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Etcheverry LLC.
Serge Etcheverry

I am the owner of 3030 Larkin street in regards to these plans I am opposed To the plans because there is no need for parking and there is variance in place From 1970 already in place. As of 2019 no parking was required for new units Why is there parking required for these units?
A copy of the variance is included it requires open space.
This was included in my sales report.
Also 898 Northpoint has been running a illegal ARB and reports are in the city records. Look forward to hearing back .
Variance \#VZ70-61
Issued on Dec. 18,1970
My family purchased this property in 2002 , from the Imperiale family.
Our building was built in 1934 by the imperiale's so there have been 2 owners for 86 years .


London N. Breed
Mavor

Alaric Degrafinried
Acting Director

Suzanne Suskind, PE Acting Deputy Director and City Engineer

Bruce R. Storrs PL.S.
City and County Surveyor
Street Use and Mapping 1155 Market St., 3 rd floor San Francisco. CA 94103 tel415-554-5827
sfpublicworks.org facebook.com/sfpublicworks twiller.com/sfpublicworks

## THIS IS NOT A BILL.

This is a notice regarding the tentative approval of a subdivision of real property at the following location:

Address: 3000-3012 Larkin \& 898 North Point Street APN: 0025-024

Public Works hereby approves Tentative Final Map 10332, being a BEING A 4 LOT VERTICAL SUBDIVISION. PROPOSED LOT 1 BEING 5 NEW RESIDENTIAL CONDOMINIUM UNITS, PROPOSED LOT 2 BEING 1 NEW COMMERCIAL SPACE, PROPOSED LOT 3 BEING 3 NEW COMMERCIAL CONDOMINIUM UNITS, AND PROPOSED LOT 4 COMPRISED OF 2 EXISTING RESIDENTIAL APARTMENTS project on stated parcel.

This notification letter is to inform you of your right to appeal this tentative approval. If you would like to file an appeal of this approval, you must do so in writing with the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors within ten (10) days of the date of this letter along with a check in the amount of $\$ 351.00$, payable to SF Public Works.

The Clerk of the Board is located at: City Hall of San Francisco
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-5184
http://sfbos.org/
Additional information for filing an appeal nay be found at the Board of Supervisor's website, under the "Tentative Subdivision Map" link:
http://sfbos.org/appeal-information

For specific information about properiy inisiory, zoning, planning applications, builaing permits, and more, please visit the Department of City Planning's website:
http://propertvmap.sfplanning.org/
If you have any further questions on this matter, our email address is:
Subdivision.Mapping@sfdpw.org.


Sincerely,
Adrian
VerHagen
Bruce R. Storrs, P.L.S.

Digitally signed by Adrian VerHagen
Date: 2020.05.05 13:08:12 $-070^{\prime}$

CITY AND COUNTY OR SANERANCISCO
DEPARTMENT OF CITY PLANNING 100 LARKIN GRRETG - CIVIC Chanter - SAN FRANCISCO 2, CALAFORNA

Date of This Letcer: December 18, 1970
Last Date for Filing Appeal: December 28, 1970

```
Mw. George Imperiale
6 5 5 \text { Pine Street}
San Francisco, California
```

Re: VZ70.61
3020 Larkin Street, east side 50 feet north of North Point Street; lot 11 in Assessor's Block 25, in a C-2 (Community Business) zoning district.

Dear Mr. Inperiale:
This is to notify you and of her interested parties that your application under the City Planning Code for a varlance pertaining to the above property and described as follows:

COVERAGE, USABLE OPEN SPACE AND DENSTTY VARTANCES SOUGHT:
The proposal is to split lot 11 into 2 parcels: the southernmost vacant portion of the lot which has 30 feet of frontage on Larkin to be transferred to the abutting corner lot and the northern most portion whinhas 57.5 feet of frontage on Larkin and is developed with an apartment house. As a result of the proposed resubdivision, the apartment house lot would not meet City planming Code standards for permitted lot coverage, usable open space or density.
which application was considered by the Zoning Administrator at a public hearing on November 1.8, 1970, has been decided as follows:

GRANTED, for the transfer of the southermost vacant portion of lot 11 , having 30 feet of frontage on Larkin Street and a depth of 43.75 feet, to lot 10 prior to the construction on lot 10 of a comercial building ${ }^{2 n}$ general conformity with the land use indicated on the Schematic Site Plan by R. E. Onorato and Associates, marked "Exhibit A" and on file with this application. This variance shall be considered granted on the additional CONDITION that:

1. The transferred portion of the lot remain as open space in perpetuity and
2. A deed restriction to this effect approved as to form by the Zoning Administrator be filed with the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco prior to the approval of any building permits on the resulting enlarged lot, and
3. The variance on the resulting reduced lot 11 shall apply only to the existing development, and upon demolition of the existing apartment building, any new construction must meet Planning Code standards.

I TINDINGS OF TACT

1. Assessor's lot 11 is an interior lot with 87.5 feet frontage on Larkin Street and a depth vaxiously of 43.75 feet and 68.75 feet with an area of 5140.625 square feet.
2. Lot 11 is presently occupied by an 11 unit apartment building. Uncovered open space on the existing lot amounts to 2318.75 squaxe feet. The apartment building covers approximately 55 pex cent of the lot. Assessor's lot 10, adjacent to the south of lot 11 is vacant.
3. The proposal is to transfer the southemurost 30 feet of present lot 11 to lot 10 , in order to provide additional open plaza azea for a proposed comercial building on lot 10 , leaving lot 11 with a total area of 3828.125 square feet far laxger than the 2500 squaxe foot minimum required by the City Planning Code.
4. The transferred area would remain as open space under the applicant's proposal.
5. Lot 11 is zoned $\mathrm{C}-2$ and since 1964 has been subject to the density standard of one dwelling unit for each 600 square feet of lot area; the existing building, built prior to current zoning Code standards, exceeds the maximu density now permitted, with a ratio of lot axea to dwelling units of approximately 467 square feet per unit. The proposed reduction in the size of lot 11 would reduce this figure to 348 square feet per dwelling unit, considerably less than required by the Code.
6. After transfer of the subject portion of lot $11,1006.25$ square feet of open space would remain on lot 11 , or approximately 91.5 square feet per dwelling unit. The Code now requires at least 150 square feet of open space for each dwelling unit.
7. After transfer of the subject portion of lot 11 , the apartment building would cover 73.7 per cent of the remaining lot. The Code limits lot coverage to a maximum of 65 per cent for an interior lot.
8. The apartment building on lot 11 is not developed in a maner using open space that would be transferred to lot 10 other than as light and air to windows on the south side of the building. This window exposure would be retained undex the applicant's proposal co retain the subject area that would be transferred to lot 10 as open plaza area.
```
Mr. George Tmperiale - 3 December 18, 1970
```

9. The Ghirardelli Squace area is developing rapidly as an intense commercial area whic attracts residents of the area and courtsts.
10. The applicant proposes to include the open area in a development of open courtyards enphasizing the natural envixomment which is intended to link together with the open access Aquatic Park and Ghirardelli. Square to the north and west.
11. The lots on the east side of Larkin Street, directly opposite Ghirardelli Square such as the subject lots 10 and 11 are logical sites for immediate and future expansion of the commercial area. Thus, a commexial development on lot 11 may be expected in the future.
12. In a $C-2$ district the rear yard, lot coverage and usable open space requirements of the Planning Code apply only from the window sill level of the lower story, if any, occupied as a dwelling.
13. No one appeared in opposition to the application at the pubilc hearing.

## II CONCLUSTONS BASED UPON THESE EINDINGS

The Charter and Section 305 (c) of the Planning Code specify five requirements that must all be met if a variance is to be granted, and the Charter and Code also specify that this variance decision must set forth the findings upon which these requirements are deemed to be, or not to be, met in each case. The five requitaments, therefore, are listed below and, on the basis of the findings herein set forth, they are deemed to be, or not to be, met in this case as indicated.

Requirement 1. That there are exceptional or extraotinary circumstances applying to the property involved or to the intended use of the property that do not apply generally to other propetty or uses in the same class of district:

REQUTREMENT. MET because, as shown in the Findings, the intended use of the subject portion of Assessor's lot 11 that would be transferxed to lot 10 will not change its basic nature as open space and will, indeed, guarantee that it remains as such when such a guaxantee could not otherwise be made in a $C-2$ zoning district. It will do so in a maner which will benefit residents of the City as a whole and increase the usability and attractiveness of the existing area for tenants of the residential building.

Requirement 2. That owing to such exceptional or extraordinary circumstances the literal enforcement of specified provisions of the city planning code would result in practical difficulty or unecessary haxdshif not creaced by or attributable to the applicant or the owner of the property:

REQUREMENT MET because the strict enforcement of the Ciby planning Code provisions in chis case would call for the impractical and unreasonable alceration or destruction of the existing apartment building or prevent the applicant from realizing a well-conceived concept of open space development which will serve the tenants and vistitors of lots 11 and 10 without any compensating public benefit.

Requirement 3. That such vaciance is necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of a substantial property xight of the subject property possessed by other property in the same class of discrict:

REQUIREMENT MET because the same class of district permits 100 per cent coverage of lots for commexcial purposes, and other such lots in the area are so developed, adding none of the open space amenities to neighboring residences which granting a variance under the stated condition will guarantee. The applicant proposes to develop the rest of the newly enlarged corner lot to less than the maximum permitted coverage in the zoning district, and less than that of nelghboring properties, in order to provide even more open area for the enjoyment of the public as well as commercial tenants.

Requirement 4. That the granting of such variance will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or materially injurious to the property or improvements in the vicinity.

REQUIREMENT METbecause granting the varlance will allow a development of lots 11 and 10 that will add to the open space amenities now avallable to those two $\mathrm{C}-2$ zoned lots in keeping with similar amenities available at Ghirardelli Squaxe and Aquatic Park in this area of the city which is changing rapidly from an earlier industrial character to uses conducive to shopping, recreation and tourist attractions. The proposed development, including the open court on theportion of lot 11 under discussion, is designed to tie in with other development in the area and-should be at the same time an attraction in itself for the public. Thus, the proposal actually adds to and strengthens existing amenities of nelghboring properties.

Requixement 5. That the granting of such variance will be in harmony with the general purposes and intent of the City Planning Code and will not adversely affect the Master Plan.

REQUTREMENT MET because in considering the nature of this area and the purposes of open space provisions of the Planning Code and of the Master Plan in general, that open space may be considered even more desirable which can serve not only the needs of the tenants on one particular lot but in addition other citizens and neighbors. The proposed development.
made possible by this variance strengthens the natural beauty of this neighborhood and adds to the beneficial attractions of the City as a whole while fulfilling intentions of the Master Plan. The variance allows development in the spirit of the intention of Code provisions and, thus, is both necessary and desirable.

This decision will become effective if no appeal from this decision has been filed as provided in Section 308.2 of the city Planning Code on or before the last date for filing as noted above.


RSS/RWP/en
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February 6, 2020

## Application for New Construction

City and County Surveyor
Department of Public Works
Bureau of Street-Use and Mapping
1155 Market Street, 3rd Floor
San Francisco, CA 94103

## Dear Bruce:

In compliance with the California Subdivision Map Act, the San Francisco Subdivision Code, the San Francisco Subdivision Regulations, and all amendments thereto, I/we, the undersigned subdivide, or agent, hereby submit to you for your review and processing a proposed condominium subdivision, together with the New Construction Condominium Application and Checklist and all applicable items, fees, documents and data checked thereon.

Respectfully,

Michelle Petty

Office Manger

Attachment: Application Packet
Large File. Doeiment is available for viewing by clicking ondidelinkitooß BANaFWAm(biBNeser to be redirected to the legistative Research Center. DEPARTMENT OF BUILDING INSPECTION
 BEFG-5CC1 2 FFEF1F4


No change shall be made in the character of the occupancy or use without first obtaining a Building No change shall be made in the character of the occupancy or use without first obtaining a Building
Permit authorizing such change. See San Francisco Building Code and San Francisco Housing Code.
No portion of building or structure or scaffolding used duringcronstrudtion, to be closer than 6 '0 os to any wire containing more than 750 volts. See Sec. 385, California Penal Code
Pursuant to the San Francisco Building Code, the building permit shall be posted on the job. The owner is responsible for approved plans and application being kept at building site.
Grade lines as shown on drawings accompanying this application are assumed to be correct. If actual grade lines are not the same as shown revised drawings showing correct grade lines, cuts submitted to this department for approval.
ANY STIPULATION REQUIRED HEREIN OR BY CODE MAY BE APPEALED.
BUILDING NOT TO BE OCCUPIED UNTIL CERTIFICATE OF FINAL COMPLETION IS POSTED ON THE BUILDING OR PERMIT OF OCCUPANCY GRANTED, WHEN REQUIRED.
APPROVAL OF THIS APPLICATION DOES NOT CONSTITUTE AN APPROVAL FOR THE ELECTRICAL WIRING OR PLUMBING INSTALL
THIS IS NOT A BUILDING PERMIT. NO WORK SHALL BE STARTED UNTIL A BUILDING PERMIT IS ISSUED.

In dwellings all insulating materials must have a clearance of not less than two inches from all electrical wires or equipment.

CHECK APPROPRIATE BOX

| $\square$ OWNER | $\square$ ARCHITECT ENGINEER |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\square$ LESSEE | $\square$ AGENT WITH POWER OF ATTORNEY |
| $\square$ |  |

## APPLICANT'S CERTIFICATION

1 CERTIFY THAT I HAVE READ THIS APPLICATION AND STATE THAT THE ABOVE INFORMATION IS CORRECT. AG AGREE THAT IF A PERMIT IS ISSUED FOR THE AND ALL LAWS AND ORDINANCES THERETO WILL BE COMPLIED WITH.

NOTICE TO APPLICANT
HOLD HARMLESS CLAUSE: The permittee(s) by acceptance of the permit, agree(s) to indemnify and hold harmless the City and County of San Francisco from and against any and all claims,
demands and actions for damages resulting from operations under this permit, regardless of negligence of the City and County of San Francisco, and to assume the defense of the City and County of San Francisco against all such claims, demands or actions.
In conformity with the provisions of Section 3800 of the Labor Code of the State of California, the applicant shall have coverage under (I), or (II) designated below or shall indicate item (III), or (IV), or ( V ), whichever is applicable. If however item (V) is checked item (IV) must be checked as well. Mark the appropriate method of compliance below: $\Gamma ~ M A-\triangle C C D A C)$
I hereby affirm under penalty of perjury one of the following declarations:
( ) I. I have and will maintain a certificate of consent to self-insure for workers' compensation, as provided by Section 3700 of the Labor Code, for the performance of the work for which this permit is issued.
( ) II. I have and will maintain workers' compensation insurance, as required by Section 3700 of the Labor Code, for the performance of the work for which this permit is issued. My workers' compensation insurance carrier and policy number are:
Carrier
Policy Number
( ) III. The cost of the work to be done is $\$ 100$ or less.
(X) IV. I certify that in the performance of the work for which this permit is issued, I shall not employ any person in any manner, so as to become subject to the workers'
lato compensation laws of California. I further acknowledge that I understand that in the Labor Code of California and fail to comply forthwith with the provisions of Section 3800 of the Labor Code, that the permit herein applied for shall be deemed revoked.
( 7 ) V. I certify as the owner (or the agent for the owner) that in the performance of the work for which this permit is issued, I will employ a contractor who complies with the workers will file a completed copy of this form with the Central Permit Bureau.
$9003-04$ (REV. 2/95)

| From: | Serge Etcheverry |
| :--- | :--- |
| To: | BOS Legislation, (BOS) |
| Subject: | Re: CONFIRMATION OF WITHDRAWAL: Appeal of Tentative Map - 3000-3012 Larkin Street and 898 North Point |
|  | Street - Appeal Hearing on June 9, 2020 |
| Date: | Monday, June 1, 2020 3:45:56 PM |

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Confirming withdrawal of appeal

## Cheers,

## Serge

On Jun 1, 2020, at 3:26 PM, BOS Legislation, (BOS) [bos.legislation@sfgov.org](mailto:bos.legislation@sfgov.org) wrote:

Good afternoon Mr. Etcheverry,

We received your voicemail to withdrawal the appeal for the Tentative Map for the proposed project at 3000-3012 Larkin Street and 898 North Point Street. Please confirm this your intent by response to this email.

Thank you,

## Jocelyn Wong

San Francisco Board of Supervisors
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
T: 415.554.7702 | F: 415.554.5163
jocelyn.wong@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org
(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a "virtual" meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services
<image001.png>
Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation, and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information-including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees-may appear on the Board of Supervisors' website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.

