelman,

My name is Katherine Berry. I am a resident of Nob Hill, and I am emailing today to demand a divestation of funds from San Francisco's police department and redistribution towards social services focused on community safety and health. These include: San Francisco Human Services Agency, Adult Protective Services, SF Dept of Emergency Preparedness and Assistance, Medi-Cal, CalWORKS, County Adult Assistance Programs, JobsNOW!, Families Rising, Family and Children's Services, and SF Dept of Homelessness and Supportive Housing, to name a few.

As you are reviewing Mayor London Breed's proposed city budget for the next two years, I know SF's financial outlook has changed drastically as a result of COVID-19 and many social services are up for budget cuts. I also know that the SFPD has a history of fatal police shootings (the murders of Alex Nieto, Amilcar Perez-Lopez, Mario Woods, Luis Gongora Pat, Jessica Williams, the list goes on), and countless reports of officer misconduct and brutality that continue to persist despite efforts by the District Attorney and public defenders to press charges, and despite implementation of additional bias trainings and other reforms from both a local and federal level. These harmful issues persist and something needs to change.

(With funds that are directed to the police department I ask that additional efforts towards ending police violence be made, outlined in Campaign Zero (<https://www.joincampaignzero.org/solutions#solutionsoverview>).)

I am demanding that you remove funds from this institution that violently responds to community concerns and move these vital funds to services that work to de-escalate crime before it has the chance to emerge. These services, initiatives such as affordable housing, youth programs, social worker support, mental health support, and crisis intervention and hotlines, are better equipped to protect our communities both now and long-term than police departments that have a consistent and inexcusable history of violence and excessive force, specifically against communities of color, as we are seeing at a local and national level from police departments around the country. Social services also play a vital role in providing support and resources for marginalized communities during a global pandemic. This divestation is crucial and urgent to ensure the flourishing of all communities and an end to systemic racism and oppression.

Sincerely,
Katherine

From: emillievstephenson@gmail.com
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Divest from the SFPD
Date: Wednesday, June 3, 2020 10:53:26 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, specifically the Budget & Finance Committee of Supervisors Sandra Fewer, Shamann Walton, and Rafael Mandelman,

My name is Emillie. I am a resident of the Richmond district, and I am emailing today to demand a divestation of funds from San Francisco's police department and redistribution towards social services focused on community safety and health. These include: San Francisco Human Services Agency, Adult Protective Services, SF Dept of Emergency Preparedness and Assistance, Medi-Cal, CalWORKS, County Adult Assistance Programs, JobsNOW!, Families Rising, Family and Children's Services, and SF Dept of Homelessness and Supportive Housing, to name a few.

As you are reviewing Mayor London Breed's proposed city budget for the next two years, I know SF's financial outlook has changed drastically as a result of COVID-19 and many social services are up for budget cuts. I also know that the SFPD has a history of fatal police shootings (the murders of Alex Nieto, Amilcar Perez-Lopez, Mario Woods, Luis Gongora Pat, Jessica Williams, the list goes on), and countless reports of officer misconduct and brutality that continue to persist despite efforts by the District Attorney and public defenders to press charges, and despite implementation of additional bias trainings and other reforms from both a local and federal level. These harmful issues persist and something needs to change.

(With funds that are directed to the police department I ask that additional efforts towards ending police violence be made, outlined in Campaign Zero (<https://www.joincampaignzero.org/solutions#solutionsoverview>).)

I am demanding that you remove funds from this institution that violently responds to community concerns and move these vital funds to services that work to de-escalate crime before it has the chance to emerge. These services, initiatives such as affordable housing, youth programs, social worker support, mental health support, and crisis intervention and hotlines, are better equipped to protect our communities both now and long-term than police departments that have a consistent and inexcusable history of violence and excessive force, specifically against communities of color, as we are seeing at a local and national level from police departments around the country. Social services also play a vital role in providing support and resources for marginalized communities during a global pandemic. This divestation is crucial and urgent to ensure the flourishing of all communities and an end to systemic racism and oppression.

Sincerely,
Emillie

Sent from my iPhone

From: [Madeline Johnson](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Divest from the SFPD
Date: Wednesday, June 3, 2020 10:51:15 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, specifically the Budget & Finance Committee of Supervisors Sandra Fewer, Shamann Walton, and Rafael Mandelman,

My name is Madi Johnson. I am a resident of San Francisco (Inner Richmond), and I am emailing today to demand a divestation of funds from San Francisco's police department and redistribution towards social services focused on community safety and health. These include: San Francisco Human Services Agency, Adult Protective Services, SF Dept of Emergency Preparedness and Assistance, Medi-Cal, CalWORKS, County Adult Assistance Programs, JobsNOW!, Families Rising, Family and Children's Services, and SF Dept of Homelessness and Supportive Housing, to name a few.

As you are reviewing Mayor London Breed's proposed city budget for the next two years, I know SF's financial outlook has changed drastically as a result of COVID-19 and many social services are up for budget cuts. I also know that the SFPD has a history of fatal police shootings (the murders of Alex Nieto, Amilcar Perez-Lopez, Mario Woods, Luis Gongora Pat, Jessica Williams, the list goes on), and countless reports of officer misconduct and brutality that continue to persist despite efforts by the District Attorney and public defenders to press charges, and despite implementation of additional bias trainings and other reforms from both a local and federal level. These harmful issues persist and something needs to change.

(With funds that are directed to the police department I ask that additional efforts towards ending police violence be made, outlined in Campaign Zero (<https://www.joincampaignzero.org/solutions#solutionsoverview>).)

I am demanding that you remove funds from this institution that violently responds to community concerns and move these vital funds to services that work to de-escalate crime before it has the chance to emerge. These services, initiatives such as affordable housing, youth programs, social worker support, mental health support, and crisis intervention and hotlines, are better equipped to protect our communities both now and long-term than police departments that have a consistent and inexcusable history of violence and excessive force, specifically against communities of color, as we are seeing at a local and national level from police departments around the country. Social services also play a vital role in providing support and resources for marginalized communities during a global pandemic. This divestation is crucial and urgent to ensure the flourishing of all communities and an end to systemic racism and oppression.

Sincerely,
Madi Johnson

From: [Hager Hassanein](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Divest from the SFPD
Date: Wednesday, June 3, 2020 10:47:32 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, specifically the Budget & Finance Committee of Supervisors Sandra Fewer, Shamann Walton, and Rafael Mandelman,

My name is Hager. I am a resident of San Francisco's sunset district, and I am emailing today to demand a divestation of funds from San Francisco's police department and redistribution towards social services focused on community safety and health. These include: San Francisco Human Services Agency, Adult Protective Services, SF Dept of Emergency Preparedness and Assistance, Medi-Cal, CalWORKS, County Adult Assistance Programs, JobsNOW!, Families Rising, Family and Children's Services, and SF Dept of Homelessness and Supportive Housing, to name a few.

As you are reviewing Mayor London Breed's proposed city budget for the next two years, I know SF's financial outlook has changed drastically as a result of COVID-19 and many social services are up for budget cuts. I also know that the SFPD has a history of fatal police shootings (the murders of Alex Nieto, Amilcar Perez-Lopez, Mario Woods, Luis Gongora Pat, Jessica Williams, the list goes on), and countless reports of officer misconduct and brutality that continue to persist despite efforts by the District Attorney and public defenders to press charges, and despite implementation of additional bias trainings and other reforms from both a local and federal level. These harmful issues persist and something needs to change.

(With funds that are directed to the police department I ask that additional efforts towards ending police violence be made, outlined in Campaign Zero (<https://www.joincampaignzero.org/solutions#solutionsoverview>).)

I am demanding that you remove funds from this institution that violently responds to community concerns and move these vital funds to services that work to de-escalate crime before it has the chance to emerge. These services, initiatives such as affordable housing, youth programs, social worker support, mental health support, and crisis intervention and hotlines, are better equipped to protect our communities both now and long-term than police departments that have a consistent and inexcusable history of violence and excessive force, specifically against communities of color, as we are seeing at a local and national level from police departments around the country. Social services also play a vital role in providing support and resources for marginalized communities during a global pandemic. This divestation is crucial and urgent to ensure the flourishing of all communities and an end to systemic racism and oppression.

Sincerely,
Hager Hassanein

From: [Andrew Tran](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Divest from the SFPD
Date: Wednesday, June 3, 2020 9:13:53 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, specifically the Budget & Finance Committee of Supervisors Sandra Fewer, Shamann Walton, and Rafael Mandelman, My name is Andrew Tran. I am a resident of the Sunset neighborhood, and I am emailing today to demand a divestation of funds from San Francisco's police department and redistribution towards social services focused on community safety and health. These include: San Francisco Human Services Agency, Adult Protective Services, SF Dept of Emergency Preparedness and Assistance, Medi-Cal, CalWORKS, County Adult Assistance Programs, JobsNOW!, Families Rising, Family and Children's Services, and SF Dept of Homelessness and Supportive Housing, to name a few. As you are reviewing Mayor London Breed's proposed city budget for the next two years, I know SF's financial outlook has changed drastically as a result of COVID-19 and many social services are up for budget cuts. I also know that the SFPD has a history of fatal police shootings (the murders of Alex Nieto, Amilcar Perez-Lopez, Mario Woods, Luis Gongora Pat, Jessica Williams, the list goes on), and countless reports of officer misconduct and brutality that continue to persist despite efforts by the District Attorney and public defenders to press charges, and despite implementation of additional bias trainings and other reforms from both a local and federal level. These harmful issues persist and something needs to change. (With funds that are directed to the police department I ask that additional efforts towards ending police violence be made, outlined in Campaign Zero (<https://www.joincampaignzero.org/solutions#solutionsoverview>)). I am demanding that you remove funds from this institution that violently responds to community concerns and move these vital funds to services that work to de-escalate crime before it has the chance to emerge. These services, initiatives such as affordable housing, youth programs, social worker support, mental health support, and crisis intervention and hotlines, are better equipped to protect our communities both now and long-term than police departments that have a consistent and inexcusable history of violence and excessive force, specifically against communities of color, as we are seeing at a local and national level from police departments around the country. Social services also play a vital role in providing support and resources for marginalized communities during a global pandemic. This divestation is crucial and urgent to ensure the flourishing of all communities and an end to systemic racism and oppression.

Sincerely,
Andrew Tran

From: [Andrew Nii](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Divest from the SFPD
Date: Wednesday, June 3, 2020 9:13:42 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, specifically the Budget & Finance Committee of Supervisors Sandra Fewer, Shamann Walton, and Rafael Mandelman,

My name is Andrew. I am a resident of the inner sunset, and I am emailing today to demand a divestation of funds from San Francisco's police department and redistribution towards social services focused on community safety and health. These include: San Francisco Human Services Agency, Adult Protective Services, SF Dept of Emergency Preparedness and Assistance, Medi-Cal, CalWORKS, County Adult Assistance Programs, JobsNOW!, Families Rising, Family and Children's Services, and SF Dept of Homelessness and Supportive Housing, to name a few.

As you are reviewing Mayor London Breed's proposed city budget for the next two years, I know SF's financial outlook has changed drastically as a result of COVID-19 and many social services are up for budget cuts. I also know that the SFPD has a history of fatal police shootings (the murders of Alex Nieto, Amilcar Perez-Lopez, Mario Woods, Luis Gongora Pat, Jessica Williams, the list goes on), and countless reports of officer misconduct and brutality that continue to persist despite efforts by the District Attorney and public defenders to press charges, and despite implementation of additional bias trainings and other reforms from both a local and federal level. These harmful issues persist and something needs to change.

(With funds that are directed to the police department I ask that additional efforts towards ending police violence be made, outlined in Campaign Zero (<https://www.joincampaignzero.org/solutions#solutionsoverview>).)

I am demanding that you remove funds from this institution that violently responds to community concerns and move these vital funds to services that work to de-escalate crime before it has the chance to emerge. These services, initiatives such as affordable housing, youth programs, social worker support, mental health support, and crisis intervention and hotlines, are better equipped to protect our communities both now and long-term than police departments that have a consistent and inexcusable history of violence and excessive force, specifically against communities of color, as we are seeing at a local and national level from police departments around the country. Social services also play a vital role in providing support and resources for marginalized communities during a global pandemic. This divestation is crucial and urgent to ensure the flourishing of all communities and an end to systemic racism and oppression.

Sincerely,
Andrew

From: [Marta Fernandez](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Divest from the SFPD
Date: Wednesday, June 3, 2020 8:37:22 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, specifically the Budget & Finance Committee of Supervisors Sandra Fewer, Shamann Walton, and Rafael Mandelman,

My name is Marta Fernandez. I am a resident of The Mission District, and I am emailing today to demand a divestation of funds from San Francisco's police department and redistribution towards social services focused on community safety and health. These include: San Francisco Human Services Agency, Adult Protective Services, SF Dept of Emergency Preparedness and Assistance, Medi-Cal, CalWORKS, County Adult Assistance Programs, JobsNOW!, Families Rising, Family and Children's Services, and SF Dept of Homelessness and Supportive Housing, to name a few.

As you are reviewing Mayor London Breed's proposed city budget for the next two years, I know SF's financial outlook has changed drastically as a result of COVID-19 and many social services are up for budget cuts. I also know that the SFPD has a history of fatal police shootings (the murders of Alex Nieto, Amilcar Perez-Lopez, Mario Woods, Luis Gongora Pat, Jessica Williams, the list goes on), and countless reports of officer misconduct and brutality that continue to persist despite efforts by the District Attorney and public defenders to press charges, and despite implementation of additional bias trainings and other reforms from both a local and federal level. These harmful issues persist and something needs to change.

(With funds that are directed to the police department I ask that additional efforts towards ending police violence be made, outlined in Campaign Zero (<https://www.joincampaignzero.org/solutions#solutionsoverview>).)

I am demanding that you remove funds from this institution that violently responds to community concerns and move these vital funds to services that work to de-escalate crime before it has the chance to emerge. These services, initiatives such as affordable housing, youth programs, social worker support, mental health support, and crisis intervention and hotlines, are better equipped to protect our communities both now and long-term than police departments that have a consistent and inexcusable history of violence and excessive force, specifically against communities of color, as we are seeing at a local and national level from police departments around the country. Social services also play a vital role in providing support and resources for marginalized communities during a global pandemic. This divestation is crucial and urgent to ensure the flourishing of all communities and an end to systemic racism and oppression.

Sincerely,
Marta Fernandez

From: [Alyssa Sumida](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Divest from the SFPD
Date: Wednesday, June 3, 2020 8:31:18 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, specifically the Budget & Finance Committee of Supervisors Sandra Fewer, Shamann Walton, and Rafael Mandelman,

My name is Alyssa Sumida. I am a resident of the Sunset district and I am emailing today to demand a divestation of funds from San Francisco's police department and redistribution towards social services focused on community safety and health. These include: San Francisco Human Services Agency, Adult Protective Services, SF Dept of Emergency Preparedness and Assistance, Medi-Cal, CalWORKS, County Adult Assistance Programs, JobsNOW!, Families Rising, Family and Children's Services, and SF Dept of Homelessness and Supportive Housing, to name a few.

As you are reviewing Mayor London Breed's proposed city budget for the next two years, I know SF's financial outlook has changed drastically as a result of COVID-19 and many social services are up for budget cuts. I also know that the SFPD has a history of fatal police shootings (the murders of Alex Nieto, Amilcar Perez-Lopez, Mario Woods, Luis Gongora Pat, Jessica Williams, the list goes on), and countless reports of officer misconduct and brutality that continue to persist despite efforts by the District Attorney and public defenders to press charges, and despite implementation of additional bias trainings and other reforms from both a local and federal level. These harmful issues persist and something needs to change.

(With funds that are directed to the police department I ask that additional efforts towards ending police violence be made, outlined in Campaign Zero (<https://www.joincampaignzero.org/solutions#solutionsoverview>).)

I am demanding that you remove funds from this institution that violently responds to community concerns and move these vital funds to services that work to de-escalate crime before it has the chance to emerge. These services, initiatives such as affordable housing, youth programs, social worker support, mental health support, and crisis intervention and hotlines, are better equipped to protect our communities both now and long-term than police departments that have a consistent and inexcusable history of violence and excessive force, specifically against communities of color, as we are seeing at a local and national level from police departments around the country. Social services also play a vital role in providing support and resources for marginalized communities during a global pandemic. This divestation is crucial and urgent to ensure the flourishing of all communities and an end to systemic racism and oppression.

Sincerely,
Alyssa Sumida

From: [Julie Kim](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Divest from the SFPD
Date: Wednesday, June 3, 2020 8:29:44 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, specifically the Budget & Finance Committee of Supervisors Sandra Fewer, Shamann Walton, and Rafael Mandelman,

My name is Julie Kim. I am a resident of the Inner Sunset, and I am emailing today to demand a divestation of funds from San Francisco's police department and redistribution towards social services focused on community safety and health. These include: San Francisco Human Services Agency, Adult Protective Services, SF Dept of Emergency Preparedness and Assistance, Medi-Cal, CalWORKS, County Adult Assistance Programs, JobsNOW!, Families Rising, Family and Children's Services, and SF Dept of Homelessness and Supportive Housing, to name a few.

As you are reviewing Mayor London Breed's proposed city budget for the next two years, I know SF's financial outlook has changed drastically as a result of COVID-19 and many social services are up for budget cuts. I also know that the SFPD has a history of fatal police shootings (the murders of Alex Nieto, Amilcar Perez-Lopez, Mario Woods, Luis Gongora Pat, Jessica Williams, the list goes on), and countless reports of officer misconduct and brutality that continue to persist despite efforts by the District Attorney and public defenders to press charges, and despite implementation of additional bias trainings and other reforms from both a local and federal level. These harmful issues persist and something needs to change.

(With funds that are directed to the police department I ask that additional efforts towards ending police violence be made, outlined in Campaign Zero (<https://www.joincampaignzero.org/solutions#solutionsoverview>).)

I am demanding that you remove funds from this institution that violently responds to community concerns and move these vital funds to services that work to de-escalate crime before it has the chance to emerge. These services, initiatives such as affordable housing, youth programs, social worker support, mental health support, and crisis intervention and hotlines, are better equipped to protect our communities both now and long-term than police departments that have a consistent and inexcusable history of violence and excessive force, specifically against communities of color, as we are seeing at a local and national level from police departments around the country. Social services also play a vital role in providing support and resources for marginalized communities during a global pandemic. This divestation is crucial and urgent to ensure the flourishing of all communities and an end to systemic racism and oppression.

Sincerely,
Julie Kim

From: [Mallory Rose](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Divest from the SFPD
Date: Wednesday, June 3, 2020 8:23:05 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, specifically the Budget & Finance Committee of Supervisors Sandra Fewer, Shamann Walton, and Rafael Mandelman,

My name is Mallory Rose. I am a resident of Mission/Bernal Heights, and I am emailing today to demand a divestation of funds from San Francisco's police department and redistribution towards social services focused on community safety and health. These include: San Francisco Human Services Agency, Adult Protective Services, SF Dept of Emergency Preparedness and Assistance, Medi-Cal, CalWORKS, County Adult Assistance Programs, JobsNOW!, Families Rising, Family and Children's Services, and SF Dept of Homelessness and Supportive Housing, to name a few.

As you are reviewing Mayor London Breed's proposed city budget for the next two years, I know SF's financial outlook has changed drastically as a result of COVID-19 and many social services are up for budget cuts. I also know that the SFPD has a history of fatal police shootings (the murders of Alex Nieto, Amilcar Perez-Lopez, Mario Woods, Luis Gongora Pat, Jessica Williams, the list goes on), and countless reports of officer misconduct and brutality that continue to persist despite efforts by the District Attorney and public defenders to press charges, and despite implementation of additional bias trainings and other reforms from both a local and federal level. These harmful issues persist and something needs to change.

(With funds that are directed to the police department I ask that additional efforts towards ending police violence be made, outlined in Campaign Zero (<https://www.joincampaignzero.org/solutions#solutionsoverview>).)

I am demanding that you remove funds from this institution that violently responds to community concerns and move these vital funds to services that work to de-escalate crime before it has the chance to emerge. These services, initiatives such as affordable housing, youth programs, social worker support, mental health support, and crisis intervention and hotlines, are better equipped to protect our communities both now and long-term than police departments that have a consistent and inexcusable history of violence and excessive force, specifically against communities of color, as we are seeing at a local and national level from police departments around the country. Social services also play a vital role in providing support and resources for marginalized communities during a global pandemic. This divestation is crucial and urgent to ensure the flourishing of all communities and an end to systemic racism and oppression.

Sincerely,
Mallory Rose

Sent from my iPhone

From: [Caroline Miller](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Divest from the SFPD
Date: Wednesday, June 3, 2020 7:50:52 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, specifically the Budget & Finance Committee of Supervisors Sandra Fewer, Shamann Walton, and Rafael Mandelman,

My name is Caroline Miller. I am a resident of the Richmond, and I am emailing today to demand a divestation of funds from San Francisco's police department and redistribution towards social services focused on community safety and health. These include: San Francisco Human Services Agency, Adult Protective Services, SF Dept of Emergency Preparedness and Assistance, Medi-Cal, CalWORKS, County Adult Assistance Programs, JobsNOW!, Families Rising, Family and Children's Services, and SF Dept of Homelessness and Supportive Housing, to name a few.

As you are reviewing Mayor London Breed's proposed city budget for the next two years, I know SF's financial outlook has changed drastically as a result of COVID-19 and many social services are up for budget cuts. I also know that the SFPD has a history of fatal police shootings (the murders of Alex Nieto, Amilcar Perez-Lopez, Mario Woods, Luis Gongora Pat, Jessica Williams, the list goes on), and countless reports of officer misconduct and brutality that continue to persist despite efforts by the District Attorney and public defenders to press charges, and despite implementation of additional bias trainings and other reforms from both a local and federal level. These harmful issues persist and something needs to change.

(With funds that are directed to the police department I ask that additional efforts towards ending police violence be made, outlined in Campaign Zero (<https://www.joincampaignzero.org/solutions#solutionsoverview>).)

I am demanding that you remove funds from this institution that violently responds to community concerns and move these vital funds to services that work to de-escalate crime before it has the chance to emerge. These services, initiatives such as affordable housing, youth programs, social worker support, mental health support, and crisis intervention and hotlines, are better equipped to protect our communities both now and long-term than police departments that have a consistent and inexcusable history of violence and excessive force, specifically against communities of color, as we are seeing at a local and national level from police departments around the country. Social services also play a vital role in providing support and resources for marginalized communities during a global pandemic. This divestation is crucial and urgent to ensure the flourishing of all communities and an end to systemic racism and oppression.

Sincerely,
Caroline Miller

Sent from my iPhone

From: [Camille Garcia](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Call a Supervisors Meeting to Defund Police
Date: Wednesday, June 3, 2020 5:39:35 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hello,

My name is Camille and I am a resident of San Francisco.

I am deeply concerned by the militarization of police around the country and especially in San Francisco

I request the Board of Supervisors call a meeting to address reorganizing the city budgets in favor of services over policing. I know San Francisco wants to value its residents and devotes a good deal of its budget toward healthcare and transportation but I think we can still do more. San Francisco does not need more police who continue to brutalize and terrorize communities specifically Black and Brown communities across the city and we should start investing in SF's peoples' welfare not their oppression. We need to fund our residents and our communities. We need and demand a city budget that focuses more on housing, education, healthcare, healthy food, public transportation, mental healthcare, small business support, and access to good and stable jobs for all SF residents.

Thank you for hearing me and as an elected member of this city council I hope you do what is right by the people you serve.

Sincerely,
Camille

From: [Arianna Gomez](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Call a Supervisors Meeting to Defund Police
Date: Wednesday, June 3, 2020 5:39:08 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Whom It May Concern,

My name is Arianna Gomez and I am a resident of San Francisco.

I am deeply concerned by the militarization of police around the country and especially in San Francisco

I request the Board of Supervisors call a meeting to address reorganizing the city budgets in favor of services over policing. I know San Francisco wants to value its residents and devotes a good deal of its budget toward healthcare and transportation but I think we can still do more. San Francisco does not need more police who continue to brutalize and terrorize communities specifically Black and Brown communities across the city and we should start investing in SF's peoples' welfare & not their oppression. We need to fund our residents and our communities. We need and demand a city budget that focuses more on housing, education, healthcare, healthy food, public transportation, mental healthcare, small business support, and access to good and stable jobs for all SF residents.

Thank you for hearing me and as an elected member of this city council I hope you do what is right by the people you serve.

Regards,

Arianna Gomez

Sent from my iPhone

From: [Erin O'Donnell](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Defund police and reallocate budgets to our communities of color
Date: Tuesday, June 2, 2020 11:16:47 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Board Members,

I am writing to you in light of the current outbreak of police violence, both in the Bay Area and across the nation. The increasing militarization of our police forces is a huge contributing factor to the violence -- budgets and tools determine our priorities, and arming police as though for a war puts them at odds with the people they are supposed to serve and protect.

As a long time Bay Area resident who has worked in the City all of my adult life, I urge you to reconsider the priorities our City budget communicates -- reduce our current high levels of police funding, and invest instead in our local communities, especially communities of color. More officers, guns, jails and prisons are not a solution to longstanding problems of racial disparities, injustice and police violence. Please redirect those resources away from policing and reallocate them to the healthcare, housing, and education our people deserve.

Respectfully,
Erin O'Donnell Worley

From: [Jordan DeAngelo](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary; Beinart, Amy \(BOS\)](#); [Herzstein, Daniel \(BOS\)](#); [Mar, Gordon \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Wright, Edward \(BOS\)](#); [Quan, Daisy \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I support Nancy Tung for Police Commission
Date: Thursday, May 28, 2020 5:03:27 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Chair Ronen,

I am writing to express my support for Nancy Tung as Mayor London Breed's nominee for the Police Commission.

Nancy has served as a Deputy District Attorney in Alameda County since 2017, focusing on investigating and prosecuting civil law enforcement actions against corporations based on California's Unfair Competition Law and False Advertising Law. She served as an Assistant District Attorney in San Francisco from 2006 to 2017. A trial prosecutor and former Deputy California Attorney General with nearly two decades of experience delivering justice for the People, Nancy has handled thousands of cases in local, state, and federal courts. Nancy's experience will play an invaluable role on the Commission, as she embraces the community's goals for a model police department. Nancy will bring legal expertise and community-driven passion to the role, critical to collaborating with other Commissioners on fair and progressive oversight.

In her free time, Nancy is an active member of Moms Demand Action, using her experience as a prosecutor and mom to fight for sensible gun laws in America. She serves on the board of Stop Crime SF, a local group dedicated to protecting victims and holding public officials and the criminal justice system accountable. She also has been a mentor with Big Brothers Big Sisters, developing a lifelong relationship with the girl she was matched with over a decade ago. Nancy leads an informal group of EMILY's List graduates, which trains and supports women who run for public office, and she has served on the boards of local Democratic clubs. Nancy will contribute a focused mindset and collaborative professionalism to the Commission, as she has to her other many voluntary roles in service of the public.

I am confident that Nancy will bring all of her experiences to bear in this role and will step in and work quickly towards solutions for our City and our citizens in these unprecedented times. Especially given the rise in property and violent crimes that hurt San Franciscans of all stripes, I ask you to recommend Nancy Tung for the Police Commission to the Board of Supervisors.

Thank you and best regards,

Jordan DeAngelo

SF Native for 20 years

From: gl382@cornell.edu on behalf of [Geneva Lee](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Thursday, May 28, 2020 11:25:25 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE-ASIAN AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Geneva Lee
gl382@cornell.edu

From: [DSA Justice Member](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Subject: You know the right thing to do! Oppose Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed nomination to the police commission
Date: Thursday, May 28, 2020 11:58:28 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to **strongly urge you to oppose the nominations of Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed to the Police Commission.**

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN

CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams, or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE-ASIAN AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION

Thank you,

From: [Avrum Shepard](#)
To: [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Mar, Gordon \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Haney, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Nancy Tung Police Commission Appointment
Date: Friday, May 29, 2020 8:30:29 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Honorable Supervisors,

Please confirm Nancy Tung to serve on the Police Commission.

She has a wealth of experience and is uniquely qualified for this position with 20 years as a prosecutor. Nancy has worked with police to prosecute property and violent crimes. She has also prosecuted police misconduct, and police discipline hearings are part of the job of the Police Commission. She is thoughtful, thorough, and fair.

There are no Chinese Americans on the 7-person commission, in a City with a large Chinese American community. Nancy Tung will be the only representative of that community, which is suffering from an increase in crime and with the pandemic is now experiencing disturbing incidents of violent crime fueled by xenophobia.

She will be a strong voice for justice and public safety. Please vote to confirm her appointment.

Avrum Shepard
1037 Portola Drive
San Francisco, CA 94127

From: atielkin=stanford.edu@dsasf.org on behalf of [Allison Tielking](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Friday, May 29, 2020 9:43:39 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE-ASIAN AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,
Allison Tielking

--

Miss Allison Tielking
atielkin@stanford.edu

From: aredgem=pacbell.net@dsasf.org on behalf of [Red Lee](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Friday, May 29, 2020 10:58:00 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE-ASIAN AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Mr Red Lee
aredgem@pacbell.net

From: labloom=stanford.edu@dsasf.org on behalf of [Laura Bloomfield](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Friday, May 29, 2020 11:13:05 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE-ASIAN AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Dr. Laura Bloomfield
labloom@stanford.edu

From: [Amika Mota](#)
To: [BOS-Legislative Aides](#); [BOS-Supervisors](#)
Cc: [Jessica Nowlan](#); [krea](#)
Subject: Oppose the Appointment of Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed
Date: Friday, May 29, 2020 3:25:06 PM
Attachments: [Oppose the Appointment of Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed.pdf](#)

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Please find our letter of opposition to the Appointment of Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed to the SF Police Commission attached.

--

In Community,

Amika Mota

Policy Director

[Young Women's Freedom Center](#)

o. 415-703-8800

c. 510-424-7428

*"The greatest glory in living lies not in never
falling, but in rising every time we fall."*

– Nelson Mandela





Dear Supervisors:

We are writing on behalf of the Young Women's Freedom Center to urge you to oppose the appointment of Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed.

The Police Commission is tasked with: 1) Setting policy for the police department, 2) Conducting disciplinary hearings on charges of police misconduct, 3) Imposing discipline for misconduct; and 4) Hearing police officers' appeals from discipline imposed by the Chief of Police. Police accountability is a central role of the Police Commissioners, and it is one of the few public bodies where the public can weigh in on the SF Police Department's actions. Given the recent brutal murder of George Floyd, it is now more critical than ever to ensure we have police commissioners who take their role of holding police accountable seriously.

However, as recently as last year, Deputy District Attorney Nancy Tung has opposed police accountability. During her campaign for San Francisco District Attorney in November 2019, in response to a questionnaire from the ACLU of Northern California, San Francisco Rising, and the Immigrant Legal Resource Center, she expressly opposed AB 392 (The California Act to Save Lives). Championed by families whose loved ones were killed by the police, AB 392 established that police can use deadly force "only when necessary" to defend against threat of death or serious bodily injury, replacing the vague and dangerous standard that authorized officers to use deadly force whenever "reasonable".

Additionally, Tung has opposed the closure of the SF Juvenile Hall as well as the County Jail 7 at 850 Bryant. On these issues, a majority of the SF Board of Supervisors has supported closing Juvenile Hall and County Jail 7, with broad community support. Given these examples of Tung's positions, we have serious concerns about her ability to represent community needs in regards to public safety, police accountability, or investing in rehabilitation and not incarceration.

While Tung's record has been made public as a candidate for District Attorney and DCCC, Gordon-Creed has almost no public track record to speak of. We are unclear what his experience is in holding police officers accountable or on the various issues we've raised. Additionally, it is unknown what experience he brings to the area of police accountability in the last 25 years since his days as a Deputy City Attorney where he represented police officers.

Therefore, we strongly urge the Board of Supervisors to oppose the appointment of both Tung and Gordon-Creed. We believe the Mayor can choose candidates that more accurately align with community values, have authentic and deep ties to the community, and who have a track record to justify their appointment to such an important office.



Sincerely,

Jessica Nowlan
Executive Director
Young Women's Freedom Center

Amika Mota
Policy Director
Young Women's Freedom Center

Krea Gomez
Advocacy and Campaigns Director
Young Women's Freedom Center

From: [ALICE XAVER](#)
To: [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Haney, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Mar, Gordon \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#)
Cc: [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Nancy Tung for Police Commissioner
Date: Friday, May 29, 2020 6:39:37 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Honorable Supervisors:

Please confirm Nancy Tung's appointment for Police Commissioner.

Our city has a high crime rate.

Nancy Tung has 20 years experience as a prosecutor. She's worked with the police to not only prosecute property and violent crimes but has also prosecuted police misconduct.

San Francisco has a large Chinese community, which suffers from increased crime. The 7 person commission has no Chinese American. Nancy would be the only representative for the Chinese community.

We need Nancy Tung on the Police Commission. She would be a strong voice for justice and public safety.

Thank you.

Christopher Xavier, D.C.

and

Alice Yee Xavier

From: [Sabina Tarnowka](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Nancy Tung for police commission
Date: Saturday, May 30, 2020 3:14:16 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hi Board of Supervisors,

My name is Sabina Tarnowka. I'm currently a resident of Noe Valley, was born in the Western Addition. San Francisco is my home. I'm writing to you because I strongly urge you to vote against Nancy Tung for SF police commission. The purpose of the commission is to provide oversight to the police and I do not believe Nancy Tung is able to do that. Especially given what's going on in the world right now, we NEED strong police oversight and an understanding of the reforms needed in the criminal justice system.

Please do not hesitate to email or call me for any further thoughts. (Phone number is 202-695-5546)

Sabina

From: [Trevor Eastin](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: SF Police Commission Nomination
Date: Saturday, May 30, 2020 7:22:39 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I strongly oppose Nancy Tung's nomination

From: [Megan Randall](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Reject Nancy Tung
Date: Saturday, May 30, 2020 8:04:15 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

The riots happening ALL over the country are caused by police brutality. The same thing happened in your city under Nancy Tung. You have seen what masses of people can and will do. Stop the violence. Cancel your meeting with Nancy Tung. We all need to come together to stop this. We need to get these corrupt people out of office.
Sent from my iPhone

From: [Anne Doyle](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Date: Saturday, May 30, 2020 8:05:45 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Do not put Nancy Tung on the citizens oversight committee.

Sent from my iPhone

From: [Renee DiResta](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: YES ON NANCY TUNG
Date: Saturday, May 30, 2020 8:23:07 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Yes on Nancy Tung.

Sent from my iPhone

From: [Corey Smith](#)
To: [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#)
Cc: [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors. \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Supporting Two Appointments
Date: Thursday, May 28, 2020 4:18:32 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Supervisor Preston and Members of the Board,

I'm reaching out to express my support for Jane Natoli's appointment to the SFMTA Board and Nancy Tung's appointment to the Police Commission. I ask that you support both nominations.

I've had the opportunity to work with Jane for a number of years now on housing and transportation issues. Jane is someone who I trust to put bike and pedestrian issues above our local political fights, something I think is incredibly important for the SFMTA Board. Jane has always shown a willingness and desire to engage all stakeholders and thoughtfully consider all opinions before making a decision. She's the type of person we should all want in government.

I've had the opportunity work with Nancy for a handful of years and she's one of the most independent voices within our hyper-local political environment. Her value system, judgment, and impartial outlook on the law and police issues makes her a uniquely qualified individual to join the Police Commission. The presence of a Chinese-American voice on the Commission is also valuable.

At the end of the day, Jane and Nancy take their work seriously and I trust them to both be fair, independent, and additive voices on both commissions.

Please support both of this very qualified women.

Thank you,
Corey Smith
94117

--

Thanks,
Corey Smith 陈锐 | Pronouns: he/him
Cell: (925) 360-5290
[LinkedIn](#) | [@CoreySmith_17](#)

From: [Belle Yan](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#)
Subject: Opposing Nancy Tung"s nomination to the Police Commission
Date: Saturday, May 30, 2020 8:26:49 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To President Yee and members of the Board of Supervisors:

My name is Belle Yan and I am a native San Franciscan and resident of District 9 (94134). I write to oppose the nomination of Nancy Tung to the Police Commission. Ms. Tung has publicly taken positions that are contrary to my views and values, and I strongly believe she should not represent San Franciscans on the Police Commission.

First, Ms. Tung supported Proposition H, which would have stripped the Police Commission of the power of oversee taser use-of-force policy. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition.

Second, as a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung accepted campaign contributions from the POA, an organization that represents employees she would be overseeing as a member of the Police Commission. This is a insurmountable conflict of interest. We need civilian Police Commissioners who are independent from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

Third, Ms. Tung has repeatedly opposed prosecuting police officers who fired their weapons at San Franciscan residents or were otherwise involved with such shootings. Failing to hold law enforcement accountable is detrimental to public safety. We need police commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law enforcement is to the community.

Finally, as a member of the Chinese American community, I strongly believe that Ms. Tung does not represent the views of most of my community. Her ethnicity is not enough to support her appointment to the board.

I respectfully urge you to oppose Ms. Tung's nomination to the Police Commission.

Thank you,
Belle Yan

From: [Ryan Miller](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Police commission vote
Date: Saturday, May 30, 2020 8:35:10 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Please don't vote in favor of Nancy Tung to join the SF Police Commission during Monday's vote. Her stances and past actions against police/prosecutorial reform make her candidacy are tone deaf and irresponsible at best and complicit with intrinsic bias at worse. With what's going on nationally in terms of the need for police accountability SF needs to be an example of the needed change rather than part of the systemic problem.

Thanks,

Ryan Miller

Sent from my iPhone

From: [Eden](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose Nancy Tung's Nomination
Date: Saturday, May 30, 2020 8:47:29 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

Eden Pitschmann

Sent from my iPhone

From: Board.of.supervisors=sfgov.org@dsasf.org on behalf of [Nancy Tung](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Saturday, May 30, 2020 10:05:59 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Nancy Tung
Board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org

From: matthew.schmitz=ucsf.edu@dsasf.org on behalf of [Matthew Schmitz](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Saturday, May 30, 2020 11:22:45 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

In general I have been extremely disappointed my vote for London Breed has been a vote for corruption. Why have you gone about undermining progressive law enforcement practices, homeless sweeps and corrupt relationships with officials? In regards to nominating Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed to the police commission, I have a number of grievances.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

Matthew Schmitz

--

Mr Matthew Schmitz
matthew.schmitz@ucsf.edu

From: [Alexander Zerai](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Nancy Tung
Date: Saturday, May 30, 2020 11:28:20 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Nancy Tung is NOT FIT to serve as commissioner!!!!!!

From: [Allan Lei](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Nancy Tung
Date: Sunday, May 31, 2020 12:07:50 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hi,

I would like to support Nancy Tung. My name is allan lei and I am a citizen of district 11. My family would like to do the same. We live at 828 London Street.

Thanks,
Allan
发自我的iPhone

From: [Eli Atkins](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Against Nancy Tung for Police Commission
Date: Sunday, May 31, 2020 12:41:53 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hi Board of Supervisors,

My name is Eli Atkins. I live in Noe Valley. I strongly urge you to vote against Nancy Tung for the SF police commission.

The purpose of the commission is to provide independent citizen oversight to the police and I do not believe Nancy Tung can do that. Current events highlight the need for strong police oversight and an understanding of the reforms needed in the criminal justice system.

Please do not hesitate to email or call me for any further thoughts. 703-409-3295

Eli

Sent from my iPhone

From: [Oleg Tomillo](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I strongly support Nancy Tung's nomination
Date: Sunday, May 31, 2020 2:41:40 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Board Of Supervisors,

I respectfully ask that you apply a rational decision in approving Nancy Tung to one of the currently vacant seats on the police commission. Nancy is an experienced jurist and she is well qualified for the given position based on her track record of supporting police reforms and actually charging police officers in the past.

There's been a lot of blatant lies and miss information recently tweeted by one of the Chesa Boudin's staff members (specifically by Dana Dru) regarding Nancy's track record as a jurist, and it's my sincere hope that you would listen to the overwhelming support from constituents that have sent you a signed petition in support of Nancy's candidacy, those that have emailed and will still email you before Monday, and that you'll make the right decision in approving Nancy to be one of the commissioners on the police commission board.

Thank you,
Oleg

From: [Berniecrats SF](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Cc: [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Subject: Berniecrats Letter of Opposition to the Appointment of Nancy Tung
Date: Sunday, May 31, 2020 10:24:49 AM
Attachments: [Letter in Opposition of Nancy Tung.pdf](#)

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear members of the Board of Supervisors,

Please see attached letter in opposition to the appointment of Nancy Tung to the San Francisco Police Commission.

If you have any questions or comments please don't hesitate to reach out.

Best,

Brandon Harami
CoChair
[San Francisco Berniecrats](#)



Members of the Board of Supervisors,

We are writing this letter to express our opposition to the appointment of Nancy Tung to the San Francisco Police Commission.

In the midst of a nationwide protest to demand the end of police brutality, San Francisco must ensure our police commission represents the need for real reforms to our criminal justice system. Holding our police officers accountable to the needs of San Franciscans as well as dismantling our systems of racial injustice require a police commission that understands and is committed to that work. Nancy Tung has proven she is unwilling to do that work and is even capable of making things worse.

Not only did Tung run on a pro carceral platform in her bid for District Attorney but she has also been a supporter of numerous policies that would likely escalate police violence. Tung was an avid supporter of 2018's Proposition H, which removed police oversight over taser use. She was also opposed to the closure of juvenile hall, wanted to maintain our inhumane cash bail system, opposed prosecuting the police in many incidents of police violence, and had early support from the Police Officers Association, a right-wing group that encourages the racist "blue lives matter" movement.

Last year San Francisco voters made it clear that the carceral state and decades of racist police activity must end when they elected Chesa Boudin as our new district attorney. It is the will of the citizens of this city that we dismantle systemic racism in our government and Nancy Tung's appointment will directly contradict that work.

We urge you to reject Nancy Tung's appointment to the San Francisco Police Commission.

Thank you,
San Francisco Berniecrats

From: [Angela F. Chan](#)
To: [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Haney, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Mar, Gordon \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Opposition to the Appointment of Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed to the San Francisco Police Commission
Date: Sunday, May 31, 2020 12:01:52 PM
Attachments: [Letter Opposing Appointment of Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed Angela Chan.pdf](#)

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Members of the SF Board of Supervisors,

My name is Angela Chan. For identification purposes, I am the policy director and senior staff attorney managing the Criminal Justice Reform Program at the Asian Law Caucus, a nonprofit civil rights organization based in San Francisco. I also had the honor of being appointed by the Board of Supervisors to serve on the San Francisco Police Commission from 2010 to 2014. **I am writing to strongly oppose the appointment of Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed to the San Francisco Police Commission.**

Jessica Williams, Mario Woods, Alex Nieto, Pralith Pralourng, Vinh Bui, Luis Góngora – these are the names of some of the people of color that have been killed by SFPD officers. Please remember these community members and their families when you are making a decision about whether or not to confirm Mayor Breed’s nomination of Tung and Gordon-Creed to the San Francisco Police Commission. I respectfully ask this of you because given the life and death responsibilities of the Police Commission to discipline officers, set policy for SFPD, and lead reform of the department to address officer involved shootings and racial disparity in policing, appointees to the commission must be held to the highest standard. Disappointingly, the Mayor’s Office continues to nominate people to the commission who fall far short of this standard. Before you are two more nominees, Ms. Tung and Mr. Gordon-Creed, who are simply not qualified to take on these responsibilities to provide rigorous, thoughtful, and real oversight of SFPD.

Ms. Tung, a district attorney with Alameda County, has taken numerous positions that make clear that she has no interest or commitment to reforming our criminal justice system, which is badly in need of transformation, much less reform. Ms. Tung opposes the closing of San Francisco’s juvenile hall even though this board of supervisors voted to close it. She does not appear to agree with the basic concept that keeping the giant concrete juvenile hall in Twin Peaks open to cage children is an inhumane and ineffective use of San Francisco tax dollars. She argues that she does not want to see children being sent to other counties to be incarcerated in their juvenile halls. Her position misses the point of this reform - which is that children should not be incarcerated period, full-stop. Rather than opposing the closing of juvenile hall, she should be focused on diverting the taxpayer dollars saved to supporting community-led programs and services that are more effective at improving the lives of children and advancing public safety.

Another misguided position is that Ms. Tung supports arming SFPD with tasers. While on the commission, I staunchly opposed, for good reason, attempts by multiple police chiefs to adopt tasers. When police departments adopt tasers, [officer involved shootings do not go down](#). And contrary to [Ms. Tung’s comment](#) that tasers can be used alongside de-escalation techniques, tasers escalate confrontations, rather than decrease them. This is because tasers can only be used if the officer is within [close proximity to a person](#), taking away the “distance” in the “time and distance” tactics that are core components of de-escalation. Shooting a person with 50,000 bolts of electricity that can be

Dear Members of the SF Board of Supervisors,

My name is Angela Chan. For identification purposes, I am the policy director and senior staff attorney managing the Criminal Justice Reform Program at the Asian Law Caucus, a nonprofit civil rights organization based in San Francisco. I also had the honor of being appointed by the Board of Supervisors to serve on the San Francisco Police Commission from 2010 to 2014. **I am writing to strongly oppose the appointment of Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed to the San Francisco Police Commission.**

Jessica Williams, Mario Woods, Alex Nieto, Pralith Pralourng, Vinh Bui, Luis Góngora – these are the names of some of the people of color that have been killed by SFPD officers. Please remember these community members and their families when you are making a decision about whether or not to confirm Mayor Breed’s nomination of Tung and Gordon-Creed to the San Francisco Police Commission. I respectfully ask this of you because given the life and death responsibilities of the Police Commission to discipline officers, set policy for SFPD, and lead reform of the department to address officer involved shootings and racial disparity in policing, appointees to the commission must be held to the highest standard. Disappointingly, the Mayor’s Office continues to nominate people to the commission who fall far short of this standard. Before you are two more nominees, Ms. Tung and Mr. Gordon-Creed, who are simply not qualified to take on these responsibilities to provide rigorous, thoughtful, and real oversight of SFPD.

Ms. Tung, a district attorney with Alameda County, has taken numerous positions that make clear that she has no interest or commitment to reforming our criminal justice system, which is badly in need of transformation, much less reform. Ms. Tung opposes the closing of San Francisco’s juvenile hall even though this board of supervisors voted to close it. She does not appear to agree with the basic concept that keeping the giant concrete juvenile hall in Twin Peaks open to cage children is an inhumane and ineffective use of San Francisco tax dollars. She argues that she does not want to see children being sent to other counties to be incarcerated in their juvenile halls. Her position misses the point of this reform - which is that children should not be incarcerated period, full-stop. Rather than opposing the closing of juvenile hall, she should be focused on diverting the taxpayer dollars saved to supporting community-led programs and services that are more effective at improving the lives of children and advancing public safety.

Another misguided position is that Ms. Tung supports arming SFPD with tasers. While on the commission, I staunchly opposed, for good reason, attempts by multiple police chiefs to adopt tasers. When police departments adopt tasers, [officer involved shootings do not go down](#). And contrary to [Ms. Tung’s comment](#) that tasers can be used alongside de-escalation techniques, tasers escalate confrontations, rather than decrease them. This is because tasers can only be used if the officer is within [close proximity to a person](#), taking away the “distance” in the “time and distance” tactics that are core components of de-escalation. Shooting a person with 50,000 bolts of electricity that can be lethal and that has killed [over 1,000 people](#) in the U.S. alone also doesn’t lend itself to de-escalating a situation. Tasers are used not in place of deadly force; rather, they are used to force compliance with a police order or to detain a person. Not surprisingly, tasers also are [disproportionately used against people of color and people in mental health crisis](#). Thus, tasers only increase use of force in many situations that could be addressed through de-escalation. Ms. Tung’s support for tasers shows that her

approach to criminal justice reform is giving more lethal weapons to SFPD, which will only result in more use of force, not less.

And while I certainly support having Chinese American representation on the Police Commission, I am concerned that in my fourteen years of working on criminal justice reform at an API civil rights organization in San Francisco, I have never heard of Ms. Tung doing outreach to the Chinese American community, other communities of color, or immigrant communities on police reform issues.

Mr. Gordon-Creed, a civil litigation attorney, also is far from qualified to serve on a commission that should be overseeing and reforming SFPD to reduce officer involved shootings and use of force. His only experience with police appears to be that in the early 1990s, he defended the Police Department in litigation as a deputy city attorney. Mr. Gordon-Creed recently said in the press that he believes [Chief Scott is pushing forward reforms recommended by the Department of Justice in 2016 as fast as he can](#). So far, in four years, SFPD has only adopted 18% of these reforms. If Mr. Gordon-Creed thinks this is fast, I'd hate to see what he thinks is slow reform. Like Ms. Tung, he has no experience collaborating with or advocating on behalf of communities of color who are impacted by policing.

It is frustrating to see these two disappointing nominations to the San Francisco Police Commission, especially at this time when communities across the country, including in San Francisco, are demonstrating in the streets, during a global pandemic, to express outrage and sadness regarding multiple outrageous murders of black men and women by police. This commission has serious work to do, work that hasn't been carried out by Mayor appointees for many years. Take for example the fact that the commission only met recently for the first time in three months, and only after being called out in the press by members of the commission who were appointed by the Board of Supervisors. If you confirm these two nominees, you will be continuing this do-nothing status quo by Mayor appointees to the commission, and that's simply unacceptable given the uprisings that are taking place throughout this country against police abuse and police killings. It's unacceptable in the face of SFPD officers wearing ["blue lives matter" face masks](#) to patrol May Day protests. It's unacceptable to the memories of people of color who have been killed by SFPD. The communities most impacted by SFPD's policing policies and practices deserve much better than these two nominees. Rather than nominating yet another prosecutor or private firm attorney who has no experience or commitment to police reform to the police commission, the Mayor should be selecting people who have been directly impacted by policing. I urge you to reject both of these nominations, and demand that Mayor Breed nominate appointees who are qualified to take on the heavy responsibilities of overseeing and reforming SFPD.