From: BOS Legislation, (BOS) [bos.legislation@sfgov.org](mailto:bos.legislation@sfgov.org)
Sent: Friday, May 29, 2020 1:08 PM
To: pberlese@hbcondolaw.com; Therese@waterfrontcontainer.com;
jeremy@slasf.com; rick@sflandsurveyor.com; michelle@sflandsurveyor.com
Cc: PEARSON, ANNE (CAT) [Anne.Pearson@sfcityatty.org](mailto:Anne.Pearson@sfcityatty.org); STACY, KATE (CAT)
[Kate.Stacy@sfcityatty.org](mailto:Kate.Stacy@sfcityatty.org); TOM, CHRISTOPHER (CAT)
[Christopher.Tom@sfcityatty.org](mailto:Christopher.Tom@sfcityatty.org); SHEN, ANDREW (CAT)
[Andrew.Shen@sfcityatty.org](mailto:Andrew.Shen@sfcityatty.org); MALAMUT, JOHN (CAT)
< John.Malamut@sfcityatty.org>; Degrafinried, Alaric (DPW)
[alaric.degrafinried@sfdpw.org](mailto:alaric.degrafinried@sfdpw.org); Storrs, Bruce (DPW) [Bruce.Storrs@sfdpw.org](mailto:Bruce.Storrs@sfdpw.org);
Ryan, James (DPW) [james.ryan@sfdpw.org](mailto:james.ryan@sfdpw.org); Tse, Bernie (DPW)
[bernie.tse@sfdpw.org](mailto:bernie.tse@sfdpw.org); Sider, Dan (CPC) [dan.sider@sfgov.org](mailto:dan.sider@sfgov.org); Starr, Aaron (CPC)
[aaron.starr@sfgov.org](mailto:aaron.starr@sfgov.org); Teague, Corey (CPC) [corev.teague@sfgov.org](mailto:corev.teague@sfgov.org); Sanchez, Scott (CPC) [scott.sanchez@sfgov.org](mailto:scott.sanchez@sfgov.org); Gibson, Lisa (CPC) [lisa.gibson@sfgov.org](mailto:lisa.gibson@sfgov.org); Jain, Devyani (CPC) [devyani.jain@sfgov.org](mailto:devyani.jain@sfgov.org); Navarrete, Joy (CPC) [joy.navarrete@sfgov.org](mailto:joy.navarrete@sfgov.org); Lynch, Laura (CPC) [laura.lynch@sfgov.org](mailto:laura.lynch@sfgov.org); Varat, Adam (CPC) [adam.varat@sfgov.org](mailto:adam.varat@sfgov.org); Rodgers, AnMarie (CPC)
[anmarie.rodgers@sfgov.org](mailto:anmarie.rodgers@sfgov.org); VerHagen, Adrian (DPW)
[Adrian.VerHagen@sfdpw.org](mailto:Adrian.VerHagen@sfdpw.org); BOS-Supervisors [bos-supervisors@sfgov.org](mailto:bos-supervisors@sfgov.org); BOSLegislative Aides [bos-legislative_aides@sfgov.org](mailto:bos-legislative_aides@sfgov.org); Calvillo, Angela (BOS) [angela.calvillo@sfgov.org](mailto:angela.calvillo@sfgov.org); Somera, Alisa (BOS) [alisa.somera@sfgov.org](mailto:alisa.somera@sfgov.org); BOS Legislation, (BOS) [bos.legislation@sfgov.org](mailto:bos.legislation@sfgov.org)
Subject: HEARING NOTICE: Appeal of Tentative Map - 3000-3012 Larkin Street and 898 North Point Street - Appeal Hearing on June 9, 2020

Greetings,

The Office of the Clerk of the Board has scheduled a hearing for Special Order before the Board of Supervisors on June 9, 2020, at 3:00 p.m., to hear an appeal of a tentative map for the proposed project at 3000-3012 Larkin Street and 898 North Point Street.

Please find the following link to the hearing notice for the matter.

I invite you to review the entire matter on our Legislative Research Center by following the link below:

Board of Supervisors File No. 200503

Best regards,
Jocelyn Wong
San Francisco Board of Supervisors
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
T: 415.554.7702 | F: 415.554.5163
jocelyn.wong@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org
(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a "virtual" meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legis/ative process and our services
<image001.png $\geq$
Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form
The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation, and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information-including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees-may appear on the Board of Supervisors' website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.

# APPEAL OF TENTATIVE MAP <br> 3000-3012 Larkin Street and 898 North Point Street 

DATE: May 29, 2020

TO: Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board of Supervisors
FROM: Nick Foster, Senior Planner; nicholas.foster@sfgov.org
Aaron Starr, Manager of Legislative Affairs; aaron.starr@sfgov.org
Daniel Sider, Director of Executive Programs; dan.sider@sfgov.org
RE: $\quad$ Board of Supervisors File No. 200503-Appeal of the approval of Tentative Map for 3000-3012 Larkin Street and 898 North Point Street
HEARING DATE: June 9, 2020
ATTACHMENTS: Exhibit A: Planning Department Tentative Map Decision (April 29, 2020)
Exhibit B: Tentative Final Map (February 5, 2020)
Exhibit C: Variance Decision; Case No. VZ70.61 (December 18, 1970)
Exhibit D: Variance Decision; Case No. 2018-001289VAR (September 21, 2018)
Exhibit E: Board of Appeals Notice of Decision \& Order (November 14, 2018)

> PROJECT SPONSOR: Waterfront Management, LLC; represented by Peggy Berlese, Law Offices of Herzig \& Berlese

> APPELLANT: Serge Etcheverry

## INTRODUCTION

On May 15, 2020, Mr. Serge Etcheverry ("Appellant") filed an appeal of the Tentative Map approval for a 4-lot vertical subdivision of the subject property ("Property") located at 3000-3012 Larkin Street and 898 North Point Street (Lot 024 of Assessor's Block 0025). Requests for subdivisions are under the jurisdiction of the Department of Public Works but are referred to the Planning Department to ensure that the request complies with applicable requirements of the Planning Code and the goals and objectives of the General Plan.

## PROJECT DESCRIPTION

The proposed subdivision is associated with a larger development project that involves the demolition of an existing commercial building on the western portion of the Property and the construction of a 4-story, mixed-use building containing ground floor commercial space, five dwelling units, and four off-street parking spaces in a below-grade garage ("Project"). The Property also includes an existing building immediately to the east of the proposed new structure which contains two dwelling units above three commercial levels, some of which are below grade. While physical changes to the eastern structure are not included in the Project, the structure is included in the Tentative Map.

## PROCEDURAL BACKGROUND

1. Building Permit Application No. 201412163783 for new construction associated with the Project was filed on December 16, 2014, approved by the Planning Department on October 16, 2015, and issued by the Department of Building Inspection on February 11, 2016.
2. The Project was granted a Class 1 and Class 3 Categorical Exemption from the California Environmental Quality Act ("CEQA") under Case No. 2014-003088ENV.
3. The Project Sponsor filed an application with the Department of Public Works on February 9, 2016 to subdivide the Property such that the former Lot 009 at $882-888$ North Point Street would revert back to its original lot configuration. The referral for that subdivision was approved by the Planning Department on March 10, 2016. The Department of Public Works issued a Tentative Map approval of the subdivision on March 30, 2016.
4. Shortly after the Tentative Map approval on March 30, 2016, the sponsor brought to the attention of the Planning Department Variance Case No. VZ70.61 ("1970 Variance"; Exhibit C). Owing to filing and storage errors made nearly 50 years ago, current staff at the Planning Department were not aware of this Variance. The 1970 Variance provided relief from lot coverage, open space, and density requirements in order to allow a lot line adjustment at the Property. A condition of approval of that Variance required that a portion of the Property remain as open space.
5. Because the Project would build on land designated as open space under the 1970 Variance, the project sponsor filed a new Variance application ("2018 Variance"; Case No. 2018-001289VAR) on January 23, 2018 in order to remove the open space restriction. The Zoning Administrator held a public hearing on the 2018 Variance on February 28, 2018 and removed the open space condition through a Decision Letter (Exhibit D) issued on September 21, 2018.
6. An appeal of the 2018 Variance was filed with the Board of Appeals on October 1, 2018. The Appeal was heard on November 17, 2018 and was rejected, thus upholding the granting of the Variance (Exhibit E). The appellant in that matter was the same appellant as in this matter.
7. The project sponsor filed a subdivision application with the Department of Public Works on February 6,2020 to create four new airspace lots: one lot containing the five new dwelling units; one lot containing the new commercial unit; one lot containing the two existing dwelling units; and one lot containing the three existing commercial units (Exhibit B). On the basis that the subdivision was consistent with all applicable permits, Variances, and Notices of Special Restriction, the referral for that subdivision was approved by the Planning Department on April 29, 2020 (Exhibit A). The Department of Public Works issued a Tentative Map approval of the condominium conversion on May 6, 2020.

## APPELLANT ISSUES AND PLANNING DEPARTMENT RESPONSES

The concerns raised in the Appeal Letter are each described below and are followed by the Department's response:

## ISSUE \#1: The Appellant opposes the off-street parking approved in the Project.

RESPONSE \#1: While the provisions of today's Planning Code would not require the Project to provide off-street parking, the provisions in effect during the Project's review and approval did require off-street parking. Regardless, today's Planning Code permits the amount of parking provided.

When the Project's original building permit application was issued in 2016, Planning Code Section 151 required off-street parking for both residential and non-residential uses. One off-street parking space was required for each dwelling unit while one space was required for each 500 square feet of occupied floor area of retail space. With five dwelling units and approximately 700 square feet of retail space, the Project was required to provide a total of six off-street spaces, and in fact provided seven spaces, one more than required.

Effective January 21, 2019, Ordinance 311-18 amended the Planning Code in order to eliminate off-street parking requirements throughout the City. Instead, accessory off-street parking is now permitted up to the specific maximum amounts listed in Planning Code Sections 151 and 151.1. After this Ordinance became effective, the Project was modified to eliminate three of the approved spaces, for a remaining total of four spaces. This is well below the nine spaces allowed for the Project under today's Code.

## ISSUE \#2: The Appellant states that the 1970 Variance requires open space on the Property.

RESPONSE \#2: While a condition of approval associated with the 1970 Variance did require open space, that condition was eliminated as part of the 2018 Variance. The Appellant previously and unsuccessfully appealed that decision separately.

The 1970 Variance (Exhibit C) authorized a lot line adjustment involving both the Property and the adjacent parcel to the north. Specifically, it authorized the expansion of the Property and the diminution of the adjacent parcel to the north by shifting their shared lot line 30 feet to the north. While this added an undeveloped piece of land to the Property, it also triggered Variances for the existing apartment building on the northern parcel for lot coverage, usable open space, and density. Condition No. 1 of that Variance required that the area transferred through the lot line adjustment "remain as open space in perpetuity."

While the Project complies with the Planning Code's requirements for usable open space and rear yard, it nonetheless encroaches approximately 10 feet into the encumbered "open space" pursuant to Condition No. 1 of the 1970 Variance. Accordingly, the project sponsor submitted the 2018 Variance to remove Condition No. 1 of the 1970 Variance.

On September 21, 2018, the 2018 Variance was approved, and Condition No. 1 of the 1970 Variance was replaced with a requirement that no construction above a height of $13^{\prime}$ be located within 10 feet of the parcel to the north. The Project Sponsor subsequently submitted revised plans (Exhibit D) to the Zoning Administrator on August 31, 2018 consistent with the 2018 Variance approval.

An appeal of the 2018 Variance was filed on October 1, 2018. The Board of Appeals heard the appeal on November 17, 2018 and voted to deny the appeal and uphold the granting of the 2018 Variance (Exhibit E).

ISSUE \#3: The appellant states that the Property is hosting illegal short-term rentals.
RESPONSE \#3: While unpermitted short-term rentals had previously occurred at the Property, enforcement action led to the abatement of the unpermitted rentals in 2017.

The Planning Department's Office of Short-Term Rentals is responsible for registering eligible hosts and enforcing the City's short-term rental regulations. A complaint alleging illegal short-term rentals at the Property was filed and an enforcement case (Case No. 2017-000633ENF) was opened on January 17, 2017. Subsequently, illegal short-term rentals were observed within two dwelling units and on May 4, 2017, a Notice of Complaint, followed by a Notice of Violation, were sent to the Property Owner. The short-term rental listings were removed, and the violation and applicable penalties were upheld after an administrative appeal hearing. The enforcement case was abated on November 14, 2017.

## CONCLUSION

The various contentions by the Appellant are without merit. Issues raised in the Appeal Letter are at best misleading, irrelevant, or have already been adjudicated and unsuccessfully appealed. Moreover, they relate to Planning Code compliance and not to the City's Tentative Map process or approval. The Department recommends that the Board uphold the Tentative Map approval.

## Exhibit A

Planning Department Tentative Map Decision (April 29, 2020)

City and County of San Francisco
San Francisco Public Works • Bureau of Street-Use and Mapping
1155 Market Street, 3rd Floor • San Francisco, CA 94103
sfpublicworks.org • tel 415-554-5810 - fax 415-554-6161

## TENTATIVE MAP DECISION

Date: February 25, 2020

Department of City Planning 1650 Mission Street, Suite 400 San Francisco, CA 94103

| Project ID:10332 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Project Type | BEING A 4 LOT VERTICAL SUBDIVISION, PROPOSED LOT 1 BEING 5 NEW RESIDENTIAL CONDOMINIUM UNITS, PROPOSED LOT 2 BEING 1 NEW COMMERCIAL SPACE, PROPOSED LOT 3 BEING 3 NEW COMMERCIAL CONDOMINIUM UNITS, AND PROPOSED LOT 4 COMPRISED OF 2 EXISTING RESIDENTIAL APARTMENTS |  |  |
| Address\# | StreetName | Block | Lot |
| 3000-3012 | -ARKIN ST | 0025 | 024 |
| 898 | NORTH POINT ST | 0025 | 024 |

Attention: Mr. Corey Teague.
Please review* and respond to this referral within 30 days in accordance with the Subdivision Map Act.
(*In the course of review by City agencies, any discovered items of concern should be brought to the attention of Public Works for consideration.)

Sincerely,

for, Bruce R. Storrs, P.L.S.
City and County Surveyor
$\checkmark$ The subject Tentative Map has been reviewed by the Planning Department and does comply with applicable provisions of the Planning Code. On balance, the Tentative Map is consistent with the General Plan and the Priority Policies of Planning Code Section 101.1 based on the attached findings. The subject referral is exempt from California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) environmental review as categorically exempt Class n.a , CEQA Determination Date n/a $\qquad$ , based on the attached checklist.

The subject Tentative Map has been reviewed by the Planning Department and does comply with applicable provisions of the Planning Code subject to the attached conditions.

The subject Tentative Map has been reviewed by the Planning Department and does not comply with applicable provisions of the Planning Code due to the following reason(s):

PLANNING DEPARTMENT
$\square$ Date April 29, 2020

Planner's Name Carolyn Fahey
for, Corey Teague, Zoning Administrator

## Exhibit B

Tentative Final Map (February 5, 2020)



## Exhibit C

Variance Decision; Case No. VZ70.61 (December 18, 1970)

DEPARTMENT OF CITY PLANNING
100 LARKIN STREET • CIVIC CENTER • SAN FRANCISCO 2, CALIFORNIA

Date of This Letter: December 18, 1970
Last Date for Filing Appeal: December 28, 1970
Mr. Georgè Imperiale
655 Pine Street
San Francisco, California

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Re: VZ70.61 } \\
& 3020 \text { Larkin Street, east side } 50 \text { feet } \\
& \text { north of North Point Street; Lot } 11 \text { in } \\
& \text { Assessor's Block 25, in a C-2 (Community } \\
& \text { Business) zoning district. }
\end{aligned}
$$

Dear Mr. Imperiale:

This is to notify you and ofher interested parties that your application under the City Planning Code for a varlance pertaining to the above property and described as follows:

COVERAGE, USABLE OPEN SPACE AND DENSITY VARIANCES SOUGHT:
The proposal is to split lot 11 into 2 parcels: the southernmost vacant portion of the lot which has 30 feet of frontage on Larkin to be transferred to the abutting corner lot and the northern most portion whithas 57.5 feet of frontage on Larkin and is developed with an apartment house. As a result of the proposed resubdivision, the apartment house lot would not meet City Planning Code standards for permitted lot coverage, usable open space or density.
which application was considered by the Zoning Administrator at a public hearing on November 18, 1970, has been decided as follows:

GRANTED, for the transfer of the southermmost vacant portion of lot 11 , having 30 feet of frontage on Larkin Street and a depth of 43.75 feet, to lot 10 prior to the construction on lot 10 of a commercial building in general conformity with the land use indicated on the Schematic Site Plan by R. E. Onorato and Associates, marked "Exhibit $A$ " and on file with this application. This variance shall be considered granted on the additional CONDITION that:

1. The transferred portion of the lot remain as open space in perpetuity and
2. A deed restriction to this effect approved as to form by the Zoning Administrator be filed with the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco prior to the approval of any building permits on the resulting enlarged lot, and

3. The variance on the resulting reduced lot 11 shall apply only to the existing development, and upon demolition of the existing apartment building, any new construction must meet Planning Code standards.