Thank you for considering these concerns. This Board of Supervisors has made laudable advancements in reforming San Francisco's carceral system, including closing juvenile hall and county jail number 4, please do not confirm the nomination of appointees to the police commission who will undercut these reforms. If you have any questions, please do not hesitate to contact me at angelachan@gmail.com.

In Community,
Angela Chan

lethal and that has killed [over 1,000 people](#) in the U.S. alone also doesn't lend itself to de-escalating a situation. Tasers are used not in place of deadly force; rather, they are used to force compliance with a police order or to detain a person. Not surprisingly, tasers also are [disproportionately used against people of color and people in mental health crisis](#). Thus, tasers only increase use of force in many situations that could be addressed through de-escalation. Ms. Tung's support for tasers shows that her approach to criminal justice reform is giving more lethal weapons to SFPD, which will only result in more use of force, not less.

And while I certainly support having Chinese American representation on the Police Commission, I am concerned that in my fourteen years of working on criminal justice reform at an API civil rights organization in San Francisco, I have never heard of Ms. Tung doing outreach to the Chinese American community, other communities of color, or immigrant communities on police reform issues.

Mr. Gordon-Creed, a civil litigation attorney, also is far from qualified to serve on a commission that should be overseeing and reforming SFPD to reduce officer involved shootings and use of force. His only experience with police appears to be that in the early 1990s, he defended the Police Department in litigation as a deputy city attorney. Mr. Gordon-Creed recently said in the press that he believes [Chief Scott is pushing forward reforms recommended by the Department of Justice in 2016 as fast as he can](#). So far, in four years, SFPD has only adopted 18% of these reforms. If Mr. Gordon-Creed thinks this is fast, I'd hate to see what he thinks is slow reform. Like Ms. Tung, he has no experience collaborating with or advocating on behalf of communities of color who are impacted by policing.

It is frustrating to see these two disappointing nominations to the San Francisco Police Commission, especially at this time when communities across the country, including in San Francisco, are demonstrating in the streets, during a global pandemic, to express outrage and sadness regarding multiple outrageous murders of black men and women by police. This commission has serious work to do, work that hasn't been carried out by Mayor appointees for many years. Take for example the fact that the commission only met recently for the first time in three months, and only after being called out in the press by members of the commission who were appointed by the Board of Supervisors. If you confirm these two nominees, you will be continuing this do-nothing status quo by Mayor appointees to the commission, and that's simply unacceptable given the uprisings that are taking place throughout this country against police abuse and police killings. It's unacceptable in the face of SFPD officers wearing ["blue lives matter" face masks](#) to patrol May Day protests. It's unacceptable to the memories of people of color who have been killed by SFPD. The communities most impacted by SFPD's policing policies and practices deserve much better than these two nominees. Rather than nominating yet another prosecutor or private firm attorney who has no experience or commitment to police reform to the police commission, the Mayor should be selecting people who have been directly impacted by policing. I urge you to reject both of these nominations, and demand that Mayor Breed nominate appointees who are qualified to take on the heavy responsibilities of overseeing and reforming SFPD.

Thank you for considering these concerns. This Board of Supervisors has made laudable advancements in reforming San Francisco's carceral system, including closing juvenile hall and county jail number 4, please do not confirm the nomination of appointees to the police commission who will undercut these reforms. If you have any questions, please do not hesitate to contact me at angelachan@gmail.com.

In Community,
Angela Chan

From: [Ashlin Bruce](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary; Beinart, Amy \(BOS\)](#); [Herzstein, Daniel \(BOS\)](#); [Mar, Gordon \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Wright, Edward \(BOS\)](#); [Quan, Daisy \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Supporting Nancy Tung for Police Commission
Date: Sunday, May 31, 2020 2:06:27 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

San Francisco Board of Supervisors
Rules Committee
Supervisor Hillary Ronen, Chair
City Hall, 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102

Dear Chair Ronen,

I am writing to express my support for Nancy Tung as Mayor London Breed's nominee for the Police Commission.

Nancy has served as a Deputy District Attorney in Alameda County since 2017, focusing on investigating and prosecuting civil law enforcement actions against corporations based on California's Unfair Competition Law and False Advertising Law. She served as an Assistant District Attorney in San Francisco from 2006 to 2017. A trial prosecutor and former Deputy California Attorney General with nearly two decades of experience delivering justice for the People, Nancy has handled thousands of cases in local, state, and federal courts. Nancy's experience will play an invaluable role on the Commission, as she embraces the community's goals for a model police department. Nancy will bring legal expertise and community-driven passion to the role, critical to collaborating with other Commissioners on fair and progressive oversight.

In her free time, Nancy is an active member of Moms Demand Action, using her experience as a prosecutor and mom to fight for sensible gun laws in America. She serves on the board of Stop Crime SF, a local group dedicated to protecting victims and holding public officials and the criminal justice system accountable. She also has been a mentor with Big Brothers Big Sisters, developing a lifelong relationship with the girl she was matched with over a decade ago. Nancy leads an informal group of EMILY's List graduates, which trains and supports women who run for public office, and she has served on the boards of local Democratic clubs. Nancy will contribute a focused mindset and collaborative professionalism to the Commission, as she has to her other many voluntary roles in service of the public.

I am confident that Nancy will bring all of her experiences to bear in this role and will step in and work quickly towards solutions for our City and our citizens in these unprecedented times. I ask you to recommend Nancy Tung for the Police Commission to the Board of Supervisors.

Thank you,

Ashlin Bruce
Member, United Democratic Club

--

Ashlin Bruce
ashlinkbruce@gmail.com

From: [Amanda Schwartz](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Re: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Sunday, May 31, 2020 2:13:33 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Please disregard my email below.

Thank you,
Amanda

Sent from my iPhone

> On May 31, 2020, at 14:02, Amanda Schwartz <aschwartz311@gmail.com> wrote:

>

> Dear Supervisors,

>

> I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

>

> Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

>

> Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

>

> NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

>

> NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

>

> As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will,

too.

>

> NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

>

> Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

>

> We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

>

> San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

>

> Thank you,

>

>

> --

> Ms Amanda Schwartz

> aschwartz311@gmail.com

>

>

From: [DSA Justice Member](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#)
Subject: 402 of 407 of constituent emails opposing Tung/GGC are in your junk folder [CONFIRM RECEIPT PLEASE]
Date: Sunday, May 31, 2020 2:26:09 PM
Attachments: [Highlights_Oppose Tung & GGC_05312020_220pm_.pdf](#)

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

Over the past **~60 hours or so 407 constituents have emailed your offices strongly urging you to oppose Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed's nomination** to the police commission.

We expect more to continue rolling in.

Unfortunately, approximately 402 **of those have gone into your junk folder**. Please take note of these and **remove them from your junk folder and, if you do not want them in your inbox, archive them for your records**. Although, of course we hope you take time to respond to at least some of them.

It is especially unfortunate as **1 in 5 of those emails (~18%) were customized emails**, meaning people took the time to alter the email to reflect on their own experiences.

We have **highlighted a few of those in the attached document**. People wrote **about their experiences being Chinese American, being Black, being immigrants, being long-time residents, living in their neighborhood, and about working in the criminal justice space**.

We know that you are busy, but we hope you will take the time to reflect on the volume and breadth of constituent emails. **Emails have come from, every, single district in San Francisco.**

As you reflect on the protests happening all around the county-- in Minneapolis, Louisville, New York, Los Angeles, Eugene, Las Vegas, Grand Rapids, Houston, and here in San Francisco--we hope that you come to the conclusion that San Franciscans do not want and deserve more than a self-described "[tough on crime](#)", [law-and-order](#) police commissioner.

As one constituent so eloquently put it, "We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law enforcement is to the community."

Given the high stakes, please email/have a member of your staff email us back to CONFIRM you have received this email.

Thank you.

Below is a compilation of a few unique emails from the ~407 emails sent as of 2:15 PM on 05/31/2020. About 18% of those 407 emails are unique so this represents a small selection of all custom emails. All emails have been re-submitted to the clerk (Victor Wong) and will be available for review in a spreadsheet.

Unfortunately, ~402/407 constituent emails have been sorted into your junk folder. You can also find them there. We recommend you move them to your inbox and archive for your own records. We also hope that you find the time to respond to some of your constituents.

[Fully unique letter]

I am a San Francisco resident and voter in District 9. I strongly oppose Nancy Tung's and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed's nominations to the Police Commission.

I echo what I am sure are sentiments expressed in other letters about how Nancy Tung's positions do not reflect those of city residents'. She is unfit to be a Police Commissioner — her positions facilitate abuses of police powers. She supports stripping the Police Commission of the power to oversee taser use-of-force policy. Protecting the Police Commission's power to meaningfully enforce its policies seems like a baseline qualification for appointment to the Police Commission, a qualification Nancy Tung's own positions demonstrates she does not meet.

She also consistently supports other harsh, punitive, and, most of all, harmful policies that increase the danger the police poses to everyone in the city and especially those who are a part of the city's Black and Latinx communities and who the police disproportionately target. She supports the continued usage of tasers, in spite of the fact that residents voted against them in 2018. Research has proven that they do not decrease the use of force the police use and actually increase in-custody deaths. She opposed closing Juvenile Hall in spite of its documented abuses, its exponentially higher cost in comparison to other methods of redress, and being far less effective those methods in supporting the city's young people. She supports cash bail — another punitive and ineffective policy that often only punishes low-income people who have not even been convicted of a crime. She accepted money from the POA during her campaign for District Attorney. Any financial support from the POA makes her ability to be an impartial judge of the police questionable. She has already opposed prosecuting police officers who were involved in shootings in the city.

Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission — or, at the very least, the absence of information on his positions on policing inspire little confidence in his ability to be a valuable addition to the Police Commission and that he will act in a way that reflects the values of the city and its voters. He has no public history of engaging with criminal justice issues or of representing people and communities policing policies impact. He has no public stance on the Taser Use-of-Force Policy, and no indication of any reference to any public engagement with all the incredibly important issues the Police Commission is regularly tasked with overseeing.

We already needed civilian Police Commissioners whose is more interested in protecting the city's residents than in supporting police. I believe current events make that fact impossible to ignore and put that need into sharp relief. The city's police force has already demonstrated that they are dangerous to the public — law enforcement has shot multiple people this year alone, not to mention the shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongara Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams, and every other person who the SFPD has killed, all of which the city has failed to adequately address with appropriate disciplinary action.

Police Commissioners serve such a vital role in protecting the city from police abuse. It would be a mistake to approve Nancy Tung's and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed's nominations — Nancy Tung for her demonstrated positions that would make her a lax enforcer of police abuse and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for the absence of any information on his positions for such an important role. San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department.

I also wanted to add that I have heard that part of the support for Nancy Tung's candidacy is that there is currently no Asian American representation on the board. As a Chinese American resident, I find it insulting that people are suggesting merely appointing a token Asian American member to the Police Commission is sufficient to represent the interests of our complex and multifaceted communities. I want a representative who is not only a member of our communities, but one who is actively invested in protecting our community members most in need —and have demonstrated thoughtful, researched positions that would act in our best interests. Nancy Tung and her harmful policy positions have proven she is not that person.

Please do not approve either Nancy Tung or Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for a position on the Police Commission. San Francisco city residents deserve representatives who are passionate and committed to police reform, not collusion or a total lack of previous public involvement. I urge to vote against both Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed.

Thank you,
Y. Faye Wang

I am a San Francisco / D5 resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

I imagine you've received a number of these form letters and as a result may not read the details of each one, but I wanted to add that the specifics in the letter below speak for me, as someone who lent my voice against the SFPD adoption of tasers and currently works on criminal record clearance across the country. I urge you all to reject Tung and Creed's nominations to the police commission. Thank you.

Mx. Christa Hartsock

[...]

Differences highlighted

I have been a District 8 resident for the last 12 years, and I am a black immigrant father of two young children. Out of concern for the lives of those young San Franciscans, I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. You need not take my word on that - the voters made that

clear in the last DA election, where she placed a distant third despite robust backing from right-wing racist police and their union. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. My kids deserve a city where they can be safe from police abuse, and where they can find as much justice as rich, white residents. I deserve a city where when I see police assault people who look like me day in and day out, they are held accountable. I strongly urge you to oppose these nominations, and like many voters, particularly the majority who rejected racist police tactics last November, I will be closely watching your votes.

Thank you,
Mr. Edward Parillon

I hope you are well right now. I am a San Francisco resident in your district [District 10] and an epidemiologist. I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION. Their appointments would set the city backwards in its attempts to become a more just city for all residents.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

[...letter as usual]

-----.-
I am a Corona Heights San Francisco resident since 2001 and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

[...letter as usual]

Thank you,
Mr. Issac Joseph

I am a 35-year San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

[...letter as usual]

Thank you,
Ms. Mimi Klausner

-----,-----
I am a San Francisco resident of 20 years and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

[...letter as usual]

Thank you,
Mr. Nelson Tam

I am a San Francisco resident in District 9 and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

[...letter as usual]

Thank you,
Mr. Jack Stevenson

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to my views and values and, I believe, the views and values of most San Franciscans. She should not represent us on the Police Commission.

Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

[...letter as usual]

Thank you for taking the time to read this letter.

Regards,

Mr. Malachi Griffie

I am a long-time San Francisco resident and I am writing to urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO.

Thank you,
Lauren Bradley

I am a San Francisco resident in District 3, and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE the nominations of Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed to the Police Commission.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

In addition to aligning herself with the divisive San Francisco Police Officers' Association who helped boost her run for District Attorney, Nancy Tung supported their Proposition H measure, which would have stripped the Police Commission of the power to oversee Taser use-of-force policy. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition.

It defies logic to appoint a candidate to the Police Commission who has actively supported, alongside the POA, undermining the Commission's core function of public oversight over SFPD. (Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.)

Nancy Tung continues to support equipping SFPD with Tasers. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF study found that the deployment of Tasers in California cities ****increased**** use of force and in-custody deaths.

Tung opposed closing Juvenile Hall, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. She opposed ending cash bail, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system.

There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis Góngora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams, Amilcar Perez-Lopez, or any other person killed by the SFPD, or any of the other aforementioned issues. I remain concerned that Mr. Gordon-Creed's views on critical

police & incarceration issues are opaque, beyond a record of defending SFPD in police brutality incidents in court. This alone does not inspire confidence. Please oppose his nomination.

We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law enforcement is to the community. Minneapolis is burning right now, and I am ****flabbergasted**** that our mayor sees fit to nominate a candidate who has opposed EVERY single commonsense police reform proposed over the past several years, whose approach to police accountability screams 1920, not 2020. Please oppose Nancy Tung's nomination as well.

Thank you,
Shanti Singh

From: [Annie Wright](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I support Nancy Tung for SF Police Commission
Date: Sunday, May 31, 2020 2:27:27 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Board of Supervisors:

I ask that you please put politics aside in favor of justice and public safety, and confirm Nancy Tung's appointment to serve our city on the San Francisco Police Commission.

Nancy Tung is extraordinarily qualified to serve on the Police Commission as a 20 year prosecutor, including 11 years in the San Francisco District Attorney's Office. Nancy has prosecuted domestic violence cases, protected consumers, and has upheld public integrity by prosecuting public misconduct, fraud, and embezzlement.

She has also successfully prosecuted officers for misconduct, removing them from the police and sheriff's departments. Nancy is an advocate for public safety and will work with police department leadership to fully and quickly implement reforms recommended by the U.S. Department of Justice under President Barack Obama's leadership.

In light of the violence and distraction that has occurred across the country as a response to racism and police brutality it is more important than ever to put in someone who is committed to social justice and with a track record of criminally convicting bad cops.

In a city that is 22% Chinese and 35% Asian, it is important to have Asian representation on the police commission. Even before Coronavirus, the Chinese community was feeling an increase in violent crime. Now, with President Trump's racist and xenophobic remarks about the Chinese community during the COVID-19 pandemic, and new disturbing incidents of violent crime fueled by xenophobia and hate, the Chinese community needs and deserves representation and a strong voice on the Police Commission.

The city needs leaders like Nancy Tung.

Sincerely,
Annie Wright
176 20th Ave
SF, CA 94121

From: [Melanie Scardina](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Mar. Gordon \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Nancy Tung for Police Commission
Date: Sunday, May 31, 2020 2:36:19 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I would like to offer my support for Nancy Tung for Police Commission. She has over twenty years experience and a track record of working with the police department and a record against police brutality. In these difficult times, the hard working police officers need our support more than ever. Unfortunately, the relationship between our police and D A Chesa Boudin is not a good one. These two departments need to work together or the system falls apart. Nancy is a more moderate voice with a common sense approach, who will be able to work with both sides. Please confirm her appointment to the Police Commission.

Thank you.

M. Scardina

From: [Jennifer Nehme](#)
To: [Haneystaff \(BOS\)](#); [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Support for Nancy Tung
Date: Sunday, May 31, 2020 2:48:11 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear SF Board of Supervisors,

I am a resident of D6 (East Cut) and I am writing in support of Nancy Tung's nomination for SF police commission. I strongly support Nancy's nomination because of her experience and independence. Qualifications should take precedence over politics.

Best regards,
Jennifer Nehme

From: [John Kaman](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Fwd: Nancy Tung
Date: Sunday, May 31, 2020 3:24:29 PM
Attachments: [TungGascon.pdf](#)

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

----- Forwarded message -----

From: <john.kaman@gmail.com>
Date: Sun, May 31, 2020 at 1:37 PM
Subject: Nancy Tung
To: dalsy.quan@sfgov.org <dalsy.quan@sfgov.org>, amybeinart@gmail.com
<amybeinart@gmail.com>

I understand that Ms. Tung will be considered tomorrow morning for an appointment to a Police Commission post.

Attached is a complaint I filed with the State Bar regarding prosecutorial misconduct on her part with respect to one of my cases. The letter to the State Bar incorporates a more detailed letter I wrote at the time to George Gascon, then Chief District Attorney.

Without wasting your time repeating the contents of these letters I ask you and the Commissioners to examine them closely. As result of my complaint to the State Bar, Ms. Tung was placed on purely administrative duty. I had a number of conversations with the State Bar investigator who indicated to me that Ms. Tung would be disciplined publicly. At some point she hired a lawyer and I heard noting further.

I should have said I am a felony attorney working at 850 Bryant under the supervision of the Indigent Defense Administration.

I have a Court schedule tomorrow morning but I hope to be able to call in and add my information to that of others.

John M. Kaman

John M. Kaman
Attorney at Law
360 Ritch Street Suite 201
San Francisco, California 94107
(tel) 415-630-3021
(fax) 415-520-5644
johnkaman@kamanlaw.com

February 1, 2011

State Bar of California
1149 South Hill Street
Los Angeles, CA 90015

Re: San Francisco ADA Nancy Tung: Prosecutorial Misconduct

Dear Madam/Sir:

I wish to file a claim of prosecutorial misconduct against ADA Nancy Tung of the San Francisco District Attorney's Office. The full particulars are set forth in my enclosed letter to the District Attorney himself; there follows a brief summary.

In short, due to Ms. Tung's negligence or vindictiveness, she filed a complaint setting forth various domestic violence claims against my client, Timothy Gordon, who was arrested on September 15, 2010. Before filing the complaint Ms. Tung undertook no investigation other than to listen to the uncorroborated statement of the complaining witness. She ran no comprehensive criminal history on the CW; if she had she would have seen that the CW was herself the object of a domestic violence complaint in Berkeley arising out of another relationship;

If this history would not have raised a flag in her mind information from her own SFPD would have alerted her to various incidents of stalking behavior by the CW directed against my client.

Without any investigation other than that stated herein, she insisted on \$100,000 bail in this no injury incident which my client was unable to post. As a result he served 4 and 1/2 months in the County Jail awaiting trial, thereby losing his job and his housing.

Throughout this ordeal I provided her with ample evidence of the CW's unreliability including cell phone records which showed that my client and the CW were not even in the same city on the night of the alleged incident. Moreover because of his attempts to break off a relationship with her subpoenaed cell phone records further showed that the CW called my client as much as 601 times


a day from the date of the mid-August breakup until the report of the incident two weeks after it occurred.

Ms. Tung refused to examine the cell phone records in any detail or to investigate the defenses until a jury had been empanelled. Only then did she turn her attention to the cell phone records and the various witnesses that would have exonerated my client. As a result she was forced to dismiss the case pursuant to PC § 1385 at the last moment while 85 citizens awaited the beginning of voir dire in the hallway. For this conduct she was excoriated by the presiding judge of the criminal division.

I always understood that the duty of a DA was to do justice. In this case no investigation was done which would have led to a decision not to file charges or at worse to an early release. Although the presiding judge had the courtesy to apologize to Mr. Gordon, ADA Tung did not.

In 31 years as a practicing lawyer I have never complained to the bar association about anyone. This case was beyond belief, however, and I ask that you investigate the claims set forth here and in the accompanying letter.

Best Regards,

A handwritten signature in blue ink, appearing to read "John M. Kaman". The signature is fluid and cursive, with a large initial "J" and "M".

John M. Kaman, SBN 95042

John M. Kaman
Attorney at Law
360 Ritch Street Suite 201
San Francisco, California 94107
(tel) 415-630-3021
(fax) 415-520-5644
johnkaman@kamanlaw.com

January 31, 2011

District Attorney George Gascón
San Francisco Office of the District Attorney
850 Bryant 3rd Floor
San Francisco, CA 94102

Re: People v Gordon Case Number 10027925; SCN 213470

Dear Mr. Gascón:

This is the first such letter I have written in 31 years as an attorney. I try to overlook most examples of inappropriate behavior in the interest of not escalating conflict. Presently I am a felony conflicts attorney for the Bar Association of San Francisco. The prosecutorial misconduct in the above-referenced case is so egregious that I cannot help but call it to your attention.

My client, Timothy Gordon, was arrested on charges of domestic violence on September 15, 2010. The alleged incident occurred during the night of 8/20 to 8/21, 2010. It was not reported by the CW until September 3, 2010. As far as I can tell your ADA Nancy Tung interviewed the CW, had her sent to a hospital for a physical and without further investigation filed felony charges against my client. Due to the exaggerated claims of ADA Tung bail was set at \$100,000, a sum my client could not meet.

Mr. Gordon is a white male, 35 years old, small in stature with no previous criminal record (except a misdemeanor marijuana conviction from the state of Virginia when he was very young). He is committed to non-violence, founded a men's group which focused on awareness of domestic violence and has lived peacefully in San Francisco since 1998. As a result of this unfounded prosecution he lost his job, his home and a 5 month chunk of his life.

From the beginning the defense asserted that the alleged victim was psychologically unstable, alcoholic and bent on revenge since Mr. Gordon broke off relations with her. She had stalked him at the restaurant where he worked until the SFPD was called in (Lieutenant Moore of the Richmond station has a vivid memory of the alleged victim's instability. She was so concerned that she called the Berkeley [where the victim lived] mobile crisis unit on a 5150 suspicion).

Nevertheless when I tried to get ADA Tung to investigate these claims she adopted an attitude that "women never fabricate so I don't believe you." After a mighty struggle we obtained the phone records of both parties and provided copies to ADA Tung. These records showed several things which supported the defense theory of the case. The alleged victim had called Mr. Gordon between 345 and 60 times a day since their break up. On occasions when he would not answer she appeared the next morning at his San Francisco home. She was insanely jealous of his female roommate (who incidentally was a lesbian) and attacked her one evening as she got into her car.

More significantly the cell phone records showed that the two parties were not even in the same city the night of the alleged incident.

One would think that such information would cause ADA Tung to investigate the defense claims. She did not. She didn't even read the cell phone records we gave her in mid-December. She plunged on ahead, insisting that Mr. Gordon was a danger to public safety and she wanted a felony against him.

After fruitless attempts to settle the case with ADA Tung, it came on for trial on January 25, 2011. A jury panel of 85 citizens (after hardships) was empanelled and pretrial motions heard. On January 27 we were scheduled to voir dire the jury. As it turned out the night before ADA Tung undertook for the first time an investigation of defense claims. She first of all ran a criminal history on her victim and found that she the victim had been arrested as the aggressor in a DV incident with another man in Berkeley in 2008. Until the eve of trial she had failed to run a comprehensive criminal history on the CW. Had this been done after the event was reported I believe it would have raised a red flag in the mind of an honorable prosecutor and led to an in depth investigation of the CW that would have persuaded her not to pursue Mr. Gordon.

At this point ADA Tung began for the first time seeking verification of the defense story. Over the course of the night she discovered that each and every claim we had made were true. The next day, while 85 citizens waited in the hallway, Ms. Tung gave trial judge Bolanos a rambling 45 minute explanation of why she wanted to dismiss the case. By this time the MTC judge had gotten wind of this waste of judicial time and effort and demanded that ADA Tung request the dismissal in Dept 22. Again there followed a rambling incoherent speech which tended to say she could not have known earlier. Judge Lee recognized this statement for what it was and said "if Mr. Kaman could have discovered these facts you could have too."


Judge Lee pronounced Mr. Gordon totally exonerated and apologized to him, a gesture ADA Tung did not have the grace to make. Judge Lee went on to say that if ADA Tung had tried this case she would have earned a not guilty verdict which would have been an embarrassment to Ms. Tung as well as to the Court and the Office of the District Attorney.

While I am aware that prosecutors have total immunity in their charging function, this is not so with regard to their duty to investigate. ADA Tung has not only shamed herself she has opened up the City and County to a claim for damages which would be supported by case law. Shoddy investigation, or, in this case, none at all, rise to the level of prosecutorial misconduct which the law will not protect.

As you seem to be an honest man, and as I admired your work as Chief of Police, I ask you to investigate this incident and take appropriate action against ADA Tung. No one wants to sue the City we all love. Please see to it that this injustice will never repeat itself.

Thank you for your attention to this lengthy letter.

Best Regards,

A handwritten signature in blue ink, appearing to read "John M. Kaman". The signature is fluid and cursive, with the first name "John" being more prominent.

John M. Kaman, SBN 95042

Cc: ADA Nancy Tung

Sent from [Mail](#) for Windows 10

--

John M Kaman

From: [Brady Flynn](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: No on Nancy Tung
Date: Sunday, May 31, 2020 3:59:29 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

--

Sent from Gmail Mobile

From: [Betty Louie](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Cc: [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Mar, Gordon \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Haney, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Nancy Tung
Date: Sunday, May 31, 2020 4:16:26 PM
Attachments: [Nancy Tung.docx](#)

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Board Secretary,

Can you please pass my letter on to members of the Board?

Betty Louie

bjlouie@att.net

Norman Yee, President
Fellow Members of the Board of Supervisors

May 31, 2020

RE: Nancy Tung appointment

Dear President Yee and Fellow Members of the Board of Supervisors

I think we can see by all the recent unrest in our City that it is imperative that we have someone on the Police Commission who understands that difficult decisions must be made. I believe that Nancy Tung will be able to fulfill her duties in a pragmatic and informed way.

As we move forward in uncertain times, we need to have strong leadership. We need a new voice to inject novel solutions to age old problems.

I strongly support Nancy Tung's appointment to the Police Commission.

I respectfully request that you approve her appointment.

Sincerely,

Betty Louie
667 Grant Ave.
San Francisco, CA 94108
Email: bjlouie@att.net
Chinatown community member

From: [Quanhong Qiu](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Fwd: appoint Nancy Tung to the Police Commission
Date: Sunday, May 31, 2020 4:57:00 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I strongly support ***appoint Nancy Tung to the Police Commission to protect public safety*** because of her experience and independence. Qualifications should take precedence over politics.

Thank you.

Best,
Cho

From: [Linda Stark Litehiser](#)
To: [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Mar, Gordon \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Haney, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#)
Cc: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Joe Litehiser](#)
Subject: Writing in support of nomination of Nancy Tung for position on SF Police Commission
Date: Sunday, May 31, 2020 6:30:15 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Members of the Board of Supervisors,

Re: Rules Committee nomination for Police Commission position

I wanted to write to you to show my support for **Nancy Tung's** nomination to the Police Commission. I was introduced to Nancy Tung last year when she was a candidate for District Attorney. My husband and I were very impressed with her qualifications and experience, plus her demeanor and knowledge of SFPD and City Agencies. She was one of our choices for that position. I am so pleased that she has been nominated to share her knowledge and experience in another capacity. Her legal training will be incredibly valuable to our City, especially at this very vulnerable time.

Ms. Tung has investigated and prosecuted police officers for criminal misconduct and understands how it breaks the public's trust in our police department. She would be the only person on the Police Commission who has worked with the San Francisco police officers and taken to trial criminal cases against San Francisco law enforcement officers.

Ms. Tung's integrity is also illustrated through her position as a statewide ethics instructor for the California District Attorneys Association (CDAA). She is independent and has a strong sense of personal integrity. She will do what is right for the people of San Francisco and provide effective balance to the Police Commission.

I very enthusiastically recommend Nancy Tung for confirmation to the Police Commission.

Sincerely,

Linda Stark Litehiser
78 Havelock St. San Francisco, CA 94112
415-516-9224

From: [Harvey Milk Club President](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#)
Cc: [BOS-Legislative Aides](#); [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#); [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Harvey Milk LGBTQ Dem Club Opposes Police Commission Appointees
Date: Sunday, May 31, 2020 7:46:32 PM
Attachments: [image.png](#)

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.



Dear Chair Ronen, Members of the Rules Committee, and Supervisors:

We write in opposition to the appointments of Nancy Tung and David Gordon-Creed to the San Francisco Police Commission.

In recent years, San Francisco and the State of California have made great strides toward meaningful criminal justice reform, reform of use of force policies, and jail reform. That progress is owed to a long arc of advocacy and activism during the Civil Rights and Black Power Movements, LGBTQ leaders including our namesake and ACT UP activists, and other queer, indigenous and POC leaders dedicated to reform of the carceral state.

Amid a nationwide reckoning on systemic racism and police use of force against black and brown bodies, with protests spurred by police murders of black and brown people in Minneapolis, Louisville and across the country, we have a moral obligation to ensure our political choices represent our values, and the urgent and existential need for racial justice and real reform.

As elected representatives, you have a moral obligation to ensure our Police Commission and other citizen oversight bodies are wholly committed to holding police accountable for acts of brutality, holding themselves accountable to communities most impacted by state violence, and supporting policy changes to realize the reform so deeply needed.

We cannot in good conscience be silent and therefore complicit with regard to the nomination of Nancy Tung to the Police Commission. As recently as last year during her campaign for District Attorney, she made clear her commitment to upholding oppressive and racist systems of law and order that stand in stark contrast to the demands of the movement for black lives.

Specifically, Nancy Tung:

- Would not have supported bringing charges against the police officers who killed Mario Woods [[source](#)]
- Would not have supported bringing charges against any of the police officers who shot or killed 12 San Franciscans, including Mario Woods, Alex Nieto, Alice Brown, Amilcar Perez-Lopez, Giovany Contreras Sandoval, Herbert Benitez, Javier Lopez Garcia, Jessica Williams, Luis Gingora Pat, Matthew Hoffman, Nicholas McWherter, and Shaine Evans [[source](#)]
- Opposed eliminating money bail [[source](#)]
- Supported Proposition H, a dangerous taser use of force policy opposed by the majority of San Franciscans that would have undercut the authority of the very commission she seeks to serve on [[source](#)]
- Supported Proposition Q, which criminalized the existence of homeless people on the street [[source](#)]
- Opposed closing Juvenile Hall [[source](#)]
- Declined to state a position on Prop 62, to end the death penalty [[source](#)]
- Opposed AB 392, the California Act to Save Lives, that established that police can use deadly force “only when necessary” [source: ACLU letter in opposition to Tung’s appointment]
- Opposed Prop 47 [[source](#)]
-

Received the highest possible campaign donation from the San Francisco Police Officers Association, a right-wing organization that has opposed every criminal justice reform and accountability measure in recent memory [[source](#)]

- Currently serves as “Director At-Large” on the board of Stop Crime San Francisco, an organization that recently lobbied against legislation to close the seismically unsafe County Jail #4 [[source](#)]

For these many reasons, we cannot support Nancy Tung, and urge you to oppose her nomination.

On the other hand, David Gordon-Creed’s lack of any meaningful record whatsoever on these critical issues does not inspire confidence that he will take necessary steps toward reform and to hold himself accountable to communities most impacted by state violence.

We therefore urge you to exercise your unqualified discretion under Section 3.100 of the San Francisco Charter to reject Mayor London Breed’s appointments of both Nancy Tung and David Gordon-Creed to the San Francisco Police Commission, and to wait for Mayoral appointees that reflect nationwide protests for greater oversight and accountability of law enforcement.

This moment demands moral clarity from the Board of Supervisors, the Mayor, and the Police Commission. We demand moral clarity in these nominations.

Your choices here will be judged on one side of history or the other, and we urge you to choose the right side, uphold the visceral concerns and needs of black and brown San Franciscans and the growing movements for reform, justice and accountability.

Please oppose these nominations.

Sincerely,

The Executive Board of the Harvey Milk LGBTQ Democratic Club

From: [Steven Lee](#)
To: [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#)
Cc: [Low, Jen \(BOS\)](#); [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Re: Nancy Tung Recommendation – SF Police Commission
Date: Sunday, May 31, 2020 8:27:40 PM
Attachments: [Norman Yee.pdf](#)

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Sorry I forgot my attachment Letter

Have a great week.

Steven Lee
415.720.4208

STEVEN LEE VENTURES

DL: 415.720.4208 11am - 11pm

www.stevenleeventures.com

steven.lee.ventures@gmail.com

<http://facebook.com/glaskatbossman>

[StevenLeeTopPicks@groups.facebook.com](https://www.facebook.com/groups/StevenLeeTopPicks/)

Sam Wo Ventures, LLC

Co-Founder / Shareholder / www.samworestaurant.com

Chinese Railroad Workers Memorial Project

Founder / Director / www.crrwmemorialproject.com

BTS Megascene, LLC

Founder / Shareholder

California Music and Culture Association

Board Member / www.cmacsf.com

This electronic mail message contains opinioned, privileged and confidential information intended solely for the use of the individual or entity to which it is addressed. If the reader is not the intended addressee, any dissemination, distribution, or copying of this communication is strictly prohibited.

On Sun, May 31, 2020 at 8:20 PM Steven Lee <steven.lee.ventures@gmail.com> wrote:

STEVEN B LEE

250 Clara Street #1
San Francisco, Ca 94107
415.720.4208

May 29, 2020

San Francisco Board of Supervisors
CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO
Honorable Supervisor Norman Yee President

Re: Nancy Tung Recommendation – SF Police Commission

Hello Supervisor Yee,

It is a pleasure to write in support of Nancy Tung's nomination for the Police Commission. She is exceptionally qualified to serve on the Commission, with two decades of experience as a prosecutor. Ms. Tung has a deep understanding of police officers' constitutional responsibilities as well the department's procedures. Her legal training and knowledge about the SFPD during her time as a Assistant DA in San Francisco will be a great asset to the Commission.

Ms. Tung has investigated and prosecuted police officers for criminal misconduct and understands how it breaks the public's trust in our police department. She would be the only person on the Police Commission who has worked with the San Francisco police officers and taken to trial criminal cases against San Francisco law enforcement officers. With that, I'm a believer that she will continue the ongoing efforts of police reforms while serving on this Commission.

With comments from our White House in Washington DC, publically blaming China for causing this epidemic. Attacks on Asians in the Country has escalated to an estimate of 1500 reported attacks a day.

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=EmMUt8aM3PU&feature=youtu.be&fbclid=IwAR0alvt62RnW0RyOoT1YgeDdp5K-JSta8BCnf5UWFdhyVeBrBUBx6-yfxt8>

<https://www.kiro7.com/news/local/police-investigating-after-man-was-reportedly-target-racial-slurs-home-depot/NUVDVSAWAFHVNBRE45QFX44Q3M/?fbclid=IwAR3w983-YI7GEXnxYDuoiZ2D6k2-mW6dgZ0ejDK7PVjpmA86VLhzFMbDnLg>

https://www.hawaiinewsnow.com/2020/05/22/chinatown-businesses-say-vandalism-has-risen-during-lockdown/?fbclid=IwAR3QqWhRgZ-TWNvcnySK1_xqO0NW5d9xZFGmfZwQB5KjJKudLPXnGFXZ4Do

I truly believe that Ms. Tung will work with the SFPD to become more **proactive**, rather than **reactive** in handling possible Hate Crimes, not only in the Asian community, but all minorities City wide. Work with the SFPD on **preventive** measure to protect not only the Asian Community but all minorities city wide

I am confident Nancy Tung will make sure the SFPD are held accountable for their actions and actions transparent while they protect and serve the people of San Francisco. Most importantly, to make sure Racism and Biases have zero tolerance within the rank and file of the SFPD as well.

I hope you will support Nancy Tung alongside of hundreds of Community leaders to be our next commissioner on the Police Commission. Help us bring some serious talent and commitment to this tuff Commission during these epidemic times. I appreciate the time you are giving me here today.

Thank you.

Have a great week.

Steven Lee
415.720.4208

STEVEN LEE VENTURES

DL: 415.720.4208 11am - 11pm

www.stevenleeventures.com

steven.lee.ventures@gmail.com

<http://facebook.com/glaskatbossman>

[StevenLeeTopPicks@groups.facebook.com](https://www.facebook.com/groups/StevenLeeTopPicks/)

Sam Wo Ventures, LLC

Co-Founder / Shareholder / www.samworestaurant.com

Chinese Railroad Workers Memorial Project

Founder / Director / www.crrwmemorialproject.com

BTS Megascene, LLC

Founder / Shareholder

California Music and Culture Association

Board Member / www.cmacsf.com

This electronic mail message contains opinioned, privileged and confidential information intended solely for the use of the individual or entity to which it is addressed. If the reader is not the intended addressee, any dissemination, distribution, or copying of this communication is strictly prohibited.

STEVEN B LEE

250 Clara Street #1
San Francisco, Ca 94107
415.720.4208

May 27, 2020

CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO
Honorable Supervisor Norman Yee

Re: Nancy Tung Recommendation – SF Police Commission

Hello Supervisor Yee,

It is a pleasure to write in support of Nancy Tung's nomination for the Police Commission. She is exceptionally qualified to serve on the Commission, with two decades of experience as a prosecutor. Ms. Tung has a deep understanding of police officers' constitutional responsibilities as well the department's procedures. Her legal training and knowledge about the SFPD during her time as a Assistant DA in San Francisco will be a great asset to the Commission.

Ms. Tung has investigated and prosecuted police officers for criminal misconduct and understands how it breaks the public's trust in our police department. She would be the only person on the Police Commission who has worked with the San Francisco police officers and taken to trial criminal cases against San Francisco law enforcement officers. With that, I'm a believer that she will continue the ongoing efforts of police reforms while serving on this Commission.

With comments from our White House in Washington DC, publically blaming China for causing this epidemic. Attacks on Asians in the Country has escalated to an estimate of 1500 reported attacks a day.

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=EmMUt8aM3PU&feature=youtu.be&fbclid=IwAR0alvt62RnW0RyOoT1YgeDdp5K-JSta8BCNf5UWFdhyVeBrBUBx6-yfxt8>

<https://www.kiro7.com/news/local/police-investigating-after-man-was-reportedly-target-racial-slurs-home-depot/NUVDVSAWAFHVNBR45QFX44Q3M/?fbclid=IwAR3w983-Yi7GEXnxYDuoiZ2D6k2-mW6dgZ0ejDK7PVjpmA86VLhzFMbDnLg>

https://www.hawaiinewsnow.com/2020/05/22/chinatown-businesses-say-vandalism-has-risen-during-lockdown/?fbclid=IwAR3QqWhRgZ-TWNvcnySK1_xqO0NW5d9xZFGmfZwQB5KjJKudLPXnGFXZ4Do

I truly believe that Ms. Tung will work with the SFPD to become more **proactive**, rather than **reactive** in handling possible Hate Crimes, not only in the Asian community, but all minorities City wide. Work with the SFPD on **preventive** measure to protect not only the Asian Community but all minorities city wide

I am confident Nancy Tung will make sure the SFPD are held accountable for their actions and actions transparent while they protect and serve the people of San Francisco. Most importantly, to make sure Racism and Biases have zero tolerance within the rank and file of the SFPD as well.

I hope you will support Nancy Tung alongside of hundreds of Community leaders to be our next commissioner on the Police Commission. Help us bring some serious talent and commitment to this tuff Commission during these epidemic times. I appreciate the time you are giving me here today.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Steven Lee
Steven Lee Ventures
Owner / www.mrstevenlee.com

Chinese Railroad Workers
Memorial Project
Founder / Director
www.crrwmemorialproject.com

Entertainment Commission of
San Francisco / Commissioner
www.sfgov.org/entertainment

Sam Wo Ventures, LLC
Co-Founder / Shareholder
www.samworestaurant.com

California Music and Culture
Association
Board Member / www.cmacsf.com

From: [L.P](#)
To: [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Mar, Gordon \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Haney, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Support Nancy Tung for Police Commission
Date: Sunday, May 31, 2020 8:31:18 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Honorable Supervisors,

I urge you to support Nancy Tung to serve on the Police Commission. She has a wealth of experience and is uniquely qualified for this position with 20 years as a prosecutor. In light of recent concerns about police use of excessive force, as well as rampant lawlessness perpetrated under the guise of legitimate dissent and protest, we need balanced oversight of the police in San Francisco. Police have an incredibly difficult job maintaining public safety, while dealing with social problems not of their making or within their training. At the same time they have the power to deprive citizens of life and liberty. For oversight of this critical part of our free society, we need thoughtful, experienced, principled and intelligent leadership

Nancy Tung will be the only representative of the Chinese-American community on the 7-person commission. Even before the pandemic, the Chinese community was feeling an increase in crime and is now experiencing disturbing incidents of violent crime fueled by xenophobia.

She will be a strong voice for both justice and public safety. Please vote to confirm her appointment.

Luke Perkocha and Lena Wong

From: [Andrea](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Reject Nancy Tung
Date: Sunday, May 31, 2020 8:53:33 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I urge you to reject the nomination of Nancy Tung to the police commission. Given her background and opposition to police reform and prosecution I couldn't think of a worse choice for this role.

Based on the current state of America and irresponsibility of police across this country this would be the most tone deaf decision the board could make.

Thank you,
Andrea Falke, concerned citizen

From: [Gilda Bettencourt](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please confirm Nancy Tung for the Police Commission
Date: Sunday, May 31, 2020 9:55:48 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

As a SF citizen, since 1989, who live in District 5, I am asking that Dean Preston and all of the SF Supervisors to confirm Nancy Tung for the Police Commission.

Thank you,
Gilda Bettencourt

331 Judah Street #9
SF, CA 94122

From: [Hope Catan](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I OPPOSE THE NOMINATION OF NANCY TUNG TO THE POLICE COMMISSION
Date: Sunday, May 31, 2020 9:58:31 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to **OPPOSE THE NOMINATION OF NANCY TUNG TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.**

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission, and would represent a major blow to the current nationwide efforts to ensure meaningful police reforms.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. **LONDON BREED** should nominate people who **REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES**, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline.

I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENT OF NANCY TUNG TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

Hope Catan

From: [Nathan Lemkhin](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Confirm Nancy Tung as Police Commissioner
Date: Sunday, May 31, 2020 10:28:44 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Honorable Supervisors,

As a long-time SF resident, I urge you to support Nancy Tung to serve on the SF Police Commission. Ms. Nancy Tung encourages community involvement in the criminal justice system, has prosecuted both civilians and police officers, and has refused to participate in a case with tampered evidence. She is an independent, experienced and fair public servant with a balanced approach to justice, strong ethics and compassion, and no big money backing. Ms. Tung is an SF resident and fully represents and embraces the values of compassion and diversity. She is a strong voice for justice and public safety. We need Nancy Tung's voice on the SF Police Commission. Please vote to confirm her appointment.

From: [Jack Chalabian](#)
To: [Jack Chalabian](#)
Cc: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#); [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Re: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Sunday, May 31, 2020 11:34:11 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Please ignore this. I signed it without being fully informed of the entire situation and the truth.
Thank you.

Sent from my iPhone

> On May 31, 2020, at 11:31 PM, Jack Chalabian <Jackchalabian@gmail.com> wrote:

>

> Dear Supervisors,

>

> I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

>

> Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

>

> Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

>

> NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

>

> NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

>

> As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

>

> NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

>

> Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

>

> We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

>

> San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

>

> Thank you,

>

>

> --

> Mr Jack Chalabian

> jackchalabian@gmail.com

>

>

From: [Carly Quaglio](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please reject Nancy Tung
Date: Sunday, May 31, 2020 11:43:22 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear SF Board of Supervisors, I urge you to reject the nomination of Nancy Tung to the police commission. Given her background and opposition to police reform and prosecution I couldn't think of a worse choice for this role.

Based on the current state of America and irresponsibility of police across this country this would be the most tone deaf decision the board could make.

Thank you,

Carly Quaglio

(District 5 resident)

From: katherintorres25@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Katherin Torres](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Monday, June 1, 2020 12:08:44 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a Chicago resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Katherin Torres
katherintorres25@gmail.com

From: glitterinyourguel@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Lindsey Hanson](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Monday, June 1, 2020 12:12:11 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Lindsey Hanson
glitterinyourgruel@gmail.com

From: agala196=live.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Adham Galal](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Monday, June 1, 2020 12:29:17 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Mr Adham Galal
agalal96@live.com

From: emschmitz=wisc.edu@dsasf.org on behalf of [Evan Schmitz](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Monday, June 1, 2020 12:30:55 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

Now more than ever, this is an important decision. I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to **OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.**

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have **STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY.** This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities **INCREASED** use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. **GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES** and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung **ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA.** She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can **NEVER** be trusted to hold SFPD officers **ACCOUNTABLE.** The POA **DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES** like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly **OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS** of San Francisco residents to date. **AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY.** We need Police

Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Mr Evan Schmitz
emschmitz@wisc.edu

From: cherylpalarca@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Cheryl Palarca](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Monday, June 1, 2020 12:39:48 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Cheryl Palarca
cherylpalarca@gmail.com

From: [Jeremy Lee](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#); [Mchugh, Eileen \(BOS\)](#)
Cc: [Kitty Fong](#)
Subject: Police Commission - Rose Pak Democratic Club Statement 6/1/20
Date: Monday, June 1, 2020 12:41:46 AM
Attachments: [Police Commission Press Release 06.01.20.pdf](#)

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

ROSE PAK DEMOCRATIC CLUB POLICE COMMISSION STATEMENT

The Rose Pak Democratic Club (RPDC) considers public safety one of the most significant issues facing the Asian Pacific Islander (API) community in San Francisco. The Police Commission sets the rules and policies that determine how law enforcement interacts with the public. RPDC takes the opportunity to influence who sits on this body with the utmost importance. **After serious deliberation and consideration, RDPC is unable to support the nomination of Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed to the San Francisco Police Commission. We remain neutral with their nominations to the Police Commission.**

When considering the nomination of any commissioner, RPDC reached out to and spoke to each of the candidates. Members of the API community often feel unheard, and so the leaders and members of RPDC did not pass on the opportunity to speak to and be heard by the candidates.

RPDC examined several factors when evaluating the nominees-- professional experience, knowledge of API issues, and demonstrated history of accountability to our community. As a career prosecutor, Nancy had a deep understanding of the San Francisco Police Department (SFPD). We discussed the use of tasers, her relationship with the Police Officer Association (POA), and the 272 Department of Justice reforms. As a former Deputy City Attorney and Ethics Commissioner, Geoffrey Gordon-Creed was well-versed with the structure of the SFPD.

Nancy impressed RPDC with her knowledge of API issues. She had a nuanced understanding of our community's most pressing concerns-- public safety, victim support, and language access. Nancy often broached these topics without prompts-- she was already familiar with the issues we most often hear members of the API community discuss in regards to public safety in San Francisco. Geoffrey spoke about the deep ties to his neighborhood, the Richmond, through his service work with the Richmond YMCA.

While we saw the potential to build a strong relationship with Nancy and Geoffrey, we

ultimately decided we could not support their nominations for the San Francisco Police Commission. We were not yet convinced that the candidates reached our standards of demonstrating accountability to the API community in San Francisco. Our API communities deserve commissioners who have a proven record of showing up and doing the hard work in the community alongside us, **prior** to being nominated as Police Commissioner.

As a steward of the API community, RPDC takes great responsibility when making the decision to support candidates for any city Commission seat. We make these decisions knowing the safety of our community rests on our shoulders. Like the late Rose Pak, we understand that we must fight for everything our community deserves-- safety, health, and security.

Now more than ever, our communities need a champion to fight for the issues most pressing to us-- public safety, victim support, and language access. As anti-Asian sentiment grows throughout the country due to the coronavirus pandemic, we have seen increasing reports of discrimination and violence against the API community. And as allies of the Black/African American community, we also are seeking nominees who have the courage to reform a system which is broken and even inflicts violence on other members of our community. We ask that Mayor Breed nominate candidates with a proven track record of working in and with our communities.