I FINDINGS OF FACT

1. Assessor's lot 11 is an interior lot with 87.5 feet frontage on Larkin Street and a depth variously of 43.75 feet and 68.75 feet with an area of 5140.625 square feet.
2. Lot 11 is presently occupied by an 11 unit apartment building. Uncovered open space on the existing lot amounts to 2318.75 square feet. The apartment building covers approximately 55 per cent of the lot. Assessor's lot 10 , adjacent to the south of lot 11 is vacant.
3. The proposal is to transfer the southernmost 30 feet of present lot 11 to lot 10 , in order to provide additional open plaza area for a proposed commercial building on lot 10 , leaving lot 11 with a total area of 3828.125 square feet far larger than the 2500 square foot minimum required by the City Planning Code.
4. The transferred area would remain as open space under the applicant's proposal.
5. Lot 11 is zoned $\mathrm{C}-2$ and since 1964 has been subject to the density standard of one dwelling unit for each 600 square feet of lot area; the existing building, built prior to current zoning Code standards, exceeds the maximum density now permitted, with a ratio of lot area to dwelling units of approximately 467 square feet per unit. The proposed reduction in the size of lot 11 would reduce this figure to 348 square feet per dwelling unit, considerably less than required by the Code.
6. After transfer of the subject portion of lot $11,1006.25$ square feet of open space would remain on lot 11 , or approximately 91.5 square feet per dwelling unit. The Code now requires at least 150 square feet of open space for each dwelling unit.
7. After transfer of the subject portion of lot 11, the apartment building would cover 73.7 per cent of the remaining lot. The Code limits lot coverage to a maximum of 65 per cent for an interior lot.
8. The apartment building on lot 11 is not developed in a manner using open space that would be transferred to lot 10 other than as light and air to windows on the south side of the building. This window exposure would be retained under the applicant's proposal to retain the subject area that would be transferred to lot 10 as open plaza area.
```
Mr. George Imperiale
9. The Ghirardelli Square area is developing rapidly as an intense commercial area whic attracts residents of the area and tourists.
10. The applicant proposes to include the open area in a development of open courtyards emphasizing the natural environment which is intended to link together with the open access Aquatic Park and Ghirardelli Square to the north and west.
11. The lots on the east side of Larkin Street, directly opposite Ghirardelli Square such as the subject lots 10 and 11 are logical sites for immediate and future expansion of the commercial area. Thus, a commercial development on lot 11 may be expected in the future.
12. In a C-2 district the rear yard, lot coverage and usable open space requirements of the Planning Code apply only from the window sill level of the lower story, if any, occupied as a dwelling,
13. No one appeared in opposition to the application at the public hearing.

II CONCLUSIONS BASED UPON THESE FINDINGS

The Charter and Section \(305(\mathrm{c})\) of the Planning Code specify five requirements that must all be met if a variance is to be granted, and the Charter and Code also specify that this variance decision must set forth the findings upon which these requirements are deemed to be, or not to be, met in each case. The five requirements, therefore, are listed below and, on the basis of the findings herein set forth, they are deemed to be, or not to be, met in this case as indicated.

Requirement 1. That there are exceptional or extraordinary circumstances applying to the property involved or to the intended use of the property that do not apply generally to other property or uses in the same class of district:

REQUIREMENT MET because, as shown in the Findings, the intended use of the subject portion of Assessor's lot 11 that would be transferred to lot 10 will not change its basic nature as open space and will, indeed, guarantee that it remains as such when such a guarantee could not otherwise be made in a C-2 zoning district. It will do so in a manner which will benefit residents of the City as a whole and increase the usability and attractiveness of the existing area for tenants of the residential building.

Requirement 2. That owing to such exceptional or extraordinary circumstances the literal enforcement of specified provisions of the City Planning Code would result in practical difficulty or unnecessary hardship not created by or attributable to the applicant or the owner of the property:

REQUIREMENT MET because the strict enforcement of the City Planning Code provisions in this case would call for the impractical and unreasonable alteration or destruction of the existing apartment building or prevent the applicant from realizing a well-conceived concept of open space development which will serve the tenants and visitors of lots 11 and 10 without any compensating public benefit.

Requirement 3. That such variance is necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of a substantial property right of the subject property possessed by other property in the same class of district:

> REQUIREMENT MET because the same class of district permits 100 per cent coverage of lots for commercial purposes, and other such lots in the area are so developed, adding none of the open space amenities to neighboring residences which granting a variance under the stated condition will guarantee. The applicant proposes to develop the rest of the newly enlarged corner lot to less than the maximum permitted coverage in the zoning district, and less than that of neighboring properties, in order to provide even more open area for the enjoyment of the public as well as commercial tenants.

Requirement 4. That the granting of such variance will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or materially injurious to the property or improvements in the vicinity.

REQUIREMENT METbecause granting the variance will allow a development of lots 11 and 10 that will add to the open space amenities now available to those two \(\mathrm{C}-2\) zoned lots in keeping with similar amenities available at Ghirardelli Square and Aquatic Park in this area of the City which is changing rapidly from an earlier industrial character to uses conducive to shopping, recreation and tourist attractions. The proposed development, including the open court on theportion of lot 11 under discussion, is designed to tie in with other development in the area and-should be at the same time an attraction in itself for the public. Thus, the proposal actually adds to and strengthens existing amenities of neighboring properties.

Reguirement 5. That the granting of such variance will be in harmony with the general purposes and intent of the City Planning Code and will not adversely affect the Master Plan.

REQUIREMENT MET because in considering the nature of this area and the purposes of open space provisions of the Planning Code and of the Master Plan in general, that open space may be considered even more desirable which can serve not only the needs of the tenants on one particular lot but in addition other citizens and neighbors. The proposed development.
made possible by this variance strengthens the natural beauty of this neighborhood and adds to the beneficial attractions of the City as a whole while fulfilling intentions of the Master Plan. The variance allows development in the spirit of the intention of Code provisions and, this, is both necessary and desirable.

This decision will become effective if no appeal from this decision has been filed as provided in Section 308.2 of the City Planning Code on or before the last date for filing as noted ahove.
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\section*{Exhibit D}

Variance Decision; Case No. 2018-001289VAR (September 21, 2018)
[including architectural plans for the Project]

\title{
Variance Decision
}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline Date： & September 21， 2018 \\
\hline Case No．： & 2018－001289VAR \\
\hline Project Address： & 898 NORTH POINT STREET \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Zoning：} & \(\mathrm{C}-2\)（Community Business） \\
\hline & 40－X Height and Bulk District \\
\hline Block／Lots： & 0025／024 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{4}{*}{Applicant：} & Jeremy Schaub \\
\hline & \(13609^{\text {th }}\) Avenue，Suite 210 \\
\hline & San Francisco，CA 94122 \\
\hline & Jeremy＠gabrielngarchitects．com \\
\hline \multirow[t]{3}{*}{Owner：} & Waterfront Management LLC \\
\hline & 888 North Point Street \\
\hline & San Francisco，CA 94109 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Staff Contact：} & Nicholas Foster－（415）575－9167 \\
\hline & nicholas．foster＠sfgov．org \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

1650 Mission St． Suite 400 San Francisco， CA 94103－2479

Reception：
415．558．6378
Fax：
415．558．6409
Planning Information：
415．558．6377

\section*{DESCRIPTION OF VARIANCE－MODIFICATION OF CONDITION OF APPROVAL OF PRIOR VARIANCE DECISION SOUGHT：}

The Decision Letter for Variance Case No．VZ70．61（Exhibit A）was issued on December 18，1970，with multiple conditions to allow a property line adjustment involving the subject property and the adjacent property at 3030 Larkin Street．Condition No． 1 of that letter required the portion of the subject property that was transferred from the adjacent lot through the lot line adjustment to＂remain as open space in perpetuity．＂The proposal is to modify that Decision Letter by removing Condition No． 1.

Planning Code Section 305（d）provides that any violation of any specification or condition so imposed by a variance decision shall constitute a violation of this Code and may constitute grounds for revocation of the variance．While the building proposed through Building Permit Application No． 201412163783 complies with the rear yard requirements for the subject lot，the new building would nonetheless encroach approximately 10 feet into the encumbered＂open space＂portion of the subject lot pursuant to Condition No． 1 of Variance Case No．VZ70．61．

Planning Code Section 174 states that＂Every condition，stipulation，special restriction and other limitation imposed by administrative actions pursuant to this Code，whether such actions are discretionary or ministerial，shall be complied with in the development and use of land and structures． All such conditions，stipulations，special restrictions and other limitations shall become requirements of this Code，and failure to comply with any such condition，stipulation，special restriction or other limitation shall constitute a violation of the provisions of this Code．＂Condition No． 1 of the Decision Letter issued for Variance Case No．VZ70．61 requires a 30 －foot rear setback only on the subject property at 898 North Point Street．Pursuant to Planning Code Sections 174 and 305，this setback represents a quantitative control that may be varied pursuant to the variance procedures of Section 305．Therefore，a
new variance is required to modify the Decision Letter issued for Variance Case No. VZ70.61 to remove or replace Condition No. 1.

\section*{PROCEDURAL BACKGROUND:}
1. The Decision Letter for Variance Case No. VZ70.61 was issued on December 18, 1970. At that time, the subject property at 898 North Point Street was Lot 010, and the property at 3030 Larkin Street was Lot 011. The Variance authorized a 30 -foot adjustment of the shared lot line between these two properties to the north, such that Lot 011 required Variances from Planning Code requirements for lot coverage, usable open space, and density. Condition No. 1 of this decision letter stated "The transferred portion of the lot remain as open space in perpetuity."
2. Upon the lot line adjustment being approved, the property at 3030 Larkin Street changed from Lot 011 to Lot 023, which it remains today. At that time the subject property at 898 North Point Street changed from Lot 010 to Lot 022. However, Lot 022 was later merged with the adjacent Lot 009 at 882-888 North Point Street, creating Lot 024 as it exists today.
3. Building Permit Application No. 201412163783 for the new construction of a 4 -story residential building with ground floor retail on the subject property was filed on December 16, 2014. This permit was not subject to neighborhood notification, but the Project Sponsor did hold a PreApplication meeting for neighbors on November 11, 2014. The property owner and all tenants of the adjacent property at 3030 Larkin Street were invited to attend. However, the meeting sign-in sheet did not include the property owner or any tenants from 3030 Larkin Street.
4. The proposal to demolish the existing commercial building and newly construct a 4-story residential building with ground floor retail was granted a Class1 and Class 3 Categorical Exemption from the California Environmental Quality Act ("CEQA") (Case No. 2014003088 ENV). Building Permit Application No. 201412163783 for the proposed new construction was approved by the Planning Department on October 16, 2015, and it was issued by the Department of Building Inspection on February 11, 2016.
5. The owner of the subject property filed an application with the Department of Public Works on February 9, 2016 to subdivide Lot 024 such that the former Lot 009 at 882-888 North Point Street would revert back to its original lot configuration. The referral for that subdivision was approved by the Planning Department on March 10, 2016. The Department of Public Works issued a Tentative Map approval of the subdivision on March 30, 2016. However, a Final Map has not yet been approved.
6. Variance Case No. VZ70.61 was not listed on the Planning Department's Property Information Map (PIM) on its website, and therefore did not initially come to the attention of the Planning Department. The Project Sponsor discovered Variance Case No. VZ70.61 and the associated Notice of Special Restrictions (NSR) recorded on the subject property after Building Permit Application No. 201412163783 was issued and the Tentative Map was approved, at which time they notified the Planning Department.
7. Variance Case No. 2018-001289VAR was filed on January 23, 2018. The Zoning Administrator held a public hearing on Variance Application No. 2018-001289VAR on February 28, 2018.
8. The Project Sponsor submitted plans (Exhibit B) to the Zoning Administrator on August 31, 2018 representing a proposed revision to the plans associated with Building Permit Application No. 201412163783. The revised proposal is consistent with this Decision Letter and all other relevant controls of the Planning Code.

\section*{DECISION:}

GRANTED, to replace Condition No. 1 of the Decision Letter issued on December 18, 1970 for Variance Case No. VZ70.61 with the following condition:

New Condition: No building wall on Lot 024 may be closer than 10 feet from its northern property line shared with Lot 023 . However, this does not apply to the first story of development within the 10 -foot setback, up to a maximum height of 13 feet as measured from the top of the curb at the property line along Larkin Street. Any deck(s) and associated railings above this first story must be set back at least 5 feet from the shared property line, and such railings may only be the minimum height required by the Building Code.

This decision is subject to the following additional conditions:
1. The authorization and rights vested by virtue of this decision letter are immediately operable upon issuance of this letter.
2. The owner of the subject property shall record on the land records of the City and County of San Francisco the conditions attached to this Variance decision as a Notice of Special Restrictions in a form approved by the Zoning Administrator.
3. This Variance Decision and the recorded Notice of Special Restrictions shall be reproduced on the Index Sheet of the construction plans submitted with the Site or Building Permit Application for new construction on the subject property. This Index Sheet of the construction plans shall reference the Variance Case Number.

\section*{FINDINGS:}

Section 305 (c) of the Planning Code states that in order to grant a variance, the Zoning Administrator must determine that the facts of the case are sufficient to establish the following five findings:

\section*{FINDING 1.}

That there are exceptional or extraordinary circumstances applying to the property involved or to the intended use of the property that do not apply generally to other properties or uses in the same class of district.

\section*{Requirement Met.}
A. The Decision Letter issued for Variance Case No. VZ70.61 required the portion of the subject property that was transferred from the adjacent lot through the lot line adjustment to "remain as open space in perpetuity." This area measures 30 feet in depth. The original variance anticipated that the subject lot would be developed with a commercial building. However, current proposal for the property is a 5 -unit residential building with a small ground floor commercial space (see Exhibit B). The Planning Code requires new dwelling units at the subject property to provide off-street accessory parking, and the General Plan and Planning Department policy encourage curb cuts to be as far from intersections as possible to reduce potential conflicts.

The subject property has a shallow depth of only 80 feet, and it slopes down to north, creating an elevation difference of approximately 11 feet along the Larkin Street frontage. The property's frontage along North Point Street is occupied by a MUNI bus stop with a red curb. As such, the Larkin Street frontage is the only viable location for a new curb cut, especially if the partially subterranean level is to be used as a parking garage. Additionally, due to the sloping nature of the site, it is physically necessary to place the curb cut and garage entrance as deep into the property as possible along Larkin Street to allow adequate access and maneuverability. These factors represent exceptional or extraordinary circumstances applying to the property involved or to the intended use of the property that do not apply generally to other properties or uses in the same class of district.

\section*{FINDING 2.}

That owing to such exceptional and extraordinary circumstances the literal enforcement of specified provisions of this Code would result in a practical difficulty or unnecessary hardship not created by or attributed to the applicant or the owner of the property.

\section*{Requirement Met.}
A. The circumstances described above result in little to no opportunity for Code-complying offstreet accessory parking at the site unless it is accessed as far down-slope on the Larkin Street frontage as possible. Requiring a 30 -foot rear setback at all levels of the subject property represents a greater rear yard than required by the Planning Code (i.e. 20 feet) and is an unnecessary hardship toward providing Code-complying off-street accessory parking, which is due to the context of the site's topography and layout, and is not created by or attributed to the applicant or the owner of the property.

\section*{FINDING 3.}

That such variance is necessary for preservation and enjoyment of a substantial property right of the subject property, possessed by other property in the same class of district.

\section*{Requirement Met.}
A. Granting this variance will allow the subject property to develop a residential building with the maximum density permitted by the Planning Code, while providing Code-required off-street
accessory parking using generally the same location for its garage access along Larkin Street as is currently used for the site's rear parking lot. Developing a Code-complying project that maximizes density, is consistent with relevant design guidelines and the General Plan, and is respectful of its surroundings, is a substantial property right of the subject property possessed by other property in the same class of district.

\section*{FINDING 4.}

That the granting of such variance will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or materially injurious to the property or improvements in the vicinity.

\section*{Requirement Met.}
A. Granting the variance will allow a Code-complying project that maximizes density, is consistent with design guidelines and the General Plan, and is respectful of its surroundings. The new condition to replace the original Condition No. 1 of the Decision Letter for Variance Case No. VZ70.61 ensures that no structure above the garage level will be within 10 feet of the property line to the north shared with 3030 Larkin Street. The adjacent 5 -foot side setback on Lot 023 means that above the garage level there will be at least 15 feet between the 3030 Larkin Street building and any new structure developed on the subject property. As a matter of comparison, 15 feet is generally the minimum rear yard required by the Planning Code for a residential project. However, because the required rear yard of the subject property is 20 feet, the separation between the 3030 Larkin Street building and any new structure on the subject property will effectively be 25 feet above the garage level.
B. The building at 3030 Larkin Street includes a central corridor on each residential level, so that each dwelling unit in the building fronts either on Larkin Street or the property's rear yard. The building includes a lightwell along its southern façade, facing the subject property, which is occupied by stairs. It is also covered by a semi-transparent material. As such, the lightwell does not actually provide any additional light to the dwelling units.

Each unit (one front, one rear) at 3030 Larkin Street along its southern façade has 3 windows on that façade. However, one such window is within a closet, and the other two windows provide light to a living room that also has windows fronting either Larkin Street or the rear yard. Therefore, none of the windows on the southern façade of 3030 Larkin Street are used for singular access to light in primary rooms. Additionally, this decision also requires any deck and railings above the garage level of the subject property to be set back at least 5 feet from shared property line.

This decision allows the garage level of the subject property to rise to a height of 13 feet with no rear setback, and requires at least a 10 -foot rear setback above the garage level. However, it effectively creates a 25 -foot separation between the building on Lot 023 and any future building on Lot 024 due to the 5 -foot setback on Lot 023 and the subject property's 20 foot rear yard requirement. These setbacks represent standard development within the City and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or materially injurious to the property at 3030 Larkin Street or any other improvements in the vicinity.
C. The Decision Letter for Variance Case No. VZ70.61 granted variances to the property at 3030 Larkin Street for lot coverage, useable open space, and density. Although the subject property at 898 North Point Street did not require or receive a variance due to the lot line adjustment, Condition No. 1 of that decision did restrict the lot by requiring a 30 -foot open area setback at the rear of the property. Granting this new variance to replace Condition No. 1 of the Decision Letter for Variance Case No. VZ70.61 will only affect the subject property, and will not affect any of the variances granted for lot coverage, open space, or density at Lot 023 ( 3030 Larkin Street).
D. The Project Sponsor received no opposition when they conducted the Pre-Application meeting for the project in November 2014, or as part of any noticing required by the Department of Building Inspection for the issuance of Building Permit Application No. 201412163783. However, multiple speakers at the variance hearing on February 28, 2018 spoke in opposition to the proposal to completely remove the required setback pursuant to Condition No. 1 of the Decision Letter for Variance Case No. VZ70.61. The speakers included the property owner of 3030 Larkin Street, his counsel, his brother, and two separate tenants at 3030 Larkin Street.

\section*{FINDING 5.}

The granting of such variance will be in harmony with the general purpose and intent of this Code and will not adversely affect the General Plan.

\section*{Requirement Met.}
A. This development is consistent with the generally stated intent and purpose of the Planning Code to promote orderly and beneficial development. Planning Code Section 101.1 establishes eight priority-planning policies and requires review of variance applications for consistency with said policies. The project meets all relevant policies, including conserving neighborhood character, and maintaining housing stock.
1. Existing neighborhood retail uses will not be adversely affected by the proposed project.
2. The proposed project will be in keeping with the existing housing and neighborhood character.
3. The proposed project will have no effect on the City's supply of affordable housing.
4. The proposed project does not adversely affect neighborhood parking or public transit.
5. The project will have no effect on the City's industrial and service sectors.
6. The proposed project will have no effect on the City's preparedness to protect against injury and loss of life in an earthquake.
7. The project will have no effect on the City's landmarks or historic buildings.
8. The project would not affect any existing or planned public parks or open spaces.

The effective date of this decision shall be either the date of this decision letter if not appealed, or the date of the Notice of Decision and Order if appealed to the Board of Appeals.

Once any portion of the granted variance is used, all specifications and conditions of the variance authorization become immediately operative.

Protest of Fee or Exaction: You may protest any fee or exaction subject to Government Code Section 66000 that is imposed as a condition of approval by following the procedures set forth in Government Code Section 66020. The protest must satisfy the requirements of Government Code Section 66020(a) and must be filed within 90 days of the date of the first approval or conditional approval of the development referencing the challenged fee or exaction. For purposes of Government Code Section 66020, the date of imposition of the fee shall be the date of the earliest discretionary approval by the City of the subject development.

If the City has not previously given Notice of an earlier discretionary approval of the project, the Planning Commission's adoption of this Motion, Resolution, Discretionary Review Action or the Zoning Administrator's Variance Decision Letter constitutes the approval or conditional approval of the development and the City hereby gives NOTICE that the 90 -day protest period under Government Code Section 66020 has begun. If the City has already given Notice that the 90 -day approval period has begun for the subject development, then this document does not re-commence the 90 -day approval period.

APPEAL: Any aggrieved person may appeal this variance decision to the Board of Appeals within ten (10) days after the date of the issuance of this Variance Decision. For further information, please contact the Board of Appeals in person at 1650 Mission Street, \(3^{\text {rd }}\) Floor (Room 304) or call 575-6880.


THIS IS NOT A PERMIT TO COMMENCE ANY WORK OR CHANGE OCCUPANCY. PERMITS FROM APPROPRIATE DEPARTMENTS MUST BE SECURED BEFORE WORK IS STARTED OR OCCUPANCY IS CHANGED.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{5}{|l|}{\multirow[t]{2}{*}{}} \\
\hline & & & & \\
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\end{tabular}
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\section*{SCOPE OF WORK}

NEW MXED.USE A.STORY 5 DWELINGS \& GROUND

\section*{project data}
вuILDNG PeRMT APPLCATION: 2014:1216:-783

ZONNG: C.21s.u. . Waterfront 2
SCCPPACY: S.2/M/R-2

YPe of construction: va: fifulr sprinklereb
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\section*{Exhibit E}

Board of Appeals Notice of Decision \& Order (November 14, 2018)

SERGE ETCHEVERRY \& ETCHEVERRY LLC \(C_{1}\) Appellant(s)
vs.

ZONING ADMINISTRATOR.
Respondent

\section*{NOTICE OF APPEAL}

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN THAT on October 01, 2018, the above named appellant(s) filed an appeal with the Board of Appeals of the City and County of San Francisco from the decision or order of the above named department(s), commission, or officer.

The substance or effect of the decision or order appealed from is the ISSUANCE, on September 21, 2018 to Waterfront Managment LLC, of a Variance Decision (Modification of Condition of Approval of Prior Variance Decision Case No. VZ70. 61 by removing Condition No. 1 which required the portion of the subject property that was transferred from the adjacent lot through a lot line adjustment to "remain as open space in perpetuity"; New Condition: No building on subject property may be closer than 10 feet from northern property line (not applicable to first story development within the 10 -foot setback, up to a maximum height of 13 feet); any \(\operatorname{deck}(s)\) and associated railings above this first story must be set back at least 5 feet from the shared property line) at 898 North Point Street

\section*{CASE NO. 2018-001289VAR}

FOR HEARING ON November 14, 2018

Address of Appellant(s):
Serge Etcheverry \& Etcheverry LLC, Appellants c/o Stephen Williams, Attorney for Appellant L.aw Offices of Stephen M. Williams

1934 Divisadero Street
San Francisco, CA 94115

Address of Other Parties:
Waterfront Managment LLC, Variance Holder
c/o Jeremy Schaub, Agent for Variance Holder
Schaub Ly Architects
1360 9th Avenue
San Francisco, CA 94.122

\section*{NOTICE OF DECISION \& ORDER}

The hearing on the aforementioned matter came before the Board of Appeals of the City \& County of San Francisco on NOVEMBER 14, 2018.

PURSUANT TO § 4.106 of the Charter of the City \& County of San Francisco and Article 1, \(\$ 14\) of the Business \& Tax Regulations Code of the said City \& County, and the action above stated, the Board of Appeals hereby DENIES THE APPEAL AND ORDERS that the GRANTING of the subject variance by the ZONING ADMINISTRATOR is UPHELD on the basis that that the five findings required under Planning Code Section 305(c) were met.

\section*{BOARD OF APPEALS \\ CITY \& COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO}


Last Day to Request Rehearing: November 26, 2018
Request for Rehearing: None
Rehearing: None
Notice Released: November 27, 2018

\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline From: & Peggy Berlese \\
\hline To: & BOS Legislation, (BOS); PEARSON, ANNE (CAT); STACY, KATE (CAT); TOM, CHRISTOPHER (CAT); SHEN. ANDREW (CAT); MALAMUT, JOHN (CAT); Degrafinried, Alaric (DPW); Storrs, Bruce (DPW); Ryan, James (DPW); Tse, Bernie (DPW); Sider, Dan (CPC); Starr, Aaron (CPC); Teague, Corey (CPC); Sanchez, Scott (CPC); Gibson, Lisa (CPC); Lain, Devyani (CPC); Navarrete, Joy (CPC); Lynch, Laura (CPC); Varat, Adam (CPC); Rodgers, AnMarie (CPC); VerHagen, Adrian (DPW); BOS-Supervisors; bos-ligislative; Calvillo, Angela (BOS); Somera, Alisa (BOS); BOS Legislation, (BOS) \\
\hline Cc: & Leremy Schaub; Therese Hu; Howard Hu \\
\hline Subject: & Appeal of Tentative Map - 3000-3012 Larkin Street and 898 North Point Street - Appeal Hearing on June 9, 2020 \\
\hline Date: & Friday, May 29, 2020 10:09:37 AM \\
\hline Attachments: & \begin{tabular}{l}
image001.png \\
Signed Variance Decision Letter with Exhibit A and B - 898 Northpoint Street - 2018-001289VAR (ID 1032652).pdf
\end{tabular} \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Board of Supervisors, City Attorneys, employees of the Department of Public Works and Planning and other interested parties,

I represent Waterfront Management LLC, the owner of the above properties. Howard and Therese Hu are two of the members of the LLC. On February 13, 2020 Waterfront submitted to the Department of Public Works, Bureau if Street-Use and Mapping, a vertical subdivision map to create four parcels at the site. Tentative map approval was granted on May 5, 2020.

Mr. Serge Etcheverry, the owner of the adjacent property at 3030 Larkin Street, appealed the tentative map approval because he is "opposed to the (building) plans because there is no need for parking and there is (a) variance in place (f)rom 1970 . . . ."

It is true that the Planning Department issued a Decision Letter for Variance on December 18, 1970, and this Variance created open space in an area where the current approved building plans provide for parking. However the 1970 Variance was modified by the Variance Decision dated September 21, 2018. A copy of the later Variance Decision is attached. In the 2018 Variance Decision, the Planning Department found that:
1. there was no opportunity for Code-complying off-street accessory parking at the site (Finding 2.A. on page 4);
2. granting the Variance will allow the subject property to develop a residential building with the maximum density permitted by the Planning Code, while providing Code-required off-street accessory parking (Finding 3.A.); and
3. granting the Variance will allow a Code-complying project that maximizes density, is consistent with design guidelines and the General Plan, and is respectful of its surrounding (Finding 4.A.).

Mr. Etcheverry was represented by counsel during the 2018 Variance process and hearing. Again with the assistance of counsel, on October 1, 2018, he appealed the September 21, 2018 Variance Decision. On November 14, 2018 the Board of Appeals held a de novo hearing and once again
reconsidered all of the facts and circumstances of Waterfront's request for a modification of the December 18, 1970 Variance. The Board unanimously denied Mr. Etcheverry's appeal and affirmed the September 21, 2018 Variance Decision. It seems that Mr. Etcheverry now is attempting again to appeal the September 21, 2018 Variance Decision by filing the appeal of the Tentative Map approval.

What follows are the grounds to deny a Tentative Map under Government Code section 66474:
1. the map is not consistent with applicable general and specific plans;
2. the design of the proposed subdivision is not consistent with applicable general and specific plans;
3. the site is not physically suitable for the type of development;
4. the site is not physically suitable for the proposed density of development;
5. the design of the subdivision or proposed improvements are likely to cause substantial environmental damage or substantially and avoidably injure fish or wildlife or their habitat;
6. the design of the subdivision or type of improvement is likely to cause serious public health problems; or
7. the design of the subdivision or the type of improvements will conflict with easements, acquired by the public, for access through or use of property within the proposed subdivision.

Mr. Etcheverry did not appeal the Tentative Map on any of the above grounds. There are no grounds to appeal the Map because the proposed project already underwent years of review by City agencies and its building plans are approved. Additionally, the 2018 Variance Decision found Waterfront's approved plans to be consistent with the General Plan. For all of these reasons, Mr. and Mrs. Hu respectfully request that the appeal of the Tentative Map be denied.

Thank you,

Peggy Berlese
Of Counsel
Law Offices of Herzig \& Berlese
414 Gough Street, \#5
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 861-8800

From: "BOS Legislation, (BOS)" <bos.legislation@sfgov.org>
Date: Thursday, May 21, 2020 at 10:50 AM
To: "BOS Legislation, (BOS)" <bos.legislation@sfgov.org>
Cc: ANNE PEARSON <Anne.Pearson@sfcityatty.org>, KATE STACY
<Kate.Stacy@sfcityatty.org>, CHRISTOPHER TOM <Christopher.Tom@sfcityatty.org>, "SHEN, ANDREW (CAT)" < Andrew.Shen@sfcityatty.org>, JOHN MALAMUT
<John.Malamut@sfcityatty.org>, "Degrafinried, Alaric (DPW)" <alaric.degrafinried@sfdpw.org>, "Storrs, Bruce (DPW)" <Bruce.Storrs@sfdpw.org>, "Ryan, James (DPW)" < james.ryan@sfdpw.org>, "Tse, Bernie (DPW)" <bernie.tse@sfdpw.org>, Dan Sider <dan.sider@sfgov.org>, Aaron Starr <aaron.starr@sfgov.org>, Corey Teague <corey.teague@sfgov.org>, Scott Sanchez <scott.sanchez@sfgov.org>, "Gibson, Lisa (CPC)" <lisa.gibson@sfgov.org>, "Jain, Devyani (CPC)" <devyani.jain@sfgov.org>, "Navarrete, Joy (CPC)" <joy.navarrete@sfgov.org>, "Lynch, Laura (CPC)" <laura.lynch@sfgov.org>, "Varat, Adam (CPC)" <adam.varat@sfgov.org>, AnMarie Rodgers <anmarie.rodgers@sfgov.org>, "VerHagen, Adrian (DPW)" <Adrian.VerHagen@sfdpw.org>, BOS-Supervisors <bossupervisors@sfgov.org>, BOS-Legislative Aides <bos-legislative aides@sfgov.org>, Angela Calvillo <angela.calvillo@sfgov.org>, "Somera, Alisa (BOS)" <alisa.somera@sfgov.org>, "BOS Legislation, (BOS)" <bos.legislation@sfgov.org>
Subject: Appeal of Tentative Map - 3000-3012 Larkin Street and 898 North Point Street Appeal Hearing on June 9, 2020

Greetings,

The Office of the Clerk of the Board has scheduled a hearing for Special Order before the Board of Supervisors on June 9, 2020, at 3:00 p.m.

Please find linked below a letter of appeal regarding the Tentative Map of a property at 3000-3012 Larkin Street and 898 North Point Street, and an informational letter from the Clerk of the Board.

Tentative Map Appeal Letter - May 15, 2020

Clerk of the Board Letter - May 21, 2020

You are invited to review the entire matter on our Legislative Research Center by following the link below.

Board of Supervisors File No. 200503

Best regards,
Jocelyn Wong
San Francisco Board of Supervisors
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
T: 415.554.7702 | F: 415.554.5163
jocelyn.wong@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation, and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information-including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees-may appear on the Board of Supervisors' website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline & Variance Decision & 1650 Mission St． Suite 400 San Francisco， CA 94103－2479 \\
\hline Date： & September 21， 2018 & \\
\hline Case No．： & 2018－001289VAR & \begin{tabular}{l}
Reception： \\
415.558 .6378
\end{tabular} \\
\hline Project Address： & 898 NORTH POINT STREET & \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Zoning：} & \(\mathrm{C}-2\)（Community Business） & \begin{tabular}{l}
Fax： \\
415．558．6409
\end{tabular} \\
\hline & 40－X Height and Bulk District & \\
\hline Block／Lots： & 0025／024 & Planning \\
\hline \multirow[t]{4}{*}{Applicant：} & Jeremy Schaub & Information： \\
\hline & \(13609^{\text {th }}\) Avenue，Suite 210 & \\
\hline & San Francisco，CA 94122 & \\
\hline & Jeremy＠gabrielngarchitects．com & \\
\hline \multirow[t]{3}{*}{Owner：} & Waterfront Management LLC & \\
\hline & 888 North Point Street & \\
\hline & San Francisco，CA 94109 & \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Staff Contact：} & Nicholas Foster－（415）575－9167 & \\
\hline & nicholas．foster＠sfgov．org & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{DESCRIPTION OF VARIANCE－MODIFICATION OF CONDITION OF APPROVAL OF PRIOR VARIANCE DECISION SOUGHT：}

The Decision Letter for Variance Case No．VZ70．61（Exhibit A）was issued on December 18，1970，with multiple conditions to allow a property line adjustment involving the subject property and the adjacent property at 3030 Larkin Street．Condition No． 1 of that letter required the portion of the subject property that was transferred from the adjacent lot through the lot line adjustment to＂remain as open space in perpetuity．＂The proposal is to modify that Decision Letter by removing Condition No． 1.

Planning Code Section 305（d）provides that any violation of any specification or condition so imposed by a variance decision shall constitute a violation of this Code and may constitute grounds for revocation of the variance．While the building proposed through Building Permit Application No． 201412163783 complies with the rear yard requirements for the subject lot，the new building would nonetheless encroach approximately 10 feet into the encumbered＂open space＂portion of the subject lot pursuant to Condition No． 1 of Variance Case No．VZ70．61．

Planning Code Section 174 states that＂Every condition，stipulation，special restriction and other limitation imposed by administrative actions pursuant to this Code，whether such actions are discretionary or ministerial，shall be complied with in the development and use of land and structures． All such conditions，stipulations，special restrictions and other limitations shall become requirements of this Code，and failure to comply with any such condition，stipulation，special restriction or other limitation shall constitute a violation of the provisions of this Code．＂Condition No． 1 of the Decision Letter issued for Variance Case No．VZ70．61 requires a 30 －foot rear setback only on the subject property at 898 North Point Street．Pursuant to Planning Code Sections 174 and 305，this setback represents a quantitative control that may be varied pursuant to the variance procedures of Section 305．Therefore，a
new variance is required to modify the Decision Letter issued for Variance Case No. VZ70.61 to remove or replace Condition No. 1.

\section*{PROCEDURAL BACKGROUND:}
1. The Decision Letter for Variance Case No. VZ70.61 was issued on December 18, 1970. At that time, the subject property at 898 North Point Street was Lot 010, and the property at 3030 Larkin Street was Lot 011. The Variance authorized a 30 -foot adjustment of the shared lot line between these two properties to the north, such that Lot 011 required Variances from Planning Code requirements for lot coverage, usable open space, and density. Condition No. 1 of this decision letter stated "The transferred portion of the lot remain as open space in perpetuity."
2. Upon the lot line adjustment being approved, the property at 3030 Larkin Street changed from Lot 011 to Lot 023, which it remains today. At that time the subject property at 898 North Point Street changed from Lot 010 to Lot 022 . However, Lot 022 was later merged with the adjacent Lot 009 at 882-888 North Point Street, creating Lot 024 as it exists today.
3. Building Permit Application No. 201412163783 for the new construction of a 4 -story residential building with ground floor retail on the subject property was filed on December 16, 2014. This permit was not subject to neighborhood notification, but the Project Sponsor did hold a PreApplication meeting for neighbors on November 11, 2014. The property owner and all tenants of the adjacent property at 3030 Larkin Street were invited to attend. However, the meeting sign-in sheet did not include the property owner or any tenants from 3030 Larkin Street.
4. The proposal to demolish the existing commercial building and newly construct a 4 -story residential building with ground floor retail was granted a Class1 and Class 3 Categorical Exemption from the California Environmental Quality Act ("CEQA") (Case No. 2014003088ENV). Building Permit Application No. 201412163783 for the proposed new construction was approved by the Planning Department on October 16, 2015, and it was issued by the Department of Building Inspection on February 11, 2016.
5. The owner of the subject property filed an application with the Department of Public Works on February 9, 2016 to subdivide Lot 024 such that the former Lot 009 at 882-888 North Point Street would revert back to its original lot configuration. The referral for that subdivision was approved by the Planning Department on March 10, 2016. The Department of Public Works issued a Tentative Map approval of the subdivision on March 30, 2016. However, a Final Map has not yet been approved.
6. Variance Case No. VZ70.61 was not listed on the Planning Department's Property Information Map (PIM) on its website, and therefore did not initially come to the attention of the Planning Department. The Project Sponsor discovered Variance Case No. VZ70.61 and the associated Notice of Special Restrictions (NSR) recorded on the subject property after Building Permit Application No. 201412163783 was issued and the Tentative Map was approved, at which time they notified the Planning Department.
7. Variance Case No. 2018-001289VAR was filed on January 23, 2018. The Zoning Administrator held a public hearing on Variance Application No. 2018-001289VAR on February 28, 2018.
8. The Project Sponsor submitted plans (Exhibit B) to the Zoning Administrator on August 31, 2018 representing a proposed revision to the plans associated with Building Permit Application No. 201412163783. The revised proposal is consistent with this Decision Letter and all other relevant controls of the Planning Code.

\section*{DECISION:}

GRANTED, to replace Condition No. 1 of the Decision Letter issued on December 18, 1970 for Variance Case No. VZ70.61 with the following condition:

New Condition: No building wall on Lot 024 may be closer than 10 feet from its northern property line shared with Lot 023 . However, this does not apply to the first story of development within the 10 -foot setback, up to a maximum height of 13 feet as measured from the top of the curb at the property line along Larkin Street. Any deck(s) and associated railings above this first story must be set back at least 5 feet from the shared property line, and such railings may only be the minimum height required by the Building Code.

This decision is subject to the following additional conditions:
1. The authorization and rights vested by virtue of this decision letter are immediately operable upon issuance of this letter.
2. The owner of the subject property shall record on the land records of the City and County of San Francisco the conditions attached to this Variance decision as a Notice of Special Restrictions in a form approved by the Zoning Administrator.
3. This Variance Decision and the recorded Notice of Special Restrictions shall be reproduced on the Index Sheet of the construction plans submitted with the Site or Building Permit Application for new construction on the subject property. This Index Sheet of the construction plans shall reference the Variance Case Number.

\section*{FINDINGS:}

Section 305 (c) of the Planning Code states that in order to grant a variance, the Zoning Administrator must determine that the facts of the case are sufficient to establish the following five findings:

\section*{FINDING 1.}

That there are exceptional or extraordinary circumstances applying to the property involved or to the intended use of the property that do not apply generally to other properties or uses in the same class of district.

\section*{Requirement Met.}
A. The Decision Letter issued for Variance Case No. VZ70.61 required the portion of the subject property that was transferred from the adjacent lot through the lot line adjustment to "remain as open space in perpetuity." This area measures 30 feet in depth. The original variance anticipated that the subject lot would be developed with a commercial building. However, current proposal for the property is a 5 -unit residential building with a small ground floor commercial space (see Exhibit B). The Planning Code requires new dwelling units at the subject property to provide off-street accessory parking, and the General Plan and Planning Department policy encourage curb cuts to be as far from intersections as possible to reduce potential conflicts.

The subject property has a shallow depth of only 80 feet, and it slopes down to north, creating an elevation difference of approximately 11 feet along the Larkin Street frontage. The property's frontage along North Point Street is occupied by a MUNI bus stop with a red curb. As such, the Larkin Street frontage is the only viable location for a new curb cut, especially if the partially subterranean level is to be used as a parking garage. Additionally, due to the sloping nature of the site, it is physically necessary to place the curb cut and garage entrance as deep into the property as possible along Larkin Street to allow adequate access and maneuverability. These factors represent exceptional or extraordinary circumstances applying to the property involved or to the intended use of the property that do not apply generally to other properties or uses in the same class of district.

\section*{FINDING 2.}

That owing to such exceptional and extraordinary circumstances the literal enforcement of specified provisions of this Code would result in a practical difficulty or unnecessary hardship not created by or attributed to the applicant or the owner of the property.

\section*{Requirement Met.}
A. The circumstances described above result in little to no opportunity for Code-complying offstreet accessory parking at the site unless it is accessed as far down-slope on the Larkin Street frontage as possible. Requiring a 30 -foot rear setback at all levels of the subject property represents a greater rear yard than required by the Planning Code (i.e. 20 feet) and is an unnecessary hardship toward providing Code-complying off-street accessory parking, which is due to the context of the site's topography and layout, and is not created by or attributed to the applicant or the owner of the property.

\section*{FINDING 3.}

That such variance is necessary for preservation and enjoyment of a substantial property right of the subject property, possessed by other property in the same class of district.

\section*{Requirement Met.}
A. Granting this variance will allow the subject property to develop a residential building with the maximum density permitted by the Planning Code, while providing Code-required off-street
accessory parking using generally the same location for its garage access along Larkin Street as is currently used for the site's rear parking lot. Developing a Code-complying project that maximizes density, is consistent with relevant design guidelines and the General Plan, and is respectful of its surroundings, is a substantial property right of the subject property possessed by other property in the same class of district.

\section*{FINDING 4.}

That the granting of such variance will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or materially injurious to the property or improvements in the vicinity.

\section*{Requirement Met.}
A. Granting the variance will allow a Code-complying project that maximizes density, is consistent with design guidelines and the General Plan, and is respectful of its surroundings. The new condition to replace the original Condition No. 1 of the Decision Letter for Variance Case No. VZ70.61 ensures that no structure above the garage level will be within 10 feet of the property line to the north shared with 3030 Larkin Street. The adjacent 5 -foot side setback on Lot 023 means that above the garage level there will be at least 15 feet between the 3030 Larkin Street building and any new structure developed on the subject property. As a matter of comparison, 15 feet is generally the minimum rear yard required by the Planning Code for a residential project. However, because the required rear yard of the subject property is 20 feet, the separation between the 3030 Larkin Street building and any new structure on the subject property will effectively be 25 feet above the garage level.
B. The building at 3030 Larkin Street includes a central corridor on each residential level, so that each dwelling unit in the building fronts either on Larkin Street or the property's rear yard. The building includes a lightwell along its southern façade, facing the subject property, which is occupied by stairs. It is also covered by a semi-transparent material. As such, the lightwell does not actually provide any additional light to the dwelling units.

Each unit (one front, one rear) at 3030 Larkin Street along its southern façade has 3 windows on that façade. However, one such window is within a closet, and the other two windows provide light to a living room that also has windows fronting either Larkin Street or the rear yard. Therefore, none of the windows on the southern façade of 3030 Larkin Street are used for singular access to light in primary rooms. Additionally, this decision also requires any deck and railings above the garage level of the subject property to be set back at least 5 feet from shared property line.

This decision allows the garage level of the subject property to rise to a height of 13 feet with no rear setback, and requires at least a 10 -foot rear setback above the garage level. However, it effectively creates a 25 -foot separation between the building on Lot 023 and any future building on Lot 024 due to the 5 -foot setback on Lot 023 and the subject property's 20 foot rear yard requirement. These setbacks represent standard development within the City and will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or materially injurious to the property at 3030 Larkin Street or any other improvements in the vicinity.
C. The Decision Letter for Variance Case No. VZ70.61 granted variances to the property at 3030 Larkin Street for lot coverage, useable open space, and density. Although the subject property at 898 North Point Street did not require or receive a variance due to the lot line adjustment, Condition No. 1 of that decision did restrict the lot by requiring a 30 -foot open area setback at the rear of the property. Granting this new variance to replace Condition No. 1 of the Decision Letter for Variance Case No. VZ70.61 will only affect the subject property, and will not affect any of the variances granted for lot coverage, open space, or density at Lot 023 (3030 Larkin Street).
D. The Project Sponsor received no opposition when they conducted the Pre-Application meeting for the project in November 2014, or as part of any noticing required by the Department of Building Inspection for the issuance of Building Permit Application No. 201412163783. However, multiple speakers at the variance hearing on February 28, 2018 spoke in opposition to the proposal to completely remove the required setback pursuant to Condition No. 1 of the Decision Letter for Variance Case No. VZ70.61. The speakers included the property owner of 3030 Larkin Street, his counsel, his brother, and two separate tenants at 3030 Larkin Street.

\section*{FINDING 5.}

The granting of such variance will be in harmony with the general purpose and intent of this Code and will not adversely affect the General Plan.

\section*{Requirement Met.}
A. This development is consistent with the generally stated intent and purpose of the Planning Code to promote orderly and beneficial development. Planning Code Section 101.1 establishes eight priority-planning policies and requires review of variance applications for consistency with said policies. The project meets all relevant policies, including conserving neighborhood character, and maintaining housing stock.
1. Existing neighborhood retail uses will not be adversely affected by the proposed project.
2. The proposed project will be in keeping with the existing housing and neighborhood character.
3. The proposed project will have no effect on the City's supply of affordable housing.
4. The proposed project does not adversely affect neighborhood parking or public transit.
5. The project will have no effect on the City's industrial and service sectors.
6. The proposed project will have no effect on the City's preparedness to protect against injury and loss of life in an earthquake.
7. The project will have no effect on the City's landmarks or historic buildings,
8. The project would not affect any existing or planned public parks or open spaces.

The effective date of this decision shall be either the date of this decision letter if not appealed, or the date of the Notice of Decision and Order if appealed to the Board of Appeals.

Once any portion of the granted variance is used, all specifications and conditions of the variance authorization become immediately operative.

Protest of Fee or Exaction: You may protest any fee or exaction subject to Government Code Section 66000 that is imposed as a condition of approval by following the procedures set forth in Government Code Section 66020. The protest must satisfy the requirements of Government Code Section 66020(a) and must be filed within 90 days of the date of the first approval or conditional approval of the development referencing the challenged fee or exaction. For purposes of Government Code Section 66020, the date of imposition of the fee shall be the date of the earliest discretionary approval by the City of the subject development.

If the City has not previously given Notice of an earlier discretionary approval of the project, the Planning Commission's adoption of this Motion, Resolution, Discretionary Review Action or the Zoning Administrator's Variance Decision Letter constitutes the approval or conditional approval of the development and the City hereby gives NOTICE that the 90-day protest period under Government Code Section 66020 has begun. If the City has already given Notice that the 90 -day approval period has begun for the subject development, then this document does not re-commence the 90 -day approval period.

APPEAL: Any aggrieved person may appeal this variance decision to the Board of Appeals within ten (10) days after the date of the issuance of this Variance Decision. For further information, please contact the Board of Appeals in person at 1650 Mission Street, \(3^{\text {rd }}\) Floor (Room 304) or call 575-6880.


THIS IS NOT A PERMIT TO COMMENCE ANY WORK OR CHANGE OCCUPANCY. PERMITS FROM APPROPRIATE DEPARTMENTS MUST BE SECURED BEFORE WORK IS STARTED OR OCCUPANCY IS CHANGED.

\section*{EXHIBIT A:}

\section*{Variance Decision Letter for Case No. VZ70.61}

DEPARTMENT OF CITY PLANNING
100 LARKIN STREET • CIVIC CENTER • SAN FRANCISCO 2, CALIFORNIA

Date of This Letter: December 18, 1970
Last Date for Filing Appeal: December 28, 1970
Mr. Georgè Imperiale
655 Pine Street
San Francisco, California
\[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Re: VZ70.61 } \\
& 3020 \text { Larkin Street, east side } 50 \text { feet } \\
& \text { north of North Point Street; Lot } 11 \text { in } \\
& \text { Assessor's Block 25, in a C-2 (Community } \\
& \text { Business) zoning district. }
\end{aligned}
\]

Dear Mr. Imperiale:

This is to notify you and ofher interested parties that your application under the City Planning Code for a varlance pertaining to the above property and described as follows:

COVERAGE, USABLE OPEN SPACE AND DENSITY VARIANCES SOUGHT:
The proposal is to split lot 11 into 2 parcels: the southernmost vacant portion of the lot which has 30 feet of frontage on Larkin to be transferred to the abutting corner lot and the northern most portion whithas 57.5 feet of frontage on Larkin and is developed with an apartment house. As a result of the proposed resubdivision, the apartment house lot would not meet City Planning Code standards for permitted lot coverage, usable open space or density.
which application was considered by the Zoning Administrator at a public hearing on November 18, 1970, has been decided as follows:

GRANTED, for the transfer of the southermmost vacant portion of lot 11 , having 30 feet of frontage on Larkin Street and a depth of 43.75 feet, to lot 10 prior to the construction on lot 10 of a commercial building in general conformity with the land use indicated on the Schematic Site Plan by R. E. Onorato and Associates, marked "Exhibit \(A\) " and on file with this application. This variance shall be considered granted on the additional CONDITION that:
1. The transferred portion of the lot remain as open space in perpetuity and
2. A deed restriction to this effect approved as to form by the Zoning Administrator be filed with the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco prior to the approval of any building permits on the resulting enlarged lot, and

3. The variance on the resulting reduced lot 11 shall apply only to the existing development, and upon demolition of the existing apartment building, any new construction must meet Planning Code standards.

I FINDINGS OF FACT
1. Assessor's lot 11 is an interior lot with 87.5 feet frontage on Larkin Street and a depth variously of 43.75 feet and 68.75 feet with an area of 5140.625 square feet.
2. Lot 11 is presently occupied by an 11 unit apartment building. Uncovered open space on the existing lot amounts to 2318.75 square feet. The apartment building covers approximately 55 per cent of the lot. Assessor's lot 10 , adjacent to the south of lot 11 is vacant.
3. The proposal is to transfer the southernmost 30 feet of present lot 11 to lot 10 , in order to provide additional open plaza area for a proposed commercial building on lot 10 , leaving lot 11 with a total area of 3828.125 square feet far larger than the 2500 square foot minimum required by the City Planning Code.
4. The transferred area would remain as open space under the applicant's proposal.
5. Lot 11 is zoned \(\mathrm{C}-2\) and since 1964 has been subject to the density standard of one dwelling unit for each 600 square feet of lot area; the existing building, built prior to current zoning Code standards, exceeds the maximum density now permitted, with a ratio of lot area to dwelling units of approximately 467 square feet per unit. The proposed reduction in the size of lot 11 would reduce this figure to 348 square feet per dwelling unit, considerably less than required by the Code.
6. After transfer of the subject portion of lot \(11,1006.25\) square feet of open space would remain on lot 11 , or approximately 91.5 square feet per dwelling unit. The Code now requires at least 150 square feet of open space for each dwelling unit.
7. After transfer of the subject portion of lot 11, the apartment building would cover 73.7 per cent of the remaining lot. The Code limits lot coverage to a maximum of 65 per cent for an interior lot.
8. The apartment building on lot 11 is not developed in a manner using open space that would be transferred to lot 10 other than as light and air to windows on the south side of the building. This window exposure would be retained under the applicant's proposal to retain the subject area that would be transferred to lot 10 as open plaza area.
```