Sincerely,

Kitty Fong
President
Rose Pak Democratic Club

Jeremy Lee
Vice-President
Rose Pak Democratic Club



ROSE PAK DEMOCRATIC CLUB POLICE COMMISSION STATEMENT

The Rose Pak Democratic Club (RPDC) considers public safety one of the most significant issues facing the Asian Pacific Islander (API) community in San Francisco. The Police Commission sets the rules and policies that determine how law enforcement interacts with the public. RPDC takes the opportunity to influence who sits on this body with the utmost importance. **After serious deliberation and consideration, RDPC is unable to support the nomination of Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed to the San Francisco Police Commissioner. We remain neutral with their nominations to the Police Commission.**

When considering the nomination of any commissioner, RPDC reached out to and spoke to each of the candidates. Members of the API community often feel unheard, and so the leaders and members of RPDC did not pass on the opportunity to speak to and be heard by the candidates.

RPDC examined several factors when evaluating the nominees-- professional experience, knowledge of API issues, and demonstrated history of accountability to our community. As a career prosecutor, Nancy had a deep understanding of the San Francisco Police Department (SFPD). We discussed the use of tasers, her relationship with the Police Officer Association (POA), and the 272 Department of Justice reforms. As a former Deputy City Attorney and Ethics Commissioner, Geoffrey Gordon-Creed was well-versed with the structure of the SFPD.

Nancy impressed RPDC with her knowledge of API issues. She had a nuanced understanding of our community's most pressing concerns-- public safety, victim support, and language access. Nancy often broached these topics without prompts-- she was already familiar with the issues we most often hear members of the API community discuss in regards to public safety in San Francisco. Geoffrey spoke about the deep ties to his neighborhood, the Richmond, through his service work with the Richmond YMCA.

While we saw the potential to build a strong relationship with Nancy and Geoffrey, we ultimately decided we could not support their nominations for the San Francisco Police Commission. We were not yet convinced that the candidates reached our standards of demonstrating accountability to the API community in San Francisco. Our API communities deserve commissioners who have a proven record of showing up and doing the hard work in the community alongside us, **prior** to being nominated as Police Commissioner.

As a steward of the API community, RPDC takes great responsibility when making the decision to support candidates for any city Commission seat. We make these decisions knowing the safety of our community rests on our shoulders. Like the late Rose Pak, we understand that we must fight for everything our community deserves-- safety, health, and security.

Now more than ever, our communities need a champion to fight for the issues most pressing to us-- public safety, victim support, and language access. As anti-Asian sentiment grows throughout the country due to the coronavirus pandemic, we have seen increasing reports of discrimination and violence against the API community. And as allies of the Black/African American community, we also are seeking nominees who have the courage to reform a system which is broken and even inflicts violence on other members of our community. We ask that Mayor Breed nominate candidates with a proven track record of working in and with our communities.

Sincerely,

Kitty Fong
President
Rose Pak Democratic Club

Jeremy Lee
Vice-President
Rose Pak Democratic Club

From: EmpowerTogetherConsulting@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Julie Roberts](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Monday, June 1, 2020 12:42:33 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Julie Roberts
EmpowerTogetherConsulting@gmail.com

From: john.p.mcdevitt@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [John McDevitt](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Monday, June 1, 2020 12:43:27 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Mr John McDevitt
john.p.mcdevitt@gmail.com

From: djimenezba@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Domingo Jimenez](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Monday, June 1, 2020 12:59:15 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident at District 3 and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Mr Domingo Jimenez
djimenezba@gmail.com

From: btresume@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Brian Tam](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Monday, June 1, 2020 1:02:43 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Mr Brian Tam
btresume@gmail.com

From: jeffgiaquinto@yahoo.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Jeff Giaquinto](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Monday, June 1, 2020 1:06:06 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Mr Jeff Giaquinto
jeffgiaquinto@yahoo.com

From: jill=roberts.net@dsasf.org on behalf of [jill roberts](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Monday, June 1, 2020 1:10:01 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms jill roberts
jill@roberts.net

From: kimmypc2019@gmail.com on behalf of [Kimmy Thai](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Monday, June 1, 2020 1:43:12 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Miss Kimmy Thai
kimmypc2019@gmail.com

From: vincent.dandraia=nypd.org@dsasf.org on behalf of [Vincent Dandraia](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Monday, June 1, 2020 2:40:51 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Vincent Dandraia
vincent.dandraia@nypd.org

From: caduckette@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Courtney Dockett](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Monday, June 1, 2020 2:41:30 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Courtney Dockett
caduckette@gmail.com

From: arianaglover836@gmail.com on behalf of [R. Jeffreys](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Monday, June 1, 2020 2:42:12 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms R Jeffreys
arianaglover836@gmail.com

From: nurseingrid@yahoo.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Lisa Ingrid Nelson](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Monday, June 1, 2020 5:38:05 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Lisa Ingrid Nelson
nurseingrid@yahoo.com

From: johnvc=umich.edu@dsasf.org on behalf of [John Collins](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Monday, June 1, 2020 7:08:42 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Mr John Collins
johnvc@umich.edu

From: hatless26@yahoo.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Flora Belt](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Monday, June 1, 2020 7:12:30 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Elora Belt
hatless26@yahoo.com

From: charityburgess@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Charity Burgess](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Monday, June 1, 2020 7:12:54 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Charity Burgess
charityburgess@gmail.com

From: zinwolf@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Zinnia Menardi-Wolf](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Monday, June 1, 2020 7:13:29 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Zinnia Menardi-Wolf
zinwolf@gmail.com

From: [David Goff](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NANCY TUNG
Date: Monday, June 1, 2020 7:15:07 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Sirs/Ma'am,

I am writing to recommend Nancy Tung, Esq., for this position. I have known her since she was a junior in Arcadia High School - I was the Kiwanis advisor to the Key Club, of which she was treasurer. She is honest, loyal, hard working and one of the most intelligent individuals I have ever met. I know she will fill this position with every capability you could ask for.

Sincerely,

Dr. W. David Goff

From: mike.llaneza@gmail.com on behalf of [Michael Llaneza](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Monday, June 1, 2020 7:42:28 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Mr Michael Llana
mike.llaneza@gmail.com

From: Brown.tylerc@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Tyler Brown](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Monday, June 1, 2020 7:45:45 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

Hello,

I'm a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION. After this week's police violence across the country, it would be extremely tone-deaf and wrong-headed to appoint these two.

I truly don't see why these two should be on the Police Commission, especially in the year 2020. Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies. Based on how San Franciscans have been voting and showing up in the streets, these two do not represent how SF wants to handle issues around policing - please reject them!

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly

about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Mr Tyler Brown
Brown.tylerc@gmail.com

From: stephanyjashley@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Stephany Ashley](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Monday, June 1, 2020 7:53:17 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Stephany Ashley
stephanyjashley@gmail.com

From: jennpollock35@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Jennifer Pollock](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Monday, June 1, 2020 7:56:32 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Jennifer Pollock
jennpollock35@gmail.com

From: peacrh@gmail.com on behalf of [Michelle Willcox](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Monday, June 1, 2020 7:56:55 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Michelle Willcox
peacrh@gmail.com

From: caamena@gmail.com on behalf of [Camila Andrea Mena](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Monday, June 1, 2020 7:59:26 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Camila Andrea Mena
caamena@gmail.com

From: [Andrew deCoriolis](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Nancy Tung for Police Commission
Date: Monday, June 1, 2020 8:41:38 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to urge you to vote against Nancy Tung to join the board of the Police Commission.

We are at a turning point in SF and this country. We must radically rethink the role that police play in our society and in our city. To that end, we a Police Commission that is ready to pursue reform and to hold police accountable.

From her public statements and record, I do not believe that Nancy Tung is prepared for that role. I urge you to select members of the Police Commission that are prepared to aggressively take on police misconduct, violence, and who will work with Board to redeploy away from police toward social services.

Best,

Andrew

District 4 resident

--

Andrew deCoriolis
San Francisco, CA
630.740.5769

From: [Jenn Ko](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Do not nominate Nancy Tung
Date: Monday, June 1, 2020 8:48:34 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hello,

I am an SF resident and I strongly oppose the nomination of Nancy Tung to the SF Police Commission.

Thank you,
Jenn

From: [Rebecca Young](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Racial Justice Committee Letter re Nancy Tung & Gordon Creed
Date: Monday, June 1, 2020 9:13:46 AM
Attachments: [RJC letter to BOS re Tung & Creed \(1\).docx](#)

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

The Racial Justice Committee respectfully submits the following letter re Mayor Breed's selection of Nancy Tung and Gordon Creed.

thank you,

Niki Solis
Chair
Rebecca S. Young
Co-chair



RACIAL JUSTICE COMMITTEE

NICOLE SOLIS - CHAIR

REBECCA S. YOUNG – Co-CHAIR

June 1, 2020

Honorable Board of Supervisors

To: Dean.Preston@sfgov.org,
Matt.Haney@sfgov.org,
Gordon.Mar@sfgov.org,
Hillary.Ronen@sfgov.org,
Catherine.Stefani@sfgov.org,
Norman.Yee@sfgov.org,
MandelmanStaff@sfgov.org,
Aaron.Peskin@sfgov.org,
Sandra.Fewer@sfgov.org,
Ahsha.Safai@sfgov.org,
Shamann.Walton@sfgov.org,
Board.of.Supervisors@sfgov.org

Re: Mayor Breed's two proposed appointments to the San Francisco Police Commission

Dear Honorable Supervisors,

The Racial Justice Committee¹ of the San Francisco Public Defenders Office (RJC) writes to express its considered opposition to the appointments of Nancy Tung and Gordon Creed to the San Francisco Police Commission (Commission.)

RJC formed in November 2013 in response to ongoing scandals in the San Francisco Police Department (SFPD) and to cumulative information we were receiving from our clients regarding their treatment at the hands of SFPD officers. More broadly, we organized because America has a problem with police brutality in our black communities. RJC members have served on SFPD working groups to update the

¹. Our group meets once a month and is comprised of attorneys, paralegals, social workers and investigators. We focus our efforts on internal office trainings on the role of bias in the criminal legal system, legislative advocacy, and education of our attorneys regarding local and national issues affecting their practice.

Departmental General Orders (DGOs), and we regularly appear to speak regarding matters that are before the Commission. Recently, our members spoke during public commentary (5/20/2020) encouraging the Commission to adopt SFPD's newly drafted DGO 5.17 on biased policing. Thus, we are familiar with the role Commission members play vis a vis the police department.

San Francisco residents entrust the Commission with oversight of the SFPD and with shaping policy to help reform its practices and policies. Commission members must be thought leaders, subject matter experts on 21st Century policing, and impartial arbiters of discipline. For these reasons we are profoundly dismayed by Mayor Breed's selection of two people who don't register above zero on any of these scales. We will address Tung and Creed separately.

NANCY TUNG

Nancy Tung ran for District Attorney last year, losing to Chesa Boudin, a police department reform candidate, and coming in *third* overall. Clearly, she was not the voter's choice.

But, Nancy Tung *was the POA's choice* for District Attorney and that disturbing organization, which used ethically questionable tactics, contributed early to her campaign.

The POA often voices extreme opposition to any police reform measures, and vehemently opposes officer discipline in shootings of unarmed suspects, calling every single one an act of self-defense, no matter the facts. Nancy Tung's interview with Heather Knight reveal she offers similar justification, including for the killing of Mario Woods.²

The POA also tried to block changing the rules to allow releasing the responsible officers' names, thus revealing that they oppose accountability. The POA lost all its credibility when it vigorously defended the police officers caught in the racist text message scandal four years back. The POA's defense of white supremacist language by its on-duty officers should immediately disqualify any candidate they promote for office.

The POA would love nothing more than to have nine Nancy Tungs on the Commission so that they wouldn't ever have to answer to the voters or obey the Constitution.

Ironically, Nancy Tung supported Prop H (2018), which would have stripped the Commission of its oversight regarding taser use-of-force policy. SF voters overwhelmingly rejected Prop H. She also supports arming police officers with

² (See, [https://www.sfchronicle.com/bayarea/heatherknight/article/SF-D-A-candidate-gives-old-boss-Gasc-n-a-14407312.php?utm_campaign=CMS%20Sharing%20Tools%20\(Premium\)&utm_source=t.co&utm_medium=referral](https://www.sfchronicle.com/bayarea/heatherknight/article/SF-D-A-candidate-gives-old-boss-Gasc-n-a-14407312.php?utm_campaign=CMS%20Sharing%20Tools%20(Premium)&utm_source=t.co&utm_medium=referral))

Tasers. This position shows that she hasn't read the studies on Taser use, because anyone who has read them knows that Tasers have proven to be a *deadly* use of force and have killed over a 1000 people nationwide. Tasers aren't meant to *replace* guns, they are *meant to be used more readily* than guns, and are holstered just like a gun. Let us not forget that BART Officer Johannes Mehserle, when he shot and killed a prone Oscar Grant, said that he thought he was pulling out his Taser.

Nancy Tung's final, anachronistic policy is her continued belief that incarcerating young children rehabilitates them. This position is not only contrary to the Board of Supervisor's vote on the failed and inhumane Youth Guidance Center, but is contrary to the science and literature on adolescent development.

Nancy Tung's career as a prosecutor means that she has worked closely with police for over 20 years. This shouldn't by itself disqualify her, but the manner in which she debated and campaigned last year is all the proof this Board needs that she will be incapable of providing the neutral, but rigorous oversight required of each police commissioner.

GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED

Much less is known about Mr. Creed, but what little we do know reveals that he has no resume to recommend him for a seat on the Police Commission. Appointing a person to the Commission who has done no research or work in the areas of community policing or police department reform does a great disservice to San Francisco.

San Francisco voters overwhelmingly are choosing reform and better accountability for police misconduct and excessive use of force. It is baffling that Mayor Breed's choices for the Commission are the antithesis of the voters' expressed preferences at the ballot box. She is capable of making better choices. RJC implores you to help her do so. What we are seeing today around the country proves that our country has reached a tipping point on police violence against brown and black people. Mayor Breed's choices would tip us backwards.

Police Chief Scott has made significant strides in reforming the SFPD. Chief Scott is focused on better training, and he wants to root out racial intolerance, and biased views in his department. This is no easy task. He needs all the support he can get from the Board of Supervisors and the Police Commission to bring about the transformations that are desperately needed to bring peace to San Francisco.

Choosing two candidates who would transport us back to the 20th Century disrespects Chief Scott's efforts and defies the voters. The Racial Justice Committee respectfully asks that you reject both of these nominations and ask Mayor Breed to nominate appointees who don't have burdensome conflicts of interest and who have a demonstrated record of supporting 21st Century reforms in police departments.

Thank you for your time.

Very truly yours,

/s/_____

Nicole Solis

Chair, Racial Justice Committee

San Francisco Public Defender

Niki.solis@sfgov.org

/s/_____

Rebecca S. Young

Co-Chair, Racial Justice Committee

San Francisco Public Defender

Rebecca.young@sfgov.org

From: [Linda Quan](#)
To: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Support for Nancy Tung to the SF Police Commission
Date: Monday, June 1, 2020 9:16:57 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors Ronen, Stefani and Mar:

I would like to voice my strong support for Nancy Tung's nomination to the San Francisco Police Commission because of her experience and independence.

We need representation and service to the APA community especially now with the hate incidents directed toward the Asians during the pandemic crisis.

Your vote to confirm Nancy Tung's nomination to the Police Commission is urgently requested.

Respectfully
Linda Quan
Resident of the Richmond District

From: [Sonja Trauss](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [Mar, Gordon \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Haney, Matt \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Vote yes for Nancy Tung for Police Commission
Date: Monday, June 1, 2020 9:17:06 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

The way I was introduced to Nancy Tung was by reading a newspaper article about her fighting with Kamala Harris, at the time SF's DA and Nancy's boss. Nancy was advocating for the DA's office to drop charges in 750 cases where the evidence had been compromised. Harris disagreed and most of the cases were not dismissed, despite the obvious wrongdoing on the part of the DA's office. ([source](#))

I support Nancy because she believes in the rules of evidence. Nancy's advocacy undoubtedly hurt her career in the SF DA's office, but she did it anyway, because she is the kind of person who believes in the integrity of the process - even when, as a DA, she was advocating following a rule that favored defendants.

Nancy has also successfully prosecuted law enforcement officers. If appointed, she will be the only member of the police commission with experience convicting law enforcement officers, which is something we want the police commission to know how to do. ([source](#))

Nancy Tung will be the only representative of the Chinese community on the 7-person commission, and one of only two people of Asian descent, in a city that is 22% Chinese and 35% Asian. Police reform is possibly one of the most important issues of our time, and we will not be successful in implementing it if all communities aren't represented in the process.

There are a lot of people opposing Nancy's appointment to the Police Commission who really want to help the cause of police reform, and that's good. They might have heard about this hearing from Shawn King. There are millions of public decision points out there, and people should definitely get involved in them.

Also, people need to do their own research, because no one in public service is perfectly aligned with anything. If you apply purity tests to everyone, then you will not be able to work with anyone.

For example, Matt Haney, SF Supervisor, who before being elected, worked for Cut50, which is a major decarceration effort, happily accepted the endorsement of the SF Sheriff's Association - a police union - when running for supervisor. He also regularly calls for increasing police presence in the Tenderloin and recently complained he is unable to order the police to clear the streets in the TL ([source](#)). When asked why he accepted the Sheriff's endorsement when they are also supporters of Blue Lives Matter, Matt claimed he didn't know what blue lives matter was. ([source](#))

Supervisor Sandra Lee Fewer, who recently authored legislation speeding up the process of closing the SF jail, also opposed releasing the disciplinary file of her husband, an SF Police officer who has been repeatedly disciplined for excessive use of force. ([source](#))

Former SF DA George Gascon, who was endorsed by Shawn King when he recently ran for DA in LA, and has a reputation of being a criminal justice reformer, is the person who ultimately decided NOT to press charges against Mario Wood's killers. ([source](#))

Despite what Nancy's opponents have been saying, the SF POA did NOT endorse Nancy in her race for SF DA. They endorsed another guy, who lost. ([source](#))

Everyone in advocacy and politics is complex, and will appear at one time or another to be on more than one side of an issue. That is because no issue has only 2 sides. Please help build a representative and productive police commission. Vote Yes for Nancy Tung.

Best,
Sonja Trauss

From: [Mullane Ahern](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Cc: [Ronen, Hillary; Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\); Mar, Gordon \(BOS\); Preston, Dean \(BOS\); Haney, Matt \(BOS\); Yee, Norman \(BOS\); Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\); Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\); Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\); shamann.walto@sfgov.org; Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Voices of SF Survivor Community Oppose Police Commission Nominations
Date: Monday, June 1, 2020 9:25:56 AM
Attachments: [Survivor Community - Policy Commission.pdf](#)
[DOJ Letter from Survivor .pdf](#)

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

Please see the attached letter from a number of survivors of rape and sexual assault urging you to vote against the appointments of Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon Creed to the Police Commission.

The additional attachment is the Jane Doe letter to the Department of Justice investigator who was conducting the civil rights review of SFPD in 2016. Thank you for your consideration in this important matter. Please do not hesitate to contact me with questions or to join our community in discussion. We meet next on Wednesday evening at 6:15 via Zoom and would welcome your participation. Although some individuals have previously gone on record with their names linked to rape and assault in hearings, news articles, public statements, and civil lawsuits, we are signing this as a small grassroots community and prefer to keep a measure of privacy around publicly linking our names to sexual violence when possible.

Kindly,

Members of the SF Survivor Community



VOICES OF Survivors

June 1, 2020

To the Members of the Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work to meet the needs of San Francisco during this pandemic. We are a grassroots community writing to address how appointments to the Police Commission impact survivors of gender violence. Police brutality left unchecked by cities and counties has caused the nation to erupt in protests. We urge you to insist on candidates better suited in calling for police accountability.

The San Francisco Police Department has a history of bias in policing which inflicts serious, lasting trauma. In January 2016, “Jane Doe” was raped and reported to SFPD. SFPD responded with shocking misogyny and indifference. SFPD was then under review by the US Department of Justice in the wake of SFPD’s tragic killing of Mario Woods. Heather Marlowe filed suit against SFPD that January. Jane Doe wrote the DOJ in April 2016 about SFPD’s handling of rape, and retaliation. The DOJ interviewed her; gender bias and accountability were detailed in the DOJ’s report of findings. SFPD’s text message scandal is most remembered as racist; it was also sexist and homophobic. This culture of misogyny so endemic to SFPD has led to multiple recent lawsuits (re domestic violence & sexual assault), public hearings, and news articles. Victims who muster the courage to report gender violence are routinely belittled and brushed under the rug by SFPD. It is not “revictimization,” but its own unique trauma. It is rape culture at SFPD. Appropriate recourse must be available through the Police Commission.

At the 2018 Board hearing called by Supervisor Hillary Ronen on the City’s response to sexual assault, countless victims testified as to a litany of horrors by law enforcement. SFPD was hostile. Since then, rape victims continue to report the very same treatment by police, who, unchastened, make a mockery of rape investigations and rape victims. It is extraordinarily traumatizing. Further, misogyny at SFPD endangers the public. We grow less safe every time a rape investigation is undone or done incompetently.

The Police Commission must aggressively work to change SFPD’s systemic disregard for gender violence. Unfortunately, Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed are unacceptable candidates for this important work. So nonexistent is Ms. Tung’s interest in rape that not even her campaign for District Attorney compelled her to learn why the DA’s Office came under fire for failure to prosecute rape on a serial basis. In over a dozen debates that we attended, she affirmed that the DA’s office was doing a great job with sexual assault. **She blindly voiced support for the institution’s status quo, ignored the facts, the victims, and the possibility that even the attorneys want change.** Sexual assault reform became a key issue in debates, yet Ms. Tung pivoted to other issues when

it came up. She was the only candidate that did not meet with the survivor community¹ or even bother learning about the issues. Ms. Tung, plainly, could not have cared less.

As a traditional “law and order” candidate, Ms. Tung’s social justice views are oppositional to those held by the majority of San Francisco. She holds positions are on the wrong side of today’s movement: she opposes closing juvenile hall, which incarcerates an exceedingly disproportionate number of black and brown youth; she supports the use of tasers, which studies show a lead to more police violence and in custody deaths; she accepted campaign funding from the Police Officers Association, a toxic, far-right menace that is dressing officers in Thin Blue Line masks and pins, and most recently defended an officer for kneeling on a person’s neck in the same way that killed George Floyd. Ms. Tung’s comments during her campaign suggest a limited scope of interests to what affects the people and issues about which she is passionate without regard to the harm caused in other communities of concern. In sum, she is an entirely unacceptable appointment. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed, too, is wholly unqualified. Corporate litigation and real estate law are not practice areas in which one develops the legs needed to stand in a Police Commission.

The appointments of Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed are an affront to this community. We urge you to vote unequivocally against confirming these appointments, and insist that Mayor Breed make nominations that will move the San Francisco Police Department toward reform. It is time for San Francisco to enact an agenda that centers survivors, and putting survivors on the Commission would be a good place to start.

Sexual violence, systemic racism, and our movements for accountability and transparency are inherently linked. As we share in the protests against police brutality and reel from the losses of George Floyd, Breonna Taylor, Nina Pop, Ahmaud Arbery, Tony McDade, Sean Reed, and so many others, we ask you to stand up for the need for accountability in the Hall of Justice and throughout our city by insisting on Police Commissioners capable of ushering in an era of change.

Sincerely yours,

A Community of Rape Victims, Survivors, Warriors, & Allies in San Francisco²

¹ As a community, we declined to specifically endorse a campaign. Moving the needle forward on the issues was and is our North Star. We met with candidates to discuss our experiences with San Francisco law enforcement as victims of rape and sexual assault, and serve as a resource on much-needed policy reform. We were pleased Suzy Loftus made reforming sexual assault policy a priority during her brief tenure as DA, in coordination with District Attorney Chesa Boudin, who made made reform a key platform issue. Leif Dautch also met with us to understand the issues and seek policy guidance.

² We meet bi-weekly to discuss issues of gender violence and our policy goals, we welcome you to join us any time. **Please contact the sender to join in setting a Survivors’ Agenda.**

April 26, 2016

Dear Ms. Maury,

Thank you for your work on the DOJ's community reform initiative; I appreciate your efforts toward improving policing in San Francisco and elsewhere in the country.

My name is Mullane Ahern, and I'm a resident of District 5 in San Francisco. I know you've been in touch with Jane Manning, Vice President of the National Organization for Women (NOW)-NYC, who told you a bit about my case. I'm writing to tell you more about my experience with the SFPD Special Victims Unit.

On January 3, 2016 I was drugged and raped. I sought medical attention, underwent a "rape kit" exam, and reported the crimes to the police that same day. Nearly four months have passed since then, and in that time, SFPD has shown jarring incompetence, misogyny, and callous disregard towards rape victims in a way that demonstrates deep-rooted gender bias.

On January 4, 2016, the day after I was raped, I went to the Special Victims Unit and met with Sgt. James Funk. I told him that I wanted the predator who raped me to be arrested and prosecuted. Unlike many victims who are drugged and raped, I remember being raped, know the rapist's identity, and have a third party witness to key facts—including, for example, that I was unconscious before I was raped. Despite these critical facts, Sgt. Funk greeted me with discouragement from the moment we met, making dismissive remarks about how these things are hard to prove, suggesting repeatedly that I had merely combined alcohol with "[my] prescription medication" (though he had not asked whether I was actually taking prescription medication—which I was not), and repeatedly asking whether I "really want this guy arrested." Though I was intimidated and rattled by his discouragement, I have not wavered in my resolve.

Since that first meeting, Sgt. Funk has revealed not only his incompetence to handle a rape investigation, but that he plainly does not regard rape as a crime worth his while to investigate. His missteps in the investigation have been shocking, and I would welcome the opportunity to meet with you to discuss them in detail. By way of but a few examples: (1) his incompetence resulted in the destruction of known video evidence; (2) he failed to obtain key witness statements; and (3) he provided me the number of the laboratory performing the toxicology testing on my blood and urine, and instructed me to call them with questions, which I did—only to be told by the lab, to my dismay, that my calling could be viewed as an attempt to tamper with their investigation, which they would have to note in their final report. He routinely misrepresented what was happening in the investigation and made factually false statements to me each step of the way. When asked for clarity, Sgt. Funk deliberately obfuscated the process in an effort to mask his incompetence. He was condescending and openly contemptuous toward me, and engaged in acts of retribution towards me for my efforts to move the investigation forward.

Perhaps most egregious, Sgt. Funk has treated me as though I'm making all of this up. One month after Sgt. Funk informed me that he was writing an arrest warrant, he stated that he was considering waiting another six weeks for DNA test results before completing the warrant. I pleaded with him to proceed without delay, pointing out that the rapist used a condom in the attack (per my recollection and the rapist's own admission via text message) and thus the likelihood of finding DNA was low. Sgt. Funk said "if you did, in fact, have intercourse with him, there could be DNA." I had to remind Sgt. Funk that I did not "have intercourse with" the rapist—he had intercourse with my unconscious body. Moreover, Sgt. Funk's suggestion that there is an "if" in this case is nauseating and degrading—I promptly and consistently reported to him that I remember being raped. There is no "if" in this case. I cannot imagine there is another crime where it is suggested to the victim that she is making it up.

Meanwhile, while Sgt. Funk has let this case languish on his desk for months, this rapist remains free to prey on women. Based on the rapist's brazen conduct the night of the crime, I firmly believe that I am not the first or last woman to be assaulted by this predator. When I last pointed out to Sgt. Funk that, as long as this rapist is free, he may be raping other women, Sgt. Funk flippantly responded, "Well, hopefully they'll come forward if he does." If there were a known knife-wielding attacker on the loose, I'm certain the police response would not be that "hopefully" all the stabbing victims will come forward after they're stabbed—the attacker would be arrested. The risk of rape by an identified rapist, however, is not treated as a public safety issue by the SFPD.

I have communicated my concerns to both Sgt. Funk and to the head of the Special Victims Unit, Lieutenant Edward Santos. Lt. Santos has pledged full confidence in Sgt. Funk, standing by even clear examples of Sgt.'s Funk's incompetence. I have implored the Chief of Police Gregory Suhr to intervene, but to no avail. Since reporting my concerns to his superiors, Sgt. Funk has retaliated with perverse displays of power, making clear he does not regard me as a victim of a traumatic crime, but as an adversary. This leaves me in a terrible predicament, where the fate of the prosecution of this criminal case is at the mercy of Sgt. Funk and his protectors in the force.

It is profoundly sad and unsettling to have been dehumanized first by the predator who raped me, and now, by the police, the organization I went to for protection and justice. Each time I interact with SFPD, I have spent the night stunned, crying, and sleepless, at best. I have nightmares not only about being raped, but also about having to fight for my case to move forward at the police station.

This police force needs reform. The institutional failings of the SFPD are founded on bias and reinforced by their collective interest in protecting their own fellow officers. The SFPD acts as the gatekeeper to justice. But where do we turn when the gatekeeper is complicit in crime? This is not mere hyperbole. The SFPD makes a mockery of rape investigation and rape victims. They dismiss rape as "complicated" and therefore unworthy of time or effort. This necessarily must embolden predators, and cuts into the moral and psychological fabric of our communities. It is easy to understand why so many girls and women decline to

subject themselves to this abusive treatment by law enforcement. But I cannot abide it. It is unconscionable. We need change.

It is appalling to think of how my experience of being trivialized and mistreated by the police reflects more broadly for others. I am fortunate to be equipped with skills and experience to advocate for myself, and to have in my support network the extraordinary NOW advocate, Jane Manning. As an employee of the City's Human Rights Commission, I work with some of the most vulnerable individuals and communities in the city, most of whom face barriers to accessing resources and support. It is chilling to think of the members of these communities attempting to navigate the SFPD Special Victims Unit—those who have limited resources, no advocate, and for whom this mountain of discouragement must regularly be insurmountable.

I hope I might have the opportunity to provide you some additional detail regarding my experience with the SFPD Special Victims Unit, as I believe, sadly, it is not exceptional. Please feel free to contact me by phone 415.582.3200 or email mullane.ahern@gmail.com. Thank you.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in blue ink, appearing to be 'Mullane C. Ahern', with a stylized, cursive script.

Mullane C. Ahern

From: [Matthew Neylan](#)
To: [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Mar, Gordon \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Haney, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung for Police Commission
Date: Monday, June 1, 2020 9:48:31 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to **OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.**

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have **STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY.** This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities **INCREASED** use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung **ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA.** She even accepted \$500 from **RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY.** The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can **NEVER** be trusted to hold SFPD officers **ACCOUNTABLE.** The POA **DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES** like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly **OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE**

INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law enforcement is to the community.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENT OF NANCY TUNG TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Mr. Matthew Neylan

From: [Emily Lee](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Letter to Oppose Tung & Gordon-Creed Appointment to Police Commission
Date: Monday, June 1, 2020 10:21:04 AM
Attachments: [BOS letter re Police Commission appointees.pdf](#)

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

Please see attached comment letter on behalf of SF Rising and our member organizations.

Thank you,

Emily Lee

Director

[San Francisco Rising](#)

Follow us: [Twitter](#), [Facebook](#), [Instagram](#)



June 1, 2020

RE: Oppose Police Commission Appointees Tung and Gordon-Creed

Dear Board of Supervisors:

We are writing on behalf of San Francisco Rising to urge you to oppose Mayor Breed's appointment of Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed to the San Francisco Police Commission. SF Rising is an alliance of working class, communities of color organizing in the Chinese, Black, Latinx, and Filipino community. Our 8 member organizations include: Causa Justa Just Cause, Chinese Progressive Association, Coleman Advocates for Children and Youth, People Organizing to Demand Environmental and Economic Rights, Filipino Community Center, Mujeres Unidas y Activas, South of Market Community Action Network, and Dolores Street Community Services.

As diverse communities, we recognize that all of us deserve to feel safe in our neighborhoods, and that law enforcement should act in partnership with community, but that is not the case as we have seen time and again. We have seen police murdering our black and brown community members with impunity, and in the Chinese community there has been frustration and anger about lack of action in regards to violent assaults that have occurred to senior citizens. We deserve to have police commissioners who are deeply trusted by the community serving on the Police Commission, and who have deep ties and relationships to our organizations and our members. Unfortunately, neither Nancy Tung nor Geoffrey Gordon-Creed have any history of working with communities of color to address their needs in relationship to the SF Police Department.

The Police Commission is tasked with: 1) Setting policy for the police department, 2) Conducting disciplinary hearings on charges of police misconduct, 3) Imposing discipline for misconduct; and 4) Hearing police officers' appeals from discipline imposed by the Chief of Police. Police accountability is a central role of the Police Commissioners, and it is one of the few public bodies where the public can weigh in on the SF Police Department's actions. Given the recent brutal murder of George Floyd by police in Minnesota and the national outrage and outcry over the last week, it is now more critical than ever to ensure we have police commissioners who take their role of holding police accountable seriously.

However, as recently as last year, Deputy District Attorney Nancy Tung has opposed police accountability. During her campaign for San Francisco District Attorney in November 2019, in response to a questionnaire from the ACLU of Northern California, San Francisco Rising, and the Immigrant Legal Resource Center, she expressly opposed AB 392 (The California Act to Save Lives). Championed by families whose loved ones were killed by the police, AB 392 established that police can use deadly force “only when necessary” to defend against threat of death or serious bodily injury, replacing the vague and dangerous standard that authorized officers to use deadly force whenever “reasonable.”

Additionally, Tung has opposed the closure of the SF Juvenile Hall as well as the County Jail 7 at 850 Bryant. On these issues, a majority of the SF Board of Supervisors has supported closing Juvenile Hall and County Jail 7, with broad community support. Given these examples of Tung's positions, we have serious concerns about her ability to represent community needs in regards to public safety, police accountability, or investing in rehabilitation and not incarceration.

While many of our community members wish to see Chinese representation on the Commission, which has none despite the city's $\frac{1}{3}$ population of Chinese residents, we are sorely disappointed by Tung, who has little to no connection to the Chinese immigrant community. The two San Francisco based organizations that Tung is active in, Stop Crime SF and Moms Demand Action SF Chapter, have no history of engagement with the Chinese immigrant community or other communities of color.

While Tung's record has been made public as a candidate for District Attorney and DCCC, Gordon-Creed has almost no public track record to speak of. We are unclear what his experience is in holding police officers accountable or on the various issues we've raised. Additionally, it is unknown what experience he brings to the area of police accountability in the last 25 years since his days as a Deputy City Attorney where he represented police officers.

Therefore, we strongly urge the Board of Supervisors to oppose the appointment of both Tung and Gordon-Creed. We believe the Mayor can and should appoint commissioners that more accurately align with community values, have authentic and deep ties to the community, and who have a track record to justify their appointment to such an important office. Our communities deserve to be represented by Commissioners who are trusted and have our support.

Sincerely,

Emily Lee, Director, SF Rising
Le Tim Ly, Executive Director, Chinese Progressive Association
Neva Walker, Executive Director, Coleman Advocates for Children & Youth
Antonio Diaz, Executive Director, PODER
Vanessa Moses, Executive Director, Causa Justa Just Cause
Laura Valdez, Executive Director, Dolores Street Community Services
Angelica Cabande, Executive Director, SOMCAN
Terry Valen, Executive Director, Filipino Community Center
Juana Flores, Executive Director, Mujeres Unidas y Activas

From: [jon wong](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Nancy Tung for Police Commission
Date: Monday, June 1, 2020 11:14:18 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Sirs, and Madams,

I am native San Franciscan, property owner, Father and voter. Please accept my whole-hearted support for Nancy Tung to the SF Police Commission. Nancy is independent, and fully qualified with the experience we need.....and with out political agenda. Please approve her appointment, we citizens are counting on you.

Best regards,

Jon Wong
jonwongsf@gmail.com
1251 Jackson St, San Francisco, CA 94109

--

j. wong
jonwongsf@gmail.com

From: megha.mehrotra=ucsf.edu@dsasf.org on behalf of [Megha Mehrotra](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Monday, June 1, 2020 11:16:55 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Dr. Megha Mehrotra
megha.mehrotra@ucsf.edu

From: [Lesley Norelli](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Support Nancy Tung
Date: Monday, June 1, 2020 11:42:50 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Board if Supervisors,
Please support Nancy Tung for the Commission.
We voted for her for DA. We are permanent residents of SF. Along with many of our neighbors we no longer feel safe in our City. Given the current DA we feel that balance is needed to protect our citizens and our property. Please confirm Nancy. Her strong showing in the election shows her support in our community.
Thank you.
Lesley Norelli

Sent from my iPhone

From: [Nelson Zhao](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary; Beinart, Amy \(BOS\)](#); [Herzstein, Daniel \(BOS\)](#); [Mar, Gordon \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Wright, Edward \(BOS\)](#); [Quan, Daisy \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: SUPPORTING Nancy Tung for Police Commission
Date: Monday, June 1, 2020 11:59:40 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Nelson Zhao
10 Doric Alley
San Francisco, CA 94133
June 1, 2020

San Francisco Board of Supervisors
Rules Committee
Supervisor Hillary Ronen, Chair
City Hall, 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102

Dear Chair Ronen,

I am writing to express my support for Nancy Tung as Mayor London Breed's nominee for the Police Commission.

Nancy has served as a Deputy District Attorney in Alameda County since 2017, focusing on investigating and prosecuting civil law enforcement actions against corporations based on California's Unfair Competition Law and False Advertising Law. She served as an Assistant District Attorney in San Francisco from 2006 to 2017. A trial prosecutor and former Deputy California Attorney General with nearly two decades of experience delivering justice for the People, Nancy has handled thousands of cases in local, state, and federal courts. Nancy's experience will play an invaluable role on the Commission, as she embraces the community's goals for a model police department. Nancy will bring legal expertise and community-driven passion to the role, critical to collaborating with other Commissioners on fair and progressive oversight.

In her free time, Nancy is an active member of Moms Demand Action, using her experience as a prosecutor and mom to fight for sensible gun laws in America. She serves on the board of Stop Crime SF, a local group dedicated to protecting victims and holding public officials and the criminal justice system accountable. She also has been a mentor with Big Brothers Big Sisters, developing a lifelong relationship with the girl she was matched with over a decade ago. Nancy leads an informal group of EMILY's List graduates, which trains and supports women who run for public office, and she has served on the boards of local

Democratic clubs. Nancy will contribute a focused mindset and collaborative professionalism to the Commission, as she has to her other many voluntary roles in service of the public.

Personally, she has been a mentor and has always been incredibly insightful whenever I sought her advice. Her wisdom and experience are second only to her thoughtfulness in policy. She will be a role model for the Asian American community as she is to me with her leadership.

I am confident that Nancy will bring all of her experiences to bear in this role and will step in and work quickly towards solutions for our City and our citizens in these unprecedented times. I ask you to recommend Nancy Tung for the Police Commission to the Board of Supervisors.

Thank you,

Nelson Zhao

Member, United Democratic Club

From: [Julia Kwasniza](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary; Beinart, Amy \(BOS\)](#); [Herzstein, Daniel \(BOS\)](#); [Mar, Gordon \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Wright, Edward \(BOS\)](#); [Quan, Daisy \(BOS\)](#)
Cc: [United Democrats](#)
Subject: Please Support Nancy Tung for SF Police Commission
Date: Monday, June 1, 2020 12:36:27 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors Ronen, Stefani, and Mar,

I am writing today to humbly urge you to support Nancy Tung for SF Police Commission. I have known Nancy for years and worked closely with her, and am certain she is the best person to serve on the Police Commission.

As an attorney, public servant, and a civically engaged citizen of San Francisco, Nancy always puts the community first. She is level-headed, incredibly moral, and dedicated to justice. Nancy will address the underlying issues that plague our city and criminal justice system (no superficial bandaid fixes) and will hold police accountable (and has done so in her career as a deputy DA).

She is not only an incredibly talented attorney, but a genuinely good person who wants to make San Francisco a better and safer place to live.

If there is anyone who should serve on the Police Commission, there is no one with more integrity, sense of equitable justice, and morality than Nancy Tung.

Sincerely,

Julia Kwasniza
San Francisco Resident
Nonprofit Project Manager

From: [Melanie Scardina](#)
To: [Young, Victor \(BOS\); Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Public Comment Police Commissioner
Date: Monday, June 1, 2020 12:49:59 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I'm a lifelong San Franciscan and a resident of District 4.

I support Nancy Tung for the Police Commission because she understands both the challenges the police face, and the necessity for reform. There's no question the murder of George Floyd was absolutely abhorrent, there's no excuse for it. But I also think the events of the past few days illustrate why we need our police departments more than ever.

There are millions of interactions between police and citizens every year, and yes, a few are problematic. Can we do better? Of course we can and we should, but demoralizing the police is not going to help recruit or retain good officers. We don't need bias on the police commission, we need balance.

I heard Supervisor Ronan's question about Prop 47, and the way that it was posed inferred that property crimes by the poor, minority or drug addicted persons shouldn't be prosecuted, but if this city wants to retain their businesses, their tourism dollars, and the revenue stream necessary to pay for essential services, they can't allow lawlessness no matter who the perpetrators are. The citizens and business owners need to be supported too, otherwise the entire system falls apart. Nancy Tung's common sense approach supports the safety of all people.

Please confirm Nancy Tung for the Police Commission. Thank you.

From: [Jeremy Rosenberg](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Opposition to Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Creed
Date: Monday, June 1, 2020 12:58:32 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I write as a resident of 4332B 17th Street, San Francisco CA 94114, in opposition to appointing Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon Creed to the Police Commission. We don't need more prosecutors in positions of police oversight. Law enforcement is unable to effectively oversee itself. Thank you for considering my comment.

Jeremy Rosenberg, Esq.
(917) 620-2523

From: [Jason Kruta](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [BOS-Supervisors](#)
Cc: [FewerStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose the appointments of Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed to the Police Commission
Date: Monday, June 1, 2020 3:39:29 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

My name is Jason Kruta and I live in the Richmond District,

Nancy Tung is completely unfit to serve on the Police Commission. SF voters overwhelmingly rejected her far-right candidacy for District Attorney last year, and it is wrong for her to now be re-introduced as a criminal justice authority via this back door. Tung has repeatedly sided with and been supported by the Police Officers Association, including by supporting Proposition H which would have neutered the very board she is seeking to serve on now. I will remind you that Prop H was so extreme it was opposed even by Police Chief Scott (and London Breed who has now decided to show her true beliefs by appointing Tung). The POA is a racist hate group, not a labor union, and any support from or association with them should be automatically disqualifying for any public office. It was only a year ago that they were dancing on Jeff Adachi's grave before the body was even cold.

In contrast to Tung who is thoroughly disqualified for this commission, Mr. Gordon-Creed has shown himself to have no qualifications whatsoever for this board other than having defended the very same officers he is now being asked to be a watchdog over. A nominee of the police commission should be well-versed in police accountability and reform and far too many of Mr. Gordon-Creed's answers at the Rules Committee today (6/1/20) have shown a complete lack of any background, expertise, or even interest in the issues he will be asked to weigh in on as a commissioner. He has also, by his own admission, never met with a single community group focused on criminal justice or policing, or any community group at all other than the Rose Pak Democratic Club. It is insulting that Mr. Gordon-Creed could not form an opinion about the Mario Woods or Jessica Williams shootings yet seeks to serve on the commission which oversees police conduct. How can we expect Mr. Gordon-Creed to be an informed and engaged member of the Commission if he couldn't even prep for his job interview?

The role of the Police Commission is not to "be a bridge between the police and the public" (as Mr. Gordon-Creed put it), it is to serve as an independent watchdog over the police to protect the public. It is not enough to support implicit bias trainings which have shown very little effectiveness at reducing police violence, and body cameras which are consistently turned off, obscured, and otherwise misused by the police. We must defund, demilitarize, and disarm the police, and appoint committed reformers to the police commission. In overwhelmingly rejecting Prop H in 2018 and in electing Chesa Boudin last year, the people of SF have made clear their desire for fundamental change in law enforcement.

Sincerely,
Jason Kruta

From: [Jason Kruta](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [BOS-Supervisors](#)
Cc: [FewerStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose the appointments of Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed to the Police Commission
Date: Monday, June 1, 2020 3:39:29 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

My name is Jason Kruta and I live in the Richmond District,

Nancy Tung is completely unfit to serve on the Police Commission. SF voters overwhelmingly rejected her far-right candidacy for District Attorney last year, and it is wrong for her to now be re-introduced as a criminal justice authority via this back door. Tung has repeatedly sided with and been supported by the Police Officers Association, including by supporting Proposition H which would have neutered the very board she is seeking to serve on now. I will remind you that Prop H was so extreme it was opposed even by Police Chief Scott (and London Breed who has now decided to show her true beliefs by appointing Tung). The POA is a racist hate group, not a labor union, and any support from or association with them should be automatically disqualifying for any public office. It was only a year ago that they were dancing on Jeff Adachi's grave before the body was even cold.

In contrast to Tung who is thoroughly disqualified for this commission, Mr. Gordon-Creed has shown himself to have no qualifications whatsoever for this board other than having defended the very same officers he is now being asked to be a watchdog over. A nominee of the police commission should be well-versed in police accountability and reform and far too many of Mr. Gordon-Creed's answers at the Rules Committee today (6/1/20) have shown a complete lack of any background, expertise, or even interest in the issues he will be asked to weigh in on as a commissioner. He has also, by his own admission, never met with a single community group focused on criminal justice or policing, or any community group at all other than the Rose Pak Democratic Club. It is insulting that Mr. Gordon-Creed could not form an opinion about the Mario Woods or Jessica Williams shootings yet seeks to serve on the commission which oversees police conduct. How can we expect Mr. Gordon-Creed to be an informed and engaged member of the Commission if he couldn't even prep for his job interview?

The role of the Police Commission is not to "be a bridge between the police and the public" (as Mr. Gordon-Creed put it), it is to serve as an independent watchdog over the police to protect the public. It is not enough to support implicit bias trainings which have shown very little effectiveness at reducing police violence, and body cameras which are consistently turned off, obscured, and otherwise misused by the police. We must defund, demilitarize, and disarm the police, and appoint committed reformers to the police commission. In overwhelmingly rejecting Prop H in 2018 and in electing Chesa Boudin last year, the people of SF have made clear their desire for fundamental change in law enforcement.

Sincerely,
Jason Kruta

From: amy.guo=ucsf.edu@dsasf.org on behalf of [Amy Guo](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Monday, June 1, 2020 10:44:55 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Amy Guo
amy.guo@ucsf.edu

From: cameron.kato=ucsf.edu@dsasf.org on behalf of [Cameron Kato](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Monday, June 1, 2020 11:07:32 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Mr Cameron Kato
cameron.kato@ucsf.edu

From: [Arisa Hiroi](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors. \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please reject the current appointees for the Police Commission
Date: Monday, June 1, 2020 11:40:52 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Mayor Breed and City Supervisors,

My name is Arisa Hiroi and, as a lifelong resident of San Francisco, I respectfully ask that the current two mayoral appointees for the Police Commission be rejected.

Now, as our entire country is an uproar and demanding police reform, it is imperative that we fill these positions on the Police Commission with people clearly independent of the Police Officers Association in order to be effective as an accountability measure. We need to have people well versed and passionate about reform.

Today, I was proud that my city's mayor actually stood up at a rally and spoke, saying "I will do everything I can to push for the right policies for our law enforcement here." But those words ring hollow if these are the candidates currently being pushed.

Sincerely,
Arisa Hiroi
ZIP: 94103

From: [Kiyomi Takeda](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please Reject the Police Commissioner Appointees
Date: Tuesday, June 2, 2020 12:55:23 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Mayor Breed and City Supervisors,

My name is Kiyomi Takeda and, as a lifelong resident of San Francisco, I respectfully ask that the current two mayoral appointees for the Police Commission be rejected.

Now, as our entire country is an uproar and demanding police reform, it is imperative that we fill these positions on the Police Commission with people clearly independent of the Police Officers Association in order to be effective as an accountability measure. We need to have people well versed and passionate about reform.

As you know, the San Francisco Police Department has only implemented 15% of the Department of Justice reforms that they pledged to implement back in 2016. In a letter that the Department of Justice wrote this year, they stated that SFPD has "reports of anti Black bias within the department and the persistent disproportionate use of force against African American and Latino individuals." Reforming SFPD is an important racial justice issue. We need Police Commissioners who have a clear vision for implementing all 272 of these reforms and have a track record in police reform. Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed made it clear in their statements that they do not have a plan for implementation and they do not have expertise in police reform.

In the hearing, Nancy Tung stated that she does not believe that the officers who killed Mario Woods and Jessica Williams should be charged or fired. Given our current context of people protesting in the streets about police officers not being charged for the murder of George Floyd, I just cannot support a Police Commissioner nominee who does not believe that Black Lives Matter.

Today, I was proud that my city's mayor actually stood up at a rally and spoke, saying "I will do everything I can to push for the right policies for our law enforcement here." But those words ring hollow if these are the candidates currently being pushed.

Sincerely,
Kiyomi Takeda
Zip Code: 94115

From: [Faye Wang](#)
To: [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Board of Supervisors. \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose the Appointment of both Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed to the Police Commission
Date: Tuesday, June 2, 2020 3:55:24 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisor Ronen and the rest of the Board of Supervisors,

I sent this message earlier opposing Nancy Tung's and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed's Appointment to the Police Commission, but I think it might have bounced back. Apologies if this is a repeat message:

I am a San Francisco resident and voter in District 9. I strongly oppose Nancy Tung's and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed's nominations to the Police Commission.