Mr. George Imperiale
9. The Ghirardelli Square area is developing rapidly as an intense commercial area whic attracts residents of the area and tourists.
10. The applicant proposes to include the open area in a development of open courtyards emphasizing the natural environment which is intended to link together with the open access Aquatic Park and Ghirardelli Square to the north and west.
11. The lots on the east side of Larkin Street, directly opposite Ghirardelli Square such as the subject lots 10 and 11 are logical sites for immediate and future expansion of the commercial area. Thus, a commercial development on lot 11 may be expected in the future.
12. In a C-2 district the rear yard, lot coverage and usable open space requirements of the Planning Code apply only from the window sill level of the lower story, if any, occupied as a dwelling,
13. No one appeared in opposition to the application at the public hearing.

II CONCLUSIONS BASED UPON THESE FINDINGS

The Charter and Section $305(\mathrm{c})$ of the Planning Code specify five requirements that must all be met if a variance is to be granted, and the Charter and Code also specify that this variance decision must set forth the findings upon which these requirements are deemed to be, or not to be, met in each case. The five requirements, therefore, are listed below and, on the basis of the findings herein set forth, they are deemed to be, or not to be, met in this case as indicated.

Requirement 1. That there are exceptional or extraordinary circumstances applying to the property involved or to the intended use of the property that do not apply generally to other property or uses in the same class of district:

REQUIREMENT MET because, as shown in the Findings, the intended use of the subject portion of Assessor's lot 11 that would be transferred to lot 10 will not change its basic nature as open space and will, indeed, guarantee that it remains as such when such a guarantee could not otherwise be made in a C-2 zoning district. It will do so in a manner which will benefit residents of the City as a whole and increase the usability and attractiveness of the existing area for tenants of the residential building.

Requirement 2. That owing to such exceptional or extraordinary circumstances the literal enforcement of specified provisions of the City Planning Code would result in practical difficulty or unnecessary hardship not created by or attributable to the applicant or the owner of the property:

REQUIREMENT MET because the strict enforcement of the City Planning Code provisions in this case would call for the impractical and unreasonable alteration or destruction of the existing apartment building or prevent the applicant from realizing a well-conceived concept of open space development which will serve the tenants and visitors of lots 11 and 10 without any compensating public benefit.

Requirement 3. That such variance is necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of a substantial property right of the subject property possessed by other property in the same class of district:

> REQUIREMENT MET because the same class of district permits 100 per cent coverage of lots for commercial purposes, and other such lots in the area are so developed, adding none of the open space amenities to neighboring residences which granting a variance under the stated condition will guarantee. The applicant proposes to develop the rest of the newly enlarged corner lot to less than the maximum permitted coverage in the zoning district, and less than that of neighboring properties, in order to provide even more open area for the enjoyment of the public as well as commercial tenants.

Requirement 4. That the granting of such variance will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or materially injurious to the property or improvements in the vicinity.

REQUIREMENT METbecause granting the variance will allow a development of lots 11 and 10 that will add to the open space amenities now available to those two $\mathrm{C}-2$ zoned lots in keeping with similar amenities available at Ghirardelli Square and Aquatic Park in this area of the City which is changing rapidly from an earlier industrial character to uses conducive to shopping, recreation and tourist attractions. The proposed development, including the open court on theportion of lot 11 under discussion, is designed to tie in with other development in the area and-should be at the same time an attraction in itself for the public. Thus, the proposal actually adds to and strengthens existing amenities of neighboring properties.

Reguirement 5. That the granting of such variance will be in harmony with the general purposes and intent of the City Planning Code and will not adversely affect the Master Plan.

REQUIREMENT MET because in considering the nature of this area and the purposes of open space provisions of the Planning Code and of the Master Plan in general, that open space may be considered even more desirable which can serve not only the needs of the tenants on one particular lot but in addition other citizens and neighbors. The proposed development.
made possible by this variance strengthens the natural beauty of this neighborhood and adds to the beneficial attractions of the City as a whole while fulfilling intentions of the Master Plan. The variance allows development in the spirit of the intention of Code provisions and, this, is both necessary and desirable.

This decision will become effective if no appeal from this decision has been filed as provided in Section 308.2 of the City Planning Code on or before the last date for filing as noted ahove.
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## EXHIBIT B:

## Project Sponsor Plan Submittal

## (August 31, 2018)



|  <br>  PROVIDE FIRE ALARM SYSTEM UNDER SEPARATE PERMIT CONSTRUCTION COST OF THIS PERMIT DOES NOT INCLUDE SPRINKLER AND FIRE ALARM SYSTEM PROVIDE EMERGENCY LIGHTING PER SEC．1008．3 Provod Exit sclus per sec． 101 PROVIDE STAIR IDENTIFICATION WITH SIGN PER SEC， 10228.1 TWO HOUR STAIR ENCLOSURE FOR 4 OR MORE STORIES WITH 90 IIN．DOOR WITH CLOSER PER SEC． 1022.2 TWO HOUR SHAFT ENCLOSURE FOR ELEVATOR PROVIDE ONE HOUR CONSTRUCTION WI SOUND INSULATION BETWEEN UNIT AND PUBLIC AREA PROVIDE SMOKE ALARMS PER SEC．907．2．112 PROVIDE CARBON MONOXIDE ALARUS PER SEC． 420.6 ALL FIREPLACES SHALL BE＂UL LSTED＂ all fireplaces shall be＂ul listo＂ | PROVIDE TEMPERED（8 LOCATIONS PER SEC． 2 <br> ROOF DRAIN AND OVER <br> TRASH ROOM COMPLY <br> COMPLY wI SECURITY R <br> PROVIDE GARAGE VENT <br> PROVIDE FLOOR DRAIN <br> ALL LIGHTS SHALL COM <br> UNDERPINNING \＆SHOR PERMIT <br> STATE INDUSTRIAL SAF <br> SEE SOIL REPORT PREP INC．DATED MARCH 13 <br> INC．DATED MARCH 13. | Y）GLASS AT HAZARDOUS <br> W DRAIN AT ROOF OR DECK SHALL <br> C． 713.13 <br> IREMENTS PER S．F．B．C．SEC．1005A <br> ION PER S．F．B．C．SEC． 1022.6 <br> TION PER SEC．400．6．2 <br> GARAGE PER SEC．408．4．5 WITH 2013 CALIFORNIA TITLE 24 <br> IF REQUIRED UNDER SEPARATE <br> PERMIT IS REQUIRED <br> D BY MCHELUCCI \＆ASSOCIATES． | ACCESSBLITY Statement PER SEC．1134A． 2 －ONLY 1 BATHROOM IN EACH D NEEDS TO COMPLY wI THE REQUIREMENTS FOR T DISABLED，AND INDICATED AS＂ACCESSIBLE＂ON P COIMMERCIAL SPACE TO FULLY COMPLY WI THE RE FOR THE PHYSICALLY DISABLED Appucallecooss 8 orodmances 2013 CALIFORNIA BUILDING CODE（CBC），WI SAN FRANCISCO AMENDMENTS 2013 CALIFORNIA MECHANICAL，ELECTRICAL，AND PLUMABING CODES，WI SAN FRANCISCO AMENDMENTS 2013 CALIFORNIA FIRE CODE，WI SAN FRANCISCO AMENDIIENTS 2013 CALIFORN SPRINKLER SYSTEMS 2010 NFPA 72 －FIRE ALARM CODE 2006 NFPA 101 －LIFE SAFETY CODE TY CODE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| GENERAL NOTES <br>  IT IS THE RESPONSIBILITY OF THE CONTRACTOR TO DESI PROJECT，INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO PLUMBING，MECHA AND ELECTRICAL SYSTEMS；AND ALL DETAILS FOR THE USE OF THESE DRAWINGS FOR THE CONSTRUCTION O VERIFIED THE BUILDABILTY OF THE PROJECT AS SHOWN ON T LIGHT OF SITE CONDITIONS AND APPLICABLE CODE REQUIREI RESPONSIBLITIES TO DESIGN－BUILD ALL ELEMENTS AND ADJUSTMENTS AS REQUIRED FOR THE COMPLETION OF THE PR PURSUANT TO ALL APFUICABIE CODE REQUREMENTS， PURSUANT TO $\qquad$ |  |  <br>  <br>  ARE COONLICT <br>  THE CONTRACTOR <br>  Con in in in ill <br>  <br>  PROCESS． |  |



RENDERING LOOKING NORTH EAST AT SUBJECT SITE

|  |  †てО 107 ＇ऽz00 צフОาя IS INIOdHIYON 868 ＇IS NIYy甘7 80－000E అNICาIกя ヨSก QヨXIW MヨN |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |
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## NOTICE OF PUBLIC HEARING

## BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN THAT the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco will hold a remote public hearing to consider the following proposal and said public hearing will be held as follows, at which time all interested parties may attend and be heard:

Date: Tuesday, June 9, 2020
Time: $\quad$ 3:00 p.m.
Location: REMOTE MEETING VIA VIDEOCONFERENCE
Watch: www.sfgovtv.org
Public Comment Call-In: https:/Isfbos.org/remote-meeting-call
Subject: File No. 200503. Hearing of persons interested in or objecting to the decision of Public Works dated May 6, 2020, approving a Tentative Map for a four lot vertical subdivision with proposed Lot 1 being five new residential condominium units, proposed Lot 2 being one new commercial space, proposed Lot 3 being three new commercial condominium units, and proposed Lot 4 comprised of two existing residential apartments project at 3000-3012 Larkin Street and 898 North Point Street, Assessor's Parcel Block No. 0025, Lot No. 024. (District 2) (Appellant: Serge Etcheverry) (Filed May 15, 2020)

In accordance with Governor Gavin Newsom's statewide order for all residents to "Stay at Home" - and the numerous preceding local and state proclamations, orders and supplemental directions - aggressive directives have been issued to slow down and reduce the spread of the COVID-19 virus. On March 17, 2020, the Board of Supervisors authorized their Board and Committee meetings to convene remotely and allow for remote public comment; therefore, Board of Supervisors meetings that are held through videoconferencing will allow remote public comment. Visit the SFGovTV website (www.sfgovtv.org) to stream the live meetings or watch them on demand.

## PUBLIC COMMENT CALL-IN: https://sfbos.org/remote-meeting-call

As the COVID-19 disease progresses, please visit the Board's website regularly to be updated on the current situation as it affects the legislative process and the Board of Supervisors.

In accordance with Administrative Code, Section 67.7-1, persons who are unable to attend the hearing on this matter may submit written comments prior to the time the hearing begins. These comments will be made as part of the official public record in this matter and shall be brought to the attention of the Board of Supervisors. Written comments should be addressed to Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board, City Hall, 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244, San Francisco, CA, 94102. Information relating to this matter is available in the Office of the Clerk of the Board and agenda information relating to this matter will be available for public review on Friday, June 5, 2020.


## Gquminer PUBLIC Notices

| OVRNMEN | at 3000-3012 Larkin Street and 898 North Point Street, Assessor's Parcel Block No O025, Lot No. O24. (District 2) (Appellant: Serge Etcheverry) | grant the petition without a hearing. <br> Notice of Hearing: <br> Date. 7/8/2020, Time: 9am, <br> Dept.: PJ, |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MEETING SAN SUPERVISORS LAND USE AND TRANSPORTATION 1:30 PM | Gavin Newsom's statewide order for all residents to "Stay at Home" - and the numerous preceding local and state proclamations, orders and | $\begin{aligned} & \text { iod } \\ & \text { ice } \\ & \text { ow } \\ & \text { at } \\ & \text { aut } \end{aligned}$ |
| Thisheld ${\underset{c}{\text { meeting }} \text { remotely }}^{\text {will }} \begin{gathered}\text { be } \\ \text { through }\end{gathered}$ videoconferencing. Public via telephone at (415) 655 0001 / Access Code: 921 413607 . Visit www.sfgovtv.org to stream video of the live meeting or watch on SF Cable Channel 26. Visit www. sfbos.org/remote-meeting-callon the day of the meeting to retrieve an online link to watch the meeting, and providing public comment via telephone. Visit www.stbos. agenda packet and legislative at https://sfbos.org/legisaltive-reasearch-center-Irc or bycalling (415) $554-5184$. |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  | ng | 30\#1 |
|  | of | EXAMINER-BOUTIQU VILLAGER |
|  | that |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  | US BUS |
| NOTICE OF REGULAR SAN MEETING OF SUPERVISORS OF SUPERVISORS RULES COMMITTEEJUNE 1, 2020-10:00 AM | ative process and | The following person(s) is |
|  |  |  |
|  | Se | $478 \text { Cherry }$ |
|  |  |  |
| Thisheld $\begin{gathered}\text { meeting } \\ \text { remotely }\end{gathered} \begin{gathered}\text { will } \\ \text { through }\end{gathered}$ videoconferencing. Publiccomment will be available via telephone at 1-415-6550001 Access Code: 921413 607. Visit www.sfgovtv. org to stream video of the Cable Channel 26 . Visit www. stbos.org/remote-meeting-call on the day of the meetingto retrieve an online link to watch the meeting, and providing public comment via telephone. Visit www.sfbos.org for more information. The agenda packet and legislative at https://sftbos.org/legisaltive-reasearch-center-lrccalling (415) $554-5184$. ${ }^{\text {or }}$ by |  |  |
|  |  | A |
|  |  | business is conducted |
|  |  |  |
|  |  | d |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  | o |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  | the County Clerk of San Mateo |
|  |  |  |
|  | Angela Calvill Clerk of the | y |
|  |  |  |
| notice of public HEARING BOARD OF CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO |  |  |
|  | CIVIL | VILLAGE |
|  |  |  |
| NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN THAT the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San |  |  |
|  |  | The following person(s) is |
| Francisco will hold a remote public hearing to consider the |  |  |
|  |  | 1160 Chestrut Street, Menlo |
| following proposal and said public hearing will be held |  | CA 94025, County of |
| as follows, at which time all interested parties may attend | TO ALL INTEREST | BEFORE Brands, Inc., |
|  | Troy Luciano file |  |
| and be heard <br> Date: Tuesday, June 9, 2020 |  | his business is conducted by |
| Time: Ju:00 p.m. Location: |  | The registrant(s) commenced |
| VIDEOC ONFERENCE | Tro |  |
| Public Comment Call-In:https://sfbos.org/remot- |  |  |
|  | persons interested in th | are that all information |
| meeting-call <br> Subject: File No. 200503. |  |  |
| Hearing of persons interested in or objecting to the decision |  | n |
| of Public $\begin{aligned} & \text { Works dated } \\ & \text { May } 6,2020, ~ a p p r o v i n g ~\end{aligned}$ | whame should not te granted. |  |
|  | erson objecting to | S/ Benson Thomander, Chief |
| a Tentative Map for a four lot vertical subdivision with | above must file |  |
| proposed Lot 1 being five new residential condominium | on that inclu | - |
| units, proposed Lot 2 being |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| proposed Lot 3 being three |  |  |
| new mercia cosed Lot 4 comprised of two existing |  |  |
|  |  | NPEN-3368240\# |


| EXAMINER - BOUTIQUE VILLAGER | sub |
| :---: | :---: |
| FICTITIOUS BUSINESS NAME STATEMENT File No. A-0390610-00 | tising dates: 05/29/2020 6/05/2020. |
| Fictitious Business Name(s):ELOQUENTWOMAN MAGAZINE, 601 VAN NESS |  |
|  |  |
| AVE, BLDG E3-335, SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94102 County of SAN FRANCISCO | NER |
|  | notice of public HEARING |
| Registered Owne |  |
|  |  |
| AVE BLDG E3-335, | G |
| usines | AND EMPLOYEES OF |
| DIV | THE PORT OF REDWOOD |
| egis |  |
| to transad |  |
|  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { or names } \\ & 07 / 23 / 2019 \end{aligned}$ | CT HEREWITH will |
| I declare that all information | tr |
| in this statement is true and correct. (A registrant who declares as true any material |  |
|  |  |
| 17913 of the Business and Professions code that theregistrant knows to be false |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
| is guilty of a misdemeanor exceed one thousand dollars |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
| S/ /REYNA LEE | e pubic via |
| The San Franciscol County Clerk on MAY 06,2020 Nubdivision (a) of Section | dial (669) |
|  |  |
|  | and will then be recognized by |
|  |  |
| Statement generally expires at the end of five years from the date on which it was filed |  |
|  |  |
| the date on which it was filed Clerk, except, as provided |  |
|  |  |
| in Subdivision (b) of Section 17920, where it expires 40 |  |
|  |  |
| in the facts set forth in the |  |
| 17913 other than a change in the residence address of |  |
|  |  |
| a registered ouner. A A new Fictitious Business Name |  |
| Statement must be filed before the expiration. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state | SECTION 1. The annual |
|  | n |
|  |  |
| andof a ficititious Business Namein violaion of the rights of |  |
|  |  |
| another under federal, state mon law (See Section 14411 et seq., Business and |  |
|  | d |
| Professions Code) 5/22, 5/29, 6/5, 6/ , |  |
|  |  |
|  | d |
| SAN FRANCISCO EXAMINER |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
| GOVERNMENT |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
| Invitation for Bid 2020-081 |  |
|  | Business Development |
| Re-bid Cloud Hall Steam Shoring and Sit |  |
|  | Executive Assistant/Clerk of |
|  |  |
| Bids due Tuesday June 16,$2020 @ 1 \mathrm{PM}$ | 89,000 |
|  | \$ |
|  |  |
| LineShitering and $\begin{gathered}\text { and } \\ \text { Renovation }\end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Port Maint } \\ & \text { - } \$ 78,000 \end{aligned}$ |
|  | 0 |
| documents will be available on $05 / 29 / 2020$ from https://l |  |
| www ccsf edu/en/about-citycollege/administration/vcfa |  |
|  |  |
| construction. h tml . For |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
| Planning |  |
|  |  |
| San Francisco, CA 94112 . |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

## salary for each position other than the position of Executive from time to time by the Executive Director within the salarry range for each position as specitied in Section 1. (b) Intital apointents shall normally ape made at shan normally be made at a salary eual to the lowest amount of applicable range. Such appointments may be made at a rate between the minimum and maximum  of: (1) difificulty of locating qualified peprsonel, andlor (2) an appointe's experience, education, knowledge of the paraitular duties required, and other riteria and other criteria as may be related to such consideration    employee's $\begin{gathered}\text { performance } \\ \text { and other } \\ \text { oriteria related } \\ \text { awarding } \\ \text { increased }\end{gathered}$ awarding increased compensation on the basis of merit Such adjustment, if any, hall be made by the Executive Director in the exercise <br> d) An employee may be upon satisfactory completion of a minimum period of one 1) year's employment and 1) year's employment and upon consideration of said employee's cerformance and other criteria related <br> awarding increased ompensation on the basis of merit. Such adjustment, if any, shall. be made adjustment, if the Executive, mexe Director in the exercise of his/ her sound discretion. SECTON 4. The Port Redwood City shall pay the employer contribution too the California Public Employees  nd on behalf of its employees enrolled in CaIPERS on or prior to October 13,2011 , the Port of Redwood City shall <br> aiso pay to CaIPERS, 3\% of Tier-1 employees and 2\% of Tier-2 employees of the $8 \%$ <br> by the employee. SECTION 5 . The Executive Director is hereby authorized oo employ such part-time or emporary personnel as are necessary to carry out the normal operations of the Port, provided however, that 1) compensation is limited 10 no more than $\$ 10,000$ no more than $\$ 10,000$ o no during any foutteen (14) day period; and (2) funds shall have been appropriated for paviod; and appropriated for have been arposes during the such purposes iscal Year for which such employment is retained. All such part-time or temporary such part-time or temporary personnel shall be subject to dismissal by the Executive dismissal by the Executive time and without cause. SECTION 6. This Ordinance hall be effective thirty <br> SECTION 7. All ordinances <br> and portions of ordinances in conflict herewith, to the extent of such conflict, are hereby  SPEN-3368561\# <br> EXAMINER-REDWOOD <br> NOTICE OF PUBLIC NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN THAT THE ORDINANCE A P PR OPRIATING ANTICIPATED REVENUES

the payment of operating
expenses in connection with the administration of
the Port of Redwood City due Port of Redwood City
during Fiscal Year July 1,
2020 - June 30 , 2020 - June 30, 2021, and
the expenditure of said sums for the purposes
stated herein is hereby authorized
Line Item 1. Admin Salaries,
Taxes \& Benefits $\$ 970,253$ Line Item 2. Office $\& ~$
Administrative Expenses $\$ \$ 2$