I echo what I am sure are sentiments other people have expressed about how Nancy Tung's positions do not reflect those of city residents'. She is unfit to be a Police Commissioner — her policy positions facilitate abuses of police powers. She supports stripping the Police Commission of the power to oversee taser use-of-force policy. Protecting the Police Commission's power to meaningfully enforce its policies seems like a baseline qualification for appointment to the Police Commission, a qualification Nancy Tung's own positions demonstrates she does not meet.

She also consistently supports other harsh, punitive, and, most of all, harmful policies that increase the danger the police poses to everyone in the city and especially those who are a part of the city's Black and Latinx communities and who the police disproportionately target. She supports the continued usage of tasers, in spite of the fact that residents voted against them in 2018. Research has proven that they do not decrease the use of force the police use and actually increase in-custody deaths. She opposed closing Juvenile Hall in spite of its documented abuses, its exponentially higher cost in comparison to other methods of redress, and being far less effective those methods in supporting the city's young people. She supports cash bail — another punitive and ineffective policy that often only punishes low-income people who have not even been convicted of a crime. She accepted money from the POA during her campaign for District Attorney. Any financial support from the POA makes her ability to be an impartial judge of the police questionable. She has already opposed prosecuting police officers who were involved in shootings in the city.

Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission — or, at the very least, the absence of information on his positions on policing inspire little confidence in his ability to be a valuable addition to the Police Commission and that he will act in a way that reflects the values of the city and its voters. He has no public history of engaging with criminal justice issues or of representing people and communities policing policies impact. He has no public stance on the Taser Use-of-Force Policy, and no indication of any reference to any public engagement with all the incredibly important issues the Police Commission is regularly tasked with overseeing.

We already needed civilian Police Commissioners whose is more interested in protecting the

city's residents than in supporting police. I believe current events make that fact impossible to ignore and put that need into sharp relief. The city's police force has already demonstrated that they are dangerous to the public — law enforcement has shot multiple people this year alone, not to mention the shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongara Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams, and every other person who the SFPD has killed, all of which the city has failed to adequately address with appropriate disciplinary action.

Police Commissioners serve such a vital role in protecting the city from police abuse. It would be a mistake to approve Nancy Tung's and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed's nominations — Nancy Tung for her demonstrated positions that would make her a lax enforcer of police abuse and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for the absence of any information on his positions for such an important role. San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department.

I also wanted to add that I have heard that part of the support for Nancy Tung's candidacy is that there is currently no Chinese American representation on the board. As a Chinese American resident of the city, I find it insulting that people are suggesting merely appointing a token Chinese American member to the Police Commission is sufficient to represent the interests of our complex and multifaceted communities. I want a representative who not only happens to be Chinese American, but one who is actively invested in protecting our community members most in need —and have demonstrated thoughtful, researched positions that would act in our best interests. Nancy Tung and her harmful policy positions have proven she is not that person.

Please do not approve either Nancy Tung or Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for a position on the Police Commission. San Francisco city residents deserve representatives who are passionate and committed to police reform, not collusion or a total lack of previous public involvement. I urge you to vote against both Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed.

Thank you,
Y. Faye Wang

From: [Iris Biblowitz](#)
To: [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Haney, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Li-D9, Jennifer \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); asha.safai@sfgov.org; [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please reject NancyTung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed to the San Francisco Police Commission
Date: Wednesday, June 3, 2020 10:48:46 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors - I'm a retired nurse, a senior and tenant in San Francisco for over 40 years, and have to join the voices of people asking you to reject Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for the Police Commission.

The Police Commission hasn't been strong, and these applicants would make it even weaker. I've had the honor to get to know several families in the Mission whose sons, brothers, cousins, sisters, fathers, etc., have been murdered by SFPD, and have been part of communities who've fought to have the officers fired and charged, to no avail. The families have not even been given any resources to help them cope with the trauma and pain of love at the hands of SFPD. Now, at this critical time in our city, state, and country, the Police Commission has to have the strength to hold SFPD accountable for all its actions. As you know, Nancy Tung has shown support of the SFPOA (including their Prop H for wide use of tasers), and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed seems woefully unprepared for the serious life and death issues that the Police Comm regularly deals with.

You have all the information. I'm sorry that I'm late writing this email but I've been sick and couldn't get it together. I appreciate that you're focusing on racist practices in the city, who gets hired by SFPD (thank you, Supervisor Walton), and all the issues that hurt people of color every day (including curfews).

Thank you so much - Iris Biblowitz, RN

From: [Fix Shotwell](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Support for Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed
Date: Wednesday, June 3, 2020 5:44:07 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Whom It May Concern:

As 26-year resident of the Mission, 20 of which have been on a street plagued by organized criminal prostitution which has never been adequately addressed by the City, I wish it to be known that my household wholeheartedly endorses these two appointees.

With a non-prosecutor as DA and an historically lax attitude toward punishing crime, our City needs Nancy and Geoffrey now more than ever. Their professionalism and credentials speak volumes, and it's disturbing to see their appointments held up by political hacks who value grandstanding more than the safety of their constituents. Please drop the shenanigans and confirm them now.

Sincerely,
Andrew Oglesby
554 Shotwell Street,
SF, CA 94110

From: [Adam Raskin](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Police commision
Date: Thursday, June 4, 2020 9:47:16 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear supervisors:

Please to **vote no** on Police Commission nominees Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed because they are not advocates of police reform, and tell the Mayor to withdraw the nominees and find nominees who are real advocates.

Thank you,
Adam Raskin
SF Resident

From: [Kiera Collins](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: vote NO on police commission nominees Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed
Date: Thursday, June 4, 2020 11:25:38 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hello Board of Supervisors,

My name is Kiera Collins. I was born and raised in San Francisco and I am disgusted by the current practices of the SFPD. I urge you strongly to VOTE NO on Police Commission nominees Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed. These nominees are NOT advocates of police reform and racial justice. Nancy Tung has supported policies to further militarize the police force and she was against charging the officers who brutally murdered Mario Woods. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed has no record of engaging in criminal issues or of representing communities impacted by police brutality. These are not the people we need in power. If you care about dismantling white supremacy and violence within SFPD you will vote NO to these nominations.

Thank you for taking the time to read this email,
Kiera Collins

From: [Ben Sheiner](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: San Francisco Police Commission
Date: Thursday, June 4, 2020 11:33:47 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hello Board of Supervisors,

My name is Benjamin Sheiner. I was born and raised in San Francisco's beautiful Bernal heights district and I am disgusted by the current practices of the SFPD. I urge you strongly to VOTE NO on Police Commission nominees Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed. These nominees are NOT advocates of police reform and racial justice. Nancy Tung has supported policies to further militarize the police force and she was against charging the officers who brutally murdered Mario Woods. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed has no record of engaging in criminal issues or of representing communities impacted by police brutality. These are not the people we need in power. If you care about dismantling white supremacy and violence within SFPD you will vote NO to these nominations.

Otherwise you will be making it abundantly clear to your constituents whose side you are on and you won't have jobs come next election. Yes that is a threat.

Thank you for taking the time to read this email,

From: amarasantos16@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Amara Santos](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Thursday, May 28, 2020 10:12:51 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE-ASIAN AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Amara Santos
amarasantos16@gmail.com

From: kelseyruusom@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Kelsey Russom](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Thursday, May 28, 2020 10:12:54 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE-ASIAN AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Kelsey Russom
kelseyruusom@gmail.com

From: gfgoffman@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Gabriel Goffman](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Thursday, May 28, 2020 10:14:56 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE-ASIAN AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Mr Gabriel Goffman
gfgoffman@gmail.com

From: ezweig07=att.net@dsasf.org on behalf of [Erica Zweig](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Thursday, May 28, 2020 10:16:07 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE-ASIAN AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Erica Zweig
ezweig07@att.net

From: keeganmedrano@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Keegan Medrano](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Thursday, May 28, 2020 10:17:58 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE-ASIAN AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Mx. Keegan Medrano
keeganmedrano@gmail.com

From: simx_other=me.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Simone Manganelli](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Thursday, May 28, 2020 10:19:14 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

We need nominees who are interested in dismantling the police state, in holding murderers in the police force accountable, and in completely changing the way that we hold ourselves accountable that doesn't devolve into racist profiling that the SFPD has demonstrated over the years and decades.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE-ASIAN AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Mr Simone Manganelli
simx_other@me.com

From: jessestout@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Jesse Stout](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Thursday, May 28, 2020 10:24:31 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

As a San Franciscan, I ask that you **OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.**

Ms. Tung opposes views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Tung supported Prop H, which would have **STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY.** This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

Tung supports giving Tasers to SFPD officers, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities **INCREASED** use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

Tung opposes closing YGC, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's juvenile hall. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. **GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES** and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

During her campaign last year, Nancy Tung **ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA.** She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can **NEVER** be trusted to hold SFPD officers **ACCOUNTABLE.** The POA **DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES** like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

Tung has opposed ending money bail, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Tung also **OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS** of San Francisco residents to date. **AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY.** We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

San Francisco NEEDS civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

Our city deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE-ASIAN AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,
Jesse Stout

--

Mr Jesse Stout
jesséstout@gmail.com

From: ryan.seffinger@icloud.com on behalf of [Ryan Seffinger](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Thursday, May 28, 2020 10:25:12 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE-ASIAN AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Mr Ryan Seffinger
ryan.seffinger@icloud.com

From: k.j.llyd@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Kelly Lloyd](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Thursday, May 28, 2020 10:27:16 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE-ASIAN AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Kelly Lloyd
k.j.llyd@gmail.com

From: jodav1026@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Jordan Davis](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Thursday, May 28, 2020 10:28:45 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA, AS WELL AS TRANSPHOBIC FORMER SCHOOL BOARD NOMINEE JOSEPHINE ZHAO. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE-ASIAN AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Jordan Davis
jodav1026@gmail.com

From: kaylahpwilliams@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Kaylah Williams](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Thursday, May 28, 2020 10:28:52 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE-ASIAN AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Kaylah Williams
kaylahpwilliams@gmail.com

From: jsramos712@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Jacqueline Ramos](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Thursday, May 28, 2020 10:32:51 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE-ASIAN AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Jacqueline Ramos
jsramos712@gmail.com

From: raphsperry@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Raphael Sperry](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Thursday, May 28, 2020 10:33:08 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE-ASIAN AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Mr Raphael Sperry
raphsperry@gmail.com

From: jkestrel@aol.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Jack Stevenson](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Thursday, May 28, 2020 10:43:07 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident in District 9 and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and traffics in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law enforcement is to the community. There are no public

statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE-ASIAN AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Mr Jack Stevenson
jskestrel@aol.com

From: alexmechanic@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Alex Mechanic](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Thursday, May 28, 2020 10:43:18 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE-ASIAN AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Alex Mechanic
alexmechanic@gmail.com

From: JESSYWOLETZ@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [JESSICA WOLETZ](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Thursday, May 28, 2020 10:46:32 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE-ASIAN AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Mrs JESSICA WOLETZ
JESSYWOLETZ@GMAIL.COM

From: post_alex@hotmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Alexander Post](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Thursday, May 28, 2020 10:47:49 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE-ASIAN AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Mr Alexander Post
post_alex@hotmail.com

From: dana.drusinsky=sfgov.org@dsasf.org on behalf of [Dana Drusinsky](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Thursday, May 28, 2020 11:04:17 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE-ASIAN AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Dana Drusinsky
dana.drusinsky@sfgov.org

From: jeff.n.may@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Jeff May](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Thursday, May 28, 2020 11:40:27 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE-ASIAN AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Mr Jeff May
jeff.n.may@gmail.com

From: andrew=andrew-scudder.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Andrew Scudder](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Thursday, May 28, 2020 11:52:33 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE-ASIAN AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Mr Andrew Scudder
andrew@andrew-scudder.com

From: karissastom@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Karissa Tom](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Thursday, May 28, 2020 11:59:47 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE-ASIAN AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Karissa Tom
karissastom@gmail.com

From: nelson638@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Nelson Tam](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Friday, May 29, 2020 12:01:21 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident of 20 years and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE-ASIAN AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Mr Nelson Tam
nelson638@gmail.com

From: nicholaskimura@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Nick Kimura](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Friday, May 29, 2020 12:09:15 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE-ASIAN AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Mr Nick Kimura
nicholaskimura@gmail.com

From: jpkruta@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Jason Kruta](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Friday, May 29, 2020 12:29:03 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE-ASIAN AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Mr Jason Kruta
jpkruta@gmail.com

From: mghpublic117@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Melissa Hernandez](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Friday, May 29, 2020 1:50:36 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE-ASIAN AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Melissa Hernandez
mghpublic117@gmail.com

From: citysites@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Darrow Boggiano](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Friday, May 29, 2020 3:40:52 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE-ASIAN AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Darrow Boggiano
citysites@gmail.com

From: jackhathswaggeth@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Jack Hebb](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Friday, May 29, 2020 5:37:49 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE-ASIAN AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Jack Hebb
jackhathswaggeth@gmail.com

From: ejct724@gmail.com on behalf of [Ethan Campbell-Taylor](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Friday, May 29, 2020 6:15:45 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE-ASIAN AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Mr Ethan Campbell-Taylor
ejct724@gmail.com

From: nicoleinlow12@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Nicole Inlow](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Friday, May 29, 2020 6:46:46 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE-ASIAN AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Nicole Inlow
nicoleinlow12@gmail.com

From: nickspencer757@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Nick Spencer](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Friday, May 29, 2020 7:11:40 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE-ASIAN AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Mr Nick Spencer
nickspencer757@gmail.com

From: angelopelonero+dsaSF@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Angelo Pelonero](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Friday, May 29, 2020 8:56:54 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE-ASIAN AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Mr Angelo Pelonero
angelopelonero+dsaSF@gmail.com

From: rachelnwood@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Rachel Wood](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Friday, May 29, 2020 9:01:25 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE-ASIAN AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Rachel Wood
rachelnwood@gmail.com

From: shannonpadlog@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Shannon Padlog](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Friday, May 29, 2020 9:05:14 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE-ASIAN AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Shannon Padlog
shannonpadlog@gmail.com

From: kimklausner=comcast.net@dsasf.org on behalf of [Mimi Klausner](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Friday, May 29, 2020 9:26:55 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a 35-year San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to **OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.**

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have **STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY.** This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities **INCREASED** use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. **GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES** and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung **ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA.** She even accepted \$500 from **RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY.** The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can **NEVER** be trusted to hold SFPD officers **ACCOUNTABLE.** The POA **DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES** like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly **OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS** of San Francisco residents to date. **AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY.** We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE-ASIAN AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Mimi Klausner
kimklausner@comcast.net

From: peterdalloway@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Peter Calloway](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Friday, May 29, 2020 9:29:28 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE-ASIAN AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Mr Peter Calloway
peterdalloway@gmail.com

From: brooke.swanberg@yahoo.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Brooke Swanberg](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Friday, May 29, 2020 9:31:52 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE-ASIAN AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Brooke Swanberg
brooke.swanberg@yahoo.com

From: magick=sonic.net@dsasf.org on behalf of [Magick Altman](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Friday, May 29, 2020 9:34:46 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE-ASIAN AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Magick Altman
magick@sonic.net

From: larry=saintrubidium.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Larry Ackerman](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Friday, May 29, 2020 9:37:29 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE-ASIAN AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Larry Ackerman
larry@SaintRubidium.com

From: antonia.kyle@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Antonia Kyle](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Friday, May 29, 2020 9:39:11 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident of Russian Hill since 1992 and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE-ASIAN AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Antonia Kyle
antonia.kyle@gmail.com

From: oscar2560@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Issac Joseph](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Friday, May 29, 2020 9:40:49 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a Corona Heights San Francisco resident since 2001 and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE-ASIAN AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Mr Issac Joseph
oscar2560@gmail.com

From: brenna.alexander8@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Brenna Alexander](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Friday, May 29, 2020 9:49:40 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE-ASIAN AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Brenna Alexander
brenna.alexander8@gmail.com

From: adonahue14@gmail.com on behalf of [Andrew Donahue](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Friday, May 29, 2020 9:53:04 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE-ASIAN AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

Andrew

--

Mr Andrew Donahue
adonahue14@gmail.com

From: darbythomsf@gmail.com on behalf of [Darby Thomas](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Friday, May 29, 2020 10:01:16 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE-ASIAN AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Darby Thomas
darbythomsf@gmail.com

From: iztayag11@gmail.com on behalf of [Isabel Tayag](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Friday, May 29, 2020 10:02:43 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE-ASIAN AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Isabel Tayag
iztayag11@gmail.com

From: adam.gomulka4@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Adam Gomulka](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Friday, May 29, 2020 10:04:00 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE-ASIAN AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Mr Adam Gomulka
adam.gomulka4@gmail.com

From: meilin21442@gmail.com on behalf of [Mei Lin](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Friday, May 29, 2020 10:06:47 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE-ASIAN AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Mei Lin
meilin21442@gmail.com

From: siulagisipai.fui=berkeley.edu@dsasf.org on behalf of [Siulagisipai Fuimaono](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Friday, May 29, 2020 10:07:37 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE-ASIAN AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Mr Siulagisipai Fuimaono
siulagisipai.fui@berkeley.edu

From: maria.schulman@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Maria Schulman](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Friday, May 29, 2020 10:16:07 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE-ASIAN AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Maria Schulman
maria.schulman@gmail.com

From: tesw=aol.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Tes Welborn](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Friday, May 29, 2020 10:18:51 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and will not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

For example, Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE-ASIAN AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Tes Welborn
tesw@aol.com

From: sarahl=advancingjustice-alc.org@dsasf.org on behalf of [Sarah Lee](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Friday, May 29, 2020 10:21:41 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a Daly City resident, and work in San Francisco with immigrant community members who live, work, and/or go to school in San Francisco. I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE-ASIAN AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Sarah Lee
sarahl@advancingjustice-alc.org

From: samanthalew22@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Sam Lew](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Friday, May 29, 2020 10:23:46 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE-ASIAN AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Sam Lew
samanthalew22@gmail.com

From: tlarson=lcrcsf.org@dsasf.org on behalf of [Victoria Larson](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Friday, May 29, 2020 10:27:41 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE-ASIAN AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,
Victoria Larson

--

Ms Victoria Larson
tlarson@lccrsf.org

From: aasfaw22=bayschoolsf.org@dsasf.org on behalf of [Arsema Asfaw](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Friday, May 29, 2020 10:27:42 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE-ASIAN AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Arsema Asfaw
aasfaw22@bayschoolsf.org

From: sarahdardick@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Sarah Dardick](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Friday, May 29, 2020 10:38:15 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE-ASIAN AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Mrs Sarah Dardick
sarahdardick@gmail.com

From: shirondru@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Shiron Drusinsky](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Friday, May 29, 2020 10:38:37 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE-ASIAN AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Mr Shiron Drusinsky
shirondru@gmail.com

From: gillianbergeron@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Gillian Bergeron](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Friday, May 29, 2020 10:39:49 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE-ASIAN AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Gillian Bergeron
gillianbergeron@gmail.com

From: thomasysavannah@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Savannah Thomasy](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Friday, May 29, 2020 10:46:43 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE-ASIAN AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Savannah Thomasy
thomasysavannah@gmail.com

From: sofia.airaghi@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Sofia Vivanco Airaghi](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Friday, May 29, 2020 10:49:03 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE-ASIAN AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Miss Sofia Vivanco Airaghi
sofia.airaghi@gmail.com

From: mika.christopher@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Christopher Mika](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Friday, May 29, 2020 11:12:08 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE-ASIAN AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Mx. Christopher Mika
mika.christopher@gmail.com

From: dylanmacnevin@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Dylan MacNevin](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Friday, May 29, 2020 11:13:32 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

Hi everyone,

Dylan MacNevin of Noe Valley here. I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO

HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE-ASIAN AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you all, and have a great weekend!
Dylan

--

Mr Dylan MacNevin
dylanmacnevin@gmail.com

From: natbomani@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Natalya Bomani](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Friday, May 29, 2020 11:25:43 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE-ASIAN AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Natalya Bomani
natbomani@gmail.com

From: sean=seankwalker.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Sean Walker](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Friday, May 29, 2020 11:28:43 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE-ASIAN AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Mr Sean Walker
sean@seankwalker.com

From: shaysteinberg@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Shay Steinberg](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Friday, May 29, 2020 11:33:18 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE-ASIAN AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Shay Steinberg
shaysteinberg@gmail.com

From: greg.d.dyer@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Greg Dyer](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Friday, May 29, 2020 11:38:16 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE-ASIAN AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Mr Greg Dyer
greg.d.dyer@gmail.com

From: rachel.marshall@gmail@dsasf.org on behalf of [Rachel Marshall](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Friday, May 29, 2020 11:46:20 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE-ASIAN AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Rachel Marshall
rachel.marshall@gmail

From: marystrobe@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Mary Strobe](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Friday, May 29, 2020 11:47:21 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE-ASIAN AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Mary Strobe
marystrobe@gmail.com

From: waltonstaff=sfgov.org@dsasf.org on behalf of [Shamman Walton](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Friday, May 29, 2020 11:48:56 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I hope you are well right now. I am a San Francisco resident in your district and an epidemiologist. I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION. Their appointments would set the city backwards in its attempts to become a more just city for all residents.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO

HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE-ASIAN AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Mr Shamman Walton
waltonstaff@sfgov.org

From: marianne.tassone@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Marianne Tassone](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Friday, May 29, 2020 11:49:41 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. As an Asian American resident of San Francisco, I support diverse representation on the police commission. However, LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Marianne Tassone
marianne.tassone@gmail.com

From: dewi1020@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Rachel Frey](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Friday, May 29, 2020 11:56:22 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE-ASIAN AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Rachel Frey
dewi1020@gmail.com

From: antararao1@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Antara Rao](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Friday, May 29, 2020 12:07:41 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE-ASIAN AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Antara Rao
antararao1@gmail.com

From: jimsantos210@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Jaime Santos](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Friday, May 29, 2020 12:08:16 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE-ASIAN AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Mr Jaime Santos
jimsantos210@gmail.com

From: shanti.priyasingh@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Shanti Singh](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Friday, May 29, 2020 12:12:14 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident in District 3, and I am writing to strongly urge you to **OPPOSE** the nominations of Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed to the Police Commission.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

In addition to aligning herself with the divisive San Francisco Police Officers' Association who helped boost her run for District Attorney, Nancy Tung supported their Proposition H measure, which would have stripped the Police Commission of the power to oversee Taser use-of-force policy. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition.

It defies logic to appoint a candidate to the Police Commission who has actively supported, alongside the POA, undermining the Commission's core function of public oversight over SFPD. (Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.)

Nancy Tung continues to support equipping SFPD with Tasers. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF study found that the deployment of Tasers in California cities ****increased**** use of force and in-custody deaths.

Tung opposed closing Juvenile Hall, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. She opposed ending cash bail, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system.

There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis Góngora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams, Amilcar Perez-Lopez, or any other person killed by the SFPD, or any of the other aforementioned issues. I remain concerned that Mr. Gordon-Creed's views on critical police & incarceration issues are opaque, beyond a record of defending SFPD in police brutality incidents in court. This alone does not inspire confidence. Please oppose his nomination.

We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law enforcement is to the community. Minneapolis is burning right now, and I am ****flabbergasted**** that our mayor sees fit to nominate a candidate who has opposed **EVERY** single commonsense police reform proposed over the past several years, whose approach to police accountability screams 1920, not 2020. Please oppose Nancy Tung's nomination as well.

Thank you,
Shanti Singh

--

Ms Shanti Singh
shanti.priyasingh@gmail.com

From: annaewright17@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Anna Wright](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Friday, May 29, 2020 12:23:30 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE-ASIAN AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Anna Wright
annaewright17@gmail.com

From: vijuim@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Viju Mathew](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Friday, May 29, 2020 12:30:07 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE-ASIAN AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Mr Viju Mathew
vijujm@gmail.com

From: ckinney923@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Chance Kinney](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Friday, May 29, 2020 12:44:22 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE-ASIAN AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Mr Chance Kinney
ckinney923@gmail.com

From: brandeemarckmann=icloud.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Brandee Marckmann](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Friday, May 29, 2020 12:45:05 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE-ASIAN AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Brandee Marckmann
brandeemarckmann@icloud.com

From: rudd.kari@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Kari Rudd](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Friday, May 29, 2020 12:51:06 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE-ASIAN AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Kari Rudd
rudd.kari@gmail.com

From: mabbi1@yahoo.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Meenakshi Abbi](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Friday, May 29, 2020 1:08:52 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE-ASIAN AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Meenakshi Abbi
mabbi1@yahoo.com

From: jonnystyron@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Jonny Styron](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Friday, May 29, 2020 1:10:27 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE-ASIAN AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Mr Jonny Styron
jonnystyron@gmail.com

From: krasner.anna@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Anna Krasner](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Friday, May 29, 2020 1:13:04 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE-ASIAN AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Anna Krasner
krasner.anna@gmail.com

From: rhbanning@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Rob Banning](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Friday, May 29, 2020 1:21:26 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE-ASIAN AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Mr Rob Banning
rhbanning@gmail.com

From: zachlipton@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Zach Lipton](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Friday, May 29, 2020 1:33:37 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE-ASIAN AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Mr Zach Lipton
zachlipton@gmail.com

From: roman.rimer@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Roman Rimer](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Friday, May 29, 2020 1:33:48 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE-ASIAN AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Mr Roman Rimer
roman.rimer@gmail.com

From: sarahtadlaoui@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [sarah tadlaoui](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Friday, May 29, 2020 1:34:14 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE-ASIAN AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms sarah tadlaoui
sarahtadlaoui@gmail.com

From: rvarick@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Ryan Varick](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Friday, May 29, 2020 1:38:29 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE-ASIAN AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Mr Ryan Varick
rvarick@gmail.com

From: angelasuegross@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Angela Gross](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Friday, May 29, 2020 1:39:52 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE-ASIAN AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Angela Gross
angelasuegross@gmail.com

From: marionwellingtonf@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Marion Wellington](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Friday, May 29, 2020 1:43:08 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident in District 9 and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE-ASIAN AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Mx. Marion Wellington
marionwellingtonf@gmail.com

From: eparillon@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Edward Parillon](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Friday, May 29, 2020 1:44:41 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I have been a District 8 resident for the last 12 years, and I am a black immigrant father of two young children. Out of concern for the lives of those young San Franciscans, I am writing to strongly urge you to **OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.**

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. You need not take my word on that - the voters made that clear in the last DA election, where she placed a distant third despite robust backing from right-wing racist police and their union. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have **STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY.** This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities **INCREASED** use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. **GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES** and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung **ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA.** She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can **NEVER** be trusted to hold SFPD officers **ACCOUNTABLE.** The POA **DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES** like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly **OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN**

SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. My kids deserve a city where they can be safe from police abuse, and where they can find as much justice as rich, white residents. I deserve a city where when I see police assault people who look like me day in and day out, they are held accountable. I strongly urge you to oppose these nominations, and like many voters, particularly the majority who rejected racist police tactics last November, I will be closely watching your votes.

Thank you,

--

Mr Edward Parillon
eparillon@gmail.com

From: jaspergreywilde@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Jasper Wilde](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Friday, May 29, 2020 2:04:10 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE-ASIAN AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Jasper Wilde
jaspergreywilde@gmail.com

From: s.hudson.sf@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Spencer Hudson](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Friday, May 29, 2020 2:05:33 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE-ASIAN AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Mr Spencer Hudson
s.hudson.sf@gmail.com

From: hanahelent@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Helen Tran](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Friday, May 29, 2020 2:33:01 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE-ASIAN AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Dr. Helen Tran
hanahelent@gmail.com

From: milena.kaestner@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Milena Kaestner](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Friday, May 29, 2020 2:35:05 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE-ASIAN AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Dr. Milena Kaestner
milena.kaestner@gmail.com

From: sjharris3815@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Sarah Harris](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Friday, May 29, 2020 2:35:47 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE-ASIAN AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Sarah Harris
sjharris3815@gmail.com

From: DREW.BADER@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Andrew Bader](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Friday, May 29, 2020 2:38:14 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE-ASIAN AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Mr Andrew Bader
DREW.BADER@GMAIL.COM

From: edelman.rl@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Rachel Edelman](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Friday, May 29, 2020 2:45:07 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE-ASIAN AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Rachel Edelman
edelman.rl@gmail.com

From: fewander@hotmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Francesca Wander](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Friday, May 29, 2020 2:51:35 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE-ASIAN AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Francesca Wander
fewander@hotmail.com

From: rachel.heydemann@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Rachel Heydemann](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Friday, May 29, 2020 2:51:59 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE-ASIAN AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Rachel Heydemann
rachel.heydemann@gmail.com

From: farooqnomani@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Farooq Nomani](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Friday, May 29, 2020 3:02:43 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE-ASIAN AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Mr Farooq Nomani
farooqnomani@gmail.com

From: sam.t.thorpe@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Samuel Thorpe](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Friday, May 29, 2020 3:06:12 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE-ASIAN AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Mr Samuel Thorpe
sam.t.thorpe@gmail.com

From: scharf.rebekkah@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Rebekkah Scharf](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Friday, May 29, 2020 3:07:30 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE-ASIAN AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Rebekkah Scharf
scharf.rebekkah@gmail.com

From: lkahassay@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Luwam Kahassay](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Friday, May 29, 2020 3:08:33 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a Bay Area resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to **OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.**

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have **STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY.** This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities **INCREASED** use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. **GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES** and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung **ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA.** She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can **NEVER** be trusted to hold SFPD officers **ACCOUNTABLE.** The POA **DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES** like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly **OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS** of San Francisco residents to date. **AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY.** We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE-ASIAN AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,
Luwam Kahassay

--

Ms Luwam Kahassay
lkahassay@gmail.com

From: stefanie.demong@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Stefanie Demong](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Friday, May 29, 2020 3:12:21 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE-ASIAN AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Stefanie Demong
stefanie.demong@gmail.com

From: everett.annemarie@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Annemarie Everett](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Friday, May 29, 2020 3:25:54 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE-ASIAN AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Dr. Annemarie Everett
everett.annemarie@gmail.com

From: mayahanika@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Maya De Paula Hanika](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Friday, May 29, 2020 3:26:50 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE-ASIAN AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Miss Maya De Paula Hanika
mayahanika@gmail.com

From: sdelucchi13@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Sara Delucchi](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Friday, May 29, 2020 3:26:53 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to **OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.**

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have **STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY.** This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities **INCREASED** use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. **GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES** and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung **ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA.** She even accepted \$500 from **RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY.** The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can **NEVER** be trusted to hold SFPD officers **ACCOUNTABLE.** The POA **DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES** like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly **OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS** of San Francisco residents to date. **AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY.** We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE-ASIAN AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Sara Delucchi
sdelucchi13@gmail.com

From: sophie.lubin@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Sophie Lubin](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Friday, May 29, 2020 3:28:08 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE-ASIAN AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Sophie Lubin
sophie.lubin@gmail.com

From: james.whong@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [james.whong](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Friday, May 29, 2020 3:28:16 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE-ASIAN AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Mr james whong
james.whong@gmail.com

From: nwconner91@gmail.com on behalf of [Niko Conner](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Friday, May 29, 2020 3:29:47 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE-ASIAN AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,
Niko

--

Mr Niko Conner
nwconner91@gmail.com

From: amagzzz@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Alejandro Magdaleno](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Friday, May 29, 2020 4:01:24 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE-ASIAN AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Mr Alejandro Magdaleno
amagzzz@gmail.com

From: abigail=dscs.org@dsasf.org on behalf of [Abigail Salazar](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Friday, May 29, 2020 4:02:41 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE-ASIAN AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Abigail Salazar
abigail@dscs.org

From: matrixfrog@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Tyler Breisacher](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Friday, May 29, 2020 4:08:13 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE-ASIAN AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Mr Tyler Breisacher
matrixfrog@gmail.com

From: jenniferyoung@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Jennifer Young](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Friday, May 29, 2020 4:11:16 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE-ASIAN AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Jennifer Young
jenniferyoung@gmail.com

From: action=christinewei.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Christine Wei](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Friday, May 29, 2020 4:24:56 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE-ASIAN AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Christine Wei
action@christinewei.com

From: kswalter@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Katharine Walter](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Friday, May 29, 2020 4:40:14 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to **OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.**

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have **STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY.** This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities **INCREASED** use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. **GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES** and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung **ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA.** She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can **NEVER** be trusted to hold SFPD officers **ACCOUNTABLE.** The POA **DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES** like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly **OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS** of San Francisco residents to date. **AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY.** We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE-ASIAN AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Katharine Walter
kswalter@gmail.com

From: catruong19@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Austin Truong](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Friday, May 29, 2020 4:44:31 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE-ASIAN AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Mx. Austin Truong
catruong19@gmail.com

From: grotter@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Greg Rotter](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Friday, May 29, 2020 4:45:49 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE-ASIAN AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Mr Greg Rotter
grotter@gmail.com

From: einfeldt@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Christian Einfeldt](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Friday, May 29, 2020 5:02:02 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE-ASIAN AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Mr Christian Einfeldt
einfeldt@gmail.com

From: nuritvenus@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Nurit Baruch](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Friday, May 29, 2020 5:09:31 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE-ASIAN AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Mrs Nurit Baruch
nuritvenus@gmail.com

From: haleyshevener@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Haley Shevener](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Friday, May 29, 2020 5:20:18 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE-ASIAN AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Haley Shevener
haleyshevener@gmail.com

From: kurtis.wu@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Kurtis Wu](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Friday, May 29, 2020 5:35:53 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE-ASIAN AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Mr Kurtis Wu
kurtis.wu@gmail.com

From: alexhenrygoggins@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Alex Goggins](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Friday, May 29, 2020 6:37:01 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE-ASIAN AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Alex Goggins
alexhenrygoggins@gmail.com

From: michellegmurphy@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Michelle Murphy](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Friday, May 29, 2020 6:49:01 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a former San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE-ASIAN AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,
Michelle

--

Ms Michelle Murphy
michellegmurphy@gmail.com

From: zztopout@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Zach Hollander](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Friday, May 29, 2020 7:21:29 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE-AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Mr Zach Hollander
zztopout@gmail.com

From: calebkulman@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Caleb Kulman](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Friday, May 29, 2020 7:31:22 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE-AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Caleb Kulman
calebkulman@gmail.com

From: yasmeen.muqtasid@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Yasmeen Muqtasid](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Friday, May 29, 2020 7:34:17 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE-AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Yasmeen Muqtasid
yasmeen.muqtasid@gmail.com

From: jasonjcd110@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Jason D'Souza](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Friday, May 29, 2020 8:02:53 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a Canadian resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE-AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Mr Jason D'Souza
jasonjcd110@gmail.com

From: cayla.ann.lewis@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Cayla Lewis](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Friday, May 29, 2020 8:14:21 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE-AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Cayla Lewis
cayla.ann.lewis@gmail.com

From: gaelanmckeown@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Gaelan Spor](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Friday, May 29, 2020 9:12:40 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Gaelan Spor
gaelanmckeown@gmail.com

From: aaron.engelhall.spam@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Aaron Engel-Hall](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Friday, May 29, 2020 9:52:46 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Mr Aaron Engel-Hall
aaron.engelhall.spam@gmail.com

From: danimargo@yahoo.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Danielle Harris](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Friday, May 29, 2020 10:07:52 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Danielle Harris
danimargo@yahoo.com

From: mlyon01=comcast.net@dsasf.org on behalf of [Michael Lyon](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Friday, May 29, 2020 10:40:12 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Mr Michael Lyon
mlyon01@comcast.net

From: [Mission Local, Inc](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Valencia Street's quirky, independent businesses hit hard by COVID-19
Date: Saturday, May 30, 2020 8:01:44 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Original reporting takes bodies not bots.

Help support us today.

[https://missionlocal.us3.list-manage.com/track/click?](https://missionlocal.us3.list-manage.com/track/click?u=204ab593816f00613a1b8e50c&id=54a377c2de&e=3d6491046a)

[u=204ab593816f00613a1b8e50c&id=54a377c2de&e=3d6491046a](https://missionlocal.us3.list-manage.com/track/click?u=204ab593816f00613a1b8e50c&id=54a377c2de&e=3d6491046a)>

View this email in your browser [https://us3.campaign-archive.com/?](https://us3.campaign-archive.com/?e=3d6491046a&u=204ab593816f00613a1b8e50c&id=0cd4a52629)

[e=3d6491046a&u=204ab593816f00613a1b8e50c&id=0cd4a52629](https://us3.campaign-archive.com/?e=3d6491046a&u=204ab593816f00613a1b8e50c&id=0cd4a52629)>

[https://missionlocal.us3.list-manage.com/track/click?](https://missionlocal.us3.list-manage.com/track/click?u=204ab593816f00613a1b8e50c&id=2704011843&e=3d6491046a)

[u=204ab593816f00613a1b8e50c&id=2704011843&e=3d6491046a](https://missionlocal.us3.list-manage.com/track/click?u=204ab593816f00613a1b8e50c&id=2704011843&e=3d6491046a)>

Valencia Street's quirky, independent businesses hit hard by COVID-19

[https://missionlocal.us3.list-manage.com/track/click?](https://missionlocal.us3.list-manage.com/track/click?u=204ab593816f00613a1b8e50c&id=adebdae7c0&e=3d6491046a)

[u=204ab593816f00613a1b8e50c&id=adebdae7c0&e=3d6491046a](https://missionlocal.us3.list-manage.com/track/click?u=204ab593816f00613a1b8e50c&id=adebdae7c0&e=3d6491046a)>

The COVID-19 pandemic may now count Valencia Street's quiriness among its victims. After 22 years, Schuplatz Vintage will not reopen, and after 20 years Laku, a boutique where every item seems to be a handmade art piece, will close its doors permanently sometime later this summer. Yes, their stories are more complicated than the virus [...]

Read More [https://missionlocal.us3.list-manage.com/track/click?](https://missionlocal.us3.list-manage.com/track/click?u=204ab593816f00613a1b8e50c&id=ebd56ee72f&e=3d6491046a)

[u=204ab593816f00613a1b8e50c&id=ebd56ee72f&e=3d6491046a](https://missionlocal.us3.list-manage.com/track/click?u=204ab593816f00613a1b8e50c&id=ebd56ee72f&e=3d6491046a)>

Neighborhood Notes: A virtual premiere of a documentary by a Mission Local alumnus, a social distanced sidewalk sale, and more virtual events

[https://missionlocal.us3.list-manage.com/track/click?](https://missionlocal.us3.list-manage.com/track/click?u=204ab593816f00613a1b8e50c&id=e128754c13&e=3d6491046a)

[u=204ab593816f00613a1b8e50c&id=e128754c13&e=3d6491046a](https://missionlocal.us3.list-manage.com/track/click?u=204ab593816f00613a1b8e50c&id=e128754c13&e=3d6491046a)>

The Aesthetic Union at 555 Alabama St. will host a sidewalk sale on Saturday, May 30 from 10 a.m. to 2 p.m. Social distancing will be observed. They promise, "loads of things for you to buy. Limited edition prints, hardware, shop tools, trinkets, offprints, frames, art supplies, and maybe even some random stuff from our [...]"

Read More [https://missionlocal.us3.list-manage.com/track/click?](https://missionlocal.us3.list-manage.com/track/click?u=204ab593816f00613a1b8e50c&id=df9ef68142&e=3d6491046a)

[u=204ab593816f00613a1b8e50c&id=df9ef68142&e=3d6491046a](https://missionlocal.us3.list-manage.com/track/click?u=204ab593816f00613a1b8e50c&id=df9ef68142&e=3d6491046a)>

Daily COVID-19 data tracker: 36 new cases, one new death

<<https://missionlocal.us3.list-manage.com/track/click?u=204ab593816f00613a1b8e50c&id=abdd921757&e=3d6491046a>>

The San Francisco Department of Public Health provides daily updates each morning. We will do the same. The most recent numbers from the Health Department show 36 newly announced confirmed cases, and one new death as of yesterday. The new fatality was added with a confirmation date of May 26, three days ago. Of the [...]

Read More <<https://missionlocal.us3.list-manage.com/track/click?u=204ab593816f00613a1b8e50c&id=8f40157887&e=3d6491046a>>

Hey Regular Readers!

Your commitment must be stronger if you want a place to return to. Join Today <<https://missionlocal.us3.list-manage.com/track/click?u=204ab593816f00613a1b8e50c&id=3c10e2167b&e=3d6491046a>>

SNAPS <<https://missionlocal.us3.list-manage.com/track/click?u=204ab593816f00613a1b8e50c&id=aea0a4a46e&e=3d6491046a>> RESTAURANT REVIEWS

<<https://missionlocal.us3.list-manage.com/track/click?u=204ab593816f00613a1b8e50c&id=fe3903bf5d&e=3d6491046a>> EVENTS <<https://missionlocal.us3.list-manage.com/track/click?u=204ab593816f00613a1b8e50c&id=5915f40ce3&e=3d6491046a>>

<<https://gallery.mailchimp.com/204ab593816f00613a1b8e50c/images/5ee45b73-ef77-42c8-961c-12e7c31ef4b7.png>>

Connect with Us

<<https://missionlocal.us3.list-manage.com/track/click?u=204ab593816f00613a1b8e50c&id=cbca2aad60&e=3d6491046a>> <<https://missionlocal.us3.list-manage.com/track/click?u=204ab593816f00613a1b8e50c&id=c6984f7403&e=3d6491046a>>
<<https://missionlocal.us3.list-manage.com/track/click?u=204ab593816f00613a1b8e50c&id=28cd581672&e=3d6491046a>>

<<https://missionlocal.us3.list-manage.com/track/click?u=204ab593816f00613a1b8e50c&id=cae9ca0100&e=3d6491046a>>

Copyright © 2020 Mission Local, Inc., All rights reserved.
Thank you for subscribing to Mission Local!

Our mailing address is:

Mission Local, Inc.
2489 Mission St.
Suite 14
San Francisco, CA 94110

Add us to your address book <<https://missionlocal.us3.list-manage.com/vcard?u=204ab593816f00613a1b8e50c&id=05b141c840>>

Want to change how you receive these emails?

You can update your preferences <<https://missionlocal.us3.list-manage.com/profile?u=204ab593816f00613a1b8e50c&id=05b141c840&e=3d6491046a>> or unsubscribe from this list <<https://missionlocal.us3.list-manage.com/unsubscribe?u=204ab593816f00613a1b8e50c&id=05b141c840&e=3d6491046a&c=0cd4a52629>> .

<<https://missionlocal.us3.list-manage.com/track/click?u=204ab593816f00613a1b8e50c&id=5bcef6f539&e=3d6491046a>> Share <<https://missionlocal.us3.list-manage.com/track/click?u=204ab593816f00613a1b8e50c&id=147956e047&e=3d6491046a>>
<<https://missionlocal.us3.list-manage.com/track/click?u=204ab593816f00613a1b8e50c&id=0357e6a4df&e=3d6491046a>> Tweet <<https://missionlocal.us3.list-manage.com/track/click?u=204ab593816f00613a1b8e50c&id=2cd98f0e14&e=3d6491046a>>
<<http://us3.forward-to-friend.com/forward?u=204ab593816f00613a1b8e50c&id=0cd4a52629&e=3d6491046a>>
Forward <<http://us3.forward-to-friend.com/forward?u=204ab593816f00613a1b8e50c&id=0cd4a52629&e=3d6491046a>>
<<https://missionlocal.us3.list-manage.com/track/open.php?u=204ab593816f00613a1b8e50c&id=0cd4a52629&e=3d6491046a>>

From: kaywoot@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Kasey Wooten](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Saturday, May 30, 2020 8:35:40 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Kasey Wooten
kaywoot@gmail.com

From: kbreen40@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Kellen Breen](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Saturday, May 30, 2020 9:35:08 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Mr Kellen Breen
kbreen40@gmail.com

From: alexlofton@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Alex Lofton](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Saturday, May 30, 2020 9:42:21 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Mr Alex Lofton
alexlofton@gmail.com

From: lindsayrae1994@yahoo.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Lindsay Weisenberger](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Saturday, May 30, 2020 10:29:52 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Lindsay Weisenberger
lindsayrae1994@yahoo.com

From: jessicako94@yahoo.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [jessica ko](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Saturday, May 30, 2020 10:31:13 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Jessica Ko
jessicako94@yahoo.com

From: aebustion@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Annamarie Bustion](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Saturday, May 30, 2020 12:37:36 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Annamarie Bustion
aebustion@gmail.com

From: samrigelbowman@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Sam Bowman](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Saturday, May 30, 2020 1:01:35 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Mr Sam Bowman
samrigelbowman@gmail.com

From: jenmbrothers@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Jennifer Brothers](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Saturday, May 30, 2020 1:03:01 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Jennifer Brothers
jenmbrothers@gmail.com

From: warneke7@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Alexander Warneke](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Saturday, May 30, 2020 1:10:18 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Mr Alexander Warneke
warneke7@gmail.com

From: dsPELL47@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Deja Spell](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Saturday, May 30, 2020 1:10:36 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Deja Spell
dspell47@gmail.com

From: yesalvarj@gmail.com on behalf of [Yesenia Alvarez](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Saturday, May 30, 2020 1:12:22 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Yesenia Alvarez
yesalvarj@gmail.com

From: christa.hartsock@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Christa Hartsock](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Saturday, May 30, 2020 1:20:17 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco / D5 resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to **OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.**

I imagine you've received a number of these form letters and as a result may not read the details of each one, but I wanted to add that the specifics in the letter below speak for me, as someone who lent my voice against the SFPD adoption of tasers and currently works on criminal record clearance across the country. I urge you all to reject Tung and Creed's nominations to the police commission. Thank you.

Christa

--

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have **STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY.** This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities **INCREASED** use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. **GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES** and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung **ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA.** She even accepted \$500 from **RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY.** The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can **NEVER** be trusted to hold SFPD officers **ACCOUNTABLE.** The POA **DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES** like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Mx. Christa Hartsock
christa.hartsock@gmail.com

From: amber=ogata.net@dsasf.org on behalf of [Amber Ogata](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Saturday, May 30, 2020 1:40:34 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Amber Ogata
amber@ogata.net

From: gabby.molina.222@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Gabby Molina](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Saturday, May 30, 2020 1:58:49 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Gabby Molina
gabby.molina.222@gmail.com

From: aroubinian@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Aram Roubinian](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Saturday, May 30, 2020 2:01:48 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Mr Aram Roubinian
aroubinian@gmail.com

From: hana.l.haber@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Hana Haber](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Saturday, May 30, 2020 2:07:41 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Hana Haber
hana.l.haber@gmail.com

From: jglass48@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Jenna Glass](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Saturday, May 30, 2020 2:18:42 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Jenna Glass
jglass48@gmail.com

From: lat008@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Lee Trope](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Saturday, May 30, 2020 2:25:00 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a District 10 San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to **OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.**

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have **STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY.** This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities **INCREASED** use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. **GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES** and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung **ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA.** She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can **NEVER** be trusted to hold SFPD officers **ACCOUNTABLE.** The POA **DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES** like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly **OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS** of San Francisco residents to date. **AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY.** We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Dr. Lee Trope
lat008@gmail.com

From: emiliestruthers@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Emilie Struthers](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Saturday, May 30, 2020 2:30:03 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

Emilie Struthers

--

Ms Emilie Struthers
emiliestruthers@gmail.com

From: jpearson711@gmail.com on behalf of [Jessie Pearson](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Saturday, May 30, 2020 2:39:09 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Jessie Pearson
jpearson711@gmail.com

From: kmartinez12=dons.usfca.edu@dsasf.org on behalf of [Kiana Martinez](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Saturday, May 30, 2020 2:40:43 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Kiana Martinez
kmartinez12@dons.usfca.edu

From: brndnhgrty@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Brendan Hagarty](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Saturday, May 30, 2020 2:49:04 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Mr Brendan Hagarty
brndnhgrty@gmail.com

From: joseph.guarna@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Joe Guarna](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Saturday, May 30, 2020 2:52:21 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Mr Joe Guarna
joseph.guarna@gmail.com

From: sncalderon3@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Stephanie Calderon](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Saturday, May 30, 2020 2:54:17 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Stephanie Calderon
sncalderon3@gmail.com

From: mcgoverninc@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Erin McGovern](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Saturday, May 30, 2020 3:01:07 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Erin McGovern
mcgovernerinc@gmail.com

From: em.flanamor@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Emily Morris](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Saturday, May 30, 2020 3:08:33 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Emily Morris
em.flanamor@gmail.com

From: smassarweh@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Shirene Massarweh](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Saturday, May 30, 2020 3:09:06 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Shirene Massarweh
smassarweh@gmail.com

From: jess=x86.wtf@dsasf.org on behalf of [Jess Shapiro](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Saturday, May 30, 2020 3:36:21 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Jess Shapiro
jess@x86.wtf

From: patrick.j.kirby@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Patrick Kirby](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Saturday, May 30, 2020 3:36:34 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

Patrick Kirby

--

Ms Patrick Kirby
patrick.j.kirby@gmail.com

From: chrisleader87@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Chris Leader](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Saturday, May 30, 2020 3:56:56 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Mr Chris Leader
chrisleader87@gmail.com

From: daisypl@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Daisy Pistey-Lyhne](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Saturday, May 30, 2020 3:59:45 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a past and future San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Daisy Pistey-Lyhne
daisypl@gmail.com

From: sujung.kim=sbcglobal.net@dsasf.org on behalf of [Sujung Kim](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Saturday, May 30, 2020 4:06:57 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Sujung Kim
sujung_kim@sbcglobal.net

From: yuhe.f.wang@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Yuhe Wang](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Saturday, May 30, 2020 4:28:58 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and voter in District 9. I strongly oppose Nancy Tung's and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed's nominations to the Police Commission.