Line Item 3. Professional
Services $\$ 336,800$ Line Item
Marketing $\$ 245,800$ Line Item
(Admin only)
$\$ 26,019$ SECTION 4. SUBVENTION. Forty sum of Five Hundred Forty One Thousand and
Six Hundred Forty Two Six Hundred Forty Tw
dollars (\$541,642) for
voluntary the City of Redwood City
is hereby appropriated from general Pport funds
(derived from sources other (derived from sources other
than State granted lands) should the Board of Port such funds as surplus and provided such payment
does not violate Revenue
Bond
covenants and

## $\begin{array}{ll}\text { laws. } \\ \text { SECTION } & \text { 5. REVENUE } \\ \text { BONDS } & \text { SERIES } 2015\end{array}$

 BONDS SERIES 2015INTEREST. For purpos of this Ordinance, "Revenue
Bonds Series 2015" refers to those bonds authorized,
issued and outstanding $\begin{array}{ll}\text { issued and } \\ \text { pursuant to } & \text { outstanding } \\ \text { No. } & \text { P-2267 } \\ \text { Resolution } \\ \text { entitiled }\end{array}$ Resolution of the Board
of Port Commissioners of Port Commissioners
of the Port Department of the City of Redwood City Authorizing the Issuance of
Revenue Bonds, Approving an Official
Authorizing
Statement, Authorizing the Execution
and Delivery of a Third
Supp and Escrow Deposit and
Trust Agreement and a Bond Purchase Agreement
and Authorizing Certain Related Matters."
The sum of One Hundred Seventy Three Thousand and Five Hundred Twenty
Six dollars $(\$ 173,526)$ is hereby appropriated from general Port funds for the payment of interest on the
Revenue Bonds Series 2015
during the Fiscal Year Jly during the Fiscal Year July
1,2020 - June 30,2021 , and the expenditure of said, sum
for such purpose is hereby authorized.
SECTION 6. REVENUE
BONDS 2015-PRINCIPAL. The sum of Four Hundred Thirty
Five Thousand and Eight Hundred Thirty Ehree Hundred Thirty Three
dollars ( $\$ 435,833$ ) is hereby appropriated from general
Port funds for the Port funds for the payment
of principal of the Revenue Bonds Series 2015 during the Fiscal Year July 1, 2020 - June 30, 2021, and the for such purpose is hereby authorized. 7. REVENUE
SECTION BONDS SERIES 2012 this Ordinance, "Revenue
Bonds Series 2012" refers to those bonds authorized,
issued and outstanding pursuant to Resolution No.
$\mathrm{P}-2178$ entitled "Resolution of the Board of Port Commissioners of the Port
Department of the City of
Redwood City Authorizing
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## COPY OF NOTICE

Notice Type:
GPN GOVT PUBLIC NOTICE

Ad Description<br>JW - 200503 - Tentative Map Appeal - 3000-3012 Larkin Street and 898 North Point Street - Hearing Notice

To the right is a copy of the notice you sent to us for publication in the SAN FRANCISCO EXAMINER. Thank you for using our newspaper. Please read this notice carefully and call us with ny corrections. The Proof of Publication will be filed with the County Clerk, if required, and mailed to you after the last date below. Publication date(s) for this notice is (are):

05/29/2020

The charge(s) for this order is as follows. An invoice will be sent after the last date of publication. If you prepaid this order in full, you will not receive an invoice.

Publication
$\$ 378.00$
Total
$\$ 378.00$

EXM\# 3368447
NOTICE OF PUBLIC HEARING BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN THAT the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco will hold a emote public hearing to consider the following proposal and said public hearing will be held as ollows, at which time all interested parties may attend and be heard:
Date: Tuesday, June 9,
2020 Time: 3:00 p.m. Location: REMOTE MEETING VIA VIDEOCON-
FERENCE Watch: www.sfgovtv.org Public Comment
ttps://stbos.org/remote-
meeting-call
Subject: File No. 200503. Hearing of persons intersted in or objecting to the dated May 6 , Wublic Works approving a Tentative Map for a four lot vertical subdivision with proposed
Lot 1 being five new residential condominium units, proposed Lot 2 being one new commercial space, proposed Lot 3 being three new commercial condominum units, and proposed Lot 4 comprised of two existing esidential apartments project at 3000-3012 Larkin Street and 898 North Point Street, Assessor's Parcel District 2) (Appellant. Serg Etcheverry) (Filed May 15
2020)

In accordance with Governor
Gavin Newsom's statewide
"Stay at Home" - and the numerous preceding local and state proclamations arders and supplemental directions and aggressive directives have been issued o slow down and reduce the spread of the COVID-19 virus. On March 17, 2020, the Board of Supervisors authorized their Board and Committee meetings to convene remotely and allow or remote public comment, herefore, Board of Supervisors meetings that are held hrough videoconferencing will allow remote public comment. Visit the SFGovTV website (www.sfgovtv.org) to stream the live meetings or PUBLIC COMMENT CALLN: https://sfbos.org/remote meeting-call As the COVID 19 disease progresses please visit the Board's website regularly to be updated on the current
situation as it affects the situationive process and the Board of Supervisors
In accordance with Administrative Code, Section 67.7-1 persons who are unable to attend the hearing on this matter may submit written comments prior to the time the hearing begins. These comments will be made as part of the official public record in this matter and shall be brought to the attention of the Board of Supervisors. Written comments should be addressed to Angela Calvillo Clerk of the Board, City Hall 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlet Place, Room 244, San Information relating to this informar is ravable to this matter is available in the Board and agenda informa tion relating to this matter will be available for public review on Friday, June 5, 2020. Angela Calvillo Clerk of the Board


City Hall
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco 94102-4689
Tel. No. 554-5184
Fax No. 554-5163
TDD/TTY No. 554-5227

## PROOF OF MAILING

Legislative File No. 200503
Description of Items: Hearing - Appeal of Tentative Subdivision Map - 3000-3012 Larkin and 898 North Point Street - 87 Notices Mailed

I, Brent Jalipa , an employee of the City and
County of San Francisco, mailed the above described document(s) by depositing the sealed items with the United States Postal Service (USPS) with the postage fully prepaid as follows:

Date:
May 26, 2020
Time: $12: 30 \mathrm{pm}$

USPS Location: Repro Drop-off Box (Rm 8)

Mailbox/Mailslot Pick-Up Times (if applicable): N/A

Signature:


Instructions: Upon completion, original must be filed in the above referenced file.

SENDER: COMPLETE THIS SECTION

- Complete items 1, 2, and 3. Also complete item 4 if Restricted Delivery is desired.
- Print your name and address on the reverse so that we can return the card to you.
- Attach this card to the back of the mailpiece, or on the front if space permits.

1. Article Addressed to:


Serge Etcheverry 2324 Divisadero Street San Francisco, CA 94115

| Seryice Type |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\square$ Certified Mail | $\square$ Express Mail |  |
| $\square$ Registered | $\square$ Return Receipt for Merchandise |  |
| $\square$ Insured Mail | $\square$ c.O.D. |  |
| 4. Restricted Delivery? (Extra Fee) | $\square$ Yes |  |
| 1499 | 7914 |  |

PS Form 3811, February 2004 Domestic Return Receipt 102595-02-M-1540



## City Hall

1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco 94102-4689
Tel. No. 554-5184
Fax No. 554-5163
TDD/TTY No. 554-5227

May 21, 2020

## Serge Etcheverry

2324 Divisadero Street
San Francisco, CA 94115

## Subject: Tentative Map Appeal - 3000-3012 Larkin Street and 898 North Point Street

Dear Mr. Etcheverry:
Pursuant to Subdivision Code, Section 1314, the Office of the Clerk of the Board has scheduled an appeal hearing on Tuesday, June 9, 2020, at 3:00 p.m., at the Board of Supervisors meeting to be held by a remote public hearing, concerning approval of the subject Tentative Map for properties located at:

3000-3012 Larkin Street and 898 North Point Street, Assessor's Parcel Block No. 0025, Lot No. 024.

Since the Shelter-in-Place Order was put into effect in mid-March, the Office of the Clerk of the Board have been conducting public meetings remotely by videoconferencing. In order to facilitate this process, your contact information (phone number and email address) is needed prior to the hearing, as this was not provided in the appeal letter. Please send the Clerk's office your contact information to bos.legislation@sfgov.org upon receipt of this letter.

Please also provide to the Clerk's Office:
14 days prior to the hearing: names and addresses of interested parties to be notified of the hearing, in spreadsheet format; and

8 days prior to the hearing: any documentation which you may want available to the Board members prior to the hearing.

For the above, the Clerk's office requests one electronic file (sent to bos.legislation@sfgov.org).

If you have any questions, please feel free to contact Legislative Clerks Lisa Lew at (415) 554-7718, Jocelyn Wong at (415) 554-7702, Brent Jalipa at (415) 5547712.

Sincerely,

$A$ Cacincato<br>Angela Calvillo<br>Clerk of the Board

c: Anne Pearson, Deputy City Attorney
Kate Stacy, Deputy City Attorney
Christopher Tom, Deputy City Attorney
Andrew Shen, Deputy City Attorney
Alaric Degrafinried, Interim Director, Public Works
Bruce Storrs, Public Works
James Ryan, Public Works
Bernie Tse, Public Works-Bureau of Street Use and Mapping
Dan Sider, Director of Executive Programs, Planning Department
Aaron Starr, Manager of Legislative Affairs, Planning Department
Corey Teague, Zoning Administrator, Planning Department
Scott Sanchez, Acting Deputy Zoning Administrator, Planning Department
Lisa Gibson, Environmental Review Officer, Planning Department
Devyani Jain, Deputy Environmental Review Officer, Planning Department
Joy Navarette, Environmental Planning, Planning Department
Laura Lynch, Environmental Planning, Planning Department
Adam Varat, Acting Director of Citywide Planning, Planning Department
AnMarie Rodgers, Legislative Affairs, Planning Department
Adrian Verhagen, Staff Contact, Public Works

1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco 94102-4689
Tel. No. 554-5184
Fax No. 554-5163
TDD/TTY No. 554-5227

## NOTICE OF PUBLIC HEARING

## BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN THAT the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco will hold a remote public hearing to consider the following proposal and said public hearing will be held as follows, at which time all interested parties may attend and be heard:

Date: Tuesday, June 9, 2020
Time: 3:00 p.m.

## Location: REMOTE MEETING VIA VIDEOCONFERENCE Watch: www.sfgovtv.org Public Comment Call-In: https://sfbos.org/remote-meeting-call

Subject: File No. 200503. Hearing of persons interested in or objecting to the decision of Public Works dated May 6, 2020, approving a Tentative Map for a four lot vertical subdivision with proposed Lot 1 being five new residential condominium units, proposed Lot 2 being one new commercial space, proposed Lot 3 being three new commercial condominium units, and proposed Lot 4 comprised of two existing residential apartments project at 3000-3012 Larkin Street and 898 North Point Street, Assessor's Parcel Block No. 0025, Lot No. 024. (District 2) (Appellant: Serge Etcheverry) (Filed May 15, 2020)

In accordance with Governor Gavin Newsom's statewide order for all residents to "Stay at Home" - and the numerous preceding local and state proclamations, orders and supplemental directions - aggressive directives have been issued to slow down and reduce the spread of the COVID-19 virus. On March 17, 2020, the Board of Supervisors authorized their Board and Committee meetings to convene remotely and allow for remote public comment; therefore, Board of Supervisors meetings that are held through videoconferencing will allow remote public comment. Visit the SFGovTV website (www.sfgovtv.org) to stream the live meetings or watch them on demand.

## PUBLIC COMMENT CALL-IN: https:///sfbos.org/remote-meeting-call

As the COVID-19 disease progresses, please visit the Board's website regularly to be updated on the current situation as it affects the legislative process and the Board of Supervisors.

In accordance with Administrative Code, Section 67.7-1, persons who are unable to attend the hearing on this matter may submit written comments prior to the time the hearing begins. These comments will be made as part of the official public record in this matter and shall be brought to the attention of the Board of Supervisors. Written comments should be addressed to Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board, City Hall, 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244, San Francisco, CA, 94102. Information relating to this matter is available in the Office of the Clerk of the Board and agenda information relating to this matter will be available for public review on Friday, June 5, 2020.


Clerk of the Board of Supervisors
City and County of San Francisco

## Lew, Lisa (BOS)

| From: | BOS Legislation, (BOS) |
| :--- | :--- |
| Sent: | Thursday, May 21, 2020 10:50 AM |
| To: | BOS Legislation, (BOS) |
| Subject: | Appeal of Tentative Map - 3000-3012 Larkin Street and 898 North Point Street - Appeal |
|  | Hearing on June 9, 2020 |

## Categories: 200503

Greetings,
The Office of the Clerk of the Board has scheduled a hearing for Special Order before the Board of Supervisors on June 9, 2020, at 3:00 p.m.

Please find linked below a letter of appeal regarding the Tentative Map of a property at 3000-3012 Larkin Street and 898 North Point Street, and an informational letter from the Clerk of the Board.

Tentative Map Appeal Letter - May 15, 2020
Clerk of the Board Letter - May 21, 2020
You are invited to review the entire matter on our Legislative Research Center by following the link below.

## Board of Supervisors File No. 200503

Best regards,<br>Jocelyn Wong<br>San Francisco Board of Supervisors<br>1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244<br>San Francisco, CA 94102<br>T: 415.554.7702 | F: 415.554 .5163<br>iocelyn.wong@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

[^0]The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation, and archived matters since August 1998.
Disclosures: Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral.communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information-including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees-may appear on the Board of Supervisors' website or in other public documents that menbers of the public may inspect or copy.

Mr. Etcheverry.
Please contact our office as soon as possible to provide us with your email address (if available) and your phone number so we can communicate more efficiently. You can reach our office by either email at bus. legislation@sfgov. org or by phone at 415-554-5184. Thank you-
office of the Clerk of the Board

| From: | BOS Legislation, (BOS) |
| :--- | :--- |
| To: | Docs, SF (LIB) |
| Cc: | BOS Legislation, (BOS) |
| Subject: | FW: HEARING NOTICE: Appeal of Tentative Map - 3000-3012 Larkin Street and 898 North Point Street - Appeal |
|  | Hearing on J une 9, 2020 |
| Date: | Friday, May 29, 2020 1:12:35 PM |
| Attachments: | image001.png |

Good afternoon,

Please post the following notice. Thank you.

## Jocelyn Wong

San Francisco Board of Supervisors
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
T: 415.554.7702 | F: 415.554.5163
jocelyn.wong@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org
(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a "virtual" meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services

Co Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation, and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information-including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees-may appear on the Board of Supervisors' website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.

From: BOS Legislation, (BOS) [bos.legislation@sfgov.org](mailto:bos.legislation@sfgov.org)
Sent: Friday, May 29, 2020 1:08 PM
To: pberlese@hbcondolaw.com; Therese@waterfrontcontainer.com; jeremy@slasf.com; rick@sflandsurveyor.com; michelle@sflandsurveyor.com
Cc: PEARSON, ANNE (CAT) [Anne.Pearson@sfcityatty.org](mailto:Anne.Pearson@sfcityatty.org); STACY, KATE (CAT)
[Kate.Stacy@sfcityatty.org](mailto:Kate.Stacy@sfcityatty.org); TOM, CHRISTOPHER (CAT) [Christopher.Tom@sfcityatty.org](mailto:Christopher.Tom@sfcityatty.org); SHEN,

ANDREW (CAT) [Andrew.Shen@sfcityatty.org](mailto:Andrew.Shen@sfcityatty.org); MALAMUT, JOHN (CAT)
[John.Malamut@sfcityatty.org](mailto:John.Malamut@sfcityatty.org); Degrafinried, Alaric (DPW) [alaric.degrafinried@sfdpw.org](mailto:alaric.degrafinried@sfdpw.org); Storrs, Bruce (DPW) [Bruce.Storrs@sfdpw.org](mailto:Bruce.Storrs@sfdpw.org); Ryan, James (DPW) [james.ryan@sfdpw.org](mailto:james.ryan@sfdpw.org); Tse, Bernie (DPW) [bernie.tse@sfdpw.org](mailto:bernie.tse@sfdpw.org); Sider, Dan (CPC) [dan.sider@sfgov.org](mailto:dan.sider@sfgov.org); Starr, Aaron (CPC) [aaron.starr@sfgov.org](mailto:aaron.starr@sfgov.org); Teague, Corey (CPC) [corey.teague@sfgov.org](mailto:corey.teague@sfgov.org); Sanchez, Scott (CPC) [scott.sanchez@sfgov.org](mailto:scott.sanchez@sfgov.org); Gibson, Lisa (CPC) [lisa.gibson@sfgov.org](mailto:lisa.gibson@sfgov.org); Jain, Devyani (CPC) [devyani.jain@sfgov.org](mailto:devyani.jain@sfgov.org); Navarrete, Joy (CPC) [joy.navarrete@sfgov.org](mailto:joy.navarrete@sfgov.org); Lynch, Laura (CPC) [laura.lynch@sfgov.org](mailto:laura.lynch@sfgov.org); Varat, Adam (CPC) [adam.varat@sfgov.org](mailto:adam.varat@sfgov.org); Rodgers, AnMarie (CPC) [anmarie.rodgers@sfgov.org](mailto:anmarie.rodgers@sfgov.org); VerHagen, Adrian (DPW) [Adrian.VerHagen@sfdpw.org](mailto:Adrian.VerHagen@sfdpw.org); BOSSupervisors [bos-supervisors@sfgov.org](mailto:bos-supervisors@sfgov.org); BOS-Legislative Aides [bos-legislative_aides@sfgov.org](mailto:bos-legislative_aides@sfgov.org); Calvillo, Angela (BOS) [angela.calvillo@sfgov.org](mailto:angela.calvillo@sfgov.org); Somera, Alisa (BOS) [alisa.somera@sfgov.org](mailto:alisa.somera@sfgov.org); BOS Legislation, (BOS) [bos.legislation@sfgov.org](mailto:bos.legislation@sfgov.org)
Subject: HEARING NOTICE: Appeal of Tentative Map - 3000-3012 Larkin Street and 898 North Point Street - Appeal Hearing on June 9, 2020

Greetings,

The Office of the Clerk of the Board has scheduled a hearing for Special Order before the Board of Supervisors on June 9, 2020, at 3:00 p.m., to hear an appeal of a tentative map for the proposed project at 3000-3012 Larkin Street and 898 North Point Street.