I echo what I am sure are sentiments expressed in other letters about how Nancy Tung's positions do not reflect those of city residents'. She is unfit to be a Police Commissioner — her positions facilitate abuses of police powers. She supports stripping the Police Commission of the power to oversee taser use-of-force policy. Protecting the Police Commission's power to meaningfully enforce its policies seems like a baseline qualification for appointment to the Police Commission, a qualification Nancy Tung's own positions demonstrates she does not meet.

She also consistently supports other harsh, punitive, and, most of all, harmful policies that increase the danger the police poses to everyone in the city and especially those who are a part of the city's Black and Latinx communities and who the police disproportionately target. She supports the continued usage of tasers, in spite of the fact that residents voted against them in 2018. Research has proven that they do not decrease the use of force the police use and actually increase in-custody deaths. She opposed closing Juvenile Hall in spite of its documented abuses, its exponentially higher cost in comparison to other methods of redress, and being far less effective those methods in supporting the city's young people. She supports cash bail — another punitive and ineffective policy that often only punishes low-income people who have not even been convicted of a crime. She accepted money from the POA during her campaign for District Attorney. Any financial support from the POA makes her ability to be an impartial judge of the police questionable. She has already opposed prosecuting police officers who were involved in shootings in the city.

Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission — or, at the very least, the absence of information on his positions on policing inspire little confidence in his ability to be a valuable addition to the Police Commission and that he will act in a way that reflects the values of the city and its voters. He has no public history of engaging with criminal justice issues or of representing people and communities policing policies impact. He has no public stance on the Taser Use-of-Force Policy, and no indication of any reference to any public engagement with all the incredibly important issues the Police Commission is regularly tasked with overseeing.

We already needed civilian Police Commissioners whose is more interested in protecting the city's residents than in supporting police. I believe current events make that fact impossible to ignore and put that need into sharp relief. The city's police force has already demonstrated that they are dangerous to the public — law enforcement has shot multiple people this year alone, not to mention the shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongara Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams, and every other person who the SFPD has killed, all of which the city has failed to adequately address with appropriate disciplinary action.

Police Commissioners serve such a vital role in protecting the city from police abuse. It would be a mistake to approve Nancy Tung's and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed's nominations — Nancy Tung for her demonstrated positions that would make her a lax enforcer of police abuse and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for the absence of any information on his positions for such an important role. San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department.

I also wanted to add that I have heard that part of the support for Nancy Tung's candidacy is that there is currently no Asian American representation on the board. As a Chinese American resident, I find it insulting that people are suggesting merely appointing a token Asian American member to the Police Commission is sufficient to represent the interests of our complex and multifaceted communities. I want a representative who is not only a member of our communities, but one who is actively invested in protecting our community members most in need —and have demonstrated thoughtful, researched positions that would act in our best interests. Nancy Tung and her harmful policy positions have proven she is not that person.

Please do not approve either Nancy Tung or Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for a position on the Police Commission. San Francisco city residents deserve representatives who are passionate and committed to police reform, not collusion or a total lack of previous public involvement. I urge to vote against both Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed.

Thank you,
Y. Faye Wang

--

Ms Yuhe Wang
yuhe.f.wang@gmail.com

From: haegen.teliman@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Haegen Crosby](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Saturday, May 30, 2020 4:32:11 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Mr Haegen Crosby
haegen.teliman@gmail.com

From: zjernst@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Zachary Ernst](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Saturday, May 30, 2020 4:42:50 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Mr Zachary Ernst
zjernst@gmail.com

From: ty.mcgraw@hotmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Taylor McGraw](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Saturday, May 30, 2020 4:44:37 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Taylor McGraw
ty.mcgraw@hotmail.com

From: vanessajlieu@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Vanessa Lieu](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Saturday, May 30, 2020 5:31:04 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Vanessa Lieu
vanessajlieu@gmail.com

From: marikoreed@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Mariko Reed](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Saturday, May 30, 2020 5:45:00 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Mariko Reed
marikoreed@gmail.com

From: stevenmichaelwarner@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Steven Warner](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Saturday, May 30, 2020 6:26:24 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Mr Steven Warner
stevenmichaelwarner@gmail.com

From: jacquelinejrog@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Jacqueline Rogers](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Saturday, May 30, 2020 6:28:01 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Jacqueline Rogers
jacquelinejrog@gmail.com

From: debrickastaylor@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Debricka Taylor](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Saturday, May 30, 2020 6:33:46 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Debricka Taylor
debrickastaylor@gmail.com

From: andrea4maicha2016@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Andrea Gilbert](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Saturday, May 30, 2020 6:33:56 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Andrea Gilbert
andrea4maicha2016@gmail.com

From: lauralovesverse@yahoo.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Laura Gonzalez](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Saturday, May 30, 2020 6:34:01 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Dr. Laura Gonzalez
lauralovesverse@yahoo.com

From: lex=punkpost.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Alexis Monson](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Saturday, May 30, 2020 6:34:28 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Alexis Monson
lex@punkpost.com

From: tiffanyowns6@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Alondra Suarez](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Saturday, May 30, 2020 6:35:51 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Alondra Suarez
tiffanyowns6@gmail.com

From: latrelle.swayne@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Latrelle Swayne](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Saturday, May 30, 2020 6:35:59 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Mr Latrelle Swayne
latrelle.swayne@gmail.com

From: julia_williams94@yahoo.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Julia Williams](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Saturday, May 30, 2020 6:37:14 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Julia Williams
julia_williams94@yahoo.com

From: edithedith894@yahoo.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Edith Contreras](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Saturday, May 30, 2020 6:37:34 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Edith Contreras
edithedith894@yahoo.com

From: ronicmwest@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Ronic West](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Saturday, May 30, 2020 6:37:55 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Ronic West
ronicmwest@gmail.com

From: evie=dotgarden.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Evelyn Leder](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Saturday, May 30, 2020 6:38:07 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident in Duboce Triangle and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Evelyn Leder
evie@dotgarden.com

From: shrushtipatel1999@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Shrushti Patel](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Saturday, May 30, 2020 6:38:14 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,
Shrushti Patel

--

Ms Shrushti Patel
shrushtipatel1999@gmail.com

From: lmdesautell111@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Lisa Desautell](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Saturday, May 30, 2020 6:38:27 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Mrs Lisa Desautell
lmdesautell111@gmail.com

From: grablewskimaeve@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Maeve Grablewski](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Saturday, May 30, 2020 6:38:40 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Miss Maeve Grablewski
grablewskimaeve@gmail.com

From: neci_dodd@yahoo.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Vanecia Pollard](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Saturday, May 30, 2020 6:38:43 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Vanecia Pollard
neci_dodd@yahoo.com

From: haemincho@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Hae Min Cho](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Saturday, May 30, 2020 6:39:50 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Dr. Hae Min Cho
haemincho@gmail.com

From: mfonidoabasiii@yahoo.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Mfon Emmanuel](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Saturday, May 30, 2020 6:40:15 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Mfon Emmanuel
mfonidoabasiii@yahoo.com

From: jarevalo=ucdavis.edu@dsasf.org on behalf of [Jeannette Arevalo](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Saturday, May 30, 2020 6:40:53 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Jeannette Arevalo
jarevalo@ucdavis.edu

From: volisvid@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Rae Zehel](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Saturday, May 30, 2020 6:41:36 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Rae Zehel
volisvid@gmail.com

From: bmagaa11@yahoo.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Brenda Flores](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Saturday, May 30, 2020 6:41:40 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Brenda Flores
bmagaal1@yahoo.com

From: brooks.barron@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Brooks Barron](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Saturday, May 30, 2020 6:41:54 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Mr Brooks Barron
brooks.barron@gmail.com

From: briannamw5401@gmail.com on behalf of [Brianna Ward](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Saturday, May 30, 2020 6:42:00 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Miss Brianna Ward
briannamw5401@gmail.com

From: ngnmirzai@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Negien Mirzai](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Saturday, May 30, 2020 6:42:04 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Negien Mirzai
ngnmirzai@gmail.com

From: thekristakim=icloud.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Krista Kim](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Saturday, May 30, 2020 6:42:11 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Krista Kim
thekristakim@icloud.com

From: olaniyitosin247@gmail.com on behalf of [Favout Olaniyi](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Saturday, May 30, 2020 6:42:31 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am not a San Francisco resident but I am writing to strongly urge you to **OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.**

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have **STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY.** This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities **INCREASED** use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. **GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES** and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung **ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA.** She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can **NEVER** be trusted to hold SFPD officers **ACCOUNTABLE.** The POA **DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES** like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly **OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS** of San Francisco residents to date. **AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY.** We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Favout Olaniyi
olaniyitosin247@gmail.com

From: vromolcsw@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Veronica Romo](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Saturday, May 30, 2020 6:42:45 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Veronica Romo
vromolcs@gmail.com

From: deathnovack@hotmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Og Keppz](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Saturday, May 30, 2020 6:43:13 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Mr Og Keppz
deathnovack@hotmail.com

From: ehakimmouly@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Elamar Hakim Mouly](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Saturday, May 30, 2020 6:44:21 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Elamar Hakim Mouly
ehakimmouly@gmail.com

From: leeterry@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Lee Terry](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Saturday, May 30, 2020 6:44:23 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Lee Terry
leeterry@gmail.com

From: jadenhiscoe@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Jaden Hiscoe](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Saturday, May 30, 2020 6:45:26 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Mr Jaden Hiscoe
jadenhiscoe@gmail.com

From: arceniaromoromoarcenia@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Arcenia Romo](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Saturday, May 30, 2020 6:45:31 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Arcenia Romo
arceniaromoromoarcenia@gmail.com

From: aminahjoudeh@yahoo.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Aminah Joudeh](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Saturday, May 30, 2020 6:47:47 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you.

--

Ms Aminah Joudeh
aminahjoudeh@yahoo.com

From: haganad1@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Adriene Murphy](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Saturday, May 30, 2020 6:48:16 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am not a San Francisco resident, however I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Mrs Adriene Murphy
haganad1@gmail.com

From: ntnelson1982@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Nick Nelson](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Saturday, May 30, 2020 6:50:33 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Mr Nick Nelson
ntnelson1982@gmail.com

From: att=att.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Brian Shultz](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Saturday, May 30, 2020 6:51:18 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Mr Brian Shultz
att@att.com

From: sammiepauni@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Sammie Pauni](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Saturday, May 30, 2020 6:51:19 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Sammie Pauni
sammiepauni@gmail.com

From: mary.murillo.99@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Mary Murillo](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Saturday, May 30, 2020 6:51:32 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Mary Murillo
mary.murillo.99@gmail.com

From: Ldierenfield@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Lindsey Dierenfield](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Saturday, May 30, 2020 6:51:37 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Mrs Lindsey Dierenfield
l.dierenfield@gmail.com

From: angelojcartas@yahoo.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [AJ Cartas](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Saturday, May 30, 2020 6:51:46 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Mr AJ Cartas
angelojcartas@yahoo.com

From: mramirez3580@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Marlene Ramirez](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Saturday, May 30, 2020 6:51:51 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Marlene Ramirez
mramirez3580@gmail.com

From: adeeba.mahmood.am@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Adeeba Mahmood](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Saturday, May 30, 2020 6:52:42 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Adeeba Mahmood
adeeba.mahmood.am@gmail.com

From: budaraju.janya@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Janya Budaraju](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Saturday, May 30, 2020 6:55:18 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Janya Budaraju
budaraju.janya@gmail.com

From: aaronasilo@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Aaron Asilo](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Saturday, May 30, 2020 6:55:23 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Mr Aaron Asilo
aaronasilo@gmail.com

From: davejackson90@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [David Alexander Jackson](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Saturday, May 30, 2020 6:55:24 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Mr David Alexander Jackson
davejackson90@gmail.com

From: a.madera14.am@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Andres Madera](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Saturday, May 30, 2020 6:56:05 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am Californian, and enjoy the city of San Francisco. I am writing to strongly urge you to **OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.**

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have **STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY.** This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities **INCREASED** use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. **GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES** and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung **ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA.** She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can **NEVER** be trusted to hold SFPD officers **ACCOUNTABLE.** The POA **DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES** like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly **OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS** of San Francisco residents to date. **AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY.** We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Mr Andres Madera
a.madera14.am@gmail.com

From: nguanhenrietta@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Henrietta Nguan](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Saturday, May 30, 2020 6:56:19 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Henrietta Ngan
nguanhenrietta@gmail.com

From: gulmary93@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Mary Ergul](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Saturday, May 30, 2020 6:56:41 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Mary Ergul
gulmary93@gmail.com

From: charlenestolas@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Charlene Estolas](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Saturday, May 30, 2020 6:56:51 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Charlene Estolas
charlenestolas@gmail.com

From: atharv.rohatgi@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Atharva R](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Saturday, May 30, 2020 6:57:57 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Mr Atharva R
atharv.rohatgi@gmail.com

From: annisaglo=icloud.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Annisa Glow](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Saturday, May 30, 2020 7:02:15 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Annisa Glow
annisaglo@icloud.com

From: jennifertran23@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Jennifer Tran](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Saturday, May 30, 2020 7:02:32 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Jennifer Tran
jennifertran23@gmail.com

From: rediaz111@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Roberto Diaz](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Saturday, May 30, 2020 7:04:34 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Mr Roberto Diaz
rediaz111@gmail.com

From: fatjoez@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Pablo Renato](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Saturday, May 30, 2020 7:04:41 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Mr Pablo Renato
fatjoez@gmail.com

From: leandrojasmine@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Jasmine leandro](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Saturday, May 30, 2020 7:05:36 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a Los Angeles resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most Los angels and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Jasmine leandro
leandrojasmine@gmail.com

From: alexandratoledo33@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Alex Toledo](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Saturday, May 30, 2020 7:06:59 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Alex Toledo
alexandratoledo33@gmail.com

From: oceliastanley@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Ocelia Stanley](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Saturday, May 30, 2020 7:08:03 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Ocelia Stanley
oceliastanley@gmail.com

From: hebshskka=dgamks.cl@dsasf.org on behalf of [Angelica Flores](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Saturday, May 30, 2020 7:08:04 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Angelica Flores
hebshskka@dgamks.cl

From: dbball@mail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Dixon Oliver](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Saturday, May 30, 2020 7:08:08 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Mr Dixon Oliver
dbball@mail.com

From: leighaj08@gmail@dsasf.org on behalf of [Leigha Glossip](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Saturday, May 30, 2020 7:09:11 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Leigha Glossip
leighaj08@gmail

From: harbar1@yahoo.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Harrison Barnes](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Saturday, May 30, 2020 7:10:43 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Mr Harrison Barnes
harbar1@yahoo.com

From: aminahca3@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Aminah Carrington](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Saturday, May 30, 2020 7:13:11 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a Connecticut resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Aminah Carrington
aminahca3@gmail.com

From: marlabetz71=outlook.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Marlabetz Figueroa](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Saturday, May 30, 2020 7:14:40 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Marlabetz Figueroa
marlabetz71@outlook.com

From: landryolivia9@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Olivia Landry](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Saturday, May 30, 2020 7:19:53 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Miss Olivia Landry
landryolivia9@gmail.com

From: eilidhmackenzie2@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Eilidh Grant](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Saturday, May 30, 2020 7:21:16 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Eilidh Grant
eilidhmackenzie2@gmail.com

From: yaniverse@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Yaniv Stopnitzky](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Saturday, May 30, 2020 7:21:45 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a professor at the University of San Francisco and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Dr. Yaniv Stopnitzky
yaniverse@gmail.com

From: lukenemy7@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Luke Nemy](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Saturday, May 30, 2020 7:22:32 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to ~OPPOSE~ THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Mr Luke Nemy
lukenemy7@gmail.com

From: vicko.muir=icloud.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Vic Muir](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Saturday, May 30, 2020 7:23:57 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Mr Vic Muir
vicko.muir@icloud.com

From: leungadrienne@yahoo.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Adrienne Leung](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Saturday, May 30, 2020 7:23:59 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Adrienne Leung
leungadrienne@yahoo.com

From: dreac.brush@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Andrea Brush](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Saturday, May 30, 2020 7:27:58 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Andrea Brush
dreac.brush@gmail.com

From: maxbmargolis@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Max Margolis](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Saturday, May 30, 2020 7:30:19 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Dr. Max Margolis
maxbmargolis@gmail.com

From: scorpiocutie1000@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Ashley_RS](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Saturday, May 30, 2020 7:31:01 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Ashley RS
scorpiocutie1000@gmail.com

From: dasuljung@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Eunice Jung](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Saturday, May 30, 2020 7:34:24 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Miss Eunice Jung
dasuljung@gmail.com

From: wkped93@gmail.com on behalf of [Kelly Lee](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Saturday, May 30, 2020 7:38:15 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Kelly Lee
wkped93@gmail.com

From: lopezjosem.sf@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Jose Lopez](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Saturday, May 30, 2020 7:40:50 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Mr Jose Lopez
lopezjosem.sf@gmail.com

From: npreute@gmail.com on behalf of [Noah Preute](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Saturday, May 30, 2020 7:43:49 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Mr Noah Preute
npreute@gmail.com

From: nnekarose@yahoo.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Roseline Nnoli](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Saturday, May 30, 2020 7:44:16 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Roseline Nnoli
nnekarose@yahoo.com

From: dredscott1=mac.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Derrick Mapp](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Saturday, May 30, 2020 7:54:09 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Mr Derrick Mapp
dredscott1@mac.com

From: magsimumoverdrive@gmail@dsasf.org on behalf of [M.D](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Saturday, May 30, 2020 7:57:40 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms M D

magsimumoverdrive@gmail

From: shriya.bajagur@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Shriya Bajagur](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Saturday, May 30, 2020 7:59:25 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Shriya Bajagur
shriya.bajagur@gmail.com

From: tarawneh.zain@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Zain Tarawneh](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Saturday, May 30, 2020 7:59:37 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Zain Tarawneh
tarawneh.zain@gmail.com

From: beeza95@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Naomi Danielle](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Saturday, May 30, 2020 7:59:37 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Naomi Danielle
beeza95@gmail.com

From: queenlala4l@icloud.com on behalf of [Ursula Senders](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Saturday, May 30, 2020 8:03:00 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Ursula Senders
queenlala41@icloud.com

From: akaplan716@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Andrew Kaplan](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Saturday, May 30, 2020 8:12:05 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Mr Andrew Kaplan
akaplan716@gmail.com

From: opealabi=rocketmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Ope Alabi](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Saturday, May 30, 2020 8:18:33 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Mr Ope Alabi
opealabi@rocketmail.com

From: monealy@yahoo.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Ataataoletaeao McNealy](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Saturday, May 30, 2020 8:18:34 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Ataataoletaeao McNealy
monealy@yahoo.com

From: jfrasmussem@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Júlia Forte Rasmussem](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Saturday, May 30, 2020 8:21:47 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Miss Júlia Forte Rasmussem
jfrasmussem@gmail.com

From: lisabellamyuwi@hotmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Lisa Bellamy](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Saturday, May 30, 2020 8:24:39 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Lisa Bellamy
lisabellamywi@hotmail.com

From: eden.pitschmann@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Eden Pitschmann](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Saturday, May 30, 2020 8:26:28 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Eden Pitschmann
eden.pitschmann@gmail.com

From: asmetaniuk91@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Alexandra Smetaniuk](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Saturday, May 30, 2020 8:30:12 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,
Alex

--

Ms Alexandra Smetaniuk
asmetaniuk91@gmail.com

From: andihayes03@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Andi Hayes](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Saturday, May 30, 2020 8:32:19 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Andi Hayes
andihayes03@gmail.com

From: stanleysvision@yahoo.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Greg Brown](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Saturday, May 30, 2020 8:32:35 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Mr Greg Brown
stanleysvision@yahoo.com

From: lilaasiya@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Aasiya N](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Saturday, May 30, 2020 8:35:20 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Aasiya N
lilaasiya@gmail.com

From: roybaga@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Roy Baghaei](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Saturday, May 30, 2020 8:35:46 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Mr Roy Baghaei
roybaga@gmail.com

From: gabrielleotis=live.ca@dsasf.org on behalf of [Gabrielle Otis](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Saturday, May 30, 2020 8:41:51 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,
Gabrielle

--

Ms Gabrielle Otis
gabrielleotis@live.ca

From: jtbowen0@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [JT Bowen](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Saturday, May 30, 2020 8:42:51 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Mr JT Bowen
jtbowen0@gmail.com

From: sahnahvoss@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Sahnah Dhrif](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Saturday, May 30, 2020 8:46:40 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Sahnah Dhrif
sahnahvoss@gmail.com

From: zionloyden@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Zion Loyden](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Saturday, May 30, 2020 8:47:40 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Zion Loyden
zionloyden@gmail.com

From: scott.toya@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [LaToya Scott](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Saturday, May 30, 2020 8:48:37 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms LaToya Scott
scott.toya@gmail.com

From: momuzic=outlook.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Mark Morris](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Saturday, May 30, 2020 8:56:48 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Mr Mark Morris
momuzic@outlook.com

From: wprudencia@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Prudencia Wray](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Saturday, May 30, 2020 8:57:00 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Prudencia Wray
wprudencia@gmail.com

From: nick.naw.walsh@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Nicholas Walsh](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Saturday, May 30, 2020 8:59:17 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Mr Nicholas Walsh
nick.naw.walsh@gmail.com

From: lavieboheme_33@hotmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Antonette A](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Saturday, May 30, 2020 9:00:22 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Mrs Antonette A
lavieboheme_33@hotmail.com

From: sara_al19=live.ca@dsasf.org on behalf of [Sara Al-Jawhary](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Saturday, May 30, 2020 9:05:08 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Sara Al-Jawhary
sara_al19@live.ca

From: vaknight8@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Vanessa Knight](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Saturday, May 30, 2020 9:11:46 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Vanessa Knight
vaknight8@gmail.com

From: gebhanna@yahoo.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Hanna Gebreyesus](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Saturday, May 30, 2020 9:11:52 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Hanna Gebreyesus
gebhanna@yahoo.com

From: ccadet1027@gmail.com on behalf of [Collin Cadet](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Saturday, May 30, 2020 9:11:55 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Mr Collin Cadet
ccadet1027@gmail.com

From: mle100103@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Maggie Estes](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Saturday, May 30, 2020 9:17:04 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Maggie Estes
mle100103@gmail.com

From: wally.brostrom@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Walter Brostrom](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Saturday, May 30, 2020 9:21:28 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Mr Walter Brostrom
wally.brostrom@gmail.com

From: jamiejamie1454@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Jamie Avery](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Saturday, May 30, 2020 9:26:44 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Jamie Avery
jamiejamie1454@gmail.com

From: andrea.a.kraus@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Andrea Kraus](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Saturday, May 30, 2020 9:27:32 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Andrea Kraus
andrea.a.kraus@gmail.com

From: kameliso@yahoo.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Karen Augustin](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Saturday, May 30, 2020 9:32:38 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Karen Augustin
kameliso@yahoo.com

From: alexander.w.finch@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Alex Finch](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Saturday, May 30, 2020 9:40:44 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Mr Alex Finch
alexander.w.finch@gmail.com

From: dooitjohn@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [John Dooit](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Saturday, May 30, 2020 9:46:46 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Mr John Dooit
dooitjohn@gmail.com

From: arshadhannah6@gmail.com on behalf of [Hannah Arshad](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Saturday, May 30, 2020 9:50:06 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Miss Hannah Arshad
arshadhannah6@gmail.com

From: sanchezchile9@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Natalia Basualdo](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Saturday, May 30, 2020 9:58:52 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

In a time like this we need leaders in police commission that the community can trust to hold officers that abuse their rights accountable!

Thank you,

Natalia

--

Ms Natalia Basualdo
sanchezchile9@gmail.com

From: alemramirez02@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Ale Ramirez](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Saturday, May 30, 2020 9:59:37 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Ale Ramirez
alemramirez02@gmail.com

From: haliJha@aol.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [ayanna harris](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Saturday, May 30, 2020 10:02:25 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Mrs ayanna harris
haliJha@aol.com

From: Board.of.supervisors=sfgov.org@dsasf.org on behalf of [Nancy Tung](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Saturday, May 30, 2020 10:05:59 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Nancy Tung
Board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org

From: jose.acevedojimenez@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Jose Acevedo](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Saturday, May 30, 2020 10:06:05 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Mr Jose Acevedo
jose.acevedojimenez@gmail.com

From: amandaplease12@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Amanda Cusick](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Saturday, May 30, 2020 10:08:09 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Mx. Amanda Cusick
amandaplease12@gmail.com

From: linnaeagroh@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Linnaea Groh](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Saturday, May 30, 2020 10:09:24 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Linnaea Groh
linnaeagroh@gmail.com

From: ecc09@yahoo.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Elizabeth Cockrell](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Saturday, May 30, 2020 10:09:36 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Elizabeth Cockrell
ecc09@yahoo.com

From: misstm=protonmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [T. Martin](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Saturday, May 30, 2020 10:18:09 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms T Martin
misstm@protonmail.com

From: tiajenkins12@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Tiah Jenkins](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Saturday, May 30, 2020 10:18:50 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Tiah Jenkins
tiajenkins12@gmail.com

From: emilyliem94@hotmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Emily Liem](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Saturday, May 30, 2020 10:24:49 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Emily Liem
emilyliem94@hotmail.com

From: nick.kuzma@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Nicholas Kuzma](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Saturday, May 30, 2020 10:24:56 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Mr Nicholas Kuzma
nick.kuzma@gmail.com

From: zachary_ngin=brown.edu@dsasf.org on behalf of [Zachary Ngin](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Saturday, May 30, 2020 10:26:22 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

best,
Zach

--

Mr Zachary Ngin
zachary_ngin@brown.edu

From: monalisa_levy@yahoo.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Monalisa Levy](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Saturday, May 30, 2020 10:28:22 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Monalisa Levy
monalisa_levy@yahoo.com

From: coconut679@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Tracy Griffin](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Saturday, May 30, 2020 10:30:02 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Tracy Griffin
coconut679@gmail.com

From: iyurtaev58@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Andrei Anksiakov](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Saturday, May 30, 2020 10:35:50 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Mr Andrei Anksiakov
iyurtaev58@gmail.com

From: noramerriemitchell@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Nora Mitchell](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Saturday, May 30, 2020 10:36:34 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Nora Mitchell
noramerriemitchell@gmail.com

From: herrera.karen2401@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [karen herrera](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Saturday, May 30, 2020 10:46:26 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Miss karen herrera
herrera.karen2401@gmail.com

From: bethholmes333@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Beth Holmes](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Saturday, May 30, 2020 10:48:28 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Beth Holmes
bethholmes333@gmail.com

From: jamesoflongley@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [James Longley](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Saturday, May 30, 2020 10:49:04 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Mr James Longley
jamesoflongley@gmail.com

From: eefahy@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Erin Fahy](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Saturday, May 30, 2020 10:50:26 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to **OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.**

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have **STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY.** This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities **INCREASED** use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. **GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES** and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung **ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA.** She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can **NEVER** be trusted to hold SFPD officers **ACCOUNTABLE.** The POA **DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES** like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly **OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS** of San Francisco residents to date. **AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY.** We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Erin Fahy
eefahy@gmail.com

From: wolfishsarah@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Sarah Wolfish](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Saturday, May 30, 2020 10:52:22 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Sarah Wolfish
wolfishsarah@gmail.com

From: noagudelunas@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Noa Gudelunas](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Saturday, May 30, 2020 10:54:18 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to **OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.**

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have **STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY.** This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities **INCREASED** use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. **GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES** and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung **ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA.** She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can **NEVER** be trusted to hold SFPD officers **ACCOUNTABLE.** The POA **DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES** like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly **OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS** of San Francisco residents to date. **AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY.** We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Mr Noa Gudelunas
noagudelunas@gmail.com

From: ericyuensutter@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Eric Sutter](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Saturday, May 30, 2020 10:55:30 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Mr Eric Sutter
ericyuensutter@gmail.com

From: danielb.landry@yahoo.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Daniel Landry](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Saturday, May 30, 2020 10:56:41 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Daniel landry
danielb.landry@yahoo.com

From: carolinesharpe97@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Caroline Sharpe](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Saturday, May 30, 2020 11:11:04 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Caroline Sharpe
carolinesharpe97@gmail.com

From: michealasgrant@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Micheala Grant](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Saturday, May 30, 2020 11:17:26 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Micheala Grant
michealasgrant@gmail.com

From: msmantle=outlook.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Mina Mantle](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Saturday, May 30, 2020 11:17:58 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Mina Mantle
msmantle@outlook.com

From: mscho=bu.edu@dsasf.org on behalf of [Margaret Cho](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Saturday, May 30, 2020 11:21:47 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Margaret Cho
mscho@bu.edu

From: veronica.crystal@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [veronica mejia](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Saturday, May 30, 2020 11:26:54 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms veronica mejia
veronica.crystal@gmail.com

From: deanna.caggiano@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [DeAnna Caggiano](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Saturday, May 30, 2020 11:48:19 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms DeAnna Caggiano
deanna.caggiano@gmail.com

From: caitlynletran.04@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Caitlyn Letran](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Saturday, May 30, 2020 11:49:21 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Caitlyn Letran
caitlynletran.04@gmail.com

From: chingmarilyn415@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Marilyn Ching](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Saturday, May 30, 2020 11:51:20 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Marilyn Ching
chingmarilyn415@gmail.com

From: irabea@sfsud.edu@dsasf.org on behalf of [Irania Abea](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Sunday, May 31, 2020 12:20:36 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Irania Abea
irabea@s.fusd.edu

From: irisggonzalez@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [iris gonzalez](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Sunday, May 31, 2020 12:21:52 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms iris gonzalez
irisssgonzalez@gmail.com

From: stephanie_le91@yahoo.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Ney Le](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Sunday, May 31, 2020 12:38:48 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Ney Le
stephanie_le91@yahoo.com

From: laurenbev90@gmail.com on behalf of [Lauren Bradley](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Sunday, May 31, 2020 1:00:27 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a long-time San Francisco resident and I am writing to urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO.

Thank you,
Lauren Bradley

--

Ms Lauren Bradley
laurenbev90@gmail.com

From: clizarraga84@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Carlos Lizarraga](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Sunday, May 31, 2020 1:03:04 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Mr Carlos Lizarraga
clizarraga84@gmail.com

From: matt.suehiro@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Matt Suehiro](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Sunday, May 31, 2020 2:02:47 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Mr Matt Suehiro
matt.suehiro@gmail.com

From: evelinastef21@gmail.com on behalf of [Evelina Stefa](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Sunday, May 31, 2020 3:30:39 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Evelina Stefa
evelinastef21@gmail.com

From: mgriffie@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Malachi Griffie](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Sunday, May 31, 2020 3:43:01 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to my views and values and, I believe, the views and values of most San Franciscans. She should not represent us on the Police Commission.

Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO

HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you for taking the time to read this letter.

Regards,

Malachi Griffie

--

Mr Malachi Griffie
mgriffie@gmail.com

From: dip14@miami.edu@dsasf.org on behalf of [Dharma Proctor](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Sunday, May 31, 2020 5:02:53 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Dharma Proctor
dip14@miami.edu

From: ahengy2248@gmail.com on behalf of [Alexanna Hengy](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Sunday, May 31, 2020 5:31:05 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Alexanna Hengy
ahengy2248@gmail.com

From: courtspark@gmail.com on behalf of [Courtney Parker](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Sunday, May 31, 2020 6:17:18 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Courtney Parker
courtspark@gmail.com

From: kennedystepp_2023=depauw.edu@dsasf.org on behalf of [Kennedy Stepp](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Sunday, May 31, 2020 6:52:54 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Kennedy Stepp
kennedystepp_2023@depauw.edu

From: ginacargas@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Gina Cargas](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Sunday, May 31, 2020 6:53:16 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Gina Cargas
ginacargas@gmail.com

From: bdr4j@yahoo.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [B. Russell](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Sunday, May 31, 2020 7:13:09 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms B Russell
bdr4j@yahoo.com

From: jasmin.statham@yahoo.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Jasmin Statham](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Sunday, May 31, 2020 7:31:25 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Jasmin Statham
jasmin.statham@yahoo.com

From: ra.tello2008@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Reina Loli-Tello](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Sunday, May 31, 2020 7:54:56 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Reina Loli-Tello
ra.tello2008@gmail.com

From: dawsonemmettcooper@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [D Cooper](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Sunday, May 31, 2020 8:00:14 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Mx. D Cooper
dawsonemmettcooper@gmail.com

From: bryconthedj@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [gabriel kahn](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Sunday, May 31, 2020 8:04:06 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Mr gabriel kahn
bryconthedj@gmail.com

From: shreha@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [shreha Jain](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Sunday, May 31, 2020 8:13:20 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms shreha Jain
shreha@gmail.com

From: nina.sardesh@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Nina Sardesh](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Sunday, May 31, 2020 8:55:10 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Nina Sardesh
nina.sardesh@gmail.com

From: hannah.o.schaefer@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Hannah Schaefer](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Sunday, May 31, 2020 9:27:35 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Hannah Schaefer
hannah.o.schaefer@gmail.com

From: gruane415@gmail@dsasf.org on behalf of [Gabrielle Ruane](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Sunday, May 31, 2020 9:30:58 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Gabrielle Ruane
gruane415@gmail

From: Spencer.young.1986@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Spencer Young](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Sunday, May 31, 2020 9:34:00 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Mr Spencer Young
Spencer.young.1986@gmail.com

From: marsh.susan1986@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Susan Marsh](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Sunday, May 31, 2020 9:40:33 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Susan Marsh
marsh.susan1986@gmail.com

From: hodgesht@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Harrison Hodges](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Sunday, May 31, 2020 9:52:37 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Mr Harrison Hodges
hodesht@gmail.com

From: mkytomaa=berkeley.edu@dsasf.org on behalf of [Markus Kytomaa](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Sunday, May 31, 2020 10:38:02 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Mr Markus Kytomaa
mkytomaa@berkeley.edu

From: tom.tmax@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Thomas Paffel](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Sunday, May 31, 2020 10:40:08 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Mr Thomas Paffel
tom.tmax@gmail.com

From: anita.nabha@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Anita Nabha](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Sunday, May 31, 2020 10:42:22 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Anita Nabha
anita.nabha@gmail.com

From: hughgerstein@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Hugh Gerstein](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Sunday, May 31, 2020 10:42:59 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Mr Hugh Gerstein
hughgerstein@gmail.com

From: kate.a.smith24@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Kate Smith](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Sunday, May 31, 2020 11:17:09 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Kate Smith
kate.a.smith24@gmail.com

From: ccsfram@yahoo.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Connor Mocsny](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Sunday, May 31, 2020 11:17:27 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Mr Connor Mocsny
ccsfram@yahoo.com

From: terraronbin@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Terra Williams](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Sunday, May 31, 2020 11:20:13 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Terra Williams
terrarobin@gmail.com

From: anoliceline@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Ilona Solomon](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Sunday, May 31, 2020 11:22:26 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Ilona Solomon
anoliceline@gmail.com

From: carolyn.goossen1@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Carolyn Goossen](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Sunday, May 31, 2020 11:23:31 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Carolyn Goossen
carolyn.goossen1@gmail.com

From: juliana.rapalee@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Juliana Rapalee](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Sunday, May 31, 2020 11:28:39 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Juliana Rapalee
juliana.rapalee@gmail.com

From: svillaran@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Sierra Villaran](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Sunday, May 31, 2020 11:29:41 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco worker and I am writing to strongly urge you to **OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.**

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have **STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY.** This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities **INCREASED** use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. **GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES** and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung **ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA.** She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can **NEVER** be trusted to hold SFPD officers **ACCOUNTABLE.** The POA **DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES** like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly **OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS** of San Francisco residents to date. **AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY.** We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Sierra Villaran
srvillaran@gmail.com

From: zachariashaheen@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Zaki Shaheen](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Sunday, May 31, 2020 11:48:07 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Mr Zaki Shaheen
zachariashaheen@gmail.com

From: dieterdieter1988@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Chelsea Woodard](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Sunday, May 31, 2020 11:51:41 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Chelsea Woodard
dieterdieter1988@gmail.com

From: redferrn68@hotmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Glenn Davis](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Sunday, May 31, 2020 12:15:31 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Glenn Davis
redferrn68@hotmail.com

From: maria_breaux@hotmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Maria Breaux](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Sunday, May 31, 2020 12:23:54 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Maria Breaux
maria_breaux@hotmail.com

From: ravedicketts@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Dave Ricketts](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Sunday, May 31, 2020 12:25:49 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Mr Dave Ricketts
ravedicketts@gmail.com

From: dylananderson100@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Dylan Anderson](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Sunday, May 31, 2020 12:30:41 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco native and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,
Dylan, he/him

--

Ms Dylan Anderson
dylananderson100@gmail.com

From: ericmsandoval@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Eric Sandoval](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Sunday, May 31, 2020 12:30:49 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Mr Eric Sandoval
ericmsandoval@gmail.com

From: ecoglianese@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Elizabeth Coglianese](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Sunday, May 31, 2020 12:40:53 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to **OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.**

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have **STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY.** This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities **INCREASED** use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. **GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES** and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung **ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA.** She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can **NEVER** be trusted to hold SFPD officers **ACCOUNTABLE.** The POA **DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES** like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly **OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS** of San Francisco residents to date. **AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY.** We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Elizabeth Coglianese
ecoglianese@gmail.com

From: davidryanshultz@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [David Shultz](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Sunday, May 31, 2020 12:42:51 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms David Shultz
davidryanshultz@gmail.com

From: kelseyryburn16@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Kelsey Ryburn](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Sunday, May 31, 2020 12:51:49 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Kelsey Ryburn
kelseyryburn16@gmail.com

From: gtkbard@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Kevin Bard](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Sunday, May 31, 2020 12:54:58 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Mr Kevin Bard
gtkbard@gmail.com

From: isaac.sparling@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Isaac Sparling](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Sunday, May 31, 2020 12:56:03 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Mr Isaac Sparling
isaac.sparling@gmail.com

From: lito.sandoval@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Evaristo Lito Sandoval](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Sunday, May 31, 2020 12:56:03 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Mr Evaristo Lito Sandoval
lito.sandoval@gmail.com

From: jawilson76@hotmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Jacque Wilson](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Sunday, May 31, 2020 12:57:43 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Mr Jacque Wilson
jawilson76@hotmail.com

From: dorothy.bustamante@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Dorothy Bustamante](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Sunday, May 31, 2020 12:59:46 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Dorothy Bustamante
dorothy.bustamante@gmail.com

From: tara.walpola@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Tara Walpola](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Sunday, May 31, 2020 1:00:35 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Tara Walpola
tara.walpola@gmail.com

From: natocomic@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Nato Green](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Sunday, May 31, 2020 1:01:07 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Mr Nato Green
natocomic@gmail.com

From: yahminah1st@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Yahminah Suber](mailto:Yahminah.Suber)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Sunday, May 31, 2020 1:05:57 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Yahminah Suber
yahminah1st@gmail.com

From: jdfeinman@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Jeff Feinman](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Sunday, May 31, 2020 1:06:24 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Mr Jeff Feinman
jdfeinman@gmail.com

From: heathens.radio@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Josh Miller](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Sunday, May 31, 2020 1:09:00 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Mr Josh Miller
heathens.radio@gmail.com

From: liujennyw@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Jenny Liu](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Sunday, May 31, 2020 1:09:49 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Jenny Liu
liujennyw@gmail.com

From: mullane.ahern@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Mullane Ahern](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Sunday, May 31, 2020 1:10:14 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Mullane Ahern
mullane.ahern@gmail.com

From: alex=juxtapoz.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Alex Nicholson](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Sunday, May 31, 2020 1:12:41 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Mr Alex Nicholson
alex@juxtapoz.com

From: tung=gravely.zone@dsasf.org on behalf of [Grant Stavelly](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Sunday, May 31, 2020 1:12:49 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Mr Grant Stavelly
tung@gravely.zone

From: ashlahrs=live.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Ash Phillips](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Sunday, May 31, 2020 1:15:46 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Mx. Ash Phillips
ashlahrs@live.com

From: pheriwinkle=sbcglobal.net@dsasf.org on behalf of [Kevin Wong](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Sunday, May 31, 2020 1:16:07 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Mr Kevin Wong
pheriwinkle@sbcglobal.net

From: slnichol=ucsc.edu@dsasf.org on behalf of [Shawn Nichols](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Sunday, May 31, 2020 1:19:00 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Dr. Shawn Nichols
slnichol@ucsc.edu

From: jessehuber1@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Jesse Huber](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Sunday, May 31, 2020 1:28:48 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Mr Jesse Huber
jessehuber1@gmail.com

From: bdebbold@yahoo.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Brett Debbold](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Sunday, May 31, 2020 1:34:44 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Mr Brett Debbold
bdebbold@yahoo.com

From: echoluvr=aol.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Olivia Parriott](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Sunday, May 31, 2020 1:39:51 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Mrs Olivia Parriott
echoluvr@aol.com

From: vanityruins@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Laura Beaverson](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Sunday, May 31, 2020 1:41:15 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Laura Beaverson
vanityruins@gmail.com

From: azhang496@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Annie Zhang](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Sunday, May 31, 2020 1:42:08 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Annie Zhang
azhang496@gmail.com

From: joannayq76@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Jorge William Bermudez](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Sunday, May 31, 2020 1:46:31 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Mr Jorge William Bermudez
joannayq76@gmail.com

From: shannelbusuioc@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Shannel Busuioc](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Sunday, May 31, 2020 1:49:17 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Shannel Busuioc
shannelbusuioc@gmail.com

From: e.dean.anderson@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Eric Anderson](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Sunday, May 31, 2020 1:52:39 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Mr Eric Anderson
e.dean.anderson@gmail.com

From: nvanschmidt@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Nathan Van Schmidt](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Sunday, May 31, 2020 1:53:57 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Mr Nathan Van Schmidt
nvanschmidt@gmail.com

From: grtaylorjr123@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Garland Taylor](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Sunday, May 31, 2020 2:01:12 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Mr Garland Taylor
grtaylorjr123@gmail.com

From: aschwartz311@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Amanda Schwartz](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Sunday, May 31, 2020 2:02:29 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Amanda Schwartz
aschwartz311@gmail.com

From: alexandra.pray@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Alexandra Pray](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Sunday, May 31, 2020 2:05:11 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and justice advocate. For the past decade, through my work at the San Francisco Public Defender's Office, I have represented many members of our community who have experienced discrimination, mistreatment, and abuse of power at the hands of San Francisco Police Department members. I am also the proud daughter of a 32-year veteran of the New York State Police, who recognizes the integral role the police play in keeping our communities safe. The Police Commission plays a pivotal role in ensuring our police department maintains the standards of integrity and community-mindedness necessary to maintain the public's trust. The Police Commission is the first line of defense in ensuring that the "bad apples" don't spoil the whole bunch. To that end - I am writing to strongly urge you to **OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.**

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have **STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY.** This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities **INCREASED** use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. **GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES** and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung **ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA.** She even accepted \$500 from **RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY.** The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can **NEVER** be trusted to hold SFPD officers **ACCOUNTABLE.** The POA **DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES** like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces

racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,
Alexandra Pray

--

Ms Alexandra Pray
alexandra.pray@gmail.com

From: sheerly@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [sheerly avni](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Sunday, May 31, 2020 2:06:32 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident (outer Richmond) and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION. They are here to protect the police and the carceral state, not ordinary San Franciscans.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung is against accountability and oversight. She supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who seek to undermine it.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages.

GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police

Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms sheerly avni
sheerly@gmail.com

From: lilahhume@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Lilah Wolf](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Sunday, May 31, 2020 2:06:53 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Mrs Lilah Wolf
lilahhume@gmail.com

From: sattersaurus@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [scott satterthwaite](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Sunday, May 31, 2020 2:07:02 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Mr scott satterthwaite
sattersaurus@gmail.com

From: sircal07@aol.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Daniel Mitchell](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Sunday, May 31, 2020 2:10:46 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Daniel Mitchell
sircal07@aol.com

From: Camachoe33@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Elizabeth Camacho](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Sunday, May 31, 2020 2:12:04 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Mrs Elizabeth Camacho
Camachoe33@gmail.com

From: gmarkoff111@gmail.com on behalf of [Gabriel Markoff](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Sunday, May 31, 2020 2:16:06 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Mr Gabriel Markoff
gmarkoff111@gmail.com

From: arickaaubrey65@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Aricka Aubrey](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Sunday, May 31, 2020 2:16:17 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am not a San Francisco resident but and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Aricka Aubrey
arickaaubrey65@gmail.com

From: kd.dominguez@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Karla Dominguez](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Sunday, May 31, 2020 2:16:38 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Karla Dominguez
kd.dominguez@gmail.com

From: sanfordemma@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Emma Sanford](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Sunday, May 31, 2020 2:17:58 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Emma Sanford
sanfordemma@gmail.com

From: ccoe85@hotmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Cassandra Coe](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Sunday, May 31, 2020 2:21:31 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Cassandra Coe
ccoe85@hotmail.com

From: ayla.karaca99@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Ayla Karaca](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Sunday, May 31, 2020 2:22:19 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Ayla Karaca
ayla.karaca99@gmail.com

From: aubrieamador=me.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Aubrie Amador](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Sunday, May 31, 2020 2:24:15 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Aubrie Amador
aubrieamador@me.com

From: korpantynatalia@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Natalia Korpanty](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Sunday, May 31, 2020 2:25:26 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Natalia Korpanty
korpantynatalia@gmail.com

From: shashacooks@yahoo.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Anastasia Yovanopoulos](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Sunday, May 31, 2020 2:37:50 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Anastasia Yovanopoulos
shashacooks@yahoo.com

From: lovellakeller=att.net@dsasf.org on behalf of [Lovella Keller](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Sunday, May 31, 2020 2:46:37 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Lovella Keller
lovellakeller@att.net

From: firecircus@hotmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Sarah Sherburn-Zimmer](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Sunday, May 31, 2020 2:48:53 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

We need to change policing in this country and in SF.

Nancy Tung has taken positions against the safety of San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police

Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Sarah Sherburn-Zimmer
firecircus@hotmail.com

From: hchoi029@gmail.com on behalf of [Jen Che](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Sunday, May 31, 2020 2:52:39 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Jen Che
hchoi029@gmail.com

From: adelav05=icloud.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Adela Venegas](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Sunday, May 31, 2020 2:52:57 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Adela Venegas
adelav05@icloud.com

From: scottwagnergrant@yahoo.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Scott Grant](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Sunday, May 31, 2020 2:53:03 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Mr Scott Grant
scottwagnergrant@yahoo.com

From: nikita.saini@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Niki Saini](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Sunday, May 31, 2020 2:53:16 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Niki Saini
nikita.saini@gmail.com

From: anyatraisman@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Anya Traisman](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Sunday, May 31, 2020 2:53:28 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Anya Traisman
anyatraitsman@gmail.com

From: juliancgeneral@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Julian Castellon](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Sunday, May 31, 2020 2:53:49 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Mr Julian Castellon
juliancgeneral@gmail.com

From: shannons1265@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [shannon suber](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Sunday, May 31, 2020 2:54:21 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms shannon suber
shannons1265@gmail.com

From: ochoco13@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Consuelo Ochoa](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Sunday, May 31, 2020 2:54:36 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Consuelo Ochoa
ochoaco13@gmail.com

From: lilysc2662@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Lily Santangelo-Cody](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Sunday, May 31, 2020 2:54:44 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Lily Santangelo-Cody
lilysc2662@gmail.com

From: susan=shalitlaw.net@dsasf.org on behalf of [Susan Shalit](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Sunday, May 31, 2020 2:54:47 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Susan Shalit
susan@shalitlaw.net

From: formzte2012@gmail.com on behalf of [Aires Gonguela](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Sunday, May 31, 2020 2:55:40 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Aires Gonguela
formzte2012@gmail.com

From: hannaheyong2704@gmail.com on behalf of [hannah young](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Sunday, May 31, 2020 2:56:16 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Miss hannah young
hannaheyoun2704@gmail.com

From: emmanueleigbe5@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Emmanuel Eigbe](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Sunday, May 31, 2020 2:56:21 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Mr Emmanuel Eigbe
emmanueleigbe5@gmail.com

From: ramirelo@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Lucho Ramirez](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Sunday, May 31, 2020 3:00:39 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Mr Lucho Ramirez
ramirelo@gmail.com

From: hjsedla@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Hannah Sedlak](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Sunday, May 31, 2020 3:00:41 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Hannah Sedlak
hjsedla@gmail.com

From: thestrokes510@yahoo.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Paul Torres](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Sunday, May 31, 2020 3:00:51 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Mr Paul Torres
thestrokes510@yahoo.com

From: smac629@hotmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Steven McGlothlin](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Sunday, May 31, 2020 3:03:09 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Mr Steven McGlothlin
smac629@hotmail.com

From: hibbdh@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [DARNELL HIBBLER](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Sunday, May 31, 2020 3:03:30 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Mr DARNELL HIBBLER
hibbdh@gmail.com

From: asiatoler@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Asia Toler](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Sunday, May 31, 2020 3:03:47 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Asia Toler
asiatoler@gmail.com

From: abrindisease@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [a b](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Sunday, May 31, 2020 3:04:07 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Mr a b
abrindisease@gmail.com

From: oliviaannetaylor@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Olivia Taylor](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Sunday, May 31, 2020 3:07:48 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,
Olivia Taylor

--

Ms Olivia Taylor
oliviaannetaylor@gmail.com

From: d.a.reyes1122@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Darren Reyes](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Sunday, May 31, 2020 3:13:25 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Mr Darren Reyes
d.a.reyes1122@gmail.com

From: jillian.macnaughton@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Jillian Macnaughton](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Sunday, May 31, 2020 3:14:20 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Jillian Macnaughton
jillian.macnaughton@gmail.com

From: joanie=shalitlaw.net@dsasf.org on behalf of [Joan Shalit](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Sunday, May 31, 2020 3:14:40 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am an ASIAN AMERICAN San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATION OF NANCY TUNG TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENT OF NANCY TUNG TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

NANCY TUNG has history of unchecked support for the SFPD without any balancing factors! She is ambitious for personal power.

Thank you,

--

Ms Joan Shalit
joanie@shalitlaw.net

From: wvanboldrik@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Will van Boldrik](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Sunday, May 31, 2020 3:23:55 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Mr Will van Boldrik
wvanboldrik@gmail.com

From: jmward1904@gmail.com on behalf of [Jess Ward](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Sunday, May 31, 2020 3:28:57 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Dr. Jess Ward
jmward1904@gmail.com

From: mary.brassell@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Mary Brassell](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Sunday, May 31, 2020 3:30:48 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Mary Brassell
mary.brassell@gmail.com

From: johnwaynehill@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [John Hill](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Sunday, May 31, 2020 3:34:10 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Mr John Hill
johnwaynehill@gmail.com

From: dmdelafosse@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [DELON DELAFOSSE](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Sunday, May 31, 2020 3:35:15 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to **OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.**

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have **STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY.** This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities **INCREASED** use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. **GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES** and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung **ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA.** She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can **NEVER** be trusted to hold SFPD officers **ACCOUNTABLE.** The POA **DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES** like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly **OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS** of San Francisco residents to date. **AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY.** We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Mrs DELON DELAFOSSE
dmdelafosse@gmail.com

From: jamiesung17@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Jamie Sung](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Sunday, May 31, 2020 3:37:22 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Jamie Sung
jamiesung17@gmail.com

From: theresa.breiner@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Theresa Breiner](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Sunday, May 31, 2020 3:48:39 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a Bay Area resident, and I am writing to strongly urge you to **OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.**

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have **STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY.** This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities **INCREASED** use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. **GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES** and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung **ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA.** She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can **NEVER** be trusted to hold SFPD officers **ACCOUNTABLE.** The POA **DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES** like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly **OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS** of San Francisco residents to date. **AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY.** We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Theresa Breiner
theresa.breiner@gmail.com

From: lele.cat19@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Tiara K](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Sunday, May 31, 2020 3:55:16 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Tiara K
lele.cat19@gmail.com

From: cynsanch70@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Cynthia sanchezlibunao](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Sunday, May 31, 2020 4:14:15 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Mrs Cynthia sanchezlibunao
cynsanch70@gmail.com

From: aleemraja@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Aleem Raja](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Sunday, May 31, 2020 4:31:38 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Mr Aleem Raja
aleemraja@gmail.com

From: francapf@yahoo.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Regina Franca](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Sunday, May 31, 2020 4:33:34 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Regina Franca
francapf@yahoo.com

From: tennillefondon@yahoo.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Tennille Fondon](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Sunday, May 31, 2020 4:35:01 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Mrs Tennille Fondon
tennillefondon@yahoo.com

From: jejcjs=comcast.net@dsasf.org on behalf of [Erin Stevens](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Sunday, May 31, 2020 4:38:57 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Erin Stevens
jejcjs@comcast.net

From: harlo.p.pippenger@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Harlo Pippenger](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Sunday, May 31, 2020 4:47:16 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Mr Harlo Pippenger
harlo.p.pippenger@gmail.com

From: elshuye.kebede@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Elshaday Kebede](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Sunday, May 31, 2020 4:47:17 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Elshaday Kebede
elshuye.kebede@gmail.com

From: meghanarao1@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Meghana Rao](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Sunday, May 31, 2020 4:51:51 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Meghana Rao
meghanarao1@gmail.com

From: anabelleagopian@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [anabelle Agopian](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Sunday, May 31, 2020 4:55:07 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms anabelle Agopian
anabelleagopian@gmail.com

From: sjholcombe@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Sarah Holcombe](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Sunday, May 31, 2020 4:59:38 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Sarah Holcombe
sjholcombe@gmail.com

From: ecwholcombe@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Elizabeth Holcombe](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Sunday, May 31, 2020 5:02:29 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Elizabeth Holcombe
ecwholcombe@gmail.com

From: evilalien@hotmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Luke Moody](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Sunday, May 31, 2020 5:03:54 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Mr Luke Moody
evilalien@hotmail.com

From: karakchien@aol.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Kara Chien](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Sunday, May 31, 2020 5:20:59 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Kara Chien
karakchien@aol.com

From: poehnerkn@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Kristyn Poehner](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Sunday, May 31, 2020 5:22:04 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Kristyn Poehner
poehnerkn@gmail.com

From: fastfood49@yahoo.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Jerry Yu](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Sunday, May 31, 2020 5:25:29 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Mr Jerry Yu
fastfood49@yahoo.com

From: hshangle@gmail.com on behalf of [Hope Shangle](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Sunday, May 31, 2020 5:27:09 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Hope Shangle
hshangle@gmail.com

From: damador0904@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Donna Amador](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Sunday, May 31, 2020 5:29:17 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Donna Amador
damador0904@gmail.com

From: maya=mayalila.co@dsasf.org on behalf of [Maya Lila](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Sunday, May 31, 2020 5:31:31 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Maya Lila
maya@mayalila.co

From: janjhsung@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Janice Sung](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Sunday, May 31, 2020 5:33:19 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Janice Sung
janjhsung@gmail.com

From: scatalogic@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Robert Rogers](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Sunday, May 31, 2020 5:34:46 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Mr Robert Rogers
scatalogic@gmail.com

From: hannahbanana4889@gmail.com on behalf of [Hannah Byers-Straus](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Sunday, May 31, 2020 5:36:05 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Hannah Byers-Straus
hannahbanana4889@gmail.com

From: hoperwillia@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Hope Williams](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Sunday, May 31, 2020 5:45:23 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Mx. Hope Williams
hoperwillia@gmail.com

From: mdemayneylan@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Matthew Neylan](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Sunday, May 31, 2020 5:49:40 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Mr Matthew Neylan
mdemayneylan@gmail.com

From: IM.PALLARI@uchastings.edu@dsasf.org on behalf of [Mario Pallari](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Sunday, May 31, 2020 5:54:33 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Mx. Mario Pallari
IM.PALLARI@UCHASTINGS.EDU

From: spalle=umich.edu@dsasf.org on behalf of [Sneha Palle](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Sunday, May 31, 2020 5:58:08 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Sneha Palle
spalle@umich.edu

From: coop.g.findlay@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Cooper Findlay](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Sunday, May 31, 2020 6:07:17 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Mr Cooper Findlay
coop.g.findlay@gmail.com

From: maxraynard@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Maxwell Raynard](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Sunday, May 31, 2020 6:08:30 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Mr Maxwell Raynard
maxraynard@gmail.com

From: skye7896@aol.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Jacinta Clement](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Sunday, May 31, 2020 6:08:37 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Jacinta Clement
skye7896@aol.com

From: jramir11@mail.ccsf.edu@dsasf.org on behalf of [Jeanette Ramirez](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Sunday, May 31, 2020 6:12:36 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Jeanette Ramirez
jramir11@mail.ccsf.edu

From: kswaray@yahoo.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Kafumba Swaray](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Sunday, May 31, 2020 6:14:17 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Mr Kafumba Swaray
kswaray@yahoo.com

From: ericthefez@yahoo.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Eric Weaver](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Sunday, May 31, 2020 6:16:16 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Mr Eric Weaver
ericthefez@yahoo.com

From: kerrynix@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Kerry Nix](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Sunday, May 31, 2020 6:23:21 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,
Kerry Nix

--

Ms Kerry Nix
kerrynix@gmail.com

From: joannalaikang@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Joanna Kang](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Sunday, May 31, 2020 6:49:46 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Joanna Kang
joannalaikang@gmail.com

From: mherm296=outlook.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Megan Herman](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Sunday, May 31, 2020 6:50:41 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a Pennsylvania resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to **OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.**

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have **STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY.** This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities **INCREASED** use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. **GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES** and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung **ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA.** She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can **NEVER** be trusted to hold SFPD officers **ACCOUNTABLE.** The POA **DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES** like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly **OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS** of San Francisco residents to date. **AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY.** We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank You,

Megan Herman

--

Ms Megan Herman
mherm296@outlook.com

From: anniesky32@icloud.com on behalf of [Ava Stephens](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Sunday, May 31, 2020 6:55:25 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Ava Stephens
anniesky32@icloud.com

From: rjchacko@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Ranjit Chacko](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Sunday, May 31, 2020 7:02:18 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Mr Ranjit Chacko
rjchacko@gmail.com

From: sayika.unity@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Sanyika Bryant](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Sunday, May 31, 2020 7:13:32 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Mr Sanyika Bryant
sayika.unity@gmail.com

From: firasshalawi@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Firass Halawi](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Sunday, May 31, 2020 7:22:56 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Mr Firass Halawi
firasshalawi@gmail.com

From: xander.piper@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Xander Piper](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Sunday, May 31, 2020 7:23:22 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Mr Xander Piper
xander.piper@gmail.com

From: fbach4@yahoo.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Jennifer Friedenbach](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Sunday, May 31, 2020 7:26:43 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Jennifer Friedenbach
fbach4@yahoo.com

From: toqwaalshara@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Toqwa Alshareh](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Sunday, May 31, 2020 7:33:35 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Toqwa Alshareh
toqwaalshara@gmail.com

From: elise_pinsard@hotmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Elise Pinsard](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Sunday, May 31, 2020 7:36:34 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Elise Pinsard
elise_pinsard@hotmail.com

From: stephaniestan@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Stephanie Tan](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Sunday, May 31, 2020 7:37:51 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Stephanie Tan
stephaniestan@gmail.com

From: joshuadroma@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Joshua Roma](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Sunday, May 31, 2020 7:52:42 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to **OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.**

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have **STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY.** This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities **INCREASED** use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. **GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES** and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung **ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA.** She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can **NEVER** be trusted to hold SFPD officers **ACCOUNTABLE.** The POA **DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES** like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly **OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS** of San Francisco residents to date. **AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY.** We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Mx. Joshua Roma
joshuadroma@gmail.com

From: jeff=jeffwozniaklaw.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Jeff Wozniak](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Sunday, May 31, 2020 7:53:16 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Mr Jeff Wozniak
jeff@jeffwozniaklaw.com

From: samora.mis@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Marla Samora](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Sunday, May 31, 2020 7:55:55 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Marla Samora
samora.mis@gmail.com

From: etv_2003@yahoo.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Enrique Vallejo](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Sunday, May 31, 2020 7:56:31 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Mr Enrique Vallejo
etv_2003@yahoo.com

From: vanessagaie@yahoo.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Vanessa Gaie](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Sunday, May 31, 2020 7:57:14 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Vanessa Gaie
vanessagaie@yahoo.com

From: octavi@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Octavi Semonin](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Sunday, May 31, 2020 7:57:57 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Especially at a time like this, we need you to stand up for the rights of the public. I hope you will vote your conscience tomorrow.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN

SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Mr Octavi Semonin
octavi@gmail.com

From: sarahkorda@yahoo.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Sarah Korda](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Sunday, May 31, 2020 8:02:50 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Sarah Korda
sarahkorda@yahoo.com

From: sami.v.95@gmail.com on behalf of [Samidha Visai](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Sunday, May 31, 2020 8:08:51 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,
Samidha

--

Ms Samidha Visai
sami.v.95@gmail.com

From: shawn.salat@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Shawn Salat](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Sunday, May 31, 2020 8:13:06 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Mr Shawn Salat
shawn.salat@gmail.com

From: mnmcgowen@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Matthew McGowen](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Sunday, May 31, 2020 8:18:16 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Mr Matthew McGowen
mnmcgowen@gmail.com

From: rubin.bd@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Benjamin Rubin](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Sunday, May 31, 2020 8:33:35 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Dr. Benjamin Rubin
rubin.bd@gmail.com

From: ernest.w.sampson@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Ernest Sampson](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Sunday, May 31, 2020 8:33:45 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Mr Ernest Sampson
ernest.w.sampson@gmail.com

From: steviesanz=comcast.net@dsasf.org on behalf of [Stevie Sanz](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Sunday, May 31, 2020 8:35:44 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Mr Stevie Sanz
steviesanz@comcast.net

From: klein.d.kristin@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Kristin Klein](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Sunday, May 31, 2020 8:42:54 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Kristin Klein
klein.d.kristin@gmail.com

From: samanthacatalina@yahoo.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Samantha Lopez](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Sunday, May 31, 2020 9:01:24 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Samantha Lopez
samanthacatalina@yahoo.com

From: jcoltkelly@gmail.com on behalf of [Josh Kelly](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Sunday, May 31, 2020 9:05:40 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Josh Kelly
jcoltkelly@gmail.com

From: krystal.navarro95@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Krystal Navarro](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Sunday, May 31, 2020 9:10:48 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Krystal Navarro
krystal.navarro95@gmail.com

From: vnavarrowong@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Vanessa Navarro-Wong](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Sunday, May 31, 2020 9:14:59 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Mrs Vanessa Navarro-Wong
vnavarrowong@gmail.com

From: bloch.isaac@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Isaac Bloch](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Sunday, May 31, 2020 9:24:06 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I hope this email finds you well. I live in Bernal Heights, and, as a resident of San Francisco, I strongly believe that you should OPPOSE the nominations of Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed to the police commission.

Now is the time to take concrete actions to reduce police brutality. Excessive use of force by police officers has been in the national consciousness since at least Rodney King, yet no effective measures have been implemented to hold police officers accountable for their discriminatory abuse and terrorizing of black and brown communities.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly opposed prosecuting police officers who were involved in shootings of San Francisco residents to date. As we're seeing now in Minneapolis, failing to hold law enforcement accountable is detrimental to public safety. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have stripped the police commission of the power to oversee taser use-of-force policy. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and traffics in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

I appreciate your time in reading this email, and urge you to do what is necessary to make sure that the SF Police Department is held accountable for its actions.

Sincerely,
Isaac Bloch

--

Mr Isaac Bloch
bloch.isaac@gmail.com

From: darlene.acudjoe=live.ca@dsasf.org on behalf of [Darlene Cudjoe](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Sunday, May 31, 2020 9:25:00 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Darlene Cudjoe
darlene.acudjoe@live.ca

From: jrcbriones@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Javier Briones](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Sunday, May 31, 2020 9:25:46 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Mr Javier Briones
jrcbriones@gmail.com

From: erzevin@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Emma Zevin](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Sunday, May 31, 2020 9:32:52 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Emma Zevin
erzevin@gmail.com

From: douglascwelch@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Doug Welch](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Sunday, May 31, 2020 9:44:35 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly ask you to OPPOSE the nominations of Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed to the Police Commission.

Geoffrey Gordon-Creed does not have the experience or qualifications.

Nancy Tung will not provide any effective oversight of the police if appointed. She is an extremely conservative prosecutor who has a history of supporting policies that are not what San Francisco or this country needs anymore. We have to do things differently.

Nancy Tung has supported policies in the past which would have weakened oversight on the San Francisco Police Department. This is clearly not the time to support someone who thinks that police departments can just be trusted to handle things internally. At some point we must recognize that communities that have suffered decades of extreme over-policing deserve to have civilian oversight boards that recognize that fundamental changes have to occur. And at the very least, we can start by not appointing people who have taken campaign contributions from the San Francisco Police Officers Association.

She supports giving tasers to police officers. Tasers do not make it less likely that police officers will use deadly force but they do make it more likely they will use force. These are not the positions of someone who should be on the San Francisco Police Commission. These are the kinds of appointments that let people know that things will stay the same. One reason that we so many instances of excessive force is because of a very long history of police departments and police officers acting with impunity. We need commissioners who will not give extreme deference to the police.

Please oppose the nominations of Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed.

Thank you.

--

Mr Doug Welch
douglascwelch@gmail.com

From: coleen.salas97@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Coleen Salas](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Sunday, May 31, 2020 9:45:57 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Coleen Salas
coleen.salas97@gmail.com

From: cioffreda=me.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Christopher Ioffreda](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Sunday, May 31, 2020 9:58:55 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Mr Christopher Ioffreda
cioffreda@me.com

From: janinetrame@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Janine Trame](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Sunday, May 31, 2020 10:09:46 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Janine Trame
janinetrame@gmail.com

From: feldman.elias@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Elias Feldman](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Sunday, May 31, 2020 10:12:02 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Mr Elias Feldman
feldman.elias@gmail.com

From: alex.millet89@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Alex Millet](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Sunday, May 31, 2020 10:12:10 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Mr Alex Millet
alex.millet89@gmail.com

From: Moniqueflambures@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Monique Flambures](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Sunday, May 31, 2020 10:12:23 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Monique Flambures
Moniqueflambures@gmail.com

From: rbygoldberg@hotmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Ruby Goldberg](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Sunday, May 31, 2020 10:12:59 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Ruby Goldberg
rubygoldberg@hotmail.com

From: claire.mcdonnell@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Claire McDonnell](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Sunday, May 31, 2020 10:23:41 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Claire McDonnell
claire.mcdonnell@gmail.com

From: atkinser=umich.edu@dsasf.org on behalf of [Eli Atkins](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Sunday, May 31, 2020 10:24:48 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Mr Eli Atkins
atkinser@umich.edu

From: toddberman=live.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Todd Berman](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Sunday, May 31, 2020 10:25:14 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Mr Todd Berman
toddberman@live.com

From: shashwatak@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Shashwat Kandadai](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Sunday, May 31, 2020 10:35:26 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Mr Shashwat Kandadai
shashwatak@gmail.com

From: justbeputting@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [quintin mecke](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Sunday, May 31, 2020 10:38:22 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Mr quintin mecke
justbeputting@gmail.com

From: caitlin.obrien3@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Caitlin O'Brien](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Sunday, May 31, 2020 10:55:56 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Dr. Caitlin O'Brien
caitlin.obrien3@gmail.com

From: dhiraj.nallapothula@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Dhiraj Nallapothula](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Sunday, May 31, 2020 10:59:07 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Mr Dhiraj Nallapothula
dhiraj.nallapothula@gmail.com

From: rheema.vora@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Rima Vora](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Sunday, May 31, 2020 11:01:46 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Rima Vora
rheema.vora@gmail.com

From: aliza.zenilman@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Aliza Zenilman](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Sunday, May 31, 2020 11:06:14 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Aliza Zenilman
aliza.zenilman@gmail.com

From: me=ryancarey.ca@dsasf.org on behalf of [Ryan Carey](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Sunday, May 31, 2020 11:08:27 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Mr Ryan Carey
me@ryancarey.ca

From: aivanov34758@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Artem Ivanov](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Sunday, May 31, 2020 11:09:47 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Mr Artem Ivanov
aivanov34758@gmail.com

From: alexahorner@yahoo.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Alexa Horner](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Sunday, May 31, 2020 11:11:08 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

Alexa Horner

--

Ms Alexa Horner
alexahorner@yahoo.com

From: timd488=comcast.net@dsasf.org on behalf of [Tim Draut](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Sunday, May 31, 2020 11:13:09 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Mr Tim Draut
timd488@comcast.net

From: sf=teisen.be@dsasf.org on behalf of [Tess Eisenberger](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Sunday, May 31, 2020 11:13:18 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident (District 7) and I am writing to strongly urge you to **OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.**

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have **STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY.** This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities **INCREASED** use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. **GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES** and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung **ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA.** She even accepted \$500 from **RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY.** The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can **NEVER** be trusted to hold SFPD officers **ACCOUNTABLE.** The POA **DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES** like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly **OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS** of San Francisco residents to date. **AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY.** We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Mx. Tess Eisenberger
sf@teisen.be

From: kriley81@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Katherine Riley](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Sunday, May 31, 2020 11:13:52 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Katherine Riley
kriley81@gmail.com

From: arienneadamcikova@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Arienne Adamcikova](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Sunday, May 31, 2020 11:17:48 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Arienne Adamcikova
arienneadamcikova@gmail.com

From: jennym_94114@yahoo.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Jenny Blizzard](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Sunday, May 31, 2020 11:18:10 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Jenny Blizard
jennym_94114@yahoo.com

From: madalynfernandez1811@gmail.com on behalf of [Madalyn Fernandez](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Sunday, May 31, 2020 11:19:53 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a longtime San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,
Madalyn Fernandez

--

Ms Madalyn Fernandez
madalynfernandez1811@gmail.com

From: mwdahlberg@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Matt Dahlberg](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Sunday, May 31, 2020 11:23:00 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Mr Matt Dahlberg
mwdahlberg@gmail.com

From: yatzylajud@yahoo.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Yatzyl Lajud](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Sunday, May 31, 2020 11:23:25 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Yatzyl Lajud
yatzyllajud@yahoo.com

From: b37cox@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Brian Cox](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Sunday, May 31, 2020 11:23:34 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am writing to urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent San Franciscans on the Police Commission, particularly given her background opposing progressive policing measures. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies. Now more than ever, the Commission needs who have experience engaging in criminal justice reform and who know the impact of policing practices on those who are disproportionately affected by them.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police

Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

Mr. Gordon-Creed, a civil litigation attorney, also is far from qualified to serve on a commission that should be overseeing and reforming SFPD to reduce officer involved shootings and use of force. His only experience with police appears to be that in the early 1990s, he defended the Police Department in litigation as a deputy city attorney. Mr. Gordon-Creed recently said in the press that he believes Chief Scott is pushing forward reforms recommended by the Department of Justice in 2016 as fast as he can. So far, in four years, SFPD has only adopted 18% of these reforms. If Mr. Gordon-Creed thinks this is fast, I'd hate to see what he thinks is slow reform. Like Ms. Tung, he has no experience collaborating with or advocating on behalf of communities of color who are impacted by policing.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Mr Brian Cox
b37cox@gmail.com

From: tesspeppers@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Tess Peppers](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Sunday, May 31, 2020 11:25:04 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Tess Peppers
tesspeppers@gmail.com

From: aspencerwong@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Alexandra Spencer-Wong](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Sunday, May 31, 2020 11:26:02 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Alexandra Spencer-Wong
aspencerwong@gmail.com

From: christhoma0@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Chris Thoma](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Sunday, May 31, 2020 11:27:36 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Mr Chris Thoma
christhoma0@gmail.com

From: jrenderos31@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Jose Renderos](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Sunday, May 31, 2020 11:27:45 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Mr Jose Renderos
jrenderos31@gmail.com

From: jackchalabian@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Jack Chalabian](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Sunday, May 31, 2020 11:31:18 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Mr Jack Chalabian
jackchalabian@gmail.com

From: kelseysharp@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Kelsey Sharpe](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Sunday, May 31, 2020 11:39:15 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Kelsey Sharpe
kelseysharp@gmail.com

From: knadaraja@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Kristen Nadaraja](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Sunday, May 31, 2020 11:40:40 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Kristen Nadaraja
knadaraja@gmail.com

From: steve.schnee@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Steven Goldberg](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Sunday, May 31, 2020 11:50:47 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Mr Steven Goldberg
steve.schnee@gmail.com

From: sarah.roquemoire@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Sarah Roquemoire](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Sunday, May 31, 2020 11:50:55 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Sarah Roquemoire
sarah.roquemoire@gmail.com

From: lauren.berry=newschools.org@dsasf.org on behalf of [Lauren Berry](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Monday, June 1, 2020 8:03:48 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Lauren Berry
lauren.berry@newschoolsf.org

From: johnhanig@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [John Hanig](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Monday, June 1, 2020 8:08:09 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Mx. John Hanig
johnhanig@gmail.com

From: vandenberg_guy@yahoo.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Guy Vandenberg](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Monday, June 1, 2020 8:14:29 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Mr Guy Vandenberg
vandenberg_guy@yahoo.com

From: rdgoodwin0@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Reed Goodwin](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Monday, June 1, 2020 8:16:09 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Dr. Reed Goodwin
rdgoodwin0@gmail.com

From: zacharyjrussi@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Zac Russi](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Monday, June 1, 2020 8:24:16 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Mr Zac Russi
zacharyjrussi@gmail.com

From: 1manbanjo=gmail@dsasf.org on behalf of [Sean Lee](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Monday, June 1, 2020 8:30:02 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Mr Sean Lee
1manbanjo@gnail

From: 1manbanjo@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Sean Lee](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Monday, June 1, 2020 8:30:55 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Mr Sean Lee
1manbanjo@gmail.com

From: catalina.boneo@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Catalina Boneo](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Monday, June 1, 2020 8:31:06 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Catalina Boneo
catalina.boneo@gmail.com

From: kyshakshaffer@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Kysha Shaffer](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Monday, June 1, 2020 8:33:01 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Kysha Shaffer
kyshakshaffer@gmail.com

From: katharine.mahalic@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Katharine Mahalic](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Monday, June 1, 2020 8:33:25 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Katharine Mahalic
katharine.mahalic@gmail.com

From: kerriebrynn@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Kerrie Smith](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Monday, June 1, 2020 8:44:20 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Kerrie Smith
kerriebrynn@gmail.com

From: dquintanar10@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Daniela Quintanar](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Monday, June 1, 2020 8:48:14 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Daniela Quintanar
dquintanar10@gmail.com

From: slacyprice@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Stephanie Lacy-Price](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Monday, June 1, 2020 8:49:44 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Stephanie Lacy-Price
slacyprice@gmail.com

From: wivdreams@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Georgina Padilla-Quintanar](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Monday, June 1, 2020 8:50:36 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Georgina Padilla-Quintanar
wivdreams@gmail.com

From: arievanantwerp@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Arie Van Antwerp](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Monday, June 1, 2020 8:52:57 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Mx. Arie Van Antwerp
arievantwerp@gmail.com

From: luvkiisha@yahoo.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Lydia Goff](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Monday, June 1, 2020 8:53:23 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Lydia Goff
luvkiisha@yahoo.com

From: mdemartra@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Miisha DeMartra](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Monday, June 1, 2020 8:54:43 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Miisha DeMartra
mdemartra@gmail.com

From: quesboom@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Marques Adams](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Monday, June 1, 2020 8:55:23 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Marques Adams
quesboom@gmail.com

From: sfmeancat@yahoo.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Renee Curran](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Monday, June 1, 2020 8:55:39 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Renee Curran
sfmeancat@yahoo.com

From: toreachgrace@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Grace Crummett](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Monday, June 1, 2020 8:56:18 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Grace Crummett
toreachgrace@gmail.com

From: orliaann@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Orlia Budge](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Monday, June 1, 2020 8:56:36 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Orlia Budge
orliaann@gmail.com

From: amieroselewis@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Amie Lewis](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Monday, June 1, 2020 9:04:46 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Amie Lewis
amieroselewis@gmail.com

From: romarox101@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Roma Edwards](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Monday, June 1, 2020 9:09:13 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Roma Edwards
romarox101@gmail.com

From: juliacutts@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Julia Cutts](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Monday, June 1, 2020 9:10:23 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Julia Cutts
juliacutts@gmail.com

From: james.moschou@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [James Moschou](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Monday, June 1, 2020 9:10:33 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Mr James Moschou
james.moschou@gmail.com

From: caitlin.kollins@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Caitlin Kollins](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Monday, June 1, 2020 9:14:09 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Caitlin Kollins
caitlin.kollins@gmail.com

From: cori.mcelwain@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Cori McElwain](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Monday, June 1, 2020 9:16:30 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Cori McElwain
cori.mcelwain@gmail.com

From: morgansemailaddress@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [MORGAN PEIRCE](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Monday, June 1, 2020 9:17:29 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms MORGAN PEIRCE
morgansemailaddress@gmail.com

From: berniealvarez_53@yahoo.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Bernadette Alvarez](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Monday, June 1, 2020 9:19:08 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Bernadette Alvarez
berniealvarez_53@yahoo.com

From: lmwindes@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Lisa Windes](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Monday, June 1, 2020 9:24:30 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Lisa Windes
lmwindes@gmail.com

From: emmacjones12@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Emma Jones](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Monday, June 1, 2020 9:32:32 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Emma Jones
emmacjones12@gmail.com

From: wesley.saver@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Wesley Saver](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Monday, June 1, 2020 9:37:19 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco worker and I am writing to strongly urge you to **OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.**

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have **STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY.** This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities **INCREASED** use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. **GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES** and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung **ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA.** She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can **NEVER** be trusted to hold SFPD officers **ACCOUNTABLE.** The POA **DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES** like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly **OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS** of San Francisco residents to date. **AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY.** We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Mr Wesley Saver
wesley.saver@gmail.com

From: kbosmeny@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [MacKenzie Bosmeny](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Monday, June 1, 2020 9:38:40 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms MacKenzie Bosmeny
kbosmeny@gmail.com

From: nasaad=davidson.edu@dsasf.org on behalf of [Nabil Saad](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Monday, June 1, 2020 9:39:09 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Mr Nabil Saad
nasaad@davidson.edu

From: owen=auch.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Owen Auch](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Monday, June 1, 2020 9:39:48 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Mr Owen Auch
owen@auch.com

From: emilyinsf@gmail.com on behalf of [Emily Stauffer](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Monday, June 1, 2020 9:39:57 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

This is very important!

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO

HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Emily Stauffer
emilyinsf@gmail.com

From: alexandrtognotti@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Alexandra Tognotti](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Monday, June 1, 2020 9:40:22 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Alexandra Tognotti
alexandrtognotti@gmail.com

From: ltdavisesq@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Landon Davis](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Monday, June 1, 2020 9:41:56 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Mr Landon Davis
ltdavisesq@gmail.com

From: oaakroll@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Oliver Kroll](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Monday, June 1, 2020 9:43:02 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Mr Oliver Kroll
oaakroll@gmail.com

From: nitinsapra5@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Nitin Sapra](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Monday, June 1, 2020 9:43:36 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Mr Nitin Sapra
nitinsapra5@gmail.com

From: crystaliaherman@hotmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Crystal Hermann](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Monday, June 1, 2020 9:45:55 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

Crystal Hermann

--

Ms Crystal Hermann
crystaliahernan@hotmail.com

From: vanessamgo@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Vanessa Ohta](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Monday, June 1, 2020 9:46:45 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Vanessa Ohta
vanessamgo@gmail.com

From: samuel.d.scheid@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Samuel Scheid](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Monday, June 1, 2020 9:52:59 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Mr Samuel Scheid
samuel.d.scheid@gmail.com

From: alexbradshaw14@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Alex Bradshaw](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Monday, June 1, 2020 9:58:45 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Mr Alex Bradshaw
alexbradshaw14@gmail.com

From: ryanoneill@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Ryan O'Neill](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Monday, June 1, 2020 10:01:00 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Mr Ryan O'Neill
ryanoneill@gmail.com

From: nvelazquez53@aol.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Nathan Velazquez](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Monday, June 1, 2020 10:01:34 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Mr Nathan Velazquez
nvelazquez53@aol.com

From: johnkraynik@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [John Kraynik](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Monday, June 1, 2020 10:03:01 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Mr John Kraynik
johnkraynik@gmail.com

From: denisechilson=fastmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Denise Chilson](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Monday, June 1, 2020 10:03:19 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Denise Chilson
denisechilson@fastmail.com

From: rmaravilla=ucmerced.edu@dsasf.org on behalf of [Rafael Maravilla](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Monday, June 1, 2020 10:07:17 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Mr Rafael Maravilla
rmaravilla@ucmerced.edu

From: dsa=olix0r.net@dsasf.org on behalf of [oliver.gould](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Monday, June 1, 2020 10:12:46 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Mr oliver gould
dsa@olix0r.net

From: principessina23@hotmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Rica Thomas Antonioti](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Monday, June 1, 2020 10:13:14 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Rica Thomas Antoniotti
principessina23@hotmail.com

From: jeanyaste@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Jean Yaste](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Monday, June 1, 2020 10:18:02 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Jean Yaste
jeanyaste@gmail.com

From: lumich=umich.edu@dsasf.org on behalf of [Michelle Lu](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Monday, June 1, 2020 10:21:07 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Michelle Lu
lumich@umich.edu

From: daniah.din@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Daniah Din](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Monday, June 1, 2020 10:22:10 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Daniah Din
daniah.din@gmail.com

From: evacantamas@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Eva Mas](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Monday, June 1, 2020 10:22:54 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Eva Mas
evacantamas@gmail.com

From: kabickne@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Kimberly Bicknell](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Monday, June 1, 2020 10:23:23 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Kimberly Bicknell
kabickne@gmail.com

From: lzoger=sbcglobal.net@dsasf.org on behalf of [Lauren Zoger](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Monday, June 1, 2020 10:23:37 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Lauren Zoger
lzoger@sbcglobal.net

From: sarah.ancheta@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Sarah Ancheta](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Monday, June 1, 2020 10:23:42 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Sarah Ancheta
sarah.ancheta@gmail.com

From: katherine.wylie95@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Katherine Wylie](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Monday, June 1, 2020 10:28:06 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Katherine Wylie
katherine.wylie95@gmail.com

From: katestrera@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Kathryn Estrera](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Monday, June 1, 2020 10:28:58 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident, homeowner and work in San Francisco as well. I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police

Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Kathryn Estrera
katestrera@gmail.com

From: gabrielle.rabadam@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Gabrielle Rabadam](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Monday, June 1, 2020 10:30:14 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Gabrielle Rabadam
gabrielle.rabadam@gmail.com

From: emmap.hyndman@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Emma Hyndman](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Monday, June 1, 2020 10:34:21 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Emma Hyndman
emmap.hyndman@gmail.com

From: ndallal=hmc.edu@dsasf.org on behalf of [Nava Dallal](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Monday, June 1, 2020 10:41:39 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Nava Dallal
ndallal@hmc.edu

From: lilizphoto@gmail.com on behalf of [Alicia Santurio](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Monday, June 1, 2020 10:44:27 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Alicia Santurio
lilizphoto@gmail.com

From: alisonhom@yahoo.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [alison hom](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Monday, June 1, 2020 10:50:47 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms alison hom
alisonhom@yahoo.com

From: sumner.rachel@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Rachel Sumner](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Monday, June 1, 2020 11:06:04 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Rachel Sumner
sumner.rachel@gmail.com

From: louviq@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Lou de Kerhuelvez](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Monday, June 1, 2020 11:13:26 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Mrs Lou de Kerhuelvez
louviq@gmail.com

From: rwood=teca-sf.org@dsasf.org on behalf of [Rachel Wood](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Monday, June 1, 2020 11:16:00 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Rachel Wood
rwood@teca-sf.org

From: miriamagoldman@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Miriam Goldman](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Monday, June 1, 2020 11:18:46 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Miriam Goldman
miriamagoldman@gmail.com

From: lp1a1154@gmail.com on behalf of [Lilia Paz](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Monday, June 1, 2020 11:20:52 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Lilia Paz
lp1a1154@gmail.com

From: jkraynik5036@gmail.com on behalf of [James Kraynik](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Monday, June 1, 2020 11:22:44 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Mr James Kraynik
jkraynik5036@gmail.com

From: klaukee@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Kelly Lau-Kee](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Monday, June 1, 2020 11:24:31 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Kelly Lau-Kee
klaukee@gmail.com

From: jnharris1@gmail.com on behalf of [Julie Harris-Wai](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Monday, June 1, 2020 11:25:36 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Dr. Julie Harris-Wai
jnharris1@gmail.com

From: ethan.bridges1@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Ethan Bridges](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Monday, June 1, 2020 11:35:12 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Mr Ethan Bridges
ethan.bridges1@gmail.com

From: erezaka@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Erez Bosch](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Monday, June 1, 2020 11:38:26 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Mr Erez Bosch
erezaka@gmail.com

From: alice.venanciomarques@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Alice Marques](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Monday, June 1, 2020 11:38:27 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Alice Marques
alice.venanciomarques@gmail.com

From: randalltainer19@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Randall Tainer](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Monday, June 1, 2020 11:48:45 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Mr Randall Tainer
randalltainer19@gmail.com

From: crystalhsu+sfpetition@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Crystal Hsu](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Monday, June 1, 2020 11:49:11 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Crystal Hsu
crystalhsu+sfpetition@gmail.com

From: revelo.claudia@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Claudia Revelo-Lee](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Monday, June 1, 2020 11:53:44 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,
Claudia

--

Ms Claudia Revelo-Lee
revelo.claudia@gmail.com

From: carriehaugarth@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Carrie Haugarth](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Monday, June 1, 2020 11:55:27 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Carrie Haugarth
carriehaugarth@gmail.com

From: peacemsb@yahoo.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Lisa-Anne Lee](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Monday, June 1, 2020 11:56:10 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Lisa-Anne Lee
peacemsb@yahoo.com

From: jharrison0225@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Joshua Harrison](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Monday, June 1, 2020 12:06:46 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Mr Joshua Harrison
jharrison0225@gmail.com

From: hilary.ludlow@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Hilary Ludlow](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Monday, June 1, 2020 12:08:52 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Hilary Ludlow
hilary.ludlow@gmail.com

From: abbegaillouie@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Abbegaill Louie](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Monday, June 1, 2020 12:09:17 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Abbegail Louie
abbegaillouie@gmail.com

From: mayahaines@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Maya Haines](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Monday, June 1, 2020 12:10:22 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Miss Maya Haines
mayahaines@gmail.com

From: gaberosenduran@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Gabriel Rosen-Duran](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Monday, June 1, 2020 12:13:12 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Mr Gabriel Rosen-Duran
gaberosenduran@gmail.com

From: isthishais@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Thais Correia](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Monday, June 1, 2020 12:19:13 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Thais Correia
isthithais@gmail.com

From: gabbey3@yahoo.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [KELLY WERNER](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Monday, June 1, 2020 12:31:29 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to **OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.**

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have **STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY.** This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities **INCREASED** use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. **GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES** and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung **ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA.** She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can **NEVER** be trusted to hold SFPD officers **ACCOUNTABLE.** The POA **DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES** like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly **OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS** of San Francisco residents to date. **AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY.** We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms KELLY WERNER
gabbey3@yahoo.com

From: jamie.wollum@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Jamie Wollum](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Monday, June 1, 2020 12:43:01 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Jamie Wollum
jamie.wollum@gmail.com

From: carolineayres6@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Caroline Ayres](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Monday, June 1, 2020 12:44:32 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Caroline Ayres
carolineayres6@gmail.com

From: ben=firshman.co.uk@dsasf.org on behalf of [Ben Firshman](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Monday, June 1, 2020 12:44:43 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Mr Ben Firshman
ben@firshman.co.uk

From: cooper.marcus@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Cooper Marcus](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Monday, June 1, 2020 12:45:48 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Mr Cooper Marcus
cooper.marcus@gmail.com

From: dani_lk@yahoo.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Danielle Levitas](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Monday, June 1, 2020 12:51:42 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Danielle Levitas
dani_lk@yahoo.com

From: msfay9@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Meredith Fay](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Monday, June 1, 2020 12:52:52 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Miss Meredith Fay
msfay9@gmail.com

From: acurrie3392=comcast.net@dsasf.org on behalf of [Alyssa Currie](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Monday, June 1, 2020 12:53:06 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Alyssa Currie
acurrie3392@comcast.net

From: kcatinella@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Katelyn Catinella](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Monday, June 1, 2020 1:02:06 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Katelyn Catinella
kcatinella@gmail.com

From: sjchacko@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Suresh Chacko](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Monday, June 1, 2020 1:06:47 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Mr Suresh Chacko
sjchacko@gmail.com

From: someguydota@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Kris Kazlowski](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Monday, June 1, 2020 1:09:56 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Mr Kris Kazlowski
someguydota@gmail.com

From: dimaits@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Dima Kamalov](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Monday, June 1, 2020 1:10:59 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Mr Dima Kamalov
dimaits@gmail.com

From: joycewong102@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Joyce Wong](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Monday, June 1, 2020 1:17:13 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Joyce Wong
joycewong102@gmail.com

From: ckirvin113@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Chase Irvin](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Monday, June 1, 2020 1:38:33 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Chase Irvin
ckirvin113@gmail.com

From: oleta.johnson@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Oleta Johnson](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Monday, June 1, 2020 1:58:23 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Dr. Oleta Johnson
oletajohnson@gmail.com

From: robeck.jade@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Jade Robeck](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Monday, June 1, 2020 2:01:31 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Jade Robeck
robeck.jade@gmail.com

From: jskarnatz@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Jessie Karnatz-Lazarus](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Monday, June 1, 2020 2:03:27 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Jessie Karnatz-Lazarus
jskarnatz@gmail.com

From: greetingsfellowhuman@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Courtney Brown](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Monday, June 1, 2020 2:04:55 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Courtney Brown
greetingsfellowhuman@gmail.com

From: bryantdenison@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [bryant denison](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Monday, June 1, 2020 2:07:21 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Mr bryant denison
bryantdenison@gmail.com

From: bogarin.ko@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Kelly Ortega](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Monday, June 1, 2020 2:11:30 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Kelly Ortega
bogarín.ko@gmail.com

From: mathildalazelle@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Mathilda Moore](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Monday, June 1, 2020 2:17:33 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Mathilda Moore
mathildalazelle@gmail.com

From: christinala131@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Christina La](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Monday, June 1, 2020 2:21:57 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Christina La
christinala131@gmail.com

From: elliotttrweil@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Elliott Weil](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Monday, June 1, 2020 2:23:39 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Mr Elliott Weil
elliotttrweil@gmail.com

From: limabeaobutton@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Kay Freda](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Monday, June 1, 2020 2:23:58 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Kay Freda
limabeenbutton@gmail.com

From: zoconnor=aol.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [LINDA O'Connor](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Monday, June 1, 2020 2:26:02 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms LINDA O'Connor
zoconnor@aol.com

From: melodyyyyan@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Melody Yan](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Monday, June 1, 2020 2:31:08 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Melody Yan
melodyyyy@gmail.com

From: stephanieposert@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Stephanie Posert](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Monday, June 1, 2020 2:31:48 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Stephanie Posert
stephanieposert@gmail.com

From: mavlove@hotmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [anne mavromatis](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Monday, June 1, 2020 2:40:25 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms anne mavromatis
mavlove@hotmail.com

From: chris.cojuangco@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Chris Cojuangco](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Monday, June 1, 2020 2:42:09 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Mr Chris Cojuangco
chris.cojuangco@gmail.com

From: anyaworley=berkeley.edu@dsasf.org on behalf of [Anya Worley](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Monday, June 1, 2020 2:43:21 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Anya Worley
anyaworley@berkeley.edu

From: tiffanylovestapioca@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Tiffany Lau](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Monday, June 1, 2020 2:51:18 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Tiffany Lau
tiffanylovestapioca@gmail.com

From: brennanjohn8@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [John Brennan](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Monday, June 1, 2020 2:56:28 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms John Brennan
brennanjohn8@gmail.com

From: kngu305241@gmail.com on behalf of [Kha-Nhi Nguyen](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Monday, June 1, 2020 2:57:57 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Kha-Nhi Nguyen
kngu305241@gmail.com

From: dscottb27@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Dylan Bailey](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Monday, June 1, 2020 3:04:02 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Mr Dylan Bailey
dscottb27@gmail.com

From: winnietzhang99@gmail@dsasf.org on behalf of [Winnie Zhang](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Monday, June 1, 2020 3:04:31 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Winnie Zhang
winnietzhang99@gmail

From: kathy1284@yahoo.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Katherine De Leon](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Monday, June 1, 2020 3:12:29 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Katherine De Leon
kathy1284@yahoo.com

From: martincho.herrera94@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Martin Herrera](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Monday, June 1, 2020 3:17:03 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Mr Martin Herrera
martincho.herrera94@gmail.com

From: staceyaguilar@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Stacey Aguilar](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Monday, June 1, 2020 3:20:11 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Stacey Aguilar
staceyaguilar@gmail.com

From: zarinahagnew@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Zarin Agnew](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Monday, June 1, 2020 3:21:20 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Dr. Zarin Agnew
zarinahagnew@gmail.com

From: thidang96@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Thi Dang](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Monday, June 1, 2020 3:25:52 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Mr Thi Dang
thidang96@gmail.com

From: jeffgiaquinto@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Jeff Giaquinto](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Monday, June 1, 2020 3:46:36 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Jeff Giaquinto
jeffgiaquinto@gmail.com

From: lydia.nicholsrussell@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Lydia Nichols-Russell](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Monday, June 1, 2020 3:59:29 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Lydia Nichols-Russell
lydia.nicholsrussell@gmail.com

From: freakcss@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Thaddeous Schnulle](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Monday, June 1, 2020 4:04:44 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Mr Thaddeous Schnulle
freakcss@gmail.com

From: marykatebacalao@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Mary Kate Bacalao](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Monday, June 1, 2020 4:09:00 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Mary Kate Bacalao
marykatebacalao@gmail.com

From: jtwilson55@hotmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Joseph Wilson](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Monday, June 1, 2020 4:12:28 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Joseph Wilson
jtwilson55@hotmail.com

From: leylakincilar@gmail.com on behalf of [Leyla Akincilar](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Monday, June 1, 2020 4:23:30 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Leyla Akincilar
leylakincilar@gmail.com

From: leigh.stackpole@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Leigh Stackpole](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Monday, June 1, 2020 4:46:02 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Leigh Stackpole
leigh.stackpole@gmail.com

From: selenayaaan@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Selena Yan](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Monday, June 1, 2020 4:49:07 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Selena Yan
selenayaaan@gmail.com

From: katherine.duh@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Katherine Duh](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Monday, June 1, 2020 4:58:03 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Katherine Duh
katherine.duh@gmail.com

From: margaretschulze@hotmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Margaret Schulze](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Monday, June 1, 2020 5:09:09 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Margaret Schulze
margaretschulze@hotmail.com

From: akpasta@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Andy Pastalaniec](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Monday, June 1, 2020 5:41:57 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Andy Pastalaniec
akpasta@gmail.com

From: gilshohet@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Gil Shohet](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Monday, June 1, 2020 5:52:51 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Mr Gil Shohet
gilshohet@gmail.com

From: ian.wilson1414@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Ian Wilson](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Monday, June 1, 2020 6:35:46 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,
Ian Wilson

--

Mr Ian Wilson
ian.wilson1414@gmail.com

From: trishlajain18@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Trishla Jain](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Monday, June 1, 2020 6:39:01 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to **OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.**

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have **STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY.** This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities **INCREASED** use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. **GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES** and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung **ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA.** She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can **NEVER** be trusted to hold SFPD officers **ACCOUNTABLE.** The POA **DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES** like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly **OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS** of San Francisco residents to date. **AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY.** We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Trishla Jain
trishlajain18@gmail.com

From: a.katrina88@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Katrina Belda](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Monday, June 1, 2020 6:46:40 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Katrina Belda
a.katrina88@gmail.com

From: calhoun.jessica96@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Jessica Calhoun](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Monday, June 1, 2020 7:09:40 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Jessica Calhoun
calhoun.jessica96@gmail.com

From: emberbemer502@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Emily Calhoun](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Monday, June 1, 2020 7:14:04 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Emily Calhoun
emberbemer502@gmail.com

From: erydai@icloud.com on behalf of [Erendira Ochoa](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Monday, June 1, 2020 7:15:17 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Erendira Ochoa
erydai@icloud.com

From: acehighcutthroats@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Douglas Stuckey](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Monday, June 1, 2020 7:37:10 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Mr Douglas Stuckey
acehighcutthroats@gmail.com

From: cooper.langner@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Cooper Langner](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Monday, June 1, 2020 8:36:30 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Mr Cooper Langner
cooper.langner@gmail.com

From: adelaide.bell@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Adelaide Bell](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Monday, June 1, 2020 8:37:40 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Adelaide Bell
adelaide.bell@gmail.com

From: brian=roberts.net@dsasf.org on behalf of [Brian Roberts](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Monday, June 1, 2020 9:01:06 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Mr Brian Roberts
brian@roberts.net

From: wzc2=georgetown.edu@dsasf.org on behalf of [Wil Curiel](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Monday, June 1, 2020 9:05:53 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Mr Wil Curiel
wzc2@georgetown.edu

From: Kristeenrodriguez@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Kristeen Rodriguez](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Monday, June 1, 2020 9:38:31 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Kristeen Rodriguez
Kristeenrodriguez@gmail.com

From: madygmartin@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Madelaine Martin](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Monday, June 1, 2020 10:26:46 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Madelaine Martin
madygmartin@gmail.com

From: mitchell.harrison54@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [mitchell harrison](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Monday, June 1, 2020 10:54:34 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Mx. mitchell harrison
mitchell.harrison54@gmail.com

From: bitotampolo@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Bitota Mpolo](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Monday, June 1, 2020 10:59:04 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Bitota Mpolo
bitotampolo@gmail.com