## Please find the following link to the hearing notice for the matter.

## Public Hearing Notice - May 29, 2020

I invite you to review the entire matter on our Legislative Research Center by following the link below:

## Board of Supervisors File No. 200503

Best regards,

## Jocelyn Wong

San Francisco Board of Supervisors
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
T: 415.554.7702 | F: 415.554.5163
jocelyn.wong@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org
(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a "virtual" meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legis/ative process and our services

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation, and archived matters since August 1998.
Disclosures: Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information-including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees-may appear on the Board of Supervisors' website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.

| From: | Peggy Berlese |
| :--- | :--- |
| To: | BOS Legislation, (BOS) |
| Cc: | Leremy Schaub; Therese Hu |
| Subject: | appeal of tentative map approval, Board of Supervisors file \#200503 |
| Date: | Thursday, May 28, 2020 11:35:37 AM |

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Ms. Calvillo,

I am the attorney for the owners of the property whose tentative map approval has been appealed. Jeremy Schaub, copied on this email, is the architect. Therese and Howard Hu, also copied on this email, are the owners of the property. All three of us wish to be notified of the date, time and other information regarding the hearing. The hearing tentatively is scheduled for June 9 at 3:00, but we have been warned that the hearing may be delayed. I will send you the owners' response to the appeal in the next day or two.

Thank you,

Peggy Berlese
(415) 861-8800
pberlese@hbcondolaw.com

Jeremy Schaub
(415) 682-8060 x 103
jeremy@slasf.com

Howard and Therese Hu
(415) 812-7298
therese@waterfrontcontainer.com

| From: | BOS Legislation, (BOS) |
| :--- | :--- |
| To: | Therese@waterfrontcontainer.com; rick@sflandsurveyor.com; michelle@sflandsurveyor.com |
| Cc: | BOS Legislation, (BOS) |
| Subject: | FW: Appeal of Tentative Map - 3000-3012 Larkin Street and 898 North Point Street - Appeal Hearing on June 9, |
|  | 2020 |
| Date: | Wednesday, May 27, 2020 2:28:55 PM |
| Attachments: | image001.png |

Good afternoon,

Please see the message below for an appeal of a Tentative Map for a proposed project at 3000-3012 Larkin Street and 898 North Point Street scheduled for June 9, 2020, at 3:00 p.m. Thank you.

```
Best regards,
```


## Jocelyn Wong

San Francisco Board of Supervisors
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
T: 415.554.7702 | F: 415.554.5163
jocelyn.wong@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation, and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information-including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees-may appear on the Board of Supervisors' website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.

From: BOS Legislation, (BOS) [bos.legislation@sfgov.org](mailto:bos.legislation@sfgov.org)
Sent: Thursday, May 21, 2020 10:50 AM
To: BOS Legislation, (BOS) [bos.legislation@sfgov.org](mailto:bos.legislation@sfgov.org)
Cc: PEARSON, ANNE (CAT) [Anne.Pearson@sfcityatty.org](mailto:Anne.Pearson@sfcityatty.org); STACY, KATE (CAT)
[Kate.Stacy@sfcityatty.org](mailto:Kate.Stacy@sfcityatty.org); TOM, CHRISTOPHER (CAT) [Christopher.Tom@sfcityatty.org](mailto:Christopher.Tom@sfcityatty.org); SHEN, ANDREW (CAT) [Andrew.Shen@sfcityatty.org](mailto:Andrew.Shen@sfcityatty.org); MALAMUT, JOHN (CAT)
[John.Malamut@sfcityatty.org](mailto:John.Malamut@sfcityatty.org); Degrafinried, Alaric (DPW) [alaric.degrafinried@sfdpw.org](mailto:alaric.degrafinried@sfdpw.org); Storrs, Bruce (DPW) [Bruce.Storrs@sfdpw.org](mailto:Bruce.Storrs@sfdpw.org); Ryan, James (DPW) [james.ryan@sfdpw.org](mailto:james.ryan@sfdpw.org); Tse, Bernie (DPW) [bernie.tse@sfdpw.org](mailto:bernie.tse@sfdpw.org); Sider, Dan (CPC) [dan.sider@sfgov.org](mailto:dan.sider@sfgov.org); Starr, Aaron (CPC) [aaron.starr@sfgov.org](mailto:aaron.starr@sfgov.org); Teague, Corey (CPC) [corey.teague@sfgov.org](mailto:corey.teague@sfgov.org); Sanchez, Scott (CPC) [scott.sanchez@sfgov.org](mailto:scott.sanchez@sfgov.org); Gibson, Lisa (CPC) [lisa.gibson@sfgov.org](mailto:lisa.gibson@sfgov.org); Jain, Devyani (CPC) [devyani.jain@sfgov.org](mailto:devyani.jain@sfgov.org); Navarrete, Joy (CPC) [joy.navarrete@sfgov.org](mailto:joy.navarrete@sfgov.org); Lynch, Laura (CPC)
[laura.lynch@sfgov.org](mailto:laura.lynch@sfgov.org); Varat, Adam (CPC) [adam.varat@sfgov.org](mailto:adam.varat@sfgov.org); Rodgers, AnMarie (CPC) [anmarie.rodgers@sfgov.org](mailto:anmarie.rodgers@sfgov.org); VerHagen, Adrian (DPW) [Adrian.VerHagen@sfdpw.org](mailto:Adrian.VerHagen@sfdpw.org); BOSSupervisors [bos-supervisors@sfgov.org](mailto:bos-supervisors@sfgov.org); BOS-Legislative Aides [bos-legislative_aides@sfgov.org](mailto:bos-legislative_aides@sfgov.org); Calvillo, Angela (BOS) [angela.calvillo@sfgov.org](mailto:angela.calvillo@sfgov.org); Somera, Alisa (BOS) [alisa.somera@sfgov.org](mailto:alisa.somera@sfgov.org); BOS Legislation, (BOS) [bos.legislation@sfgov.org](mailto:bos.legislation@sfgov.org)
Subject: Appeal of Tentative Map - 3000-3012 Larkin Street and 898 North Point Street - Appeal Hearing on June 9, 2020

Greetings,

The Office of the Clerk of the Board has scheduled a hearing for Special Order before the Board of Supervisors on June 9, 2020, at 3:00 p.m.

Please find linked below a letter of appeal regarding the Tentative Map of a property at 3000-3012 Larkin Street and 898 North Point Street, and an informational letter from the Clerk of the Board.

Tentative Map Appeal Letter - May 15, 2020

Clerk of the Board Letter - May 21, 2020

You are invited to review the entire matter on our Legislative Research Center by following the link below.

## Board of Supervisors File No. 200503

Best regards,

## Jocelyn Wong

San Francisco Board of Supervisors
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
T: 415.554.7702 | F: 415.554.5163
jocelyn.wong@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org
$\stackrel{-}{6}$ Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation, and archived matters since August 1998.
Disclosures: Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information-including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees-may appear on the Board of Supervisors' website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.

| From: | BOS Legislation, (BOS) |
| :--- | :--- |
| To: | Mapping, Subdivision (DPW); VerHagen, Adrian (DPW) |
| Cc: | Storrs, Bruce (DPW); Ryan, James (DPW); BOS Legislation, (BOS); BOS-Operations |
| Subject: | REQUEST FOR SUBDIVISION APPLICATION - MAILING LIST - APPEAL CHECK PICKUP: Appeal of Tentative Map - |
|  | 3000-3012 Larkin Street and 898 North Point Street - Appeal Hearing on June 9, 2020 |
| Date: | Thursday, May 21, 2020 11:36:40 AM |
| Attachments: | image001.png |

Good morning,

Pertaining to the Tentative Map Appeal for the proposed property at 3000-3012 Larkin Street and 898 North Point Street, we are requesting the following:

1. Copy of the entire subdivision application and any relevant documents your office may have pertaining to 3000-3012 Larkin Street and 898 North Point Street as soon as possible for completeness of our file
2. Mailing list within a 300 -foot radius of the appealed property, and names and addresses of interested parties to be notified of the hearing, in an excel spreadsheet format by Tuesday, May 26, 2020
3. Filing check for the appeal is available for pickup at the Clerk's Office. Although our office is closed to the public, I have looped in our Operations team, so that you can coordinate for pick up.

Please do not hesitate to contact our office if there are any questions or concerns. Thank you in advance.

Best regards,
Jocelyn Wong
San Francisco Board of Supervisors
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
T: 415.554.7702 | F: 415.554.5163
jocelyn.wong@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

CO Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form

The Legislative Research Center provides 24 -hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation, and archived matters since August 1998.
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From: BOS Legislation, (BOS) [bos.legislation@sfgov.org](mailto:bos.legislation@sfgov.org)
Sent: Thursday, May 21, 2020 10:50 AM
To: BOS Legislation, (BOS) [bos.legislation@sfgov.org](mailto:bos.legislation@sfgov.org)
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[John.Malamut@sfcityatty.org](mailto:John.Malamut@sfcityatty.org); Degrafinried, Alaric (DPW) [alaric.degrafinried@sfdpw.org](mailto:alaric.degrafinried@sfdpw.org); Storrs, Bruce (DPW) [Bruce.Storrs@sfdpw.org](mailto:Bruce.Storrs@sfdpw.org); Ryan, James (DPW) [james.ryan@sfdpw.org](mailto:james.ryan@sfdpw.org); Tse, Bernie (DPW) [bernie.tse@sfdpw.org](mailto:bernie.tse@sfdpw.org); Sider, Dan (CPC) [dan.sider@sfgov.org](mailto:dan.sider@sfgov.org); Starr, Aaron (CPC) [aaron.starr@sfgov.org](mailto:aaron.starr@sfgov.org); Teague, Corey (CPC) [corey.teague@sfgov.org](mailto:corey.teague@sfgov.org); Sanchez, Scott (CPC) [scott.sanchez@sfgov.org](mailto:scott.sanchez@sfgov.org); Gibson, Lisa (CPC) [lisa.gibson@sfgov.org](mailto:lisa.gibson@sfgov.org); Jain, Devyani (CPC) [devyani.jain@sfgov.org](mailto:devyani.jain@sfgov.org); Navarrete, Joy (CPC) [joy.navarrete@sfgov.org](mailto:joy.navarrete@sfgov.org); Lynch, Laura (CPC) [laura.lynch@sfgov.org](mailto:laura.lynch@sfgov.org); Varat, Adam (CPC) [adam.varat@sfgov.org](mailto:adam.varat@sfgov.org); Rodgers, AnMarie (CPC) [anmarie.rodgers@sfgov.org](mailto:anmarie.rodgers@sfgov.org); VerHagen, Adrian (DPW) [Adrian.VerHagen@sfdpw.org](mailto:Adrian.VerHagen@sfdpw.org); BOSSupervisors [bos-supervisors@sfgov.org](mailto:bos-supervisors@sfgov.org); BOS-Legislative Aides [bos-legislative_aides@sfgov.org](mailto:bos-legislative_aides@sfgov.org); Calvillo, Angela (BOS) [angela.calvillo@sfgov.org](mailto:angela.calvillo@sfgov.org); Somera, Alisa (BOS) [alisa.somera@sfgov.org](mailto:alisa.somera@sfgov.org); BOS Legislation, (BOS) [bos.legislation@sfgov.org](mailto:bos.legislation@sfgov.org)
Subject: Appeal of Tentative Map - 3000-3012 Larkin Street and 898 North Point Street - Appeal Hearing on June 9, 2020

Greetings,

The Office of the Clerk of the Board has scheduled a hearing for Special Order before the Board of Supervisors on June 9, 2020, at 3:00 p.m.

Please find linked below a letter of appeal regarding the Tentative Map of a property at 3000-3012 Larkin Street and 898 North Point Street, and an informational letter from the Clerk of the Board.

Tentative Map Appeal Letter - May 15, 2020

Clerk of the Board Letter - May 21, 2020

You are invited to review the entire matter on our Legislative Research Center by following the link below.

Board of Supervisors File No. 200503
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1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102

## T: 415.554.7702 | F: 415.554.5163 <br> jocelyn.wong@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

Co Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation, and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information-including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees-may appear on the Board of Supervisors' website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.
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## BOARD of SUPERVISORS



City Hall
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244 San Francisco 94102-4689

Tel. No. 554-5184
Fax No. 554-5163
TDD/TTY No. 554-5227

May 21, 2020

## Serge Etcheverry

 2324 Divisadero StreetSan Francisco, CA 94115

## Subject: Tentative Map Appeal - 3000-3012 Larkin Street and 898 North Point Street

Dear Mr. Etcheverry:
Pursuant to Subdivision Code, Section 1314, the Office of the Clerk of the Board has scheduled an appeal hearing on Tuesday, June 9, 2020, at 3:00 p.m., at the Board of Supervisors meeting to be held by a remote public hearing, concerning approval of the subject Tentative Map for properties located at:

3000-3012 Larkin Street and 898 North Point Street, Assessor's Parcel Block No. 0025, Lot No. 024.

Since the Shelter-in-Place Order was put into effect in mid-March, the Office of the Clerk of the Board have been conducting public meetings remotely by videoconferencing. In order to facilitate this process, your contact information (phone number and email address) is needed prior to the hearing, as this was not provided in the appeal letter. Please send the Clerk's office your contact information to bos.legislation@sfgov.org upon receipt of this letter.

Please also provide to the Clerk's Office:
14 days prior to the hearing: names and addresses of interested parties to be notified of the hearing, in spreadsheet format; and

8 days prior to the hearing: any documentation which you may want available to the Board members prior to the hearing.

For the above, the Clerk's office requests one electronic file (sent to bos.legislation@sfgov.org).

If you have any questions, please feel free to contact Legislative Clerks Lisa Lew at (415) 554-7718, Jocelyn Wong at (415) 554-7702, Brent Jalipa at (415) 5547712.

## Sincerely,


c: Anne Pearson, Deputy City Attorney
Kate Stacy, Deputy City Attorney
Christopher Tom, Deputy City Attorney
Andrew Shen, Deputy City Attorney
Alaric Degrafinried, Interim Director, Public Works
Bruce Storrs, Public Works
James Ryan, Public Works
Bernie Tse, Public Works-Bureau of Street Use and Mapping
Dan Sider, Director of Executive Programs, Planning Department
Aaron Starr, Manager of Legislative Affairs, Planning Department
Corey Teague, Zoning Administrator, Planning Department
Scott Sanchez, Acting Deputy Zoning Administrator, Planning Department
Lisa Gibson, Environmental Review Officer, Planning Department
Devyani Jain, Deputy Environmental Review Officer, Planning Department
Joy Navarette, Environmental Planning, Planning Department
Laura Lynch, Environmental Planning, Planning Department
Adam Varat, Acting Director of Citywide Planning, Planning Department
AnMarie Rodgers, Legislative Affairs, Planning Department
Adrian Verhagen, Staff Contact, Public Works

## Introduction Form

By a Member of the Board of Supervisors or Mayor

I hereby submit the following item for introduction (select only one):
$\square$ 1. For reference to Committee. (An Ordinance, Resolution, Motion or Charter Amendment).
$\square$ 2. Request for next printed agenda Without Reference to Committee.
( 3. Request for hearing on a subject matter at Committee.
$\square$ 4. Request for letter beginning :"Supervisor $\square$ inquiries"
$\square$ 5. City Attorney Request.
$\square$ 6. Call File No. $\square$ from Committee.
$\square$ 7. Budget Analyst request (attached written motion).
$\square$ 8. Substitute Legislation File No.
$\square$ 9. Reactivate File No. $\square$
$\square$ 10. Topic submitted for Mayoral Appearance before the BOS on $\square$
Please check the appropriate boxes. The proposed legislation should be forwarded to the following:
$\square$ Small Business Commission $\quad \square$ Youth Commission $\quad \square$ Ethics Commission
$\square$ Planning Commission
$\square$ Building Inspection Commission
Note: For the Imperative Agenda (a resolution not on the printed agenda), use the Imperative Form.
Sponsor(s):
Clerk of the Board
Subject:
Hearing - Appeal of Tentative Map Approval - 3000-3012 Larkin Street and 898 North Point Street
The text is listed:
Hearing of persons interested in or objecting to the decision of Public Works dated May 6, 2020, approving a Tentative Map for a four lot vertical subdivision with proposed Lot 1 being five new residential condominium units, proposed Lot 2 being one new commercial space, proposed Lot 3 being three new commercial condominium units, and proposed Lot 4 comprised of two existing residential apartments project at 3000-3012 Larkin Street and 898 North Point Street, Assessor's Parcel Block No. 0025, Lot No. 024. (District 2) (Appellant: Serge Etcheverry) (Filed May 15, 2020)

Signature of Sponsoring Supervisor:
For Clerk's Use Only
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