From: meganxmathieu@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Megan Mathieu](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Monday, June 1, 2020 11:16:28 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Megan Mathieu
meganxmathieu@gmail.com

From: nam.forever@hotmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Jennifer Mathieu](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Monday, June 1, 2020 11:17:53 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Jennifer Mathieu
nam.forever@hotmail.com

From: megoux=live.ca@dsasf.org on behalf of [Megan Simard](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Monday, June 1, 2020 11:18:46 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a Canadian resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Megan Simard
megoux@live.ca

From: regina.islas@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Regina Islas](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Monday, June 1, 2020 11:35:14 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Regina Islas
regina.islas@gmail.com

From: blakeolas=mac.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Blake Tucker](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Tuesday, June 2, 2020 12:28:15 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Blake Tucker
blakeolas@mac.com

From: druwerd@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Asdrubal Ibarra](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Tuesday, June 2, 2020 6:16:33 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Asdrubal Ibarra
druwerd@gmail.com

From: loreto.lizzy@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Lizzy Allen](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Tuesday, June 2, 2020 9:29:40 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Lizzy Allen
loreto.lizzy@gmail.com

From: ahanehenri@hotmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Shane Lambertson Convery](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Tuesday, June 2, 2020 10:20:16 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Mr Shane Lambertson Convery
ahanehenri@hotmail.com

From: shanehenri@hotmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Shane Lambertson Convery](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Tuesday, June 2, 2020 10:21:08 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Mr Shane Lambertson Convery
shanehenri@hotmail.com

From: kunaal.arya@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Kunaal Arya](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Tuesday, June 2, 2020 10:25:13 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Mr Kunaal Arya
kunaal.arya@gmail.com

From: sarahjung.s.lee@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Sarah Lee](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Tuesday, June 2, 2020 12:07:07 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Sarah Lee
sarahjung.s.lee@gmail.com

From: sophiayamauchi@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Sophia Yamauchi](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Tuesday, June 2, 2020 12:14:18 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Sophia Yamauchi
sophiayamauchi@gmail.com

From: christina.buculei@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Christina Buculei](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Tuesday, June 2, 2020 12:28:43 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to **OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.**

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have **STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY.** This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities **INCREASED** use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. **GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES** and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung **ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA.** She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can **NEVER** be trusted to hold SFPD officers **ACCOUNTABLE.** The POA **DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES** like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly **OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS** of San Francisco residents to date. **AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY.** We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Christina Buculei
christina.buculei@gmail.com

From: aluchsinger23@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Ariana Luchsinger](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Tuesday, June 2, 2020 1:22:20 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Ariana Luchsinger
aluchsinger23@gmail.com

From: benjaminpats@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Ben Santiago](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Tuesday, June 2, 2020 1:22:38 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Mr Ben Santiago
benjaminpats@gmail.com

From: mfisher21=cmc.edu@dsasf.org on behalf of [Max Fisher](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Tuesday, June 2, 2020 1:27:00 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Mr Max Fisher
mfisher21@cmc.edu

From: eldridge2018@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Eldridge Clark-Herrera](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Tuesday, June 2, 2020 1:27:37 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Mr Eldridge Clark-Herrera
eldridge2018@gmail.com

From: hkumar1105@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Hari Kumar](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Tuesday, June 2, 2020 1:30:19 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Mr Hari Kumar
hkumar1105@gmail.com

From: dossantosattila@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Attila Dos Santos](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Tuesday, June 2, 2020 1:31:36 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Attila Dos Santos
dossantosattila@gmail.com

From: khalil.adams0720@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Khalil Adams](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Tuesday, June 2, 2020 1:31:39 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Khalil Adams
khalil.adams0720@gmail.com

From: jake.tulchinsky@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Jake Tulchinsky](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Tuesday, June 2, 2020 1:37:29 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Mr Jake Tulchinsky
jake.tulchinsky@gmail.com

From: castrang=davidson.edu@dsasf.org on behalf of [Carlyn Strang](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Tuesday, June 2, 2020 1:39:39 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Carlyn Strang
castrang@davidson.edu

From: brandonpease172@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Brandon Pease](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Tuesday, June 2, 2020 1:40:06 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Mr Brandon Pease
brandonpease172@gmail.com

From: vadame426@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Victor Adame](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Tuesday, June 2, 2020 1:40:46 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Mr Victor Adame
vadame426@gmail.com

From: johnbillups58@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [John Billups](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Tuesday, June 2, 2020 1:41:03 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Mr John Billups
johnbillups58@gmail.com

From: kaimoody87=live.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Kai Moody](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Tuesday, June 2, 2020 1:43:34 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Mr Kai Moody
kaimoody87@live.com

From: seb.hitz1@gmail.com on behalf of [Sebastian Hitzelburger](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Tuesday, June 2, 2020 1:45:56 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Mx. Sebastian Hitzelburger
seb.hitz1@gmail.com

From: gathomas=davidson.edu@dsasf.org on behalf of [Gabrielle Thomas](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Tuesday, June 2, 2020 1:46:33 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Gabrielle Thomas
gathomas@davidson.edu

From: bugaboos Sewell@gmail.com on behalf of [MacKenzie Sewell](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Tuesday, June 2, 2020 1:47:33 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms MacKenzie Sewell
bugabooswell@gmail.com

From: Jshallop17@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [D'mitri shallop](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Tuesday, June 2, 2020 1:47:57 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Mr D'mitri shallop
Jshallop17@gmail.com

From: nico25pm@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Nicolas Petry-Mitchel](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Tuesday, June 2, 2020 1:49:30 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Mr Nicolas Petry-Mitchel
nico25pm@gmail.com

From: bgman1000@gmail.com on behalf of [Bradford Grant](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Tuesday, June 2, 2020 1:49:31 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

Saw I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Mr Bradford Grant
bgman1000@gmail.com

From: alexmelliott@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Alexandra Elliott](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Tuesday, June 2, 2020 1:50:21 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Alexandra Elliott
alexmelliott@gmail.com

From: apollo12343@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Victoria Lewis](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Tuesday, June 2, 2020 1:51:31 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Victoria Lewis
apollo12343@gmail.com

From: simsom920@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Nam Hyo Cho](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Tuesday, June 2, 2020 1:51:58 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Mr Nam Hyo Cho
simsom920@gmail.com

From: court.jr52@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Courtney Resch](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Tuesday, June 2, 2020 1:52:45 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Courtney Resch
court.jr52@gmail.com

From: briannafoster25@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Brianna Foster](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Tuesday, June 2, 2020 1:52:52 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Brianna Foster
briannafoster25@gmail.com

From: nickhames98@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Nicolas James](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Tuesday, June 2, 2020 1:53:50 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Mr Nicolas James
nickhames98@gmail.com

From: matthew.wu=colorado.edu@dsasf.org on behalf of [Matthew Wu](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Tuesday, June 2, 2020 1:54:05 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Mr Matthew Wu
matthew.wu@colorado.edu

From: xelac415@gmail.com on behalf of [Alexander Suarez](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Tuesday, June 2, 2020 1:54:27 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Mx. Alexander Suarez
xelac415@gmail.com

From: bruceshallop@yahoo.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Mirib Bruce Shallop](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Tuesday, June 2, 2020 1:55:50 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Mr Mirib Bruce Shallop
bruceshallop@yahoo.com

From: keelanbailey14@yahoo.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Keelan Bailey](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Tuesday, June 2, 2020 1:56:08 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Mr Keelan Bailey
keelanbailey14@yahoo.com

From: ftp187=sfgov.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Danny Muhfuknsaad](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Tuesday, June 2, 2020 1:58:35 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Mr Danny Muhfuknsaad
ftp187@sfgov.com

From: jeadarquahyadom=davidson.edu@dsasf.org on behalf of [Jeriel Adarquah](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Tuesday, June 2, 2020 2:04:18 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Mr Jeriel Adarquah
jeadarquahyiaom@davidson.edu

From: leonshallop@yahoo.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Leon Shallop](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Tuesday, June 2, 2020 2:17:18 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Mr Leon Shallop
leonshallop@yahoo.com

From: alainajames110@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Alaina Houghtaling](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Tuesday, June 2, 2020 2:18:07 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Alaina Houghtaling
alainajames110@gmail.com

From: ntk11=georgetown.edu@dsasf.org on behalf of [Nate Kral](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Tuesday, June 2, 2020 2:18:36 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Mr Nate Kral
ntk11@georgetown.edu

From: kendalla14@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Kendall Alexander](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Tuesday, June 2, 2020 2:20:02 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Kendall Alexander
kendalla14@gmail.com

From: annahall33@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Anna Hall](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Tuesday, June 2, 2020 2:20:19 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

Anna Hall

--

Ms Anna Hall
annahall33@gmail.com

From: chamechi=dauidson.edu@dsasf.org on behalf of [Chineme Amechi](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Tuesday, June 2, 2020 2:26:19 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Chineme Amechi
chamechi@davidson.edu

From: daniela.guzman.mayo@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Daniela Guzman-Mayo](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Tuesday, June 2, 2020 2:28:25 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Daniela Guzman-Mayo
daniela.guzman.mayo@gmail.com

From: milesgh@hotmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Miles Hollimon](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Tuesday, June 2, 2020 2:31:07 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Mr Miles Hollimon
milesgh@hotmail.com

From: quincy.weary@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Quincy Weary](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Tuesday, June 2, 2020 2:32:43 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Mr Quincy Weary
quincy.weary@gmail.com

From: dpark=urbanschool.org@dsasf.org on behalf of [dabney park](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Tuesday, June 2, 2020 2:38:40 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms dabney park
dpark@urbanschool.org

From: jeremy4cal@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Jeremy Strang](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Tuesday, June 2, 2020 2:39:26 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Mr Jeremy Strang
jeremy4cal@gmail.com

From: svgilmore1@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Sean Gilmore](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Tuesday, June 2, 2020 2:44:35 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Mr Sean Gilmore
svgilmore1@gmail.com

From: simon.fruth@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Simon Fruth](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Tuesday, June 2, 2020 2:45:30 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Mr Simon Fruth
simon.fruth@gmail.com

From: charlesmoore2000@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Charles Moore](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Tuesday, June 2, 2020 2:52:34 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Charles Moore
charlesmoore2000@gmail.com

From: em2a.david@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Emma David](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Tuesday, June 2, 2020 2:53:46 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Miss Emma David
em2a.david@gmail.com

From: matrix1600blk@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Melique Carter](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Tuesday, June 2, 2020 2:54:35 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Mr Melique Carter
matrix1600blk@gmail.com

From: daniellae415@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Daniella Espinoza](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Tuesday, June 2, 2020 2:57:26 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Daniella Espinoza
daniellae415@gmail.com

From: bloomb218@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Benjamin Bloom](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Tuesday, June 2, 2020 3:18:44 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Mr Benjamin Bloom
bloomb218@gmail.com

From: damckinney19@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Daric McKinney](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Tuesday, June 2, 2020 3:21:11 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Mr Daric McKinney
damckinney19@gmail.com

From: pdestyn@icloud.com on behalf of [Destyn Peoples](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Tuesday, June 2, 2020 3:25:16 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Mr Destyn Peoples
pdestyn@icloud.com

From: ananand7@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Aneesh Anand](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Tuesday, June 2, 2020 3:31:59 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Mr Aneesh Anand
ananand7@gmail.com

From: sashatheharpist@yahoo.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Shalynn Ehrenpfort](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Tuesday, June 2, 2020 3:52:43 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Shalynn Ehrenpfort
sashatheharpist@yahoo.com

From: chezrotimi@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Rotimi Agbabiaka](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Tuesday, June 2, 2020 4:03:07 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

This is a moment that is calling out for monumental change in the militarization of the police and the leeway we give the force to patrol and oppress the poor, people of color, black people, the unhoused. We need members of the Police commission who are strong fighters for reform and for community-minded policing with a vigorous commitment to deescalation of violence.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Mr Rotimi Agbabiaka
chezrotimi@gmail.com

From: jkl105=ucsd.edu@dsasf.org on behalf of [Joanna Lu](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Tuesday, June 2, 2020 4:04:34 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Joanna Lu
jkl105@ucsd.edu

From: azoacha@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Azoacha Forcheh](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Tuesday, June 2, 2020 4:08:35 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Azoacha Forcheh
azoacha@gmail.com

From: kripa.w.chandran@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Kripa Chandran](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Tuesday, June 2, 2020 4:13:25 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Kripa Chandran
kripa.w.chandran@gmail.com

From: cassidyhersey@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Cassidy Hersey](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Tuesday, June 2, 2020 4:22:04 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and native, and I am writing to strongly urge you to **OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.**

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have **STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY.** This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities **INCREASED** use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. **GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES** and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung **ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA.** She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can **NEVER** be trusted to hold SFPD officers **ACCOUNTABLE.** The POA **DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES** like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly **OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS** of San Francisco residents to date. **AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY.** We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,
Cassidy Hersey

--

Ms Cassidy Hersey
cassidyhersey@gmail.com

From: amyhclin14@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Amy Lin](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Tuesday, June 2, 2020 4:22:46 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Amy Lin
amyhclin14@gmail.com

From: amyliu345@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Amy Liu](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Tuesday, June 2, 2020 4:38:46 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Amy Liu
amyliu345@gmail.com

From: shakuan2016@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Shakuan Smith](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Tuesday, June 2, 2020 4:43:14 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Shakuan Smith
shakuan2016@gmail.com

From: abhyv123@gmail.com on behalf of [Abhy Vytheeswaran](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Tuesday, June 2, 2020 5:11:34 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Abhy Vytheeswaran
abhyv123@gmail.com

From: kslattery2255@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Kyra Slattery](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Tuesday, June 2, 2020 5:26:39 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,
Kyra Slattery

--

Ms Kyra Slattery
kslattery2255@gmail.com

From: emilyliu96@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Emily Liu](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Tuesday, June 2, 2020 5:35:28 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Emily Liu
emilyliu96@gmail.com

From: derekvallar@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Derek Vallar](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Tuesday, June 2, 2020 5:41:27 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Mr Derek Vallar
derekvallar@gmail.com

From: selenaclaresaad@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Selena Saad](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Tuesday, June 2, 2020 5:43:50 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Selena Saad
senaclaresaad@gmail.com

From: angelaxqiu@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Angela Qiu](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Tuesday, June 2, 2020 5:46:35 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,
Angela Qiu

--

Ms Angela Qiu
angelaxqiu@gmail.com

From: txu2017@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Tina Xu](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Tuesday, June 2, 2020 6:18:35 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Tina Xu
txu2017@gmail.com

From: arthurcklai@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [arthur lai](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Tuesday, June 2, 2020 6:31:10 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Mr arthur lai
arthurcklai@gmail.com

From: megandaly89@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Megan Daly](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Tuesday, June 2, 2020 6:32:41 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Megan Daly
megandaly89@gmail.com

From: wanyuenyee@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Yuen Yee Wan](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Tuesday, June 2, 2020 6:42:17 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Yuen Yee Wan
wanyuenyee@gmail.com

From: angelasavage00@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Angela Savage](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Tuesday, June 2, 2020 6:44:19 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Angela Savage
angelasavage00@gmail.com

From: michellechuang4@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Michelle Chuang](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Tuesday, June 2, 2020 6:45:20 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Michelle Chuang
michellechuang4@gmail.com

From: kparsard1@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Kyle Parsard](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Tuesday, June 2, 2020 7:02:19 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Mr Kyle Parsard
kparsard1@gmail.com

From: nwelingkar@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Natasha Welingkar](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Tuesday, June 2, 2020 7:05:24 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,
Natasha

--

Ms Natasha Welingkar
nwelingkar@gmail.com

From: lisainoue1@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Lisa Inoue](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Tuesday, June 2, 2020 7:14:29 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Lisa Inoue
lisainoue1@gmail.com

From: alexanderdor@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Alexander Dorta](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Tuesday, June 2, 2020 7:23:44 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Mr Alexander Dorta
alexanderdor@gmail.com

From: tj.arce@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Terrance Arce](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Tuesday, June 2, 2020 7:39:23 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,
Terrance Arce

--

Mr Terrance Arce
tj.arce@gmail.com

From: datta.emon@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Emon Datta](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#); [Breed, London \(MYR\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#); [Karunaratne, Kanishka \(MYR\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Tuesday, June 2, 2020 8:21:03 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors and Mayor Breed,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; MAYOR BREED, you must nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. Supervisors, I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Emon Datta
datta.emon@gmail.com

From: kevin.jiang=berkeley.edu@dsasf.org on behalf of [Kaiwen Jiang](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#); [Breed, London \(MYR\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#); [Karunaratne, Kanishka \(MYR\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Tuesday, June 2, 2020 8:47:21 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors and Mayor Breed,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; MAYOR BREED, you must nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. Supervisors, I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Mr Kaiwen Jiang
kevin.jiang@berkeley.edu

From: georgiavaldez103=redlands.edu@dsasf.org on behalf of [Georgia Valdez](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#); [Breed, London \(MYR\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#); [Karunaratne, Kanishka \(MYR\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Tuesday, June 2, 2020 8:55:02 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors and Mayor Breed,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; MAYOR BREED, you must nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. Supervisors, I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Georgia Valdez
georgiavaldez103@redlands.edu

From: ajani12347@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Ajani Adewusi](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#); [Breed, London \(MYR\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#); [Karunaratne, Kanishka \(MYR\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Tuesday, June 2, 2020 9:14:54 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors and Mayor Breed,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; MAYOR BREED, you must nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. Supervisors, I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Mr Ajani Adewusi
ajani12347@gmail.com

From: ajacimovic99@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Alessandra Jacimovic](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#); [Breed, London \(MYR\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#); [Karunaratne, Kanishka \(MYR\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Tuesday, June 2, 2020 9:15:10 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors and Mayor Breed,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; MAYOR BREED, you must nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. Supervisors, I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Alessandra Jacimovic
ajacimovic99@gmail.com

From: leahbaron00@gmail.com on behalf of [Leah Baron](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#); [Breed, London \(MYR\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#); [Karunaratne, Kanishka \(MYR\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Tuesday, June 2, 2020 9:33:03 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors and Mayor Breed,

I am a Mill Valley resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; MAYOR BREED, you must nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. Supervisors, I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Leah Baron
leahbaron00@gmail.com

From: roshnijariwala3@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Roshni Jariwala](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#); [Breed, London \(MYR\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#); [Karunaratne, Kanishka \(MYR\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Tuesday, June 2, 2020 9:40:25 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors and Mayor Breed,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; MAYOR BREED, you must nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. Supervisors, I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Roshni Jariwala
roshnijariwala3@gmail.com

From: kianamxxdy@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Kiana Moody](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#); [Breed, London \(MYR\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#); [Karunaratne, Kanishka \(MYR\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Tuesday, June 2, 2020 10:38:19 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors and Mayor Breed,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; MAYOR BREED, you must nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. Supervisors, I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Kiana Moody
kianamxxdy@gmail.com

From: fc.overfil@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [fil.cala](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#); [Breed, London \(MYR\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#); [Karunaratne, Kanishka \(MYR\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Tuesday, June 2, 2020 10:53:36 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors and Mayor Breed,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; MAYOR BREED, you must nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. Supervisors, I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Mx. fil cala
fc.overfil@gmail.com

From: acahuas=umich.edu@dsasf.org on behalf of [Ana Cahuas](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#); [Breed, London \(MYR\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#); [Karunaratne, Kanishka \(MYR\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Tuesday, June 2, 2020 11:11:43 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors and Mayor Breed,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; MAYOR BREED, you must nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. Supervisors, I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Ana Cahuas
acahuas@umich.edu

From: lucyxu01720@yahoo.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Lucy Xu](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#); [Breed, London \(MYR\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#); [Karunaratne, Kanishka \(MYR\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Tuesday, June 2, 2020 11:45:03 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors and Mayor Breed,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; MAYOR BREED, you must nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. Supervisors, I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Lucy Xu
lucyxu01720@yahoo.com

From: ljqzhang@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Leslie Zhang](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#); [Breed, London \(MYR\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#); [Karunaratne, Kanishka \(MYR\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Tuesday, June 2, 2020 11:51:55 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors and Mayor Breed,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; MAYOR BREED, you must nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. Supervisors, I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,
Leslie Zhang

--

Ms Leslie Zhang
ljqzhang@gmail.com

From: llynn1120@yahoo.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Heather Bowersox](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#); [Breed, London \(MYR\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#); [Karunaratne, Kanishka \(MYR\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Wednesday, June 3, 2020 12:51:47 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors and Mayor Breed,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; MAYOR BREED, you must nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. Supervisors, I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Heather Bowersox
llynn1120@yahoo.com

From: aliceneue@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [ALICE PANG](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#); [Breed, London \(MYR\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#); [Karunaratne, Kanishka \(MYR\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Wednesday, June 3, 2020 3:41:20 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors and Mayor Breed,

Dear Ms. Breed,

PLEASE OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO

HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; MAYOR BREED, you must nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. Supervisors, I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

Alice Pang

--

Ms ALICE PANG
aliceneue@gmail.com

From: soniapop98@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Abigail Engelman](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#); [Breed, London \(MYR\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#); [Karunaratne, Kanishka \(MYR\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Wednesday, June 3, 2020 4:41:24 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors and Mayor Breed,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; MAYOR BREED, you must nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. Supervisors, I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Abigail Engelman
soniapop98@gmail.com

From: jasonxie9801@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Jason Xie](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#); [Breed, London \(MYR\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#); [Karunaratne, Kanishka \(MYR\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Wednesday, June 3, 2020 8:03:18 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors and Mayor Breed,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; MAYOR BREED, you must nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. Supervisors, I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Mr Jason Xie
jasonxie9801@gmail.com

From: kerr.ronny@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Ronald Kerr](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#); [Breed, London \(MYR\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#); [Karunaratne, Kanishka \(MYR\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Wednesday, June 3, 2020 9:14:09 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors and Mayor Breed,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; MAYOR BREED, you must nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. Supervisors, I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Mr Ronald Kerr
kerr.ronny@gmail.com

From: kitziaes@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Kitzia Esteva](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#); [Breed, London \(MYR\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#); [Karunaratne, Kanishka \(MYR\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Wednesday, June 3, 2020 9:42:26 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors and Mayor Breed,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

As a prosecutor with anti-Black and anti-feminist track record, I do not believe Nancy Tung should be put in this position.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a prosecutor with anti-Black and anti-feminist track record, I do not believe Nancy Tung should be put in this position.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Kitzia Esteva
kitziaes@gmail.com

From: kamakhe.97@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Kam P](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#); [Breed, London \(MYR\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#); [Karunaratne, Kanishka \(MYR\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Wednesday, June 3, 2020 9:51:22 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors and Mayor Breed,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; MAYOR BREED, you must nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. Supervisors, I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,
Kam

--

Ms Kam P
kamakhe.97@gmail.com

From: joetheactorguy@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Joseph Schmitz](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#); [Breed, London \(MYR\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#); [Karunaratne, Kanishka \(MYR\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Wednesday, June 3, 2020 10:11:40 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors and Mayor Breed,

I am a San Francisco resident in District 8, and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; MAYOR BREED, you must nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. Supervisors, I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Mr Joseph Schmitz
joetheactorguy@gmail.com

From: kuhbach703@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Emily Kuhbach](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#); [Breed, London \(MYR\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#); [Karunaratne, Kanishka \(MYR\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Wednesday, June 3, 2020 10:32:28 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors and Mayor Breed,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; MAYOR BREED, you must nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. Supervisors, I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Emily Kuhbach
kuhbach703@gmail.com

From: lisa.stanziano@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [LISA STANZIANO](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#); [Breed, London \(MYR\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#); [Karunaratne, Kanishka \(MYR\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Wednesday, June 3, 2020 10:34:38 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors and Mayor Breed,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; MAYOR BREED, you must nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. Supervisors, I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms LISA STANZIANO
lisa.stanziano@gmail.com

From: john.angel=sfcm.edu@dsasf.org on behalf of [John Angel](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#); [Breed, London \(MYR\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#); [Karunaratne, Kanishka \(MYR\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Wednesday, June 3, 2020 10:39:43 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors and Mayor Breed,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; MAYOR BREED, you must nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. Supervisors, I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Mr John Angel
john.angel@sfcmm.edu

From: arnesh.nagavalli46@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Arnesh Nagavalli](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#); [Breed, London \(MYR\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#); [Karunaratne, Kanishka \(MYR\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Wednesday, June 3, 2020 10:42:36 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors and Mayor Breed,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; MAYOR BREED, you must nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. Supervisors, I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Mr Arnesh Nagavalli
arnesh.nagavalli46@gmail.com

From: alice.dues@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Alice Duesdieker](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#); [Breed, London \(MYR\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#); [Karunaratne, Kanishka \(MYR\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Wednesday, June 3, 2020 10:51:45 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors and Mayor Breed,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; MAYOR BREED, you must nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. Supervisors, I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Miss Alice Duesdieker
alice.dues@gmail.com

From: nicoleinlow@yahoo.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Nicole I](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#); [Breed, London \(MYR\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#); [Karunaratne, Kanishka \(MYR\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Wednesday, June 3, 2020 10:53:07 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors and Mayor Breed,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; MAYOR BREED, you must nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. Supervisors, I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Nicole I
nicoleinlow@yahoo.com

From: zoya.waliany@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Zoya Waliany](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#); [Breed, London \(MYR\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#); [Karunaratne, Kanishka \(MYR\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Wednesday, June 3, 2020 10:56:00 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors and Mayor Breed,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; MAYOR BREED, you must nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. Supervisors, I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Zoya Waliany
zoya.waliany@gmail.com

From: gcpelsinger@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Gabriela Pelsinger](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#); [Breed, London \(MYR\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#); [Karunaratne, Kanishka \(MYR\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Wednesday, June 3, 2020 11:40:14 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors and Mayor Breed,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; MAYOR BREED, you must nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. Supervisors, I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Gabriela Pelsinger
gcpelsinger@gmail.com

From: brebianchi17@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Breanna Bianchi](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#); [Breed, London \(MYR\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#); [Karunaratne, Kanishka \(MYR\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Wednesday, June 3, 2020 11:52:32 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors and Mayor Breed,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; MAYOR BREED, you must nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. Supervisors, I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Breanna Bianchi
brebianchi17@gmail.com

From: jaden.geller@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Jaden Geller](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#); [Breed, London \(MYR\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#); [Karunaratne, Kanishka \(MYR\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Wednesday, June 3, 2020 11:59:58 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors and Mayor Breed,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; MAYOR BREED, you must nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. Supervisors, I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Mr Jaden Geller
jaden.geller@gmail.com

From: lea.dufourd@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Lea Dufourd](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#); [Breed, London \(MYR\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#); [Karunaratne, Kanishka \(MYR\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Wednesday, June 3, 2020 12:18:26 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors and Mayor Breed,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; MAYOR BREED, you must nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. Supervisors, I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Lea Dufourd
lea.dufourd@gmail.com

From: charlotte.quinn98@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Charlotte Quinn](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#); [Breed, London \(MYR\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#); [Karunaratne, Kanishka \(MYR\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Wednesday, June 3, 2020 12:18:30 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors and Mayor Breed,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; MAYOR BREED, you must nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. Supervisors, I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Charlotte Quinn
charlotte.quinn98@gmail.com

From: aaclarker@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Aaron Clark](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#); [Breed, London \(MYR\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#); [Karunaratne, Kanishka \(MYR\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Wednesday, June 3, 2020 12:55:40 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors and Mayor Breed,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; MAYOR BREED, you must nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. Supervisors, I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Mr Aaron Clark
aaclarker@gmail.com

From: mcraefried99@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Mcrae Fried](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#); [Breed, London \(MYR\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#); [Karunaratne, Kanishka \(MYR\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Wednesday, June 3, 2020 1:05:56 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors and Mayor Breed,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; MAYOR BREED, you must nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. Supervisors, I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Mcrae Fried
mcraefried99@gmail.com

From: smashedpottery@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Julianne Vinh](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#); [Breed, London \(MYR\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#); [Karunaratne, Kanishka \(MYR\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Wednesday, June 3, 2020 1:09:11 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors and Mayor Breed,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; MAYOR BREED, you must nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. Supervisors, I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Julianne Vinh
smashedpottery@gmail.com

From: sruthi.sivanandan@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Sruthi Sivanandan](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#); [Breed, London \(MYR\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#); [Karunaratne, Kanishka \(MYR\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Wednesday, June 3, 2020 1:41:45 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors and Mayor Breed,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; MAYOR BREED, you must nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. Supervisors, I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Sruthi Sivanandan
sruthi.sivanandan@gmail.com

From: ryanpmoon1@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Ryan Moon](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#); [Breed, London \(MYR\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#); [Karunaratne, Kanishka \(MYR\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Wednesday, June 3, 2020 1:53:01 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors and Mayor Breed,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; MAYOR BREED, you must nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. Supervisors, I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Mr Ryan Moon
ryanpmoon1@gmail.com

From: [Jocelyn Foye](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NITRO Studio's Digital Workplace Solutions for O365/SP
Date: Wednesday, June 3, 2020 2:13:48 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Webinar TOMORROW June 4th at 11 AM Pacific

Unleash the power of Microsoft Office 365, Teams & SharePoint with NITRO Forms & Workflows

<<http://click.spwow.sharepointwow.com/click/fi1g-21upxh-nq7ym9-9k6wpny2/>>

Join our webinar and find out how to easily power up your digital workplace in Microsoft Office 365, Teams, and SharePoint using NITRO Studio's Workflow, Bots, and Forms. See how to build and deliver line-of-business process automation solutions that bring efficiency, and cost savings across your enterprise.

Date: Thursday, June 4th

Time: 11 AM Pacific | 2 PM Eastern

Register Now <<http://click.spwow.sharepointwow.com/click/fi1g-21upxh-nq7yma-9k6wpny2/>>

Crow Canyon's NITRO Studio keeps getting better and better! From the new Microsoft Teams integration to it's easy to use drag and drop Forms Designer.

This webinar <<http://click.spwow.sharepointwow.com/click/fi1g-21upxh-nq7ymb-9k6wpny3/>> will showcase enhancements to the Studio, particularly the Forms Designer. See our advanced table layout, the preview feature, label/description customizations, floating attachment control, placeholders in the form title, and other new features that make creating forms that are interesting, engaging, sophisticated, and easy to use.

Can't make it? Go ahead and register and we'll send a webinar recording to all participants. If you have an immediate need contact sales <<http://click.spwow.sharepointwow.com/click/fi1g-21upxh-nq7ymc-9k6wpny4/>> today!

REGISTER TODAY! <<http://click.spwow.sharepointwow.com/click/fi1g-21upxh-nq7ymd-9k6wpny5/>>

<<http://click.spwow.sharepointwow.com/click/fi1g-21upxh-nq7yme-9k6wpny6/>>

<<http://media.campaigner.com/media/72/723220/ext.jpg?g=1586799991889>>

Crow Canyon Software | 565 Lori Drive #71 | Benicia, CA 94510-2680

You are subscribed to this email as angela.calvillo@sfgov.org.

Click here to modify your preferences <<http://click.spwow.sharepointwow.com/form?21upxh--zpnc-9k6wpny5&sl=3gg&t=1&ac=fi1g>> or unsubscribe <<http://click.spwow.sharepointwow.com/form?21upxh--zpnc-9k6wpny5&sl=3gg&t=5&ac=fi1g>> .

<<http://click.spwow.sharepointwow.com/open/fi1g-21upxh--9k6wpny7/img.gif>>

From: junjocheng@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Joanna Cheng](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#); [Breed, London \(MYR\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#); [Karunaratne, Kanishka \(MYR\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Wednesday, June 3, 2020 2:34:44 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors and Mayor Breed,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; MAYOR BREED, you must nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. Supervisors, I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,
Joanna

--

Ms Joanna Cheng
junjocheng@gmail.com

From: somasoma96@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Somasri Patel](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#); [Breed, London \(MYR\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#); [Karunaratne, Kanishka \(MYR\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Wednesday, June 3, 2020 3:17:34 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors and Mayor Breed,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; MAYOR BREED, you must nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. Supervisors, I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Miss Somasri Patel
somasoma96@gmail.com

From: ekaterina.cg.smith@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [EK S](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#); [Breed, London \(MYR\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#); [Karunaratne, Kanishka \(MYR\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Wednesday, June 3, 2020 3:21:48 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors and Mayor Breed,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; MAYOR BREED, you must nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. Supervisors, I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms EK S

ekaterina.cg.smith@gmail.com

From: estherli21@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Esther Li](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#); [Breed, London \(MYR\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#); [Karunaratne, Kanishka \(MYR\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Wednesday, June 3, 2020 3:28:31 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors and Mayor Breed,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; MAYOR BREED, you must nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. Supervisors, I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Esther Li
estheryli21@gmail.com

From: nmderosa@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Nicole De Rosa](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#); [Breed, London \(MYR\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#); [Karunaratne, Kanishka \(MYR\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Wednesday, June 3, 2020 3:44:08 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors and Mayor Breed,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; MAYOR BREED, you must nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. Supervisors, I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Nicole De Rosa
nmderosa@gmail.com

From: amclaman@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Alexandra Claman](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#); [Breed, London \(MYR\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#); [Karunaratne, Kanishka \(MYR\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Wednesday, June 3, 2020 3:56:04 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors and Mayor Breed,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; MAYOR BREED, you must nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. Supervisors, I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Alexandra Claman
amclaman@gmail.com

From: weisbrot9@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Marcia Weisbrot](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#); [Breed, London \(MYR\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#); [Karunaratne, Kanishka \(MYR\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Wednesday, June 3, 2020 4:14:07 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors and Mayor Breed,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; MAYOR BREED, you must nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. Supervisors, I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Marcia Weisbrot
weisbrot9@gmail.com

From: enyollismp@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Enyolli Martinez](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#); [Breed, London \(MYR\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#); [Karunaratne, Kanishka \(MYR\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Wednesday, June 3, 2020 4:42:19 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors and Mayor Breed,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; MAYOR BREED, you must nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. Supervisors, I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Miss Enyolli Martinez
enyollismp@gmail.com

From: dbarri07@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Dalia Barrientos](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#); [Breed, London \(MYR\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#); [Karunaratne, Kanishka \(MYR\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Wednesday, June 3, 2020 4:46:31 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors and Mayor Breed,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; MAYOR BREED, you must nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. Supervisors, I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Dalia Barrientos
dbarri07@gmail.com

From: mgibsonsf=mac.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Mark Gibson](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#); [Breed, London \(MYR\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#); [Karunaratne, Kanishka \(MYR\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Wednesday, June 3, 2020 7:00:48 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors and Mayor Breed,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; MAYOR BREED, you must nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. Supervisors, I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Mr Mark Gibson
mgibsonsf@mac.com

From: joyjoyjoycejoyjoy@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Joyce Chen](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#); [Breed, London \(MYR\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#); [Karunaratne, Kanishka \(MYR\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Wednesday, June 3, 2020 7:19:05 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors and Mayor Breed,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; MAYOR BREED, you must nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. Supervisors, I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Joyce Chen
joyjoyjoycejoyjoy@gmail.com

From: jada97=att.net@dsasf.org on behalf of [Jada Perkins](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#); [Breed, London \(MYR\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#); [Karunaratne, Kanishka \(MYR\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Wednesday, June 3, 2020 7:56:47 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors and Mayor Breed,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; MAYOR BREED, you must nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. Supervisors, I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Jada Perkins
jada97@att.net

From: avi6290@yahoo.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Avishan Peer](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#); [Breed, London \(MYR\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#); [Karunaratne, Kanishka \(MYR\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Wednesday, June 3, 2020 8:05:48 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors and Mayor Breed,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; MAYOR BREED, you must nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. Supervisors, I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Avishan Peer
avi6290@yahoo.com

From: juhi01@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Juhi Gupta](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#); [Breed, London \(MYR\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#); [Karunaratne, Kanishka \(MYR\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Wednesday, June 3, 2020 8:13:30 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors and Mayor Breed,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; MAYOR BREED, you must nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. Supervisors, I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Juhi Gupta
juhig01@gmail.com

From: juneliubaby@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Nat Li](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#); [Breed, London \(MYR\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#); [Karunaratne, Kanishka \(MYR\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Wednesday, June 3, 2020 8:55:38 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors and Mayor Breed,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Nat Li
juneliubaby@gmail.com

From: jason.boehme@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Jason Boehme](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#); [Breed, London \(MYR\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#); [Karunaratne, Kanishka \(MYR\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Wednesday, June 3, 2020 9:39:17 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors and Mayor Breed,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; MAYOR BREED, you must nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. Supervisors, I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Mr Jason Boehme
jason.boehme@gmail.com

From: vojetunde@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Victoria Ojetunde](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#); [Breed, London \(MYR\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#); [Karunaratne, Kanishka \(MYR\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Wednesday, June 3, 2020 10:24:10 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors and Mayor Breed,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; MAYOR BREED, you must nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. Supervisors, I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Victoria Ojetunde
vojetunde@gmail.com

From: jnkumar11@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Janavi Kumar](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#); [Breed, London \(MYR\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#); [Karunaratne, Kanishka \(MYR\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Wednesday, June 3, 2020 11:23:57 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors and Mayor Breed,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; MAYOR BREED, you must nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. Supervisors, I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Janavi Kumar
jnkumar11@gmail.com

From: pianolicious8@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Rhea Lin](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#); [Breed, London \(MYR\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#); [Karunaratne, Kanishka \(MYR\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Wednesday, June 3, 2020 11:42:31 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors and Mayor Breed,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; MAYOR BREED, you must nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. Supervisors, I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,
Rhea Lin

--

Ms Rhea Lin
pianolicious8@gmail.com

From: mikaela.gracx@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Mika Grace](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#); [Breed, London \(MYR\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#); [Karunaratne, Kanishka \(MYR\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Thursday, June 4, 2020 3:05:38 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors and Mayor Breed,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; MAYOR BREED, you must nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. Supervisors, I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Mika Grace
mikaela.gracx@gmail.com

From: [Bia, Billie](#)
To: [Cathie, Angela @CMS](#)
Subject: 2020 Contracted Services: Ensuring Compliance With The CMS Hospital CoP Standards, Joint Commission
Date: Thursday, June 4, 2020 8:08:39 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

An important update regarding COVID-19

https://2019ef.net/ta/jag?5-0018a1Cae%2d8c-9RGY2--yOQcAgpPVtF_596oe_XAc4Yp6k6aFdc16W4TORNA4VM4Pdad4a3TtaOacNvefEmanVc1UcKcapRv-r-eQMwa8vTY7BdKghY3uDBNc2yMzAa3RgFgXqCXXZQPyEGGaInfoyuN2ZBV419j_b99ERvP5Yq4bV0u9d7TaRxFLeYWH_2SEAA4a4C4UpGawQuamj7ApNMS8P6O2ehv73rP7pRvPhmzuzZZ_BdU2h2UTQnqibq9mMnGXm1U53bV3jy0De_80t0b-dc-c0d9BZY1aunbXp3vKGpmEg6dL32cMMKJ1vpKb6VvcWeSMZQ3B3Yw---dch-Gx5KcQYPr-c31xxODd-8Cn5gF4aCrtUcAQQamfTVBmc5YpPGXQ-->

Healthcare | Jun 08, 2020 | 1:00 PM EST | 120 Minutes

By : Sue Dill Calloway

Use coupon code "FLAT30" and get "530" off.

Register Now <http://2019ef.net/ta/jag?5-0018a1Cae%2d8c-9RGY2--yOQcAgpPVtF_596oe_XAc4Yp6k6aFdc16W4TORNA4VM4Pdad4a3TtaOacNvefEmanVc1UcKcapRv-r-eQMwa8vTY7BdKghY3uDBNc2yMzAa3RgFgXqCXXZQPyEGGaInfoyuN2ZBV419j_b99ERvP5Yq4bV0u9d7TaRxFLeYWH_2SEAA4a4C4UpGawQuamj7ApNMS8P6O2ehv73rP7pRvPhmzuzZZ_BdU2h2UTQnqibq9mMnGXm1U53bV3jy0De_80t0b-dc-c0d9BZY1aunbXp3vKGpmEg6dL32cMMKJ1vpKb6VvcWeSMZQ3B3Yw---dch-Gx5KcQYPr-c31xxODd-8Cn5gF4aCrtUcAQQamfTVBmc5YpPGXQ-->>

Description:-

2020 Contracted Services: Ensuring Compliance with the CMS Hospital CoP Standards, Joint Commission and DNV

Contracts have become a recent hot topic with increased scrutiny by both the Joint Commission and CMS. Joint Commission has both a standard on contract management and a contract services tracer. CMS recently issued a memo summarizing deficiencies received by hospitals and many were related to contract management and these will be discussed. This program will also discuss the standards for hospitals accredited by DNV-GL, which are closely crosswalked to the CMS CoPs.

Hospitals have been asked why they have contracted with certain entities. Hospitals must also have a process to evaluate contracted services. The evaluation needs to ensure compliance with the provisions contained in the contract regulations and standards with oversight from the Board. The bottom line is whether the hospital uses its own employees or contracts out the service with contracted employees, the hospital must still evaluate the service and ensure patients are still getting a good quality of care. The board is responsible for oversight of contracted services and tips to ensure compliance will be discussed.

This presentation will cover all of the contract requirements for hospitals accredited by the Joint Commission and changes. This standard was amended every year for the past three years. The CMS telemedicine standard also updated a section in the Joint Commission contract standards. It is covered in the TIC contract tracer. This program will also cover the standards for hospitals accredited by DNV-GL.

The webinar will include the CMS hospital condition of participation requirements for contracts. Every hospital that accepts Medicare or Medicaid reimbursement must follow the CMS contract regulations and interpretive guidelines. The board will have to have a contract with the telemedicine facility that they are using for teleradiology and telecommunication and this program will talk about what must be in the contract.

CMS has added a section to the final CMS worksheets to ensure the surveyors address the contract management issue.

Agenda:-

- * The Joint Commission standards LD.04.03.09
- * CMS Contract Regulations and Interpretive Requirements
- * DNV-GL Standards on Contract Management

Objectives:-

- * Recall that the Joint Commission has a contract standard in the leadership chapter
- * Discuss that CMS has a section in the hospital conditions of participation that sets forth requirements for contracted services
- * Describe that the same level of care is required whether the hospital provides the services directly or through contracted services
- * Recall that CMS requires that Board to make sure that contract services are reviewed as part of the QAPI process
- * Discuss that hospitals accredited by DNV must also follow their contract management standards

CONTACT US:-

Toll Free Num:- 1-800-859-2877 Or support@Yanharthgana.com

Organization Name | 28315 Kensington Lane, Puyersburg, OH 43551

Unsubscribe angela.calloway@efgr.org <<https://www.constantcontact.com/dp/track/001d1g4H8C-98Q2aFwKBVvyaCh3Dkch-902b0858-7a6f-11ea-90a1-d46e577134f6&c=fb67b380ce08-4c2a-5a9e-3f702c5714b4>>

Update Profile <<https://www.constantcontact.com/dp/track/001d1g4H8C-98Q2aFwKBVvyaCh3Dkch-902b0858-7a6f-11ea-90a1-d46e577134f6&c=fb67b380ce08-4c2a-5a9e-3f702c5714b4>> | About Constant Contact <<https://www.constantcontact.com/legal/about-constant-contact>>

Sent by res.miller@yanharthgana.com <res.miller@yanharthgana.com> in collaboration with

<http://www.constantcontact.com/index.jsp?c=track&mc=VF19_3dG>

Try email marketing for free today! <http://www.constantcontact.com/index.jsp?c=track&mc=VF19_3dG>

From: marc=gerdin.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Marc Gerdin](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#); [Breed, London \(MYR\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#); [Karunaratne, Kanishka \(MYR\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Thursday, June 4, 2020 7:13:26 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors and Mayor Breed,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; MAYOR BREED, you must nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. Supervisors, I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Mr Marc Gerdin
marc@gerdin.com

From: declanlloyd@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Declan Lloyd](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#); [Breed, London \(MYR\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#); [Karunaratne, Kanishka \(MYR\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Thursday, June 4, 2020 8:33:14 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors and Mayor Breed,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; MAYOR BREED, you must nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. Supervisors, I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Mr Declan Lloyd
declanlloyd@gmail.com

From: anusha.suresh1311@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Anusha Suresh](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#); [Breed, London \(MYR\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#); [Karunaratne, Kanishka \(MYR\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Thursday, June 4, 2020 8:45:53 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors and Mayor Breed,

I am a San Francisco Bay Area resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; MAYOR BREED, you must nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. Supervisors, I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Anusha Suresh
anusha.suresh1311@gmail.com

From: anisha.karur@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Anisha Karur](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#); [Breed, London \(MYR\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#); [Karunaratne, Kanishka \(MYR\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Thursday, June 4, 2020 10:11:23 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors and Mayor Breed,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; MAYOR BREED, you must nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. Supervisors, I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Anisha Karur
anisha.karur@gmail.com

From: 19thtealeaf@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Lisa Chen](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#); [Breed, London \(MYR\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#); [Karunaratne, Kanishka \(MYR\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Thursday, June 4, 2020 10:13:05 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors and Mayor Breed,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Lisa Chen
19thtealeaf@gmail.com

From: tjholzman@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Thea Holsman](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#); [Breed, London \(MYR\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#); [Karunaratne, Kanishka \(MYR\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Thursday, June 4, 2020 10:15:12 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors and Mayor Breed,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; MAYOR BREED, you must nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. Supervisors, I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Mx. Thea Holsman
tjholmsman@gmail.com

From: biancarosen444@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Bianca Rosen](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#); [Breed, London \(MYR\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#); [Karunaratne, Kanishka \(MYR\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Thursday, June 4, 2020 10:43:13 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors and Mayor Breed,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

Bianca Rosen

--

Ms Bianca Rosen
biancarosen444@gmail.com

From: sara.gilford@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Sara Gilford](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#); [Breed, London \(MYR\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#); [Karunaratne, Kanishka \(MYR\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Thursday, June 4, 2020 1:00:55 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors and Mayor Breed,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; MAYOR BREED, you must nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. Supervisors, I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Sara Gilford
sara.gilford@gmail.com

From: amandabokura@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Amanda Bokura](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#); [Breed, London \(MYR\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#); [Karunaratne, Kanishka \(MYR\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Thursday, June 4, 2020 2:42:32 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors and Mayor Breed,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; MAYOR BREED, you must nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. Supervisors, I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Amanda Bokura
amandabokura@gmail.com

From: preston.rhea@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Preston Rhea](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#); [Breed, London \(MYR\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#); [Karunaratne, Kanishka \(MYR\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Thursday, June 4, 2020 5:16:27 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors and Mayor Breed,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

I urge you to follow the recommendation of the Rules Committee to reject these nominees. Following 7 hours of hearings & public testimony, the vast majority of which was in opposition to the nominations of Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed, the residents of San Francisco have made it clear that they demand nominees who better reflect San Francisco values as well as a desire for police accountability and real change.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; MAYOR BREED, you must nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. Supervisors, I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Mr Preston Rhea
preston.rhea@gmail.com

From: pearciders@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Kris Gamayo](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#); [Breed, London \(MYR\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#); [Karunaratne, Kanishka \(MYR\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Thursday, June 4, 2020 6:38:21 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors and Mayor Breed,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

I urge you to follow the recommendation of the Rules Committee to reject these nominees. Following 7 hours of hearings & public testimony, the vast majority of which was in opposition to the nominations of Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed, the residents of San Francisco have made it clear that they demand nominees who better reflect San Francisco values as well as a desire for police accountability and real change.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; MAYOR BREED, you must nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. Supervisors, I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Mx. Kris Gamayo
pearciders@gmail.com

From: antararao1@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Antara Rao](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#); [Breed, London \(MYR\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#); [Karunaratne, Kanishka \(MYR\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission (II)
Date: Thursday, June 4, 2020 7:31:11 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors and Mayor Breed,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to you AGAIN to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

I urge you to follow the recommendation of the Rules Committee to reject these nominees. Following 7 hours of hearings & public testimony, the vast majority of which was in opposition to the nominations of Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed, the residents of San Francisco have made it clear that they demand nominees who better reflect San Francisco values as well as a desire for police accountability and real change.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; MAYOR BREED, you must nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. Supervisors, I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Antara Rao
antararao1@gmail.com

From: heavylivestock@gmail.com@dsasf.org on behalf of [Kathleen Emerson](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#); [Breed, London \(MYR\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#); [Karunaratne, Kanishka \(MYR\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Thursday, June 4, 2020 8:19:17 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors and Mayor Breed,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

I urge you to follow the recommendation of the Rules Committee to reject these nominees. Following 7 hours of hearings & public testimony, the vast majority of which was in opposition to the nominations of Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed, the residents of San Francisco have made it clear that they demand nominees who better reflect San Francisco values as well as a desire for police accountability and real change.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; MAYOR BREED, you must nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. Supervisors, I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Dr. Kathleen Emerson
heavylivestock@gmail.com

From: [anastasia Yovanopoulos](#)
To: [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Haney, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Mar, Gordon \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#)
Cc: [Smeallie, Kyle \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Support Preston's eviction protection legislation
Date: Thursday, May 28, 2020 5:14:12 PM
Attachments: [Eviction Protection Ordinance - One Pager.pdf](#)

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To: Rafael Mandelman <rafael.mandelman@sfgov.org>
Sent: Sunday, May 24, 2020, 02:53:15 PM PDT
Subject: Support Preston's eviction protection legislation

Dear Rafael Mandelman,

I'm sorry to intrude over your holiday weekend. As June 1st approaches there's an urgent problem I need to bring up that renters in District #8, throughout our city and the State are facing since Governor Newsom issued a statewide "stay at home order " to prevent the rapid spread of COVID-19 and protect public health.

As eviction moratoriums are lifted in a matter of weeks, millions of tenants will face further housing insecurity and possible homelessness because of inadequate tenant protections. FYI: Over 3 million Californians have filed for unemployment, and one out of three renters nationwide are unable to pay their rent.

I am asking you to support Supervisor Dean Preston's legislation to protect tenants from eviction for non-payment of rent due to COVID-19. There's a (pdf.) that will explain the gist of the legislation for you. San Francisco tenants need your help to keep us housed, Rafael.

Similar legislation has already been passed by Oakland, Solano, Alameda and L.A. counties. Your vote to support of Dean Preston's legislation at Board of Supervisors on June 2nd, would be gratefully appreciated.

Sincerely,
Anastasia Yovanopoulos
District #8 tenant, SF Tenants Union member

Tenant Protection Ordinance

Taking Eviction off the Table for COVID Rent Debt

Overview

The City and County of San Francisco acted swiftly to stop evictions during the COVID-19 state of emergency. The question remains what will happen to tenants, many of whom were already struggling before the pandemic, after the emergency is over.

Supervisor Dean Preston's proposal (File No. [200375](#)) seeks to provide permanent eviction protections for tenants unable to pay rent during this state of emergency. The intent of the ordinance is to permanently ban eviction for nonpayment of rent accrued due to the COVID-19 state of emergency. In addition, the legislation prohibits late fees, penalties, interest, or other charges to tenants related to delayed rent, and modifies habitual late payment of rent eviction rules to make clear that delayed rent cannot be used as a ground for eviction.

Details

The legislation prohibits eviction for nonpayment of rent where the rent payments become due during the State of Emergency, and nonpayment (i) arose out of a substantial decrease in household income or substantial out-of-pocket expenses; (ii) that was caused by the COVID-19 pandemic, or by any local, state, or federal government response to COVID-19; and (iii) is documented.

- No deadline for a tenant to raise this defense to eviction. It can be raised at the time the tenant doesn't pay, months later, or in the event of an eviction lawsuit.
- No requirement of any specific type of documentation to show an inability to pay due to COVID-19. Documentation is defined broadly and third-party documentation such as a letter from an employer is not required.

The legislation does not waive rent obligations that accrue during the state of emergency. Instead, the obligations would become akin to consumer debt, which a landlord could elect to pursue in small claims court.

Why it is important

As advocates and elected leaders pursue rent and mortgage cancellation measures throughout the country, this legislation serves as a crucial backstop to make sure that, regardless of the outcome of cancellation efforts, San Francisco tenants are guaranteed to never face eviction for COVID-19 related rent debt.

From: [Jackie Autry](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#)
Subject: Evictions during Covid-19
Date: Saturday, May 30, 2020 3:46:47 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I am opposed to allowing landlords to evict SF residents from their homes due to loss of income related to job loss during Covid-19.

We would only be creating even greater economic insecurity, potentially increasing homeless numbers by taking such action.

SF Board of Supervisors must take a stand to create a plan allowing people to get back on their feet, secure in a job and able to pay rent. We can provide a plan, not simply forgive rent, although that would be great!

Please consider a no vote on allowing landlords to evict renters due to loss of income related to Covid -19.

Sent from my iPhone

From: [cari.cymanski](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#)
Subject: Vote YES to prohibit evictions based on COVID-19 debt
Date: Saturday, May 30, 2020 4:01:03 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hello San Francisco board of supervisors!

I am an SFUSD teacher and a San Francisco resident writing to you to ask you to protect are most vulnerable tenants in San Francisco from eviction due to COVID-19 debt.

As a third grade teacher at a high potential School, I have seen a student from my class forced from her home in the last 8 weeks. Another 2 of my students are currently facing eviction and have been given dates in June. This is in a class of 18.

Let's please live up to our SF values. Protect our most vulnerable tenants and vote for more eviction protections.

Best Regards,
Cari Cymanski
District 5

From: [Rosie](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#)
Subject: Eviction of renters
Date: Saturday, May 30, 2020 4:17:56 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Have a heart and support "Cancellation of rent for those in need" Thanks. Rosie Gozali District 5

Sent from my iPhone

From: [Clio Tilton](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#)
Subject: Please vote to prohibit evictions
Date: Saturday, May 30, 2020 4:33:25 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hello,

I'm a district 9 citizen and want to encourage you to vote Tuesday to prohibit evictions based on covid debt. It's important that the marginalized, working middle and lower class are able to survive in a time of unprecedented challenge.

Thank you,

Clio

From: [Gary Maestas](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#)
Subject: Eviction in time of Covid pandemic
Date: Saturday, May 30, 2020 5:24:24 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisor Safai

Please vote Yes on the proposal to stop evictions in this time of worldwide economic uncertainty. We live in the city of Brotherly Love under the umbrella of St. Francis. Let us do our part and show the White House that San Francisco that we know the of Compassion!!

Yours

Gary Maestas
221 Craut

From: [Roberta McLaughlin](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#)
Subject: Sup Norman Yee
Date: Saturday, May 30, 2020 7:13:41 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Prohibit evictions of immigrants and others during this time of Covid19.
Justice for immigrants.
Roberta McLaughlin
520 Noriega
San Francisco
CA94122

Sent from my iPhone

From: [Sarah Illing](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Nelson Bonilla](#)
Subject: Sup. Mandelman and all - please vote yes!
Date: Saturday, May 30, 2020 7:26:59 PM
Attachments: [image.png](#)

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Board of Supervisors, and especially Supervisor Mandelman (who represents our neighborhood),

We *strongly* urge you to vote YES on the proposal to stop all evictions based on rent not paid during the state of emergency. Thank you to Supervisors Preston, Ronen, Haney, Walton and any others who are leading this effort! Please follow Oakland's lead and show that SF is a sanctuary city.

We can't allow a wave of evictions to follow this pandemic. For many many people, they could barely afford rent to begin with, and it will be very hard to repay their rent debts on top of other debts that continue to accumulate. As our Supervisors, **we hope you are doing everything you possibly can to eradicate homelessness in our City - and allowing evictions based on rent debt would only exacerbate the homelessness crisis.**

When you vote, **please empathize with low-wage immigrant workers who have lost their jobs - truly, what else do you expect people to do if they can't pay rent debt?** I (Sarah) have helped many folks apply and apply for aid, with very little results. I know folks who are risking their health and safety looking for other work, and accepting less-than-minimum wage jobs, just trying to survive. But they also know, **as long as privileged high-wage earners (like us and all the Supervisors) are able to continue working from home, the demand for many of their jobs will not be there.** However, the PEOPLE and their children still will be here, and we all need and deserve shelter to live.

Please read these words from a dear friend in SF below. I beg you to help protect their family and all families from homelessness at all costs. My friend's family includes senior parents, preschool-age children, and multiple people who are recovering from COVID. Due to their immigration status, they cannot access any federal aid. Despite many many attempts, they have been unable to access the state relief with Catholic Charities.

They are also facing pressure from their landlord to sign a forbearance agreement -- and we also ask that your legislation protect the needs of folks who may have been pressured into signing any agreement that would waive their rights.

Supervisor Mandelman and others, can you please commit to voting YES on the proposal to stop all evictions based on rent not paid during the state of emergency??

As our friend says below, we are all human and have the right to live.

Thank you!!!

Nelson Bonilla

Resident of the Castro neighborhood, senior software engineer at Slack

Sarah Illing

Resident of the Castro neighborhood, consultant/project manager at Learning for Action (in the Mission District), volunteer with Faith in Action



(English translation - original in Spanish below) **From friend whose family is recovering from COVID:**

Right now, no one is working. Maybe we'll end up without jobs. Since we don't receive any help from the government, it makes it very difficult for us to pay for the things that have to be paid – rent, food, bills (water, electricity, phone, etc.). We don't have any help from the President. It's awful– we're all human beings. We all have things to pay. They're only helping people who have papers.

May they help people who don't have papers and who have lost their jobs. The majority of people aren't working. *Imagine* –how everyone doesn't have work and the bills keep coming, coming, coming. The debt is getting bigger and bigger. In less than a month, we're going to be short and we can't pay the bills. My parents cleaned a restaurant every day (\$1500/month combined), and my siblings worked in construction and a restaurant.

We're all people... we all have the same rights, more the right to live. May everyone have a place where it is safe.

Ahora nadie está trabajando. Tal vez nos queda sin trabajo. Como no recibimos una ayuda del gobierno. Nos hace muy difícil para los pagos que tienen que hacer - renta, comida, recibos (agua, luz, teléfono, etc.) No tenemos ningún ayuda del presidente. Es feo - somos todos humanos. Todos tenemos cosas que pagar. Solo están ayudando a la gente que tiene papeles.

Que ayuden a las personas que no tienen papeles y que han perdido su empleo. La mayoría no está trabajando. Imagínese - como están todos sin trabajo y los biles siguen siguen siguen. La deuda de uno se está haciendo más grande y más grande. En menos de un mes, vamos a estar corto y no podemos pagar los biles. Mis papas limpiaban un restaurante todos los días (\$1500/mes entre los dos), y mis hermanos en construcción y un restaurante.

Somos todos personas... tenemos todos los mismos derechos, más el derecho a la vida. Que todos puedan tener un hogar donde está seguro.

From: [Thilini Chandrasekera](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Prohibit COVID-19 Evictions
Date: Sunday, May 31, 2020 2:46:43 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear President Yee and the Board of Supervisors:

My name is Thilini Chandrasekera, and I am a District 7 resident. I write to add my voice to the call for the Board to vote on Tuesday to prohibit evictions based on hardship resulting from COVID-19. It would be an unthinkable cruelty to add the burden of homelessness -- and this city knows better than perhaps any other American city how difficult it is to recover from it -- to the economic and emotional stress that tenants already face. Please vote to **keep** immigrants, working families, seniors, and people of color in their homes.

Best regards,
Thilini Chandrasekera

From: [Dean Schaffer](#)
To: [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#)
Cc: [BOS-Supervisors](#)
Subject: Prohibit evictions based on COVID-19 debt
Date: Sunday, May 31, 2020 3:05:11 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hi Supervisor Yee,

I live in your district at 550 Kirkham St, San Francisco, CA 94122. Please vote in favor of upcoming legislation protecting San Franciscans from eviction due to COVID-19 debt. This critical protection will help keep immigrants, working families, and people of color in their homes at a time when they are most vulnerable.

Thank you,

Dean Schaffer

From: [roma.edwards](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#)
Subject: Vote yes on law prohibiting evictions based on COVID-19 debt
Date: Sunday, May 31, 2020 5:18:08 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

Cote yes on the law prohibiting evictions based on COVID-19 debt. Support this critical protection and keep immigrants, working families, and people of color in their homes. Save the Soul of San Francisco!

Best, Roma

From: [greer.hopkins](#)
To: [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#)
Cc: [BOS-Supervisors](#)
Subject: Covid-19 Eviction vote
Date: Sunday, May 31, 2020 5:36:04 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I am a long time district 10 resident & voter.

Please prohibit evictions due to Covid-19 debt. Please support this critical protection and keep immigrants, working families, seniors, and people of color in their homes. Save the Soul of San Francisco!

Greer Hopkins
Potrero Hill resident

"We do not inherit the earth from our ancestors, we borrow it from our children"
(Native American proverb)

From: [Betty Louie](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Mar, Gordon \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Haney, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#)
Cc: [Betty Louie](#)
Subject: 200375
Date: Sunday, May 31, 2020 7:10:31 PM
Attachments: [Norman Yee.docx](#)

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Board Secretary,

Can you please pass this letter on to the BOS? Thank you so much. Betty Louie

Norman Yee, President
Members of the Board of Supervisors

Revised.
RE: 200375

May 31, 2020

Dear President Yee and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

COVID 19 has unfortunately caused bad behavior by some housing providers. However, the majority of housing providers are working thru the issues with their tenants in an amicable way.

For the BOS to consider an even more drastic piece of legislation that is again targeting Landlords is as if you are kicking people when they are already on their knees. To mandate that tenants can live forever in their units without paying rent or without the tool of eviction makes a bad situation even worse. Landlords are people too who have financial obligations like tenants. Landlords have handcuffs behind their backs, they are on the ground and the City has its knees in their necks while Landlords are pleading that they cannot breathe. You can see what an injustice this is.

Time and time again during the last three months, legislators on the City and State level continue to relentlessly introduce legislation specifically targeting Landlords. How many mandates do you need to pass to protect tenants?? You have enough. Let those existing mandates play themselves out. Stop piling more mandates on weary Landlords. We can't get a break from lawmakers. Instead, go specifically after the bad Landlords. Stop penalizing the good housing providers.

We have to keep spending more money that we don't have to bring bad tenants to court. Yes, there are also bad tenants out there.

Your thinking is still inside the bureaucratic box. When are you going to come up with unique solutions to address age old problems. Your thinking is unilateral. Why can't you level the playing field?

I respectfully request that you drop 200375 from consideration.

Sincerely,

Betty Louie
667 Grant Ave.
San Francisco, CA 94108
Email: bjlouie@att.net

From: [Betty Louie](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Mar, Gordon \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Haney, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#)
Cc: [Betty Louie](#)
Subject: Revised
Date: Sunday, May 31, 2020 7:34:56 PM
Attachments: [Norman Yee.docx](#)

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Revised

From: [Mary Bhojwani](#)
To: [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Cc: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Haneystaff \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \(BOS\)](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Cityattorney; PRADHAN, MANU \(CAT\)](#); [Andrew Zacks](#); [Emily Lowther Brough](#); [Emma Heinichen](#)
Subject: Submission for Today's 1:30 PM Land Use Committee Meeting re: File. No.: 200375
Date: Monday, June 1, 2020 9:26:11 AM
Attachments: [2020.06.01 Submission to Land Use Committee re File. No. 200375.pdf](#)
Importance: High

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors Land Use Committee and Clerk of the Committee:

We are submitting the attached letter on behalf of the San Francisco Apartment Association, Small Property Owners of San Francisco, Coalition for Better Housing and the San Francisco Association of Realtors regarding File. No.: 200375 - Administrative Code COVID-19 Tenant Protections, listed as Item 2 on today's Regular Agenda. Thank you for circulating copies to the Board Members and adding our submission to the official record.

Kindly confirm receipt of this submission at your earliest opportunity.

Thank you.

Regards,
Mary

Mary Bhojwani
Assistant to Andrew M. Zacks
Zacks, Freedman & Patterson, PC
235 Montgomery Street, Suite 400
San Francisco, CA 94104
Telephone: (415) 956-8100
Facsimile: (415) 288-9755
www.zfplaw.com

This communication and its contents may contain confidential and/or privileged material for the sole use of the intended recipient. Any review or distribution by others is strictly prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient, please contact the sender and delete all copies. Unless expressly stated, nothing in this communication should be regarded as tax advice.

ZACKS, FREEDMAN & PATTERSON

A PROFESSIONAL CORPORATION

235 Montgomery Street, Suite 400
San Francisco, California 94104
Telephone (415) 956-8100
Facsimile (415) 288-9755
www.zfplaw.com

June 1, 2020

Honorable Aaron Peskin
Honorable Ahsha Safai
Honorable Dean Preston
Land Use Committee of the
San Francisco Board of Supervisors
City Hall, Room 244
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102

VIA EMAIL

Re: Proposed San Francisco Ordinance No. 200375

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors Land Use Committee:

We write on behalf of the San Francisco Apartment Association, Small Property Owners of San Francisco, Coalition for Better Housing, the San Francisco Association of Realtors, and numerous individual housing providers throughout the City and County of San Francisco. We understand that proposed San Francisco Ordinance No. 200375 (the “Ordinance”) will be heard before the San Francisco Land Use and Transportation Committee today, June 1, 2020. The Ordinance would restrict residential landlords from *ever* accessing unlawful detainer procedures for tenants’ failure to pay their rent during a specified time period for COVID-19 related reasons. But San Francisco has no power to permanently override state law in this way. Thus, the Ordinance violates constitutional law, state law, and Governor Newsom’s Executive Order temporarily suspending unlawful detainer procedures. And, ironically, the Ordinance would ultimately lead to more evictions.

First, San Francisco (the “City”) does not have the legal authority to permanently deprive landlords of their unlawful detainer (“UD”) rights for any term of non-payment. Although the Ordinance purports to fit within the power delegated to localities by the Governor’s March 16, 2020 Executive Order N-28-20 (the “Order”), the Order does not—and could not—allow localities to undercut the state UD procedure after the COVID-19 emergency ends.

The Order derives its apparent authority from the California Emergency Services Act (“ESA”). The ESA permits the Governor, during a state of emergency, to “**suspend** any regulatory statute, or statute prescribing the procedure for conduct of state business, or the orders, rules, or regulations of any state agency . . . where the Governor determines and declares

that strict compliance with any statute, order, rule, or regulation would in any way prevent, hinder, or delay the mitigation of the effects of the emergency.” (Gov. Code § 8571, *emph. add.*) The Governor’s orders under the ESA “shall have the force and effect of law.” (Gov. Code § 8567(a).) Orders under the ESA, however, “**shall be of no further force or effect**” after the state of emergency is terminated. (Gov. Code § 8567(b), *emph. add.*)

Consistent with the limited lifespan of all orders under the ESA, the Order here permits a locality to *temporarily* limit evictions for non-payment of rent due to the COVID-19 crisis. In pertinent part, the Order provides:

1) The time limitation set forth in Penal Code section 396, subdivision (f), concerning protections against residential eviction, is hereby waived. Those protections shall be in effect **through May 31, 2020**.

....

2) Any provision of state law that would preempt or otherwise restrict a local government’s exercise of its police power to impose substantive limitations on . . . evictions . . . is **hereby suspended** to the extent that it would preempt or otherwise restrict such exercise [T]he statutory cause of action for unlawful detainer, Code of Civil Procedure section 1161 et seq., and any other statutory cause of action that could be used to evict or otherwise eject a residential . . . tenant . . . **is suspended** only as applied to any tenancy . . . to which a local government has imposed a limitation on eviction pursuant to this paragraph 2, and only to the extent of the limitation imposed by the local government. **Nothing in this Order shall** relieve a tenant of the obligation to pay rent, nor **restrict a landlord’s ability to recover rent due**.

The protections in this paragraph 2 **shall be in effect through May 31, 2020, unless extended**.

(Order, *emphasis added*.) On May 29, 2020, the expiration date in paragraphs 1 & 2 of the Order was extended for 60 days, to July 30, 2020. The Order therefore allows municipalities to suspend access to unlawful detainer procedures *only* for a four-month period (unless extended). Indeed, it specifically provides that it does *not* “restrict a landlord’s ability to recover rent due.”

In contrast to the Order, the Ordinance provides that a landlord is *permanently* deprived of the remedy of UD action to obtain unpaid rent, if the rent was unpaid for a COVID-19 related reason during the time the Order is in place—from March 16, 2020 to July 30, 2020, unless

extended (the “COVID-19 Period”). But that permanent deprivation necessarily falls outside the scope of the ESA and the Order under the ESA since those authorities permits only the *temporary* suspension of state law. (See *In re Juan C.* (1994) 28 Cal.App.4th 1093, 1101 [ruling that a local curfew imposed under the ESA was constitutional because it was imposed “only so long as an emergency exists”].) Further, the Order unambiguously states: **“Nothing in this Order shall . . . restrict a landlord’s ability to recover rent due.”**

Nor does the City have authority to enact the Ordinance under its police powers. An exercise of a city’s police powers cannot conflict with state law. (Cal. Const. Art. XI, § 7.) The specific purpose of a UD action is to provide landlords a summary proceeding for recovery of possession of their properties based (in part) on any unpaid rent. (*Birkenfeld v. City of Berkeley* (1976) 17 Cal.3d 129, 149-151.) Additional procedural requirements imposed by local government that are not found in the UD statutes raise impermissible procedural barriers between landlords and that judicial proceeding. (*Ibid.*) Here, the City would not only be imposing an additional procedural “requirement” on the UD process, it would be permanently depriving landlords of that process to recover unpaid rents and possession of their property in certain circumstances. The Ordinance is thus inimical to the purpose of the UD statutes. Indeed, given that the Ordinance purportedly amends the San Francisco Rent Ordinance, if a landlord attempts to recover such rents through the filing of a UD action, the Ordinance subjects the landlord to civil and criminal penalties under existing law. The UD statutes thus preempt the Ordinance.

The City’s finding that the Ordinance is permissible and/or consistent with the California Tenant Protection Act of 2019 (“AB 1482”) does not save the Ordinance from preemption. First, while AB 1482 permits local government to enact “more protective” eviction laws, it expressly provides that any such protections must not be “prohibited by any other provision of law.” (Civ. Code § 1946.2(g)(1)(B)(ii).) Indeed, compliance with one state law does **not** authorize conflict with another. (*San Diego Gas & Electric Co. v. City of Carlsbad* (1998) 64 Cal.App.4th 785, 804.)

Second, the Ordinance violates due process and results in an unconstitutional taking of private property without compensation. The Ordinance devalue landlords’ properties by not permitting landlords to use the summary UD procedure to recover possession of their properties despite continued nonpayment of rents. This necessarily means that landlords will be required to invoke the more arduous civil debt recovery process to attempt to remediate the nonpayment issue, even though landlords did not cause the problem to which tenants may now be exposed. (*Levin v. City and County of San Francisco* (2014) 71 F.Supp.3d 1072; *Nollan v. California Coastal Com’n* (1987) 483 U.S. 825; *Dolan v. City of Tigard* (1994) 512 U.S. 374.) Further, as

enacted and drafted, the Ordinance will unlawfully force property owners to accept occupants on their property without compensation. (See, *Loretto v. Teleprompter Manhattan CATV Corp.* (1982) 458 U.S. 419, 435.)

Finally, the Ordinance ironically would likely *increase* the number of evictions after the COVID-19 crisis ends. The Ordinance would lull tenants into a false sense of security that they could ignore their contractual obligations during the course of the COVID-19 Period, which is currently four months. And when the courts ultimately determine that the Ordinance is illegal and void, landlords will exercise their UD rights—but in reliance on the Ordinance, tenants will not have set funds aside to repay their past-due rent.

The Ordinance is a patently illegal regulation that exposes the City to significant liability and will ultimately bring harm to both landlords and tenants. The San Francisco Board of Supervisors should reject and/or amend the Ordinance to eliminate the legal deficiencies outlined herein.

Very truly yours,

ZACKS, FREEDMAN & PATTERSON, PC

/s/ Andrew M. Zacks

Andrew M. Zacks

cc San Francisco Supervisors Clerk
 Land Use Committee Clerk
 President Norman Yee
 Supervisor Sandra Lee Fewer
 Supervisor Catherine Stefani
 Supervisor Gordon Mar
 Supervisor Matt Haney
 Supervisor Rafael Mandelman
 Supervisor Hillary Ronen
 Supervisor Shamann Walton
 Mayor London Breed
 City Attorney Dennis Herrera
 Deputy City Attorney Manu Pradhan

From: [Jody Reiss](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#)
Subject: Evictions
Date: Monday, June 1, 2020 1:13:25 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Members of the Board of Supervisors,

Please vote to prohibit evictions based on nonpayment of rent during the pandemic. Protect San Franciscans in need.

Thank you,

Jody Reiss
94131

From: [Natalie Blackman](#)
To: [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [BOS-Supervisors](#)
Subject: Prohibit evictions based on COVID-19 debt
Date: Monday, June 1, 2020 1:44:15 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hi Supervisor Yee,

I live in your district at 550 Kirkham St, San Francisco, CA 94122. Please vote in favor of upcoming legislation protecting San Franciscans from eviction due to COVID-19 debt. This critical protection will help keep immigrants, working families, and people of color in their homes at a time when they are most vulnerable.

Thank you,

Natalie Blackman

From: [Wendy Thurm](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Subject: Please support legislation to prohibit evictions for nonpayment of rent
Date: Monday, June 1, 2020 2:06:57 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hello,


I am a San Francisco resident. I live in the Inner Sunset, in District 7. I am writing to urge all of you to support the legislation proposed by Supervisors Ronen, Haney, Walton and Preston to prohibit evictions in San Francisco during the COVID-19 crisis due to nonpayment of rent. Join Oakland in protecting renters during this global pandemic.

Thank you.

Wendy Thurm
1590 9th Avenue
SF 94122

From: Eva Contreras
To: [Eva Contreras](#)
Subject: Voto
Date: Friday, May 29, 2020 2:13:01 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.



Enviado desde mi iPhone


From: [mariaines delira](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#)
Date: Friday, May 29, 2020 3:05:45 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

YO VIVO EN EL DIST. 9
Y SOY MARIA DE LIRA
Y LE PIDO DE FAVOR AL
SUPERVISOR DE ESTE
DISTRITO VOTE SÍ!!! A
TAN IMPORTANTE PRE
PUESTA.
MUCHAS GRACIAS


From: [Violeta Roman Mijares](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#)
Subject: VOTE SI !!!!
Date: Friday, May 29, 2020 6:43:42 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.



From: [Violeta Roman Mijares](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#)
Subject: VOTE SI !!!!
Date: Friday, May 29, 2020 7:27:06 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.



HOLA

Soy Sr. Eva


Vivo en el Distrito 9
Oro y pido al Super-
visor de este Distrito

= **VOTE SÍ** =

A esta propuesta
Para proteger y ayudar
a nuestras FAMILIAS


From: [Sara Miles](#)
To: [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [BOS-Supervisors](#)
Subject: please stop evicting people who cant pay rent
Date: Friday, May 29, 2020 7:40:47 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.



Sara Miles
www.saramiles.net
@SaraMilesSF

mobile: 415-786-4004



Mi Nombre es Cecilia C.
Soy del distrito #10
Le pido Al Supervisor de
este Distrito # 10
QUE haga Moralmente lo
y razonablemente lo
Correcto por Nuestra
Comunidad O U E
VOTE SI !!!
A ESTA propuesta TAN
Importante
PARA NUESTRA
Familias y Comunidad !!!
God bless you.

From: [Leslie Roffman](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#)
Subject: Please support no evictions for non-payment of rent!
Date: Monday, June 1, 2020 2:14:36 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors

In this fraught moment, the board of supervisors has an opportunity to show how much you are paying attention to the huge burdens faced by the vulnerable in so many neighborhoods in San Francisco. There are few things more important to people than to have a place to shelter and care for yourself and your family in peace. We have certainly been reminded how important it is to have shelter in the past few months. The proposal that the board is considering today and tomorrow to prohibit evictions based on non-payment of rent seems the most important step the city government could take to protect its residents from the economic impact of COVID 19. It is also fair to landlords in that it does not take away rent owed to landlords either.

Please take this important step to ensure we do not create even more unhoused San Franciscans! Please help save the soul of San Francisco.

Thank you,
Leslie Roffman
Faith in Action leader
2067 44th Avenue
SF, CA 94116

--

Leslie Roffman
leslie@littleschool.org
415-265-1584

From: [Erika Opper](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#)
Subject: Evictions
Date: Monday, June 1, 2020 3:29:09 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Board of Supervisors:

I am writing in support of legislation to forbid evictions based on rental debt accumulated during the pandemic. The idea that struggling families will somehow be able to repay their accumulated debt in a timely manner is insane. If they have been able to pay rent, it is because they have had inadequate income and certainly no chance to accumulate savings. While I know that landlords are hurting too, we must still humanely protect the struggling renters of the City.

Thank you,
Erika Opper
Noe Valley/Diamond Heights neighborhood

From: [Michelle Foy](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#)
Subject: defend affordable housing
Date: Tuesday, June 2, 2020 8:36:09 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Greetings,

My name is Michelle Foy and I am a district 8 resident. I am asking you to defend affordable housing investments in D11 in the budget. Organizers and community members did their part to create affordable housing solutions - advocated for, planned, and designed two new 100% affordable housing projects at the Balboa Upper Yard and the old Valente Marini Perata Mortuary on Mission Street. They also fought hard to win the public investments to move these projects forward.

In 2018, Supervisor Safai stood by and allowed millions of dollars in funds to be diverted from the development of the Valente Mortuary site ([SF Examiner](#)) that had been committed through the 2015 Affordable Housing Bond, and now, in the revised 2020 budget being heard at the Board of Supervisors, city officials are doing it again.

District 11 has borne the burden of a spike in no-fault eviction rates over the last few years at the same time as a surge in luxury housing development. Every day in this affordability crisis, another local family is forced into a converted garage, or to move into a car, or to double up on a couch, or to leave the city for good.

Thank you for your support and leadership, Michelle Foy, Noe Valley

From: [Barbara Berman](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Covid related evictions
Date: Tuesday, June 2, 2020 8:56:36 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

My husband and I are longtime Richmond homeowners. I urge you to extend rent relief to people who have fallen behind due to Corona virus. This is something they could not control and keeping them safe in their homes is an ethical decision good for the neighborhood and the city.

Thank you.

Barbara Berman
Inner Richmond

From: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#)
Subject: 14 letters for File No. 200375
Date: Thursday, June 4, 2020 6:52:00 PM
Attachments: [14 letters for File No. 200375.pdf](#)

Hello,

Please find attached 14 letters for File No. 200375.

File No. 200375 - Ordinance amending the Administrative Code to prohibit landlords from evicting residential tenants for non-payment of rent that was not paid due to the COVID-pandemic; to prohibit landlords from imposing late fees, penalties, or similar charges on such tenants; and making findings as required by the California Tenant Protection Act of 2019.

Thank you,

Jackie Hickey
Board of Supervisors
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102-4689
Phone: (415) 554-5184 | Direct: (415) 554-7701
jacqueline.hickey@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

From: [Leslie Roffman](#)
To: [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Subject: Support for proposal to prevent evictions for non-payment of rent
Date: Monday, June 1, 2020 2:16:16 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I sent the following email to the board of supervisors:

Dear Supervisors,

In this fraught moment, the board of supervisors has an opportunity to show how much you are paying attention to the huge burdens faced by the vulnerable in so many neighborhoods in San Francisco. There are few things more important to people than to have a place to shelter and care for yourself and your family in peace. We have certainly been reminded how important it is to have shelter in the past few months. The proposal that the board is considering today and tomorrow to prohibit evictions based on non-payment of rent seems the most important step the city government could take to protect its residents from the economic impact of COVID 19. It is also fair to landlords in that it does not take away rent owed to landlords either.

Please take this important step to ensure we do not create even more unhoused San Franciscans! Please help save the soul of San Francisco.

--

Leslie Roffman
leslier@littleschool.org
415-265-1584

From: [Leticia Arce](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Eviction Protection Ordinance, File No. 200375
Date: Monday, June 1, 2020 10:37:05 AM
Attachments: [CJJC letter SF TPO 2020.pdf](#)

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Board of Supervisors,

Causa Justa :: Just Cause is in strong support of Supervisor Preston's Eviction Protection Ordinance, File No. 200375. We urge you to vote yes and support the passing of this crucial legislation.

We have had hundreds of tenants call our tenant hotline and have spoken to many of our members about not being able to pay rent given impacts of the COVID-19 pandemic. Although tenants are aware of the eviction protections in place, many are fearful of invoking those protections fearing an eviction in the long-term if they're unable to pay back the rent. Many people are scraping funds together to make rent, borrowing money from family members, applying for rental assistance, and risking their health and lives by going out to work to pay the rent.

In the middle of a pandemic, it is urgent that we pass stronger eviction protections to help people stay healthy and in their homes. Voting yes on this legislation would do just that. We urge you to vote yes and support the immediate passing of the Eviction Protection Ordinance.

Sincerely,

--

Leticia Arce
SF Housing, Land, & Development Lead Organizer
Causa Justa :: Just Cause
415-487-9203 Ext. 209
Full contact information at www.cjjc.org

CONFIDENTIAL NOTICE: This email is for the sole use of the intended recipient(s) and may contain confidential and/or privileged information. Any review, use, disclosure or distribution by persons other than the intended recipient(s) is prohibited. Thank you.



info@cjc.org | www.cjc.org

MISSION DISTRICT, SF 2301 Mission St #201, San Francisco, CA 94110 | tel 415.487.9203 f 415.487.9022
BAYVIEW DISTRICT, SF 2145 Keith Street, San Francisco, CA 94124 | tel 415.864.8372 f 415.864.8373
OAKLAND P.O. Box 7737, Oakland, CA 94601 | tel 510.763.5877 f 510.763.5824

June 1, 2020

RE: Support for Eviction Protection Ordinance

Dear Board of Supervisors,

Causa Justa :: Just Cause is in strong support of Supervisor Preston's Eviction Protection Ordinance, File No. 200375. We urge you to vote yes and support the passing of this crucial legislation.

We have had hundreds of tenants call our tenant hotline and have spoken to many of our members about not being able to pay rent given impacts of the COVID-19 pandemic. Although tenants are aware of the eviction protections in place, many are fearful of invoking those protections fearing an eviction in the long-term if they're unable to pay back the rent. Many people are scraping funds together to make rent, borrowing money from family members, applying for rental assistance, and risking their health and lives by going out to work to pay the rent.

In the middle of a pandemic, it is urgent that we pass stronger eviction protections to help people stay healthy and in their homes. Voting yes on this legislation would do just that. We urge you to vote yes and support the immediate passing of the Eviction Protection Ordinance.

Sincerely,

Leticia Arce
SF Housing, Land, & Development Lead Organizer
Causa Justa :: Just Cause
leticia@cjc.org

From: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: RE: SUPPORTING Land Use and Transportation Committee Agenda Item #2 and BOS Agenda Item #22
Administrative Code - COVID-19 Tenant Protections File #200375
Date: Monday, June 1, 2020 8:33:04 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Got it thanks!

ERICA MAJOR

Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors

1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, City Hall, Room 244 San Francisco, CA 94102

Phone: (415) 554-4441 | Fax: (415) 554-5163

Erica.Major@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation, and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>

Sent: Monday, June 1, 2020 4:49 AM

To: Major, Erica (BOS) <erica.major@sfgov.org>

Subject: FW: SUPPORTING Land Use and Transportation Committee Agenda Item #2 and BOS
Agenda Item #22 Administrative Code - COVID-19 Tenant Protections File #200375

From: aeboken <aeboken@gmail.com>

Sent: Monday, June 1, 2020 2:26 AM

To: BOS-Supervisors <bos-supervisors@sfgov.org>; BOS-Legislative Aides <bos-legislative_aides@sfgov.org>

Subject: SUPPORTING Land Use and Transportation Committee Agenda Item #2 and BOS Agenda
Item #22 Administrative Code - COVID-19 Tenant Protections File #200375

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

TO: Board of Supervisors members

I am strongly supporting the prohibition of tenant evictions, late fees and penalties during COVID-19.

Eileen Boken
Chair, Land Use and Transportation Committee
Coalition for San Francisco Neighborhoods*

* For identification purposes only.

Sent from my Verizon, Samsung Galaxy smartphone

From: sherwinl@gmail.com
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\): Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Re: 200375
Date: Sunday, May 31, 2020 10:14:27 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Catherine Chin

May 31, 2020

San Francisco board of supervisors
board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org

Dear Land Use Committee and Supervisors Mandelman, Dean Preston, Hillary Ronen, , Matt Haney,

I have read the most recent proposed ordinance regarding Covid-19 pandemic relief for tenants.

This pro-tenant legislation is admirable, benevolent, conscientious but is also grossly unfair and probably illegal.

I'm a San Francisco native and own a multi-unit building that I live in one unit in District 8. I've worked during all my years in San Francisco and have renters that are under rent control. Being a small mom and pop landlord with only social security and rental income that I use to live on. Having to give up the rent for an unknown period is very concerning.

I pride myself on providing fair housing. The tenants are all long term tenants and the rent is used to pay for shared water and garbage. I have to continue to pay a mortgage, property tax, and building upkeep/maintenance in a 100+ year old building also.

So, the long and the short is this, if the proposed legislation is passed, building owners in San Francisco will be forced to subsidize ALL the housing needs for tenants in this City, for the foreseeable future, (as nobody knows how long this pandemic will last.)

Thank you,
Catherine Chin
sherwinl@gmail.com/firecat16@yahoo.com

From: sherwinl@gmail.com
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\): Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Re: 200375
Date: Sunday, May 31, 2020 10:14:27 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Catherine Chin

May 31, 2020

San Francisco board of supervisors
board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org

Dear Land Use Committee and Supervisors Mandelman, Dean Preston, Hillary Ronen, , Matt Haney,

I have read the most recent proposed ordinance regarding Covid-19 pandemic relief for tenants.

This pro-tenant legislation is admirable, benevolent, conscientious but is also grossly unfair and probably illegal.

I'm a San Francisco native and own a multi-unit building that I live in one unit in District 8. I've worked during all my years in San Francisco and have renters that are under rent control. Being a small mom and pop landlord with only social security and rental income that I use to live on. Having to give up the rent for an unknown period is very concerning.

I pride myself on providing fair housing. The tenants are all long term tenants and the rent is used to pay for shared water and garbage. I have to continue to pay a mortgage, property tax, and building upkeep/maintenance in a 100+ year old building also.

So, the long and the short is this, if the proposed legislation is passed, building owners in San Francisco will be forced to subsidize ALL the housing needs for tenants in this City, for the foreseeable future, (as nobody knows how long this pandemic will last.)

Thank you,
Catherine Chin
sherwinl@gmail.com/firecat16@yahoo.com

From: [K.cloudsrest](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Yan, Calvin \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#)
Cc: cloudsrest789@gmail.com; [Dion wong](#)
Subject: Re: NO on # 200375
Date: Sunday, May 31, 2020 5:55:50 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Dear Board of Supervisors, Supervisor Peskin, Supervisor Preston, Supervisor Safai, Erica Major,

My revised statement to present before the Land Use Committee on June 1, 2020 at 1:30 pm:

NO on #200375

I am a District 3 constituent and co-owner of a small mixed-use apartment rental building that also serves as my residence; I live alongside our tenants, with whom we have a genuinely trusting, businesslike relationship. I take pride in maintaining my property in above average condition and treating my tenants with the utmost respect by faithfully carrying out the lease agreement and addressing their inquiries and requests in a timely manner. My building is over 100 years old and requires high level maintenance to keep it in good working order and a habitable condition for my family and my tenants. My building serves as MY HOME and that of my tenants. I have both a legal and moral obligation to be a responsible landlord and property manager for each of my tenants. I will never waiver from this obligation.

- The city does not have legal authority under the Governor's order to permanently restrict a landlord's ability to recover rent due.
- This proposal will make it nearly impossible for small property owners to recoup unpaid rent and places the financial burden of COVID-19 on small mom and pop property owners who have fixed mortgages, property taxes, employees, and maintenance expenses.
- This proposal, along with the closure of the court system, would allow tenants to live rent free from March 2020 to potentially September and beyond-- and landlords would have no legal recourse to recoup unpaid rent.
- The Board of Supervisors cannot and should not prohibit housing providers who have been financially impacted by COVID from using California state law to enforce our rights.
- Mom and pop landlords like myself are particularly hit hard by renters who cannot pay. If even one renter in a 4-unit building cannot pay, the owner also experiences a financial hardship. The impact is made worse if the landlord has long-term tenants paying extremely below-market rent. For example, I have several long-term tenants paying well below market rents based on a 30 year tenancy. Their total combined rent would not cover

a major repair job so every dollar that I don't collect impacts my ability to meet both routine and extraordinary monthly expenses. Should there be a major leak in a drain pipe – which would cost thousands of dollars – the cost would exceed the rents collected and I would be operating at a loss. Being a mom and pop landlord has its inherent risks. But, I continue to meet these expenses even if it means paying out of pocket from my meager retirement income. Proposal #200375 only adds to my existing hardship to make ends meet, so, you see, it is not always the tenant who endures financial hardship.

- Many property owners in the City are Asian. I often wonder if proposals like #200375 are intended to be discriminatory as they seem to target small time Asian landlords like myself, who are elderly with disabilities. Some tenants alleging inability to pay due to financial burdens caused by COVID-19 continue to earn high salaries. They probably out-earn me. What are your thoughts on this?

- All I ask is that you put politics and emotions aside and see the situation from BOTH SIDES. Help the good landlords survive and thrive in this City by applying the law fairly so we can meet our expenses and continue to provide fair housing during these challenging times and beyond. Thank you.

Karen Y. Wong
Native San Franciscan

On Fri, May 29, 2020 at 3:13 PM Major, Erica (BOS) <erica.major@sfgov.org> wrote:

Greetings,

Thank you for your testimony, it will be added to the official Board File No. 200375 - Administrative Code - COVID-19 Tenant Protections.

ERICA MAJOR

Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors

1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, City Hall, Room 244 San Francisco, CA 94102

Phone: (415) 554-4441 | Fax: (415) 554-5163

Erica.Major@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation, and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: K cloudsrest <cloudsrest789@gmail.com>
Sent: Friday, May 29, 2020 11:22 AM
To: Yan, Calvin (BOS) <calvin.yan@sfgov.org>; Preston, Dean (BOS) <dean.preston@sfgov.org>; Safai, Ahsha (BOS) <ahsha.safai@sfgov.org>; Major, Erica (BOS) <erica.major@sfgov.org>
Subject: Fwd: NO on # 200375

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Vote "NO" on # 200375. Work with the good mom&pop landlords in the City. Thank you!

----- Forwarded message -----

From: K cloudsrest <cloudsrest789@gmail.com>
Date: Fri, May 29, 2020 at 11:19 AM
Subject: NO on # 200375
To: <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>
Cc: cloudsrest789@gmail.com <cloudsrest789@gmail.com>, Dion wong <wong_dion@hotmail.com>, Kenton Wong <ahwahnee1927@gmail.com>

Dear Board of Supervisors, Erica Major:

This proposal will make it nearly impossible for small property owners like myself to recoup unpaid rent and places the financial burden of COVID-19 on small mom & pop property owners who have fixed mortgages, property taxes, employees, and

high maintenance expenses, particularly with older buildings like mine.

This proposal, along with the closure of the court system, would allow tenants to live rent free from March 2020 to potentially September and beyond-- and landlords would have no legal recourse to recoup unpaid rent.

The Board of Supervisors cannot and should not prohibit housing providers (who have been financially impacted by COVID) from using California state law to enforce our rights.

I worked hard my entire life to make my rental property a success – for both my family and my tenants. Please help the good landlords of the City succeed so we can continue to provide comfortable, clean, safe and well-maintained housing for people. Please work WITH US NOT AGAINST US. That is all we ask but we need your help to make this work. I just feel that the Board is constantly picking on good landlords like myself. I comply with every single ordinance whether it makes sense or not, and now I feel like I'm fighting a losing battle. Please work with us, not against us. Thank you!

Karen Wong

District 3 constituent & native San Franciscan

Apartment bldg co-owner

mobile #415-992-2489

--

Karen

mobile #415-992-2489

--

Karen

mobile #415-992-2489

From: [Kathleen Murray](#)
To: [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [BOS-Supervisors](#)
Subject: Please Vote to Protect COVID-19 Debtors from eviction
Date: Sunday, May 31, 2020 4:57:02 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Please vote on June 2 to protect COVID-19 debtors from evictions and keep immigrants, working families, seniors, and people of color in their homes.

Save the Soul of San Francisco!

Kathleen Murray
Zip: 94127

Sent from my iPhone

From: [Ted Loewenberg](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on #200375
Date: Sunday, May 31, 2020 4:22:46 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

You are considering this legislation to help tenants who cannot afford to pay rent as a result of COVID-19. There are lots of obvious reasons why this is a terrible way to solve this rent problem. By putting tenants into long term debt to their landlords, it becomes highly problematic that the property owners, also struggling to make ends meet, will ever be paid for months of missed rent. Dean Preston's previous initiative to provide free legal assistance to tenants in litigation means still greater losses to property owners through legal fees that will never be recovered.

This measure simply shifts the financial impact of the renter's job loss to the housing provider, while not providing any relief to that property owner. It is a clear instance of whacking an innocent 3rd party for other people's choices.

What you should be doing instead is to pass legislation that fills the rent gap of the tenant with a loan paid to the landlord. Then the tenant will be obligated to the City to pay back his over-due rent. Keep the housing provider whole rather than infecting them with a severe financial virus of unemployment. Failure to do this will cause still more mom and pop landlords to withdraw their units from the housing market: The problem will be worse for everyone.

Ted Loewenberg

San Francisco

--

tedlsf@sbcglobal.net

"It's got to come from the heart, if you want it to work."

From: [marla bastien knight](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Support Supervisor Preston's legislation
Date: Sunday, May 31, 2020 1:22:52 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors:

I urge you to support Supervisor Preston's anti-eviction legislation for covid 19 impacted tenants. The last thing we need during this state of emergency and after is to have more people on the street when the next round of infection ensues. Of course, landlords will still be owed the money but payments could be on a different schedule.

Respectfully yours,
Marla Knight

From: [Daggett H Howard](#)
To: [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#)
Cc: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: No on #200375
Date: Saturday, May 30, 2020 4:36:39 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Members of the Board of Supervisors:

I have been a very small income property owner (3 small properties) in San Francisco for over 40 years. I have read the San Francisco Apartment Association letter to City Attorney Dennis Herrera dated 4/17/20 (attached) very carefully and completely agree with their position on this matter. Please vote No on this ill-advised proposal!

<https://files.constantcontact.com/050f1ec0701/94c545c1-4382-4e73-83da-e66edf73716f.pdf>

Sincerely -
Daggett H. Howard, Jr.

From: [Evelyn Posamentier](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Cc: [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Subject: Eviction Protection Ordinance, File No. 200375.
Date: Friday, May 29, 2020 2:26:02 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Members of the Board,

I am writing to voice my strong support for Supervisor Preston's Eviction Protection Ordinance, File No. 200375.

Even before COVID-19, renters in San Francisco were struggling to make ends meet. With so many people now out of work, and with no ability to make income in the foreseeable future, I am terrified of what will happen to tenants after the state of emergency expires, and months of back rent become due.

Supervisor Preston's ordinance would stop landlords from evicting tenants who can't pay because of COVID-19 related income loss. It doesn't stop landlords from getting what they may be owed, it just takes eviction off the table. This is the most important step San Francisco can take to stop mass displacement after the state of emergency.

If this pandemic had occurred when I was a child, i would immediately have become homeless. My father owned a small business and we lived in a rent controlled apartment. I can see my brothers and my father boarding up the store front. A refugee family. i can see us at the wrong side of the digital divide.

This is a profound time. We are standing at a precipice.

Let's be the path breakers that we are. We are San Francisco. We look out for each other.

I am urging you to support this important legislation. Thank you.

Evelyn Posamentier
District 8

From: [Sona Avakian](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Protect Renters!
Date: Friday, May 29, 2020 11:25:23 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisor Mar,

I am writing to voice my strong support for Supervisor Dean Preston's Eviction Protection Ordinance.

Even before COVID-19, working class renters in San Francisco were struggling to make ends meet. With so many people out of work, and with no ability to make income in the foreseeable future, I am terrified of what will happen to tenants after the state of emergency expires, and months of back rent becomes due.

Supervisor Preston's ordinance would stop landlords from evicting tenants who can't pay because of COVID-19 related income loss. This is the most important step San Francisco can take to stop mass displacement of our low-income communities.

Please support Supervisor Preston in his continued quest to protect the most vulnerable among us.

I am urging you to support this important legislation. Thank you.

Sona Avakian

From: [K.cloudsrest](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Cc: cloudsrest789@gmail.com; [Dion wong](#); [Kenton Wong](#)
Subject: NO on # 200375
Date: Friday, May 29, 2020 11:19:54 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Board of Supervisors, Erica Major:

This proposal will make it nearly impossible for small property owners like myself to recoup unpaid rent and places the financial burden of COVID-19 on small mom & pop property owners who have fixed mortgages, property taxes, employees, and high maintenance expenses, particularly with older buildings like mine.

This proposal, along with the closure of the court system, would allow tenants to live rent free from March 2020 to potentially September and beyond-- and landlords would have no legal recourse to recoup unpaid rent.

The Board of Supervisors cannot and should not prohibit housing providers (who have been financially impacted by COVID) from using California state law to enforce our rights.

I worked hard my entire life to make my rental property a success – for both my family and my tenants. Please help the good landlords of the City succeed so we can continue to provide comfortable, clean, safe and well-maintained housing for people. Please work WITH US NOT AGAINST US. That is all we ask but we need your help to make this work. I just feel that the Board is constantly picking on good landlords like myself. I comply with every single ordinance whether it makes sense or not, and now I feel like I'm fighting a losing battle. Please work with us, not against us. Thank you!

Karen Wong
District 3 constituent & native San Franciscan
Apartment bldg co-owner
mobile #415-992-2489

From: [Jason Kruta](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [FewerStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please support Supervisor DPreston's Eviction Protection Ordinance
Date: Thursday, May 28, 2020 7:24:18 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisor Fewer,

I am writing to voice my strong support for Supervisor Dean Preston's Eviction Protection Ordinance.

Even before COVID-19, working class renters in San Francisco were struggling to make ends meet. With so many people out of work, and with no ability to make income in the foreseeable future, I am terrified of what will happen to tenants after the state of emergency expires, and months of back rent becomes due.

Supervisor Preston's ordinance would stop landlords from evicting tenants who can't pay because of COVID-19 related income loss. This is the most important step San Francisco can take to stop mass displacement of our low-income communities.

I am urging you to support this important legislation. Thank you.

Jason Kruta,
D1 Resident